Version 01 Codebook

CODEBOOK INTRODUCTION FILE
1960 PRE-POST STUDY
(1960.T)

AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES

1960 PRE-POST ELECTION STUDY

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS

THE SURVEY RESEARCH CENTER (440)

ANGUS CAMPBELL
PHILIP CONVERSE
WARREN MILLER
DONALD STOKES

THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

SEPTEMBER - DECEMBER 1960

ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER 7214

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF ASSISTANCE

CONTENTS

Note: >>sections in the codebook introduction and
codebook appendix can be navigated in the
machine-readable files by searching ">>".

INTRODUCTORY MATERIAL (file int1960.cbk)

>> 1960 STUDY DESCRIPTION
>> 1960 SAMPLING INFORMATION
>> 1960 FILE STRUCTURE
>> 1960 CODEBOOK INFORMATION
>> 1960 PROCESSING INFORMATION
>> 1960 VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST

CODEBOOK

1960 variables

APPENDICES (file app1960.cbk)

>> 1960 PARTY MASTER CODE
>> 1960 CANDIDATE MASTER CODE
>> 1960 PSU CODE
>> 1960 PSU PLACE CODE
>> 1960 STATE AND COUNTY CODE - POPULATION SIZE
>> 1960 STATE AND COUNTY CODE - TYPE OF PLACE
>> 1960 COUNTY CODE
>> 1960 INTERVIEWER
>> 1960 STATE AND COUNTRY CODE
>> 1960 MAJOR PROBLEMS CODE
>> REF.NO.128 NOTE, 1960
>> 1960 OCCUPATION CODE
>> 1960 INDUSTRY CODE
>> FARMING NOTE, 1960
>> 1960 UNION CODE
>> 1960 NEWSPAPER CODE
>> 1960 EFFECT OF TELEVISION DEBATES
>> 1960 PARTY DIFFERENCES
>> 1960 RELIGION CODE
>> REF. NOS. 107, 108, 111 AND 114 NOTE, 1960
>> REF. NOS. 19 AND 98 NOTE, 1960

 >> 1960 STUDY DESCRIPTION

        THE SURVEY RESEARCH CENTER 1960 AMERICAN NATIONAL ELEC-
     TION STUDY WAS DIRECTED BY ANGUS CAMPBELL, PHILIP CONVERSE,
     WARREN MILLER, AND DONALD STOKES. CONDUCTED IN SEPTEMBER
     THROUGH DECEMBER OF 1960, THE STUDY WAS DESIGNED TO PROVIDE
     INFORMATION ABOUT THE POLITICAL ATTITUDES AND BEHAVIOR OF A
     REPRESENTATIVE CROSS-SECTION OF UNITED STATES VOTERS. THE
     STUDY IS THE LAST WAVE OF A PANEL STUDY OF WHICH THE FIRST
     TWO COMPONENTS ARE THE 1956 AND 1958 AMERICAN NATIONAL ELEC-
     TION STUDIES.

THE 1960 AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY IS ITSELF A
PANEL STUDY IN WHICH RESPONDENTS WERE INTERVIEWED BOTH BE-
FORE AND AFTER THE 1960 ELECTION. IN THE PRE-ELECTION
INTERVIEW THE INVESTIGATORS WERE CONCERNED WITH OBTAINING
INFORMATION ABOUT THE LONG-TERM INFLUENCES UPON ELECTORAL
CHOICE AND ABOUT THE ELECTION-SPECIFIC SHORT-TERM FORCES
THAT WERE IN OPERATION IN 1960. IN THE POST-ELECTION
INTERVIEW THE EMPHASIS WAS PLACED UPON ASCERTAINING
BEHAVIOR WITH RESPECT TO THE ELECTION AND THE REASONS FOR
THAT BEHAVIOR.

    THE LONG-TERM INFLUENCES ON THE RESPONDENT'S ELECTORAL
BEHAVIOR WHICH WERE EXPLORED IN THE PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEW
INCLUDED A NUMBER OF FACTORS IMPORTANT IN POLITICAL
SOCIALIZATION, SUCH AS THE RESPONDENT'S EDUCATION,
OCCUPATION,
FINANCIAL SITUATION, LIFE CYCLE STATUS, GEOGRAPHIC AND SOCIAL
MOBILITY, AND MILITARY SERVICE. THE DIRECTION AND INTENSITY
OF THE RESPONDENT'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION AND THE REASONS
FOR ANY PAST CHANGES IN THIS IDENTIFICATION WERE ALSO THE
SUBJECT OF QUESTIONS DESIGNED TO TAP THESE LONG-TERM INFLU-
ENCES ON BEHAVIOR.

    IN ORDER TO DETERMINE THE NATURE OF THE SHORT-TERM FORCES
WHICH MIGHT INFLUENCE VOTING BEHAVIOR, RESPONDENTS IN THE
PRE-
ELECTION INTERVIEW WERE ASKED THEIR OPINIONS ON POLITICAL
ISSUES WHICH WERE IMPORTANT IN 1960, SUCH AS CIVIL RIGHTS,
DOMESTIC SPENDING FOR SOCIAL SERVICES, AND FOREIGN AID.
QUESTIONS ABOUT AGRICULTURAL MATTERS AND LABOR CONCERNS WERE
ASKED OF FARMERS AND LABOR UNION MEMBERS, RESPECTIVELY. THE
RESPONDENT'S PERCEPTIONS AND EVALUATIONS OF THE PRESIDENTIAL
AND VICE-PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES AND THE TWO MAJOR PARTIES
WERE OBTAINED. IN ADDITION, QUESTIONS DESIGNED TO REVEAL
CHANGES IN THE RESPONDENT'S ATTITUDES TOWARD THE CANDIDATES
AND PARTIES WERE INCLUDED.

    THE SURVEY INSTRUMENT CONTAINED A SERIES OF QUESTIONS
USED TO MEASURE THE RESPONDENT'S SENSE OF POLITICAL EFFICACY
AND CITIZEN DUTY. ALSO INCLUDED WAS A SERIES OF ITEMS
DESIGNED TO MEASURE THE EXTENT TO WHICH THE RESPONDENT WOULD
TRUST CERTAIN GROUPS TO ENDORSE AN ACCEPTABLE CANDIDATE FOR
OFFICE. FINALLY, THE PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEW ASKED THE
RESPONDENT TO PREDICT THE 1960 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION OUT-
COME, TO PREDICT HIS LIKELIHOOD OF VOTING, TO IDENTIFY THE
CANDIDATE FOR WHOM HE WOULD VOTE, AND TO GIVE THE REASON FOR
THAT ELECTORAL PREFERENCE.

    THE POST-ELECTION INTERVIEW WAS PRIMARILY CONCERNED WITH
THE RESPONDENT'S VOTE AND THE PARTY-, CANDIDATE-, AND ISSUE-
ORIENTED REASONS FOR THAT VOTE. THE RESPONDENT'S INTEREST
IN THE ELECTION AND HIS EXPOSURE TO MEDIA WERE EXPLORED.
IN PARTICULAR, RESPONDENTS WERE ASKED QUESTIONS ABOUT THEIR
PARTICIPATION IN POLITICAL ACTIVITIES, AND ABOUT THEIR REAC-
TIONS TO THE TELEVISED KENNEDY-NIXON DEBATES. IN ORDER TO
ASSESS THE EFFECT OF KENNEDY'S RELIGION ON ELECTORAL CHOICE,
A SERIES OF QUESTIONS ABOUT THE RESPONDENT'S RELIGIOUS
PREFERENCES AND BACKGROUND WERE INCLUDED, IN ADDITION TO
QUESTIONS WHICH DIRECTLY ASKED THE RESPONDENT ABOUT RELIGION

AS A FACTOR IN THE 1960 ELECTION. FINALLY, THE POST-ELEC-
TION QUESTIONNAIRE INCLUDED A SERIES OF QUESTIONS DESIGNED
TO MEASURE THE RESPONDENT'S SENSE OF PERSONAL COMPETENCE.

    THE PRIMARY REPORT ON THE FINDINGS OF THE SURVEY
RESEARCH CENTER 1960 AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY IS BY
ANGUS CAMPBELL, PHILIP CONVERSE, WARREN MILLER, AND
DONALD STOKES, "STABILITY AND CHANGE IN 1960: A REINSTATING
ELECTION," AMERICAN POLITICAL SCIENCE REVIEW, JUNE 1961.
FURTHER ARTICLES USING THE 1960 DATA ARE FOUND IN ANGUS
CAMPBELL, ET AL., ELECTIONS AND THE POLITICAL ORDER (NEW
YORK: JOHN WILEY & SONS, INC., 1966).

>> 1960 SAMPLING INFORMATION

    THE INDIVIDUALS INTERVIEWED IN 1960 WERE A REPRESENTATIVE
CROSS-SECTION OF PERSONS OF VOTING AGE LIVING IN PRIVATE
HOUSEHOLDS IN THE UNITED STATES. COMPLICATIONS IN THE
SAMPLING PROCEDURE WERE INTRODUCED BY THE FACT THAT THE
1960 STUDY WAS THE THIRD WAVE OF A PANEL STUDY. IN 1958
MANY OF THE 1956 RESPONDENTS HAD MOVED AND COULD NOT BE
RE-INTERVIEWED AT THEIR ORIGINAL ADDRESSES. A NUMBER OF
THESE RESPONDENTS WERE INTERVIEWED AT THE NEW ADDRESSES,
BUT WERE INCLUDED ONLY IN THE PANEL STUDY, NOT IN THE CROSS-
SECTION. (THIS IS BECAUSE A CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE IS A
SAMPLE OF SELECTED INDIVIDUALS WITHIN SPECIFIC HOUSEHOLDS,
NOT A SAMPLE OF INDIVIDUAL PERSONS ONLY.) TO COMPENSATE
FOR THE LOSS OF THIS GROUP OF RESPONDENTS IN THE 1958 STUDY
A NEW GROUP WAS SELECTED FROM THE CURRENT OCCUPANTS OF
DWELLINGS FROM WHICH FORMER RESPONDENTS HAD MOVED. TO
REDUCE FIELD COSTS, ONLY HALF AS MANY SUCH ADDITIONAL
RESPONDENTS WERE ADDED AS WOULD HAVE BEEN SELECTED IF THEIR
PROBABILITY OF SELECTION HAD BEEN IDENTICAL TO THAT OF THE
RE-INTERVIEWED RESPONDENTS. TO ADJUST FOR THIS DIFFERENCE
IN SAMPLING FRACTIONS, THE ADDITIONAL RESPONDENTS WERE GIVEN
DOUBLE WEIGHT.

    THE BASIC RATE OF SELECTION OF THOSE INTERVIEWED AT THEIR
1956 ADDRESSES IN BOTH 1956 AND 1958 WAS 1 IN 20,571. THE
RATE OF SELECTION OF THOSE RESPONDENTS FIRST INTERVIEWED
IN 1958 WAS HALF OF THAT. ACCORDINGLY THESE GROUPS ARE
WEIGHTED "ONE" AND "TWO", RESPECTIVELY. IN 1960 A TOTAL OF
166 NEW RESPONDENTS WERE SELECTED FROM NEWLY CONSTRUCTED
DWELLING UNITS AND FROM AN ASSORTMENT OF 1956 ADDRESSES.
THESE GROUPS WERE SELECTED AT A RATE OF ONE-FOURTH THE BASIC
RATE, AND THEREFORE ARE WEIGHTED "FOUR". FINALLY, TO
MAINTAIN REPRESENTATIVENESS OF THE 1960 SAMPLE, ONE HUNDRED
1956 RESPONDENTS (INTERVIEWED BOTH IN 1958 AND 1960) WERE
SELECTED TO REPRESENT 1956 RESPONDENTS LOST THROUGH PANEL
ATTRITION. NINETY-FOUR OF THESE RESPONDENTS WERE WEIGHTED
BY A FACTOR OF TWO AND THE REMAINING SIX WERE WEIGHTED BY A
FACTOR OF THREE. THE UNWEIGHTED N OF THE 1960 STUDY IS 1181
AND THE WEIGHTED N IS 1954.

IN OTHER RESPECTS, HOWEVER, THE 1960 STUDY SHARES THE
SAME SAMPLE DESIGN AS THAT OF THE 1956 AND 1958 STUDIES.
THE SAMPLE WAS DRAWN AS FOLLOWS: THE TWELVE LARGEST
METROPOLITAN AREAS OF THE UNITED STATES WERE DRAWN WITH
CERTAINTY TO REPRESENT THEMSELVES. THE REST OF THE COUNTRY
WAS FORMED INTO FIFTY-FOUR STRATA, FROM EACH OF WHICH A
PRIMARY SAMPLING UNIT (CONSISTING OF A COUNTY OR GROUP OF
COUNTIES) WAS DRAWN WITH PROBABILITY PROPORTIONAL TO SIZE.
THE SELECTION PROCEDURE WITHIN THESE SIXTY-SIX PRIMARY
SAMPLING UNITS ULTIMATELY YIELDED A SAMPLE OF PRIVATE
HOUSEHOLDS. RESPONDENTS WERE SELECTED FROM THESE HOUSE-
HOLDS BY AN OBJECTIVE PROCEDURE OF SELECTION WHICH
ALLOWED NO SUBSTITUTIONS.

>> 1960 FILE STRUCTURE

THE DATA ARE AVAILABLE FROM ICPSR IN TWO FORMATS: A CARD
IMAGE FILE AND AN OSIRIS DATASET. THE CARD IMAGE FILE CON-
TAINS 10 DECKS PER RESPONDENT IN A FORMAT BASED ON 80 COLUMN
PUNCHED CARDS. THE DATA ARE SORTED BY INTERVIEW NUMBER WITH
ALL DECKS FOR EACH CASE TOGETHER IN ASCENDING ORDER. THERE
ARE TWO COMPONENTS TO THE OSIRIS DATASET. THE OSIRIS
DICTIONARY GIVES THE FORMAT AND OTHER INFORMATION FOR EACH
VARIABLE IN THE OSIRIS DATA FILE. THE DICTIONARY OR DIC-
TIONARY-CODEBOOK FILE IS USED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE
OSIRIS SOFTWARE PACKAGE. THE OSIRIS DATA FILE IS CONSTRUC-
TED WITH A SINGLE LOGICAL RECORD OF 629 BYTES FOR EACH
RESPONDENT.

IN THE SURVEY RESEARCH CENTER 1960 AMERICAN NATIONAL
ELECTION STUDY, ONE PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEW AND ONE POST-
ELECTION INTERVIEW WERE CONDUCTED WITH EACH RESPONDENT, WITH
THE EXCEPTION OF 125 RESPONDENTS WHO WERE GIVEN ONLY THE
PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEW, AND 22 RESPONDENTS WHO WERE GIVEN
ONLY THE POST-ELECTION INTERVIEW. RESPONDENTS WHO WERE
GIVEN ONLY ONE INTERVIEW HAVE BEEN CODED "NA" OR "INAP" IN
THOSE VARIABLES FOR WHICH NO DATA WERE AVAILABLE. THE
DATA ARE FORMATTED SUCH THAT INFORMATION FOR THE TWO WAVES
IS CONTIGUOUS: THAT IS, FOR OSIRIS FORMATTED DATA, THE DATA
FOR EACH RESPONDENT FOR BOTH WAVES ARE CONTAINED IN ONE
LOGICAL RECORD; AND FOR CARD-IMAGE FORMATTED DATA, DECKS 1
THROUGH 10 CONTAIN THE DATA FOR EACH RESPONDENT FOR BOTH
WAVES.

THE DATA ARE WEIGHTED; VARIABLE 600003 IS THE WEIGHT
VARIABLE. THE UNWEIGHTED STUDY N IS 1181 AND THE
WEIGHTED N IS 1954. SEE THE "SAMPLING INFORMATION"
SECTION OF THIS INTRODUCTION FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT
THE WEIGHTING PROCEDURES.

WEIGHTING AND FREQUENCIES

THE FREQUENCIES PROVIDED IN THIS CODEBOOK ARE WEIGHTED

          BY AN INTEGER WEIGHT VARIABLE (VARIABLE 600003), WHICH SHOULD
          ALWAYS BE USED IN DATA ANALYSIS PROCEDURES TO OBTAIN A
          REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLE. IF THE DATA ARE IN CARD-IMAGE
          FORMAT, THE WEIGHT VARIABLE IS LOCATED IN COLUMN 80 OF EACH
          DECK. SEE THE "SAMPLING INFORMATION" SECTION OF THIS
          INTRODUCTION FOR AN EXPLANATION OF THE ASSIGNMENT OF WEIGHTS
          TO THE VARIOUS CATEGORIES OF RESPONDENTS.

  >> 1960 CODEBOOK INFORMATION

The following example from the 1948 NES study provides the standard
format for codebook variable documentation.

Note that NES studies which are not part of the Time-Series usually
omit marginals and the descriptive content in lines 2-5 (except for
variable name).

Line

```
1   ==============================
2   VAR 480026     NAME-R NOT VT-WAS R REG TO VT
3                  COLUMNS 61   - 61
4                  NUMERIC
5                  MD=0 OR GE 8
6
7                     Q. 17.  (IF R DID NOT VOTE)  WERE YOU REGISTERED (ELIGIBLE)
8                     TO VOTE.
9                     .........................................................
10
11            82        1.  YES
12           149        2.  NO
13
14             0        8.  DK
15             9        9.  NA
16           422        0.  INAP., R VOTED
```


Line 2 - VARIABLE NAME. Note that in the codebook the variable name
         (usually a 'number') does not include the "V" prefix which is
         used in the release SAS and SPSS data definition files
         (.sas and .sps files) for all variables including those
         which do not have 'number' names. For example the variable
         "VERSION" in the codebook is "VVERSION" in the data definition
         files.

Line 2 - "NAME". This is the variable label used in the SAS and SPSS
         data definition files (.sas and .sps files). Some codebooks
         exclude this.

Line 3 - COLUMNS. Columns in the ASCII data file (.dat file).

Line 4 - CHARACTER OR NUMERIC. If numeric and the variable is a decimal
         rather than integer variable, the numer of decimal places is

          also indicated (e.g. "NUMERIC DEC 4")

Line 5 - Values which are assigned to missing by default in the Study's
         SAS and and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files).

Line 7 - Actual question text for survey variables or a description of
         non-survey variables (for example, congressional district).
         Survey items usually include the question number (for example
         "B1a.") from the Study questionnaire; beginning in 1996
         non-survey items also have unique item numbers (for example
         "CSheet.1").

Line 9 - A dashed or dotted line usually separates question text from
         any other documentation which follows.

Line 10- When present, annotation provided by Study staff is presented
         below the question text/description and preceding code values.

Lines 11-16
         Code values are listed with descriptive labels. Valid codes
         (those not having 'missing' status in line 5) are presented
         first, followed by the values described in line 5. For
         continuous variables, one line may appear providing the range
         of possible values. A blank line usually separates the 'valid'
         and 'missing' values.

Lines 11-16
         Marginals are usually provided for discrete variables. The
         counts may be unweighted or weighted; check the Study codebook
         introductory text to determine weight usage.
 >> 1960 PROCESSING INFORMATION

        THE CODEBOOK WAS PROCESSED ACCORDING TO STANDARD ICPSR
     PROCESSING PROCEDURES. THE DATASET WAS CHECKED FOR INCON-
     SISTENT AND ILLEGAL CODES. WHEN ANY SUCH CODES WERE FOUND,
     THE INTERVIEW PROTOCOLS WERE CONSULTED TO OBTAIN THE CORRECT
     CODE VALUES. THE SYMBOLS < AND > ARE USED TO ENCLOSE COM-
     MENTS OR EXPLANATIONS ADDED BY THE PROCESSOR TO PROVIDE
     FURTHER CLARIFICATION OF INFORMATION IN THE ORIGINAL CODE-
     BOOK. IN THE TABLE OF CONTENTS, AN ASTERISK APPEARS AFTER
     EACH VARIABLE HAVING A SPECIAL ICPSR STANDARDIZED CODE.

 >> 1960 VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST

     VARIABLE   VARIABLE LABEL
     --------   --------------

      VERSION   NES VERSION NUMBER
      DSETNO   NES DATASET NUMBER
      600001   ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER
      600002   INTERVIEW NUMBER

               STUDY PROCEDURE AND SAMPLING

      600003   WEIGHT
      600004   PRIMARY SAMPLING UNIT CODE
      600005   PRIMARY SAMPLING UNIT PLACE CODE

```
600006     POPULATION SIZE
600007     TYPE OF PLACE
600008     SAMPLE RATE CODE
600009     COUNTY CODE
600010     STATE CODE*
600011     1960 CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT
600012     DATE OF INTERVIEW--PRE-ELECTION
600013     INTERVIEWER--PRE-ELECTION
600014     CODER--PRE-ELECTION
600015     INTERVIEW TYPE
600016     NATURE OF INTERVIEW
```

ELECTION PREDICTIONS BY RESPONDENT

```
600017     PREDICTION RESULT PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION
600018     XWILL ELECTION BE CLOSE RACE
600019     IMPORTANCE OF ELECTION RESULT TO RESPONDENT
```

THE PARTIES AND THE CANDIDATES

```
600020     WHAT GOOD ABOUT DEMOCRATS*
600021     WHAT BAD ABOUT DEMOCRATS*
600022     WHAT GOOD ABOUT REPUBLICANS*
600023     WHAT BAD ABOUT REPUBLICANS*
600024     WHY VOTE FOR KENNEDY*
600025     WHY NOT VOTE FOR KENNEDY*
600026     WHY VOTE FOR NIXON*
600027     WHY NOT VOTE FOR NIXON*
600028     XCHANGE OF FEELING TOWARD NIXON
600029     XHOW FEELING TOWARD NIXON CHANGED
600030     XWHAT CHANGED MIND ABOUT NIXON
600031     XWHEN CHANGED MIND ABOUT NIXON
600032     XCHANGE OF FEELING TOWARD KENNEDY
600033     XHOW FEELING TOWARD KENNEDY CHANGED
600034     XWHAT CHANGED MIND ABOUT KENNEDY
600035     XWHEN CHANGED MIND ABOUT KENNEDY
600036     HAS RESPONDENT OPINION ABOUT JOHNSON
600037     HAS RESPONDENT OPINION ABOUT LODGE
600038     OPINION PRO-JOHNSON*
600039     OPINION ANTI-JOHNSON*
600040     OPINION PRO-LODGE*
600041     OPINION ANTI-LODGE*
```

KNOWLEDGE OF THE CANDIDATES

```
600042     WHERE IS NIXON FROM*
600043     HOW OLD IS NIXON
600044     NIXON'S SOCIAL CLASS
600045     WHAT IS NIXON'S RELIGION
600046     WHERE IS KENNEDY FROM*
600047     HOW OLD IS KENNEDY
600048     KENNEDY'S SOCIAL CLASS
600049     WHAT IS KENNEDY'S RELIGION
```

DOMESTIC ISSUES AND FOREIGN POLICY

```
600050     IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING GOVERNMENT*
600051     XWHICH PARTY WILL SOLVE PROBLEMS
600052     GOVERNMENT ROLE IN HOUSING AND POWER
```

```
600053      WHICH PARTY INTERFERE LESS IN HOUSING AND POWER
600054      SHOULD GOVERNMENT GUARANTEE EMPLOYMENT
600055      XWHICH PARTY GUARANTEE WORK FOR ALL
600056      ISOLATIONIST POLICY BEST FOR UNITED STATES
600057      XWHICH PARTY FOR ISOLATIONISM
600058      SHOULD GOVERNMENT AID EDUCATION
600059      XWHICH PARTY FOR AID TO SCHOOLS
600060      SHOULD UNITED STATES HELP UNDERDEVELOPED
            NATIONS
600061      XWHICH PARTY FOR FOREIGN AID
600062      EQUALITY FOR NEGROES IN HOUSING AND WORK
600063      XWHICH PARTY FOR NEGRO EQUALITY
600064      SHOULD UNITED STATES FIGHT COMMUNISM OVERSEAS
600065      XWHICH PARTY FOR ANTI-COMMUNIST MILITARY AID
600066      SHOULD GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIZE MEDICAL CARE
600067      XWHY FOR OR AGAINST GOVERNMENT MEDICAL CARE*
600068      XWHICH PARTY FAVORS MEDICAL SUBSIDIZATION
600069      XWHY THINK REPUBLICAN OR DEMOCRAT FOR MEDICAL
            SUBSIDIZATION
600070      SHOULD GOVERNMENT INTEGRATE SCHOOLS
600071      XWHY GOVERNMENT SCHOOL INTEGRATION GOOD OR BAD
600072      XOPINION CHANGE ON SCHOOL INTEGRATION
600073      XWHICH PARTY WON'T INTEGRATE SCHOOLS

            FINANCIAL SITUATION OF FAMILY UNIT

600074      RESPONDENT'S ESTIMATE OF OWN FINANCIAL SITUATION
600075      HAS RESPONDENT'S FINANCIAL SITUATION CHANGED
600076      FACTORS AFFECTING RESPONDENT'S FINANCIAL
            SITUATION
600077      RESPONDENT'S FUTURE FINANCIAL PROSPECTS
600078      HOW WILL FINANCIAL SITUATION CHANGE IN FUTURE*
600079      ELECTION AFFECT RESPONDENT'S FINANCIAL
            SITUATION
600080      XHOW ELECTION AFFECT RESPONDENT FINANCIALLY*
600081      ASSESSMENT UNITED STATES FOREIGN RELATIONS
600082      REASONS FOR ASSESSMENT FOREIGN RELATIONS
600083      PAST YEAR UNITED STATES' INFLUENCE STRONGER
600084      HOW UNITED STATES' INFLUENCE STRONGER
600085      FEAR OF ANOTHER WAR
600086      HAS PROBLEM OF WAR LESSENED
600087      WHICH PARTY BEST AVOIDS WAR
600088      XWHY REPUBLICANS OR DEMOCRATS BETTER AT
            AVOIDING WAR
600089      ASSESSMENT OF PROGRESS DOMESTIC AFFAIRS
600090      XREASONS ASSESSMENT OF DOMESTIC PROGRESS

            PARTY IDENTIFICATION

600091      RESPONDENT'S PARTY ALLEGIANCE
600092      XHAS RESPONDENT CHANGED PARTY ALLEGIANCE
600093      XWHEN RESPONDENT CHANGED PARTY ALLEGIANCE
600094      XWHY RESPONDENT CHANGED PARTY ALLEGIANCE*

            VOTING BEHAVIOR

600095      ALWAYS VOTE IN PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION
600096      XALWAYS VOTE FOR SAME PARTY
600097      XHOW VOTE 1956 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION
```

```
600098    RESPONDENT'S INTEREST IN POLITICAL CAMPAIGNS
600099    IS RESPONDENT REGISTERED TO VOTE THIS YEAR
600100    DOES RESPONDENT INTEND TO VOTE THIS YEAR
600101    XWHOM WILL RESPONDENT VOTE FOR PRESIDENT
600102    XWHY RESPONDENT CHOSE CANDIDATE FOR PRESIDENT*
600103    XVOTE FOR CANDIDATE OR AGAINST OPPONENT
600104    XIF VOTING FOR WHOM WOULD VOTE
600105    XWHY WOULD CHOOSE CANDIDATE FOR PRESIDENT*
600106    XVOTE FOR CANDIDATE OR AGAINST OPPONENT
```

POLITICAL EFFICACY AND CITIZEN DUTY

```
600107    PEOPLE HAVE NO SAY IN GOVERNMENT
600108    VOTING ONLY WAY TO INFLUENCE GOVERNMENT
600109    IF PARTY CAN'T WIN NEED NOT VOTE
600110    ONE VOTE UNIMPORTANT IN TOTAL VOTE
600111    POLITICS TOO DIFFICULT TO UNDERSTAND
600112    IF NO INTEREST NEED NOT VOTE
600113    LOCAL ELECTIONS UNIMPORTANT
600114    PEOPLE'S OPINION UNIMPORTANT TO GOVERNMENT
600115    DEGREE OF INTEREST IN POLITICS
600116    XMAIN REASON WHY CHOSE CANDIDATE
600117    RESPONDENT'S TREATMENT OF CATHOLIC QUESTION
```

PERSONAL DATA

```
600118    SEX OF RESPONDENT
600119    RACE OF RESPONDENT
600120    NUMBER OF ADULTS IN HOUSEHOLD
600121    RESPONDENT'S RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD
600122    AGE OF RESPONDENT
600123    AGE OF HEAD
600124    RESPONDENT'S MARITAL STATUS
600125    NUMBER OF CHILDREN UNDER 18 IN FAMILY UNIT
600126    XAGE OF YOUNGEST CHILD
600127    LIFE CYCLE STATUS
600128    EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT*
600129    RESPONDENT'S OCCUPATION*
600130    INDUSTRY RESPONDENT IS EMPLOYED IN*
600131    RESPONDENT SELF-EMPLOYED OR NOT
600132    XRESPONDENT'S OCCUPATION IF UNEMPLOYED OR
          RETIRED
600133    XINDUSTRY IF RESPONDENT UNEMPLOYED OR RETIRED
600134    XRECENCY OF RESPONDENT'S UNEMPLOYMENT
600135    XLENGTH OF RESPONDENT'S UNEMPLOYMENT
600136    HEAD'S OCCUPATION*
600137    XINDUSTRY IN WHICH HEAD EMPLOYED
600138    XHEAD SELF-EMPLOYED OR NOT
600139    XHEAD'S OCCUPATION IF UNEMPLOYED OR RETIRED*
600140    XINDUSTRY IF HEAD UNEMPLOYED OR RETIRED
600141    XRECENCY OF HEAD'S UNEMPLOYMENT
600142    XLENGTH OF HEAD'S UNEMPLOYMENT
```

QUESTIONS FOR FARMERS

```
600143    XRESPONDENT FULL-TIME FARMER OR NOT
600144    XFARM OWNED OR RENTED OR OTHER
600145    XHOW MUCH LAND RESPONDENT FARMS
600146    XWHAT KIND OF FARMING*
```

```
600147      XCHANGING PRICES OF FARM PRODUCTS
600148      XEFFECT OF FARM POLICY ON PRICES
600149      XGOVERNMENT SUBSIDIZE FARM PRODUCTS
```

UNION MEMBERSHIP

```
600150      ANYONE BELONG TO LABOR UNION
600151      XMEMBER OF WHAT UNION*
600152      XHOW LONG A UNION MEMBER
600153      XFEEL CLOSE TO UNION MEMBERS
600154      XINTEREST IN UNION MEMBERS
```

SOCIAL CLASS

```
600155      WHAT SOCIAL CLASS IS RESPONDENT
600156      XAFFINITY WITH MEMBERS OF SAME CLASS
600157      XINTEREST IN WELFARE OF OWN CLASS
600158      SOCIAL CLASS OF FAMILY WHEN RESPONDENT WAS YOUNG
600159      XAFFINITY WITH OTHER NEGROES
600160      XINTEREST IN OTHER NEGROES' WELFARE
```

POLITICAL TRUST IN VARIOUS GROUPS

```
600161      TRUST VETERANS GROUPS
600162      TRUST PROTESTANT GROUPS
600163      TRUST FARM GROUPS
600164      TRUST NEGRO GROUPS
600165      TRUST BUSINESS GROUPS
600166      TRUST JEWISH GROUPS
600167      TRUST COLLEGE GROUPS
600168      TRUST LABOR UNIONS
600169      TRUST CATHOLIC GROUPS
600170      TOTAL GROUPS TRUSTED
600171      TOTAL GROUPS DISTRUSTED
```

PERSONAL DATA (CONT.)

```
600172      WAS RESPONDENT OR HEAD IN MILITARY
600173      XRESPONDENT'S YEARS IN MILITARY
600174      XHEAD'S YEARS IN MILITARY
600175      RESPONDENT'S PLACE OF BIRTH*
600176      XWERE PARENTS BORN IN UNITED STATES
600177      XPLACE OF BIRTH OF FATHER*
600178      XPLACE OF BIRTH OF MOTHER*
600179      XPLACE OF BIRTH OF FATHER'S ANCESTORS*
600180      FATHER'S OCCUPATION WHILE RESPONDENT WAS YOUNG*
600181      XFINANCIAL SITUATION OF FAMILY WHEN RESPONDENT
            WAS GROWING UP ON FARM
600182      PART OF UNITED STATES RESPONDENT GREW UP IN*
600183      XRESPONDENT'S AGE ON ARRIVAL IN THIS STATE
600184      RAISED IN CITY OR FARM
600185      HOW LONG IN THIS HOUSE
600186      XNEIGHBORHOOD'S AGE RELATIVE TO LAST
600187      XNEIGHBORHOOD MORE OR LESS EXPENSIVE
600188      XNEIGHBORHOOD CLOSER TO CITY CENTER
600189      TOTAL FAMILY INCOME
```

POST ELECTION INTERVIEW

```
600190      READ ABOUT CAMPAIGN IN NEWSPAPER
600191      XWHICH PAPER READ MOST ON CAMPAIGN*
600192      HEAR ABOUT CAMPAIGN ON RADIO
600193      SEE MUCH OF CAMPAIGN ON TELEVISION
600194      READ MUCH ABOUT CAMPAIGN IN MAGAZINES
600195      XWHICH MEDIUM INFLUENCED MOST
600196      XSEE KENNEDY-NIXON DEBATES
600197      XDEBATE CHANGE OPINION OF KENNEDY*
600198      XDEBATE CHANGE OPINION OF NIXON*
600199      XDEBATE CHANGE OPINION OF KENNEDY OR NIXON*
600200      MEDIA OR PEOPLE INFLUENCED RESPONDENT MOST
600201      WHOM RESPONDENT VOTED FOR PRESIDENT
600202      XWHY RESPONDENT VOTED FOR PRESIDENTIAL
            CANDIDATE*
600203      XMAIN REASON DID NOT VOTE*
600204      XWHEN DECIDED VOTING PREFERENCE
600205      XVOTED FOR CANDIDATE OR AGAINST OPPOSING
            CANDIDATE
600206      XEVER CONSIDER VOTING FOR OPPONENT
600207      XWHY DIDN'T VOTE FOR OPPONENT
600208      XBETTER VOTE AS DID OR NO DIFFERENCE
600209      XCARE ABOUT VOTING OR NOT
600210      XVOTE FOR UNITED STATES SENATOR
600211      XVOTE FOR UNITED STATES CONGRESSMAN
600212      XREASON VOTE FOR CONGRESSMAN*
600213      XSPLIT OR STRAIGHT TICKET
600214      XREASONS NOT WATCH TELEVISION DEBATE
600215      EVER TRY INFLUENCING OTHERS' VOTE
```

POLITICAL PARTICIPATION AND INFORMATION

```
600216      FINANCIAL AID TO PARTY
600217      EVER GO TO POLITICAL MEETINGS
600218      DO OTHER WORK FOR PARTIES
600219      BELONG TO POLITICAL ORGANIZATION
600220      CAMPAIGN BUTTON OR STICKER
600221      PARTY REPRESENTATIVE TALK TO RESPONDENT
600222      XVOTING ADVICE OF REPUBLICAN REPRESENTATIVE
600223      XVOTING ADVICE OF DEMOCRATIC REPRESENTATIVE
600224      INTERESTED IN CAMPAIGN
600225      FOLLOW POLITICAL EVENTS CLOSELY
600226      WHICH PARTY HAD MAJORITY IN CONGRESS
600227      WHICH PARTY HAS MAJORITY IN CONGRESS
600228      MOST IMPORTANT GOVERNMENT PROBLEM*
600229      ANY DIFFERENCE BETWEEN REPUBLICANS AND
            DEMOCRATS
600230      XWHAT DIFFERENT ABOUT DEMOCRATS*
600231      XWHAT DIFFERENT ABOUT REPUBLICANS*
600232      WHICH PARTY MORE CONSERVATIVE
600233      XREASONS WHY DEMOCRATS MORE CONSERVATIVE*
600234      XREASONS WHY REPUBLICANS MORE CONSERVATIVE*
```

DOMESTIC ISSUES AND FOREIGN POLICY

```
600235      GOVERNMENT OUGHT TO GUARANTEE JOBS
600236      FOREIGN AID TO POOR COUNTRIES
600237      LABOR UNION INFLUENCE IN GOVERNMENT BAD
```

PERSONAL COMPETENCE

```
600238    DOES RESPONDENT'S LIFE GO AS PLANNED
600239    USUALLY HAVE GOOD LUCK
600240    RESPONDENT'S PLANS REALIZED OR NOT

          STRONG MINDEDNESS

600241    USUALLY WIN ARGUMENTS
600242    HAVE STRONG OPINIONS OR NOT
600243    HARD TO CHANGE RESPONDENT'S MIND

          OTHER PERSONALITY ITEMS

600244    USUALLY PLAN AHEAD
600245    GET PUSHED AROUND
600246    FINISH WHAT IS STARTED
600247    PREFER GIVING OR RECEIVING ORDERS

          PERSONAL DATA (CONT.)

600248    SEX OF RESPONDENT
600249    RACE OF RESPONDENT
600250    MARITAL STATUS
600251    XDID SPOUSE VOTE
600252    RESPONDENT'S CHURCH PREFERENCE*
600253    XHOW OFTEN ATTEND CHURCH

          RELIGION AND POLITICS

600254    CANDIDATES DISCUSSED IN CHURCH
600255    XRELIGION OF SPOUSE SAME
600256    XSPOUSE'S CHURCH PREFERENCE*
600257    XSPOUSE ATTEND CHURCH REGULARLY
600258    XRESPONDENT STRONGLY RELIGIOUS
600259    XCLOSE TO MEMBERS OF RELIGION
600260    XINTEREST IN MEMBERS OF RELIGION
600261    X(RESPONDENT JEWISH) CLOSE TO JEWISH PEOPLE
600262    X(RESPONDENT JEWISH) INTEREST IN JEWS
600263    MOTHER'S CHURCH PREFERENCE*
600264    XMOTHER'S INTEREST IN RELIGION
600265    FATHER'S CHURCH PREFERENCE*
600266    XFATHER'S INTEREST IN RELIGION
600267    OPINION OF CATHOLIC INFLUENCE IN GOVERNMENT
600268    OPINION OF CATHOLICS AIDING CANDIDATES
600269    POLITICAL ALLEGIANCE

600270    INTERVIEWER POST ELECTION
600271    DATE OF INTERVIEW - POST ELECTION
600272    CODER POST ELECTION

600273    POLITICAL EFFICACY INDEX
600274    INVOLVEMENT INDEX
```