Version 2005-Oct-06 Codebook
---------------------------------
VARIABLE DESCRIPTION FILE
2000 PRE-POST STUDY
(2000.T)

==================================
VERSION   Process.1. ANES VERSION NUMBER OF DATA
Numeric

Process1.

VERSION NUMBER

This is the 2-digit number corresponding to version
of the data file. (The initial release of the raw data
file is data version 01.) See the introductory section
of this codebook for note on "version" and "dataset".

==================================
DSETID    Process.2. ANES DATASET NUMBER
Character

Process2.

ANES DATASET NUMBER

Dataset number 2000.T.
See the introductory section of this codebook for note on
"version" and "dataset" numbers.

==================================
ICPSRNO   Process.2a. ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER 3131
Numeric

Process2a.

ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER 3131

This is the study number within the ICPSR archive.

==================================
V000001    Process.4. Case ID
Numeric

Process4.

ANES 2000 CASE ID

ANES 2000 Case ID corresponds to the Pre-election ID.
There are gaps in the numbering (0001-1812)

==================================
V000001a   Process.4a. Post ID
Numeric
There are gaps in the numbering.

0001-1557 Pos Interviews
2001-2254 Post non-interviews

This is a 6-digit variable with a coded decimal point and 4 actual decimal places.
A poststratification adjustment using the 2000 CPS March Supplement estimates as the standard was done for the combined RDD and area samples. The cells were formed by crossing 6 age groups by 4 levels of education. The age groups were: 18-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59, 60-69, 70+. The education levels were: < high school graduation, high school graduate, some college, and 4 years of college or more.

This is a 6-digit variable with a coded decimal point and 4 actual decimal places.

0. No Post IW

0. Pre-Election and Post-Election interviews
1. Pre-Election Interview only

0 1
----- -----
Count 1555 252
Pre-Admin.1.

PRE: Mode of Pre IW

1. Personal (FTF)
5. Telephone

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Admin.2a.

PRE: Form - E section administered in Pre

Section E questions about R's personal financial situation were randomly selected to be administered either in Pre section E or Post section H.

0. Section E not administered in Pre [Post section H V001410-V001417]
1. Section E administered in Pre [V000398-V000406]

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>880</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Admin.2b.

PRE: Form - F6-F9 half sample

The series of questions F6-F9 concerning "most important problem" was administered to a randomly selected half sample in the Pre

0. R not selected for F6-F9 Pre administration
1. R selected for F6-F9 Pre administration [V000431-V000438]

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>902</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pre-Admin.2c.

PRE: Form - Clinton retrospective Q1-Q11 series or Clinton affects/traits Q14-Q15 series

Rs were randomly selected to be administered either the Clinton retrospective (Q1-Q11) or the Clinton traits and affects questions (Q14-Q15 sections) in the Pre. The questions for which R was not selected for Pre administration were administered in the Post (S1-S11 and the S14-S15 sections).

1. Q1-Q11 asked in Pre [Clinton retrospective V000807-V000846]
2. Q14-Q15 sections asked in Pre [Clinton affects and traits [V000847-V000861]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>916</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Admin.2d.


Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard 7-point scale format for Liberal/Conservative placements, or to an experimental branching format. The standard format items are G1-G5 (FTF) and G1.T-G5.T (phone); the experimental format items are G6.E-G10.E (FTF and phone).

SELF PLACEMENT
- G1a Standard format FTF = V000439
- G1a.T Standard format Ph = V000439a

CLINTON PLACEMENT
- G2 Standard format FTF = V000448
- G2.T Standard format Ph = V000448a
- G7.E-G7b.E Experimental FTF+Ph = V000450-452

GORE PLACEMENT
- G3 Standard format FTF = V000455
- G3.T Standard format Ph = V000455a
- G8.E-G8b.E Experimental FTF+Ph = V000459-461

GW BUSH PLACEMENT
- G4 Standard format FTF = V000465
- G4.T Standard format Ph = V000465a

BUCHANAN PLACEMENT
- G5 Standard format FTF = V000475
- G5.T Standard format Ph = V000475a
**G10.E-G10b.E Experimental FTF+Ph = V000479-481**


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>868</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000005e  Pre.Admin.2e. Form - H1 or H1.E
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2e.

PRE: Form - H1 or H1.E economy retrospective format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (H1) or experimental (H1.E) format.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>908</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000005f  Pre.Admin.2f. Form - H2 or H2.E
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2f.

PRE: Form - H2 or H2.E employment retrospective format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (H2) or experimental (H2.E) format.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>901</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000005g  Pre.Admin.2g. Form - H4 or H4.E
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2g.

PRE: Form - H4 or H4.E economy prospective format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard
(H4) or experimental (H4.E) format.

1. Standard format H4 [V000496a]
2. Experimental format H4.E [V000496b]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>911</td>
<td>896</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V000005h Pre.Admin.2h. Form - H11 or H11.E
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2h.

PRE: Form - H11 or H11.E imports format
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (H11) or experimental (H11.E) format.

1. Standard format H11 [V000511a]
2. Experimental format H11.E [V000511b]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>897</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V000005j Pre.Admin.2j. Form - H12 or H12.E
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2j.

PRE: Form - H12 or H12.E isolationism format
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (H12) or experimental (H12.E) format.

1. Standard format H12 [V000513a]
2. Experimental format H12.E [V000513b]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>916</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V000005k Pre.Admin.2k. Form - L3 medical insur.
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2k.

PRE: Form - L3/L3.T or L3.E/L3.TE medical insurance format
---------------------------------------------------------------------
FTF (7-point scale): Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard format for Govt/Private Health Insurance self-placement, or to an experimental format. The standard format is L3a; the experimental format (with reversed scale) is L3a.E.

PHONE (branching): Respondents were randomly assigned to either version 1 or version 2. The version 1 format is L3a; the version 2 format (with reversed coding) is L3a.E.

1. FTF Standard L3a/ Phone Version 1 L3a.T [V000608a/V000610a]  
2. FTF Experimental L3a.E/ Phone Version 2 L3a.TE [V000608b/V000610b]  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>891</td>
<td>916</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000005m Pre.Admin.2m. Form - L6 or L6.E Numeric

Pre-Admin.2m.

PRE: Form - L6 or L6.E affirmative action format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (L6) or experimental (L6.E) format.

1. Standard format L6 [V000671a]  
2. Experimental format L6.E [V000671b]  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>880</td>
<td>927</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000005n Pre.Admin.2n. Form - M4 env vs. jobs Numeric

Pre-Admin.2n.

PRE: Form - M4a/M4a.T or M4a.E/M4a.TE environment vs. jobs format

FTF (7-point scale): Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard format for Environment Versus Jobs self-placement, or to an experimental format. The standard format is M4a; the experimental format (without "haven't thought much" option) is M4a.E.

PHONE (branching): Respondents were randomly assigned to either version 1 or version 2. The version 1 format is M4a; the version 2 (without "haven't thought much" option) format is M4a.E.

1. FTF Standard M4a/ Phone Version 1 M4a.T [V000707a/V000709a]  
2. FTF Experimental M4a.E/ Phone Version 2 M4a.TE [V000707b/V000709b]
V000005p  Pre.Admin.2p. Form - N1 or N1.E
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2p.

PRE: Form - N1 or N1.E school voucher format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (N1) or experimental (N1.E) format.

1. Standard format N1 [V000741a]
2. Experimental format N1.E [V000741b]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>882</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000005q  Pre.Admin.2q. Form - P1 women's role
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2q.

PRE: Form - P1/P1.T or P1.E/P1.TE women equal role format

FTF (7-point scale): Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard format for Women's Equal Role self-placement, or to an experimental format. The standard format is Pla; the experimental format (without "haven't thought much" option) is Pla.E.

PHONE (branching): Respondents were randomly assigned to either version 1 or version 2. The version 1 format is Pla.T; the version 2 format (without "haven't thought much" option) is Pla.TE.

1. FTF Standard Pla / Phone Version 1 Pla.T [V000754a/V000756a]
2. FTF Experimental Pla.E/Phone Version 2 Pla.TE [V000754b/V000756b]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>902</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000006  Pre.Admin.3. Month of IW
Numeric

Pre-Admin.3.

PRE: Month of Interview

09. September
10. October
11. November

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>831</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>262</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

====================================================================
V000007  Pre.Admin.4. Day of IW
Numeric

Pre-Admin.4.

PRE: Day of Interview

Coded 1-31

====================================================================
V000008  Pre.Admin.5. Month and day (MMDD)
Character

Pre-Admin.5.

PRE: Month and day (MMDD) of Interview

This is the day and month of interview in MMDD format.

====================================================================
V000009  Pre.Admin.6. No. days after election
Numeric

Pre-Admin.6.

PRE: Number of days before election

Election day was November 7, 2000.
1-63 days

====================================================================
V000010  Pre.Admin.7. IW length
MD:   EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Admin.7.

PRE: Interview length

Note: interview length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the interview as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Average Pre interview length is 68.35 minutes.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA; INAP, partial IW (5 in Pre-Admin.26)

V000011 Pre.Admin.8. IW number
 numeric

Pre-Admin.8.

PRE: Interview number

01-53

V000012 Pre.Admin.9. Date of beginning VQ file
 numeric

Pre-Admin.9.

PRE: Date of beginning VQ file

This refers to the VQ file loaded when the interview began. Changes were made to the VQ (application) while in the field. The next variable documents the VQ version in use at the end of the interview; note that if an interview was temporarily halted and a new VQ was installed prior to the resumption of interviewing, the VQ in effect for some questions would not be the VQ coded here.

0. VQ dated 8/15
1. VQ dated 8/30
2. VQ dated 9/5
3. VQ dated 9/6
4. VQ dated 9/8
5. VQ dated 9/25
6. VQ dated 9/28
7. VQ dated 9/29
8. VQ dated 10/5
9. VQ dated 10/19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>444</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000013 Pre.Admin.10. Date of ending VQ file
MD: EQ 99
 numeric

Pre-Admin.10.

PRE: Date of ending VQ file
This refers to the VQ file in use when the interview concluded. Changes were made to the VQ (application) while in the field. Note that if an interview was temporarily halted and a new VQ was installed prior to the resumption of interviewing, the VQ in effect for some questions would not be the beginning VQ (previous var).

0. VQ dated 8/15
1. VQ dated 8/30
2. VQ dated 9/5
3. VQ dated 9/6
4. VQ dated 9/8
5. VQ dated 9/25
6. VQ dated 9/28
7. VQ dated 9/29
8. VQ dated 10/5
9. VQ dated 10/19

99. INAP, partial IW (5 in Pre-Admin.26)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000014 Pre.Admin.11. Flag- change in VQ
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Admin.11.

PRE: Flag- change in VQ

Several changes to the application were made during the field period. This variable flags whether a suspended interview was resumed with a different version of the application.

0. No change
1. Change in VQ

9. NA, partial IW (5 in Pre-Admin.26)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1694</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000015 Pre.Admin.12. Advance letter sent?
MD: EQ 9
### Pre-Admin.12

#### PRE: Advance letter sent?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No advance letter sent</th>
<th>Advance letter sent</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Count | 335 | 1467 | 5  |

### Pre-Admin.13

#### PRE: Payment amount

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R requested no payment</th>
<th>Twenty dollars</th>
<th>Forty dollars</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Count | 8    | 1396 | 389 | 14  |

### Pre-Admin.14

#### PRE: Payment mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R requested no payment</th>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Cash</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Count | 8     | 1615  | 169  | 15  |

---

V000016 Pre.Admin.13. Payment amount

MD: EQ 99

V000017 Pre.Admin.14. Payment mode

MD: EQ 9
V000018 Pre.Admin.15. Payment date
MD: EQ 9999
Character
Pre-Admin.15.

PRE: Payment date (MMDD)
----------------------------------------

9999. NA; R requested no payment

==============================================
V000019 Pre.Admin.16. Tape recorded?
Numeric
Pre-Admin.16.

PRE: Tape recorded?
----------------------------------------

New interviewers had to tape record 2 of their first 5
production interviews; experienced interviewers were required to
tape record 1 of their first 3 production interviews (FTF or
phone). Tape recording was conducted with the respondent's
permission. The IWR made a self-evaluation and sent the tapes to
their field supervisor.

1. Yes
5. No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>1646</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
V000020 Pre.Admin.17. Verification
Numeric
Pre-Admin.17.

PRE: Verification
----------------------------------------

Approximately 15 percent of interviews (completed or partially
completed) were randomly selected and verified by field supervisors
for new interviewers, and 6 percent were randomly selected and verified
for SRC-experienced interviewers.

Verification was attempted by phone (phone interviews) or by letter
(FTF interviews) within 3 days of interview date. If no contact/
response with the respondent was made after 3 attempts, a replacement
line was selected. The respondent was asked whether they were
interviewed by the interviewer of record, the approximate length of the
interview and whether or not the interview was interesting; in
addition, 3 questions were asked about content of the interview.
NOTE: for verification codes, a "don't remember" response is considered
a non-match.

0. Counted for Ann Arbor office, not selected for verification
1. Flagged for verification (interview number selected)
2. Verified OK (all verification data match original data exactly)
3. Verified with discrepancy (only one piece of verification data does not match; everything else matches exactly)
4. Not verified (more than 1 piece of information reported by the respondent at the time of verification is different from that collected at the time of the original interview or finalizing the case; further probing results in assignment of either code 3 or code 4 as a final code)
5. Unable to verify (R is contacted but is unwilling to participate in verification exercise or the respondent cannot be reached; this includes: reaching the household by telephone but not reaching the respondent, and includes sending the verification letter to a household but no letter returned after 3 attempts)
6. Verification limit met (used when the verifier has already completed the needed verification level).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1509</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000021 Pre.Admin.18. Evaluation
Numeric

Pre-Admin.18.

PRE: Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1694</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000022 Pre.Admin.19. Conversion indicator
Numeric

Pre-Admin.19.

PRE: Refusal conversion indicator

This refers to interviews initially refused by someone when an interviewer was first attempting contact. The source of this refusal may or may not have been the Respondent; result code 43 [contact, resistance by R] or 46 [resistance not by R] for any call (not the final
Conversion may be made by the initial interviewer or another interviewer. If the conversion is not made by the initial interviewer, the interviewer of record is the interviewer obtaining the conversion.

0. Not a refusal conversion situation
1. Refusal conversion situation indicated

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Count</strong></td>
<td>1534</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000023  Pre.Admin.20. Persuasion letter
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Admin.20.
PRE: Persuasion letter - flag

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Count</strong></td>
<td>1716</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000024  Pre.Admin.21. Date per letter requested
MD:  EQ 9999
Character

Pre-Admin.21.
PRE: Date persuasion letter requested (MMDD)

9999. No persuasion letter requested

V000025  Pre.Admin.22. Date persuasion sent
MD:  EQ 9999
Character

Pre-Admin.22.
PRE: Date persuasion letter sent (MMDD)

9999. NA; INAP, no persuasion letter requested (9999 in Pre-Admin.21)

V000026  Pre.Admin.23. Type of persuasion letter
Pre-Admin.23.

PRE: Type of persuasion letter
-------------------------------------------------------------

1. A. Too busy
2. B. Too personal
3. C. Not interested
4. D. Too old
5. E. Evasive
6. F. Gatekeeper
7. G. Not at home
9. NA
0. INAP, no persuasion letter sent (0,9 in Pre-Admin.20)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1717</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000027 Pre.Admin.24. Telephone calls
Numeric

Pre-Admin.24.

PRE: Number of telephone calls
-------------------------------------------------------------

00-42

V000028 Pre.Admin.25. FTF calls
Numeric

Pre-Admin.25.

PRE: Number of face-to-face (FTF) calls
-------------------------------------------------------------

00-22

V000029 Pre.Admin.26. Final result
Numeric

Pre-Admin.26.

PRE: Final result
-------------------------------------------------------------

1. Interview, complete
5. Interview, partial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1801</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------------------------------------------
V000030  Pre.Admin.27. Sample release (all 1)
Numeric

Pre-Admin.27.

Sample release (all 1)
---------------------------------------------

All cases coded 1.
There was a single release of the entire sample.

1. Released at start of the field period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1807</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------------------------------------------
V000031  Pre.Admin.28. Lang of IW (all English)
Numeric

Pre-Admin.28.

PRE: Language of IW (all English)
---------------------------------------------

All interviews conducted entirely in English (code 1)

1. English

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1807</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------------------------------------------
V000032  Pre.CSheet.1. Flag- missing CS
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.1.

PRE - COVERSHEET:
Flag- missing Coversheet
---------------------------------------------

0. Coversheet not missing
1. Missing coversheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1783</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V000033 Pre.CSheet.2. Color of coversheet
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.2.

PRE - COVERSHEET:
Color of coversheet

FTF coversheets were blue or green. Telephone coversheets were yellow.
GREEN COVERSHEETS: used by FTF interviewers when updating the area probability sample. Type II updates involved addition of unlisted (hidden) HUs at the selected listed address: for example, once the IWR was inside the HU, he or she may have noticed that the house was divided into separate and complete apartment units that were not apparent from outside. Each interviewer received a set of unlabeled coversheets that had a provision for adding up to three unlisted HUs discovered at the listed address.

1. Blue
2. Yellow
4. Green - FTF type II updating
9. Missing coversheet (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>984</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000034 Pre.CSheet.3. PH- type phone #
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.3.

IF TELEPHONE RDD:

PRE - COVERSHEET Item 3:
Since the phone number I dialed is produced by a computer, I do not know whether I'm calling a business, a home, a dormitory, or some other type of residence. (Which is it?)

1. Business
2. Home
3. Both
4. Group headquarters
5. Cell phone

9. NA; Phone mode and coversheet missing (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)
0. Inap, assigned FTF mode
Pre-CSheet.4.

**If Telephone RDD:**

**If Business Phone Number:**

PRE - COVERSHEET Item 3a:
Does anyone live there on the premises?

1. Yes
5. No.
9. NA
0. Inap, 2, 3, 4, 5, 9 in Pre-Csheet.3; assigned FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>735</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-CSheet.5.

**If Telephone RDD:**

**If Business Phone Number:**

**If Someone Lives on the Premises:**

PRE - COVERSHEET Item 3b:
Do (they/you) have a different phone number inside (their/your) residence that is for personal use?

1. Have other
2. Use this
9. NA
0. Inap, 5, 9 in Pre-Csheet.4; 2, 3, 4, 5, 9 in Pre-Csheet.3; assigned FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1805</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V000037   Pre.CSheet.6. FTF- HH listing from
          MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9
          Numeric

Pre-CSheet.6.  

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

PRE - COVERSHEET Item 6:
Household listing obtained from:
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. HU member  
2. Neighbor  
3. Apt. manager  
4. Landlord  
5. Observation  
7. Other (specify)  
9. NA; FTF and coversheet missing (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)  
0. Inap, assigned phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Selection Table itself consists of 2 parallel
columns. In the first column the interviewer circles the
total number of eligible adults in the household; in the
second column (same row) is identified the Number" of the
person who will be the respondent.

There are 8 different Selection Tables used and they are
randomly assigned. A summary describing all 8 Selection Tables
appears below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERSONS</th>
<th>PERSON SELECTED (person &quot;Number&quot;)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 or more</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Selection table A
2. Selection table B1
3. Selection table B2
4. Selection table C
5. Selection table D
6. Selection table E1
7. Selection table E2
8. Selection table F

9. Missing coversheet (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V000039  Pre.CSheet.8. Number of elig adults
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.8.

PRE - COVERSHEET
HOUSEHOLD LISTING:
Number of eligible adults

Note: In addition to completing the HH listing on the
coversheet, the IWR entered a value for number of eligible
respondents in CSMS. For this reason, cases with missing
coversheets may be coded in this variable.

1-7

9. NA
Pre-CSheet.9.

**PRE - COVERSHEET**
**HOUSEHOLD LISTING:**
Number ineligible adults

These are usually adults (over 18) in the HU who are noncitizens. Note: cases with missing coversheets may be coded in this variable from information in call records/thumbnails.

0-6

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1743</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-CSheet.10.

**PRE - COVERSHEET**
**HOUSEHOLD LISTING:**
Household composition

The HH composition code is derived from information provided in the household listing. "Adults" are 18 years of age (by election day) or older; all individuals included in the HH composition codes below refer to adults.

A designation of a HH member as "the householder" (HHR) describes HU member who owns or rents the home (in whose name the lease is held). Cohabiting couples are coded as married (30,40, 50-52). Roommates of opposite sex are coded 11,12,21,22; in all cases of unrelated roommates the respondent is HHR.

If R identifies self as married/partnered but spouse/partner
is residing elsewhere (nursing home, job requirement or other reason), R is coded as adult male HHR/adult female HHR (codes 10-22 and 60-95) and is identified as married/partnered in marital status question.

In cases 8,49,77,157,377,535,580,814,1087,1117,1202,1212,1381,1639,1731 the partner/spouse identified in V000909 (marital status) was not a resident of the HU or was residing elsewhere on temporary basis. In cases 1281,1516,46,312,133,23,222 there is no information about spouse/partner in HH listing or elsewhere other than marital status question.

Note: cases with missing coversheets may be coded in this variable from information in call records/thumbnails.

10. 1 adult male HHR
11. 1 adult male HHR plus 1 other non-relative
12. 1 adult male HHR plus 2 or more non-relatives
20. 1 adult female HHR
21. 1 adult female HHR plus 1 other non-relative
22. 1 adult female HHR plus 2 or more non-relatives
30. 1 married couple: no children or all children living at home are under 18
40. 1 married couple plus 1 other relative
50. 1 married couple plus 2 or more other relatives
51. 1 married couple plus 1 other non-relative
52. 1 married couple plus 2 or more non-relatives
55. 1 married couple plus relatives and non-relatives
60. 1 male HHR plus 1 other relative
65. 1 male HHR plus relatives and nonrelatives
70. 1 male HHR plus 2 or more other relatives
80. 1 female HHR plus 1 other relative
85. 1 female HHR plus relatives and non-relatives
90. 1 female HHR plus 2 or more other relatives
95. Relatives of opposite sex, unclear who is HHR
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PRE - COVERSHEET

HOUSEHOLD LISTING:
R person number

Note: cases with missing coversheets may be coded in this variable from information in call records/thumbnails.

Coded 1-5

9. NA

<p>| | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1107</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000043 Pre.CSheet.12. FTF- type structure
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.12.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD1:
Describe the type of structure in which the respondent live (sample address)

1. Mobile home
2. Detached single family
3. Multi-family
4. Apartment house
5. Condo complex
7. Other (specify)

9. NA; missing coversheet (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)
0. Inap, assigned phone mode

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>658</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000044 Pre.CSheet.13. FTF- gatekeeper
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.13.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
Contact Description Item CD2:
Is there a building manager, security guard or other
gatekeeper whose cooperation you need in order to gain
access to the R's housing unit?

1. Yes
2. No, but building is locked/ subdivision is gated and locked
5. No
9. NA; missing coversheet (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)
0. Inap, assigned phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>876</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------
V000045 Pre.CSheet.14. FTF- type gatekeeper
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.14.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
IF GATEKEEPER/GUARD/ MANAGER/LOCKED ACCESS:

Contact Description Item CD2a:
Check the box below which best describes the situation

1. Building manager or other Gatekeeper must let you in the
   building (on the grounds, into the mobile home park) but then
   you are free to attempt contact with R's HU
2. Building manager/other Gatekeeper must get permission from
   someone in R's HU before you are allowed to make contact
   with the household
7. Other (specify)
9. NA
0. Inap, 5, 9 in Pre-Csheet.13; assigned phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1738</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------
V000046 Pre.CSheet.15. Resistance from contact?
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.15.

Contact Description Item CD3a:
Was there resistance from any contact person?
1. Yes
5. No
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>458</td>
<td>1322</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-CSheet.16.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(1):
Resistance from contact person - SURVEYS WASTE OF TIME;
PREVIOUS BAD EXPERIENCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1349</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-CSheet.17.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(2):
Resistance from contact person - CONTENT TOO PERSONAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Pre-CSheet.18.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(3):
Resistance from contact person - CONFIDENTIALITY

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1349</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-CSheet.19.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(4):
Resistance from contact person - NOT INTERESTED IN POLITICS

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1349</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-CSheet.20.
IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(5):
Resistance from contact person - TOO BUSY

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-CSheet.15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count 1349 125 227 106</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000052 Pre.CSheet.21. Contact resist-health
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.21.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(6):
Resistance from contact person - POOR HEALTH

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-CSheet.15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count 1349 15 337 106</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000053 Pre.CSheet.22. Contact resist-other
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.22.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(7):
Resistance from contact person - OTHER (SPECIFY)

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1349</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000054 Pre.CSheet.23. R refuse initially?
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.23.

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3e:
Did R refuse initially?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>1530</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000055 Pre.CSheet.24. R break appointments
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.24.

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3f:
Did respondent break any appointments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1522</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000056 Pre.CSheet.25. Was R contact resister?
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.25.
PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3g:
If contact persons resisted [if CD3a was "YES"], was the respondent the contact person who gave resistance?

1. Yes
5. No
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1349</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-CSheet.26.

SUMMARY: resistance (built)

This counts any resistance identified according to Pre-CSheet.25 or in Pre-CSheet.27-Pre-CSheet.33; however, cases "not marked" throughout variables Pre-CSheet.27-Pre-CSheet.33 may have been unmarked due to complete omission rather than due to the inapplicability of any of the resistance categories to R.

1. Yes, some resistance identified
5. No resistance identified
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-CSheet.27.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(1):
Resistance from R - SURVEYS WASTE OF TIME; PREVIOUS BAD EXPERIENCE

1. Marked
5. Not marked

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000059  Pre.CSheet.28. R resist-too personal
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.28.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(2):
 Resistance from R - CONTENT TOO PERSONAL

1. Marked
5. Not marked

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000060  Pre.CSheet.29. R resist-confidentiality
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.29.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(3):
Resistance from R - CONFIDENTIALITY

1. Marked
5. Not marked

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000061  Pre.CSheet.30. R resist-not interested in politics
PRE-CSheet.30.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(4):
Resistance from R - NOT INTERESTED IN POLITICS

1. Marked
5. Not marked

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000062 Pre.CSheet.31. R resist-too busy

PRE-CSheet.31.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(5):
Resistance from R - TOO BUSY

1. Marked
5. Not marked

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000063 Pre.CSheet.32. R resist-health

PRE-CSheet.32.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(6):
Resistance from R - POOR HEALTH
1. Marked  
5. Not marked  

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000064 Pre.CSheet.33. R resist-other  
MD:  EQ 0  
Numeric  

Pre-CSheet.33.  
IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:  

PRE - COVERSHEET  
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(7):  
Resistance from R - OTHER (SPECIFY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Marked</th>
<th>2. Not marked</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000065 Pre.CSheet.34. IWR est income (CSMS)  
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8  
Numeric  

Pre-CSheet.34.  
IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

PRE - COVERSHEET  
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION  
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 1):  
What is the estimated income of R's household?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Under $10,000</th>
<th>2. $10,000-25,000</th>
<th>3. $25,000-50,000</th>
<th>4. $50,000-105,000</th>
<th>5. $105,000 and above</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0. INAP, Phone mode
PRE - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 2):
What is the race of R's household?

See also survey variables Y30(1)-Y30(3) for self-description by the respondent, item ZZ.2 describing IWR's observation of R's race, and the Post equivalent of this variable in Post-CSheet.31.

1. White
2. Black
3. American Indian
4. Asian
7. Other
8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP, Phone mode

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

Pre-CSheet.35.

Pre-CSheet.36.

IF INTERVIEWER HAS IDENTIFIED RACE OF HOUSEHOLD:

Pre-CSheet.36.
1. Definite
5. Probable

9. NA
0. INAP, 0,8,9 in Pre-Csheet.35

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000068 Pre.CSheet.37. Hispanic HH? (CSMS)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.37.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 3):
Is R or R's household of hispanic origin?

See also V000184d, V000184e (Post version of these variables)
and Pre survey items Y30x/Y31 and Y31a/Y31a.T.

1. Yes
5. No

8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>863</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000069 Pre.CSheet.38. Certainty if Hisp (CSMS)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.38.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
IF INTERVIEWER HAS IDENTIFIED HISPANIC STATUS OF R/R'S HOUSEHOLD:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 3a):
Is this definite or probable?

1. Definite
5. Probable
9. NA
0. INAP, 0,8,9 in Pre-Csheet.37

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>911</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000070 Pre.IWR.1. Interviewer of record
Numeric

Pre-IWR.1.

PRE: Interviewer of record ID

4-digit ID

V000071 Pre.IWR.2. Supervisor
Numeric

Pre-IWR.2.

PRE: Supervisor ID

4-digit ID

V000072 Pre.IWR.3. Interviewer gender
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-IWR.3.

PRE: Interviewer gender

1. Male
2. Female
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>1581</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000073 Pre.IWR.4. Interviewer education
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-IWR.4.

PRE: Interviewer education
1. 1-8 grades
2. Some high school
3. High school graduate
4. Some college
5. College degree
6. Master's degree
7. Ph.D.
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000074 Pre.IWR.5. Interviewer race
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-IWR.5.

PRE: Interviewer race

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1684</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000075 Pre.IWR.6. Interviewer ethnicity
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-IWR.6.

PRE: Interviewer ethnicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1561</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pre-IWR.7.  

PRE: Interviewer languages
-----------------------------

This variable is not available in 2000.

0. NA

0
-----
Count 1807

Pre-IWR.8.  

PRE: Years Interviewer experience
-----------------------------

Interviewer's years of experience and:

99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-IWR.9.  

PRE: Interviewer age (bracketed)
-----------------------------

1. 18-34 years
2. 35-40 years
3. 41-45 years
4. 46-50 years
5. 51-55 years
6. 56-60 years
7. 51-64 years
8. 65-79 years
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000079  Pre.Sample.1. ICPSR state code
MD:  EQ 99
Numeric

Pre-Sample.1.

ICPSR state code - interview location

Note: 3 cases were misidentified in the Preload as PA but should have been NJ. These cases are coded 96. See Post-Summary.24 (V000260).

NEW ENGLAND
01. Connecticut
02. Maine
03. Massachusetts
04. New Hampshire
05. Rhode Island
06. Vermont

MIDDLE ATLANTIC
11. Delaware
12. New Jersey
13. New York
14. Pennsylvania

EAST NORTH CENTRAL
21. Illinois
22. Indiana
23. Michigan
24. Ohio
25. Wisconsin

WEST NORTH CENTRAL
31. Iowa
32. Kansas
33. Minnesota
34. Missouri
35. Nebraska
36. North Dakota
37. South Dakota

SOLID SOUTH
40. Virginia
41. Alabama

43. Florida
44. Georgia
45. Louisiana
46. Mississippi
47. North Carolina
48. South Carolina
49. Texas

BORDER STATES
51. Kentucky
52. Maryland
53. Oklahoma
54. Tennessee
55. Washington DC

MOUNTAIN STATES
56. West Virginia
57. Wyoming

PACIFIC STATES
61. Arizona
62. Colorado
63. Idaho
64. Montana
65. Nevada
66. New Mexico
67. Utah
68. Wyoming

EXTERNAL STATES
71. California
72. Oregon
73. Washington
73. Washington
74. Washington
75. Washington
76. Washington
77. Washington
78. Washington
79. Washington
80. Washington
81. Alaska
82. Washington
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01. Alabama</td>
<td>30. Montana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02. Alaska</td>
<td>31. Nebraska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04. Arizona</td>
<td>32. Nevada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05. Arkansas</td>
<td>33. New Hampshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06. California</td>
<td>34. New Jersey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08. Colorado</td>
<td>35. New Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Delaware</td>
<td>37. North Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Florida</td>
<td>39. Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Georgia</td>
<td>40. Oklahoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Hawaii</td>
<td>41. Oregon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Idaho</td>
<td>42. Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Illinois</td>
<td>44. Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Indiana</td>
<td>45. South Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Iowa</td>
<td>46. South Dakota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Kansas</td>
<td>47. Tennessee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Louisiana</td>
<td>49. Utah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Maine</td>
<td>50. Vermont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Maryland</td>
<td>51. Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Massachusetts</td>
<td>52. Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Michigan</td>
<td>54. West Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Minnesota</td>
<td>55. Wisconsin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Mississippi</td>
<td>56. Wyoming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Missouri</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

96. Misidentified location
99. NA

Note: 3 cases were misidentified in the Preload as PA but should have been NJ. These cases are coded 96. See Post-Summary.24 (V000260).

---

**V000080** Pre.Sample.2. FIPS state code

**Pre-Sample.2.**

FIPS state code - interview location

This variable provides the state (2-character Postal State Abbreviation for the interview location.

**V000081** Pre.Sample.3. 2000 state abbr and CD

**Pre-Sample.3.**

2000 state abbreviation and Congressional district number - interview location

This variable provides the state (2-character Postal
abbreviation) and congressional district number of the location of R's residence at the time of the 2000 Pre-election interview. Washington D.C. is blank in this variable.
Note: 3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should have been NJ. These cases are coded "9996". See Post-Summary.24 (V000260).

V000082 Pre.Sample.4. 2000 FIPS state and CD
MD1: EQ 9999, MD2: GE 0
Numeric

2000 FIPS state code and congressional district number - interview location

FIPS state code resides in first 2 digits, CD number in last 2 digits.
Note: 3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should have been NJ. These cases are coded 9996. See Post-Summary.24 (V000260).

9996. Misidentified location
9999. NA
0000. Washington D.C.

V000083 Pre.Sample.5. Congressional district no.
MD1: EQ 99, MD2: GE 0
Numeric

Congressional district number - interview location

Codes 1-52.
Note: 3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should have been NJ. These cases are coded 96. See Post-Summary.24 (V000260).

96. Misidentified location
99. NA
00. Washington D.C.

V000084 Pre.Sample.6. ICPSR state and CD
MD1: EQ 9999, MD2: GE 0
Numeric

ICPSR state code and congressional district - interview location

ICPSR state code resides in first 2 digits, CD number in
Note: 3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should have been NJ. These cases are coded 9996. See Post-Summary.24 (V000260).

9996. Misidentified location
9999. NA
0000. Washington D.C.

V000085  Pre.Sample.7. Did R vote outside IW CD?
          MD1:  EQ 8,  MD2:  GE 9
          Numeric

Pre-Sample.7.

Did R vote in state and CD of interview?

| 0 | R voted in state and CD of interview |
| 1 | R did not vote in state and CD of interview |
| 8 | NA if vote was in state/CD of interview (4 in Post C1 and 8,9,0 in Post C3) |
| 9 | INAP, R did not vote or NA/DK if voted; no Post interview; IW location misidentified in the Preload (1 in Post-Summary.24) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1131</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000086  Pre.Sample.8. State/CD -vote outside CD
          MD1:  EQ 9999,  MD2:  GE 0
          Numeric

Pre-Sample.8.

State and CD of vote cast outside CD of interview

The first 2 digits represent the state FIPS code, and the second 2 digits are CD number. Where the state differs but the CD number is unknown, the state will be coded in the first 2 digits but the last 2 digits will be 99.

9999. NA if voted outside CD of interview (8 in Pre-Sample.7) 
0000. INAP, 0,9 in Pre-Sample.7

V000087  Pre.Sample.9. FIPS state and county
          MD:  EQ 0
          Numeric

Pre-Sample.9.

FIPS state and county - interview location
This variable has been blanked to preserve respondent confidentiality. The FIPS State code is represented in digits 1 and 2 of this variable, the FIPS County code in the last 3 digits.

V000087a Pre.Sample.9a. County (alpha) Character

Pre-Sample.9a.

Name of County - Interview location (BLANKED)

This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent. 
NOTE: This variable was constructed for use as preload in Post-Election C3 (variable V001243), which attempts to ascertain specific registration location of the respondent.
It should be noted that, although county identification in this variable for face-to-face interviews meets normal standards for accuracy, the V000087a Post preload data for RDD cases were created by imputing area code, central office codes and similar listed numbers.

V000088 Pre.Sample.10. Primary area name Character

Pre-Sample.10.

Primary area name - interview location

This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent. This is a character variable for the Primary Selection Unit (PSU) name.
See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables".
NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file.
Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

V000089 Pre.Sample.11. Primary area code MD: EQ 0 Numeric

Pre-Sample.11.

Primary area code - interview location

This variable has been recoded to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent. The 44 PSU codes listed below (110 through 482) have been recoded to values 1-44 in random order.
See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables".
NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file.
Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110.</td>
<td>Boston, MA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120.</td>
<td>New York, NY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126.</td>
<td>Philadelphia, PA-NJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127.</td>
<td>Nassau-Suffolk, NY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130.</td>
<td>Chicago, IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131.</td>
<td>Detroit, MI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132.</td>
<td>Cleveland, OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141.</td>
<td>St Louis, MO-IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150.</td>
<td>Washington, DC-MD-VA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152.</td>
<td>Baltimore, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154.</td>
<td>Miami-Hialeh, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170.</td>
<td>Houston, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171.</td>
<td>Dallas-Ft Worth, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181.</td>
<td>Denver, CO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190.</td>
<td>Los Angeles, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191.</td>
<td>Seattle-Tacoma, WA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194.</td>
<td>Anaheim, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196.</td>
<td>San Francisco, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211.</td>
<td>New Haven, CT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>213.</td>
<td>Manchester-Nashua NH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220.</td>
<td>Buffalo, NY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>226.</td>
<td>Atlantic City, NJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230.</td>
<td>Milwaukee, WI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>239.</td>
<td>Wheeling-Steubenvl OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240.</td>
<td>Des Moines, IA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250.</td>
<td>Richmond-Ptrsbrg, VA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>255.</td>
<td>Columbus, GA-AL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>257.</td>
<td>Jacksonville, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>258.</td>
<td>Lakeild-Wnt Haven FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>260.</td>
<td>Knoxville, TN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>262.</td>
<td>Birmingham, AL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>273.</td>
<td>Waco, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>274.</td>
<td>McAllen, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280.</td>
<td>Salt Lake C-Ogden UT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>292.</td>
<td>Fresno, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>293.</td>
<td>Eugene-Springfld, OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>434.</td>
<td>Saginaw, MI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>462.</td>
<td>Gardner, MA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>466.</td>
<td>Decatur Co., IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>470.</td>
<td>Mower Co., MN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>474.</td>
<td>DeSoto Parish, LA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>477.</td>
<td>Chicot Co., AR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480.</td>
<td>Montgomery Co., VA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>482.</td>
<td>El Dorado Co., CA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**000. Telephone sample (RDD)**

**V000090 Pre.Sample.12. Segment number (blanked)**

**MD:** EQ 0

**Numeric**

Pre-Sample.12.

Segment number (blanked) - interview location

---------------------------------------------------------------------

**NOTE:** This variable was created from the segment file. Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable. This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

3-digit code and:

**000. Telephone sample (RDD)**

**V000090a Pre.Sample.13. Segment name (blanked)**

**Character**

Pre-Sample.13.

Segment name (blanked) - interview location

---------------------------------------------------------------------

See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables".

**NOTE:** This variable was created from the segment file. Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable. This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.
Pre-Sample.14. Number of HH units

Codes 1-5 and:

9. NA
0. Phone IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>983</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Sample.15. Census region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>384</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Sample.16. Belt code

See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables" and the appendix CENSUS DEFINITIONS.
Coded according to the 1990 Census and 1990 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) definitions, 1990 Census Population reports (as reported in the 1992 "Annual Metro, City and County Data book") and 1990 Census "urbanized area" (as shown in the 1994 Rand McNally Road Atlas - 70th...
NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file. Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

1. Central Cities of the six largest (population over 4,500,000) Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Areas (CMSAs), plus the 15 next largest CMSA/MSAs (population over 2,000,000)
2. Central Cities of CMSAs and MSAs with fewer than 2,000,000 population (exclusive of those in the 21 largest CMSA/MSAs -- code 1)
3. Suburbs of the six largest CMSA/MSAs and 15 next largest CMSAs/MSAs
4. Suburb of all other CMSA/MSAs
5. Adjacent Areas: includes all territory beyond the outer boundary of the suburban belt, but within 50 miles of the central business district of a central city
6. Outlying Area: includes all territory more than 50 miles from the central business district of a central city

0. Telephone sample (RDD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================================
V000094 Pre.Sample.17. Population in 1000s
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9996 Numeric

Pre-Sample.17.

Population in 1000s - interview location

The actual 1990 Census Place population is coded in thousands, rounding to the nearest whole thousand (from the 1990 Population total for the city/place as reported in the 1992 Annual Metro City and County Data Book).
NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file. Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

Actual population in 1000s and:

9996. Telephone sample (RDD)
9999. 9,998,500 persons or over
0000. Rural place, no defined Census Place, or Census Place with fewer than 500 persons

==================================================================
Pre.Sample.18. Census size of place

Census size of place - interview location

See the appendix on CENSUS DEFINITIONS.

Coded according to the 1990 Census. The major objective of the Census Bureau in delineating urbanized areas is to provide a better separation of urban and rural population in the vicinity of large cities. An urbanized area consists of a central city or cities, and surrounding closely settled territory ("urban fringe"). Size of Place codes 21 and 41 include areas that are in the "urban fringe" but are not Census Places.

Note for Codes 50-53: in a few cases, Non-MSA PSUs (based on the 1980 OMB SMSA definitions) contain areas which are now part of a 1990 PMSA or MSA (based on the June 1990 OMB definition). In these cases, the Size of Place Code for any SSUs (segments) within such areas are coded in the "40s" rather than in the "50s" as shown. This occurred in El Dorado county CA (the major part of the El Dorado/Alpine Co, CA PSU #82) which is now a part of the Sacramento CA MSA, and also for one SSU (segment) in the Gardner MA PSU (#64), which is now a part of the Worcester MA MSA.

NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file.

Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

THE SIX LARGEST CMSAs PLUS THE 15 NEXT LARGEST CMSAs/MSAs (I.e. those coded 1 or 3 [possibly 5, rarely 6])

11. Central Cities (these would have been coded 1 on the Belt Code

SUBURBS OF THE 6 LARGEST CMSAs AND THE 15 NEXT LARGEST

20. Rural (including rural places with less than 2,500 population

21. 2,500-9,999 plus other urbanized areas ("urban fringe" not in Census places)

22. 10,000-29,999

23. 30,000-49,999

24. 50,000-99,999

25. 100,000-149,999

26. 150,000-349,999

27. 350,000 and over, exclusive of cities coded 11

CMSAs/MSAs W/LESS THAN 2,000,000 POPULATION CENTRAL CITIES IN CMSAs/MSAs WITH LESS THAN 2,000,000 POPULATION (2 on Belt Code):

30. Under 50,000 (identified by OMB, June 1990, as Central Cities

31. 50-000-99,999

32. 100,000-149,999

33. 150,000-349,999

34. 350,000 and over

SUBURBS IN CMSAs/MSAs WITH LESS THAN 2,000,000

40. Rural (including rural places with less than 2,500 population)

41. 2,500-9,999

42. 10,000-29,999

43. 30,000-49,999

44. 50,000-99,999

45. 100,000-149,999
46. 150,000 and over
"NON-CMSA/PMSA/MASs"
50. Rural (including rural places with less than 2,000,000 population)
51. 2,500-9,999
52. 10,000-29,999
53. 30,000-49,999

00. Telephone sample (RDD)

V000096 Pre.Sample.19. Census tract/ed indicator
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Sample.19.

Census tract/ed indicator - interview location (blanked)

NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file.
Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment
identification, it could not be provided with this variable.
This variable has been blanked to protect the
confidentiality of the Respondent.

0. Enumeration district
1. Tracted
9. Telephone sample (RDD)

V000097 Pre.Sample.20. 2000 Sampling Error code
Numeric

Pre-Sample.20.

2000 Sampling Error code

See Sampling documentation in this codebook.
The first 2 digits contain the 200 Sampling Error Stratum
code and the last digit represents the 2000 SECU or
Sampling Error Computation Unit code.

V000098 Pre.Sample.21. 2000 Census NECMA/SMSA
Numeric

Pre-Sample.21.

2000 Census NECMA/SMSA - interview location

See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables" and the appendix
CENSUS DEFINITIONS.
NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file.
Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment
identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

360. Anaheim-Santa Ana-Garden Grove, CA
520. Atlanta, GA
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>City, Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>560.</td>
<td>Atlantic City, NJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>720.</td>
<td>Baltimore, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>875.</td>
<td>Bergen-Passaic, NJ PMSA (Former code and title: 6040 Paterson-Clifton-Passaic, NJ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000.</td>
<td>Birmingham, AL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1123.</td>
<td>Boston-Lawrence-Salem-Lowell-Brockton, MA NECMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1125.</td>
<td>Boulder-Longmont, CO PMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1145.</td>
<td>Brazoria, TX PMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1280.</td>
<td>Buffalo, NY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1600.</td>
<td>Chicago, IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1680.</td>
<td>Cleveland OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1800.</td>
<td>Columbus, GA-AL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1920.</td>
<td>Dallas-Ft Worth, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000.</td>
<td>Dayton, OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2080.</td>
<td>Denver-Boulder, CO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2120.</td>
<td>Des Moines, IA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2160.</td>
<td>Detroit, MI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2400.</td>
<td>Eugene-Springfield, OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2760.</td>
<td>Fort Wayne, IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2800.</td>
<td>Fort Worth-Arlington TX, PMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2840.</td>
<td>Fresno, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000.</td>
<td>Grand Rapids, MI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3360.</td>
<td>Houston, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3600.</td>
<td>Jacksonville, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3760.</td>
<td>Kansas City, MO-KS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3840.</td>
<td>Knoxville, TN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3965.</td>
<td>Lake County, IL PMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3980.</td>
<td>Lakeland-Winter Haven, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4480.</td>
<td>Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4720.</td>
<td>Madison WI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4763.</td>
<td>Manchester-Nashua, NH NECMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4880.</td>
<td>McAllen-Pharr-Edinburgh, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000.</td>
<td>Miami, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5080.</td>
<td>Milwaukee, WI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5120.</td>
<td>Minneapolis-St Paul, MN-WI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5350.</td>
<td>Nashua, NH PMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5380.</td>
<td>Nassau-Suffolk, NY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5480.</td>
<td>New Haven-West Haven, CT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5483.</td>
<td>New Haven-Waterbury-Meriden, CT NECMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5600.</td>
<td>New York, NY-NJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5640.</td>
<td>Newark, NJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5700.</td>
<td>Niagara Falls, NY PMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5775.</td>
<td>Oakland, CA PMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6160.</td>
<td>Philadelphia, PA-NJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6200.</td>
<td>Phoenix, AZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6280.</td>
<td>Pittsburgh, PA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6453.</td>
<td>Portsmouth-Dover-Rochester, NH NECMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6760.</td>
<td>Richmond, VA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6780.</td>
<td>Riverside-San Bernardino, Ontario, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6920.</td>
<td>Sacramento, CA (1990 MSA definition)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6960.</td>
<td>Saginaw, MI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7040.</td>
<td>St. Louis, MO-IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7160.</td>
<td>Salt Lake City-Ogden, UT MSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7360.</td>
<td>San Francisco-Oakland,CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7600.</td>
<td>Seattle-Everett, WA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8003.</td>
<td>Springfield, MA NECMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8080.</td>
<td>Steubenville-Wierton, OH-WV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8200.</td>
<td>Tacoma, WA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8800. Waco, TX
8840. Washington, DC-MD-VA
9000. Wheeling, WV-OH
9243. Worcester-Fitchburg-Leominster, MA NECMA

0000. INAP; location not in SMSA (MSA)/ NECMA
9996. Telephone sample (RDD)

V000099 Pre.Sample.22. 2000 CMSA
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Pre-Sample.22.

2000 CMSA - interview location

See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables" and the appendix
CENSUS DEFINITIONS.
The six largest CMSA's are marked with **.
NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file.
Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment
identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

07. Boston-Lawrence-Salem MA-NH
10. Buffalo-Niagara Falls NY
14. Chicago-Gary-Lake County IL-IN-WI**
28. Cleveland-Akron-Lorain OH
31. Dallas-Ft Worth TX (Since Dallas and Ft Worth were
made separate PMSAs in 1990, this new CMSA was added
34. Denver-Boulder CO (Since Denver and Boulder were made
separate PMSAs in 1990, this new CMSA was added in
35. Detroit-Ann Arbor MI**
42. Houston-Galveston-Brazoria TX
49. Los Angeles-Anaheim-Riverside CA**
56. Miami-Port Lauderdale FL
63. Milwaukee-Racine WI
70. New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island NY-NJ-CT**
77. Philadelphia-Wilmington-Trenton PA-NJ-DE-MD**
78. Pittsburgh-Beaver Valley PA
84. San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose CA**
91. Seattle-Tacoma WA

96. Telephone sample (RDD)
00. INAP, Location not in CMSA. This includes old code 32
(Dayton Springfield, OH which is no longer
consolidated in 1990 since Dayton and Springfield are
combined in a single MSA in 1990)

V000099x Pre.Sample.22x. 2000 MSA
MD1: EQ 0
Numeric

Pre-Sample.22x.

2000 MSA - interview location

This is the 2000 MSA for the full sample, including the RDD telephone component. This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

Metropolitan Statistical Area code and:

00. INAP, Location not in MSA.

V000100 Pre.Sample.23. 2000 Census Tract 1
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996
Numeric
Pre-Sample.23.
2000 Census Tract 1 - interview location (blanked)

This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

V000101 Pre.Sample.24. 2000 Census Tract 2
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996
Numeric
Pre-Sample.24.
2000 Census Tract 2 - interview location (blanked)

NOTE: No 2000 cases corresponded to more than one Census tract.

V000102 Pre.Sample.25. 2000 Block 1
MD1: EQ 99, MD2: GE 96
Numeric
Pre-Sample.25.
2000 Block 1 - interview location (blanked)

This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

V000103 Pre.Sample.26. 2000 Block 2
MD1: EQ 99, MD2: GE 96
Numeric
Pre-Sample.26.
2000 Block 2 - interview location (blanked)

NOTE: No 2000 cases corresponded to more than one Census block.
V000104    Pre.Sample.27. 2000 MCD
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Pre-Sample.27.

2000 MCD - interview location (blanked)
---------------------------------------------------------------

This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

==============================
V000105    Pre.Sample.28. 2000 CDP
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9999
Numeric

Pre-Sample.28.

2000 CDP - interview location (blanked)
---------------------------------------------------------------

This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

==============================
V000106    Pre.Sample.29. 2000 FIPS place code
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Pre-Sample.29.

2000 FIPS place code - interview location (blanked)
---------------------------------------------------------------

This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

==============================
V000107    Pre.Summary.1. Pre timing - section A
MD:  EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.1.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION A
---------------------------------------------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

==============================
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Pre-Summary</th>
<th>Pre timing - section</th>
<th>MD</th>
<th>EQ</th>
<th>Numeric</th>
<th>DEC 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>999.99 NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>V000109</td>
<td>Pre.Summry.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MD: EQ 999.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Numeric</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>V000110</td>
<td>Pre.Summry.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MD: EQ 999.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>V000111</td>
<td>Pre.Summry.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION E

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 Section E selected for Post administration

V000112 Pre.Summary.6. Pre timing - section F
MD: EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.6.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION F

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

V000113 Pre.Summary.7. Pre timing - section G
MD: EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.7.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION G

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

V000114 Pre.Summary.8. Pre timing - section H
MD: EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.8.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION H
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

-----------------------------
V000115  Pre.Summary.9. Pre timing - section K
MD:  EQ 999.99
Numeric  DEC 2

Pre-Summary.9.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION K

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

-----------------------------
V000116  Pre.Summary.10. Pre timing - section L
MD:  EQ 999.99
Numeric  DEC 2

Pre-Summary.10.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION L

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

-----------------------------
V000117  Pre.Summary.11. Pre timing - section M
MD:  EQ 999.99
Numeric  DEC 2

Pre-Summary.11.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION M

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

V000118 Pre.Summary.12. Pre timing - section N
MD:  EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.12.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION N

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

V000119 Pre.Summary.13. Pre timing - section P
MD:  EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.13.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION P

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

V000120 Pre.Summary.14. Pre timing - section Q
MD:  EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.14.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION Q

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

-----------------------------
V000121    Pre.Summary.15. Pre timing - section R
MD:  EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.15.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION R

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

-----------------------------
V000122    Pre.Summary.16. Pre timing - section S
MD:  EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.16.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION S

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

-----------------------------
V000123    Pre.Summary.17. Pre timing - section X
MD:  EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.17.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION X

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
999.99 NA

V000124 Pre.Summ.18. Pre timing - section Y
MD: EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summ.18.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION Y
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

V000125 Pre.Summ.19. Pre timing - section Z
MD: EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summ.19.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION Z
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

V000126 Post.Admin.1. Mode of Interview
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Post-Admin.1.

POST: Mode of Interview
---------------------------------------------------------------------
See Post-Admin.1a.
5 cases re-assigned to phone mode in the Post were administered FTF
in error; note that several of these cases, although administered FTF,
used telephone logic in the interview.

1. Personal
5. Telephone
7. ADMINISTERED USING WRONG MODE: FTF re-assigned to telephone
   but administered as Personal interview
0. No post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>857</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000126a  Post.Admin.1a. Mode switch flag
Numeric

Post-Admin.1a.

POST - MODE SWITCH

200 FTF Pre cases were selected for reassignment to Phone administration in the Post. Of these, 168 were completed interviews. Assignment of all Post cases (interview and non-interview) are described here.

1. Assigned FTF, not switched to Phone mode
2. Assigned Phone
3. Assigned FTF, switched to Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000127a  Post.Admin.2a. Form desc 1 - H in Post
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Post-Admin.2a.

POST: Form - section H administered in Post

Section E questions about R's personal financial situation were randomly selected to be administered either in Pre section E or Post section H. Because assignment was set during Pre administration, this randomization was unaffected by the randomization failure which took place during a portion of the Post field period.

0. Section H not administered in Post [Pre section E V000398-V000406]
1. Section H administered in Post [V000410-V000417]

9. No Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000127b  Post.Admin.2b. Form desc 2 - K format

Respondents were randomly selected to have the K2a-K2d office recognition battery administered with or without the use of probes [V001446a-V001454].
NOTE: see item Post-Summary.124 (V000262) for description of the randomization failure which took place during a portion of the Post field period.

0. K2a-K2d administered without probes
1. K2a-K2d administered with probes
9. No post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1025</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000128 Post.Admin.3. Mo. IW

11. November
12. December
00. No Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1147</td>
<td>408</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000129 Post.Admin.4. Day IW

Coded 1-31 and:
0. No Post IW
V000130  Post.Admin.5. Month and day (MMDD)
        MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9999
Character

Post-Admin.5.

POST: Month and day (MMDD)
-----------------------------------------------

This is the day and month of interview in MMDD format.
'0000' is used for cases with no Post IW; '9999' indicates NA

==================================
V000131  Post.Admin.6. No. days after election
        MD1:  EQ 96,  MD2:  GE 99
Numeric

Post-Admin.6.

POST: Number of days after election
-----------------------------------------------

96. INAP, no Post IW
99. NA

==================================
V000132  Post.Admin.7. IW bef/aft Gore concession
        MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Post-Admin.7.

POST: Interview taken before or after Gore concession
-----------------------------------------------

Gore concession:  December 13, 2000

0. Before
1. Day of concession (Dec. 13)
2. After

9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1462</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==================================
V000133  Post_Admin.8. IW length
        MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 999.99
Numeric  DEC 2

Post-Admin.8.

POST: Interview length
-----------------------------------------------

Note: interview length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the interview as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
The average Post interview length is 63.76 minutes.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA; partial IW
000.00 No Post IW

==============================
V000134 Post.Admin.9. IW no.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric

Post-Admin.9.

POST: Interview number

Codes 1-44 and:

99. NA
00. INAP, no Post Interview

==============================
V000135 Post.Admin.10. Date of beg VQ file
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Post-Admin.10.

POST: Date of beginning VQ file

This refers to the VQ file loaded when the interview began.
Changes were made to the VQ (application) while in
the field. The next variable documents the VQ version in
use at the end of the interview; note that if an interview
was temporarily halted and a new VQ was installed prior to
the resumption of interviewing, the VQ in effect for some
questions would not be the VQ coded here.

1. 11/7
2. 11/10
3. 11/16 (1)
4. 11/16 (2)
5. 11/18
6. 11/27

0. No Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>568</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### V000136 Post.Admin.11. Date of end VQ file

**MD:** EQ 0  
**Numeric**

**Post-Admin.11.**

**POST: Date of ending VQ file**

This refers to the VQ file in use when the interview concluded. Changes were made to the VQ (application) while in the field. Note that if an interview was temporarily halted and a new VQ was installed prior to the resumption of interviewing, the VQ in effect for some questions would not be the beginning VQ (previous var).

1. 11/7  
2. 11/10  
3. 11/16 (1)  
4. 11/16 (2)  
5. 11/18  
6. 11/27

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### V000137 Post.Admin.12. Flag- change in VQ

**MD:** EQ 9  
**Numeric**

**Post-Admin.12.**

**POST: Flag- change in VQ**

Several changes to the application were made during the field period. This variable flags whether a suspended interview was resumed with a different version of the application.

0. No change  
1. Change in VQ  
9. INAP, partial IW; no post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1429</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Because of the turnaround time needed to prepare it after the Pre interviewing was concluded, the Post sample was released in 3 releases.

1. Release 1 - November 7
2. Release 2 - November 15

9. NA
0. INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1147</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Beginning October 26, 2000, interviewers were paid $10 for each
completed interview.

0. Post interview date not in incentive period
1. Post interview date in incentive period.

9. INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Count</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1236</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000140 Post.Admin.15. Payment mode
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Post-Admin.15.

POST: Payment to R - mode

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>278</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000141 Post.Admin.16. Payment date
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9999
Character

Post-Admin.16.

POST: Payment to R - date (MMDD)

'0000' is used for cases with no Post IW or 0 in Post-Admin.14/
Post-Admin.15; '9999' indicates NA.

V000142 Post.Admin.17. Tape recorded
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Post-Admin.17.

POST: Tape recorded?

New interviewers had to tape record 2 of their first 5
production interviews; experienced interviewers were required to
tape record 1 of their first 3 production interviews (PTF or
phone). Tape recording was conducted with the respondent's
permission. The IWR made a self-evaluation and sent the tapes to their field supervisor.

1. Yes
5. No

0. INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V000143  Post.Admin.18. Verification
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Post-Admin.18.

POST: Verification

See notes in Pre-Admin.17 (V000020).

0. Counted for Ann Arbor office, not selected for verification
1. Flagged for verification (interview number selected)
2. Verified OK (all verification data match original data exactly)
3. Verified with discrepancy (only one piece of verification data does not match; everything else matches exactly)
4. Not verified (more than 1 piece of information reported by the respondent at the time of verification is different from that collected at the time of the original interview or finalizing the case; further probing results in assignment of either code 3 or code 4 as a final code)
5. Unable to verify (R is contacted but is unwilling to participate in verification exercise or the respondent cannot be reached; this includes: reaching the household by telephone but not reaching the respondent, and includes sending the verification latter to a household but no letter returned after 3 attempts)
6. Verification limit met (used when the verifier has already completed the needed verification level).

9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1332</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V000144  Post.Admin.19. Evaluation
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Post-Admin.19.
POST: Evaluation

0. Not evaluated
1. Flagged
2. Evaluated
3. Evaluation received in Ann Arbor office
4. Cannot evaluate

9. INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1477</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Post-Admin.20.

POST: Refusal conversion indicator

This refers to interviews initially refused by someone when an interviewer was first attempting contact. The source of this refusal may or may not have been the Respondent; result code 43 [contact, resistance by R] or 46 [resistance not by R] for any call (not the final result code) turns this indicator on. Conversion may be made by the initial interviewer or another interviewer. If the conversion is not made by the initial interviewer, the interviewer of record is the interviewer obtaining the conversion.

0. Not a refusal conversion situation
1. Refusal conversion situation indicated

9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1529</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Post-Admin.21.

POST: Persuasion letter - flag

0. No persuasion letter sent
1. Persuasion letter sent
9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1517</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================================
V000147 Post.Admin.22. Date requested
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9999
Character

Post-Admin.22.

POST: Date persuasion letter requested (MMDD)

'0000' is used for cases with no Post IW or no letter requested; '9999' indicates NA.

===============================================================
V000148 Post.Admin.23. Date sent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9999
Character

Post-Admin.23.

POST: Date persuasion letter sent

'0000' is used for cases with no Post IW or no letter requested; '9999' indicates NA.

===============================================================
V000149 Post.Admin.24. Type letter
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Admin.24.

POST: Type of persuasion letter

1. A. Too busy
2. B. Too personal
3. C. Not interested
4. D. Too old
5. E. Evasive
6. F. Gatekeeper
7. G. Not at home
9. NA
0. INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1712</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V000150  Post/Admin.25. Tel calls
MD:  EQ 99
Numeric

Post-Admin.25.

POST: Number of telephone calls

99. NA; INAP, no Post IW

V000151  Post/Admin.26. FTF calls
MD:  EQ 99
Numeric


POST: Number of Face-to-Face calls

99. NA; INAP, no Post IW

V000152  Post/Admin.27. Final result
Numeric

Post-Admin.27.

POST: Final result code

01 IW complete
05 IW partial
51 Refusal by R
52 Refusal by person other than R
54 Initial refusal, not reached for conversion
61 No contact
63 Noninterview, permanent reason
66 Interview begun then terminated, insufficiently complete
67 Noninterview, other reason
68 Could not Locate R

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>51</th>
<th>52</th>
<th>54</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1551</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>61</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000153  Post/Admin.28. Result date (NI)
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 9999
Character

Post-Admin.28.
POST: Result date (Post noninterviews)

'0000' is used for cases with Post IW; '9999' indicates NA.

V000154 Post.Admin. 29. Flag - mode switch
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Post-Admin.29.

POST: Flag - pre/post mode switch

Built from V000004 and V000126.
Some interviews conducted FTF in the pre were administered by phone in the Post.

1. Pre FTF and Post FTF
2. Pre phone and Post phone
3. Pre FTF and Post phone
0. INAP, no post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000155 Post.Admin.30. Lang of IW (all English)
Numeric

Post-Admin.30.

POST: Language of interview (all English)

All interviews were conducted entirely in English

1. English

1

Count 1807

V000156 Post.CSheet.1. Flag- missing CS
Numeric

Post-CSheet.1.

POST - COVERSHEET:
Flag- missing CS

0. Coversheet not missing
1. Coversheet missing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1780</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-CSheet.2.

POST - COVERSHEET:
Color of coversheet

Pink coversheets were copies of coversheets that were in transfer.
NOTE: for an unknown reason, some cases assigned to FTF mode were administered using yellow (phone) coversheets.

1. Blue
2. Yellow
3. Pink
9. NA
0. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>831</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-CSheet.3.

POST - COVERSHEET Item 1:
Does (NAME) still live here?

R STILL LIVE AT SAMPLE ADDRESS

Interviewers omitted filling out this box (code 9) on 227 coversheets.

1. Yes
5. No
9. NA
0. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1512</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Post-CSheet.4.

IF PHONE MODE:
IF R DOES NOT STILL LIVE AT SAMPLE ADDRESS:

POST - PHONE COVERSHEET Item 2b:
New phone number available

1. Available
2. Not available
9. NA
0. INAP, 1,9 in Post-Csheet.3; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1); FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1793</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-CSheet.5.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
IF R DOES NOT STILL LIVE AT SAMPLE ADDRESS:

POST - FTF COVERSHEET Item 2b:
Address is:

STATUS OF NEW ADDRESS

Note: in cases where the Post interview mode was switched from FTF to telephone, the blue FTF coversheet have already been assigned and some personal contact attempted; for some telephone cases data are found in this variable because the FTF coversheet was retained.

1. Out of range
3. Within range
5. Can't find
9. NA
0. INAP, 1,9 in Post-Csheet.3; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1); Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1780</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
== Post.CSheet.6. FTF type structure ==

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-CSheet.6.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD1:
Describe the type of structure in which the respondent live (sample address)

Note: in cases where the Post interview mode was switched from
FTF to telephone, the blue FTF coversheet have already been assigned
and some personal contact attempted; for some telephone cases
data are found in this variable because the FTF coversheet was
retained.

1. Mobile home
2. Detached single family
3. Multi-family
4. Apartment house
5. Condo complex
7. Other (specify)
9. NA
0. Inap, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1); assigned phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>926</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|   | 4   | 5   | 7   | 9   |
| Count | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Count | 106  | 35  | 24  | 158 |

== Post.CSheet.7. FTF gatekeeper ==

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9

Numeric

Post-CSheet.7.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD2:
Is there a building manager, security guard or other
gatekeeper whose cooperation you need in order to gain
access to the R's housing unit?

Note: in cases where the Post interview mode was switched from
FTF to telephone, the blue FTF coversheet have already been assigned
and some personal contact attempted; for some telephone cases
data are found in this variable because the FTF coversheet was retained.

1. Yes
2. No, but building is locked/ subdivision is gated and locked
5. No
9. NA
0. Inap, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1); assigned phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>898</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000163  Post.CSheet.8. FTF type gatekeeper
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.8.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
IF GATEKEEPER/GUARD/MANAGER/LOCKED ACCESS:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD2a:
Check the box below which best describes the situation

Note: in cases where the Post interview mode was switched from FTF to telephone, the blue FTF coversheet have already been assigned and some personal contact attempted; for some telephone cases data are found in this variable because the FTF coversheet was retained.

1. Building manager or other Gatekeeper must let you in the building (on the grounds, into the mobile home park) but then you are free to attempt contact with R's HU
2. Building manager/other Gatekeeper must get permission from someone in R's HU before you are allowed to make contact with the household
7. Other (specify)
9. NA
0. Inap, 5, 9 in Pre-CSheet.13; coversheet missing; assigned phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1728</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000164  Post.CSheet.9. Resist from contact
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.9.
POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3a:
Was there resistance from any contact person?

1. Yes
5. No
9. NA
0. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>1454</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000165 Post.CSheet.10. Contct resist-waste time
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.10.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(1):
Resistance from contact person - SURVEYS WASTE OF TIME;
PREVIOUS BAD EXPERIENCE

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1585</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000166 Post.CSheet.11. Contct resist-too personal
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.11.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(2):
Resistance from contact person - CONTENT TOO PERSONAL
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1585</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000167 Post.CSheet.12. Contct resist-confidentiality
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.12.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(3):
Resistance from contact person - CONFIDENTIALITY

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA

0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1585</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000168 Post.CSheet.13. Contct resist-not interested politics
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.13.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(4):
Resistance from contact person - NOT INTERESTED IN POLITICS

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA

0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1585</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-CSheet.14.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(5):
Resistance from contact person - TOO BUSY

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5, 9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1585</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-CSheet.15.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(6):
Resistance from contact person - POOR HEALTH

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5, 9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1585</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-CSheet.16.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(7):
Resistance from contact person - OTHER (SPECIFY)
1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1585</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000172 Post.CSheet.17. R refuse initially
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-CSheet.17.

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3e:
Did R refuse initially?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1573</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000173 Post.CSheet.18. R break appts
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-CSheet.18.

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3f:
Did respondent break any appointments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1444</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V000174 Post.CSheet.19. Was R contact resister?
   MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
   Numeric

Post-CSheet.19.

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3g:
If contact persons resisted [if CD3a was "YES"], was the
respondent the contact person who gave resistance?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Yes
5. No
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1585</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000175 Post.CSheet.20. SUMMARY: did R resist
   MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
   Numeric

Post-CSheet.20.

SUMMARY: did R resist (built)
---------------------------------------------------------------------
This counts any resistance identified according to Pre-CSheet.25
or in Pre-CSheet.27-Pre-CSheet.33; however, cases "not marked"
throughout variables Pre-CSheet.27-Pre-CSheet.33 may have
been unmarked due to complete omission rather than due to the
inapplicability of any of the resistance categories to R.

1. Yes, some resistance identified
5. No resistance identified
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>1454</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000176 Post.CSheet.21. R resist- waste time
   MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
   Numeric

Post-CSheet.21.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:
POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(1):
Resistance from R - SURVEYS WASTE OF TIME; PREVIOUS BAD
EXPERIENCE

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-CSheet.9; coversheet
missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1792</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000177 Post-CSheet.22. R resist- too personal
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-CSheet.22.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(2):
Resistance from R - TOO PERSONAL

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-CSheet.9; coversheet
missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1792</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000178 Post-CSheet.23. R resist- confidentiality
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-CSheet.23.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(3):
Resistance from R - CONFIDENTIALITY

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1792</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================

V000179  Post.CSheet.24. R resist- not interested in politics
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.24.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(4):
Resistance from R - NOT INTERESTED IN POLITICS

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1792</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=========================================

V000180  Post.CSheet.25. R resist- too busy
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.25.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(5):
Resistance from R - TOO BUSY

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1792</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(6): Resistance from R - POOR HEALTH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Marked</th>
<th>Not marked</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1792</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count 1792 15

Post-CSheet.27.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(7): Resistance from R - OTHER (SPECIFY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Marked</th>
<th>Not marked</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1792</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count 1792 15

Post-CSheet.28.

Ever make contact (noninterview)
POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3:
Were you ever able to make contact with someone at this housing unit?

1. Yes
5. No
9. NA
0. INAP, noninterview and coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1760</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000183a Post.CSheet.28a. Ever contact R (noninterview)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3d:
Did you ever have any contact with the respondent?

1. Yes
5. No
9. NA
0. INAP, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.28; noninterview and coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1722</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000184 Post.CSheet.29. Reason no contact (NI)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.29.

IF NO CONTACT WITH HOUSEHOLD FOR POST INTERVIEW:

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD4:
What was the reason for no contact?

1. Nobody home at any call; no info about household could be obtained
2. All occupants away during entire study period (i.e. long vacation, illness); info obtained from neighbor, manager etc.
3. Nobody answered door but think someone in HU [FTF: when visits made]
4. Could not obtain access to HU
7. Other (specify)

9. NA
0. Inap, 5, 9 in Post-Csheet.28; coversheet missing (1 in Post-Csheet.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000184a Post-CSheet.30. CSMS estimated income
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8

Post-CSheet.30.

IF FTF MODE:

POST- COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 1):
What is the estimated income of R's household?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Under $10,000
2. $10,000-25,000
3. $25,000-50,000
4. $50,000-105,000
5. $105,000 and above
8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000184b Post-CSheet.31. CSMS estimated HH race
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8

Post-CSheet.31.

IF FTF MODE:
POST - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 2):

What is the race of R's household?

See also survey variables Y30(1)-Y30(3) for self-description by
the respondent, item ZZ.2 describing IWR's observation of R's
race, and the Pre equivalent of this variable in Pre-CSheet.35.

1. White
2. Black
3. American Indian
4. Asian
7. Other
8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP, Phone mode

1 2 3
----- ----- ----- -----  
Count  801      759      133        4

4 7 8 9
----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count      17       36        2       55

V000184c Post-CSheet.32. CSMS est HH race certain
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.32.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
IF INTERVIEWER HAS IDENTIFIED RACE OF HOUSEHOLD:

POST - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 2a):

Is this definite or probable?

1. Definite
5. Probable
9. NA
0. INAP, 0,8,9 in Post-Csheet.31

0 1 5 9
----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count  858      912       31        6

V000184d Post-CSheet.33. CSMS estimated Hispanic
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric


10/15/2009
Post-CSheet.33.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

POST - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 3):
Is R or R's household of hispanic origin?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
See also V000068, V000069 (Pre version of these variables)
anp Pre survey items Y30x/Y31 and Y31a/Y31a.T.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=======================================================================
V000184e  Post-CSheet.34. CSMS est Hispanic certain
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.34.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
IF INTERVIEWER HAS IDENTIFIED HISPANIC STATUS OF R/R'S HOUSEHOLD:

POST - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 3a):
Is this definite or probable?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Definite
5. Probable
9. NA
0. INAP, 0,8,9 in Pre-Csheet.37

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>862</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=======================================================================
V000185  Post.IWR.1. Interviewer of record
MD:  EQ 9999
Numeric

Post-IWR.1.
POST: Interviewer of record ID
----------------------------------------

4-digit ID

9999. NA

-----------------------------
V000186    Post.IWR.2. Supervisor
MD:  EQ 9999
Numeric

Post-IWR.2.

POST: Supervisor ID
----------------------------------------

4-digit ID

9999. NA

-----------------------------
V000187    Post.IWR.3. Interviewer gender
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 9
Numeric

Post-IWR.3.

POST: Interviewer gender
----------------------------------------

1. Male
2. Female

9. NA
0. INAP, no Post IW

1  2  9
----- ----- ----- 
Count 200 1606 1

-----------------------------
V000188    Post.IWR.4. Interviewer education
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 9
Numeric

Post-IWR.4.

POST: Interviewer education
----------------------------------------

1. 1-8 grades
2. Some high school
3. High school graduate
4. Some college
5. College degree
6. Master's degree
7. Ph.D.
9. NA
0. INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===================================================================================================
V000189    Post.IWR.5. Interviewer race
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9
Numeric

Post-IWR.5.

POST: Interviewer race
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

1. White
2. Black
3. Native American
4. Asian
7. Other

9. NA
0. INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1733</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===================================================================================================
V000190    Post.IWR.6. Interviewer ethnicity
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Post-IWR.6.

POST: Interviewer ethnicity
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

0. No ethnic identity coded
1. Hispanic
7. Other

9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1577</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===================================================================================================
V000191    Post.IWR.7. Interviewer languages
Numeric

Post-IWR.7.

POST: Interviewer languages
This variable is not available in 2000.

0
-----
Count 1807

V000192 Post.IWR.8. Yrs Interviewer experience
MD:  EQ 99
Numeric

Post-IWR.8.

POST: Years Interviewer experience

Interviewer's years of experience and:

99. NA; INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000193 Post.IWR.9. Interviewer age (bracketed)
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 9
Numeric

Post-IWR.9.

POST: Interviewer age (bracketed)

1. 18-34 years
2. 35-40 years
3. 41-45 years
4. 46-50 years
5. 51-55 years
6. 56-60 years
7. 51-64 years
8. 65-79 years
9. NA
0. INAP, no Post IW
V000194  Post.Cand.1. House race type
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.1.

House race type

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT RUNNING
12  Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
13  Dem incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
14  Dem incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
19  Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT RUNNING
21  Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
23  Rep incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
24  Rep incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
29  Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING
31  Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
32  Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
34  Other incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
35  Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CHALLENGERS
36  Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
37  Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
39  Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CHALLS

NO INCUMBENT RUNNING
51  Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
52  Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
53  Dem incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
55  Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
56  Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
57  Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
59  Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
61  Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
62  Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
63  Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
65  Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
66  Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
67  Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
69  Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99  NA
00  Washington DC
---

V000194a  Post.Cand.1a. Retiring House Repr name
Character

Post-Cand.1a.

Retiring House Representative name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

---

V000194b  Post.Cand.1b. Retiring House Repr code
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 96
Numeric

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

V000194c  Post.Cand.1c. Retiring House Repr gender
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.
1. Male
2. Female

8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No retiring House representative; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1626</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=======================================
V000194d  Post.Cand.1d. Winner of 2000 House election
MD:  EQ 99
Numeric

Post-Cand.1d.

Winner of 2000 House election in R's district of interview

30. Independent/3rd party incumbent candidate
31. Democratic candidate in open race
32. Republican candidate in open race
33. Democratic incumbent
34. Republican incumbent
35. Democratic challenger
36. Republican challenger
39. Independent/3rd party nonincumbent candidate

99. NA (R's correct district not determined, 96 or 99 in Pre-Sample.1)
00. Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>34</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>823</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>35</th>
<th>36</th>
<th>39</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=======================================
V000194e  Post.Cand.1e. Winner of 2000 Senate election
Numeric

Post-Cand.1e.

Winner of 2000 Senate election in R's state of interview

09  Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
10  Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent
08  Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent
11  Democratic candidate in open Senate race
12  Republican candidate in open Senate race
Democratic Senate incumbent  
Republican Senate incumbent  
Democratic Senate challenger  
Republican Senate challenger  

99. NA (R's correct district not determined, 96 or 99 in Pre-Sample.1)  
00. No race in state; Washington CD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>16</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

V000194f Post.Cand.1f. Competitiveness of respondent CD  
MD: EQ 9  
Numeric

Post-Cand.1f.  
Competitiveness of House race in 1998 in respondent's  
district

1998 districts were stratified for competitiveness by the winning  
margin of the elected candidate.

1. Least competitive  
2.  
3.  
4.  
5. Most competitive  
9. NA (R's correct district not determined, 96 or 99 in Pre-Sample.1);  
Washington D.C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

V000194g Post.Cand.1g. Open seat in 2000 in respondent district?  
MD: EQ 9  
Numeric

Post-Cand.1g.  
Open seat in R's district in 2000?

0. NO  
1. YES  
9. NA (R's correct district not determined, 96 or 99 in Pre-Sample.1)  
Washington D.C.
Post-Cand.2.

House Democratic candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

Post-Cand.3.

House Democratic candidate code

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

1. Male
2. Female
8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No Democratic House candidate; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1367</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V000198 Post.Cand.5. House Republican cand name
Character

Post-Cand.5.

House Republican candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

==============================
V000199 Post.Cand.6. House Republican cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.6.

House Republican candidate code

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

32. Republican candidate in open House race
34. Republican House incumbent
36. Republican House challenger
96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No Republican House candidate; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>34</th>
<th>36</th>
<th>96</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V000200 Post.Cand.7. House Republican cand gendr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Post-Cand.7.

House Republican candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.
1. Male
2. Female

8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No Republican House candidate; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>1574</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000201 Post.Cand.8. House Ind cand name
Character

Post-Cand.8.

House Independent/third party candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

V000202 Post.Cand.9. House Ind cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.9.

House Independent/third party candidate code

** THIS CODE IS USED ONLY IF NAME APPEARS ON CANDIDATE LIST
For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No Independent/third party House candidate; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>39</th>
<th>96</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1767</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000202a Post.Cand.9a. House Ind cand party
Character

Post-Cand.9a.

House Independent/third party candidate party

This is an alpha variable

==================================
V000203  Post.Cand.10. House Ind cand gender
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Post-Cand.10.

House Independent/third party candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

1. Male
2. Female

8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No Independent/third party House candidate; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1767</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==================================
V000204  Post.Cand.11. Senate race type
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.11.

Senate race type:

STATES WITH SENATE RACE    STATES WITHOUT SENATE RACE
Arizona                  Alabama
California               Arkansas
Connecticut              Colorado
Delaware                 Idaho
Florida                  Illinois
Georgia                  Iowa
Indiana                  Kansas
Maine                    Kentucky
Maryland                 Louisiana
Massachusetts           New Hampshire
Michigan                North Carolina
Minnesota               Oklahoma
Mississippi             Oregon
Missouri                South Carolina
Montana                 South Dakota
Nebraska                Nevada
New Jersey              New Jersey
New Mexico              New York
New York                North Dakota
Ohio                    North Dakota
Pennsylvania           Pennsylvania
For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT RUNNING</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Dem incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Dem incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS</td>
<td>584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT RUNNING</strong></td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Rep incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Rep incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING</strong></td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 Other incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CHALLENGERS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER Challs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NO INCUMBENT RUNNING</strong></td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 Dem incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS</td>
<td>584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63 Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NO RACE IN STATE</strong></td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81 DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENTS, no race in state</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82 REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85 DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96 Code 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99 NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00 Washington DC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>23</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V000205  Post.Cand.12. Senate Democr cand name
Character

Post-Cand.12.

Senate Democratic candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

V000206  Post.Cand.13. Senate Democr cand code
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.13.

Senate Democratic candidate code

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
13. Democratic Senate incumbent
15. Democratic Senate challenger
96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No race in state; no Democratic Senate candidate; Washington DC

MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Post-Cand.14.

Senate Democratic candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.
1. Male
2. Female

8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No race in state; no Democratic Senate candidate; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>924</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000208  Post.Cand.15. Senate Repub cand name
Character

Post-Cand.15.

Senate Republican candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

V000209  Post.Cand.16. Senate Repub cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.16.

Senate Republican candidate code

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
14. Republican Senate incumbent
16. Republican Senate challenger

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No race in state; no Republican Senate candidate; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>96</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000210  Post.Cand.17. Senate Repub cand gender
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Post-Cand.17.

Senate Republican candidate gender
For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

1. Male
2. Female

8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No race in state; no Republican Senate candidate; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>1245</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000211 Post.Cand.18. Senate Ind 1 cand name
Character

Post-Cand.18.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

V000212 Post.Cand.19. Senate Ind 1 cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.19.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate code

** THIS CODE IS USED ONLY IF NAME APPEARS ON CANDIDATE LIST
For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

09 Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent **
10 Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent **

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No race in state; no independent/third party Senate candidate; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>96</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1443</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000212a Post.Cand.19a. Senate Ind 1 cand party
Character

Post-Cand.19a.
Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate party

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

V000213 Post.Cand.20. Senate Ind 1 cand gender
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Post-Cand.20.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

1. Male
2. Female

8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No race in state; no Independent/third party Senate candidate; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1443</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000214 Post.Cand.21. Senate Ind 2 cand name
Character

Post-Cand.21.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

V000215 Post.Cand.22. Senate Ind 2 cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.22.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate code

** THIS CODE IS USED ONLY IF NAME APPEARS ON CANDIDATE LIST
For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

08 Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent**
96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No race in state; no independent/third party Senate candidate;
    no 2nd independent/third party Senate candidate; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>96</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1612</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------------------------
V000215a  Post.Cand.22a. Senate Ind 2 cand party
Character

Post-Cand.22a.

Senate Independent/third party 2-candidate party

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification)
are blank in this variable.

-----------------------------------------------
V000216  Post.Cand.23. Senate Ind 2 cand gender
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Post-Cand.23.

Senate Independent/third party 2-candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.
1. Male
2. Female

8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No race in state; no Independent/third party Senate candidate;
    no 2nd independent/third party Senate candidate; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1612</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------------------------
V000217  Post.Cand.24. Outside Hse Dem cand name
Character

Post-Cand.24.

House Democratic candidate name - Vote outside CD of IW

This variable is blank if Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have
the exact CD of outside vote identified.
V000218    Post.Cand.25. Outside Hse Dem cand code
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.25.

House Democratic candidate code - Vote outside CD of IW

- 31. Democratic candidate in open House race
- 33. Democratic House incumbent
- 35. Democratic House challenger
- 96. No democratic candidate in 'outside' CD
- 99. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state)
- 00. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Post-Cand.26.

House Democratic candidate gender - Vote outside CD of IW

- 1. Male
- 2. Female
- 8. No democratic candidate in 'outside' CD
- 9. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state)
- 0. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000220    Post.Cand.27. Outside Hse Rep cand name
Character

Post-Cand.27.

House Republican candidate name - Vote outside CD of IW

This variable is blank if Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified.
Post-Cand.28.

House Republican candidate code - Vote outside CD of IW

- 32. Republican candidate in open House race
- 34. Republican House incumbent
- 36. Republican House challenger
- 96. No Republican candidate in 'outside' CD
- 99. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
- 00. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>34</th>
<th>36</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-Cand.29.

House Republican candidate gender - Vote outside CD of IW

- 1. Male
- 2. Female
- 8. No Republican candidate in 'outside' CD
- 9. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
- 0. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-Cand.30.

House Independent/third party candidate name - Vote outside CD of IW

This variable is blank if Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified.
V000224    Post.Cand.31. Outside Hse Ind cand code
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.31.

House Independent/third party candidate code - Vote outside CD of IW
---------------------------------------------------------------------
30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
96. No independent/3rd party candidate in 'outside' CD
99. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not
have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
00. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>96</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000225    Post.Cand.32. Outside Hse Ind cand gendr
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Post-Cand.32.

House Independent/third party candidate gender - Vote outside CD of IW
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Male
2. Female
8. No independent/3rd party candidate in 'outside' CD
9. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not
have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
0. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000226    Post.Cand.33. Outside Hse race type
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.33.

House race type - Vote outside state of IW
---------------------------------------------------------------------
DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT RUNNING
12 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
13 Dem incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
14 Dem incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
19 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT RUNNING
21 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
23 Rep incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
24 Rep incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
29 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING
31 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
32 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
34 Other incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
35 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CHALLENGERS
36 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
37 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
39 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CHALLS

NO INCUMBENT RUNNING
51 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
52 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
53 Dem incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
55 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
56 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
57 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
59 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
61 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
62 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
63 Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
65 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
66 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
67 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
69 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS

96. Washington DC
99. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>55</th>
<th>65</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000226a Post.Cand.33a. Outside Sen race type
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.33a.

Senate race type - Vote outside state of IW

DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT RUNNING
12 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
13 Dem incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
14 Dem incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
19 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT RUNNING
21 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
23  Rep incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
24  Rep incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
29  Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING
31  Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
32  Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
34  Other incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
35  Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CHALLENGERS
36  Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
37  Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
39  Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CHALLS

NO INCUMBENT RUNNING
51  Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
52  Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
53  Dem incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
55  Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
56  Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
57  Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
59  Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
61  Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
62  Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
63  Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
65  Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
66  Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
67  Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
69  Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS

NO RACE IN STATE
81  DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENTS, no race in state
82  REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state
85  DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state

96. Washington DC
99. R vote in another district but not another state

00. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>69</th>
<th>85</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V000227  Post.Cand.34. Outside Sen Dem cand name
Character

Post-Cand.34.

Senate Democratic candidate name - Vote outside state of IW

This variable is blank if R did not vote outside state of IW.

==============================================
V000228  Post.Cand.35. Outside Sen Dem cand code
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 96
Numeric
Post-Cand.35.

Senate Democratic candidate code - Vote outside state of IW


11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
13. Democratic Senate incumbent
15. Democratic Senate challenger

96. No race in state; no Democratic candidate
99. R voted in another district but not another state
00. R did not vote outside state of IW; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>96</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000229 Post.Cand.36. Outside Sen Dem cand gendr
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Cand.36.

Senate Democratic candidate gender - Vote outside state of IW


1. Male
2. Female

9. No race in state; no Democratic candidate
0. R did not vote outside state of IW; R voted in another district but not another state; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1797</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000230 Post.Cand.37. Outside Sen Rep cand name
Character

Post-Cand.37.

Senate Republican candidate name - Vote outside state of IW

This variable is blank if R did not vote outside state of IW.

V000231 Post.Cand.38. Outside Sen Rep cand code
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric
Post-Cand.38.

Senate Republican candidate code - Vote outside state of IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>96</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-Cand.39.

Senate Republican candidate gender - Vote outside state of IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1797</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-Cand.40.

Senate Independent/third party 1 - candidate name - Vote outside state of IW

This variable is blank if R did not vote outside state of IW or if there was no independent/third party candidate in 'outside' state.

Post-Cand.41.

Senate Independent/third party 1 code

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96

Numeric
Post-Cand.41.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate code
- Vote outside state of IW

09  Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
10  Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent

96. No race in state; no independent/3rd party candidate
99. R voted in another district but not another state
00. R did not vote outside state of IW; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>96</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-Cand.42.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate gender
- Vote outside state of IW

1. Male
2. Female

9. No race in state; no independent/3rd party candidate
0. R did not vote outside state of IW; R voted in another district but not another state; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1797</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-Cand.43.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate name
- Vote outside state of IW

This variable is blank if R did not vote outside state of IW or if there was no (2nd) independent/third party candidate in 'outside' state.

Post-Cand.44.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate code

MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 96
Numeric
Post-Cand.44.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate code
- Vote outside state of IW

----------------------------------------
08. Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent
96. No race in state; no independent/3rd party candidate; no second independent/3rd party candidate
99. R voted in another district but not another state
00. R did not vote outside state of IW; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>96</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------------------
V000238  Post.Cand.45. Outside Sen Ind2 cand gend
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9
Numeric

Post-Cand.45.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate gender
- Vote outside state of IW

----------------------------------------
1. Male
2. Female
9. No race in state; no independent/3rd party candidate; no second independent/3rd party candidate
0. R did not vote outside state of IW; R voted in another district but not another state; Washington DC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1797</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------------------
V000239  Post.Summary.1. Post timing - section A
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.1.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION A
----------------------------------------
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=================================================
V000240 Post.Summary.2. Post timing - section B
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.2.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION B
===================================================================

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=================================================
V000241 Post.Summary.3. Post timing - section C
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.3.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION C
===================================================================

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=================================================
V000242 Post.Summary.4. Post timing - section D
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.4.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION D
===================================================================

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW
999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

V000246    Post.Summary.8. Post timing - section H
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.8.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION H
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time 
between the beginning and ending of the section as 
recorded by the instrument application, and may be 
affected by suspension of interview, power failure, 
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. 
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 Section H administered in Pre; No Post IW

V000247    Post.Summary.9. Post timing - section J
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.9.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION J
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time 
between the beginning and ending of the section as 
recorded by the instrument application, and may be 
affected by suspension of interview, power failure, 
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. 
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

V000248    Post.Summary.10. Post timing - section K
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.10.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION K
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time 
between the beginning and ending of the section as 
recorded by the instrument application, and may be 
affected by suspension of interview, power failure, 
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. 
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

==============================================
V000249 Post.Summary.11. Post timing - section L
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.11.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION L
-----------------------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

==============================================
V000250 Post.Summary.12. Post timing - section M
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.12.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION M
-----------------------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

==============================================
V000251 Post.Summary.13. Post timing - section N
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.13.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION N
-----------------------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

-----------------------------
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.14.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION P

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

-----------------------------
V000253  Post.Summary.15. Post timing - section Q
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.15.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION Q

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

-----------------------------
V000254  Post.Summary.16. Post timing - section R
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.16.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION R

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
999.99 NA  
000.00 No Post IW  

-------------------------------
V000255  Post.Summary.17. Post timing - section S  
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 999.99  
Numeric  

Post-Summary.17.  

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION S  
---------------------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time  
between the beginning and ending of the section as  
recorded by the instrument application, and may be  
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,  
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.  
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:  

999.99 NA  
000.00 No Post IW  

-------------------------------
V000256  Post.Summary.18. Post timing - section T  
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 999.99  
Numeric  

Post-Summary.18.  

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION T  
---------------------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time  
between the beginning and ending of the section as  
recorded by the instrument application, and may be  
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,  
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.  
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:  

999.99 NA  
000.00 No Post IW  

-------------------------------
V000257  Post.Summary.19. Post timing - section V  
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 999.99  
Numeric  

Post-Summary.19.  

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION V  
---------------------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time  
between the beginning and ending of the section as  
recorded by the instrument application, and may be  
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,  
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.  
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

==============================
V000258 Post.Summary.20. Post timing - section Y
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.20.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION Y
=================================================================================================================================================================

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

==============================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.21.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION Z
=================================================================================================================================================================

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

==============================
V000260 Post.Summary.22. Wrong CD admin(preload)
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Post-Summary.22.

POST: Wrong CD administered (preloaded)?
=================================================================================================================================================================

NOTE: 3 cases (case IDs 900,1016,1114) were misidentified in the preload as PA cases but were actually conducted in New Jersey; the actual CD for the NJ location is not determined. Variables for survey questions which were administered according to Preload information are unchanged (affected cases not set to missing data). State and CD variables (e.g. V000079, V000080 etc.) are set to missing data but sampling variables associated
with segment are correct for the actual segment location (if FTF mode).

0. No misidentification of CD
1. Wrong CD identified (administered)
9. No Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1553</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

================================
V000261 Post.Summary.23. Type error in preload
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Post-Summary.23.

POST: Type of error in preload
----------------------------------------

Code 1 corresponds to 3 cases in WV where Senate type race was misidentified as type race 12 instead of type race 19 (note: 1 additional case in WV had correct Senate type race preloaded). For the 3 cases coded 1, the preload information for the Senate Independent/3rd party candidate was not preloaded.

0. No error in Preload
1. Wrong Senate type race preloaded (WV, 3 cases)
2. Wrong CD (1 in Post-Summary.22)
9. No Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

================================
V000262 Post.Summary.24. Flag-Post rand failure
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Post-Summary.24.

POST: Flag - randomization failure in Post admin
----------------------------------------

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice).
The randomization variables documented in V001752-V001810 describe the types of randomizations affected.

0. No randomization failure  
1. Post randomization failure in at least a portion of the interview 
9. No Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1051</td>
<td></td>
<td>504</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000301  A1. Attention R paid to campaigns  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

A1.

Some people don't pay much attention to political campaigns. How about you? Would you say that you have been very much interested, somewhat interested or not much interested in the political campaigns so far this year?

1. VERY MUCH INTERESTED  
3. SOMewhat INTERESTED  
5. NOT MUCH INTERESTED

8. DON'T KNOW  
9. RF  
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>886</td>
<td>396</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000302  A2. Does R care about Pres election  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

A2.

Generally speaking, would you say that you personally care a good deal who wins the presidential election this fall, or that you don't care very much who wins?

1. CARE A GOOD DEAL  
3. DON'T CARE VERY MUCH

8. DON'T KNOW  
9. RF  
0. NA
A3.

In 1996 Bill Clinton ran on the Democratic ticket against Bob Dole for the Republicans, and Ross Perot as an independent candidate. Do you remember for sure whether or not you voted in that election?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK' RESPONSE]

1. YES, VOTED
5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE --> SKIP TO A4/A5
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP A4/A5
9. RF
0. NA

1 5 8 9
----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 1264 530 12 1

A3a.

IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT IN 1996:

Which one did you vote for?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK' RESPONSE]

1. BILL CLINTON
3. BOB DOLE
5. ROSS PEROT
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in A3

0 1 3 5
----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 543 665 408 138
A4.

(Now I'd like to ask you about the good and bad points of the major candidates for President.)

----------------------------------
V000305   A4a. Does R like anything about Gore
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

A4a.

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al Gore that might make you want to vote for him?

The order of the A4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Gore) and the A5 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about GW Bush) was randomized.

Randomization:  Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO           --> SKIP TO A4c
8. DON'T KNOW   --> SKIP TO A4c
9. RF
0. NA

1        5        8        9
-----    -----    -----    -----    
Count     912      878       13        4

----------------------------------
V000306   A4b(1). #1 detail about Gore R likes
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9995
Numeric

A4b(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)
MENTION 1 - GORE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a

V000307  A4b(2). #2 detail about Gore R likes
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

A4b(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - GORE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4b(1); no further mentions

V000308  A4b(3). #3 detail about Gore R likes
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

A4b(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - GORE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4b(1); no further mentions

==============================================
V000309  A4b(4). #4 detail about Gore R likes
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

A4b(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - GORE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4b(1); no further mentions

==============================================
V000310  A4b(5). #5 detail about Gore R likes
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

A4b(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - GORE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4b(1); no further mentions

==============================================
V000311  A4c. Does R dislike anything about Gore
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

A4c. Does R dislike anything about Gore
A4c.

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might make you want to vote against him?

The order of the A4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Gore) and the A5 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about GW Bush) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. Yes
5. No --> A5a Or A6
8. Don't Know --> A5a Or A6
9. RF
0. NA

1 5 8 9
----- ----- ----- -----
Count 860 934 10 3

V000312 A4d(1). #1 detail about Gore R dislikes
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
Numeric

A4d(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - GORE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c

-----------------------------
A4d(2). #2 detail about Gore R dislikes

MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - GORE 'DISLIKES'

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4d(1); no further mentions

======================================================================

V000314    A4d(3). #3 detail about Gore R dislikes

MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

A4d(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - GORE 'DISLIKES'

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4d(1); no further mentions

======================================================================

V000315    A4d(4). #4 detail about Gore R dislikes

MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

A4d(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - GORE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4d(1); no further mentions

=====================================
V000316    A4d(5). #5 detail about Gore R dislikes
            MD:  EQ 0
            Numeric

A4d(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - GORE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4d(1); no further mentions

=====================================
V000317    A5a. Does R like anything about Bush
            MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
            Numeric

A5a.

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him?

The order of the A4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Gore) and the A5 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about GW Bush) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. YES
5. NO  --> SKIP TO A5c
8. DON'T KNOW  --> SKIP TO A5c
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
V000318  A5b(1). #1 detail about Bush R likes
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
Numeric

A5b(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a

-----------------------------
V000319  A5b(2). #2 detail about Bush R likes
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

A5b(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5b(1); no further mentions

==============================

V000320   A5b(3). #3 detail about Bush R likes
MD:   EQ 0
Numeric

A5b(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him? (What is that?)

MENTION 3 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'

 See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
 Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5b(1); no further mentions

==============================

V000321   A5b(4). #4 detail about Bush R likes
MD:   EQ 0
Numeric

A5b(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him? (What is that?)

MENTION 4 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'

 See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
 Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5b(1); no further mentions

==============================

V000322   A5b(5). #5 detail about Bush R likes
MD:   EQ 0
A5b(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor
George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5b(1); no
further mentions

==============================================================================
V000323    A5c. Does R dislike anything about Bush
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

A5c.

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush
that might make you want to vote against him?
==============================================================================

The order of the A4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about
Gore) and the A5 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about GW
Bush) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO   --> SKIP TO A4a or A6
8. DON'T KNOW   --> SKIP TO A4a or A6
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>885</td>
<td>899</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================================================
V000324    A5d(1). #1 detail about Bush R dislikes
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9001
Numeric
A5d(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c

-----------------------------
V000325    A5d(2). #2 detail about Bush R dislikes
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

A5d(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5d(1); no further mentions

--------------------
V000326    A5d(3). #3 detail about Bush R dislikes
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

A5d(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush
that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5, 8, 9, 0 in A5c; 9001, 9002, 9995, 9998, 9999 in A5d(1); no
further mentions

==============================

V000327 A5d(4). #4 detail about Bush R dislikes
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

A5d(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush
that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5, 8, 9, 0 in A5c; 9001, 9002, 9995, 9998, 9999 in A5d(1); no
further mentions

==============================

V000328 A5d(5). #5 detail about Bush R dislikes
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

A5d(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush
that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5, 8, 9, 0 in A5c; 9001, 9002, 9995, 9998, 9999 in A5d(1); no further mentions

==================================
V000329    A6. Number of days R watched nat'l news
    MD1:  EQ 8,  MD2:  GE 9
    Numeric

A6.

How many days in the past week did you watch the national network news on TV?

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
0. NONE      --> SKIP TO A7
1. ONE DAY
2. TWO DAYS
3. THREE DAYS
4. FOUR DAYS
5. FIVE DAYS
6. SIX DAYS
7. EVERY DAY
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF; NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==================================
V000330    A6a/A6a.T. Attention to national news
    MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
    Numeric

A6a/A6a.T.

IF R WATCHED NATIONAL NETWORK TV NEWS IN PAST WEEK:

FTF:
Please look at page 1 of the booklet.
How much attention do you pay to news on national news shows about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:
How much attention do you pay to news on national news shows about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A GREAT DEAL
2. QUITE A BIT
3. SOME  
4. VERY LITTLE  
5. NONE  

8. DON'T KNOW  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 0,8,9 in A6  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000331  A7. Days R watched early local news  
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9  
Numeric  

A7.  

How many days in the past week did you watch the local TV news shows such as "Eyewitness News" or "Action News" in the late afternoon or early-evening?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000332  A8. Days R watched late local news  
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9  
Numeric  

A8.  

How many days in the past week did you watch the local TV news shows in the late evening?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. THREE DAYS
4. FOUR DAYS
5. FIVE DAYS
6. SIX DAYS
7. EVERY DAY
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF; NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

_____________________________
V000333  A8a/A8a.T. Attention to local news
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

A8a/A8a.T.

IF NUMBER OF DAYS WATCHED IS NOT 0 FOR BOTH EARLY AND LATE LOCAL NEWS:

FTF:
Please look at page 1 of the booklet.
How much attention do you pay to news on local news shows about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:
How much attention do you pay to news on local news shows about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

______________________________
1. A GREAT DEAL
2. QUITE A BIT
3. SOME
4. VERY LITTLE
5. NONE

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,8,9 in A7 and A8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

______________________________
V000334  A9. Does R have cable or satellite tv
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

A9.
Do you have either cable or satellite television?

1. Yes
5. No
8. DON’T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA

Count 1418 389

V000335  A10. Days R read a daily newspaper
MD1:  EQ 8,  MD2:  GE 9
Numeric

A10.

How many days in the past week did you read a daily newspaper?

0. NONE  --> SKIP TO A11
1. ONE DAY
2. TWO DAYS
3. THREE DAYS
4. FOUR DAYS
5. FIVE DAYS
6. SIX DAYS
7. EVERY DAY
8. DON’T KNOW
9. RF; NA

Count 468 216 181 130

V000336  A10a. Did R read about campaign in paper
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

A10a.

IF R READ/DK IF READ NEWSPAPER ANY DAYS IN PAST WEEK:

Did you read about the campaign in any newspaper?
1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO A11
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO A11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,8,9 in A10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=============================================================================
V000337 A10b/A10b.T. Attention to newspaper articles
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

A10b/A10b.T.

IF R READ/DK IF READ NEWSPAPER ANY DAYS IN PAST WEEK:
IF R READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN:

FTF:
(Please look at page 1 of the booklet.)
How much attention do you pay to newspaper articles
about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite
a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:
How much attention do you pay to newspaper articles
about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite
a bit, some, very little, or none?

1. A GREAT DEAL
2. QUITE A BIT
3. SOME
4. VERY LITTLE
5. NONE

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in A10a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1017</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=============================================================================
V000338 A11. Did R see ads for candidates on tv
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

A11.

Do you recall seeing any ads for political candidates
on television this fall?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Yes
5. No

8. Don't Know
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1377</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**V000339**  
A12. Approve/disappr Clinton job  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

A12.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling his job as president?

1. APPROVE  
5. DISAPPROVE

8. DON'T KNOW  --> SKIP TO B1  
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1177</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**V000340**  
A12a. Strength of approval/disapproval of Clinton  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

A12a.

IF R APPROVES CLINTON HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT/  
IF R DISAPPROVES CLINTON HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:

Strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY  
5. NOT STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in A12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1145</td>
<td>587</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V000341  A12x. Summary app/disapp Clinton job
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

A12x.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling his job as president?
Strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: APPROVAL/ DISAPPROVAL OF CLINTON JOB AS PRESIDENT
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from A12 and A12a.
1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly
8. DK (in A12 or A12a)
9. RF (in A12 or A12b)
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 45</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000342  B1. Did R care about House election
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

B1.

As you know, representatives to Congress in Washington are being chosen in this election from congressional districts all around the country. How much would you say that you personally care about the way the election to the U.S. House of Representatives comes out: do you care very much, pretty much, not very much or not at all?

1. VERY MUCH
2. PRETTY MUCH
3. NOT VERY MUCH
4. NOT AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>501</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B2. Does R remember names of House cands

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district?

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO B3
8. DK --> SKIP TO B3
9. RF
0. NA; Washington D.C.

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>1277</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B2a. #1 recalled name, House cand

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?

1ST RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]
** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list for this race

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.
V000345   B2a1. #1 recall party, House cand
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

B2a1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they? What is [NAME’S] party?

1ST RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Democrat
2. Republican
7. Other Party (specify)
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.

V000346   B2ax1. #1 House cand recall-actual party
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

B2ax1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?

1ST RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Democratic candidate (31,33,35)
2. Republican candidate (32,34,36)
3. Other (30,39)
7. Name given not on candidate list (97)
8. DK name but know party
9. DK name and DK/NA party; NA; RF
0. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in B2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1281</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000347  B2ax2. #1 House cand recall- accuracy
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

B2ax2.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district?
Who are they?
What is [NAME'S] party?

1ST RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1281</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4 | 5 | 6
| Count | 222 | 12 | 15 |

V000348  B2b. #2 recalled name, House cand
        MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 98
        Numeric

B2b.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates
for Congress -- that is, for the House of
Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the
November election from this district?
Who are they?

2ND RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE
---------------------------------------------------------------------
[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]
** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate
list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list or this race
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.;
    no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>34</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1520</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000349  B2b1. #2 recall party, House cand
        MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
        Numeric

B2b1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates
for Congress -- that is, for the House of
Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the
November election from this district?
Who are they?
What is [NAME'S] party?

2ND RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

1. Democrat
2. Republican
7. Other Party (specify)

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1521</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000350  B2bx1. #2 House cand recall-actual party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B2bx1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?

2ND RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY

1. Democratic candidate (31,33,35)
2. Republican candidate (32,34,36)
3. Other (30,39)
7. Name given not on candidate list (97)

8. DK name but know party
9. DK name and DK/NA party; NA; RF
0. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in B2; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1520</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000351  B2bx2. #2 House cand recall- accuracy
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

B2bx2.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:
Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they? What is [NAME'S] party?

2ND RECALLED NAME - ACCCURACY
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in B2; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1520</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000352 B2c. #3 recalled name, House cand
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

B2c.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?

3RD RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]
** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate -- 2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate -- incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list for this race

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>32</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>34</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1728</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000353 B2cl. #3 recall party, House cand
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B2cl.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they? What is [NAME'S] party?

3RD RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

1. Democrat
2. Republican
7. Other Party (specify)

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1732</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000354 B2cx1. #3 House cand recall-actual party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
B2cx1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?

3RD RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Democratic candidate (31,33,35)
2. Republican candidate (32,34,36)
3. Other (30,39)
7. Name given not on candidate list (97)
8. DK name but know party
9. DK name and DK/NA party; NA; RF
0. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in B2; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1728</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000355  B2cx2. #3 House cand recall-accuracy
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

B2cx2.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they? What is [NAME'S] party?

3RD RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in B2; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1728</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000356  
B3. Congress job approve/dissapr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B3.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way the U.S. Congress has been handling its job?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO C1
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>933</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000357  
B3a. Strength of approval/disapproval of Cong
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B3a.

IF R APPROVES U.S. CONGRESS HANDLING ITS JOB/
IF R DISAPPROVES U.S. CONGRESS HANDLING ITS JOB:

(Do you approve or disapprove of the way the U.S. Congress has been handling its job?)
Strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in B3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>947</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B3x.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way the U.S. Congress has been handling its job?
Strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: R APPROVAL OF U.S. CONGRESS

Built from B3 and B3a.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove not strongly
8. DK (in B3 or B3a)
9. RF (in B3 or B3a)
0. NA

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>246</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C1/C1.T.

FTP:
Please look at page 2 of the booklet. I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person using something we call the feeling thermometer. Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the person and that you don't care too much for that person. You would rate the person at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the person. If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that person. Just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

TELEPHONE:
I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person using something we call the feeling thermometer. The feeling thermometer can rate people from 0 to 100 degrees. Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the
person. Rating the person at the midpoint, the 50
degree mark, means you don't feel particularly warm or
cold toward the person. If we come to a person whose
name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that
person. Just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

======================================
V000359  C1a/C1a.T. Thermometer Bill Clinton
MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 997
Numeric

C1a/C1a.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Bill Clinton

TELEPHONE:
The first person is:
Bill Clinton
Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate Bill
Clinton?

THERMOMETER - BILL CLINTON

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were
administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and
C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names;
C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names.
C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

======================================
V000360  C1b/C1b.T. Thermometer Gore
MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 997
Numeric

C1b/C1b.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Al Gore

TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
Al Gore
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)
THERMOMETER - AL GORE
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

===============================================================================
V000361 C1c/C1c.T. Thermometer George W Bush
MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 997
Numeric

C1c/C1c.T.

FTP:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
George W. Bush

TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
George W. Bush
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - GEORGE W. BUSH
===============================================================================

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

===================================
V000362  C1d/C1d.T. Thermometer Buchanan
MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 997
Numeric

C1d/C1d.T.

FTP:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Pat Buchanan

TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
Pat Buchanan
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him)

THERMOMETER - PAT BUCHANAN
=================================================

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?] After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

===================================
V000363  C1e/C1e.T. Thermometer Nader
MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 997
Numeric

C1e/C1e.T.

FTP:
Ralph Nader

TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
Ralph Nader
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - RALPH NADER

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names; C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

V000364  Clf/Clf.T. Thermometer Mccain
 MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 997
 Numeric

Clf/Clf.T.

(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
John McCain

TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
John McCain
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - JOHN MCCAIN

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and
C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

V000365 C1g/C1g.T. Thermometer Bradley
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
Numeric

C1g/C1g.T.

(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Bill Bradley

TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
Bill Bradley
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - BILL BRADLEY

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF
C1h/C1h.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Joseph Lieberman

TELEPHONE:
The next person is::
Joseph Lieberman
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - JOSEPH LIEBERMAN

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

C1j/C1j.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Dick Cheney

TELEPHONE:
The next person is::
Dick Cheney
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================

V000368    C1k/C1k.T. Thermometer Hillary Clinton
MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 997
Numeric

C1k/C1k.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Hillary Clinton

TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
Hillary Clinton
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate her?)

THERMOMETER - HILLARY CLINTON

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

........................................................................................................

C2.

Still using the thermometer:

==================================
V000369 C2a. Thermometer Dem Party
 MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
Numeric

C2a.

(How would you rate:)
the Democratic Party

THERMOMETER - DEMOCRATIC PARTY

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

C2a, C2b, C2c were administered in random order; C2d was
administered last.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==================================
V000370 C2b. Thermometer Rep Party
 MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
Numeric

C2b.

(How would you rate:)
the Republican Party

THERMOMETER - REPUBLICAN PARTY

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

C2a, C2b, C2c were administered in random order; C2d was
administered last.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization

variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================
V000371 C2c. Thermometer Reform Party
   MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 997
   Numeric

C2c.

(How would you rate:)
Reform Party

THERMOMETER - REFORM PARTY
---------------------------------------------------------------------

C2a, C2b, C2c were administered in random order; C2d was administered last.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================
V000372 C2d. Thermometer parties in general
   MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 997
   Numeric

C2d.

(How would you rate:)
Political parties in general

THERMOMETER - POLITICAL PARTIES IN GENERAL
---------------------------------------------------------------------

C2a, C2b, C2c were administered in random order; C2d was administered last.

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
I'd like to ask you what you think are the good and bad points about the two national parties.

The order of the D1/D2 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Democratic Party) and the D3/D4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about the Republican party) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1018</td>
<td>766</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?]
UNTIL R SAYS NO.
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1

V000375   Dla(2). #2 like Dem Party
          MD:  EQ 0
          Numeric

Dla(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the
Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1; no further mentions

V000376   Dla(3). #3 like Dem Party
          MD:  EQ 0
          Numeric

Dla(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the
Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1; no further mentions

==================================
V000377  Dla(4). #4 like Dem Party
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Dla(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'

=================================================================
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1; no further mentions

==================================
V000378  Dla(5). #5 like Dem Party
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Dla(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'

=================================================================
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1; no further mentions

==================================
V000379  D2. Dislike anything- Dem Party
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

D2.
Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?

The order of the D1/D2 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Democratic Party) and the D3/D4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about the Republican party) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO    --> SKIP TO D3 or D5
8. DON'T KNOW   --> SKIP TO D3 or D5
9. RF
0. NA

Count | 782 | 996 | 25 | 4

-----------------------------
V000380  D2a(1). #1 dislike Dem Party
 MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9001
 Numeric

D2a(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2

-----------------------------
V000381  D2a(2). #2 dislike Dem Party
 MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

D2a(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2; no further mentions

==============================================
V000382  D2a(3). #3 dislike Dem Party
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

D2a(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2; no further mentions

==============================================
V000383  D2a(4). #4 dislike Dem Party
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

D2a(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)
MENTION 4 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2; no further mentions

==============================================
V000384 D2a(5). #5 dislike Dem Party
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

D2a(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2; no further mentions

==============================================
V000385 D3. Like anything- Rep Party
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

D3.

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?

The order of the D1/D2 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Democratic Party) and the D3/D4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about the Republican party) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

V000386 | D3a(1). #1 like Rep Party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
Numeric

D3a(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY? UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

- 8999. Other miscellaneous
- 9001. R has been influenced by spouse
- 9002. R has been influenced by someone else
- 9995. NA
- 9998. DK
- 9999. Refused to say
- 0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3

---

V000387 | D3a(2). #2 like Rep Party
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

D3a(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY? UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3; no further mentions

-----------------------------
V00388 D3a(3). #3 like Rep Party
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

D3a(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3; no further mentions

-----------------------------
V00389 D3a(4). #4 like Rep Party
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

D3a(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3; no further mentions

-----------------------------
V00390 D3a(5). #5 like Rep Party
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?  
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY? 
UNTIL R SAYS NO.] 
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE. 
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3; no further mentions

The order of the D1/D2 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Democratic Party) and the D3/D4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about the Republican party) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO D1 or D5
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO D1 or D5
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>867</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D4(a).

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party?

The order of the D1/D2 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Democratic Party) and the D3/D4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about the Republican party) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO D1 or D5
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO D1 or D5
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>867</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
D4a(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'
-----------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4

-----------------------------
V000393    D4a(2). #2 dislike Rep Party
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

D4a(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'
-----------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4; no further mentions

-----------------------------
V000394    D4a(3). #3 dislike Rep Party
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

D4a(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4; no further mentions

==============================
V000395    D4a(4). #4 dislike Rep Party
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

D4a(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4; no further mentions

==============================
V000396    D4a(5). #5 dislike Rep Party
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

D4a(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
D5.

Do you think it is better when one party controls both the presidency and Congress, better when control is split between the Democrats and Republicans, or doesn't it matter?

1. BETTER WHEN ONE PARTY CONTROLS BOTH
3. BETTER WHEN CONTROL IS SPLIT
5. IT DOESN'T MATTER
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>926</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E1/E1.T.

FTF:
We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family living here) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?

TELEPHONE:
We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for administration of the E section.

Summary variables for all respondents administered questions related to personal financial situation either pre or post are found following corresponding post-administration variables (V001410-V001417)

1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> Skip to E1b
5. THE SAME --> Skip to E2
8. DK --> Skip to E2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R not selected for half-sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000399       Ela. How much better off- last year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Ela.

IF R'S FAMILY HAS BEEN BETTER OFF IN LAST YEAR:

Much better or somewhat better?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1495</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000400       Elb. How much worse off- last year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Elb.

IF R'S FAMILY HAS BEEN WORSE OFF IN LAST YEAR:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1677</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Elx.

Would you say that you (and your family [FTF: living here]) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?
Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY - R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN PAST YEAR
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from E1/E1.T and Ela/Elb.

Summary variables for all respondents administered questions related to personal financial situation either pre or post are found following corresponding post-administration variables (V001410-V001417)

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK (in E1 or Ela/Elb)
9. RF (in E1 or Ela/Elb)
0. NA; INAP, R not selected for half-sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ET2/E2.T.

FTF:
In the past year did you (or anyone in your family living here) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?

TELEPHONE:
In the past year did you (or anyone in your family) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for
administration of the E section.

Summary variables for all respondents administered questions related to personal financial situation either pre or post are found following corresponding post-administration variables (V001410-V001417)

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R not selected for half-sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>649</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000403  E3/E3.T. Expect better/worse in next year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

E3/E3.T.

FTF:
Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you (and your family living here) will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?

TELEPHONE:
Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you (and your family) will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for administration of the E section.

Summary variables for all respondents administered questions related to personal financial situation either pre or post are found following corresponding post-administration variables (V001410-V001417)

1. BETTER
3. WORSE   --> Skip to E3B
5. THE SAME   --> Skip to F1
8. DK   --> Skip to F1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R not selected for half-sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000404  E3a. How much better off- next year
E3a.

IF R THINKS FAMILY WILL BE BETTER OFF A YEAR FROM NOW:

Much better off or somewhat better off?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP to F1
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP to F1
8. DK --> SKIP to F1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in E3/E3.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1438</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E3b. How much worse off- next year

IF R THINKS FAMILY WILL BE WORSE OFF A YEAR FROM NOW:

Much worse off or somewhat worse off?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in E3/E3.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1754</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E3x. Summary R econ situation next year

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you (and your family [FTF: living here]) will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now? Much better/worse off or somewhat better/worse off?

SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN NEXT YEAR
Built from E3 and E3a/b.

Summary variables for all respondents administered questions related to personal financial situation either pre or post are found following corresponding post-administration variables (V001410-V001417)

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Just about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK (in E3 or E3a/E3b)
9. RF (in E 3 or E3a/E3b)
0. NA; INAP, R not selected for half sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F0.

Now we would like to know something about the feelings you have toward the candidates for President. I am going to name a candidate, and I want you to tell me whether something about that person, or something he has done, has made you have certain feelings like anger or pride.

V000407  Fla. Angry- Gore affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

Fla.

(Think about Al Gore.) Has Al Gore (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done) -- ever made you feel: ANGRY

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series , and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES  
5. NO    --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization  
8. DK    --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization  
9. RF  
0. NA

-----    -----    -----    -----  
Count     524     1272        9        2

==============================================
V000408    Flaa. Angry- how often Gore affect  
 MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8  
Numeric

Flaa.

IF R SAYS GORE HAS MADE R FEEL ANGRY:

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. Very often  
2. Fairly often  
3. Occasionally  
4. Rarely

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Fla

-----    -----    -----    -----    -----  
Count    1283       94      102      221      107

==============================================
V000409    Flb. Hopeful- Gore affect  
 MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8  
Numeric

Flb.

(Think about Al Gore.) Has Al Gore (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done) -- ever made you feel:

HOPEFUL

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects
is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO -> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
8. DK -> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>853</td>
<td>934</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000410 Flbb. Hopeful- how often Gore affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Flbb.

IF R SAYS GORE HAS MADE R FEEL HOPEFUL:

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>954</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000411 Flc. Afraid- Gore affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Flc.

(Think about Al Gore.) Has Al Gore (because of the kind
of person he is, or because of something he has done) -- ever made you feel:
AFRAID
---------------------------------------------------------------------
The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. Yes
5. No   --> Next F1 In Randomization
8. DK   --> Next F1 In Randomization
9. RF
0. NA

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>1462</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V000412  Flcc. Afraid- how often Gore affect
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Flcc.

IF R SAYS GORE HAS MADE R FEEL AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Very Often
2. Fairly Often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Flc

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1474</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V000413  Flcc. Proud- Gore affect
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric
(Think about Al Gore.) Has Al Gore (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done) -- ever made you feel:
Proud
---------------------------------------------------------------------

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
8. DK --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>1174</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000414  F1dd. Proud- how often Gore affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F1dd.

IF R SAYS GORE HAS MADE R FEEL PROUD:

How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Very Often
2. Fairly Often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F1d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1199</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
F2a.

(Think about George W. Bush.) Has George W. Bush (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel: ANGRY

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
8. DK --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>1303</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F2aa.

IF R SAYS GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R ANGRY:

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F2b.

(Think about George W. Bush.) Has George W. Bush (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel: HOPEFUL

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO  --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
8. DK  --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA

| Count | 1320 | 79 | 97 | 209 | 101 | 1 |

F2bb.

IF R SAYS GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R HOPEFUL:

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F26

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1033</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000419  F2c. Afraid- Bush affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F2c.

(Think about George W. Bush.) Has George W. Bush (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel: AFRAID

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO  --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
8. DK  --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>1374</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000420  F2cc. Afraid- how often Bush affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F2cc.

IF R SAYS GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F2c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1389</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000421  F2d. Proud- Bush affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F2d.

(Think about George W. Bush.) Has George W. Bush
(because of the kind of person he is, or because of
something he has done --) ever made you feel:

PROUD

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore
F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is
randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects
is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO    --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
8. DK    --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>542</td>
<td>1239</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000422  F2dd. Proud- how often Bush affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F2dd.

IF R SAYS GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R PROUD:

How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often,
fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?
1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in F2d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1265</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000423  F3a. Angry- Buchanan affect
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

F3a.

(Think about Pat Buchanan.) Has Pat Buchanan (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel: ANGRY

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized. Buchanan affects were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. Yes
5. No  --> Next F3 In Randomization
8. DK  --> SKIP to next F3 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000424  F3aa. Angry- how often Buchanan affect
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric
F3aa.

IF R SAYS BUCHANAN HAS MADE R ANGRY:

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?
----------------------------------------------------------

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F3a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1610</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=========================================================

V000425  F3b. Hopeful- Buchanan affect
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

F3b.

(Think about Pat Buchanan.) Has Pat Buchanan (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel: HOPEFUL
----------------------------------------------------------

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.
Buchanan affects were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. Yes
5. No   --> Next F3 In Randomization
8. DK   --> SKIP to next F3 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
F3bb. Hopeful- how often Buchanan affect

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

IF R SAYS BUCHANAN HAS MADE R HOPEFUL:

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely
5. DK
8. RF
9. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F3b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1693</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F3c. Afraid- Buchanan affect

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

(Tell the respondents to think about Pat Buchanan.) Has Pat Buchanan (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done) ever made you feel: AFRAID

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized. Buchanan affects were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. Yes
5. No --> Next F3 in Randomization
8. DK --> SKIP to next F3 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000428  F3cc. Afraid- how often Buchanan affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

**F3cc.**

**IF R SAYS BUCHANAN HAS MADE R AFRAID:**

How often would you say you've felt afraid-- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1. Very often</th>
<th>2. Fairly often</th>
<th>3. Occasionally</th>
<th>4. Rarely</th>
<th>8. DK</th>
<th>9. RF</th>
<th>0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F3c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1654</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000429  F3d. Proud- Buchanan affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

**F3d.**

(Think about Pat Buchanan.) Has Pat Buchanan (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel: PROUD

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized. Buchanan affects were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. Yes
5. No  --> Next F3 In Randomization
8. DK  --> SKIP to next F3 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000430    F3dd. Proud- how often Buchanan affect
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

F3dd.

IF R SAYS BUCHANAN HAS MADE R PROUD:

How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F3d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1729</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000431    F6(1). #1 most important problem
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 991
Numeric

F6(1).

What do you think are the most important problems facing this country?

MENTION 1 - MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY?
UNTIL R SAYS NONE]
A half sample of Rs were randomly selected for administration of the 'most important problem' questions F6-F7.
See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.
Codes 001-899 and:
990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere

991. "There were no issues"; "no issues, just party politics"
992. "There was no campaign in my district"
995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. Inap, R not selected for half-sample administration (see Pre-Admin.2b, V000005b=0)

==============================
V000432    F6(2). #2 most important problem
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

F6(2).

What do you think are the most important problems facing this country?

MENTION 2 - MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY?
UNTIL R SAYS NONE]
See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.
Codes 001-899 and:

990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere
000. INAP, 000, 991-999 in F6(1); no further mention

==============================
V000433    F6(3). #3 most important problem
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

F6(3).

What do you think are the most important problems facing this country?

MENTION 3 - MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY?
UNTIL R SAYS NONE]
See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.
Codes 001-899 and:

990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere
000. INAP, 000, 991-999 in F6(1); no further mention

==============================
V000434    F6(4). #4 most important problem
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

F6(4).
What do you think are the most important problems facing this country?

MENTION 4 - MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY? UNTIL R SAYS NONE]
See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.
Codes 001-899 and:

990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere

000. INAP, 000, 991-999 in F6(1); no further mention

V000435  F6. Checkpoint for # of mentions
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

F6x.

CHECKPOINT: NUMBER OF IMPORTANT PROBLEMS MENTIONED BY R

[IF UNSURE WHETHER ONE PROBLEM OR MORE THAN ONE PROBLEM, CODE MORE THAN 1.]
A half sample of Rs were randomly selected for administration of the 'most important problem' questions F6-F7.

0. No problem mentioned (000, 991-999 in F6(1))
1. One problem mentioned
2. Two or more problems mentioned
9. R not selected for administration of F6-F7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>902</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000436  F7. Choice - most important problem
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2: GE 998
Numeric

F7.

IF R HAS MADE 2 OR MORE MENDIONS OF 'MOST IMPORTANT' PROBLEM:

Of those you've mentioned, what would you say is the single most important problem the country faces?

A half sample of Rs were randomly selected for administration of the 'most important problem' questions F6-F7.
See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.
If R mentioned only 1 problem, that mention is duplicated here.
Codes 001-899 and:
990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere

998. DK
999. RF; NA
000. Inap, R not selected for administration of F6-F7 (0 in F6x)

V000437  F8. Gov't performance on most imp problem
         MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
         Numeric

F8.

IF R HAS MADE ANY MENTION OF 'MOST IMPORTANT' PROBLEM:

How good a job is the government in Washington doing in dealing with this problem -- a good job, only fair, or a poor job?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for administration of section F.

1. A GOOD JOB
3. ONLY FAIR
5. A POOR JOB
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R not selected for section F administration; 0,9 in F6x

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>944</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000438  F9. Party performance on most imp problem
         MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
         Numeric

F9.

IF R HAS MADE ANY MENTION OF 'MOST IMPORTANT' PROBLEM:

Which political party do you think would be most likely to get the government to do a better job in dealing with this problem -- the Republicans, the Democrats, or wouldn't there be much difference between them?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for administration of section F.

1. REPUBLICANS
3. DEMOCRATS
5. THERE WOULDN'T BE MUCH DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THEM
7. NEITHER PARTY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R not selected for section
   F administration; 0,9 in F6x

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>944</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G1/G1.T.

FTP:
Please look at page 3 of the booklet. We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Here is a seven-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative.

TELEPHONE:
We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives.

Gla. Self placement lib-con scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V000439</td>
<td>Gla. Self placement lib-con scale</td>
<td>MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numeric</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTP:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E). For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see Gla.T; for FTF (and telephone) branching format, see G6.E (V000442).

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL --> Skip to G2
2. LIBERAL --> Skip to G2
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL --> Skip to G2
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD --> G1b
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE --> Skip to G2
6. CONSERVATIVE --> Skip to G2
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE --> Skip to G2

8. DK --> G1b
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE] --> G1b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000439a G1a.T. Self placement lib-con scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G1a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).
For the FTF version of the 7-point scale, see G1a; for telephone (and FTF) branching format, see G6.E (V000442)

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL --> Skip to G2
2. LIBERAL --> Skip to G2
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL --> Skip to G2
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD --> G1b
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE --> Skip to G2
6. CONSERVATIVE --> Skip to G2
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE --> Skip to G2
8. DK --> G1b
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE] --> G1b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Glax.

**IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:**

**FTF:**
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

**TELEPHONE:**
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?

**COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SELF-PLACEMENT**

Built from G1a and G1a.T.

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for branching version
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>941</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Glbb.

**IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:**

**IF SELF-PLACEMENT IS MODERATE, DK OR HAVEN'T THOUGHT:**

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

1. LIBERAL
3. CONSERVATIVE
5. MODERATE
7. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE
8. DK
0. NA; INAP, 1-3, 5-7 or RF/NA in G1a or G1a.T; R selected for branching version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1399</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000441a  Glbx. Summary: comb FTF/phone-lib-con
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Glbx.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

TELEPHONE:
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

SUMMARY: 3-CATEGORY LIB-CON SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT-SCALE SERIES:

Built from Glax and Glb.
This is the traditional summary used in 7-pt scale FTF administration in previous years.
Recode values used for each category appear in the order: G1a,G1b.

1. Liberal (1/2/3,0; 0/4/8,1)
3. Moderate (0/4/8,5; 4,7/8/9/NA)
5. Conservative (5/6/7,0; 0/4/8,3)
7. Refused to choose (0/8,7)

8. DK (0/8,8)
9. NA/RF to entire question series (RF/NA,0)
0. NA to follow up question G4aa (0/8,9/NA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>941</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G6.E. Self placement lib-con branching
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G6.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives.
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this?

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.
See also: G1a and G1a.T (V000439 and V000439a).
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E)

1. A LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G6b.E
3. A CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G6a.E
5. A MODERATE --> SKIP TO G6a.E
7. NEITHER [VOL] --> SKIP TO G6a.E
8. DK --> SKIP TO G6a.E
9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE] --> SKIP TO G6a.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>314</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>869</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G6a.E. Had to choose lib-con branching
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G6a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF SELF-PLACEMENT IS DK/NEITHER/MODERATE/NO THOUGHT:

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

1. LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G7.E
3. CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G7.E
5. MODERATE [VOL] --> SKIP TO G7.E
7. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE [VOL] --> SKIP TO G7.E
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO G7.E
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,9 in G6.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1244</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000444 G6b.E. R strong liberal or not
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G6b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF SELF-PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:

Would you call yourself a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?

1. STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G7.E
5. NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G7.E
8. DK --> SKIP TO G7.E
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,7,8,9,0 in G6.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1661</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000445 G6c.E. R strong conservative or not
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G6c.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF SELF-PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call yourself a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE
5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,7,8,9,0 in G6.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1578</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V000446    G6x1. Summary self plcmnt lib-con scale/brnch
          MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
          Numeric

G6x1.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
7-POINT SCALE PHONE: When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE):
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
Would you call yourself a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?
Would you call yourself a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

COMBINED 7-POINT LIB-CON SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCHING

Built from:
- 7-pt scale data in Glax, G1b.

NOTE: To make 7pt scale data comparable with the construction of a 7-pt summary of branching data, both the 7pt-scale data in Glax and the "had to choose" data in G1b were used for constructing this summary. For both 7pt-scale and branching cases, Rs who answered moderate (4 in 7pt-scale) or DK or 'haven't thought much' (branching: or 'neither') were asked for the "had to choose" selection of liberal or conservative. Branching: If R selected liberal or conservative but strength followup was missing data (typically: DK) then 'not strong' has been coded in G6x1.

Note: when using combined-mode summaries (combining face-to-face 7-point scales with telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: not strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3; had to choose liberal / BRANCHING: had to choose liberal
4. SCALE: 4; had to choose moderate/ BRANCHING: had to choose moderate
5. SCALE: 5; had to choose conserv/ BRANCHING: had to choose conserv
6. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: not strong conservative
7. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: strong conservative

8. DK
9. R refuses to choose
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>82</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>528</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V000446a  G6x1a. 7pt lib-con summary - FTF
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G6x1a.

IF FTF MODE:
BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
BRANCHING (FTF):
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
Would you call yourself a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?
Would you call yourself a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

This is the equivalent of G6x1 for FTF cases only, summarizing FTF data from both 7-pt scale and branching formats.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: not strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3; had to choose liberal / BRANCHING: had to choose liberal
4. SCALE: 4; had to choose moderate/ BRANCHING: had to choose moderate
5. SCALE: 5; had to choose conserv/ BRANCHING: had to choose conserv
6. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: not strong conservative
7. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: strong conservative

8. DK
9. R refuses to choose
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
### V000446b  G6x1b. 7pt lib-con summary - PHONE

**MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8**

**Numeric**

**G6x1b.**

**IF PHONE MODE:**

**BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>801</th>
<th>51</th>
<th>77</th>
<th>212</th>
<th>57</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>314</th>
<th>129</th>
<th>77</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>80</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

V000446b  G6x1b. 7pt lib-con summary - PHONE

**MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8**

**Numeric**

**G6x1b.**

**IF PHONE MODE:**

**BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:**

7-POINT SCALE PHONE: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

BRANCHING (PHONE):
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
Would you call yourself a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?
Would you call yourself a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

---

This is the equivalent of G6x1 for phone cases only, summarizing telephone data from both 7-pt scale and branching formats.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: not strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3; had to choose liberal / BRANCHING: had to choose liberal
4. SCALE: 4; had to choose moderate / BRANCHING: had to choose moderate
5. SCALE: 5; had to choose conserv / BRANCHING: had to choose conserv
6. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: not strong conservative
7. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: strong conservative

8. DK
9. R refuses to choose
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>1007</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>81</th>
<th>139</th>
<th>52</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>214</th>
<th>121</th>
<th>68</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>85</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

V000447  G6x2. Comb.7pt & branching summ

**MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8**

---


10/15/2009
Numeric
G6x2.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
7-POINT SCALE PHONE: When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?
7PT FTF AND PHONE: If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE):
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
Would you call yourself a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

SUMMARY: 3-CATEGORY LIB-CON SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT/BRANCING

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Collapsed from G6x1.

1. Liberal (1-3 in G6x1)
3. Moderate (4 in G6x1)
5. Conservative (5-7 in G6x1)
7. Refused to choose (9 in G6x1)

8. DK (8 in G6x1)
0. NA (0 in G6x1)


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


-----------------------------
V000448    G2. Clinton placement lib-con scale FTF
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G2.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place Bill Clinton on this scale?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V0000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered
the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E). For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see G2.T.; for branching format, see G7.E (V000450).

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1339</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000448a G2.T. Clinton plcmnt lib-con scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G2.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:
What about Bill Clinton?
Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E). For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see G2.T.; for branching format, see G7.E (V000450).

Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).

For the FTF version of the 7-point scale, see G2; for branching format, see G7.E (V000450).

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1407</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000449 G2x. Combined FTF/ph Clinton lib-con
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G2x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place Bill Clinton on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIB-CON CLINTON PLACEMENT
-----------------------------------------------

Built from G2/G2.T.

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for branching version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
G7.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Bill Clinton?
Is Bill Clinton a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a
general description of the administration of liberal-
conservative placements.
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered
the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format
(G6.E-G10.E)

1. LIBERAL       --> G7a.E
2. CONSERVATIVE  --> SKIP TO G7b.E
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

0        1        2        3        8        9
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----    -----  
Count    868      434       78      285      139        3

G7a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF CLINTON PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:

Would you call Bill Clinton a strong liberal or a not
very strong liberal?

9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2,3,8,9,0 in G7.E

0        1        5        8
-----    -----    -----    -----  
Count    1373      280      150        4
V000452  G7b.E. Clinton strong conservative or not
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G7b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF CLINTON PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call Bill Clinton a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE
5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in G7.E

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1729</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000453  G7x1.E. 5-pt br summary Clinton lib-con
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G7x1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Bill Clinton? Is Bill Clinton a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?
Would you call Bill Clinton a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

SUMMARY: 5PT LIB-CON CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from G7.E/G7a.E/G7b.E.

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place Bill Clinton on this scale?
7-POINT SCALE PHONE: What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?
BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE):
What about Bill Clinton? Is Bill Clinton a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?
Would you call Bill Clinton a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

COMBINED 5-PT LIB-CON CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCHING

Built from G2x and G7x1.E

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Not very strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Moderate
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Not very strong conservative
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Strong conservative

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>206</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
G3.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore on this scale?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a
general description of the administration of liberal-
conservative placements.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered
the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).
For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see G3.T;
for branching version, see G8.E (V000459).
The order in which G3, G4, and G5 were administered was
randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES. CAND OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1339</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===================================
V000455a G3.T. Gore placement lib-con scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G3.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore?
(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).

For the FTF version of the 7-point scale, see G3; for branching version, see G8.E (V000459).

The order in which G3.T, G4.T, and G5.T were administered was randomized.

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK         --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES. CAND OR G11
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1407</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
V000456  G3x. Combined FTF/ph Gore lib-con scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G3x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

SUMMARY: COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIB-CON GORE PLACEMENT

Built from G3/G3.T
1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for branching version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000457  G3a. Gore-certain lib-con placement FTF
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G3a.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GORE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTF:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

V000458  G3a.T. Gore-certain lib-con plcmnt phone
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G3a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GORE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:
TELEPHONE:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN  --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN  --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN  --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
8. DK  --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 8,9,0 in G3.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1464</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000458a  G3ax.T. Combined - certain Gore lib-con placement
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G3ax.

IF GORE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTF:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

TELEPHONE:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT SCALE CERTAINTY - GORE PLACEMENT

This combines data from G3a and G3a.T.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9, NA in G3/G3.T; R selected for branching format

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1028</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000459  G8.E. Gore placement lib-con branch
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G8.E.
IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Al Gore?
(Is Al Gore a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a
general description of the administration of liberal-
conservative placements.
See also G3 and G3.T (V000455 and V000455a).
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered
the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format
(G6.E-G10.E)
The order in which G8.E, G9.E, and G10.E were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. LIBERAL    --> G8a.E
2. CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G8b.E
3. MODERATE    --> SKIP TO G8c.E

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
V000460    G8a.E. Gore strong liberal or not
MD1:  EQ 0,   MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G8a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GORE PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:

Would you call Al Gore a strong liberal or a not very
strong liberal?

1. STRONG LIBERAL    --> SKIP TO G8c.E
5. NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G8c.E
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2,3,8,9,0 in G8.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V000461  G8b.E. Gore strong conservative or not
   MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
   Numeric

G8b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GORE PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE:

   Would you call Al Gore a strong conservative or a not
   very strong conservative?

   1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE   --> G8c.E
   5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> G8c.E
   8. DON'T KNOW           --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
   9. RF
   0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in G8.E

   Count  1657       89       58        3

V000462  G8x1.E. 5-pt br summary Gore lib-con
   MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
   Numeric

G8x1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

   What about Al Gore? (Is Al Gore a liberal, a
   conservative, or a moderate?)
   Would you call Al Gore a strong liberal/conservative or
   a not very strong liberal/conservative?

   SUMMARY: 5PT LIB-CON GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES


   1. Strong liberal
   2. Not very strong liberal
   3. Moderate
   4. Not very strong conservative
   5. Strong conservative

   8. DK in G8.E
   9. RF in G8.E
   0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version
V000463  G8x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore lib-con
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G8x2.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place Al Gore on this scale?
7-POINT SCALE PHONE: What about Al Gore? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)
BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE):
What about Al Gore? (Is Al Gore a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
Would you call Al Gore a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

COMBINED 5-POINT LIB-CON GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from G3x and G8x1.E

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 ; BRANCHING: Strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Moderate
4. SCALE: 6 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong conservative
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Strong conservative

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
G8c.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GORE PLACEMENT IS MODERATE/
IF STRENGTH OF LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G8.E; 8,9,or NA in G8a.E/G8b.E

Count  1026  224  373  183  1

G8cx.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

COMBINED LIB-CON CERTAINTY - GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT/BRANCHING

Built from G3ax.T and G8c.E.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G3/G3.T/G8.E; 8,9,or NA in G8a.E/G8b.E

Count 247  406  773  380  1
G4.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush on this scale?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).
For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see G4.T; for branching format see G9.E (V000469).
The order in which G3, G4, and G5 were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES CAND OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1339</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================================================================================
V000465a G4.T. Bush placement lib-con scale phone
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G4.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush?
(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).

For the FTF version of the 7-point scale, see G4; for branching format see G9.E (V000469).

The order in which G3.T, G4.T, and G5.T were administered was randomized.

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES CAND OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1407</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>61</th>
<th>146</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>51</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000466 G4x. Combined FTF/ph Bush lib-con scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G4x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

SUMMARY: COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIB-CON GW BUSH PLACEMENT

---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from G4/G4.T

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for branching version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
V000467  G4a. Bush-certain lib-con placement FTF
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G4a.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTP:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11

8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 8,9,0 in G4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1376</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
V000468  G4a.T. Bush-certain plcmnt lib-con phone
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G4a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:
TELEPHONE:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. VERY CERTAIN        --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN      --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN    --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
8. DK                  --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 8,9,0 in G4.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1460</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000468a  G4ax.T. Combined - certain GW Bush lib-con placement
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G4ax.

IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTF:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

TELEPHONE:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT SCALE CERTAINTY - GW BUSH PLACEMENT
---------------------------------------------------------------------
This combines data from G4a and G4a.T.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in G4/G4.T; R selected for branching format

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1029</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000469    G9.E. Bush placement lib-con branch
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G9.E.
IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about George W. Bush?
(Is George W. Bush a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a
general description of the administration of liberal-
conservative placements.
See also G4 and G4.T (V000465 and V000465a).
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered
the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format
(G6.E-G10.E)
The order in which G8.E, G9.E, and G10.E were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. LIBERAL --> G9a.E
2. CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G9b.E
3. MODERATE --> SKIP TO G9c.E
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected
for 7-point scale version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000470  G9a.E. Bush strong liberal or not
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G9a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:

Would you call George W. Bush a strong liberal or a not
very strong liberal?

1. STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G9c.E
5. NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G9c.E
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2,3,8,9,0 in G9.E
V000471    G9b.E. Bush strong conservative or not
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G9b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call George W. Bush a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G9c.E
5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G9c.E
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in G9.E

Count        1694       70       43

V000472    G9x1.E. 5-pt br summary Bush lib-con
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G9x1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about George W. Bush? (Is George W. Bush a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
Would you call George W. Bush a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

SUMMARY: 5PT LIB-CON GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
---------------------------------------------------------------------


1. Strong liberal
2. Not very strong liberal
3. Moderate
4. Not very strong conservative
5. Strong conservative
8. DK in G9.E
9. RF in G9.E
0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version
V000473  G9x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush lib-con
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G9x2.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush on this scale?
7-POINT SCALE PHONE: What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)
BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE:)
What about George W. Bush? (Is George W. Bush a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
Would you call George W. Bush a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

COMBINED 5-POINT LIB-CON GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCH
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from G4x and G9x1.E

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 ; BRANCHING: Strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Moderate
4. SCALE: 6 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong conservative
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Strong conservative

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>487</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### V000474 G9c.E. Bush-certain lib-con placement br

**MD1:** EQ 0, **MD2:** GE 8

**Numeric**

**G9c.E.**

**IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:**

**IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS MODERATE/**

**IF STRENGTH OF LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:**

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1036</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### V000474a G9cx 7pt/branching summary Bush crtn l-c

**MD1:** EQ 0, **MD2:** GE 8

**Numeric**

**G9cx.**

**BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:**

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

COMBINED LIB-CON CERTAINTY - GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT/BRANCHING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>759</td>
<td>396</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V000475    G5. Buchanan placement lib-con scale FTF
    MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
    Numeric

G5.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place Pat Buchanan on this scale?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a
general description of the administration of liberal-
conservative placements.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered
the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).
For the telephone version of the 7-point scale version
see G5.T; for branching format see G10.E (V000479).
The order in which G3, G4, and G5 were administered was
randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK   --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES CAND OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1339</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:
What about Pat Buchanan?
(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E)

For the FTF version of the 7-point scale version see G5; for branching format see G10.E (V000479).
The order in which G3.T, G4.T, and G5.T were administered was randomized.

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES CAND OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1407</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Count | 10    | 61    | 108   | 154   | 4     |

===============================================

V000476 G5x. Combined FTF/ph Buchan lib-con scl
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G5x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place Pat Buchanan on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about Pat Buchanan? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)
SUMMARY: COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIB-CON BUCHANAN PLACEMENT

Built from G5/G5.T

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for branching version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000477 G5a. Buchan-crtn lib-con plcemnt FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G5a.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTF:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11

8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 8,9,0 in G5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1487</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000478 G5a.T. Buchan-crtn lib-con plcemnt phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G5a.T.
IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

TELEPHONE:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11

8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 8,9,0 in G5.T

0        1        3        5
-----    -----    -----    -----  
Count    1565       93       89       60

V000478a  G5ax.T. Combined - certain Buchanan lib-con placement
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G5ax.

FTF:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

TELEPHONE:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT SCALE CERTAINTY - BUCHANAN PLACEMENT

This combines data from G5a and G5a.T.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in G5/G4.T; R selected for branching format

0        1        3        5
-----    -----    -----    -----  
Count    1245      193      202      167

V000479    G10.E. Buchanan placement lib-con branch
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric
IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Pat Buchanan?
(Is Pat Buchanan a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (V000005e) for a
general description of the administration of liberal-
conservative placements.
See also G5 and G5.T (V000475 and V000475a).
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered
the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format
(G6.E-G10.E)
The order in which G8.E, G9.E, and G10.E were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. LIBERAL                      --> G10a.E
2. CONSERVATIVE                 --> SKIP TO G10b.E
3. MODERATE                     --> SKIP TO G10c.E
8. DON'T KNOW                   --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for
7-point scale version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>372</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
V000480   G10a.E. Buchanan strong liberal or not
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G10a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:

Would you call Pat Buchanan a strong liberal or a not
very strong liberal?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. STRONG LIBERAL          --> SKIP TO G10c.E
5. NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G10c.E
8. DON'T KNOW              --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2,3,8,9,0 in G10.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1742</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

V000481  G10b.E. Buchan strong conserv or not
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G10b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call Pat Buchanan a strong conservative or a
not very strong conservative?

1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE          --> G10c.E
5. NOT very STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> G10c.E

8. DON'T KNOW                    --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in G10.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1418</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

V000482  G10x1.E. 5-pt br summary Buchan lib-con
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G10x1.E.

IF R SELECTED BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Pat Buchanan? (Is Pat Buchanan a liberal, a
conservative, or a moderate?)
Would you call Pat Buchanan a strong liberal/
conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

SUMMARY: 5PT LIB-CON BUCHANAN PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES


1. Strong liberal
2. Not very strong liberal
3. Moderate
4. Not very strong conservative
5. Strong conservative

8. DK in G10.E
9. RF in G10.E
0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V000483    G10x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Buchan lib-con
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G10x2.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place Pat Buchanan on this scale?
7-POINT SCALE PHONE: What about Pat Buchanan? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)
BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE)
What about Pat Buchanan? (Is Pat Buchanan a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
Would you call Pat Buchanan a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

COMBINED 5PT LIB-CON GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCH

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from G5x and G10x1.E

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 ; BRANCHING: Strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Moderate
4. SCALE: 6 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong conservative
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Strong conservative

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
G10c.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS MODERATE/
IF STRENGTH OF LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very Certain</th>
<th>Pretty Certain</th>
<th>Not Very Certain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11</td>
<td>NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11</td>
<td>NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G5/G5.T/G10.E; 8,9,or NA in G10a.E/G10b.E

G10cx.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

COMBINED LIB-CON CERTAINTY - GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT/BRANCHING

Built from G5ax.T and G10c.E.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>383</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V000485  G11. Who does R think will be elected
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G11.

Who do you think will be elected President in November?

---------------------------------------------------------------

1. AL GORE
2. GEORGE W. BUSH
3. PAT BUCHANAN
4. RALPH NADER
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]
8. DK ---> SKIP to G11b
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000486  G11a. Race close or not- cand named
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G11a.

IF R NAMES PRES. CANDIDATE WHO R THINKS WILL WIN ELECTION:

Do you think the Presidential race will be close or will [NAME GIVEN IN G11] win by quite a bit?

---------------------------------------------------------------

1. WILL BE CLOSE ---> SKIP to H1 or H1.E
5. WIN BY QUITE A BIT ---> SKIP to H1 or H1.E
8. DK ---> SKIP to H1 or H1.E
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in G11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>1449</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000487  G11b. Race close or not- cand not named
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric
G11b.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE DOESN'T KNOW WHO WILL WIN THE PRES. ELECTION:

Do you think the Presidential race will be close or will one candidate win by quite a bit?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. WILL BE CLOSE
5. WIN BY QUITE A BIT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1-7 in G11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1678</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H1.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR the nation's economy has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H1 or H1.E

1. GOTTEN BETTER --> SKIP TO H1a
3. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H2
5. GOTTEN WORSE --> SKIP TO H1b

8. DK --> SKIP TO H2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H1.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>899</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR the nation's economy has gotten worse, stayed about the same, or gotten better?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H1 or H1.E

1. GOTTEN WORSE. --> SKIP TO H1b
3. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H2
5. GOTTEN BETTER --> SKIP TO H1a

8. DK --> SKIP TO H2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000489 H1a. How much better US econ past year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H1a.

IF R THINKS ECONOMY BETTER IN THE LAST YEAR:

Much better or somewhat better?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000490 H1b. How much worse US econ last year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H1b.

IF R THINKS ECONOMY WORSE IN THE LAST YEAR:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. MUCH WORSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9 or NA in H1; 3,5,8,9 or NA in H1.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1505</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
V000491  H1x. Summary US econ btr/worse last year
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

H1x.

STANDARD VERSION:
Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR the nation's economy has gotten worse, stayed about the same, or gotten better?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR the nation's economy has gotten worse, stayed about the same, or gotten better?

BOTH VERSIONS: Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

COMBINED VERSION SUMMARY: ECONOMY IN LAST YEAR

Built from H1/H1.E and H1a,H1b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK in H1/H1.E or H1a/b
9. RF in H1/H1.E or H1a/b
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|   | 5 | 8 | 9 |
|---|---|---|
| Count | 232 | 20 | 1 |

===============================================
V000492a  H2. Esr/hrdr to find work last year stan
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric
H2.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, it has gotten harder for people across the country to find enough work, stayed about the same, or gotten easier for people to find enough work?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H2 or H2.E

1. GOTTEN HARDER     --> SKIP TO H2a
3. STAYED THE SAME   --> SKIP TO H4
5. GOTTEN EASIER     --> SKIP TO H2b
8. DK                --> SKIP TO H4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H2.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000492b  H2.E. Esr/hrdr to find work last year ex
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

H2.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, it has gotten easier for people across the country to find enough work, stayed about the same, or gotten harder for people to find enough work?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H2 or H2.E

1. GOTTEN EASIER     --> SKIP TO H2b
3. ABOUT THE SAME   --> SKIP TO H4
5. GOTTEN HARDER     
8. DK                --> SKIP TO H4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000493  H2a. How much harder to find work lst yr
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

H2a.

IF R SAYS IT HAS GOTTEN HARDER TO FIND WORK IN THE LAST YEAR:

Much harder or a little harder?

1. MUCH HARDER    --> H4
5. A LITTLE HARDER --> H4
8. DK             --> H4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9 or NA in H2; 1,3,8,9 or NA in H2.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1553</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000494    H2b. How much easier to find work lst yr
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

H2b.

IF R SAYS IT HAS GOTTEN EASIER TO FIND WORK IN THE LAST YEAR:

Much easier or a little easier?

1. MUCH EASIER
5. A LITTLE EASIER
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9 or NA in H2; 3,5,8,9 or NA in H2.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000495    H2x. Summary employ opps in last year
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

H2x.

STANDARD VERSION:
Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, it has gotten harder for people across the country to find enough work, stayed about the same, or gotten easier for people to find enough work?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, it has gotten easier for people across the country to find enough work?
work, stayed about the same, or gotten harder for people to find enough work?

BOTH VERSIONS: Much harder/easier or a little harder/easier?

COMBINED VERSION SUMMARY: EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES IN LAST YEAR

Built from H2/H2.E and H2a,H2b.

1. Much harder
2. Somewhat harder
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat easier
5. Much easier

8. DK in H2/H2.E or H2a/b
9. RF in H2/H2.E or H2a/b
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>404</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H4.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

What about THE NEXT 12 MONTHS? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get better, stay about the same, or get worse?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H4 or H4.E

1. GET BETTER --> SKIP TO H4a
3. STAY ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H5
5. GET WORSE --> SKIP TO H4b

8. DK --> SKIP TO H5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H4.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V000496b   H4.E. US econ bttr/worse in next year ex
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

H4.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

What about THE NEXT 12 MONTHS?  Do you expect the
economy, in the country as a whole, to get worse,
stay about the same, or get better?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H4
or H4.E
1. GET WORSE              --> SKIP TO H4b
3. STAY ABOUT THE SAME    --> SKIP TO H5
5. GET BETTER
8. DK                     --> SKIP TO H5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H4

0        1        3        5        8        9
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----    -----  
Count    911      166      499      196       34        1

==============================
V000497    H4a. How much better US econ in nxt year
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

H4a.

IF R EXPECTS ECONOMY TO GET BETTER IN THE NEXT 12 MONTHS:

Much better or somewhat better?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. MUCH BETTER       --> SKIP TO H5
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER   --> SKIP TO H5
8. DK                --> SKIP TO H5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9 or NA in H4; 1,3,8,9 or NA in H4.E

0        1        5
-----    -----    -----  
Count    1430      113      264

==============================
V000498    H4b. How much worse US econ in nxt year
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

H4b.
IF R EXPECTS ECONOMY TO GET WORSE IN THE NEXT 12 MONTHS:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1478</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### V000499 H4x. Summary US econ in next year

#### MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

**Numeric**

#### STANDARD VERSION:

What about THE NEXT 12 MONTHS? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get better, stay about the same, or get worse?

#### EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

What about THE NEXT 12 MONTHS? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get worse, stay about the same, or get better?

**Much worse or somewhat worse?**

#### SUMMARY: ECONOMY IN NEXT 12 MONTHS

Built from H4/H4.E and H4a,H4b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### V000500 H5. Approve/dissap Clinton w/economy

---


10/15/2009
Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling the economy?

1. APPROVE  
5. DISAPPROVE --> SKIP to H5b  
8. DK --> SKIP to H6  
9. RF  
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1304</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H5a.

IF R APPROVES OF CLINTON'S HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:

Do you approve strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY --> SKIP to H7  
5. NOT STRONGLY --> SKIP to H7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H5b.

IF R DISAPPROVES OF CLINTON'S HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:

Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY  
5. NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in H5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1420</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000503  H5x. Summary Clinton w/economy
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

H5x.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling the economy?
Do you approve/disapprove strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: APPROVAL OF CLINTON JOB AS PRESIDENT

Built from H5 and H5a/H5b.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly
8. DK in H5 or H5a/b
9. RF in H5 or H5a/b
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000504  H6. Is R invested in stock market
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

H6.

Do you personally, or jointly with a spouse, have any money invested in the stock market right now -- either in an individual stock or in a mutual fund?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V000505  H7. Which party R thinks best to handle econ
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000506  H8. Which party R thinks would avoid war
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Turning to some other types of issues facing the country. During the past year, would you say that the United States' position in the world has grown weaker, stayed about the same, or has it grown stronger?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WEAKER</th>
<th>STAYED ABOUT THE SAME</th>
<th>STRONGER</th>
<th>DK</th>
<th>RF</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>874</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the United States to live should be increased, decreased, or left the same as it is now?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>INCREASED</th>
<th>DECREASED</th>
<th>LEFT THE SAME AS IT IS NOW</th>
<th>DON'T KNOW</th>
<th>RF</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the United States to live should be increased, decreased, or left the same as it is now?
IF R THINKS NUMBER OF IMMIGRANTS SHOULD BE INCREASED/
IF R THINKS NUMBER OF IMMIGRANTS SHOULD BE DECREASED:

A little or a lot?

1. A LITTLE
5. A LOT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in H10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000510  H10x. Summary immigration level
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign
countries who are permitted to come to the United States
to live should be increased, decreased, or left the same
as it is now?
A little or a lot?

SUMMARY: IMMIGRATION LEVEL

Built from H10 and H10a.

1. Increased a lot
2. Increased a little
3. Left the same
4. Decreased a little
5. Decreased a lot

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in H10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000511a  H11. Favor/oppose import limits stan
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric
H11.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports, or haven't you thought much about this?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H11 or H11.E

1. FAVOR --> SKIP TO H12
5. OPPOSE --> SKIP TO H12
7. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> SKIP TO H12
8. DK --> SKIP TO H12
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H11.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>897</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

============================================================================

V000511b H11.E. Favor/opp import limits ex
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H11.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H11 or H11.E

1. FAVOR
5. OPPOSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

============================================================================
H11x. Combined versions import limits
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 7
Numeric

STANDARD VERSION:
Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports, or haven't you thought much about this?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports?

COMBINED VERSION SUMMARY: IMPORT LIMITS
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from H11 and H11.E

1. Favor
5. Oppose

7. Haven't thought much (H11 only)
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------------------------------------------------------------------

V000513a H12. US better off to stay at home stan
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H12.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Do you agree or disagree with this statement: This country would be better off if we just stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems in other parts of the world.

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H12 or H12.E

1. AGREE --> SKIP to H13
5. DISAGREE --> SKIP to H13
8. DK --> SKIP to H13
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H12.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>916</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000513b  H12.E. US better off to stay at home ex
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

H12.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Do you think this country would be better off if we just stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems in other parts of the world, or do you think that this country would be better off trying to solve some problems in other parts of the world?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H12 or H12.E

1. BETTER OFF IF WE JUST STAYED HOME
5. BETTER OFF TRYING TO SOLVE SOME PROBLEMS
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000514  H12x. Combined versions isolationism
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

H12x.

STANDARD VERSION:
Do you agree or disagree with this statement:
This country would be better off if we just stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems in other parts of the world.

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Do you think this country would be better off if we just stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems in other parts of the world, or do you think that this country would be better off trying to solve some problems in other parts of the world?

COMBINED VERSION SUMMARY: ISOLATIONISM

Built from H12 and H12.E

1. Agree/ Better off to stay home
5. Disagree/ Better off trying to solve problems

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>1241</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000515  H13. Clinton foreign rel approve/disappr
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

H13.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling our relations with foreign countries?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE  --> SKIP to H13b
8. DK           --> SKIP to K1
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1161</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000516  H13a. Strength appove Clinton frgn rel
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

H13a.

IF R APPROVES CLINTON'S HANDLING OF FOREIGN RELATIONS:

Do you approve strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY  --> SKIP TO K1
5. NOT STRONGLY  --> SKIP TO K1
8. DK           --> SKIP TO K1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in H13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V000517  H13b. Strength dissapr Clinton frgn rel
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H13b.

IF R DISAPPROVES CLINTON'S HANDLING OF FOREIGN RELATIONS:

Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in H13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>1273</th>
<th>328</th>
<th>202</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

V000518  H13x. Summary Clinton foreign relations
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H13x.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling our relations with foreign countries?
Do you approve/disapprove strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: CLINTON HANDLING OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from H13 and H13a/b.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in H13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>605</th>
<th>553</th>
<th>202</th>
<th>328</th>
<th>108</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

V000519  K1. Does R consider self Rep Dem or Ind
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric
K1.

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent, or what?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN --> SKIP TO K1b
3. INDEPENDENT --> SKIP TO K1c
4. OTHER PARTY [SPECIFY] --> SKIP TO K1c
5. NO PREFERENCE --> SKIP TO K1c
8. DK --> SKIP TO K1c
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000520  K1a. Is R a strong Democrat or not
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

K1a.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF A DEMOCRAT:

Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or a not very strong Democrat?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. STRONG --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
5. NOT VERY STRONG --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
8. DK --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2-5, 8,9,0 in K1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1187</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000521  K1b. Is R a strong Republican or not
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

K1b.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF A REPUBLICAN:
Would you call yourself a strong Republican or a not very strong Republican?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Skip to K2, K3, or K4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STRONG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOT VERY STRONG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK</td>
<td>SKTo K2, K3, or K4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA; INAP</td>
<td>1, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 0 in K1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1356</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

----------

Klc. Is R closer to Rep or Dem Party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

IF R'S PARTY PREFERENCE IS INDEPENDENT, NO PREFERENCE, OTHER, DK:

Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican Party or to the Democratic party?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLOSER TO REPUBLICAN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEITHER [VOL]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLOSER TO DEMOCRATIC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA; INAP</td>
<td>1, 2, 9, 0 in K1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1075</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

----------

Klx. Party ID summary
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Klx.

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent, or what?
Would you call yourself a strong Democrat/Republican or a not very strong Democrat/Republican?
Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican Party or to the Democratic party?

SUMMARY: PARTY ID

Built from K1, Kla/b, Klc.
Code 8 (apolitical) was used only if the respondent had a code of 5 (no preference) in K1 and a code of 3, 8 or 9 in K1c AND also showed little or no interest in politics in response to the following survey questions: PRE question A1 (interest in campaigns), PRE question B1 (care about Congressional race outcome), POST question C1 (voted), POST question F5 (follow public affairs); respondents who showed an interest in politics were coded 3.

0. Strong Democrat (1, 1, 0 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
1. Weak Democrat (1, 5/8/9, 0 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
2. Independent-Democrat (3/4/5/8, 0, 5 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
3. Independent-Independent (3, 0, 3/8/9 in K1, K1a/b, K1c; 8, 0, 3 and 5, 0, 3/8/9 if not apolitical)
4. Independent-Republican (3/4/5/8, 0, 1 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
5. Weak Republican (2, 5/8/9, 0 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
6. Strong Republican (2, 1, 0 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
7. Other; minor party; refuses to say (4, 0, 3/8/9 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
8. Apolitical (8, 0, 3 or 5, 0, 3/8/9 in E6, E6a/b, E6c and no interest in politics)
9. NA (8/9, 0, 0 in E6, E6a/b, E6c)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

K1z/K1z.T.

FTP:
Please look at page 4 of the booklet. I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe political figures. For each, tell me whether the word or phrase describes the candidate I name.

TELEPHONE:
I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe political figures. For each, please tell me whether the word or phrase describes the candidate I name.

==============================
V000524    K2a. Gore trait - moral
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

K2a.

Think about Al Gore.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>}' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore
extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?)

GORE TRAIT - MORAL

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

1  2  3  4  8  9
----- ---- ---- ---- ---- ----- ----
Count 423 820 287 133 143 1

V000525    K2b. Gore trait - really cares
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

K2b.

Think about Al Gore.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]})

GORE TRAIT - REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>713</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000526  K2c. Gore trait - knowledgeable
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

K2c.

Think about Al Gore.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too
well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore
extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - KNOWLEDGEABLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were
administered was randomized. Within each series, the order
of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>997</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Think about Al Gore.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]}

GORE TRAIT - PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Think about Al Gore.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]}

GORE TRAIT - DISHONEST
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000529    K2f. Gore trait - intelligent
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

K2f.

Think about Al Gore.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - INTELLIGENT

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
K2g.

Think about Al Gore. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=====================================================================
V000531   K3a. Bush trait - moral
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric
Think about George W. Bush.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'' describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - MORAL

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==================================
V000532  K3b. Bush trait - really cares
          MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
          Numeric

Think about George W. Bush.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'' describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were
administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000533  K3c. Bush trait - knowledgeable
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K3c.

Think about George W. Bush.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'' describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - KNOWLEDGEABLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
Think about George W. Bush.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

Think about George W. Bush.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - DISHONEST

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000536    K3f. Bush trait - intelligent
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

K3f.

Think about George W. Bush.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - INTELLIGENT

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the

collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>967</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================

V000537    K3g. Bush trait - out of touch
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

K3g.

Think about George W. Bush.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

1  2  3  4  8  9
Think about Pat Buchanan.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan
extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at
all?])

**BUCHANAN TRAIT - MORAL**

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were
administered was randomized. Within each series, the order
of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.
Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period
(09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped
Think about Pat Buchanan.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan
extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at
all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were
administered was randomized. Within each series, the order
of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.
Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period
(09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

================================
V000540    K4c. Buchanan trait- knowledgeable
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

K4c.
Think about Pat Buchanan.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan
extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at
all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - KNOWLEDGEABLE
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>235</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000541 K4d. Buchanan trait - strong leader
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

K4d.

Think about Pat Buchanan.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars.
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
V000542  K4e. Buchanan trait - dishonest
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

K4e.

Think about Pat Buchanan.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan
extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at
all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - DISHonest
-----------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were
administered was randomized. Within each series, the order
of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.
Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period
(09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
Think about Pat Buchanan. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

**BUCHANAN TRAIT - INTELLIGENT**

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Think about Pat Buchanan. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L1/L1.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 5 of the booklet.
Some people think the government should provide fewer services even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6.

**TELEPHONE:**
Some people think the government should provide fewer services even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending.

V000545 L1a. Self plcmnt-services/spend scl FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L1a.

**FTP:**
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

For telephone (branching) version of this question see L1a.T.

1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES --> L1b
2. --> L1b
3. --> L1b
4. --> L1b
5. --> L1b
6. --> L1b
7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY MORE SERVICES --> L1b
8. DK --> L1b
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L1b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>802</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000546 L1a.T. Self plcmnt-services/spend scl phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L1a.T.

**TELEPHONE:**
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

For FTF (7-point scale) version of this question see L1a.

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING          --> L1a1.T
5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING         --> L2.T
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL]  --> L1b.T
8. DK                                       --> L1b.T
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS           --> L1b.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>141</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000547    L1a1.T. How much reduce serv/spend phone
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L1a1.T.

IF R THINKS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Should the government reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1628</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000548    L1a2.T. How much incr serv/spend phone
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L1a2.T.

IF R THINKS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Should the government increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. ONLY SOME --> L1b.T
8. DK --> L1b.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1429</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================
V000549 Llax1. 5-pt br summary of self on serv/spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 7
Numeric

Llax1.T.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?
Should the government reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: SPT SERV/SPEND SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
=================================

Built from Lla.T and Llal.T/Llal2.T
1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. Reduce spending and services only some
3. Stay same as now (3 in Lla.T)
4. Increase spending and services only some
5. Increase spending and services a great deal
7. Haven't thought much
8. DK in Lla.T or Llal.T/Llal2.T
9. RF in Lla.T or Llal.T/Llal2.T
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in Lla.T; FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================
V000550 Llax2. Comb.7pt/br summ of self on serv/spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Llax2.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?
Should the government reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

COMBINED 5PT SERV/SPEND SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCH
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from L1a and L1ax1

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1a.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1a or L1a.T
0. Haven't thought much

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================
V000551 L1b. Clinton- serv/spend scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L1b.

FTF:
Where would you place Bill Clinton on this issue?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
FTF: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the telephone version of this question see L1b.T

1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY
   FEWER SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
2. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
3. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
4. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
5. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
6. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY
   MORE SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
V000552  L1b.T. Clinton- serve/spend scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L1b.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he would like the
government to provide fewer services in order to
reduce spending or provide more services even if it
means an increase in spending?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were
randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f
were administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the FTF version of this question, see L1b.

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> L1b1.T
5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> L1b2.T
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> NEXT NAME

8. DK --> NEXT NAME
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
V000553  L1b1.T. Clinton- how much red srv/spd ph
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L1b1.T.
IF R THINKS CLINTON WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think he would like to) reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

-----------------------------------------------

1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME
8. DK --> NEXT NAME
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1b.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1699</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------------------------

V000554  L1b2.T. Clinton- how much inc srv/spd ph
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L1b2.T.

IF R THINKS CLINTON WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think he would like to) increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

-----------------------------------------------

1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME
8. DK --> NEXT NAME
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1b.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1285</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------------------------

V000555  L1bx1. 5-pt br summary Clinton srv/spnd
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L1bx1.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?
(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services
and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L1b.T and L1b1.T/L1b2.T

1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. Reduce spending and services only some
3. Stay same as now (3 in L1b.T)
4. Increase spending and services only some
5. Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK in L1b.T or L1b1.T/L1b2.T
9. RF in L1b.T or L1b1.T/L1b2.T
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1b.T; FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
3. SCALE: 3, 4, 5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1b.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1b or L1b.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>398</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000557  Llc. Gore- serv/spend scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L1b was administered first, then Llc and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see Llc.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY
   FEWER SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
2. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
3. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
4. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
5. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
6. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY
   MORE SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>801</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What about Al Gore? (Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the FTF version of this question, see L1c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING        --> L1c1.T
5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING       --> L1c2.T
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL]-->NEXT NAME/PARTY OR-->L2.T
8. DK                                     -->NEXT NAME/PARTY OR-->L2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

IF R THINKS GORE WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

(Do you think he would like to) reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?
1. A GREAT DEAL  --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
5. ONLY SOME   --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
8. DK          --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1c.T

0   1   5
----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 1716 35 56

==================================
V000560  L1c2.T. Gore- how much inc serv/spend ph
       MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
       Numeric

L1c2.T.

IF R THINKS GORE WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think he would like to) increase services and
spending a great deal or (increase services and
spending) only some?

----------------------------------------------
1. A GREAT DEAL  --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
5. ONLY SOME   --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
8. DK          --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1c.T

0   1   5   8
----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 1254 264 286 3

==================================
V000561  L1c1. 5-pt br summary Gore serv/spend
       MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
       Numeric

L1c1.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore?  (Do you think he would like the
government to provide fewer services in order to
reduce spending or provide more services even if it
means an increase in spending?)
(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services
and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services
and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
---------------------------------------------------------------
Built from L1c.T and L1c1.T/L1c2.T
1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. Reduce spending and services only some
3. Stay same as now (3 in L1c.T)
4. Increase spending and services only some
5. Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK in L1c.T or L1c1.T/L1c2.T
9. RF in L1c.T or L1c1.T/L1c2.T
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1c.T; FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count 1006</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count 286</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000562  L1cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore serv/spend
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? (Do you think he would like the
government to provide fewer services in order to
reduce spending or provide more services even if it
means an increase in spending?)
(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services
and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services
and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L1c and L1cx1

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining
collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone
branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the
differences in the questions, administration, and summary
construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to
evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual
purposes.

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1c.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1c or L1c.T
**L1d. Bush- serv/spend scale FTF**

**MD1:** EQ 0, **MD2:** GE 8

**Numeric**

**L1d.**

**FTF:**

Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

**[DO NOT PROBE DK]**

**FTF:** L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L1d.T

**Randomization:** Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. **GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE**
   
   **MANY FEWER SERVICES**
   --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2

2. --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2

3. --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2

4. --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2

5. --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2

6. --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2

7. **GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE**
   
   **MANY MORE SERVICES**
   --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2

8. **DK**
   --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2

9. **RF**

0. **NA; INAP, Phone mode**

**0**

1

2

3

4

**Count**

801

58

145

253

213

5

6

7

8

9

**Count**

115

73

23

124

2

**V000564**

**L1d.T. Bush- serv/spend scale phone**

**MD1:** EQ 0, **MD2:** GE 8
L1d.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L1d.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> L1d1.T
5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> L1d2.T
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L2.T

8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V000565 L1d.T. Bush- how much reduce serv/spend ph
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L1d1.T.

IF R THINKS GW BUSH WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think he would like to) reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T

8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1d.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Count  1397  208  200  2

V000566  L1d2.T. Bush—how much increase serv/spend ph
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L1d2.T.

IF R THINKS GW BUSH WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think he would like to) increase services and
spending a great deal or (increase services and
spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL  --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
5. ONLY SOME       --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
8. DK              --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1d.T

0  1  5  8
Count 1584  69  153  1

V000567  L1dx1. 5-pt br summary Bush serv/spend
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L1dx1.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he would like
the government to provide fewer services in order
to reduce spending or provide more services even if it
means an increase in spending?)
(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services
and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services
and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L1d.T and L1d1.T/L1d2.T

1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. Reduce spending and services only some
3. Stay same as now (3 in L1d.T)
4. Increase spending and services only some
5. Increase spending and services a great deal
8. DK in L1d.T or L1d1.T/L1d2.T
9. RF in L1d.T or L1d1.T/L1d2.T
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1d.T; FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count 153 69 131 2

=================================
V000568 L1dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush serv/spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L1dx2.

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?) (Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCH

---------------------------------------------------------------
Built from L1d and L1dx1

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1d.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1d or L1d.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count 92 255 4
Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY
   FEWER SERVICES --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2
2. --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2
3. --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2
4. --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2
5. --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2
6. --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2
7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY
   MORE SERVICES --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2
8. DK --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
order to reduce spending or provide more services even
if it means an increase in spending?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were
randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f
were administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L1e.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING       --> L1e1.T
5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING       --> L1e2.T
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
8. DK                                      --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

0        1        5        7        8
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----  Count 1006 84 556 46 115

V000571  L1e1.T. Dem Party-how much red srv/sp ph
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L1e1.T.

IF R THINKS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think they would like to) reduce services and
spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending)
only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL       --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
5. ONLY SOME          --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
8. DK                 --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1e.T

0        1        5  Count 1723 31 53

V000572  L1e2.T. Dem Party-how much inc srv/sp ph
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8

10/15/2009
Lle2.T.

IF R THINKS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think they would like to) increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

1. A Great Deal --> Next Party or L2
5. Only Some --> Next Party or L2
8. DK --> Next Party Or L2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in Lle.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1251</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000573 Lle1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party srv/spd
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Lle1.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)
(Do you think they would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from Lle.T and Lle1.T/Lle2.T

1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. Reduce spending and services only some
3. Stay same as now (3 in Lle.T)
4. Increase spending and services only some
5. Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK in Lle.T or Lle1.T/Lle2.T
9. RF in Lle.T or Lle1.T/Lle2.T
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in Lle.T; FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### L1ex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party srv/sp

**Count**: 264, 291, 116

**V000574**

**L1ex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party srv/sp**

**MD1**: EQ 0, **MD2**: GE 8

**Numeric**

**L1ex2.**

**FTF:**
Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

**TELEPHONE:**
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)
(Do you think they would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

**SUMMARY**: 5PT SERV/SPEND DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

---

**Built from L1e and L1ex1**

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. **SCALE**: 1; **BRANCHING**: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. **SCALE**: 2; **BRANCHING**: Reduce spending and services only some
3. **SCALE**: 3,4,5; **BRANCHING**: Stay same as now (3 in L1e.T)
4. **SCALE**: 6; **BRANCHING**: Increase spending and services only some
5. **SCALE**: 7; **BRANCHING**: Increase spending and services a great deal
6. **DK**
7. **RF**
8. **NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1e or L1e.T**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**V000575**

**L1f. Rep Party- serv/spend scale FTF**

**MD1**: EQ 0, **MD2**: GE 8

**Numeric**
L1f.

FTF: Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L1f.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY
   FEWER SERVICES --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2
2.                        --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2
3.                        --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2
4.                        --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2
5.                        --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2
6.                        --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2
7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY
   MORE SERVICES --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2
8. DK  --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000576  L1f.T. Rep Party- serv/spend scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L1f.T.

TELEPHONE: What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were
randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question, see L1f.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> L1f1.T
5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> L1f2.T
7. SHOULD STAY SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> Next Party or L2
8. DK --> Next Party Or L2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V000577 L1f1.T. Rep Party-how much red srv/sp ph
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L1f1.T.

IF R THINKS REPUBLICAN PARTY WANTS TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think they would like to) reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

-----------------------------------------------

1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1f.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1356</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V000578 L1f2.T. Rep Party-how much inc srv/sp ph
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L1f2.T.

IF R THINKS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:
TELEPHONE:
(Do you think they would like to) increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1f.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1622</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000579 L1fx1. 5-pt br summary Rep Party srv/spd
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)
(Do you think they would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND REP PTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L1f.T and L1f1.T/L1f2.T

1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. Reduce spending and services only some
3. Stay same as now (3 in L1f.T)
4. Increase spending and services only some
5. Increase spending and services a great deal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Count | 4 | 5 | 8 |
|-------|--|--|
|       | 131 | 54 | 129 |

V000580 L1fx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Rep Party srv/sp
L1fx2.

FTF:
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?) (Do you think they would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND REP PTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L1f and L1fx1

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1f.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1f or L1f.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L2/L2.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 6 of the booklet. Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased. Suppose these people are
at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6.

TELEPHONE:
Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased.

================================
V000581  L2a. Self plcemnt-def spending scale FTF
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L2a.

FTP:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

For the telephone version of this question, see L2a.T

1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING  --&gt; L2b
2.                                         --&gt; L2b
3.                                         --&gt; L2b
4.                                         --&gt; L2b
5.                                         --&gt; L2b
6.                                         --&gt; L2b
7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING  --&gt; L2b
8. DK                                      --&gt; L2b
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS         --&gt; L2b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>802</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

================================
V000582  L2a.T. Self plcemnt-def spending scale phone
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L2a.T.

TELEPHONE:
Do you have an opinion on this issue or haven't you thought much about this?

For the FTF version of this question, see L2a.

1. YES, HAVE AN OPINION
5. NO, NO OPINION (HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH)  --&gt; L2b.T
8. DK  --> L2b.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L2a1.T.

**IF R DOES NOT SAY HE/SHE HAS NO OPINION ABOUT DEFENSE SPENDING:**

TELEPHONE:
Do you feel the government should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or is the government spending on defense about the right amount now?

1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING  --> L2a1a.T
3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING  --> L2a1b.T
5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT     --> L2b.T/L2c.T

8. DK  --> L2b.T/L2c.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,0 in L2a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1213</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L2a1a.T.

**IF R SAYS DOES NOT SAY HE/SHE HAS NO OPINION ABOUT DEFENSE SPENDING:**

**IF R SAYS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING:**

TELEPHONE:
Should the government reduce defense spending a lot or a little?

1. A LOT  --> L2bT/L2c.T
5. A LITTLE  --> L2b.T/L2c.T

8. DK  --> L2b.T/L2c.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 3,5,8,9,0 in L2a1.T

---

**V000583**  L2a1.T. Govt inc/dec def spending phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

**V000584**  L2a1a.T. How much reduce def spending ph
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
V000585 L2alb.T. How much increas def spending ph
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L2alb.T.

IF R SAYS DOES NOT SAY HE/SHE HAS NO OPINION ABOUT DEFENSE SPENDING:
IF R SAYS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Should the government increase defense spending
a lot or a little?

1. A LOT --> L2b.T/L2c.T
5. A LITTLE --> L2b.T/L2c.T
8. DK --> L2b.T/L2c.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,5,8,9,0 in L2a1.T

Count 1476 188 141 1 1

V000586 L2ax1. 5-pt br summary defense spending
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 7
Numeric

L2ax1.T.

TELEPHONE:
Do you have an opinion on this issue or haven't you thought much about this?
Do you feel the government should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or is the government spending on defense about the right amount now?
Should the government decrease/increase defense spending a lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L2a.T, L2a1.T and L2a1a.T/L2alb.T

1. Decrease defense spending a lot
2. Decrease defense spending a little
3. About the right amount (5 in L2a1.T)
4. Increase defense spending a little
5. Increase defense spending a lot
7. Haven't thought much (5 in L2a.T)
8. DK in L2a1.T or L2a1a.T/L2a1b.T
9. RF in L2a1.T or L2a1a.T/L2a1b.T
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2a.T or L2a1.T; FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000587  L2ax2. Comb.7pt/br summ defense spending
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L2ax2.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:
Do you have an opinion on this issue or haven't you thought much about this?
Do you feel the government should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or is the government spending on defense about the right amount now?
Should the government decrease/increase defense spending a lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEFENSE SPENDING SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L2a and from L2a.T/L2a1.T/L2a1a.T/L2a1b.T.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2a1.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2a; 8,9,NA in L2a.T or L2a1.T; FTF mode
0. Haven't thought much

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

V000588  L2b. Gore - defense spending scale FTF
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L2b.

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L2b and L2c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e and L2f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L2b.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

V000589  L2b.T. Gore - defense spending scale ph
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric
L2b.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
PHONE: L2b.T and L2c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e.T and L2f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L2b.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2b1.T
3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2b1.T
5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT --> NEXT NAME/PARTY

8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000590   L2b1.T. Gore-how much red/inc def spn ph MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L2b1.T.

IF R SAYS GORE WANTS TO REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING/
IF R SAYS GORE WANTS TO INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
A lot or a little?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. A LOT --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
5. A LITTLE --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T

8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,8,9,0 in L2b.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1483</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now? A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L2b.T and L2b1.T/L2b2.T

1. Decrease defense spending a lot
2. Decrease defense spending a little
3. About the right amount (5 in L2b.T)
4. Increase defense spending a little
5. Increase defense spending a lot

8. DK in L2b.T or L2b1.T/L2b2.T
9. RF in L2b.T or L2b1.T/L2b2.T
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2b.T; FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now? A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L2b and L2bx1.
Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2b.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot

8. DK
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2b or L2b.T; FTF mode

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000593 L2c. Bush- defense spending scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L2c.

FTF: (Still looking at Page 6).
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L2b and L2c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e and L2f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L2c.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3

8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count | 801 | 12  | 26  | 60  | 164|

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>247</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
PHONE: L2b.T and L2c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e.T and L2f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L2c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2c1.T
3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2c1.T
5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000595 L2c1.T. Bush-how much red/inc def spd ph
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L2c1.T.

IF R SAYS GW BUSH WANTS TO REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING/
IF R SAYS GW BUSH WANTS TO INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
A lot or a little?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

V000596  L2c1x. 5-pt br summary Bush def spend
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L2c1x.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)
A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCH SERIES

Built from L2c.T and L2c1.T/L2c2.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)
A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

------------------
Built from L2c and L2cx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2c.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2c or L2c.T; FTF mode
9. RF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>582</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>378</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

------------------
Built from L2d.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2c.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2c or L2c.T; FTF mode
9. RF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>582</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>378</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
issue)?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L2b and L2c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e and L2f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L2d.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

================================================================================

V000599 L2d.T. Dem Party-def spend scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L2d.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they believe we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L2b.T and L2c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e.T and L2f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L2d.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING  --> L2d1.T
3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING  --> L2d1.T
5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT  --> NEXT PARTY OR --&gt; L3.T

8. DK  --> NEXT PARTY OR --&gt; L3.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
V000600  L2d1.T. Dem Party-how much red/inc ds ph
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L2d1.T.

IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING/
IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
A lot or a little?

---------------------------------------------

1. A LOT  --> NEXT PARTY OR --&gt; L3.T
5. A LITTLE  --> NEXT PARTY OR --&gt; L3.T

8. DK  --> NEXT PARTY OR --&gt; L3.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,8,9,0 in L2d.T

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1439</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
V000601  L2dx1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party def sp
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L2dx1.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party?  (Do you think they
believe we should decrease defense spending, increase
defense spending, or that Government spending on defense
is about the right amount now?
A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCH SERIES
1. Decrease defense spending a lot  
2. Decrease defense spending a little  
3. About the right amount (5 in L2d.T)  
4. Increase defense spending a little  
5. Increase defense spending a lot  

8. DK in L2d.T or L2d1.T/L2d2.T  
9. RF in L2d.T or L2d1.T/L2d2.T  
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2d.T; FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

V000602   L2dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party def sp  
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8  
Numeric  

L2dx2.  

FTF:  
(Still looking at Page 6).  
Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?  

TELEPHONE:  
What about the Democratic Party?  (Do you think they believe we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?  
A lot or a little?  

SUMMARY:  5PT DEF SPENDING DEM PTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH
---

Built from L2d and L2dx1.  

Note:  when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE:  1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot  
2. SCALE:  2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little  
3. SCALE:  3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2d.T)  
4. SCALE:  6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little  
5. SCALE:  7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2d or L2d.T; FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>836</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000603  L2e. Rep Party-def spending scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L2e.

FTF: (Still looking at Page 6).
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L2b and L2c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e and L2f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L2e.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they believe we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING     --> L2e1.T
3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING     --> L2e1.T
5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT        --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T
8. DK                            --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

A lot or a little?

1. A LOT     --> NEXT PARTY OR L3.T
5. A LITTLE   --> NEXT PARTY OR L3.T
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they believe we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)
A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCH SERIES

---

Built from L2e.T and L2e1.T/L2e2.T

1. Decrease defense spending a lot
2. Decrease defense spending a little
3. About the right amount (5 in L2e.T)
4. Increase defense spending a little
5. Increase defense spending a lot

8. DK in L2e.T or L2e1.T/L2e2.T
9. RF in L2e.T or L2e1.T/L2e2.T
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2e.T; FTF mode

---

FTP:
(Still looking at Page 6).
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they believe we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?) A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING REP PTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L2e and L2ex1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2e.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2e or L2e.T; FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>355</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


L3. FTF STANDARD VERSION:
Please look at page 7 of the booklet. There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some people feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses for everyone. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others feel that all medical expenses should be paid by individuals through private insurance plans like Blue Cross or other company paid plans. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6.

L3.E. FTF EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Please look at page 8 of the booklet. There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some people feel that all medical expenses should be paid by individuals through private insurance plans like Blue Cross or other company paid plans. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital costs.
expenses for everyone. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6.

L3.T TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some people feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses for everyone. Others feel that all medical expenses should be paid by individuals through private insurance plans like Blue Cross or other company paid plans.

L3.TE. TELEPHONE VERSION 2:
There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some people feel that all medical expenses should be paid by individuals through private insurance plans like Blue Cross or other company paid plans. Others feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses for everyone.

=================================
V000608   L3(1). Ckpt: FTF/ph, reg/exp.
Numeric
L3(1).

CHECKPOINT: MODE AND VERSION
FOR GOVT/PRIVATE MEDICAL INSURANCE SELF-PLACEMENT
=================================

FTF: Rs were randomly assigned to the standard 7-point scale or to an experimental version of the 7-point scale (with endpoints reversed).
Phone: Rs were randomly assigned to 2 versions of the branching format; in the 2nd version, the initial placement question is coded in reverse.

1. FTF standard version
2. FTF experimental version
3. PHONE version 1
4. PHONE version 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================
V000608a L3a. Self placement-private or govt insur
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L3a.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven’t you thought much about this?
See L3 for complete text.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L3a (standard) or L3a.E (experimental).
The endpoints are reversed in the experimental version of this question.
For telephone versions of this question, see L3a.T and L3a.TE.

1. GOVT INSURANCE PLAN --> SKIP TO L4
2. --> SKIP TO L4
3. --> SKIP TO L4
4. --> SKIP TO L4
5. --> SKIP TO L4
6. --> SKIP TO L4
7. PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN --> SKIP TO L4
8. DK --> SKIP TO L4
9. RF; NA; INAP, 2, 3, 4 in L3(1)
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH --> SKIP TO L4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1292</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000608b L3a.E. Self placement-insur scale FTF ex
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L3a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

See L3.E for complete text.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L3a (standard) or L3a.E (experimental).
The endpoints are reversed in the experimental version of this question.
For telephone versions of this question, see L3a.T and L3a.TE.

1. PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN --> L4
2. --> L4
3. --> L4
4. --> L4
5. --> L4
6. --> L4
7. GOVT INSURANCE PLAN --> L4
8. DK --> L4
9. RF; NA; INAP, 1, 3, 4 in L3(1)
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1316</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==================================================================

V000609  L3ax. Comb. FTF versions R insurnc scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L3ax.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't
you thought much about this?

COMBINED FTF: STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL 7 PT MEDICAL INSURANCE
==================================================================

Built from L3a/L3a.E.

1. GOVT INSURANCE PLAN
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, phone mode [3, 4 in L3(1)]
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>801</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==================================================================

V000610a  L3a.T. Self placement-insur scale phone stan
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L3a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1:
TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
See L3.T for complete text.
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L3a.T (version 1) or L3a.TE (version 2).
The coding is reversed in version 2 of this question.
For FTF versions of this question, see L3a and L3a.E.

1. SHOULD BE A GOVT INSURANCE PLAN --> SKIP TO L3a1.T
5. INDIVIDUALS SHOULD PAY MEDICAL EXPENSES THROUGH PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN --> SKIP TO L3a2.T
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> SKIP TO L4
8. DK --> SKIP TO L4.T
9. RF; NA; INAP, 1, 2, 4 in L3(1)
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> SKIP TO L4.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1431</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See L3.TE for complete text.
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L3a.T (version 1) or L3a.TE (version 2).
The coding is reversed in version 2 of this question.
For FTF versions of this question, see L3a and L3a.E.

1. INDIVIDUALS SHOULD PAY MEDICAL EXPENSES THROUGH PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN --> L3a2.T
5. SHOULD BE A GOVT INSURANCE PLAN --> L3a1.T
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW --> L4.T
8. DK --> L4.T
9. RF; NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3 in L3(1)
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L4.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1382</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
L3ax.T.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

COMBINED PHONE VERSION 1/VERSION 2 - MEDICAL INSURANCE
----------------------------------------------------------

Built from L3a.T and L3a.TE

1. SHOULD BE A GOVT INSURANCE PLAN
5. INDIVIDUALS SHOULD PAY MEDICAL EXPENSES THROUGH PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode [1,2 in L3(1)]
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================

L3al/a2.T. Strength of insurance plan

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
IF R FEELS THERE SHOULD BE GOVERNMENT INSURANCE PLAN/
IF R FEELS INDIVIDUALS SHOULD PAY THROUGH PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN:

TELEPHONE:
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that there should be a government insurance plan?/
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that individuals should pay through private insurance plan?

----------------------------------------------------------

1. STRONGLY --> L4.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> L4.T

8. DK --> L4.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,0 or RF/NA in L3a.T or L3a.TE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1169</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================
L3x1. 5-pt br summary R on pri/govt insurance
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L3x1.T.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you
thought much about this?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that there should
be a a government insurance plan?/
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that individuals
should pay through private insurance plan?

SUMMARY: 5PT MED INSURANCE SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built L3ax.T and L3a1.T/L3a2.T

1. Strongly - government insurance plan
2. Not strongly - government insurance plan
3. Stay the same as it is now
4. Not strongly - individual insurance
5. Strongly - individual insurance

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode [1,2 in L3(1)]
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

0        1        2        3
-----    -----    -----    -----    
Count  91      282       54       50

4        5        8        9
-----    -----    -----    -----    
Count  73      225       25     1007

=====================================================================
V000614    L3x2. Comb.7pt/br summ of R on pri/govt insurance
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L3x2.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't
you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you
thought much about this?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that there should
be a a government insurance plan?/
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that individuals
should pay through private insurance plan?

SUMMARY: 5PT MED INSURANCE SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from L3ax and L3ax.T

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt insurance plan
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt insurance plan
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 ; BRANCHING: Stay the same as it is now
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - individual insurance
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - individual insurance
8. DK
9. RF; NA
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L4/L4.T.

FTP:
Please look at page 9 of the booklet. Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on their own. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6.

TELEPHONE:
Please look at page 9 of the booklet. Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on their own. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6.

=========================================
V000615  L4a. R plcmnt-guar job/std liv scl FTF
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric
L4a.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

---

For the telephone version of this question see L4a.T.

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING --> L4b.
   2. --> L4b.
   3. --> L4b.
   4. --> L4b.
   5. --> L4b.
   6. --> L4b.
   7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN --> L4b.
   8. DK --> L4b.
   9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
   0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L4b.

0    1    2    3    4
---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ----
Count 93   74   48   93  173

5    6    7    8    9
---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ----
Count 189 195 137  4   801

---

V000616  L4a.T. R plcmnt-guar job/std liv scl ph
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L4a.T.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

---

For the FTF version of this question see L4a.

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING --> L4a1.T
5. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN --> L4a2.T
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> L4b.T/L4c.T

8. DK --> L4b.T/L4c.T
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L4b.T/L4c.T

0    1    5    7    8    9
---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ----
Count 108 193 439  55   3 1009

---

V000617  L4a1.T. How much should gov guar jobs


10/15/2009
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

L4a1.T.

IF R THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING:

TELEPHONE:
Do you feel strongly that the government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or not so strongly?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. STRONGLY --> L4b.T/L4c.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> L4b.T/L4c.T
8. DK --> L4b.T/L4c.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L4a.T

0        1        5        8
-----    -----    -----    -----  
Count    1614      152       40        1

V000618  L4a2t. How much should ppl get by on own
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L4a2.T.

IF R THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD LET EACH GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN:

TELEPHONE:
Do you feel strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own, or not so strongly?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. STRONGLY --> L4b.T/L4c.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> L4b.T/L4c.T
8. DK --> L4b.T/L4c.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L4a.T

0        1        5
-----    -----    -----  
Count    1368      346       93

V000619  L4x1. 5-pt br summary guaranteed jobs
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L4x1.T.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you
thought much about this?
Do you feel strongly that the government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or not so strongly?
Do you feel strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own, or not so strongly?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L4a; built from L4a.T and L4a1.T/L4a2.T

1. Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000620  L4x2. Comb.7pt/br summ guaranteed jobs
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L4x2.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?
Do you feel strongly that the government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or not so strongly?
Do you feel strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own, or not so strongly?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L4a and L4x1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary
construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to
evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual
purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK
9. RF; NA
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
V000621    L4b. Gore-guar job/std liv scl FTF
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L4b.

FTF:
(Still looking at Page 9)
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L4b and L4c were randomly administered as the 1st or
2nd names; L4e and L4f were then randomly administered as
the 3rd or 4th names.
For the telephone version of this question see L4b.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND
   STANDARD OF LIVING  --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.
2.                       --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5
3.                       --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5
4.                       --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5
5.                       --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5
6.                       --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5
7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON
   GET AHEAD ON OWN  --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5
8. DK  --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5
9. RF  --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000622   L4b.T. Gore-guar job/std liv scl phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

---

L4b.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
PHONE: L4b.T and L4c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e.T and L4f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the FTF version of this question see L4b.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING                  --> L4b1.T
5. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN                        --> L4b2. T
7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL]                                   --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
8. DK                                                                 --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

V000623   L4b1/b2.T. Gore-strength of guar job
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L4b1/b2.T.
**IF R SAYS GORE THINKS GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD LIVING/**
**IF R SAYS GORE THINKS GOVT SHOULD LET EACH ON OWN:**

**TELEPHONE:**
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
that the government should see to jobs and a good
standard of living?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
that the government should just let each person get
ahead on their own?

-----------------------------------------------

1. STRONGLY --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L4b.T

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1193</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------------------------

V000624  L4bx1. 5-pt br summary Gore guar job
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L4bx1.T.

**TELEPHONE:**
What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels government
should see to it that every person has a job and a good
standard of living, or that government should just let
each person get ahead on their own?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the
government should see to jobs and a good standard of
living?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the
government should just let each person get ahead on
their own?

**SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES**

-----------------------------------------------

Built from L4b.T and L4b1.T/L4b2.

1. Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Count | 1006 | 338 | 94 | 20
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Count | 68  | 106 | 173 | 2

V000625  L4bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore guar job
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 8
Numeric
L4bx2.

FTP:
(Still looking at Page 9)
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from L4b and L4bx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L4c.

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF:  L4b and L4c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e and L4f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the telephone version of this question see L4c.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L4c.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush?  (Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)
[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L4b.T and L4c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e.T and L4f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the FTF version of this question see L4c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING --> L4c1.T
5. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN --> L4c1.T
7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================
V000628 L4c1/c2.T. Bush-strength guar job MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

L4c1/c2.T.

IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD LIVING/
IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS GOVT SHOULD LET EACH ON OWN:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

========================================

1. STRONGLY --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L4c.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1191</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What about George W. Bush?  (Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from  L4c.T and L4c1.T/L4c2.T

1. Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

PHONE:
What about George W. Bush?  (Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of...
living?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the
government should just let each person get ahead on
their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH
---------------------------------------------------------

Built from L4c and L4cx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining
collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone
branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the
differences in the questions, administration, and summary
construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to
evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual
purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>481</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000631  L4d. Dem Party-guar job/std liv scl FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L4d.

FTF:
Where would you place the Democratic Party
(on this issue)?
---------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L4b and L4c were randomly administered as the 1st or
2nd names; L4e and L4f were then randomly administered as
the 3rd or 4th names.

For the telephone version of this question see L4d.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization

variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V000632  L4d.T. Dem Party-guar job/std liv scl ph
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L4d.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party?  (Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
PHONE:  L4b.T and L4c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e.T and L4f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the FTF version of this question see L4d.

Randomization:  Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars.  The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING  --> L4d1.T
5. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN    --> L4d1.T
7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL]                    --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
8. DK                                                --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode
V000633  L4d1/d2.T. Dem Party-strength guar job
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L4d1/d2.T.

IF R SAYS DEM PARTY THINKS GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STD OF LIVING/
IF R SAYS DEM PARTY THINKS GOVT SHOULD LET EACH ON OWN:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly
that the government should see to jobs and a good
standard of living?
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly
that the government should just let each person get
ahead on their own?

1. STRONGLY --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L4d.T

Count 1196 436 173 2

V000634  L4dx1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party guar jb
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L4dx1.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel
government should see to it that every person
has a job and a good standard of living, or that
government should just let each person get ahead on
their own?)
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the
government should see to jobs and a good standard of
living?
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the
government should just let each person get ahead on
their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUAR JOBS DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L4d.T and L4d1.T/L4d2.T

1. Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. Other/depends.neither
4. Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

__________________________
V000635 L4dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party gua jb
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L4dx2.

FTF:
Where would you place the Democratic Party
(on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel
government should see to it that every person
has a job and a good standard of living, or that
government should just let each person get ahead on
their own?)
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the
government should see to jobs and a good standard of
living?
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the
government should just let each person get ahead on
their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUAR JOBS DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH
__________________________
Built from L4d and L4dx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining
collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone
branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the
differences in the questions, administration, and summary
construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to
evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual
purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. SCALE: 3, 4, 5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000636  L4e. Rep Party-guar job/std liv FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L4e.

FTF:
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L4b and L4c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e and L4f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the telephone version of this question see L4e.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L4b.T and L4c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e.T and L4f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the FTF version of this question see L4e.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD
   OF LIVING --> L4e1.T
5. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN-->NEXT PARTY OR-->L5.T
7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> L4e1.T
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

IF R SAYS REP PARTY THINKS GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STD OF LIVING/
IF R SAYS REP PARTY THINKS GOVT SHOULD LET EACH ON OWN:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get
ahead on their own?
______________________________________________

1. STRONGLY --> NEXT PARTY OR L5.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> NEXT PARTY OR L5.T
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR L5.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L4e.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1209</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================

V000639    L4ex1. 5-pt br summ Rep Party guar job
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L4ex1.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party?  (Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUAR JOBS REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

---------------------------------------------

Built from L4e.T and L4e1.T/L4e2.T

1. Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================

V000640    L4ex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Rep Party guar job
L4ex2.

FTF:
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUAR JOBS REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L4e and L4ex1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|   | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 491 | 359 | 2 |

L5/L5.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 10 of the booklet.
Some people feel that the government in Washington
should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves. (Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6.

TELEPHONE:
Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks. Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves.

==============================================================================
V000641  L5a. R plcmnt-aid to blacks scale FTF
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L5a.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
See L5 for complete text.
For the telephone version of this question see L5a.T.

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS          --> L5b.
2.                                  --> L5b.
3.                                  --> L5b.
4.                                  --> L5b.
5.                                  --> L5b.
6.                                  --> L5b.
7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES    --> L5b.
8. DK                               --> L5b.
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS   --> L5b.


==============================================================================
V000642  L5a.T. R plcmnt-aid to blacks scale phone
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L5a.T.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

See L5.T for complete text.
For the FTF version of this question see L5a.

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5a1.T
5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5a1.T
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> L5b.T

8. DK --> L5b.T
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L5b.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000643  L5a1/a2.T. R-strength aid to blacks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5a1/a2.T.

IF R THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/
IF R THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:
Should the government help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
Should blacks have to help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

1. GREAT EXTENT --> L5b.T
5. ONLY SOME --> L5b.T

8. DK --> L5b.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1272</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000644  L5ax1. 5-pt br summary R aid to blacks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5ax1.T.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?
Should the government help blacks to a great extent or
only to some extent?
Should blacks have to help themselves to a great extent
or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
-------------------------------------------------------------

Built from L5a.T and L5a1.T/L5a2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. Haven't thought much about it

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V000645 L5ax2. Comb.7pt/br summ R aid to blacks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5ax2.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?
Should the government help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
Should blacks have to help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING
-------------------------------------------------------------

Built from L5a and L5ax1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.
1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. Haven't thought much about it

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>583</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000646 L5b. Clinton plcmnt-aid to blacks scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place Bill Clinton on this issue?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5 for complete text.

FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the telephone version of this question see L5b.T.

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.

9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What about Bill Clinton? (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5.T for complete text.
TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the FTF version of this question see L5b.

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS  -- L5b1.T  
5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES  -- L5b1.T  
7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL]  -- NEXT NAME  
8. DK  -- NEXT NAME  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

IF R SAYS CLINTON THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/
IF R SAYS CLINTON THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? 
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

1. GREAT EXTENT  -- NEXT NAME  
5. ONLY SOME  -- NEXT NAME  
8. DK  -- NEXT NAME  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5b.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
What about Bill Clinton? (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think he feels the government should help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?)
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?)

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L5b.T and L5b1.T/L5b2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the social and economic position of blacks, or that
government should not make any special effort
to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think he feels the government should)
help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to)
help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRAUNCHING

Built from L5b and L5bx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining
collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone
branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the
differences in the questions, administration, and summary
construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to
evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual
purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>363</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000651 L5c. Gore plcmnt-aid to blacks scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5c.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5 for complete text.
FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are
randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f
are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the telephone version of this question see L5c.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
7. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===================================================================
V000652  L5c.T. Gore-aid to blacks scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5c.T.

TELEPHONE: What about Al Gore? (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5.T for complete text.

TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the FTF version of this question see L5c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5c1.T
5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5c1.T
7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000653  L5c1/c2.T. Gore-strength aid to blacks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5c1/c2.T.

IF R SAYS GORE THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/
IF R SAYS GORE THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think he feels the government should)
help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to)
help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

== V000654  L5cx1. 5-pt br summary Gore aid to blks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5cx1.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? (Do you think he feels that
government should make every effort to improve the
social and economic position of blacks, or that
government should not make any special effort to help
blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think he feels the government should)
help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to)
help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
Built from L5c.T and L5c1.T/L5c2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=====================================================================

V000655  L5cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore aid to blks
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L5cx2.

FTF:  
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore?  (Do you think he feels that
government should make every effort to improve the
social and economic position of blacks, or that
government should not make any special effort to help
blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think he feels the government should)
help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to)
help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING
=====================================================================

Built from L5c and L5cx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining
collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone
branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the
differences in the questions, administration, and summary
construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to
evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual
purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000656  L5d. Bush plcmnt-aid to blacks scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5d.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5 for complete text.

FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question see L5d.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What about George W. Bush?
(Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5.T for complete text.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5d1.T
5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5d1.T
7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Telephone:
(Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help
themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1305</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

V000659  L5dx1. 5-pt br summary Bush aid to blks
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L5dx1.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush?
(Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L5d.T and L5d1.T/L5d2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1006</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count 139 210 249 8

---

V000660  L5dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush aid to blks
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush?
(Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think he feels the government should)
help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to)
help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCING
---------------------------------------------------------------
Built from L5d and L5dx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>282</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000661  L5e. Dem Party plcmnt-aid to blks scale FTF
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L5e.
FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this
issue)?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5 for complete text.
FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are
randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f
are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the telephone version of this question see L5e.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
V000662 L5e.T. Dem Party-aid to blks scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5e.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel
that government should make every effort to
improve the social and economic position of blacks, or
that government should not make any special
effort to help blacks because they should help
themselves?)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5.T for complete text.
TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and
L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the FTF version of this question see L5e.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5e1.T
5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5e1.T
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L6.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000663 L5el/e2.T. Dem Party-strgth aid to blks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5el/e2.T.

IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PARTY THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/
IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PARTY THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think they feel the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

1. GREAT EXTENT --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L6.
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L6.
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L6.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5e.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1258</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000664 L5ex1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party aid blks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5ex1.T.
TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think they feel the government should)
help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L5e.T and L5e1.T/L5e2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000665 L5ex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party aid blks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5ex2.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think they feel the government should)
help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH
Built from L5e and L5ex1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|   | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| Count | 74 | 397 | 10 |

V000666 L5f. Rep Party plcmnt-aid to blks scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5f.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5 for complete text.
FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question see L5f.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
3. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V000667    L5f.T. Rep Party-aid to blks scale phone
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L5f.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5.T for complete text.
TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the FTF version of this question see L5f.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5f1.T
5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5f1.T
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L6.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V000668  L5f1/f2.T. Rep Party-strgth aid to blks
         MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
         Numeric

L5f1/f2.T.

IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PARTY THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/
IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PARTY THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think they feel the government should) help
blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help
themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. GREAT EXTENT              --> NEXT PARTY OR L6.
5. ONLY SOME                 --> NEXT PARTY OR L6.
8. DK                       --> NEXT PARTY OR L6.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5f.T

0        1        5
-----    -----    -----  
Count    1287      271      249

====================================================================

V000669  L5fx1. 5-pt br summary Rep Party aid blks
         MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
         Numeric

L5fx1.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel
government should make every effort to improve the
social and economic position of blacks, or that
government should not make any special effort to help
blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think they feel the government should)
help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help
themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from L5f.T and L5f1.T/L5f2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000670  L5fx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Rep Party aid blks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5fx2.

FTP:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think they feel the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L5f and L5fx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L6 (standard) or L6.E (experimental).

1. YES, THEY SHOULD HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION --> GO TO L6a
5. NO, THEY SHOULD NOT HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION --> GO TO L6b
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL] --> GO TO L7
8  DK --> GO TO L7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for L6.E

---

Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have
discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program or should companies not have to have an affirmative action program?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L6 (standard) or L6.E (experimental).

1. YES, THEY SHOULD HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION --> GO TO L6a
5. NO, THEY SHOULD NOT HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION --> GO TO L6b
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL] --> GO TO L7

8. DK --> GO TO L7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for L6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

__________________________
V000672  L6a. Strength for affirmative action
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L6a.

IF R SAYS COMPANIES SHOULD HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly (that they should have to have affirmative action)?

__________________________
1. STRONGLY --> GO TO L7
5. NOT STRONGLY --> GO TO L7
8. DK --> GO TO L7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,7,8,9 or NA in L6 or L6.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

__________________________
V000673  L6b. Strength against affirmative action
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L6b.

IF R SAYS COMPANIES SHOULD NOT HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly (that they should not have to have affirmative action)?

__________________________
1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,7,8,9 or NA in L6 or L6.E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000674  L6x. Summary affirmative action
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

STANDARD VERSION:
Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program or should companies not have to have an affirmative action program?

COMBINED AFFIRMATIVE ACTION (STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL)
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from L6, L6.E.

1. Yes, they should have to have affirmative action
5. No, they should not have to have affirmative action
7. Other
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>828</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000674a  L6x1. Summary - Strength of feeling
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 7
Numeric
L6x1.

STANDARD VERSION:
Some people think that if a company has a history of
discriminating against blacks when making hiring
decisions, then they should be required to have an
affirmative action program that gives blacks preference
in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have
discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative
action program?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Some people think that if a company has a history of
discriminating against blacks when making hiring
decisions, then they should be required to have an
affirmative action program that gives blacks
preference in hiring. What do you think? Should
companies that have discriminated against blacks
have to have an affirmative action program or should
companies not have to have an affirmative action program?

BOTH VERSIONS:
Do you feel strongly or not strongly (that they should
not have to have affirmative action)?

SUMMARY - AFFIRMATIVE ACTION (STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from L6, L6.E and L6a/L6b.

1. Strongly - should have to have affirmative action
2. Not strongly - should have to have affirmative action
4. Not strongly - shouldn't have to have affirmative action
5. Strongly - shouldn't have to have affirmative action

7. Other
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L7.

Next I am going to read you a list of federal programs.
For each one, I would like you to tell me whether you
would like to see spending increased or decreased.
The first program is:

V000675    L7a. Inc/dec build and repair highways
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L7a.

BUILDING AND REPAIRING HIGHWAYS
(If you had a say in making up the federal budget this year, should federal spending on BUILDING AND REPAIRING HIGHWAYS be increased decreased or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>969</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L7b.

What about WELFARE PROGRAMS?
(Should federal spending on WELFARE PROGRAMS be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
L7c. Inc/dec spending on AIDS research

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

What about SPENDING ON AIDS RESEARCH?
(Should federal spending on SPENDING ON AIDS RESEARCH be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>911</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>697</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L7d. Inc/dec foreign aid

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

What about FOREIGN AID?
(Should federal spending on FOREIGN AID be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What about FOOD STAMPS?
(Should federal spending on FOOD STAMPS be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>285</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What about AID TO POOR PEOPLE?
(Should federal spending on AID TO POOR PEOPLE be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)
See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>916</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000681  L7g. Inc/dec Social Security
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L7g.

What about SOCIAL SECURITY?
(Should federal spending on SOCIAL SECURITY be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)
What about ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION?  
(Should federal spending on ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.  
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization:  Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED  
3. DECREASED  
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME  
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]  

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>913</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What about PUBLIC SCHOOLS?  
(Should federal spending on PUBLIC SCHOOLS be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.  
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization:  Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1368</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000684  L7k. Inc/dec dealing with crime
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

What about DEALING WITH CRIME?
(Should federal spending on DEALING WITH CRIME be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1180</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000685  L7m. Inc/dec child care
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

What about CHILD CARE?
(Should federal spending on CHILD CARE be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)
See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1108</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000686 L7n. Inc/dec against illegal immigrants
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L7n.

What about TIGHTENING BORDER SECURITY TO PREVENT ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION? (Should federal spending on TIGHTENING BORDER SECURITY TO PREVENT ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
What about AID TO BLACKS?
(Should federal spending on AID TO BLACKS be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

L8.

Recently, there has been a lot of talk about how to spend the extra money the federal government is likely to have in the near future. Some people have proposed that most of the expected federal budget surplus should be used to cut taxes.
Do you approve or disapprove of this proposal?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO L9
9. RF
L8a/b.

If R approves using Federal budget surplus to cut taxes/
If R disapproves using Federal budget surplus to cut taxes:

Do you approve of this proposal strongly or not strongly?/
Do you disapprove of this proposal strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in L8

L8x.

Some people have proposed that most of the expected federal budget surplus should be used to cut taxes. Do you approve or disapprove of this proposal?
Do you approve of this proposal strongly or not strongly?
Do you disapprove of this proposal strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: TAX CUT FROM SURPLUS

Built from L8 and L8a/b.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

------------------------
V000691  L9. App/dis surplus for Soc Sec medicare
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L9.

Some people have proposed that most of the expected federal budget surplus should go to protecting social security and Medicare. Do you approve or disapprove of this proposal?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE
8. DON'T KNOW  --> SKIP TO M1
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1453</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

------------------------
V000692  L9a/b. Strength app/dis Soc Sec medicare
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L9a/b.

IF R APPROVES USING SURPLUS FOR SOCIAL SECURITY & MEDICARE/
IF R DISAPPROVES USING SURPLUS FOR SOCIAL SECURITY & MEDICARE:

Do you approve of this proposal strongly or not strongly?/
Do you disapprove of this proposal strongly or not strongly?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in L9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1309</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
L9x.

Some people have proposed that most of the expected federal budget surplus should go to protecting social security and Medicare. Do you approve or disapprove of this proposal? Do you approve of this proposal strongly or not strongly? Do you disapprove of this proposal strongly or not strongly?

**SUMMARY: USE SURPLUS TO PROTECT SOCIAL SECURITY**

Built from L9 and L9a/b.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1153</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M1/M1.T.

**FTF:**
Please look at page 11 of the booklet. There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. Which one of the opinions on this page best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

**TELEPHONE:**
There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. I am going to read you a short list of opinions. Please tell me which one of the opinions best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

1. **BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.**
2. **THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN’S LIFE IS IN DANGER.**
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN’S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.

4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.

7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================================================

V000695  Mla. Importance of abortion to R
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Mla.

ALL ABORTION RESPONDENTS:

How important is this issue to you personally? Not at all important, not too important, somewhat important, very important, or extremely important?

=================================================================

1. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT
2. NOT TOO IMPORTANT
3. SOMewhat IMPORTANT
4. VERY IMPORTANT
5. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>499</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================================================

V000696  Mlb/Mlb.T. Gore-abortion scale
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Mlb/Mlb.T.
Where would you place Al Gore on abortion?

What about Al Gore (on abortion)? Which opinion best agrees with his views?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
The order in which abortion items M1b/M1b.T and M1c/M1c.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.
5. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]

---

0 1 2 3
----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 3 84 228 253

4 7 8 9
----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 762 21 450 6

V000697 M1b1. Gore-certain abortion placement
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M1b1.

IF GORE'S POSITION ON ABORTION IS NOT DK/REF:

How certain are you of Al Gore's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

---

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in M1b/M1b.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000698    M1c/M1c.T. Bush-abortion scale
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

M1c/M1c.T.

FTP:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush (on abortion)? Which opinion best agrees with his views?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
The order in which abortion items M1b/M1b.T and M1c/M1c.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]

8. DK  --> SKIP TO M1b OR M2
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000699    M1c1. Bush-certain abortion placement
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

M1c1.

IF GEORGE W. BUSH'S POSITION ON ABORTION IS NOT DK/REF:

   How certain are you of George W. Bush's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?
   ---------------------------------------------------------------

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in M1c/M1c.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>498</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000700   M2. App/dis abortion parental consent
          MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
          Numeric

M2.

Would you favor or oppose a law in your state that would require a teenage girl under age 18 to receive her parent's permission before she could obtain an abortion?
   ---------------------------------------------------------------

1. FAVOR
5. OPPOSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1395</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000701   M2a. Strength abortion parental consent
          MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
          Numeric

M2a.

IF R FAVORS ABORTION LAW REQUIRING MINORS' PARENTAL PERMISSION/
IF R OPPOSES ABORTION LAW REQUIRING MINORS' PARENTAL PERMISSION:

   Strongly or not strongly?
   ---------------------------------------------------------------
1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in M2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1461</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V000702  M2x. Summary abortion parental consent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M2x.

Would you favor or oppose a law in your state that would require a teenage girl under age 18 to receive her parent's permission before she could obtain an abortion? Strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: PARENTAL CONSENT FOR ABORTIONS

Built from M2 and M2a.

1. Favor strongly
2. Favor not strongly
4. Oppose not strongly
5. Oppose strongly

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1233</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V000703  M3. Favor/oppose prtl-birth abortion ban
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M3.

There has been discussion recently about a proposed law to ban certain types of late-term abortions, sometimes called partial birth abortions. Do you favor or oppose a law that would make these types of abortions illegal?
1. FAVOR
5. OPPOSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

Count       3     1236      444      108       16
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----  

V000704 M3a/b. Strength fav/opp p-b abortion ban
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M3a/b.

IF R FAVORS BAN ON LATE-TERM ABORTIONS/
IF R OPPOSES BAN ON LATE-TERM ABORTIONS:

Do you strongly or not strongly favor/oppose a law that would make these types of abortions illegal?

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in M3

Count     127     1386      289        5
-----    -----    -----    -----  

V000705 M3x. Summary partial-birth abortion ban
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M3x.

There has been discussion recently about a proposed law to ban certain types of late-term abortions, sometimes called partial birth abortions.
Do you favor or oppose a law that would make these types of abortions illegal?
Do you strongly or not strongly favor/oppose a law that would make these types of abortions illegal?

SUMMARY: BAN ON LATE-TERM ABORTIONS

Built from M3 and M3a/b.

1. Favor strongly
2. Favor not strongly
4. Oppose not strongly
5. Oppose strongly

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1097</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M4/M4.E/M4.T/M4.TE.

FTF STANDARD AND EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Please look at page 12 of the booklet
Some people think it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs or otherwise reduces our standard of living. (Suppose these people are at one end of the scale, at point number 1) Other people think that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living. (Suppose these people are at the other end of the scale, at point number 7. And of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6).

TELEPHONE VERSIONS 1 AND 2:
Some people think it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs or otherwise reduces our standard of living. Other people think that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living.

Numeric

M4(1).

CHECKPOINT: MODE AND VERSION
FOR ENVIRONMENT VERSUS JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT

FTF: Rs were randomly assigned to the standard 7-point scale or to an experimental version of the 7-point scale (without "haven't thought much about it" option).
Phone: Rs were randomly assigned to 2 versions of the branching format; in the 2nd version, the initial placement question does not include the "haven't thought much about it" option.

1. FTF standard version (M4a)
2. FTF experimental version (M4a.E)
3. PHONE version 1 (M4a.T)
4. PHONE version 2 (M4a.TE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>428</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000707a  M4a. R-jobs/envir scale FTF stan
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

M4a.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

See M4 for complete text.

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either M4a (standard) or M4a.E (experimental).
For the telephone versions of this question see M4a.T and M4a.TE.

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING --> SKIP TO M4b
2. --> SKIP TO M4b
3. --> SKIP TO M4b
4. --> SKIP TO M4b
5. --> SKIP TO M4b
6. --> SKIP TO M4b
7. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT --> SKIP TO M4b
8. DK --> SKIP TO M4b
9. RF; NA; INAP, 2, 3, 4 in M4(1)
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> SKIP TO M4b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1305</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000707b  M4a.E. R-jobs/envir scale FTF ex
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

M4a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
See M4.E for complete text.
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either M4a (standard) or M4a.E (experimental).
For the telephone versions of this question see M4a.T and M4a.TE.

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING --> SKIP TO M4b
2. --> SKIP TO M4b
3. --> SKIP TO M4b
4. --> SKIP TO M4b
5. --> SKIP TO M4b
6. --> SKIP TO M4b
7. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT --> SKIP TO M4b
8. DK --> SKIP TO M4b
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 4 in M4(1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1305</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000708 M4ax. Comb. FTF versions jobs/envir
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M4ax.

FTF STANDARD VERSION:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

FTF EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Where would you place yourself on this scale?

COMBINED FTF STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL - 7PT JOBS VS. ENVIRONMENT

Built from M4a, M4a.E.
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS (M4a only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>805</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V000709a  M4a.T. R-jobs/envir br phone stan
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M4a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1:

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

See M4.T for complete text.
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either M4a.T (version 1) or M4a.TE (version 2).
For the FTF versions of this question see M4a and M4a.E.

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING --> M4a1.T/
5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT --> M4a1.T
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> SKIP TO M4b/M4c.T
8. DK --> SKIP TO M4b/M4c.T
9. RF; NA; INAP, 1, 2, 4 in M4(1)
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1434</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V000709b  M4a.TE. R-jobs/envir phone ex
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M4a.TE.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2:

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel?

See M4.TE for complete text.
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either M4a.TE (version 2) or M4a.TE (version 2).
For the FTF versions of this question see M4a and M4a.E.
See M4.TE for complete text.

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either M4a.T (version 1) or M4a.TE (version 2).

For the FTF versions of this question see M4a and M4a.E.

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING
   --> M4a1.T/

5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT
   --> M4a1.T

7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]
   --> SKIP TO M4b/M4c.T

8. DK
   --> SKIP TO M4b/M4c.T

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3 in M4(1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1380</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000710   M4ax.T. Comb. Ph versions jobs/envir
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

M4ax.T.

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE VERSION 2:
Which is closer to the way you feel?

COMBINED TELEPHONE VERSIONS - ENVIRONMENT VS. JOBS
-------------------------------------

Built from M4a.T and M4a_TE

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING
5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH (M4a.T only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000711   M4a1/a2.T. Strength jobs/envir
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

M4a1/a2.T.
BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
IF PROTECTING ENVIRONMENT IS MORE IMPORTANT/
    IF MAINTAINING JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING ARE MORE IMPORTANT:

    TELEPHONE:
    Is protecting the environment much more important (than
    maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only
    somewhat more important?/
    Are maintaining jobs and standard of living much more
    important (than protecting the environment) or
    only somewhat more important?

    1. MUCH MORE IMPORTANT           --> M4b.T/M4c.T
    5. ONLY SOMEWHAT MORE IMPORTANT  --> M4b.T/M4c.T
    8. DK                            --> M4b.T/M4c.T
    9. RF
    0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 0,7,8 or RF/NA in M4a.T; 7,8,9 or NA in M4a.T

    0 1 5
    ----- ---- ----- 
    Count 1231 336 240

V000712  M4a1x1. 5-pt br summary jobs/envir
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

M4a1x1.T.

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
    Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you
    thought much about this?
TELEPHONE VERSION 2:
    Which is closer to the way you feel?
BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
    Is protecting the environment much more important (than
    maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only
    somewhat more important?
    Are maintaining jobs and standard of living much more
    important (than protecting the environment) or only
    somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from M4ax.T and M4a1/a2.T

1. Environment much more important
2. Environment somewhat more important
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Jobs somewhat more important
5. Jobs much more important

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. Haven't thought much (from M4a.T only)
0    1    2    3
----- ----- ----- -----  
Count 110  270  152     75
 -----  -----  -----  -----  
Count  88  66   33  1013

==============================
V000713    M4a1x2. Comb.7pt/br summ jobs/envir
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

M4a1x2.

FTF STANDARD VERSION:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

FTF EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Where would you place yourself on this scale?

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE VERSION 2:
Which is closer to the way you feel?

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
Is protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?
Are maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

----------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from M4a and M4a1x1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Environment much more important
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Environment somewhat more important
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Jobs somewhat more important
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Jobs much more important

8. DK
9. RF; NA
0. Haven't thought much (from M4a and M4a.T only)

0  1  2  3
V000714  M4b. Gore-jobs/envir scale FTF
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

M4b.

**FTF:**
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See M4 for complete text.

FTF: M4b and M4c were administered in random order.
For the telephone version of this question see M4b.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING  --> M4c. OR M5.
2.  --> M4c. OR M5.
3.  --> M4c. OR M5.
4.  --> M4c. OR M5.
5.  --> M4c. OR M5.
6.  --> M4c. OR M5.
7. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT  --> M4c. OR M5.

8. DK  --> M4c. OR M5.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>803</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>79</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000715  M4b.T. Gore-jobs/envir scale phone
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

M4b.T.
TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See M4.T for complete text.
TELEPHONE: M4b and M4c were administered in random order. For the FTF version of this question see M4b.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING --> SKIP TO M4c.T OR --> M5.
5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT --> SKIP TO M4c.T OR --> M5.
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> SKIP TO M4c.T OR --> M5.

8. DK --> SKIP TO M4c.T OR --> M5.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============
V000716  M4b1/b2.T. Gore-strength jobs/envir
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M4b1/b2.T.

IF R SAYS GORE THINKS PROTECTING ENVIRONMENT IS MORE IMPORTANT/
IF R SAYS GORE THINKS JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING ARE MORE IMPORTANT:

TELEPHONE:
Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?/
Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

1. MUCH MORE IMPORTANT
5. SOMewhat more IMPORTANT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 or NA in M4b.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1245</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
V000717 M4bx1. 5-pt br summary jobs/envir
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M4bx1.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?
Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?
Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from M4b.T and M4b1.T/M4b2.T.

1. Environment much more important
2. Environment somewhat more important
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Jobs somewhat more important
5. Jobs much more important

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
V000718 M4bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore jobs/envir
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M4bx2.

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?
TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?
Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?
Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING
-------------------------------------------------------------

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Environment much more important
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Environment somewhat more important
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Jobs somewhat more important
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Jobs much more important

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V000719   M4c. Bush-jobs/envir scale FTF
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M4c.

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See M4 for complete text.
FTF: M4b and M4c were administered in random order.
For the telephone version of this question see M4c.T.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING  --> M4b. OR M5.
2.                                           --> M4b. OR M5.
3.                                           --> M4b. OR M5.
4.                                           --> M4b. OR M5.
5.                                           --> M4b. OR M5.
6.                                           --> M4b. OR M5.
7. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT  --> M4b. OR M5.
8. DK                                           --> M4b. OR M5.
9. RF                                           --> M4b. OR M5.
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>803</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|       | 5       | 6       | 7       | 8       | 9       |
| Count | 209     | 168     | 63      | 249     | 2       |

V000720  M4c.T. Bush-jobs/envir scale phone
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

M4c.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See M4.T for complete text.
TELEPHONE: M4b and M4c were administered in random order. For the FTF version of this question see M4c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING  --> SKIP TO M4b.T OR --> M5.
5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE
IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT --> SKIP TO M4b.T OR --> M5.
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> SKIP TO M4b.T OR --> M5.

8. DK --> SKIP TO M4b.T OR --> M5.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000721  M4c1/c2.T. Bush-strength jobs/envir
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M4c1/c2.T.

IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS PROTECTING ENVIRONMENT IS MORE IMPORTANT/
IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING ARE MORE IMPORTANT:

TELEPHONE:
Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?/
Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

1. MUCH MORE IMPORTANT
5. SOMEWHAT MORE IMPORTANT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 or NA in M4c.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1286</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000722  M4cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush jobs/envir
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M4cx1.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?
Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?
Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?
living much more important (than protecting the
environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
---------------------------------------------------------------

Built from M4c.T and M4c1.T/M4c2.T.

1. Environment much more important
2. Environment somewhat more important
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Jobs somewhat more important
5. Jobs much more important

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V000723 M4cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush jobs/envir
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

M4cx2.

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he thinks it is
important to protect the environment even if it
costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is
not as important as maintaining jobs and our
standard of living?
Does he think protecting the environment much
more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of
living) or only somewhat more important?
Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of
living much more important (than protecting the
environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING
---------------------------------------------------------------

Built from M4c and M4cx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining
collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone
branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the
differences in the questions, administration, and summary
construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to
evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Environment much more important
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Environment somewhat more important
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Jobs somewhat more important
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Jobs much more important

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>327</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000724  M5. Favor/oppose homosexuals in military
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

M5.

Do you think homosexuals should be allowed to serve in the United States Armed Forces or don't you think so?

1. HOMOSEXUALS SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO SERVE   --> M5a
5. HOMOSEXUALS SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO SERVE --> M5b

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1285</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000725  M5a. Strngth fav homosexuals in military
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

M5a.

IF R SAYS ALLOW HOMOSEXUALS TO SERVE IN ARMED FORCES:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals should be allowed to serve?

1. STRONGLY   --> M6a
5. NOT STRONGLY --> M6a
8. DK --> M6a
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in M5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>871</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=======================================================
V000726 M5b. Strength opp homosexuals in military
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M5b.

IF R SAYS DO NOT ALLOW HOMOSEXUALS TO SERVE IN ARMED FORCES:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals should not be allowed to serve?

=====================================
1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in M5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1393</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=======================================================
V000727 M5x. Summary homosexuals in military
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M5x.

Do you think homosexuals should be allowed to serve in the United States Armed Forces or don't you think so?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals should be allowed to serve?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals should not be allowed to serve?

SUMMARY: HOMOSEXUALS IN ARMED FORCES

Built from M5 and M5a/b.

1. Strongly - should be allowed to serve
2. Not strongly - should be allowed to serve
4. Not strongly - should not be allowed to serve
5. Strongly - should not be allowed to serve
8. DK
Do you think the federal government should make it more difficult for people to buy a gun than it is now, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?

1. MORE DIFFICULT  --> SKIP TO M6a1
3. MAKE IT EASIER  --> SKIP TO M6a2
5. KEEP THESE RULES ABOUT THE SAME  --> SKIP TO M6a3
8. DK  --> SKIP TO M6a3
9. RF
0. NA

Do you think the federal government should make it more difficult for people to buy a gun than it is now, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?

1. MORE DIFFICULT  --> SKIP TO M6a1
3. MAKE IT EASIER  --> SKIP TO M6a2
5. KEEP THESE RULES ABOUT THE SAME  --> SKIP TO M6a3
8. DK  --> SKIP TO M6a3
9. RF
0. NA

IF R SAYS GOVT SHOULD MAKE IT MORE DIFFICULT TO BUY A GUN:

A lot more difficult or somewhat more difficult?

1. A LOT MORE DIFFICULT  --> SKIP TO M6a3
5. SOMewhat MORE DIFFICULT  --> SKIP TO M6a3
8. DK  --> SKIP TO M6a3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in M6a

9. RF
0. NA

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>871</td>
<td>410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000729  M6a1. R-strength more gun control
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

M6a1.

IF R SAYS GOVT SHOULD MAKE IT MORE DIFFICULT TO BUY A GUN:

A lot more difficult or somewhat more difficult?

1. A LOT MORE DIFFICULT  --> SKIP TO M6a3
5. SOMewhat MORE DIFFICULT  --> SKIP TO M6a3
8. DK  --> SKIP TO M6a3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in M6a

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>747</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
M6a2.

IF R SAYS GOVT SHOULD MAKE IT EASIER TO BUY A GUN:

A lot easier or somewhat easier?

1. A LOT EASIER
5. SOMewhat EASIER
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in M6a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1732</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M6ax.

Do you think the federal government should make it more difficult for people to buy a gun than it is now, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?

A lot easier/more difficult or somewhat easier/more difficult?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON GUN RESTRICTIONS

Built from M6 and M6a/b.

1. A lot more difficult
2. Somewhat more difficult
3. Keep rules about the same
4. Somewhat easier
5. A lot easier
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
M6a3.

ALL GUN RESTRICTION RESPONDENTS:

How important is this issue to you personally? Not at all important, not too important, somewhat important, very important, or extremely important?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT
2. NOT TOO IMPORTANT
3. SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
4. VERY IMPORTANT
5. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

0    1    2    3
----- ----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 3    37   139  461

4    5    8
----- ----- ----- 
Count 716 448  3

-----------------------------
V000733 M6b. Gore plcmnt-gun control scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M6b.

Where would you place Al Gore? Do you think he would like to make it more difficult for people to buy a gun, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?

[DO NOT PROBE]

M6c and M6c were administered in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. MORE DIFFICULT
3. MAKE IT EASIER
5. KEEP THESE RULES ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO M6b3
8. DK --> SKIP TO M6c
9. RF
0. NA

0 1 3 5 8 9
----- ----- ----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 3 1139 19 350 294 2

==================================
V000734 M6b1/b2. Gore-strength more/less gun ctl
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M6b1/b2.

IF R THINKS GORE WOULD LIKE IT EASIER TO BUY A GUN/
IF R THINKS GORE WOULD LIKE IT MORE DIFFICULT TO BUY A GUN:

A lot easier or somewhat easier?/
A lot more difficult or somewhat more difficult?

=====================================
1. A LOT --> M6b3
5. SOMEWHAT --> M6b3
8. DK --> SKIP TO M6c
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in M6b

0 1 5 8
----- ----- 
Count 649 718

==================================
V000735 M6bx. Summary Gore gun control
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M6bx.

Where would you place Al Gore? Do you think he would like to make it more difficult for people to buy a gun, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?
A lot easier/more difficult or somewhat easier/ more difficult?

SUMMARY: GORE POSITION ON GUN RESTRICTIONS

Built from M6b and M6b1/b2.

1. A lot more difficult
2. Somewhat more difficult
3. Keep rules about the same
4. Somewhat easier
5. A lot easier

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>709</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V000736    M6b3. Gore-certain gun control placement
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

M6b3.

IF R SAYS GORE WANTS MORE DIFFICULT/EASIER TO BUY GUN:
IF STRENGTH OF GORE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK/RF:

How certain are you of Al Gore's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in M6b1/M6b2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V000737    M6c. Bush plcmt-gun control scale
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

M6c.

Where would you place George W. Bush? Do you think he would like to make it more difficult for people to buy a gun, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?

[DO NOT PROBE]
M6c and M6c were administered in random order.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. MORE DIFFICULT
3. MAKE IT EASIER
5. KEEP THESE RULES ABOUT THE SAME --> M6c2

8. DK --> N1/n1.e
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>806</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V000738   M6c1/c2. Bush-strength more/less gun ctl
         MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
         Numeric

M6c1/c2.

IF R THINKS G.W. BUSH WOULD LIKE IT EASIER TO BUY A GUN/
IF R THINKS G.W. BUSH WOULD LIKE IT MORE DIFFICULT TO BUY A GUN:

A lot easier or somewhat easier?
A lot more difficult or somewhat more difficult?

==============================================

1. A LOT --> M6c3
5. SOMEWHAT --> M6c3

8. DK --> SKIP TO N1/N1.E
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in M6c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1117</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V000739   M6cx. Summary Bush gun control
         MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
         Numeric

M6cx.

Where would you place George W. Bush? Do you think he would like to make it more difficult for people to buy a gun, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?
A lot easier/more difficult or somewhat easier/ more difficult?
SUMMARY: GW BUSH POSITION ON GUN RESTRICTIONS

Built from M6c and M6c1/c2.

1. A lot more difficult
2. Somewhat more difficult
3. Keep rules about the same
4. Somewhat easier
5. A lot easier

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000740    M6c3. Bush-certain gun control placement
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M6c3.

IF R SAYS GW BUSH WANTS MORE DIFFICULT/EASIER TO BUY GUN:
IF STRENTH OF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS NOT DK/RF:

How certain are you of George W. Bush's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1119</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>318</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000741a   N1. Fav/opp school voucher program stan
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

N1.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:
Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either N1 (standard) or N1.E (experimental).

1. FAVOR SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM  --> SKIP TO N1a
5. OPPOSE SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM   --> SKIP TO N1a
8. DK                               --> SKIP TO N2
9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for N1
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS   --> SKIP TO N2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>925</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000741b  N1.E. Fav/opp school voucher program ex MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school, or haven't you thought much about this?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either N1 (standard) or N1.E (experimental).

1. FAVOR SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM  --> N1a
5. OPPOSE SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM   --> N1a
8. DK                               --> SKIP TO N2
9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for N1
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS   --> SKIP TO N2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000742  N1x. Combined versions school vouchers MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

STANDARD VERSION:
Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that
would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school, or haven't you thought much about this?

COMBINED VERSIONS - SCHOOL VOUCHERS
---------------------------------------------------------------

Built from N1 and N1.E.

1. FAVOR SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM
5. OPPOSE SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for N1
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS (N1.E only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000743 N1a/b. Strength fav/opp school vouchers
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

N1a/b.

IF R FAVORS SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM/
IF R OPPOSES SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM:

Do you favor/oppose a school voucher program strongly or not strongly?

Note: an error in the instrument programming excluded for this question respondents from the standard version; only respondents from N1.E were asked N1a/b.

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,8,9 or NA in N1.E; R selected for N1 and excluded in error from N1a/b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1216</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000744 N1ax. Summary school vouchers
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
STANDARD VERSION:
Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school, or haven't you thought much about this?

BOTH VERSIONS:
Do you favor/oppose a school voucher program strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON SCHOOL VOUCHERS

Build from N1x and N1a/b.

1. Favor strongly
2. Favor not strongly
4. Oppose not strongly
5. Oppose strongly

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for N1
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS (N1.E only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>887</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000745  N2. R fav/opp English official language
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Do you favor a law making English the official language of the United States, meaning government business would be conducted in English only, or do you oppose such a law?

1. FAVOR
3. NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE [VOL]
5. OPPOSE

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
Some people say that the government in Washington should see to it that white and black children go to the same schools. Others claim that this is not the government's business. Have you been interested enough in this question to favor one side over the other?

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO N4
8. DK --> SKIP TO N4
9. RF
0. NA

Do you think the government in Washington should see to it that white and black children go to the same schools or stay out of this area as it is not the government's business?

1. SEE TO IT THAT WHITE AND BLACK CHILDREN GO TO THE SAME SCHOOLS
5. STAY OUT OF THIS AREA AS IT IS NOT THE GOVERNMENT'S BUSINESS
7. OTHER; DEPENDS
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in N3
V000748  N4. Fav/opp h-sexual couples adopt chldn
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

N4.

Do you think gay or lesbian couples, in other words, homosexual couples, should be legally permitted to adopt children?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>747</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000749  N5. Fav/opp death penalty
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

N5.

Do you favor or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?

1. FAVOR --> SKIP TO N5a
5. OPPOSE --> SKIP TO N5b
8. DK --> SKIP TO P1
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1240</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000750  N5a. How much favor death penalty
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

N5a.

IF R FAVORS DEATH PENALTY FOR PERSONS CONVICTED OF MURDER:

(Do you favor the death penalty for persons convicted of
murder) strongly or not strongly?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P1/P1.E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STRONGLY</td>
<td>P1/P1.E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOT STRONGLY</td>
<td>P1/P1.E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK</td>
<td>P1/P1.E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in N5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>567</td>
<td>925</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000751  N5b. How much oppose death penalty
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

N5b.

IF R OPPOSES DEATH PENALTY FOR PERSONS CONVICTED OF MURDER:

(Do you oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder) strongly or not strongly?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P1/P1.E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STRONGLY</td>
<td>P1/P1.E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOT STRONGLY</td>
<td>P1/P1.E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK</td>
<td>P1/P1.E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in N5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1345</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000752  N5x. Summary R position on death penalty
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

N5x.

Do you favor or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?
(Do you favor/oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder) strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON DEATH PENALTY

Built from N5 and N5a/b.

1. Favor strongly
2. Favor not strongly
4. Oppose not strongly
5. Oppose strongly

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>925</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P1/P1.T.

FTF STANDARD AND EXPERIMENTAL VERSIONS:
Please look at page 13 of the booklet.
Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry, and government.
(Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others feel that a woman's place is in the home. (Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6.

TELEPHONE VERSIONS 1 AND 2:
Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry, and government. Others feel that a woman's place is in the home.

Numeric

P1(1).

CHECKPOINT: MODE AND VERSION FOR WOMEN'S EQUAL ROLE SELF-PLACEMENT

FTF: Rs were randomly assigned to the standard 7-point scale or to an experimental version of the 7-point scale (without "haven't thought much about it" option).
Phone: Rs were randomly assigned to 2 versions of the branching format; in the 2nd version, the initial placement question does not include the "haven't thought much about it" option.

1. FTF standard
2. FTF experimental
3. PHONE version 1
4. PHONE version 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>419</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000754a Pla. R plcmnt equal role scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Pla.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

FTP:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
See P1 for complete text.

FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered either Pla (standard) or Pla.E (experimental).
For the telephone versions of this question see Pla.T and Pla.TE.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES  --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
2.                                         --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
3.                                         --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
4.                                         --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
5.                                         --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
6.                                         --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME  --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
8. DK  --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
9. RF; NA; INAP, 2,3,4 in P1(1)
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS  --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1287</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000754b Pla.E. R plcmnt equal role scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Pla.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

FTP:
Where would you place yourself on this scale?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
See P1 for complete text.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered either Pla (standard) or Pla.E (experimental).
For the telephone versions of this question see Pla.T and Pla.TE.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES   --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
2.                                               --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
3.                                               --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
4.                                               --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
5.                                               --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
6.                                               --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME                --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
8. DK                                           --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,4 in P1(1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1323</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000755   Pla. Comb. FTF versions R equal role sc
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Pla.

FTF STANDARD FORMAT:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

FTF EXPERIMENTAL FORMAT:
Where would you place yourself on this scale?

COMBINED FTF STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL VERSIONS - WOMEN'S ROLE

Built from Pla and Pla.E.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME
8. DK
9. RF; NA, Phone mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS (Pla only)
Count  18   572  142   70   104
       5       6       7       8       9
Count  38   23   29     7   804

V000756a  Pla.T. R equal role branch stan
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Pla.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1:

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
See Pla.T for complete text.
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered either Pla.T (version 1) or Pla.T.E (version 2).
For the FTF versions of this question see Pla and Pla.E.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES --> Pla1.T
5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME --> Pla1.T
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> Plb.T/Plc.T
8. DK --> Plb.T/Plc.T
9. RF; NA; INAP, 1,2,4 in Pl(1)
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH

Count  19   328  18   14     3  1425

V000756b  Pla.TE. R equal role branch ex
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Pla.TE.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2:

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
See Pla.T for complete text.
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered either Pla.T (version 1) or Pla.T.E (version 2).
For the FTF versions of this question see Pla and Pla.E.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES --> Pla1.T
5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME --> Pla1.T
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> Plb.T/Plc.T
8. DK                                       --> P1b.T/P1c.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3 in P1(1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1389</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V000757    Plax.T. Comb. Ph. Versions R eql role br
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Plax.T.

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you
thought much about this?

TELEPHONE VERSION 2:
Which is closer to the way you feel?

COMBINED TELEPHONE VERSIONS - WOMEN'S ROLE
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from P1a.T and P1a.TE.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES
5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH (P1a.T only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=====================================
V000758    Pla1/a2.T. R strength equal roles
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Pla1/a2.T.

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
IF R SAYS MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES/
IF R SAYS A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME:

   TELEPHONE:
   Do you feel strongly or not strongly that men and women
   should have equal roles?/
   Do you feel strongly or not strongly that a woman's
   place is in the home?
   --------------------------------------------------------

1. STRONGLY       --> SKIP TO P1b.T/P1c.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> SKIP TO P1b.T/P1c.T

8. DK --> SKIP TO P1b.T/P1c.T
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 0,7,8 or RF/NA in P1a.T; 7,8,9 or NA in P1a.TE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1071</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

============================================
V000759 Pla1x1. 5-pt br summary R equal role
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Pla1x1.T.

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE VERSION 2:
Which is closer to the way you feel?

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that men and women should have equal roles?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that a woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from P1ax.T and Pla1/a2.T

1. Strongly - women equal role
2. Not strongly - equal role
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Not strongly - place is in the home
5. Strongly - place is in the home

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH (standard format only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

============================================
V000760 Pla1x2. Comb.7pt/br summ R equal role
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Pla1x2.
FTF STANDARD FORMAT:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't
you thought much about this?

FTF EXPERIMENTAL FORMAT:
Where would you place yourself on this scale?

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you
thought much about this?

TELEPHONE VERSION 2:
Which is closer to the way you feel?

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that men
and women should have equal roles?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that a
woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from Plax and P1al1x1

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining
collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone
branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the
differences in the questions, administration, and summary
construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to
evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual
purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - women equal role
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - equal role
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - place is in the home
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Strongly - place is in the home

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH (standard format only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1224</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000761  Plb. Gore-equal role scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Plb.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 13)
Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P1 for complete text.
FTF: P1b and P1c were administered in random order.
For the telephone version of this question see P1b.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
2. --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
3. --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
4. --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
5. --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
6. --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
8. DK --> SKIP TO P1c./P2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>803</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================
V000762 P1b.T. Gore-equal role scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P1b.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government, OR that a woman's place is in the home?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P1 for complete text.
TELEPHONE: P1b.T and P1c.T were administered in random order.
For the FTF version of this question see P1b.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES --> P1b1.T
5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME --> P1b1.T
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T
8. DK --> P1c.T OR --> P2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000763 Plbl/b2.T. Gore-strength equal role
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Plbl/b2.T.

IF R THINKS GORE FEELS MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES/
IF R THINKS GORE FEELS THAT A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that men
and women should have equal role?/
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that a
woman's place is in the home?

1. STRONGLY --> Plc.T OR --> P2.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> Plc.T OR --> P2.T
8. DK --> Plc.T OR --> P2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in Plb.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1215</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000764 Plbx1. 5-pt br summary Gore equal role
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Plbx1.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels that women
should have an equal role with men in running
business, industry and government, OR that a woman's
place is in the home?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
that men and women should have equal role?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
that a woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from Plb.T and Plbl/b2.T

1. Strongly - women equal role
2. Not strongly - equal role

10/15/2009
Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government, OR that a woman's place is in the home?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that men and women should have equal role?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that a woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from P1b and P1bx1

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - women equal role
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - equal role
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - place is in the home
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Strongly - place is in the home

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>388</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000766  Plc. Bush-equal role scale FTF
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Plc.

FTP:
(Still looking at page 13)
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P1 for complete text.
FTF: P1b and Plc were administered in random order.
For the telephone version of this question see Plc.T.

Randomization:  Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars.  The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES  --> SKIP TO Plc./P2
2. --> SKIP TO Plc./P2
3. --> SKIP TO Plc./P2
4. --> SKIP TO Plc./P2
5. --> SKIP TO Plc./P2
6. --> SKIP TO Plc./P2
7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME  --> SKIP TO Plc./P2
8. DK  --> SKIP TO P1b./P2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>803</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000767  Plc.T. Bush-equal role scale phone
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Plc.T.
TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he feels that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government, OR that a woman's place is in the home?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P1 for complete text.
TELEPHONE: P1b.T and P1c.T were administered in random order.
For the FTF version of this question see P1c.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES
5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]

8. DK --> P1b.T OR --> P2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000768 Plc1/c2.T. Bush-strength equal role
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Plc1/c2.T.

IF R THINKS GW BUSH FEELS MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES/
IF R THINKS GW BUSH FEELS THAT A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that men and women should have equal roles?/
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that a woman's place is in the home?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1255</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000769 Plcx1. 5-pt br summary Bush equal role
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he feels that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government, OR that a woman's place is in the home? Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that men and women should have equal role? Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that a woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

1. Strongly - women equal role
2. Not strongly - equal role
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Not strongly - place is in the home
5. Strongly - place is in the home
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1007</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------------------------------------------------------------------

V000770 Plcx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush equal role
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Plcx2.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 13)
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he feels that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government, OR that a woman's place is in the home? Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that men and women should have equal role? Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that a woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from P1c and P1cx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - women equal role
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - equal role
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - place is in the home
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Strongly - place is in the home

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P2/P2.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 14 of the booklet.
Some people think we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others think that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6.)

TELEPHONE:
Some people think we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment. Others think that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business.

P2a. self placmnt-envir regulation scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P2a.
FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
See P2 for complete text.
For the telephone version of this question see P2a.T

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V000772   P2a.T. R-envir regulation branch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P2a.T.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
See P2.T for complete text.
For the FTF version of this question see P2a.

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
5. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V000773 P2a1.T. How much tougher regulation
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P2a1.T.

IF R THINKS TOUGHER REGULATION ON BUSINESS NEEDED:

TELEPHONE:
Do we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

1. A LOT --> P2a3
5. SOMEWHAT --> P2a3
8. DK --> P2a3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in P2a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1470</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------------------------

V000774 P2a2.T. How much are regulatns a burden
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P2a2.T.

IF R THINKS REGULATIONS ALREADY TOO MUCH BURDEN:

TELEPHONE:
Are regulations to protect the environment way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

1. WAY TOO MUCH
5. SOMEWHAT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in P2a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1675</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------------------------

V000775 P2ax1. 5-pt br summary R envir regul
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P2ax1.T.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you

thought much about this?
Do we need to toughen regulations to protect the
environment a lot, or just somewhat?
Are regulations to protect the environment way too much
of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from P2a.T and P2a1/a2.T

1. Toughen regulations a lot
2. Toughen regulations a little
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Regulations somewhat of a burden
5. Regulations way too much burden
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. Haven't thought much about it

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000776  P2ax2. Comb.7pt/br summ R envir regul
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

P2ax2.

FTP:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't
you thought much about this?

TELEPHOME:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you
thought much about this?
Do we need to toughen regulations to protect the
environment a lot, or just somewhat?
Are regulations to protect the environment way too much
of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from P2a and P2ax1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining
collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone
branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the
differences in the questions, administration, and summary
construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to
evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual
purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a lot
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Regulations somewhat of a burden
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Regulations way too much burden

8. DK
9. RF; NA
0. Haven't thought much about it

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>475</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000777 P2aa. How important is envir regulation
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

ALL ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION RESPONDENTS:

How important is this issue to you personally? Not at all important, not too important, somewhat important, very important, or extremely important?

1. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT
2. NOT TOO IMPORTANT
3. SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
4. VERY IMPORTANT
5. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>648</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000778 P2b. Gore placmnt-envir regulation scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
FTP:
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P2 for complete text.
P2b and P2c were administered in random order.
For the telephone version of this question see P2b.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT --> P2bb
2. --> P2bb
3. --> P2bb
4. --> P2bb
5. --> P2bb
6. --> P2bb

7. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS

8. DK --> SKIP TO P2c OR P3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>803</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000779 P2b.T. Gore-envir regulation branch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P2b.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P2.T for complete text.
P2b.T and P2c.T were administered in random order.
For the FTF version of this question see P2b.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
5. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000780 P2b1.T. Gore-strength tougher regulation
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P2b1.T.

IF R THINKS GORE FEELS TOUGHER ENV REGULATION ON BUSINESS NEEDED:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

1. A LOT --> P2bb
5. SOMEWHAT --> P2bb
8. DK --> P2c.T OR --> P3.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in P2b.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1324</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000781 P2b2.T. Gore-strength regulation burden
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P2b2.T.

IF R THINKS GORE FEELS ENV REGULATIONS ALREADY TOO MUCH BURDEN:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or
just somewhat of a burden?

---------------------------------

1. WAY TOO MUCH --> P2bb
5. SOMEWHAT --> P2bb

8. DK --> P2c.T OR -->P3.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in P2b.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1736</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000782   P2bx1. 5-pt br summary Gore envir regul Digital
Numeric

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we need much
tougher government regulations on business in order to
protect the environment, OR that current regulations to
protect the environment are already too much of a burden
on business?
Do you think he believes that we need to toughen
regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just
somewhat?
Do you think he believes regulations to protect the
environment are way too much of a burden on business or
just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from P2b.T and P2b1/b2.T.

1. Toughen regulations a lot
2. Toughen regulations a little
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Regulations somewhat of a burden
5. Regulations way too much burden

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000783   P2bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore envir regul
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?
Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?
Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING
---------------------------------------------------------------
Built from P2b and P2bx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a lot
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Regulations somewhat of a burden
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Regulations way too much burden
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
V000784 P2bb. Gore-certain envir regulation
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P2bbb.
IF R'S PLACEMENT OF GORE IS NOT DK OR OTHER/DEPENDS/NEITHER:

How certain are you of Al Gore's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in P2b; 7,8,9 or NA in P2b.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000785  P2c. Bush placement-envir regulation scale
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

P2c.

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P2 for complete text.
P2b and P2c were administered in random order.
For the telephone version of this question see P2c.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
2. --> P2cc
3. --> P2cc
4. --> P2cc
5. --> P2cc
6. --> P2cc
7. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS
   --> P2cc
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P2.T for complete text.
P2b.T and P2c.T were administered in random order.
For the FTF version of this question see P2c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
5. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

IF R THINKS GW BUSH FEELS TOUGHER ENV REGULATION ON BUSINESS NEEDED:

Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?
1. A LOT --> P2cc
5. SOMEWHAT --> P2cc

8. DK --> P2b.T OR --> P3.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in P2c.T

0 1 5
----- ----- ----- Count 1648 68 91

V000788 P2c2.T. Bush-strength regulation burden
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P2c2.T.

IF R THINKS GW BUSH FEELS ENV REGULATIONS ALREADY TOO MUCH BURDEN:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

1. WAY TOO MUCH --> P2cc
5. SOMEWHAT --> P2cc

8. DK --> P2b.T OR --> P3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in P2c.T

0 1 5 8
----- ----- ----- ----- Count 1452 136 216 3

V000789 P2cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush envir regul
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P2cx1.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?
Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?
Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?
SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from P2c.T and P2c1/c2.T

1. Toughen regulations a lot
2. Toughen regulations a little
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Regulations somewhat of a burden
5. Regulations way too much burden

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000790 P2cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush envir regul
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P2cx2.

FTP:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?
Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?
Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from P2c and P2cx1.

Note: when using 5-point combined-mode summaries (combining collapsed face-to-face 7-point scales with 5-point telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.
1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a lot
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Regulations somewhat of a burden
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Regulations way too much burden

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==========================================
V000791 P2cc. Bush-certain envir regulation
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

P2cc.

IF R'S PLACEMENT OF GW BUSH IS NOT DK OR OTHER/DEPENDS/NEITHER:

How certain are you of George W. Bush's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in P2c; 7,8,9 or NA in P2c.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==========================================
V000792 P3. R expect to vote in Nov election
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

P3.

So far as you know now, do you expect to vote in the national elections this coming November or not?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO P3c
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO P3c
IF R EXPECTS TO VOTE IN NOVEMBER ELECTION:

Who do you think you will vote for in the election for President?

[PROBE: NEEDLESS TO SAY, THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES IS A LONG TIME AWAY IN NOVEMBER. BUT I'D LIKE TO ASK YOU FOR YOUR BEST GUESS ABOUT WHO YOU WILL VOTE FOR IN THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT IN NOVEMBER.]

1. AL GORE
2. GEORGE W. BUSH
3. PAT Buchanan
4. RALPH NADER
5. NONE (if voter, will not vote for president) [VOL] --> SKIP TO P3c
7. OTHER, (SPECIFY):
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO P4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in P3

Would you say that your preference for [GORE/BUSH/BUCHANAN/OTHER] is strong or not strong?
1. STRONG --> SKIP TO P4
5. NOT STRONG --> SKIP TO P4

8. DK --> SKIP TO P4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9 or 0 in P3a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>1114</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000795 P3c. If R voted, who would R vote for President
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P3c.

IF TURNOUT INTENT IS WILL NOT/DK IF WILL VOTE IN NOVEMBER/
IF VOTE INTENT IS TO VOTE BUT NOT FOR PRESIDENT:

If you were going to vote, who do you think you would vote for in the election for President?

[PROBE: NEEDLESS TO SAY, THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES IS A LONG TIME AWAY IN NOVEMBER. BUT I'D LIKE TO ASK YOU FOR YOUR BEST GUESS ABOUT WHO YOU WOULD VOTE FOR IN THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT IN NOVEMBER.]

1. AL GORE
2. GEORGE W. BUSH
3. PAT BUCHANAN
4. RALPH NADER
5. NONE (if voter, will not vote for president) [VOL] --> SKIP TO P4
7. OTHER, (SPECIFY):

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO P4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0, 9 in P3; 1-4,7,8,9 or NA in P3a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1547</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000796 P3d. Strength of preference for candidate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P3d.

IF TURNOUT INTENT IS WILL NOT/DK IF WILL VOTE IN NOVEMBER/
IF VOTE INTENT IS TO VOTE BUT NOT FOR PRESIDENT:
IF R NAMES PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE HE WOULD VOTE FOR IF VOTING:

Would you say that your preference for <CANDIDATE NAMED> is strong or not strong?

1. STRONG
5. NOT STRONG

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,0,8,9 in P3c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1602</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000797 P4. Which party will control the House

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

P4.

The next couple of questions are about what you think will happen as a result of the upcoming elections. After the November election, which party do you think will have the most members in the U.S. House of Representatives -- the Republicans or the Democrats?

1. REPUBLICANS
5. DEMOCRATS

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000798 P5. Which party will control the Senate

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

P5.

Again, after the November election, which party do you think will have the most members in the U.S. Senate, -- the Republicans or the Democrats?

1. REPUBLICANS
5. DEMOCRATS

8. DK
Some people feel that if black people are not getting fair treatment in jobs, the government in Washington ought to see to it that they do. Others feel that this is not the federal government's business. Have you had enough interest in this question to favor one side over the other?

IF R HAS HAD INTEREST IN ISSUE OF FAIR TREATMENT IN JOBS:

How do you feel? Should the government in Washington see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs or is this not the federal government's business?
V000801  P6a. Strength blacks equal trtmnt jobs
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P6a.

IF R HAS HAD INTEREST IN ISSUE OF FAIR TREATMENT IN JOBS:
IF R'S POSITION IS SEE TO FAIR TREATMENT/
IF R'S POSITION IS NOT THE FEDERAL GOVT'S BUSINESS:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly about that?

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,7,8,9 in P6a


Count  663   951   192     1

V000802  P6x. Summary blacks equal treatment jobs
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 7
Numeric

P6x.

How do you feel? Should the government in Washington
see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs
OR is this not the federal government's business?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly about that?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON FAIR TREATMENT IN JOBS

1. Strongly - govt should see to fair treatment
2. Not strongly - govt should see to fair treatment
4. Not strongly - not the government's business
5. Strongly - not the government's business

7. Other
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no interest (5 in P6)

Count  588   524  100     92
P7.

Some people say that because of past discrimination, blacks should be given preference in hiring and promotion. Others say that such preference in hiring and promotion of blacks is wrong because it gives blacks advantages they haven't earned. What about your opinion -- are you for or against preferential hiring and promotion of blacks?

1. FOR PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS               --> P7a
5. AGAINST PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND PROMOTION   OF BLACKS            --> P7b
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)                  --> section Q as randomly selected
8. DK                               --> section Q as randomly selected
9. RF
0. NA

Count  4      262     1366       94       66       15

P7a.

IF R FAVORS PREFERENCE IN HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS:

Do you favor preference in hiring and promotion strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,7,8,9,0 in P7

Count  1545      174       87        1
V000805  P7b. Strength against preference blks jobs
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

P7b.

IF R OPPOSES PREFERENCE IN HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS:

Do you oppose preference in hiring and promotion strongly or not strongly?
---------------------------------------------------------------

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,7,8,9,0 in P7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>441</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000806  P7x. Summary preference for blacks jobs
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 7
Numeric

P7x.

What about your opinion- are you for or against preferential hiring and promotion of blacks? Do you favor/oppose preference in hiring and promotion strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN JOBS
---------------------------------------------------------------

Built from P7 and P7a/b.

1. Favor strongly
2. Favor not strongly
4. Oppose not strongly
5. Oppose strongly
7. Other
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q0.

Now I'd like to talk to you about Bill Clinton.

----------------------------------------------

V000807  Q1. Budget deficit lg/sm since 1992
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q1.

As you know, Bill Clinton was first elected President in November 1992. He will soon be leaving office after 8 years as President. The next several questions ask whether you think things have changed since Clinton came into office. First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. GOTTEN SMALLER
3. GOTTEN LARGER --> SKIP TO Q1b
5. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO Q2
8. DK --> SKIP TO Q2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15

sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

----------------------------------------------

V000808  Q1a. Deficit much/somewhat smaller
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q1a.

IF R SAYS THE BUDGET DEFICIT IS SMALLER THAN IN 1992:

(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller or somewhat smaller?

----------------------------------------------
1. MUCH SMALLER --> SKIP TO Q2
5. SOMEWHAT SMALLER --> SKIP TO Q2
8. DK --> SKIP TO Q2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1311</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000809  Q1b. Deficit much/somewhat larger
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q1b.

IF R SAYS THE BUDGET DEFICIT IS LARGER THAN IN 1992:

(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much larger or somewhat larger?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1681</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000810  Q1x. Summary budget deficit since 1992
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q1x.

First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same? (Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller/larger or somewhat smaller/larger?

SUMMARY: BUDGET DEFICIT SINCE 1992

Built from Q1 and Q1a/b.

1. Much smaller
2. Somewhat smaller
3. About the same
4. Somewhat larger
5. Much larger
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
V000811  Q2. Spending on poor inc/dec since 1992
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q2.

Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as in 1992?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1 INCREASED
3 DECREASED
5 STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO Q3
8 DK --> SKIP TO Q3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
V000812  Q2a. How much inc/dec aid to poor
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q2a.

IR SAYS SPENDING ON POOR HAS DECREASED SINCE 1992/
IR SAYS SPENDING ON POOR HAS INCREASED SINCE 1992:

A lot or somewhat?

1. A LOT
5. SOMewhat
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q2

   0   1   5   8
----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 1310 130 362 5

===================================
V000813  Q2x. Summary assistance to poor
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q2x.

Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been
increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as
in 1992?
A lot or somewhat?

SUMMARY: FEDERAL ASSISTANCE TO THE POOR
===============================================

Built from Q2 and Q2a.
1. Increases a lot
2. Increased somewhat
3. Stayed about the same
4. Decreased somewhat
5. Decreased a lot
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

   0   1   2   3
----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 920 81 256 275

   4   5   8   9
----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 106 49 119 1

===================================
V000814  Q3. Economy better/worse compared to 1992
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q3.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's
economy is better, worse, or about the same?
=============================================

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the
retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects
and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected
for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE  --> SKIP TO Q3b
5. THE SAME  --> SKIP TO Q4
8. DK  --> SKIP TO Q4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000815  Q3a. Economy much/somewhat better
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Q3a.

IF R SAYS NATION'S ECONOMY IS BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1174</td>
<td>372</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000816  Q3b. Economy much/somewhat worse
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Q3b.

IF R SAYS NATION'S ECONOMY IS WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q3
Q3x.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's economy is better, worse, or about the same? (Would you say) much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: ECONOMY SINCE 1992

Built from Q3 and Q3a/b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. About the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

Q4.

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.
1. MADE THE ECONOMY BETTER
3. MADE THE ECONOMY WORSE --> SKIP TO Q4b
5. NO EFFECT --> SKIP TO Q5
8. DK --> SKIP TO Q5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

====================================================================================================================================

Q4a. Clinton made econ much/somewhat better
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q4a.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE ECONOMY BETTER SINCE 1992:

Much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q5
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q5
8. DK --> SKIP TO Q5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1305</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

====================================================================================================================================

Q4b. Clinton made econ much/somewhat worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q4b.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE ECONOMY WORSE SINCE 1992:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1766</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V000821 Q4x. Summary Clinton effect on US econ
     MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
     Numeric

Q4x.

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the
country's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?
Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: CLINTON EFFECT ON ECONOMY

Built from Q4 and Q4a/b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No effect
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>920</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000822 Q5. Clinton admin hurt/help R personally
     MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
     Numeric

Q5.

Have you personally been helped or have you been hurt
economically by the Clinton Administration, or hasn't it affected you one way or the other?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the
retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected
for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the
Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. HELPED
3. HURT
5. NOT AFFECTED
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000823   Q6. U.S. more/less secure since 1992
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q6.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. MORE SECURE
3. LESS SECURE --> SKIP TO Q6b
5. NO CHANGE --> SKIP TO Q7
8. DK --> SKIP TO Q7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000824   Q6a. U.S. much more secure from enemies
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q6a.

IF R SAYS U.S. MORE SECURE FROM ENEMIES SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much more secure or somewhat more secure?

1. MUCH MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO Q7
5. SOMewhat MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO Q7
8. DK --> SKIP TO Q7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q6
Q6b. U.S. much less secure from enemies

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

IF R SAYS U.S. LESS SECURE FROM ENEMIES SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much less secure or somewhat less secure?

1. MUCH LESS SECURE
5. SOMewhat LESS SECURE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q6

0 1 5 8
Count 1546 107 153 1

Q6x. Summary US secure from for enemies

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much?
(Would you say) much more/less secure or somewhat more/less secure?

SUMMARY: U.S. SECURITY FROM FOREIGN ENEMIES

Built from Q6 and Q6a/b.

1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. Not changed very much
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

0 1 2 3
Count 920 71 144 379
Q7.

Would you say that Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. MORE SECURE
3. LESS SECURE --> SKIP TO Q7b
5. NO CHANGE --> SKIP TO Q8
8. DK --> SKIP TO Q8
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

0 1 3 5 8
----- ----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 920 192 218 449 28

Q7a.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORE SECURE:

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?

1. MUCH MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO Q8
5. SOMewhat MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO Q8
8. DK --> SKIP TO Q8
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q7

0 1 5
----- ----- ----- 
Count 1615 73 119
V000829 Q7b. Clinton made U.S. much/somewhat less secure
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Q7b.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. LESS SECURE:

Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

1. MUCH LESS SECURE
5. SOMewhat LESS SECURE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1589</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000830 Q7x. Summ- Clinton impact on U.S. security
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Q7x.

Would you say that Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either way? Much more/less secure or somewhat more/less secure?

SUMMARY: CLINTON ADMINISTRATION EFFECT ON U.S. SECURITY

Built from Q7 and Q7a/b.

1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. Hasn't made much difference
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>449</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|   | 4 | 5 | 8 |
|---|---|---|
| Count | 119 | 99 | 28 |
Q8.

Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO Q8b
5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO Q9
8. DK --> SKIP TO Q9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q8a.

IF R SAYS U.S. CRIME RATE HAS GOTTEN BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q9
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q9
8. DK --> SKIP TO Q9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1480</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q8b.

IF R SAYS U.S. CRIME RATE HAS GOTTEN WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMewhat WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1531</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000834 Q8x. Summary - U.S. crime rate since 1992
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q8x.

Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same? (Would you say) much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: U.S. CRIME RATE SINCE 1992

Built from Q8 and Q8a/b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V000835  Q9. Clinton made crime rate better/worse
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Q9.

Would you say that Clinton administration has made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO Q9b
5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO Q10
8. DK --> SKIP TO Q10
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q9a.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q10
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q10
8. DK --> SKIP TO Q10
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1573</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q9b.

V000837  Q9b. Clinton made crime rate much/smwhat wrse
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric


10/15/2009
Q9b.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1735</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000838  Q9x. Summary - Clinton impact on crime rate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q9x.

Would you say that Clinton administration has made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way? Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON CRIME RATE

Built from Q9 and Q9a/b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Hasn't made much difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>920</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000839  Q10. Moral climate btr/worse since 1992
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q10.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE  --> SKIP TO Q10b
5. THE SAME  --> SKIP TO Q11
8. DK  --> SKIP TO Q11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

0 1 3 5 8 9
----- ----- ----- ----- ----- -----
Count 920  87  470  314  15   1

V000840  Q10a.Moral climate much/smewhat better
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Q10a.

IF R SAYS MORAL CLIMATE IS BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER  --> SKIP TO Q11
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER  --> SKIP TO Q11
8. DK  --> SKIP TO Q11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q10

0 1 5
----- ----- ----- 
Count 1720  28  59

V000841  Q10b.Moral climate much/smewhat worse
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Q10b.

IF R SAYS MORAL CLIMATE IS WORSE SINCE 1992:
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1337</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000842 Q10x. Summary moral climate since 1992
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q10x.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?
(Would you say) much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: U.S. MORAL CLIMATE SINCE 1992

Built from Q10 and Q10a/b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>314</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000843 Q11. Clinton made moral climate btr/worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q11.

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral
climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO Q11b
5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO Q14

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q14
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000844 Q11a.Clinton made moral climate much/swht btr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q11a.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE MORAL CLIMATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q14
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q14

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q14
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1742</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000845 Q11b.Clinton made moral climate much/swht wrse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q11b.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE MORAL CLIMATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?
1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1434</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000846  Q11x. Summary - Clinton impact on moral climate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q11x.

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?
Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON MORAL CLIMATE

Built from Q11 and Q11a/b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Hasn't made much difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, ; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000847  Q14a. Angry- Clinton affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q14a.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:
ANGRY)
Clinton affects were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO -- SKIP to next affect
8. DK -- SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>891</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000848 Q14a1. Angry- how oft Clinton affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q14a1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R ANGRY:

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1190</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000849 Q14b. Hopeful- Clinton affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Q14b.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:
HOPEFUL
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Clinton affects were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000850 Q14b1. Hopeful- how oft Clinton affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q14b1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R HOPEFUL:

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q14b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1338</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q14c.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)

AFRAID

Clinton affects were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>891</th>
<th>232</th>
<th>682</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Q14c1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q14c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1575</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000853    Q14d. Proud- Clinton affect
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Q14d.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
PROUD

Clinton affects were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000854    Q14d1. Proud- how oft Clinton affect
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Q14d1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?
1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Q14d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1440</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000855 Q15a/Q15a.T. Clinton trait-moral
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q15a/Q15a.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

TELEPHONE:
In your opinion, does the phrase he (is)'<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

MORAL

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Clinton traits were asked in random order.
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1 EXTREMELY WELL
2 QUITE WELL  
3 NOT TOO WELL  
4 NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000856   Q15b/Q15b.T. Clinton trait-really cares
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q15b/Q15b.T.

**FTP:**
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

**TELEPHONE:**
In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

**REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU**

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Clinton traits were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1 EXTREMELY WELL
2 QUITE WELL
3 NOT TOO WELL
4 NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V000857   Q15c/Q15c.T. Clinton trait-knowledgeable
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Q15c/Q15c.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

TELEPHONE:
In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

KNOWLEDGEABLE
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Clinton traits were asked in random order.
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1 EXTREMELY WELL
2 QUITE WELL
3 NOT TOO WELL
4 NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000858 Q15d/Q15d.T. Clinton trait-strong leader
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Q15d/Q15d.T.

FTP: Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase "he is <trait>?" describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

TELEPHONE: In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Clinton traits were asked in random order.
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1 EXTREMELY WELL
2 QUITE WELL
3 NOT TOO WELL
4 NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000859 Q15e/Q15e.T. Clinton trait-dishonest
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q15e/Q15e.T.

FTP:
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

TELEPHONE:
In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

DISHONEST

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Clinton traits were asked in random order.
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1 EXTREMELY WELL
2 QUITE WELL
3 NOT TOO WELL
4 NOT WELL AT ALL
V000860  Q15f/Q15f.T. Clinton trait-intelligent
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Q15f/Q15f.T.

FTP:
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or
not well at all?])

TELEPHONE:
In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>'
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
(What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or
not well at all?])

INTELLIGENT

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Clinton traits were asked in random order.
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the
retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects
and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected
for the retrospective battery in the Pre election
instrument they were assigned administration in the
Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1 EXTREMELY WELL
2 QUITE WELL
3 NOT TOO WELL
4 NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V000861 Q15g/Q15g.T. Clinton trait-out of touch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q15g/Q15g.T.

FTP:
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

TELEPHONE:
In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Clinton traits were asked in random order.
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1 EXTREMELY WELL
2 QUITE WELL
3 NOT TOO WELL
4 NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
V000862  R1. How opinionated is R
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

R1.

Some people have opinions about almost everything; other
people have opinions about just some things; and still
other people have very few opinions.
What about you? Would you say you have opinions about
almost everything, about many things, about some things,
or about very few things?

1. ALMOST EVERYTHING
2. MANY THINGS
3. SOME THINGS
4. VERY FEW THINGS
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

V000863  R1a. Fewer or more opinions than avg
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

R1a.

Compared to the average person do you have fewer
opinions about whether things are good or bad, about the
same number of opinions, or more opinions?

1. FEWER OPINIONS
3. ABOUT THE SAME NUMBER OF OPINIONS
5. MORE OPINIONS
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>969</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000864  R1a1. How much more opinions than avg
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

R1a1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE HAS MORE OPINIONS THAN THE AVERAGE PERSON:

Would you say that you have a lot more opinions or just somewhat more opinions?

1. A LOT MORE OPINIONS  --> SKIP TO R2
5. SOMEWHAT MORE OPINIONS  --> SKIP TO R2
8. DK  --> SKIP TO R2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in R1a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1249</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000865  R1a2. More much fewer opinions than avg
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

R1a2.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE HAS FEWER OPINIONS THAN THE AVERAGE PERSON:

Would you say that you have a lot fewer opinions or just somewhat fewer opinions?

1. A LOT FEWER OPINIONS
5. SOMEWHAT FEWER OPINIONS
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in R1a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1563</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rlx. Summary degree R opinionated
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Compared to the average person do you have fewer opinions about whether things are good or bad, about the same number of opinions, or more opinions? Would you say that you have a lot more/fewer opinions or just somewhat more/fewer opinions?

SUMMARY: DEGREE R OPINIONATED
-----------------------------------------------

Built from R1a and R1a1/R1a2.

1. A lot fewer
2. Somewhat fewer
3. About the same
4. Somewhat more
5. A lot more

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>303</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R2. Does R like respnsbty for thinking
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Some people like to have responsibility for handling situations that require a lot of thinking, and other people don't like to have responsibility for situations like that. What about you? Do you like having responsibility for handling situations that require a lot of thinking, do you dislike it, or do you neither like it nor dislike it?

-----------------------------------------------

1. LIKE
3. DISLIKE --> SKIP TO R2b
5. NEITHER LIKE OR DISLIKE --> SKIP TO R3

8. DK --> SKIP TO R3
9. RF
0. NA
V000868  R2a. How much like respnbty for thinking
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

R2a.

IF R SAYS R LIKES SITUATIONS REQUIRING A LOT OF THINKING:

Do you like it a lot or just somewhat?

1. A LOT        --> SKIP TO R3
5. SOMEWHAT     --> SKIP TO R3
8. DK           --> SKIP TO R3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in R2

Count  739  707  360  1

V000869  R2b. How much dislike rsbty for thinking
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

R2b.

IF R SAYS R DISLIKES SITUATIONS REQUIRING A LOT OF THINKING:

Do you dislike it a lot or just somewhat?

1. DISLIKE IT A LOT
5. DISLIKE IT SOMEWHAT
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in R2

Count  1564  74  169

V000870  R2x. Summary like/dislike thinking
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

R2x.
What about you? Do you like having responsibility for handling situations that require a lot of thinking, do you dislike it, or do you neither like it nor dislike it? Do you like/dislike it a lot or just somewhat?

SUMMARY: R LIKE/DISLIKE FOR INTENSIVE THOUGHT
---------------------------------------------------------------

Built from R2 and R2a/b.

1. Like it a lot
2. Like it somewhat
3. Neither like it nor dislike it
4. Dislike it somewhat
5. Dislike it a lot
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>485</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R3.

Some people prefer to solve simple problems instead of complex ones, whereas other people prefer to solve more complex problems.

Which type of problem do you prefer to solve: simple or complex?

---------------------------------------------------------------

1. SIMPLE
5. COMPLEX
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>872</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S1. Is religion important to R

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
S1.

Do you consider religion to be an important part of your life, or not?

1. IMPORTANT
5. NOT IMPORTANT --> SKIP TO S3
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO S3
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S2.

IF R SAYS THAT RELIGION IS IMPORTANT:

Would you say your religion provides some guidance in your day-to-day living, quite a bit of guidance, or a great deal of guidance in your day-to-day life?

1. SOME
3. QUITE A BIT
5. A GREAT DEAL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in S1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S3/S3.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 15 of the booklet.
People practice their religion in different ways. Outside of attending religious services, do you pray several times a day, once a day, a few times a week, once a week or less or never?

TELEPHONE:
People practice their religion in different ways. Outside of attending religious services, do you pray several times a day, once a day, a few times a week, once a week or less or never?

1. SEVERAL TIMES A DAY
2. ONCE A DAY
3. A FEW TIMES A WEEK
4. ONCE A WEEK OR LESS
5. NEVER
6. OTHER (SPECIFY)
7. DK
8. RF
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000875  S4/S4.T. How often does R read the bible
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

S4/S4.T.

FTP:
Still looking at page 15 of the booklet.

TELEPHONE:
Still looking at page 15 of the booklet.

Outside of attending religious services, do you read the Bible several times a day, once a day, a few times a week, once a week or less or never?
Please look at page 16 of the booklet.
Which of these statements comes closest to describing your feelings about the Bible? You can just give me the number of your choice.

1. THE BIBLE IS THE ACTUAL WORD OF GOD AND IS TO BE TAKEN LITERALLY, WORD FOR WORD.
2. THE BIBLE IS THE WORD OF GOD BUT NOT EVERYTHING IN IT SHOULD BE TAKEN LITERALLY, WORD FOR WORD.
3. THE BIBLE IS A BOOK WRITTEN BY MEN AND IS NOT THE WORD OF GOD.
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

1. THE BIBLE IS THE ACTUAL WORD OF GOD AND IS TO BE TAKEN LITERALLY, WORD FOR WORD.
2. THE BIBLE IS THE WORD OF GOD BUT NOT EVERYTHING IN IT SHOULD BE TAKEN LITERALLY, WORD FOR WORD.
3. THE BIBLE IS A BOOK WRITTEN BY MEN AND IS NOT THE WORD OF GOD.
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

Yes --- SKIP TO X2
5. NO  --> SKIP TO X1a
8. DK  --> SKIP TO X1a
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1249</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000878  X1a. Part of a church or denomination
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

X1a.

IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES:

Regardless of whether you now attend any religious services do you ever think of yourself as part of a particular church or denomination?

1. YES  --> X3
5. NO  --> GO TO Y1
8. DK  --> GO TO Y1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,9,0 in X1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1262</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000879  X2. Attend religious services how often
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

X2.

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:

Do you go to religious services every week, almost every week, once or twice a month, a few times a year, or never?

1. EVERY WEEK  --> X2a
2. ALMOST EVERY WEEK  --> X3
3. ONCE OR TWICE A MONTH  --> X3
4. A FEW TIMES A YEAR  --> X3
5. NEVER  --> X3
8. DK  --> X3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in X1
---

**V000880**  
X2a. Attend relig serv > once/week  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

**X2a.**

**IF R SAYS ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES 'EVERY WEEK':**

Would you say you go to religious services once a week or more often than once a week?

---

1. ONCE A WEEK  
2. MORE OFTEN THAN ONCE A WEEK  

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9, 0 in X1; 2-5,8,9 or NA in X2

---

**V000881**  
X3. Attend church checkpoint  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9  
Numeric

**X3.**

**IF R ATTENDS CHURCH: /**  
**IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION:**

CHECKPOINT: CHURCH ATTENDANCE

---

5  1240  554
8
V000882  X3a. Attend protestant/Cath/Jewish/other
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

X3a.

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:

Do you mostly attend a place of worship that is
Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or something else?

1. PROTESTANT   --> X4
2. CATHOLIC     --> X7
3. JEWISH       --> X6a
7. OTHER        --> X4

8. DK           --> SKIP TO Y1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,5,9 in X3

Count     567      543      359       28
-----------    -----    -----    -----    -----
7        8        9
-----------    -----    -----    -----    -----
Count     303        2        5

V000883  X3b. Belong protestant/Cath/Jewish/other
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

X3b.

IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR
DENOMINATION:

Do you consider yourself Protestant, Roman Catholic,
Jewish, or something else?

1. PROTESTANT   --> X4
2. CATHOLIC     --> SKIP TO X7
3. JEWISH       --> SKIP TO X6b
7. OTHER        --> X4

8. DK           --> SKIP TO Y1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9 or NA in X1a; 1,0,9 in X3

Count 1504      123      100       15
-----------    -----    -----    -----    -----
7        8        9
-----------    -----    -----    -----    -----
V000884  X4. Denomination/other specify  
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 95  
Numeric  

X4.  

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER'/  
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR 
DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':  

What church or denomination is that?  
---------------------------------------------------------------------  
1.  BAPTIST  --> SKIP TO X4b  
2.  EPISCOPALIAN/ANGLICAN/CHURCH OF ENGLAND  --> SKIP TO X7  
3.  LUTHERAN  --> SKIP TO X4c  
4.  METHODIST  --> SKIP TO X4d  
5.  JUST PROTESTANT  --> SKIP TO X7  
6.  PRESBYTERIAN  --> SKIP TO X4e  
7.  REFORMED  --> SKIP TO X4f  
8.  BRETHREN  --> SKIP TO X4g  
9.  EVANGELICAL UNITED BRETHREN  --> SKIP TO X7  
10. CHRISTIAN OR JUST CHRISTIAN  --> SKIP TO X4h  
11. CHRISTIAN SCIENTIST  --> SKIP TO X7  
12. CHURCH (or CHURCHES) OF CHRIST  --> SKIP TO X4i  
13. UNITED CHURCH OF CHRIST  --> SKIP TO X7  
14. DISCIPLES OF CHRIST  --> SKIP TO X7  
15. CHURCH OF GOD  --> SKIP TO X4j  
16. ASSEMBLY OF GOD  --> SKIP TO X7  
17. CONGREGATIONALIST  --> SKIP TO X7  
18. HOLINESS  --> SKIP TO X4k  
19. PENTACOSTAL  --> SKIP TO X4k  
20. FRIENDS, QUAKER  --> SKIP TO X7  
21. ORTHODOX, e.g. Greek, Russian (SPECIFY);C  --> SKIP TO X7  
22. NON-DENOMINATIONAL - PROTESTANT  --> SKIP TO X7  
23. MORMONS  --> SKIP TO X7  
24. JEHOVAH'S WITNESSES  --> SKIP TO X7  
25. LATTER DAY SAINTS  --> SKIP TO X7  
26. UNITARIAN/UNIVERSALIST  --> SKIP TO X7  
27. BUDDHIST  --> SKIP TO X7  
28. HINDU  --> SKIP TO X7  
29. MUSLIM/ISLAM  --> SKIP TO X7  
30. NATIVE AMERICAN  --> SKIP TO X7  
95. NA  
98. DK  --> SKIP TO X7  
99. RF  
00. INAP, 9,0 in X1; 5,8,9 or NA in X1a; 2,3,8,9 or NA in X3a/b  
90. OTHER (SPECIFY)  --> SKIP TO X4m  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V000885  X4(1). (blanked) denomination other Character

X4(1).

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER'/
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
   OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':
   IF R DENOMINATION IS 'OTHER':

      What church or denomination is that?

   TEXT OF X4 DENOMINATION CODE 90 'OTHER' (BLANKED)
   ********************************************************************

   This variable has been blanked to preserve confidentiality.

V000886  X4a. Baptist group
         MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
         Numeric

X4a.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
   OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
   IF R DENOMINATION IS BAPTIST IN X4:

      With which Baptist group is your church associated?
      Is it the Southern Baptist Convention, the American
      Baptist Churches in the U.S.A., the American Baptist
      Association, an independent Baptist church or some other
      Baptist group?
      ****************************************************************************

      1. SOUTHERN BAPTIST CONVENTION --&gt; X7
      2. AMERICAN BAPTIST CHURCHES IN USA --&gt; X7
      3. AMERICAN BAPTIST ASSOCIATION --&gt; X7
      4. INDEPENDENT BAPTIST --&gt; X7
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) --> X7
8. DK --> X7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,2-99 in X4

0 1 2 3
----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 1500 160 19 18

4 7 8
----- ----- ----- 
Count 49 44 17

=================================
V000887 X4b. Independent Baptist group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

X4b.
IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS BAPTIST IN X4:
IF R'S CHURCH IS INDEPENDENT BAPTIST:

Are you affiliated with any larger Baptist group or is
this strictly a local church?

1. LARGER BAPTIST GROUP [SPECIFY] --> X7
2. LOCAL --> X7

8. DK --> X7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1-3,7,8,9,0 in X4a

0 1 2
----- ----- ----- 
Count 1758 3 46

=================================
V000888 X4c. Lutheran group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

X4c.
IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS LUTHERAN IN X4:

Is this church part of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in
American, the Missouri Synod, or some other Lutheran
group?

------------------------------------------
1. EVANGELICAL LUTHERAN CHURCH --> X7
2. MISSOURI SYNOD --> X7
7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7
8. DK --> X7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,1,2,4-99 in X4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1706</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000889 X4d. Methodist group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

X4d.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS METHODIST IN X4:

Is your church part of the United Methodist Church,
African Methodist Episcopal, or some other Methodist

| 1. UNITED METHODIST CHURCH --> X7 |
| 2. AFRICAN METHODIST EPISCOPAL --> X7 |
| 7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7 |

8. DK --> X7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,1-3,5-99 in X4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1696</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000890 X4e. Presbyterian group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

X4e.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS PRESBYTERIAN IN X4:

Is this the Presbyterian Church in the USA or some other
Presbyterian group?

1. PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH USA (FORMERLY UNITED PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH) --> X7
7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7
8. DK --> X7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,1-5,7-99 in X4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1732</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000891 X4f. Reformed group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

X4f.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS REFORMED IN X4:

Is this the Christian Reformed Church, the Reformed Church in America or some Other Reformed group?

| 1. CHRISTIAN REFORMED CHURCH --> X7 |
| 2. THE REFORMED CHURCH IN AMERICA --> X7 |
| 7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7 |
| 8. DK --> X7 |
| 9. RF |
| 0. NA; INAP, 0,1-6,8-99 in X4 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1805</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000892 X4g. Brethren group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

X4g.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS BRETHREN IN X4:

Is this the church of the Brethren, the Plymouth Brethren, or what?

| 1. CHURCH OF THE BRETHREN --> X7 |
| 2. THE PLYMOUTH BRETHREN --> X7 |
7. OTHER [SPECIFY]  --> X7
8. DK  --> X7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,1-7,9-99 in X4

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count 1806 1

=================================
V000893  X4h. Christian group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

X4h.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R SAYS DENOMINATION IS 'CHRISTIAN' IN X4:

When you say "Christian" does that mean the denomination
called the "Christian Church Disciples of Christ," or
some other Christian denomination, or do you mean to say
"I am just a Christian"?

=================================================================
1. DISCIPLES OF CHRIST  --> X7
2. I AM JUST A CHRISTIAN  --> X7
7. OTHER [SPECIFY]  --> X7
8. DK  --> X7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,1-9,11-99 in X4

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count 1744 12 37 12 2

=================================
V000894  X4i. Church of Christ group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

X4i.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS CHURCH OF CHRIST IN X4:

Is this the Church of Christ or the United Church of Christ?

=================================================================
1. CHURCH OF CHRIST  --> X7
2. UNITED CHURCH OF CHRIST  --> X7
8. DK --> X7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,1-11,13-99 in X4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1790</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000895 X4j. Church of God group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

X4j.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS CHURCH OF GOD IN X4:

Is this the Church of God of Anderson, Indiana; the
Church of God of Cleveland, Tennessee; the Church of God
in Christ; or some other Church of God?

1. ANDERSON, INDIANA --> X7
2. CLEVELAND, TENNESSEE --> X7
3. CHURCH OF GOD IN CHRIST --> X7
7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7
8. DK --> X7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,1-14,16-99 in X4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1795</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000896 X4k. (blanked) holiness/pentacostal
Character

X4k.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS HOLINESS OR PENTACOSTAL IN X4:

What kind of church is that? What is it called exactly?
Is that part of a larger church or denomination?
What is the church called?

HOLINESS OR PENTACOSTAL TEXT (18 and 19 in X4)

[THESE QUESTIONS ARE PROBES. USE THESE AND OTHERS TO GET]
AS MUCH SPECIFIC INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE]
This field has been blanked. The open-ended responses are
hand-coded into the religion summary variable.

-------------------------------
V000897  X4a-j. (blanked) other
Character

X4a-j.

IF R'S DENOMINATION SPECIFIES 'OTHER' IN X4a-X4k:

TEXT OF OTHER (SPECIFY) IN DENOMINATIONAL FOLLOWUPS:

This field has been blanked. The open-ended responses are
hand-coded into the religion summary variable.

-------------------------------
V000898  X4m. (blanked) other group/denomination
Character

X4m.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION 'OTHER' IN X4:

What is it called exactly? Is that church part of a
denomination?

[USE ANY OR ALL AS NECESSARY; GET AS MUCH DETAIL AS POSSIBLE]
This field has been blanked. The open-ended responses are
hand coded into the religion summary variable.

-------------------------------
V000899  X4m1. Is other group christian
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

X4m1.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION 'OTHER' IN X4:

Is that group Christian?

1. YES  --> X7
5. NO   --> X7
8. DK   --> X7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,1-30,95-99 in X4

0 1 5 8
V000900  X6a. Attend Jewish group  
  MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8  
  Numeric  

X6a.  

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES AND IS JEWISH IN X3a:  

Do you usually attend a synagogue or temple that is Orthodox, Conservative, Reform or what?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>--&gt; X7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. ORTHODOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. CONSERVATIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. REFORM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. OTHER [SPECIFY]</td>
<td>--&gt; X7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. DK --> X7  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,7,8,9,0 in X3a  

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count 1779</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000901  X6b. Jewish denomination  
  MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8  
  Numeric  

X6b.  

IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF JEWISH IN X3b:  

Do you consider yourself Orthodox, Conservative, Reform, or what?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>--&gt; X7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. ORTHODOX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. CONSERVATIVE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. REFORM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. OTHER [SPECIFY]</td>
<td>--&gt; X7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. DK --> X7  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,7,8,9,0 in X3b  

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count 1792</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V000902    X7. Member place of worship
         MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
         Numeric

X7.

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES/
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF
CHURCH OR DENOMINATION:

Are you officially a member of a parish, congregation,
temple or other place of worship?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES        --> X8 or Y1
5. NO          --> X8 or Y1
8. DK          --> X8 or Y1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,9 in X1; 5,8,9 or NA in X1a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================================================
V000903    X8. Born-again christian
         MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
         Numeric

X8.

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES/
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF
A CHURCH OR DENOMINATION:
IF R IDENTIFIES A CHRISTIAN RELIGION IN X3a, X3b, X4 OR X4m1:

Would you call yourself a born-again Christian, that is,
have you personally had a conversion experience related
to Jesus Christ?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,9 in X1; 5,8,9 or NA in X1a; 3,8,9 or NA in X3a/b;
     5,8,9 or NA in X4m1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>865</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================================================
V000904    X9x. Religion summary
         MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 995
         Numeric
Do you mostly attend a place of worship that is Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or something else /
Do you consider yourself Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or something else?
JEWISH:
Do you usually attend a synagogue or temple that is Orthodox, Conservative, Reform or what/
Do you consider yourself Orthodox, Conservative, Reform, or what?
PROTESTANT OR SOMETHING ELSE:
What church or denomination is that?
IF BAPTIST: With which Baptist group is your church associated? Is it the Southern Baptist Convention, the American Baptist Churches in the U.S.A., the American Baptist Association, an independent Baptist church or some other Baptist group? (INDEPENDENT BAPTIST:) Are you affiliated with any larger Baptist group or is this strictly a local church?
IF LUTHERAN: Is this church part of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America, the Missouri Synod, or some other Lutheran group?
IF METHODIST: Is your church part of the United Methodist Church, African Methodist Episcopal, or some other Methodist group?
IF PRESBYTERIAN: Is this the Presbyterian Church in the USA or some other Presbyterian group?
IF REFORMED: Is this the Christian Reformed Church, the Reformed Church in America or some other Reformed group?
IF BRETHREN: Is this the church of the Brethren, the Plymouth Brethren, or what?
IF 'CHRISTIAN': When you say "Christian" does that mean the denomination called the "Christian Church Disciples of Christ" or some other Christian denomination, or do you mean to say "I am just a Christian"?
IF CHURCH OF CHRIST: Is this the Church of Christ or the United Church of Christ?
IF CHURCH OF GOD: Is this the Church of God of Anderson, Indiana; the Church of God of Cleveland, Tennessee; the Church of God in Christ; or some other Church of God?
IF PENTECOSTAL OR HOLINESS: What kind of church is that? What is it called exactly? Is that part of a larger church or denomination? What is that church called?
IF OTHER: What is it called exactly? Is that church part of a denomination?
IF OTHER: Is that group Christian?

RELIGION SUMMARY

This variable summarizes the information gathered in questions X3a/b, X4, X4a-m, X4m1, X6a/b. Information collected in the open-ended responses to the religion section component questions have been hand coded into this
summary variable. NOTE: in 1 case, an R whose initial religious
group was identified as Protestant was discovered, upon further
probing, to be Roman Catholic.

Codes 010-990 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, no religious identification or preference (and R does
not attend religious services)

=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*=*>
What is the month, day and year of your birth?

YEAR

9998. DK
9999. RF
0000. NA

V000908 Ylx. Respondent age
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

What is the month, day and year of your birth?

SUMMARY - RESPONDENT AGE

Age was calculated by subtracting the year of birth from 2000. For cases where R refused to give year of birth or year of birth was NA in the survey variable, a check was made of Household listing information: if age of R was included in the Household listing, it was included here from the Household listing.

Codes 17-96 and:
97. 97 and older

00. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>47</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

V000909  Y2. Marital status
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Y2.

Are you married now and living with your (husband/wife) -- or are you widowed, divorced, separated, or have you never married?

Note: in cases 8,49,77,157,377,535,580,814,1087,1117,1202,1212,
1381,1639,1731 the partner/spouse identified in V000909 (marital status) was not a resident of the HU or was residing elsewhere on temporary basis. In cases 1281,1516,46,312,133,23,222 there is no information about spouse/partner.

1. MARRIED
2. WIDOWED
3. DIVORCED
4. SEPARATED
5. NEVER MARRIED
6. PARTNERED, NOT MARRIED [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
V000910  Y3. Highest grade completed
MD1: EQ 98, MD2: GE 99
Numeric

Y3.

What is the highest grade of school or year of college you have completed?

---

00-12 YEARS
13-16 YEARS --> SKIP TO Y3b
17.  17+ YEARS --> SKIP TO Y3b

98. DK
99. RF; NA

---

V000911  Y3a. Diploma/GED
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y3a.

IF HIGHEST GRADE OF EDUCATION IS 0-12 YEARS OR DK:

Did you get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test?

---

1. YES --> SKIP TO Y4 IF MARRIED/PARTNERED, IF NOT SKIP TO Y5
5. NO --> SKIP TO Y4 IF MARRIED/PARTNERED, IF NOT SKIP TO Y5
8. DK --> SKIP TO Y4 IF MARRIED/PARTNERED, IF NOT SKIP TO Y5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 13-16 or NA in Y3

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>935</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

| Count | 3 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 14 | 12 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 30 | 26 | 52 | 66 | 483 | 181 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 99 |   | 240 | 106 | 316 | 259 | 9 |
V000912 Y3b. Highest degree earned
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

Y3b.

IF HIGHEST GREADE OF EDUCATION IS 13+ YEARS:

What is the highest degree that you have earned?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
01. BACHELOR'S DEGREE
02. MASTER'S DEGREE
03. PhD, LIT, SCD, DFA, DLIT, DPH, DPHIL, JSC, SJD
04. LLB, JD
05. MD, DDS, DVM, MVSA, DSC, DO
06. JDC, STD, THD
07. ASSOCIATE DEGREE (AA)
96. NO DEGREE EARNED

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 0-12, 99 in Y3

V000913 Y3x. R educ summary
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Y3x.

What is the highest grade of school or year of college you have completed?
Did you get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test?
What is the highest degree that you have earned?

SUMMARY: R EDUCATION

Built from Y3, Y3a, Y3b.

1. 8 grades or less and no diploma or equivalency
   [0-8 in Y3, 5 in Y3a]
2. 9–11 grades, no further schooling (incl. 12 years without diploma or equivalency) [9–12 in Y3, 5 in Y3a]
3. High school diploma or equivalency test [0–12 in Y3, 1 in Y3a]
4. More than 12 years of schooling, no higher degree (13–17 in Y3, 96 in Y3b)
5. Junior or community college level degrees (AA degrees) (07 in Y3b)
6. BA level degrees; 17+ years, no advanced degree (01 in Y3b)
7. Advanced degree, including LLB [13–17 in Y3, 2–6 in Y3b]
8. DK [98 in Y3, 8 in Y3a; 98 in Y3b]
9. NA [99 in Y3; 9 in Y3a; 99 or NA in Y3b]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>377</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>168</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000914 Y4. Highest grade of partner
MD1: EQ 98, MD2: GE 99
Numeric

Y4.

IF MARRIED OR PARTNERED:

What is the highest grade of school or year of college (your husband/your wife/your partner) has completed?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>00–12 YEARS</th>
<th>13–16 YEARS --&gt; SKIP TO Y4b</th>
<th>17. 17+ YEARS --&gt; SKIP TO Y4b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>98. DK</td>
<td>99. RF; NA; INAP, 2–5, 8, 9, 0 in Y2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>98</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>141</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>823</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000915 Y4a. Partner diploma/GED
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Y4a.

IF HIGHEST GRADE OF SPOUSE/PARTNER IS 0-12 YEARS OR DK:

Did (he/she) get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. YES  --> SKIP TO Y5
5. NO   --> SKIP TO Y5
8. DK   --> SKIP TO Y5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 13-17, 99 in Y4

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1421</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000916  Y4b. Partner highest degree
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 98
Numeric

Y4b.

IF HIGHEST GRADE OF SPOUSE/PARTNER EDUCATION IS 13+ YEARS:

What is the highest degree that(he/she) has earned?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

01. BACHELOR'S DEGREE
02. MASTER'S DEGREE
03. PhD, LIT, SCD, DFA, DLIT, DPH, DPHIL, JSC, SJD
04. LLB, JD
05. MD, DDS, DVM, MVSA, DSC, DO
06. JDC, STD, THD
07. ASSOCIATE DEGREE (AA)
96. NO DEGREE EARNED
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 1-12, 98, 99 in Y4

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1209</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000917  Y4x. Sp educ. Summary
MD1:  EQ 8,  MD2:  GE 9
Numeric

Y4x.

What is the highest grade of school or year of college (your husband/your wife/your partner) has completed?
Did (he/she) get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test?
What is the highest degree that (he/she) has earned?

SUMMARY: SPOUSE/PARTNER EDUCATION

Built from Y4, Y4a, Y4b.
Note: 3 cases [561,1017,1719] coded 98 (DK) for number of school years in Y4 and 'no' in Y4a (no high school diploma) are coded 2 here; 3 cases [77,1057,1799] where R indicated 12 years of schooling but DK for Y4a diploma are coded 2.

1. 8 grades or less and no diploma or equivalency [0-8 in Y4, 5 in Y4a]
2. 9-11 grades, no further schooling (incl. 12 years without diploma or equivalency) [9-12 in Y4, 5 in Y4a]
3. High school diploma or equivalency test [0-12 in Y4, 1 in Y4a]
4. More than 12 years of schooling, no higher degree (13-17 in Y4, 96 in Y4b)
5. Junior or community college level degrees (AA degrees) (07 in Y4b)
6. BA level degrees; 17+ years, no advanced degree (01 in Y4b)
7. Advanced degree, including LLB [13-17 in Y4, 2-6 in Y4b]
8. DK [98 in Y4, 8 in Y4a; 98 in Y4b]
9. NA [99 in Y4; 9 in Y4a; 99 or NA in Y4b]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>825</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Y6.

Occupation/employment variables represent 5 series of questions. The question series initially assigned to R in Y6(1) is determined by R's self-identification of employment status. The series occupation data are:
"UNEMPLOYED" - past occupation
"RETIRED" - past occupation
"DISABLED" - past occupation
"HOMEMAKER" (nonworking) - past occupation
"STUDENT" (nonworking) - past occupation
"WORKING NOW" - current occupation
"TEMPORARILY LAID OFF" - current occupation

Note: The final employment status summaries Y6(2)-Y6(3) are built using both R's initial self-identification per Y6(1) and additional information provided by R during questioning.
The retired who are also currently working have data in both the RETIRED and WORKING NOW series; the disabled may have data in both DISABLED and WORKING NOW series. For homemaker and student Rs, the 1st question in the HOMEMAKER/STUDENT series asks whether R is currently working; if so, R is immediately transferred out of that series to the WORKING NOW series (the HOMEMAKER/STUDENT series not completed).

Since many questions are asked across multiple series, data for common questions across series are "stacked" into variables V000979-V000989; for these stacked variables the following data are included:

PAST employment of all retirees [15,50,51 in Y6(1)]
PAST employment of the unemployed [40 in Y6(1)]
PAST employment of nonworking disabled [60 in Y6(1)]
PRESENT employment of working disabled [16,61 in Y6(1)]
PRESENT employment of Rs working now only [10,20 in Y6(1)] or working homemaker/student [17,18,71,81 in Y6(2)]
NO data for nonworking homemakers/students [70,75,80 in Y6(1)]

V000918 Y6(1). Assigned employment status
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Please look at page 17 of the booklet.
We'd like to know if you are working now, temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

ASSIGNED EMPLOYMENT SERIES

Initial series assignment from multiple mentions given by R for his or her own employment status is as follows:
- RET ONLY or (RET and any others) --> retired series
- HM/ST ONLY or (HM/ST and UN/DIS/WN/TLO) --> homemaker/student series
- DIS ONLY or (DIS and UN/WN/TLO) --> disabled series
- WN/TLO ONLY --> working now series

Note that Rs initially assigned per Y6(1) to the RETIRED, DISABLED or HOMEMAKER/STUDENT series are redirected from within those series to the WORKING NOW series if the R was also currently working (both series completed if retired or disabled). For this reason, the WORKING NOW series
appears last in codebook variables:
- V000921-000931 UNEMPLOYED [UN]
- V000932-000944 RETIRED [RET]
- V000945-000956 PERMANENTLY DISABLED [DIS]
- V000957-000967 HOMEMAKER/STUDENT [HM/ST]
- V000968-000978 WORKING NOW/TEMP. LAID OFF [WN/TLO]

1. Assigned WORKING NOW series - working now (Y7-Y7j)
2. Assigned WORKING NOW series - temporarily laid off (Y7-Y7j)
3. Assigned UNEMPLOYED series (Y9-Y10h)
4. Assigned RETIRED series (Y11-Y12j)
5. Assigned PERMANENTLY DISABLED series (Y13-Y14j)
6. Assigned HOMEMAKER series (Y15-Y16j)
7. Assigned STUDENT series (Y15-Y16j)

0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1035</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See notes Y6.

This is a summary which describes R's occupation status as categorized AFTER all information has been collected. Note that case 1263 has been coded 81 rather than 18 although number of hours worked (V000974) was coded as DK; for this case, information in the thumbnail indicated that R worked only a few hours a week.
20. TEMPORARILY LAID OFF
40. UNEMPLOYED
50. RETIRED, no other occupation
51. RETIRED and working now (R volunteers), currently working fewer than 20 hours per week
60. PERMANENTLY DISABLED, not working
61. PERMANENTLY DISABLED and working now, currently working fewer than 20 hours per week
70. HOMEMAKER, no other occupation
71. HOMEMAKER and working now (R volunteers), currently working fewer than 20 hours per week
75. HOMEMAKER and student (R volunteers), no other occupation
80. STUDENT, no other occupation
81. STUDENT and working now (R volunteers), currently working fewer than 20 hours per week
00. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1035</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000920  Y6(3). 1 digit employment status
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Please look at page 17 of the booklet.
We'd like to know if you are working now, temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

EMPLOYMENT STATUS 1 DIGIT SUMMARY
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from Y6(2)

1. R WORKING NOW (working now or working 20 hours or more per week if also retired, permanently disabled, homemaker or student
2. R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF  [20 in Y6(2)]
4. R UNEMPLOYED  [40 in Y6(2)]
5. R RETIRED, not working or working fewer than 20 hours per week  [50,51 in Y6(2)]
6. R PERMANENTLY DISABLED, not working or working fewer than 20 hours per week  [60,61 in Y6(2)]
7. R HOMEMAKER, not working or working fewer than 20 hours per week  [also nonworking Rs who are both homemakers and students--70,71,75 in Y6(2)]
8. R STUDENT, not working or working fewer than 20 hours per week
V000921  Y9. R unemp: ever worked for pay  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric  

Y9.  

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:  

Have you ever done any work for pay?  
---------------------------------------------------------------------  
1. YES  
5. NO --&gt; Y10g  
8. DK --&gt; Y10g  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)  

0 1 5  
----- ----- -----  
Count 1748 58 1  

V000922  Y10a(1). 2-digit occup  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95  
Numeric  

Y10a(1).  

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:  
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:  

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job  
(What was your occupation?)  
What were your most important duties or activities?  

R UNEMPLOYED: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE  
---------------------------------------------------------------------  

See the OCCUPATION master code.  
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of  
R employment section.  
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has  
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect  
respondents’ anonymity.
Codes 1-71 and:

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1749</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000922a   Y10a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked)
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 995
Numeric

Y10a(2).
IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R UNEMPLOYED: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of
R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES Project Staff for
information about a procedure by which it is possible to
gain access to the full 3-digit code values.

Codes 1-905 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

V000923   Y10a(3). 1-digit occup summary
Y10a(3).

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

UNEMPLOYED: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

---------------------------------------------------------------------
Collapsed from Y10a(2).
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of
R employment section.

1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census
categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories
040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census
categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census
categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census
categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census
categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations
(Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census
categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census
categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers
(Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1749</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000924 Y10a(4). Prestige code (blanked)
Y10a(4).

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of
R employment section.
Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings
from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis,
Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith
Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106,
December 1991.
Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve
respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES project staff for
information about a procedure by which it is possible to
gain access to this data.

99.99. 995.998.999 in Y10a(2)
00.00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

=========================================
V000925    Y10b. R unemp: past industry code
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 995
Numeric

Y10b.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of business or industry was that?

R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY
---------------------------------------------------------------------

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of
R employment section.
See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.

Codes 10-932 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

=========================================
V000926    Y10c. R unemp: past self employed
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Y10c.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

   Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or what?
   --------------------------------------------------------------------------------

1. SOMEONE ELSE
3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
5. SELF-EMPLOYED       --> Y10e

8. DK                  --> Y10e
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y9

          0         1         3         5
   ------    ------    ------    ------
   Count    1749       48        4        6

=================================

V000927  Y10d. R unemp: past employed by govt
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Y10d.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
IF WAS NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:

   Were you employed by a federal, state or local government?
   --------------------------------------------------------------------------------

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y10c

          0         1         5
   ------    ------    ------
   Count    1755        5       47

=================================

V000928  Y10e. R unemp: work last 6 months
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Y10e.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
Have you had a job in the last six months?

1. YES
5. NO --> Y10g
8. DK --> Y10g
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y9

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 5 \\
\hline
\text{Count} & 1749 & 28 & 30
\end{array}
\]

V000929  Y10f. R unemp: hrs/wk 6 months
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 996
Numeric

Y10f.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
IF HAS HAD A JOB IN THE LAST 6 MOS:

About how many hours did you work on your job in the average week?

1-168

996. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y10e
998. DK
999. RF
000. NA

V000930  Y10g. R unemp: looking for work now
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Y10g.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:

Are you looking for work at the present time?

1. YES
5. NO --> Y25
8. DK --> Y25
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 5 \\
\hline
\text{Count} & 1748 & 36 & 23
\end{array}
\]
Y10h. R unemp: worry about find job

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Y10h.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:

IF LOOKING FOR WORK AT PRESENT:

How worried are you about not being able to find a job in the near future; a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. A LOT            --> Y25
3. SOMEWHAT         --> Y25
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL  --> Y25

8. DK               --> Y25
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y10g

0        1        3        5
-----    -----    -----    -----  

Count  1771        8       11       17

Y11(1).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

When did you retire?

MONTH

MONTH:
01. JANUARY
02. FEBRUARY
03. MARCH
04. APRIL
05. MAY
06. JUNE
07. JULY
08. AUGUST
09. SEPTEMBER
10. OCTOBER
11. NOVEMBER
12. DECEMBER

96. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1471</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V000933  Y11(2). Retired: year of retirement
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9996
Numeric

Y11(2).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

When did you retire?

YEAR

====================================================================

9996. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)
9998. DK
9999. RF
0000. NA

==============================
V000934  Y12a(1). 2-digit occup retired
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 95
Numeric

Y12a(1).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R RETIRED: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

====================================================================

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of
R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
respondents' anonymity.

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF


10/15/2009
00. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

=======================================
V000934a   Y12a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) retired
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 995
Numeric

Y12a(2).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R RETIRED: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of
R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES Project Staff for
information about a procedure by which it is possible to
gain access to the full 3-digit code values.

Codes 1-905 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

=======================================
V000935    Y12a(3). 1-digit occup summary retired
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 95
Numeric

Y12a(3).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R RETIRED: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

Collapsed from Y10a(2).
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of
R employment section.

1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census
categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories
040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census
categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)

00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1471</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000936 Y12a(4). Prestige code (blanked) retired
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99.99
Numeric

Y12a(4).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of
R employment section.
Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings
from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis,
Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith
Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106,
December 1991.

Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES project staff for information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to this data.

99.99. 995,998,999 in Y12a(2)
00.00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

=================================
V000937  Y12b. R ret: industry code
       MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
       Numeric

Y12b.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

What kind of business or industry was that?

R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of
R employment section.
See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.

Codes 10-932 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

=================================
V000938  Y12c. R ret: self employed
       MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
       Numeric

Y12c.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or what?

1. SOMEONE ELSE
3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
5. SELF-EMPLOYED        --> Y12e
8. DK                    --> Y12e
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th></th>
<th>3</th>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th></th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1471</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If Retired is an Employment Status Category in Y6(1):
If Not Self-Employed Only:

Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or what?

1. Yes
5. No

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y12c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1517</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If Retired is an Employment Status Category in Y6(1):

Have you had a job in the last six months?

1. Yes
5. No --> Y12g
8. DK --> Y12g
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1471</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
About how many hours did you work on your job in the average week?

1-168

996. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y12e
998. DK
999. RF
000. NA

V000942 Y12g. R ret: working for pay
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y12g.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?

1. YES --> SKIP TO Y7 (below)
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1471</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000943 Y12h. R ret: looking for work now
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y12h.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:

Are you looking for work at the present time?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in Y12g

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1507</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Y12j.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R IS LOOKING FOR WORK:

How worried are you about not being able to find a job in the near future -- a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A LOT  --&gt; Y25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SOMEWHAT  --&gt; Y25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>NOT MUCH AT ALL  --&gt; Y25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DK  --&gt; Y25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>RF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y12h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count 1799 8

Y13.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:

Have you ever done any work for pay?

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>NO  --&gt; Y14h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DK  --&gt; Y14h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>RF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA; INAP, 1-4,6,7,0 in Y6(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count 1733 68 6

Y14a(1). 2-digit occup disabled

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count 1799 8

V000944 Y12j. R ret: worry about finding job
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

V000945 Y13. R disabled: ever worked for pay
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

V000946 Y14a(1). 2-digit occup disabled
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
Numeric
IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R DISABLED: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of
R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
respondents' anonymity.

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1-4,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y13

V000946a   Y14a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) disable
            MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 995
            Numeric

Y14a(2).

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R DISABLED: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of
R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES Project Staff for
information about a procedure by which it is possible to
gain access to the full 3-digit code values.

Codes 1-905 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1-4,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y13

V000947    Y14a(3). 1-digit occup summary disabled
            MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 95
            Numeric
Y14a(3).

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R DISABLED: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Collapsed from Y10a(2).
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of
R employment section.

1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census
categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories
040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census
categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census
categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census
categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census
categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations
(Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census
categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census
categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers
(Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1739</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Y14a(4). Prestige code (blanked) disable
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99.99
Numeric

Y14a(4).

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of R employment section.
Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis, Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106, December 1991.
Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES project staff for information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to this data.

99.99. 995,998,999 in Y14a(2)
00.00 INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

Y14b.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of business or industry was that?

R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of R employment section.
See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.

Codes 10-932 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1-4,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y13
Y14c.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or what?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. SOMEONE ELSE
3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
5. SELF-EMPLOYED --> Y14e
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1739</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
V000951   Y14d. R dis: work for govt
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Y14d.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
IF WAS NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:

Were you employed by a federal, state or local government?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y14c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1747</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
V000952   Y14e. R dis: work last 6 months
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Y14e.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

Have you had a job in the last six months?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. YES  --> Y14g
5. NO    --> Y14g
8. DK    --> Y14g
9. RF    ---> Y14g
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y13

Count  1739  6  62

V000953  Y14f. R dis: hours/wk work 6 mths
          MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 996
          Numeric

Y14f.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
IF HAS HAD A JOB IN THE LAST 6 MOS:

About how many hours did you work on your job in the average week?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1-168

996. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y14e
998. DK
999. RF
000. NA

V000954  Y14g. R dis: working for pay now
          MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
          Numeric

Y14g.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
IF HAS HAD A JOB IN THE LAST 6 MOS:

Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: Rs who respond "yes" to this question are also asked the WORKING NOW series for current employment.

1. YES  --> SKIP TO Y7 (below)
5. NO
8. DK
V000955  Y14h. R dis: looking for work now
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Y14h.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R HAS NEVER WORKED FOR PAY/
IF R HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY BUT NOT IN LAST 6 MOS./
IF R HAS WORKED IN LAST 6 MOS. BUT IS NOT WORKING NOW:

Are you looking for work at the present time?

1. YES                      --> Y25
5. NO                      --> Y25
8. DK                      --> Y25
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in Y13; 8,9 or NA in Y14e; 1,8,9 or NA in Y14g

V000956  Y14j. R dis: worry about finding job
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Y14j.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R HAS NEVER WORKED FOR PAY/
IF R HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY BUT NOT IN LAST 6 MOS./
IF R HAS WORKED IN LAST 6 MOS. BUT IS NOT WORKING NOW:
IF LOOKING FOR WORK AT PRESENT:

How worried are you about not being able to find a job
in the near future; a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?

1. A LOT                      --> Y25
3. SOMEWHAT                 --> Y25
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL           --> Y25
8. DK                      --> Y25
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y14h
V000957  Y15. Homemaker/student: work for pay  
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
    Numeric  

Y16.  

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:  

Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?  

1. YES   --> SKIP TO Y7 (below)  
5. NO  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3,4,5,0 in Y6(1)  

Count  1528  138  141  

V000958  Y15a. Hmk/stu: work last 6 mon  
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
    Numeric  

Y16a.  

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED: 
    IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:  

In the last six months, did you do any work for pay?  

1. YES  
5. NO   ---> Y16h  
8. DK   ---> Y16h  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in Y16  

Count  1666  35  106  

V000959  Y16a(1). 2-digit occup hmk/stu  
    MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95  
    Numeric  

Y16a(1).
IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R HOMEMAKER/STUDENT: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE
=====================================================================

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of
R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
respondents' anonymity.

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1,2,3,4,5,0 in Y6(1); 1,8,9 or NA in Y16; 5,8,9 or NA
in Y16a
=====================================================================

V000959a Y16a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) hmk/stu
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 995
Numeric

Y16a(2).

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R HOMEMAKER/STUDENT: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)
=====================================================================

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of
R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES Project Staff for
information about a procedure by which it is possible to
gain access to the full 3-digit code values.

Codes 1-905 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1,2,3,4,5,0 in Y6(1); 1,8,9 or NA in Y16; 5,8,9 or NA
in Y16a
### V000960 Y16a(3). 1-digit occup summary hmk/stu

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95

Numeric

**Y16a(3).**

**IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:**

**IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:**

**IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:**

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job  
(What was your occupation?)

What were your most important duties or activities?

**R HOMEMAKER/STUDENT: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE**

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of R employment section.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collapsed from Y10a(2).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of R employment section.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF

00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1772</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V000961 Y16a(4). Prestige code (blanked) hmk/stu
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2: GE 99.99
Numeric

Y16a(4).

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.: 

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of
R employment section.
Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings
from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis,
Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith
Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106,
December 1991.
Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve
respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES project staff for
information about a procedure by which it is possible to
gain access to this data.

99.99. 995,998,999 in Y16a(2)
00.00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

V000962 Y16b. Hmk/stu: industry code
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2: GE 995
Numeric

Y16b.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.: 

What kind of business or industry was that?

R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY
---------------------------------------------------------------------

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of
R employment section.
See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.

Codes 10-932 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1,2,3,4,5,0 in Y6(1); 1,8,9 or NA in Y16; 5,8,9 or NA in Y16a

==================================
V000963   Y16c. Hmk/stu: self employed
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y16c.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or what?

1. SOMEONE ELSE
3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
5. SELF-EMPLOYED                  --> Y16f
8. DK                              --> Y16f
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y16a

   0        1        5        8
-----    -----    -----    -----  
Count  1772       33        1        1

==================================
V000964   Y16d. Hmk/stu: worked for govt
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y16d.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:
IF R WAS NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:

Were you employed by a federal, state or local government?

1. YES
5. NO

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y16c

   0        1        5
-----    -----    -----  
Count  1774        7       26
Y16f. Hmk/stu: hrs/wk wrk last 6 months
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

About how many hours did you work on your job in the average week?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1-168
996. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y16a
998. DK
999. RF
000. NA

V000966 Y16h. Hmk/stu: looking for work
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:

Are you looking for work at the present time?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO --> Y25
8. DK --> Y25
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in Y16

0 1 5
----- ----- -----
Count 1666 19 122

V000967 Y16j. Hmk/stu: worry about finding job
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R IS LOOKING FOR WORK:

How worried are you about not being able to find a job in the near future -- a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?
1. A LOT --> Y25
3. SOMEWHAT --> Y25
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL --> Y25
8. DK --> Y25
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y16h

0        1        3        5
-----    -----    -----    -----  
Count    1788        8        7        4

V000968 Y7a(1). 2-digit occup R work now
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
Numeric

Y7a(1).

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

What is your main occupation (What sort of work do you do?)
What are your most important activities or duties?

R WORKING NOW/TLO: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect respondents' anonymity.

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 in Y6(1) and not also working
[40, 50, 60, 70, 75, 80 or 0 in Y6(2)]

0        1        2        3        4        5
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----    -----  
Count    579      125       47       34       33        8

6        7        8        9       10       11
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----    -----  
Count      10       50       20       76        4       25

12       13       14       15       16       17
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----    -----  
Count       5       30       16       13        4       24

18       19       20       21       22       23
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----    -----  
Count      71       24       50       1       14        2
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>24</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>26</th>
<th>27</th>
<th>29</th>
<th>30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>58</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=========================================
V000968a   Y7a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) R wk now
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 995
Numeric

Y7a(2).

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

What is your main occupation (What sort of work do you do?)
What are your most important activities or duties?

R WORKING NOW/TLO: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES Project Staff for information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to the full 3-digit code values.
Codes 1-905 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working
   [40,50,60,70,75,80 or 0 in Y6(2)]

V000969  Y7a(3). 1-digit occup summary R work now
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 95
Numeric

Y7a(3).

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

What is your main occupation (What sort of work do you
do?)
What are your most important activities or duties?

R WORKING NOW/TLO: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Collapsed from Y10a(2).
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of
R employment section.

1.  Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census
categories 001-039)
2.  Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories
   040-199)
3.  Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census
categories 200-235)
4.  Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5.  Administrative Support, including clerical (Census
categories 286-389)
6.  Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7.  Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8.  Service except Protective and Household (Census
categories 428-469)
9.  Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census
categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations
    (Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census
categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census
categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers
    (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

V000970  Y7a(4). Prestige code (blanked) R wk now
           MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

Y7a(4).

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

   What is your main occupation (What sort of work do you
do?)
   What are your most important activities or duties?

R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of
R employment section.

Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings
from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis,
Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith
Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106,
December 1991.
Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve
respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES project staff for
information about a procedure by which it is possible to
gain access to this data.

98.00  903,904,905 in Y7a(2)
99.99. 995,998,999 in Y7a(2)
00.00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

V000971  Y7b. Work now/TLO: industry code
           MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
Numeric

Y7b.

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

   What kind of (business/industry) is that?

R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY
---------------------------------------------------------------------
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of R employment section. See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.

Codes 10-932 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working [40,50,60,70,75,80 or 0 in Y6(2)]

===============================================
V000972    Y7c. Work now/TLO: self employed
            MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
            Numeric
Y7c.

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

Do you work for someone else, are you self-employed or what?

===============================================

1. SOMEONE ELSE
3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
5. SELF-EMPLOYED
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>579</td>
<td>1041</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
V000973    Y7d. Work now/TLO: work for govt
            MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
            Numeric
Y7d.

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:
IF NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:

Are you employed by a federal, state or local government?

===============================================

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y7c
V000974  Y7e. Work now/TLO: hours work
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

Y7e.

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

About how many hours do you work on your job in the average week?

1-168

996. INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working
998. DK
999. RF
000. NA

V000975  Y7f. Work now/TLO: work hours right
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y7f.

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:
IF NUMBER OF HOURS WORKED IS NOT DK/REF:

Is that more hours than you want to work, fewer hours than you want to work, or generally about right?

1. MORE
3. FEWER
5. ABOUT RIGHT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0, 996-998 in Y7e

V000976  Y7g. Work now/TLO: worry lose job
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Y7g.

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

How worried are you about losing your job in the near future: a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?

1. A LOT
3. SOMEWHAT
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>995</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000977  Y7h. Work now: out work/layoff last 6mo
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Y7h.

IF WORKING NOW IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

Were you out of work or laid off at any time during the last six months?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2,0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>1102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000978  Y7j. Work now: reduction in hrs/pay
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Y7j.

IF WORKING NOW IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

During the last six months, have you had a reduction in your work hours or had to take a cut in pay at any time
for reasons other than illness or personal choice?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2,0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>1113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000979  Y17(1). Stacked - 2 digit occup
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 95
Numeric

Y17(1).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
IF R IS RETIRED/: 
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

What is/was your main occupation? What sort of work do/did you do?

STACKED - 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

See notes Y6.
Built from Y7a(1), Y10a(1), Y12a(1), Y14a(1).
This variable combines employment data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are omitted).
The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have their current occupation from Y7a coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have past occupation from Y12a coded here rather than current occupation in Y7a. If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

The original 3-digit 1990 US Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect Respondents' anonymity.
See CENSUS OCCUPATION Master Code.

Codes 01-71 and:
95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. Inap, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9 ; 5,8,9,NA in Y13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000979a Y17(1a). Stacked - 3 dig occup (blanked)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 995
Numeric

Y17(1a).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/:
IF R IS RETIRED: /
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

What is/was your main occupation? What sort of work
What are/were your most important activities or duties?

STACKED - 3-DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)

See notes Y6.
Built from Y7a(2), Y10a(2), Y12a(2), Y14a(2).
This variable combines employment data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are omitted).
The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have their current occupation from Y7a coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have past occupation from Y12a coded here rather than current occupation in Y7a. If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

This variable has been blanked to preserve confidentiality.

Codes 1-905 and:
995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. Inap, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9 ; 5,8,9,NA in Y13

V000980 Y17(2). Stacked - 1 digit occup
   MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 95
   Numeric

Y17(2).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
IF R IS RETIRED:/
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

What is/was your main occupation? What sort of work
do/did you do?
What are/were your most important activities or duties?

STACKED - COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

See notes Y6.
Built from Y7a(3), Y10a(3), Y12a(3), Y14a(3).
This variable combines employment data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are omitted).
The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have their current occupation from Y7a coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have past occupation from Y12a coded here rather than current occupation in Y7a. If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.
1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. Inap, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9 ; 5,8,9,NA in Y13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000981  Y17(3). Stacked - occ prestige (blanked)
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 98
Numeric

Y17(3).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :  
IF R IS RETIRED:/  
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /  
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: 

What is/was your main occupation? What sort of work do/did you do?  
What are/were your most important activities or duties?  

STACKED - OCCUPATION PRESTIGE (BLANKED)
See notes Y6.
Built from Y7a(4), Y10a(4), Y12a(4), Y14a(4).
This variable represents employment data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are not used).
The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have their current occupation from Y7a represented here. Retirees who are also currently working have past occupation from Y12a represented here rather than current occupation in Y7a. If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis, Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106, December 1991.
Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve respondents' anonymity.

98.00 903,904,905 in Y7a(2)/Y10a(2)/Y12a(2)/Y14a(2)
99.99 995,998,999 in Y7a(2)/Y10a(2)/Y12a(2)/Y14a(2)
00.00 INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9; 5,8,9,NA in Y13

V000982 Y17(4). Stacked - industry
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 995
Numeric

Y17(4).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
IF R IS RETIRED: /
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

What do/did they make or do where you work/ed?
What kind of a business or industry is/was that?

STACKED - OCCUPATION INDUSTRY

See notes Y6.
Built from Y7b, Y10b, Y12b, Y14b.
This variable combines industry data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for industry of employment in the past 6 months are omitted). The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have industry of their current occupation from Y7b coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have industry of past occupation from Y12b coded here rather than industry of current occupation in Y7b. If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

Codes 10-932 and:
995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9; 5,8,9,NA in Y13

====================================================================
V000983 Y17(5). Stacked - work for self
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y17(5).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/:
IF R IS RETIRED:
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

Do/did you work for someone else, are/were you self-employed, or what?

STACKED - WORK FOR SELF

See notes Y6.
Built from Y7c, Y10c, Y12c, Y14c.
This variable combines data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are omitted).
The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have description of their current occupation from Y7c coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have description of past occupation from Y12c coded here rather than for current occupation in Y7c.
If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

1. SOMEONE ELSE
3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
5. SELF-EMPLOYED
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9; 5,8,9,NA in Y13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>1403</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

====================================================================
V000984 Y17(6). Stacked - employed by govt
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y17(6).

IF R WORKED NOT EXCLUSIVELY FOR SELF and:
IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/:
IF R IS RETIRED:
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: / 
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

Are/were you employed by a federal, state or local 
government?

STACKED - EMPLOYED BY GOVERNMENT
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
See notes Y6.
Built from Y7d, Y10d, Y12d, Y14d.
This variable combines data for all Rs except nonworking 
homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment 
in the past 6 months are omitted).
The disabled and homemakers or students who are also 
currently working have description of their current occupation 
from Y7d coded here. Retirees who are also currently 
working have description of past occupation from Y12d coded 
here rather than for current occupation in Y7d.
If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, 
or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9 ; 5,8,9,NA in Y13; 
5,8,9,NA in Y10c/Y12c/Y14c/Y7c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>1127</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------
V000985   Y17(7). Stacked - hours per week
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 996
Numeric

Y17(7).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
IF R IS RETIRED BUT HAS WORKED IN PAST 6 MONTHS: /
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED BUT HAS WORKED IN PAST 6 MONTHS: /
IF R IS DISABLED BUT HAS WORKED IN PAST 6 MONTHS:

About how many hours do/did you work on your job in the 
average week?

STACKED - HOURS WORKING/WORKED PER WEEK
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
See notes Y6.
Built from Y7e, Y10f, Y12f, Y14f.
This variable combines data for all Rs currently working 
or who have worked within the past six months:
- Employed or temp. laid off [present employment Y7e],
- Unemployed  [employment within past 6 months Y10f],
- Retired   [employment within past 6 months Y12f],
- Permanently disabled [employment within past 6 months Y14f].

Note that homemakers or students without current outside employment are coded 00 [Y16f data are NOT used]. The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have data for their current occupation from Y7e coded here. For retirees, since data for number of hours worked describes recent employment within past 6 months, this may in effect describe pre-retirement employment (i.e. for very recent retirees), current employment (i.e. for working retirees at a post-retirement job that has been held in the last 6 months), or employment that is neither pre-retirement nor a current job but rather an interim position.
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996. INAP, 70,75,80,0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9; 5,8,9,NA in Y13;
5,8,9,NA in Y10e, Y12e or Y14e
998. DK
999. RF
000. NA

V000986 Y17(8). Stacked - worr abt los/find job
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
IF R IS RETIRED AND NOT CURRENTLY WORKING: /
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED:/
IF R IS DISABLED AND NOT CURRENTLY WORKING:

How worried are you about losing your job/ not being able to find a job in the near future:
A LOT, SOMETHING, or NOT MUCH AT ALL?

STACKED - WORRIED ABOUT LOSING/FINDING JOB
---------------------------------------------------------------------
See notes Y6.
Built from Y7g, Y10h, Y12j, Y14j.
This variable combines data for all Rs who are currently:
- Employed or temp. laid off [Y7g], (including working retired and working disabled)
- Unemployed [Y10h],
- Retired and not working [Y12j],
- Permanently disabled and not working [Y14j].
Note that homemakers or students without current outside employment are coded 0 [Y16j data are NOT used].

1. A LOT
3. SOMETHING
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y10g; 5,8,9,NA in Y12h; 5,8,9,NA in Y14h
V000987   Y17(9). Stacked - job in past 6 mos.
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Y17(9).

IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY /
IF R IS RETIRED /
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

Have you had a job in the past 6 months?

STACKED - JOB IN PAST 6 MONTHS

See notes Y6.
Built from Y10e, Y12e, Y14e.
This variable combines data for all Rs who are currently:
- Unemployed  [Y10e],
- Retired [Y12e],
- Permanently disabled, if R has ever worked  [Y14e]
NOTE: For nonworking homemakers and students, data from Y16a
are NOT included.

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; Inap, 0,10,17,18,20,70,71,75,80,81 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9;
      5,8,9,NA in Y13

Count    0        1        3        5        8
       -----    -----    -----    -----    -----    

V000988   Y17(10). Stacked - looking for work
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Y17(10).

IF R IS RETIRED AND NOT CURRENTLY WORKING: /
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED: /
IF R IS DISABLED AND NOT WORKING NOW:

Are you looking for work at the present time?

STACKED - LOOKING FOR WORK

See notes Y6.
Built from Y10g, Y12h, Y14h.
This variable combines data for all Rs who are currently:
- Unemployed [Y10g],
- Retired, if R is not currently working [Y12h],
- Permanently disabled, if R has ever worked but is not currently working [Y14h].

NOTE: For nonworking homemakers and students, data from Y16h are NOT included.

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; Inap, 0,10,17,18,20,70,71,75,80,81 in Y6(2);
1,8,9,NA in Y12g; 1,8,9,NA in Y14g

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1376</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>381</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000989   Y17(11). Stacked - ever work for pay
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Y17(11).

IF R IS UNEMPLOYED: /
IF R IS DISABLED:

Have you ever done any work for pay?

STacked - ever work for pay

See notes Y4.
Built from Y9 and Y13.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1674</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000990   Y25. Anyone in HH belong to union
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Y25.

Do you or anyone else in this household belong to a labor union?
1. YES  
5. NO --> SKIP TO Y26  
8. DK --> SKIP TO Y26  
9. RF  
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1545</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000991a  Y25a1. Who belongs to union #1
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y25a1.

IF SOMEONE IN HOUSEHOLD BELongs TO LABOR UNION:

Who is it that belongs?

MENTION 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1557</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000991b  Y25a2. Who belongs to union #2
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y25a2.

IF SOMEONE IN HOUSEHOLD BELONGS TO LABOR UNION

Who is it that belongs?

MENTION 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1557</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y25; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1789</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000991c  Y25a3. Who belongs to union #3
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y25a3.

IF SOMEONE IN HOUSEHOLD BELONGS TO LABOR UNION

Who is it that belongs?

MENTION 3

1. RESPONDENT
2. R's WIFE OR FEMALE PARTNER
3. R's HUSBAND OR MALE PARTNER
4. SOMEONE ELSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y25; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1806</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000992  Y26. IWR chkpt: # of Persons age 14+
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Y26.

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT:
IS R THE ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER?

Note: This variable was completed by interviewers.
In 67 cases, households corresponding to more than 1 adult were incorrectly coded 1 in this variable; as a result of the effect upon the skip pattern, household income was not asked. For these cases, the following revisions have been made to the original data: V000992 recoded to 5; V000993 [HH income] and V000994 [HH income summary] recoded to 00 NA; V000995 [R income] assigned the value formerly coded in V000996; V000996 recoded to 96 Inap.

1. YES, R IS ONLY HH MEMBER 14+ --> SKIP TO Y28
5. NO, THERE ARE OTHER HH MEMBERS 14+
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>1310</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000993   Y27/Y27.T. HH income - others in HH 14+
          MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
          Numeric

Y27/Y27.T.

IF NO, R IS NOT ONLY MEMBER AGE 14+:

FTP:
Please look at page 18 of the booklet.
Please look at the booklet and tell me the letter of the
income group that includes the income of all
members of your family living here in 1999 before taxes.
This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions,
dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE:
I am going to read you a list of income categories.
Please tell me which category best describes the.
total income of all members of your family living in
your house in 1999 before taxes. This figure
should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends,
interest, and all other income. Please stop me
when I get to your family's income.

[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]

1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN $4,999
2. B. $5,000-$9,999
3. C. $10,000-$14,999
4. D. $15,000-$24,999
5. E. $25,000-$34,999
6. F. $35,000-$49,999
7. G. $50,000-$64,999
8. H. $65,000-$74,999
9. J. $75,000-$84,999
10. K. $85,000-$94,999
11. M. $95,000-$104,999
12. N. $105,000-$114,999
13. P. $115,000-$124,999
14. Q. $125,000-$134,999
15. R. $135,000-$144,999
16. S. $145,000-$154,999
17. T. $155,000-$164,999
18. U. $165,000-$174,999
19. V. $175,000-$184,999
20. W. $185,000-$194,999
21. X. $195,000-$199,999
22. Y. $200,000 and over
96. INAP, 1,9 in Y26
98. DK
99. Z. REFUSED
00. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>105</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000994  Y27x. HH income -all HHs
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 98  
Numeric

Y27x.

IF NO, R IS NOT ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14+ /
IF YES, R IS ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14+:

FTF - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
Please look at page 18 of the booklet.
Please look at the booklet and tell me the letter of the
income group that includes the income of all
members of your family living here in 1999 before taxes.
This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions,
dividends, interest, and all other income.

FTF - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
(RB, page 18) Please tell me the letter of the income
group that includes the income you had in 1999 before
Taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages,
pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
I am going to read you a list of income categories.
Please tell me which category best describes the
total income of all members of your family living in
your house in 1999 before taxes. This figure
should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends,
interest, and all other income. Please stop me
when I get to your family's income.

TELEPHONE - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
I am going to read you a list of all income categories.
Please tell me which category best describes the total
income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your income category.

SUMMARY: HOUSEHOLD INCOME
---------------------------------------------------------------------
[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]
This variables combines data for Respondents from households with other members age 14 and older and data for Respondents who are the only member age 14 and older.

1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN $4,999
2. B. $5,000-$9,999
3. C. $10,000-$14,999
4. D. $15,000-$24,999
5. E. $25,000-$34,999
6. F. $35,000-$49,999
7. G. $50,000-$64,999
8. H. $65,000-$74,999
9. J. $75,000-$84,999
10. K. $85,000-$94,999
11. M. $95,000-$104,999
12. N. $105,000-$114,999
13. P. $115,000-$124,999
14. Q. $125,000-$134,999
15. R. $135,000-$144,999
16. S. $145,000-$154,999
17. T. $155,000-$164,999
18. U. $165,000-$174,999
19. V. $175,000-$184,999
20. W. $185,000-$194,999
21. X. $195,000-$199,999
22. Y. $200,000 and over

98. DK
99. Z. REFUSED
00. NA (9 in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V000995     Y27a/Y27a.T. R income - others in HH 14+
            MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 96
            Numeric

Y27a/Y27a.T.

IF NO, R IS NOT ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14+:

FTF:
(RB, still on page 18) Now we are interested in the
income that you yourself received in 1999, not
including any of the income received by (your spouse and
the rest of your family). Please look at this page and
tell me the income you yourself had in 1999 before
taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages,
pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE:
Now we are interested in the income that you yourself
received in 1999, not including any of the income
received by (your spouse and) the rest of your family.
Please tell me which category best describes the income
you yourself had in 1999 before taxes. This figure
should include salaries wages, pensions, dividends,
interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I
get to your income category.

[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]

1.   A. NONE OR LESS THAN $4,999
2.   B. $5,000-$9,999
3.   C. $10,000-$14,999
4.   D. $15,000-$24,999
5.   E. $25,000-$34,999
6.   F. $35,000-$49,999
7.   G. $50,000-$64,999
8.   H. $65,000-$74,999
9.   J. $75,000-$84,999
10.  K. $85,000-$94,999
11.  M. $95,000-$104,999
12.  N. $105,000-$114,999
13.  P. $115,000-$124,999
14.  Q. $125,000-$134,999
15.  R. $135,000-$144,999
16.  S. $145,000-$154,999
17.  T. $155,000-$164,999
18.  U. $165,000-$174,999
19.  V. $175,000-$184,999
20.  W. $185,000-$194,999
21.  X. $195,000-$199,999
22.  Y. $200,000 and over

96.   INAP, 1,9 in Y26
98.   DK
99.   Z. REFUSED
00.   NA
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000996 Y28/Y28.T. R income - only HH member 14+
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Y28/Y28a.T.

IF YES, R IS ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14+:

FTF:
(RB, page 18) Please tell me the letter of the income group that includes the income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE:
I am going to read you a list of all income categories. Please tell me which category best describes the total income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your income category.

[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]
18. U. $165,000-$174,999
19. V. $175,000-$184,999
20. W. $185,000-$194,999
21. X. $195,000-$199,999
22. Y. $200,000 and over

96. INAP, 5, 9 in Y26
98. DK
99. Z. REFUSED
00. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1316</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V000997 Y28x. R income -all HHs
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

Y28x.

IF NO, R IS NOT ONLY MEMBER AGE 14+ /
IF YES, R IS ONLY MEMBER AGE 14+:

FTF - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
(RB, still on page 18) Now we are interested in the income that you yourself received in 1999, not including any of the income received by (your spouse and the rest of your family). Please look at this page and tell me the income you yourself had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

FTF - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
(RB, page 18) Please tell me the letter of the income group that includes the income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
Now we are interested in the income that you yourself received in 1999, not including any of the income received by (your spouse and) the rest of your family. Please tell me which category best describes the income you yourself had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I
get to your income category.

TELEPHONE - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
I am going to read you a list of all income categories.
Please tell me which category best describes the total
income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should
include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest,
and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your
income category.

SUMMARY: R INCOME
---------------------------------------------------------------------
[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]

1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN $4,999
2. B. $5,000-$9,999
3. C. $10,000-$14,999
4. D. $15,000-$24,999
5. E. $25,000-$34,999
6. F. $35,000-$49,999
7. G. $50,000-$64,999
8. H. $65,000-$74,999
9. J. $75,000-$84,999
10. K. $85,000-$94,999
11. M. $95,000-$104,999
12. N. $105,000-$114,999
13. P. $115,000-$124,999
14. Q. $125,000-$134,999
15. R. $135,000-$144,999
16. S. $145,000-$154,999
17. T. $155,000-$164,999
18. U. $165,000-$174,999
19. V. $175,000-$184,999
20. W. $185,000-$194,999
21. X. $195,000-$199,999
22. Y. $200,000 and over

98. DK
99. Z. REFUSED
00. NA (9 in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>156</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V000998 Y29. Ever think of self as wrk/mid class
(Not using the booklet)
There's been some talk these days about different social classes. Most people say they belong either to the middle class or the working class. Do you ever think of yourself as belonging in one of these classes?

1. YES
5. NO  --> Y29b
8. DK  --> Y29b
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Y29a.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF MEMBER OF MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS:

Which one?

1. MIDDLE CLASS  --> Y29c
5. WORKING CLASS  --> Y29e
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)  --> Y30
8. DK  --> Y30
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y29

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Y29b.

IF R DOESN'T CONSIDER SELF MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS:

Well, if you had to make a choice, would you call yourself middle class or working class?
1. MIDDLE CLASS -- SKIP TO Y29c
3. LOWER CLASS (VOL) -- SKIP TO Y30
5. WORKING CLASS -- SKIP TO Y29e
6. UPPER CLASS (VOL) -- SKIP TO Y30
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) -- SKIP TO Y30
8. DK -- SKIP TO Y30
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y29; 1,5 or NA in Y29a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1219</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001001 Y29c. Middle class- avg or upper
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y29c.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF MIDDLE CLASS:

Would you say that you are about average middle class or that you are in the upper part of the middle class?

1. AVERAGE MIDDLE
5. UPPER MIDDLE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y29; 1,5 or NA in Y29a; 5,7,8,9, NA in Y29b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001002 Y29d. Middle class - feel close to class
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y29d.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF MIDDLE CLASS:

Would you say you feel pretty close to middle class people, or that you don't feel much closer to them than to people in other classes?

1. CLOSE -- SKIP TO Y30
5. NOT CLOSE -- SKIP TO Y30
8. DK -- SKIP TO Y30
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y29; 5 or NA in Y29a; 5,7,8,9, NA in Y29b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001003  Y29e. Working class - avg or upper
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y29e.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF WORKING CLASS:

Would you say that you are about average working class or that you are in the upper part of the working class?

1. AVERAGE WORKING
5. UPPER WORKING

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y29; 1 or NA in Y29a; 1,7,8,9, NA in Y29b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001004  Y29f. Working class -feel close to class
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y29f.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF WORKING CLASS:

Would you say you feel pretty close to working class people, or that you don't feel much closer to them than to people in other classes?

1. CLOSE
5. NOT CLOSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y29; 1 or NA in Y29a; 1,7,8,9, NA in Y29b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Y29x. Soc.class summary
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

(Not using the booklet)
There's been some talk these days about different social classes. Most people say they belong either to the middle class or the working class. Do you ever think of yourself as belonging in one of these classes? (IF YES:) Which one? (IF NO:) Well, if you had to make a choice, would you call yourself middle class or working class? (IF MIDDLE CLASS:) Would you say that you are about average middle class or that you are in the upper part of the middle class? (IF WORKING CLASS:) Would you say that you are about average working class or that you are in the upper part of the working class?

SUMMARY: R SOCIAL CLASS
-----------------------------------------------------
Built from Y29, Y29a, Y29b, Y29c, Y29e.

0. Lower class (VOLUNTEERED)
1. Average working class
2. Working class -- NA if average or upper
3. Upper working class
4. Average middle class
5. Middle class -- NA if average or upper
6. Upper middle class
7. Upper class (VOLUNTEERED)
8. Refused to accept idea of class
9. Other, DK, RF, NA in Y29b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>660</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================

V001006a  Y30(1). Racial group #1 self-description
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

Y30(1).
What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?

MENTION 1 - RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP
-------------------------------------

10. BLACK
20. ASIAN  
30. NATIVE AMERICAN  
40. HISPANIC OR LATINO  
50. WHITE  
60. OTHER SPECIFY - MISC.  
75. OTHER SPECIFY - EAST INDIAN  
76. OTHER SPECIFY - PACIFIC ISLANDER  
79. OTHER SPECIFY - MORE THAN 3 MAJOR GROUPS  
80. OTHER SPECIFY - 'AMERICAN'  
90. OTHER SPECIFY - 'NONE'; 'DOESN'T MATTER" ETC.  

98. DK  
99. RF  
00. NA  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>76</th>
<th>79</th>
<th>80</th>
<th>90</th>
<th>98</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>1393</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1393</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001006b Y30(2). Racial group #2 self-description  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric  

Y30(2).  
What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?  
MENTION 2 - RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP  

0. BLACK  
10. BLACK  
20. ASIAN  
30. NATIVE AMERICAN  
40. HISPANIC OR LATINO  
50. WHITE  
60. OTHER SPECIFY - MISC.  
75. OTHER SPECIFY - EAST INDIAN  
76. OTHER SPECIFY - PACIFIC ISLANDER  
79. OTHER SPECIFY - MORE THAN 3 MAJOR GROUPS  
80. OTHER SPECIFY - 'AMERICAN'  
90. OTHER SPECIFY - 'NONE'; 'DOESN'T MATTER" ETC.  

00. NA; INAP, no further mention  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1757</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?

MENTION 3 - RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP

10. BLACK
20. ASIAN
30. NATIVE AMERICAN
40. HISPANIC OR LATINO
50. WHITE
60. OTHER SPECIFY - MISC.
70. JEWISH
75. OTHER SPECIFY - EAST INDIAN
76. OTHER SPECIFY - PACIFIC ISLANDER
79. OTHER SPECIFY - MORE THAN 3 MAJOR GROUPS
80. OTHER SPECIFY - 'AMERICAN'
90. OTHER SPECIFY - 'NONE'; 'DOESN'T MATTER' ETC.
00. NA; INAP, no further mention

0. 20. 30. 40.
----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 1799 2 1 2

60. 76. 80.
----- ----- ----- 
Count 1 1 1

Were both of your parents born in this country?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

0. 1. 5. 8. 9.
----- ----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 6 1528 269 3 1
In addition to being American, what do you consider your main ethnic group or nationality group?

MENTION 1 - ETHNIC OR NATIONALITY GROUP

See ETHNICITY master code.

Codes 1-89, 91-94 and:

90. None
96. 'American'
97. Other group; combinations not codeable elsewhere

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001009  Y30b(2). Ethnic/nationality group #2
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Y30b(2).

In addition to being American, what do you consider your main ethnic group or nationality group?

MENTION 2 - ETHNIC OR NATIONALITY GROUP

See ETHNICITY master code.

Codes 1-89, 91-94 and:

90. None
96. 'American'
97. Other group; combinations not codeable elsewhere

00. NA; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1411</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>88</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

```
V001010  Y30bx. IWR ckpt: >2 ethnic mentions?
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric
Y30bx1.

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: NUMBER OF NATIONALITY OR ETHNIC GROUPS
__________________________________________________________

Respondents who have identified more than one group
are asked the 'choice' question Y30c.

0. NO NATIONALITY/GROUP MENTIONED
1. ONE NATIONALITY OR ETHNIC GROUP MENTIONED
2. 2 OR MORE NATIONALITY/GROUPS MENTIONED
9. RESPONDENT REFUSED OR DK/NA ANSWER TO NATIONALITY OR ETHNICITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>124</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

```
V001010a Y30bx2. Number of ethnic groups mentioned
MD1:  EQ 96,  MD2:  GE 98
Numeric
Y30bx2.

NUMBER OF NATIONALITY OR ETHNIC GROUPS MENTIONED BY R
__________________________________________________________

This is the exact number of mentions given by R

00. No mention (0 in Y30bx1)
01. One mention
02. Two mentions
.
06. Six mentions

96. Ethnicity question NA
98. R responded DK to ethnicity question
99. R refused ethnicity question

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

V001011  Y30c. Choice of ethnic/nationality group  
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 98  
Numeric  

Y30c.  

IF MORE THAN 1 NATIONALITY/ETHNIC GROUP IDENTIFIED:  
With which of these groups do you most closely identify?  

CHOICE - ETHNIC OR NATIONALITY GROUP  
---------------------------------------------------------------------  
See ETHNICITY master code.  
Rs giving only 1 mention to Y22 were not asked this question; their single response to Y22 has been duplicated in this variable.  
Note that this variable was coded according to IWR identification of number of ethnic groups mentioned; in some instances, the IWR counted only 1 mention when in fact more than 1 was given by R.  For such cases, the 'choice' variable (Y30c) was unasked.  

Codes 1-89, 91-94 and:  
90. None; neither  
95. Both/all of them  
96. 'American'  
97. Other group; combinations not codeable elsewhere  
98. DK  
99. RF  
00. NA; 0,9 in Y30bx1  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V001012  Y30x/Y31. Spanish or Hispanic descent
          MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
          Numeric

Y30x/Y31.

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT:
IS SPANISH OR HISPANIC GROUP MENTIONED AS MAIN GROUP?
(IF NO:)
Are you of Spanish or Hispanic origin or descent?

IS R OF SPANISH OR HISPANIC ORIGIN OR DESCENT

The question about Spanish or Hispanic descent was
directed to the respondent at the interviewer's
discretion if the interviewer decided that R had
not already mentioned a Spanish or Hispanic group
in the ethnicity mentions. In a few cases, mentions
coded 4,5,8,12 or 16 in Y30b(1) or Y30b(2) contradict
what has been coded in this checkpoint.
Note that, if R gave more than 2 mentions in Y30, the
code for the specific Spanish or Hispanic group may not
be represented in the 2 variables for Y30.
See also Pre-Csheet.37 and Pre-Csheet.28 (V000068,V000069)
and Post-Csheet.32 and Post-Csheet.33 (V000184d, V000184e).

1. YES
5. NO  --> SKIP TO Z1
8. DK  --> SKIP TO Z1
9. RF
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>1659</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
V001013  Y31a/Y31a.T. Category of Hispanic descent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y31a/Y31a.T.

IF R MENTIONED SPANISH OR HISPANIC GROUP/
IF R SAYS HE/SHE HAS SPANISH OR HISPANIC DESCENT:

FTF:
Please look at page 19 of the booklet and tell me which category best describes your Hispanic origin.

TELEPHONE:
Would you describe your Hispanic origin as Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Latin American, Central American, or Spanish?

[CODE SPANISH OR HISPANIC GROUP W/O ASKING, IF R Y31a MENTIONS ONE OF THE RESPONSE OPTIONS BELOW]

1. MEXICAN
2. PUERTO RICAN
3. CUBAN
4. LATIN AMERICAN
5. CENTRAL AMERICAN
6. SPANISH
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y30x/Y31

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1675</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
V001014  Z1. Where R grew up-ICPSR st/cntry code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 998
Numeric
Z1.

Where was it that you grew up?

[IF UNITED STATES: WHICH STATE OR STATES?]
Use STATE AND COUNTRY codes
101-997 and:

998. DK
999. RF
000. NA

V001015   Z2. FTF- urbanicity where grew up
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9
Numeric

Z2.

FTF:
Looking at page 20 of the booklet.
Looking at this list, please tell me where you were mostly brought up?

For telephone format, see Z2.T/Z2a.T/Z2b.T

1. ON A FARM
2. IN THE COUNTRY, NOT ON A FARM
3. IN A SMALL CITY OR TOWN (UNDER 50,000 PEOPLE)
4. IN A MEDIUM-SIZED CITY (50,000 - 100,000)
5. IN A LARGE CITY (100,000 - 500,000)
6. IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY
7. IN A VERY LARGE CITY (OVER 500,000)
8. IN A SUBURB OF A VERY LARGE CITY

9. DK
0. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>804</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001016   Z2.T. Phone -urbanicity where grew up
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Z2.T.

TELEPHONE:
I am going to read you a list of categories. Please tell me which category best describes where you were mostly brought up?
[READ ANSWER LIST]
For FTF format, see Z2.

1. ON A FARM
3. IN THE COUNTRY, NOT ON A FARM
5. IN A CITY
7. OR, IN A SUBURB OF A CITY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1009</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001017    Z2a. Phone - urbanicity where grew up
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Z2a.T.

IF R BROUGHT UP IN A CITY:

TELEPHONE:
Were you mostly brought up in.

[READ ENTIRE ANSWER LIST]
For FTF format, see Z2.

1. IN A SMALL CITY OR TOWN UNDER 50,000 PEOPLE
3. IN A MEDIUM-SIZED CITY 50,000-100,000
5. IN A LARGE CITY, 100,000-500,000
7. OR, IN A VERY LARGE CITY, OVER 500,000

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,3,7,8,9,0 in Z2.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1508</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001018    Z2b. Phone - urbanicity where grew up
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Z2b.T.
IF R BROUGHT UP IN A SUBURB OF A CITY:

TELEPHONE:
Were you mostly brought up in.

[READ ENTIRE ANSWER LIST]
For FTF format, see Z2.

1. IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY (100,000 TO 500,000 PEOPLE)
5. OR IN A SUBURB OF A VERY LARGE CITY (OVER 500,000 PEOPLE)

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,3,5,8,9,0 in Z2.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1567</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001019  Z2x. Comb. Summary where R grew up
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Z2x.

FTF:
Looking at page 20 of the booklet.
Looking at this list, please tell me where you were mostly brought up?

TELEPHONE:
I am going to read you a list of categories. Please tell me which category best describes where you were mostly brought up?
Were you mostly brought up in.

SUMMARY: SIZE OF PLACE WHERE R GREW UP

Built from Z2 and Z2.T/Z2a.T/Z2b.T.

1. ON A FARM
2. IN THE COUNTRY, NOT ON A FARM
3. IN A SMALL CITY OR TOWN (UNDER 50,000 PEOPLE)
4. IN A MEDIUM-SIZED CITY (50,000 - 100,000)
5. IN A LARGE CITY (100,000 - 500,000)
6. IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY
7. IN A VERY LARGE CITY (OVER 500,000)
8. IN A SUBURB OF A VERY LARGE CITY

9. DK
0. RF; NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>386</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V001020a Z3(1). Mos. -how long lived in community
MD1: EQ 96, MD2: GE 97
Numeric

(Not using the booklet)
How long have you lived in your present (CITY/TOWN/TOWNSHIP/COUNTY)?

MONTHS

0-23 and:

96. ALL MY LIFE
97. NA
98. DK
99. RF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1403</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001020b Z3(2). Yrs. -how long lived in community
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
Numeric

(Not using the booklet)
How long have you lived in your present (CITY/TOWN/TOWNSHIP/COUNTY)?

YEARS

0-89 and:

995. INAP, 96 in Z3(1)
(Not using the booklet)
How long have you lived in your present
(CITY/TOWN/TOWNSHIP/COUNTY)?

SUMMARY: LENGTH OF RESIDENCE IN COMMUNITY

Built from Z3(1) and Z3(2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-11 months</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-23 months</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 years</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 years</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 years</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 years</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 years</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 years</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 years</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 years</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 years</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 years</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 years</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 years</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 years</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 years</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 years</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 years</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 years</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 years</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 years</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 years</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 years</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 years</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 years</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 years</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 years</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 years</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 years</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 years</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 years</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 years</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 years</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 years</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39 years</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 years</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 years</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All my life</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001020c  Z3x. Summ. - how long lived in community
MD1: EQ 96,  MD2: GE 97
Numeric

---

Pa
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### V001021a  Z4(1)/Z4(1).T. Mos.-length resid in home

**MD1:** EQ 96, **MD2:** GE 97

**Numeric**

**Z4(1)/Z4(1).T.**

**FTF:** How long have you lived in this (house/condo/apartment)?

**TELEPHONE:** How long have you lived in your current home?

**MONTHS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-23 and:</td>
<td>1386</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1386</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001021b  Z4(2)/Z4(1).T. Yrs.-length resid in home  
MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 997  
Numeric  
Z4(2)/Z4(2).T.  

FTP:  
How long have you lived in this (house/condo/apartment)?  

TELEPHONE:  
How long have you lived in your current home?  

YEARS  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0-100 and:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>995. INAP, 96 in Z4(1)/Z4(1).T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>997. NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>998. DK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>999. RF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001021c  Z4x. Summ. - length residence in home  
MD1:  EQ 96,  MD2:  GE 97  
Numeric  
Z4x.  

FTP:  
How long have you lived in this (house/condo/apartment)?  

TELEPHONE:  
How long have you lived in your current home?  

SUMMARY: LENGTH OF RESIDENCE IN HOME  

Built from Z4(1)/Z4(1).T and Z4(2)/Z4(2).T.  

<p>| 0-11 months |  |
| 12-23 months | |
| 2 years | |
| 89 years | |
| 96. 'All my life' | |
| 97. NA | |
| 98. DK | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001022  25. Does R family own/rent home
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

25.
(Do you/Does your family) own your home, pay rent, or what?

1. OWN HOUSE
5. PAY RENT
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

0 1 5 7 9
----- ----- ----- ----- -----
Count 6 1205 531 56 9

V001023 Z8. Does R have children
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z8.

These are the last few questions. Often we find that people who have children or commute great distances have less time to participate in politics. How about you? Do you have any children?

(INCLUDE STEP AND ADOPTED CHILDREN)

1. YES
3. YES, STEPCHILDREN/ADOPTED [VOL]
5. NO --> SKIP TO Z9
8. DK --> SKIP TO Z9
9. RF
0. NA

0 1 3 5 9
----- ----- ----- ----- -----
Count 6 1306 19 475 1

V001024 Z8a. How many children R has under 18
MD1: EQ 95, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Z8a.

IF R HAS ANY CHILDREN:

How many children do you have under 18?

0. --> SKIP TO Z9
1-25.

95. NA
96. INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Z8
98. DK
99. RF

0 1 2 3 4 5
# V001025  Z8b. R's children under 18 living w/R
MD1:  EQ 95,  MD2:  GE 96
Numeric

**Z8b.**

**IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:**

How many of them live with you at least half of the time?

---

0.  --> SKIP TO Z9
1-25.

95. NA
96. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a
98. DK
99. RF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1154</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# V001026a  Z8c(1). Mention 1 minor child age
MD1:  EQ 95,  MD2:  GE 98
Numeric

**Z8c(1).**

**IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:**

What are the ages of your children?

**MENTION 1**

---

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a
98. DK
99. RF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1154</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================
V001026b  Z8c(2). Mention 2 minor child age
MD: EQ 95
Numeric

Z8c(2).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 2

========================================

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1429</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================
V001026c  Z8c(3). Mention 3 minor child age
MD: EQ 95
Numeric

Z8c(3).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 3

========================================

1-17
95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1681</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V001026d  Z8c(4). Mention 4 minor child age
MD:  EQ 95
Numeric

Z8c(4).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 4

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1766</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V001026e  Z8c(5). Mention 5 minor child age
MD:  EQ 95
Numeric

Z8c(5).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 5

====================================================================
1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1795</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

======================================
V001026f  Z8c(6). Mention 6 minor child age
MD:  EQ 95
Numeric

Z8c(6).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 6

======================================

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

======================================
V001026g  Z8c(7). Mention 7 minor child age
MD:  EQ 95
Numeric

Z8c(7).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 7

======================================

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

|       | 1 | 5 | 9 | 95 |

V001026h    Z8c(8). Mention 8 minor child age
MD:   EQ 95
Numeric

Z8c(8).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 8

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

10       95

Count       1     1806

V001027    Z9. How many miles R drives per day
MD1:  EQ 998,  MD2:  GE 999
Numeric

Z9.

(And finally), about how many miles do you drive in a
typical day?

0-996

997. 997 and more

998. DK

999. NA

V001028    ZZ0. Education estimate if missed in IW
MD:   EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ0.

IF HIGHEST GRADE AND Y3a (DIPLOMA) ARE BOTH MISSING /
IF HIGHEST GRADE <12 AND Y3a (DIPLOMA) ARE BOTH MISSING:

ALTHOUGH THERE IS NO WAY OF BEING 100% ACCURATE, PLEASE
GIVE YOUR BEST ESTIMATE OF THE RESPONDENT'S PROBABLE
APPROXIMATE EDUCATION LEVEL [IF YOU FIND IT IMPOSSIBLE
TO CHOOSE BETWEEN 2 CHOICES, SELECT 'OTHER' AND
EXPLAIN]

1. LOW - PROBABLY LESS THAN HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA
2. PROBABLY HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA
3. AT LEAST SOME COLLEGE
4. OTHER (SPECIFY)

0. NA; INAP, education data not missing

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1806</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001029  ZZ1. IWR obs: R gender
Numeric

ZZ1.

R's sex is:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>790</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001030  ZZ2. FTF IWR obs: R race
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

ZZ2.

FTF:
R's race is:

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>804</td>
<td>863</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001031a ZZ3(1). FTF: #1 others present during IW
MD1:  EQ 8,  MD2:  GE 9
Numeric

ZZ3(1).
Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 1

0. NONE
1. CHILDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>804</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001031b  ZZ3(2). FTF: #2 others present during IW
MD1:  EQ 8,  MD2:  GE 9
Numeric

ZZ3(2).

Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 2

1. CHILDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1708</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001031c  ZZ3(3). FTF: #3 others present during IW
MD1:  EQ 8,  MD2:  GE 9
Numeric

ZZ3(3).

FTF:
Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 3

1. CHILDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001031d   ZZ3(4). FTF: #4 others present during IW
MD1:  EQ 8,  MD2:  GE 9
Numeric

ZZ3(4).

FTF:
Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 4

1. CHILDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001031e   ZZ3(5). FTF: #5 others present during IW
MD1:  EQ 8,  MD2:  GE 9
Numeric

ZZ3(5).

FTF:
Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 5

1. CHILDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no further mention

9

Count  1807

V001032  ZZ4. IWR obs: R cooperation
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ4.

R's cooperation was:

1. VERY GOOD
2. GOOD
3. FAIR
4. POOR
5. VERY POOR

0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1168</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001033  ZZ5. IWR obs: R informed about politics
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ5.

R's general level of information about politics and public affairs seemed:

1. VERY HIGH
2. FAIRLY HIGH
3. AVERAGE
4. FAIRLY LOW
5. VERY LOW

0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
---

V001034  ZZ6. IWR obs: R intelligence
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ6.

R's apparent intelligence:
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. VERY HIGH
2. FAIRLY HIGH
3. AVERAGE
4. FAIRLY LOW
5. VERY LOW
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

V001035  ZZ7. IWR obs: R suspicious
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ7.

How suspicious did R seem to be about the study before the interview?:
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. NOT AT ALL SUSPICIOUS
2. SOMEWHAT SUSPICIOUS
3. VERY SUSPICIOUS
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1417</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

V001036  ZZ8. IWR obs: R interest in IW
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ8.

Overall, how great was R's interest in the interview?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. VERY HIGH
2. FAIRLY HIGH
3. AVERAGE
4. FAIRLY LOW
5. VERY LOW
0. NA

```
0  1  2  3  4  5
--- --- --- --- --- ---
Count  7  515  604  537  124  20
```

V001037 ZZ9. IWR obs: R sincerity
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ9.

How sincere did R seem in his/her answers?

```
1. COMPLETE SINCERE  --> ZZ10
3. USUALLY SINCERE   --> ZZ9a
5. OFTEN SEEMED TO BE INSINCERE  --> ZZ9a
```

0. NA

```
0  1  3  5
--- --- --- ---
Count  8  1584  185  30
```

V001038 ZZ9a. IWR: obs: insincere in what part (blanked)
Character

ZZ9a.

IF NOT COMPLETELY SINCERE:

Were there any particular parts of the interview for which you doubted R's sincerity?

```
[IF SO NAME THEM BY SECTION OR QUESTION NUMBER]
This variable is blanked.
```

V001039 ZZ10. IWR obs: R report income correctly
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ10.

FTF:
Do you feel R reported income accurately?

```
1. YES, THINK R REPORTED INCOME CORRECTLY
2. NO, THINK R REPORTED INCOME INCORRECTLY
3. NO, THINK R REPORTED INCOME DISHONESTLY
4. R REFUSED INCOME Q's
```
0. NA; INAP, phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001040 ZZ10a. IWR obs: est income -surv inc off
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 97
Numeric

ZZ10a.

IF R DID NOT REPORT INCOME OR IWR SUSPECTS DID NOR REPORT CORRECTLY:

If possible, give a reasonable estimate of what R's family income is (before taxes in 1999).

1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN $4,999
2. B. $5,000-$9,999
3. C. $10,000-$14,999
4. D. $15,000-$24,999
5. E. $25,000-$34,999
6. F. $35,000-$49,999
7. G. $50,000-$64,999
8. H. $65,000-$74,999
9. J. $75,000-$84,999
10. K. $85,000-$94,999
11. M. $95,000-$104,999
12. N. $105,000-$114,999
13. P. $115,000-$124,999
14. Q. $125,000-$134,999
15. R. $135,000-$144,999
16. S. $145,000-$154,999
17. T. $155,000-$164,999
18. U. $165,000-$174,999
19. V. $175,000-$184,999
20. W. $185,000-$194,999
21. X. $195,000-$199,999
22. Y. $200,000 and over

97. DK
98. Y. Impossible to estimate

00. NA; INAP, 1 in ZZ10; phone mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1701</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V001041a  ZZ11(1). Mention 1 – R reaction to IW
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ11(1).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 1

---------------------------------------------------------------------
10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R
   MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD
    EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS
    SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF
    KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF
    POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES,
    OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS
    COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA

    0     10     11     12     13     15
  |-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
Count  | 8   | 25   | 79   | 7    | 11  | 15 |

         |-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
Count  | 20  | 22  | 30  | 31  | 40  | 41 |

         |-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
Count  | 20  | 48  | 78  | 184 | 86  | 5  |

         |-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
Count  | 45  | 50  | 70  | 80  |-----|-----|

         |-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
Count  | 20  | 4   | 1050| 247 |-----|-----|

V001041b  ZZ11(2). Mention 2 – R reaction to IW
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ11(2).
R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 2

---------------------------------------------------------------------

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY QS, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (PTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1432</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================

V001041c ZZ11(3). Mention 3 - R reaction to IW
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ11(3).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 3

---------------------------------------------------------------------

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
00. NA; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1686</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001041d  ZZ11(4). Mention 4 - R reaction to IW
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ11(4).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 4

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES,
OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.

40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>00</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1765</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
V001041e ZZ11(5). Mention 5 - R reaction to IW
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ11(5).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 5

---------------------------------------------------------------------
10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY QS, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; no further mention
0       13       15       31
-----    -----    -----    -----  
Count  1794        1        1        2  
        40       45       70       80  
-----    -----    -----    -----  
Count  4        1        2        2

====================================================================

V001041f  ZZ11(6). Mention 6 - R reaction to IW
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ11(6).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 6

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
00. NA; no further mention

0       15       22       40
-----    -----    -----    -----  
Count  1803        1        1        2

====================================================================

V001041g  ZZ11(7). Mention 7 - R reaction to IW
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ11(7).

R's reaction to interview:
MENTION 8
---------------------------------------------------------------------

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R 
    MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD 
    EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS 
    SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF 
    KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF 
    POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, 
    OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS 
    COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>45</th>
<th>80</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1804</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001041h ZZ11(8). Mention 8 - R reaction to IW
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ11(8).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 8
---------------------------------------------------------------------

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R 
    MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD 
    EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS 
    SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF 
    KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF 
    POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES,
OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; no further mention

0
-----
41
-----

Count 1806 1

=====================================================================
V001041j ZZ11(9). Mention 9 - R reaction to IW
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ11(9).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 9
=====================================================================

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; no further mention

0
-----
45
-----

Count 1806 1

=====================================================================
Pre-Rand.A4/A5.

Order of Gore and Bush in Pre A4-A5 Likes/dislikes

1. Gore first
2. GW Bush first
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>887</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001043 Pre.Rand.Clb. Pos-Gore in therms

Position of Gore in Pre Thermometers
Clb-Cle / Clb.T-Cle.T

Clinton's name was always first.

Codes 2 (2nd name) to 5 (5th name) and:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>428</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Position of GW Bush in Pre Thermometers
Clb-Cle / Clb.T-Cle.T

Clinton's name was always first.

Codes 2 (2nd name) to 5 (5th name) and:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>436</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
---

V001045  Pre.Rand.C1d. Pos-Buchanan in therm
MD:   EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.C1d.

Position of Buchanan in Pre Thermometers
Clb-Cle / Clb.T-Cle.T

Clinton's name was always first.

Codes 2 (2nd name) to 5 (5th name) and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>453</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

V001046  Pre.Rand.C1e. Pos-Nader in thermoms
MD:   EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.C1e.

Position of Nader in Pre Thermometers
Clb-Cle / Clb.T-Cle.T

Clinton's name was always first.

Codes 2 (2nd name) to 5 (5th name) and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>490</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

V001047  Pre.Rand.C1f/g. Pos-Mccain, Bradley ther
MD:   EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.C1f/g.

Position of McCain and Bradley in Pre Thermometers
Clf-Clg / Clf.T-Clg.T

1. McCain 6th name, Bradley 7th name
2. Bradley 6th name, McCain 7th name

---

### Position of Lieberman and Cheney in Pre Thermometers

**C1h-C1j / C1h.T-C1j.T**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Lieberman 8th name, Cheney 9th name</td>
<td>901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Cheney 8th name, Lieberman 9th name</td>
<td>906</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Order of 3 major parties in Pre thermometers

**C2a-C2c**

1. Democratic 1st, Republican 2nd, Reform 3rd
2. Democratic 1st, Reform 2nd, Republican 3rd
3. Republican 1st, Democratic 2nd, Reform 3rd
4. Republican 1st, Reform 2nd, Democratic 3rd
5. Reform 1st, Democratic 2nd, Republican 3rd
6. Reform 1st, Republican 2nd, Democratic 3rd
Order of 2 major parties in Pre 'Likes-Dislikes'
D1-D2/D3-D4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>897</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001051 Pre.Rand.F1-F3. Order-Gore, GWB, Buchn
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F1-F3.

Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Pre Affects series F1,F2,F3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>326</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001052 Pre.Rand.F1a. Pos-angry -Gore affects
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F1.

Pre: Position of Angry among Gore Affects F1a-F1d

Codes 1-4 and:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>473</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001053  Pre.Rand.Fl.b. Pos-hopeful -Gore affects
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Fl.b.

Pre: Position of Hopeful among Gore Affects Fla-Fld
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Codes 1-4 and:
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>421</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001054  Pre.Rand.Fl.c. Pos-afraid -Gore affects
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Fl.c.

Pre: Position of Afraid among Gore Affects Fla-Fld
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Codes 1-4 and:
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>448</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001055  Pre.Rand.Fl.d. Pos-proud -Gore affects
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Fl.d.

Pre: Position of Proud among Gore Affects Fla-Fld
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Codes 1-4 and:
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>465</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
  MD:  EQ 9
  Numeric

Pre-Rand.F2a.

  Pre: Position of Angry among GW Bush Affects F2a-F2d
  *---------------------------------------------------------------------

  Codes 1-4 and:

  9. NA

  1  2  3  4
  ---- ---- ---- ----
  Count 434 442 478 453

  *---------------------------------------------------------------------

V001057  Pre.Rand.F2b. Pos-hopeful -GWB affects
  MD:  EQ 9
  Numeric

Pre-Rand.F2b.

  Pre: Position of Hopeful among GW Bush Affects F2a-F2d
  *---------------------------------------------------------------------

  Codes 1-4 and:

  9. NA

  1  2  3  4
  ---- ---- ---- ----
  Count 436 457 456 458

  *---------------------------------------------------------------------

V001058  Pre.Rand.F2c. Pos-afraid -GWB affects
  MD:  EQ 9
  Numeric

Pre-Rand.F2c.

  Pre: Position of Afraid among GW Bush Affects F2a-F2d
  *---------------------------------------------------------------------

  Codes 1-4 and:

  9. NA

  1  2  3  4
  ---- ---- ---- ----
  Count 454 454 460 439

  *---------------------------------------------------------------------

V001059  Pre.Rand.F2d. Pos-proud -GWB affects
  MD:  EQ 9
  Numeric

Pre-Rand.F2d.

Pre: Position of Proud among GW Bush Affects F2a-F2d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>457</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001060 Pre.Rand.F3a. Pos-angry -Buchnn affects
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F3a.

Pre: Position of Angry among Buchanan Affects F3a-F3d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001061 Pre.Rand.F3b. Pos-hopeful -Buchnn affcts
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F3b.

Pre: Position of Hopeful among Buchanan Affects F3a-F3d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001062 Pre.Rand.F3c. Pos-afraid -Buchnn affcts
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F3c.
Pre: Position of Afraid among Buchanan Affects F3a-F3d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001063 Pre.Rand.F3d. Pos-proud -Buchnn affcts
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F3d.

Pre: Position of Proud among Buchanan Affects F3a-F3d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001064 Pre.Rand.G3-G5. Ord-Gore,GWB,Buch lib/con
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.G3-G5.


Clinton placement was always first.

1. Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Buchanan 4th
2. Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, GW Bush 4th
3. GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd, Buchanan 4th
4. GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, Gore 4th
5. Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd, GW Bush 4th

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1339</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pre-Rand.G8-10.E.


Clinton placement was always first.

1. Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Buchanan 4th
2. Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, GW Bush 4th
3. GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd, Buchanan 4th
4. GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, Gore 4th
5. Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd, GW Bush 4th

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>868</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Pre Traits series K2,K3,K4

1. Gore 1st, GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd
2. Gore 1st, Buchanan 2nd, GW Bush 3rd
3. GW Bush 1st, Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd
4. GW Bush 1st, Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd
5. Buchanan 1st, Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd
6. Buchanan 1st, GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MD:  EQ 9 
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2a.

Pre: Order of Moral among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>244</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MD:  EQ 9 
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2b.

Pre: Order of Really Cares among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001069  Pre.Rand.K2c. Order-knowldg -Gore traits  
MD:  EQ 9 
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2c.

Pre: Order of Knowledgeable among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2d.

Pre: Order of Leadership among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001071 Pre.Rand.K2e. Order-dishon -Gore traits
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2e.

Pre: Order of Dishonest among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>259</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MD: EQ 9

### Pre-Rand.K2f.

**Pre: Order of Intelligent among Gore traits K2a-K2g**

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>249</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5  6  7

| Count | 260 | 244 | 267 |

---

### V001073 Pre.Rand.K2g. Ord-out touch -Gore traits

MD: EQ 9

**Pre-Rand.K2g.**

**Pre: Order of Out of Touch among Gore traits K2a-K2g**

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>259</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5  6  7

| Count | 244 | 238 | 259 |

---


MD: EQ 9

**Pre-Rand.K3a.**

**Pre: Order of Moral among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g**

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>267</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pre-Rand.K3b.

Pre: Order of Really Cares among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>262</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Rand.K3c.

Pre: Order of Knowledgeable among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Rand.K3d.

Pre: Order of Leadership among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g
Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>251</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001078 Pre.Rand.K3e. Order-dishon -GWB traits
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K3e.

Pre: Order of Dishonest among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>266</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K3f.

Pre: Order of Intelligent among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>253</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V001080  Pre.Rand.K3g. Ord-out touch -GWB traits
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K3g.

Pre: Order of Out of Touch among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>240</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>286</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>265</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4a.

Pre: Order of Moral among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>110</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>104</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>1037</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001082  Pre.Rand.K4b. Ord-cares -Buchanan traits
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4b.

Pre: Order of Really Cares among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1037</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001083 Pre.Rand.K4c. Ord-knowl -Buchanan traits
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4c.

Pre: Order of Knowledgeable among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1037</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4d.

Pre: Order of Leadership among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pre-Rand.K4e.

Pre: Order of Dishonest among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>1037</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Rand.K4f.

Pre: Order of Intelligent among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1037</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pre-Rand.K4g.

Pre: Order of Out of Touch among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>1037</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Rand.Llc/Lld.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Services/Spending placements Llc-Lld / Llc-Lld.T

Clinton's name was always first.

1. Gore 2nd name; GW Bush 3rd name
2. GW Bush 2nd name; Gore 3rd name

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>927</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Clinton's name was always first.

1. Democratic party 4th name; Republican party 5th
2. Republican party 4th name; Democratic party 5th
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>927</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001090 Pre.Rand.L2b/L2c. Order-Gore,GWB def sp
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.L2b/L2c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Defense Spending placements L2b-L2c / L2b.T-L2c.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>897</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001091 Pre.Rand.L2d/L2e. Order-parties defse sp
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.L2d/L2e.

Pre: Order of major parties among Defense Spending placements L2d-L2e / L2d.T-L2e.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>897</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001092 Pre.Rand.L4b/L4c. Order-Gore,GWB guarjob
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.L4b/L4c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Guaranteed Jobs placements L4b-L4c / L4b.T-L4c.T

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
9. NA

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>886</td>
<td>921</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Rand.L4d/L4e. Order-parties guar job

Pre: Order of major parties among Guaranteed Jobs placements L4d-L4e / L4d.T-L4e.T

1. Democratic Party 3rd name, Republican Party 4th
2. Republican Party 3rd name, Democratic Party 4th
9. NA

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>886</td>
<td>921</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Rand.L5c/L5d. Ord-Gore,GWB aid blcks

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Aid to Blacks placements L5c-L5d /L5c.T-L5d.T

Clinton's name was always first.
1. Gore 2nd name; GW Bush 3rd name
2. GW Bush 2nd name; Gore 3rd name
9. NA

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>880</td>
<td>927</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pre-Rand.L5e/L5f.

Pre Order of major parties among Aid to Blacks placements L5e-L5f /L5e.T-L5f.T

Clinton's name was always first.

1. Democratic party 4th name; Republican party 5th
2. Republican party 4th name; Democratic party 5th

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>880</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Rand.L7b.

Order of Welfare among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Rand.L7c.

Order of Aids Research among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001098 Pre.Rand.L7d. Order-forgn aid -fed spend
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7d.

Order of Foreign Aid among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001099 Pre.Rand.L7e. Order-food stps -fed spend
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.L7e.

Order of Food Stamps among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pre-Rand.L7f.

Order of Aid to Poor among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Rand.L7g.

Order of Soc Security among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Rand.L7h.

Order of Env Protection among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p
'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\
\hline
\text{Count} & 133 & 154 & 164 & 141 & 143 & 169 \\
8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 \\
\text{Count} & 151 & 147 & 151 & 149 & 161 & 144 \\
\end{array}
\]

======================================================================
V001103 Pre.Rand.L7j. Ord-publ schls -fed spend  
MD: EQ 9  
Numeric  

Pre-Rand.L7j.  

Order of Public Schls among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p  
======================================================================

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\
\hline
\text{Count} & 143 & 144 & 148 & 146 & 142 & 153 \\
8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 \\
\text{Count} & 186 & 162 & 152 & 160 & 141 & 130 \\
\end{array}
\]

======================================================================
V001104 Pre.Rand.L7k. Ord-deal w/crime-fed spend  
MD: EQ 9  
Numeric  

Pre-Rand.L7k.  

Order of Deal w/Crime among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p  
======================================================================

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]
Pre-Rand.L7m.

Order of Child Care among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Rand.L7n.

Order of Border Security among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-Rand.L7p.

Order-aid blacks-fed spend

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


10/15/2009
Numeric
Pre-Rand.L7p.

Order of Aid to Blacks among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

''Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001108 Pre.Rand.M1b/M1c. Ord-Gore-GWB abortion
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Pre-Rand.M1b/M1c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Abortion placements
M1b-M1c / M1b.T-M1c.T

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>921</td>
<td>883</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001109 Pre.Rand.M4b/M4c. Ord-Gore-envir/jobs
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Pre-Rand.M4b/M4c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Environment-Jobs placements M4b-M4c / M4b.T-M4c.T

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Count 920 884 3

V001110 Pre.Rand.M6b/M6c. Ord-Gore-gun restrictn
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Pre-Rand.M6b/M6c.
Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush for Gun restriction placement M6b-M6c

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
9. NA

Count 926 878 3

V001111 Pre.Rand.P1b/P1c. Ord-Gore-women's role
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Pre-Rand.P1b/P1c.
Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Women's Role placements P1b-P1c / P1b.T-P1c.T

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
9. NA

Count 888 916 3

V001112 Pre.Rand.P2b/P2c. Ord-Gore-envir reg
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Pre-Rand.P2b/P2c.
Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Environmental regulation placements P2b-P2c / P2b.T-P2c.T

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>899</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001113  Pre.Rand.Q14a. Ord-angry-Clinton affects
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q14a.

Pre: Order of Angry among Clinton Affects Q14a-Q14d.

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001114  Pre.Rand.Q14b. Ord-hopeful-Clinton affects
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q14b.

Pre: Order of Hopeful among Clinton Affects Q14a-Q14d.

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001115  Pre.Rand.Q14c. Ord-afraid -Clinton affects
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q14c.

Pre: Order of Afraid among Clinton Affects Q14a-Q14d.

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

0        1        2        3        4
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----    Count 891 228 240 234 214

V001116  Pre.Rand.Q14d. Ord-proud-Clinton affects
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q14d.

Pre: Order of Proud among Clinton Affects Q14a-Q14d.

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

0. Question administered in Post

0        1        2        3        4
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----    Count 891 236 216 250 214

V001117  Pre.Rand.Q15a. Ord-moral-Clinton traits
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15a.

Pre: Order of Moral among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

0. Question administered in Post

0        1        2        3
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----    Count 891 136 142 125

4        5        6        7
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----    Count 120 132 123 138

V001118  Pre.Rand.Q15b. Ord-cares-Clinton traits
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15b.

Pre: Order of Really Cares among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>891</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001119 Pre.Rand.Q15c. Ord-knowl-Clinton traits
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15c.

Pre: Order of Knowledgeable among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>891</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>140</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001120 Pre.Rand.Q15d. Ord-leader-Clinton traits
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15d.

Pre: Order of Leadership among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>891</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=====================================================

V001121 Pre.Rand.Q15e. Ord-dishon-Clinton traits
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15e.

Pre: Order of Dishonest among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

_____________________________________________________

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

| Count | 891 | 122 | 121 | 136 |

| Count | 134 | 107 | 158 | 138 |

=====================================================

V001122 Pre.Rand.Q15f. Ord-intell-Clinton traits
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15f.

Pre: Order of Intelligent among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

_____________________________________________________

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

| Count | 891 | 133 | 124 | 124 |

| Count | 137 | 147 | 118 | 133 |

=====================================================

V001123 Pre.Rand.Q15g. Ord-out touch-Clinton trait
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q15g.

Pre: Order of Out of Touch among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

_____________________________________________________


10/15/2009
Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001201  A1. R interest in campaigns
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

A1.

Some people don't pay much attention to political campaigns. How about you? Would you say that you were very much interested, somewhat interested, or not much interested in following the political campaigns this year?

1. VERY MUCH INTERESTED
3. SOMEWHAT INTERESTED
5. NOT MUCH INTERESTED
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>643</td>
<td>687</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001202  A2. R watched programs about campaign
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

A2.

Did you watch any programs about the campaign on television?

1. YES
5. NO   --> SKIP TO A3
8. DK   --> SKIP TO A3
9. RF   --> SKIP TO A3
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
A2a. How many programs R watched about campaign

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

A2a.

IF R WATCHED PROGRAMS ABOUT CAMPAIGN:

Would you say you watched a good many, several, or just one or two?

1. A GOOD MANY
3. SEVERAL
5. JUST ONE OR TWO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in A2

V001203

---

0 1 5
---

Count 252 1287 268

V001204 A3. Does R ever discuss politics

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

A3.

Do you ever discuss politics with your family or friends?

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO A4
8. DK --> SKIP TO A4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

V001204

---

0 1 3 5 8
---

Count 520 387 565 333 2

V001205 A3a. How often does R discuss politics

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96

Numeric

A3a.
IF R DISCUSSES POLITICS:

How many days in the past week did you talk about politics with family or friends?
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1-7
96. NONE (NO DAYS)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9 in A3; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================================================
V001206  A4. Does R recall names of House candidates
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

A4.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES, REMEMBER
5. NO, DON'T REMEMBER --> SKIP TO B1
8. DK --> SKIP TO B1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>877</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================================================
V001207  A4a1. Hse name recall 1 - cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

A4a1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for
Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
Who were they?

1ST RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]
** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list for this race
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>31</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>34</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>36</th>
<th>39</th>
<th>97</th>
<th>98</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
V001208    A4b1. Hse party recall 1
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

A4b1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
Who were they?
What is <NAME'S> party?

1ST RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

1. DEMOCRAT
5. REPUBLICAN
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V001209  A4(1)x1. Actual party of recall 1
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

A4(1)x1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district? Who were they?

1ST RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY OF CANDIDATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1394</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V001210  A4(2)x2. Accuracy of recall 1
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 9
Numeric

A4(2)x2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district? Who were they? What is <NAME’S> party?

1ST RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY

Built from A4a1 and A4b1.

1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party

9. NA/RF for candidate name and NA/RF for party mention
0. Inap, 5, 8, 9, 0 in A4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V001211  A4a2. Hse name recall 2 - cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

A4a2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
Who were they?

2nd RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]  
** codes 30, 38, 39 used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
37. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list for this race

98. DK
99. RF

00. NA; Inap, no Post interview; R says he/she does not recall names;
   Washington D.C.; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>31</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>34</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1469</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001212  A4b2. Hse party recall 2
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

A4b2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
Who were they?
What is <NAME>'S> party?

2nd RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1469</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001213  A4(2)x1. Actual party of recall 2
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

A4(2)x1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:
Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
Who were they?

2nd RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY OF CANDIDATE

1. Democrat
5. Republican
7. Other

0. INAP, 00,97,98 in A4a2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1664</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001214  A4(2)x2. Accuracy of recall 2
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9
Numeric

A4(2)x2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
Who were they?
What is <NAME>'S> party?

2nd RECALLED NAME - ACCCURACY

Built from A4a2 and A4b2.

1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
0. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in A4; no further mention
V001215  A4a3. Hse name recall 3 - cand code
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

A4a3.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district? Who were they?

3RD RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]
** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list for this race
98. DK

00. NA; Inap, no Post interview; R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1469</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001216  A4b3. Hse party recall 3
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

A4b3.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district? Who were they? What is <NAME> S > party?

3RD RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. DEMOCRAT
5. REPUBLICAN
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1755</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001217  A4(3)x1. Actual party of recall 3
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

V001218  A4(3)x2. Accuracy of recall 3
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9
Numeric

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district? Who were they?

3RD RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY OF CANDIDATE

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Democrat
5. Republican
7. Other
0. INAP, 00,97,98 in A4a3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1799</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001217  A4(3)x1. Actual party of recall 3
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

V001218  A4(3)x2. Accuracy of recall 3
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9
Numeric
A4(3)x2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district? Who were they? What is <NAME’S> party?

3RD RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY

Built from A4a3 and A4b3.

1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in A4; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1755</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001219   B1. Party talked to R about campaign
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B1.

As you know, the political parties try to talk to as many people as they can to get them to vote for their candidate. Did anyone from one of the political parties call you up or come around and talk to you about the campaign this year?

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO B1b
8. DK --> SKIP TO B1b
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>587</td>
<td>966</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------------------------------------------

V001220 Bla. Party that spoke to R about campaign
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Bla.

IF SOMEONE FROM PARTY SPOKE TO R ABOUT CAMPAIGN:

Which party was that?

1. DEMOCRATS
5. REPUBLICANS
6. BOTH
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1220</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|   | 7 | 8 | 9 |
|---|---|---|
| Count | 16 | 19 | 1 |

---------------------------------------------

V001221 Blb. Anyone else talk to R about candidates
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Blb.

Other than someone from the two major parties, did anyone (else) call you up or come around and talk to you about supporting specific candidates in this last election?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>1378</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B1c. Party send R mail about campaign

Did anyone from one of the political parties send you mail about the campaign this year?

1. YES
5. NO  --> SKIP TO B1D
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B1c1. Party that sent mail about campaign

IF POLITICAL PARTY SENT MAIL:

Which party was that?

1. DEMOCRATS
5. REPUBLICANS
6. BOTH
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B1c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B1d. Anyone else send mail about campaign

Other than someone from the two major parties, did anyone (else) send you mail about supporting specific
candidates in this last election?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1138</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001225  B2. Did R try to influence vote of others
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

B2.

We would like to find out about some of the things people do to help a party or a candidate win an election. During the campaign, did you talk to any people and try to show them why they should vote for or against one of the parties or candidates?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>1009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001226  B3. Did R display button/sticker/sign
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

B3.

Did you wear a campaign button, put a campaign sticker on your car, or place a sign in your window or in front of your house?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
B4.

Did you go to any political meetings, rallies, speeches, dinners, or things like that in support of a particular candidate?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

B5.

Did you do any (other) work for one of the parties or candidates?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

B6.

During an election year people are often asked to make a
contribution to support campaigns. Did you give money to an individual candidate running for public office?

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO B7
8. DK --> SKIP TO B7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>252</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001230  B6a. Party of candidate - R contribution
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B6a.

IF R GAVE MONEY TO INDIVIDUAL CANDIDATE:

Which party did that candidate belong to?

1. DEMOCRATS
3. REPUBLICANS
5. OTHER (SPECIFY)
6. BOTH DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE AND REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE (VOL)

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1704</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001231  B7. Did R give money to party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B7.

Did you give money to a political party during this election year?

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO B8
8. DK --> SKIP TO B8
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
V001232  B7a. Party - R contribution
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

B7a.

IF R GAVE MONEY TO POLITICAL PARTY:

To which party did you give money?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. DEMOCRATS
3. REPUBLICANS
5. OTHER (SPECIFY)
6. BOTH DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B7

Count 1708 34 51 8 6

V001233  B8. Did R give to group for/against candidate
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

B8.

Did you give any money to any other group that supported
or opposed candidates?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

Count 252 69 1486

V001234  B9. Did anyone talk to R about registering or voting
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

B9.
During the campaign this year, did anyone talk to you about registering to vote or getting out to vote?

1. YES, SOMEONE DID
5. NO, NO ONE DID
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

Count 252 672 882 1

V001235  B10. Did moral/relig groups discuss campaign w/R
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

B10.
Were there any groups concerned with moral or religious issues that tried to encourage you to vote in a particular way?

1. YES, THERE WERE GROUPS
5. NO, NO GROUPS TRIED TO ENCOURAGE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

Count 252 252 1300 3

V001236  B11. Clergy provide election information
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

B11.
Was information about candidates, parties, or political issues made available in your place of worship before the election?

[DON'T PROBE DK RESPONSE]

1. YES
5. NO, NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE
7. NO, DON'T GO TO CHURCH [VOL] --> C1
8. DK --> C1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>1125</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001237 B12. Did clergy encourage R to vote for cand or prty
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B12.

IF R DID NOT INDICATE NON-ATTENDANCE IN B11:

Did the clergy or other church leaders at your place of worship encourage you to vote for a particular candidate or party?

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO C1
8. DK --> SKIP TO C1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7, 8, 9, 0 in B11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>1228</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001238 B12a1. Clergy endorsement 1
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

B12a1.

IF CLERGY/CHURCH ENDORSED CANDIDATE/PARTY:

Which candidate?

MENTION 1 - CANDIDATE CODE

[IF R SAYS CAN'T REMEMBER CANDIDATE NAME, PROBE: WHICH ELECTION OR WHAT OFFICE? ANY OTHERS?]

PRESIDENT:
01. Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Gore)
03. Independent/3rd party Presidential candidate (2000:Buchanan,Nader)
05. Anti-Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Gore)
06. Anti-Republican Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Bush)

SENATE:
11. Democratic Senate candidate in state without incumbent
12. Republican Senate candidate in state without incumbent
13. Democratic Senate incumbent candidate  
14. Republican Senate incumbent candidate  
15. Republican Senate challenger candidate  
16. Republican Senate challenger candidate  

HOUSE:  
31. Democratic House candidate in district without incumbent  
32. Republican House candidate in district without incumbent  
33. Democratic House incumbent candidate  
34. Republican House incumbent candidate  
35. Democratic House challenger candidate  
36. Republican House challenger candidate  

OTHER - PARTY-SPECIFIC:  
71. Democratic Candidate(s)--NA which office  
72. Republican Candidate(s)--NA which office  
73. Other Democratic Candidate--any office level  
74. Other Republican Candidate--any office level  
80. Other independent or minor party candidate--any office level  
81. Democratic Party  
82. Republican Party  
85. Anti-'Democrats'; against any Democratic cand exc. Presidential  
86. Anti-'Republicans'; against any Republican cand exc. Presidential  
89. Other party  

OTHER - NOT PARTY SPECIFIC:  
90. Vote on particular issue  
91. 'Just to vote'  
94. Nonpartisan/judicial candidate  
95. Other candidate(s) for state/local offices (office level given but party NA)  
96. Other groups or individuals which are neither parties nor organized supporters of specific candidates  
97. Candidate name given but party and office level NA (low priority)  
98. DK  
99. RF  
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1723</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>94</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001239 B12a2. Clergy endorsement 2  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

B12a2.  

IF CLERGY/CHURCH ENDORSED CANDIDATE/PARTY:  

Which candidate?
[IF R SAYS CAN'T REMEMBER CANDIDATE NAME, PROBE: WHICH ELECTION OR WHAT OFFICE? ANY OTHERS?]

PRESIDENT:
01. Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Gore)
03. Independent/3rd party Presidential candidate (2000: Buchanan, Nader)
05. Anti-Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Gore)
06. Anti-Republican Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Bush)

SENATE:
11. Democratic Senate candidate in state without incumbent
12. Republican Senate candidate in state without incumbent
13. Democratic Senate incumbent candidate
14. Republican Senate incumbent candidate
15. Republican Senate challenger candidate
16. Republican Senate challenger candidate

HOUSE:
31. Democratic House candidate in district without incumbent
32. Republican House candidate in district without incumbent
33. Democratic House incumbent candidate
34. Republican House incumbent candidate
35. Democratic House challenger candidate
36. Republican House challenger candidate

OTHER - PARTY-SPECIFIC:
71. Democratic Candidate(s)--NA which office
72. Republican Candidate(s)--NA which office
73. Other Democratic Candidate--any office level
74. Other Republican Candidate--any office level
80. Other independent or minor party candidate--any office level
81. Democratic Party
82. Republican Party
85. Anti-'Democrats'; against any Democratic cand exc. Presidential
86. Anti-'Republicans'; against any Republican cand exc. Presidential
89. Other party

OTHER - NOT PARTY SPECIFIC:
90. Vote on particular issue
91. 'Just to vote'
94. Nonpartisan/judicial candidate
95. Other candidate(s) for state/local offices (office level given but party NA)
96. Other groups or individuals which are neither parties nor organized supporters of specific candidates
97. Candidate name given but party and office level NA (low priority)

98. DK
99. RF

00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B12; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>34</th>
<th>74</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1795</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>81</th>
<th>90</th>
<th>96</th>
<th>97</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B12a3. Clergy endorsement 3

IF CLERGY/CHURCH ENDORSED CANDIDATE/PARTY:

Which candidate?

MENTION 3 - CANDIDATE CODE

---------------------------------------------------------------

[IF R SAYS CAN'T REMEMBER CANDIDATE NAME, PROBE: WHICH ELECTION OR WHAT OFFICE? ANY OTHERS?]

PRESIDENT:
  01. Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Gore)
  03. Independent/3rd party Presidential candidate (2000:Buchanan,Nader)
  05. Anti-Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Gore)
  06. Anti-Republican Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Bush)

SENATE:
  11. Democratic Senate candidate in state without incumbent
  12. Republican Senate candidate in state without incumbent
  13. Democratic Senate incumbent candidate
  14. Republican Senate incumbent candidate
  15. Republican Senate challenger candidate
  16. Republican Senate challenger candidate

HOUSE:
  31. Democratic House candidate in district without incumbent
  32. Republican House candidate in district without incumbent
  33. Democratic House incumbent candidate
  34. Republican House incumbent candidate
  35. Democratic House challenger candidate
  36. Republican House challenger candidate

OTHER - PARTY-SPECIFIC:
  71. Democratic Candidate(s)--NA which office
  72. Republican Candidate(s)--NA which office
  73. Other Democratic Candidate--any office level
  74. Other Republican Candidate--any office level
  80. Other independent or minor party candidate--any office level
  81. Democratic Party
  82. Republican Party
  85. Anti-'Democrats'; against any Democratic cand exc.Presidential
  86. Anti-'Republicans'; against any Republican cand exc. Presidential
  89. Other party

OTHER - NOT PARTY SPECIFIC:
  90. Vote on particular issue
  91. 'Just to vote'
  94. Nonpartisan/judicial candidate
  95. Other candidate(s) for state/local offices (office level given but party NA)
  96. Other groups or individuals which are neither parties nor organized supporters of specific candidates
  97. Candidate name given but party and office level NA (low priority)

98. DK
99. RF
In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time.
Which of the following statements best describes you:
One, I did not vote (in the election this November);
Two, I thought about voting this time - but didn't;
Three, I usually vote, but didn't this time; or
Four, I am sure I voted?

[INTERVIEWER: PLEASE READ ALL OPTIONS]

1. I DID NOT VOTE (IN THE ELECTION THIS NOVEMBER).
2. I THOUGHT ABOUT VOTING THIS TIME, BUT DIDN'T.
3. I USUALLY VOTE, BUT DIDN'T THIS TIME.
4. I AM SURE I VOTED --> SKIP TO C3

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
V001243  C3. Registered in county of IW?
   MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
   Numeric

C3.

IF R IS REGISTERED/
   IF R VOTED:
   
   Your residence is located in <NAME’S> County.
   Are you registered to vote in <NAME’S> County? /
   Your residence is located in Washington D.C.
   Are you registered to vote in Washington D.C.?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES, REGISTERED IN (PRELOAD COUNTY)  --> IF C1 IS YES GO TO C4,
   /WASHINGTON D.C                       ELSE GO TO C9(1))
5. NO, REGISTERED IN OTHER COUNTY       --> SKIP TO C3a
8. DK  --> IF C1 IS YES GO TO C4, ELSE GO TO C9(1)
9. RF  --> IF C1 IS YES GO TO C4, ELSE GO TO C9(1)
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 5, 6, 8, 9 or NA in C2

   0  1  5
   ---- ---- ----
   Count 471 1269  67

V001244  C3a1. State of registration
   MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
   Numeric

C3a1.

IF R NOT REGISTERED IN COUNTY/ IN WASHINGTON D.C.:

   In what county and state are you registered?

STATE OF REGISTRATION

ICPSR state code

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in C3

   0  5 12 13 14 21
   ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ----
   Count 1741   1   1   2   4   4

22 23 25 40 41 42
Count       4        4        1        4        2        1
        43       44       45       49       54       62
Count       7        3        1        7        4        2
        65       67       71       72       73
Count       1        1        7        3        2

V001244a   C3a2. County of registration (blank)
Character
C3a2.
IF R NOT REGISTERED IN COUNTY/ IN WASHINGTON D.C.:
   In what county and state are you registered?
   COUNTY OF REGISTRATION (BLANKED)
   ---------------------------------------------------------------
   This variable has been blanked to preserve the confidentiality
   of the respondent.

V001245    C4. Did R vote on election day or before
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric
C4.
IF R VOTED:
   Did you vote on election day -- that is, November 7th
   2000, or did you vote at some time before this?
   ---------------------------------------------------------------
   1. ELECTION DAY                --> SKIP TO C5
   5. SOME TIME BEFORE THIS       --> C4a
   8. DK                          --> SKIP TO C5
   9. RF                          --> SKIP TO C5
   0. NA; INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1
   0        1        5
       625     1000      182

V001246    C4a. How long before election did R vote
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 98
Numeric
C4a.
IF R VOTED PRIOR TO ELECTION DAY:

How long before November 7th did you vote?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: A FEW DAYS, A WEEK, LONGER THAN THAT?]

01. Less than one week, 1-6 days
02. One week; 7 days
03. 1-2 weeks; 8-14 days
04. 2-3 weeks; 15-21 days
05. 3-4 weeks; 22-28 days
06. One month; 29-31 days
07. More than one month; 32-60 days
11. A few days; a couple of days; several days -- NFS
12. A few weeks; a couple of weeks; several weeks -- NFS
91. More than a few days -- NFS
92. More than a few weeks --NFS
97. Other
98. DK; not sure
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in C4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1626</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C4b.

C4b. Did R vote in person or absentee ballot?

1. IN PERSON --> SKIP TO C5
5. ABSENTEE BALLOT --> SKIP TO C5
7. R VOLUNTEERS: BY MAIL [OREGON ONLY] --> SKIP TO C5
8. DK --> SKIP TO C5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in C4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1625</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C5. Did R vote for President?
C5.

IF R VOTED:

How about the election for President? Did you vote for a candidate for President?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. YES, VOTED FOR PRESIDENT</th>
<th>5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR PRESIDENT</th>
<th>8. DK</th>
<th>9. RF</th>
<th>0. NA; INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>1178</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001249 C6. R vote cast for President

IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT:

Who did you vote for?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>--&gt; SKIP TO C6a</td>
<td>--&gt; SKIP TO C6a</td>
<td>--&gt; SKIP TO C6a</td>
<td>--&gt; SKIP TO C6a</td>
<td>--&gt; SKIP TO C6a</td>
<td>--&gt; SKIP TO C6a</td>
<td>--&gt; SKIP TO C6a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001250 C6a. How strong R support Pres cand
C6a.

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

Would you say that your preference for (IF NAME GIVEN: <candidate name>) / (IF OTHER SPECIFY: this candidate) was strong or not strong?

1. STRONG
5. NOT STRONG
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>642</td>
<td>876</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001251  C7. Timing of Pres vote decision
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

C7.

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

How long before the election did you decide that you were going to vote the way you did?

[PROBE IF NECESSARY "WOULD THAT HAVE BEEN A FEW DAYS BEFORE THE ELECTION, A WEEK, OR LONGER THAN THAT?"]

01. Knew all along/from the first; always vote for the same party; before the convention/nomination (no mention of Gore/Bush/Buchanan/Nader); before the primaries; 9 months or more
02. Before the convention because of Gore's/ Bush's/ Buchanan's/ Nader's candidacy; as soon as Gore/Bush/Buchanan/Nader said he would run; "months before"; "early on"; "long time/quite a bit before the election"
03. At the time of the Republican convention/ when Bush was nominated; just after the Republican convention; 3 months; July; "a few months"; "2-3 months"
04. During the Democratic convention when Gore was nominated; just after the Democratic convention; 4 months; August
05. After the convention(s); during the campaign (NFS); 2 months; "a couple of months"; September
06. Five to seven weeks before the election
07. One month; three weeks; October; after the Presidential debates
08. About two weeks before the election; "ten days"
09. In the last few days of the campaign; the last part of it
10. On election day
11. During/after the primaries (not codeable in 02); "several months"; 5-8 months
12. After the vice-presidential debate
97. Other
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001252a  C8(1). If R cld have cast more than one vote -1st
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 98
Numeric

C8(1).

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

If you could have cast more than one vote in the Presidential election this (past) November, is there any other candidate you would have found acceptable and have voted for?

MENTION 1

1. AL GORE
3. GEORGE W BUSH
5. PAT BUCHANAN
6. RALPH NADER
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. NO OTHER CANDIDATE (VOL)

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001252b  C8(2). If R cld have cast more than one vote -2nd
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 98
Numeric
C8(2).

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

If you could have cast more than one vote in the Presidential election this (past) November, is there any other candidate you would have found acceptable and have voted for?

MENTION 2

1. AL GORE
3. GEORGE W BUSH
5. PAT BUCHANAN
6. RALPH NADER
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. NO OTHER CANDIDATE (VOL)

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1798</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C8(3).

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

If you could have cast more than one vote in the Presidential election this (past) November, is there any other candidate you would have found acceptable and have voted for?

MENTION 3

1. AL GORE
3. GEORGE W BUSH
5. PAT BUCHANAN
6. RALPH NADER
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. NO OTHER CANDIDATE (VOL)

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6; no further mention

<p>| |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|Count| 1807 |
V001253a  C9(1). Hse ckpt: vote in/out county
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

C9(1).

CHECKPOINT: VOTE IN COUNTY OF INTERVIEW

1. R VOTED WITHIN COUNTY OF INTERVIEW
2. R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF INTERVIEW
3. R DID NOT VOTE (AND DK IF VOTED)
4. WASHINGTON DC
9. R VOTED - NA WHERE VOTED (TREAT AS WITHIN COUNTY)
0. INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001253b  C9(2). Hse ckpt: order of Dem/Rep names
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

C9(2).

IF R VOTED:

CHECKPOINT: RANDOM ORDER OF DEMOCRATIC/REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAMES

This describes, for House vote and nonvoter House preference, the order in which names appeared on the FTF ballot card or the order in which names were read in Telephone administration.

NOTE: in VT01 and VA05, the independent incumbent candidate was randomized, in the FTF ballot card and in the order of names in the Telephone wording, as if the candidate were a major party candidate: VT01 candidate Bernie Sanders was randomized as if a Democratic name, and in VA05 Virgil Goode Jr. was randomized as if a Republican name (no Democratic name was preloaded for VT01 and no Republican name was preloaded for VA05). All other ind/3rd-party candidate names appearing on the FTF ballot card or included in Telephone wording appear last in order.

NOTE: ind/3rd-party candidate names are included in response codes/question wording whenever they have been preloaded; ind/3rd-party names are preloaded only for candidates who have been identified as especially viable. See note concerning randomization failure in V000262.

1. Democratic names first (FTF: BLUE BALLOT CARD)
2. Republican names first (FTF: GOLD BALLOT CARD)
0. INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1; Washington D.C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>772</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001254  C9a/C11a.T. In-county: vote for House?
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C9a/C11a.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

FTP:
Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district. How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?

TELEPHONE:
How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?

FTP: [SHOW <BLUE/GOLD> BALLOT CARD]

1. YES, VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2, 3, 4, 9, 0 in C9(1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>952</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001255  C9b(1)/C11bx1.T. In-county House vote
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C9b(1)/C11bx1.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

FTP:
Who did you vote for?
TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,
<NAME>?)
---------------------------------------------------------------------
See C9(2) for the order in which names were presented on
the ballot card/ read over the phone.
The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present
on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that
candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into
the instrument).
Code 7 includes cases where R volunteered that he/she
voted "a straight ticket" but no candidate for R's party
ran; it also includes cases where R insists that he/she
voted for a specific party's candidate but no candidate
from that party ran in R's district.
CODE 6 NOTE: In 8 cases where R resided in county of interview,
R did not select one of the names from the district of the
interview location but provided a name which was later
identified as belonging to another congressional district.
These cases have been coded 6 in V001255 but the candidate code
and party identification according to the other district are
coded in V001256 and V001257. In Pre-Sample.7 (V000085) and
Pre-Sample.8 (V000086) these cases are coded as a vote outside
CD of interview.
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major
party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate
list, his/her name was read last.

1. <PRELOAD DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME>
3. <PRELOAD IND/3RD-PARTY INCUMBENT NAME
5. <PRELOAD REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME
6. R PROVIDED NAME FROM ANOTHER DISTRICT
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C9a/C11a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>856</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

====================================================================
V001256  C9b/(2)/C11bx2.T. In-Hse vote-cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

C9b/(2)/C11bx2.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

FTF:
Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,
<NAME>?)

IN-COUNTY: HOUSE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE

** The names of independent/3rd party candidates were
present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only
if that candidate's name was on the candidate list
(preloaded into the instrument).
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major
party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate
list, his/her name was read last.

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent

VOTED IN COUNTY OF IW BUT R GAVE NAME OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW
81. Democratic candidate
82. Republican candidate
83. Democratic incumbent
84. Republican incumbent
85. Democratic challenger
86. Republican challenger

97. Name not on candidate list for this race

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C9a/C11a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==================================

C9b(3)/C11bx.T. In-House vote - party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C9b(3)/C11bx.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

FTF:
Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate, <NAME>?)

IN-COUNTY: HOUSE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY
---------------------------------------------------------------------
The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)]. If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

1. Democratic
2. Republican
3. Other (3rd party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C9a/C11a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>856</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001258 C10a/C12a.T. Out-county: vote for House?
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C10a/C12a.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

PTF: [DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD]

1. YES, VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 4, 9, 0 in C9(1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1759</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V001259  C10b1/C12b1.T. Out- House vote - cand code
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 98
Numeric

C10b1/C12b1.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

Who did you vote for?
Which party was that?

OUT-OF-COUNTY:  HOUSE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE

If R gave the name of a candidate from within his district of residence, it has been coded accordingly (codes 30,31,32,33,34,35,36,39).

VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW:
DISTRICT WITH NO RUNNING INCUMBENT: (VOTE VAR ONLY)
81. Democratic candidate
82. Republican candidate
DISTRICT WITH RUNNING INCUMBENT:
83. Democratic incumbent
84. Republican incumbent
85. Democratic challenger
86. Republican challenger
ALL DISTRICTS:
80. Third party or independent candidate **
91. Democrat--no name given
92. Republican--no name given
97. Name not on candidate list (name unidentified with party or candidate or CD not identified)

98. DK --> C14a
00. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C10a/C12a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>34</th>
<th>36</th>
<th>81</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1775</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>82</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>92</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
V001260  C10b2/C12b2.T. Out- House vote - party
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

C10b2/C12b2.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF REGISTERED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

Who did you vote for?
Which party was that?

OUT-OF-COUNTY:  HOUSE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race
8. DK --> C14a
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C10a/C12a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1775</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
V001261  C10c/C12c.T. Out- party ment of Hse vote
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

C10c/C12c.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF REGISTERED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:
Which party was that?

PARTY ASSOCIATED BY RESPONDENT WITH HOUSE VOTE (OUT OF COUNTY)
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. DEMOCRAT
5. REPUBLICAN
7. OTHER: SPECIFY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C10a/C12a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1775</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001262 C10x1/C12x1.T. Summ- Hose vote cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

C10x1/C12x1.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

IN-COUNTY FTF:
Who did you vote for?
OUT-OF-COUNTY FTF AND TELEPHONE:
Who did you vote for?
Which party was that?

IN-COUNTY TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,
<NAME>?)

SUMMARY: HOUSE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from C9b(2)/C11bx2.T and C10b1/C12b1.T.
** IN-COUNTY: The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).
IN-COUNTY TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate -- 2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate -- incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list for this race

VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW (CODES 81-92):

DISTRICT WITH NO RUNNING INCUMBENT:
81. Democratic candidate
82. Republican candidate

DISTRICT WITH RUNNING INCUMBENT:
83. Democratic incumbent
84. Republican incumbent
85. Democratic challenger
86. Republican challenger

ALL OUT OF COUNTY DISTRICTS:
80. Third party or independent candidate **
91. Democrat -- no name given
92. Republican -- no name given
97. Name not on candidate list

98. DK
99. RF

00. NA; INAP, 3,4,0 in C9(1); 5,8,9,NA in C9a/C11a.T or 5,7,8,9, NA in C10a/C12a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>34</th>
<th>35</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>824</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=====================================
V001263 C10x2/C12x2.T. Summary - House vote party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C10x2/C12x2.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

IN-COUNTY FTF:
Who did you vote for?
OUT-OF-COUNTY FTF AND TELEPHONE:
Who did you vote for?
Which party was that?

IN-COUNTY TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate, <NAME>?)

SUMMARY: HOUSE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY

Built from C10x1/C12x1.T

1. Democratic
2. Republican
3. Other (independent and 3rd party)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

```
   0   1   2   3
------ ------ ------ ------
Count 824  467  444    1
       7   8   9
------ ------ ------
Count 38   27    6
```

V001264  C13(1). Sen ckpt: vote in/out county
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

C13(1).

IF R VOTED:

SENATE CHECKPOINT: RACE IN STATE OF INTERVIEW

For identification of states without races, see the Senate type race variable (V000204).

1. Race in state of interview
2. No race in state of interview

0. INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1; Washington DC
   0   1   2
----- ------ ------
Count 629   900  278

V001265  C13(2). Sen ckpt: order of Dem/Rep names
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

C13(2).

SENATE CHECKPOINT: R VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF INTERVIEW
1. R VOTED WITHIN COUNTY OF INTERVIEW
2. R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF INTERVIEW
3. R DID NOT VOTE/DK IF VOTED
4. WASHINGTON DC

9. R VOTED – NA WHERE VOTED (TREATED AS WITHIN COUNTY)
0. INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
V001266  C13a/C15a.T. In-county: vote for Senate?
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C13a/C15a.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

How about the election for the United States Senate? Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. Senate?

-----------------------------
FTF: [SHOW <BLUE/GOLD> BALLOT CARD]

1. YES, VOTED FOR SENATE
5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR SENATE
8. DK   --> D1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2 in C13(1) and/or 2, 3, 4, 0 in C13(2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>949</td>
<td>775</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
V001267  C13b1/C15bx1.T. In county - Senate vote
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

C13b1/C15bx1.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF VOTED FOR SENATE:

FTF:
Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY> candidate,<NAME>?)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY> candidate,<NAME>?)

See C9(2) for the order in which names were presented on the ballot card/ read over the phone.
The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).
Code 7 includes cases where R volunteered that he/she voted "a straight ticket" but no candidate for R's party ran; it also includes cases where R insists that he/she voted for a specific party's candidate but no candidate from that party ran in R's district.
MISSOURI NOTE: In 4 cases in Missouri (IDs 581,1071,1072,1507) Rs voted for Mel Carnihan (deceased). Because this name was not included in the preload, these responses were coded 7.
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

1. <PRELOAD DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME> --> D1
3. <PRELOAD 1ST IND/3RD-PARTY CAND NAME> --> D1
4. <PRELOAD 2ND IND/3RD-PARTY CAND NAME> --> D1
5. <PRELOAD REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME --> D1
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) --> D1

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C13a/C15a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1032</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V001268     C13b2/C15bx2.T. In county -Sen vote - cand code
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 98
Numeric

C13b2/C15bx2.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF VOTED FOR SENATE:

FTF:
Who did you vote for?
TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY> candidate,<NAME>?)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY> candidate,<NAME>?)

IN-COUNTY: SENATE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE
---------------------------------------------------------------------
** The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on
the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that
candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the
instrument).
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party
candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list,
his/her name was read last.

08. Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent**
09. Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
10. Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent**
11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
13. Democratic Senate incumbent
14. Republican Senate incumbent
15. Democratic Senate challenger
16. Republican Senate challenger
97. Name not on candidate list for this race

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C13a/C15a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1032</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

======================================
V001269  C13b3/C15bx3.T. In county- Sen vote - party
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

C13b3/C15bx3.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF VOTED FOR SENATE:

FTF:
Who did you vote for?
TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY>
candidate,<NAME>?)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY>
candidate,<NAME>?)

IN-COUNTY: SENATE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY
---------------------------------------------------------------------
The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on
the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that
candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the
instrument).
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party
candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list,
his/her name was read last.

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C13a/C15a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1032</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001270 C14a/C16a.T. Out-county: vote for Senate?
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C14a/C16a.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

How about the election for the United States Senate?
Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. Senate?

FTF: [DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD]

1. YES, VOTED FOR SENATE
5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR SENATE --> D1
7. R VOLUNTEERS: NO RACE IN STATE OF VOTE --> D1
8. DK                                     --> D1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 4, 9, 0 in C13(2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
V001271  C14b1/C16b1.T. Out of county - Sen vote - cand code
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 98
Numeric

C14b1/C16b1.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

Who did you vote for?
Which party was that?

OUT-OF COUNTY: SENATE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE

If R gave the name of a candidate from within his district of residence, it has been coded accordingly (codes 08,10,11,12,13,14,15,16).

CODES 80-92: IF R VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW
80. Third party or independent Senate candidate
81. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
82. Republican candidate in open Senate race
83. Democratic Senate incumbent
84. Republican Senate incumbent
85. Democratic Senate challenger
86. Republican Senate challenger
91. Democrat - no name given
92. Republican - no name given
97. Name given not on candidate list for this race (name not identified with candidate or party, or CD not identified)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C14a/C16a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
V001272  C14b2/C16b2.T. Out of county - Senate vote party
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric
C14b2/C16b2.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

Who did you vote for?
Which party was that?

OUT-OF COUNTY: SENATE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C14a/C16a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1775</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001273 C14c/C16c.T. Out of county- party of Sen vote
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C14c/C16c.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

Which party was that?

PARTY ASSOCIATED BY RESPONDENT WITH SENATE VOTE (OUT OF COUNTY)
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. DEMOCRAT --> D1
5. REPUBLICAN --> D1
7. OTHER: SPECIFY: --> D1
8. DK --> D1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C14a/C16a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1775</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001274 C14x1/C16x1.T. Summ- Sen vote - cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric
C14x1/C16x1.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

SUMMARY: SENATE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE
---------------------------------------------------------------------
**IN-COUNTY: The names of independent/3rd party candidates were
present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that
candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the
instrument).
IN-COUNTY TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party
candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list,
his/her name was read last.

08. Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent**
09. Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
10. Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent**
11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
13. Democratic Senate incumbent
14. Republican Senate incumbent
15. Democratic Senate challenger
16. Republican Senate challenger
CODES 80-92: IF R VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW
80. Third party or independent Senate candidate
81. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
82. Republican candidate in open Senate race
83. Democratic Senate incumbent
84. Republican Senate incumbent
85. Democratic Senate challenger
86. Republican Senate challenger
91. Democrat - no name given
92. Republican - no name given
97. Name given not on candidate list for this race; 'outside' name
   not identified with candidate or party, or 'outside' CD not
   identified)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 3,4,0 in C13(2); 1,9 in C13(2) and 2 in C13(1);
5,8,9,NA in C13a/C15a.T or 5,7,8,9,NA in C14a/C16a.T

  0        8       10       11       12
  -----    -----    -----    -----    -----    
  Count   1000    4      6      81      58

  13      14      15      16      91
  -----    -----    -----    -----    -----    
  Count   200    189    127      91      4

  92      97      98      99
  -----    -----    -----    -----    -----    
  Count   2      21     18      6
C14x2/C16x2.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

**SUMMARY: SENATE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY**

Built from C14x1/C16x1.T

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0, 4 in C1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**V001276**  C17. Nonvoter - prefer any Pres cand?

IF R DID NOT VOTE:

How about the election for President?
Did you prefer one of the candidates for President?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1434</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### V001277  C17a. Nonvoter- Pres cand preferred

**MD1:** EQ 0, **MD2:** GE 8

**Numeric**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Count</strong></td>
<td>1547</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Count</strong></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### V001278  C17b. Nonvoter-strength Pres cand pref

**MD1:** EQ 0, **MD2:** GE 8

**Numeric**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Count</strong></td>
<td>1548</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V001279  C18/C18.T. Nonvoter-prefer Hse cand?
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

C18/C18.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

FTF:
Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district. How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington? Did you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. House of Representatives?

TELEPHONE:
How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington? Did you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. House of Representatives?

FTF: [SHOW BLUE/GOLD CARD]

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 4, 9, 0 in C9(1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1434</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001280  C18a/C18a.T. Nonvoter-Hse cand pref
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

C18a/C18a.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED ONE OF THE HOUSE CANDIDATES:

FTF:
Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:
Did you prefer
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate, <NAME>?)

See C9(2) for the order in which names were presented on the ballot card/ read over the phone.
The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)]. If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

1. <preload Democratic candidate name>
3. <preload Ind/3rd-party cand name>
5. <preload Republican candidate name>
7. Other - specify

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C18/C18.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1733</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V001281  C18a1/C18a1.T.Nonvotr-Hse cand pref code
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 97
Numeric

C18a1/C18a1.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED ONE OF THE HOUSE CANDIDATES:

FTF:
Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:
Did you prefer
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate, <NAME>?)

NONVOTER: HOUSE PREFERENCE - CANDIDATE CODE

** The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)]. If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent

97. Name not on candidate list for this race
98. DK
99. RF

00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C18/C18.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>31</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>34</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1733</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
V001282 C18a2/C18a2.T.Nonvoter-Hse cand pref pty
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C18a2/C18a2.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED ONE OF THE HOUSE CANDIDATES:

FTP:
Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:
Did you prefer
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,
<NAME>?)

NONVOTER: HOUSE PREFERENCE - PARTY SUMMARY

The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on
the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that
candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the
instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party
candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list,
his/her name was read last.

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C18/C18.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1733</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

V001283  C19a/C19.T. Nonvoter-pref Senate cand?
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

C19a/C19.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:

How about the election for the United States Senate? Did you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. Senate?

==============================================

FTF: [SHOW BLUE/GOLD BALLOT CARD]

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 2 in C13(1) and/or; 1, 2, 4, 9, 0 in C13(2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1518</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

V001284  C19b/C19a.T. Nonvoter-Sen cand pref
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

C19b/C19a.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A SENATE CANDIDATE:

FTF:
Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:
Did you prefer
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY> candidate,<NAME>?)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY> candidate,<NAME>?)

See C9(2) for the order in which names were presented on the
ballot card/ read over the phone.
The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on
the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that
candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the
instrument).
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major
party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate
list, his/her name was read last.

1. <PRELOAD DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME>
3. <PRELOAD 1ST INDEPENDENT/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NAME>
4. <PRELOAD 2ND INDEPENDENT/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NAME>
5. <PRELOAD REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME>
7. OTHER; SPECIFY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C19a/C19.T

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1717</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V001285 C19b1/C19a1.T. Nonvotr-Sen cand pref code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 97
Numeric

C19b1/C19a1.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A SENATE CANDIDATE:

FTF:
Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:
Did you prefer
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY>
candidate,<NAME>?)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY>
candidate,<NAME>?)

NONVOTER: HOUSE PREFERENCE - CANDIDATE CODE

** The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on
the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that
candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the
instrument).
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party
candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

08. Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent**
09. Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
10. Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent**
11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
13. Democratic Senate incumbent
14. Republican Senate incumbent
15. Democratic Senate challenger
16. Republican Senate challenger

97. Name not on candidate list for this race
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C19a/C19.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1717</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

================================
V001286 C19b2/C19a2.T. Nonvoter-Sen cand pref pty
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C19b2/C19a2.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A SENATE CANDIDATE:

FTF:
Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:
Did you prefer
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY> candidate,<NAME>?)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY> candidate,<NAME>?)

NONVOTER: HOUSE PREFERENCE - PARTY SUMMARY

The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C19a/C19.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1717</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001287   (Blank)
Numeric

THIS VARIABLE IS BLANK

0

Count 1807

V001288   (Blank)
Numeric

THIS VARIABLE IS BLANK

0

Count 1807

V001289   (Blank)
Numeric

THIS VARIABLE IS BLANK

0
C20. Please continue thinking about the November election. In some countries, people believe their elections are conducted fairly. In other countries, people believe that their elections are conducted unfairly. Thinking of the presidential election we've just had, do you believe it was very fair, somewhat fair, neither fair nor unfair, somewhat unfair, or very unfair?

This variable was added 11/10/2000.

1. VERY FAIR
2. SOMEWHAT FAIR
3. NEITHER FAIR NOR UNFAIR
4. SOMEWHAT UNFAIR
5. VERY UNFAIR
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; interview or portion of interview administered before question added

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please look at page 1 of the booklet. I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person using something we call the feeling thermometer. Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the person and that you don't care too much for that person. You would rate the person at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the person. If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that person. Just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

TELEPHONE:
I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person using something we call the feeling thermometer. The feeling thermometer can rate people from 0 to 100 degrees. Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the person. Rating the person at the midpoint, the 50 degree mark, means you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the person. If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that person. Just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

==============================
V001292  D1a/D1a.T. Thermometer Clinton
MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 996
Numeric

D1a/D1a.T.

FTF:  
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
BILL CLINTON

TELEPHONE:
Our first person is Bill Clinton. Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate Bill Clinton?

THERMOMETER - BILL CLINTON

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

0-100
V001293  D1b/D1b.T. Thermometer Gore
MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 996
Numeric

D1b/D1b.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
AL GORE

TELEPHONE:
The next person is AL GORE. (Where on that feeling
thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - AL GORE

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered
as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

V001294  D1c/D1c.T. Thermometer GW Bush
MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 996
Numeric

D1c/D1c.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
GEORGE W. BUSH

TELEPHONE:
The next person is GEORGE W. BUSH. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - GEORGE W. BUSH

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================
V001295  D1d/D1d.T. Thermometer Nader
MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 996
Numeric

D1d/D1d.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
RALPH NADER

TELEPHONE:
The next person is RALPH NADER. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - RALPH NADER

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================

V001296  Dle/Dle.T. Thermometer Jesse Jackson
MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 996
Numeric

Dle/Dle.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
JESSE JACKSON

TELEPHONE:
The next person is JESSE JACKSON. (Where on that feeling
thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - JESSE JACKSON

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly
administered as the 2nd-4th names.  D1e and D1f were administered
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered
as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars.  The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================

V001297  Dlf/Dlf.T. Thermometer former Pres Bush
MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 996
Numeric

Dlf/Dlf.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)


10/15/2009
FORMER PRESIDENT, GEORGE BUSH

TELEPHONE:
The next person is FORMER PRESIDENT, GEORGE BUSH. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - FORMER PRESIDENT, GEORGE BUSH
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

================================================================
V001298 Dlg/Dlg.T. Thermometer Dem House cand
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

Dlg/Dlg.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE RUNNING IN HOUSE RACE:

PTF:
(Coming to page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
<DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>

TELEPHONE:
The next person is <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Republican House candidate; no Post interview; Washington DC
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

V001299    D1h/D1h.T. Thermometer Rep House cand
MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 996
Numeric

D1h/D1h.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE RUNNING IN HOUSE RACE:

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
<REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>

TELEPHONE:
The next person is <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

D1la was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Republican House candidate; no Post interview; Washington DC
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================
V001300  D1j/D1j.T. Thermometer retiring Hse rep
MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 996
Numeric

D1j/D1j.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF HOUSE INCUMBENT IS NOT RUNNING:

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
<RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE>

TELEPHONE:
The next person is <RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE>.  
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE>
---------------------------------------------------------------------
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly
administered as the 2nd-4th names.  D1e and D1f were administered
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered
as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization:  Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars.  The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, retiring House Representative; no Post interview;
     Washington DC
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================
V001300a  D1jx. Checkpt:Hse retiree also Sen cand?
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

D1jx.

CHECKPOINT:  IS RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE ALSO
THE SENATE DEMOCRATIC OR REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

0. House retiree is not also Senate candidate
1. House retiree is also the Senate Democratic candidate
2. House retiree is also the Senate Republican candidate

9. Washington D.C.; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1535</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================================================================
V001301 Dlk/Dlk.T. Thermometer Dem Senate cand
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

Dlk/Dlk.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF SENATE RACE IN STATE:
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN SENATE RACE:
IF DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE IS NOT ALSO RETIRING HOUSE CANDIDATE:

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
<DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE>

TELEPHONE:
The next person is <DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE>.
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE>

=================================================================================

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered
as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Democratic Senate candidate; no Post interview;
   Washington D.C.; 1 in D1jx.
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=================================================================================
V001302 Dlm/Dlm.T. Thermometer Rep Senate cand
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

Dln/Dln.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:  
IF SENATE RACE IN STATE:  
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN SENATE RACE:  
IF REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE IS NOT ALSO RETIRING HOUSE CANDIDATE:

FTF:  
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
<REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE>

TELEPHONE:  
The next person is <REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE>.  
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE> 
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
Dla was administered first, and Dlb-Dld were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. Dle and Dlf were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and Dlg-Dln were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Republican Senate candidate; no Post interview; Washington D.C.; 2 in D1jx
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================
V001303      Dln/Dln.T. Thermometer Ind House cand
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

Dln/Dln.T.

IF CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT IS VT01 OR VA05:

FTF:  
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
<INDEPENDENT HOUSE INCUMBENT CANDIDATE>

TELEPHONE:
The next person is <INDEPENDENT HOUSE INCUMBENT CANDIDATE>. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <INDEPENDENT HOUSE INCUMBENT CANDIDATE>

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

dla was administered first, and Dlb-Dld were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. Dle and Dlf were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and Dlg-Dln were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no incumbent Independent House candidate (VT01, VA05); no Post interview or Washington D.C.; 0,9 in Dlnx.
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================================
V001303a  Dlnx. Checkpoint: VT01 or VA05
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Dlnx.

CHECKPOINT: VT01 OR VA05

0. CD OF INTERVIEW IS NEITHER VT01 NOR VA05
1. CD OF INTERVIEW IS VT01
2. CD OF INTERVIEW IS VA05
9. Washington D.C.; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1547</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D2.

Still using the thermometer, how would you rate:

==============================================
V001304  D2a. Thermometer supreme court
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

D2a.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - THE SUPREME COURT

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=================================
V001305  D2b. Thermometer Congress
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

D2b.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - CONGRESS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

V001306    D2c. Thermometer military
MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 996
Numeric

D2c.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - THE MILITARY
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

V001307    D2d. Thermometer federal govt in Wash DC
MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 996
Numeric

D2d.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN WASHINGTON
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

V001308 D2e. Thermometer blacks
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

D2e.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - BLACKS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

V001309 D2f. Thermometer whites
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

D2f.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - WHITES

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

V001310  D2g. Thermometer conservatives
 MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 996
 Numeric

D2g.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - CONSERVATIVES

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

V001311  D2h. Thermometer liberals
 MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 996
 Numeric

D2h.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - LIBERALS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

===================================
V001312    D2j. Thermometer labor unions
MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 996
Numeric

D2j.

(How would you rate:)
THERMOMETER - LABOR UNIONS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

===================================
V001313    D2k. Thermometer big business
MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 996
Numeric
D2k.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - BIG BUSINESS

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================
V001314    D2m. Thermometer poor people
MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 996
Numeric

D2m.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - POOR PEOPLE

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

D2n. Thermometer people on welfare

MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996

Numeric

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - PEOPLE ON WELFARE

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

D2p. Thermometer Hispanics

MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996

Numeric

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - HISPANICS (HISPANIC-AMERICANS)

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100
(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - CHRISTIAN FUNDAMENTISTS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - THE WOMEN'S MOVEMENT

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

===============================================
V001319 D2s. Thermometer older people
    MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 996
    Numeric

D2s.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - OLDER PEOPLE (THE ELDERLY)

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?] The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

===============================================
V001320 D2t. Thermometer environmentalists
    MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 996
    Numeric

D2t.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - ENVIRONMENTALISTS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

V001321     D2u. Thermometer homosexuals
            MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 996
            Numeric

D2u.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - GAY MEN AND LESBIANS, THAT IS, HOMOSEXUALS
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

V001322     D2v. Thermometer Christian Coalition
            MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 996
            Numeric

D2v.

(How would you rate:)

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

V001323    D2w. Thermometer Catholics
MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 996
Numeric

D2w.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - CATHOLICS

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

V001324    D2x. Thermometer Jews
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

D2xx.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - JEWS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================
V001325 D2xx. Thermometer Protestants
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

D2xx.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - PROTESTANTS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

V001326    D2y. Thermometer feminists
MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 996
Numeric

D2y.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - FEMINISTS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization:  Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

V001327    D2z. Thermometer Asian-americans
MD1:  EQ 995,  MD2:  GE 996
Numeric

D2z.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - ASIAN-AMERICANS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization:  Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

E0.

Next I would like to ask you some questions about the candidate(s) who ran in this district for the U.S. House of Representatives.

=================================
V001328    E1. Like anything- Dem House cand
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

E1.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE/
IF VT01:

Was there anything in particular that you liked about <NAME>, the Democratic candidate (VT01: <INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE>) for the U.S. House of Representatives?

The order in which the E1-E2 series and the E3-E4 series was administered was randomized. In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES --> SKIP TO E1a
5. NO --> SKIP TO E2
7. R VOLUNTEERS: I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE --> E3 or E5a
8. DK --> SKIP TO E2
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; no Democratic candidate in House race

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V001329  Ela(1). #1 like Dem House candidate
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9001
Numeric

Ela(1).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 1 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in El; no Post IW

V001330  Ela(2). #2 like Dem House candidate
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Ela(2).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 2 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in El; no further mention; no Post IW

V001331  Ela(3). #3 like Dem House candidate
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Ela(3).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
(What was that?)

MENTION 3 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
--------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE? UNTIL R SAYS "NO." ]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in El; no further mention; no Post IW

__________________________
V001332 Ela(4). #4 like Dem House candidate
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Ela(4).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 4 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
--------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE? UNTIL R SAYS "NO." ]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in El; no further mention; no Post IW

__________________________
V001333 Ela(5). #5 like Dem House candidate
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Ela(5).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 5 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
--------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE? UNTIL R SAYS "NO." ]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in El; no further mention; no Post IW
E2.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE/
IF VT01:

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about <NAME>, the Democratic candidate (VT01: <INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE>) for the U.S. House of Representatives?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

The order in which the E1-E2 series and the E3-E4 series was administered was randomized.
In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES, R NAMES SOMETHING DISLIKED --> SKIP TO E2a
5. NO, NOTHING DISLIKED --> SKIP TO E3
8. DK --> SKIP TO E3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0, 7 in E1; Democratic candidate in House race; Washington D.C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>843</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------------------------------------------------------------------

E2a(1).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 1 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no Post IW

V001336    E2a(2). #2 dislike Dem House candidate
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

E2a(2).
IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
(What was that?)
MENTION 2 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------
PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no further mention; no Post IW

V001337    E2a(3). #3 dislike Dem House candidate
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

E2a(3).
IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
(What was that?)
MENTION 3 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------
PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no further mention; no Post IW

V001338    E2a(4). #4 dislike Dem House candidate
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric
E2a(4).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 4 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no further mention; no Post IW

===============================================
V001339 E2a(5). #5 dislike Dem House candidate
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

E2a(5).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 5 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no further mention; no Post IW

===============================================
V001340 E3. Like anything- Repub House cand
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

E3.

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE/
IF VA05:

Was there anything in particular that you liked about <NAME>, the Republican candidate (VA05: <INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE>) for the U.S. House of Representatives?

The order in which the E1-E2 series and the E3-E4 series was administered was randomized. In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Republican candidate name.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES, R NAMES SOMETHING LIKED --> SKIP TO E3a
5. NO, NOTHING LIKED --> SKIP TO E4
7. R VOLUNTEERS: I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE --> SKIP TO E3 or E5a
8. DK --> SKIP TO E4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; no Republican candidate in House race; Washington D.C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001341  E3a(1). #1 like Repub House candidate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
Numeric

E3a(1).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 1 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no Post IW

V001342  E3a(2). #2 like Repub House candidate
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

E3a(2).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
(What was that?)

MENTION 2 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
-----------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no further mention; no Post IW

==============================================
V001343  E3a(3). #3 like Repub House candidate
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

E3a(3).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 3 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
-----------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no further mention; no Post IW

==============================================
V001344  E3a(4). #4 like Repub House candidate
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

E3a(4).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 4 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
-----------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no further mention; no Post IW
V001345  E3a(5). #5 like Repub House candidate
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

E3a(5).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 5 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no further mention; no Post IW

V001346  E4. Dislike anything- Repub House cand
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

E4.

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE/
IF VA05:

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about <NAME>, the Republican candidate (VA05: <INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE>) for the U.S. House of Representatives?

The order in which the E1-E2 series and the E3-E4 series was administered was randomized.
In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Republican candidate name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES, R NAMES SOMETHING DISLIKED  --> SKIP TO E4a
5. NO, NOTHING DISLIKED  --> SKIP TO E5
8. DK  --> SKIP TO E5a
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 7 in E3

0  1  5  8  9
----- ----- ----- ----- -----
Count    831   194   762     8     12

===================================
V001347  E4a(1). #1 dislike Repub House candidate
    MD1:   EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9001
    Numeric

E4a(1).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

    (What was that?)

MENTION 1 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

===================================

[PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate? 
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9996. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no Post IW

===================================
V001348  E4a(2). #2 dislike repun House candidate
    MD:   EQ 0
    Numeric

E4a(2).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

    (What was that?)

MENTION 2 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

===================================

[PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate? 
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no further mention; no Post IW

===================================
V001349  E4a(3). #3 dislike Repub House candidate
    MD:   EQ 0
    Numeric

E4a(3).
IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 3 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no further mention; no Post IW

V001350 E4a(4). #4 dislike Repub House candidate
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

E4a(4).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 4 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no further mention; no Post IW

V001351 E4a(5). #5 dislike Repub House candidate
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

E4a(5).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 5 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no further mention; no Post IW

=================================
V001352 E5. Checkpt: number of Hse cands
     MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9
     Numeric

E5.

CHECKPOINT: NUMBER OF HOUSE CANDIDATES

----------------------------------------------------------------------
1. One House candidate in candidate list
2. Two or more House candidates in candidate list
9. Washington DC
0. No Post IW

=================================
V001353a E5a(1). 2 cands- either cand incumbent
     MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9
     Numeric

E5a(1).

IF 2 OR MORE CANDIDATES IN HOUSE RACE:

Do you happen to know if either of these candidates,
<DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME>/ <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND NAME> or <REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME>/(<VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND NAME>), was already in the U.S. House of Representatives before the election?

[PROBE FOR NO: DO YOU MEAN "NEITHER CANDIDATE" OR "DON'T KNOW"?]

1. YES, <DEMOCRATIC NAME>        --> E6
2. YES, <REPUBLICAN NAME>        --> E6
3. YES <INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE>   --> E6
4. BOTH [VOL]                    --> E6
5. NO, NEITHER WAS [VOL]         --> E6
7. DON'T KNOW, NOT SURE [VOL]    --> E6
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in E5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>399</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>491</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================
V001353b E5a(2). Cand iden as incum- 2 cand race
     MD:  EQ 0

E5a(2).

IF 2 OR MORE CANDIDATES IN HOUSE RACE:

Do you happen to know if either of these candidates, <DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME>/ (VT01: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND ) NAME> or <REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME>/ (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND NAME>), was already in the U.S. House of Representatives before the election?

INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE CODE - 2 CANDIDATE RACE

[PROBE FOR NO: DO YOU MEAN "NEITHER CANDIDATE" OR "DON'T KNOW"]

In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name. In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Republican candidate name.

30. NONINCUMBENT INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE (VA05/VT01)
31. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN OPEN RACE
32. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN OPEN RACE
33. DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT CANDIDATE
34. REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT CANDIDATE
35. DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
36. REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
39. INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE
90. "BOTH"

00. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 9, 0 in E5a(1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>34</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>35</th>
<th>36</th>
<th>39</th>
<th>90</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E5b(1).

IF ONLY 1 CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:

Do you happen to know if <NAME OF DEMOCRATIC OR REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE> was already in the U.S. House of Representatives before the election?

[PROBE FOR NO: DO YOU MEAN "NO, HE/SHE WASN'T" OR "DON'T KNOW"]
1. YES
5. NO
7. DON'T KNOW, NOT SURE [VOL]

9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2, 9, 0 in E5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1592</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001355  E5b(2). Cand iden as incum- 1 cand race
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

E5b(2).

IF ONLY 1 CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:

Do you happen to know if <NAME OF DEMOCRATIC OR
REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE> was already in the U.S. House of
Representatives before the election?

INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE CODE - 1 CANDIDATE RACE
---------------------------------------------------------------------
[PROBE FOR NO: DO YOU MEAN "NO, HE/SHE WASN'T" OR "DON'T
KNOW"?]

31. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN OPEN RACE
32. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN OPEN RACE
33. DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT CANDIDATE
34. REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT CANDIDATE

00. INAP, 5, 7, 9, 0 in E5b(1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>34</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1687</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001356  E6. Party control House before election
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

E6.

Do you happen to know which party had the most members
in the House of Representatives in Washington
BEFORE the election (this/last) month?

(IF NECESSARY: WHICH ONE?)
[DON'T PROBE DK]

1. THE DEMOCRATS
5. THE REPUBLICANS

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>521</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V001357  E7. Party control Senate before election
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

E7.

Do you happen to know which party had the most members in the U.S. Senate BEFORE the election (this/last) month?

(IIF NECESSARY: WHICH ONE?)
[DON'T PROBE DK]

1. THE DEMOCRATS
5. THE REPUBLICANS
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>631</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V001358  F1. Appr/dissapr House incumbent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F1.

In general, do you approve or disapprove of the way Representative <RUNNING INCUMBENT OR RETIRING INCUMBENT NAME> has been handling <HIS/HER> job?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. APPROVE         --> SKIP TO F1a
5. DISAPPROVE      --> SKIP TO F1b
8. DK              --> F2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>912</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V001359  Fl.a. Strength approve House incumbent  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric  

Fla.  

IF R APPROVES RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE:  

Do you approve strongly or not strongly?  

---------------------------------------------------------------------  

1. APPROVE STONGLY   --> SKIP TO F2  
5. NOT STRONGLY   --> SKIP TO F2  

8. DK   --> SKIP TO F2  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in F1  

0        1        5        8  
-----    -----    -----    -----  
Count     895      481      426        5  

V001360  Flb. Strength disappr House incumbent  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric  

Flb.  

IF R DISAPPROVES RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE:  

Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?  

---------------------------------------------------------------------  

1. DISAPPROVE STONGLY  
5. NOT STRONGLY  

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in F1  

0        1        5        8  
-----    -----    -----    -----  
Count    1635       87       82        3  

V001361  Flx. Summary- approve/disapp Hse incumb  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric  

Flx.  

In general, do you approve or disapprove of the way Representative <RUNNING INCUMBENT OR RETIRING INCUMBENT NAME> has been handling <HIS/HER> job?
Do you approve strongly or not strongly?
Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: APPROVE RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE

Built from F1 and F1a/b.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly
8. DK in F1 or F1a/b
9. RF in F1a or F1a/b
0. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F2. Special action by incumbent

Do you happen to remember anything special that
Representative <RUNNING INCUMBENT OR RETIRING INCUMBENT
NAME> has done for the people in <HIS/HER> district
while <HE/SHE> has been in Congress?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>1099</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F3. R know #yrs Hse incumbent has serv

Do you happen to know about how many years <HE/SHE> has
been in the House of Representatives?
1. YES, R KNOWS --> SKIP TO F3a
5. NO --> SKIP TO F3b
8. DK --> SKIP TO F3b
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001364  F3a. #yrs House incumbent has been in of
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

F3a.

IF R SAYS KNOWS HOW LONG RETIRING REPRESENTATIVE HAS BEEN IN OFFICE:

(About how many?)

Actual number coded.

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in F3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1275</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001365  F3b. Incumbent more/less 12 yrs in offic
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F3b.

IF R DK HOW LONG RETIRING REPRESENTATIVE HAS BEEN IN OFFICE:

Would you say less than 12 years, about 12 years, or more than 12 years?
[DON'T PROBE DK]

1. LESS THAN 12 YEARS
3. ABOUT 12 YEARS
5. MORE THAN 12 YEARS

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in F3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>372</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001366  F4. House incumb kept in touch w/distric
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F4.
How good a job would you say U.S. Representative <NAME> does of keeping in touch with the people in your
district -- does (he/she) do a very good job, fairly
good, fairly poor, or a very poor job of keeping in
touch with the people in this district?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>559</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001367  F5. R follows govt and public affairs
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F5.
Some people seem to follow what's going on in government
and public affairs most of the time, whether there's an
election going on or not. Others aren't that interested.
Would you say you follow what's going on in government
and public affairs most of the time, some of the time, only now and then, or hardly at all?

1. MOST OF THE TIME
2. SOME OF THE TIME
3. ONLY NOW AND THEN
4. HARDLY AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G1/G1.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 2 of the booklet. We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Here is a seven-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative.

TELEPHONE:
We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives.

V001368  Gla/Gla.T. R placement lib-con scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Gla/Gla.T.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?

[DO NOT PROBE]

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL --> G2b
2. LIBERAL       --> G2b
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL --＞ G2b
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD --＞ G1b
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE --＞ G2b
6. CONSERVATIVE --＞ G2b
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE --＞ G2b
8. DK --＞ G1b
9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE] --＞ G1b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001369  Glb. Had to choose lib-con self-placemt
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Glb.

IF R LIB-CON SELF-PLACEMENT IS MODERATE/DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT:

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1104</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>413</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001370  Glx. 3-category lib-con summary
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Glx.

FTP:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?

TELEPHONE:
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?

3-CATEGORY LIB-CON SUMMARY

Built from G1a/G1a.T and G1b. This is the traditional summary used in 7-pt scale FTF administration in previous years. Recode values used for each category appear in the order: G1a/G1a.T,G1b.

1. Liberal (1/2/3,0; 0/4/8,1)
3. Moderate (0/4/8,5; 4,7/8/9/NA)
5. Conservative (5/6/7,0; 0/4/8,3)
7. Refused to choose (0/8,7/9)

8. DK (0/8,8)
9. NA/RF to entire question series (RF/NA,0)
0. NA to follow up question G4aa (0/8,NA); No Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001371  G2/G2.T. Clinton placement lib-con scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G2/G2.T.

FTF:
Where would you place Bill Clinton on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==================================
V001372  G3/G3.T. Gore placement lib-con scale
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric
G3/G3.T.

FTP:
Where would you place Al Gore on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore?
(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]
The order in which G3-G5/G3.T-G5.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE IN RANDOMIZATION OR G6/G6.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V001373  G3a/G3a.T. Gore-certain lib-con placement  
MD1:   EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G3a/G3a.T.

IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF GORE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G3/G3.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNT</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>421</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001374  G4/G4.T. Bush placement lib-con scale  
MD1:   EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

G4/G4.T.

FTP:
Where would you place George W. Bush on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush?
(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]
The order in which G3-G5/G3.T-G5.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE IN RANDOMIZATION OR G6/G6.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>647</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
V001375    G4a/G4a.T. Bush-certain lib-con placemnt
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G4a/G4a.T.

IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF GW BUSH IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

=====================================================================

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G4/G4.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>318</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
V001376    G5/G5.T. Buchanan placemnt lib-con scale
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G5/G5.T.

FTF:
Where would you place Pat Buchanan on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about Pat Buchanan?
(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

=====================================================================

[DON’T PROBE DK]
The order in which G3-G5/G3.T-G5.T were administered was
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE IN RANDOMIZATION OR G6/G6.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>154</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G5a/G5a.T.

IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF BUCHANAN IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>759</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G6. Ckpt: number of cands/VT01/VA05

MD: EQ 9
Numeric
G6.

CHECKPOINT:
NUMBER OF CANDIDATES/ VT01 OR VA05
FOR LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE HOUSE CANDIDATE PLACEMENTS
---------------------------------------------------------------------

In VT01, liberal-conservative placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Democratic candidate placement. In VA05, liberal-conservative placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Republican candidate placement.

1. Democratic and Republican candidates in House race
2. Democratic candidate only in House race
3. Republican candidate only in House race
4. VT01: independent incumbent candidate in place of Democratic candidate; Republican challenger
5. VA05: independent incumbent candidate in place of Republican candidate; Democratic challenger

9. INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1332</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==================================
V001378a  G6(1)/G6(1).T. Dem Hse cand placemt-lib
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G6(1)/G6(1).T.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF CANDIDATE THERMOMETER IS NOT 997 (D1g):

FTF:
Where would you place <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>?
(Do you think he/she is extremely liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?

[DON'T PROBE DK]
Respondents were asked G6(1)/G6(1).T in all districts except VT01; G6(2)/G6(2).T was asked in VT01.
FTF:  The order in which G6(1)/G6(2) and G7(1)/G7(2) was administered was randomized.
TELEPHONE: The order in which G6(1)/T/G6(2).T and G7(1)/T/G7(2).T was administered was randomized.

Randomization:  Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars.  The question numbers for randomization

variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> G7 OR PARTY PLACEMENTS
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 4, 9 in G6; 997 in D1g

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001378b  G6(2)/G6(2).T. #1 incum Ind cand place
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G6(2)/G6(2).T.

IF VT01 (INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE):
IF CANDIDATE THERMOMETER IS NOT 997 (D1n):

FTP:
Where would you place <INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about <INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>?
(Do you think he/she is extremely liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?

[DON'T PROBE DK]
Respondents were asked G6(1)/G6(1).T in all districts except VT01; G6(2)/G6(2).T was asked in VT01.
FTP: The order in which G6(1)/G6(2) and G7(1)/G7(2) was administered was randomized.
TELEPHONE: The order in which G6(1)/T/G6(2).T and G7(1).T/G7(2).T was administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK                       --> G7 OR PARTY PLACEMENTS
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3, 5, 9 in G6; 997 in D1n

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text{Count} & 1806 & 1 \\
\end{array}
\]

V001379a  G6a1/G6a1.T. Dem Hse cand-crtn lib-con
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric
G6a1/G6a1.T.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

Respondents were asked G6a1/G6a1.T in all districts except VT01; G6a2/G6a2.T was asked in VT01.

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\text{Count} & 1133 & 135 & 314 & 224 & 1 \\
\end{array}
\]

V001379b  G6a2/G6a2.T. #1 incum Ind cand-crtn lib
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric
G6a2/G6a2.T.

IF VT01 (INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE):
IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF INCUMBENT IND. HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?
Respondents were asked G6a1/G6a1.T in all districts except VT01; G6a2/G6a2.T was asked in VT01.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G6(2)/G6(2).T

Count 1806

V001380a G7.(1)/G7(1).T. Rep Hse cand placmnt-lib
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G7(1)/G7(1).T.

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IS IN HOUSE RACE:
IF CANDIDATE THERMOMETER IS NOT 997 (D1h):

FTF: Where would you place <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this scale?

TELEPHONE: What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>?
(Do you think he/she is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> G7 OR PARTY PLACEMENTS
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2, 5, 9 in G6; 997 in D1h

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001380b  G7.(2)/G7(2).T. #2 incum Ind cand-lib
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8

G7(2)/G7(2).T.

IF VA05 (INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE):
IF CANDIDATE THERMOMETER IS NOT 997 (D1n):

FTF:
Where would you place <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>?
(Do you think he/she is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]
Respondents were asked G7(1)/G7(1).T in all districts except VA05; G7(2)/G7(2).T was asked in VA05.

FTF: The order in which G6(1)/G6(2) and G7(1)/G7(2) was administered was randomized.
TELEPHONE: The order in which G6(1)/T/G6(2).T and G7(1).T/G7(2).T was administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> G7 OR PARTY PLACEMENTS
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3, 4, 9 in G6; 997 in D1n

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1805</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001381a  G7a1/G7a1.T. Rep Hse cand-certn lib-con
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G7a1/G7a1.T.

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

Respondents were asked G7a1/G7a1.T in all districts except VT01; G7a2/G7a2.T was asked in VT01.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G7(1)/G7(1).T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>224</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001381b  G7a2/G7a2.T. #2 incum Ind cand lib-con
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G7a2/G7a2.T.

IF VA05 (INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE):
IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF INCUMBENT IND. HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

Respondents were asked G7a1/G7a1.T in all districts except VT01; G7a2/G7a2.T was asked in VT01.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
V001382  G8/G8.T. Dem Party placement lib-con scale
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G8/G8.T.

FTF: Where would you place the Democratic Party on this scale?

TELEPHONE: What about the Democratic Party?
(Do you think they are extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)
Where would you place the Republican Party on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party?
(Do you think they are extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]
The order in which G8-G10/G8.T-G10.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY IN RANDOMIZATION OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where would you place the Reform Party on this scale?
TELEPHONE:
What about the Reform Party? 
(Do you think they are extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]

The order in which G8-G10/G8.T-G10.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> NEXT PARTY IN RANDOMIZATION OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>737</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G11/G11.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 3 of the booklet.
Some people think the government should provide fewer services even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6.

TELEPHONE:
Some people think the government should provide fewer services, even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Still others feel it is
important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending.

V001385  G11a. R placement- services/spend scale
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11a.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G11b or G11c
2.                                           --> G11b or G11c
3.                                           --> G11b or G11c
4.                                           --> G11b or G11c
5.                                           --> G11b or G11c
6.                                           --> G11b or G11c
7. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING          --> G11b or G11c

8. DK                                        --> G11b or G11c
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode (see Post Admin. 1); no Post interview
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS           --> G11b or G11c

0        1        2        3        4
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----    
Count     73       21       59       98      198
5        6        7        8        9
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----    
Count     151       50       41        4     1112

V001386  G11a.T. R placemnt-services/spend branch
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11a.T.

TELEPHONE:
How about you? Do you think the government should provide fewer services, many more services, or continue providing services at the present level, or haven't you thought much about this?

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING       --> G11a1.T
3. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING      --> G11a2.T
5. CONTINUE AT PRESENT LEVEL --> G11a3.T

8. DK --> G11a3.T

9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode (see Post Admin. 1); no Post interview

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> G11a3.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>949</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V001387  G11a1.T. How much reduce services/spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11a1.T.

IF R THINKS GOVT SHOULD REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Should the government reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> G11b.T
5. ONLY SOME --> G11b.T

8. DK --> G11b.T
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1644</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V001388  G11a2.T. How much increase services/spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11a2.T.

IF R THINKS GOVT SHOULD INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Should the government increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> G11b.T
5. ONLY SOME --> G11b.T

8. DK --> G11b.T
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1644</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
---

Count 1518 121 167 1

V001389 G1la3.T. If serv/spend changed, would favor inc/dec
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G1la3.T.

IF R THINKS SERVICES/SPENDING SHOULD STAY SAME/DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH:

TELEPHONE:
If the level of services and spending were to be changed, would you be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

1. INCREASE
3. DECREASE
5. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3 in G1la.T; FTF mode; no Post interview

Count 1399 175 139 84 9 1

V001390 G1lax. PH Summary R serv/spend scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G1lax1.T.

TELEPHONE:
How about you? Do you think the government should provide fewer services, many more services, or continue providing services at the present level, or haven't you thought much about this?
(IF REDUCE:) Should the government reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?
(IF INCREASE:) Should the government increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?
(IF SAME:) If the level of services and spending were to be changed, would you be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

BRANCHING SUMMARY: 7-POINT SERVICES/SPENDING SELF-PLACEMENT

Built from G1la.T, G1la1.T, G1la2.T, G1la3.T.

1. REDUCE A GREAT DEAL (1,1,0,0)
2. REDUCE ONLY SOME (1,5/8/9/NA,0,0)
3. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE (0/5/8, 0, 0, 3)
4. STAY THE SAME (5, 0, 0, 5/8/9/NA)
5. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE (0/5/8, 0, 0, 1)
6. INCREASE ONLY SOME (3, 0, 1, 0)
7. INCREASE A GREAT DEAL (3, 0, 5/8/9/NA, 0)
8. DK (8, 0, 0, 5/8/9/NA)
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post IW
0. Haven't thought much (0, 0, 0, 5/8/9/NA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>948</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001390a  G11ax. Summary R serv/spend scale
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G11ax2.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:
How about you? Do you think the government should provide fewer services, many more services, or continue providing services at the present level, or haven't you thought much about this?
(IF REDUCE:) Should the government reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?
(IF INCREASE:) Should the government increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?
(IF SAME/DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT:) If the level of services and spending were to be changed, would you be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

SUMMARY: 7-POINT SERVICES/SPENDING SELF-PLACEMENT

Built from G11a and G11ax1.

Note: when using combined-mode summaries (combining face-to-face 7-point scales with telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1/ BRANCHING: REDUCE A GREAT DEAL
2. SCALE: 2/ BRANCHING: REDUCE ONLY SOME
3. SCALE: 3/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE
4. SCALE: 4/ BRANCHING: STAY THE SAME
5. SCALE: 5/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE
6. SCALE: 6/ BRANCHING: INCREASE ONLY SOME
7. SCALE: 7/ BRANCHING: INCREASE A GREAT DEAL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------
V001391  G11b. Ckpt: number of cands/VT01/VA05
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

G11b.

CHECKPOINT:
NUMBER OF CANDIDATES/ VT01 OR VA05
FOR SERVICES/SPENDING HOUSE CANDIDATE PLACEMENTS

In VT01, services/spending placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Democratic candidate placement. In VA05, services/spending placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Republican candidate placement.

1. Democratic and Republican candidates in House race
2. Democratic candidate only in House race
3. Republican candidate only in House race
4. VT01: independent incumbent candidate in place of Democratic candidate; Republican challenger
5. VA05: independent incumbent candidate in place of Republican candidate; Democratic challenger

9. INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1332</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------
V001391a  G11b1. Dem Hse candidate on services/spending
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G11b1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF DEM CAND NOT CODED 997 IN D1g THERMOMETER:
FTF:
Where would you place <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this issue?
---------------------------------------------------------------

[DON'T PROBE DK]
FTF: The order in which G11b(1)/G11b(2) and G11c(1)/G11c(2) were administered was randomized.
In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name:
in VT01, FTF respondents were administered G11b(2).
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G12
2. --> G12
3. --> G12
4. --> G12
5. --> G12
6. --> G12
7. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G12
8. DK --> G12
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 3, 4, 9 in G11b;
candidate coded 997 in thermometer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1258</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>252</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
V001391b G11b2. #1 Ind incumbent Hse cand on services/spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11b2.

IF VT01:
IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND NOT CODED 997 IN D1n THERMOMETER:

FTF:
Where would you place (VT01: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>) on this issue?

[DON'T PROBE DK]
FTF: The order in which G11b(1)/G11b(2) and G11c(1)/G11c(2) were administered was randomized. In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name: in districts other than VT01, FTF respondents were administered G11b(1).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G12
2. --> G12
3. --> G12
4. --> G12
5. --> G12
6. --> G12
7. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G12
8. DK --> G12
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 1, 2, 3, 5, 9 in G11b; candidate coded 997 in thermometer

0
-----
Count 1807

V001392a G11b(1).T. Dem Hse cand on services/spending
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11b1.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF DEM CAND NOT CODED 997 IN D1g THERMOMETER:

TELEPHONE:
What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>?
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?

[DON'T PROBE DK]
PHONE: The order in which G11b(1).T/G11b(2).T and G11c(1).T/G11c(2).T were administered was randomized. In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name: in VT01, Phone respondents were administered G11b(2).T.
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in
the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G11b1.T
3. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G11b2.T
5. CONTINUE SERVICES AT PRESENT LEVEL--> G11b3.T
8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 3, 4, 9 in G11b; candidate coded 997 in thermometer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1197</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------
V001392b   G11b(2).T. #1 Ind incumbent Hse cand on serv/spend
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G11b2.T.

IF VT01:
IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND NOT CODED 997 IN D1n THERMOMETER:

TELEPHONE:
What about (VT01: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>)?
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?

[DON'T PROBE DK]
PHONE: The order in which G11b(1).T/G11b(2).T and G11c(1).T/G11c(2).T were administered was randomized.
In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name:
in districts other than VT01, Phone respondents were administered G11b(1).T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G11b1.T
3. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G11b2.T
5. CONTINUE SERVICES AT PRESENT LEVEL--> G11b3.T

8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1, 2, 3, 5, 9 in G11b;
candidate coded 997 in thermometer

0     5
-----  ----
Count 1806     1

==============================================
V001393a  G11b(1).T. Dem cand- how much reduce services/spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11b(1).T.

IF R THINKS DEM HOUSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and
spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending)
only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL  --NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. ONLY SOME     --NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK            --NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(1).T

0     1     5
----- ---- ----
Count 1771 11  25

==============================================
V001393b  G11b(2).T. #2 Ind incumbnt Hse cand- how much red
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11b(2).T.

IF R THINKS VT01 IND HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and
spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending)
only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL  --NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. ONLY SOME     --NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK            --NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(2).T
V001394a  G11b2(1).T. Dem Hse cand-how much increase ser/sp
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

**G11b2(1).T.**

**IF R THINKS DEM HOUSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:**

**TELEPHONE:**
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. A GREAT DEAL   --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. ONLY SOME      --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK             --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(1).T

```
0        1        5        8
-----    -----    -----    -----  
Count    1625       77      104        1
```

V001394b  G11b2(2).T. #1 Ind incumbent Hse cand- how much incr
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

**G11b2(2).T.**

**IF R THINKS VT01 IND HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:**

**TELEPHONE:**
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. A GREAT DEAL   --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. ONLY SOME      --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK             --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(2).T

```
0
-----
Count   1807
```
V001395a  G11b3(1).T. Dem Hse cnd- if serv/spnd chnged, inc/dec
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11b3(1).T.

IF R THINKS DEM HOUSE CAND WOULD LIKE SERVICES/SPENDING UNCHANGED:

TELEPHONE:
If the level of services and spending were to be
changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of
an increase or a decrease?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. INCREASE   --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
3. DECREASE   --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. NEITHER    --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK         --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(1).T

0 1 3 5 8
----- ----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 1714 57 19 14 3

V001395b  G11b3(2).T. #1 Ind inc Hse cand- if chnged, incr/decr
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11b3(2).T.

IF R THINKS VT01 IND HOUSE CAND WOULD LIKE SERVICES/SPENDING UNCHANGED:

TELEPHONE:
If the level of services and spending were to be
changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of
an increase or a decrease?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. INCREASE   --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
3. DECREASE   --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. NEITHER    --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK         --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(2).T

0 3 
----- ----- 
Count 1806 1
TELEPHONE:  
What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE/VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?  
(IF REDUCE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?  
(IF INCREASE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?  
(IF SAME): If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?  

BRANCHING SUMMARY:  7PT SERV/SPEND DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CAND PLACEMENT  
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from G11b1/G11b2.T, G11b1(1)/G11b1(2).T, G11b2(1).T/G11b2(2).T, 
G11b3(1).T/G11b3(2).T.  
1. REDUCE A GREAT DEAL (1,1,0,0)  
2. REDUCE ONLY SOME (1,5/8/9/NA,0,0)  
3. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE (5/8,0,0,3)  
4. STAY THE SAME (5,0,0,5/8/9/NA)  
5. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE (5/8,0,0,1)  
6. INCREASE ONLY SOME (3,0,1,0)  
7. INCREASE A GREAT DEAL (3,0,5/8/9/NA,0)  
8. DK (5,0,0,5,8/9/NA)  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Democratic candidate and not VT01; candidate coded 997 in thermometer; no Post IW  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1196</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE/VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?
(If reduce:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?
(If increase:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?
(If same): If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

SUMMARY: 7-POINT SERVICES/SPEND DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CAND PLACEMENT
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from and G11b1/G11b2 and G11bx1.

Note: when using combined-mode summaries (combining face-to-face 7-point scales with telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1/ BRANCHING: REDUCE A GREAT DEAL
2. SCALE: 2/ BRANCHING: REDUCE ONLY SOME
3. SCALE: 3/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE
4. SCALE: 4/ BRANCHING: STAY THE SAME
5. SCALE: 5/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE
6. SCALE: 6/ BRANCHING: INCREASE ONLY SOME
7. SCALE: 7/ BRANCHING: INCREASE A GREAT DEAL

8. DK (8,0,0,5,8/9/NA)
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Democratic candidate and not VT01; candidate coded 997 in thermometer; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>647</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G11c1.

V001397a G11c1. Repub House candidate on services/spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11c1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.: IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE: IF REP CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1h THERMOMETER:
FTF:
Where would you place <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this issue?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

FTF: The order in which G11b(1)/G11b(2) and G11c(1)/G11c(2) were administered was randomized. In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Republican candidate name: in VA05, FTF respondents were administered G11c(2).

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G12
2. --> G12
3. --> G12
4. --> G12
5. --> G12
6. --> G12
7. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G12
8. DK --> G12
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 2, 5, 9 in G11b; candidate coded 997 in thermometer

0 1 2 3 4
----- ----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 1295 6 36 98 94 

5 6 7 8
----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 49 15 3 211

V001397b G11c2. #2 Ind inc Hse cand on services/spending
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11c2.

IF VA05:
IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1n THERMOMETER:

FTF:
Where would you place (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>) on this issue?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

FTF: The order in which G11b(1)/G11b(2) and G11c(1)/G11c(2) were administered was randomized. In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Republican candidate name: in districts other than VA05, FTF respondents were administered G11c(1).
1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G12
2. --> G12
3. --> G12
4. --> G12
5. --> G12
6. --> G12
7. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G12
8. DK --> G12
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 1, 2, 3, 4, 9 in G11b; candidate coded 997 in thermometer

0
-----
Count 1807

=================================
V001398a   G11c(1).T. Rep Hse cand on services/spending
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric
G11c1.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF REP CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1h THERMOMETER:

TELEPHONE:
What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>?
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?

[DON'T PROBE DK]
FTF: The order in which G11b(1).T/G11b(2).T and G11c(1).T/G11c(2).T were administered was randomized. In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Republican candidate name: in VA05, Phone respondents were administered G11c(2).T Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G11c1.T
3. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G11c2.T
5. CONTINUE SERVICES AT PRESENT LEVEL--> G11c3.T
8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 2, 5, 9 in G11b; candidate coded 997 in thermometer

0 1 3 5 8
----- ----- ----- ----- ----- -----
Count 1167 169 59 114 298
V001398b  G11c(2).T. #2 Ind incumbent Hse cand on services/spnd
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G11c2.T.

IF VA05:
IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1n THERMOMETER:

TELEPHONE:
What about (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>)?
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

FTF: The order in which G11b(1).T/G11b(2).T and G11c(1).T/G11c(2).T were administered was randomized.
In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Republican candidate name: in districts other than VA05, Phone respondents were administered G11c(1).T

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G11c1.T
3. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING  --> G11c2.T
5. CONTINUE SERVICES AT PRESENT LEVEL--> G11c3.T

8. DK                                --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1, 2, 3, 4, 9 in G11b;
candidate coded 997 in thermometer

0        1        5
-----    -----    -----    
Count 1805        1        1

V001399a  G11cl(1).T. Rep Hse cand- how much reduce services/sp
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G11cl(1).T.

IF R THINKS REPUBLICAN HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL  --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. ONLY SOME     --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11c(1).T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1638</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
V001399b G11c1(2).T. #2 Ind incumbent Hse cand- how much reduc
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
G11c1(2).T.

IF R THINKS VA05 HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11c(2).T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1806</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
V001400a G11c2(1).T. Rep Hse cand- how much incrsease serv/spd
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
G11c2(1).T.

IF R THINKS REPUB HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11c(1).T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
G11c2(2).T.

IF R THINKS VA05 IND HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL  --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. ONLY SOME    --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK          --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11c(2).T

Count 1807

G11c3(1).T.

IF R THINKS REPUB HSE CAND WOULD LIKE SERVICES/SPENDING UNCHANGED:

TELEPHONE:
If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

1. INCREASE   --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
3. DECREASE   --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. NEITHER    --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK         --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 8, 9, 0 in G11c(1).T

Count 1693
V001401b  G11c3(2).T. #2 Ind incumbt Hse cand- if srv/spd chang
   MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
   Numeric

G11c3(2).T.

IF R THINKS VA05 IND HSE CAND WOULD LIKE SERVICES/SPENDING UNCHANGED:

TELEPHONE:
If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

1. INCREASE   --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
3. DECREASE   --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. NEITHER    --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK         --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 8, 9, 0 in G11c(2).T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001402  G11cx1. PH Summary Rep cand services/spending
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G11cx1.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE/VA05 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level? (IF REDUCE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some? (IF INCREASE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some? (IF SAME): If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

BRANCHING SUMMARY: 7PT SERV/SPENDING REPUB HOUSE CAND PLACEMENT


1. REDUCE A GREAT DEAL (1,1,0,0)
2. REDUCE ONLY SOME (1,5/8/9/NA,0,0)
3. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE (5/8,0,0,3)
4. STAY THE SAME (5,0,0,5/8/9/NA)
5. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE (5/8,0,0,1)
6. INCREASE ONLY SOME (3,0,1,0)
7. INCREASE A GREAT DEAL (3,0,5/8/9/NA,0)
8. DK (8,0,0,5/8/9/NA)
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Republican candidate and not VA05; candidate coded 997 in thermometer; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1165</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>298</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001402a  G11cx2. Summary Rep cand services/spending
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11cx2.

FTF:
Where would you place <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE/ VA05 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this issue?

TELEPHONE:
What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE/VA05 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?
(IF REDUCE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?
(IF INCREASE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?
(IF SAME): If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

SUMMARY: 7-POINT SERVICES/SPEND REPUBLICAN HOUSE CAND PLACEMENT

Note: when using combined-mode summaries (combining face-to-face 7-point scales with telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1/ BRANCHING: REDUCE A GREAT DEAL
2. SCALE: 2/ BRANCHING: REDUCE ONLY SOME
3. SCALE: 3/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE

4. SCALE: 4/ BRANCHING: STAY THE SAME
5. SCALE: 5/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE
6. SCALE: 6/ BRANCHING: INCREASE ONLY SOME
7. SCALE: 7/ BRANCHING: INCREASE A GREAT DEAL

8. DK (8,0,0,5,8/9/NA)
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Republican candidate and not VA05;
candidate coded 997 in thermometer; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>509</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
V001403  G12/G12.T. R placement- abortion scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G12/G12.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. Which one of the opinions on this page best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

TELEPHONE:
There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. I am going to read you a short list of opinions. Please tell me which one of the opinions best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

-----------------------------------------------

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>277</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
G12a. ALL ABORTION RESPONDENTS:

How important is this issue to you personally? Not at all important, not too important, somewhat important, very important, or extremely important?

1. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT
2. NOT TOO IMPORTANT
3. SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
4. VERY IMPORTANT
5. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 9, 0 in G12/G12.T

G12b. CHECKPOINT:

NUMBER OF CANDIDATES/V T01 OR VA05
FOR ABORTION HOUSE CANDIDATE PLACEMENTS

In VT01, abortion placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Democratic candidate placement. In VA05, abortion placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Republican candidate placement.

1. Democratic and Republican candidates in House race
2. Democratic candidate only in House race
3. Republican candidate only in House race
4. VT01: independent incumbent candidate in place of Democratic candidate; Republican challenger
5. VA05: independent incumbent candidate in place of Republican candidate; Democratic challenger

9. INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1332</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001405a  G12b1/G12b1.T. Dem Hse cand placmt on abortion
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF DEM CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1g THERMOMETER:

FTP:
Where would you place <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>
(on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:
What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE> (on abortion)?
Which opinion best agrees with [HIS/HER] views?

[DON'T PROBE DK]
G12b(1)/G12b(1).T was administered in all districts except VT01; in VT01, G12b(2)/G12b(2).T was administered.
FTP: The order in which G12b(1)/G12b(2) AND G12c(1)/G12c(2) were administered was randomized.
TELEPHONE: The order in which G12b(1).T/G12b(2).T and G12c(1).T/G12c(2).T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.  --> G12c or G13
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.  --> G12c or G13
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.  --> G12c or G13
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.  --> G12c or G13
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]  --> G12c or G13
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 4, 9 in G12b; 997 in D1g

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>781</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001405b G12b2/G12b2.T. #1 Ind inc cand plac on abort
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G12b2/G12b2.T.

IF VT01:
IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1g THERMOMETER:

FTF:
Where would you place (VT01: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>) (on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:
What about <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> (on abortion)?
Which opinion best agrees with [HIS/HER] views?

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.   --> G12c or G13
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.   --> G12c or G13
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.   --> G12c or G13
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.   --> G12c or G13
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]   --> G12c or G13
8. DK
### V001406a  G12b1(1)/G12b1(1).T. Dem Hse cand-certainty of plcmt

**MD1:** EQ 0, **MD2:** GE 8  
**Numeric**

**G12b1(1)/G12b1(1).T.**

**IF ABORTION PLACEMENT OF DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:**

How certain are you of **DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE**'s position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

| 1 | VERY CERTAIN |
| 3 | PRETTY CERTAIN |
| 5 | NOT VERY CERTAIN |
| 8 | DK |

**G12b1(1)/G12b1(1).T was administered in all districts except VT01; in VT01, G12b1(2)/G12b1(2).T was administered.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1431</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### V001406b  G12b1(2)/G12b1(2).T. #1 Ind inc cnd-certainty of plc

**MD1:** EQ 0, **MD2:** GE 8  
**Numeric**

**G12b1(2)/G12b1(2).T.**

**IF ABORTION PLACEMENT OF VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:**

How certain are you of **VT01 INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE**'s position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

| 1 | VERY CERTAIN |
| 3 | PRETTY CERTAIN |
| 5 | NOT VERY CERTAIN |
| 8 | DK |

**G12b1(1)/G12b1(1).T was administered in all districts except VT01; in VT01, G12b1(2)/G12b1(2).T was administered.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1431</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G12b(2)/G12b(2).T

--------
Count 1806 1

V001407a  G12c1/G12c1.T. Rep Hse cand placmt on abortion
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

G12c1/G12c1.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF REP CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1H THERMOMETER:

FTP:
Where would you place <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE> (on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:
What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE> (on abortion)?
Which opinion best agrees with <HIS/HER> views?

G12c(1)/G12c(1).T was administered in all districts except VA05; in VT01, G12c(2)/G12c(2).T was administered.

FTP: The order in which G12b(1)/G12b(2) and G12c(1)/G12c(2) were administered was randomized.
TELEPHONE: The order in which G12b(1).T/G12b(2).T and G12c(1).T/G12c(2).T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED. --> G12b or G13
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER. --> G12b or G13
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED. --> G12b or G13
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE. --> G12b or G13
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL] --> G12b or G13

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2, 5, 9 in G12b; 997 in D1h
V001407b  G12c2/G12c2.T. #2 Ind inc cand placmt on abort

MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8

Numeric

G12c2/G12c2.T.

IF VA05:
IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1H THERMOMETER:

FTP:
Where would you place (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>) (on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:
What about (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>) (on abortion)?
Which opinion best agrees with <HIS/HER> views?

[DON'T PROBE DK]
G12c(1)/G12c(1).T was adminstered in all districts except VA05; in VA05, G12c(2)/G12c(2).T was administered.

FTP: The order in which G12b(1)/G12b(2) AND G12c(1)/G12c(2) were administered was randomized.

TELEPHONE: The order in which G12b(1).T/G12b(2).T and G12c(1).T/G12c(2).T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED. --> G12b or G13
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER. --> G12b or G13
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED. --> G12b or G13
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE. --> G12b or G13
5. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL] --> G12b or G13

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3, 4, 9 in G12b; 997 in D1n

0 2 3
V001408a  G12c(1)/G12c(1).T. Rep Hse cand-certainty of plc
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G12c(1)/G12c(1).T.

IF ABORTION PLACEMENT OF REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>'s position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

G12c(1)/G12c(1).T was administered in all districts except VA05; in VA05, G12c(2)/G12c(2).T was administered.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G12c(1)/G12c(1).T

0        1        3        5        8

Count  1805        1        1

V001408b  G12c(2)/G12c(2).T. #2 Ind inc cand-certainty of plc
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G12c(2)/G12c(2).T.

IF ABORTION PLACEMENT OF VA05 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of <VA05 IND HOUSE CANDIDATE>'s position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

G12c(1)/G12c(1).T was administered in all districts except VA05; in VA05, G12c(2)/G12c(2).T was administered.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G12c(2)/G12c(2).T

0        1        3

Count  1420       59      158      169        1
V001409  G13. R thinks of themself as Republican or Democrat  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric  

G13.  

Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Democrat or Republican?  

1. DEMOCRAT  
3. REPUBLICAN  
5. NEITHER [VOL]  
7. OTHER [SPECIFY]  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview  

0 1 3 5  

Count 252 706 522 253  

7 8 9  

Count 62 10 2  

V001410  H1. R better/worse off in last year financially  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric  

H1.  
We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family living here/there) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?  

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.  

1. BETTER  
3. WORSE --> SKIP H1b  
5. THE SAME --> SKIP H2  
8. DK --> SKIP H2  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R not administered 'section H in Post  

0 1 3 5 8 9  

H1a. How much better off in last yer financially

IF R FINANCIAL SITUATION BETTER IN LAST YEAR:

Much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER  --> SKIP H2
5. SOMewhat BETTER  --> SKIP H2
8. DK  --> SKIP H2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in H1

0 1 5
----- ----- -----
Count 1560 66 181

H1b. How much worse off last year financially

IF R FINANCIAL SITUATION WORSE IN LAST YEAR:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in H1

0 1 5
----- ----- -----
Count 1728 23 56

H1x. Summary of R's financial situation in last year

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your
family living here/there) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago? Much better or somewhat better? Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN PAST YEAR

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. The same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK in H1 or H1a/b
9. RF in H1 or H1a/b
0. NA; INAP, no Post IW; R selected for administration in the Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1042</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001412a H1x1. Pre/Post Summary of R financial situation
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

H1x1.

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family living here/there) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago? Much better or somewhat better? Much worse or somewhat worse?

COMBINED PRE/POST SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN PAST YEAR

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

Built from Pre E1x and Post H1x

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. The same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Post administration and no Post IW
**V001413**  
H2. Put off medical or dental treatment in last year  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H2.

In the past year did you (or anyone in your family living here/there) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

1. YES  
5. NO  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R not administered section H in Post

---

**V001413a**  
H2x. Pre/Po: Put off medical or dental treatment  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

H2x.

In the past year did you (or anyone in your family living here/there) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?

COMBINED PRE/POST: MEDICAL OR DENTAL TREATMENT POSTPONED

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.  
Built from Pre E2 and Post H2.

1. YES  
5. NO  
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Post administration and no Post IW

0 1 5 9
----- ---- ---- ----- ----
Count 115 457 1234 1

V001414  H3. R expects next year to be better/worse financial
          MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
          Numeric

H3.

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you
(and your family living here/there) will be better off
financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered
section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE    --> Skip H3b
5. THE SAME --> Skip H4
8. DK       --> Skip H4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R not administered
   section H in Post

0 1 3 5 8 9
----- ---- ---- ---- ---- ---- ----
Count 1042 289 43 414 17 2

V001415  H3a. How much better off next year financially
          MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
          Numeric

H3a.

IF R THINKS FINANCIAL SITUATION WILL BE BETTER IN NEXT YEAR:

Much better off or somewhat better off?

1. MUCH BETTER    --> SKIP H4
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP H4
8. DK             --> Skip H4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in H3

0 1 5 8
----- ---- ---- ---- ----
Count 1518 87 200 2

H3b. How much worse off next year financially

IF R THINKS FINANCIAL SITUATION WILL BE WORSE IN NEXT YEAR:

Much worse off or somewhat worse off?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMewhat WORSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in H3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1764</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H3x. Summary: R financial expectations in next year

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you (and your family living here/there) will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?

Much better or somewhat better?
Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN NEXT YEAR

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. About the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK in H3 or H3a/b
9. RF in H3 or H3a/b
0. NA; INAP, no post IW; administered in Pre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1042</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001417a   H3x1. Pre/Po: Financial situation in next year
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

H3x1.

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you (and your family living here/there) will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now? Much better or somewhat better? Much worse or somewhat worse?

PRE/POST SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN NEXT YEAR
---------------------------------------------------------------------

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. About the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001418   H4. How afraid is R of assault in next year
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

H4.

How afraid are you that a member of your family, or a close friend, or you yourself might be the victim of an assault during the coming year? Would you say you are very afraid, somewhat afraid, a little bit afraid, or not afraid?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.
NOTE: due to an error in the application logic, this variable was administered only to cases selected for Post administration of questions H1-H3.
1. VERY AFRAID
3. SOMEWHAT AFRAID
5. A LITTLE BIT AFRAID
7. NOT AFRAID
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, logic error; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1042</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>338</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001419 J1. R's attitude about serving on jury duty
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

J1.
If you were selected to serve on a jury, would you be happy to do it or would you rather not serve?

1. HAPPY TO DO IT
5. RATHER NOT SERVE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>957</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

J2.
Next, I am going to ask you to choose which of two statements I read comes closer to your own opinion. You might agree to some extent with both, but we want to know which one is closer to your own views.

V001420 J2a. Less govt, or more things government should do
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

J2a.
ONE, the less government, the better; OR
TWO, there are more things that government should be doing?

[IF NECESSARY, PROBE "WHICH IS CLOSER"]
1. THE LESS GOVERNMENT THE BETTER
2. MORE THINGS GOVERNMENT SHOULD BE DOING

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>639</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V001421  J2b. Strong govt to handle cmplx prbls or free mrkt
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

J2b.

ONE, we need a strong government to handle today's complex economic problems; OR
TWO, the free market can handle these problems without government being involved.

[IF NECESSARY, PROBE "WHICH IS CLOSER"]

1. NEED A STRONG GOV'T TO HANDLE COMPLEX ECONOMIC PROBLEMS
2. FREE MARKET CAN HANDLE WITHOUT GOV'T INVOLVEMENT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V001422  J2c. Reason govt is bigger- meddlesome or big prblms
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

J2c.

ONE, the main reason government has become bigger over the years is because it has gotten involved in things that people should do for themselves; OR
TWO, government has become bigger because the problems we face have become bigger.

[IF NECESSARY, PROBE "WHICH IS CLOSER"]

1. GOV'T BIGGER BECAUSE IT'S INVOLVED IN THINGS PEOPLE SHOULD HANDLE THEMSELVES
2. GOV'T BIGGER BECAUSE PROBLEMS BIGGER
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

----------------------
V001423    J2d. Better cooperative or self-reliant
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

J2d.

ONE, it is more important to be a cooperative person who works well with others; OR
TWO, it is more important to be a self-reliant person able to take care of oneself.

[IF NECESSARY, PROBE "WHICH IS CLOSER"]

1. MORE IMPT. TO BE COOPERATIVE
2. MORE IMPT. TO BE SELF-RELIANT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>852</td>
<td>662</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

----------------------
V001424    J3. # times R watched jeopardy
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

J3.

Now I'd like to ask you a few short questions about television viewing, because what you watch often determines what political advertising you will see. How many times in the last week have you watched "Jeopardy"?

1-20. NUMBER OF TIMES
95. EVERY DAY
96. NEVER, NOT AT ALL
97. R VOLUNTEERS,"NEVER WATCHES TELEVISION" --> SKIP TO J 8
98. DON'T KNOW
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

0 1 2 3 4 5
### V001425 - J4. # times R watched wheel of fortune

**MD1:** EQ 0, **MD2:** GE 98

**Numeric**

**J4.**

How many times in the last week have you watched "Wheel of Fortune"?

---------------------------------------------

1-20. NUMBER OF TIMES
95. EVERY DAY
96. NEVER, NOT AT ALL
98. DON'T KNOW
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 97 in J3

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Times</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Times</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Times</th>
<th>95</th>
<th>96</th>
<th>98</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1097</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### V001426 - J5. # times R watched morning news

**MD1:** EQ 0, **MD2:** GE 98

**Numeric**

**J5.**

How many times in the last week have you watched morning news programs such as "Today," "Good Morning America," or "The Early Show"?

---------------------------------------------

1-20. NUMBER OF TIMES
95. EVERY DAY
96. NEVER, NOT AT ALL
98. DON'T KNOW
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 97 in J3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>284</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|   | 181| 16 | 177| 729| 4  |

V001427   J6. # times R watched daytime talk show
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

J6.

How many times in the last week have you watched daytime television talk shows such as "Oprah Winfrey," "Rosie O'Donnell," or "Jerry Springer"?

1-20. NUMBER OF TIMES
95. EVERY DAY
96. NEVER, NOT AT ALL
98. DON'T KNOW
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 97 in J3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>284</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1082</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001428   J7. Network news program R watches most
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

J7.

Which of the network news programs do you watch most often - "World News Tonight" on ABC, "NBC Nightly News," "The CBS Evening News," or some other network news program?

1. ABC
3. NBC
5. CBS
6. WATCH NO NETWORK NEWS PROGRAM [VOL]
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 97 in J3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001429    J8. How much can you trust the media
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

V001430    J9. Does R listen to political talk radio
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric
V001431  J9a. How often does R listen to talk radio
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

J9a.

IF R LISTENS TO POLITICAL TALK RADIO:

How often do you listen to those programs -- every day, most days, once or twice a week, or only occasionally?

1. EVERYDAY
2. MOST DAYS
3. ONCE OR TWICE A WEEK
4. ONLY OCCASIONALLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in J9

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1246</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001432  J9b. How closely does R listen to talk radio
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

J9b.

IF R LISTENS TO POLITICAL TALK RADIO:

Some people listen carefully to these talk radio programs while others just keep them on in the background while they do other things. How about you? When you turn on a political talk radio program, would you say you pay very close attention, fairly close attention, occasional attention, or very little attention?

1. VERY CLOSE ATTENTION
2. FAIRLY CLOSE ATTENTION
3. OCCASIONAL ATTENTION
4. VERY LITTLE ATTENTION

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in J9

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1246</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001433  J10. Does R have Internet or WWW access  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Do you have access to the Internet or the World Wide Web?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>DK</th>
<th>RF</th>
<th>NA/INAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count 252 973 581 1

V001434  J10a. Has R seen election info on Internet  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

IF R HAS ACCESS TO THE INTERNET:

Have you seen any information about this election campaign on the (Internet/Web)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>DK</th>
<th>RF</th>
<th>NA/INAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count 834 464 509

V001435  J11. Important differences b/w Reps/Dems  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Do you think there are any important differences in what the Republicans and Democrats stand for?
1. YES, DIFFERENCES
5. NO, NO DIFFERENCES

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1066</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001436a J11a1(1). #1 difference b/w Reps/Dems
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 995
Numeric

J11a1(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 1 - DIFFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.
Codes 001-985 and:

990. Other comments
995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11

V001436b J11a1(2). #1 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

J11a1(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 1 - PARTY REFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

1. Democratic party mention
2. Democratic Presidential candidate
4. Republican Presidential candidate
5. Republican Party mention
6. Other party mentioned instead
7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party difference

8. DK (998 in J11a1(1))
9. RF (999 in J11a1(1))
0. NA (995 in J11a1(1)); Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>741</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V001436c  J11a2(1). #2 difference b/w Reps/Dems
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

J11a2(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 2 - DIFFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO." busy]
See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.
Codes 001-985 and:

990. Other comments

000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

==============================================
V001436d  J11a2(2). #2 party refnre b/w Reps/Dems
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

J11a2(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 2 - PARTY REFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO." busy]

1. Democratic party mention
2. Democratic Presidential candidate
4. Republican Presidential candidate
5. Republican Party mention
6. Other party mentioned instead

7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party difference

0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>886</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>216</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------------------
V001436e J11a3(1). #3 difference b/w Reps/Dems
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

J11a3(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 3 - DIFFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.
Codes 001-985 and:

990. Other comments

000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

--------------------
V001436f J11a3(2). #3 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

J11a3(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 3 - PARTY REFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

1. Democratic party mention
2. Democratic Presidential candidate
4. Republican Presidential candidate
5. Republican Party mention
6. Other party mentioned instead
7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party
difference

0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1137</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>163</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================
V001436g   J11a4(1). #4 difference b/w Reps/Dems
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

J11a4(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 4 - DIFFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO." ]
See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.
Codes 001-985 and:

990. Other comments

000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

========================================
V001436h   J11a4(2). #4 party refnc b/w Reps/Dems
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

J11a4(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 4 - PARTY REFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO." ]

1. Democratic party mention
2. Democratic Presidential candidate
4. Republican Presidential candidate
5. Republican Party mention
6. Other party mentioned instead
7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party difference
0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1302</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================

V001436j  J11a5(1). #5 difference b/w Reps/Dems
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

J11a5(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 5 - DIFFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO." ]
See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.
Codes 001-985 and:

990. Other comments

000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

==============================

V001436k  J11a5(2). #5 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

J11a5(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 5 - PARTY REFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO." ]

1. Democratic party mention
2. Democratic Presidential candidate
4. Republican Presidential candidate
5. Republican Party mention
6. Other party mentioned instead
7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party difference
0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1490</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001436m  J11a6(1). #6 difference b/w Reps/Dems
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

J11a6(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 6 - DIFFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.
Codes 001-985 and:
990. Other comments

V001436n  J11a6(2). #6 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

J11a6(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 6 - PARTY REFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

1. Democratic party mention
2. Democratic Presidential candidate
4. Republican Presidential candidate
5. Republican Party mention
6. Other party mentioned instead
7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party difference

0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further
Some people think that certain groups have too much influence in American life and politics, while other people feel that certain groups don't have as much influence as they deserve. I am going to read you a list of groups, for each one please tell me whether that group has too much influence, just about the right amount of influence or too little influence.

K1a. The first group is WHITES. Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

K1b. What about BLACKS? (Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001439  Klc. Hispanics influence
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Klc.

What about HISPANICS (HISPANIC-AMERICANS)?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001440  Kld. Asian-Americans influence
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Kld.

What about ASIAN AMERICANS?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>771</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Kle. Jews influence
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

What about JEWS?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Klf. Protestants influence
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

What about PROTESTANTS?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>1012</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Klg. Catholics influence
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

What about CATHOLICS?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1012</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V001444  Klh. Men influence
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Klh.

What about MEN?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V001445  Klj. Women influence
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Klj.

And the last group is WOMEN.
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
K2.

Now we have a set of questions concerning various public figures. We want to see how much information about them gets out to the public from television, newspapers and the like.

V001446a K2a. Identify Trent Lott -standard
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K2a.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

The first name is TRENT LOTT.
What job or political office does he NOW hold?

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES LOTT AS SENATE MAJORITY LEADER
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2a.E; no Post interview

Count 252 105 556 866 19 9

V001446b K2a.E. Identify Trent Lott -experimental
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K2a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

The first name is TRENT LOTT.
What job or political office does he NOW hold?

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.
1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES LOTT AS SENATE MAJORITY LEADER
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2a; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1277</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001447 K2ax. Summary identify Trent Lott
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K2ax.

The first name is TRENT LOTT.
What job or political office does he NOW hold?

SUMMARY: TRENT LOTT OFFICE RECOGNITION

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]/
[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Built from K2a/K2a.E
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES LOTT AS SENATE MAJORITY LEADER
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>991</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001448 K2a1.E. Identify Trent Lott- DK probe used
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

K2a1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

The first name is TRENT LOTT.
What job or political office does he NOW hold?

CHECKPOINT: LOTT - PROBE USED?
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. YES
5. NO

0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2a; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1279</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001449a  K2b. Identify William Rehnquist -standard
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K2b.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

WILLIAM REHNQUIST [PRON: Renn-kwist]
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES REHNQUIST AS CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2b.E; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>630</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001449b  K2b.E. Identify William Rehnquist -experimental
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K2b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

WILLIAM REHNQUIST [PRON: Renn-kwist]
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES REHNQUIST AS CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2b; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Count</strong></td>
<td>1277</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001450    K2bx. Summary identify William Rehnquist
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K2bx.

WILLIAM REHNQUIST [PRON: Renn-kwist]
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

SUMMARY: REHNQUIST OFFICE RECOGNITION

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]/
[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Built from K2b/K2b.E
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES REHNQUIST AS CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Count</strong></td>
<td>252</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001451    K2b1.E. Identify William Rehnquist - DK probe used
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

K2b1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

WILLIAM REHNQUIST [PRON: Renn-kwist]
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?
[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. YES
5. NO

0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2b; no Post interview

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1279</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>308</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001452a  K2c. Identify Tony Blair -standard
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

K2c.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

TONY BLAIR
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES BLAIR AS PRIME MINISTER OF ENGLAND/GREAT BRITAIN
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2c.E; no Post interview

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>782</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001452b  K2c.E. Identify Tony Blair-experimental
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

K2c.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

TONY BLAIR
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES BLAIR AS PRIME MINISTER OF ENGLAND/GREAT BRITAIN
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2c; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1277</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>271</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001453  K2cx. Summary identify Tony Blair
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K2cx.

TONY BLAIR
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

SUMMARY: BLAIR OFFICE RECOGNITION

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Built from K2c/K2c.E
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES BLAIR AS PRIME MINISTER OF ENGLAND/GREAT BRITAIN
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>875</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001454  K2c1.E. Identify Tony Blair - DK probe used
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

K2c1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:
Tony Blair
(What job or political office does he now hold?)

Checkpoint: Probe used?

[Probe don't knows with, "Well, what's your best guess?"]

1. Yes
5. No

0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2c; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1278</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

V001455a  K2d. Identify Janet Reno -standard

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K2d.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

Janet Reno
(What job or political office does she now hold?)

[Don't probe don't knows]

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. Correctly identifies Reno as Attorney General of the United States
5. Identification is incomplete or wrong

8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2d.E; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

V001455b  K2d.E. Identify Janet Reno -experimental

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

K2d.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

Janet Reno
(What job or political office does she now hold?)
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES RENO AS ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2d; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1277</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001456   K2dx. Summary identify Janet Reno
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

K2dx.

JANET RENO
(What job or political office does she NOW hold?)

SUMMARY: RENO OFFICE RECOGNITION

V001457   K2d1.E. Identify Janet Reno -DK probe used
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

K2d1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:
JANET RENO
(What job or political office does she NOW hold?)

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. YES
5. NO

0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2d; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1278</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>399</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

K3.

Next, I'd like to ask you about the candidates who ran for President and their running mates. We're interested in some of the things that people may have heard about these candidates. The first candidate I'd like to ask you about is George W. Bush.

---------------------------
V001458 K3a. In what state does Bush live
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K3a.

What U.S. state does George W. Bush live in now?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

1. CONNECTICUT
2. TENNESSEE
3. TEXAS
4. WYOMING
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1393</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001459  K3a1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

K3a1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>1410</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001460  K3b. What is Bush's religion
MD1:  EQ 8,  MD2:  GE 9
Numeric

K3b.

What is George W. Bush's religion?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|   | 621 | 552 | 253 |

V001461  K3b1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

K3b1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?
1. YES
5. NO

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>879</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Now take Al Gore

V001462  K4a. What state is Gore from
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K4a.

What U.S. state is Al Gore from originally?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

1. CONNECTICUT
2. TENNESSEE
3. TEXAS
4. WYOMING
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1053</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001463  K4a1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

K4a1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?
1. YES
5. NO

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1254</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

------------------------
V001464  K4b. What is Gore's religion
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

K4b.

What is Al Gore's religion?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|   | 7 | 8 | 9 |
|---|---|---|
| Count | 575 | 598 | 253 |

------------------------
V001465  K4b1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

K4b1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>604</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
K5.

What about Dick Cheney.

--------------------------------
V001466  K5a. In what state does Cheney live
  MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
  Numeric

K5a.

What U.S. state does Dick Cheney live in now?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

1. CONNECTICUT
2. TENNESSEE
3. TEXAS
4. WYOMING
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>823</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------------------------------
V001467  K5a1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
  MD: EQ 0
  Numeric

K5a1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

--------------------------------

1. YES
5. NO

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>803</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------------------------------
V001468  K5b. What is Cheney's religion
  MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
K5b.

What is Dick Cheney's religion?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
0. NONE
1. BAPTIST
2. METHODIST
3. JEWISH
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count 503 899 253

V001469 K5bl. Respondent was probed for DK answer
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

K5bl.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>254</td>
<td>771</td>
<td>782</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

K6.

And Joseph Lieberman.

V001470 K6a. In what state does Lieberman live
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

K6a.
What U.S. state does Joseph Lieberman live in now?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

1. CONNECTICUT
2. TENNESSEE
3. TEXAS
4. WYOMING
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001471 K6a1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

K6a1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

1. YES
5. NO

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>941</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001472 K6b. What is Lieberman's religion
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

K6b.

What is Joseph Lieberman's religion?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

0. NONE
1. BAPTIST
2. METHODIST
3. JEWISH
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview

    0        1        2        3  
    -----    -----    -----    -----  
    Count    2       18       6       1083

    7        8        9  
    -----    -----    -----  
    Count    87      358      253

================================
V001473    K6b1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
            MD:  EQ 0
            Numeric

K6b1.

    CHECKPOINT:  PROBE USED?
    ----------------------------------------------------------

1. YES
5. NO
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

    0        1        5  
    -----    -----    -----  
    Count    252      665      890

================================
V001474    K7. Volunteer work in last year
            MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 8
            Numeric

K7.

    Many people say they have less time these days to do volunteer work. What about you, were you able to devote any time to volunteer work in the last 12 months or did you not do so?
    ----------------------------------------------------------

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

    0        1        5  
    -----    -----    -----  
    Count    252      665      890

================================
V001475    K8. Are people trustworthy
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric
K8.

Generally speaking, would you say that most people can be trusted, or that you can't be too careful in dealing with people?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. MOST PEOPLE CAN BE TRUSTED
5. CAN'T BE TOO CAREFUL
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001476    K9. People take advantage or act fairly
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric
K9.

Do you think most people would try to take advantage of you if they got the chance or would they try to be fair?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. TAKE ADVANTAGE
5. TRY TO BE FAIR
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>1072</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001477    K10. People helpful or selfish
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric
K10.

Would you say that most of the time people try to be helpful, or that they are just looking out for themselves?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. TRY TO BE HELPFUL
5. JUST LOOKING OUT FOR THEMSELVES
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1002</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

V001478  K11. Fav/opp laws protect against job discrim homosexu
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

K11.

Recently there has been a lot of talk about job discrimination. Do you favor or oppose laws to protect homosexuals against job discrimination?

1. FAVOR
5. OPPOSE  --> SKIP TO K11b
8. DK  --> SKIP TO K12
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>993</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

V001479  K11a. How much favor law protecting homosexuals
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

K11a.

IF R FAVORS PROTECTING HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION:

Do you favor such laws strongly or not strongly?

1. FAVOR STRONGLY  --> K12
5. FAVOR NOT STRONGLY  --> K12
8. DK  --> K12
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in K11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>814</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

V001480  K11b. How much oppose law protecting homosexuals

K11b.

**IF R OPPOSES PROTECTING HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION:**

Do you oppose such laws strongly or not strongly?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1327</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001481 K11x. Summary protctng homosxls against job discrim

K11x.

Recently there has been a lot of talk about job discrimination. Do you favor or oppose laws to protect homosexuals against job discrimination?

(IF FAVOR/OPPOSE:)

Do you favor such laws strongly or not strongly?/
Do you oppose such laws strongly or not strongly?

**SUMMARY: PROTECTING HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION**

Built from K11 and K11a/b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please look at page 5 of the booklet. Some people say that the best way to reduce crime is to address the social problems that cause crime, like bad schools, poverty and joblessness. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Other people say the best way to reduce crime is to make sure that criminals are caught, convicted and punished. (Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6.

Some people say that the best way to reduce crime is to address the social problems that cause crime, like bad schools, poverty and joblessness. Still others say the best way to reduce crime is to make sure that criminals are caught, convicted and punished.

1. ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS THAT CAUSE CRIME
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. MAKE SURE CRIMINALS ARE CAUGHT, CONVICTED AND PUNISHED

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1114</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TELEPHONE:
How about you? Do you think that the best way to reduce crime is to address social problems or to make sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, or that we should do something in between, or haven't you thought much about this?

1. ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS THAT CAUSE CRIME --&gt; K12a1.T
3. MAKE SURE CRIMINALS ARE CAUGHT, CONVICTED AND PUNISHED --&gt; K12a2.T
5. DO SOMETHING IN BETWEEN --&gt; K12a3.T
8. DK --&gt; K13a3.T
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview --&gt; K13a3.T
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --&gt; K13a3.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>947</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001483 K12a1.T. How much btr is approach -addressing social
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K12a1.T.

IF R'S OPINION IS TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think that addressing the social problems that cause crime, rather than making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished is a much better way or somewhat better way to reduce crime?

1. MUCH BETTER --&gt; K13
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --&gt; K13
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in K12a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1465</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001484 K12a2.T. How much btr is approach -punishing crimls
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K12a2.T.
IF R'S OPINION IS TO MAKE SURE CRIMINALS ARE CAUGHT AND PUNISHED:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think that making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, rather than addressing the social problems that cause crime is a much better way or a somewhat better way to reduce crime?

1. MUCH BETTER --> K13
5. SOMewhat BETTER --> K13
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in K12a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1596</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001485 K12a3.T. If had to choose, which approach is better
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K12a3.T.

IF R'S OPINION IS 'SOMETHING IN BETWEEN'/DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH:

TELEPHONE:
If you had to choose, which way would you say is better at reducing crime -- addressing the social problems that cause or crime or making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished?

1. ADDRESSING SOCIAL PROBLEMS
5. MAKING SURE CRIMINALS ARE CAUGHT, CONVICTED AND PUNISHED
7. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 9 in K12a.T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001486 K12ax1.T. PH Summary- R placement on ways to reduce crime
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K12ax1.T.

TELEPHONE:
How about you? Do you think that the best way to reduce crime is to address social problems or to make sure
criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, or that we should do something in between, or haven't you thought much about this?

(IF ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS:) Do you think that addressing the social problems that cause crime, rather than making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished is a much better way or a somewhat better way to reduce crime?

(IF PUNISH CRIMINALS:) Do you think that making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, rather than addressing the social problems that cause crime is a much better way or a somewhat better way to reduce crime?

(IF DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT/'IN-BETWEEN'): If you had to choose, which way would you say is better at reducing crime -- addressing the social problems that cause crime or making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished?

BRANCHING SUMMARY: CRIME REDUCTION SELF-PLACEMENT


1. MUCH BETTER TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS
2. SOMEWHAT BETTER TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS
3. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR ADDRESSING PROBLEMS
4. IN-BETWEEN
5. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR PUNISHING CRIMINALS
6. SOMEWHAT BETTER TO PUNISH CRIMINALS
7. MUCH BETTER TO PUNISH CRIMINALS
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post IW
0. Haven't thought much

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001486a K12ax2. Summary- R placement on ways to reduce crime
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K12ax2.

FTP:
Where would you place yourself on this scale or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:
How about you? Do you think that the best way to reduce crime is to address social problems or to make sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, or that
we should do something in between, or haven't you thought much about this?

(IF ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS:) Do you think that addressing the social problems that cause crime, rather than making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished is a much better way or somewhat better way to reduce crime?

(IF PUNISH CRIMINALS:) Do you think that making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, rather than addressing the social problems that cause crime is a much better way or a somewhat better way to reduce crime?

(IF DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT/'IN-BETWEEN'): If you had to choose, which way would you say is better at reducing crime -- addressing the social problems that cause crime or making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished?

SUMMARY: CRIME REDUCTION SELF-PLACEMENT

Built from K12 and K12ax1

Note: when using combined-mode summaries (combining face-to-face 7-point scales with telephone branching summaries), users must be fully aware of the differences in the questions, administration, and summary construction by mode; it is up to the individual user to evaluate the importance of those differences for his individual purposes.

1. SCALE: 1/ BRANCHING: MUCH BETTER TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS
2. SCALE: 2/ SOMEWHAT BETTER TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS
3. SCALE: 3/ NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR ADDRESSING PROBLEMS
4. SCALE: 4/ IN-BETWEEN
5. SCALE: 5/ NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR PUNISHING CRIMINALS
6. SCALE: 6/ SOMEWHAT BETTER TO PUNISH CRIMINALS
7. SCALE: 7/ MUCH BETTER TO PUNISH CRIMINALS
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post IW
0. Haven't thought much

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001487 K13. How worried is R about nuclear war
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K13.

How worried are you about our country getting into a nuclear war at this time? Are you very worried,
somewhat worried, or not worried at all?

Through an error in the survey application program, this variable was administered only to telephone Rs.

1. VERY WORRIED
3. SOMEWHAT WORRIED
5. NOT WORRIED AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>947</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001488 K14. How worried is R about conventional war
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K14.

How worried are you about our country getting into a conventional war at this time, one in which nuclear weapons are not used? Are you very worried, somewhat worried, or not worried at all?

Through an error in the survey application program, this variable was administered only to FTF Rs.

1. VERY WORRIED
3. SOMEWHAT WORRIED
5. NOT WORRIED AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1112</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

K15.

Recently, there has been a lot of talk about campaign finance reform. Some people believe that we should protect government from excessive influence by campaign contributors. Others think that protecting the freedom of individuals to financially support political candidates and parties is more important.
K15a. Campn fin- protect govt from infl or individ
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Which is closer to the way you think, or haven't you
thought much about this?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. PROTECT GOVERNMENT FROM EXCESSIVE INFLUENCE
5. PROTECTING THE FREEDOM OF INDIVIDUALS
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001490  K16. How should the financing of campaigns change
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K16.

In general, which of the following statements best
represents what you feel about the way political
campaigns are financed in this country: it needs to be
completely overhauled, it needs major changes, it
needs minor changes, or it is basically fine the way it
is?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. COMPLETELY OVERHAULED
3. MAJOR CHANGES
5. MINOR CHANGES
7. FINE THE WAY IT IS
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>413</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7 | 8 | 9
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001491  L5. Worked on community issue in last year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L5.
During the past 12 months, have you worked with other people to deal with some issue facing your community?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

Count

0 1 5 8
252 407 1146 2

L6. Contacted public official to express in last year

V001492

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

0 1 5 8
252 321 1232 2

L7. Attend commun meeting about issue in last year

V001493

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

0 1 5 8
0 1 5 8
0 1 5 8

0 1 5 8
252 321 1232 2
L8.

Here is a list of some organizations people can belong to. There are labor unions, associations of people who do the same kinds of work, fraternal groups such as Lions or Kiwanis, hobby clubs or sports teams, groups working on political issues, community groups, and school groups. Of course, there are lots of other types of organizations, too. Not counting membership in a local church or synagogue, are you a member of any of these kinds of organizations?

1. YES
5. NO --> L9
8. DK --> L9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

V001495  L8a. How many organizations is R currently a member
MD1:  EQ 98,  MD2:  GE 99
Numeric

L8a.

How many organizations are you currently a member of?

0. NO ORGANIZATIONS --> L9
1. ONE ORGANIZATION --> L8b
2-96. ORGANIZATIONS --> L8bb
97. 97 OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS --> L8bb
98. DK --> L8bb
99. RF; NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in L8
### V001496  L8b. 1 org-how many hours per week spent for org
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 96  
Numeric

**L8b.**

**IF R BELONGS TO ONE ORGANIZATION ONLY:**

> If you average across the past twelve months, about how many hours per week did you spend doing things with or for your organizations?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of hours coded and:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>96. NONE (NO HOURS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98. DK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99. RF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00. NA; INAP, 0, 2-99 in L8a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1526</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### V001497  L8c. 1 org-do orgs try to influence government
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8  
Numeric

**L8c.**

**IF R BELONGS TO ONE ORGANIZATION ONLY:**

> Does the organization you belong to ever try to influence government?

| 1 | YES |
| 5 | NO |
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0, 2-99 in L8a

0 1 5 8
----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 1526 105 170 6

==================================
V001498  L8d. 1 org-does org try to influence schools
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L8d.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE ORGANIZATION ONLY:

Does the organization you belong to ever try to influence schools?
-----------------------------------------------

1. YES  --> L9
5. NO   --> L9
8. DON'T KNOW  --> L9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0, 2-99 in L8a

0 1 5 8
----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 1526 92 184 5

==================================
V001499  L8bb. 2+ org-how many hours per week spent for org
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 96
Numeric

L8bb.

IF R BELONGS TO TWO OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS OR DK HOW MANY:

If you average across the past twelve months, about how many hours per week did you spend doing things with or for your organizations?
-----------------------------------------------

Number of hours coded and:

96. NONE (NO HOURS)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 0, 1, 98, 99 in L8a

0 1 2 3 4
----- ----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 1443 110 47 33 30

5 6 7 8 9
### V001500  L8cc. 2+ org-does org try to influence government

**MD1:** EQ 0, **MD2:** GE 8  
**Numeric**

**L8cc.**

**IF R BELONGS TO TWO OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS OR DK HOW MANY:**

**Do any of the organizations you belong to ever try to influence government?**

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


---

### V001501  L8dd. 2+ org-does org try to influence schools

**MD1:** EQ 0, **MD2:** GE 8  
**Numeric**

**L8dd.**

**IF R BELONGS TO TWO OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS OR DK HOW MANY:**

**Do any of the organizations you belong to ever try to influence schools?**

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1443</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>147</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

V001502  L8x1. Summary hours per week spent for org
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 96
Numeric

L8x1.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS:

If you average across the past twelve months, about how many hours per week did you spend doing things with or for your organization(s)?

SUMMARY: NUMBER OF HOURS/WEEK WITH ORGANIZATIONS

Number of hours coded and:

96. NONE (NO HOURS)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 0, 2-99 in L8a

V001503  L8x2. Summary org influence schools
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

L8x2.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS:

Does the organization you belong to ever try to influence
Do any of the organizations you belong to ever try to influence government?

SUMMARY: ORGANIZATION INFLUENCE GOVERNMENT

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0, 98, 99 in L8a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1162</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001504 L8x3. Summary org influence government
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

IF R BELONGS TO ONE OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS:

Does the organization you belong to ever try to influence schools?

Do any of the organizations you belong to ever try to influence schools?

SUMMARY: ORGANIZATION INFLUENCE SCHOOLS

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0, 98, 99 in L8a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1162</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001505 L9. Contributed to church or charity in last year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Many people are finding it more difficult to make contributions to church or charity as much as they used to. How about you -- were you able to contribute any money to church or charity in the last 12 months?
Aside from a strike against your employer, in the past twelve months, have you taken part in a protest, march, or demonstration on some national or local issue?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1234</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

IF R TAKEN PART IN PROTEST, MARCH OR DEMONSTRATION ON LOCAL ISSUE:

About how many times was that -- once, two or three times, or four times or more?

1. ONCE
2. TWO OR THREE
4. FOUR TIMES OR MORE
7. CAN'T REMEMBER [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in L10
Now I'm going to read several statements. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is:

M3/M3.T.

FTF:
Please turn to page 6 in the booklet.
Now I'm going to read several statements. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is:

TELEPHONE:
Now I'm going to read several statements. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is:

V001508 M3a/M3a.T. Blks should overcome prejudice w/o favors
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M3a/M3a.T.

'Irish, Italians, Jewish and many other minorities overcame prejudice and worked their way up. Blacks should do the same without any special favors.'
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

V001509 M3b/M3b.T. Blacks have gotten less than they deserve
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M3b/M3b.T.
Over the past few years, blacks have gotten less than they deserve. 
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001510  M3c/M3c.T. If blks wld try harder they cld be well off
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

It's really a matter of some people not trying hard enough; if blacks would only try harder they could be just as well off as whites.
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

FTP:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)
1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================================
V001511  M3d/M3d.T. Past discrim impacts blks today
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M3d/M3d.T.

FTF: (Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)
'Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for blacks to work their way out of the lower class.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE: 'Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for blacks to work their way out of the lower class.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

=================================================================
Now I'd like to ask you about your feelings toward the federal government. Some people are afraid the government in Washington is getting too powerful for the good of the country and the individual person. Others feel that the government in Washington is not getting too strong. Do you have an opinion on this or not?

1. YES
5. NO --> N2
8. DK --> N2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

If R has an opinion:
What is your feeling, do you think the government is getting too powerful or do you think the government is not getting too strong?

1. GOVERNMENT TOO POWERFUL --> SKIP TO N1b
5. GOVERNMENT NOT GETTING TOO STRONG --> SKIP TO N2
7. OTHER; DEPENDS (SPECIFY) --> SKIP TO N2
8. DK --> SKIP TO N2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in N1

Count 384 365 15 6

Count 252 960 583 10 2

Count 847 622 293 38 6 1
N1a1. Should govt become more powerful
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

IF R THINKS GOVT NOT GETTING TOO STRONG:

Do you think the government should become more powerful or should it stay the way it is?

1. BECOME MORE POWERFUL
5. STAY THE WAY IT IS
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 7, 8, 9, 0 in N1a

0 1 5 8
----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 1514 62 229 2

v001515 N1b. Which party favors a strong federal govt
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

N1b.

IF R THINKS GOVT TOO POWERFUL IN N1a/
IF R THINKS GOVT SHOULD BECOME MORE POWERFUL IN N1a1:

Which party do you think is more likely to favor a powerful government in Washington -- the Democrats, the Republicans, or wouldn't there be much difference between them on this?

1. DEMOCRATS
3. REPUBLICANS
5. NO DIFFERENCE
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7, 8, 9, 0 in N1a; 5, 8, 9 or NA in N1a1

0 1 3 5 8
----- ----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 1123 324 105 247 8

---------------------------------------------------------------------

N2/N2.T.

FTF:
Please continue looking at page 6 of the booklet. I'd
like to read you a few statements about public life. I'll read them one at a time and please tell me how strongly you agree or disagree with each of them.

TELEPHONE:
I'd like to read you a few statements about public life. I'll read them one at a time. Please tell me how strongly you agree or disagree with each of them.

=================================
V001516 N2a/N2a.T. Does R have good undrstdg of pol issues
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

N2a/N2a.T.

' I feel that I have a pretty good understanding of the important political issues facing our country. ' Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================
V001517 N2b/N2b.T. R well-qulfd to participate in politics
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

N2b/N2b.T.

FTP:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)
' I consider myself well-qualified to participate in politics. ' (Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
' I consider myself well-qualified to participate in politics. '
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

1. AGREE STRONGLY  
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT  
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE  
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT  
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY  

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001518 N2c/N2c.T. Could do good job in public office
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

FTP: (Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)
'I feel that I could do as good a job in public office as most other people.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
'I feel that I could do as good a job in public office as most other people.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V001519  N2d/N2d.T. Better informed about govt than most
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

N2d/N2d.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)
'I think that I am better informed about politics and
government than most people.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
'I think that I am better informed about politics and
government than most people.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
this statement?)

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001520  N3. Does R believe their vote matters
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

N3.

So many other people vote in the national election that
it doesn't matter much to me whether I vote or not.
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
this statement?)
This variable was added 11/10/2000.

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview;

question not implemented at time of interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>973</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

P1/P1.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 6 of the booklet)
Next, I'd like to ask you about equal rights.
I am going to read several more statements. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is:

TELEPHONE:
I am going to read several statements about equal rights. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is:

"Our society should do whatever is necessary to make sure that everyone has an equal opportunity to succeed."
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

---

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
V001522    Plb/Plb.T. We've pushed equal rights too far
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Plb/Plb.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
' We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
' We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country.'
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

V001523    Plc/Plc.T. We don't give everyone equal chance
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric
One of the big problems in this country is that we don't give everyone an equal chance.

Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

---

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

V001524  Pld/Pld.T. Better if we worried less about equality
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

One of the big problems in this country is that we don't give everyone an equal chance.

Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

---

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001525 Ple/Ple.T. OK if some people have more chances than
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Ple/Ple.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
'It is not really that big a problem if some people have more of a chance in life than others.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
'It is not really that big a problem if some people have more of a chance in life than others.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V001526    P1f/P1f.T. We'd have fewer probs if people treated eq
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

P1f/P1f.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
'If people were treated more equally in this country we
would have many fewer problems.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
'If people were treated more equally in this country we
would have many fewer problems.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
this statement?)

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q1Q1.T.

FTF:
(Again looking at page 6 of the booklet)
Please tell me how much you agree or disagree with these
statements about the government. The first is:

TELEPHONE:
Please tell me how much you agree or disagree with these
statements about the government. The first is:
Q1a/Q1a.T.

' Public officials don't care much what people like me think. ' 
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

====================================================================
1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001528     Q1b/Q1b.T. People dont have say in govt
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q1b/Q1b.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
' People like me don't have any say about what the government does. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
' People like me don't have any say about what the government does. '
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

====================================================================
1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF

V001529 Qlc/Q1c.T. Politics too complicated
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Qlc/Q1c.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
'Sometimes politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
'Sometimes politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q2/Q2.T.

FTF:
Now I am going to read several statements about society in general. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is.

TELEPHONE:
Now I am going to read several statements about society in general. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is.

========================================================================
V001530  Q2a/Q2a.T. New morals are causing society breakdown
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Q2a/Q2a.T.
' The newer lifestyles are contributing to the breakdown of our society. '
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?
========================================================================
1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================================================
V001531  Q2b/Q2b.T. Should adjust views to chgd moral behav
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Q2b/Q2b.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
' The world is always changing and we should adjust our view of moral behavior to those changes. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)
TELEPHONE:
' The world is always changing and we should adjust our view of moral behavior to those changes. '
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

0        1        2        3
-----    -----    -----    -----  
Count     253      211      410      142

4        5        8        9
-----    -----    -----    -----  
Count     361      424        2        4

====================================================================
V001532 Q2c/Q2c.T. Less prblms if emphasize trad family ties
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q2c/Q2c.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
' This country would have many fewer problems if there were more emphasis on traditional family ties. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
' This country would have many fewer problems if there were more emphasis on traditional family ties. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

====================================================================
1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
Q2d/Q2d.T.

FTP:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
' We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live according to their own moral standards, even if they are very different from our own. '  
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
' We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live according to their own moral standards, even if they are very different from our own. '  
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

Q3/Q3.T.

FTP:
(Not using the booklet)
People have different ideas about the government in
WASHINGTON.
These ideas don't refer to Democrats or Republicans in particular, but just to the government in general. We want to see how you feel about these ideas. For example:

TELEPHONE:
People have different ideas about the government in Washington.
These ideas don't refer to Democrats or Republicans in particular, but just to the government in general. We want to see how you feel about these ideas. For example:

================================
V001534 Q3a. How much can govt be trusted
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Q3a.
How much of the time do you think you can trust the government in Washington to do what is right -- just about always, most of the time, or only some of the time?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. JUST ABOUT ALWAYS
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. ONLY SOME OF THE TIME
4. NEVER [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

================================
V001535 Q4. How much of taxes does govt waste
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Q4.
Do you think that people in government waste a lot of the money we pay in taxes, waste some of it, or don't waste very much of it?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. WASTE A LOT
3. WASTE SOME
5. DON'T WASTE VERY MUCH
8. DK
Q5. Govt run by big interests or for benefit of all
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Would you say the government is pretty much run by a few big interests looking out for themselves or that it is run for the benefit of all the people?

1. GOV'T RUN BY A FEW BIG INTERESTS
5. GOV'T RUN FOR THE BENEFIT OF ALL

Q6. How many in govt are crooked
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Do you think that quite a few of the people running the government are crooked, not very many are, or do you think hardly any of them are crooked?

1. QUITE A FEW ARE CROOKED
3. NOT VERY MANY ARE CROOKED
5. HARDLY ANY ARE CROOKED

Q7. Elections make govt pay attention
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q7.

How much do you feel that having elections makes the government pay attention to what the people think -- good deal, some, or not much?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A GOOD DEAL
3. SOME
5. NOT MUCH
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

0        1        3        5        8
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----    
Count     253      735      613      196       10

V001539  Q8. Attn govt pays to people when making decisions
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Q8.

Over the years, how much attention do you feel the government pays to what people think when it decides what to do -- a good deal, some, or not much?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A GOOD DEAL
3. SOME
5. NOT MUCH
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

0        1        3        5        8
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----    
Count     254      263      978      301       11

R1/R1.T.

FTP:
Looking at page 7 of the booklet, here is a list of groups. Please read over the list and tell me the number of those groups you feel particularly close to -- people who are most like you in their ideas and interests and feelings about things.

TELEPHONE:
I am going to read a list of groups. For each, please tell me if it is a group you feel particularly close to

-- people who are most like you in their ideas and interests and feelings about things.

V001540  R1a. Close to whites FTF
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

R1a.

FTF:
WHITES
CLOSE TO GROUP - WHITES

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R
0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1113</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001541  R1a.T. Close to whites phone
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

R1a.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about whites? Do you feel particularly close to whites?

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001542  R1b. Close to poor people FTF
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

R1b.

FTF:
POOR PEOPLE
CLOSE TO GROUP - POOR PEOPLE

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R
0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1113</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001543 R1b.T. Close to poor people phone
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

R1b.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about)  poor people
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001544 R1c. Close to Asian Americans FTF
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

R1c.
FTF:
ASIAN AMERICANS

CLOSE TO GROUP - ASIAN AMERICANS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1113</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>627</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001545  Rlc.T. Close to Asian americans phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Rlc.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) Asian Americans
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001546  Rld. Close to liberals FTF
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Rld.

FTF:
LIBERALS

CLOSE TO GROUP - LIBERALS

---------------------------------------------------------------------
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1113</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>576</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001547  Rld.T. Close to liberals phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric

Rld.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) liberals
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No

8. DK
9. RF
0. INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001548  Rle. Close to elderly FTF
MD: EQ 0

Numeric

Rle.

FTF:
THE ELDERLY
CLOSE TO GROUP - THE ELDERLY

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.
1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1113</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>383</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001549   R1e.T. Close to elderly phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R1e.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) the elderly
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>948</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001550   R1f. Close to blacks FTF
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

R1f.

FTF:
BLACKS

CLOSE TO GROUP - BLACKS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview
V001551  Rlf.T. Close to blacks phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Rlf.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) blacks
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

V001552  Rlg. Close to labor unions FTF
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
Rlg.

FTF:
LABOR UNIONS
CLOSE TO GROUP - LABOR UNIONS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R
0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview
V001553  R1g.T. Close to labor unions phone
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

R1g.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about)  labor unions
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001554  R1h. Close to feminists FTF
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

R1h.

FTF:
FEMINISTS
CLOSE TO GROUP - FEMINISTS
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R
0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1113</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>609</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001555  R1h.T. Close to feminists phone
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric
TELEPHONE:
(What about) feminists
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.
1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================
V001556 Rlj. Close to southerners FTF
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Rlj.

FTF:
SOUTHERNERS
CLOSE TO GROUP - SOUTHERNERS
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.
1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R
0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1113</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>581</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================
V001557 Rlj.T. Close to southerners phone
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Rlj.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) southerners
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001558 R1k. Close to business people FTF
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

R1k.

FTF:
BUSINESS PEOPLE
CLOSE TO GROUP - BUSINESS PEOPLE

V001559 R1k.T. Close to business people phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R1k.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) business people
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.
1. Yes  
5. No  

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

============================================

V001560  
Rlm. Close to young people FTF  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

Rlm.

FTF:
YOUNG PEOPLE
CLOSE TO GROUP - YOUNG PEOPLE

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R  
5. Not mentioned by R  

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1113</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>376</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

============================================

V001561  
Rlm.T. Close to young people phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Rlm.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) young people  
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes  
5. No  
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>948</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001562 Rln. Close to conservatives FTF
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Rln.

FTF:
CONSERVATIVES
CLOSE TO GROUP - CONSERVATIVES

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1113</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>507</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001563 Rln.T. Close to conservatives phone
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Rln.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) conservatives
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rlp.

FTF:
HISPANIC-AMERICANS
CLOSE TO GROUP - HISPANIC-AMERICANS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R
0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

| Count | 948 | 489 | 334 | 30 | 6 |

Rlp.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) Hispanic-Americans
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

| Count | 948 | 354 | 470 | 23 | 12 |

V001566 R1q. Close to women FTF
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

R1q.

TFF:
WOMEN

CLOSE TO GROUP - WOMEN
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in
the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic
although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1113</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>318</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------------------------------------------------------------------
V001567  R1q.T. Close to women phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R1q.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) women
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in
the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic
although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================
V001568  R1r. Close to working-class FTF
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

R1r.
FTP:
WORKING-CLASS PEOPLE

CLOSE TO PEOPLE - WORKING-CLASS PEOPLE
---------------------------------------------

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1113</td>
<td>437</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

V001569 Rl.r.T. Close to working-class phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Rl.r.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) working-class people
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)
---------------------------------------------

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>799</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

V001570 Rls. Close to middle-class FTF
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Rls.

FTP:
MIDDLE-CLASS PEOPLE

CLOSE TO GROUP - MIDDLE-CLASS PEOPLE
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R
0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1113</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V001571 Rls.T. Close to middle-class phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Rls.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) middle-class people
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V001572 Rlt. Close to men FTF
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Rlt.

FTF:
MEN

CLOSE TO GROUP - MEN

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.
1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1113</td>
<td>282</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001573 R1t.T. Close to men phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

R1t.T.

TELEPHONE:  
What about men  
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (V000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes  
5. No  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R2/R2.T.

FTF:  
Please turn to page 8 of the booklet.  
Now I have some questions about different groups in our society. I'm going to show you a seven-point scale on which the characteristics of the people in a group can be rated.

In the first statement a score of 1 means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be "hard-working." A score of 7 means that you think most people in the group are "lazy." A score of 4 means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to one end or the other, and of course, you may choose any number in between.

TELEPHONE:
Now I have some questions about different groups in our
society. Imagine a seven-point scale on which the characteristics of the people in a group can be rated. In the first question a score of 1 means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be "hard-working." A score of 7 means that you think most people in the group are "lazy." A score of 4 means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to one end or the other, and of course, you may choose any number in between.

-----------------------------
V001574    R2a/R2a.T. Hardworking- whites
          MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
          Numeric

R2a/R2a.T.

FTP:
Where would you rate whites in general on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate whites in general on a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4 indicates most whites are not closer to one end or the other.

HARDWORKING RATING - WHITES
-----------------------------
The order in which groups R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T were administered was randomized.

1. HARDWORKNG
2. 3. 4.
5. 6.
7. LAZY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
V001575    R2b/R2b.T. Hardworking- blacks
          MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
          Numeric

R2b/R2b.T.
FTP:
Still looking at page 8 of the booklet,
Where would you rate blacks on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate blacks on a scale of 1 to 7?
(where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4
indicates most blacks are not closer to one end
or the other.)

HARDWORKING RATING - BLACKS
---------------------------------------------------------------------
The order in which groups R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T were administered was randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. HARDWORKNG
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. LAZY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001576  R2c/R2c.T. Hardworking- Hispanic-America
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

FTP:
Still looking at page 8 of the booklet,
Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate:
Hispanic Americans on a scale of 1 to 7?
(where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4
indicates most Hispanic-Americans are not closer
to one end or the other.)

**HARDWORKING RATING - HISPANIC-AMERICANS**

The order in which groups R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. HARDWORKNG
2.  
3.  
4.  
5.  
6.  
7. LAZY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

------------------------
V001577 R2d/R2d.T. Hardworking- Asian-American
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R2d/R2d.T.

**FTF:**
Still looking at page 8 of the booklet,
Where would you rate Asian-Americans on this scale?

**TELEPHONE:**
Where would you rate Asian-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4 indicates most Asian-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

**HARDWORKING RATING - ASIAN-AMERICANS**

The order in which groups R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. HARDWORKING
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. LAZY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R3/R3.T.

FTF:
Please turn to page 9 of the booklet.
The next set asks if people in each group tend to be "intelligent" or "unintelligent."

TELEPHONE:
The next set of questions ask about intelligence. Again, please imagine a seven-point scale on which the characteristics of the people in a group can be rated. A score of 1 means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be "intelligent."
A score of 7 means that you think most people in the group are "unintelligent." A score of 4 means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to one end or the other, and of course, you may choose any number in between.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
indicates intelligent, 7 means unintelligent, and 4 indicates most whites are not closer to one end or the other.

INTELLIGENT RATING - WHITES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001579 R3b/R3b.T. Intelligence- blacks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R3b/R3b.T.

FTF:
Still looking at page 9 of the booklet,
Where would you rate blacks on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate blacks on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where 1 indicates intelligent, 7 means unintelligent, and 4 indicates most blacks are not closer to one end or the other.)

INTELLIGENT RATING - BLACKS

The order in which groups Rdb-Rdd/Rdb.T-Rdd.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INTELLIGENT
2.
Still looking at page 9 of the booklet,
Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on this scale?

Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where 1 indicates intelligent, 7 means unintelligent, and 4 indicates most Hispanic-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

INTELLIGENT RATING - HISPANIC-AMERICANS

The order in which groups Rdb-Rdd/Rdb.T-Rdd.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INTELLIGENT
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. UNINTELLIGENT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
Still looking at page 9 of the booklet,
Where would you rate Asian-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate:
Asian-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where 1 indicates intelligent, 7 means unintelligent, and 4 indicates most Asian-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

INTELLIGENT RATING - ASIAN-AMERICANS

The order in which groups Rdb-Rdd/Rdb.T-Rdd.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INTELLIGENT
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. UNINTELLIGENT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
R4/R4.T.

FTF:
Please turn to page 10 of the booklet.
The next set asks if people in each group tend to be "trustworthy" or "untrustworthy."

TELEPHONE:
Thinking about trustworthiness as a general group characteristic, please imagine a seven point scale again. A score of 1 means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be trustworthy. A score of 7 means that you think most people in the group are untrustworthy. A score of 4 means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to one end or the other, and of course, you may choose any number in between.

==============================
V001582    R4a/R4a.T. Trustworthy- whites
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

R4a/R4a.T.

FTF:
Where would you rate whites in general on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate whites on a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 indicates trustworthy, 7 means untrustworthy, and 4 indicates most whites are not closer to one end or the other.

TRUSTWORTHY RATING - WHITES
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. TRUSTWORTHY
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. UNTRUSTWORTHY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>594</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V001583  R4b/R4b.T. Trustworthy- blacks
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

R4b/R4b.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10 of the booklet),
Where would you rate blacks on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate blacks on a scale of 1 to 7? (where
1 indicates trustworthy, 7 means untrustworthy, and 4
indicates most blacks are not closer to one end or the
other.)

TRUSTWORTHY RATING - BLACKS
---------------------------------------------------------------------
The order in which groups R4b-R4d/R4b.T-R4d.T were
administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TRUSTWORTHY
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. UNTRUSTWORTHY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001584  R4c/R4c.T. Trustworthy- Hispanic-America
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

R4c/R4c.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10 of the booklet),
Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on a scale of 1
to 7? (Where 1 indicates trustworthy, 7 means
untrustworthy, and 4 indicates most Hispanic-Americans
are not closer to one end or the other.)

TRUSTWORTHY RATING - HISPANIC-AMERICANS
---------------------------------------------------------------------

The order in which groups R4b-R4d/R4b.T-R4d.T were
administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TRUSTWORTHY
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. UNTRUSTWORTHY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>726</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=========================================================================
V001585  R4d/R4d.T. Trustworthy- Asian-American
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R4d/R4d.T.

FTP:
(Still looking at page 10 of the booklet),
Where would you rate Asian-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate Asian-Americans on a scale of 1 to
7? (Where 1 indicates trustworthy, 7 means
untrustworthy, and 4 indicates most Asian-Americans are
not closer to one end or the other.)
TRUSTWORTHY RATING - ASIAN-AMERICANS

The order in which groups R4b-R4d/R4b.T-R4d.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TRUSTWORTHY
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. UNTRUSTWORTHY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R5.

Although there are a number of qualities that people feel that children should have, every person thinks that some are more important than others. I am going to read you pairs of desirable qualities.

V001586 R5a. Independence or respect for elders
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R5a.

Please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have:
INDEPENDENCE OR RESPECT FOR ELDERS

1. INDEPENDENCE
3. BOTH [VOL]
5. RESPECT FOR ELDERS
7. NEITHER [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>1084</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R5b. Obedience or self-reliance
R5c. Curiosity or good manners

---

V001587  R5b. Obedience or self-reliance
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R5b.
(Please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have:)
OBEIDENCE OR SELF-RELIANCE

1. OBEIDENCE
3. BOTH [VOL]
5. SELF-RELIANCE
7. NEITHER [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>814</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001588  R5c. Curiosity or good manners
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R5c.
(Please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have:)
CURIOSITY OR GOOD MANNERS

1. CURIOSITY
3. BOTH [VOL]
5. GOOD MANNERS
7. NEITHER [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
V001589  R5d. Considerate or well behaved
        MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
        Numeric

R5d.

(Please tell me which one you think is more important
for a child to have:)
BEING CONSIDERATE OR WELL BEHAVED
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. BEING CONSIDERATE
3. BOTH
5. WELL BEHAVED
7. NEITHER [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

S0.

Now we'd like to talk to you about Bill Clinton.

V001590  S1. Budget deficit lar/smaller since 1992
        MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
        Numeric

S1.

As you know, Bill Clinton was first elected President in
November 1992.  He will soon be leaving office after
8 years as President.  The next several questions ask
whether you think things have changed since Clinton came
into office.
First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal
budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. GOTTEN SMALLER
3. GOTTEN LARGER --> SKIP TO S1b
5. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO S2

8. DK --> SKIP TO S2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001591  S1a. Deficit much/somewhat smaller
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S1a.

IF BUDGET DEFICIT SMALLER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller or somewhat smaller?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1320</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001591a  S1b. Deficit much/somewhat larger
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S1b.

IF BUDGET DEFICIT LARGER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much larger or somewhat larger?

1. MUCH LARGER
5. SOMEWHAT LARGER

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1714</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001592  Slx. Summary - budget deficit since 92
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Slx.

First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same?
(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller or somewhat smaller?
(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much larger or somewhat larger?

SUMMARY: BUDGET DEFICIT SINCE 1992

Built from S1 and S2a/b.

1. Much smaller
2. Somewhat smaller
3. About the same
4. Somewhat larger
5. Much larger

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001592a  Slx1. Summary pre & post - budget deficit
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Slx1.

First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same?
(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller or somewhat smaller?
(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much larger or somewhat larger?

COMB SUMMARY: BUDGET DEFICIT SINCE 1992

Built from Pre Q1s and Post S1x.

1. Much smaller
2. Somewhat smaller
3. About the same
4. Somewhat larger
5. Much larger

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001593 S2. Spending on poor inc/dec since 92
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as in 1992?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME  --> SKIP TO S3
8. DK  --> SKIP TO S3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


10/15/2009
V001594  S2a. Spend poor a lot/somewhat more/less
        MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
        Numeric

S2a.

IF FEDERAL SPENDING ON THE POOR INCREASED SINCE 1992/
IF FEDERAL SPENDING ON THE POOR DECREASED SINCE 1992:

A lot or somewhat?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. A LOT
5. SOMEWHAT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1357</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001595  S2x. Summary - spending on poor since 92
        MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
        Numeric

S2x.

Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been
increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as
in 1992?
A little or a lot?

SUMMARY: FEDERAL ASSISTANCE TO THE POOR
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from S2 and S2a.

1. Increased a lot
2. Increased somewhat
3. Stayed about the same
4. Decreased somewhat
5. Decreased a lot

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
S2x1.

Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as in 1992? A little or a lot?

COMB. SUMMARY: FEDERAL ASSISTANCE TO THE POOR

Built from Pre Q2x and Post S2x.

1. Increased a lot
2. Increased somewhat
3. Stayed about the same
4. Decreased somewhat
5. Decreased a lot

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>549</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S3.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's economy is better, worse, or about the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO S3b
5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO S4

8. DK --> SKIP TO S4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001597  S3a. Economy much/somewhat better
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S3a.

IF U.S. ECONOMY BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER  --> SKIP TO S4
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER  --> SKIP TO S4
8. DK  --> SKIP TO S4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1244</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001598  S3b. Economy much/somewhat worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S3b.

IF U.S. ECONOMY WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1767</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001599  S3x. Summary - economy since 1992
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's economy is better, worse, or about the same? (Would you say) much better or somewhat better? (Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: ECONOMY SINCE 1992
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from S3, S3a, S3b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. About the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001599a  S3x1. Summary pre & post - econ since 92
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's economy is better, worse, or about the same? (Would you say) much better or somewhat better? (Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

COMB. SUMMARY: ECONOMY SINCE 1992
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from Pre Q3x and Post S3x.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. About the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. MADE THE ECONOMY BETTER
3. MADE THE ECONOMY WORSE --> SKIP TO S4b
5. NO EFFECT --> SKIP TO S5
8. DK --> SKIP TO S5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

0 1 3 5 8
----- ----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 1016 419 21 327 24

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. ECONOMY BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S5
5. SOMewhat BETTER --> SKIP TO S5
8. DK --> SKIP TO S5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S4

0 1 5
----- ----- -----
Count  1388  192  227

==============================================
V001602  S4b. Clinton made econ much/somewhat worse
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S4b.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. ECONOMY WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE  5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1786</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V001603  S4x. Summary - Clinton made econ better/worse
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S4x.

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other? Much better or somewhat better?/ Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: CLINTON EFFECT ON ECONOMY

Built from S4, S4a, S4b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No effect
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
S4x1.

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other? Much better or somewhat better?/ Much worse or somewhat worse?

COMB. SUMMARY: CLINTON EFFECT ON ECONOMY

Built from Pre Q4x and Post S4x.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No effect
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>129</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Have you personally been helped or have you been hurt economically by the Clinton Administration, or hasn't it affected you one way or the other?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. MORE SECURE
3. LESS SECURE  --> SKIP TO S6b
5. NO CHANGE  --> SKIP TO S7

8. DK  --> SKIP TO S7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------------------------
V001606  S6a. U.S. much/somewhat more secure
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S6a.

IF U.S. MORE SECURE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much more secure or somewhat more secure?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1605</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

--------------------------
V001607  S6b. U.S. much/somewhat less secure
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S6b.

IF U.S LESS SECURE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much less secure or somewhat less secure?

1. MUCH LESS SECURE
5. SOMETHAT LESS SECURE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S6
Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much? (Would you say) much more secure or somewhat more secure? (Would you say) much less secure or somewhat less secure?

SUMMARY: U.S. SECURITY FROM FOREIGN ENEMIES

Built from S6, S6a, S6b.

1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. No change
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

SUMMARY: U.S. SECURITY FROM FOREIGN ENEMIES

Built from Pre Q6x and Post S6x.
1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. No change
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>725</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001609  S7. Clinton made U.S. more/less secure
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

S7.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. MORE SECURE
3. LESS SECURE  --> SKIP TO S7b
5. NO CHANGE  --> SKIP TO S8

8. DK  --> SKIP TO S8
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001610  S7a. Clinton made much/smwht more secure
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

S7a.
IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORE SECURE:

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. MUCH MORE SECURE</td>
<td>1622</td>
<td>81.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. SOMEWHAT MORE SECURE</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. DK</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. LESS SECURE:

Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. MUCH LESS SECURE</td>
<td>1609</td>
<td>80.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. SOMEWHAT LESS SECURE</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. DK</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S7b. Clinton made much/smewhat less secure</td>
<td>V001611</td>
<td>MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7b.</td>
<td>V001612</td>
<td>MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

SUMMARY: CLINTON ADMINISTRATION EFFECT ON U.S. SECURITY

Built from S7, S7a, S7b.
1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. No change
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001612a  S7x1. Summ pre & post- Clinton impact on secu
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

S7x1.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either way?
Much more secure or somewhat more secure?/
Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

COMB. SUMMARY: CLINTON ADMINISTRATION EFFECT ON U.S. SECURITY

Built from Pre Q7x and Post S7x.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001613 S8. U.S. crime rate better/wors since 92
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8

Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO S8b
5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO S9
8. DK --> SKIP TO S9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001614 S8a. U.S. crime rate much/smwht better
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

IF U.S. CRIME RATE BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1513</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001615 S8b. U.S. crime rate much/smwht worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S8b.
IF U.S. CRIME RATE WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

-----------------------------------------------

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1587</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================

V001616  S8x. Summary - U.S. crime rate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S8x.

Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?
(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: U.S. CRIME RATE SINCE 1992

-----------------------------------------------

Built from S8, S8a, S8b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================

V001616a  S8x1. Summary pre & post - U.S. crime rate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S8x1.
Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?
(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

COMB. SUMMARY: U.S. CRIME RATE SINCE 1992

Built from Pre Q8x and Post S8x.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Count</strong></td>
<td>129</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Count</strong></td>
<td>276</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001617  S9. Clinton made crime rate better/worse
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO S9b
5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO S10

8. DK --> SKIP TO S10
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
V001618  S9a. Clinton made crime rate much/somewhat better
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S9a.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

---------------------------------
1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S10
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO S10

8. DK --> SKIP TO S10
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S9

0        1        5
-----    -----    -----  
Count 1617   50     140

V001619  S9b. Clinton made crime rate much/somewhat worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S9b.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

---------------------------------
1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S9

0        1        5
-----    -----    -----  
Count 1742   24     41

V001620  S9x. Summ-Clinton impact on crime rate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S9x.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made
the nation's crime rate better, worse, or
hasn't it made much difference either way?
Much better or somewhat better?
Much worse or somewhat worse?
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON CRIME RATE
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from S9, S9a, S9b.
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>508</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001620a S9x1.Summ pre & post - Clinton impact on crime
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S9x1.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made
the nation's crime rate better, worse, or
hasn't it made much difference either way?
Much better or somewhat better?
Much worse or somewhat worse?
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

COMB. SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON CRIME RATE
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from Pre Q9x and Post S9x.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW
Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER  
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO S10b  
5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO S11  
8. DK --> SKIP TO S11  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

IF U.S MORAL CLIMATE BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S11  
5. SOMEBETTER --> SKIP TO S11  
8. DK --> SKIP TO S11  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S10
### S10b. Moral climate much/smwh worse

**MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8**

**Numeric**

**IF U.S. MORAL CLIMATE WORSE SINCE 1992:**

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MUCH WORSE</th>
<th>SOMEWHAT WORSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S10

### S10x. Summary - moral climate since 92

**Numeric**

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better? /
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

**SUMMARY: U.S. MORAL CLIMATE SINCE 1992**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MUCH BETTER</th>
<th>SOMEWHAT BETTER</th>
<th>STAYED ABOUT THE SAME</th>
<th>SOMEWHAT WORSE</th>
<th>MUCH WORSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>307</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V001624a S10x1. Summ pre & post - moral climate since 92
Numeric

S10x1.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?
(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

COMB. SUMMARY: U.S. MORAL CLIMATE SINCE 1992
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from Pre Q10x and Post S10x.
1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001625 S11. Clinton made moral climate better/worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S11.

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO S11b
5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO S14
8. DK --> SKIP TO S14
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=======================================
V001626  S11a. Clinton made moral clim mch/s better
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S11a.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORAL CLIMATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER   --> SKIP TO S14
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO S14
8. DK
5. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1760</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=======================================
V001627  S11b. Clinton made moral clim mch/s worse
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S11b.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORAL CLIMATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1471</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
S11x.

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way? Much better or somewhat better? Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON MORAL CLIMATE

Built from S11, S11a, S11b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>391</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S11x1.

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way? Much better or somewhat better? Much worse or somewhat worse?

COMB. SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON MORAL CLIMATE

Built from Pre Q11x and Post S11x.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No difference
4. Somewhat worse  
5. Much worse  

8. DK  
9. RF  

0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done -- ever made you feel:  

S14a. Clinton makes R angry  

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  

Numeric  

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa. The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.  

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].  

1. YES  
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect  

8. DK --> SKIP to next affect  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post
S14ax.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:) ANGRY

COMB.PRE/POST: CLINTON AFFECT - ANGRY

Built from Pre Q14a and Post S14a.

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

0  1  5  8  9
----- ----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 128 1087 582 9 1

S14a1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R ANGRY:

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S14a

0  1  2  3  4
----- ----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 1337 72 100 218 80
V001630a  S14a1x. Summ pre & post - how often angry
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

S14a1x.

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

COMB. PRE/POST: HOW OFTEN CLINTEN AFFECT - ANGRY

Built from Pre Q14a1 and Post S14a1.

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001631  S14b. Clinton makes R hopeful
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

S14b.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
HOPEFUL

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa. The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1044</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001631a  S14bx. Summ pre & post Clinton -hopeful
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S14bx.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
HOPEFUL

COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON AFFECT - HOPEFUL

Built from Pre Q14b and Post S14b.

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>829</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001632  S14b1. How often Clinton make R hopeful
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S14b1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R HOPEFUL:

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S14b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1447</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001632a  S14b1x. Summ pre & post - how often hopeful
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S14b1x.

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

COMB. PRE/POST: HOW OFTEN CLINTON AFFECT - HOPEFUL

Built from Pre Q14b1 and Post S14b1.

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>978</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001633    S14c. Clinton makes R afraid
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S14c.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
AFRAID

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/trait battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1044</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V001633a S14cx. Summ pre & post Clinton - afraid
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S14cx.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel :) AFRAID

COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON AFFECT - AFRAID

Built from Pre Q14c and Post S14c.

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>1294</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================
V001634 S15c1. How often Clinton makes R afraid
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S14c1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN  
3. OCCASIONALLY  
4. RARELY  

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S14c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1661</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================

V001634a S14clx. Summ pre & post - how often afraid  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S14clx.

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

COMB. PRE/POST: HOW OFTEN CLINTON AFFECT - AFRAID

Built from Pre Q14c1 and Post S14c1.

1. VERY OFTEN  
2. FAIRLY OFTEN  
3. OCCASIONALLY  
4. RARELY  

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1429</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===============================================

V001635 S14d. Clinton makes R proud  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S14d.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)

PROUD

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the
Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa. The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES  
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1044</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

------------------------------------------
V001635a  S14dx. Summ pre & post Clinton - proud  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S14dx.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:) PROUD

COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON AFFECT - PROUD  
------------------------------------------

Built from Pre Q14d and Post S14d.

1. YES  
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>994</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

------------------------------------------
V001636  S14d1. How often Clinton makes R proud  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S14d1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R PROUD:
How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S14d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1499</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001636a S14dlx. Summ pre & post - how often proud
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S14dlx.

How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

COMB. PRE/POST: HOW OFTEN CLINTON AFFECT - PROUD

Built from Pre Q14d1 and Post S14d1.

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1132</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S15/S15.T.

FTP:
Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he (is) <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

TELEPHONE:
I am going to read a list of words and phrases people
may use to describe Bill Clinton. For each, tell me whether the word or phrase describes him extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all.

==============================
V001637  S15a. Clinton trait - moral
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

S15a.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase "he is <trait>" describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

MORAL

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1044</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V001637a  S15ax. Summ pre & post-Clinton trait - moral
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase "he is <trait>?”
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>?” [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON TRAIT - MORAL
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from Pre Q15a and Post S15a.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>807</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001638 S15b. Clinton trait-cares about people
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase "he is <trait>?”
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>?” [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the
retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects
and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected
for the affects/traits battery in the Post election
instrument they were assigned to administration in the
Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1044</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------------------
V001638a  S15bx. Summ pre & post-Clinton trait-cares
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S15bx.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?" describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - CARES
-----------------------------------------

Built from Pre Q15b and Post S15b.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>294</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase "he is <trait>?" describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]}

KNOWLEDGEABLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1044</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase "he is <trait>?"
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - KNOWLEDGEABLE

Built from Pre Q15c and Post S15c.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>856</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VD01640  S15d. Clinton trait-strong leadership
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'
describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]

PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1044</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001640a  S15dx. Summ pre & post-Clinton leadership
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

S15dx.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - LEADERSHIP

Built from Pre Q15d and Post S15d.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>128</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001641  S15e. Clinton trait - dishonest
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

S15e.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill
Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?)

DISHONEST

ADO NOT PROBE "DK"

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1044</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001641a S15ex. Summ pre & post-Clinton dishonest
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S15ex.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?)

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - DISHONEST

Built from Pre Q15e and Post S15e.
1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001642 S15f. Clinton trait - intelligent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S15f.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase "he is <trait>?" describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]

INTELLIGENT

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
V001642a  S15fx. Summ pre & post-Clinton-intelligent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S15fx.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase "he is <trait>" describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]

COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON TRAIT - INTELLIGHT

Built from Pre Q15f and Post S15f.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

V001643  S15g. Clinton trait - out of touch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S15g.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase "he is <trait>" describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]

OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa. The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1044</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001643a S15gx. Summ pre & post-Clinton out of touch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - OUT OF TOUCH
----------------------------------------------------------
Built from Pre Q15g and Post S15g.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW
V001644  T1. Did R watch a Pres debate on tv
    MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
    Numeric

T1. Did you watch a televised presidential debate between Al Gore and George W. Bush?

1. YES, WATCHED   --> SKIP TO T1a
5. NO, DIDN'T WATCH   --> SKIP TO T2
8. DK   --> SKIP TO T2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

V001645  T1a. Did R watch an entire or just part of debate
    MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
    Numeric

T1a. IF R WATCHED PRESIDENTIAL DEBATE:

Did you watch an entire debate or just part of it?

1. WATCHED ENTIRE DEBATE
5. JUST PART OF IT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in T1

V001646  T2. Did R listen to campaign speeches or diss on radio
    MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
    Numeric
T2.

Did you listen to any speeches or discussions about the campaign on the radio?

1. YES --> SKIP TO T2a
5. NO --> SKIP TO T3
8. DK --> SKIP TO T3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>937</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001647 T2a. How much did R listen to radio speeches & diss
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

T2a.

IF R LISTENED TO RADIO CAMPAIGN PROGRAMS:

Would you say you listened to a good many, several, or just one or two?

1. A GOOD MANY
3. SEVERAL
5. JUST ONE OR TWO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1193</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001648 T3/T3.T. How much attention to Pres campaign news
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

T3/T3.T.

FTF:
Looking at page 12 in the booklet:
In general, how much attention did you pay to news about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:
In general, how much attention did you pay to news about
the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite
a bit, some, very little, or none?

1. A GREAT DEAL
2. QUITE A BIT
3. SOME
4. VERY LITTLE
5. NONE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001649 T4/T4.T. How much attention to Cong campaign news
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

T4/T4.T.

FTF:
(Still on page 12 in the booklet)
In general, how much attention did you pay to news about
the campaigns for election to Congress -- that is,
the House of Representatives in Washington -- a great
deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:
In general, how much attention did you pay to news about
the campaigns for election to Congress -- that is,
the House of Representatives in Washington -- a great
deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V001650   T5/T5.T. Two parties, no labels, or new party
        MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
        Numeric

T5/T5.T.

FTF:
Looking at page 13 of the booklet:
Here is a question about political parties.
Which of the following outcomes regarding political parties best represents what you would like to see happen? You can just tell me the number of the outcome you choose.

TELEPHONE:
Which one of the following outcomes regarding political parties best represents what you would like to see happen?
ONE, a continuation of the two party system of Democrats and Republicans.
TWO, elections in which candidates run as individuals without party labels or
THREE, the growth of one or more parties that could effectively challenge the Democrats and Republicans?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. ...A CONTINUATION OF THE TWO PARTY SYSTEM OF DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS?
2. ...ELECTIONS IN WHICH CANDIDATES RUN AS INDIVIDUALS WITHOUT PARTY LABELS?
3. ...THE GROWTH OF ONE OR MORE PARTIES THAT COULD EFFECTIVELY CHALLENGE THE DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS?

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001651   T6. Is R satisfied with US Democracy
        MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
        Numeric

T6.

On the whole, are you satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in the United States?

This variable was added 11/10/2000.
1. SATISFIED
2. FAIRLY SATISFIED
3. NOT VERY SATISFIED
4. NOT AT ALL SATISFIED

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview;
   interview administered before question added

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001652  V1. Bush effect on US economy
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

V1.

As you will remember, former President George Bush
(George W. Bush's father) was elected in November 1988.
The next several questions ask you to think about his
four years as President.
Would you say President Bush made the nation’s economy
clean, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the
economy one way or the other?

1. MADE THE ECONOMY BETTER
3. MADE THE ECONOMY WORSE  --> SKIP TO V1b
5. NO EFFECT  --> SKIP TO V2
7. DK ANYTHING ABOUT BUSH SR. [VOL.]  --> SKIP TO Y5

8. DK  --> SKIP TO V2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001653  V1a. How much better Bush made economy
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

V1a.

IF FORMER PRESIDENT BUSH MADE ECONOMY BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER       --> SKIP TO V2
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER   --> SKIP TO V2

8. DK               --> SKIP TO V2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in V1

0        1        5
-----    -----    -----  
Count    1366      113      328

V001654    V1b. How much worse Bush made economy
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

V1b.
IF FORMER PRESIDENT BUSH MADE ECONOMY WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in V1

0        1        5
-----    -----    -----  
Count    1553       71      183

V001655    V1x. Summary: Bush effect on economy
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

V1x.
Would you say President Bush made the nation's economy
better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the
economy one way or the other?
Much better or somewhat better?
Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF FORMER PRESIDENT BUSH ON 1988-1992 ECONOMY

Built from V1, V1a, V1b.
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001656 V2. Bush made US more secure
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

V2.

Would you say that the Bush administration made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or didn't it make much difference either way?

1. MORE SECURE
3. LESS SECURE   --> SKIP TO V2b
5. NO DIFFERENCE  --> SKIP TO V3

8. DK        --> SKIP TO V3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>709</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001657 V2a. Bush made US how much more secure
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

V2a.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORE SECURE:

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?

1. MUCH MORE SECURE  --> SKIP TO V3
5. SOMEWHAT MORE SECURE  --> SKIP TO V3

8. DK        --> SKIP TO V3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V2

0 1 5
Count  1098  316  393

V001658  V2b. Bush made US how much less secure  MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8  Numeric

V2b.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. LESS SECURE:

Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

1. MUCH LESS SECURE
5. SOMewhat LESS SECURE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V2

Count  1711  27  69

V001659  V2x. Summary: Bush effect on security  MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8  Numeric

V2x.

Would you say that the Bush administration made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or didn't it make much difference either way?

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?/
Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF FORMER PRES BUSH ON U.S. SECURITY 1988-1992

Built from V2, V2a, V2b.

1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. No difference
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post IW

Count  476  316  393  492
V001660  V3. Bush effect on US crime rate  
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8  
Numeric

V3. 

Would you say that the Bush administration made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or didn't it make much difference either way?  

1. BETTER  
3. WORSE   --> SKIP TO V3b  
5. NO DIFFERENCE  --> SKIP TO V4  

8. DK   --> SKIP TO V4  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

0 1 3 5 8  
Count 476 197 118 943 73 

V001661  V3a. Bush made crime rate how much better  
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8  
Numeric

V3a. 

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?  

1. MUCH BETTER  --> SKIP TO V4  
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER  --> SKIP TO V4  

8. DK  --> SKIP TO V4  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V3

0 1 5  
Count 1610 55 142 

V001662  V3b. Bush made crime rate how much worse  
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8  
Numeric

V3b.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?
__________________________________________________________

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMewhat WORSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1689</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------------

V001663 V3x. Summary: Bush effect on crime
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

V3x.

Would you say that the Bush administration made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or didn't it make much difference either way?
Much more secure or somewhat more secure?/
Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

__________________________________________________________

Built from V3, V3a, V3b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>943</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------------

V001664 V4. Bush effect on moral climate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
V4.

Did the Bush administration make the nation's moral climate better, worse, or didn't it make much difference either way?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001665  V4a. Bush moral climate how much better
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

V4a.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORAL CLIMATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1440</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001666  V4b. Bush moral climate how much worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

V4b.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORAL CLIMATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMewhat WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1754</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001667  V4x. Summary: Bush effect on moral climate
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

V4x.

Did the Bush administration make the nation's moral climate better, worse, or didn't it make much difference either way?
Much better or somewhat better?
Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF FORMER PRES BUSH ON MORAL CLIMATE 1988-1992
---------------------------------------------------------------

Built from V4, V4a, V4b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>476</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V5/V5.T.

FTP:
Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe former President George Bush.
For each, please tell me how well the word or phrase describes him.

(FIRST TRAIT:) In your opinion, does the phrase 'he (is) <trait>?' describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe former President George Bush. For each, please tell me how well the word or phrase describes him.

(FIRST TRAIT:) In your opinion, does the phrase 'he (is) <trait>?' describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

Please look at page 11 of the booklet. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

MORAL

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>689</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V5b.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'
describe former President George Bush extremely well,
quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former
President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?])

REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU
-----------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>476</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------
V001670  V5c. Bush trait - knowledgeable
       MD1:  EQ 0, MD2:  GE 8
       Numeric

V5c.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?'
describe former President George Bush extremely well,
quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former
President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?])

KNOWLEDGEABLE
-----------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>476</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=====================================
V001671 V5d. Bush trait - strong leadership
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

V5d.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP
-----------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>476</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>679</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

============================================
V001672  V5e. Bush trait - dishonest  
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8  
Numeric

V5e.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

DISHONEST

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL  
2. QUITE WELL  
3. NOT TOO WELL  
4. NOT WELL AT ALL  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================
V001673  V5f. Bush trait - intelligent  
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8  
Numeric

V5f.

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?  
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])
INTELLIGENT

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
5. DONT KNOW
6. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>799</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001674 V5g. Bush trait - out of touch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Y4.

Now, on another topic..

Y5.

V001675 Y5. Is R currently working
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y5.

Are you currently working?

1. YES --> Y7
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>1036</td>
<td>515</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Y6a.

V001676a Y6a. Why is R not working, reason 1
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y6a.

IF R NOT CURRENTLY WORKING:

Please look at page 14 of the booklet.
We'd like to know if you are temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

MENTION 1

[MULTIPLE MENTIONS; IF TLO AND RETIRED, GO TO Y10; IF RETIRED AND ANY OTHER COMBINATION(S) EXCEPT TLO GO TO Y15; COMBINATIONS NOT INCLUDING RETIRED OR TLO SKIP TO Z1]

1. TEMPORARILY LAID OFF --> SKIP TO Y10 IF TLO ONLY
2. UNEMPLOYED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF UNEMPLOYED ONLY
3. RETIRED --> SKIP TO Y15 IF RETIRED ONLY
4. PERMANENTLY DISABLED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF DISABLED ONLY
5. HOMEMAKER --> SKIP TO Z1 IF HOMEMAKER ONLY
6. STUDENT --> SKIP TO Z1 IF STUDENT ONLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1292</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>279</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------------------------------------------
V001676b Y6b. Why is R not working, reason 2
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y6b.

IF R NOT CURRENTLY WORKING:

Please look at page 14 of the booklet.
We'd like to know if you are temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

MENTION 2

[MULTIPLE MENTIONS; IF TLO AND RETIRED, GO TO Y10; IF RETIRED AND ANY OTHER COMBINATION(S) EXCEPT TLO GO TO Y15; COMBINATIONS NOT INCLUDING RETIRED OR TLO SKIP TO Z1]

1. TEMPORARILY LAID OFF --> SKIP TO Y10 IF TLO ONLY
2. UNEMPLOYED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF UNEMPLOYED ONLY
3. RETIRED --> SKIP TO Y15 IF RETIRED ONLY
4. PERMANENTLY DISABLED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF DISABLED ONLY
5. HOMEMAKER --> SKIP TO Z1 IF HOMEMAKER ONLY
6. STUDENT --> SKIP TO Z1 IF STUDENT ONLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1764</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Y6c. Why is R not working, reason 3
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y6c.

IF R NOT CURRENTLY WORKING:

Please look at page 14 of the booklet. We'd like to know if you are temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

MENTION 3

[MULTIPLE MENTIONS; IF TLO AND RETIRED, GO TO Y10; IF RETIRED AND ANY OTHER COMBINATION(S) EXCEPT TLO GO TO Y15; COMBINATIONS NOT INCLUDING RETIRED OR TLO SKIP TO Z1]

1. TEMPORARILY LAID OFF --> SKIP TO Y10 IF TLO ONLY
2. UNEMPLOYED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF UNEMPLOYED ONLY
3. RETIRED --> SKIP TO Y15 IF RETIRED ONLY
4. PERMANENTLY DISABLED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF DISABLED ONLY
5. HOMEMAKER --> SKIP TO Z1 IF HOMEMAKER ONLY
6. STUDENT --> SKIP TO Z1 IF STUDENT ONLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1804</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Y7. Workers: How satisfied is R with work
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y7.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

On the whole, how satisfied are you with the work you do? Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?

1. VERY SATISFIED
2. SOMEWHAT SATISFIED
3. SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED
4. VERY DISSATISFIED

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5
### V001678 Y7a. WRKS: Does R spend work time with people

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>771</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

#### Y7a.

**IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:**

In your current position, do you spend time during the day with other people or are you pretty much by yourself?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WITH OTHER PEOPLE</th>
<th>OR BY YOURSELF</th>
<th>DON'T KNOW</th>
<th>RF</th>
<th>NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>771</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### V001679 Y8. WRKS: Rs coworkers looking out for themselves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>771</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

#### Y8.

**IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:**

I'm going to ask you a few questions about the people you regularly see at work. In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they are just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ALL OF THE TIME</th>
<th>MOST OF THE TIME</th>
<th>SOME OF THE TIME</th>
<th>HARDLY EVER</th>
<th>NEVER</th>
<th>R INSISTS [VOL]: NOT APPROPRIATE TO WORK SITUATION ---&gt; Z1</th>
<th>DON'T KNOW</th>
<th>RF</th>
<th>NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>771</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Y001680  Y8a. WRKS: Do Rs coworkers try to take advantage
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Y8a.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

Would you say those people you see regularly at work try
to take advantage of others all of the time,most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or
never?

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP,  5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5; 7 in Y8

0        1        2        3
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----    -----    -----    -----    -----    -----    -----    -----    -----    -----  
Count  798       26       43      293      492      142       10        3

V001681  Y8b. WRKS: Do Rs coworkers treat others with respect
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Y8b.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

(Again, thinking about those people you see regularly at
work) Would you say that they treat others with respect
all of the time, most of the time, some of the time,
hardly ever, or never?

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5; 7 in Y8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>798</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001682  Y8c. WRKS: Does honest describe Rs coworkers
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

**Y8c.**

**IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:**

Would you say that honest describes the people you work with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1. EXTREMELY WELL</th>
<th>2. QUITE WELL</th>
<th>3. NOT TOO WELL</th>
<th>4. NOT WELL AT ALL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>--&gt; Z1</td>
<td>--&gt; Z1</td>
<td>--&gt; Z1</td>
<td>--&gt; Z1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. DON'T KNOW</td>
<td>--&gt; Z1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. RF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0. NA; INAP, 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8, 9, 0 in Y5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 in Y8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>798</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001683  Y8d. WRKS: Racial diversity of Rs coworkers
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

**Y8d.**

**IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:**

Thinking about the diversity of your workplace, are the people who work where you work all White, mostly white, about half and half, mostly Black, or all Black?
1. ALL WHITE
2. MOSTLY WHITE
3. ABOUT HALF AND HALF
4. MOSTLY BLACK
5. ALL BLACK
7. R VOLUNTEERS: OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5; 7 in Y8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>798</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001684  Y10. Laid off: Does R spend days alone/with others
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y10.

IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:

When you are working, do you spend time during the day with other people or are you pretty much by yourself?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1796</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001685  Y11. Laid off: Rs coworkers just looking out for selves
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y11.

IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:

I'm going to ask you a few questions about the people you regularly see at work.
In general, with these people in mind, would you say
that they are just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
7. R INSISTS [VOL]: WAS NOT APPROPRIATE TO WORK SITUATION ---> Z1
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1796</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001686  Y11a. LOff: Do Rs coworkers try to take advantage
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y11a.

IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:

Would you say those people you see regularly at work try to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c; 7 in Y11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1798</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001687  Y11b. LOff: Do Rs coworkers treat others with respect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y11b.

IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:
(Again, thinking about those people you see regularly at work) Would you say that they treat others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c; 7 in Y11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1798</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001688  Y11c. LOFF: Does honest describe Rs coworkers
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y11c.

IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:

Would you say that honest describes the people you work with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

1. EXTREMELY WELL --> Z1
2. QUITE WELL --> Z1
3. NOT TOO WELL --> Z1
4. NOT WELL AT ALL --> Z1

8. DON'T KNOW --> Z1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c; 7 in Y11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1798</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001689  Y15. Retired: Does R spend days alone/with others
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y15.

IF R IS RETIRED:
Thinking about your last job, did you spend time during the day with other people or were you pretty much by yourself?

1  WITH OTHER PEOPLE
5  OR BY YOURSELF

8  DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1525</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001690  Y16. RET:Rs coworker look out for themselves
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Y16.

IF R IS RETIRED:

For these next few questions please continue thinking about your last job. I'm going to ask you about the people you saw regularly at work. In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they were just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1525</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|   | 4   | 5   | 7   | 8   |
| Count | 78 | 24 | 12 | 3 |

V001691  Y16a. RET:Did Rs coworkers try to take advantage
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric
Y16a.

IF R IS RETIRED:

Would you say those people you saw regularly at work tried to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c; 7 in Y16

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1537</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001692  Y16b. RET:Were Rs coworkers respectful
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y16b.

IF R IS RETIRED:

(Again, thinking about those people you saw regularly at work) Would you say that they treated others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c; 7 in Y16

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1536</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V001693  Y16c. RET: Does honest describe Rs coworkers
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Y16c.

IF R IS RETIRED:

Would you say that honest described the people you worked with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c;
   7 in Y16

Count
0  1536
1  92
2  150
3  20
4  5
8  4

V001694  Y17x. Summary: R work with others
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Y17x.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /
IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /
IF R IS RETIRED:

In your current position, do you spend time during the day with other people or are you pretty much by yourself?/
When you are working, do you spend time during the day with other people or are you pretty much by yourself?/
Thinking about your last job, did you spend time during the day with other people or were you pretty much by yourself?

SUMMARY: R WORK WITH OTHERS

1  WITH OTHER PEOPLE
5  OR BY YOURSELF

V001695  Y18x. Summary: co-workers look out for selves
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y18x.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /  
IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /  
IF R IS RETIRED:

In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they are just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?/
In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they are just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?/
In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they were just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

SUMMARY: CO-WORKERS LOOK OUT FOR SELVES

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
6. R INSISTS [VOL]: WAS NOT APPROPRIATE TO WORK SITUATION --> Z1
7. R INSISTS [VOL]: WAS NOT APPROPRIATE TO WORK SITUATION --> Z1
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; no Post IW

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; no Post IW

0        1        5        8  
-----    -----    -----    -----  
Count     478     1156      172        1

0        1        2        3        4  
-----    -----    -----    -----    -----  
Count     478       76      289      534      311

5        7        8        9  
-----    -----    -----    -----  
Count      65       41      10        3

=====================================================================
V001696  Y18ax. Summary: co-workers try to take advantage
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y18ax.
Y18ax.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /
IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /
IF R IS RETIRED:

Would you say those people you see regularly at work try
to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?/
Would you say those people you see regularly at work try
to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, hardly ever, or never?/
Would you say those people you saw regularly at work
tried to take advantage of others all of the time, most
of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

SUMMARY: CO-WORKERS TAKE ADVANTAGE
---------------------------------------------

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; 7 in Y18x;
   no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

 Functional test code: V001697

Y18bx. Summary: co-workers treat others w/respect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y18bx.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /
IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /
IF R IS RETIRED:

(Again, thinking about those people you see regularly at work) Would you say that they treat others with respect
all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?/
(Again, thinking about those people you see regularly at work) Would you say that they treat others with respect
all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?/
(Again, thinking about those people you saw regularly at work) Would you say that they treated others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

SUMMARY: CO-WORKERS TREAT OTHERS WITH RESPECT

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; 7 in Y18x; no Post IW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>719</td>
<td>271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001698  Y18cx. Summary: co-workers honest
MD1:  EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y18cx.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /
IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /
IF R IS RETIRED:

Would you say that honest describes the people you work with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?/
Would you say that honest describes the people you work with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?/
Would you say that honest described the people you worked with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

SUMMARY: CO-WORKERS HONEST

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; 7 in Y18x;
no Post IW

0        1        2        3
-----    -----    -----    -----  
Count 518      341      781      125

4        8        9
-----    -----    -----  
Count 28       12        2  

=================================
V001699  Z1. Person R discusses politics with: name 1
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z1.

Now let's shift our attention to another area. From time to time, people discuss government, elections and politics with other people. I'd like to ask you about the people with whom you discuss these matters. These people might or might not be relatives. Can you think of anyone?

R IDENTIFIES NAME 1

----------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO  --> Z25
8. DK  --> Z25
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

0        1        5
-----    -----    -----  
Count 256     1152      399  

============================================
V001700  Z3. Person R discusses politics with: name 2
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z3.

Is there anyone else you talk with about these matters?

R IDENTIFIES NAME 2

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO  --> Z9
8. DK  --> Z9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

0        1        5       9

0        1        5       9

V001699  Z1. Person R discusses politics with: name 1
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
numeric

Z1.

Now let's shift our attention to another area. From time to time, people discuss government, elections and politics with other people. I'd like to ask you about the people with whom you discuss these matters. These people might or might not be relatives. Can you think of anyone?

R IDENTIFIES NAME 1

----------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO  --> Z25
8. DK  --> Z25
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

0        1        5
-----    -----    -----  
Count 256     1152      399

============================================
V001700  Z3. Person R discusses politics with: name 2
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z3.

Is there anyone else you talk with about these matters?

R IDENTIFIES NAME 2

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO  --> Z9
8. DK  --> Z9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

0        1        5        9
V001701  Z5. Person R discusses politics with: name 3
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Z5.

Is there anyone else (you talk with about these matters)?
R IDENTIFIES NAME 3

1. YES
5. NO --> Z9
8. DK --> Z9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in 23

 Count | 655 | 861 | 290 | 1

V001702  Z7. Person R discusses politics with: name 4
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Z7.

Is there anyone else (you talk with about these matters)?
R IDENTIFIES NAME 4

1. YES
5. NO --> Z9
8. DK --> Z9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in 23

 Count | 946 | 550 | 311

V001703  Z9. Is name 1 relative
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Z9.

Is <NAME 1> a spouse or partner, other relative, or
unrelated to you by blood or marriage?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SPOUSE OR PARTNER (A PARTNER IS LIKE A SPOUSE, BUT WITHOUT BEING MARRIED)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>OTHER RELATIVE, OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>UNRELATED BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>RF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001704  Z9a. Is name 1 male or female

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MALE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>FEMALE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>RF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001705  Z9b. Is name 1 coworker

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>RF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V001706  Z9c. Does name 1 go to church with R
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

29c.

IF NAME 1 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Do you and <NAME 1> go to the same place of worship?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z9

Count 1184 311 309 2 1

V001707  Z9d. Is name 1 a neighbor
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

29d.

IF NAME 1 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Does <NAME 1> live in your neighborhood?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z9

Count 1184 178 442 2 1

V001708  Z10. How often does R discuss politics w/name 1
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

210.

When you talk with <NAME 1>, do you discuss political matters...often, sometimes, rarely, or never?
1. OFTEN
3. SOMETIMES
5. RARELY
7. NEVER

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001709   Z11. How much does name 1 know about politics
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Generally speaking, how much do you think <NAME 1> knows about politics? Would you say:

1. A GREAT DEAL
3. AN AVERAGE AMOUNT
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL

8. DK - DON'T PROBE
9. RF - DON'T PROBE
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001710   Z12. How name 1 voted in election
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

I have another question about the first person you have named. How do you think <NAME 1> voted in the election? Do you think he/she voted for Al Gore, George Bush, some other candidate, or do you think <NAME 1> didn't vote?

1. AL GORE
3. GEORGE W BUSH
5. SOME OTHER CANDIDATE (SPECIFY)
7. DIDN'T VOTE
8. INELIGIBLE TO VOTE [VOL]

98. DK - DON'T PROBE
99. RF - DON'T PROBE
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>98</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001711  Z13. Is name 2 relative
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z13.

Is <NAME 2> a spouse or partner, other relative, or unrelated to you by blood or marriage?

1. SPOUSE OR PARTNER (A PARTNER IS LIKE A SPOUSE, BUT WITHOUT BEING MARRIED) --> SKIP TO Z14
3. OTHER RELATIVE, OR --> SKIP TO Z13a
5. UNRELATED BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE --> SKIP TO Z13a
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001712  Z13a. Is name 2 male or female
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z13a.

IF NECESSARY: Is <NAME 2> male or female?

1. MALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z14
5. FEMALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z14
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
V001713  Z13b. Is name 2 coworker  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Z13b.

IF NAME 2 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Is <NAME 2> a co-worker?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z13

Count 1306 234 266 1

V001714  Z13c. Does name 2 go to church with R  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Z13c.

IF NAME 2 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Do you and <NAME 2> go to the same place of worship?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z13

Count 1306 80 420 1

V001715  Z13d. Is name 2 a neighbor  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Z13d.

IF NAME 2 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:
Does <NAME 2> live in your neighborhood?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1306</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001716 Z14. How often does R discuss politics w/name 2
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z14.

When you talk with <NAME 2>, do you discuss political matters...often, sometimes, rarely, or never?

1. OFTEN
3. SOMETIMES
5. RARELY
7. NEVER
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001717 Z15. How much does name 2 know about politics
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z15.

Generally speaking, how much do you think <NAME 2> knows about politics? Would you say:

1. A GREAT DEAL
3. AN AVERAGE AMOUNT, OR
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL
8. DK - DON'T PROBE
9. RF - DON'T PROBE
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001718  Z16. How name 2 voted in election
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 98
Numeric

Z16.

Do you think <NAME 2> voted for Al Gore, George Bush, some other candidate, or do you think he/she didn't vote?)

1. AL GORE
3. GEORGE W BUSH
5. SOME OTHER CANDIDATE [SPECIFY]
7. DIDN'T VOTE
8. INELIGIBLE TO VOTE [VOL]

98. DK - DON'T PROBE
99. RF - DON'T PROBE
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>98</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001719  Z17. Is name 3 relative
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Z17.

Is <NAME 3> a spouse or partner, other relative, or unrelated to you by blood or marriage?

1. SPOUSE OR PARTNER (A PARTNER IS LIKE A SPOUSE, BUT WITHOUT BEING MARRIED)  --> SKIP TO Z18
3. OTHER RELATIVE, OR  --> SKIP TO Z17a
5. UNRELATED BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE  --> SKIP TO Z17a

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1257</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>319</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V001720 217a. Is name 3 male or female
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
217a.

IF NECESSARY: Is <NAME 3> male or female?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. MALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO 218
5. FEMALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO 218
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1257</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001721 217b. Is name 3 coworker
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
217b.

IF NAME 3 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Is <NAME 3> a co-worker?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1488</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001722 217c. Does name 3 go to church with R
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
217c.

IF NAME 3 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Do you and <NAME 3> go to the same place of worship?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z17

0 1 5
----- ----- ----- 
Count 1488 44 275

V001723  Z17d. Is name 3 a neighbor
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Z17d.

IF NAME 3 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Does <NAME 3> live in your neighborhood?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z17

0 1 5
----- ----- ----- 
Count 1488 78 241

V001724  Z18. How often does R discuss politics w/name 3
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Z18.

When you talk with <NAME 3>, do you discuss political matters...often, sometimes, rarely, or never?

1. OFTEN
3. SOMETIMES
5. RARELY, OR
7. NEVER
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z5

0 1 3 5 7
----- ----- ----- ----- ----- 
Count 1257 104 295 147 4
V001725    Z19. How much does name 3 know about politics
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Generally speaking, how much do you think <NAME 3> knows about politics? Would you say:
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A GREAT DEAL
3. AN AVERAGE AMOUNT, OR
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL
8. DK - DON'T PROBE
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001726    Z20. How name 3 voted in election
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 98
Numeric

Do you think <NAME 3> voted for Al Gore, George Bush, some other candidate, or do you think he/she didn't vote?)
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1.  AL GORE
3.  GEORGE W BUSH
5.  SOME OTHER CANDIDATE (SPECIFY) [SPECIFY]
7.  DIDN'T VOTE
8.  INELIGIBLE TO VOTE [VOL]
98. DK - DON'T PROBE
99. RF - DON'T PROBE
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001727    Z21. Is name 4 relative
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric
Z21.

Is <NAME 4> a spouse or partner, other relative, or unrelated to you by blood or marriage?

1. SPOUSE OR PARTNER (A PARTNER IS LIKE A SPOUSE, BUT WITHOUT BEING MARRIED) --> SKIP TO Z22
3. OTHER RELATIVE, OR --> SKIP TO Z21a
5. UNRELATED BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE --> SKIP TO Z21a

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z7

Count
0 1480
1 18
3 109
5 200

V001728  Z21a. Is name 4 male or female
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z21a.

IF NECESSARY: Is <NAME 4> male or female?

1. MALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z22
5. FEMALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z22

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z7

Count
0 1480
1 178
5 149

V001729  Z21b. Is name 4 coworker
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z21b.

IF NAME 4 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Is <NAME 4> a co-worker?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z21
V001730  Z21c. Does name 4 go to church with R
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Z21c.

IF NAME 4 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Do you and <NAME 4> go to the same place of worship?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z21

Count 1607  29  171

V001731  Z21d. Is name 4 a neighbor
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Z21d.

IF NAME 4 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Does <NAME 4> live in your neighborhood?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z21

Count 1607  45  155

V001732  Z22. How often does R discuss politics w/name 4
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Z22.
When you talk with <NAME 4>, do you discuss political matters...often, sometimes, rarely, or never?

1. OFTEN
3. SOMETIMES
5. RARELY, OR
7. NEVER

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in 27

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1480</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001733  Z23. How much does name 4 know about politics
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Generally speaking, how much do you think <NAME 4> knows about politics? Would you say:

1. A GREAT DEAL
3. AN AVERAGE AMOUNT, OR
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL

8. DK - DON'T PROBE
9. RF - DON'T PROBE
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in 27

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1480</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001734  Z24. How name 4 voted in election
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 98
Numeric

Do you think <NAME 4> voted for Al Gore, George Bush, some other candidate, or do you think he/she didn't vote?)

1. AL GORE
3. GEORGE W BUSH
5. SOME OTHER CANDIDATE (SPECIFY)
7. DIDN'T VOTE
8. INELIGIBLE TO VOTE [VOL]

98. DK - DON'T PROBE
99. RF - DON'T PROBE
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1480</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>98</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001735  225. Has R worked with neighbor on common issue/year
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

225.

Now, I'd like to ask you a few questions about life in your neighborhood.
During the past twelve months, have you worked with others from your neighborhood to deal with a common issue or problem?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>378</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001736  226. How satisfied is R with neighborhood
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

226.

On the whole, how satisfied are you with your neighborhood? Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?

1. VERY SATISFIED
2. SOMEWHAT SATISFIED
3. SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED
4. VERY DISSATISFIED
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001737  Z27. Are neighbors just looking out for themselves
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

Z27.

I'm going to ask you a few questions about the people you regularly see in your neighborhood. In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they are just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER

98. DK - DON'T PROBE
99. RF - DON'T PROBE
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001738  Z27a. Do neighbors try to take advantage of others
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

Z27a.

Would you say those people you see regularly in your neighborhood try to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER

98. DK - DON'T PROBE
99. RF - DON'T PROBE
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>98</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>852</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001739  Z27b. Do neighbors treat others w/respect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

Z27b.

(Again, thinking about those people you see in your neighborhood) Would you say they treat others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>98</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001740  Z27c. Does honest describe neighbors
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z27c.

Would you say that honest describes the people in your neighborhood extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
1 EXTREMELY WELL
2 QUITE WELL
3 NOT TOO WELL
4 NOT WELL AT ALL
8 DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>873</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001741  Z27d. What is the racial diversity of neighborhood
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

27d.

Thinking about the diversity of your neighborhood, are the people who live where you live all White, mostly white, about half and half, mostly Black, or all Black?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001742  Z28. How satisfying is Rs life
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

28.

In general, how satisfying do you find the way you're
spending your life these days? Would you call it completely satisfying, pretty satisfying, or not very satisfying?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. COMPLETELY SATISFYING</th>
<th>3. PRETTY SATISFYING</th>
<th>5. NOT VERY SATISFYING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8. DK</td>
<td>9. RF</td>
<td>0. NA; INAP, no Post interview</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>256</th>
<th>317</th>
<th>1029</th>
<th>202</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Others present at time of interview:

**MENTION 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0. NONE</th>
<th>1. CHILDREN UNDER 6</th>
<th>2. OLDER CHILDREN</th>
<th>3. SPOUSE</th>
<th>4. OTHER RELATIVES</th>
<th>5. OTHER ADULTS</th>
<th>7. PHONE INTERVIEW - COULD NOT TELL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>857</th>
<th>254</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Others present at time of interview:

**MENTION 2**
1. CHILDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS
7. PHONE INTERVIEW - COULD NOT TELL
9. NA; INAP, no Post interview; no further mention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>857</td>
<td>875</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001743c ZZ1(3). Others present for interview
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ1(3).

Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>857</td>
<td>932</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001744 ZZ2. Rs cooperation
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ2.

R's cooperation was:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>857</td>
<td>932</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
V001745  ZZ3. Rs knowledge of politics
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ3.

R's general level of information about politics and public affairs seemed:

1. VERY HIGH
2. FAIRLY HIGH
3. AVERAGE
4. FAIRLY LOW
5. VERY LOW

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001746  ZZ4. Rs apparent intelligence
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ4.

R's apparent intelligence:

1. VERY HIGH
2. FAIRLY HIGH
3. AVERAGE
4. FAIRLY LOW
5. VERY LOW

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001747  ZZ5. How suspicious did R seem
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ5.

How suspicious did R seem to be about the study before the interview?:

1. NOT AT ALL SUSPICIOUS
3. SOMEWHAT SUSPICIOUS
5. VERY SUSPICIOUS

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>1483</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

V001748  ZZ6. How great R's interest in IW
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ6.

Overall, how great was R's interest in the interview?

-----------------------------

1. VERY HIGH
2. FAIRLY HIGH
3. AVERAGE
4. FAIRLY LOW
5. VERY LOW

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

V001749  ZZ7. How sincere did R seem
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ7.

How sincere did R seem in his/her answers?

-----------------------------

1. COMPLETE SINCERE         --> ZZ11
3. USUALLY SINCERE          --> ZZ7a
5. OFTEN SEEMED TO BE INSINCERE  --> ZZ7a

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>1438</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-----------------------------

V001750  ZZ7a. Areas IWR doubted sincerity
Character
IF R NOT COMPLETELY SINCERE:

Were there any particular parts of the interview for which you doubted R's sincerity?

(BLANKED)

[IF SO NAME THEM BY SECTION OR QUESTION NUMBER]
This response was blanked to preserve the confidentiality of the Respondent.

V001751a  ZZ11(1). R's reaction to IW
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

R's reaction to interview:
MENTION 1

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIVE
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>15</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1023</td>
<td>205</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001751b  ZZ11(2). Rs reaction to IW
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ11(2).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 2

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1548</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001751c  ZZ11(3). Rs reaction to IW
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric
R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 3

-----------------------------------------------

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1745</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===========================================
V001751d ZZ11(4). Rs reaction to IW
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

 ZZ11(4).
R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 4

-----------------------------------------------

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>31</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1789</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001751e  Z211(5). Rs reaction to IW
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Z211(5).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 5

=====================================================================

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS
    COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>41</th>
<th>70</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1801</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

============================================
V001751f  ZZ11(6). Rs reaction to IW
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ11(6).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 6

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R
    MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD
    EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS
    SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF
    KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF
    POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES,
    OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS
    COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>41</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1805</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

============================================
V001751g  ZZ11(7). Rs reaction to IW
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 7

-----------------------------------------------

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Count 1806 1

==============================================

V001752 Post-Rand.C9(2).
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Post-Rand.C9(2).

Order of Dem and Repub names in Vote section

==============================================

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.
1. Democratic names first (FTF: BLUE BALLOT CARD)
2. Republican names first (FTF: GOLD BALLOT CARD)

0. INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1; Washington D.C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>772</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001753  Post-Rand.D2b-D2d.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Post-Rand.Dlb-Dld.

Order of Gore, GW Bush and Nader in Post Thermometers Dlb-Dld

These names were administered after Clinton (1st name)

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Nader 4th
2. Gore 2nd, Nader 3rd, GW Bush 4th
3. GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd, Nader 4th
4. GW Bush 2nd, Nader 3rd, Gore 4th
5. Nader 2nd, Gore 3rd, GW Bush 4th

9. NA
0. INAP, no Post IW

|   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|
| Count | 252 | 187 | 162 | 663 |

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001754  Post-Rand.D2g.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Post-Rand.Dlg.

Order of Democratic House candidate in Post Thermometers
Dlg-Dln
----------------------------------------------------------

Dlg-Dln were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA; no Democratic candidate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

===================================
V001755 Post-Rand.D2h.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric

Post-Rand.Dlh.

Order of Republican House candidate in Post Thermometers
Dlg-Dln
----------------------------------------------------------

Dlg-Dln were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.
Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA; no Republican candidate

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Order of Retiring House Representative in Post
Thermometers Dlg-Dln

Dlg-Dln were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA; no retiring House representative

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Post-Rand.D1k.

Order of Democratic Senate candidate in Post Thermometers Dlg-Dln

Dlg-Dln were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA; INAP, no Democratic Senate candidate; no race in state

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>422</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-Rand.D2m.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric

Post-Rand.Dlm.

Order of Republican Senate candidate in Post Thermometers Dlg-Dln

Dlg-Dln were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.
Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA; INAP, no Republican Senate candidate; no race in state

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>401</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001759  Post-Rand.D2n.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric

Post-Rand.D1n.

Order of Independent Incumbent House candidate in Post
Thermometers D1g-D1n

This var represents candidates in VT01 and VA05.
D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument
program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews
—or, for some interviews administered over several days,
portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the
correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00)
were not administered with correctly functioning randomization.
All cases administered prior to the correction of this error
were administered as if only one choice in the randomization
were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice).
Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such
cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented
here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA; INAP, no independent incumbent House candidate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1551</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2a.

Order of Supreme Court in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001761 Post-Rand.D3b.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric

Order of Congress in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice).
Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

========================================================
V001762  Post-Rand.D3c.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2c.

Order of the Military in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days,
portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>23</th>
<th>24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-------------------------------
V001767 Post-Rand.D3h.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2h.

Order of Liberals in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews -- or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**V001768 Post-Rand.D3j.**

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

**Numeric**

**Post-Rand.D2j.**

Order of Labor Unions in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---


10/15/2009
### V001769 Post-Rand.D3k.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

#### Numeric

**Post-Rand.D2k.**

Order of Big Business in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

- 00. Inap, no Post interview
- 99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>252</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---


10/15/2009
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews -- or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

- 00. Inap, no Post interview
- 99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric


Order of Hispanics in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization...
were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V001773    Post-Rand.D3q.
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 99
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2q.

Order of Christian Fund in Post Group Thermometers
D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Post-Rand.D2s.

**Order of Older People in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z**

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview  
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

V001775 Post-Rand.D3s.  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99  
Numeric
**Post-Rand.D3t.**

Order of Environmentalists in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews -- or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

- 00. Inap, no Post interview
- 99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Post-Rand.D3u.**

Order of Homosexuals in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

---


10/15/2009
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>252</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>47</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================
V001778 Post-Rand.D3v.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2v.

Order of Christian Coalition in Post Group Thermometers
D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such
cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001779 Post-Rand.D3w.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2w.

Order of Catholics in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
V001780 Post-Rand.D3x.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric

Order of Jews in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews -- or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

Order of Protestants in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Post-Rand.D2y.

Order of Feminists in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z
---------------------------------------------------------------------

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

=================================
V001783 Post-Rand.D3z.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2z.

Order of Asian-Americans in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z
---------------------------------------------------------------------

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the
correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001784 Post-Rand.E1/E3.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Rand.E1/E3.

Post: Order of Democratic/Republican House candidates in 'Likes-Dislikes' E1-E2/E3-E4

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Democratic House candidate first, Republican House candidate 2nd
2. Republican House candidate first, Democratic House candidate 2nd

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1017</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================================
V001785    Post-Rand.G3-G5.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Rand.G3-G5.

Post: Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Liberal-
Conservative placements G3-G5/G3.T-G5.T

These names were administered after Clinton (1st name).

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument
program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews
--or, for some interviews administered over several days,
portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the
correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00)
were not administered with correctly functioning randomization.
All cases administered prior to the correction of this error
were administered as if only one choice in the randomization
were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice).
Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such
cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented
here.

1. Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Buchanan 4th
2. Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, GW Bush 4th
3. GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd, Buchanan 4th
4. GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, Gore 4th
5. Buchanan 2nd , Gore 3rd, GW Bush 4th

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>659</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

==============================================================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric


Post: Order of Democratic and Republican House candidates in Liberal-Conservative Placements
G6-G7/G6.T-G7.T

These names were administered after the names of Clinton, Gore, GW Bush, and Buchanan.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Democratic House candidate 5th, Republican House candidate 6th
2. Republican House candidate 5th, Democratic House candidate 6th
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>839</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Post: Order of Democratic, Republican, and Reform parties in Liberal-Conservative Placements
G8-G10/G8.T-G10.T

These names were administered after the names of Clinton, Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan, the Democratic House candidate, and the Republican House candidate.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such
cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Democratic Party 7th, Republican Party 8th, Reform Party 9th
2. Democratic Party 7th, Reform Party 8th, Republican Party 9th
3. Republican Party 7th, Democratic Party 8th, Reform Party 9th
4. Republican Party 7th, Reform Party 8th, Democratic Party 9th
5. Reform Party 7th, Democratic Party 8th, Republican Party 9th
6. Reform Party 7th, Republican Party 8th, Democratic Party 9th

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>659</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------------------------------------------------------------------

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Democratic House candidate 1st, Republican House candidate 2nd
2. Republican House candidate 1st, Democratic House candidate 2nd

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>477</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---------------------------------------------------------------------

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V0000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Democratic House candidate 1st, Republican House candidate 2nd
2. Republican House candidate 1st, Democratic House candidate 2nd

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1214</td>
<td>341</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These names were randomly administered after whites.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V0000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Blacks 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
2. Blacks 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
3. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
4. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th
5. Asian-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
6. Asian-Americans 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These names were randomly administered after whites.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Blacks 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
2. Blacks 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
3. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
4. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th
5. Asian-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
6. Asian-Americans 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001791 Post-Rand.R3b-R3d.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-Rand.R3b-R3d.
Post: Order of racial groups Intelligent
R3b-R3d/R3b.T-R3d.T

Count 174 651 199 3

================================
V001792  Post-Rand.R4b-R4d.
      MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9
      Numeric

Post-Rand.R4b-R4d.

Post: Order of racial groups Trustworthy
R4b-R4d/R4b.T-R4d.T

These names were randomly administered after whites.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Blacks 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
2. Blacks 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
3. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
4. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th
5. Asian-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
6. Asian-Americans 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

      0    1    2    3
Count  252  174  191  192

      4    5    6    9
      -----  -----  -----  -----  
Count   646  170  179    3

================================
V001793  Post-Rand.S14a.
      MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9
      Numeric

Post-Rand.S14a.

Post: Order of Angry in Clinton affects S14a-S14d

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews
--or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-4 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>792</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001794  Post-Rand.S14b.
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9
Numeric

Post-Rand.S14b.

Post: Order of Hopeful Clinton affects S14a-S14d

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-4 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>792</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001795  Post-Rand.S14c.
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9
Numeric

Post-Rand.S14c.
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-4 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>792</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001796 Post-Rand.S14d.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Rand.S14d.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-4 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>792</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Post-Rand.S15a.

Post: Order of Moral in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>792</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-Rand.S15b.

Post: Order of Really Cares in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.
Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>792</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>792</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001801  Post-Rand.S15e.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Rand.S15e.
Post: Order of Dishonest in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>252</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>792</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization
were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>792</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Rand.V5a.

Post: Order of Moral in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001805 Post-Rand.V5b.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-Rand.V5b.

Post: Order of Really Cares in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>224</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001806  Post-Rand.V5c.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Rand.V5c.

Post: Order of Knowledgeable in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA
portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the
correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00)
were not administered with correctly functioning randomization.
All cases administered prior to the correction of this error
were administered as if only one choice in the randomization
were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice).
Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such
cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented
here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V001809 Post-Rand.V5f.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Rand.V5f.

Post: Order of Intelligent in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument
program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews
-- or, for some interviews administered over several days,
portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the
correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00)
were not administered with correctly functioning randomization.
All cases administered prior to the correction of this error
were administered as if only one choice in the randomization
were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice).
Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such
cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented
here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>544</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Post-Rand.V5g.

Post: Order of Out of Touch in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (V000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>122</td>
<td></td>
<td>224</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>