# Catching up with The American National Election Studies

Jon Krosnick & Arthur Lupia
Principal Investigators

#### History

A 50-year time series, innovative data collections.

Founded at Michigan, now also at Stanford.

 Used by tens of thousands of researchers, journalists, students, and citizens around the world.



#### Targets

- Explain vote choice in elections for president and Congress
- Explain variations in turnout
- Facilitate a range of socially relevant analyses pertinent to the electoral context.
  - Many variables permit the evaluation of many hypotheses.



#### ANES Operational Attributes

- Ask many questions, provide many variables
  - Survey data merged with contextual data
- Promote comparisons
  - The value of the studies increase over time
- No one has privileged access
  - Data are released as soon as possible



#### Our Goals

- Improve measurement
  - More constructs
  - Better questions
- Improve scientific value
  - Stronger theory
  - Increase relevance to many social sciences
  - Increase value to the general public







Angus Campbell, Philip E. Converse, Warren E. Miller, and Donald E. Stokes

The American Voter Unabridged Edition

1960 - University of Chicago Press



#### Primary Funding

- 1950s-1970s
  - The Carnegie Corporation
  - The Rockefeller Foundation
  - The Ford Foundation
- Since 1977, the National Science Foundation



#### Presidential Election Studies

- Every presidential election year since 1948
- Large representative samples of American adults
- One hour (or longer) interview before the election
- One hour (or longer) interview after the election
- Face-to-face interviewing in respondents' homes



## Congressional Election Studies

- Almost all congressional election years since 1948
- 27 studies



#### The Time Series Studies

1948	1966	1980	1994
1952	1968	1982	1996
1956	1970	1984	1998
1958	1972	1986	2000
1960	1974	1988	2002
1962	1976	1990	2004
1964	1978	1992	



#### Panel Reinterview Studies

- **1956 1958 1960**
- 1972 1974 1976
- 1980 (January January)
- **■** 1988 − 1990 − 1992
- **2000** 2002 2004



### Pilot Studies (to test new questions)



#### More Special Designs

1992 Methods Comparison Project

1984 Continuous Monitoring Study

1988-1992 Pooled Senate Study

1998 Super Tuesday Study



#### But There Were Criticisms

- The pre-post design is badly suited for capturing campaign effects.
- Many scholars in political science were alienated.
  - Supposedly a public good, but ...
  - No mechanism for public input
  - Proposals were often ignored.
- Face-to-face interviewing is a waste of money.
  - Telephone would be cheaper and just as effective.
- Limited innovation.



#### Envisioning the Future

- NSF held workshops to discuss big surveys.
  - Lots of support for the studies.
- New enthusiasm for large surveys at NSF.
  - Social science telescopes.
  - But new strategies were needed.
- "Business as usual" is no longer acceptable



#### The 2004 ANES Recompetition

- One award of \$7.6 million for 2006-2009.
  - Includes direct costs, indirect costs, cost sharing, board costs, acquisition, archiving, distribution, & augmentation
- Required components:
  - Continuity: presidential year, face-to-face, "docking station."
  - ANES long-term panel
  - Partnership with a long-term panel study
  - Innovation
    - "New mode/data collection methods"
    - "Incorporating formal modeling and cognitive experiments or a mix of the two"



# Our Plan

Multi-university. Multidisciplinary.

Creating Opportunities.

Promoting Innovation.

#### The ANES 2008 Time-Series Study

- A nationally representative "pre-post" design
  - "Pre" fielding period: labor day to election eve.
  - "Post" fielding period: After election day to mid-December
- Face to face interviews of at least one-hour each.
  - Interviews have a "core" component -- the basis of the time series
  - Interviews also contain questions relevant to current events and new theories



#### Innovation with CAPI

- During face-to-face interviews post-election, "turn the screen around" with headphones
- Did you vote?
- Prejudice
  - Bobo, Kinder, Sniderman, etc.
    - Veiled self reports
  - Social psychologists
    - Response times



# Show Images or Ads







#### The 2006 Pilot Study

- More than 100 new questions.
  - Our pilot studies "field test" new questions
  - A good opportunity for scholars who lack other means for field testing.
  - You can evaluate the effectiveness of these questions by visiting www.electionstudies.org.



#### The 2008-2009 ANES Panel

- Strategy: begin before the primaries, continue after the election.
  - January 2008-June 2009
- Attributes
  - >2000 cases to start.
  - 18 waves, 6 political, 1 DHS related
  - 30 minutes or equivalent per wave
- Analyses -- leverage time, space, and change
  - When do voters decide?
  - How do election year claims affect subsequent governance.



#### NLSY & DHS Partnerships

- A New Partnership with the <u>National Longitudinal Surveys</u>
  - Buy 4 minutes on the next two Young Adult Surveys (06, 08) and one minute on NLS 79.
- NLS provides detailed data on [life, family, generations].

DHS: questions about homeland security and terrorism in the electoral context



#### The Online Commons

- You can post proposals on the Online Commons.
- A comment period follows.
- Over 1100 new questions (each) were proposed for the Pilot and Panel studies.
- The OC is open now the 2008 Time Series Study and the DHS parts of the Time Series and Panel Studies



#### **ANES Online Commons**



New to the Online Commons? Take our new user tour.

Overview ... FAQ ... Code of Conduct ... Privacy Policy ... Register

Search ... Member List ... Change Password/Preferences ... Log in

Submit content proposals for posting to: anes-proposals@isr.umich.edu Questions? Email us at: anes@electionstudies.org



#### orum: 2006 ANES Pilot Study



Forum Index -> Forum: 2006 ANES Pilot Study

	Discussions	Replies	Posted by	Views	Last Post
P	Discussion: Rule of Law	1	ANES	79	Fri Jun 30, 2006 6:16 pm ANES
	Discussion: Policy-related Questions for Surveys Concerning the 2006 or	2	ANES	83	Mon Jun 26, 2006 6:57 pm ANES
	Discussion: Media Exposure and Ideology	0	ANES	52	Fri Jun 23, 2006 4:24 pm ANES
1	Discussion: Political Networks	11	ANES	396	Fri Jun 23, 2006 10:04 am ANES
	Discussion: Candidate Gender and American Political Behavior	6	ANES	277	Fri Jun 23, 2006 9:41 am ANES
	Discussion: Issue Salience and Issue Ownership Questions	6	ANES	370	Thu Jun 22, 2006 9:50 pm belanger
	Discussion: Financial Resources and Security	3	ANES	91	Thu Jun 22, 2006 8:41 pm pm
	Discussion: Testing a New Generation of Media Use Measures for the ANES	2	ANES	121	Thu Jun 22, 2006 1:11 pm Barabas
	Discussion: The Social Construction of Public Opinion Measuring the Impa	1	ANES	96	Thu Jun 22, 2006 12:38 pm Barabas
P	Discussion: Decision-Making Competence	1	ANES	115	Thu Jun 22, 2006 12:00 pm Barabas
	Discussion: Group Emotions 1	2	ANES	178	Wed Jun 21, 2006 11:02 pm

#### OC Advantages

- Leverage a broad range of talent
  - An "open source" strategy for questionnaire development
  - Over 600 scholars have participated

- Legitimacy through transparency
  - Users observe substantive and theoretical rationales for questions.
  - Scholars can document the process by which survey content decisions are made.



# Bonus Minutes and Complementary Cases

#### Bonus Minutes:

Questions must contribute to the core scientific mission of the ANES, be non-partisan, and of potential interest to many scholars.

#### Complementary Cases

- We will also accept proposals to oversample certain populations
- A Latino oversample (with Spanish-language interviewing) is funded.
- Other proposals are in development.



### Methodological Innovations

- Political Knowledge Measures
- Coding of Open-Ended Questions
- Progress through Outreach



#### Outcomes

- INNOVATION IN DESIGN BEST PRACTICES
- VALUE
  - Conceptual and relational clarity for science and society.
- KNOWLEDGE ACCUMULATION
  - From design interactions & debate, and the data.
- EXPAND OWNERSHIP
  - This study belongs to no one person, no small group of persons, no single university, no single point of view.
  - Science and scientists can take greater pride in ownership. In return, can induce them to innovate and create scientific and social value.

