

int1952.txt

Version 01 Codebook

CODEBOOK INTRODUCTION FILE
1952 PRE-POST STUDY
(1952.T)

AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES

1952 PRE-POST ELECTION STUDY

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS

ANGUS CAMPBELL
GERALD GURIN
WARREN MILLER

SURVEY RESEARCH CENTER, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN (S400)

PRE-ELECTION STUDY: SEPTEMBER 15 - NOVEMBER 2, 1952
POST-ELECTION STUDY: NOVEMBER - DECEMBER, 1952

ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER 7213

□

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF ASSISTANCE

ALL MANUSCRIPTS UTILIZING DATA MADE AVAILABLE THROUGH THE CONSORTIUM SHOULD ACKNOWLEDGE THAT FACT AS WELL AS IDENTIFY THE ORIGINAL COLLECTOR OF THE DATA. THE ICPSR COUNCIL URGES ALL USERS OF ICPSR DATA FACILITIES TO FOLLOW SOME ADAPTATION OF THIS STATEMENT WITH THE PARENTHESES INDICATING ITEMS TO BE FILLED IN APPROPRIATELY OR DELETED BY THE INDIVIDUAL USER.

THE DATA (AND TABULATIONS) UTILIZED IN THIS (PUBLICATION) WERE MADE AVAILABLE (IN PART) BY THE INTER-UNIVERSITY CONSORTIUM FOR POLITICAL RESEARCH. THE DATA WERE ORIGINALLY COLLECTED BY ANGUS CAMPBELL, GERALD GURIN, AND WARREN MILLER. NEITHER THE ORIGINAL COLLECTORS OF THE DATA NOR THE CONSORTIUM BEAR ANY RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ANALYSES OR INTERPRETATIONS PRESENTED HERE.

IN ORDER TO PROVIDE FUNDING AGENCIES WITH ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE USE OF ARCHIVAL RESOURCES, AND TO FACILITATE THE EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION ABOUT ICPSR PARTICIPANTS' RESEARCH ACTIVITIES, EACH USER OF THE ICPSR DATA FACILITIES IS EXPECTED TO SEND TWO COPIES OF EACH COMPLETED MANUSCRIPT TO THE CONSORTIUM. PLEASE INDICATE IN THE COVER LETTER WHICH DATA WERE USED.

□

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Note: >>sections in the codebook introduction and codebook appendix can be navigated in the machine-readable files by searching ">>".

INTRODUCTORY MATERIAL (file int1952.cbk)

>> 1952 STUDY DESCRIPTION
>> 1952 SAMPLING INFORMATION
>> 1952 PROCESSING INFORMATION
>> 1952 CODEBOOK INFORMATION
>> 1952 VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST

CODEBOOK

1952 variables

APPENDICES (file app1952.cbk)

>> 1952 PARTY MASTER CODE
>> 1952 CANDIDATE MASTER CODE
>> PSU AND POPULATION SIZE NOTE
>> ELIGIBLE VOTERS, 1952
>> PARTY DIFFERENCES CODE NOTE, 1952
>> PARTY-CANDIDATE CODE NOTE, 1952

>> REF. 32 NOTE, 1952
>> REF. 42/43 NOTE, 1952
>> VAR 520072 NOTE
>> VAR 520074 NOTE
>> VAR 520083 NOTE
>> VAR 520084 NOTE
>> VAR 520090 NOTE
>> HOW FRIENDS VOTE NOTE, 1952
>> EMPLOYMENT NOTE, 1952
>> REF. NO. 132 NOTE, 1952
>> REF. NO. 133 NOTE, 1952
>> FATHER OCCUPATION NOTE, 1952
>> UNITED STATES NOTE, 1952
>> REF. NO. 37-40 NOTE, 1952
>> R PLACE OF BIRTH NOTE, 1952
>> R MOTHER'S PLACE OF BIRTH NOTE, 1952
>> REF. NO. 166 NOTE, 1952
>> REF. NO. 165 NOTE, 1952
>> REF. NO. 130 NOTE, 1952
>> VAR 520182 NOTE
>> VAR 520183 NOTE
>> VARS 520182, 520183, 520191, 520193, 520195, 520197, 520199 NOTE
>> FRIENDS PARTY VOTE, 1952
>> REF. NO. 56 NOTE, 1952
>> CO-WORKERS VOTE NOTE, 1952
>> REF. NO. 106 NOTE, 1952
>> VARS 520196, 520198 NOTE
>> REF. NO. 173-176 NOTE, 1952
>> CAMPAIGN LITERATURE NOTE, 1952
>> VARS 520203-7 NOTE
>> REF. NO. 200-202 NOTE, 1952
>> REF. NO. 237 NOTE, 1952
>> REF. NO. 208 NOTE, 1952
>> REF. NO. 229 NOTE, 1952

□>> 1952 STUDY DESCRIPTION

THE 1952 NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY (SRC 400) IS THE SECOND IN A SERIES OF STUDIES OF NATIONAL ELECTIONS CONDUCTED BY THE SURVEY RESEARCH CENTER POLITICAL BEHAVIOR PROGRAM SINCE 1948. THIS STUDY WAS DIRECTED BY ANGUS CAMPBELL, GERALD GURIN AND WARREN MILLER, AND IS THE FIRST OF THE SRC MAJOR ELECTION STUDIES. THE STUDY EMPHASIZES POLITICAL ATTITUDES IN GENERAL, AND ATTITUDES AND BEHAVIOR PERTAINENT TO THE 1952 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION. THE INTERVIEW SCHEDULE CONTAINED BOTH CLOSED AND OPEN-ENDED QUESTIONS ASCERTAINING A WIDE RANGE OF INFORMATION.

MOST RESPONDENTS WERE INTERVIEWED BOTH BEFORE AND AFTER THE DATE OF THE ELECTION. THE PRE-ELECTION SURVEY TAPPED ATTITUDES TOWARD POLITICAL PARTIES, CANDIDATES, AND SPECIFIC ISSUES, AS WELL AS PERSONAL AND POLITICAL BACKGROUND. THE POST-ELECTION INTERVIEW CONCENTRATED ON THE ACTUAL VOTE AND VOTING-RELATED BEHAVIOR. ADDITIONALLY, A SUBSAMPLE OF 585 RESPONDENTS WAS GIVEN A FORM B REINTERVIEW, OBTAINING FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT ORGANIZATIONAL AFFILIATIONS, PERSONAL DATA AND NON-POLITICAL ATTITUDINAL QUESTIONS. THIS INFORMATION IS CONTAINED IN REF.NO. 209-236. THIS STUDY PARTICULARLY

int1952.txt

EMPHASIZED THE PERCEPTION OF GROUP BEHAVIOR, ESPECIALLY THE PERCEIVED POLITICAL PREFERENCES OF FAMILY, FRIENDS AND ASSOCIATES.

RESULTS FROM THE 1952 STUDY WERE FIRST REPORTED IN CAMPBELL, ET AL., THE VOTER DECIDES, EVANSTON, ILLINOIS, ROW, PETERSON AND CO., 1954, AND LATER USED IN CAMPBELL, ET AL., THE AMERICAN VOTER, NEW YORK, JOHN WILEY & SONS, 1960.

□>> 1952 SAMPLING INFORMATION

THE INDIVIDUALS INTERVIEWED WERE A REPRESENTATIVE CROSS-SECTION OF PERSONS OF VOTING AGE LIVING IN PRIVATE HOUSEHOLDS IN THE CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES. THE SAMPLE WAS DRAWN AS FOLLOWS: THE 12 LARGEST METROPOLITAN AREAS OF THE UNITED STATES WERE DRAWN WITH CERTAINTY TO REPRESENT THEMSELVES. THE REST OF THE COUNTRY WAS FORMED INTO 54 STRATA, AND FROM EACH OF THESE ONE PRIMARY SAMPLING AREA WAS CHOSEN TO REPRESENT THE STRATUM. THE 26 AREAS NOT REPRESENTING "OPEN COUNTRY" WERE FURTHER SUBSTRATIFIED ACCORDING TO RENTAL VALUE AND DWELLING UNITS PER BLOCK, AND PROBABILITY SELECTIONS OF BLOCKS WERE THEN MADE FROM THESE SUBSTRATA. FROM EACH BLOCK AND EACH SUB-AREA CHOSEN AT RANDOM FROM THE "OPEN COUNTRY" AREAS, AN AVERAGE OF TWO DWELLING UNITS WAS SELECTED AT RANDOM. WITHIN EACH SAMPLE DWELLING UNIT ONLY ONE RESPONDENT WAS INTERVIEWED, AS DESIGNATED BY AN OBJECTIVE PROCEDURE OF SELECTION WITH NO SUBSTITUTIONS ALLOWED.

THE ACCOUNT OF THE EXACT PROCEDURE WHICH THEN FOLLOWED IS RATHER COMPLICATED. FIRST, 1799 INTERVIEWS WERE TAKEN ON THE PRE-ELECTION STUDY. IN THE POST-ELECTION WAVE 185 RESPONDENTS COULD NOT BE RE-INTERVIEWED, BUT WERE KEPT IN THE STUDY. (THESE PEOPLE WERE CODED 9 IN REF.NO.3.) IN ADDITION, 100 RESPONDENTS WHO HAD BEEN PREVIOUSLY UNAVAILABLE WERE INTERVIEWED FOR THE FIRST TIME IN THE POST-ELECTION STUDY. (THEY WERE CODED 3 OR 4 IN REF.NO.3.) THUS THE TOTAL N FOR THE STUDY IS 1899, INCLUDING 185 WITH ONLY PRE-ELECTION DATA AND 100 WITH ONLY POST-ELECTION DATA. THE 585 RESPONDENTS IN THE FORM B SUBSAMPLE WERE CHOSEN AT RANDOM FROM THE ENTIRE SAMPLE. (THEY WERE CODED 2 OR 4 IN REF.NO.3.)

□>> 1952 PROCESSING INFORMATION

THE STUDY WAS PROCESSED ACCORDING TO ICPSR STANDARD

int1952.txt

PROCESSING PROCEDURES. THE CODE CATEGORIES WERE RECODED TO ELIMINATE AMPS AND DASHES AND TO CONFORM TO ICPSR STANDARDIZED CODES WHERE APPLICABLE. THE DATA WERE CHECKED FOR INCONSISTENT AND ILLEGAL CODES AND, WHENEVER FOUND, THE LATTER MISTAKES WERE CORRECTED BY REFERRING TO THE ORIGINAL INTERVIEW SCHEDULES.

IN USING THIS CODEBOOK SEVERAL FEATURES SHOULD BE NOTED. THE FIRST IS THAT THE HUNDREDS AND TENS DIGITS OF MOST TWO AND THREE DIGIT CODES CAN BE MEANINGFULLY USED ALONE. (THE GAPS THAT APPEAR IN SOME OF THE TWO AND THREE DIGIT STANDARDIZED CODES REPRESENT VALUES NOT USED IN THIS STUDY.) ANOTHER IS THAT IN MANY CASES, BOTH IN THE BODY OF THE CODEBOOK AND IN THE FOOTNOTES, TEXT IS BRACKETED IN "<" AND ">" SIGNS. ALL TEXT SO BRACKETED WAS NOT CONTAINED IN THE ORIGINAL CODEBOOK BUT WAS ADDED BY THE PROCESSOR, EITHER FOR EXPLANATORY PURPOSES OR IN ORDER TO MAKE VARIABLES INDEPENDENT OF ONE ANOTHER BY MAKING IT UNNECESSARY TO REFER TO ONE IN ORDER TO UNDERSTAND THE TEXT OF ANOTHER. VARIABLE NAMES IN THE TABLE OF CONTENTS FOLLOWED BY AN ASTERISK HAVE SPECIAL ICPSR STANDARDIZED CODES. THOSE PRECEDED BY AN X DESIGNATE A VARIABLE DEPENDENT ON SOME OTHER VARIABLE DUE TO THE STRUCTURE OF THE INTERVIEW SCHEDULE.

□>> 1952 CODEBOOK INFORMATION

The following example from the 1948 NES study provides the standard format for codebook variable documentation.

Note that NES studies which are not part of the Time-Series usually omit marginals and the descriptive content in lines 2-5 (except for variable name).

Line

```
1  =====
2  VAR 480026    NAME-R NOT VT-WAS R REG TO VT
3                COLUMNS 61    - 61
4                NUMERIC
5                MD=0 OR GE 8
6
7                Q. 17.  (IF R DID NOT VOTE)  WERE YOU REGISTERED (ELIGIBLE)
8                TO VOTE.
9                .....
10
11             82          1.  YES
12            149          2.  NO
13
14             0           8.  DK
15             9           9.  NA
16            422          0.  INAP., R VOTED
```

Line 2 - VARIABLE NAME. Note that in the codebook the variable name (usually a 'number') does not include the "V" prefix which is used in the release SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files) for all variables including those which do not have 'number' names. For example the variable "VERSION" in the codebook is "VVERSION" in the data definition files.

Line 2 - "NAME". This is the variable label used in the SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files). Some codebooks exclude this.

Line 3 - COLUMNS. Columns in the ASCII data file (.dat file).

Line 4 - CHARACTER OR NUMERIC. If numeric and the variable is a decimal rather than integer variable, the number of decimal places is also indicated (e.g. "NUMERIC DEC 4")

Line 5 - Values which are assigned to missing by default in the Study's SAS and and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files).

Line 7 - Actual question text for survey variables or a description of non-survey variables (for example, congressional district). Survey items usually include the question number (for example "Bla.") from the Study questionnaire; beginning in 1996 non-survey items also have unique item numbers (for example "CSheet.1").

Line 9 - A dashed or dotted line usually separates question text from any other documentation which follows.

Line 10- When present, annotation provided by Study staff is presented below the question text/description and preceding code values.

Lines 11-16
Code values are listed with descriptive labels. Valid codes (those not having 'missing' status in line 5) are presented first, followed by the values described in line 5. For continuous variables, one line may appear providing the range of possible values. A blank line usually separates the 'valid' and 'missing' values.

Lines 11-16
Marginals are usually provided for discrete variables. The counts may be unweighted or weighted; check the Study codebook introductory text to determine weight usage.

□>> 1952 VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST

VARIABLE	VARIABLE LABEL
-----	-----
VERSION	NES VERSION NUMBER
DSETNO	NES DATASET NUMBER
520001	ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER
520002	INTERVIEW NUMBER

STUDY PROCEDURE AND SAMPLING

520003 FORM OF REINTERVIEW
520004 NATURE OF (RE) INTERVIEW
520005 REGION OF INTERVIEW
520006 REGION, PRIMARY SAMPLING UNIT AND
POPULATION SIZE
520007 POPULATION SIZE
520008 NUMBER OF ADULTS IN HOUSEHOLD
520009 RELATIONSHIP OF RESPONDENT TO HEAD OF
HOUSEHOLD
520010 DATE INTERVIEW WAS TAKEN
520011 NAME OF INTERVIEWER
520012 CODERS

ELECTION PREDICTIONS BY R

520013 WHO WILL BE ELECTED PRESIDENT
520014 XWILL THE PRESIDENTIAL RACE BE CLOSE OR NOT
520015 XWILL THE VOTE BE CLOSE IN YOUR STATE
520016 XR'S PRESIDENTIAL PREDICTION IN STATE

THE PARTIES AND THE CANDIDATES

520017 IS THERE ANY DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PARTIES
520018 WHAT IS GOOD ABOUT THE DEMOCRATS *
520019 WHAT IS BAD ABOUT THE DEMOCRATS *
520020 WHAT IS GOOD ABOUT THE REPUBLICANS *
520021 WHAT IS BAD ABOUT THE REPUBLICANS *
520022 DOES IT MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE WHICH PARTY
WINS THE ELECTION
520023 XCONTENT OF THE DIFFERENCE IN WHO WINS THE
ELECTION
520024 XWHY IT MAKES NO DIFFERENCE WHO WINS THE
ELECTION
520025 WHAT IS THE FINANCIAL EFFECT OF THE ELECTION
520026 XFRAME OF REFERENCE FOR THE FINANCIAL EFFECT
520027 WHY VOTE FOR STEVENSON *
520028 WHY NOT VOTE FOR STEVENSON *
520029 WHY VOTE FOR EISENHOWER *
520030 WHY NOT VOTE FOR EISENHOWER *
520031 WHICH CANDIDATE WOULD MAKE THE
BEST PRESIDENT
520032 IS EISENHOWER A REAL REPUBLICAN
520033 XWHY EISENHOWER IS OR IS NOT A REAL
REPUBLICAN
520034 DOES R HAVE ANY OPINIONS ABOUT EITHER VICE-
PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE
520035 XRESPONDENT'S REACTION TO SPARKMAN
520036 XRESPONDENT'S REACTION TO NIXON
520037 XOPINION ON VICE-PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE --
PRO-SPARKMAN *
520038 XOPINION ON VICE-PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE --
ANTI-SPARKMAN *
520039 XOPINION ON VICE-PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE --
PRO-NIXON *
520040 XOPINION ON VICE-PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE --
ANTI-NIXON *

POLITICAL INVOLVEMENT

520041 DOES R CARE MUCH WHICH PARTY WINS
520042 XCARE WHO WINS STATE ELECTION
520043 XCARE WHO WINS LOCAL ELECTION
520044 INTEREST IN POLITICAL CAMPAIGNS

DOMESTIC ISSUES AND FOREIGN POLICY

520045 INVOLVEMENT OF GOVERNMENT IN SOCIAL WELFARE
520046 PARTY DIFFERENCES ON SOCIAL WELFARE
520047 GOVERNMENT INVOLVEMENT IN NEGRO EMPLOYMENT
520048 XOTHER SOLUTIONS -- JOBS FOR NEGROES
520049 HEARD ABOUT THE TAFT-HARTLEY LAW
520050 XHOW DOES R FEEL ABOUT THE TAFT-HARTLEY LAW
520051 IS THE UNITED STATES TOO INVOLVED WITH WORLD
PROBLEMS
520052 PARTY DIFFERENCES ON WORLD PROBLEMS
520053 IS THE GOVERNMENT GUILTY BECAUSE CHINA
WENT COMMUNIST
520054 IS THE UNITED STATES RIGHT TO FIGHT IN KOREA
520055 WHAT SHOULD BE OUR POLICIES NOW IN KOREA

SPECIAL QUESTIONS ABOUT EISENHOWER

520056 ARE EISENHOWER'S IDEAS THE SAME AS
REPUBLICANS'
520057 XREASONS EISENHOWER IS OR IS NOT A REAL
REPUBLICAN

PARTY IDENTIFICATION

520058 ARE YOU A DEMOCRAT, REPUBLICAN OR WHAT
520059 XSTRONG OR WEAK DEMOCRAT OR REPUBLICAN
520060 XHAS RESPONDENT'S POLITICAL ALLEGIANCE CHANGED
520061 XWHEN DID RESPONDENT'S POLITICAL ALLEGIANCE
CHANGE *
520062 XSOCIAL REASON FOR POLITICAL ALLEGIANCE
CHANGE
520063 XSUPPOSE YOU DISAGREE WITH THE PARTY
NOMINATION...
520064 XIF R IS AN INDEPENDENT, IS HE CLOSER TO THE
DEMOCRATIC OR THE REPUBLICAN PARTY
520065 XIF R IS AN INDEPENDENT, WAS HE EVER A
DEMOCRAT OR A REPUBLICAN
520066 XWHEN R BECAME AN INDEPENDENT *
520067 XSOCIAL REASON FOR R BECOMING AN INDEPENDENT
520068 FATHER'S POLITICAL PARTY WHEN R WAS YOUNG
520069 MOTHER'S POLITICAL PARTY WHEN R WAS YOUNG
520070 PARENTS' POLITICAL PARTY WHEN R WAS YOUNG
VOTING BEHAVIOR
520071 DOES R ALWAYS VOTE IN PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS
520072 XDOES R ALWAYS VOTE FOR THE SAME PARTY
520073 XDOES R REMEMBER THE FIRST PRESIDENT HE
VOTED FOR
520074 XWHO WAS THE FIRST PRESIDENT R VOTED FOR
520075 XYEAR RESPONDENT FIRST VOTED FOR PRESIDENT

520076 XHOW DID R VOTE IN THE 1948 PRESIDENTIAL
ELECTION
520077 IS RESPONDENT REGISTERED FOR NOVEMBER
ELECTION
520078 DOES RESPONDENT MEET LEGAL RESIDENCE
REQUIREMENT
520079 ARE YOU GOING TO VOTE IN NOVEMBER
520080 XHOW DOES R PLAN TO VOTE IN THIS
PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION
520081 XLIKELIHOOD OF R'S VOTING DEMOCRATIC OR
REPUBLICAN
520082 XWHY WILL R VOTE FOR HIS CHOSEN PRESIDENTIAL
CANDIDATE *
520083 XHOW WILL R VOTE FOR UNITED STATES SENATOR
520084 XHOW WILL R VOTE FOR CONGRESSMAN
520085 XHOW WILL R VOTE FOR GOVERNOR
520086 XIF R WERE TO VOTE FOR PRESIDENT, HOW
WOULD HE VOTE
520087 XWHY WOULD R VOTE FOR HIS CHOSEN
PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE *
520088 R'S OPINION ON STRAIGHT TICKET VOTING
520089 XWHY R APPROVES OR DISAPPROVES OF STRAIGHT
TICKET VOTING

PARTY CONVENTION

520090 R PREFERRED SOME OTHER CANDIDATE AT THE
CONVENTION
520091 XWHY PREFER SOME OTHER NOMINATION (DEM) *
520092 XWHY PREFER SOME OTHER NOMINATION (REP) *
520093 IS THE TRADITIONAL PARTY CONVENTION GOOD
OR BAD
520094 XREASON FOR APPROVAL OR DISAPPROVAL OF THE
TRADITIONAL PARTY CONVENTION
520095 XSUGGESTED REFORMS FOR CONVENTIONS

COGNITIONS ABOUT VOTING BEHAVIOR OF VARIOUS
GROUPS

520096 PERCEIVED FARMER VOTE
520097 PERCEIVED WORKING CLASS VOTE
520098 PERCEIVED NEGRO VOTE
520099 PERCEIVED MIDDLE CLASS VOTE
520100 PERCEIVED BIG BUSINESS VOTE
520101 PERCEIVED LABOR UNION VOTE
520102 PERCEIVED PROTESTANT VOTE
520103 PERCEIVED CATHOLIC VOTE
520104 PERCEIVED JEWISH VOTE
520105 XREASONS FOR FARMER VOTE
520106 XREASONS FOR WORKING CLASS VOTE
520107 XREASONS FOR NEGRO VOTE
520108 XREASONS FOR MIDDLE CLASS VOTE
520109 XREASONS FOR BIG BUSINESS VOTE
520110 XREASONS FOR LABOR UNION VOTE
520111 XREASONS FOR PROTESTANT VOTE
520112 XREASONS FOR CATHOLIC VOTE
520113 XREASONS FOR JEWISH VOTE

520114 IF R IS MARRIED, MATE'S VOTE

520115 VOTE OF FIVE BEST FRIENDS

POLITICAL EFFICACY AND CITIZEN DUTY

520116 ORDER OF EFFICACY QUESTIONS
520117 SHOULD ONE VOTE IF HIS PARTY CAN'T WIN
520118 THE PEOPLE'S OPINION IS UNIMPORTANT TO THE
GOVERNMENT
520119 PEOPLE'S VOTE IS THE MAIN DETERMINATOR OF
POLICY
520120 VOTING IS THE ONLY WAY TO INFLUENCE THE
GOVERNMENT
520121 LOCAL ELECTIONS ARE UNIMPORTANT
520122 ONE VOTE IS UNIMPORTANT IN THE TOTAL VOTE
520123 PEOPLE HAVE NO SAY IN GOVERNMENT
520124 IF ONE DOES NOT CARE, ONE NEED NOT VOTE IN
ELECTIONS
520125 POLITICS TOO COMPLICATED TO UNDERSTAND
520126 WHAT SOCIAL CLASS ARE YOU
520127 IS THERE MUCH OPPORTUNITY IN TODAY'S SOCIETY
520128 SEX OF RESPONDENT
520129 RACE OF RESPONDENT
520130 WHAT YEAR WERE YOU BORN
520131 EDUCATION
520132 WHAT IS YOUR OCCUPATION
520133 XIS R SELF-EMPLOYED OR NOT
520134 XOCCUPATION IF R IS UNEMPLOYED OR RETIRED
520135 WHAT WORK DOES HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD DO
520136 XIS HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD SELF-EMPLOYED
520137 XHEAD OF HOUSEHOLD'S OCCUPATION IF
UNEMPLOYED OR RETIRED
520138 CATEGORIZED AGE OF HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD
520139 IS R OR HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD A UNION MEMBER
520140 WHO WAS HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD WHEN RESPONDENT
WAS YOUNG
520141 XOCCUAPTION OF HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD WHEN
RESPONDENT WAS YOUNG
520142 ACTUAL AGE OF R
520143 WHERE WERE YOU BORN *
520144 XWHERE DID YOU GROW UP *
520145 WHERE WAS R RAISED (FARM OR CITY)
520146 WERE PARENTS BORN IN UNITED STATES
520147 XFATHER'S FATHER'S COUNTRY *
520148 XFATHER'S MOTHER'S COUNTRY *
520149 XMOTHER'S FATHER'S COUNTRY *
520150 XMOTHER'S MOTHER'S COUNTRY *
520151 XFATHER'S NATIVE COUNTRY *
520152 XMOTHER'S NATIVE COUNTRY *
520153 HOW LONG HAS R LIVED IN THE UNITED STATES
520154 HOW LONG HAS R LIVED IN HIS PRESENT STATE
520155 DOES R PLAN TO STAY HERE IN ----
520156 DO YOU OWN, RENT OR WHAT
520157 HAVE YOU ANY CHILDREN IN LOCAL SCHOOL
520158 RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE
520159 HOW OFTEN DOES R ATTEND CHURCH
520160 TOTAL FAMILY INCOME
520161 INTERVIEWER'S RATING OF SAMPLE ADDRESS ON
SOCIAL CLASS
520162 ARE R, HIS PARENTS, HIS GRANDPARENTS NATIVE

int1952.txt

OR FOREIGN BORN

520163 XR'S OR PATERNAL ANCESTORS' NATIVITY
520164 XR'S MATERNAL ANCESTORS' NATIVITY
520165 XPLACE OF BIRTH OF GRANDFATHER *
520166 XPLACE OF BIRTH OF GRANDMOTHER *
520167 CATEGORIZED AGE OF RESPONDENT

POST-ELECTION

STUDY PROCEDURE

520168 REGION OF POST-ELECTION INTERVIEW
520169 DATE POST-ELECTION INTERVIEW WAS TAKEN
520170 POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWER'S NAME

EISENHOWER'S ELECTION

520171 DOES IT MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE THAT EISENHOWER
WON
520172 XREASONS FOR DIFFERENCE BECAUSE EISENHOWER
WON *

MASS MEDIA USEAGE

520173 DID R READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN IN THE PAPER
520174 DID R HEAR ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN ON THE RADIO
520175 DID R SEE MUCH OF THE CAMPAIGN ON TELEVISION
520176 DID R READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN IN MAGAZINES
520177 WHICH MEDIA WERE MOST INFORMATIVE

VOTING BEHAVIOR

520178 1952 PRESIDENTIAL VOTE OR NONVOTER PREFERENCE
520179 R'S 1948 PRESIDENTIAL VOTE
520180 XWHY DIDN'T YOU VOTE
520181 XWERE YOU REGISTERED TO VOTE
520182 XWHY DID R VOTE FOR EISENHOWER OR STEVENSON *
520183 XWHEN DID R DECIDE ON HIS VOTING PREFERENCE
520184 XDID R CONSIDER VOTING FOR THE OPPOSITION
520185 XWHY DIDN'T HE VOTE FOR THE OPPOSITE
CANDIDATE *
520186 XHOW DID R VOTE FOR SENATOR
520187 XHOW DID R VOTE FOR CONGRESSMAN
520188 XHOW DID R VOTE FOR GOVERNOR
520189 XDID R VOTE A STRAIGHT PARTY TICKET

INFLUENCE OF FACE TO FACE CONTACTS

520190 DID ANY PARTY PERSON TALK TO YOU
520191 XDID THE CONVERSATION INFLUENCE YOU
520192 HOW DID R'S FRIENDS VOTE
520193 XDID FRIENDS' OPINIONS INFLUENCE YOU
520194 XHOW DID R'S COWORKERS VOTE
520195 XDID COWORKERS' OPINIONS INFLUENCE YOU
520196 XHOW DID R'S MATE VOTE
520197 XDID MATE'S OPINION INFLUENCE YOU
520198 XHOW DID YOUR FAMILY VOTE
520199 XDID YOUR FAMILY'S OPINIONS INFLUENCE YOU

INFLUENCE OF CAMPAIGN

520200 XWAS VOTE INFLUENCED BY THE CAMPAIGN
520201 XPARTY DIRECTION OF THE CAMPAIGN INFLUENCE
520202 XCAMPAIGN EVENTS MENTIONED BY R

POLITICAL ACTIVITY

520203 DID R TRY TO INFLUENCE ANOTHER'S POLITICAL
OPINION
520204 DID R GIVE MONEY TO A PARTY OR CANDIDATE
520205 DID R EVER GO TO A POLITICAL MEETING
520206 DID R WORK FOR A PARTY OR A CANDIDATE
520207 DOES R BELONG TO A POLITICAL CLUB OR
ORGANIZATION

QUESTIONS ASKED ONLY OF FORM B RESPONDENTS

MEMBERSHIP IN ORGANIZATIONS

520208 NUMBER OF FORMAL ORGANIZATIONS RESPONDENT IS
A MEMBER OF
520209 NUMBER OF INFORMAL ORGANIZATIONS RESPONDENT
IS A MEMBER OF
520210 TOTAL ORGANIZATIONS RESPONDENT IS A MEMBER OF
520211 NUMBER OF FORMAL ORGANIZATIONS RESPONDENT IS
ACTIVE IN
520212 NUMBER INFORMAL ORGANIZATIONS RESPONDENT IS
ACTIVE IN
520213 TOTAL ORGANIZATIONS RESPONDENT IS ACTIVE IN
520214 ECONOMIC OR PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS
520215 RELIGIOUS, CHARITABLE OR WELFARE
ORGANIZATIONS
520216 FORMAL SOCIAL AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATIONS
520217 MISCELLANEOUS FORMAL ORGANIZATIONS
520218 INFORMAL ORGANIZATIONS -- SPORTS

AUTHORITARIANISM

520219 WAR AND CONFLICT ARE ALWAYS PRESENT
520220 KIDS NEED STRICT DISCIPLINE
520221 LEADERS ARE BETTER THAN LAWS
520222 FAILURES LACK WILL POWER
520223 IT IS BAD THAT WOMEN ARE IN POLITICS
520224 ONE SHOULD NOT FORGET AN INSULT
520225 PEOPLE CAN BE TRUSTED
520226 TODAY THERE IS MUCH TALK AND LITTLE WORK
520227 SEX CRIMINALS NEED WHIPPING
520228 WOMEN SHOULD HAVE LESS FREEDOM THAN MEN

PERSONAL DATA (EXTENDED)

520229 RESPONDENT'S OCCUPATION IN 1948
520230 XWAS R SELF EMPLOYED IN 1948
520231 OCCUPATION OF HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD IN 1948
520232 XWAS HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD SELF EMPLOYED IN 1948
520233 NATURE OF R'S SECONDARY SCHOOL
520234 WERE YOU OR HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD EVER IN THE

int1952.txt

MILITARY
520235 XRESPONDENT'S YEARS OF MILITARY SERVICE
520236 XHEAD OF HOUSEHOLD'S YEARS OF MILITARY SERVICE
520237 PARTY IDENTIFICATION AND STRENGTH INDEX
(FOR ALL RESPONDENTS)