## ANES 2020 ETS Codebook



```
examples: "4/12/2020 14:51"
    "4/14/2020 11:49"
    "4/14/2020 22:42"
    "4/15/2020 22:14"
```

warning: variable has embedded blanks


```
examples: "1366x768"
    "1600x900"
    "360x640"
    "412x823"
```


reg1 Are you registered to vote, or not?

votemailla Do you favor or oppose conducting all elections by mail?

| type: <br> label: | numeric (byte) <br> votemailla |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | [1,88] |  | units: 1 |
| unique values: | 8 |  | missing .: 0/3,080 |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |
|  | 504 |  | 1. Favor a great deal |
|  | 280 | 2 | 2. Favor moderately |
|  | 136 | 3 | 3. Favor a little |
|  | 253 | 4 | 4. Neither favor nor oppose |
|  | 76 | 5 | 5. Oppose a little |
|  | 69 | 6 | 6. Oppose moderately |
|  | 180 | 7 | 7. Oppose a great deal |
|  | 1,582 | 88 | 88. NA: Form 2 |

## votemaillb

Do you favor or oppose conducting all elections by mail, instead of people votin

| type: | numeric (byte) |
| ---: | :--- |
| label: votemaillb |  |
| range: | $[\mathbf{1 , 7 7 ]}$ |$\quad$| units: | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| unique values: | 8 |



## votecount

In the November 2020 general election, how accurately do you think the votes wil

| type: | numeric (byte) <br> label: <br> votecount |
| ---: | :--- |
| range: | $[1,9]$ |

## votemail2

How much do you trust that votes are counted accurately when people mail in thei

| type: label: | numeric (byte) votemail2 |  |  | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | $[1,9]$ |  | units: |  |
| unique values: | 6 |  | missing . | 0/3,080 |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |  |
|  | 662 | 1 | 1. A great deal |  |
|  | 678 | 2 | 2. A lot |  |
|  | 909 | 3 | 3. A moderate amount |  |
|  | 444 | 4 | 4. A little |  |
|  | 382 | 5 | 5. Not at all |  |
|  | 5 | 9 | 9. Missing |  |

## voterid1

In your state, are people usually asked to show an ID when voting, or not asked


## voterid2

Is that required to be a photo ID, or are forms of ID without photos (such as, b

```
    type: numeric (byte)
    label: voterid2
    range: [1,9] units: 1
    unique values: 5
    tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
    1,532 1 1. Must be a photo ID
        177 2 2. Other forms of ID are
        acceptable
        209 3 3. Unsure
        1,161 7 7. Not applicable
        1 9 9. Missing
turnout16a
    In 2016 election, did you definitely vote, definitely not vote, or are you not c
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{```
numeric (byte)
turnout16a
```} & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{units:
missing .} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \\
\hline range: & [1, 8] & & & \\
\hline unique values: & 4 & & & 0/3,080 \\
\hline tabulation: & Freq. & Numeric & Label & \\
\hline & 1,195 & 1 & 1. Definitely voted & \\
\hline & 261 & 2 & 2. Definitely did not & t vote \\
\hline & 42 & 3 & 3. Not completely su & re \\
\hline & 1,582 & 8 & 8. NA: Form 2 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
turnout16a1 Do you think you probably voted or probably did not vote?
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{numeric (byte) turnout16a1} & & \\
\hline range: & \([1,8]\) & & units: & 1 \\
\hline unique values: & 4 & & missing . & 0/3,080 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{tabulation:} & Freq. & Numeric & Label & \\
\hline & 13 & 1 & 1. Probably voted & \\
\hline & 29 & 2 & 2. Probably did not & vote \\
\hline & 1,456 & 6 & 6. Not applicable & \\
\hline & 1,582 & 8 & 8. NA: Form 2 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

```
turnout16b
```

turnout16b
If we check the official government voter records, will they show that you voted
If we check the official government voter records, will they show that you voted
type: numeric (byte)
type: numeric (byte)
label: turnout16b
label: turnout16b
range: [1,7] units: 1
range: [1,7] units: 1
unique values: 3
unique values: 3
tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
1,216 1 1. Voted
1,216 1 1. Voted
366 2 2. Did not vote
366 2 2. Did not vote
1,498 7 7. NA: Form 1
1,498 7 7. NA: Form 1
vote16
In the 2016 presidential election, who did you vote for? Donald Trump, Hillary C
type: numeric (byte)

```




\begin{tabular}{lrrl} 
tabulation: & Freq. & Numeric & Label \\
& \(\mathbf{2 , 2 6 5}\) & 0 & 0 . Not marked \\
& 815 & 1 & 1. Marked
\end{tabular}

talk1 How many days in the past week did you talk about politics with family or friend


\section*{talk2 Over the past four years, has it become easier or harder for you to talk about p}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
numeric \\
talk2
\end{tabular} & (byte) & \\
\hline range: & \([1,7]\) & & units: 1 \\
\hline unique values: & 7 & & missing . : 0/3,080 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{8}{*}{tabulation:} & Freq. & Numeric & Label \\
\hline & 443 & 1 & 1. A great deal easier \\
\hline & 365 & 2 & 2. Somewhat easier \\
\hline & 262 & 3 & 3. Slightly easier \\
\hline & 1,357 & 4 & 4. Neither easier nor harder \\
\hline & 246 & 5 & 5. Slightly harder \\
\hline & 186 & 6 & 6. Somewhat harder \\
\hline & 221 & 7 & 7. A great deal harder \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
talk3 How about your friends? Over the past four years, has it become easier or harde
\begin{tabular}{rll} 
type: & numeric (byte) \\
label: talk3 & \\
range: & {\([\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{9}]\)} & units: 1 \\
unique values: & \(\mathbf{8}\) & missing \(: \mathbf{0 / 3 , 0 8 0}\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrrl} 
tabulation: & Freq. & Numeric & Label \\
& 356 & 1 & 1 . A great deal easier \\
& 363 & 2 & 2 . Somewhat easier \\
& 327 & 3 & 3 . Slightly easier \\
& 312 & 4 & 4 . Neither easier nor harder \\
& 323 & 5 & 5 . Slightly harder \\
& 203 & 6 & 6 . Somewhat harder \\
& 194 & 7 & 7 . A great deal harder \\
& 2 & 9 & 9. Missing
\end{tabular}

\section*{fttrump1}

How would you rate Donald Trump?

ftobama1
How would you rate Barack Obama?
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
type: & numeric (int) \\
label: & thermometer, but 71 nonmissing values are not labeled \\
range: & {\([0,999]\)} \\
unique values: & \(\mathbf{8 1}\) \\
examples: & 10 \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
&
\end{tabular}
ftbiden1 How would you rate Joe Biden?

ftwarren1
How would you rate Elizabeth Warren?
type: numeric (int)
label: thermometer, but 76 nonmissing values are not labeled
range: [0,999] units: 1
unique values: 86 missing : 0/3,080
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { examples: } & 0 & 0 \text { Very cold or unfavorable feeling } \\
& 30 & 30 \text { Fairly cold or unfavorable feeling } \\
& \mathbf{5 0} & 50 \text { No feeling at all } \\
\mathbf{7 5} &
\end{array}
\]

```

examples: 0 0 Very cold or unfavorable feeling
26
50
85

```
```

50 No feeling at all

```
50 No feeling at all
    85 Quite warm or favorable feeling
```

    85 Quite warm or favorable feeling
    ```

\section*{ftyang1}

How would you rate Andrew Yang?

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline ftpelosi1 & How would you rate Nancy \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & ```
numeric (int)
thermometer, but 81 nonmissing values are not labeled
``` \\
\hline range: & [0,999] units: 1 \\
\hline unique values: & 91 missing .: 0/3,080 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{examples:} & \(0 \quad 0 \quad\) Very cold or unfavorable feeling \\
\hline & 1515 Quite cold or unfavorable feeling \\
\hline & 5050 No feeling at all \\
\hline & 79 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\section*{ftocasioc1}

fthaley1 How would you rate Nikki Haley?

\footnotetext{
type: numeric (int)
label: thermometer, but 75 nonmissing values are not labeled
}



\section*{ftfaucil}

\section*{How would you rate Dr. Anthony Fauci?}
type: numeric (int)
label: thermometer, but 73 nonmissing values are not labeled
range: \([0,999]\)
units: 1
unique values: 83
missing .: 0/3,080
examples: \(30 \quad 30\) Fairly cold or unfavorable feeling
55
80
95
ftblack How would you rate blacks?

ftwhite
How would you rate whites?

type: numeric (int)
label: thermometer, but 69 nonmissing values are not labeled
range: [0,999]
units: 1
unique values: 79
missing .: 0/3,080
examples: \(50 \quad 50\) No feeling at all 6060 A bit warm or favorable feeling 80 99


\section*{ftillegal}

ftfeminists
How would you rate feminists?

ftmetoo How would you rate the \#MeToo movement?



type: numeric (int)
label: thermometer, but 79 nonmissing values are not labeled
unique values: 89
missing .: 0/3,080
examples:
20
\(50 \quad 50\) No feeling at all
6060 A bit warm or favorable feeling
ftbigbusiness How would you rate big business?

```

5 0 ~ N o ~ f e e l i n g ~ a t ~ a l l ~
60 A bit warm or favorable feeling

```

\section*{ftrepublicanparty}

How would you rate the Republican Party?
```

    type: numeric (int)
    label: thermometer, but 73 nonmissing values are not labeled
    range: [0,999] units: 1
unique values: 83
missing .: 0/3,080
examples: 2
35
50 50 No feeling at all
80

```
ftdemocraticparty How would you rate the Democratic Party?

primaryvote Did you vote or plan to vote in the primary/caucus this year?
    type: numeric (byte)
    label: primaryvote

        tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
            1,263 1 1. Already voted in the
                primary/caucus
            1,045 2 2. Plan to vote in the
                primary/caucus
            7713 3. Not voting in the
                                    primary/caucus this year
                            19 9. Missing
vote20jb
    If the 2020 presidential election were between Donald Trump for the Republicans
\begin{tabular}{rlll} 
type: & numeric (byte) \\
label: & \\
vote20jb
\end{tabular}
```

vote20bs
If the 2020 presidential election were between Donald Trump for the Republicans

| type: | numeric (byte) |
| ---: | :--- |
| label: | vote20bs |
| range: | $[\mathbf{1 , 9 ]}$ |

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline tabulation: & Freq. & Numeric & Label \\
\hline & 636 & 1 & 1. Approve extremely strongly \\
\hline & 456 & 2 & 2. Approve moderately strongly \\
\hline & 310 & 3 & 3. Approve slightly \\
\hline & 318 & 4 & 4. Neither approve nor disapprove \\
\hline & 189 & 5 & 5. Disapprove slightly \\
\hline & 304 & 6 & 6. Disapprove moderately \\
\hline & & & strongly \\
\hline & 863 & 7 & 7. Disapprove extremely strongly \\
\hline & 4 & 99 & 99. Missing \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{immpres7} Do you approve, disapprove, or neither approve nor disapprove of the way Donald
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{numeric (byte) immpres7} & \\
\hline range: & [1,99] & & units: 1 \\
\hline unique values: & 8 & & missing . : 0/3,080 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{11}{*}{tabulation:} & Freq. & Numeric & Label \\
\hline & 727 & 1 & 1. Approve extremely strongly \\
\hline & 417 & 2 & 2. Approve moderately strongly \\
\hline & 314 & 3 & 3. Approve slightly \\
\hline & 294 & 4 & 4. Neither approve nor \\
\hline & & & disapprove \\
\hline & 190 & 5 & 5. Disapprove slightly \\
\hline & 246 & 6 & 6. Disapprove moderately \\
\hline & & & strongly \\
\hline & 891 & 7 & 7. Disapprove extremely strongly \\
\hline & 1 & 99 & 99. Missing \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{econpres 7}

Do you approve, disapprove, or neither approve nor disapprove of the way Donald
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
type: \\
label: & \begin{tabular}{l} 
numeric (byte) \\
econpres7
\end{tabular} \\
range: & [1,99]
\end{tabular}
covidpres7
Do you approve, disapprove, or neither approve nor disapprove of the way Donald
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
type: & numeric (byte) \\
label: & covidpres 7 \\
range: & {\([\mathbf{1 , 8 8 ]}\)}
\end{tabular}



```

| range: | [1,5] |  | units: | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| unique values: | 5 |  | missing . | 0/3,080 |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |  |
|  | 497 | 1 | 1. Extremely well |  |
|  | 463 | 2 | 2. Very well |  |
|  | 402 | 3 | 3. Moderately well |  |
|  | 339 | 4 | 4. Slightly well |  |
|  | 1,379 | 5 | 5. Not well at all |  |


| dtauth | Authentic. - How well do each of the following traits descri |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | type: <br> label: | numer <br> dtauth | (byte) |  |  |
|  | range: | [1,99] |  | units: | 1 |
|  | unique values: | 6 |  | missing . | 0/3,080 |
|  | tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |  |
|  |  | 689 |  | 1. Extremely well |  |
|  |  | 454 | 2 | 2. Very well |  |
|  |  | 387 | 3 | 3. Moderately well |  |
|  |  | 346 | 4 | 4. Slightly well |  |
|  |  | 1,200 | 5 | 5. Not well at all |  |
|  |  | 4 | 99 | 99. Missing |  |

```
dtdiv Divisive. - How well do each of the following traits describe Donald Trump?
```

| type: <br> label: | numeric <br> dtdiv | (byte) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | [1,99] |  | units: | $1$ |
| unique values: | 6 |  | missing . |  |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |  |
|  | 510 | 2 | 2. Very well ${ }^{\text {2. }}$ ( |  |
|  | 504 | 3 | 3. Moderately well |  |
|  | 403 | 4 | 4. Slightly well |  |
|  | 756 | 5 | 5. Not well at all |  |
|  | 2 | 99 | 99. Missing |  |

```

\section*{dtknow}
```

Knowledgeable. - How well do each of the following traits describe Donald Trump?

| type: <br> label: | numeric <br> dtknow | (byte) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | [1,99] |  | units: | 1 |
| unique values: | 6 |  | missing .: | 0/3,080 |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |  |
|  | 568 | 1 | 1. Extremely well |  |
|  | 492 | 2 | 2. Very well |  |
|  | 448 | 3 | 3. Moderately well |  |
|  | 411 | 4 | 4. Slightly well |  |
|  | 1,158 | 5 | 5. Not well at all |  |
|  | 3 | 99 | 99. Missing |  |



jbauth Authentic. - How well do each of the following traits describe Joe Biden?

| type: <br> label: | numeric <br> jbauth | (byte) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | [1,99] |  | units: |  |
| unique values: | 6 |  | missing . | 0/3,080 |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |  |
|  | 550 | 1 | 1. Extremely well |  |
|  | 608 | 2 | 2. Very well |  |
|  | 637 | 3 | 3. Moderately well |  |
|  | 515 | 4 | 4. Slightly well |  |
|  | 763 | 5 | 5. Not well at all |  |
|  | 7 | 99 | 99. Missing |  |

jbdiv Divisive. - How well do each of the following traits describe Joe Biden?

| type: <br> label: | numeric <br> jbdiv | (byte) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | [1,99] |  | units: | 1 |
| unique values: | 6 |  | missing .: | 0/3,080 |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |  |
|  | 407 | 1 | 1. Extremely well |  |
|  | 508 | 2 | 2. Very well |  |
|  | 645 | 3 | 3. Moderately well |  |
|  | 593 | 4 | 4. Slightly well |  |
|  | 918 | 5 | 5. Not well at all |  |
|  | 9 | 99 | 99. Missing |  |

jbknow Knowledgeable. - How well do each of the following traits describe Joe Biden?

| type: <br> label: | numeric <br> jbknow | (byte) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | [1,99] |  | units: |  |
| unique values: | 6 |  | missing .: | 0/3,080 |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |  |
|  | 586 | 1 | 1. Extremely well |  |
|  | 634 | 2 | 2. Very well |  |
|  | 684 | 3 | 3. Moderately well |  |
|  | 470 | 4 | 4. Slightly well |  |
|  | 699 | 5 | 5. Not well at all |  |
|  | 7 | 99 | 99. Missing |  |

```
bsleader1
    Strong leader. - How well do each of the following traits describe Bernie Sander
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
numeric (byte) \\
bsleader1
\end{tabular}} & & \\
\hline range: & [1,99] & & units: & \\
\hline unique values: & 7 & & missing . & 0/3,080 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{8}{*}{tabulation:} & Freq. & Numeric & Label & \\
\hline & 272 & 1 & 1. Extremely well & \\
\hline & 291 & 2 & 2. Very well & \\
\hline & 338 & 3 & 3. Moderately well & \\
\hline & 225 & 4 & 4. Slightly well & \\
\hline & 368 & 5 & 5. Not well at all & \\
\hline & 1,582 & 88 & 88. NA: Form 2 & \\
\hline & 4 & 99 & 99. Missing & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

```
bsleader2
```

bsleader2
Effective leader. - How well do each of the following traits describe Bernie San
Effective leader. - How well do each of the following traits describe Bernie San
type: numeric (byte)
type: numeric (byte)
label: bsleader2
label: bsleader2
range: [1,99] units: 1
range: [1,99] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 0/3,080
unique values: 7 missing .: 0/3,080
tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
250 1 1. Extremely well
250 1 1. Extremely well
296 2 2. Very well
296 2 2. Very well
358 3 3. Moderately well
358 3 3. Moderately well
241 4 4. Slightly well
241 4 4. Slightly well
436 5 5. Not well at all
436 5 5. Not well at all
1,498 77 77. NA: Form 1
1,498 77 77. NA: Form 1
1 99 99. Missing
1 99 99. Missing
bscares
Really cares about people like you. - How well do each of the following traits d

| type: <br> label: | numeric <br> bscares | (byte) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | [1, 99] |  | units: | 1 |
| unique values: | 6 |  | missing . | 0/3,080 |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |  |
|  | 674 | 1 | 1. Extremely well |  |
|  | 657 | 2 | 2. Very well |  |
|  | 588 | 3 | 3. Moderately well |  |
|  | 380 | 4 | 4. Slightly well |  |
|  | 774 | 5 | 5. Not well at all |  |
|  | 7 | 99 | 99. Missing |  |

bsdignif
Dignified. - How well do each of the following traits describe Bernie Sanders?

| type: | numeric (byte) |
| ---: | :--- |
| label: | bsdignif |
| range: | $[\mathbf{1}, 99]$ |$\quad$ units: $\quad 1$| 1 |
| :--- |
| unique values: |
| 6 |

```


```

                            type: numeric (byte)
    label: taxecon
    range: [1,9]
    unique values: 8
    tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
493 1 1. Helped a great deal
4 1 5 ~ 2 ~ 2 . ~ H e l p e d ~ a ~ m o d e r a t e ~ a m o u n t ~
563 3 3. Helped a little
839 4 4. Neither helped nor hurt
245 5 5. Hurt a little
240 6 6. Hurt a moderate amount
283 7 7. Hurt a great deal
2 9 9. Missing

```

\section*{billtax1}
```

Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose taxing those making $\$ 10$ millio

```


\section*{billtax2}
```

    Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose nearly doubling the tax rate f
    ```


\section*{richpoor2}
```

How important is it that our elected officials pass laws and regulations that re

```
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
range: & {\([\mathbf{1}, 9]\)} \\
unique values: & 6
\end{tabular}
units: 1
missing .: 0/3,080
```

```
                    type: numeric (byte)
```

                    type: numeric (byte)
                    label: richpoor2
    ```
                    label: richpoor2
```


lcself
Liberal - conservative: You

| type: <br> label: | numeric lcself | (byte) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | [1, 7] |  | units: 1 |
| unique values: | 7 |  | missing . : 0/3,080 |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |
|  | 456 | 1 | 1. Very liberal |
|  | 429 | 2 | 2. Somewhat liberal |
|  | 303 | 3 | 3. Closer to liberals |
|  | 795 | 4 | 4. Neither liberal nor |
|  |  |  | conservative |
|  | 331 | 5 | 5. Closer to conservatives |
|  | 345 | 6 | 6. Somewhat conservative |
|  | 421 | 7 | 7. Very conservative |





| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | 729 | 1 | 1 . Very strongly |
|  | 685 | 2 | 2. Somewhat strongly |
|  | 82 | 3 | 3. Not strongly |
|  | 1,582 | 8 | 8. NA: Form 2 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 9 | 9. Missing |

```
govsize2
    Thinking about the size of government in general, should the government be doing
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{numeric (byte) govsize2} & & \\
\hline range: & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{[1,99]} & units: & 1 \\
\hline unique values: & 10 & & & missing . & 0/3,080 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{examples:} & 6 & 6. & Somewhat more & & \\
\hline & 66 & & . NA: XGOVT \(=2\) & & \\
\hline & 77 & & . NA: Form 1 & & \\
\hline & 77 & 77. & . NA: Form 1 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```


## govsize3

```
Generally speaking, would Americans be better off with a smaller government, lar
```



```
regulate1 How much government regulation of business is good for society?
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{numeric (byte) regulate1} & & \\
\hline range: & [1, 88 ] & & units: & 1 \\
\hline unique values: & 6 & & missing . & 0/3,080 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{7}{*}{tabulation:} & Freq. & Numeric & Label & \\
\hline & 230 & 1 & 1. A great deal & \\
\hline & 286 & 2 & 2. A lot & \\
\hline & 682 & 3 & 3. A moderate amount & \\
\hline & 257 & 4 & 4. A little & \\
\hline & 43 & 5 & 5. None at all & \\
\hline & 1,582 & 88 & 88. NA: Form 2 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```


## regulate2

```
Would it be good for society to have more government regulation, less government
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
type: & numeric (byte) \\
label: & regulate2 \\
& \\
range: & {\([\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{9 9}]\)} \\
unique values: & 9
\end{tabular}
```

units: 1
missing .: 0/3,080



```
                            type: numeric (byte)
    label: tol_pres
```

range: [1,99]
unique values: 9
tabulation:
Freq

1. Favor a great deal

1102 2. Favor moderately
733 3. Favor a little
2384 4. Neither favor nor oppose
555 5. Oppose a little
766 6. Oppose moderately
$413 \quad 7$ 7. Oppose a great deal
1,582 88 88. NA: Form 2
99 99. Missing

## tol_teach

```
Do you favor or oppose allowing [INSERT LEAST-LIKED GROUP NAME] to teach in publ
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{numeric (byte)
tol teach} & \\
\hline range: & [1, 99] & & units: 1 \\
\hline unique values: & 9 & & missing . 0 (3,080 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{10}{*}{tabulation:} & Freq. & Numeric & Label \\
\hline & 178 & 1 & 1. Favor a great deal \\
\hline & 70 & 2 & 2. Favor moderately \\
\hline & 60 & 3 & 3. Favor a little \\
\hline & 244 & 4 & 4. Neither favor nor oppose \\
\hline & 91 & 5 & 5. Oppose a little \\
\hline & 125 & 6 & 6. Oppose moderately \\
\hline & 725 & 7 & 7. Oppose a great deal \\
\hline & 1,582 & 88 & 88. NA: Form 2 \\
\hline & 5 & 99 & 99. Missing \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

```
antirelig1
```

antirelig1
If a person wanted to make a speech in your community against churches and relig
If a person wanted to make a speech in your community against churches and relig
type: numeric (byte)
type: numeric (byte)
label: antirelig1
label: antirelig1
range: [1,9] units: 1
range: [1,9] units: 1
unique values: 4 missing .: 0/3,080
unique values: 4 missing .: 0/3,080
tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
1,126 1 1. Allowed to speak
1,126 1 1. Allowed to speak
371 2 2. Not allowed to speak
371 2 2. Not allowed to speak
1,582 8 8. NA: Form 2
1,582 8 8. NA: Form 2
1 9 9. Missing
1 9 9. Missing
antirelig2
Should such a person be allowed to teach at a college or university, or not?
type: numeric (byte)

label: antirelig2 $\quad$| range: | $[1,9]$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| unique values: | 4 |

```

\begin{tabular}{lrrl} 
tabulation: & Freq. & Numeric & Label \\
& 866 & 1 & 1. Favor a great deal \\
& 298 & \(\mathbf{2}\) & 2 . Favor moderately \\
& 239 & 3 & 3 . Favor a little \\
& 434 & 4 & 4. Neither favor nor oppose \\
& 142 & 5 & 5. Oppose a little \\
& 205 & 6 & 6. Oppose moderately \\
& \(\mathbf{8 9 4}\) & \(\mathbf{7}\) & 7. Oppose a great deal \\
& \(\mathbf{2}\) & \(\mathbf{9}\) & 9. Missing
\end{tabular}

return Returning all unauthorized immigrants to their native countries.

open Ending criminal penalties for people crossing the border illegally.


\section*{affact}

Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose allowing universities to incre
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
numeric \\
affact
\end{tabular} & (byte) & \\
\hline range: & [1,9] & & units: 1 \\
\hline unique values: & 8 & & missing .: 0/3,080 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{9}{*}{tabulation:} & Freq. & Numeric & Label \\
\hline & 445 & 1 & 1. Favor a great deal \\
\hline & 374 & 2 & 2. Favor moderately \\
\hline & 348 & 3 & 3. Favor a little \\
\hline & 918 & 4 & 4. Neither favor nor oppose \\
\hline & 234 & 5 & 5. Oppose a little \\
\hline & 209 & 6 & 6. Oppose moderately \\
\hline & 549 & 7 & 7. Oppose a great deal \\
\hline & 3 & 9 & 9. Missing \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

hlthcare1
Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose a health care system in which

```


\section*{hlthcare2}

How concerned are you about being able to pay health care expenses for you and \(y\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline type: label: & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{numeric (byte) hlthcare2} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[b]{3}{*}{units:
missing}} & \\
\hline range: & \([1,9]\) & & & & 1 \\
\hline unique values: & 6 & & & & 0/3,080 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{7}{*}{tabulation:} & Freq. & Numeric & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Label} \\
\hline & 689 & 1 & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{1. Not at all concerned} \\
\hline & 870 & 2 & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{2. A little concerned} \\
\hline & 639 & 3 & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{3. Moderately concerned} \\
\hline & 377 & 4 & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{4. Very concerned} \\
\hline & 502 & 5 & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{5. Extremely concerned} \\
\hline & 3 & 9 & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{9. Missing} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
covid1 How worried are you personally about getting the coronavirus (COVID-19)?
\begin{tabular}{rll} 
type: & numeric (byte) \\
label: covid1 & \\
range: & {\([\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{9}]\)} & units: 1 \\
unique values: & 6 & missing \(: 0 / 3,080\)
\end{tabular}

abortl Which of the following opinions on abortion best agrees with your view?

```

a matter of personal choice.

```

9 9. Missing

abort2 How pleased or upset would you be if the Supreme Court reduced abortion rights?
```

                            type: numeric (byte)
    label: abort2
range: [1,9]
unique values: 8
tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
4 9 2 ~ 1 ~ 1 . ~ E x t r e m e l y ~ p l e a s e d ~
312 2 2. Moderately pleased
255 3 3. Slightly pleased
707 4 4. Neither pleased nor upset
252 5 5. Slightly upset
320 6 6. Moderately upset
739 7 7. Extremely upset
3 9 9. Missing

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{numeric (byte) gayadopt} & \\
\hline range: & \([1,9]\) & & units: 1 \\
\hline unique values: & 8 & & missing . : 0/3,080 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{9}{*}{tabulation:} & Freq. & Numeric & Label \\
\hline & 1,074 & 1 & 1. Favor a great deal \\
\hline & 401 & 2 & 2. Favor moderately \\
\hline & 248 & 3 & 3. Favor a little \\
\hline & 692 & 4 & 4. Neither favor nor oppose \\
\hline & 156 & 5 & 5. Oppose a little \\
\hline & 120 & 6 & 6. Oppose moderately \\
\hline & 384 & 7 & 7. Oppose a great deal \\
\hline & 5 & 9 & 9. Missing \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

```
gayadopt
```

gayadopt
Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose permitting gay or lesbian coup

```
    Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose permitting gay or lesbian coup
```

```
transmilitla
```

transmilitla
Do you think transgender people should be allowed to serve in the United States

```
    Do you think transgender people should be allowed to serve in the United States
```

type: $\quad$ numeric (byte)
label: transmilit1a


| type: | numeric (byte) |
| ---: | :--- |
| label: freecol1 |  |
| range: | $[\mathbf{1 , 8 8 ]}$ |



| type: | numeric (byte) |
| ---: | :--- |
| label: loans2 |  |
| range: | $[1,77]$ |$\quad$| units: |
| :--- |
| unique values: |
| $\mathbf{8}$ |


science In general, how important should science be for making government decisions?

| type: <br> label: | numeric science | (byte) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | $[1,9]$ |  | units: 1 |
| unique values: | 6 |  | missing .: 0/3,080 |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |
|  | 146 | 1 | 1. Not at all important |
|  | 611 | 2 | 2. A little important |
|  | 956 | 3 | 3. Moderately important |
|  | 691 | 4 | 4. Very important |
|  | 670 | 5 | 5. Extremely important |
|  | 6 | 9 | 9. Missing |

```
exphelp
    How much do ordinary people need the help of experts to understand complicated t
```



```
excessive How often do you think police officers use more force than is necessary?
```



```
compro1
    Would you prefer a government official who compromises to get things done, or wh
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & numeric compro1 & (byte) & \\
\hline range: & [1, 9] & & units: 1 \\
\hline unique values: & 3 & & missing . : 0/3,080 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{tabulation:} & Freq.
\[
2,136
\] & Numeric & \begin{tabular}{l}
Label \\
1. Compromises to get things
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & & & done \\
\hline & 940 & 2 & 2. Sticks to his or her \\
\hline & & & principles no matter what \\
\hline & 4 & 9 & 9. Missing \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
compro2
    In politics, how often does compromising with the opposition really mean selling
\begin{tabular}{rll} 
type: & numeric (byte) & \\
label: compro2 & \\
range: & {\([\mathbf{1 , 9 ]}\)} & units: 1 \\
unique values: & 6 & missing.: \(0 / 3,080\)
\end{tabular}
```


type: numeric (byte)
label: childrear2
range: $[\mathbf{1}, 9] \quad$ units: 1
unique values: 3 missing .: 0/3,080

| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | 1,254 | 1 | 1 . Curiosity |
|  | 1,820 | 2 | 2 . Goodmanners |
|  | 6 | 9 | 9. Missing |


rural3 Compared to people living in cities, do people living in small towns and rural a

| type: | numeric (byte) |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| label: rural3 |  |  |
| range: | $[\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{9}]$ | units: 1 |
| unique values: | $\mathbf{8}$ | missing $: \mathbf{0 / 3 , 0 8 0}$ |


| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | 226 | 1 | 1. Much too much |
|  | 206 | 2 | 2 . Somewhat too much |
|  | 264 | 3 | 3 . A bit too much |
|  | 1,155 | 4 | 4. About the right amount |
|  | 517 | 5 | 5. A bit too little |
|  | 398 | 6 | 6 . Somewhat too little |
|  | 311 | 7 | 7 . Much too little |
|  | 3 | 9 | 9. Missing |

rural4
Do people living in small towns and rural areas get too much respect, too little

| type: <br> label: | numeric rural4 | (byte) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | $[1,9]$ |  | units: 1 |
| unique values: | 8 |  | missing . : 0/3,080 |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |
|  | 170 | 1 | 1. Much too much |
|  | 147 | 2 | 2. Somewhat too much |
|  | 185 | 3 | 3. A bit too much |
|  | 1,227 | 4 | 4. About the right amount |
|  | 644 | 5 | 5. A bit too little |
|  | 402 | 6 | 6. Somewhat too little |
|  | 304 | 7 | 7. Much too little |
|  | 1 | 9 | 9. Missing |

mis_covid1 Which of these two statements do you think is most likely to be true?

conf_covid1
How confident are you about that?

| type: <br> label: | numeric (byte) conf_covid1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | [1, 9] |  | units: | 1 |
| unique values: | 6 |  | missing . : | 0/3,080 |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |  |
|  | 187 | 1 | 1. Not at all |  |
|  | 471 | 2 | 2. A little |  |
|  | 997 | 3 | 3. Moderately |  |
|  | 738 | 4 | 4. Very |  |
|  | 682 | 5 | 5. Extremely |  |
|  | 5 | 9 | 9. Missing |  |

type: numeric (byte)
label: mis_covid2
range: $[1,9]$
unique values: 3
tabulation:
Freq.
6301 1. Currently, there is a vaccine
2,446 2 2. Currently, there is not a vaccine for the coronavirus (COVID-19).
9 9. Missing
conf_covid2


## impeach1

Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose the U.S. House of Representati

| type: <br> label: | numeric (byte) impeach1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | [1, 9] |  | units: 1 |
| unique values: | 8 |  | missing . : 0/3,080 |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |
|  | 1,028 | 1 | 1. Favor a great deal |
|  | 265 | 2 | 2. Favor moderately |
|  | 223 | 3 | 3. Favor a little |
|  | 376 | 4 | 4. Neither favor nor oppose |
|  | 145 | 5 | 5. Oppose a little |
|  | 150 | 6 | 6. Oppose moderately |
|  | 892 | 7 | 7. Oppose a great deal |
|  | 1 | 9 | 9. Missing |

impeach2
Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose the U.S. Senate's decision in




rr2 Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it d

rr3 Over the past few years, blacks have gotten less than they deserve

| type: <br> label: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { numeri } \\ & \text { rr3 } \end{aligned}$ | (byte) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | $[1,9]$ |  | units: | 1 |
| unique values: | 6 |  | missing . | 0/3,080 |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |  |
|  | 527 | 1 | 1. Agree strongly |  |
|  | 640 | 2 | 2. Agree somewhat |  |
|  | 859 | 3 | 3. Neither agree nor | disagree |
|  | 501 | 4 | 4. Disagree somewhat |  |
|  | 551 | 5 | 5. Disagree strongly |  |
|  | 2 | 9 | 9. Missing |  |

rr4 It's really a matter of some people not trying hard enough; if blacks would only


## health1



| range: | $[1,9]$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| unique values: | 7 |
| tabulation: | Freq. |
|  | 10 |
|  | 20 |
|  | 63 |
|  | 193 |
|  | 1,294 |
|  | 1,498 |
|  | 2 |

units: 1
missing .: 0/3,080
Numeric Label
1 1. Atheist
2 2. Agnostic
3 3. Christian
4 4. Nothing in particular
6 6. Not applicable
7 7. NA: Form 1
9. Missing
att1 Thinking about your life these days, do you ever attend religious services, apar
type: numeric (byte)
label: att1
$\begin{aligned} \text { range: }[\mathbf{1}, 9] \quad \text { units: } & \mathbf{1} \\ \text { values: } & 3\end{aligned}$
tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
1,4301 1. Yes
$1,649 \quad 2$ 2. No
19 9. Missing
att2 Do you go to religious services every week, almost every week, once or twice a m

| type: <br> label: | numeric <br> att2 | (byte) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | $[1,9]$ |  | units: 1 |
| unique values: | 7 |  | missing . : 0/3,080 |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |
|  | 592 | 1 | 1. Every week |
|  | 266 | 2 | 2. Almost every week |
|  | 249 | 3 | 3. Once or twice a month |
|  | 294 | 4 | 4. A few times a year |
|  | 28 | 5 | 5. Never |
|  | 1,649 | 6 | 6. Not applicable |
|  | 2 | 9 | 9. Missing |

att3 Would you say you go to religious services once a week or more often than once a
type: numeric (byte)
label: att3
range: $[1,9]$
unique values: 4
units: 1
位
missing .: 0/3,080
tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
443 1 1. Once a week
1482 2. More often than once a week
2,4866 6. Not applicable
39 9. Missing
fundmt1
Do you consider yourself a fundamentalist or not a fundamentalist?
type: numeric (byte)
label: fundmt1
range: $[1,9]$
unique values: 4

```
units: 1
missing .: 0/3,080
```



```
                            type: numeric (byte)
    label: socmed_4
    range: [0,1] units: 1
unique values: 2 missing .: 0/3,080
tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
    2,533 0 0. Not marked
    547 1 1. Marked
socmed_5 YouTube. Which social media platforms have you visited in the past year?
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & numer socme & (byte) & & & \\
\hline range: & [0, 1] & & & units: & 1 \\
\hline unique values: & 2 & & & missing . : & 0/3,080 \\
\hline tabulation: & Freq. & Numeric & Label & & \\
\hline & 996 & 0 & 0 . Not & marked & \\
\hline & 2,084 & 1 & 1. Mar & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
socmed_6 SnapChat. Which social media platforms have you visited in the past year?
```



```
socmed_7 TikTok. Which social media platforms have you visited in the past year?
```



```
socmed_8 Other. Which social media platforms have you visited in the past year?
```



```
socmed_9
```

socmed_9
None of these. Which social media platforms have you visited in the past year?

```
    None of these. Which social media platforms have you visited in the past year?
```

type: numeric (byte)
label: socmed_9
range: $[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}]$
unique values: 2
tabulation:
Freq.
2,820
260
units: 1
missing .: 0/3,080

Numeric Label
0 0. Not marked

1. Marked

| type: <br> label: | numeric (byte) facebook1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | [1, 66] |  | units: 1 |
| unique values: | 8 |  | missing . $0 / 3,080$ |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |
|  | 1,005 | 1 | 1. Many times every day |
|  | 552 | 2 | 2. A few times every day |
|  | 401 | 3 | 3. About once a day |
|  | 229 | 4 | 4. A few times each week |
|  | 107 | 5 | 5. About once a week |
|  | 77 | 6 | 6. Once or twice a month |
|  | 85 | 7 | 7. Less than once a month |
|  | 624 | 66 | 66. Not applicable |



## impact1

Do you feel your life would be better or worse if you spent more time thinking a

| type: <br> label: | numeric impact1 | (byte) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | $[1,9]$ |  | units: 1 |
| unique values: | 8 |  | missing . : 0/3,080 |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |
|  | 257 | 1 | 1. A great deal better |
|  | 199 | 2 | 2. Moderately better |
|  | 237 | 3 | 3. A little better |
|  | 1,475 | 4 | 4. Neither better nor worse |
|  | 370 | 5 | 5. A little worse |
|  | 292 | 6 | 6. Moderately worse |
|  | 249 | 7 | 7. A great deal worse |
|  | 1 | 9 | 9. Missing |



## impact3

How often do you find it helpful to hear the political views of friends who disa

| type: <br> label: | numeric <br> impact3 | (byte) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | $[1,9]$ |  | units: |  |
| unique values: | 6 |  | missing . | 0/3,080 |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |  |
|  | 278 | 1 | 1. All the time |  |
|  | 506 | 2 | 2. Often |  |
|  | 1,319 | 3 | 3. Sometimes |  |
|  | 632 | 4 | 4. Rarely |  |
|  | 343 | 5 | 5. Never |  |
|  | 2 | 9 | 9. Missing |  |

impact4 How often do you regret comments that you make when talking about politics?

(byte)label: impact4

19 9. Missing
range: $\quad[1,9]$
unique values: 6
tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
1991 1. All the time

```
units: 1
missing .: 0/3,080
missing .: 0/3,080
```

211 2 2. Often
658 3 3. Sometimes
$1,143 \quad 4 \quad 4$. Rarely
86855 5. Never

ime

 -
$\square$

| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{2 9 7}$ | 1 | 1. A great deal |
|  | 359 | $\mathbf{2}$ | 2 . A lot |
|  | 838 | 3 | 3. A moderate amount |
|  | 841 | $\mathbf{4}$ | 4. A little |
|  | $\mathbf{7 4 3}$ | 5 | 5. Not at all |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 9 | 9. Missing |

## impact6

How often do you secretly wish for bad things to happen to those who disagree wi

| type: <br> label: | numeric <br> impact6 | (byte) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | $[1,5]$ |  | units: | 1 |
| unique values: | 5 |  | missing . | 0/3,080 |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |  |
|  | 199 | 1 | 1. All the time |  |
|  | 212 | 2 | 2. Often |  |
|  | 434 | 3 | 3. Sometimes |  |
|  | 394 | 4 | 4. Rarely |  |
|  | 1,841 | 5 | 5. Never |  |

impact7 Lately, how upset do you get from reading, watching, or listening to the news?


## impact8

In the past four years, how much have political differences hurt your relationsh

| type: <br> label: | numeric <br> impact8 | (byte) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | $[1,9]$ |  | units: | 1 |
| unique values: | 6 |  | missing . | 0/3,080 |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |  |
|  | 206 | 1 | 1. A great deal |  |
|  | 200 | 2 | 2. A lot |  |
|  | 383 | 3 | 3. A moderate amount |  |
|  | 407 | 4 | 4. A little |  |
|  | 1,879 | 5 | 5. Not at all |  |
|  | 5 | 9 | 9. Missing |  |

impact9
In the past four years, how much have political differences hurt your relationsh

| type: | numeric (byte) |
| ---: | :--- |
| label: | impact9 |


racela_1 White. Please choose one or more races that you consider yourself to be



```
race1b 5
    Native Hawaiian, or other Pacific islander. Please choose one or more races that
    type: numeric (byte)
    label: race1b
    range: [0,7]
        unique values: 3
        tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
        1,574 0 0. Not marked
        8 1 1. Marked
        1,498 7 7.NA: Form 
```



groupid3a_lat How big a part does being [Hispanic] play in how you see yourself?

| type: | numeric (byte) |
| ---: | :--- |
| label: | groupid3a_lat |
| range: | $[\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{8}]$ |
| unique values: | $\mathbf{7}$ |

    units: 1
    missing .: 0/3,080




```
    type: numeric (byte)
    label: groupid3a_whi
    range: [1,9] units: 1
    unique values: 8 missing.: 0/3,080
    tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
    343 1 1. None
    259 2 2. Small
    334 3 3. Moderate
    131 4 4. Large
    157 5 5. Very Large
    273 6 6. Not applicable
    1,582 8 8. NA: Form 2
    1 9 9. Missing
```

| type: <br> label: | numeric (byte) groupid3a bla |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | $[1,9]$ |  | units: | $1$ |
| unique values: | 8 |  | missing . | $0 / 3,080$ |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |  |
|  | 17 | 1 | 1. None |  |
|  | 17 | 2 | 2. Small |  |
|  | 55 | 3 | 3. Moderate |  |
|  | 32 | 4 | 4. Large |  |
|  | 68 | 5 | 5. Very Large |  |
|  | 1,308 | 6 | 6. Not applicable |  |
|  | 1,582 | 8 | 8. NA: Form 2 |  |
|  | 1 | 9 | 9. Missing |  |

```
groupid3a_bla
```

groupid3a_bla
How big a part does being [2. Black or African American] play in how you see you
How big a part does being [2. Black or African American] play in how you see you
groupid3a_nat
groupid3a_nat
How big a part does being [3. American Indian or Alaska Native] play in how you

```
    How big a part does being [3. American Indian or Alaska Native] play in how you
```



| type: | numeric (byte) |
| ---: | :--- |
| label: | groupid3a_asi |
| range: | $[\mathbf{1 , 8 ]}$ |
| unique values: | $\mathbf{7}$ |

```
units: 1 missing .: 0/3,080






\begin{tabular}{lrrl} 
tabulation: & Freq. & Numeric & Label \\
& \(\mathbf{2 9 3}\) & \(\mathbf{1}\) & 1 . Feminist \\
& \(\mathbf{1 7 0}\) & \(\mathbf{2}\) & 2 . Anti-Feminist \\
& \(\mathbf{1 , 0 3 4}\) & 3 & 3 . Neither a feminist nor an \\
& & & anti-feminist \\
& \(\mathbf{1 , 5 8 2}\) & \(\mathbf{8}\) & 8. NA: Form 2 \\
& 1 & 9 & 9. Missing
\end{tabular}

femid2_nei How important is it to you to be [neither a feminist nor an anti-feminist]?
type: numeric (byte)
label: femid2_nei
range: \([\mathbf{1}, 6]\)
unique values: 6
```

    units: 1
    missing .: 0/3,080

```




\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
numeric \\
stress 4
\end{tabular} & (byte) & & \\
\hline range: & \[
{ }_{7}^{[1,9]}
\] & & \begin{tabular}{l}
units: \\
missing .:
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \\
& 0 / 3,080
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{8}{*}{tabulation:} & Freq. & Numeric & Label & \\
\hline & 123 & 1 & 1. All the time & \\
\hline & 232 & 2 & 2. Often & \\
\hline & 455 & 3 & 3. Sometimes & \\
\hline & 431 & 4 & 4. Rarely & \\
\hline & 340 & 5 & 5. Never & \\
\hline & 1,498 & 7 & 7. NA: Form 1 & \\
\hline & 1 & 9 & 9. Missing & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

depress1
In the past week, how often have you had trouble keeping your mind on what you w
type: numeric (byte)
label: depress1
range: [1,9] units: 1

```

```

depress2 In the past week, how often have you felt hopeful about the future?

```

```

            tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
            200 1 1. All the time
            400 2 2. Often
            586 3 3. Sometimes
            311 4 4. Rarely
                            84 5 5. Never
                            1,498 7 7. NA: Form 1
                            1 9 9. Missing
    depress3 In the past week, how often has your sleep been restless?

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
numeric (byte) \\
depress3
\end{tabular} & & \\
\hline & range: & [1,9] & units: & 1 \\
\hline unique & values: & 7 & missing . & 0/3,080 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { numeric (byte) } \\
& \text { divmoralx }
\end{aligned}
\]} & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1/3,080} \\
\hline range: & [1,9] & & units: & \\
\hline unique values: & 7 & & missing . & 0/3,080 \\
\hline tabulation: & Freq. & Numeric & Label & \\
\hline & 376 & 1 & 1. Not well at all & \\
\hline & 355 & 2 & 2. Slightly well & \\
\hline & 372 & 3 & 3. Moderately well & \\
\hline & 237 & 4 & 4. Very well & \\
\hline & 155 & 5 & 5. Extremely well & \\
\hline & 1,582 & 8 & 8. NA: Form 2 & \\
\hline & 3 & 9 & 9. Missing & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
moralsup1 My ideas are much more just and fair than those of my political adversaries.

duepro3
Those facing discipline have an absolute right to cross-examine their accusers.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{numeric (byte) divmoralx} & & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{1
\(0 / 3,080\)} \\
\hline range: & \([1,9]\) & & units: & \\
\hline unique values: & 7 & & missing . : & \\
\hline tabulation: & Freq. & Numeric & Label & \\
\hline & 90 & 1 & 1. Not well at all & \\
\hline & 195 & 2 & 2. Slightly well & \\
\hline & 405 & 3 & 3. Moderately well & \\
\hline & 389 & 4 & 4. Very well & \\
\hline & 417 & 5 & 5. Extremely well & \\
\hline & 1,582 & 8 & 8. NA: Form 2 & \\
\hline & 2 & 9 & 9. Missing & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
moralcert3 Diversity of opinion is valuable in any group or organization.

\begin{tabular}{lrrl} 
tabulation: & Freq. & Numeric & Label \\
& 57 & 1 & 1. Not well at all \\
& 156 & 2 & 2. Slightly well \\
& 435 & 3 & 3. Moderately well \\
& 417 & \(\mathbf{4}\) & 4. Very well \\
& 430 & 5 & 5 . Extremely well \\
& 1,582 & 8 & 8. NA: Form 2 \\
& 3 & 9 & 9. Missing
\end{tabular}
```

forgive5
Sometimes people say offensive things unintentionally. We should accept their ap

```

```

tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
641 1. Not well at all
1922 2. Slightly well
$407 \quad 3$ 3. Moderately well
445 4 4. Very well
388 5 5. Extremely well
1,582 8 8. NA: Form 2
2 9 9. Missing

```
intelsup1
    If people were better informed about the issues, they would hold the same opinio
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{numeric (byte) divmoralx} & & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{1
\(0 / 3,080\)} \\
\hline range: & \([1,9]\) & & units: & \\
\hline unique values: & 7 & & missing . : & \\
\hline tabulation: & Freq. & Numeric & Label & \\
\hline & 319 & 1 & 1. Not well at all & \\
\hline & 325 & 2 & 2. Slightly well & \\
\hline & 462 & 3 & 3. Moderately well & \\
\hline & 242 & 4 & 4. Very well & \\
\hline & 148 & 5 & 5. Extremely well & \\
\hline & 1,582 & 8 & 8. NA: Form 2 & \\
\hline & 2 & 9 & 9. Missing & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
moralcert1
Some people hold views that are so horrible, there is no point listening to them
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { numeric (byte) } \\
& \text { divmoralx }
\end{aligned}
\]} & & \\
\hline range: & [1, 9] & & units: & 1 \\
\hline unique values: & 7 & & missing . & 0/3,080 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{8}{*}{tabulation:} & Freq. & Numeric & Label & \\
\hline & 146 & 1 & 1. Not well at all & \\
\hline & 242 & 2 & 2. Slightly well & \\
\hline & 379 & 3 & 3. Moderately well & \\
\hline & 322 & 4 & 4. Very well & \\
\hline & 405 & 5 & 5. Extremely well & \\
\hline & 1,582 & 8 & 8. NA: Form 2 & \\
\hline & 4 & 9 & 9. Missing & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

duepro4
When many similar allegations are lodged against the same person, you can be pre

```


\section*{forgive4}

There should be zero tolerance for offensive remarks: if you make such a comment
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
numer \\
forgi
\end{tabular} & (byte) & & \\
\hline range: & \([1,9]\) & & units: & \\
\hline unique values: & 7 & & missing . & 0/3,080 \\
\hline tabulation: & Freq. & Numeric & Label & \\
\hline & 487 & 1 & 1. Not well at all & \\
\hline & 325 & 2 & 2. Slightly well & \\
\hline & 328 & 3 & 3. Moderately well & \\
\hline & 170 & 4 & 4. Very well & \\
\hline & 187 & 5 & 5. Extremely well & \\
\hline & 1,582 & 8 & 8. NA: Form 2 & \\
\hline & 1 & 9 & 9. Missing & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

moralcert2
There are reasonable arguments on both sides of most political issues, even if I

```

\begin{tabular}{lrrl} 
tabulation: & Freq. & Numeric & Label \\
& \(\mathbf{7 0}\) & \(\mathbf{1}\) & 1. Not well at all \\
& \(\mathbf{2 4 2}\) & \(\mathbf{2}\) & 2. Slightly well \\
& 506 & \(\mathbf{3}\) & 3. Moderately well \\
& \(\mathbf{4 0 1}\) & \(\mathbf{4}\) & 4. Very well \\
& \(\mathbf{2 7 7}\) & 5 & 5. Extremely well \\
& \(\mathbf{1 , 5 8 2}\) & \(\mathbf{8}\) & 8. NA: Form 2 \\
& \(\mathbf{2}\) & \(\mathbf{9}\) & 9. Missing
\end{tabular}
duepro1
It is often unfair to make victims testify in front of those who have hurt them.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & numer duepr & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{(byte)} \\
\hline range: & [1, 9] & & & units: \\
\hline unique values: & 7 & & & missing . \\
\hline \multirow[t]{8}{*}{tabulation:} & Freq. & \multirow[t]{8}{*}{} & Label & \\
\hline & 284 & & 1. Not & well at all \\
\hline & 331 & & 2. Sli & htly well \\
\hline & 400 & & 3. Mod & rately well \\
\hline & 265 & & 4. Ver & well \\
\hline & 214 & & 5. Ext & emely well \\
\hline & 1,582 & & 8. NA: & Form 2 \\
\hline & 4 & & 9. Mis & ing \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{callout3a}

Those who know right from wrong have a clear duty to criticize anyone who engage
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{```
numeric (byte)
callout3a
```} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \\
\hline range: & \([1,9]\) & & units: & \\
\hline unique values: & 8 & & missing . & 0/3,080 \\
\hline tabulation: & Freq. & Numeric & Label & \\
\hline & 130 & 1 & 1. Not well at all & \\
\hline & 155 & 2 & 2. Slightly well & \\
\hline & 222 & 3 & 3. Moderately well & \\
\hline & 143 & 4 & 4. Very well & \\
\hline & 102 & 5 & 5. Extremely well & \\
\hline & 742 & 6 & 6. NA: XFORGIVE \(=2\) & \\
\hline & 1,582 & 8 & 8. NA: Form 2 & \\
\hline & 4 & 9 & 9. Missing & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{callout3b}

Those who have a modern understanding of right and wrong have a clear duty to cr

```

moralsup2
Logical reasoning is a better guide to morality than traditional religious teach

| type: <br> label: | numeric (byte) moralsup2 |  |  | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | $[1,9]$ |  | units: |  |
| unique values: | 7 |  | missing . | 0/3,080 |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |  |
|  | 252 | 1 | 1. Not well at all |  |
|  | 259 | 2 | 2. Slightly well |  |
|  | 418 | 3 | 3. Moderately well |  |
|  | 278 | 4 | 4. Very well |  |
|  | 285 | 5 | 5. Extremely well |  |
|  | 1,582 | 8 | 8. NA: Form 2 |  |
|  | 6 | 9 | 9. Missing |  |

```

\section*{duepro2}
```

No matter how horrible the accusation, it is important to wait until all the evi

| type: <br> label: | numeric duepro2 | (byte) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | $[1,9]$ |  | units: |  |
| unique values: | 7 |  | missing . | 0/3,080 |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |  |
|  | 45 | 1 | 1. Not well at all |  |
|  | 129 | 2 | 2. Slightly well |  |
|  | 311 | 3 | 3. Moderately well |  |
|  | 447 | 4 | 4. Very well |  |
|  | 563 | 5 | 5. Extremely well |  |
|  | 1,582 | 8 | 8. NA: Form 2 |  |
|  | 3 | 9 | 9. Missing |  |

intelsup2 Most people who disagree with me turn out to be wrong.

| type: | numeric (byte) <br> label <br> intelsup2 |
| ---: | :--- |
| range: | $[1,9]$ |

```

\section*{duepro5}
```

There is too often a rush to judgment these days when people are accused of sayi

```
```

    type: numeric (byte)
    ```
    type: numeric (byte)
    label: duepro5
    label: duepro5
    range: [1,9]
    range: [1,9]
        unique values: 7
        unique values: 7
    units: 1
    units: 1
    units: 1
missing .: 0/3,080
```

missing .: 0/3,080

```
missing .: 0/3,080
```



```
link_bla
    How much do you think what happens generally to [Black people] in this country w
```



## link_his

```
How much do you think what happens generally to [Hispanic people] in this countr
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
numer \\
link
\end{tabular} & (byte) & & \\
\hline range: & \([1,9]\) & & units: & \[
1
\] \\
\hline unique values: & 7 & & missing . : & \[
0 / 3,080
\] \\
\hline tabulation: & Freq. & Numeric & Label & \\
\hline & 47 & 1 & 1. A lot & \\
\hline & 80 & 2 & 2. Some & \\
\hline & 46 & 3 & 3. Not very much & \\
\hline & 24 & 4 & 4. Not at all & \\
\hline & 1,383 & 6 & 6. Not Applicable & \\
\hline & 1,498 & 7 & 7. NA: Form 1 & \\
\hline & 2 & 9 & 9. Missing & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```


## link asi

```
How much do you think what happens generally to [Asian American people] in this
```



```
link_whi
How much do you think what happens generally to [White people] in this country w
```



type: numeric (byte)
label: selfmon2
range: $[1,9]$ units: 1
unique values: 7 missing.: 0/3,080

| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | 90 | 1 | 1 . Always |
|  | 135 | 2 | 2 . Most of the time |
|  | 285 | 3 | 3. Some of the time |
|  | 352 | $\mathbf{4}$ | 4. Once in a while |
|  | 718 | 5 | 5 . Never |
|  | $\mathbf{1 , 4 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | 7. NA: Form 1 |

```
selfmon3
How hard is it for you to change your behavior to suit different people and diff
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & numer selfm & (byte) & & \\
\hline range: & \([1,9]\) & & units: & \\
\hline unique values: & 7 & & missing . & 0/3,080 \\
\hline tabulation: & Freq. & Numeric & Label & \\
\hline & 134 & 1 & 1. Extremely hard & \\
\hline & 163 & 2 & 2. Very hard & \\
\hline & 337 & 3 & 3. Moderately hard & \\
\hline & 422 & 4 & 4. A little hard & \\
\hline & 524 & 5 & 5. Not at all hard & \\
\hline & 1,498 & 7 & 7. NA: Form 1 & \\
\hline & 2 & 9 & 9. Missing & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

selfmon4 When you are in a group of people, how often are you the center of attention?

| type: | numeric (byte) |
| ---: | :--- |
| label: | selfmon4 |
| range: | $[\mathbf{1}, 9]$ |


| units: | 1 |
| ---: | :--- |
| missing $:$ | $0 / 3,080$ |

tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
941 1. Always
1382 2. Most of the time
3333 3. Some of the time
508 4 4. Once in a while
5065 5. Never
1,4987 7. NA: Form 1
39 9. Missing
selfmon5
How easy is it for you to adjust your actions to fit whatever is required by the

```
                            type: numeric (byte)
    label: selfmon5
    range: [1,9] units: 1
unique values: 7 missing .: 0/3,080
```



```
home_anes
    Do you pay rent for your home, make monthly mortgage payments for your home, own
```




```
home_cps
```

home_cps
Are your living quarters owned or being bought by a household member, rented for

```
    Are your living quarters owned or being bought by a household member, rented for
```

viol3_dems How often do you feel like [Democrats] just deserve to be slapped?

viol3_reps How often do you feel like [Republicans] just deserve to be slapped?
type: numeric (byte)
label: viol3_reps
range: [1,9]
unique values: 7
units: 1
missing .: 0/3,080

```
\begin{tabular}{lrrl} 
tabulation: & Freq. & Numeric & Label \\
& \(\mathbf{2 5 0}\) & 1 & 1. Never \\
341 & 2 & 2 . Some of the time \\
& 292 & 3 & 3. About half of the time \\
& 339 & 4 & 4. Most of the time \\
& 244 & 5 & 5. Always \\
& 1,613 & 6 & 6. Not applicable \\
& 1 & 9 & 9. Missing
\end{tabular}
viol3 both
    How often do you feel like [Democrats and Republicans] just deserve to be slappe
```



```
violl When politicians fail to do what's best for America, how much do they deserve to
                    type: numeric (byte)
                    label: viol1
            range: [1,9]
                unique values: 6
            tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
                    346 1 1. A great deal
                    359 2 2. A lot
                            599 3 3. A moderate amount
                            449 4 4. A little
                    1,324 5 5. Not at all
                            3
                            9. Missing
viol2a
            How much do you feel it is justified for people to use violence to pursue their
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { numeric } \\
& \text { viol2a }
\end{aligned}
\] & (byte) & & \\
\hline range: & \([1,9]\) & & units: & 1 \\
\hline unique values: & 7 & & missing . & 0/3,080 \\
\hline tabulation: & Freq. & Numeric & Label & \\
\hline & 1,101 & 1 & 1. Not at all & \\
\hline & 115 & 2 & 2. A little & \\
\hline & 149 & 3 & 3. A moderate amount & \\
\hline & 62 & 4 & 4. A lot & \\
\hline & 69 & 5 & 5. A great deal & \\
\hline & 1,582 & 8 & 8. NA: Form 2 & \\
\hline & 2 & 9 & 9. Missing & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```


## viol2b

```
How much do you feel it is acceptable for people to use violence to pursue their
```



| range: | [1,9] |  |  | units: | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| unique values: | 3 |  |  | missing . | 0/3,080 |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |  |  |
|  | 1,445 |  | 1. Have | done |  |
|  | 1,631 | 2 | 2. Have | not done |  |
|  | - 4 | 9 | 9. Miss | ing |  |

## expshark

Been bitten by a shark. [Please indicate whether you have ever done or not done

| type: <br> label: | numer expsh | (byte) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | [1, 9] |  |  | units: | 1 |
| unique values: | 3 |  |  | missing . : | 0/3,080 |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |  |  |
|  | 243 | 1 | 1. Have | done |  |
|  | 2,827 | 2 | 2. Have | not done |  |
|  | 10 | 9 | 9. Missi | ng |  |

```
exparrest
    Been arrested and taken into police custody. [Please indicate whether you have e
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{numeric (byte) exparrest} & & \\
\hline range: & \([1,9]\) & & & units: & 1 \\
\hline unique values: & 3 & & & missing . : & 0/3,080 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{tabulation:} & Freq. & Numeric & Label & & \\
\hline & 729 & 1 & 1. Have & done & \\
\hline & 2,347 & 2 & 2. Have & not done & \\
\hline & 4 & 9 & 9. Miss & ng & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

exppubasst
Received food stamps or other form of public assistance. [Please indicate whethe

expfight
Got into a physical fight. [Please indicate whether you have ever done or not do

| type: <br> label: | numeric (byte) expfight |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | [1, 9] |  |  | units: | 1 |
| unique values: | 3 |  |  | missing . : | 0/3,080 |
| tabulation: | Freq. |  | Label |  |  |
|  | 1,101 |  | 1. Have | done |  |
|  | 1,973 |  | 2. Have | not done |  |
|  | 6 |  | 9. Miss | ing |  |

```
expavoid
    Hid your true political beliefs to avoid conflict. [Please indicate whether you
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & numer expavo & (byte) & & & \\
\hline range: & [1, 9] & & & units: & 1 \\
\hline unique values: & 3 & & & missing . & 0/3,080 \\
\hline tabulation: & Freq. & Numeric & Label & & \\
\hline & 1,266 & 1 & 1. Have & done & \\
\hline & 1,811 & 2 & 2. Have & not done & \\
\hline & 3 & 9 & 9. Miss & ing & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
callout_social
    Tried to shame someone on Facebook or Twitter for making offensive remarks. [Ple
```



educ What is the highest level of school you have completed or the highest degree you

| type: <br> label: | numeric <br> educ | (byte) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | [1, 8] |  | units: 1 |
| unique values: | 8 |  | missing . $0 / 3,080$ |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |
|  | 100 | 1 | 1. 12th grade or below, no high school diploma |
|  | 656 | 2 | 2. High school graduate/diploma or equivalent |
|  | 622 | 3 | 3. Some college but no degree |
|  | 326 | 4 | 4. Associate degree |
|  | 761 | 5 | 5. Bachelor's degree |
|  | 424 | 6 | 6. Master's degree |
|  | 102 | 7 | 7. Professional degree (e.g., |
|  | 89 | 8 | MD, DDS, JD) <br> 8. Doctorate |

state
In which state do you currently reside?
type: numeric (byte)
label: state
range: [1,51]
units: 1
unique values: 51
missing .: 0/3,080

| examples: | 9 | FL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 18 | LA |
|  | 32 | NY |
|  | 38 | PA |

children How many children or teenagers age 0 to 17 live in your household?

marital1 Are you now married, widowed, divorced, separated, or never married?




```
pk_spend
    On which of the following does the U.S. federal government currently spend the l
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{pk_spend} \\
\hline range: & [1,9] & & & units: & 1 \\
\hline unique values: & 5 & & & missing . & 0/3,080 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{tabulation:} & Freq. & Numeric & Label & & \\
\hline & 926 & 1 & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{1. Foreign aid} \\
\hline & 929 & 2 & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{2. Medicare} \\
\hline & 348 & 3 & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{3. National defense} \\
\hline & 864 & 4 & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{} \\
\hline & 13 & & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
4. Social Security \\
9. Missing
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

whites_1 Trustworthy. Where would you rate Whites in general on the following scales?

| type: <br> label: | numeric (byte) <br> whites_1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | [1,99] |  | units: |  |
| unique values: | 9 |  | missing . | 0/3,080 |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |  |
|  | 227 | 1 | 1. Trustworthy |  |
|  | 243 | 2 | 2. |  |
|  | 294 | 3 | 3. |  |
|  | 547 | 4 | 4. |  |
|  | 158 | 5 | 5. |  |
|  | 69 | 6 | 6. |  |
|  | 41 | 7 | 7. Untrustworthy |  |
|  | 1,498 | 77 | 77. NA: Form 1 |  |
|  | 3 | 99 | 99. Missing |  |

whites_2 Hardworking. Where would you rate Whites in general on the following scales?

| type: <br> label: | numeric (byte) <br> whites 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | [1,99] |  | units: |  |
| unique values: | 9 |  | missing . : | 0/3,080 |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |  |
|  | 214 | 1 | 1. Hardworking |  |
|  | 255 | 2 | 2. |  |
|  | 360 | 3 | 3. |  |
|  | 505 | 4 | 4. |  |
|  | 168 | 5 | 5. |  |
|  | 46 | 6 | 6. |  |
|  | 29 | 7 | 7. Lazy |  |
|  | 1,498 | 77 | 77. NA: Form 1 |  |
|  | 5 | 99 | 99. Missing |  |

whites_3 Intelligent. Where would you rate Whites in general on the following scales?


whites_5 Peaceful. Where would you rate Whites in general on the following scales?

| type: <br> label: | numeric (byte) <br> whites_5 |  |  | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | [1, 99] |  | units: |  |
| unique values: | 9 |  | missing . | 0/3,080 |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |  |
|  | 183 | 1 | 1. Peaceful |  |
|  | 241 | 2 | 2. |  |
|  | 334 | 3 | 3. |  |
|  | 553 | 4 | 4. |  |
|  | 169 | 5 | 5. |  |
|  | 61 | 6 | 6. |  |
|  | 38 | 7 | 7. Violent |  |
|  | 1,498 | 77 | 77. NA: Form 1 |  |
|  | 3 | 99 | 99. Missing |  |

whites_6 Friendly. Where would you rate Whites in general on the following scales?

| type: | numeric_(byte) <br> label: <br> whites_6 |
| ---: | :--- |
| range: | $[\mathbf{1 , 9 9 ]}$ |

```
\begin{tabular}{lrrl} 
tabulation: & Freq. & Numeric & Label \\
& 230 & 1 & 1. Friendly \\
& 257 & 2 & 2.
\end{tabular}
whites_7 American. Where would you rate Whites in general on the following scales?
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{numeric (byte) whites_7} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \\
\hline range: & [1,99] & & units: & \\
\hline unique values: & \[
9
\] & & missing . & \[
0 / 3,080
\] \\
\hline tabulation: & Freq. & Numeric & Label & \\
\hline & 392 & 1 & 1. American & \\
\hline & 303 & 2 & 2. & \\
\hline & 301 & 3 & 3. & \\
\hline & 424 & 4 & 4. & \\
\hline & 91 & 5 & 5. & \\
\hline & 46 & 6 & 6. & \\
\hline & 23 & 7 & 7. Foreign & \\
\hline & 1,498 & 77 & 77. NA: Form 1 & \\
\hline & 2 & 99 & 99. Missing & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
blacks_1 Trustworthy. Where would you rate Blacks in general on the following scales?
type: numeric (byte)
label: blacks_1
range: \([1,99]\) units: 1
unique values: 9
missing .: 0/3,080
tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
1901 1. Trustworthy
\(215 \quad 2 \quad 2\).
\(351 \quad 33\).
571
152
\(44 \quad 7 \quad 7\). Untrustworthy
\(1,498 \quad 77\) 77. NA: Form 1
99 99. Missing
```

blacks_2 Hardworking. Where would you rate Blacks in general on the following scales?

| type: | numeric (byte) |
| ---: | :--- |
| label: | blacks_2 |
| range: | $[\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{9 9}]$ |
| unique values: | 9 |

units: 1
unique values: 9

```
missing .: 0/3,080
```

```
\(\left.\begin{array}{lrrl}\text { tabulation: } & \text { Freq. } & \text { Numeric } & \text { Label } \\ & 212 & 1 & 1 . \text { Hardworking } \\ & 221 & 2 & 2 . \\ & 357 & 3 & 3 . \\ & 506 & 4 & 4 . \\ & 161 & 5 & 5 . \\ & 70 & 6 & 6 .\end{array}\right]\)
blacks_3 Intelligent. Where would you rate Blacks in general on the following scales?
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { numeric (byte) } \\
& \text { blacks_3 }
\end{aligned}
\]} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \\
\hline range: & [1,99] & & units: & \\
\hline unique values: & 9 & & missing . & 0/3,080 \\
\hline tabulation: & Freq. & Numeric & Label & \\
\hline & 214 & 1 & 1. Intelligent & \\
\hline & 232 & 2 & 2. & \\
\hline & 362 & 3 & 3. & \\
\hline & 551 & 4 & 4. & \\
\hline & 138 & 5 & 5. & \\
\hline & 49 & 6 & 6. & \\
\hline & 29 & 7 & 7. Unintelligent & \\
\hline & 1,498 & 77 & 77. NA: Form 1 & \\
\hline & 7 & 99 & 99. Missing & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
blacks_4 Patriotic. Where would you rate Blacks in general on the following scales?
type: numeric (byte)
label: blacks_4
range: \([1,99]\) units: 1
unique values: 9 missing .: 0/3,080
tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
2011 1. Patriotic
\(194 \quad 2 \quad 2\).
\(322 \quad 33\).
559
191
5
\(48 \quad 7 \quad 7\). Unpatriotic
\(1,498 \quad 77\) 77. NA: Form 1
99 99. Missing
blacks_5 Peaceful. Where would you rate Blacks in general on the following scales?
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
type: & numeric (byte) \\
label: & blacks_5 \\
range: & {\([\mathbf{1}, 99]\)} \\
unique values: & 9
\end{tabular}
units: 1
unique values: 9
\[
\text { missing : } 0 / 3,080
\]
```


hisp_1 Trustworthy. Where would you rate Hispanics in general on the following scales?
type: numeric (byte)
label: hisp_1
range: $[1,99]$
$\begin{array}{cl}\text { units: } & 1 \\ \text { missing . } & 0 / 3,080\end{array}$

```
\begin{tabular}{lrrl} 
tabulation: & Freq. & Numeric & Label \\
& 182 & 1 & 1. Trustworthy \\
& 210 & 2 & 2. \\
& 360 & 3 & 3. \\
& 569 & 4 & 4. \\
& 146 & 5 & 5. \\
& 54 & 6 & 6. \\
& 53 & 7 & 7. Untrustworthy \\
& 1,498 & 77 & 77. NA: Form 1 \\
& 8 & 99 & 99. Missing
\end{tabular}
hisp_2 Hardworking. Where would you rate Hispanics in general on the following scales?
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
numeric \\
hisp_2
\end{tabular} & (byte) & & \\
\hline range: & [1, 99] & & units: & 1 \\
\hline unique values: & 9 & & missing . & 0/3,080 \\
\hline tabulation: & Freq. & Numeric & Label & \\
\hline & 356 & 1 & 1. Hardworking & \\
\hline & 319 & 2 & 2. & \\
\hline & 331 & 3 & 3. & \\
\hline & 382 & 4 & 4. & \\
\hline & 98 & 5 & 5. & \\
\hline & 55 & 6 & 6. & \\
\hline & 31 & 7 & 7. Lazy & \\
\hline & 1,498 & 77 & 77. NA: Form 1 & \\
\hline & 10 & 99 & 99. Missing & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
hisp_3 Intelligent. Where would you rate Hispanics in general on the following scales?
type: numeric (byte)
label: hisp_3
range: \(\quad[1,99\)
units: 1
unique values: 9 missing .: 0/3,080
tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
1891 1. Intelligent
215
357
592
132
\(32 \quad 7 \quad 7\). Unintelligent
1,498 77 77. NA: Form 1
11
99 99. Missing
hisp_4 Patriotic. Where would you rate Hispanics in general on the following scales?
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
type: & numeric (byte) \\
label: & hisp_4 \\
range: & {\([\mathbf{1}, 99]\)} \\
unique values: & 9
\end{tabular}
units: 1
unique values: 9
```

```
missing .: 0/3,080
```

```
missing .: 0/3,080
```

| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | 176 | 1 | 1. |

```
    tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
        180 1 1. American
        161 2 2.
        255
        540
        218
        113
        107
        1,498
        77 77. NA: Form 1
        8 99 99. Missing
asians_1 Trustworthy. Where would you rate Asians in general on the following scales?
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
type: \\
label:
\end{tabular} & numeri asians & (byte) & & \\
\hline range: & [1,99] & & units: & \\
\hline unique values: & 9 & & missing . & 0/3,080 \\
\hline tabulation: & Freq. & Numeric & Label & \\
\hline & 212 & 1 & 1. Trustworthy & \\
\hline & 251 & 2 & 2. & \\
\hline & 346 & 3 & 3. & \\
\hline & 551 & 4 & 4. & \\
\hline & 118 & 5 & 5. & \\
\hline & 48 & 6 & 6. & \\
\hline & 48 & 7 & 7. Untrustworthy & \\
\hline & 1,498 & 77 & 77. NA: Form 1 & \\
\hline & 8 & 99 & 99. Missing & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
asians_2 Hardworking. Where would you rate Asians in general on the following scales?
    type: numeric (byte)
    label: asians 2
    range: [1,99] units: 1
unique values: 9
missing .: 0/3,080
tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
355 1 1. Hardworking
337 2 2.
309 3 3.
396 4 4.
102 5 5.
48 6 6.
26 7 7. Lazy
1,498 77 77. NA: Form 1
99 99. Missing
```

asians_3 Intelligent. Where would you rate Asians in general on the following scales?

| type: | numeric_(byte) |
| ---: | :--- |
| label: | asians_3 |
| range: | $[\mathbf{1 , 9 9 ]}$ |


asians_5 Peaceful. Where would you rate Asians in general on the following scales?

| type: <br> label: | numeric (byte) asians_5 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| range: | [1,99] |  | units: |  |
| unique values: | $9$ |  | missing . : | $0 / 3,080$ |
| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |  |
|  | 257 | 1 | 1. Peaceful |  |
|  | 351 | 2 | 2. |  |
|  | 324 | 3 | 3. |  |
|  | 467 | 4 | 4. |  |
|  | 96 | 5 | 5. |  |
|  | 49 | 6 | 6. |  |
|  | 28 | 7 | 7. Violent |  |
|  | 1,498 | 77 | 77. NA: Form 1 |  |
|  | 10 | 99 | 99. Missing |  |

asians_6 Friendly. Where would you rate Asians in general on the following scales?

```
    type: numeric (byte)
    label: asians_6
    range: [1,99]
unique values: 9
```

```
    units: 1
```

    units: 1
    missing .: 0/3,080

```
missing .: 0/3,080
```





income
type: numeric (byte)
label: income
range: [1,99] units: 1
unique values: 27
missing : $0 / 3,080$
examples: 5 5. \$20,000-\$24,999
9 9. $\$ 40,000-\$ 44,999$
15 15. \$70,000-\$74,999
21 21. \$100,000 - \$124,999

```
    type: numeric (byte)
    label: vote2Oturnoutjb
    range: [0,9] units: 1
unique values: 3 missing .: 0/3,080
```

| tabulation: | Freq. | Numeric | Label |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 237 | 0 | $0 . R$ probably would not vote |  |
| 2,841 | 1 | $1 . R$ selected someone to vote |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | for Missing |





```
pk_sen_correct Recode of pk_sen: Political knowledge - U.S. Senator full term of office
                    type: numeric (byte)
label: knowledge_correct
range: [0,1]
missing .: 0/3,080
tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
1,652 0 0. Not correctly answered
1,428 1 1. Correct
pk_spend_correct
    Re\overline{code of pk_spend: Political knowledge - U.S. federal government spends the lea}
numeric (byte)
label: knowledge_correct
    range: [0,1] units: 1
        unique values: 2, missing.: 0/3,080
pk_cjus_correctb Recode of pk_cjus_correct: Political knowledge - John Roberts coded
    type: numeric (double)
    label: knowledge_correct
    range: [0,1] units: 1
    unique values: 2 missing .: 0/3,080
    tabulation: Freq. Numeric Label
        1,588 0 0. Not correctly answered
        1,492 1 1. Correct
pk_index Political knowledge - Summary index (mean; unstandardized items)
    type: numeric (float)
        unique values: [0,1] molunits: .01
        tabulation: Freq. Value
            813 0
            666 . }2
            561 . }
            662 . }7
            378 1
pidstr1_dr \(\quad\) Strength of PID, Directional 1
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
type: & numeric (byte) \\
label: pidstr1_dr & \\
range: & {\([\mathbf{1 , 9 ]}\)}
\end{tabular}
```





rr_scale Racial resentment scale

| type: | numeric (byte) |
| ---: | :--- |
| label: | rr_scale, but 15 | nonmissing values are not labeled

examples:
5
8 8
9
12
white
Race indicator II: White

|  | type: label: range: unique values: tabulation: | $\begin{array}{ll} \begin{array}{l} \text { numeric } \\ \text { race2_ } \end{array} & \text { (float) } \\ {[0,1]} & \\ 2 & \\ \text { Freq. } & \text { Numeric } \\ 919 & 0 \\ 2,161 & 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { units: } \\ \text { missing }: \\ \text { 0/3,080 } \end{gathered}$ <br> Label <br> 0 . Race not indicated <br> 1. Race indicated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| black |  |  | Race indicator II: Black or African American |
|  | ```type:None``` | $\begin{array}{ll} \begin{array}{l} \text { numeric } \\ \text { race2_ } \end{array} & \text { (float) } \\ & \\ {[0,1]} & \\ 2 & \\ \text { Freq. } & \text { Numeric } \\ 2,753 & 0 \\ 327 & 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { units: } \\ \text { missing : } \\ \text { 0/3,080 } \end{gathered}$ <br> Label <br> 0 . Race not indicated <br> 1. Race indicated |
| namer |  | Race | dicator II: American Indian or Alaska Native |
|  | type: label: range: unique values: tabulation: | $\begin{array}{lr} \begin{array}{l} \text { numeric } \\ \text { race2_ } \end{array} & \text { (float) } \\ {[0,1]} & \\ 2 & \\ \text { Freq. } & \text { Numeric } \\ 3,064 & 0 \\ 16 & 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { units: } & 1 \\ \text { missing }: & 0 / 3,080 \end{aligned}$ <br> Label <br> 0 . Race not indicated <br> 1. Race indicated |
| asian |  |  | Race indicator II: Asian |
|  | type: label: range: unique values: tabulation: | $\begin{array}{ll} \begin{array}{l} \text { numeric } \\ \text { race2_ } \end{array} & \text { (float) } \\ {[0,1]} & \\ 2 & \\ \text { Freq. } & \text { Numeric } \\ 2,968 & 0 \\ 112 & 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { units: } & 1 \\ \text { missing }: & 0 / 3,080 \end{aligned}$ <br> Label <br> 0 . Race not indicated <br> 1. Race indicated |
| hpi |  | Race indicator | I Native Hawaiian, or other Pacific islander |
|  | type: label: range: unique values: | ```numeric (float) race2_ [0,1] 2``` | $\begin{array}{cl} \text { units: } & 1 \\ \text { missing }: & 0 / 3,080 \end{array}$ |



