FILE anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

 ANES 2000-2004 Merged File
Codebook variable documentation file
VERSION 20110812 (August 12, 2011)

DSETID   - WT04     Vars specific to ANES 2000-2004 Merged file (this file)
M000003  - M001810  Vars from the ANES 2000 Time Series Study
M021001  - M025201  Vars from the ANES 2002 Time Series Study ('panel' cases only)
M041201  - M045209  Vars from the ANES 2004 Panel Study

Except for prefix "M" at the beginning of variable names, variable descriptions
are the same as in documentation for the stand-alone studies.

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:
Version of ANES dataset
NOTES:
------
This is the version of the ANES 2000-2004 Merged File
release in format:
2004ANESVERSION:yyyymmdd

TYPE:     
-----
Character

DSETID     Dataset ID

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:
ANES dataset ID
NOTES:
------
This is the ANES dataset ID of the ANES 2000-2004 Merged File:

TYPE:     
-----
Character

ID     Case ID
STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

Case ID

VALID CODES:
-------------
001-1811

NOTES:
------
This is the ANES 2000 Time Series Study (pre-election) case ID. There are gaps in the numbering.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

=============================================================================  
2002ID   2002 Case ID
=============================================================================  

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

2002 Pre Election Case ID

VALID CODES:
-------------
0001-1513

NOTES:
------
This is the ANES 2002 Time Series Study (pre-election) case ID. There are four gaps in the numbering.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

=============================================================================  
2004ID   2004 Case ID
=============================================================================  

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

2004 post election case ID

VALID CODES:
-------------
001-897

NOTES:
------
This is the ANES 2004 Panel Study 4-digit case ID. There are gaps in the numbering.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0
STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

Year Participation 2000-2002-2004

VALID CODES:

1. 2000 only
2. 2000 and 2002
3. 2000 - 2002 - 2004

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

Wave Participation 2000-2002-2004

VALID CODES:

2000 ONLY:
01. 2000 Pre
02. 2000 Pre - 2000 Post

2000 AND 2002:
03. 2000 Pre - 2002 Pre
04. 2000 Pre - 2002 Pre - 2002 Post
05. 2000 Pre - 2000 Post - 2002 Pre
06. 2000 Pre - 2000 Post - 2002 Pre - 2002 Post

2000, 2002, 2004:
07. 2000 Pre - 2002 Pre - 2004 Post
08. 2000 Pre - 2002 Post - 2004 Post
09. 2000 Post - 2002 Pre - 2004 Post

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

WT00PRE 2000 Pre-Election Sample Weight

Sample weight for Pre variables

This is a 6-digit variable with a coded decimal point and 4 actual decimal places.
A poststratification adjustment using the 2000 CPS March Supplement estimates as the standard was done for the combined
RDD and area samples. The cells were formed by crossing 6 age groups by 4 levels of education. The age groups were: 18-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59, 60-69, 70+. The education levels were: < high school graduation, high school graduate, some college, and 4 years of college or more.

**TYPE:**

-----

Numeric  Dec 4

=============================================================================

**WT00PO**  2000 Post-Election Sample Weight

=============================================================================

Sample weight for Post variables

This is a 6-digit variable with a coded decimal point and 4 actual decimal places.

0. No Post IW

**TYPE:**

-----

Numeric  Dec 4

=============================================================================

**WT02PRE**  2002 Pre-Election 'Panel' Weight (2000-2002)

=============================================================================

Pre-election Post-stratified weight

This is a 6-digit variable with a coded decimal point and 4 decimal places. This weight compensates for the unequal probabilities of selection for respondents in different size households and for different non-response across geographic sample design categories. A post stratification adjustment used the 2000 CPS March Supplement estimates as the standard. The cells were formed by crossing 6 age groups by 4 levels of education. The age groups were: 18-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59, 60-69, 70+. The education levels were: < high school graduation, high school graduate, some college, and 4 years of college or more.

**TYPE:**

-----

Num  Dec 0

=============================================================================

**WT02PO**  2002 Post-Election 'Panel' Weight (2000-2002)

=============================================================================

Post-election Post-stratified weight

This is a 6-digit variable with a coded decimal point and 4 decimal places.
See note WEIGHT.1; WEIGHT.2 values are constructed for the cases reinterviewed in the Post.

0. No Post interview

TYPE:
-----
Num  Dec 0


STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

2004 Panel Weight

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 4

----------------------------------------
M000003  Process.6. Pre only or Pre-and-Post
Numeric

Process.6.

Pre only or Pre-and-Post
----------------------------------------

0. Pre-Election and Post-Election interviews
1. Pre-Election Interview only

----------------------------------------
M000004  Pre.Admin.1. Mode of Pre IW
Numeric

Pre-Admin.1.

PRE: Mode of Pre IW
----------------------------------------

1. Personal (FTF)
5. Telephone

----------------------------------------
M000005a  Pre.Admin.2a. Form - E section
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2a.

PRE: Form - E section administered in Pre
----------------------------------------

Section E questions about R's personal financial situation were randomly selected to be administered either in Pre section E or Post section H.

0. Section E not administered in Pre [Post section H P001410-P001417]
1. Section E administered in Pre [P000398-P000406]

M00005b  Pre.Admin.2b. Form - F6-F9 half sample
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2b.

PRE: Form - F6-F9 half sample
The series of questions F6-F9 concerning "most important problem" was administered to a randomly selected half sample in the Pre.

0. R not selected for F6-F9 Pre administration
1. R selected for F6-F9 Pre administration [P000431-P000438]

M00005c  Pre.Admin.2c. Form - Q1-Q11 or Q14-15
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2c.

PRE: Form - Clinton retrospective Q1-Q11 series or Clinton affects/traits Q14-Q15 series
Rs were randomly selected to be administered either the Clinton retrospective (Q1-Q11) or the Clinton traits and affects questions (Q14-Q15 sections) in the Pre. The questions for which R was not selected for Pre administration were administered in the Post (S1-S11 and the S14-S15 sections).

1. Q1-Q11 asked in Pre [Clinton retrospective P000807-P000846]
2. Q14-Q15 sections asked in Pre [Clinton affects and traits P000847-P000861]

M00005d  Pre.Admin.2d. Form - G section lib-con
Numeric

Pre-Admin.2d.

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard 7-point scale format for Liberal/Conservative placements, or to an experimental branching format. The standard format items are G1-G5 (FTF) and G1.T-G5.T (phone); the experimental format items are G6.E-G10.E (FTF and phone).

SELF PLACEMENT
  G1a Standard format FTF = P000439
  G1a.T Standard format Ph = P000439a

CLINTON PLACEMENT
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

G2 Standard format FTF = P000448
G2.T Standard format Ph = P000448a
G7.E-G7b.E Experimental FTF+Ph = P000450-452
GORE PLACEMENT
G3 Standard format FTF = P000455
G3.T Standard format Ph = P000455a
G8.E-G8b.E Experimental FTF+Ph = P000459-461
GW BUSH PLACEMENT
G4 Standard format FTF = P000465
G4.T Standard format Ph = P000465a
G9.E-G9b.E Experimental FTF+Ph = P000469-471
BUCHANAN PLACEMENT
G5 Standard format FTF = P000475
G5.T Standard format Ph = P000475a
G10.E-G10b.E Experimental FTF+Ph = P000479-481


==============================
M000005e Pre.Admin.2e. Form - H1 or H1.E
Numeric
Pre-Admin.2e.

PRE: Form - H1 or H1.E economy retrospective format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (H1) or experimental (H1.E) format.

1. Standard format H1 [P000488a]
2. Experimental format H1.E [P000488b]

==============================
M000005f Pre/Admin.2f. Form - H2 or H2.E
Numeric
Pre-Admin.2f.

PRE: Form - H2 or H2.E employment retrospective format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (H2) or experimental (H2.E) format.

1. Standard format H1 [P000492a]
2. Experimental format H1.E [P000492b]

==============================
M000005g Pre Admin.2g. Form - H4 or H4.E
Numeric
Pre-Admin.2g.

PRE: Form - H4 or H4.E economy prospective format
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Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (H4) or experimental (H4.E) format.

1. Standard format H4 [P000496a]  
2. Experimental format H4.E [P000496b]

M000005h Pre.Admin.2h. Form - H11 or H11.E  
Numeric

Pre-admin.2h.

PRE: Form - H11 or H11.E imports format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (H11) or experimental (H11.E) format.

1. Standard format H11 [P000511a]  
2. Experimental format H11.E [P000511b]

M000005j Pre.Admin.2j. Form - H12 or H12.E  
Numeric

Pre-admin.2j.

PRE: Form - H12 or H12.E isolationism format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (H12) or experimental (H12.E) format.

1. Standard format H12 [P000513a]  
2. Experimental format H12.E [P000513b]

M000005k Pre.Admin.2k. Form - L3 medical insur.  
Numeric

Pre-admin.2k.

PRE: Form - L3/L3.T or L3.E/L3.TE medical insurance format

FTF (7-point scale): Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard format for Govt/Private Health Insurance self-placement, or to an experimental format. The standard format is L3a; the experimental format (with reversed scale) is L3a.E.  
PHONE (branching): Respondents were randomly assigned to either version 1 or version 2. The version 1 format is L3a; the version 2 format (with reversed coding) is L3a.E.

1. FTF Standard L3a/ Phone Version 1 L3a.T [P000608a/P000610a]  
2. FTF Experimental L3a.E/ Phone Version 2 L3a.TE [P000608b/P000610b]
Pre-Admin.2m.

PRE: Form - L6 or L6.E affirmative action format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (L6) or experimental (L6.E) format.

1. Standard format L6 [P000671a]
2. Experimental format L6.E [P000671b]

Pre-Admin.2n.

PRE: Form - M4a/M4a.T or M4a.E/M4a.TE environment vs. jobs format

FTF (7-point scale): Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard format for Environment Versus Jobs self-placement, or to an experimental format. The standard format is M4a; the experimental format (without "haven't thought much" option) is M4a.E.

PHONE (branching): Respondents were randomly assigned to either version 1 or version 2. The version 1 format is M4a; the version 2 (without "haven't thought much" option) format is M4a.E.

1. FTF Standard M4a/ Phone Version 1 M4a.T [P000707a/P000709a]
2. FTF Experimental M4a.E/ Phone Version 2 M4a.TE [P000707b/P000709b]

Pre-Admin.2p.

PRE: Form - N1 or N1.E school voucher format

Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard (N1) or experimental (N1.E) format.

1. Standard format N1 [P000741a]
2. Experimental format N1.E [P000741b]

Pre-Admin.2q.

PRE: Form - P1/P1.T or P1.E/P1.TE women equal role format
FTF (7-point scale): Respondents were randomly assigned to either the standard format for Women's Equal Role self-placement, or to an experimental format. The standard format is P1a; the experimental format (without "haven't thought much" option) is P1a.E.

PHONE (branching): Respondents were randomly assigned to either version 1 or version 2. The version 1 format is P1a.T; the version 2 format (without "haven't thought much" option) is P1a.TE.

1. FTF Standard P1a / Phone Version 1 P1a.T [P000754a/P000756a]
2. FTF Experimental P1a.E/Phone Version 2 P1a.TE [P000754b/P000756b]

M000006    Pre.Admin.3. Month of IW
Numeric

PRE: Month of Interview

09. September
10. October
11. November

M000007    Pre.Admin.4. Day of IW
Numeric

PRE: Day of Interview

Coded 1-31

M000008    Pre.Admin.5. Month and day (MMDD)
Character

PRE: Month and day (MMDD) of Interview

This is the day and month of interview in MMDD format.

M000009    Pre.Admin.6. No. days after election
Numeric

PRE: Number of days before election
Election day was November 7, 2000.

1-63 days

--------------------
M000010    Pre.Admin.7. IW length
MD:  EQ 999.99
      Numeric  DEC 2

Pre-Admin.7.

PRE: Interview length

Note: interview length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the interview as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Average Pre interview length is 68.35 minutes. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA; INAP, partial IW (5 in Pre-Admin.26)

--------------------
M000011    Pre.Admin.8. IW number
      Numeric

Pre-Admin.8.

PRE: Interview number

01-53

--------------------
M000012    Pre.Admin.9. Date of beginning VQ file
      Numeric

Pre-Admin.9.

PRE: Date of beginning VQ file

This refers to the VQ file loaded when the interview began. Changes were made to the VQ (application) while in the field. The next variable documents the VQ version in use at the end of the interview; note that if an interview was temporarily halted and a new VQ was installed prior to the resumption of interviewing, the VQ in effect for some questions would not be the VQ coded here.

0. VQ dated 8/15
1. VQ dated 8/30
2. VQ dated 9/5
3. VQ dated 9/6
4. VQ dated 9/8
5. VQ dated 9/25
6. VQ dated 9/28
7. VQ dated 9/29
8. VQ dated 10/5
9. VQ dated 10/19

==========================================
M000013 Pre.Admin.10. Date of ending VQ file
MD: EQ 99
Numeric

Pre-Admin.10.

PRE: Date of ending VQ file

This refers to the VQ file in use when the interview concluded. Changes were made to the VQ (application) while in the field. Note that if an interview was temporarily halted and a new VQ was installed prior to the resumption of interviewing, the VQ in effect for some questions would not be the beginning VQ (previous var).

0. VQ dated 8/15
1. VQ dated 8/30
2. VQ dated 9/5
3. VQ dated 9/6
4. VQ dated 9/8
5. VQ dated 9/25
6. VQ dated 9/28
7. VQ dated 9/29
8. VQ dated 10/5
9. VQ dated 10/19

99. INAP, partial IW (5 in Pre-Admin.26)

==========================================
M000014 Pre.Admin.11. Flag- change in VQ
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Admin.11.

PRE: Flag- change in VQ

Several changes to the application were made during the field period. This variable flags whether a suspended interview was resumed with a different version of the application.

0. No change
1. Change in VQ

9. NA, partial IW (5 in Pre-Admin.26)

==========================================
M000015 Pre.Admin.12. Advance letter sent?
MD: EQ 9
Numeric
Pre-Admin.12.

PRE: Advance letter sent?

0. No advance letter sent
1. Advance letter sent
9. NA

Pre-Admin.13.

PRE: Payment amount

00. R requested no payment
20. Twenty dollars
40. Forty dollars
99. NA

Pre-Admin.14.

PRE: Payment mode

0. R requested no payment
1. Check
2. Cash
9. NA

Pre-Admin.15.

PRE: Payment date (MMDD)

9999. NA; R requested no payment

Pre-Admin.16.
New interviewers had to tape record 2 of their first 5 production interviews; experienced interviewers were required to tape record 1 of their first 3 production interviews (FTF or phone). Tape recording was conducted with the respondent's permission. The IWR made a self-evaluation and sent the tapes to their field supervisor.

1. Yes
5. No

Approximately 15 percent of interviews (completed or partially completed) were randomly selected and verified by field supervisors for new interviewers, and 6 percent were randomly selected and verified for SRC-experienced interviewers.

Verification was attempted by phone (phone interviews) or by letter (FTF interviews) within 3 days of interview date. If no contact/response with the respondent was made after 3 attempts, a replacement line was selected. The respondent was asked whether they were interviewed by the interviewer of record, the approximate length of the interview, and whether or not the interview was interesting; in addition, 3 questions were asked about content of the interview.

NOTE: for verification codes, a "don't remember" response is considered a non-match.

0. Counted for Ann Arbor office, not selected for verification
1. Flagged for verification (interview number selected)
2. Verified OK (all verification data match original data exactly)
3. Verified with discrepancy (only one piece of verification data does not match; everything else matches exactly)
4. Not verified (more than 1 piece of information reported by the respondent at the time of verification is different from that collected at the time of the original interview or finalizing the case; further probing results in assignment of either code 3 or code 4 as a final code)
5. Unable to verify (R is contacted but is unwilling to participate in verification exercise or the respondent cannot be reached; this includes: reaching the household by telephone but not reaching the respondent, and includes sending the verification letter to a household but no letter returned after 3 attempts)
6. Verification limit met (used when the verifier has already completed the needed verification level).
Pre-Admin.18.

PRE: Evaluation

0. Not evaluated
1. Flagged
2. Evaluated
3. Evaluation received in Ann Arbor office
4. Cannot evaluate

Pre-Admin.19.

PRE: Refusal conversion indicator

This refers to interviews initially refused by someone when an interviewer was first attempting contact. The source of this refusal may or may not have been the Respondent; result code 43 [contact, resistance by R] or 46 [resistance not by R] for any call (not the final result code) turns this indicator on. Conversion may be made by the initial interviewer or another interviewer. If the conversion is not made by the initial interviewer, the interviewer of record is the interviewer obtaining the conversion.

0. Not a refusal conversion situation
1. Refusal conversion situation indicated

Pre-Admin.20.

PRE: Persuasion letter - flag

0. No persuasion letter sent
1. Persuasion letter sent
9. NA

Pre-Admin.21.

PRE: Date persuasion letter requested (MMDD)
9999. No persuasion letter requested

M000025 Pre.Admin.22. Date persuasion sent
 MD: EQ 9999
 Character

Pre-Admin.22.

PRE: Date persuasion letter sent (MMDD)

9999. NA; INAP, no persuasion letter requested (9999 in Pre-Admin.21)

M000026 Pre.Admin.23. Type of persuasion letter
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.23.

PRE: Type of persuasion letter

1. A. Too busy
2. B. Too personal
3. C. Not interested
4. D. Too old
5. E. Evasive
6. F. Gatekeeper
7. G. Not at home

9. NA
0. INAP, no persuasion letter sent (0,9 in Pre-Admin.20)

M000027 Pre.Admin.24. Telephone calls
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.24.

PRE: Number of telephone calls

00-42

M000028 Pre.Admin.25. FTF calls
 Numeric

Pre-Admin.25.

PRE: Number of face-to-face (FTF) calls

00-22

PRE: Final result

1. Interview, complete
5. Interview, partial

Pre.Admin.27.

Sample release (all 1)

All cases coded 1.
There was a single release of the entire sample.

1. Released at start of the field period

Pre.Admin.28.

Language of IW (all English)

All interviews conducted entirely in English (code 1)

1. English

Pre.CSheet.1.

Flag - missing Coversheet

0. Coversheet not missing
1. Missing coversheet

Pre.CSheet.2.
FTF coversheets were blue or green. Telephone coversheets were yellow.
GREEN COVERSHEETS: used by FTF interviewers when updating the area probability sample. Type II updates involved addition of unlisted (hidden) HUs at the selected listed address: for example, once the IWR was inside the HU, he or she may have noticed that the house was divided into separate and complete apartment units that were not apparent from outside. Each interviewer received a set of unlabeled coversheets that had a provision for adding up to three unlisted HUs discovered at the listed address.

1. Blue
2. Yellow
4. Green - FTF type II updating
9. Missing coversheet (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)

M000034 Pre.CSheet.3. PH- type phone #
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Pre-CSheet.3.

IF TELEPHONE RDD:

PRE - COVERSHEET Item 3:
Since the phone number I dialed is produced by a computer, I do not know whether I’m calling a business, a home, a dormitory, or some other type of residence. (Which is it?)

1. Business
2. Home
3. Both
4. Group headquarters
5. Cell phone
9. NA; Phone mode and coversheet missing (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)
0. Inap, assigned FTF mode

M000035 Pre.CSheet.4. PH- business with residence
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Pre-CSheet.4.

IF TELEPHONE RDD:
IF BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER:

PRE - COVERSHEET Item 3a:
Does anyone live there on the premises?
1. Yes
5. No.
9. NA
0. Inap, 2,3,4,5,9 in Pre-Csheet.3; assigned FTF mode

M000036    Pre.CSheet.5. PH- bus res has personal#
            MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
            Numeric

Pre-CSheet.5.

IF TELEPHONE RDD:
IF BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER:
IF SOMEONE LIVES ON THE PREMISES:

PRE - COVERSHEET Item 3b:
Do (they/you) have a different phone number inside
(t heir/your) residence that is for personal use?

1. Have other
2. Use this
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.4; 2,3,4,5,9 in Pre-Csheet.3;
   assigned FTF mode

M000037    Pre.CSheet.6. FTF- HH listing from
            MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
            Numeric

Pre-CSheet.6.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

PRE - COVERSHEET Item 6:
Household listing obtained from:

1. HU member
2. Neighbor
3. Apt. manager
4. Landlord
5. Observation
7. Other (specify)

9. NA; FTF and coversheet missing (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)
0. Inap, assigned phone mode

M000038    Pre.CSheet.7. Selection table
            MD:  EQ 9
            Numeric
The Selection Table is used to select a respondent from all household members who are eligible adults. (An eligible adult is a U.S. citizen who is 18 years of age or older by Election Day.) The Selection Table appears on the Coversheet below the Household Listing.

After a complete listing of the HH is taken, each eligible adult is assigned a "Number" based on the criteria of gender and age [beginning with the oldest male as number 1, males are numbered by increments of 1 according to descending age; females are numbered by descending age starting with the number one higher than that assigned to the youngest male]. The interviewer then uses the Selection Table to determine the "Number" of the eligible adult to be interviewed.

The Selection Table itself consists of 2 parallel columns. In the first column the interviewer circles the total number of eligible adults in the household; in the second column (same row) is identified the Number" of the person who will be the respondent.

There are 8 different Selection Tables used and they are randomly assigned. [Note that although only 8 tables are used, there are 12 codes in this variable because the 8 tables are used in cycles of 12; this procedure is used to equalize the probability of selection for each eligible adult]. A summary describing all 8 Selection Tables appears below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERSONS</th>
<th>PERSON SELECTED (person &quot;Number&quot;)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A  B1</td>
<td>B2  C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 or more</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Selection table A
2. Selection table B1
3. Selection table B2
4. Selection table C
5. Selection table D
6. Selection table E1
7. Selection table E2
8. Selection table F
9. Missing coversheet (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)
M000039 Pre.CSheet.8. Number of elig adults
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.8.

PRE - COVERSHEET
HOUSEHOLD LISTING:
Number of eligible adults

Note: In addition to completing the HH listing on the coversheet, the IWR entered a value for number of eligible respondents in CSMS. For this reason, cases with missing coversheets may be coded in this variable.

1-7
9. NA

M000040 Pre.CSheet.9. Number inelig adults
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.9.

PRE - COVERSHEET
HOUSEHOLD LISTING:
Number ineligible adults

These are usually adults (over 18) in the HU who are noncitizens. Note: cases with missing coversheets may be coded in this variable from information in call records/thumbnails.

0-6
9. NA

M000041 Pre.CSheet.10. Household composition
MD:  EQ 99
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.10.

PRE - COVERSHEET
HOUSEHOLD LISTING:
Household composition

The HH composition code is derived from information provided in the household listing. "Adults" are 18 years of age (by election day) or older; all individuals included in the HH composition codes below refer to adults.
A designation of a HH member as "the householder" (HHR) describes HU member who owns or rents the home (in whose name the lease is held). Cohabiting couples are coded as married (30, 40, 50-52). Roommates of opposite sex are coded 11, 12, 21, 22; in all cases of unrelated roommates the respondent is HHR.

If R identifies self as married/partnered but spouse/partner is residing elsewhere (nursing home, job requirement or other reason), R is coded as adult male HHR/adult female HHR (codes 10-22 and 60-95) and is identified as married/partnered in marital status question. In cases 8, 49, 77, 157, 377, 535, 580, 814, 1087, 1117, 1202, 1212, 1381, 1639, 1731 the partner/spouse identified in P000909 (marital status) was not a resident of the HU or was residing elsewhere on temporary basis. In cases 1281, 1516, 46, 312, 133, 23, 222 there is no information about spouse/partner in HH listing or elsewhere other than marital status question. Note: cases with missing coversheets may be coded in this variable from information in call records/thumbnails.

10. 1 adult male HHR
11. 1 adult male HHR plus 1 other non-relative
12. 1 adult male HHR plus 2 or more non-relatives
20. 1 adult female HHR
21. 1 adult female HHR plus 1 other non-relative
22. 1 adult female HHR plus 2 or more non-relatives
30. 1 married couple: no children or all children living at home are under 18
40. 1 married couple plus 1 other relative
50. 1 married couple plus 2 or more other relatives
51. 1 married couple plus 1 other non-relative
52. 1 married couple plus 2 or more non-relatives
55. 1 married couple plus relatives and non-relatives
60. 1 male HHR plus 1 other relative
65. 1 male HHR plus relatives and nonrelatives
70. 1 male HHR plus 2 or more other relatives
80. 1 female HHR plus 1 other relative
85. 1 female HHR plus relatives and non-relatives
90. 1 female HHR plus 2 or more other relatives
95. Relatives of opposite sex, unclear who is HHR
99. NA

Note: cases with missing coversheets may be coded in this variable from information in call records/thumbnails.
Pre.CSheet.12. FTF- type structure
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Pre-CSheet.12.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD1:
Describe the type of structure in which the respondent live (sample address)

1. Mobile home
2. Detached single family
3. Multi-family
4. Apartment house
5. Condo complex
7. Other (specify)

9. NA; missing coversheet (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)
0. Inap, assigned phone mode

Pre-CSheet.13.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD2:
Is there a building manager, security guard or other gatekeeper whose cooperation you need in order to gain access to the R's housing unit?

1. Yes
2. No, but building is locked/ subdivision is gated and locked
5. No

9. NA; missing coversheet (1 in Pre-Csheet.1)
0. Inap, assigned phone mode

Pre-CSheet.14.
IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

IF GATEKEEPER/GUARD/MANAGER/LOCKED ACCESS:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD2a:
Check the box below which best describes the situation
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Building manager or other Gatekeeper must let you in the
building (on the grounds, into the mobile home park) but then
you are free to attempt contact with R's HU
2. Building manager/other Gatekeeper must get permission from
someone in R's HU before you are allowed to make contact
with the household
7. Other (specify)
9. NA
0. Inap, 5, 9 in Pre-Csheet.13; assigned phone mode

M000046 Pre.CSheet.15. Resistance from contact?
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.15.

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3a:
Was there resistance from any contact person?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Yes
5. No
9. NA

M000047 Pre.CSheet.16. Contact resist-waste time
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.16.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(1):
Resistance from contact person - SURVEYS WASTE OF TIME;
PREVIOUS BAD EXPERIENCE
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5, 9 in Pre-Csheet.15

M000048 Pre.CSheet.17. Contact resist-too personal
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Pre-CSheet.17.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(2):
Resistance from contact person - CONTENT TOO PERSONAL

1. Marked
5. Not marked

9. NA
0. Inap, 5, 9 in Pre-Csheet.15

Pre-CSheet.18.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(3):
Resistance from contact person - CONFIDENTIALITY

1. Marked
5. Not marked

9. NA
0. Inap, 5, 9 in Pre-Csheet.15

Pre-CSheet.19.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(4):
Resistance from contact person - NOT INTERESTED IN POLITICS

1. Marked
5. Not marked

9. NA
0. Inap, 5, 9 in Pre-Csheet.15
Pre-CSheet.20.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(5):
Resistance from contact person - TOO BUSY

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

Pre-CSheet.21.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(6):
Resistance from contact person - POOR HEALTH

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

Pre-CSheet.22.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(7):
Resistance from contact person - OTHER (SPECIFY)

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15
Pre-CSheet.23. R refuse initially?
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.23.

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3e:
Did R refuse initially?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Yes
5. No
9. NA

Pre-CSheet.24. R break appointments
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.24.

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3f:
Did respondent break any appointments
---------------------------------------------------------------------

0. None
1. One
2. Two or more
9. NA

Pre-CSheet.25. Was R contact resister?
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.25.

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3g:
If contact persons resisted [if CD3a was "YES"], was the respondent the contact person who gave resistance?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Yes
5. No
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

Pre-CSheet.26. SUMMARY: did R resist
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
SUMMARY: resistance (built)

This counts any resistance identified according to Pre-CSheet.25 or in Pre-CSheet.27-Pre-CSheet.33; however, cases "not marked" throughout variables Pre-CSheet.27-Pre-CSheet.33 may have been unmarked due to complete omission rather than due to the inapplicability of any of the resistance categories to R.

1. Yes, some resistance identified
5. No resistance identified

0. Inap, 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

Pre-CSheet.27.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(1):
Resistance from R - SURVEYS WASTE OF TIME; PREVIOUS BAD EXPERIENCE

1. Marked
5. Not marked

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

Pre-CSheet.28.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(2):
Resistance from R - CONTENT TOO PERSONAL

1. Marked
5. Not marked

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

Pre-CSheet.29.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(3):
Resistance from R - CONTENT TOO PERSONAL

1. Marked
5. Not marked

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15
Pre-CSheet.29.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(3):
Resistance from R - CONFIDENTIALITY

1. Marked
5. Not marked

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

==============================
M000061    Pre.CSheet.30. R resist-not interested in politics
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.30.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(4):
Resistance from R - NOT INTERESTED IN POLITICS

1. Marked
5. Not marked

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

==============================
M000062    Pre.CSheet.31. R resist-too busy
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.31.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(5):
Resistance from R - TOO BUSY

1. Marked
5. Not marked

0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

==============================
M000063    Pre.CSheet.32. R resist-health
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric
Pre-CSheet.32.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(6):
Resistance from R - POOR HEALTH

1. Marked
5. Not marked
0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

Pre-CSheet.33.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON WHO RESISTED:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(7):
Resistance from R - OTHER (SPECIFY)

1. Marked
5. Not marked
0. Inap, 1 in Pre-CSheet.25; 5,9 in Pre-Csheet.15

Pre-CSheet.34.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 1):
What is the estimated income of R's household?

1. Under $10,000
2. $10,000-25,000
3. $25,000-50,000
4. $50,000-105,000
5. $105,000 and above
8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP, Phone mode
Pre-CSheet.35. IWR est race (CSMS)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.35.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 2):
What is the race of R's household?

See also survey variables Y30(1)-Y30(3) for self-description by
the respondent, item ZZ.2 describing IWR's observation of R's
race, and the Post equivalent of this variable in Post-CSheet.31.

1. White
2. Black
3. American Indian
4. Asian
7. Other

8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP, Phone mode

Pre-CSheet.36.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

IF INTERVIEWER HAS IDENTIFIED RACE OF HOUSEHOLD:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 2a):
Is this definite or probable?

1. Definite
5. Probable

9. NA
0. INAP, 0,8,9 in Pre-Csheet.35

Pre-CSheet.37.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

Pre-CSheet.37. Hispanic HH? (CSMS)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Pre-CSheet.37.

Page 31
Is R or R's household of Hispanic origin?

See also P000184d, P000184e (Post version of these variables) and Pre survey items Y30x/Y31 and Y31a/Y31a.T.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP, Phone mode

Pre.CSheet.38.

If face-to-face mode:
If interviewer has identified Hispanic status of R/R's household:

PRE - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 3a):
Is this definite or probable?

1. Definite
5. Probable
9. NA
0. INAP, 0,8,9 in Pre-Csheet.37

Pre.IWR.1.

PRE: Interviewer of record ID

4-digit ID

Pre.IWR.2.

PRE: Supervisor ID
4-digit ID

===============================================
M000072  Pre.IWR.3. Interviewer gender
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-IWR.3.

PRE: Interviewer gender
-----------------------------------------------
1. Male
2. Female

===============================================
M000073  Pre.IWR.4. Interviewer education
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-IWR.4.

PRE: Interviewer education
-----------------------------------------------
1. 1-8 grades
2. Some high school
3. High school graduate
4. Some college
5. College degree
6. Master's degree
7. Ph.D.
9. NA

===============================================
M000074  Pre.IWR.5. Interviewer race
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-IWR.5.

PRE: Interviewer race
-----------------------------------------------
1. White
2. Black
3. Native American
4. Asian
7. Other
9. NA

===============================================
M000075  Pre.IWR.6. Interviewer ethnicity
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-IWR.6.
PRE: Interviewer ethnicity

0. No ethnic identity coded
1. Hispanic
7. Other
9. NA

M000076 Pre.IWR.7. Interviewer languages
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-IWR.7.

PRE: Interviewer languages

This variable is not available in 2000.

0. NA

M000077 Pre.IWR.8. Yrs Interviewer experience
MD: EQ 99
Numeric

Pre-IWR.8.

PRE: Years Interviewer experience

Interviewer's years of experience and:

99. NA

M000078 Pre.IWR.9. Interviewer age (bracketed)
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-IWR.9.

PRE: Interviewer age (bracketed)

1. 18-34 years
2. 35-40 years
3. 41-45 years
4. 46-50 years
5. 51-55 years
6. 56-60 years
7. 51-64 years
8. 65-79 years
9. NA
ICPSR state code - interview location

Note: 3 cases were misidentified in the Preload as PA but should have been NJ. These cases are coded 96. See Post-Summary.24 (P000260).

NEW ENGLAND   43. Florida
01. Connecticut 44. Georgia
02. Maine       45. Louisiana
03. Massachusetts 46. Mississippi
04. New Hampshire 47. North Carolina
05. Rhode Island 48. South Carolina
06. Vermont

MIDDLE ATLANTIC   BORDER STATES
11. Delaware   51. Kentucky
12. New Jersey 52. Maryland

EAST NORTH CENTRAL 55. Washington DC
21. Illinois
22. Indiana
23. Michigan 61. Arizona
24. Ohio     62. Colorado
25. Wisconsin 63. Idaho

WEST NORTH CENTRAL 64. Montana
31. Iowa      65. Nevada
32. Kansas    66. New Mexico
33. Minnesota 67. Utah
34. Missouri 68. Wyoming
35. Nebraska  PACIFIC STATES
36. North Dakota 71. California
37. South Dakota 72. Oregon
SOLID SOUTH 73. Washington

40. Virginia  EXTERNAL STATES
41. Alabama  81. Alaska
42. Arkansas 82. Hawaii

96. Misidentified location
99. NA

FIPS state code - interview location

Note: 3 cases were misidentified in the Preload as PA but should have been NJ. These cases are coded 96. See Post-Summary.24 (P000260).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-Sample.3.</th>
<th>Pre-Sample.4.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Pre-Sample.3.

#### 2000 state abbreviation and Congressional district number - interview location

This variable provides the state (2-character Postal abbreviation) and congressional district number of the location of R's residence at the time of the 2000 Pre-election interview. Washington D.C. is blank in this variable.

Note: 3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should have been NJ. These cases are coded "9996". See Post-Summary.24 (P000260).

### Pre-Sample.4.

#### Pre-Sample.4. 2000 FIPS state and CD

- **MD1:** EQ 9999
- **MD2:** GE 0

**Numeric**
2000 FIPS state code and congressional district number - interview location

FIPS state code resides in first 2 digits, CD number in last 2 digits.
Note: 3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should have been NJ. These cases are coded 9996. See Post-Summary.24 (P000260).

9996. Misidentified location
9999. NA
0000. Washington D.C.

Pre-Sample.5.
Congressional district number - interview location

Codes 1-52.
Note: 3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should have been NJ. These cases are coded 96. See Post-Summary.24 (P000260).

96. Misidentified location
99. NA
00. Washington D.C.

Pre-Sample.6.
ICPSR state code and congressional district - interview location

ICPSR state code resides in first 2 digits, CD number in last 2 digits.
Note: 3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should have been NJ. These cases are coded 9996. See Post-Summary.24 (P000260).

9996. Misidentified location
9999. NA
0000. Washington D.C.

Pre-Sample.7. Did R vote outside IW CD?

Page 37
Pre-Sample.7.

Did R vote in state and CD of interview?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
0. R voted in state and CD of interview
1. R did not vote in state and CD of interview
8. NA if vote was in state/CD of interview (4 in Post C1 and 8,9,0 in Post C3)
9. INAP, R did not vote or NA/DK if voted; no Post interview; IW location misidentified in the Preload (1 in Post-Summary.24)

M000086  Pre.Sample.8. State/CD - vote outside CD
MD1: EQ 9999, MD2: GE 0
Numeric

Pre-Sample.8.

State and CD of vote cast outside CD of interview

The first 2 digits represent the state FIPS code, and the second 2 digits are CD number. Where the state differs but the CD number is unknown, the state will be coded in the first 2 digits but the last 2 digits will be 99.

9999. NA if voted outside CD of interview (8 in Pre-Sample.7)
0000. INAP, 0,9 in Pre-Sample.7

M000087  Pre.Sample.9. FIPS state and county
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Pre-Sample.9.

FIPS state and county - interview location

This variable has been blanked to preserve respondent confidentiality. The FIPS State code is represented in digits 1 and 2 of this variable, the FIPS County code in the last 3 digits.

M000087a  Pre.Sample.9a. County (alpha)
Character

Pre-Sample.9a.

Name of County - Interview location (BLANKED)

This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.
NOTE: This variable was constructed for use as preload in Post-Election C3 (variable P001243), which attempts to ascertain specific registration location of the respondent.
It should be noted that, although county identification in this variable for face-to-face interviews meets normal standards for accuracy, the P000087a Post preload data for RDD cases were created by imputing area code, central office codes and similar listed numbers.

M000088 Pre.Sample.10. Primary area name
Character

This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent. This is a character variable for the Primary Selection Unit (PSU) name. See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables". NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file. Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

M000089 Pre.Sample.11. Primary area code
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

This variable has been recoded to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent. The 44 PSU codes listed below (110 through 482) have been recoded to values 1-44 in random order. See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables". NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file. Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

110. Boston, MA 230. Milwaukee, WI
120. New York, NY 239. Wheeling-Steubenvl OH
121. Philadelphia, PA-NJ 240. Des Moines, IA
122. Nassau-Suffolk, NY 250. Richmond-Ptrsbrg, VA
130. Chicago, IL 255. Columbus, GA-AL
131. Detroit, MI 257. Jacksonville, FL
132. Cleveland, OH 258. Lakeld-Wntr Haven FL
141. St Louis, MO-IL 260. Knoxville, TN
150. Washington, DC-MD-VA 262. Birmingham, AL
152. Baltimore, MD 273. Waco, TX
154. Miami-Hialeh, FL 274. McAllen, TX
170. Houston, TX 280. Salt Lake C-Ogden UT
171. Dallas-Ft Worth, TX 292. Fresno, CA
181. Denver, CO 293. Eugene-Springfld, OR
190. Los Angeles, CA 434. Saginaw, MI
191. Seattle-Tacoma, WA 464. Gardner, MA
194. Anaheim, CA 466. Decatur Co., IN
196. San Francisco, CA 470. Mower Co., MN
211. New Haven, CT          474. DeSoto Parish, LA
213. Manchester-Nashua NH   477. Chicot Co., AR
226. Atlantic City, NJ      482. El Dorado Co., CA

000. Telephone sample (RDD)

==-------------------------------==
M000090    Pre.Sample.12. Segment number (blanked)  
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric  
Pre-Sample.12.

Segment number (blanked) - interview location
=================================================================================

NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file.
Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.
This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

3-digit code and:

000. Telephone sample (RDD)

==-------------------------------==
M000090a   Pre.Sample.13. Segment name (blanked)   
Character
Pre-Sample.13.

Segment name (blanked) - interview location
=================================================================================

See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables".
NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file.
Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.
This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

==-------------------------------==
M000091    Pre.Sample.14. Number of HH units
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Pre-Sample.14.

Number of household unit
=================================================================================

Codes 1-5 and:

9. NA
0. Phone IW

==-------------------------------==
Pre-Sample.15.

Census region - interview location

1. Northeast (CT, ME, MA, NH, NJ, NY, PA, RI, VT)
2. North Central (IL, IN, IA, KS, MI, MN, MO, NE, ND, OH, SD, WI)
3. South (AL, AR, DE, DC, FL, GA, KY, LA, MD, MS, NC, OK, SC, TN, TX, VA, WV)
4. West (AK, AZ, CA, CO, HI, ID, MT, NM, NV, OR, UT, WA, WY)

Pre-Sample.16.

Belt code - interview location

See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables" and the appendix CENSUS DEFINITIONS.
Coded according to the 1990 Census and 1990 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) definitions, 1990 Census Population reports (as reported in the 1992 Annual Metro, City and County Data book) and 1990 Census "urbanized area" (as shown in the 1994 Rand McNally Road Atlas - 70th edition.

NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file. Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

1. Central Cities of the six largest (population over 4,500,000) Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Areas (CMSAs), plus the 15 next largest CMSA/MSAs (population over 2,000,000)
2. Central Cities of CMSAs and MSAs with fewer than 2,000,000 population (exclusive of those in the 21 largest CMSA/MSAs -- code 1)
3. Suburbs of the six largest CMSA/MSAs and 15 next largest CMSA/MSAs
4. Suburb of all other CMSA/MSAs
5. Adjacent Areas: includes all territory beyond the outer boundary of the suburban belt, but within 50 miles of the central business district of a central city
6. Outlying Area: includes all territory more than 50 miles from the central business district of a central city

0. Telephone sample (RDD)
M000094  Pre.Sample.17. Population in 1000s
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9996
Numeric

Pre-Sample.17.

Population in 1000s - interview location
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

The actual 1990 Census Place population is coded in thousands, rounding to the nearest whole thousand (from the 1990 Population total for the city/place as reported in the 1992 Annual Metro City and County Data Book).

NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file. Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

Actual population in 1000s and:

9996. Telephone sample (RDD)
9999. 9,998,500 persons or over
0000. Rural place, no defined Census Place, or Census Place with fewer than 500 persons

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

M000095  Pre.Sample.18. Census size of place
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Pre-Sample.18.

Census size of place - interview location
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

See the appendix on CENSUS DEFINITIONS. Coded according to the 1990 Census. The major objective of the Census Bureau in delineating urbanized areas is to provide a better separation of urban and rural population in the vicinity of large cities. An urbanized area consists of a central city or cities, and surrounding closely settled territory ("urban fringe"). Size of Place codes 21 and 41 include areas that are in the "urban fringe" but are not Census Places.

Note for Codes 50-53: in a few cases, Non-MSA PSUs (based on the 1980 OMB SMSA definitions) contain areas which are now part of a 1990 PMSA or MSA (based on the June 1990 OMB definition). In these cases, the Size of Place Code for any SSUs (segments) within such areas are coded in the "40s" rather than in the "50s" as shown. This occurred in El Dorado county CA (the major part of the El Dorado/Alpine Co, CA PSU #82) which is now a part of the Sacramento CA MSA, and also for one SSU (segment) in the Gardner MA PSU (#64), which is now a part of the Worchester MA MSA.

NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file. Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

THE SIX LARGEST CMSAs PLUS THE 15 NEXT LARGEST CMSAs/
MSAs (i.e. those coded 1 or 3 [possibly 5, rarely 6])

11. Central Cities (these would have been coded 1 on the Belt Code

SUBURBS OF THE 6 LARGEST CMSAs AND THE 15 NEXT LARGEST

20. Rural (including rural places with less than 2,500 population

21. 2,500-9,999 plus other urbanized areas ("urban fringe" not in

Census places)

22. 10,000-29,999

23. 30,000-49,999

24. 50,000-99,999

25. 100,000-149,999

26. 150,000-349,999

27. 350,000 and over, exclusive of cities coded 11

CMSAs/MSAs W/LESS THAN 2,000,000 POPULATION CENTRAL CITIES IN

CMSAs/MSAs WITH LESS THAN 2,000,000 POPULATION (2 on Belt Code):

30. Under 50,000 (identified by OMB, June 1990, as Central Cities

31. 50,000-99,999

32. 100,000-149,999

33. 150,000-349,999

34. 350,000 and over

SUBURBS IN CMSAs/MSAs WITH LESS THAN 2,000,000

40. Rural (including rural places with less than 2,500 population)

41. 2,500-9,999

42. 10,000-29,999

43. 30,000-49,999

44. 50,000-99,999

45. 100,000-149,999

46. 150,000 and over

"NON-CMSA/PMSA/MASs"

50. Rural (including rural places with less than 2,000,000 population)

51. 2,500-9,999

52. 10,000-29,999

53. 30,000-49,999

00. Telephone sample (RDD)

-----------------------------
M000096 Pre.Sample.19. Census tract/ed indicator
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Sample.19.

Census tract/ed indicator - interview location (blanked)

-----------------------------------------------

NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file.

Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment

identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

This variable has been blanked to protect the

confidentiality of the Respondent

0. Enumeration district
1. Tracted

9. Telephone sample (RDD)

-----------------------------
M000097 Pre.Sample.20. 2000 Sampling Error code
Numeric
Pre-Sample.20.

2000 Sampling Error code
---------------------------------------------------------------------

See Sampling documentation in this codebook.
The first 2 digits contain the 200 Sampling Error Stratum code and the last digit represents the 2000 SECU or Sampling Error Computation Unit code.

====================================================================
M00098 Pre.Sample.21. 2000 Census NECMA/SMSA
              Numeric

Pre-Sample.21.

2000 Census NECMA/SMSA - interview location
---------------------------------------------------------------------

See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables" and the appendix CENSUS DEFINITIONS.
NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file. Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

360. Anaheim-Santa Ana-Garden Grove, CA
520. Atlanta, GA
560. Atlantic City, NJ
720. Baltimore, MD
075. Bergen-Passaic, NJ PMSA (Former code and title: 6040 Paterson-Clifton-Passaic, NJ)
1000. Birmingham, AL
1123. Boston-Lawrence-Salem-Lowell-Brockton, MA NECMA
1125. Boulder-Longmont, CO PMSA
1145. Brazoria, TX PMSA
1280. Buffalo, NY
1600. Chicago, IL
1680. Cleveland OH
1800. Columbus, GA-AL
1920. Dallas-Ft Worth, TX
2000. Dayton, OH
2080. Denver-Boulder, CO
2120. Des Moines, IA
2160. Detroit, MI
2400. Eugene-Springfield, OR
2760. Fort Wayne, IN
2800. Fort Worth-Arlington TX, PMSA
2840. Fresno, CA
3000. Grand Rapids, MI
3360. Houston, TX
3600. Jacksonville, FL
3760. Kansas City, MO-KS
3840. Knoxville, TN
3965. Lake County, IL PMSA
3980. Lakeland-Winter Haven, FL
4480. Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA
4720. Madison WI
4763. Manchester-Nashua, NH NECMA
4880. McAllen-Pharr-Edinburg, TX
5000. Miami, FL
5080. Milwaukee, WI
5120. Minneapolis-St Paul, MN-WI
5350. Nashua, NH PMSA
5380. Nassau-Suffolk, NY
5480. New Haven-West Haven, CT
5483. New Haven-Waterbury-Meriden, CT NECMA
5600. New York, NY-NJ
5640. Newark, NJ
5700. Niagara Falls, NY PMSA
5775. Oakland, CA PMSA
6160. Philadelphia, PA-NJ
6200. Phoenix, AZ
6280. Pittsburgh, PA
6453. Portsmouth-Dover-Rochester, NH NECMA
6760. Richmond, VA
6780. Riverside-San Bernardino, Ontario, CA
6920. Sacramento, CA (1990 MSA definition)
6960. Saginaw, MI
7040. St. Louis, MO-IL
7160. Salt Lake City-Ogden, UT MSA
7360. San Francisco-Oakland, CA
7600. Seattle-Everett, WA
8003. Springfield, MA NECMA
8080. Steubenville-Wirtown, OH-WV
8200. Tacoma, WA
8800. Waco, TX
9000. Wheeling, WV-OH
9243. Worcester-Pittsfield-Leominster, MA NECMA
0000. INAP; location not in SMSA (MSA)/ NECMA
9996. Telephone sample (RDD)

M000099 Pre.Sample.22. 2000 CMSA
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Pre-Sample.22.

2000 CMSA - interview location

See appendix "Notes on Sampling variables" and the appendix CENSUS DEFINITIONS.
The six largest CMSA's are marked with **.
NOTE: This variable was created from the segment file.
Because the RDD telephone sample did not have PSU and segment identification, it could not be provided with this variable.

07. Boston-Lawrence-Salem MA-NH
10. Buffalo-Niagara Falls NY
14. Chicago-Gary-Lake County IL-IN-WI**
28. Cleveland-Akron-Lorain OH
31. Dallas-Ft Worth TX (Since Dallas and Ft Worth were made separate PMSAs in 1990, this new CMSA was added
34. Denver-Boulder CO (Since Denver and Boulder were made separate PMSAs in 1990, this new CMSA was added in
35. Detroit-Ann Arbor MI**
42. Houston-Galveston-Brazoria TX
49. Los Angeles-Anaheim-Riverside CA**
56. Miami-Fort Lauderdale FL
63. Milwaukee-Racine WI
70. New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island NY-NJ-CT**
77. Philadelphia-Wilmington-Trenton PA-NJ-DE-MD**
78. Pittsburgh-Beaver Valley PA
84. San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose CA**
91. Seattle-Tacoma WA

96. Telephone sample (RDD)
00. INAP, Location not in CMSA. This includes old code 32 (Dayton Springfield, OH) which is no longer consolidated in 1990 since Dayton and Springfield are combined in a single MSA in 1990)

==================================
VAR 000099x  Pre.Sample.22x. 2000 MSA
MD1: EQ 0
Numeric

Pre-Sample.22x.

2000 MSA - interview location
=================================================================

This is the 2000 MSA for the full sample, including the RDD telephone component.
This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

Metropolitan Statistical Area code and:

00. INAP, Location not in MSA.

==================================
M000100  Pre.Sample.23. 2000 Census Tract 1
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 996
Numeric

Pre-Sample.23.

2000 Census Tract 1 - interview location (blanked)
=================================================================

This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

==================================
M000101  Pre.Sample.24. 2000 Census Tract 2
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 996
Numeric

Pre-Sample.24.

2000 Census Tract 2 - interview location (blanked)
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NOTE: No 2000 case corresponded to more than one Census tract.

M000102    Pre.Sample.25. 2000 Block 1
MD1: EQ 99, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Pre-Sample.25.

2000 Block 1 - interview location (blanked)

This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

M000103    Pre.Sample.26. 2000 Block 2
MD1: EQ 99, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Pre-Sample.26.

2000 Block 2 - interview location (blanked)

NOTE: No 2000 case corresponded to more than one Census block.

M000104    Pre.Sample.27. 2000 MCD
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Pre-Sample.27.

2000 MCD - interview location (blanked)

This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

M000105    Pre.Sample.28. 2000 CDP
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9999
Numeric

Pre-Sample.28.

2000 CDP - interview location (blanked)

This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

M000106    Pre.Sample.29. 2000 FIPS place code
MD: EQ 0
Numeric
Pre-Sample.29.

2000 FIPS place code - interview location (blanked)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

This variable has been blanked to protect the confidentiality of the Respondent.

=================================
M000107  Pre.Summary.1. Pre timing - section A
MD:  EQ 999.99
      Numeric  DEC 2

Pre-Summary.1.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION A
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=================================
M000108  Pre.Summary.2. Pre timing - section B
MD:  EQ 999.99
      Numeric  DEC 2

Pre-Summary.2.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION B
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

=================================
M000109  Pre.Summary.3. Pre timing - section C
MD:  EQ 999.99
      Numeric  DEC 2

Pre-Summary.3.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION C
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:
recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

M000110    Pre.Summary.4. Pre timing - section D
MD:  EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.4.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION D

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

M000111    Pre.Summary.5. Pre timing - section E
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.5.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION E

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 Section E selected for Post administration

M000112    Pre.Summary.6. Pre timing - section F
MD:  EQ 999.99
Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.6.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION F

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

-------------------------------
M000113 Pre.Summery.7. Pre timing - section G
MD: EQ 999.99
   Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.7.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION G

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

-------------------------------
M000114 Pre.Summery.8. Pre timing - section H
MD: EQ 999.99
   Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.8.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION H

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

-------------------------------
M000115 Pre.Summery.9. Pre timing - section K
MD: EQ 999.99
   Numeric DEC 2

Pre-Summary.9.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION K

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION P

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION Q

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION R

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
Pre-Summary.16.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION S

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

Pre-Summary.17.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION X

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

Pre-Summary.18.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION Y

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

Pre-Summary.19.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION Z
Pre-Summary.19.

PRE SECTION TIMING - SECTION Z
--------------------------------------------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA

---------------------
M000126    Post.Admin.1. Mode of Interview
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Post-Admin.1.

POST: Mode of Interview
--------------------------------------------------------------

See Post-Admin.1a.
5 cases re-assigned to phone mode in the Post were administered FTF in error; note that several of these cases, although administered FTF, used telephone logic in the interview.

1. Personal
5. Telephone
7. ADMINISTERED USING WRONG MODE: FTF re-assigned to telephone but administered as Personal interview

0. No post IW

---------------------
M000126a   Post.Admin.1a. Mode switch flag
Numeric

Post-Admin.1a.

POST - MODE SWITCH
--------------------------------------------------------------

200 FTF Pre cases were selected for reassignment to Phone administration in the Post. Of these, 168 were completed interviews. Assignment of all Post cases (interview and non-interview) are described here.

1. Assigned FTF, not switched to Phone mode
2. Assigned Phone
3. Assigned FTF, switched to Phone mode

---------------------
M000127a   Post/Admin.2a. Form desc 1 - H in Post
Section E questions about R's personal financial situation were randomly selected to be administered either in Pre section E or Post section H. Because assignment was set during Pre administration, this randomization was unaffected by the randomization failure which took place during a portion of the Post field period.

0. Section H not administered in Post [Pre section E P000398-P000406]
1. Section H administered in Post [P000410-P000417]

9. No Post IW

Respondents were randomly selected to have the K2a-K2d office recognition battery administered with or without the use of probes [P001446a-P001454]. NOTE: see item Post-Summary.124 (P000262) for description of the randomization failure which took place during a portion of the Post field period.

0. K2a-K2d administered without probes
1. K2a-K2d administered with probes

9. No post IW

POST: Month of interview

11. November
12. December
00. No Post IW
Post-Admin.4.

POST: Day of interview

Coded 1-31 and:

0. No Post IW

Post-Admin.5.

POST: Month and day (MMDD)

This is the day and month of interview in MMDD format.
'0000' is used for cases with no Post IW; '9999' indicates NA

Post-Admin.6.

POST: Number of days after election

96. INAP, no Post IW
99. NA

Post-Admin.7.

POST: Interview taken before or after Gore concession

Gore concession: December 13, 2000

0. Before
1. Day of concession (Dec. 13)
2. After

9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

Post-Admin.8.

POST: IW length
Post-Admin.8.

POST: Interview length

Note: interview length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the interview as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. The average Post interview length is 63.76 minutes. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA; partial IW
000.00 No Post IW

Post-Admin.9.

POST: Interview number

Codes 1-44 and:

99. NA
00. INAP, no Post Interview

Post-Admin.10.

POST: Date of beginning VQ file

This refers to the VQ file loaded when the interview began. Changes were made to the VQ (application) while in the field. The next variable documents the VQ version in use at the end of the interview; note that if an interview was temporarily halted and a new VQ was installed prior to the resumption of interviewing, the VQ in effect for some questions would not be the VQ coded here.

1. 11/7
2. 11/10
3. 11/16 (1)
4. 11/16 (2)
5. 11/18
6. 11/27
0. No Post IW

=================================
M000136    Post.Admin.11. Date of end VQ file
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Post-Admin.11.

POST: Date of ending VQ file
-----------------------------------------------

This refers to the VQ file in use when the interview concluded. Changes were made to the VQ (application) while in the field. Note that if an interview was temporarily halted and a new VQ was installed prior to the resumption of interviewing, the VQ in effect for some questions would not be the beginning VQ (previous var).

1. 11/7
2. 11/10
3. 11/16 (1)
4. 11/16 (2)
5. 11/18
6. 11/27

=================================
M000137    Post.Admin.12. Flag- change in VQ
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Post-Admin.12.

POST: Flag- change in VQ
-----------------------------------------------

Several changes to the application were made during the field period. This variable flags whether a suspended interview was resumed with a different version of the application.

0. No change
1. Change in VQ
9. INAP, partial IW; no post IW

=================================
M000138    Post.Admin.13. Release
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Admin.1a.

POST: Release
-----------------------------------------------

Because of the turnaround time needed to prepare it after the Pre interviewing was concluded, the Post sample was released
in 3 releases.

1. Release 1 - November 7
2. Release 2 - November 15

9. NA
0. INAP, no Post IW

=================================
M000139  Post.Admin.14. Payment amt
         MD1: EQ 96, MD2: GE 99
         Numeric

Post-Admin.14.

    POST: Payment to R - amount
    ---------------------------------------------------------------

   00. R requested no payment
   20. Twenty dollars
   40. Forty dollars
   96. INAP, no Post IW
   99. NA

=================================
M000139a Post.Admin.14a. Interviewer incentive
         MD: EQ 9
         Numeric

Post-Admin.14a.

    INTERVIEWER INCENTIVE
    ---------------------------------------------------------------

    Beginning October 26, 2000, interviewers were paid $10 for each
    completed interview.

    0. Post interview date not in incentive period
    1. Post interview date in incentive period.

    9. INAP, no Post IW

=================================
M000140  Post.Admin.15. Payment mode
         MD: EQ 9
         Numeric

Post-Admin.15.

    POST: Payment to R - mode
    ---------------------------------------------------------------

   0. R requested no payment
   1. Check
   2. Cash
   9. NA; INAP, no Post IW
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

==============================================
M000141  Post.Admin.16. Payment date
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9999
Character

Post-Admin.16.

POST: Payment to R - date (MMDD)

'0000' is used for cases with no Post IW or 0 in Post-Admin.14/
Post-Admin.15; '9999' indicates NA.

==============================================
M000142  Post.Admin.17. Tape recorded
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Post-Admin.17.

POST: Tape recorded?

New interviewers had to tape record 2 of their first 5
production interviews; experienced interviewers were required to
tape record 1 of their first 3 production interviews (FTF or
phone). Tape recording was conducted with the respondent's
permission. The IWR made a self-evaluation and sent the tapes to
their field supervisor.

1. Yes
5. No
0. INAP, no Post IW

==============================================
M000143  Post.Admin.18. Verification
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Post-Admin.18.

POST: Verification

See notes in Pre-Admin.17 (P000020).

0. Counted for Ann Arbor office, not selected for verification
1. Flagged for verification (interview number selected)
2. Verified OK (all verification data match original data exactly)
3. Verified with discrepancy (only one piece of verification data
does not match; everything else matches exactly)
4. Not verified (more than 1 piece of information reported by the
respondent at the time of verification is different from that
collected at the time of the original interview or finalizing
the case; further probing results in assignment of either code 3
or code 4 as a final code)
5. Unable to verify (R is contacted but is unwilling to participate
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in verification exercise or the respondent cannot be reached; this includes: reaching the household by telephone but not reaching the respondent, and includes sending the verification letter to a household but no letter returned after 3 attempts)

6. Verification limit met (used when the verifier has already completed the needed verification level).

9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

=====================================================================
M000144   Post.Admin.19. Evaluation  
MD:  EQ 9  
Numeric

Post-Admin.19.

POST: Evaluation

0. Not evaluated
1. Flagged
2. Evaluated
3. Evaluation received in Ann Arbor office
4. Cannot evaluate

9. INAP, no Post IW

=====================================================================
MD:  EQ 9  
Numeric

Post-Admin.20.

POST: Refusal conversion indicator

This refers to interviews initially refused by someone when an interviewer was first attempting contact. The source of this refusal may or may not have been the Respondent; result code 43 [contact, resistance by R] or 46 [resistance not by R] for any call (not the final result code) turns this indicator on. Conversion may be made by the initial interviewer or another interviewer. If the conversion is not made by the initial interviewer, the interviewer of record is the interviewer obtaining the conversion.

0. Not a refusal conversion situation
1. Refusal conversion situation indicated

9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

=====================================================================
MD:  EQ 9  
Numeric

Post-Admin.21.
0. No persuasion letter sent
1. Persuasion letter sent
9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

M000147  Post.Admin.22. Date requested
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9999
Character

Post-Admin.22.

POST: Date persuasion letter requested (MMDD)

'0000' is used for cases with no Post IW or no letter requested;
'9999' indicates NA.

M000148  Post.Admin.23. Date sent
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9999
Character

Post-Admin.23.

POST: Date persuasion letter sent

'0000' is used for cases with no Post IW or no letter requested;
'9999' indicates NA.

M000149  Post.Admin.24. Type letter
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Admin.24.

POST: Type of persuasion letter

1. A. Too busy
2. B. Too personal
3. C. Not interested
4. D. Too old
5. E. Evasive
6. F. Gatekeeper
7. G. Not at home
9. NA
0. INAP, no Post IW
Post-Admin.25.

POST: Number of telephone calls

99. NA; INAP, no Post IW

M000151 Post.Admin.26. FTF calls


POST: Number of Face-to-Face calls

99. NA; INAP, no Post IW

M000152 Post.Admin.27. Final result

Post-Admin.27.

POST: Final result code

01 IW complete
05 IW partial
51 Refusal by R
52 Refusal by person other than R
54 Initial refusal, not reached for conversion
61 No contact
63 Noninterview, permanent reason
66 Interview begun then terminated, insufficiently complete
67 Noninterview, other reason
68 Could not Locate R

M000153 Post.Admin.28. Result date (NI)

Post-Admin.28.

POST: Result date (Post noninterviews)

'0000' is used for cases with Post IW; '9999' indicates NA.

M000154 Post/Admin. 29. Flag - mode switch
Post-Admin.29.

POST: Flag - pre/post mode switch
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from P000004 and P000126.
Some interviews conducted FTF in the pre were administered
by phone in the Post.

1. Pre FTF and Post FTF
2. Pre phone and Post phone
3. Pre FTF and Post phone
0. INAP, no post IW

-----------------------------
M000155  Post.Admin.30. Lang of IW (all English)
Numeric
Post-Admin.30.

POST: Language of interview (all English)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

All interviews were conducted entirely in English

1. English

-----------------------------
M000156  Post.CSheet.1. Flag- missing CS
Numeric
Post-CSheet.1.

POST - COVERSHEET:
Flag- missing CS
---------------------------------------------------------------------

0. Coversheet not missing
1. Coversheet missing

-----------------------------
M000157  Post.CSheet.2. Color of coversheet
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-CSheet.2.

POST - COVERSHEET:
Color of coversheet
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Pink coversheets were copies of coversheets that were in transfer.
NOTE: for an unknown reason, some cases assigned to FTF mode were
administered using yellow (phone) coversheets.

1. Blue
2. Yellow
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M000158  Post.CSheet.3. Item 1. R at samp addr
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.3.

POST - COVERSHEET Item 1:
Does (NAME) still live here?

R STILL LIVE AT SAMPLE ADDRESS
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Interviewers omitted filling out this box (code 9) on 227 coversheets.

1. Yes
5. No
9. NA
0. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

M000159  Post.CSheet.4. Item 2b. PHONE: New phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.4.

IF PHONE MODE:
IF R DOES NOT STILL LIVE AT SAMPLE ADDRESS:

POST - PHONE COVERSHEET Item 2b:
New phone number available
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Available
2. Not available
9. NA
0. INAP, 1,9 in Post-CSheet.3; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1); FTF mode

M000160  Post.CSheet.5. Item 2b. FTF: Addr status
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.5.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
IF R DOES NOT STILL LIVE AT SAMPLE ADDRESS:

POST - FTF COVERSHEET Item 2b:
Address is:
STATUS OF NEW ADDRESS

Note: in cases where the Post interview mode was switched from FTF to telephone, the blue FTF coversheet have already been assigned and some personal contact attempted; for some telephone cases data are found in this variable because the FTF coversheet was retained.

1. Out of range
3. Within range
5. Can't find
9. NA
0. INAP, 1,9 in Post-Csheet.3; coversheet missing (1 in Post-Csheet.1); Phone mode

M000161 Post.CSheet.6. FTF type structure
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.6.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD1:
Describe the type of structure in which the respondent live (sample address)

Note: in cases where the Post interview mode was switched from FTF to telephone, the blue FTF coversheet have already been assigned and some personal contact attempted; for some telephone cases data are found in this variable because the FTF coversheet was retained.

1. Mobile home
2. Detached single family
3. Multi-family
4. Apartment house
5. Condo complex
7. Other (specify)

9. NA
0. Inap, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1); assigned phone mode

M000162 Post.CSheet.7. FTF gatekeeper
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.7.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD2:
Is there a building manager, security guard or other gatekeeper whose cooperation you need in order to gain access to the R's housing unit?

Note: in cases where the Post interview mode was switched from FTF to telephone, the blue FTF coversheet have already been assigned and some personal contact attempted; for some telephone cases data are found in this variable because the FTF coversheet was retained.

1. Yes
2. No, but building is locked/ subdivision is gated and locked
5. No
9. NA
0. Inap, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1); assigned phone mode

M000163 Post.CSheet.8. FTF type gatekeeper
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.8.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
IF GATEKEEPER/GUARD/MANAGER/LOCKED ACCESS:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD2a:
Check the box below which best describes the situation

Note: in cases where the Post interview mode was switched from FTF to telephone, the blue FTF coversheet have already been assigned and some personal contact attempted; for some telephone cases data are found in this variable because the FTF coversheet was retained.

1. Building manager or other Gatekeeper must let you in the building (on the grounds, into the mobile home park) but then you are free to attempt contact with R's HU
2. Building manager/other Gatekeeper must get permission from someone in R's HU before you are allowed to make contact with the household
7. Other (specify)
9. NA
0. Inap, 5, 9 in Pre-Csheat.13; coversheet missing; assigned phone mode

M000164 Post.CSheet.9. Resist from contact
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.9.
POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3a:
Was there resistance from any contact person?

1. Yes
5. No
9. NA
0. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

============================================
M000165  Post.CSheet.10. Contct resist-waste time
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.10.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(1):
Resistance from contact person - SURVEYS WASTE OF TIME;
PREVIOUS BAD EXPERIENCE

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

============================================
M000166  Post.CSheet.11. Contct resist-too personal
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.11.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(2):
Resistance from contact person - CONTENT TOO PERSONAL

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

============================================
M000167  Post.CSheet.12. Contct resist-confidentiality
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.12.
IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(3):
Resistance from contact person - CONFIDENTIALITY
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

==============================
M000168    Post.CSheet.13. Contct resist-not interested politics
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
            Numeric

Post-CSheet.13.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(4):
Resistance from contact person - NOT INTERESTED IN POLITICS
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

==============================
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
            Numeric

Post-CSheet.14.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET

CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(5):
Resistance from contact person - TOO BUSY
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

==============================
M000170    Post.CSheet.15. Contct resist-health
            MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
            Numeric
Post-CSheet.15.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(6):
Resistance from contact person - POOR HEALTH

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

Post-CSheet.16.

IF RESISTANCE FROM ANY CONTACT PERSON:

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3b(7):
Resistance from contact person - OTHER (SPECIFY)

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

Post-CSheet.17.

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3e:
Did R refuse initially?

1. Yes
5. No
9. NA
0. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

Post-CSheet.18.
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POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3f:
Did respondent break any appointments
---------------------------------------------

0. None
1. One
2. Two or more

8. NA
9. INAP, coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

==============================================
M000174  Post.CSheet.19. Was R contact resister?
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.19.

POST - COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3g:
If contact persons resisted [if CD3a was "YES"], was the respondent the contact person who gave resistance?
---------------------------------------------

1. Yes
5. No

9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

==============================================
M000175  Post.CSheet.20. SUMMARY: did R resist
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.20.

SUMMARY: did R resist (built)
---------------------------------------------
This counts any resistance identified according to Pre-CSheet.25 or in Pre-CSheet.27-Pre-CSheet.33; however, cases "not marked" throughout variables Pre-CSheet.27-Pre-CSheet.33 may have been unmarked due to complete omission rather than due to the inapplicability of any of the resistance categories to R.

1. Yes, some resistance identified
5. No resistance identified

9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

==============================================
M000176  Post.CSheet.21. R resist- waste time
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
Numeric
IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(1):
Resistance from R - SURVEYS WASTE OF TIME; PREVIOUS BAD EXPERIENCE
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

==============================
M000177 Post-CSheet.22. R resist- too personal
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.22.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(2):
Resistance from R - TOO PERSONAL
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

==============================
M000178 Post-CSheet.23. R resist- confidentiality
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-CSheet.23.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(3):
Resistance from R - CONFIDENTIALITY
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)
M000179    Post.CSheet.24. R resist- not interested in politics
          MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
          Numeric

Post-CSheet.24.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

     POST- COVERSHEET
     CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(4):
     Resistance from R - NOT INTERESTED IN POLITICS
     ---------------------------------------------------------------------
     1. Marked
     5. Not marked
     9. NA
     0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

M000180    Post.CSheet.25. R resist- too busy
          MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
          Numeric

Post-CSheet.25.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

     POST- COVERSHEET
     CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(5):
     Resistance from R - TOO BUSY
     ---------------------------------------------------------------------
     1. Marked
     5. Not marked
     9. NA
     0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

M000181    Post.CSheet.26. R resist- health
          MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
          Numeric


IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

     POST- COVERSHEET
     CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(6):
     Resistance from R - POOR HEALTH
     ---------------------------------------------------------------------
     1. Marked
     5. Not marked
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M000182    Post.CSheet.27. R resist- other
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post.CSheet.27.

IF R WAS NOT THE CONTACT PERSON AT CD3a:

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3h(7):
Resistance from R - OTHER (SPECIFY)
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Marked
5. Not marked
9. NA
0. Inap, 1 in Post-CSheet.20; 5,9 in Post-Csheet.9; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

M000183    Post.CSheet.28. Ever make contact (noninterview)
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post.CSheet.28.

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3:
Were you ever able to make contact with someone at this housing unit?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Yes
5. No
9. NA
0. INAP, noninterview and coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

M000183a   Post.CSheet.28a. Ever contact R (noninterview)
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post.CSheet.28a.

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD3d:
Did you ever have any contact with the respondent?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Yes
5. No
9. NA
0. INAP, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.28; noninterview and coversheet missing
   (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

M000184 Post-CSheet.29. Reason no contact (NI)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

IF NO CONTACT WITH HOUSEHOLD FOR POST INTERVIEW:

POST- COVERSHEET
CONTACT DESCRIPTION Item CD4:
What was the reason for no contact?

1. Nobody home at any call; no info about household could be obtained
2. All occupants away during entire study period (i.e. long vacation, illness); info obtained from neighbor, manager etc.
3. Nobody answered door but think someone in HU [FTF: when visits made]
4. Could not obtain access to HU
7. Other (specify)
9. NA
0. Inap, 5,9 in Post-Csheet.28; coversheet missing (1 in Post-CSheet.1)

M000184a Post-CSheet.30. CSMS estimated income
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

IF FTF MODE:

POST- COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 1):
What is the estimated income of R's household?

1. Under $10,000
2. $10,000-25,000
3. $25,000-50,000
4. $50,000-105,000
5. $105,000 and above
8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP, Phone mode

M000184b Post-CSheet.31. CSMS estimated HH race
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
IF FTF MODE:

POST - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 2):
What is the race of R's household?

See also survey variables Y30(1)-Y30(3) for self-description by the respondent, item ZZ.2 describing IWR's observation of R's race, and the Pre equivalent of this variable in Pre-CSheet.35.

1. White
2. Black
3. American Indian
4. Asian
7. Other

8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP, Phone mode

Post-CSheet.32.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
IF INTERVIEWER HAS IDENTIFIED RACE OF HOUSEHOLD:

POST - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 2a):
Is this definite or probable?

1. Definite
5. Probable
9. NA
0. INAP, 0,8,9 in Post-Csheet.31

Post-CSheet.33.

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:

POST - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 3):
Is R or R's household of hispanic origin?

See also P000068, P000069 (Pre version of these variables)
ans Pre survey items Y30x/Y31 and Y31a/Y31a.T.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP, Phone mode

M000184e Post-CSheet.34. CSMS est Hispanic certain
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

IF FACE-TO-FACE MODE:
IF INTERVIEWER HAS IDENTIFIED HISPANIC STATUS OF R/R'S HOUSEHOLD:

POST - COVERSHEET
CSMS INTERVIEWER EVALUATION
(F10 SCREEN CARD ITEM 3a):
Is this definite or probable?

1. Definite
5. Probable
9. NA
0. INAP, 0,8,9 in Pre-Csheet.37

M000185 Post.IWR.1. Interviewer of record
MD: EQ 9999
Numeric

Post-IWR.1.

POST: Interviewer of record ID

4-digit ID

9999. NA

M000186 Post.IWR.2. Supervisor
MD: EQ 9999
Numeric

Post-IWR.2.

POST: Supervisor ID
### M000187  Post.IWR.3. Interviewer gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP, no Post IW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### M000188  Post.IWR.4. Interviewer education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP, no Post IW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1-8 grades</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Some high school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>High school graduate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Some college</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>College degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Master's degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ph.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### M000189  Post.IWR.5. Interviewer race

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>INAP, no Post IW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Black</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Native American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
0. INAP, no Post IW

M000190  Post.IWR.6. Interviewer ethnicity
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Post-IWR.6.

POST: Interviewer ethnicity
-----------------------------------------------

0. No ethnic identity coded
1. Hispanic
7. Other
9. NA; INAP, no Post IW

M000191  Post.IWR.7. Interviewer languages
Numeric

Post-IWR.7.

POST: Interviewer languages
-----------------------------------------------

This variable is not available in 2000.

M000192  Post.IWR.8. Yrs Interviewer experience
MD:  EQ 99
Numeric

Post-IWR.8.

POST: Years Interviewer experience
-----------------------------------------------

Interviewer's years of experience and:

99. NA; INAP, no Post IW

M000193  Post.IWR.9. Interviewer age (bracketed)
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-IWR.9.

POST: Interviewer age (bracketted)
-----------------------------------------------

1. 18-34 years
2. 35-40 years
3. 41-45 years
4. 46-50 years
5. 51-55 years
6. 56-60 years
7. 51-64 years
8. 65-79 years
9. NA
0. INAP, no Post IW

M000194    Post.Cand.1. House race type
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.1.

House race type

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT RUNNING
12  Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
13  Dem incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
14  Dem incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
19  Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT RUNNING
21  Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
23  Rep incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
24  Rep incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
29  Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING
31  Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
32  Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
34  Other incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
35  Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CHALLENGERS
36  Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
37  Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
39  Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CHALLS

NO INCUMBENT RUNNING
51  Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
52  Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
53  Dem incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
55  Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
56  Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
57  Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
59  Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
61  Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
62  Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
63  Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
65  Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
66  Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
67  Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
69  Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99  NA
00  Washington DC

M000194a    Post.Cand.1a. Retiring House Repr name Page 80
Character

Post-Cand.1a.

Retiring House Representative name
.................................................................................................................

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

==============================================
M000194b   Post.Cand.1b. Retiring House Repr code
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.1b.

Retiring House Representative code
.................................................................................................................

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

41. Democratic Representative--retiring (district with open race)
42. Republican Representative--retiring (district with open race)

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No retiring House representative; Washington DC

==============================================
M000194c   Post.Cand.1c. Retiring House Repr gender
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Post-Cand.1c.

Retiring House Representative gender
.................................................................................................................

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

1. Male
2. Female

8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No retiring House representative; Washington DC

==============================================
M000194d   Post.Cand.1d. Winner of 2000 House election
MD:  EQ 99
Numeric

Post-Cand.1d.

Winner of 2000 House election in R's district of interview
30. Independent/3rd party incumbent candidate
31. Democratic candidate in open race
32. Republican candidate in open race
33. Democratic incumbent
34. Republican incumbent
35. Democratic challenger
36. Republican challenger
39. Independent/3rd party nonincumbent candidate

99. NA (R's correct district not determined, 96 or 99 in Pre-Sample.1)
00. Washington DC

M000194e Post.Cand.1e. Winner of 2000 Senate election
Numeric

Post-Cand.1e.
Winner of 2000 Senate election in R's state of interview

09 Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
10 Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent
08 Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent
11 Democratic candidate in open Senate race
12 Republican candidate in open Senate race
13 Democratic Senate incumbent
14 Republican Senate incumbent
15 Democratic Senate challenger
16 Republican Senate challenger

99. NA (R's correct district not determined, 96 or 99 in Pre-Sample.1)
00. No race in state; Washington CD

M000194f Post.Cand.1f. Competitiveness of respondent CD
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Post-Cand.1f.
Competitiveness of House race in 1998 in respondent's district

1998 districts were stratified for competitiveness by the winning margin of the elected candidate.

1. Least competitive
2.
3.
4.
5. Most competitive

9. NA (R's correct district not determined, 96 or 99 in Pre-Sample.1); Washington D.C.
M000194g  Post.Cand.1g. Open seat in 2000 in respondent district?
    MD:  EQ 9
    Numeric

Post-Cand.1g.

    Open seat in R's district in 2000?
    ---------------------------------------------------------------------
    0. NO
    1. YES
    9. NA (R's correct district not determined, 96 or 99 in Pre-Sample.1)
       Washington D.C.

M000195    Post.Cand.2. House Democratic cand name
    Character

Post-Cand.2.

    House Democratic candidate name
    ---------------------------------------------------------------------

    3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification)
    are blank in this variable.

M000196    Post.Cand.3. House Democratic cand code
    MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 96
    Numeric

Post-Cand.3.

    House Democratic candidate code
    ---------------------------------------------------------------------

    For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
    this is a Pre-only case.

    31. Democratic candidate in open House race
    33. Democratic House incumbent
    35. Democratic House challenger

    96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
    99. NA
    00. No Democratic candidate; Washington DC

M000197    Post.Cand.4. House Democratic cand gendr
    MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
    Numeric

Post-Cand.4.

    House Democratic candidate gender
    ---------------------------------------------------------------------
For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

1. Male
2. Female

8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No Democratic House candidate; Washington DC

================================================
M000198 Post.Cand.5. House Republican cand name
Character

Post-Cand.5.

House Republican candidate name

---------------------------------------------------------------

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification)
are blank in this variable.

================================================
M000199 Post.Cand.6. House Republican cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.6.

House Republican candidate code

---------------------------------------------------------------

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

32. Republican candidate in open House race
34. Republican House incumbent
36. Republican House challenger

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No Republican House candidate; Washington DC

================================================
M000200 Post.Cand.7. House Republican cand gendr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Post-Cand.7.

House Republican candidate gender

---------------------------------------------------------------

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

1. Male
2. Female
8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No Republican House candidate; Washington DC

M000201 Post.Cand.8. House Ind cand name
Character

House Independent/third party candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification)
are blank in this variable.

M000202 Post.Cand.9. House Ind cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

House Independent/third party candidate code

** THIS CODE IS USED ONLY IF NAME APPEARS ON CANDIDATE LIST
For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined;
this is a Pre-only case.

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No Independent/third party House candidate; Washington DC

M000202a Post.Cand.9a. House Ind cand party
Character

House Independent/third party candidate party

This is an alpha variable

M000203 Post.Cand.10. House Ind cand gender
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

House Independent/third party candidate gender
For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

1. Male
2. Female

8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No Independent/third party House candidate; Washington DC

M000204  Post.Cand.11. Senate race type
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.11.

Senate race type:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATES WITH SENATE RACE</th>
<th>STATES WITHOUT SENATE RACE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>Alabama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>Arkansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>Idaho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>Kansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>Kentucky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>Louisiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.
### DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT RUNNING

12 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
13 Dem incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
14 Dem incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
19 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

### REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT RUNNING

21 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
23 Rep incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
24 Rep incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
29 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

### OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING

31 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
32 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
34 Other incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
35 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CHALLENGERS
36 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
37 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
39 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CHALLS

### NO INCUMBENT RUNNING

51 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
52 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
53 Dem incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
55 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
56 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
57 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
59 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
61 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
62 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
63 Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
65 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
66 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
67 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
69 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS

### NO RACE IN STATE

81 DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENTS, no race in state
82 REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state
85 DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state

96 Code 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99 NA
00 Washington DC

---

**Post.Cand.12. Senate Democr cand name**

*Character*

Post-Cand.12.

Senate Democratic candidate name

---------------------------------------------------------------

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

---

**Post.Cand.13. Senate Democr cand code**

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96

*Numeric*
Post-Cand.13.

Senate Democratic candidate code

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
13. Democratic Senate incumbent
15. Democratic Senate challenger

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No race in state; no Democratic Senate candidate; Washington DC

Post-Cand.14.

Senate Democratic candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

1. Male
2. Female

8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No race in state; no Democratic Senate candidate; Washington DC

Post-Cand.15.

Senate Republican candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

Post-Cand.16.

Senate Republican candidate code
For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
14. Republican Senate incumbent
16. Republican Senate challenger

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No race in state; no Republican Senate candidate; Washington DC

M000210  Post.Cand.17. Senate Repub cand gender
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Post-Cand.17.

Senate Republican candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

1. Male
2. Female

8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No race in state; no Republican Senate candidate; Washington DC

M000211  Post.Cand.18. Senate Ind 1 cand name
Character

Post-Cand.18.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

M000212  Post.Cand.19. Senate Ind 1 cand code
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.19.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate code

** THIS CODE IS USED ONLY IF NAME APPEARS ON CANDIDATE LIST
For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

09  Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent **
10  Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent **
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No race in state; no independent/third party Senate candidate; Washington DC

==================================
M000212a Post.Cand.19a. Senate Ind 1 cand party
Character

Post-Cand.19a.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate party

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

==================================
M000213 Post.Cand.20. Senate Ind 1 cand gender
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Post-Cand.20.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

1. Male
2. Female

8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No race in state; no Independent/third party Senate candidate; Washington DC

==================================
M000214 Post.Cand.21. Senate Ind 2 cand name
Character

Post-Cand.21.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate name

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

==================================
M000215 Post.Cand.22. Senate Ind 2 cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.22.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate code
** THIS CODE IS USED ONLY IF NAME APPEARS ON CANDIDATE LIST
For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

08 Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent**

96. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
99. NA
00. No race in state; no independent/third party Senate candidate; no 2nd independent/third party Senate candidate; Washington DC

M000215a  Post.Cand.22a. Senate Ind 2 cand party
Character

Post-Cand.22a.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate party

3 Cases coded 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification) are blank in this variable.

M000216  Post.Cand.23. Senate Ind 2 cand gender
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Post-Cand.23.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate gender

For 1 case (NA), district/state race information is undetermined; this is a Pre-only case.

1. Male
2. Female

8. 1 in Post-Summary.22 (error in CD identification; 3 cases)
9. NA
0. No race in state; no Independent/third party Senate candidate; no 2nd independent/third party Senate candidate; Washington DC

M000217  Post.Cand.24. Outside Hse Dem cand name
Character

Post-Cand.24.

House Democratic candidate name - Vote outside CD of IW

This variable is blank if Pre-Sample.8 (P000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified.
Post-Cand.25.

House Democratic candidate code - Vote outside CD of IW

- 31. Democratic candidate in open House race
- 33. Democratic House incumbent
- 35. Democratic House challenger
- 96. No democratic candidate in 'outside' CD
- 99. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (P000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (P000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state)
- 00. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

Post-Cand.26.

House Democratic candidate gender - Vote outside CD of IW

- 1. Male
- 2. Female
- 8. No democratic candidate in 'outside' CD
- 9. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (P000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (P000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state)
- 0. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

Post-Cand.27.

House Republican candidate name - Vote outside CD of IW

This variable is blank if Pre-Sample.8 (P000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified.

Post-Cand.28.

House Republican candidate code - Vote outside CD of IW

- 32. Republican candidate in open House race
34. Republican House incumbent
36. Republican House challenger

96. No Republican candidate in 'outside' CD
99. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (P000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (P000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
00. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

---

M000222    Post.Cand.29. Outside Hse Rep cand gendr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Post-Cand.29.

*House Republican candidate gender - Vote outside CD of IW*

| 1. Male |
| 2. Female |

8. No Republican candidate in 'outside' CD
9. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (P000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (P000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
0. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

---

M000223    Post.Cand.30. Outside Hse Ind cand name
Character

Post-Cand.30.

*House Independent/third party candidate name - Vote outside CD of IW*

This variable is blank if Pre-Sample.8 (P000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified.

---

M000224    Post.Cand.31. Outside Hse Ind cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.31.

*House Independent/third party candidate code - Vote outside CD of IW*

| 30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent ** |
| 38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent ** |
| 39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent |

96. No independent/3rd party candidate in 'outside' CD
99. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (P000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (P000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
00. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

Page 93
M000225  Post.Cand.32. Outside Hse Ind cand gendr
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Post-Cand.32.

House Independent/third party candidate gender - Vote outside CD of IW
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Male
2. Female
8. No independent/3rd party candidate in 'outside' CD
9. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (P000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (P000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).
0. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

M000226  Post.Cand.33. Outside Hse race type
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.33.

House race type - Vote outside state of IW
---------------------------------------------------------------------
DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT RUNNING
12 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
13 Dem incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
14 Dem incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
19 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT RUNNING
21 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
23 Rep incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
24 Rep incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
29 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING
31 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
32 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
34 Other incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
35 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CHALLENGERS
36 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
37 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
39 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CHALLS
NO INCUMBENT RUNNING
51 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
52 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
53 Dem incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
55 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
56 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS
57 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANDS
59 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANDS
61 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
62 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
63 Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
65 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANIDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANIDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANIDS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

96. Washington DC

99. 1 in Pre-Sample.7 (P000085) and Pre-Sample.8 (P000086) does not have the exact CD of outside vote identified (outside state).

00. 0,8,9 in Pre-Sample.7

---

**M000226a** Post.Cand.33a. Outside Sen race type

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96

**Numeric**

**Post-Cand.33a.**

Senate race type - Vote outside state of IW

---

**DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT RUNNING**

- 12 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
- 13 Dem incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
- 14 Dem incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
- 19 Dem incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

**REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT RUNNING**

- 21 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
- 23 Rep incumbent running -- OTHER CHALLENGER
- 24 Rep incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
- 29 Rep incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS

**OTHER INCUMBENT RUNNING**

- 31 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
- 32 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
- 34 Other incumbent running -- UNOPPOSED
- 35 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CHALLENGERS
- 36 Other incumbent running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
- 37 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS
- 39 Other incumbent running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CHALLS

**NO INCUMBENT RUNNING**

- 51 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
- 52 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
- 53 Dem incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
- 55 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
- 56 Dem incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANIDS
- 57 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANIDS
- 59 Dem incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANIDS
- 61 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
- 62 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANIDS
- 63 Rep incumbent not running -- OTHER CANDIDATE UNOPPOSED
- 65 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS
- 66 Rep incumbent not running -- REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANIDS
- 67 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CANIDS
- 69 Rep incumbent not running -- DEMOCRATIC, REPUBLICAN, OTHER CANIDS

**NO RACE IN STATE**

- 81 DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENTS, no race in state
- 82 REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state
- 85 DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN INCUMBENTS, no race in state

96. Washington DC

99. R vote in another district but not another state
Post-Cand.34.

Senate Democratic candidate name - Vote outside state of IW

This variable is blank if R did not vote outside state of IW.

Post-Cand.35.

Senate Democratic candidate code - Vote outside state of IW

11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
13. Democratic Senate incumbent
15. Democratic Senate challenger
96. No race in state; no Democratic candidate
99. R voted in another district but not another state
00. R did not vote outside state of IW; Washington DC

Post-Cand.36.

Senate Democratic candidate gender - Vote outside state of IW

1. Male
2. Female
9. No race in state; no Democratic candidate
0. R did not vote outside state of IW; R voted in another district but not another state; Washington DC

Post-Cand.37.

Senate Republican candidate name - Vote outside state of IW
This variable is blank if R did not vote outside state of IW.

-----------------------------
M000231  Post.Cand.38. Outside Sen Rep cand code
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 96
Numeric

Post-Cand.38.

Senate Republican candidate code - Vote outside state of IW

12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
14. Republican Senate incumbent
16. Republican Senate challenger
96. No race in state; no Republican candidate
99. R voted in another district but not another state
00. R did not vote outside state of IW; Washington DC

-----------------------------
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Cand.39.

Senate Republican candidate gender - Vote outside state of IW

1. Male
2. Female
9. No race in state; no Democratic candidate
0. R did not vote outside state of IW; R voted in another district but not another state; Washington DC

-----------------------------
M000233  Post.Cand.40. Outside Sen Ind1 cand name
Character

Post-Cand.40.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate name
- Vote outside state of IW

This variable is blank if R did not vote outside state of IW or if there was no independent/third party candidate in 'outside' state.

-----------------------------
M000234  Post.Cand.41. Outside Sen Ind1 cand code
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 96
Numeric
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Post-Cand.41.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate code
- Vote outside state of IW

09 Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
10 Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent

96. No race in state; no independent/3rd party candidate
99. R voted in another district but not another state
00. R did not vote outside state of IW; Washington DC

Post-Cand.42.

Senate Independent/third party 1 -candidate gender
- Vote outside state of IW

1. Male
2. Female

9. No race in state; no independent/3rd party candidate
0. R did not vote outside state of IW; R voted in another district but not another state; Washington DC

Post-Cand.43.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate name
- Vote outside state of IW

This variable is blank if R did not vote outside state of IW or if there was no (2nd) independent/third party candidate in 'outside' state.

Post-Cand.44.

Senate Independent/third party 2 -candidate code
- Vote outside state of IW

08. Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent
96. No race in state; no independent/3rd party candidate; no second independent/3rd party candidate
99. R voted in another district but not another state
00. R did not vote outside state of IW; Washington DC

M000238 Post.Cand.45. Outside Sen Ind2 cand gend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Cand.45.

Senate Independent/third party 2-candidate gender
- Vote outside state of IW

1. Male
2. Female

9. No race in state; no independent/3rd party candidate; no second independent/3rd party candidate
0. R did not vote outside state of IW; R voted in another district but not another state; Washington DC

M000239 Post.Summary.1. Post timing - section A
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.1.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION A

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

M000240 Post.Summary.2. Post timing - section B
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.2.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION B

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

-----------------------------
M000241 Post.Summary.3. Post timing - section C
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.3.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION C

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

-----------------------------
M000242 Post.Summary.4. Post timing - section D
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.4.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION D

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

-----------------------------
M000243 Post.Summary.5. Post timing - section E
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.5.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION E

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time
between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be
affected by suspension of interview, power failure,
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc.
Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 Section H administered in Pre; No Post IW

M000247 Post.Summary.9. Post timing - section J
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.9.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION J

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

M000248 Post.Summary.10. Post timing - section K
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.10.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION K

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

M000249 Post.Summary.11. Post timing - section L
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.11.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION L

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as
recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=================================
M000250 Post.Summary.12. Post timing - section M
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.12.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION M
----------------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=================================
M000251 Post.Summary.13. Post timing - section N
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.13.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION N
----------------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

=================================
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.14.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION P
----------------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time

Page 103
between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

M000253  Post.Summary.15. Post timing - section Q
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.15.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION Q
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

M000254  Post.Summary.16. Post timing - section R
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.16.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION R
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

M000255  Post.Summary.17. Post timing - section S
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.17.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION S
---------------------------------------------------------------------
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Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

---

M000256  Post.Summary.18. Post timing - section T
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.18.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION T
------------------------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

---

M000257  Post.Summary.19. Post timing - section V
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.19.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION V
------------------------------------------

Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

---

M000258  Post.Summary.20. Post timing - section Y
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 999.99
Numeric

Post-Summary.20.

POST SECTION TIMING - SECTION Y
------------------------------------------
Note: section length is the amount of lapsed time between the beginning and ending of the section as recorded by the instrument application, and may be affected by suspension of interview, power failure, application failure, R digressions and interruptions etc. Number of minutes (2 decimal places) is coded, except:

999.99 NA
000.00 No Post IW

M000260    Post.Summary.22. Wrong CD admin(preload)
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric
Post-Summary.22.

POST:  Wrong CD administered (preloaded)?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
NOTE:  3 cases (case IDs 900,1016,1114) were misidentified in the preload as PA cases but were actually conducted in New Jersey; the actual CD for the NJ location is not determined. Variables for survey questions which were administered according to Preload information are unchanged (affected cases not set to missing data). State and CD variables (e.g. P000079, P000080 etc.) are set to missing data but sampling variables associated with segment are correct for the actual segment location (if FTF mode).

0. No misidentification of CD
1. Wrong CD identified (administered)
9. No Post IW

M000261    Post.Summary.23. Type error in preload
MD:  EQ 9
POST: Type of error in preload

Code 1 corresponds to 3 cases in WV where Senate type race was misidentified as type race 12 instead of type race 19 (note: 1 additional case in WV had correct Senate type race preload). For the 3 cases coded 1, the preload information for the Senate Independent/3rd party candidate was not preloaded.

0. No error in preload
1. Wrong Senate type race preloaded (WV, 3 cases)
2. Wrong CD (1 in Post-Summary.22)

9. No Post IW

Post-Summary.24.

POST: Flag - randomization failure in Post admin

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). The randomization variables documented in P001752-P001810 describe the types of randomizations affected.

0. No randomization failure
1. Post randomization failure in at least a portion of the interview

9. No Post IW

A1.

Some people don't pay much attention to political campaigns. How about you? Would you say that you have been very much interested, somewhat interested or not much interested in the political campaigns so far this
1. VERY MUCH INTERESTED
3. SOMEWHAT INTERESTED
5. NOT MUCH INTERESTED
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA

M000302 A2. Does R care about Pres election
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

A2.

Generally speaking, would you say that you personally care a good deal who wins the presidential election this fall, or that you don't care very much who wins?

1. CARE A GOOD DEAL
3. DON'T CARE VERY MUCH
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA

M000303 A3. Did R vote in 1996 election
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

A3.

In 1996 Bill Clinton ran on the Democratic ticket against Bob Dole for the Republicans, and Ross Perot as an independent candidate. Do you remember for sure whether or not you voted in that election?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK' RESPONSE]

1. YES, VOTED
5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE  --> SKIP TO A4/A5
8. DON'T KNOW       --> SKIP A4/A5
9. RF
0. NA

M000304 A3a. Who did R vote for in 1996
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

A3a.
IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT IN 1996:

Which one did you vote for?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK' RESPONSE]

1. BILL CLINTON
3. BOB DOLE
5. ROSS PEROT
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in A3

The order of the A4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Gore) and the A5 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about GW Bush) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO A4c

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO A4c
9. RF
0. NA

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al Gore that might make you want to vote for him?

(What is that?)
MENTION 1 - GORE 'LIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a

M000307    A4b(2). #2 detail about Gore R likes
MD:  EQ 0
        Numeric

A4b(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - GORE 'LIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4b(1); no further mentions

M000308    A4b(3). #3 detail about Gore R likes
MD:  EQ 0
        Numeric

A4b(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al Gore that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - GORE 'LIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4b(1); no further mentions

==============================
M000309 A4b(4). #4 detail about Gore R likes
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

A4b(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al Gore that might make you want to vote for him? (What is that?)

MENTION 4 - GORE 'LIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4b(1); no further mentions

==============================
M000310 A4b(5). #5 detail about Gore R likes
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

A4b(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Vice President Al Gore that might make you want to vote for him? (What is that?)

MENTION 5 - GORE 'LIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4b(1); no further mentions
A4c. Does R dislike anything about Gore

M000311    A4c. Does R dislike anything about Gore
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

A4c.

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might make you want to vote against him?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

The order of the A4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Gore) and the A5 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about GW Bush) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. Yes
5. No      --> A5a Or A6
8. Don't Know  --> A5a Or A6
9. RF
0. NA

--------------------
M000312    A4d(1). #1 detail about Gore R dislikes
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
Numeric

A4d(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - GORE 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c

--------------------
M000313    A4d(2). #2 detail about Gore R dislikes
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IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - GORE 'DISLIKES'
-----------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4d(1); no further mentions

==============================
M000314    A4d(3). #3 detail about Gore R dislikes
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

A4d(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - GORE 'DISLIKES'
-----------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4d(1); no further mentions

==============================
M000315    A4d(4). #4 detail about Gore R dislikes
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

A4d(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - GORE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4d(1); no further mentions

==============================
M000316    A4d(5). #5 detail about Gore R dislikes
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

A4d(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST GORE:

Is there anything in particular about Al Gore that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - GORE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A4c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A4d(1); no further mentions

==============================
M000317    A5a. Does R like anything about Bush
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

A5a.

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him?

The order of the A4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Gore) and the A5 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about GW Bush) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

variable Rand.A4/A5).

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO A5c

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO A5c
9. RF
0. NA

==============================
M000318   A5b(1). #1 detail about Bush R likes
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
Numeric

A5b(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor
George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a

==============================
M000319   A5b(2). #2 detail about Bush R likes
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

A5b(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor
George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5, 8, 9, 0 in A5a; 9001, 9002, 9995, 9998, 9999 in A5b(1); no further mentions

===============
M000320  A5b(3). #3 detail about Bush R likes
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

A5b(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5, 8, 9, 0 in A5a; 9001, 9002, 9995, 9998, 9999 in A5b(1); no further mentions

===============
M000321  A5b(4). #4 detail about Bush R likes
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

A5b(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5, 8, 9, 0 in A5a; 9001, 9002, 9995, 9998, 9999 in A5b(1); no further mentions

===============
M000322  A5b(5). #5 detail about Bush R likes
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric
A5b(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE FOR BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about Texas Governor George W. Bush that might make you want to vote for him? (What is that?)

MENTION 5 - GW BUSH 'LIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO] See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE. Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5a; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5b(1); no further mentions

==============================

M000323  A5c. Does R dislike anything about Bush
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

A5c.

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush that might make you want to vote against him?
====================================================================================================

The order of the A4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Gore) and the A5 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about GW Bush) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO A4a or A6
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO A4a or A6
9. RF
0. NA

==============================

M000324  A5d(1). #1 detail about Bush R dislikes
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9001
Numeric

A5d(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush
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that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c

-------------------------------
M000325    A5d(2). #2 detail about Bush R dislikes
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

A5d(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush
that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE? UNTIL R SAYS NO]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5d(1); no further mentions

-------------------------------
M000326    A5d(3). #3 detail about Bush R dislikes
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

A5d(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD MAKE R VOTE AGAINST BUSH:

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush
that might make you want to vote against him?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - GW BUSH 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------
If R says there is something that would make R vote against Bush:

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush that might make you want to vote against him? (What is that?)

Mention 4 - GW Bush 'Dislikes'

================================================================================

[Probe: Anything else? Until R says no]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5d(1); no further mentions

================================================================================

M000327    A5d(4). #4 detail about Bush R dislikes
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

A5d(4).

If R says there is something that would make R vote against Bush:

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush that might make you want to vote against him? (What is that?)

Mention 5 - GW Bush 'Dislikes'

================================================================================

[Probe: Anything else? Until R says no]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5d(1); no further mentions

================================================================================

M000328    A5d(5). #5 detail about Bush R dislikes
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

A5d(5).

If R says there is something that would make R vote against Bush:

Is there anything in particular about George W. Bush that might make you want to vote against him? (What is that?)

Mention 5 - GW Bush 'Dislikes'

================================================================================

[Probe: Anything else? Until R says no]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in A5c; 9001,9002,9995,9998,9999 in A5d(1); no further mentions
A6. Number of days R watched nat'l news

MD1: EQ 8,  MD2: GE 9
Numeric

A6.

How many days in the past week did you watch the national network news on TV?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
0. NONE      --> SKIP TO A7
1. ONE DAY
2. TWO DAYS
3. THREE DAYS
4. FOUR DAYS
5. FIVE DAYS
6. SIX DAYS
7. EVERY DAY
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF; NA

A6a/A6a.T.

IF R WATCHED NATIONAL NETWORK TV NEWS IN PAST WEEK:

FTF:
Please look at page 1 of the booklet.
How much attention do you pay to news on national news shows about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:
How much attention do you pay to news on national news shows about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A GREAT DEAL
2. QUITE A BIT
3. SOME
4. VERY LITTLE
5. NONE
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,8,9 in A6

A7. Days R watched early local news

MD1: EQ 8,  MD2: GE 9
Numeric
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A7.

How many days in the past week did you watch the local TV news shows such as "Eyewitness News" or "Action News" in the late afternoon or early-evening?

0. NONE
1. ONE DAY
2. TWO DAYS
3. THREE DAYS
4. FOUR DAYS
5. FIVE DAYS
6. SIX DAYS
7. EVERY DAY

A8.

How many days in the past week did you watch the local TV news shows in the late evening?

0. NONE
1. ONE DAY
2. TWO DAYS
3. THREE DAYS
4. FOUR DAYS
5. FIVE DAYS
6. SIX DAYS
7. EVERY DAY
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF; NA

A8a/A8a.T.

IF NUMBER OF DAYS WATCHED IS NOT 0 FOR BOTH EARLY AND LATE LOCAL NEWS:

Please look at page 1 of the booklet.
How much attention do you pay to news on local news shows about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:
How much attention do you pay to news on local news shows about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?
A9. Does R have cable or satellite tv

Do you have either cable or satellite television?

1. Yes
5. No

0. NA; INAP, 0,8,9 in A7 and A8

A10. Days R read a daily newspaper

How many days in the past week did you read a daily newspaper?

0. NONE         --> SKIP TO A11
1. ONE DAY
2. TWO DAYS
3. THREE DAYS
4. FOUR DAYS
5. FIVE DAYS
6. SIX DAYS
7. EVERY DAY

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF; NA

A10a. Did R read about campaign in paper
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Did you read about the campaign in any newspaper?

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO A11
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO A11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,8,9 in A10

M000337    A10b/A10b.T. Attention to newspaper articles
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

A10b/A10b.T.

Do you recall seeing any ads for political candidates on television this fall?

1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't Know
A12. Approve/disapprove Clinton job

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling his job as president?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO B1
9. RF
0. NA

A12a.

IF R APPROVES CLINTON HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT/
IF R DISAPPROVES CLINTON HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:

Strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in A12

A12x.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling his job as president?

Strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: APPROVAL/ DISAPPROVAL OF CLINTON JOB AS PRESIDENT

Built from A12 and A12a.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
As you know, representatives to Congress in Washington are being chosen in this election from congressional districts all around the country. How much would you say that you personally care about the way the election to the U.S. House of Representatives comes out: do you care very much, pretty much, not very much or not at all?

1. VERY MUCH
2. PRETTY MUCH
3. NOT VERY MUCH
4. NOT AT ALL

5. Disapprove not strongly
6. Disapprove strongly
8. DK (in A12 or A12a)
9. RF (in A12 or A12b)
0. NA

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district?

1. YES
5. NO   --> SKIPTO B3

8. DK   --> SKIPTO B3
9. RF
0. NA; Washington D.C.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:
Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?

1ST RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]

** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list for this race
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.

M000345  B2a1. #1 recall party, House cand
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B2a1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?

What is [NAME'S] party?

1ST RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Democrat
2. Republican
7. Other Party (specify)

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.

M000346  B2ax1. #1 House cand recall-actual party
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
B2ax1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?

1ST RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY

1. Democratic candidate (31,33,35)
2. Republican candidate (32,34,36)
3. Other (30,39)
4. Name given not on candidate list (97)
5. DK name but know party
6. DK name and DK/NA party; NA; RF
7. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in B2

M000347  B2ax2. #1 House cand recall- accuracy
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
Numeric

B2ax2.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they? What is [NAME'S] party?

1ST RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY

1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
8. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
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M000348 B2b. #2 recalled name, House cand
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

B2b.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?

2ND RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE
=====================================================================

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]
** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list or this race

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention

M000349 B2b1. #2 recall party, House cand
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B2b1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?

What is [NAME'S] party?

2ND RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY
=====================================================================
1. Democrat
2. Republican
7. Other Party (specify)
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention

==============================
M000350    B2bx1. #2 House cand recall-actual party
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B2bx1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?

2ND RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Democratic candidate (31,33,35)
2. Republican candidate (32,34,36)
3. Other (30,39)
7. Name given not on candidate list (97)
8. DK name but know party
9. DK name and DK/NA party; NA; RF
0. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in B2; no further mention

==============================
M000351    B2bx2. #2 House cand recall-accuracy
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
Numeric

B2bx2.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?
What is [NAME'S] party?

2ND RECALLED NAME - ACCCUACY
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in B2; no further mention

====================================
M000352    B2c. #3 recalled name, House cand
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
Numeric

B2c.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district?  Who are they?

3RD RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]
** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list for this race

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention

====================================
M000353    B2cl. #3 recall party, House cand
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B2cl.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:
Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they? What is [NAME’S] party?

3RD RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

1. Democrat
2. Republican
7. Other Party (specify)

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention

M000354  B2cx1. #3 House cand recall-actual party
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B2cx1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?

3RD RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY

1. Democratic candidate (31,33,35)
2. Republican candidate (32,34,36)
3. Other (30,39)
7. Name given not on candidate list (97)

8. DK name but know party
9. DK name and DK/NA party; NA; RF
0. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in B2; no further mention

M000355  B2cx2. #3 House cand recall-accuracy
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
Numeric

B2cx2.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who are running in the November election from this district? Who are they?
November election from this district? Who are they? What is [NAME'S] party?

3RD RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY

1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in B2; no further mention

M000356  B3. Congress job approve/dissapr
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B3.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way the U.S. Congress has been handling its job?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE
8. DON'T KNOW  --> SKIP TO C1
9. RF
0. NA

M000357  B3a. Strength of approval/disapproval of Cong
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B3a.

IF R APPROVES U.S. CONGRESS HANDLING ITS JOB/
IF R DISAPPROVES U.S. CONGRESS HANDLING ITS JOB:

(Do you approve or disapprove of the way the U.S. Congress has been handling its job?)
Strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY
M000358    B3x. Summary R approval of US Congress
          MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
          Numeric

B3x.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way the U.S.
Congress has been handling its job?
Strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY:  R APPROVAL OF U.S. CONGRESS
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from B3 and B3a.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove not strongly
8. DK (in B3 or B3a)
9. RF (in B3 or B3a)
0. NA

---------------------------------------------------------------------
M000359    C1a/C1a.T. Thermometer Bill Clinton
          MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 997
          Numeric

C1a/C1a.T.

PTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Bill Clinton

TELEPHONE:
The first person is:
Bill Clinton
Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate Bill
Clinton?

THERMOMETER - BILL CLINTON
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were
administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and
C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names;
C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names.
C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.
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0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================
M000360    Clb/C1b.T. Thermometer Gore
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 997
Numeric

Clb/C1b.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Al Gore

TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
Al Gore
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - AL GORE
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================
M000361    C1c/C1c.T. Thermometer George W Bush
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 997
Numeric

C1c/C1c.T.

FTF:
How would you rate George W. Bush

TELEPHONE:
The next person is: George W. Bush

(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - GEORGE W. BUSH

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, Clb-Cle were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; Clf and Clg were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; Clh-Clj were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. Clk was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100
995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

Cld/Cld.T.

PTF:
(How would you rate:) Pat Buchanan

TELEPHONE:
The next person is: Pat Buchanan

(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him)

THERMOMETER - PAT BUCHANAN

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=====================================================================
M000363 C1e/C1e.T. Thermometer Nader
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
Numeric

C1e/C1e.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Ralph Nader

TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
Ralph Nader
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - RALPH NADER
=====================================================================

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
John McCain

TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
John McCain
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?

THERMOMETER - JOHN MCCAIN

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After Cla was administered as the first name, Clb-Cle were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; Clf and Clg were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; Clh-Clj were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. Clk was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Bill Bradley

TELEPHONE:
The next person is: Bill Bradley
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - BILL BRADLEY

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================
M000366  C1h/C1h.T. Thermometer Lieberman
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
Numeric

C1h/C1h.T.

PTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Joseph Lieberman

TELEPHONE:
The next person is: Joseph Lieberman
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - JOSEPH LIEBERMAN

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

===============================================
M000367  C1j/C1j.T. Thermometer Cheney
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 997
Numeric

C1j/C1j.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Dick Cheney

TELEPHONE:
The next person is::
Dick Cheney
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him?)

THERMOMETER - DICK CHENEY
=================================================================================================================================

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names. C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

===============================================
M000368  C1k/C1k.T. Thermometer Hillary Clinton
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C1k/C1k.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 2 of the booklet)
(How would you rate:)
Hillary Clinton

TELEPHONE:
The next person is:
Hillary Clinton
(Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate her?)

THERMOMETER - HILLARY CLINTON

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY DON'T KNOW DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

After C1a was administered as the first name, C1b-C1e were administered in random order as the 2nd-5th names; C1f and C1g were randomly administered as the 6th or 7th names; C1h-C1j were randomly administered as the 8th or 9th names.
C1k was administered as the last (10th) name.

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

C2.

Still using the thermometer:

M000369 C2a. Thermometer Dem Party
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
Numeric

C2a.

(How would you rate:)
the Democratic Party

THERMOMETER - DEMOCRATIC PARTY

C2a, C2b, C2c were administered in random order; C2d was administered last.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

M000370    C2b. Thermometer Rep Party
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 997
Numeric

C2b.

(How would you rate:)
the Republican Party

THERMOMETER - REPUBLICAN PARTY
---------------------------------------------------------------------

C2a, C2b, C2c were administered in random order; C2d was administered last.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

M000371    C2c. Thermometer Reform Party
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 997
Numeric

C2c.

(How would you rate:)
Reform Party

THERMOMETER - REFORM PARTY
---------------------------------------------------------------------

C2a, C2b, C2c were administered in random order; C2d was administered last.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

M000372 C2d. Thermometer parties in general
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 997
Numeric

C2d.

(How would you rate:)
Political parties in general

THERMOMETER - POLITICAL PARTIES IN GENERAL
---------------------------------------------------------------------

C2a, C2b, C2c were administered in random order; C2d was administered last.

0-100

995. NA
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

D0.

I'd like to ask you what you think are the good and bad points about the two national parties.

M000373 D1. Like anything- Dem Party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

D1.

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?

The order of the D1/D2 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Democratic Party) and the D3/D4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about the Republican party) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of Page 142
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the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
“Rand” [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO D2
8. DON’T KNOW --> SKIP TO D2
9. RF
0. NA

========================================
M000374  Dla(1). #1 like Dem Party
 MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
 Numeric

Dla(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the
Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1

========================================
M000375  Dla(2). #2 like Dem Party
 MD: EQ 0
 Numeric

Dla(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the
Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?
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Until R says no.

See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1; no further mentions

==============
M000376 Dla(3). #3 like Dem Party
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Dla(3).

If R says there is something R likes about Democratic Party:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

Mention 3 - Democratic Party 'likes'

[Probe: Anything else you like about the Democratic Party?
Until R says no.] See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1; no further mentions

==============
M000377 Dla(4). #4 like Dem Party
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Dla(4).

If R says there is something R likes about Democratic Party:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

Mention 4 - Democratic Party 'likes'

[Probe: Anything else you like about the Democratic Party?
Until R says no.] See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.

Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1; no further mentions
M000378  D1a(5). #5 like Dem Party  
MD:  EQ 0  
Numeric  
D1a(5).  

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:  

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? 
(What is that?)  

MENTION 5 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'LIKES'  
---------------------------------------------------------------------  

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY?  
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]  
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.  
Codes 1-1304 and:  
8999. Other miscellaneous  
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D1; no further mentions  

---------------------------------------------------------------------  
M000379  D2. Dislike anything- Dem Party  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
Numeric  
D2.  

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?  
---------------------------------------------------------------------  

The order of the D1/D2 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Democratic Party) and the D3/D4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about the Republican party) was randomized.  

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].  

1. YES  
5. NO  --> SKIP TO D3 or D5  

======================================================================  
M000380  D2a(1). #1 dislike Dem Party  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9001  
Numeric  
D2a(1).  

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:  

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2

==============================
M000381  D2a(2). #2 dislike Dem Party
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

D2a(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2; no further mentions

==============================
M000382  D2a(3). #3 dislike Dem Party
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

D2a(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Democratic party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'
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[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2; no further mentions

___________________________
M000383    D2a(4). #4 dislike Dem Party
           MD:  EQ 0
           Numeric

D2a(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

  Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?
  (What is that?)

MENTION 4 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'
____________________________________

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D2; no further mentions

___________________________
M000384    D2a(5). #5 dislike Dem Party
           MD:  EQ 0
           Numeric

D2a(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY:

  Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?
  (What is that?)

MENTION 5 - DEMOCRATIC PARTY 'DISLIKES'
____________________________________

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
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Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

The order of the D1/D2 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Democratic Party) and the D3/D4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about the Republican party) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO D4
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO D4
9. RF
0. NA

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
D3a(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3; no further mentions

D3a(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3; no further mentions

D3a(4).
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IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? (What is that?)

MENTION 4 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY? UNTIL R SAYS NO.] See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE. Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3; no further mentions

================================
M000390    D3a(5). #5 like Rep Party
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

D3a(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? (What is that?)

MENTION 5 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'LIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY? UNTIL R SAYS NO.] See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE. Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D3; no further mentions

================================
M000391    D4. Dislike anything- Rep Party
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

D4.

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party?

The order of the D1/D2 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about Democratic Party) and the D3/D4 series ('likes' and 'dislikes' about the Republican party) was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO D1 or D5
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO D1 or D5
9. RF
0. NA

==============================
M000392  D4a(1). #1 dislike Rep Party
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9001
Numeric

D4a(1).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 1 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY? UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4

==============================
M000393  D4a(2). #2 dislike Rep Party
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

D4a(2).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 2 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4; no further mentions

==============================================
M000394    D4a(3). #3 dislike Rep Party
            MD:  EQ 0
            Numeric

D4a(3).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 3 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4; no further mentions

==============================================
M000395    D4a(4). #4 dislike Rep Party
            MD:  EQ 0
            Numeric

D4a(4).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like
about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 4 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4; no further mentions
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M000396    D4a(5). #5 dislike Rep Party
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

D4a(5).

IF R SAYS THERE IS SOMETHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY:

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party?
(What is that?)

MENTION 5 - REPUBLICAN PARTY 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE YOU DON'T LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY?
UNTIL R SAYS NO.]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in D4; no further mentions

M000397    D5. Preference for divided government
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

D5.

Do you think it is better when one party controls both the presidency and Congress, better when control is split between the Democrats and Republicans, or doesn't it matter?

1. BETTER WHEN ONE PARTY CONTROLS BOTH
3. BETTER WHEN CONTROL IS SPLIT
5. IT DOESN'T MATTER

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

M000398    El/El.T. Better/worse off in last year
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

El/El.T.

FTF:
We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family living here) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?
TELEPHONE:
We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for administration of the E section.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> Skip to Elb
5. THE SAME --> Skip to E2

8. DK --> Skip to E2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R not selected for half-sample

M000399 Ela. How much better off- last year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Ela.

IF R'S FAMILY HAS BEEN BETTER OFF IN LAST YEAR:

Much better or somewhat better?

1. Much Better --> E2
5. Somewhat Better --> E2

8. DK --> E2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in E1/E1.T

M000400 Elb. How much worse off- last year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Elb.

IF R'S FAMILY HAS BEEN WORSE OFF IN LAST YEAR:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

1. Much Worse
5. Somewhat Worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in E1/E1.T

M000401 Elx. Summary R econ situation last year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Would you say that you (and your family [PTF: living here]) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago? Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY - R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN PAST YEAR
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from E1/E1.T and E1a/E1b.
1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK (in E1 or E1a/E1b)
9. RF (in E1 or E1a/E1b)
0. NA; INAP, R not selected for half-sample

M000402 E2/E2.T. Did R delay med/dent treatment
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

PTF:
In the past year did you (or anyone in your family living here) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?

TELEPHONE:
In the past year did you (or anyone in your family) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for administration of the E section.

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R not selected for half-sample

M000403 E3/E3.T. Expect better/worse in next year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you (and your family living here) will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for administration of the E section.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> Skip to E3B
5. THE SAME --> Skip to F1
8. DK --> Skip to F1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R not selected for half-sample

E3a.

IF R THINKS FAMILY WILL BE BETTER OFF A YEAR FROM NOW:

Much better off or somewhat better off?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP to F1
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP to F1
8. DK --> SKIP to F1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in E3/E3.T

E3b.

IF R THINKS FAMILY WILL BE WORSE OFF A YEAR FROM NOW:

Much worse off or somewhat worse off?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in E3/E3.T
Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you (and your family [FTF: living here]) will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now? Much better/worse off or somewhat better/worse off?

SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN NEXT YEAR

Built from E3 and E3a/b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Just about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK (in E3 or E3a/E3b)
9. RF (in E3 or E3a/E3b)
0. NA; INAP, R not selected for half sample

(Think about Al Gore.) Has Al Gore (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done) -- ever made you feel:

ANGRY

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
8. DK --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
9. RF
IF R SAYS GORE HAS MADE R FEEL ANGRY:

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
8. DK --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA
IF R SAYS GORE HAS MADE R FEEL HOPEFUL:

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Flb

M000411 Flc. Afraid- Gore affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

(Flc.

(Think about Al Gore.) Has Al Gore (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done) -- ever made you feel:
AFRAID

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. Yes
5. No --> Next F1 In Randomization
8. DK --> Next F1 In Randomization
9. RF
0. NA

M000412 Flcc. Afraid- how often Gore affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Flcc.

IF R SAYS GORE HAS MADE R FEEL AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?
1. Very Often
2. Fairly Often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in F1c

M000413  F1d. Proud- Gore affect
          MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
          Numeric

F1d.

(Think about Al Gore.) Has Al Gore (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done) -- ever made you feel: PROUD

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO    --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
8. DK    --> SKIP to next F1 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA

M000414  F1dd. Proud- how often Gore affect
          MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
          Numeric

F1dd.

IF R SAYS GORE HAS MADE R FEEL PROUD:

How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. Very Often
2. Fairly Often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F1d

M000415    F2a. Angry- Bush affect
            MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
            Numeric

F2a.

(Think about George W. Bush.) Has George W. Bush (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel:
ANGRY
---------------------------------------------------------------------

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
8. DK --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA

M000416    F2aa. Angry- how often Bush affect
            MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
            Numeric

F2aa.

IF R SAYS GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R ANGRY:

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F2a
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F2b. (Think about George W. Bush.) Has George W. Bush (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel:
HOPEFUL

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO  --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization

F2bb. IF R SAYS GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R HOPEFUL:

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F26

F2c. (Think about George W. Bush.) Has George W. Bush (because of the kind of person he is, or because of
something he has done --) ever made you feel: AFRAID

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
8. DK --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA

M000420   F2cc. Afraid- how often Bush affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F2cc.

IF R SAYS GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F2c

M000421   F2d. Proud- Bush affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F2d.

(Think about George W. Bush.) Has George W. Bush (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel: PROUD

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre-survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO  --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
8. DK  --> SKIP to next F2 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA

M000422    F2dd. Proud- how often Bush affect
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F2dd.

IF R SAYS GEORGE W. BUSH HAS MADE R PROUD:

How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F2d

M000423    F3a. Angry- Buchanan affect
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F3a.

(Think about Pat Buchanan.) Has Pat Buchanan (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel: ANGRY
---------------------------------------------------------------------

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized. Buchanan affects were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. Yes
5. No  --> Next F3 In Randomization
8. DK  --> SKIP to next F3 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, question dropped

============================================
M000424  F3aa. Angry- how often Buchanan affect
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F3aa.

IF R SAYS BUCHANAN HAS MADE R ANGRY:

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F3a

============================================
M000425  F3b. Hopeful- Buchanan affect
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F3b.

(Think about Pat Buchanan.) Has Pat Buchanan (because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done --) ever made you feel:

HOPEFUL

============================================

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects is also randomized.
Buchanan affects were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
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the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. Yes
5. No   --> Next F3 In Randomization

8. DK   --> SKIP to next F3 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, question dropped

====================================
M000426   F3bb. Hopeful- how often Buchanan affect
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F3bb.

IF R SAYS BUCHANAN HAS MADE R HOPEFUL:
How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very
often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F3b

====================================
M000427   F3c. Afraid- Buchanan affect
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F3c.

(Think about Pat Buchanan.) Has Pat Buchanan (because
of the kind of person he is, or because of
something he has done --) ever made you feel:
AFRAID

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore
F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is
randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects
is also randomized.
Buchanan affects were dropped during the field period
(09/28/00).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
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variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. Yes
5. No --> Next F3 In Randomization
8. DK --> SKIP to next F3 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, question dropped

M000428    F3cc. Afraid- how often Buchanan affect
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric
F3cc.

IF R SAYS BUCHANAN HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid-- very
often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F3c

M000429    F3d. Proud- Buchanan affect
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric
F3d.

(Think about Pat Buchanan.) Has Pat Buchanan (because
of the kind of person he is, or because of
something he has done --) ever made you feel:
PROUD
---------------------------------------------------------------------

The order of Presidential candidate affects series (Gore
F1 series, GW Bush F2 series, and Buchanan F3 series) is
randomized; for each candidate, the order of affects
is also randomized.
Buchanan affects were dropped during the field period
(09/28/00).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].
5. No --> Next F3 In Randomization
8. DK --> SKIP to next F3 in randomization
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, question dropped

M000430  F3dd. Proud- how often Buchanan affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

IF R SAYS BUCHANAN HAS MADE R PROUD:

How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. Very often
2. Fairly often
3. Occasionally
4. Rarely
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in F3d

M000431  F6(1). #1 most important problem
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 991
Numeric

What do you think are the most important problems facing this country?

MENTION 1 - MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY? UNTIL R SAYS NONE]
A half sample of Rs were randomly selected for administration of the 'most important problem' questions F6-F7. See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code. Codes 001-899 and:
990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere
991. "There were no issues"; "no issues, just party politics"
992. "There was no campaign in my district"
995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. Inap, R not selected for half-sample administration (see Pre-Admin.2b, P000005b=0)
M000432    F6(2). #2 most important problem
MD:   EQ 0
Numeric

F6(2).

What do you think are the most important problems facing this country?

MENTION 2 - MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY? UNTIL R SAYS NONE]
See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.
Codes 001-899 and:

990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere

000. INAP, 000, 991-999 in F6(1); no further mention

====================================

M000433    F6(3). #3 most important problem
MD:   EQ 0
Numeric

F6(3).

What do you think are the most important problems facing this country?

MENTION 3 - MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY? UNTIL R SAYS NONE]
See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.
Codes 001-899 and:

990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere

000. INAP, 000, 991-999 in F6(1); no further mention

====================================

M000434    F6(4). #4 most important problem
MD:   EQ 0
Numeric

F6(4).

What do you think are the most important problems facing this country?

MENTION 4 - MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY? UNTIL R SAYS NONE]
See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

Codes 001-899 and:

990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere
000. INAP, 000, 991-999 in F6(1); no further mention

=================================
M000435    F6x. Checkpoint for # of mentions
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

F6x.

CHECKPOINT: NUMBER OF IMPORTANT PROBLEMS MENTIONED BY R

[IF UNSURE WHETHER ONE PROBLEM OR MORE THAN ONE PROBLEM, CODE
MORE THAN 1.]
A half sample of Rs were randomly selected for administration of
the 'most important problem' questions F6-F7.

0. No problem mentioned (000, 991-999 in F6(1))
1. One problem mentioned
2. Two or more problems mentioned
9. R not selected for administration of F6-F7

=================================
M000436    F7. Choice - most important problem
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 998
Numeric

F7.

IF R HAS MADE 2 OR MORE MENTIONS OF 'MOST IMPORTANT' PROBLEM:

Of those you've mentioned, what would you say is the
single most important problem the country faces?

A half sample of Rs were randomly selected for administration of
the 'most important problem' questions F6-F7.
See MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM master code.
If R mentioned only 1 problem, that mention is duplicated here.
Codes 001-899 and:

990. Other specific mention not codeable elsewhere
998. DK
999. RF
000. Inap, R not selected for administration of F6-F7 (0 in F6x)

=================================
M000437    F8. Gov't performance on most imp problem
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F8.
IF R HAS MADE ANY MENTION OF 'MOST IMPORTANT' PROBLEM:

How good a job is the government in Washington doing in dealing with this problem -- a good job, only fair, or a poor job?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for administration of section F.

1. A GOOD JOB
3. ONLY FAIR
5. A POOR JOB

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R not selected for section F administration; 0,9 in F6x

M000438 F9. Party performance on most imp problem
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F9.

IF R HAS MADE ANY MENTION OF 'MOST IMPORTANT' PROBLEM:

Which political party do you think would be most likely to get the government to do a better job in dealing with this problem -- the Republicans, the Democrats, or wouldn't there be much difference between them?

A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected for administration of section F.

1. REPUBLICANS
3. DEMOCRATS
5. THERE WOULDN'T BE MUCH DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THEM
7. NEITHER PARTY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R not selected for section F administration; 0,9 in F6x

M000439 G1a. Self placement lib-con scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G1a.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't
you thought much about this?

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E). For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see Gla.T; for FTF (and telephone) branching format, see G6.E (P000442).

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL --> Skip to G2
2. LIBERAL --> Skip to G2
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL --> Skip to G2
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD --> G1b
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE --> Skip to G2
6. CONSERVATIVE --> Skip to G2
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE --> Skip to G2

8. DK --> G1b
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version
10. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE] --> G1b

-----------------------------
M000439a   G1a.T. Self placement lib-con scale phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G1a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).

For the FTF version of the 7-point scale, see Gla; for telephone (and FTF) branching format, see G6.E (P000442)

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL --> Skip to G2
2. LIBERAL --> Skip to G2
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL --> Skip to G2
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD --> G1b
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE --> Skip to G2
6. CONSERVATIVE --> Skip to G2
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE --> Skip to G2

8. DK --> G1b
IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SELF-PLACEMENT
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from G1a and G1a.T.

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for branching version
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE]
8. DK
0. NA; INAP, 1-3, 5-7 or RF/NA in G1a or G1a.T; R selected for branching version

==============================
M000441a   G1bx. Summary: comb FTF/phone-lib-con
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

G1bx.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

TELEPHONE:
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

SUMMARY:  3-CATEGORY LIB-CON SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT-SCALE SERIES:
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from G1ax and G1b.
This is the traditional summary used in 7-pt scale FTF administration in previous years.
Rencode values used for each category appear in the order: G1a,G1b.

1. Liberal  (1/2/3,0; 0/4/8,1)
3. Moderate  (0/4/8,5; 4,7/8/9/NA)
5. Conservative  (5/6/7,0; 0/4/8,3)
7. Refused to choose  (0/8,7)
8. DK  (0/8,8)
9. NA/RF to entire question series  (RF/NA,0)
0. NA to follow up question G4aa  (0/8,9/NA)

==============================
M000442    G6.E. Self placement lib-con branching
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

G6.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives.
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or
haven't you thought much about this?

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.
See also: G1a and G1a.T (P000439 and P000439a).
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E)

1. A LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G6b.E
2. A CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G6a.E
3. A MODERATE --> SKIP TO G6a.E
4. NEITHER [VOL] --> SKIP TO G6a.E
5. DK --> SKIP TO G6a.E
6. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version
7. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE] --> SKIP TO G6a.E

=================================================================
M000443 G6a.E. Had to choose lib-con branching
 MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

G6a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF SELF-PLACEMENT IS DK/NEITHER/MODERATE/NO THOUGHT:

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

=================================================================
1. LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G7.E
2. CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G7.E
3. MODERATE[VOL] --> SKIP TO G7.E
4. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE [VOL] --> SKIP TO G7.E
5. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO G7.E
6. RF
7. NA; INAP, 1,3,9 in G6.E

=================================================================
M000444 G6b.E. R strong liberal or not
 MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

G6b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF SELF-PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:

Would you call yourself a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?

=================================================================
1. STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G7.E
2. NOT STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G7.E
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5. NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G7.E
8. DK --> SKIP TO G7.E
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,7,8,9,0 in G6.E

===============================================
M000445  G6c.E. R strong conservative or not
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G6c.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF SELF-PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call yourself a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

===============================================
1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE
5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,7,8,9,0 in G6.E

===============================================
M000446  G6x1. Summary self plcmnt lib-con scale/brnch
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G6x1.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
7-POINT SCALE PHONE: When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE):
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
Would you call yourself a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?
Would you call yourself a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

COMBINED 7-POINT LIB-CON SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCHING
Page 176
Built from:
- 7-pt scale data in Glax, Glb.

NOTE: To make 7pt scale data comparable with the construction of a 7-pt summary of branching data, both the 7pt-scale data in Glax and the "had to choose" data in Glb were used for constructing this summary. For both 7pt-scale and branching cases, Rs who answered moderate (4 in 7pt-scale) or DK or 'haven't thought much' (branching: or 'neither') were asked for the "had to choose" selection of liberal or conservative. Branching: If R selected liberal or conservative but strength followup was missing data (typically: DK) then 'not strong' has been coded in G6x1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: not strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3; had to choose liberal / BRANCHING: had to choose liberal
4. SCALE: 4; had to choose moderate/ BRANCHING: had to choose moderate
5. SCALE: 5; had to choose conserv/ BRANCHING: had to choose conserv
6. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: not strong conservative
7. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: strong conservative
8. DK
9. R refuses to choose
0. NA

G6x1a. 7pt lib-con summary - FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

IF FTF MODE:
BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

BRANCHING (FTF):
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative? Would you call yourself a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal? Would you call yourself a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

This is the the equivalent of G6x1 for FTF cases only, summarizing FTF data from both 7-pt scale and branching formats.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: not strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3; had to choose liberal / BRANCHING: had to choose liberal
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4. SCALE: 4; had to choose moderate/ BRANCHING: had to choose moderate
5. SCALE: 5; had to choose conserv/ BRANCHING: had to choose conserv
6. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: not strong conservative
7. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: strong conservative
8. DK
9. R refuses to choose
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

M000446b  G6x1b. 7pt lib-con summary - PHONE
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G6x1b.

IF PHONE MODE:

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE PHONE: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
BRANCHING (PHONE):
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
Would you call yourself a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?
Would you call yourself a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

This is the the equivalent of G6x1 for phone cases only, summarizing telephone data from both 7-pt scale and branching formats.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: not strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3; had to choose liberal / BRANCHING: had to choose liberal
4. SCALE: 4; had to choose moderate/ BRANCHING: had to choose moderate
5. SCALE: 5; had to choose conserv/ BRANCHING: had to choose conserv
6. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: not strong conservative
7. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: strong conservative
8. DK
9. R refuses to choose
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

M000447  G6x2. Comb.7pt & branching summ
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G6x2.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:
7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

7-POINT SCALE PHONE: When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal; moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?

7PT FTF AND PHONE: If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE):
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?
Would you call yourself a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

SUMMARY: 3-CATEGORY LIB-CON SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT/BRANCHING

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Collapsed from G6x1.

1. Liberal (1-3 in G6x1)
3. Moderate (4 in G6x1)
5. Conservative (5-7 in G6x1)
7. Refused to choose (9 in G6x1)

8. DK (8 in G6x1)
0. NA (0 in G6x1)

-----------------------------
M000448 G2. Clinton placement lib-con scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G2.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place Bill Clinton on this scale?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E). For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see G2.T.; for branching format, see G7.E (P000450).

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version

M000448a  G2.T. Clinton plcmnt lib-con scale phone
  MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G2.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:
What about Bill Clinton?
Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.

FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E). For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see G2.T.; for branching format, see G7.E (P000450).

Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E). For the FTF version of the 7-point scale, see G2; for branching format, see G7.E (P000450).

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version

M000449    G2x. Combined FTF/ph Clinton lib-con
  MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G2x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place Bill Clinton on this scale?
TELEPHONE:
What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIB-CON CLINTON PLACEMENT
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from G2/G2.T.
1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for branching version

-----------------------------
M000450    G7.E. Clinton placement lib-con branch
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G7.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Bill Clinton?
Is Bill Clinton a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E)

1. LIBERAL       --> G7a.E
2. CONSERVATIVE  --> SKIP TO G7b.E

9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

-----------------------------
M000451    G7a.E. Clinton strong liberal or not
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G7a.E.
IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

IF CLINTON PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:

Would you call Bill Clinton a strong liberal or a not
very strong liberal?

9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2,3,8,9,0 in G7.E

M000452 G7b.E. Clinton strong conservative or not
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G7b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

IF CLINTON PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call Bill Clinton a strong conservative or a
not very strong conservative?

1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE
5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in G7.E

M000453 G7x1.E. 5-pt br summary Clinton lib-con
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G7x1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Bill Clinton? Is Bill Clinton a liberal, a
conservative, or a moderate?
Would you call Bill Clinton a strong liberal/
conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

SUMMARY: 5PT LIB-CON CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from G7.E/G7a.E/G7b.E.
5. Strong conservative

8. DK in G7.E
9. RF in G7.E
0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

=================================
M000454    G7x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Clinton lib-con
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G7x2.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place Bill Clinton on this scale?
7-POINT SCALE PHONE: What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?
BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE): What about Bill Clinton? Is Bill Clinton a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?
Would you call Bill Clinton a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

COMBINED 5-PT LIB-CON CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCHING
=================================

Built from G2x and G7x1.E

1. SCALE: 1 ; BRANCHING: Strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Moderate
4. SCALE: 6 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong conservative
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Strong conservative

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

=================================
M000455    G3. Gore placement lib-con scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G3.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore on this scale?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.
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FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E). For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see G3.T; for branching version, see G8.E (P000459). The order in which G3, G4, and G5 were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES. CAND OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version

M000455a G3.T. Gore placement lib-con scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G3.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore?
(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).
For the FTF version of the 7-point scale, see G3; for branching version, see G8.E (P000459). The order in which G3.T, G4.T, and G5.T were administered was randomized.

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
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6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES. CAND OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version

==============================
M000456 G3x. Combined FTF/ph Gore lib-con scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G3x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

SUMMARY: COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIB-CON GORE PLACEMENT
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from G3/G3.T

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for branching version

==============================
M000457 G3a. Gore-certain lib-con placement FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G3a.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GORE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTF:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
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5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 8,9,0 in G3

==============================
M000458 G3a.T. Gore-certain lib-con plcmt phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G3a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GORE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

TELEPHONE:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 8,9,0 in G3.T

==============================
M000458a G3ax.T. Combined - certain Gore lib-con placement
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G3ax.T.

IF GORE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTF:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

TELEPHONE:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT SCALE CERTAINTY - GORE PLACEMENT
---------------------------------------------------------------------
This combines data from G3a and G3a.T.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9, NA in G3/G3.T; R selected for branching format
M000459    G8.E. Gore placement lib-con branch
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G8.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Al Gore?
(Is Al Gore a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a
general description of the administration of liberal-
conservative placements.
See also G3 and G3.T (P000455 and P000455a).
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered
the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format
(G6.E-G10.E)
The order in which G8.E, G9.E, and G10.E were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. LIBERAL    --> G8a.E
2. CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G8b.E
3. MODERATE    --> SKIP TO G8c.E

8. DON'T KNOW  --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

M000460    G8a.E. Gore strong liberal or not
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G8a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

IF GORE PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:

Would you call Al Gore a strong liberal or a not very
strong liberal?

1. STRONG LIBERAL    --> SKIP TO G8c.E
5. NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G8c.E

8. DON'T KNOW  --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2,3,8,9,0 in G8.E
G8b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GORE PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call Al Gore a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> G8c.E
5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> G8c.E
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in G8.E

G8x1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Al Gore? (Is Al Gore a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?) Would you call Al Gore a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

SUMMARY: 5PT LIB-CON GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES


1. Strong liberal
2. Not very strong liberal
3. Moderate
4. Not very strong conservative
5. Strong conservative
8. DK in G8.E
9. RF in G8.E
0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

G8x2.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:
7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place Al Gore on this scale?
7-POINT SCALE PHONE: What about Al Gore? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)
BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE:)
What about Al Gore? (Is Al Gore a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
Would you call Al Gore a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

COMBINED 5-POINT LIB-CON GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Branching</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Strong liberal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Not very strong liberal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, 4, 5</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Not very strong conservative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Strong conservative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>RF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

M000464 G8c.E. Gore-certain lib-con placement br
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G8c.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GORE PLACEMENT IS MODERATE/
IF STRENGTH OF LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Certainty</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very certain</td>
<td>NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretty certain</td>
<td>NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not very certain</td>
<td>NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK</td>
<td>NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G8.E; 8,9,or NA in G8a.E/G8b.E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

M000464a G8cx 7pt/branching summary Gore crtn l-c
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G8cx.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

COMBINED LIB-CON CERTAINTY - GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT/BRANCHING

Built from G3ax.T and G8c.E.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G3/G3.T/G8.E; 8,9,or NA in G8a.E/G8b.E

M000465 G4. Bush placement lib-con scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G4.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush on this scale?

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements. FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E). For the telephone version of the 7-point scale, see G4.T; for branching format see G9.E (P000469). The order in which G3, G4, and G5 were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version

--> SKIP TO NEXT PRES CAND OR G11
M000465a  G4.T. Bush placement lib-con scale phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G4.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush?
(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E). For the FTF version of the 7-point scale, see G4; for branching format see G9.E (P000469).
The order in which G3.T, G4.T, and G5.T were administered was randomized.

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK  --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES CAND OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version

G4x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:  Where would you place George W. Bush on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)
SUMMARY: COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIB-CON GW BUSH PLACEMENT

Built from G4/G4.T

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for branching version

G4a.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTF:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 8,9,0 in G4

G4a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

TELEPHONE:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
8. DK  --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 8,9,0 in G4.T

=============
M000468a  G4ax.T. Combined - certain GW Bush lib-con placement
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G4ax.T.

IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTF:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

TELEPHONE:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT SCALE CERTAINTY - GW BUSH PLACEMENT

This combines data from G4a and G4a.T.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in G4/G4.T; R selected for branching format

=============
M000469  G9.E. Bush placement lib-con branch
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G9.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about George W. Bush?
(Is George W. Bush a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements. See also G4 and G4.T (P000465 and P000465a).
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E)
The order in which G8.E, G9.E, and G10.E were administered was randomized.
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Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. LIBERAL --> G9a.E
2. CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G9b.E
3. MODERATE --> SKIP TO G9c.E
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected

for 7-point scale version

M000470  G9a.E. Bush strong liberal or not
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G9a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES: IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:

Would you call George W. Bush a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?

1. STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G9c.E
5. NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G9c.E
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2,3,8,9,0 in G9.E

M000471  G9b.E. Bush strong conservative or not
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G9b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES: IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call George W. Bush a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G9c.E
5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> SKIP TO G9c.E
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in G9.E
M000472  G9x1.E. 5-pt br summary Bush lib-con
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G9x1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about George W. Bush? (Is George W. Bush a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
Would you call George W. Bush a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

SUMMARY: 5PT LIB-CON GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Strong liberal
2. Not very strong liberal
3. Moderate
4. Not very strong conservative
5. Strong conservative
8. DK in G9.E
9. RF in G9.E
0. NA; TNAF, R selected for 7-point scale version

M000473  G9x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush lib-con
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G9x2.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush on this scale?
7-POINT SCALE PHONE: What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)
BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE;)
What about George W. Bush? (Is George W. Bush a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
Would you call George W. Bush a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

COMBINED 5-POINT LIB-CON GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCH
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from G4x and G9x1.E
1. SCALE: 1 ; BRANCHING: Strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Moderate
4. SCALE: 6 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong conservative
Page 195
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Strong conservative

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

--------------------
M000474  G9c.E. Bush-certain lib-con placement br
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G9c.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS MODERATE/
IF STRENGTH OF LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. VERY CERTAIN  --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G9.E; 8,9 or NA in G9a.E/G9b.E

--------------------
M000474a  G9cx 7pt/branching summary Bush crtn l-c
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G9cx.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty
certain or not very certain?

COMBINED LIB-CON CERTAINTY - GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT/BRANCHING

---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from G4ax.T and G9c.E.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in G4/G4.T/G9.E; 8,9, or NA in G9a.E/G9b.E

--------------------
M000475  G5. Buchanan placement lib-con scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
G5.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place Pat Buchanan on this scale?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a
general description of the administration of liberal-
conservative placements.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered
the 7-point scale (G1-G5) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E).
For the telephone version of the 7-point scale version
see G5.T; for branching format see G10.E (P000479).
The order in which G3, G4, and G5 were administered was
randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES CAND OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; R selected for branching version

==============================================
M000475a   G5.T. Buchan plcmnt lib-con scale phon
            MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
            Numeric

G5.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

TELEPHONE:
What about Pat Buchanan?
(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly
liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly
conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)
--------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']
See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a
general description of the administration of liberal-
conservative placements.
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

administered the 7-point scale (G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E)
For the FTF version of the 7-point scale version see G5; for branching format see G10.E (P000479).
The order in which G3.T, G4.T, and G5.T were administered was randomized.

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> SKIP TO NEXT PRES CAND OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; R selected for branching version

==============================
M000476  G5x. Combined FTF/ph Buchan lib-con scl
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G5x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

FTF:
Where would you place Pat Buchanan on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about Pat Buchanan? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

SUMMARY: COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT-SCALE LIB-CON BUCHANAN PLACEMENT

Built from G5/G5.T

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for branching version

==============================
M000477  G5a. Buchan-crtn lib-con plcemnt FTF
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric
G5a.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

FTF:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 8,9,0 in G5

-----------------------------
M000478   G5a.T. Buchan-crtn lib-con plcemnt phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G5a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR 7-POINT SCALE VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

TELEPHONE:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 8,9,0 in G5.T

-----------------------------
M000478a  G5ax.T. Combined - certain Buchanan lib-con placement
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G5ax.T.

FTF:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

TELEPHONE:
How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

COMBINED FTF/PHONE 7PT SCALE CERTAINTY - BUCHANAN PLACEMENT

Page 199
This combines data from G5a and G5a.T.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in G5/G4.T; R selected for branching format

=================================
M000479     G10.E. Buchanan placement lib-con branch
   MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
   Numeric

G10.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Pat Buchanan?
(Is Pat Buchanan a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)

[DO NOT PROBE 'DK']

See Form description item Pre-Admin.2d (P000005e) for a general description of the administration of liberal-conservative placements.
See also G5 and G5.T (P000475 and P000475a).
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the 7-point scale (G1-G5/G1.T-G5.T) or branching format (G6.E-G10.E)
The order in which G8.E, G9.E, and G10.E were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. LIBERAL  --> G10a.E
2. CONSERVATIVE  --> SKIP TO G10b.E
3. MODERATE  --> SKIP TO G10c.E
8. DON'T KNOW  --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

=================================
M000480     G10a.E. Buchanan strong liberal or not
   MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
   Numeric

G10a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS LIBERAL:

Would you call Pat Buchanan a strong liberal or a not very strong liberal?

1. STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G10c.E
5. NOT VERY STRONG LIBERAL --> SKIP TO G10c.E

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2,3,8,9,0 in G10.E

M000481 G10b.E. Buchan strong conserv or not
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G10b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call Pat Buchanan a strong conservative or a not very strong conservative?

1. STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> G10c.E
5. NOT VERY STRONG CONSERVATIVE --> G10c.E

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO NEXT NAME IN RANDOMIZATION
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9,0 in G10.E

M000482 G10x1.E. 5-pt br summary Buchan lib-con
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G10x1.E.

IF R SELECTED BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:

What about Pat Buchanan? (Is Pat Buchanan a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)

Would you call Pat Buchanan a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

SUMMARY: 5PT LIB-CON BUCHANAN PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES


1. Strong liberal
2. Not very strong liberal
3. Moderate
4. Not very strong conservative
5. Strong conservative
8. DK in G10.E
9. RF in G10.E
0. NA; INAP, R selected for 7-point scale version

==============================================
M000483 G10x2. Comb.7pt/br summ Buchan lib-con
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G10x2.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

7-POINT SCALE FTF: Where would you place Pat Buchanan on this scale?
7-POINT SCALE PHONE: What about Pat Buchanan? (Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)
BRANCHING (FTF AND PHONE) What about Pat Buchanan? (Is Pat Buchanan a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?)
Would you call Pat Buchanan a strong liberal/conservative or a not very strong liberal/conservative?

COMBINED 5PT LIB-CON GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCH
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from G5x and G10x1.E

1. SCALE: 1 ; BRANCHING: Strong liberal
2. SCALE: 2 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong liberal
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Moderate
4. SCALE: 6 ; BRANCHING: Not very strong conservative
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Strong conservative

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

==============================================
M000484 G10c.E. Certain-Buchan plcmt lib-con br
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G10c.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR BRANCHING VERSION OF LIB-CON SERIES:
IF BUCHANAN PLACEMENT IS MODERATE/
IF STRENGTH OF LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

---------------------------------------------------------------

1. VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
3. PRETTY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE OR G11
M000484a G10cx. 7pt/branching summ Buchan l-c crt
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G10cx.

BOTH 7-POINT SCALE AND BRANCHING LIB-CON SERIES:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

COMBINED LIB-CON CERTAINTY - GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT/BRANCHING

Built from G5ax.T and G10c.E.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

M000485 G11. Who does R think will be elected
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11.

Who do you think will be elected President in November?

1. AL GORE
2. GEORGE W. BUSH
3. PAT BUCHANAN
4. RALPH NADER
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]

8. DK --> SKIP to G11b
9. RF
0. NA

M000486 G11a. Race close or not- cand named
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11a.

IF R NAMES PRES. CANDIDATE WHO R THINKS WILL WIN ELECTION:

Do you think the Presidential race will be close or will
[NAME GIVEN IN G11] win by quite a bit?

1. WILL BE CLOSE --> SKIP to H1 or H1.E
5. WIN BY QUITE A BIT --> SKIP to H1 or H1.E

8. DK --> SKIP to H1 or H1.E
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in G11

G11b.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE DOESN'T KNOW WHO WILL WIN THE PRES. ELECTION:

Do you think the Presidential race will be close or will one candidate win by quite a bit?

1. WILL BE CLOSE
5. WIN BY QUITE A BIT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1-7 in G11

H1.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR the nation's economy has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H1 or H1.E

1. GOTTEN BETTER --> SKIP TO H1a
3. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H2
5. GOTTEN WORSE --> SKIP TO H1b

8. DK --> SKIP TO H2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H1.E

H1.E.
Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR the nation's economy has gotten worse, stayed about the same, or gotten better?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H1 or H1.E

1. GOTTEN WORSE. --> SKIP TO H1b
3. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H2
5. GOTTEN BETTER --> SKIP TO H1a
8. DK --> SKIP TO H2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H1.

H1a. How much better US econ past year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO H2
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO H2
8. DK --> SKIP TO H2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9 or NA in H1; 1,3,8,9 or NA in H1.E

H1b. How much worse US econ last year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

1. SOMEWHAT WORSE
5. MUCH WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
H1x.

STANDARD VERSION:
Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR the nation's economy has gotten worse, stayed about the same, or gotten better?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR the nation's economy has gotten worse, stayed about the same, or gotten better?

BOTH VERSIONS: Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

COMBINED VERSION SUMMARY: ECONOMY IN LAST YEAR

Built from H1/H1.E and H1a,H1b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK in H1/H1.E or H1a/b
9. RF in H1/H1.E or H1a/b
0. NA

H2.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, it has gotten harder for people across the country to find enough work, stayed about the same, or gotten easier for people to find enough work?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H2 or H2.E

1. GOTTEN HARDER --> SKIP TO H2a
3. STAYED THE SAME --> SKIP TO H4
5. GOTTEN EASIER --> SKIP TO H2b
Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, it has gotten
easier for people across the country to find enough
work, stayed about the same, or gotten harder for people
to find enough work?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H2
or H2.E

1. GOTTEN EASIER --> SKIP TO H2b
3. ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H4
5. GOTTEN HARDER

8. DK --> SKIP TO H4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H2

H2a.

IF R SAYS IT HAS GOTTEN HARDER TO FIND WORK IN THE LAST YEAR:

Much harder or a little harder?

1. MUCH HARDER --> H4
5. A LITTLE HARDER --> H4

8. DK --> H4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9 or NA in H2; 1,3,8,9 or NA in H2.E

H2b.

IF R SAYS IT HAS GOTTEN EASIER TO FIND WORK IN THE LAST YEAR:

Much easier or a little easier?
M000495   H2x. Summary employ opps in last year
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H2x.

STANDARD VERSION:
Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, it has gotten harder for people across the country to find enough work, stayed about the same, or gotten easier for people to find enough work?
EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR, it has gotten easier for people across the country to find enough work, stayed about the same, or gotten harder for people to find enough work?
BOTH VERSIONS: Much harder/easier or a little harder/easier?

COMBINED VERSION SUMMARY: EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES IN LAST YEAR
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from H2/H2.E and H2a,H2b.

1. Much harder
2. Somewhat harder
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat easier
5. Much easier
8. DK in H2/H2.E or H2a/b
9. RF in H2/H2.E or H2a/b
0. NA

M000496a   H4. US econ btr/worse in next year stan
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H4.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:
What about THE NEXT 12 MONTHS? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get better, stay about the same, or get worse?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H4
or H4.E

1. GET BETTER        --> SKIP TO H4a
3. STAY ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H5
5. GET WORSE         --> SKIP TO H4b

8. DK                --> SKIP TO H5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H4.E

===============================================
M000496b   H4.E. US econ bttr/worse in next year ex
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H4.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

What about THE NEXT 12 MONTHS? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get worse, stay about the same, or get better?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H4 or H4.E

1. GET WORSE        --> SKIP TO H4b
3. STAY ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO H5
5. GET BETTER

8. DK                --> SKIP TO H5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H4

===============================================
M000497    H4a. How much better US econ in nxt year
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H4a.

IF R EXPECTS ECONOMY TO GET BETTER IN THE NEXT 12 MONTHS:

Much better or somewhat better?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. MUCH BETTER       --> SKIP TO H5
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER   --> SKIP TO H5

8. DK                --> SKIP TO H5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9 or NA in H4; 1,3,8,9 or NA in H4.E

===============================================
M000498    H4b. How much worse US econ in nxt year
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric
H4b.

IF R EXPECTS ECONOMY TO GET WORSE IN THE NEXT 12 MONTHS:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,8,9 or NA in H4; 3,5,8,9 or NA in H4.E

M000499    H4x. Summary US econ in next year
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H4x.

STANDARD VERSION:
What about THE NEXT 12 MONTHS? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get better, stay about the same, or get worse?
EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
What about THE NEXT 12 MONTHS? Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get worse, stay about the same, or get better?

SUMMARY: ECONOMY IN NEXT 12 MONTHS

Built from H4/H4.E and H4a,H4b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK in H4/H4.E or Ha/b
9. RF in H4/H4.E or Ha/b
0. NA

M000500    H5.  Approve/dissap Clinton w/economy
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H5.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling the economy?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE   --> SKIP to H5b
8. DK --> SKIP to H6
9. RF
0. NA

M000501  H5a. How much approve Clinton w/economy
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H5a.

IF R APPROVES OF CLINTON'S HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:

Do you approve strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY --> SKIP to H7
5. NOT STRONGLY --> SKIP to H7
8. DK --> SKIP to H7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in H5

M000502  H5b. How much dissprv Clinton w/economy
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H5b.

IF R DISAPPROVES OF CLINTON'S HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:

Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in H5

M000503  H5x. Summary Clinton w/economy
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H5x.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling the economy?
Do you approve/disapprove strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: APPROVAL OF CLINTON JOB AS PRESIDENT

Built from H5 and H5a/H5b.
1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly
8. DK in H5 or H5a/b
9. RF in H5 or H5a/b
0. NA

M000504    H6. Is R invested in stock market
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Do you personally, or jointly with a spouse, have any money invested in the stock market right now -- either in an individual stock or in a mutual fund?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

M000505    H7. Which party R thinks best to handle econ
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Which party do you think would do a better job of handling the nation's economy..., the Democrats, the Republicans, or wouldn't there be much difference between them?

[IF 'DK' OR 'NEITHER PARTY' IS VOLUNTEERED, DO NOT PROBE]

1. DEMOCRATS
3. REPUBLICANS
5. WOULDN'T BE MUCH DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THEM/NO DIFFERENCE
7. NEITHER PARTY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

M000506    H8. Which party R thinks would avoid war
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Page 212
Looking ahead, do you think the problem of keeping out of war would be handled better IN THE NEXT FOUR YEARS by the Democrats, the Republicans, or about the same by both?

[IF 'DK' OR 'NEITHER PARTY' IS VOLUNTEERED, DO NOT PROBE]

1. DEMOCRATS
3. REPUBLICANS
5. ABOUT THE SAME BY BOTH
7. NEITHER PARTY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

M000507  H9. Us position in world weaker/stronger
 MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

H9.

Turning to some other types of issues facing the country. During the past year, would you say that the United States' position in the world has grown weaker, stayed about the same, or has it grown stronger?

1. WEAKER
3. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME
5. STRONGER

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

M000508  H10. Increase/decrease immigration
 MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

H10.

Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the United States to live should be increased, decreased, or left the same as it is now?

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. LEFT THE SAME AS IT IS NOW  --> SKIP TO H11 OR H11.E

8. DON'T KNOW  --> SKIP TO H11 OR H11.E
9. RF
0. NA
M000509    H10a. Inc/dec immigratn little or a lot
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H10a.

IF R THINKS NUMBER OF IMMIGRANTS SHOULD BE INCREASED/
IF R THINKS NUMBER OF IMMIGRANTS SHOULD BE DECREASED:

A little or a lot?

1. A LITTLE
5. A LOT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in H10

M000510    H10x. Summary immigration level
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H10x.

Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign
countries who are permitted to come to the United States
to live should be increased, decreased, or left the same
as it is now?
A little or a lot?

SUMMARY: IMMIGRATION LEVEL

Built from H10 and H10a.

1. Increased a lot
2. Increased a little
3. Left the same
4. Decreased a little
5. Decreased a lot
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in H10

M000511a   H11. Favor/oppose import limits stan
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H11.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign
imports in order to protect American jobs. Others
say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports, or haven't you thought much about this?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H11 or H11.E

1. FAVOR --> SKIP TO H12
5. OPPOSE --> SKIP TO H12
7. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> SKIP TO H12

8. DK --> SKIP TO H12
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H11.E

M000511b  H11.E. Favor/opp import limits ex
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H11.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H11 or H11.E

1. FAVOR
5. OPPOSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H11

M000512  H11x. Combined versions import limits
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 7
Numeric

H11x.

STANDARD VERSION:
Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports, or haven't you thought much about this?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports, or haven't you thought much about this?
imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports?

COMBINED VERSION SUMMARY: IMPORT LIMITS
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from H11 and H11.E

1. Favor
5. Oppose

7. Haven't thought much (H11 only)
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

H12.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Do you agree or disagree with this statement:
This country would be better off if we just stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems in other parts of the world.

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H12 or H12.E

1. AGREE --> SKIP to H13
5. DISAGREE --> SKIP to H13
8. DK --> SKIP to H13
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H12.E

H12.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Do you think this country would be better off if we just stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems in other parts of the world, or do you think that this country would be better off trying to solve some problems in other parts of the world?
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered H12 or H12.E

1. BETTER OFF IF WE JUST STAYED HOME
5. BETTER OFF TRYING TO SOLVE SOME PROBLEMS

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for H12

H12x.

STANDARD VERSION:
Do you agree or disagree with this statement:
This country would be better off if we just stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems in other parts of the world.

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Do you think this country would be better off if we just stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems in other parts of the world, or do you think that this country would be better off trying to solve some problems in other parts of the world?

COMBINED VERSION SUMMARY: ISOLATIONISM

Built from H12 and H12.E

1. Agree/ Better off to stay home
5. Disagree/ Better off trying to solve problems

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

H13.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling our relations with foreign countries?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE --> SKIP to H13b

8. DK --> SKIP to K1
9. RF
0. NA
H13a.

IF R APPROVES CLINTON'S HANDLING OF FOREIGN RELATIONS:

Do you approve strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY --> SKIP TO K1
5. NOT STRONGLY --> SKIP TO K1

8. DK --> SKIP TO K1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in H13

H13b.

IF R DISAPPROVES CLINTON'S HANDLING OF FOREIGN RELATIONS:

Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in H13

H13x.

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling our relations with foreign countries? Do you approve/disapprove strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: CLINTON HANDLING OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS

Built from H13 and H13a/b.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly
M000519  K1. Does R consider self Rep Dem or Ind
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K1.

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent, or what?

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN  --> SKIP TO K1b
3. INDEPENDENT --> SKIP TO K1c
4. OTHER PARTY [SPECIFY] --> SKIP TO K1c
5. NO PREFERENCE --> SKIP TO K1c

8. DK  --> SKIP TO K1c
9. RF
0. NA

M000520  K1a. Is R a strong Democrat or not
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K1a.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF A DEMOCRAT:

Would you call yourself a strong Democrat or a not very strong Democrat?

1. STRONG  --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
5. NOT VERY STRONG  --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4

8. DK  --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2-5, 8,9,0 in K1

M000521  K1b. Is R a strong Republican or not
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K1b.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF A REPUBLICAN:

Would you call yourself a strong Republican or a not very strong Republican?

1. STRONG  --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
5. NOT VERY STRONG   --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
8. DK                --> SKIP TO K2, K3, OR K4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,4,5,8,9,0 in K1

==============================
M000522 K1c. Is R closer to Rep or Dem Party
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K1c.

IF R'S PARTY PREFERENCE IS INDEPENDENT, NO PREFERENCE, OTHER, DK:

Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican Party or to the Democratic party?

1. CLOSER TO REPUBLICAN
3. NEITHER [VOL]
5. CLOSER TO DEMOCRATIC
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,9,0 in K1

==============================
M000523 K1x. Party ID summary
MD1: EQ 8,  MD2: GE 9
Numeric

K1x.

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent, or what?
Would you call yourself a strong Democrat/Republican or a not very strong Democrat/Republican?
Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican Party or to the Democratic party?

SUMMARY: PARTY ID

Built from K1, K1a/b, K1c.
Code 8 (apolitical) was used only if the respondent had a code of 5 (no preference) in K1 and a code of 3,8 or 9 in K1c AND also showed little or no interest in politics in response to the following survey questions: PRE question A1.(interest in campaigns), PRE question B1 (care about Congressional race outcome), POST question C1 (voted), POST question F5 (follow public affairs); respondents who showed an interest in politics were coded 3.

0. Strong Democrat (1,1,0 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
1. Weak Democrat (1,5/8/9,0 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
2. Independent-Democrat (3/4/5/8,0,5 in K1, K1a/b, K1c
3. Independent-Independent (3,0,3/8/9 in K1, K1a/b, K1c; 8,0,3 and 5,0,3/8/9 if not apolitical)
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4. Independent-Republican (3/4/5/8,0,1 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
5. Weak Republican (2,5/8/9,0 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
6. Strong Republican (2,1,0 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
7. Other; minor party; refuses to say (4,0,3/8/9 in K1, K1a/b, K1c)
8. Apolitical (8,0,3 or 5,0,3/8/9 in E6, E6a/b, E6c and no interest in politics
9. NA (8/9,0,0 in E6, E6a/b, E6c)

-----------------------------
M000524  K2a. Gore trait - moral
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric

K2a.

Think about Al Gore.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too
well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore
extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not
well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - MORAL
---------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were
administered was randomized. Within each series, the order
of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

-----------------------------
M000525  K2b. Gore trait - really cares
         MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
         Numeric

K2b.

Think about Al Gore.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too
Think about Al Gore. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - KNOWLEDGEABLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

M000526 K2c. Gore trait - knowledgeable
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K2c.
Think about Al Gore.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>′ describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

Think about Al Gore.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>′ describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - DISHONEST
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

==============================
M000529    K2f. Gore trait - intelligent
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K2f.

Think about Al Gore.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - INTELLIGENT
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
Think about Al Gore. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Al Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Gore extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

GORE TRAIT - OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

Think about George W. Bush. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George...
W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?)}

BUSH TRAIT - MORAL

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

==============================
M000532    K3b. Bush trait - really cares
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K3b.

Think about George W. Bush. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]})

BUSH TRAIT - REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
Think about George W. Bush.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George
W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or
not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - KNOWLEDGEABLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were
administered was randomized. Within each series, the order
of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

Think about George W. Bush.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George
W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or
Think about George W. Bush. 
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? 
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])
Think about George W. Bush. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUSH TRAIT - INTELLIGENT

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

Think about George W. Bush. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

M000536    K3f. Bush trait - intelligent
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K3f.

Think about George W. Bush. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe George W. Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]})
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

Think about Pat Buchanan.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - MORAL

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
Think about Pat Buchanan.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.
Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped

Think about Pat Buchanan.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]

BUCHANAN TRAIT - KNOWLEDGEABLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped

M000541   K4d. Buchanan trait - strong leader
           MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
           Numeric

K4d.

Think about Pat Buchanan.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
Think about Pat Buchanan. 
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>' describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? 
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - DISHONEST

The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were administered was randomized. Within each series, the order of the traits about that candidate was also randomized. Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped

-----------------------------
M000543    K4f. Buchanan trait - intelligent
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K4f.
Think about Pat Buchanan.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan
extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at
all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - INTELLIGENT

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were
administered was randomized. Within each series, the order
of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.
Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period
(09/28/00)

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped

M000544 K4g. Buchanan trait - out of touch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K4g.

Think about Pat Buchanan.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>'
describe Pat Buchanan extremely well, quite well, not
too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Buchanan
extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at
all?])

BUCHANAN TRAIT - OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
The order in which the 3 series K2, K3, and K4 were
administered was randomized. Within each series, the order
of the traits about that candidate was also randomized.
Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period
(09/28/00)
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; question dropped

====================================================
M000545  Lla. Self plcmnt-services/spend scl FTF
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Lla.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

For telephone (branching) version of this question see Lla.T.

1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES   --> Llb
2.                                           --> Llb
3.                                           --> Llb
4.                                           --> Llb
5.                                           --> Llb
6.                                           --> Llb
7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY MORE SERVICES     --> Llb
8. DK                                         --> Llb
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS            --> Llb

====================================================
M000546  Lla.T. Self plcmnt-services/spend scl phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Lla.T.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

For FTF (7-point scale) version of this question see Lla.

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING            --> Llal.T
5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING           --> L2.T
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7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL]  --> L1b.T

8. DK  --> L1b.T
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS  --> L1b.T

=========================================
M000547  L1a1.T. How much reduce serv/spend phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L1a1.T.

IF R THINKS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Should the government reduce services and spending a
great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?


1. A GREAT DEAL  --> L1b.T
5. ONLY SOME  --> L1b.T

8. DK  --> L1b.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1a.T

=========================================
M000548  L1a2.T. How much incr serv/spend phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L1a2.T.

IF R THINKS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Should the government increase services and spending a
great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?


1. A GREAT DEAL  --> L1b.T
5. ONLY SOME  --> L1b.T

8. DK  --> L1b.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1a.T

=========================================
M000549  L1ax1. 5-pt br summary of self on serv/spend
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 7
Numeric

L1ax1.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you
thought much about this?
Should the government reduce/increase services and
spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: SPT SERV/SPEND SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

---

Built from Lla.T and Llal.T/Lla2.T

1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. Reduce spending and services only some
3. Stay same as now (3 in Lla.T)
4. Increase spending and services only some
5. Increase spending and services a great deal
6. Haven't thought much
7. DK in Lla.T or Llal.T/Lla2.T
8. RF in Lla.T or Llal.T/Lla2.T
9. NA, INAP, DK/RF/NA in Lla.T; FTF mode

---

Llax2.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?
Should the government reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

COMBINED 5PT SERV/SPEND SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCH

---

Built from Lla and Llax1

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in Lla.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal
6. DK
7. RF; NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in Lla or Lla.T
8. Haven't thought much

---

Llb.
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Where would you place Bill Clinton on this issue?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: Lib was administered first, then Llc and Lld were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. Lle and Llf were administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the telephone version of this question see Llb.T

1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY
   FEWER SERVICES  --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR  --> L2
2. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR  --> L2
3. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR  --> L2
4. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR  --> L2
5. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR  --> L2
6. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR  --> L2
7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY
   MORE SERVICES  --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR  --> L2
8. DK  --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR  --> L2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

M000552   Llb.T. Clinton- serve/spend scale phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Llb.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: Llb.T was administered first, then Llc and Lld were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. Lle and Llf were administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the FTF version of this question, see Llb.

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING  --> Llb1.T
5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING  --> Llb2.T
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL]  --> NEXT NAME
8. DK  --> NEXT NAME
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

M000553   Llb1.T. Clinton- how much red srv/spd ph
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Llb1.T.
IF R THINKS CLINTON WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think he would like to) reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME
8. DK --> NEXT NAME
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1b.T

-----------------------------
M000554 L1b2.T. Clinton- how much inc srv/spd ph
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L1b2.T.

IF R THINKS CLINTON WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think he would like to) increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME
8. DK --> NEXT NAME
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1b.T

-----------------------------
M000555 L1bx1. 5-pt br summary Clinton srv/spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L1bx1.

TELEPHONE:
What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?
(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

-----------------------------
Built from L1b.T and L1b1.T/L1b2.T

1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
Where would you place Bill Clinton on this issue?

TELEPHONE:
What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending? (Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L1b and L1bx1

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1b.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1b or L1b.T

Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
FTF: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L1c.T
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY
   FEWER SERVICES    --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
2.                          --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
3.                          --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
4.                          --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
5.                          --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
6.                          --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY
   MORE SERVICES    --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
8. DK                  --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
9. RF                  --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

-----------------------------
M000558 Llc.T. Gore- serv/spend scale phone
      MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
      Numeric

Llc.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? (Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
PHONE: Llb.T was administered first, then Llc and Lld were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. Lle and Llf were administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the FTF version of this question, see Llc.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING    --> Llc1.T
5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING   --> Llc2.T
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L2.T
8. DK                                      --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L2.T
9. RF                                      --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L2.T
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

-----------------------------
M000559 Llc1.T. Gore- how much red serv/spend ph
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L1c1.T.

IF R THINKS GORE WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think he would like to) reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2.T
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2.T
8. DK --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in L1c.T

L1c2.T.

IF R THINKS GORE WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think he would like to) increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2.T
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2.T
8. DK --> NEXT NAME / PARTY OR --> L2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1, 7, 8, 9, 0 in L1c.T

L1cx1.

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? (Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)
(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
Built from L1c.T and L1c1.T/L1c2.T

1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. Reduce spending and services only some
3. Stay same as now (3 in L1c.T)
4. Increase spending and services only some
5. Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK in L1c.T or L1c1.T/L1c2.T
9. RF in L1c.T or L1c1.T/L1c2.T
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1c.T; FTF mode

==============================
M000562    L1cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore serv/spend
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L1cx2.

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? (Do you think he would like the
government to provide fewer services in order to
reduce spending or provide more services even if it
means an increase in spending?)
(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services
and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services
and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L1c and L1c1x1

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1c.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1c or L1c.T

==============================
M000563    L1d. Bush- serv/spend scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L1d.

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?
FTP: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question, see L1d.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE
   MANY FEWER SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
2. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
3. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
4. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
5. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
6. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE
   MANY MORE SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

M000564 L1d.T. Bush- serv/spend scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L1d.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question, see L1d.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> L1d1.T
5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> L1d2.T
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L2.T
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

=================================
M000565    L1d1.T. Bush- how much reduce serv/spend ph
   MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
   Numeric

L1d1.T.

IF R THINKS GW BUSH WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
   (Do you think he would like to) reduce services and
   spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending)
   only some?

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A GREAT DEAL  --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
5. ONLY SOME     --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
8. DK            --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1d.T

=================================
M000566    L1d2.T. Bush- how much increase serv/spend ph
   MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
   Numeric

L1d2.T.

IF R THINKS GW BUSH WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
   (Do you think he would like to) increase services and
   spending a great deal or (increase services and
   spending) only some?

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A GREAT DEAL  --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
5. ONLY SOME     --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
8. DK            --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1d.T

=================================
M000567    L1dx1. 5-pt br summary Bush serv/spend
   MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
   Numeric

L1dx1.

TELEPHONE:
   What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he would like
   the government to provide fewer services in order
   to reduce spending or provide more services even if it
   means an increase in spending?)
(Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from L1d.T and L1d1.T/L1d2.T

1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. Reduce spending and services only some
3. Stay same as now (3 in L1dT)
4. Increase spending and services only some
5. Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK in L1d.T or L1d1.T/L1d2.T
9. RF in L1d.T or L1d1.T/L1d2.T
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1d.T; FTF mode

==============================
M000568 L1dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush serv/spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L1dx2.

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?) (Do you think he would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE /BRANCH
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from L1d and L1dx1

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1dT)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1d or L1dT

==============================
M000569 L1e. Dem Party- serv/spend scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L1e.
FTF:
Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
FTF: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L1e.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY FEWER SERVICES        --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
2.                                         --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
3.                                         --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
4.                                         --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
5.                                         --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
6.                                         --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY MORE SERVICES  --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
8. DK                                      --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
9. RF                                      --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

M000570    L1e.T. Dem Party- serv/spend scale phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L1e.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L1e.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> L1e1.T
5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> L1e2.T
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

M000571 Lle1.T. Dem Party-how much red srv/sp ph
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

Lle1.T.

IF R THINKS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think they would like to) reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT PARTY OR -- L2.T
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1e.T

M000572 Lle2.T. Dem Party-how much inc srv/sp ph
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

Lle2.T.

IF R THINKS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think they would like to) increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

1. A Great Deal --> Next Party or L2
5. Only Some --> Next Party or L2
8. DK --> Next Party Or L2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1e.T

M000573 Llex1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party srv/spd
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
 Numeric
TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)
(Do you think they would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from Lle.T and Lle1.T/Lle2.T
1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. Reduce spending and services only some
3. Stay same as now (3 in Lle.T)
4. Increase spending and services only some
5. Increase spending and services a great deal
8. DK in Lle.T or Lle1.T/Lle2.T
9. RF in Lle.T or Lle1.T/Lle2.T
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in Lle.T; FTF mode

M000574    Llex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party srv/sp
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Llex2.

FTF:
Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)
(Do you think they would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from Lle and Llex1
1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in Lle.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in Lle or Lle.T
M000575  L1f. Rep Party- serv/spend scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L1f.

FTF:
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
FTF: L1b was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L1f.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY
   FEWER SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
2. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
3. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
4. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
5. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
6. --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
7. GOVT SHOULD PROVIDE MANY
   MORE SERVICES --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
8. DK --> NEXT NAME /PARTY OR --> L2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

M000576  L1f.T. Rep Party- serv/spend scale phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L1f.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
PHONE: L1b.T was administered first, then L1c and L1d were randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L1e and L1f were administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L1f.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING  --> L1f1.T
5. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING  --> L1f1.T
7. SHOULD STAY SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL]  --> Next Party or L2

8. DK  --> Next Party Or L2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

-----------
M000577  L1f1.T. Rep Party-how much red srv/sp ph
          MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
          Numeric

L1f1.T.

IF R THINKS REPUBLICAN PARTY WANTS TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think they would like to) reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL  --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
5. ONLY SOME     --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
8. DK            --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in L1f.T

-----------
M000578  L1f2.T. Rep Party-how much inc srv/sp ph
          MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
          Numeric

L1f2.T.

IF R THINKS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think they would like to) increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL  --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
5. ONLY SOME     --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
8. DK            --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L1f.T
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?) (Do you think they would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND REP PTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCING SERIES

Built from L1f.T and L1f1.T/L1f2.T

1. Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. Reduce spending and services only some
3. Stay same as now (3 in L1f.T)
4. Increase spending and services only some
5. Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK in L1f.T or L1f1.T/L1f2.T
9. RF in L1f.T or L1f1.T/L1f2.T
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1f.T; FTF mode

Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending or provide more services even if it means an increase in spending?) (Do you think they would like to) reduce/increase services and spending a great deal or (reduce/increase services and spending) only some?

SUMMARY: 5PT SERV/SPEND REP PTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L1f and L1fx1

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services a great deal
2. SCALE 2; BRANCHING: Reduce spending and services only some
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: Stay same as now (3 in L1f.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services only some
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase spending and services a great deal

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, DK/RF/NA in L1f or L1f.T

===============================================
M000581  L2a. Self placement-def spending scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L2a.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

For the telephone version of this question, see L2a.T

1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING  --> L2b
2.                                         --> L2b
3.                                         --> L2b
4.                                         --> L2b
5.                                         --> L2b
6.                                         --> L2b
7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING   --> L2b
8. DK                                      --> L2b
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS         --> L2b

===============================================
M000582  L2a.T. Self placement-def spending scale phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L2a.T.

TELEPHONE:
Do you have an opinion on this issue or haven't you thought much about this?

For the FTF version of this question, see L2a.

1. YES, HAVE AN OPINION
5. NO, NO OPINION (HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH)  --> L2b.T

8. DK                                      --> L2b.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

===============================================
M000583  L2a1.T. Govt inc/dec def spending phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L2a1.T.

IF R DOES NOT SAY HE/SHE HAS NO OPINION ABOUT DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Do you feel the government should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or is the government spending on defense about the right amount now?

1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING  --> L2a1a.T
3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING  --> L2a1b.T
5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT     --> L2b.T/L2c.T
8. DK                         --> L2b.T/L2c.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,0 in L2a.T

-----------------------------
M000584  L2a1a.T. How much reduce def spending ph
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L2a1a.T.

IF R SAYS DOES NOT SAY HE/SHE HAS NO OPINION ABOUT DEFENSE SPENDING:
IF R SAYS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Should the government reduce defense spending a lot or a little?

1. A LOT      --> L2b.T/L2c.T
5. A LITTLE   --> L2b.T/L2c.T
8. DK         --> L2b.T/L2c.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 3,5,8,9,0 in L2a1.T

-----------------------------
M000585  L2a1b.T. How much increas def spending ph
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L2a1b.T.

IF R SAYS DOES NOT SAY HE/SHE HAS NO OPINION ABOUT DEFENSE SPENDING:
IF R SAYS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Should the government increase defense spending a lot or a little?

1. A LOT      --> L2b.T/L2c.T
5. A LITTLE   --> L2b.T/L2c.T
8. DK --> L2b.T/L2c.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,5,8,9,0 in L2a1.T

M000586  L2ax1. 5-pt br summary defense spending
         MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 7
         Numeric

L2ax1.

TELEPHONE:
Do you have an opinion on this issue or haven't you thought much about this?
Do you feel the government should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or is the government spending on defense about the right amount now?
Should the government decrease/increase defense spending a lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L2a.T, L2a1.T and L2a1a.T/L2a1b.T

1. Decrease defense spending a lot
2. Decrease defense spending a little
3. About the right amount (5 in L2a1.T)
4. Increase defense spending a little
5. Increase defense spending a lot
7. Haven't thought much (5 in L2a.T)
8. DK in L2a1.T or L2a1a.T/L2a1b.T
9. RF in L2a1.T or L2a1a.T/L2a1b.T
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2a.T or L2a1.T; FTF mode

M000587  L2ax2. Comb.7pt/br summ defense spending
         MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
         Numeric

L2ax2.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:
Do you have an opinion on this issue or haven't you thought much about this?
Do you feel the government should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or is the government spending on defense about the right amount now?
Should the government decrease/increase defense spending a lot or a little?
Built from L2a and from L2a.T/L2al.T/L2ala.T/L2alb.T.

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2a1.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2a; 8,9,NA in L2a.T or L2al.T; FTF mode
0. Haven't thought much

M000588 L2b. Gore- defense spending scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L2b.

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
FTF: L2b and L2c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e and L2f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L2b.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
   --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING
   --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3

8. DK
   --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

M000589 L2b.T. Gore- defense spending scale ph
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L2b.T.
TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
PHONE: L2b.T and L2c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e.T and L2f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L2b.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING     --> L2b1.T
3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING     --> L2b1.T
5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT        --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
8. DK                            --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
9. RF                             
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

M000590    L2b1.T. Gore-how much red/inc def spn ph
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L2b1.T.

IF R SAYS GORE WANTS TO REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING/
IF R SAYS GORE WANTS TO INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
A lot or a little?

1. A LOT      --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR  --> L3.T
5. A LITTLE   --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR  --> L3.T
8. DK         --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR  --> L3.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,8,9,0 in L2b.T

M000591    L2bx1. 5-pt br summary Gore def spend
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L2bx1.
What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now? A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L2b.T and L2b1.T/L2b2.T

1. Decrease defense spending a lot
2. Decrease defense spending a little
3. About the right amount (5 in L2b.T)
4. Increase defense spending a little
5. Increase defense spending a lot

8. DK in L2b.T or L2b1.T/L2b2.T
9. RF in L2b.T or L2b1.T/L2b2.T
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2b.T; FTF mode

M000592 L2bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore def spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L2bx2.

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now? A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L2b and L2bx1.

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2b.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2b or L2b.T; FTF mode

M000593 L2c. Bush- defense spending scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L2c.
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L2b and L2c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e and L2f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L2c.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3

8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

==============================================
M000594 L2c.T. Bush- defense spending scale ph
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L2c.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L2b.T and L2c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e.T and L2f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L2c.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
M000595  L2c1.T. Bush-how much red/inc def spd ph
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L2c1.T.

IF R SAYS GW BUSH WANTS TO REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING/
IF R SAYS GW BUSH WANTS TO INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE: 
A lot or a little?

1. A LOT --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T 
5. A LITTLE --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T

8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,8,9,0 in L2c.T

M000596  L2cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush def spend
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L2cx1.

TELEPHONE: 
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)
A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCH SERIES

Built from L2c.T and L2c1.T/L2c2.T

1. Decrease defense spending a lot
2. Decrease defense spending a little
3. About the right amount (5 in L2c.T)
4. Increase defense spending a little
5. Increase defense spending a lot
8. DK in L2c.T or L2c1.T/L2c2.T
9. RF in L2c.T or L2c1.T/L2c2.T
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2c.T; FTF mode
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he believes we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)
A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING GW BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L2c and L2cx1.

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2c.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2c or L2c.T; FTF mode

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --&gt; L3
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --&gt; L3
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --&gt; L3
4. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --&gt; L3
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --&gt; L3
6. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --&gt; L3
7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --&gt; L3
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --&gt; L3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

--------------------
M000599 L2d.T. Dem Party-def spend scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L2d.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they believe we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
PHONE: L2b.T and L2c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e.T and L2f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the telephone version of this question, see L2d.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2d1.T
3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING --> L2d1.T
5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT --> NEXT PARTY OR --&gt; L3.T
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --&gt; L3.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

--------------------
M000600 L2d1.T. Dem Party-how much red/inc ds ph
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L2d1.T.

IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING/
IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PARTY WANTS TO INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:
A lot or a little?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. A LOT --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T
5. A LITTLE --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T

8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,8,9,0 in L2d.T

==============================

M000601 L2dx1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party def sp
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L2dx1.

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they believe we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now? A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCH SERIES

---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from L2d.T and L2d1.T/L2d2.T

1. Decrease defense spending a lot
2. Decrease defense spending a little
3. About the right amount (5 in L2d.T)
4. Increase defense spending a little
5. Increase defense spending a lot

8. DK in L2d.T or L2d1.T/L2d2.T
9. RF in L2d.T or L2d1.T/L2d2.T
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2d.T; FTF mode

==============================

M000602 L2dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party def sp
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L2dx2.

(Still looking at Page 6).

Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they believe we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now? A lot or a little?
SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING DEM PTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L2d and L2dx1.

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2d.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2d or L2d.T; FTF mode

L2e.

FTF: (Still looking at Page 6).
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

L2b and L2c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e and L2f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L2e.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD DECREASE
   DEFENSE SPENDING          --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
2.                          --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
3.                          --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
4.                          --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
5.                          --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
6.                          --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
7. GOVT SHOULD INCREASE
   DEFENSE SPENDING         --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
8. DK                      --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

L2e.T.

M000604 L2e.T. Rep Party-def spend scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they believe we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L2b.T and L2c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names. L2e.T and L2f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the telephone version of this question, see L2e.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. DECREASE DEFENSE SPENDING     --> L2e1.T
3. INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING     --> L2e1.T
5. ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT        --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T
8. DK                            --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L3.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

M000605 L2e1.T. Rep Party-how much inc/red ds ph
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L2e1.T.

IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PARTY WANTS TO REDUCE DEFENSE SPENDING/
IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PARTY WANTS TO INCREASE DEFENSE SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
A lot or a little?

1. A LOT     --> NEXT PARTY OR L3.T
5. A LITTLE   --> NEXT PARTY OR L3.T
8. DK        --> NEXT PARTY OR L3.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,8,9,0 in L2e.T

M000606 L2ex1. 5-pt br summary Rep Party def sp
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L2ex1.
TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they believe we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)
A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCH SERIES
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from L2e.T and L2e1.T/L2e2.T

1. Decrease defense spending a lot
2. Decrease defense spending a little
3. About the right amount (5 in L2e.T)
4. Increase defense spending a little
5. Increase defense spending a lot

8. DK in L2e.T or L2e1.T/L2e2.T
9. RF in L2e.T or L2e1.T/L2e2.T
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2e.T; FTF mode

==============================
M000607    L2ex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Rep Party def sp
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L2ex2.

FTF:
(Still looking at Page 6).
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they believe we should decrease defense spending, increase defense spending, or that Government spending on defense is about the right amount now?)
A lot or a little?

SUMMARY: 5PT DEF SPENDING REP PTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from L2e and L2ex1.

1. SCALE: 1; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a lot
2. SCALE: 2; BRANCHING: Decrease defense spending a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5; BRANCHING: About the right amount (5 in L2e.T)
4. SCALE: 6; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a little
5. SCALE: 7; BRANCHING: Increase defense spending a lot

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in L2e or L2e.T; FTF mode

==============================
M000608    L3(1). Ckpt: FTF/ph, reg/exp.
CHECKPOINT: MODE AND VERSION
FOR GOVT/PRIVATE MEDICAL INSURANCE SELF-PLACEMENT
---------------------------------------------------------------------

FTF: Rs were randomly assigned to the standard 7-point scale
or to an experimental version of the 7-point scale (with
endpoints reversed).
Phone: Rs were randomly assigned to 2 versions of the
branching format; in the 2nd version, the initial placement
question is coded in reverse.

1. FTF standard version
2. FTF experimental version
3. PHONE version 1
4. PHONE version 2

===============
M000608a  L3a. Self placement-private or govt insur
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L3a.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't
you thought much about this?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

See L3 for complete text.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered
either L3a (standard) or L3a.E (experimental).
The endpoints are reversed in the experimental version
of this question.
For telephone versions of this question, see L3a.T and
L3a.TE.

1. GOVT INSURANCE PLAN   --> SKIP TO L4
2.                         --> SKIP TO L4
3.                         --> SKIP TO L4
4.                         --> SKIP TO L4
5.                         --> SKIP TO L4
6.                         --> SKIP TO L4
7. PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN  --> SKIP TO L4
8. DK                     --> SKIP TO L4
9. RF; NA; INAP, 2, 3, 4 in L3(1)
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH   --> SKIP TO L4

===============
M000608b  L3a.E. Self placement-insur scale FTF ex
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L3a.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
-------------------------------------------------------------

See L3.E for complete text.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L3a (standard) or L3a.E (experimental).
The endpoints are reversed in the experimental version of this question.
For telephone versions of this question, see L3a.T and L3a.TE.

1. PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN --> L4
2. --> L4
3. --> L4
4. --> L4
5. --> L4
6. --> L4
7. GOVT INSURANCE PLAN --> L4
8. DK --> L4
9. RF; NA; INAP, 1, 3, 4 in L3(1)
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L4

====================================================================
M000609  L3ax. Comb. FTF versions R insurnc scale
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L3ax.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

COMBINED FTF: STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL 7 PT MEDICAL INSURANCE
-------------------------------------------------------------

Built from L3a/L3a.E.

1. GOVT INSURANCE PLAN
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, phone mode [3, 4 in L3(1)]
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH

====================================================================
L3.a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1:

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
See L3.T for complete text.
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L3.a.T (version 1) or L3.a.TE (version 2). The coding is reversed in version 2 of this question. For FTF versions of this question, see L3.a and L3.a.E.

1. SHOULD BE A GOVT INSURANCE PLAN --> SKIP TO L3a1.T
5. INDIVIDUALS SHOULD PAY MEDICAL EXPENSES THROUGH PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN --> SKIP TO L3a2.T
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL] --> SKIP TO L4

8. DK --> SKIP TO L4.T
9. RF; NA; INAP, 1, 2, 4 in L3(1)
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> SKIP TO L4.T

-----------------------------
M000610b  L3.a.TE. Self placement-insur scale phone ex
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L3.a.TE.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2:

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
See L3.TE for complete text.
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L3.a.T (version 1) or L3.a.TE (version 2). The coding is reversed in version 2 of this question. For FTF versions of this question, see L3.a and L3.a.E.

1. INDIVIDUALS SHOULD PAY MEDICAL EXPENSES THROUGH PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN --> L3a2.T
5. SHOULD BE A GOVT INSURANCE PLAN --> L3a1.T
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW --> L4.T

8. DK --> L4.T
9. RF; NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3 in L3(1)
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L4.T

-----------------------------
TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

COMBINED PHONE VERSION 1/VERSION 2 - MEDICAL INSURANCE
----------------------------------------------------------

Built from L3.a.T and L3.a.TE

1. SHOULD BE A GOVT INSURANCE PLAN
5. INDIVIDUALS SHOULD PAY MEDICAL EXPENSES THROUGH PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN
7. SHOULD STAY THE SAME AS IT IS NOW [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode [1,2 in L3(1)]
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

-----------------------------
M000612   L3a1/a2.T. Strength of insurance plan
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L3a1/a2.T.

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
IF R FEELS THERE SHOULD BE GOVERNMENT INSURANCE PLAN/
IF R FEELS INDIVIDUALS SHOULD PAY THROUGH PRIVATE INSURANCE PLAN:

TELEPHONE:
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that there should be a government insurance plan?/
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that individuals should pay through private insurance plan?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. STRONGLY --> L4.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> L4.T

8. DK --> L4.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,0 or RF/NA in L3.a.T or L3.a.TE

-----------------------------
M000613   L3x1. 5-pt br summary R on pri/govt insurance
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L3x1.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that there should
SUMMARY:  5PT MED INSURANCE SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built L3ax.T and L3a1.T/L3a2.T

1. Strongly - government insurance plan
2. Not strongly - government insurance plan
3. Stay the same as it is now
4. Not strongly - individual insurance
5. Strongly - individual insurance

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode [1,2 in L3(1)]
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

M000614    L3x2. Comb.7pt/br summ of R on pri/govt insurance
           MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
           Numeric

L3x2.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that there should be a government insurance plan?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that individuals should pay through private insurance plan?

SUMMARY: 5PT MED INSURANCE SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from L3ax and L3ax.T

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt insurance plan
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt insurance plan
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 ; BRANCHING: Stay the same as it is now
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - individual insurance
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - individual insurance

8. DK
9. RF; NA
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

M000815    L4a. R plcmnt-guar job/std liv scl FTF
           MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
           Numeric

L4a.
FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
For the telephone version of this question see L4a.T.

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING --> L4b.
2. --> L4b.
3. --> L4b.
4. --> L4b.
5. --> L4b.
6. --> L4b.
7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN --> L4b.
8. DK --> L4b.
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L4b.

============================================
M000616  L4a.T. R plcmnt-guar job/std liv scl ph
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L4a.T.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?
===========================================================================
For the FTF version of this question see L4a.

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING --> L4a1.T
5. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN --> L4a2.T
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> L4b.T/L4c.T
8. DK --> L4b.T/L4c.T
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L4b.T/L4c.T

============================================
M000617  L4a1.T. How much should gov guar_jobs
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

L4a1.T.

IF R THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING:

TELEPHONE:
Do you feel strongly that the government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or not so strongly?
===========================================================================

1. STRONGLY --> L4b.T/L4c.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> L4b.T/L4c.T
M000618  L4a2t. How much should ppl get by on own
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L4a2.T.

IF R THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD LET EACH GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN:

TELEPHONE:
Do you feel strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own, or not so strongly?

1. STRONGLY --> L4b.T/L4c.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> L4b.T/L4c.T
8. DK --> L4b.T/L4c.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in L4a.T

M000619  L4x1. 5-pt br summary guaranteed jobs
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L4x1.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?
Do you feel strongly that the government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or not so strongly?
Do you feel strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own, or not so strongly?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L4a; built from L4a.T and L4a1.T/L4a2.T

1. Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. Strongly - govt leave people on own
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

Do you feel strongly that the government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or not so strongly?

Do you feel strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own, or not so strongly?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L4a and L4x1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. SCALE: 3, 4, 5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK
9. RF; NA
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L4b and L4c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e and L4f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the telephone version of this question see L4b.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND
What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L4b.T and L4c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e.T and L4f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the FTF version of this question see L4b.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
TELEPHONE:
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
that the government should see to jobs and a good
standard of living?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
that the government should just let each person get
ahead on their own?

1. STRONGLY --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
8. DK --> NEXT NAME.PARTY OR --> L5.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L4b.T

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L4b.T and L4b1.T/L4b2.

1. Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

M000625 L4bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore guar job
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L4bx2.
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own? Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living? Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L4b and L4bx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

----------------------
M000626   L4c. Bush-guar job/std liv scl FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L4c.

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L4b and L4c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e and L4f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names. For the telephone version of this question see L4c.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING
2.
3.
4.
5.
6. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

M000627    L4c.T. Bush-guar job/std liv scl phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L4c.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he feels government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
PHONE: L4b.T and L4c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e.T and L4f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the FTF version of this question see L4c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING  --> L4c1.T
5. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN  --> L4c1.T
7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL]  --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
8. DK  --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

M000628    L4c1/c2.T. Bush-strength guar job
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L4c1/c2.T.

IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD LIVING/
IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS GOVT SHOULD LET EACH ON OWN:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

---

1. STRONGLY --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L5.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L4c.T

---

M000629  L4cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush guar job
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L4cx1.

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he feels
government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

---

Built from L4c.T and L4c1.T/L4c2.T

1. Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. Other/depends/which
4. Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. Strongly - govt leave people on own
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

---

M000630  L4cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush guar job
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L4cx2.

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? (Do you think he feels
government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government
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Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?

Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUARANTEED JOBS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L4c and L4cx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

-----------------------------
M000631 L4d. Dem Party-guar job/std liv scl FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L4d.

FTF:
Where would you place the Democratic Party
(on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

FTF: L4b and L4c were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e and L4f were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.

For the telephone version of this question see L4d.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

PHONE: L4b.T and L4c.T were randomly administered as the 1st or 2nd names; L4e.T and L4f.T were then randomly administered as the 3rd or 4th names.
For the FTF version of this question see L4d.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING --> L4d1.T
5. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN --> L4d1.T
7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

IF R SAYS DEM PARTY THINKS GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STD OF LIVING/
IF R SAYS DEM PARTY THINKS GOVT SHOULD LET EACH ON OWN:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living? Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

1. STRONGLY --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUAR JOBS DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from L4d.T and L4d1.T/L4d2.T

1. Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. Strongly - govt leave people on own
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

-----------------------------
M000635  L4dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party gua jb
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L4dx2.

FTF:
Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

Summary: 5PT GUAR JOBS DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from L4d.T and L4d1.T/L4d2.T

1. Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. Strongly - govt leave people on own
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

-----------------------------
government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUAR JOBS DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L4d and L4dx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

M000636    L4e. Rep Party-guar job/std liv FTF
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L4e.

FTF:
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, or that government should just let each person get ahead on their own?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING --> L4e1.T
5. GOVT SHOULD LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON OWN --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> L4e1.T

8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L5.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

IF R SAYS REP PARTY THINKS GOVT SHOULD SEE TO JOBS AND STD OF LIVING/
IF R SAYS REP PARTY THINKS GOVT SHOULD LET EACH ON OWN:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should see to jobs and a good standard of living?
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly that the government should just let each person get ahead on their own?

1. STRONGLY --> NEXT PARTY OR L5.T
5. NOT STRONGLY --> NEXT PARTY OR L5.T
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR L5.T
M000639    L4ex1. 5-pt br summ Rep Party guar job
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L4ex1.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel
government should see to it that every person has a job
and a good standard of living, or that government should
just let each person get ahead on their own?)
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly
that the government should see to jobs and a good
standard of living?
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly
that the government should just let each person get
ahead on their own?

SUMMARY: 5PT GUAR JOBS REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from L4e.T and L4e1.T/L4e2.T

1. Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. Other/depends/doesn't know
4. Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

M000640    L4ex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Rep Party guar job
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L4ex2.

FTF:
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this
issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel
government should see to it that every person has a job
and a good standard of living, or that government should
just let each person get ahead on their own?)
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly
that the government should see to jobs and a good
standard of living?
Do you think they feel strongly or not strongly
that the government should just let each person get
ahead on their own?
SUMMARY: 5PT GUAR JOBS REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L4e and L4ex1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt see to jobs & std living
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - govt leave people on own
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Strongly - govt leave people on own

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

M000641  L5a. R plcmnt-aid to blacks scale FTF
        MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
        Numeric

L5a.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

See L5 for complete text.
For the telephone version of this question see L5a.T.

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS   --> L5b.
2.                              --> L5b.
3.                              --> L5b.
4.                              --> L5b.
5.                              --> L5b.
6.                              --> L5b.
7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES  --> L5b.

8. DK  --> L5b.
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS  --> L5b.

M000642  L5a.T. R plcmnt-aid to blacks scale phone
        MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
        Numeric

L5a.T.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

See L5.T for complete text.
For the FTF version of this question see L5a.

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS  --> L5a1.T
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5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5a1.T
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> L5b.T

8. DK --> L5b.T
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> L5b.T

M000643 L5a1/a2.T. R-strength aid to blacks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5a1/a2.T.

IF R THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/
IF R THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:
Should the government help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
Should blacks have to help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

1. GREAT EXTENT --> L5b.T
5. ONLY SOME --> L5b.T

8. DK --> L5b.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5a.T

M000644 L5ax1. 5-pt br summary R aid to blacks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5ax1.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?
Should the government help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
Should blacks have to help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L5a.T and L5a1.T/L5a2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. Haven't thought much about it

==================================

M000645    L5ax2. Comb.7pt/br summ R aid to blacks
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5ax2.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?
Should the government help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
Should blacks have to help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

-----------------------------------------------

Built from L5a and L5ax1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. Haven't thought much about it

==================================

M000646    L5b. Clinton plcmnt-aid to blacks scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5b.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place Bill Clinton on this issue?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5 for complete text.
FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question see L5b.T.

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
TELEPHONE: What about Bill Clinton? (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5.T for complete text.
TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the FTF version of this question see L5b.

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5b1.T
5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5b1.T
7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> NEXT NAME
8. DK --> NEXT NAME
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

IF R SAYS CLINTON THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/
IF R SAYS CLINTON THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

1. GREAT EXTENT --> NEXT NAME
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME
What about Bill Clinton? (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?) (Do you think he feels the government should help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L5b.T and L5b1.T/L5b2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

================================================================================
M000650 L5bx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Clinton aid to blacks
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L5bx2.

FTF:  
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place Bill Clinton on this issue? TELEPHONE: What about Bill Clinton? (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?) (Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?
SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS CLINTON PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from L5b and L5bx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

M000651    L5c. Gore plcmnt-aid to blacks scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L5c.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5 for complete text.
FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question see L5c.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.

9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

M000652    L5c.T. Gore-aid to blacks scale phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L5c.T.
TELEPHONE:  
What about Al Gore? (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)

[DONOTPROBE DK]
See L5.T for complete text.
TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the FTF version of this question see L5c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS
5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES
7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

M000653 L5c1/c2.T. Gore-strength aid to blacks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5c1/c2.T.

IF R SAYS GORE THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/
IF R SAYS GORE THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

1. GREAT EXTENT
5. ONLY SOME
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5c.T

M000654 L5cx1. 5-pt br summary Gore aid to blacks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
What about Al Gore? (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)

(Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
-------------------------------------------------------------

Built from L5c.T and L5cl.T/L5c2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

-------------------------------------------
M000655    L5cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Gore aid to blks
         MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5cx2.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE: What about Al Gore? (Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING
-------------------------------------------------------------

Built from L5c and L5cx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See L5 for complete text.

FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names. For the telephone version of this question see L5d.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5.T for complete text.

TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.

For the FTF version of this question see L5d.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5d1.T
5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5d1.T
7. OTHER/IT DEPENDS/NEITHER [VOL] --> NEXT NAME/PARTY

8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

M000658 L5d1/d2.T. Bush-strength aid to blacks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5d1/d2.T.

IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/
IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

1. GREAT EXTENT --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT NAME/PARTY

8. DK --> NEXT NAME/PARTY
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5d.T

M000659 L5dx1. 5-pt br summary Bush aid to blks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5dx1.

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush?
(Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help
(Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L5d.T and L5d1.T/L5d2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

M000660  L5dx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush aid to blks
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5dx2.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush?
(Do you think he feels that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think he feels the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think he feels blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from L5d and L5dx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
L5e.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5 for complete text.
FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the telephone version of this question see L5e.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
2. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
3. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
5. --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

M000662    L5e.T. Dem Party-aid to blks scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5e.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5.T for complete text.
TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names.
L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the FTF version of this question see L5e.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS -- L5e1.T
5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES -- L5e1.T

8. DK -- NEXT PARTY OR -- L6.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

==============================================
M000663 L5e1/e2.T. Dem Party-strgth aid to blks
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5e1/e2.T.

IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PARTY THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/
IF R SAYS DEMOCRATIC PARTY THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE: 
(Do you think they feel the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? 
(Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

1. GREAT EXTENT -- NEXT PARTY OR -- L6.
5. ONLY SOME -- NEXT PARTY OR -- L6.

8. DK -- NEXT PARTY OR -- L6.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5e.T

==============================================
M000664 L5ex1. 5-pt br summary Dem Party aid blks
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5ex1.

TELEPHONE: 
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think they feel the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? 
(Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help
themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from L5e.T and L5e1.T/L5e2.T.

1. Govt help blacks to great extent
2. Govt help blacks to some extent
3. Other/neither/depends
4. Should help themselves to some extent
5. Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

-----------------------------
M000665   L5ex2. Comb.7pt/br summ Dem Party aid blks
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5ex2.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?) (Do you think they feel the government should help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS DEM PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L5e and L5ex1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

-----------------------------
M000666   L5f. Rep Party plcmnt-aid to blks scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L5f.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10)
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this
issue)?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5 for complete text.
FTF: L5b is administered first, then L5c and L5d are
randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names. L5e and L5f
are then randomly administered as the 4th or 5th names.
For the telephone version of this question see L5f.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
2.                               --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
3.                               --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
5.                               --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
7. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> NEXT NAME/PARTY OR --> L6.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

-------------------------------
M000667 L5f.T. Rep Party-aid to blks scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L5f.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they
feel that government should make every effort to
improve the social and economic position of blacks, or
that government should not make any special effort to
help blacks because they should help themselves?)
---------------------------------------------------------------------
[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See L5.T for complete text.
TELEPHONE: L5b.T is administered first, then L5c.T and
L5d.T are randomly administered as the 2nd or 3rd names.
L5e.T and L5f.T are then randomly administered as the 4th
or 5th names.
For the FTF version of this question see L5f.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. GOVT SHOULD HELP BLACKS --> L5f1.T
5. BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES --> L5f1.T

8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR --> L6.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

==============================================
M000668 L5f1/f2.T. Rep Party-strgth aid to blks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L5f1/f2.T.

IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PARTY THINKS GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP BLACKS/
IF R SAYS REPUBLICAN PARTY THINKS BLACKS SHOULD HELP THEMSELVES:

TELEPHONE:
(Do you think they feel the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

==============================================
1. GREAT EXTENT --> NEXT PARTY OR L6.
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT PARTY OR L6.

8. DK --> NEXT PARTY OR L6.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in L5f.T

==============================================
M000669 L5fx1. 5-pt br summary Rep Party aid blks
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L5fx1.

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?)
(Do you think they feel the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?
(Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
Where would you place the Republican Party (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party? (Do you think they feel that government should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, or that government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves?) (Do you think they feel the government should) help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent? (Do you think they feel blacks should have to) help themselves to a great extent or only to some extent?

SUMMARY: 5PT AID BLACKS REP PARTY PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCH

Built from L5f and L5fx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to great extent
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Govt help blacks to some extent
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/neither/depends
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to some extent
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Should help themselves to a great extent

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

-----------------------------
M000671a  L6. Appr/disappr affirmative action stan
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L6.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:
Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring.
What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L6 (standard) or L6.E (experimental).

1. YES, THEY SHOULD HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION --> GO TO L6a
5. NO, THEY SHOULD NOT HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION --> GO TO L6b
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL] --> GO TO L7
8  DK --> GO TO L7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for L6.E

L6.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring.
What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program or should companies not have to have an affirmative action program?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either L6 (standard) or L6.E (experimental).

1. YES, THEY SHOULD HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION --> GO TO L6a
5. NO, THEY SHOULD NOT HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION --> GO TO L6b
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL] --> GO TO L7
8  DK --> GO TO L7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for L6

L6a. Strength for affirmative action
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M000672    L6a. Strength for affirmative action ex
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L6a.

IF R SAYS COMPANIES SHOULD HAVE TO HAVE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly (that they should have to have affirmative action)?

1. STRONGLY --> GO TO L7
5. NOT STRONGLY --> GO TO L7
8. DK --> GO TO L7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,7,8,9 or NA in L6 or L6.E

L6b. Strength against affirmative action

M000673 L6b. Strength against affirmative action
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

L6x. Summary affirmative action

STANDARD VERSION:
Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks
have to have an affirmative action program or should companies not have to have an affirmative action program?

COMBINED AFFIRMATIVE ACTION (STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from L6, L6.E.

1. Yes, they should have to have affirmative action
5. No, they should not have to have affirmative action
7. Other

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

M000674a   L6x1. Summary - Strength of feeling
           MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 7
           Numeric

L6x1.

STANDARD VERSION:
Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program or should companies not have to have an affirmative action program?

BOTH VERSIONS:
Do you feel strongly or not strongly (that they should not have to have affirmative action)?

SUMMARY - AFFIRMATIVE ACTION (STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from L6, L6.E and L6a/L6b.

1. Strongly - should have to have affirmative action
2. Not strongly - should have to have affirmative action
4. Not strongly - shouldn't have to have affirmative action
5. Strongly - shouldn't have to have affirmative action
7. Other
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
L7a. Inc/dec build and repair highways

BUILDING AND REPAIRING HIGHWAYS
(If you had a say in making up the federal budget this year, should federal spending on BUILDING AND REPAIRING HIGHWAYS be increased, decreased or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

L7b. Inc/dec welfare programs

What about WELFARE PROGRAMS?
(Should federal spending on WELFARE PROGRAMS be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.

The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
What about SPENDING ON AIDS RESEARCH? 
(Should federal spending on SPENDING ON AIDS RESEARCH be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.  
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization:  Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars.  The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED 
3. DECREASED 
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME 
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK 
9. RF 
0. NA

What about FOREIGN AID? 
(Should federal spending on FOREIGN AID be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.  
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization:  Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars.  The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED 
3. DECREASED 
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME 
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK 
9. RF 
0. NA
L7e.

What about FOOD STAMPS?
(Should federal spending on FOOD STAMPS be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)
---------------------------------------------------------------------
See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

L7f.

What about AID TO POOR PEOPLE?
(Should federal spending on AID TO POOR PEOPLE be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)
---------------------------------------------------------------------
See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
L7g.

What about SOCIAL SECURITY?  
(Should federal spending on SOCIAL SECURITY be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

L7h.

What about ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION?  
(Should federal spending on ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
What about PUBLIC SCHOOLS?  
(Should federal spending on PUBLIC SCHOOLS be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED  
3. DECREASED  
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME  
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

What about DEALING WITH CRIME?  
(Should federal spending on DEALING WITH CRIME be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
What about CHILD CARE?
(Should federal spending on CHILD CARE be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

What about TIGHTENING BORDER SECURITY TO PREVENT ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION?
(Should federal spending on TIGHTENING BORDER SECURITY TO PREVENT ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

==============================
M000687    L7p. Inc/dec aid to blacks
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L7p.

What about AID TO BLACKS?
(Should federal spending on AID TO BLACKS be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?)

See L7 intro.
The order of L7b-L7p was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INCREASED
3. DECREASED
5. KEPT ABOUT THE SAME
7. CUT OUT ENTIRELY [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

==============================
M000688    L8. App/dis using surplus for tax cuts
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L8.

Recently, there has been a lot of talk about how to spend the extra money the federal government is likely to have in the near future. Some people have proposed that most of the expected federal budget surplus should be used to cut taxes.
Do you approve or disapprove of this proposal?
1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO L9
9. RF
0. NA

M000689  L8a/b. Strength app/dis tax cuts
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L8a/b.

IF R APPROVES USING FEDERAL BUDGET SURPLUS TO CUT TAXES/
IF R DISAPPROVES USING FEDERAL BUDGET SURPLUS TO CUT TAXES:

Do you approve of this proposal strongly or not strongly?/
Do you disapprove of this proposal strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in L8

M000690  L8x. Summary tax cuts from surplus
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L8x.

Some people have proposed that most of the expected federal budget surplus should be used to cut taxes. Do you approve or disapprove of this proposal?
Do you approve of this proposal strongly or not strongly?
Do you disapprove of this proposal strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: TAX CUT FROM SURPLUS

Built from L8 and L8a/b.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
L9.

Some people have proposed that most of the expected federal budget surplus should go to protecting social security and Medicare. Do you approve or disapprove of this proposal?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO M1
9. RF
0. NA

L9a/b.

IF R APPROVES USING SURPLUS FOR SOCIAL SECURITY & MEDICARE/
IF R DISAPPROVES USING SURPLUS FOR SOCIAL SECURITY & MEDICARE:

Do you approve of this proposal strongly or not strongly?/
Do you disapprove of this proposal strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in L9

L9x.

Some people have proposed that most of the expected federal budget surplus should go to protecting social security and Medicare. Do you approve or disapprove of this proposal?
Do you approve of this proposal strongly or not strongly?
Do you disapprove of this proposal strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: USE SURPLUS TO PROTECT SOCIAL SECURITY
Built from L9 and L9a/b.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

M000694    M1/M1.T. Abortion self-placement
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M1/M1.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 11 of the booklet.
There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. Which one of the opinions on this page best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

TELEPHONE:
There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. I am going to read you a short list of opinions. Please tell me which one of the opinions best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

M000695    M1a. Importance of abortion to R
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M1a.

ALL ABORTION RESPONDENTS:

How important is this issue to you personally? Not at all important, not too important, somewhat important,
very important, or extremely important?

1. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT
2. NOT TOO IMPORTANT
3. SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
4. VERY IMPORTANT
5. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

The order in which abortion items M1b/M1b.T and M1c/M1c.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]

8. DK --> SKIP TO M1c OR M2
9. RF
0. NA

M000697 M1b1. Gore-certain abortion placement
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
IF GORE'S POSITION ON ABORTION IS NOT DK/REF:

How certain are you of Al Gore's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in Mlb/Mlb.T

M000698    M1c/M1c.T. Bush-abortion scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M1c/M1c.T.

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush (on abortion)? Which opinion best agrees with his views?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
The order in which abortion items Mlb/Mlb.T and Mlc/Mlc.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]

8. DK --> SKIP TO Mlb OR M2
9. RF
0. NA

M000699    M1cl. Bush-certain abortion placement
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
M1c1.

IF GEORGE W. BUSH'S POSITION ON ABORTION IS NOT DK/REF:

How certain are you of George W. Bush's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in M1c/M1c.T

M000700 M2. App/dis abortion parental consent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M2.

Would you favor or oppose a law in your state that would require a teenage girl under age 18 to receive her parent's permission before she could obtain an abortion?

1. FAVOR
5. OPPOSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

M000701 M2a. Strength abortion parental consent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M2a.

IF R FAVORS ABORTION LAW REQUIRING MINORS' PARENTAL PERMISSION/
IF R OPPOSES ABORTION LAW REQUIRING MINORS' PARENTAL PERMISSION:

Strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in M2

M000702 M2x. Summary abortion parental consent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Would you favor or oppose a law in your state that would require a teenage girl under age 18 to receive her parent's permission before she could obtain an abortion? Strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: PARENTAL CONSENT FOR ABORTIONS
-------------------------------------------------
Built from M2 and M2a.

1. Favor strongly
2. Favor not strongly
4. Oppose not strongly
5. Oppose strongly
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

M000703  M3. Fav/oppose prtl-birth abortion ban
 MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

M3.

There has been discussion recently about a proposed law to ban certain types of late-term abortions, sometimes called partial birth abortions.
Do you favor or oppose a law that would make these types of abortions illegal?
-------------------------------------------------
1. FAVOR
5. OPPOSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

M000704  M3a/b. Strength fav/opp p-b abortion ban
 MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

M3a/b.

IF R FAVORS BAN ON LATE-TERM ABORTIONS/
IF R OPPOSES BAN ON LATE-TERM ABORTIONS:

Do you strongly or not strongly favor/oppose a law that would make these types of abortions illegal?
-------------------------------------------------
1. STRONGLY
There has been discussion recently about a proposed law to ban certain types of late-term abortions, sometimes called partial birth abortions. Do you favor or oppose a law that would make these types of abortions illegal? Do you strongly or not strongly favor/oppose a law that would make these types of abortions illegal?

SUMMARY: BAN ON LATE-TERM ABORTIONS
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from M3 and M3a/b.

1. Favor strongly
2. Favor not strongly
4. Oppose not strongly
5. Oppose strongly
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

CHECKPOINT: MODE AND VERSION
FOR ENVIRONMENT VERSUS JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT
---------------------------------------------------------------------
FTF: Rs were randomly assigned to the standard 7-point scale or to an experimental version of the 7-point scale (without "haven't thought much about it" option).
Phone: Rs were randomly assigned to 2 versions of the branching format; in the 2nd version, the initial placement question does not include the "haven't thought much about it" option.

1. FTF standard version (M4a)
2. FTF experimental version (M4a.E)
3. PHONE version 1 (M4a.T)
4. PHONE version 2 (M4a.TE)
M4a.

**IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:**

**FTF:**

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

See M4 for complete text.

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either M4a (standard) or M4a.E (experimental). For the telephone versions of this question see M4a.T and M4a.TE.

1. **PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING**  --> SKIP TO M4b
2.  --> SKIP TO M4b
3.  --> SKIP TO M4b
4.  --> SKIP TO M4b
5.  --> SKIP TO M4b
6.  --> SKIP TO M4b
7. **JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT**  --> SKIP TO M4b
8. **DK**  --> SKIP TO M4b
9. **RF; NA; INAP, 2, 3, 4 in M4(1)**  --> SKIP TO M4b
0. **HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS**  --> SKIP TO M4b

M4a.E.

**IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:**

**FTF:**

Where would you place yourself on this scale?

See M4.E for complete text.

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either M4a (standard) or M4a.E (experimental). For the telephone versions of this question see M4a.T and M4a.TE.

1. **PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING**  --> SKIP TO M4b
2.  --> SKIP TO M4b
3.  --> SKIP TO M4b
4.  --> SKIP TO M4b
5.  --> SKIP TO M4b
6.  --> SKIP TO M4b
7. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT --> SKIP TO M4b

8. DK --> SKIP TO M4b
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 4 in M4(1)

==============================
M000708    M4ax. Comb. FTF versions jobs/envir
            MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
            Numeric

M4ax.

FTF STANDARD VERSION:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
FTF EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Where would you place yourself on this scale?

COMBINED FTF STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL - 7PT JOBS VS. ENVIRONMENT
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from M4a, M4a.E.

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS (M4a only)

==============================
M000709a  M4a.T. R-jobs/envir br phone stan
            MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
            Numeric

M4a.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1:

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

See M4.T for complete text.
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either M4a.T (version 1) or M4a.TE (version 2).
For the FTF versions of this question see M4a and M4a.E.

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING
STANDARD OF LIVING --> M4a1.T/
5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT --> M4a1.T
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> SKIP TO M4b/M4c.T
8. DK --> SKIP TO M4b/M4c.T
9. RF; NA; INAP, 1, 2, 4 in M4(1)
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH

M000709b M4a.TE. R-jobs/envir phone ex
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M4a.TE.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2:

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
See M4.TE for complete text.
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either M4a.T (version 1) or M4a.TE (version 2).
For the FTF versions of this question see M4a and M4a.E.

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING --> M4a1.T/
5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT --> M4a1.T
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> SKIP TO M4b/M4c.T
8. DK --> SKIP TO M4b/M4c.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3 in M4(1)

M000710 M4ax.T. Comb. Ph versions jobs/envir
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M4ax.T.

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?
TELEPHONE VERSION 2:
Which is closer to the way you feel?

COMBINED TELEPHONE VERSIONS - ENVIRONMENT VS. JOBS
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from M4a.T and M4a.TE

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING
5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH (M4a.T only)

-------------------------------
M000711 M4a1/a2.T. Strength jobs/envir
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M4a1/a2.T.

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
IF PROTECTING ENVIRONMENT IS MORE IMPORTANT/
IF MAINTAINING JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING ARE MORE IMPORTANT:

TELEPHONE:
Is protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?/
Are maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. MUCH MORE IMPORTANT           --> M4b.T/M4c.T
5. ONLY SOMEWHAT MORE IMPORTANT  --> M4b.T/M4c.T
8. DK                            --> M4b.T/M4c.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 0,7,8 or RF/NA in M4a.T; 7,8,9 or NA in M4a.TE

-------------------------------
M000712 M4a1x1. 5-pt br summary jobs/envir
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M4a1x1.

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?
TELEPHONE VERSION 2:
Which is closer to the way you feel?
BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
Is protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?
Are maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from M4ax.T and M4a1/a2.T

1. Environment much more important
2. Environment somewhat more important
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Jobs somewhat more important
5. Jobs much more important

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. Haven't thought much (from M4a.T only)

M000713    M4a1x2. Comb.7pt/br summ jobs/envir
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M4a1x2.

FTF STANDARD VERSION:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

FTF EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Where would you place yourself on this scale?

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE VERSION 2:
Which is closer to the way you feel?

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
Is protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?
Are maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from M4a and M4a1x1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Environment much more important
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Environment somewhat more important
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Jobs somewhat more important
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Jobs much more important

8. DK
9. RF; NA
0. Haven't thought much (from M4a and M4a.T only)

M000714    M4b. Gore-jobs/envir scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M4b.

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?
[DO NOT PROBE DK]  
See M4 for complete text.  
FTF: M4b and M4c were administered in random order.  
For the telephone version of this question see M4b.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING --> M4c. OR M5.  
2. --> M4c. OR M5.  
3. --> M4c. OR M5.  
4. --> M4c. OR M5.  
5. --> M4c. OR M5.  
6. --> M4c. OR M5.  
7. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT --> M4c. OR M5.  
8. DK --> M4c. OR M5.  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

M000715 M4b.T. Gore-jobs/envir scale phone  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric  

M4b.T.  

TELEPHONE:  
What about Al Gore? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?  

[DO NOT PROBE DK]  
See M4.T for complete text.  
TELEPHONE: M4b and M4c were administered in random order.  
For the FTF version of this question see M4b.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING --> SKIP TO M4c.T OR --> M5.  
5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT --> SKIP TO M4c.T OR --> M5.
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> SKIP TO M4c.T OR --> M5.

8. DK --> SKIP TO M4c.T OR --> M5.

9. RF

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

M000716  M4b1/b2.T. Gore-strength jobs/envir
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M4b1/b2.T.

IF R SAYS GORE THINKS PROTECTING ENVIRONMENT IS MORE IMPORTANT/
IF R SAYS GORE THINKS JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING ARE MORE IMPORTANT:

TELEPHONE:
Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?/
Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

1. MUCH MORE IMPORTANT
5. SOMEWHAT MORE IMPORTANT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 or NA in M4b.T

M000717  M4bx1. 5-pt br summary jobs/envir
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M4bx1.

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?
Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?
Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from M4b.T and M4b1.T/M4b2.T.

1. Environment much more important
2. Environment somewhat more important
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

TELEPHONE: What about Al Gore? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living? Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important? Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Environment much more important
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Environment somewhat more important
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Jobs somewhat more important
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Jobs much more important
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See M4 for complete text.
FTF: M4b and M4c were administered in random order.
For the telephone version of this question see M4c.T.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING

--> M4b. OR M5.

2. --> M4b. OR M5.

3. --> M4b. OR M5.

4. --> M4b. OR M5.

5. --> M4b. OR M5.

6. --> M4b. OR M5.

7. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT

--> M4b. OR M5.

8. DK

--> M4b. OR M5.

9. RF

--> M4b. OR M5.

0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

M4c.T.

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]

See M4.T for complete text.

TELEPHONE: M4b and M4c were administered in random order. For the FTF version of this question see M4c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. PROTECT ENVIRONMENT, EVEN IF IT COSTS JOB & STANDARD OF LIVING

--> SKIP TO M4b.T OR --> M5.

5. JOBS & STANDARD OF LIVING MORE IMPORTANT THAN ENVIRONMENT

--> SKIP TO M4b.T OR --> M5.

7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]

--> SKIP TO M4b.T OR --> M5.

8. DK

--> SKIP TO M4b.T OR --> M5.

9. RF

--> SKIP TO M4b.T OR --> M5.

0. NA; INAP, FTF mode
M000721  M4c1/c2.T. Bush-strength jobs/envir
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M4c1/c2.T.

IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS PROTECTING ENVIRONMENT IS MORE IMPORTANT/
IF R SAYS GW BUSH THINKS JOBS AND STANDARD OF LIVING ARE MORE IMPORTANT:

TELEPHONE:
Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?/
Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

1. MUCH MORE IMPORTANT
5. SOMEWHAT MORE IMPORTANT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 or NA in M4c.T

M000722  M4cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush jobs/envir
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M4cx1.

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?
Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?
Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from M4c.T and M4c1.T/M4c2.T.

1. Environment much more important
2. Environment somewhat more important
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Jobs somewhat more important
5. Jobs much more important

M000723  M4cx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush jobs/envir
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FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he thinks it is important to protect the environment even if it costs some jobs, OR that protecting the environment is not as important as maintaining jobs and our standard of living?
Does he think protecting the environment much more important (than maintaining jobs and standard of living) or only somewhat more important?
Does he think maintaining jobs and standard of living much more important (than protecting the environment) or only somewhat more important?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENV/JOBS BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING
-------------------------------------------------------------
Built from M4c and M4cx1.
1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Environment much more important
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Environment somewhat more important
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Jobs somewhat more important
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Jobs much more important
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

M000724  M5. Fav/oppose homosexuals in military
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M5.
Do you think homosexuals should be allowed to serve in the United States Armed Forces or don't you think so?
-------------------------------------------------------------
1. HOMOSEXUALS SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO SERVE    --> M5a
5. HOMOSEXUALS SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO SERVE  --> M5b
8. DK     --> M6a
9. RF
0. NA

M000725  M5a. Strngth fav homosexuals in military
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric
M5a.

IF R SAYS ALLOW HOMOSEXUALS TO SERVE IN ARMED FORCES:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals should be allowed to serve?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. STRONGLY    --> M6a
5. NOT STRONGLY --> M6a
8. DK            --> M6a
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in M5

==============================================
M000726   M5b. Strength opp homosexuals in military
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M5b.

IF R SAYS DO NOT ALLOW HOMOSEXUALS TO SERVE IN ARMED FORCES:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals should not be allowed to serve?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in M5

==============================================
M000727   M5x. Summary homosexuals in military
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M5x.

Do you think homosexuals should be allowed to serve in the United States Armed Forces or don't you think so?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals should be allowed to serve?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals should not be allowed to serve?

SUMMARY: HOMOSEXUALS IN ARMED FORCES
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from M5 and M5a/b.

1. Strongly - should be allowed to serve
2. Not strongly - should be allowed to serve
4. Not strongly - should not be allowed to serve
5. Strongly - should not be allowed to serve
M000728 M6a. R-gun control scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M6a.

Do you think the federal government should make it more difficult for people to buy a gun than it is now, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?

1. MORE DIFFICULT --> SKIP TO M6a1
3. MAKE IT EASIER --> SKIP TO M6a2
5. KEEP THESE RULES ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO M6a3
8. DK --> SKIP TO M6a3
9. RF
0. NA

M000729 M6a1. R-strength more gun control
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M6a1.

IF R SAYS GOVT SHOULD MAKE IT MORE DIFFICULT TO BUY A GUN:

A lot more difficult or somewhat more difficult?

1. A LOT MORE DIFFICULT --> SKIP TO M6a3
5. SOMEWHAT MORE DIFFICULT --> SKIP TO M6a3
8. DK --> SKIP TO M6a3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in M6a

M000730 M6a2. R-strength less gun control
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M6a2.

IF R SAYS GOVT SHOULD MAKE IT EASIER TO BUY A GUN:

A lot easier or somewhat easier?

1. A LOT EASIER
5. SOMEWHAT EASIER
M6ax.

Do you think the federal government should make it more difficult for people to buy a gun than it is now, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now? A lot easier/more difficult or somewhat easier/more difficult?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON GUN RESTRICTIONS

Built from M6 and M6a/b.

1. A lot more difficult
2. Somewhat more difficult
3. Keep rules about the same
4. Somewhat easier
5. A lot easier

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

M6a3.

ALL GUN RESTRICTION RESPONDENTS:

How important is this issue to you personally? Not at all important, not too important, somewhat important, very important, or extremely important?

1. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT
2. NOT TOO IMPORTANT
3. SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
4. VERY IMPORTANT
5. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
Where would you place Al Gore? Do you think he would like to make it more difficult for people to buy a gun, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?

[DO NOT PROBE]

M6c and M6c were administered in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. MORE DIFFICULT
3. MAKE IT EASIER
5. KEEP THESE RULES ABOUT THE SAME  --> SKIP TO M6b3
8. DK  --> SKIP TO M6c
9. RF
0. NA

--------------------
M000734  M6b1/b2. Gore-strength more/less gun ctl
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M6b1/b2.

IF R THINKS GORE WOULD LIKE IT EASIER TO BUY A GUN/
IF R THINKS GORE WOULD LIKE IT MORE DIFFICULT TO BUY A GUN:

A lot easier or somewhat easier?/
A lot more difficult or somewhat more difficult?

--------------------
1. A LOT  --> M6b3
5. SOMEWHAT --> M6b3
8. DK  --> SKIP TO M6c
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in M6b

--------------------
M000735  M6bx. Summary Gore gun control
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M6bx.

Where would you place Al Gore? Do you think he would like to make it more difficult for people to buy a gun, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?
BUILDED FROM M6b AND M6b1/b2.

1. A lot more difficult
2. Somewhat more difficult
3. Keep rules about the same
4. Somewhat easier
5. A lot easier

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

M000736 M6b3. Gore-certain gun control placement
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M6b3.

IF R SAYS GORE WANTS MORE DIFFICULT/EASIER TO BUY GUN:
IF STRENGTH OF GORE PLACEMENT IS NOT DK/RF:

How certain are you of Al Gore's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in M6b1/M6b2

M000737 M6c. Bush plcmnt-gun control scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M6c.

Where would you place George W. Bush? Do you think he would like to make it more difficult for people to buy a gun, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?

[DO NOT PROBE]
M6c and M6c were administered in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. MORE DIFFICULT
3. MAKE IT EASIER
5. KEEP THESE RULES ABOUT THE SAME  --> M6c2

8. DK  --> N1/n1.e
9. RF
0. NA

===============
M000738  M6c1/c2. Bush-strength more/less gun ctl
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M6c1/c2.

IF R THINKS G.W. BUSH WOULD LIKE IT EASIER TO BUY A GUN/
IF R THINKS G.W. BUSH WOULD LIKE IT MORE DIFFICULT TO BUY A GUN:

A lot easier or somewhat easier?
A lot more difficult or somewhat more difficult?

1. A LOT  --> M6c3
5. SOMEWHAT  --> M6c3

8. DK  --> SKIP TO N1/N1.E
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in M6c

===============
M000739  M6cx. Summary Bush gun control
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M6cx.

Where would you place George W. Bush? Do you think he would like to make it more difficult for people to buy a gun, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?
A lot easier/more difficult or somewhat easier/ more difficult?

SUMMARY: GW BUSH POSITION ON GUN RESTRICTIONS

Built from M6c and M6c1/c2.

1. A lot more difficult
2. Somewhat more difficult
3. Keep rules about the same
4. Somewhat easier
5. A lot easier
M6c3. Bush-certain gun control placement
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

M6c3.

IF R SAYS GW BUSH WANTS MORE DIFFICULT/EASIER TO BUY GUN:
IF STRENGTH OF GW BUSH PLACEMENT IS NOT DK/RF:

How certain are you of George W. Bush's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in M6c1/M6c2

M000741a N1. Fav/opp school voucher program stan
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

N1.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either N1 (standard) or N1.E (experimental).

1. FAVOR SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM --> SKIP TO N1a
5. OPPOSE SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM --> SKIP TO N1a
8. DK --> SKIP TO N2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for N1.E

M000741b N1.E. Fav/opp school voucher program ex
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school, or haven't you thought much about this?

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered either N1 (standard) or N1.E (experimental).

1. FAVOR SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM --> N1a
5. OPPOSE SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM --> N1a
8. DK --> SKIP TO N2
9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for N1
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> SKIP TO N2

==============================
M000742 N1x. Combined versions school vouchers
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

N1x.

STANDARD VERSION:
Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school, or haven't you thought much about this?

COMBINED VERSIONS - SCHOOL VOUCHERS
=============================================

Built from N1 and N1.E.

1. FAVOR SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM
5. OPPOSE SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for N1
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS (N1.E only)

==============================
M000743 N1a/b. Strength fav/opp school vouchers
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

N1a/b.

IF R FAVORS SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM/
IF R OPPOSES SCHOOL VOUCHER PROGRAM:

Do you favor/oppose a school voucher program strongly or
Note: an error in the instrument programming excluded for this question respondents from the standard version; only respondents from N1.E were asked N1a/b.

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,8,9 or NA in N1.E; R selected for N1 and excluded in error from N1a/b

M000744  N1ax. Summary school vouchers
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

STANDARD VERSION:
Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school?

EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:
Do you favor or oppose a school voucher program that would allow parents to use tax funds to send their children to the school of their choice, even if it were a private school, or haven't you thought much about this?

BOTH VERSIONS:
Do you favor/oppose a school voucher program strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON SCHOOL VOUCHERS
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Build from N1x and N1a/b.

1. Favor strongly
2. Favor not strongly
4. Oppose not strongly
5. Oppose strongly
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, R selected for N1
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS (N1.E only)

M000745  N2. R fav/opp English official language
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Do you favor a law making English the official language of the United States, meaning government business
would be conducted in English only, or do you oppose such a law?

1. FAVOR
3. NEITHER FAVOR NOR OPPOSE [VOL]
5. OPPOSE

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA

Some people say that the government in Washington should see to it that white and black children go to the same schools. Others claim that this is not the government's business. Have you been interested enough in this question to favor one side over the other?

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO N4
8. DK --> SKIP TO N4
9. RF
0. NA

Do you think the government in Washington should see to it that white and black children go to the same schools or stay out of this area as it is not the government's business?

1. SEE TO IT THAT WHITE AND BLACK CHILDREN GO TO THE SAME SCHOOLS
5. STAY OUT OF THIS AREA AS IT IS NOT THE GOVERNMENT'S BUSINESS
7. OTHER; DEPENDS

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in N3
N4.

Do you think gay or lesbian couples, in other words, homosexual couples, should be legally permitted to adopt children?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

N5.

Do you favor or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?

1. FAVOR --> SKIP TO N5a
5. OPPOSE --> SKIP TO N5b
8. DK --> SKIP TO P1
9. RF
0. NA

N5a.

IF R FAVORS DEATH PENALTY FOR PERSONS CONVICTED OF MURDER:

(Do you favor the death penalty for persons convicted of murder) strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY --> P1/P1.E
5. NOT STRONGLY --> P1/P1.E
8. DK --> P1/P1.E
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in N5

N5b.

How much oppose death penalty
N5b.

IF R OPPOSES DEATH PENALTY FOR PERSONS CONVICTED OF MURDER:

(Do you oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder) strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in N5

M000752 N5x. Summary R position on death penalty
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Do you favor or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?
(Do you favor/oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder) strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON DEATH PENALTY

Built from N5 and N5a/b.

1. Favor strongly
2. Favor not strongly
4. Oppose not strongly
5. Oppose strongly

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

Numeric

CHECKPOINT: MODE AND VERSION
FOR WOMEN'S EQUAL ROLE SELF-PLACEMENT

FTF: Rs were randomly assigned to the standard 7-point scale or to an experimental version of the 7-point scale (without "haven't thought much about it" option).
Phone: Rs were randomly assigned to 2 versions of the...
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
branching format; in the 2nd version, the initial placement
question does not include the "haven't thought much about it"
option.

1. FTF standard
2. FTF experimental
3. PHONE version 1
4. PHONE version 2

==============================
M000754a   Pla. R plcmnt equal role scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Pla.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION:

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't
you thought much about this?

See P1 for complete text.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered
either Pla (standard) or Pla.E (experimental).
For the telephone versions of this question see Pla.T and
Pla.TE.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES   --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
2.                                         --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
3.                                         --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
4.                                         --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
5.                                         --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
6.                                         --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME          --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
8. DK                                      --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
9. RF; NA; INAP, 2,3,4 in P1(1)
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS         --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.

==============================
M000754b   Pla.E. R plcmnt equal role scale
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Pla.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION:

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale?

See P1 for complete text.
FTF respondents were randomly selected to be administered
either Pla (standard) or Pla.E (experimental).
For the telephone versions of this question see Pla.T and
Pla.TE.
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1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES  --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
2.  --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
3.  --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
4.  --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
5.  --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
6.  --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME  --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.

8. DK  --> SKIP TO P1.b/P1c.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,3,4 in P1(1)

M000755  Pla. Comb. FTF versions R equal role sc
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Pla.

FTF STANDARD FORMAT:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

FTF EXPERIMENTAL FORMAT:
Where would you place yourself on this scale?

COMBINED FTF STANDARD/EXPERIMENTAL VERSIONS - WOMEN'S ROLE
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from Pla and Pla.E.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS (Pla only)

M000756a  Pla.T. R equal role branch stan
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Pla.T.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1:

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

See Pla.T for complete text.
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered
either Pla.T (version 1) or Pla.TE (version 2).
For the FTF versions of this question see Pla and Pla.E.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES --> Pla1.T
5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME --> Pla1.T
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> Plb.T/Plc.T
8. DK --> Plb.T/Plc.T
9. RF; NA; INAP, 1,2,4 in P1(1)
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH

Pla.T.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel?

See Pl.T for complete text.
Phone respondents were randomly selected to be administered either Pla.T (version 1) or Pla.TE (version 2).
For the FTF versions of this question see Pla and Pla.E.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES --> Pla1.T
5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME --> Pla1.T
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> Plb.T/Plc.T
8. DK --> Plb.T/Plc.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3 in P1(1)

Pla.T.

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?
TELEPHONE VERSION 2:
Which is closer to the way you feel?

COMBINED TELEPHONE VERSIONS - WOMEN'S ROLE

Built from Pla.T and Pla.TE.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES
5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH (P1a.T only)

M000758  P1a1/a2.T. R strength equal roles
   MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
   Numeric

P1a1/a2.T.

BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
IF R SAYS MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES/
IF R SAYS A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME:

TELEPHONE:
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that men and women
should have equal roles?/
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that a woman's
place is in the home?

1. STRONGLY       --> SKIP TO P1b.T/P1c.T
5. NOT STRONGLY   --> SKIP TO P1b.T/P1c.T
8. DK             --> SKIP TO P1b.T/P1c.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 0,7,8 or RF/NA in P1a.T; 7,8,9 or NA in P1a.TE

M000759  P1a1x1. 5-pt br summary R equal role
   MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
   Numeric

P1a1x1.

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you
thought much about this?
TELEPHONE VERSION 2:
Which is closer to the way you feel?
BOTH TELEPHONE VERSIONS:
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that men
and women should have equal roles?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that a
woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE SELF-PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from Pla.x.T and Pla1/a2.T

1. Strongly - women equal role
2. Not strongly - equal role
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Not strongly - place is in the home
5. Strongly - place is in the home
8. DK
FTF STANDARD FORMAT:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

FTF EXPERIMENTAL FORMAT:
Where would you place yourself on this scale?

TELEPHONE VERSION 1:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE VERSION 2:
Which is closer to the way you feel?

BOTH VERSIONS:
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that men and women should have equal roles?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly that a woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from Pla1x and Pla1x1

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - women equal role
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - equal role
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - place is in the home
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Strongly - place is in the home

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P1 for complete text.

FTF: Plb and Plc were administered in random order.
For the telephone version of this question see Plb.T.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES --> SKIP TO Plc./P2
2. --> SKIP TO Plc./P2
3. --> SKIP TO Plc./P2
4. --> SKIP TO Plc./P2
5. --> SKIP TO Plc./P2
6. --> SKIP TO Plc./P2
7. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME --> SKIP TO Plc./P2
8. DK --> SKIP TO Plc./P2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

M000762 Plb.T. Gore-equal role scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Plb.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government, OR that a woman's place is in the home?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P1 for complete text.
TELEPHONE: Plb.T and Plc.T were administered in random order.
For the FTF version of this question see Plb.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES --> Plb1.T
5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME --> Plb1.T
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL] --> Plc.T OR --> P2.T
8. DK --> Plc.T OR --> P2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

M000763 Plb1/b2.T. Gore-strength equal role
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Plb1/b2.T.

IF R THINKS GORE FEELS MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES/
IF R THINKS GORE FEELS THAT A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that men
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that a woman's place is in the home?

1. STRONGLY
   --> Plc.T OR --> P2.T
5. NOT STRONGLY
   --> Plc.T OR --> P2.T
8. DK
   --> Plc.T OR --> P2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in P1b.T

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from P1b.T and P1b1/b2.T

1. Strongly - women equal role
2. Not strongly - equal role
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Not strongly - place is in the home
5. Strongly - place is in the home

Where would you place Al Gore (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he feels that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government, OR that a woman's place is in the home?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that men and women should have equal role?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that a woman's place is in the home?
that a woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from P1b and P1bx1

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - women equal role
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - equal role
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - place is in the home
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Strongly - place is in the home

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

-----------------------------
M000766 Plc. Bush-equal role scale FTF
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Plc.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 13)
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P1 for complete text.
FTF: P1b and P1c were administered in random order.
For the telephone version of this question see Plc.T.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES --> SKIP TO Plc./P2
2. --> SKIP TO Plc./P2
3. --> SKIP TO Plc./P2
4. --> SKIP TO Plc./P2
5. --> SKIP TO Plc./P2
6. --> SKIP TO Plc./P2
7. A WOMAN’S PLACE IS IN THE HOME --> SKIP TO Plc./P2
8. DK --> SKIP TO P1b./P2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

-----------------------------
M000767 Plc.T. Bush-equal role scale phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Plc.T.
TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he feels that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government, OR that a woman’s place is in the home?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P1 for complete text.
TELEPHONE: P1b.T and P1c.T were administered in random order.
For the FTF version of this question see P1c.

1. WOMEN AND MEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES
5. A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]

8. DK -- P1b.T OR -- P2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

-----------------------------
M000768  P1c1/c2.T. Bush-strength equal role
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P1c1/c2.T.

IF R THINKS GW BUSH FEELS MEN AND WOMEN SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ROLES/
IF R THINKS GW BUSH FEELS THAT A WOMAN'S PLACE IS IN THE HOME:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that men and women should have equal roles?/
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly that a woman's place is in the home?

-----------------------------
1. STRONGLY -- P1c.T OR -- P2.T
5. NOT STRONGLY -- P1c.T OR -- P2.T
8. DK -- P1c.T OR -- P2.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 7,8,9,0 in P1c.T

-----------------------------
M000769  P1cx1. 5-pt br summary Bush equal role
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P1cx1.

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he feels that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government, OR that a woman's place is in the home?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
that men and women should have equal role?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
that a woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from Plc.T and Plc1/c2.T

1. Strongly - women equal role
2. Not strongly - equal role
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Not strongly - place is in the home
5. Strongly - place is in the home

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; FTF mode

--------------------
M000770    Plcx2. Comb.7pt/br summ Bush equal role
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Plcx2.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 13)
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he feels that
women should have an equal role with men in running
business, industry and government, OR that a woman's
place is in the home?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
that men and women should have equal role?
Do you think he feels strongly or not strongly
that a woman's place is in the home?

SUMMARY: 5PT WOMENS ROLE BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from Plc and Plcx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Strongly - women equal role
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - equal role
3. SCALE: 3 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 4 / BRANCHING: Not strongly - place is in the home
5. SCALE: 5 / BRANCHING: Strongly - place is in the home

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

--------------------
M000771    P2a. self placmnt-envir regulation scale
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric
P2a.

FTF: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
See P2 for complete text. For the telephone version of this question see P2a.T

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

-------------------------------
M000772 P2a.T. R-envir regulation branch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P2a.T.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
See P2.T for complete text. For the FTF version of this question see P2a.

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
5. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

-------------------------------
M000773 P2a1.T. How much tougher regulation
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P2a1.T.

IF R THINKS TOUGHER REGULATION ON BUSINESS NEEDED:

TELEPHONE:
Do we need to toughen regulations to protect the
environment a lot, or just somewhat?

1. A LOT  --> P2a3
5. SOMEWHAT  --> P2a3

8. DK  --> P2a3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in P2a.T

-----------------------------

M000774  P2a2.T. How much are regulatns a burden
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P2a2.T.

IF R THINKS REGULATIONS ALREADY TOO MUCH BURDEN:

TELEPHONE:
Are regulations to protect the environment way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

1. WAY TOO MUCH
5. SOMEWHAT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in P2a.T

-----------------------------

M000775  P2ax1. 5-pt br summary R envir regul
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P2ax1.

TELEPHONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?
Do we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?
Are regulations to protect the environment way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG SELF-LACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from P2a.T and P2a1/a2.T

1. Toughen regulations a lot
2. Toughen regulations a little
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Regulations somewhat of a burden
5. Regulations way too much burden

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode

Page 355
0. Haven't thought much about it

M000776  P2ax2. Comb.7pt/br summ R envir regul
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P2ax2.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEHPONE:
Which is closer to the way you feel, or haven't you thought much about this?
Do we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?
Are regulations to protect the environment way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG SELF-PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from P2a and P2ax1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a lot
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Regulations somewhat of a burden
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Regulations way too much burden

8. DK
9. RF; NA
0. Haven't thought much about it

M000777  P2aa. How important is envir regulation
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P2aa.

ALL ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION RESPONDENTS:

How important is this issue to you personally? Not at all important, not too important, somewhat important, very important, or extremely important?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT
2. NOT TOO IMPORTANT
3. SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
4. VERY IMPORTANT
5. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
M000778    P2b. Gore placmnt-envir regulation scale
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P2b.

FTF:
Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P2 for complete text.
P2b and P2c were administered in random order.
For the telephone version of this question see P2b.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS
   NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT           --> P2bb
2.                                         --> P2bb
3.                                         --> P2bb
4.                                         --> P2bb
5.                                         --> P2bb
6.                                         --> P2bb
7. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
   ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS
8. DK                                     --> SKIP TO P2c OR P3
9. RF
10. NA; INAP, Phone mode

M000779    P2b.T. Gore-envir regulation branch
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P2b.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we need much
tougher government regulations on business in order to
protect the environment, OR that current regulations to
protect the environment are already too much of a burden
on business?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P2.T for complete text.
P2b.T and P2c.T were administered in random order.
For the FTF version of this question see P2b.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
5. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

==================================
M000780 P2b1.T. Gore-strength tougher regulation
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P2b1.T.

IF R THINKS GORE FEELS TOUGHER ENV REGULATION ON BUSINESS NEEDED:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

1. A LOT --> P2bb
5. SOMEWHAT --> P2bb
8. DK --> P2c.T OR --> P3.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 5,7,8,9,0 in P2b.T

==================================
M000781 P2b2.T. Gore-strength regulation burden
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P2b2.T.

IF R THINKS GORE FEELS ENV REGULATIONS ALREADY TOO MUCH BURDEN:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

1. WAY TOO MUCH --> P2bb
5. SOMEWHAT --> P2bb
8. DK --> P2c.T OR --> P3.
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in P2b.T
What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?

Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG GORE PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from P2b.T and P2b1/b2.T.

1. Toughen regulations a lot
2. Toughen regulations a little
3. Other/depends/neither
4. Regulations somewhat of a burden
5. Regulations way too much burden

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

P2bx2.

Where would you place Al Gore on this issue?

What about Al Gore? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?

Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat?

Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG GORE PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING
Built from P2b and P2bx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a lot
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Regulations somewhat of a burden
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Regulations way too much burden

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

-------------------------------
M000784  P2bb. Gore-certain envir regulation
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P2bb.

IF R'S PLACEMENT OF GORE IS NOT DK OR OTHER/DEPENDS/NEITHER:

How certain are you of Al Gore's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in P2b; 7,8,9 or NA in P2b.T

-------------------------------
M000785  P2c. Bush placemnt-envir regulation scale
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P2c.

FTF:
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P2 for complete text.
P2b and P2c were administered in random order.
For the telephone version of this question see P2c.T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT  --> P2cc
What about George W. Bush? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business?

[DO NOT PROBE DK]
See P2.T for complete text.
P2b.T and P2c.T were administered in random order.
For the FTF version of this question see P2c.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the pre-survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TOUGHER REGULATIONS ON BUSINESS NEEDED TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT
5. REGULATIONS TO PROTECT ENVIRONMENT ALREADY TOO MUCH A BURDEN ON BUSINESS
7. OTHER, IT DEPENDS, NEITHER [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

IF R THINKS GW BUSH FEELS TOUGHER ENV REGULATION ON BUSINESS NEEDED:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think he believes that we need to toughen
Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

1. WAY TOO MUCH --> P2cc
5. SOMEWHAT --> P2cc

8. DK --> P2b.T OR --> P3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,7,8,9,0 in P2c.T

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG BUSH PLACEMENT FROM BRANCHING SERIES

Built from P2c.T and P2c1/c2.T

1. Toughen regulations a lot
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this issue)?

TELEPHONE:

What about George W. Bush? Do you think he believes we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment, OR that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business? Do you think he believes that we need to toughen regulations to protect the environment a lot, or just somewhat? Do you think he believes regulations to protect the environment are way too much of a burden on business or just somewhat of a burden?

SUMMARY: 5PT ENVIR REG BUSH PLACEMENT FROM 7PT SCALE/BRANCHING

Built from P2c and P2cx1.

1. SCALE: 1 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a lot
2. SCALE: 2 / BRANCHING: Toughen regulations a little
3. SCALE: 3,4,5 / BRANCHING: Other/depends/neither
4. SCALE: 6 / BRANCHING: Regulations somewhat of a burden
5. SCALE: 7 / BRANCHING: Regulations way too much burden

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

IF R'S PLACEMENT OF GW BUSH IS NOT DK OR OTHER/DEPENDS/NEITHER:

How certain are you of George W. Bush's position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?
1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9 or NA in P2c; 7,8,9 or NA in P2c.T

****************************
M000792    P3. R expect to vote in Nov election
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P3.

So far as you know now, do you expect to vote in the national elections this coming November or not?

******************************************************************************

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO P3c
8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO P3c
9. RF
0. NA

*******************************
M000793    P3a. Who will R vote for President
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P3a.

IF R EXPECTS TO VOTE IN NOVEMBER ELECTION:

Who do you think you will vote for in the election for President?

******************************************************************************

[PROBE: NEEDLESS TO SAY, THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES IS A LONG TIME AWAY IN NOVEMBER. BUT I'D LIKE TO ASK YOU FOR YOUR BEST GUESS ABOUT WHO YOU WILL VOTE FOR IN THE ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT IN NOVEMBER.]

1. AL GORE
2. GEORGE W. BUSH
3. PAT BUCHANAN
4. RALPH NADER
5. NONE (if voter, will not vote for president) [VOL] --> SKIP TO P3c
7. OTHER, (SPECIFY):

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO P4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in P3

****************************
M000794    P3b. Strength of preference for candidate
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Would you say that your preference for [GORE/BUSH/BUCHANAN/OTHER] is strong or not strong?

1. STRONG --> SKIP TO P4
5. NOT STRONG --> SKIP TO P4
8. DK --> SKIP TO P4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9 or 0 in P3a

P3c.

If you were going to vote, who do you think you would vote for in the election for President?

1. AL GORE
2. GEORGE W. BUSH
3. PAT BUCHANAN
4. RALPH NADER
5. NONE (if voter, will not vote for president) [VOL] --> SKIP TO P4
7. OTHER, (SPECIFY):

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO P4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0, 9 in P3; 1-4,7,8,9 or NA in P3a

P3d.

If you were going to vote, who do you think you would vote for in the election for President?
Would you say that your preference for <CANDIDATE NAMED> is strong or not strong?

1. STRONG
5. NOT STRONG

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,0,8,9 in P3c

The next couple of questions are about what you think will happen as a result of the upcoming elections.

After the November election, which party do you think will have the most members in the U.S. House of Representatives -- the Republicans or the Democrats?

1. REPUBLICANS
5. DEMOCRATS

Again, after the November election, which party do you think will have the most members in the U.S. Senate, -- the Republicans or the Democrats?

1. REPUBLICANS
5. DEMOCRATS

Some people feel that if black people are not getting
fair treatment in jobs, the government in Washington ought to see to it that they do. Others feel that this is not the federal government's business. Have you had enough interest in this question to favor one side over the other?

1. YES, HAVE INTEREST IN QUESTION --> P6a
5. NO, HAVEN'T HAD INTEREST --> P7
8. DK --> P7
9. RF
0. NA

===================================
M000800  P6a. Govt should ensure Blacks equ trtment in jobs
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P6a.

IF R HAS HAD INTEREST IN ISSUE OF FAIR TREATMENT IN JOBS:

How do you feel? Should the government in Washington see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs OR is this not the federal government's business?

1. GOVERNMENT IN WASHINGTON SHOULD SEE TO IT THAT BLACK PEOPLE GET FAIR TREATMENT IN JOBS
5. THIS IS NOT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S BUSINESS
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DK --> P7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,7,8,9,0 in P6

===================================
M000801  P6a1. Strength blacks equal trtmnt jobs
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P6a1.

IF R HAS HAD INTEREST IN ISSUE OF FAIR TREATMENT IN JOBS:
IF R'S POSITION IS SEE TO FAIR TREATMENT/
IF R'S POSITION IS NOT THE FEDERAL GOVT'S BUSINESS:

Do you feel strongly or not strongly about that?

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,7,8,9 in P6a

===================================
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P6x.

How do you feel? Should the government in Washington see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs OR is this not the federal government's business? Do you feel strongly or not strongly about that?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON FAIR TREATMENT IN JOBS

Built from P6,P6a,P6a1.

1. Strongly - govt should see to fair treatment
2. Not strongly - govt should see to fair treatment
4. Not strongly - not the government's business
5. Strongly - not the government's business
7. Other
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no interest (5 in P6)

P7.

Some people say that because of past discrimination, blacks should be given preference in hiring and promotion. Others say that such preference in hiring and promotion of blacks is wrong because it gives blacks advantages they haven't earned. What about your opinion -- are you for or against preferential hiring and promotion of blacks?

1. FOR PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS --> P7a
5. AGAINST PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS --> P7b
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) --> section Q as randomly selected
8. DK --> section Q as randomly selected
9. RF
0. NA

P7a.

Some people say that because of past discrimination, blacks should be given preference in hiring and promotion. Others say that such preference in hiring and promotion of blacks is wrong because it gives blacks advantages they haven't earned. What about your opinion -- are you for or against preferential hiring and promotion of blacks?

1. FOR PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS --> P7a
5. AGAINST PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS --> P7b
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) --> section Q as randomly selected
8. DK --> section Q as randomly selected
9. RF
0. NA
IF R FAVORS PREFERENCE IN HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS:

Do you favor preference in hiring and promotion strongly or not strongly?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,7,8,9,0 in P7

==============================
M000805  P7b. Strength against preference blks jobs
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

P7b.

IF R OPPOSES PREFERENCE IN HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS:

Do you oppose preference in hiring and promotion strongly or not strongly?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,7,8,9,0 in P7

==============================
M000806  P7x. Summary preference for blacks jobs
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 7
Numeric

P7x.

What about your opinion- are you for or against preferential hiring and promotion of blacks? 
Do you favor/oppose preference in hiring and promotion strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: R POSITION ON AFFIRMATIVE ACTION IN JOBS
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from P7 and P7a/b.

1. Favor strongly
2. Favor not strongly
4. Oppose not strongly
5. Oppose strongly

7. Other
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA
Q1. As you know, Bill Clinton was first elected President in November 1992. He will soon be leaving office after 8 years as President. The next several questions ask whether you think things have changed since Clinton came into office.

First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. GOTTEN SMALLER
3. GOTTEN LARGER --> SKIP TO Q1b
5. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO Q2

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

Q1a. If R says the budget deficit is smaller than in 1992:

Would you say that the budget deficit is much smaller or somewhat smaller?

1. MUCH SMALLER --> SKIP TO Q2
5. SOMewhat SMALLER --> SKIP TO Q2

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q1

Q1b. If R says the budget deficit is larger than in 1992:

Would you say that the budget deficit is much larger or somewhat larger?

1. MUCH LARGER --> SKIP TO Q2
5. SOMewhat LARGER --> SKIP TO Q2

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q1
Q1b.

IF R SAYS THE BUDGET DEFICIT IS LARGER THAN IN 1992:

(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much larger
or somewhat larger?

-----------------------------------------------

1. MUCH LARGER
5. SOMEWHAT LARGER

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q1

===============================================
M000810 Q1x. Summary budget deficit since 1992
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q1x.

First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal
budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same?
(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller/
larger or somewhat smaller/larger?

SUMMARY: BUDGET DEFICIT SINCE 1992
-----------------------------------------------

Built from Q1 and Q1a/b.

1. Much smaller
2. Somewhat smaller
3. About the same
4. Somewhat larger
5. Much larger

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

===============================================
M000811 Q2. Spending on poor inc/dec since 1992
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q2.

Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been
increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as
in 1992?

=====================================================================

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the
retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects
and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected
for the retrospective battery in the Pre election
instrument they were assigned administration in the
Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1 INCREASED
3 DECREASED
5 STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO Q3
8 DK --> SKIP TO Q3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

-----------------------------
M000812 Q2a. How much inc/dec aid to poor
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q2a.

IR SAYS SPENDING ON POOR HAS DECREASED SINCE 1992/
IR SAYS SPENDING ON POOR HAS INCREASED SINCE 1992:
A lot or somewhat?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A LOT
5. SOMEWHAT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q2

-----------------------------
M000813 Q2x. Summary assistance to poor
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q2x.

Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been
increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as
in 1992?
A lot or somewhat?
SUMMARY: FEDERAL ASSISTANCE TO THE POOR
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from Q2 and Q2a.

1. Increases a lot
2. Increased somewhat
3. Stayed about the same
4. Decreased somewhat
5. Decreased a lot

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

-----------------------------
M000814 Q3. Economy better/worse compared to 1992
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Q3.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's economy is better, worse, or about the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER    3. WORSE -> SKIP TO Q3b
5. THE SAME  -> SKIP TO Q4

8. DK       -> SKIP TO Q4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

Q3a.

IF R SAYS NATION'S ECONOMY IS BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER  -> SKIP TO Q4
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER  -> SKIP TO Q4

8. DK  -> SKIP TO Q4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q3

Q3b.

IF R SAYS NATION'S ECONOMY IS WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

8. DK
Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's economy is better, worse, or about the same? (Would you say) much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: ECONOMY SINCE 1992

Built from Q3 and Q3a/b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. About the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. MADE THE ECONOMY BETTER
3. MADE THE ECONOMY WORSE --> SKIP TO Q4b
5. NO EFFECT --> SKIP TO Q5

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre
Q4a.

**IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE ECONOMY BETTER SINCE 1992:**

Much better or somewhat better?

---

1. MUCH BETTER    --> SKIP TO Q5
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q5
8. DK              --> SKIP TO Q5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q4

---

M000820  Q4b. Clinton made econ much/somewhat worse

**Q4b.**

**IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE ECONOMY WORSE SINCE 1992:**

Much worse or somewhat worse?

---

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q4

---

M000821  Q4x. Summary Clinton effect on US econ

**Q4x.**

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?

Much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

---

**SUMMARY: CLINTON EFFECT ON ECONOMY**

---

Built from Q4 and Q4a/b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No effect
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
Have you personally been helped or have you been hurt economically by the Clinton Administration, or hasn't it affected you one way or the other?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. HELPED
3. HURT
5. NOT AFFECTED
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. MORE SECURE
3. LESS SECURE --> SKIP TO Q6b
5. NO CHANGE --> SKIP TO Q7
8. DK --> SKIP TO Q7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre
M000824    Q6a. U.S. much more secure from enemies
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q6a.

IF R SAYS U.S. MORE SECURE FROM ENEMIES SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much more secure or somewhat more secure?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. MUCH MORE SECURE         --> SKIP TO Q7
5. SOMEWHAT MORE SECURE     --> SKIP TO Q7
8. DK                       --> SKIP TO Q7
9. RF                       --> SKIP TO Q7
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q6

M000825    Q6b. U.S much less secure from enemies
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q6b.

IF R SAYS U.S. LESS SECURE FROM ENEMIES SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much less secure or somewhat less secure?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. MUCH LESS SECURE
5. SOMEWHAT LESS SECURE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q6

M000826    Q6x. Summary US secure from for enemies
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q6x.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States
is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or
hasn't this changed very much?
(Would you say) much more/less secure or somewhat more/
less secure?

SUMMARY: U.S. SECURITY FROM FOREIGN ENEMIES
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from Q6 and Q6a/b.

1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. Not changed very much
4. Somewhat less secure
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5. Much less secure

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

M000827 Q7. Clinton made U.S. more/less secure
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q7.

Would you say that Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. MORE SECURE
3. LESS SECURE --> SKIP TO Q7b
5. NO CHANGE --> SKIP TO Q8

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q8
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

M000828 Q7a. Clinton made U.S. much/smwhat more secure
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q7a.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORE SECURE:

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?

1. MUCH MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO Q8
5. SOMewhat MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO Q8

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q8
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q7

M000829 Q7b. Clinton made U.S. much/smwhat less secure
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q7b.
IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. LESS SECURE:

Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

1. MUCH LESS SECURE
5. SOMEWHAT LESS SECURE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q7

==============================
M000830    Q7x. Summ- Clinton impact on U.S. security
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q7x.

Would you say that Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either way? Much more/less secure or somewhat more/less secure?

SUMMARY: CLINTON ADMINISTRATION EFFECT ON U.S. SECURITY

Built from Q7 and Q7a/b.

1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. Hasn't made much difference
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

==============================
M000831    Q8.  U.S. crime rate better/worse since 1992
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q8.

Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO Q8b
5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO Q9

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

==============================================
M000832  Q8a. U.S. crime rate much or somewhat better
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q8a.

IF R SAYS U.S. CRIME RATE HAS GOTTEN BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

--------------------------------------------------------
1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q9
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q9

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q8

==============================================
M000833  Q8b. U.S. crime rate much or somewhat worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q8b.

IF R SAYS U.S. CRIME RATE HAS GOTTEN WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

--------------------------------------------------------
1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q8

==============================================
M000834  Q8x. Summary - U.S. crime rate since 1992
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q8x.

Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?

(Would you say) much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: U.S. CRIME RATE SINCE 1992
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Built from Q8 and Q8a/b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

M000835   Q9. Clinton made crime rate better/worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q9.

Would you say that Clinton administration has made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO Q9b
5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO Q10

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q10
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

M000836   Q9a. Clinton made crime rate much/smwhat btr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q9a.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q10
5. SOMewhat BETTER --> SKIP TO Q10

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q10
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q9
M000837    Q9b. Clinton made crime rate much/smwhat wrse
        MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
        Numeric

Q9b.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q9

M000838    Q9x. Summary - Clinton impact on crime rate
        MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
        Numeric

Q9x.

Would you say that Clinton administration has made the
nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made
much difference either way?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON CRIME RATE

Built from Q9 and Q9a/b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Hasn't made much difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

M000839    Q10. Moral climate btr/worse since 1992
        MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
        Numeric

Q10.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral
climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or
gotten worse?
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Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO Q10b
5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO Q11
8. DK --> SKIP TO Q11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

M000840 Q10a. Moral climate much/smwhat better
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q10a.

IF R SAYS MORAL CLIMATE IS BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q11
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q11
8. DK --> SKIP TO Q11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q10

M000841 Q10b. Moral climate much/smwhat worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q10b.

IF R SAYS MORAL CLIMATE IS WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q10

M000842 Q10x. Summary moral climate since 1992
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q10x.
Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse? (Would you say) much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

SUMMARY: U.S. MORAL CLIMATE SINCE 1992
---------------------------------------------------
Built from Q10 and Q10a/b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

-----------------------------
M000843   Q11. Clinton made moral climate btr/worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q11.

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?
---------------------------------------------------
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE    --> SKIP TO Q11b
5. NO DIFFERENCE    --> SKIP TO Q14

8. DK    --> SKIP TO Q14
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

-----------------------------
M000844   Q11a.Clinton made moral climate much/swht btr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q11a.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE MORAL CLIMATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO Q14
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO Q14

8. DK --> SKIP TO Q14
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in Q11

Q11b.

IF R SAYS CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE MORAL CLIMATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in Q11

Q11x.

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON MORAL CLIMATE

Built from Q11 and Q11a/b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Hasn't made much difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, ; INAP, R selected for Q14-Q15 sections in the Pre

Q14a. Angry- Clinton affect

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Numeric
Q14a.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:) ANGRY

Clinton affects were asked in random order.
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

M000848 Q14a1. Angry- how oft Clinton affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Q14a1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R ANGRY:

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q14a

M000849 Q14b. Hopeful- Clinton affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric

Q14b.
Clinton affects were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO  --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK  --> SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

M000850 Q14b1. Hopeful- how oft Clinton affect
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q14b1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R HOPEFUL:

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q14b

M000851 Q14c. Afraid- Clinton affect
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q14c.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
HOPEFUL

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)

Clinton affects were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO            --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK            --> SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

M000852 Q14c1. Afraid- how often Clinton affect
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q14c1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q14c

M000853 Q14d. Proud- Clinton affect
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q14d.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
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Clinton affects were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

M000854 Q14d1. Proud- how oft Clinton affect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q14d1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt proud -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Q14d

M000855 Q15a/Q15a.T. Clinton trait-moral
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q15a/Q15a.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 4 of the booklet. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

TELEPHONE:
In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<trait>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

MORAL
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Clinton traits were asked in random order.
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1 EXTREMELY WELL
2 QUITE WELL
3 NOT TOO WELL
4 NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

-------------------------------
M000856 Q15b/Q15b.T. Clinton trait-really cares
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q15b/Q15b.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

TELEPHONE:
In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<trait>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not
Clinton traits were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1 EXTREMELY WELL
2 QUITE WELL
3 NOT TOO WELL
4 NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre
Clinton traits were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1 EXTREMELY WELL
2 QUITE WELL
3 NOT TOO WELL
4 NOT WELL AT ALL
5 DK
6 RF
7 NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

====================================================================

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Clinton traits were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1 EXTREMELY WELL
2 QUITE WELL
3 NOT TOO WELL
4 NOT WELL AT ALL
5 DK
6 RF
7 NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

====================================================================
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1 EXTREMELY WELL
2 QUITE WELL
3 NOT TOO WELL
4 NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre

M000859 Q15e/Q15e.T. Clinton trait-dishonest
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q15e/Q15e.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

TELEPHONE:
In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

DISHONEST

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Clinton traits were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
In your opinion, does the phrase "he is <trait>?" describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

TELEPHONE:
In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <TRAIT>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

INTELLIGENT

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Clinton traits were asked in random order. Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1 EXTREMELY WELL
2 QUITE WELL
3 NOT TOO WELL
4 NOT WELL AT ALL
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

(What about <trait>?

[Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]}

TELEPHONE:

In your opinion, does the phrase he (is) '<TRAIT>' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

(What about <TRAIT>?

[Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]}

OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE

Clinton traits were asked in random order.

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (Q1-Q11) or the affects and traits battery (Q14-Q15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Pre election instrument they were assigned administration in the Post (S1-S11) and vice versa.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1 EXTREMELY WELL
2 QUITE WELL
3 NOT TOO WELL
4 NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Q1-Q11 in the Pre
R1.

Some people have opinions about almost everything; other people have opinions about just some things; and still other people have very few opinions. What about you? Would you say you have opinions about almost everything, about many things, about some things, or about very few things?

1. ALMOST EVERYTHING
2. MANY THINGS
3. SOME THINGS
4. VERY FEW THINGS

R1a.

Compared to the average person do you have fewer opinions about whether things are good or bad, about the same number of opinions, or more opinions?

1. FEWER OPINIONS
2. ABOUT THE SAME NUMBER OF OPINIONS
3. MORE OPINIONS
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA

R1a1.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE HAS MORE OPINIONS THAN THE AVERAGE PERSON:

Would you say that you have a lot more opinions or just somewhat more opinions?

1. A LOT MORE OPINIONS  --> SKIP TO R2
2. SOMEWHAT MORE OPINIONS --> SKIP TO R2
3. DK  --> SKIP TO R2
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 1,3,5,8,9,0 in R1a
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M000865  R1a2. More much fewer opinions than avg
    MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
    Numeric

R1a2.

IF R SAYS HE/SHE HAS FEWER OPINIONS THAN THE AVERAGE PERSON:

Would you say that you have a lot fewer opinions or just somewhat fewer opinions?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A LOT FEWER OPINIONS
5. SOMEWHAT FEWER OPINIONS

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in R1a

M000866  Rlx. Summary degree R opinionated
    MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
    Numeric

Rlx.

Compared to the average person do you have fewer opinions about whether things are good or bad, about the same number of opinions, or more opinions?
Would you say that you have a lot more/fewer opinions or just somewhat more/fewer opinions?

SUMMARY: DEGREE R OPINIONATED
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from R1a and Rla1/Rla2.

1. A lot fewer
2. Somewhat fewer
3. About the same
4. Somewhat more
5. A lot more

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

M000867  R2. Does R like respnsbty for thinking
    MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
    Numeric

R2.

Some people like to have responsibility for handling situations that require a lot of thinking, and other people don't like to have responsibility for situations like that.
What about you? Do you like having responsibility for
handling situations that require a lot of thinking, do you dislike it, or do you neither like it nor dislike it?

1. LIKE
3. DISLIKE --> SKIP TO R2b
5. NEITHER LIKE OR DISLIKE --> SKIP TO R3

8. DK --> SKIP TO R3
9. RF
0. NA

R2a. How much like responsbty for thinking
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R2a.

IF R SAYS R LIKES SITUATIONS REQUIRING A LOT OF THINKING:

Do you like it a lot or just somewhat?

1. A LOT --> SKIP TO R3
5. SOMEWHAT --> SKIP TO R3

8. DK --> SKIP TO R3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3,5,8,9,0 in R2

R2b. How much dislike rsbty for thinking
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R2b.

IF R SAYS R DISLIKES SITUATIONS REQUIRING A LOT OF THINKING:

Do you dislike it a lot or just somewhat?

1. DISLIKE IT A LOT
5. DISLIKE IT SOMEWHAT

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,5,8,9,0 in R2

R2x. Summary like/dislike thinking
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

What about you? Do you like having responsibility for handling situations that require a lot of thinking, do
Summary: R like/dislike for intensive thought
-------------------------------------------------------------

Built from R2 and R2a/b.

1. Like it a lot
2. Like it somewhat
3. Neither like it nor dislike it
4. Dislike it somewhat
5. Dislike it a lot

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA

M000871  R3. Like simple or complex problems
          MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
          Numeric

R3.

Some people prefer to solve simple problems instead of complex ones, whereas other people prefer to solve more complex problems. Which type of problem do you prefer to solve: simple or complex?

-------------------------------------------------------------

1. SIMPLE
5. COMPLEX

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA

M000872  S1. Is religion important to R
          MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
          Numeric

S1.

Do you consider religion to be an important part of your life, or not?

-------------------------------------------------------------

1. IMPORTANT
5. NOT IMPORTANT --> SKIP TO S3

8. DON'T KNOW --> SKIP TO S3
9. RF
0. NA

M000873  S2. How much guidance from religion
S2.

**IF R SAYS THAT RELIGION IS IMPORTANT:**

Would you say your religion provides some guidance in your day-to-day living, quite a bit of guidance, or a great deal of guidance in your day-to-day life?

1. SOME
2. QUITE A BIT
3. A GREAT DEAL
4. DK
5. RF
6. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in S1

-------------------------------

**M000874**  S3/S3.T. How often does R pray

**MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8**

**Numeric**

S3/S3.T.

**FTF:**
People practice their religion in different ways. Outside of attending religious services, do you pray several times a day, once a day, a few times a week, once a week or less or never?

**TELEPHONE:**
People practice their religion in different ways. Outside of attending religious services, do you pray several times a day, once a day, a few times a week, once a week or less or never?

1. SEVERAL TIMES A DAY
2. ONCE A DAY
3. A FEW TIMES A WEEK
4. ONCE A WEEK OR LESS
5. NEVER
6. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

------------------------------

**M000875**  S4/S4.T. How often does R read the bible

**MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8**

**Numeric**

S4/S4.T.
Outside of attending religious services, do you read the Bible several times a day, once a day, a few times a week, once a week or less or never?

1. SEVERAL TIMES A DAY
2. ONCE A DAY
3. A FEW TIMES A WEEK
4. ONCE A WEEK OR LESS
5. NEVER
6. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

Which of these statements comes closest to describing your feelings about the Bible? You can just give me the number of your choice.

1. THE BIBLE IS THE ACTUAL WORD OF GOD AND IS TO BE TAKEN LITERALLY, WORD FOR WORD.
2. THE BIBLE IS THE WORD OF GOD BUT NOT EVERYTHING IN IT SHOULD BE TAKEN LITERALLY, WORD FOR WORD.
3. THE BIBLE IS A BOOK WRITTEN BY MEN AND IS NOT THE WORD OF GOD.
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]
X1.

Lots of things come up that keep people from attending religious services even if they want to. Thinking about your life these days, do you ever attend religious services, apart from occasional weddings, baptisms or funerals?

1. YES --> SKIP TO X2
5. NO --> SKIP TO X1a
8. DK --> SKIP TO X1a
9. RF
0. NA

X1a.

IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES:

Regardless of whether you now attend any religious services do you ever think of yourself as part of a particular church or denomination?

1. YES --> X3
5. NO --> GO TO Y1
8. DK --> GO TO Y1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,9,0 in X1

X2.

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:

Do you go to religious services every week, almost every week, once or twice a month, a few times a year, or never?

1. EVERY WEEK --> X2a
2. ALMOST EVERY WEEK --> X3
3. ONCE OR TWICE A MONTH --> X3
4. A FEW TIMES A YEAR --> X3
5. NEVER --> X3
8. DK --> X3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in X1

M000880  X2a. Attend relig serv > once/week
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

X2a.

IF R SAYS ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES 'EVERY WEEK':

Would you say you go to religious services once a week or more often than once a week?

1. ONCE A WEEK
2. MORE OFTEN THAN ONCE A WEEK
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9, 0 in X1; 2-5,8,9 or NA in X2

M000881  X3. Attend church checkpoint
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
Numeric

X3.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH: /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENomination:

CHECKPOINT: CHURCH ATTENDANCE

1. YES --&gt; X3a
5. NO (5 in X1) --&gt; X3b
9. RF (9 in X1)
0. INAP, 0 in X1

M000882  X3a. Attend protestant/Cath/Jewish/other
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

X3a.

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:

Do you mostly attend a place of worship that is Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or something else?

1. PROTESTANT --&gt; X4
2. CATHOLIC --&gt; X7
3. JEWISH --&gt; X6a
7. OTHER --&gt; X4
8. DK --> SKIP TO Y1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,5,9 in X3

-----------------------------
M000883  X3b. Belong protestant/Cath/Jewish/other
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

X3b.

IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION:

Do you consider yourself Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or something else?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. PROTESTANT --> X4
2. CATHOLIC --> SKIP TO X7
3. JEWISH --> SKIP TO X6b
7. OTHER --> X4
8. DK --> SKIP TO Y1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9 or NA in X1a; 1,0,9 in X3

-----------------------------
M000884  X4. Denomination/other specify
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 95  
Numeric

X4.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER'/ IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':

What church or denomination is that?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. BAPTIST --> SKIP TO X4b
2. EPISCOPALIAN/ANGLICAN/CHURCH OF ENGLAND --> SKIP TO X7
3. LUTHERAN --> SKIP TO X4c
4. METHODIST --> SKIP TO X4d
5. JUST PROTESTANT --> SKIP TO X7
6. PRESBYTERIAN --> SKIP TO X4e
7. REFORMED --> SKIP TO X4f
8. BRETHREN --> SKIP TO X4g
9. EVANGELICAL UNITED BRETHREN --> SKIP TO X7
10. CHRISTIAN OR JUST CHRISTIAN --> SKIP TO X4h
11. CHRISTIAN SCIENTIST --> SKIP TO X7
12. CHURCH (or CHURCHES) OF CHRIST --> SKIP TO X4i
13. UNITED CHURCH OF CHRIST --> SKIP TO X7
14. DISCIPLES OF CHRIST --> SKIP TO X7
15. CHURCH OF GOD --> SKIP TO X4j
16. ASSEMBLY OF GOD --> SKIP TO X7
17. CONGREGATIONALIST --> SKIP TO X7
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

18. HOLINESS --> SKIP TO X4k
19. PENTACOSTAL --> SKIP TO X4k
20. FRIENDS, QUAKER --> SKIP TO X7
21. ORTHODOX, e.g. Greek, Russian (SPECIFY);C --> SKIP TO X7
22. NON-DENOMINATIONAL - PROTESTANT --> SKIP TO X7
23. MORMONS --> SKIP TO X7
24. JEHOVAH'S WITNESSES --> SKIP TO X7
25. LATTER DAY SAINTS --> SKIP TO X7
26. UNITARIAN/UNIVERSALIST --> SKIP TO X7
27. BUDDHIST --> SKIP TO X7
28. HINDU --> SKIP TO X7
29. MUSLIM/ISLAM --> SKIP TO X7
30. NATIVE AMERICAN --> SKIP TO X7

95. NA
98. DK --> SKIP TO X7
99. RF
00. INAP, 9,0 in X1; 5,8,9 or NA in X1a; 2,3,8,9 or NA in X3a/b
90. OTHER (SPECIFY) --> SKIP TO X4m

================================================================================
M000885  X4(1). (blanked) denomination other
Character
X4(1).

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER':
IF R DENOMINATION IS 'OTHER':

What church or denomination is that?

TEXT OF X4 DENOMINATION CODE 90 'OTHER' (BLANKED)
==================================================================
This variable has been blanked to preserve confidentiality.

================================================================================
M000886  X4a. Baptist group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
X4a.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS BAPTIST IN X4:

With which Baptist group is your church associated?
Is it the Southern Baptist Convention, the American
Baptist Churches in the U.S.A., the American Baptist
Association, an independent Baptist church or some other
Baptist group?
==================================================================
1. SOUTHERN BAPTIST CONVENTION --> X7
2. AMERICAN BAPTIST CHURCHES IN USA --> X7
3. AMERICAN BAPTIST ASSOCIATION   --> X7
4. INDEPENDENT BAPTIST          --> X7
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)              --> X7
8. DK                         --> X7
9. RF                         --> X7
0. NA; INAP, 0,2-99 in X4

M000887  X4b. Independent Baptist group
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

X4b.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS BAPTIST IN X4:
IF R’S CHURCH IS INDEPENDENT BAPTIST:

Are you affiliated with any larger Baptist group or is
this strictly a local church?

1. LARGER BAPTIST GROUP [SPECIFY]   --> X7
2. LOCAL                         --> X7
8. DK                         --> X7
9. RF                         --> X7
0. NA; INAP, 1-3,7,8,9,0 in X4a

M000888  X4c. Lutheran group
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

X4c.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS LUTHERAN IN X4:

Is this church part of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in
American, the Missouri Synod, or some other Lutheran
group?

1. EVANGELICAL LUTHERAN CHURCH   --> X7
2. MISSOURI SYNOD                --> X7
7. OTHER [SPECIFY]               --> X7
8. DK                         --> X7
9. RF                         --> X7
0. NA; INAP, 0,1,2,4-99 in X4
X4d.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a / 
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH 
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b: 
IF R DENOMINATION IS METHODIST IN X4:

Is your church part of the United Methodist Church, 
African Methodist Episcopal, or some other Methodist group?

1. UNITED METHODIST CHURCH --> X7
2. AFRICAN METHODIST EPISCOPAL --> X7
7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7

8. DK --> X7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,1-3,5-99 in X4

-------------------------------
M000890 X4e. Presbyterian group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

X4e.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a / 
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH 
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b: 
IF R DENOMINATION IS PRESBYTERIAN IN X4:

Is this the Presbyterian Church in the USA or some other 
Presbyterian group?

1. PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH USA (FORMERLY 
UNITED PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH) --> X7
7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7

8. DK --> X7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,1-5,7-99 in X4

-------------------------------
M000891 X4f. Reformed group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

X4f.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a / 
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH 
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b: 
IF R DENOMINATION IS REFORMED IN X4:
Is this the Christian Reformed Church, the Reformed Church in America or some Other Reformed group?

1. **CHRISTIAN REFORMED CHURCH** --> X7
2. **THE REFORMED CHURCH IN AMERICA** --> X7
7. **OTHER [SPECIFY]** --> X7
8. **DK** --> X7
9. **RF**
0. **NA; INAP, 0,1-6,8-99 in X4**

-----------------------------

**M000892** X4g. Brethren group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

**X4g.**

**IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:**

If R denomination is Brethren in X4:

Is this the church of the Brethren, the Plymouth Brethren, or what?

-----------------------------

1. **CHURCH OF THE BRETHREN** --> X7
2. **THE PLYMOUTH BRETHREN** --> X7
7. **OTHER [SPECIFY]** --> X7
8. **DK** --> X7
9. **RF**
0. **NA; INAP, 0,1-7,9-99 in X4**

-----------------------------

**M000893** X4h. Christian group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

**X4h.**

**IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a / IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:**

If R says denomination is 'CHRISTIAN' in X4:

When you say "Christian" does that mean the denomination called the "Christian Church Disciples of Christ," or some other Christian denomination, or do you mean to say "I am just a Christian"?

-----------------------------

1. **DISCIPLES OF CHRIST** --> X7
2. **I AM JUST A CHRISTIAN** --> X7
7. **OTHER [SPECIFY]** --> X7
8. **DK** --> X7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,1-9,11-99 in X4

==============================
M000894    X4i. Church of Christ group
            MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
            Numeric

X4i.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS CHURCH OF CHRIST IN X4:

Is this the Church of Christ or the United Church of Christ?

1. CHURCH OF CHRIST      --> X7
2. UNITED CHURCH OF CHRIST --> X7
8. DK                    --> X7
9. RF                    --> X7
0. NA; INAP, 0,1-11,13-99 in X4

==============================
M000895    X4j. Church of God group
            MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
            Numeric

X4j.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH
OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS CHURCH OF GOD IN X4:

Is this the Church of God of Anderson, Indiana; the
Church of God of Cleveland, Tennessee; the Church of God
in Christ; or some other Church of God?

1. ANDERSON, INDIANA      --> X7
2. CLEVELAND, TENNESSEE   --> X7
3. CHURCH OF GOD IN CHRIST --> X7
7. OTHER [SPECIFY]         --> X7
8. DK                    --> X7
9. RF                    --> X7
0. NA; INAP, 0,1-14,16-99 in X4

==============================
M000896    X4k. (blanked) holiness/pentacostal
            Character

X4k.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION IS HOLINESS OR PENTACOSTAL IN X4:

What kind of church is that? What is it called exactly?
Is that part of a larger church or denomination?
What is the church called?

HOLINESS OR PENTACOSTAL TEXT (18 and 19 in X4)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[THESE QUESTIONS ARE PROBES. USE THESE AND OTHERS TO GET AS MUCH SPECIFIC INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE]
This field has been blanked. The open-ended responses are hand-coded into the religion summary variable.

-----------------------------
M000897 X4a-j. (blanked) other Character
X4a-j.

IF R'S DENOMINATION SPECIFIES 'OTHER' IN X4a-X4k:

TEXT OF OTHER (SPECIFY) IN DENOMINATIONAL FOLLOWUPS:
---------------------------------------------------------------------

This field has been blanked. The open-ended responses are hand-coded into the religion summary variable.

-----------------------------
M000898 X4m. (blanked) other group/denomination Character
X4m.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION 'OTHER' IN X4:

What is it called exactly? Is that church part of a denomination?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[USE ANY OR ALL AS NECESSARY; GET AS MUCH DETAIL AS POSSIBLE]
This field has been blanked. The open-ended responses are hand coded into the religion summary variable.

-----------------------------
M000899 X4m1. Is other group christian MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8 Numeric
X4m1.

IF R ATTENDS CHURCH AND ATTENDS AS PROTESTANT OR 'OTHER' IN X3a /
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF 'OTHER' IN X3b:
IF R DENOMINATION 'OTHER' IN X4:

Is that group Christian?

1. YES --> X7
5. NO --> X7
8. DK --> X7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,1-30,95-99 in X4

M000900  X6a. Attend Jewish group
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

X6a.

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES AND IS JEWISH IN X3a:

Do you usually attend a synagogue or temple that is Orthodox, Conservative, Reform or what?

1. ORTHODOX` --> X7
2. CONSERVATIVE --> X7
3. REFORM --> X7
7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7
8. DK --> X7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,7,8,9,0 in X3a

M000901  X6b. Jewish denomination
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

X6b.

IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF CHURCH OR DENOMINATION AND CONSIDERS SELF JEWISH IN X3b:

Do you consider yourself Orthodox, Conservative, Reform, or what?

1. ORTHODOX --> X7
2. CONSERVATIVE --> X7
3. REFORM --> X7
7. OTHER [SPECIFY] --> X7
8. DK --> X7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,7,8,9,0 in X3b

M000902  X7. Member place of worship
X7.

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES/
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF
CHURCH OR DENOMINATION:

Are you officially a member of a parish, congregation,
temple or other place of worship?
---------------------------------------------------------------

1. YES --> X8 or Y1
5. NO  --> X8 or Y1
8. DK  --> X8 or Y1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,9 in X1; 5,8,9 or NA in X1a

M000903  X8. Born-again christian
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

X8.

IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES/
IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF
A CHURCH OR DENOMINATION:
IF R IDENTIFIES A CHRISTIAN RELIGION IN X3a, X3b, X4 OR X4m1:

Would you call yourself a born-again Christian, that is,
have you personally had a conversion experience related
to Jesus Christ?
---------------------------------------------------------------

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,9 in X1; 5,8,9 or NA in X1a; 3,8,9 or NA in X3a/b;
  5,8,9 or NA in X4m1

M000904  X9x. Religion summary
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 995
Numeric

X9x.

Do you mostly attend a place of worship that is
Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or something else /
Do you consider yourself Protestant, Roman Catholic,
Jewish, or something else?
JEWISH:
Do you usually attend a synagogue or temple that is
Orthodox, Conservative, Reform or what/
Do you consider yourself Orthodox, Conservative, Reform, or what?

PROTESTANT OR SOMETHING ELSE:

What church or denomination is that?
  IF BAPTIST: With which Baptist group is your church associated? Is it the Southern Baptist Convention, the American Baptist Churches in the U.S.A., the American Baptist Association, an independent Baptist church or some other Baptist group? (INDEPENDENT BAPTIST:) Are you affiliated with any larger Baptist group or is this strictly a local church?
  IF LUTHERAN: Is this church part of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America, the Missouri Synod, or some other Lutheran group?
  IF METHODIST: Is your church part of the United Methodist Church, African Methodist Episcopal, or some other Methodist group?
  IF PRESBYTERIAN: Is this the Presbyterian Church in the USA or some other Presbyterian group?
  IF REFORMED: Is this the Christian Reformed Church, the Reformed Church in America or some Other Reformed group?
  IF BRETHREN: Is this the church of the Brethren, the Plymouth Brethren, or what?
  IF 'CHRISTIAN': When you say "Christian" does that mean the denomination called the "Christian Church Disciples of Christ" or some other Christian denomination, or do you mean to say "I am just a Christian"?
  IF CHURCH OF CHRIST: Is this the Church of Christ or the United Church of Christ?
  IF CHURCH OF GOD: Is this the Church of God of Anderson, Indiana; the Church of God of Cleveland, Tennessee; the Church of God in Christ; or some other Church of God?
  IF PENTECOSTAL OR HOLINESS: What kind of church is that? What is it called exactly? Is that part of a larger church or denomination? What is that church called?
  IF OTHER: What is it called exactly? Is that church part of a denomination?
  IF OTHER: Is that group Christian?

RELIGION SUMMARY

This variable summarizes the information gathered in questions X3a/b, X4, X4a-m, X4m1, X6a/b. Information collected in the open-ended responses to the religion section component questions have been hand coded into this summary variable. NOTE: in 1 case, an R whose initial religious group was identified as Protestant was discovered, upon further probing, to be Roman Catholic.

Codes 010-990 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, no religious identification or preference (and R does not attend religious services)

----------------------
M000905    Y1(1). Month of birth
MD1: EQ 98, MD2: GE 99
Numeric

Y1(1).

What is the month, day and year of your birth?

MONTH
---------------------------------------------------------------------
01-12
98. DK
99. RF; NA

----------------------
M000906    Y1(2). Day of birth (blanked)
Numeric

Y1(2).

What is the month, day and year of your birth?

DAY (BLANKED)
---------------------------------------------------------------------
This variable is blanked.

----------------------
M000907    Y1(3). Year of birth
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9998
Numeric

Y1(3).

What is the month, day and year of your birth?

YEAR
---------------------------------------------------------------------
9998. DK
9999. RF
0000. NA

----------------------
M000908    Y1x. Respondent age
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Y1x.

What is the month, day and year of your birth?

SUMMARY - RESPONDENT AGE
Age was calculated by subtracting the year of birth from 2000. For cases where R refused to give year of birth or year of birth was NA in the survey variable, a check was made of Household listing information: if age of R was included in the Household listing, it was included here from the Household listing.

Codes 17-96 and:
97. 97 and older
00. NA

Y2. Marital status
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Are you married now and living with your (husband/wife) -- or are you widowed, divorced, separated, or have you never married?

Note: in cases 8,49,77,157,377,535,580,814,1087,1117,1202,1212,1381,1639,1731 the partner/spouse identified in P000909 (marital status) was not a resident of the HU or was residing elsewhere on temporary basis. In cases 1281,1516,46,312,133,23,222 there is no information about spouse/partner.

1. MARRIED
2. WIDOWED
3. DIVORCED
4. SEPARATED
5. NEVER MARRIED
6. PARTNERED, NOT MARRIED [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

Y3. Highest grade completed
MD1: EQ 98, MD2: GE 99
Numeric

What is the highest grade of school or year of college you have completed?

00-12 YEARS
13-16 YEARS --> SKIP TO Y3b
17. 17+ YEARS --> SKIP TO Y3b
98. DK
99. RF; NA

==================================
M000911 Y3a. Diploma/GED
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y3a.

IF HIGHEST GRADE OF EDUCATION IS 0-12 YEARS OR DK:

Did you get a high school diploma or pass a high school
equivalency test?

-----------------------------------------------
1. YES --> SKIP TO Y4 IF MARRIED/PARTNERED, IF NOT SKIP TO Y5
5. NO --> SKIP TO Y4 IF MARRIED/PARTNERED, IF NOT SKIP TO Y5
8. DK --> SKIP TO Y4 IF MARRIED/PARTNERED, IF NOT SKIP TO Y5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 13-16 or NA in Y3

==================================
M000912 Y3b. Highest degree earned
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

Y3b.

IF HIGHEST GRADE OF EDUCATION IS 13+ YEARS:

What is the highest degree that you have earned?

-----------------------------------------------
01. BACHELOR'S DEGREE
02. MASTER'S DEGREE
03. PhD, LIT, SCD, DFA, DLIT, DPH, DPHIL, JSC, SJD
04. LLB, JD
05. MD, DDS, DVM, MVSA, DSC, DO
06. JDC, STD, THD
07. ASSOCIATE DEGREE (AA)
96. NO DEGREE EARNED
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 0-12, 99 in Y3

==================================
M000913 Y3x. R educ summary
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Y3x.

What is the highest grade of school or year of college
you have completed?
Did you get a high school diploma or pass a high school
equivalency test?
What is the highest degree that you have earned?

Page 416
SUMMARY: R EDUCATION

Built from Y3, Y3a, Y3b.

1. 8 grades or less and no diploma or equivalency [0-8 in Y3, 5 in Y3a]
2. 9-11 grades, no further schooling (incl. 12 years without diploma or equivalency) [9-12 in Y3, 5 in Y3a]
3. High school diploma or equivalency test [0-12 in Y3, 1 in Y3a]
4. More than 12 years of schooling, no higher degree (13-17 in Y3, 96 in Y3b)
5. Junior or community college level degrees (AA degrees) (07 in Y3b)
6. BA level degrees; 17+ years, no advanced degree (01 in Y3b)
7. Advanced degree, including LLB [13-17 in Y3, 2-6 in Y3b]
8. DK [98 in Y3, 8 in Y3a; 98 in Y3b]
9. NA [99 in Y3; 9 in Y3a; 99 or NA in Y3b]

M000914    Y4. Highest grade of partner
MD1: EQ 98, MD2: GE 99
Numeric

Y4.

IF MARRIED OR PARTNERED:

What is the highest grade of school or year of college (your husband/your wife/your partner) has completed?

00-12 YEARS
13-16 YEARS --> SKIP TO Y4b
17+ YEARS --> SKIP TO Y4b

98. DK
99. RF; NA; INAP, 2-5,8,9,0 in Y2

M000915    Y4a. Partner diploma/GED
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y4a.

IF HIGHEST GRADE OF SPOUSE/PARTNER IS 0-12 YEARS OR DK:

Did (he/she) get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test?

1. YES --> SKIP TO Y5
5. NO --> SKIP TO Y5
8. DK --> SKIP TO Y5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 13-17, 99 in Y4

M000916    Y4b. Partner highest degree
            MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
            Numeric

Y4b.

IF HIGHEST GRADE OF SPOUSE/PARTNER EDUCATION IS 13+ YEARS:

What is the highest degree that(he/she) has earned?

01. BACHELOR'S DEGREE
02. MASTER'S DEGREE
03. PhD, LIT, SCD, DFA, DLIT, DPH, DPHIL, JSC, SJD
04. LLB, JD
05. MD, DDS, DVM, MVSA, DSC, DO
06. JDC, STD, THD
07. ASSOCIATE DEGREE (AA)
96. NO DEGREE EARNED
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 1-12, 98, 99 in Y4

M000917    Y4x. Sp educ. Summary
            MD1: EQ 8,  MD2: GE 9
            Numeric

Y4x.

What is the highest grade of school or year of college
(your husband/your wife/your partner) has completed?
Did (he/she) get a high school diploma or pass a high
school equivalency test?
What is the highest degree that(he/she) has earned?

SUMMARY: SPOUSE/PARTNER EDUCATION

Built from Y4, Y4a, Y4b.
Note: 3 cases [561,1017,1719] coded 98 (DK) for number of school
years in Y4 and 'no' in Y4a (no high school diploma) are coded 2
here; 3 cases [77,1057,1799] where R indicated 12 years of schooling
but DK for Y4a diploma are coded 2.

1. 8 grades or less and no diploma or equivalency
   [0-8 in Y4, 5 in Y4a]
2. 9-11 grades, no further schooling (incl. 12 years
   without diploma or equivalency) [9-12 in Y4, 5 in Y4a]
3. High school diploma or equivalency test [0-12 in Y4,
   1 in Y4a]
4. More than 12 years of schooling, no higher degree
   (13-17 in Y4, 96 in Y4b)
5. Junior or community college level degrees (AA degrees) (07 in Y4b)
6. BA level degrees; 17+ years, no advanced degree (01 in Y4b)
7. Advanced degree, including LLB [13-17 in Y4, 2-6 in Y4b]

8. DK   [98 in Y4, 8 in Y4a; 98 in Y4b]
9. NA  [99 in Y4; 9 in Y4a; 99 or NA in Y4b]

M000918    Y6(1). Assigned employment status
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Y6(1).

Please look at page 17 of the booklet. We'd like to know if you are working now, temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

ASSIGNED EMPLOYMENT SERIES

See notes Y6.

This variable describes the series of employment questions to which R is initially assigned according to R's self-identification of employment status. By contrast, the Y6(2) and Y6(3) employment status summary variables are built using relevant information from R's Y6(1) self-identification and also from information obtained during questioning (especially number of hours worked).

Initial series assignment from multiple mentions given by R for his or her own employment status is as follows:
- RET ONLY or (RET and any others) -->retired series
- HM/ST ONLY or (HM/ST and UN/DIS/WN/TLO) -->hmkr/st series
- DIS ONLY or (DIS and UN/WN/TLO) -->disabled series
- WN/TLO ONLY -->wrkg now series

Note that Rs initially assigned per Y6(1) to the RETIRED, DISABLED or HOMEMAKER/STUDENT series are redirected from within those series to the WORKING NOW series if the R was also currently working (both series completed if retired or disabled). For this reason, the WORKING NOW series appears last in codebook variables:
- P000921-000931 UNEMPLOYED [UN]
- P000932-000944 RETIRED [RET]
- P000945-000956 PERMANENTLY DISABLED [DIS]
- P000957-000967 HOMEMAKER/STUDENT [HM/ST]
- P000968-000978 WORKING NOW/TEMP. LAID OFF [WN/TLO]

1. Assigned WORKING NOW series - working now (Y7-Y7j)
2. Assigned WORKING NOW series - temporarily laid off (Y7-Y7j)
3. Assigned UNEMPLOYED series (Y9-Y10h)
4. Assigned RETIRED series (Y11-Y12j)
5. Assigned PERMANENTLY DISABLED series (Y13-Y14j)
6. Assigned HOMEMAKER series (Y15-Y16j)
7. Assigned STUDENT series (Y15-Y16j)

M000919    Y6(2). 2 digit employment status
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric
Please look at page 17 of the booklet. We'd like to know if you are working now, temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

EMPLOYMENT STATUS 2 DIGIT SUMMARY

See notes Y6.
Built using Y5, Y12g, Y14g, Y15, Y7e.

This is a summary which describes R's occupation status as categorized AFTER all information has been collected. Note that case 1263 has been coded 81 rather than 18 although number of hours worked (P000974) was coded as DK; for this case, information in the thumbnail indicated that R worked only a few hours a week.

10. WORKING NOW only
15. WORKING NOW and retired (R volunteers), currently working 20 or more hours per week
16. WORKING NOW and permanently disabled, currently working 20 or more hours per week
17. WORKING NOW and homemaker (R volunteers), currently working 20 or more hours per week
18. WORKING NOW and student (R volunteers), currently working 20 or more hours per week
20. TEMPORARILY LAID OFF
40. UNEMPLOYED
50. RETIRED, no other occupation
51. RETIRED and working now (R volunteers), currently working fewer than 20 hours per week
60. PERMANENTLY DISABLED, not working
61. PERMANENTLY DISABLED and working now, currently working fewer than 20 hours per week
70. HOMEMAKER, no other occupation
71. HOMEMAKER and working now (R volunteers), currently working fewer than 20 hours per week
75. HOMEMAKER and student (R volunteers), no other occupation
80. STUDENT, no other occupation
81. STUDENT and working now (R volunteers), currently working fewer than 20 hours per week

00. NA

M000920  Y6(3). 1 digit employment status
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Y6(3).

Please look at page 17 of the booklet. We'd like to know if you are working now, temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?
EMPLOYMENT STATUS 1 DIGIT SUMMARY
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from Y6(2)
1. R WORKING NOW (working now or working 20 hours or more per week if also retired, permanently disabled, homemaker or student
2. R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF [20 in Y6(2)]
4. R UNEMPLOYED [40 in Y6(2)]
5. R RETIRED, not working or working fewer than 20 hours per week [50,51 in Y6(2)]
6. R PERMANENTLY DISABLED, not working or working fewer than 20 hours per week [60,61 in Y6(2)]
7. R HOMEMAKER, not working or working fewer than 20 hours per week [also nonworking Rs who are both homemakers and students--70,71,75 in Y6(2)]
8. R STUDENT, not working or working fewer than 20 hours per week ([80,81 in Y6(2)]
0. NA

M000921 Y9. R unemp: ever worked for pay
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y9.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
Have you ever done any work for pay?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO --> Y10g
8. DK --> Y10g
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

M000922 Y10a(1). 2-digit occup
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
Numeric

Y10a(1).

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R UNEMPLOYED: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect respondents' anonymity.

Codes 1-71 and:

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

==============================

M000922a   Y10a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked)
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 995
Numeric

Y10a(2).

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R UNEMPLOYED: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES Project Staff for information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to the full 3-digit code values.

Codes 1-905 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

==============================

M000923    Y10a(3). 1-digit occup summary
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 95
Numeric

Y10a(3).

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

UNEMPLOYED: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

Collapsed from Y10a(2).
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of R employment section.

1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

Y10a(4).

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of R employment section.
Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings
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Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES project staff for information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to this data.

99.99. 995,998,999 in Y10a(2)
00.00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

-----------------------------
M000925    Y10b. R unemp: past industry code
            MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 995
            Numeric

Y10b.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of business or industry was that?

R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of R employment section.
See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.

Codes 10-932 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

-----------------------------
M000926    Y10c. R unemp: past self employed
            MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
            Numeric

Y10c.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or what?

1. SOMEONE ELSE
3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
5. SELF-EMPLOYED  --> Y10e
8. DK            --> Y10e
9. RF
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M000927  Y10d. R unemp: past employed by govt
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y10d.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
IF WAS NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:

Were you employed by a federal, state or local government?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y10c

M000928  Y10e. R unemp: work last 6 months
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y10e.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

Have you had a job in the last six months?

1. YES
5. NO --> Y10g
8. DK --> Y10g
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y9

M000929  Y10f. R unemp: hrs/wk 6 months
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 996
Numeric

Y10f.

IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
IF HAS HAD A JOB IN THE LAST 6 MOS:

About how many hours did you work on your job in the average week?
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Y10g.

**IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:**

Are you looking for work at the present time?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>NO  --&gt; Y25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DK  --&gt; Y25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>RF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA; INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Y10h.

**IF UNEMPLOYED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY:**

**IF LOOKING FOR WORK AT PRESENT:**

How worried are you about not being able to find a job in the near future; a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A LOT --&gt; Y25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SOMEWHAT --&gt; Y25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>NOT MUCH AT ALL --&gt; Y25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DK  --&gt; Y25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>RF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y10g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Y11(1).

**IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):**

When did you retire?
MONTH
---------------------------------------------------------------------
MONTH:
01. JANUARY
02. FEBRUARY
03. MARCH
04. APRIL
05. MAY
06. JUNE
07. JULY
08. AUGUST
09. SEPTEMBER
10. OCTOBER
11. NOVEMBER
12. DECEMBER

96. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA

M000933    Y11(2). Retired: year of retirement
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9996
Numeric

Y11(2).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

When did you retire?

YEAR
---------------------------------------------------------------------

9996. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)
9998. DK
9999. RF
0000. NA

M000934    Y12a(1). 2-digit occup retired
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 95
Numeric

Y12a(1).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R RETIRED: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of
Page 427
R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect respondents' anonymity.

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

==============================
M000934a   Y12a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) retired
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 995
Numeric

Y12a(2).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R RETIRED: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)

------------------------------
See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES Project Staff for information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to the full 3-digit code values.

Codes 1-905 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

==============================
M000935    Y12a(3). 1-digit occup summary retired
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 95
Numeric

Y12a(3).

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R RETIRED: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

------------------------------
Collapsed from Y10a(2).
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of R employment section.

1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of R employment section.
Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis, Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106, December 1991.
Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES project staff for
information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to this data.

99.99. 995,998,999 in Y12a(2)
00.00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

======================================
M000937 Y12b. R ret: industry code
  MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 995
  Numeric

Y12b.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

  What kind of business or industry was that?

  R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY
  ---------------------------------------------------------------------
  The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of R employment section.
  See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.

  Codes 10-932 and:
  995. NA
  998. DK
  999. RF
  000. INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

======================================
M000938 Y12c. R ret: self employed
  MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
  Numeric

Y12c.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

  Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or what?
  ---------------------------------------------------------------------
  1. SOMEONE ELSE
  3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
  5. SELF-EMPLOYED   --> Y12e
  8. DK   --> Y12e
  9. RF
  0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

======================================
M000939 Y12d. R ret: employed by govt
  MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
  Numeric

Y12d.
Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or what?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y12c

Have you had a job in the last six months?

1. YES
5. NO --> Y12g
8. DK --> Y12g
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

About how many hours did you work on your job in the average week?

1-168
996. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y12e
998. DK
999. RF
000. NA
Y12g.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):

Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?
--------------------------------------------------------

1. YES --> SKIP TO Y7 (below)
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1)

=======================================
M000943  Y12h. R ret: looking for work now
         MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
         Numeric

Y12h.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:

Are you looking for work at the present time?
--------------------------------------------------------

1. YES
5. NO --> Y25
8. DK --> Y25
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in Y12g

=======================================
M000944  Y12j. R ret: worry about finding job
         MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
         Numeric

Y12j.

IF RETIRED IS AN EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORY IN Y6(1):
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R IS LOOKING FOR WORK:

How worried are you about not being able to find a job
in the near future -- a lot, somewhat, or not much at
all?
--------------------------------------------------------

1. A LOT --> Y25
3. SOMEWHAT --> Y25
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL --> Y25
8. DK --> Y25
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y12h
M000945  Y13. R disabled: ever worked for pay  
           MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
           Numeric  

Y13.  

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:  

Have you ever done any work for pay?  
---------------------------------------------------------------------  
1. YES  
5. NO    --> Y14h  
8. DK    --> Y14h  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 1-4,6,7,0 in Y6(1)  

M000946  Y14a(1). 2-digit occup disabled  
           MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 995  
           Numeric  

Y14a(1).  

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:  
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:  

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job  
(What was your occupation?)  
What were your most important duties or activities?  

R DISABLED: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE  
---------------------------------------------------------------------  

See the OCCUPATION master code.  
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of  
R employment section.  
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has  
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect  
respondents' anonymity.  

95. NA  
98. DK  
99. RF  
00. INAP, 1-4,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y13  

M000946a  Y14a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) disable  
           MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 995  
           Numeric  

Y14a(2).  

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:  
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:  

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job  

(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R DISABLED: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of
R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
respondents’ anonymity. Contact ANES Project Staff for
information about a procedure by which it is possible to
gain access to the full 3-digit code values.

Codes 1-905 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1-4,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y13

-----------------------------
M000947    Y14a(3). 1-digit occup summary disabled
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 95
Numeric

Y14a(3).

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R DISABLED: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Collapsed from Y10a(2).
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of
R employment section.

1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census
categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories
040-199)
3. Technicians andRelated Support Occupations (Census
categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census
categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census
categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census
categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)

85. NA
88. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

M000948  Y14a(4). Prestige code (blanked) disable
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 99.99
Numeric

Y14a(4).

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of
R employment section.

Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings
from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis,
Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith
Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106,
December 1991.

Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve
respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES project staff for
information about a procedure by which it is possible to
gain access to this data.

99.99. 995,998,999 in Y14a(2)
00.00 INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

M000949  Y14b. R dis: industry code
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 995
Numeric

Y14b.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

What kind of business or industry was that?
R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of R employment section.
See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.

Codes 10-932 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1-4,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y13

===============================================
M000950    Y14c. R dis: self employed
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y14c.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or what?

===============================================

1. SOMEONE ELSE
3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
5. SELF-EMPLOYED --> Y14e

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y13

===============================================
M000951    Y14d. R dis: work for govt
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y14d.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
IF WAS NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:

Were you employed by a federal, state or local government?

===============================================

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y14c
M000952  Y14e. R dis: work last 6 months
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y14e.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:

Have you had a job in the last six months?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO --> Y14g
8. DK --> Y14g
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y13

M000953  Y14f. R dis: hours/wk work 6 mnths
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 996
Numeric

Y14f.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
IF HAS HAD A JOB IN THE LAST 6 MOS:

About how many hours did you work on your job in the average week?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1-168
996. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y14e
998. DK
999. RF
000. NA

M000954  Y14g. R dis: working for pay now
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y14g.

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF HAS EVER DONE WORK FOR PAY:
IF HAS HAD A JOB IN THE LAST 6 MOS:

Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: Rs who respond "yes" to this question are also asked the WORKING NOW series for current employment.
Y14h.  R dis: looking for work now

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R HAS NEVER WORKED FOR PAY/
IF R HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY BUT NOT IN LAST 6 MOS./
IF R HAS WORKED IN LAST 6 MOS. BUT IS NOT WORKING NOW:

Are you looking for work at the present time?

1. YES  --> Y25
5. NO    --> Y25
8. DK    --> Y25
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,0 in Y13; 8,9 or NA in Y14e; 1,8,9 or NA in Y14g

Y14j.  R dis: worry about finding job

IF DISABLED IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R HAS NEVER WORKED FOR PAY/
IF R HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY BUT NOT IN LAST 6 MOS./
IF R HAS WORKED IN LAST 6 MOS. BUT IS NOT WORKING NOW:
IF LOOKING FOR WORK AT PRESENT:

How worried are you about not being able to find a job in the near future; a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?

1. A LOT --> Y25
3. SOMewhat --> Y25
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL --> Y25
8. DK    --> Y25
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y14h

Y15. Homemaker/student: work for pay

MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric
Y16.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:

Are you doing any work for pay at the present time?
---------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES --> SKIP TO Y7 (below)
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,2,3,4,5,0 in Y6(1)

==============
M00958 Y15a. Hmk/stu: work last 6 mon
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y16a.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:

In the last six months, did you do any work for pay?
---------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO --> Y16h
8. DK --> Y16h
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in Y16

==============
M00959 Y16a(1). 2-digit occup hmk/stu
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
Numeric

Y16a(1).

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R HOMEMAKER/STUDENT: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE
---------------------------------------------------------------

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of
R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
respondents' anonymity.
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95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1,2,3,4,5,0 in Y6(1); 1,8,9 or NA in Y16; 5,8,9 or NA in Y16a

=======================================
M000959a  Y16a(2). 3-digit occup (blanked) hmk/stu
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 995
Numeric

Y16a(2).

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R HOMEMAKER/STUDENT: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)
=======================================

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of
R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES Project Staff for
information about a procedure by which it is possible to
gain access to the full 3-digit code values.

Codes 1-905 and:
995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1,2,3,4,5,0 in Y6(1); 1,8,9 or NA in Y16; 5,8,9 or NA in Y16a

=======================================
M000960  Y16a(3). 1-digit occup summary hmk/stu
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 95
Numeric

Y16a(3).

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

What kind of work did you do on your last regular job
(What was your occupation?)
What were your most important duties or activities?

R HOMEMAKER/STUDENT: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
=======================================
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1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9
Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES project staff for information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to this data.

99.99. 995,998,999 in Y16a(2)
00.00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

Y16b.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

What kind of business or industry was that?

R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of R employment section. See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.

Codes 10-932 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 1,2,3,4,5,0 in Y6(1); 1,8,9 or NA in Y16; 5,8,9 or NA in Y16a

Y16c.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

Did you work for someone else, were you self-employed or what?

1. SOMEONE ELSE
3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
5. SELF-EMPLOYED --> Y16f

8. DK --> Y16f
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y16a
Y16d.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:
IF R WAS NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:

Were you employed by a federal, state or local government?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y16c

Y16f.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R HAS WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS.:

About how many hours did you work on your job in the average week?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1-168
996. INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y16a
998. DK
999. RF
000. NA

Y16h.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:

Are you looking for work at the present time?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO --> Y25
8. DK --> Y25
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1,8,9,0 in Y16

M000967 Y16j. Hmk/stu: worry about finding job
             MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
             Numeric

Y16j.

IF HMAKER/STUDENT IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY AND NOT ALSO RETIRED:
IF R IS NOT DOING ANY WORK FOR PAY AT PRESENT:
IF R IS LOOKING FOR WORK:

How worried are you about not being able to find a job
in the near future -- a lot, somewhat, or not much at
all?

1. A LOT --> Y25
3. SOMEWHAT --> Y25
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL --> Y25
8. DK --> Y25
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y16h

M000968 Y7a(1). 2-digit occup R work now
             MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 95
             Numeric

Y7a(1).

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

What is your main occupation (What sort of work do you
do?)
What are your most important activities or duties?

R WORKING NOW/TLO: 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1), at end of
R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has
been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect
respondents' anonymity.

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working
Y7a(2).

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

What is your main occupation (What sort of work do you do?)
What are your most important activities or duties?

R WORKING NOW/TLO: 3 DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

See the OCCUPATION master code.
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(1a), at end of R employment section.
The original 3-digit 1980 Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES Project Staff for information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to the full 3-digit code values.

Codes 1-905 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working [40,50,60,70,75,80 or 0 in Y6(2)]

Y7a(3).

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

What is your main occupation (What sort of work do you do?)
What are your most important activities or duties?

R WORKING NOW/TLO: COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Collapsed from Y10a(2).
The stacked version of this question is at Y17(2), at end of R employment section.

1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)

M000970  Y7a(4). Prestige code (blanked) R wk now
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
Numeric

Y7a(4).

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

What is your main occupation (What sort of work do you do?)
What are your most important activities or duties?

R OCCUPATION: PRESTIGE SCORE (BLANKED)

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(3), at end of R employment section.
Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis, Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106, December 1991.
Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve respondents' anonymity. Contact ANES project staff for information about a procedure by which it is possible to gain access to this data.

98.00  903,904,905 in Y7a(2)
99.99  995,998,999 in Y7a(2)
00.00. INAP, 1,2,4,5,6,7,0 in Y6(1); 5,8,9 or NA in Y9

M000971  Y7b. Work now/TLO: industry code
Y7b.

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

What kind of (business/industry) is that?

R OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY
---------------------------------------------------------------------

The stacked version of this question is at Y17(4), at end of
R employment section.
See 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY Master Code.

Codes 10-932 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working
[40,50,60,70,75,80 or 0 in Y6(2)]

================================
M000972 Y7c. Work now/TLO: self employed
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y7c.

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

Do you work for someone else, are you self-employed or
what?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. SOMEONE ELSE
3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE
5. SELF-EMPLOYED --> Y7e
8. DK --> Y7e
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working

================================
M000973 Y7d. Work now/TLO: work for govt
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y7d.

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:
IF NOT SELF-EMPLOYED ONLY:

Are you employed by a federal, state or local government?
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1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y7c

M000974    Y7e. Work now/TLO: hours work
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 996
Numeric

Y7e.

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:

About how many hours do you work on your job in the average week?

1-168

996. INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working
998. DK
999. RF
000. NA

M000975    Y7f. Work now/TLO: work hours right
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y7f.

IF WORKING NOW/TLO IS HIGHEST Y6(1) CATEGORY/
IF RETIRED OR DISABLED OR HOMEMAKER/STUDENT BUT ALSO WORKING:
IF NUMBER OF HOURS WORKED IS NOT DK/REF:

Is that more hours than you want to work, fewer hours than you want to work, or generally about right?

1. MORE
3. FEWER
5. ABOUT RIGHT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0, 996-998 in Y7e

M000976    Y7g. Work now/TLO: worry lose job
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y7g.
How worried are you about losing your job in the near future: a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?

1. A LOT
3. SOMEWHAT
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working

Were you out of work or laid off at any time during the last six months?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2,0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working

During the last six months, have you had a reduction in your work hours or had to take a cut in pay at any time for reasons other than illness or personal choice?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2,0 in Y6(1); 3,4,5,6,7 in Y6(1) and not also working
Y17(1).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/:
IF R IS RETIRED:
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

What is/was your main occupation? What sort of work do/did you do?

STACKED - 2 DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE

See notes Y6.
Built from Y7a(1), Y10a(1), Y12a(1), Y14a(1).
This variable combines employment data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are omitted). The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have their current occupation from Y7a coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have past occupation from Y12a coded here rather than current occupation in Y7a. If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

The original 3-digit 1990 US Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect Respondents’ anonymity. See CENSUS OCCUPATION Master Code.

Codes 01-71 and:

95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. Inap, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9; 5,8,9,NA in Y13

Y17(1a).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/:
IF R IS RETIRED:
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

What is/was your main occupation? What sort of work do/did you do?
What are/were your most important activities or duties?
STACKED - 3-DIGIT CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE (BLANKED)

See notes Y6.
Built from Y7a(2), Y10a(2), Y12a(2), Y14a(2).
This variable combines employment data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are omitted).
The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have their current occupation from Y7a coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have past occupation from Y12a coded here rather than current occupation in Y7a. If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

This variable has been blanked to preserve confidentiality.

Codes 1-905 and:
995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. Inap, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9 ; 5,8,9,NA in Y13

STACKED - COLLAPSED CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

See notes Y6.
Built from Y7a(3), Y10a(3), Y12a(3), Y14a(3).
This variable combines employment data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are omitted).
The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have their current occupation from Y7a coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have past occupation from Y12a coded here rather than current occupation in Y7a. If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

1. Executive, Administrative and Managerial (Census categories 001-039)
2. Professional Specialty Occupations (Census categories 040-199)
3. Technicians and Related Support Occupations (Census categories 200-235)
4. Sales Occupation (Census categories 236-285)
5. Administrative Support, including clerical (Census categories 286-389)
6. Private Household (Census categories 403-407)
7. Protective Service (Census categories 408-427)
8. Service except Protective and Household (Census categories 428-469)
9. Farming, Forestry and Fishing Occupations (Census categories 470-499)
10. Precision Production, Craft and Repair Occupations (Census categories 500-699)
11. Machine Operators, Assemblers and Inspectors (Census categories 700-799)
12. Transportation and Material Moving Occupations (Census categories 800-859)
13. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (Census categories 860-890)
14. Member of Armed Forces (Census category 903-905)
95. NA
98. DK
99. RF
00. Inap, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9 ; 5,8,9,NA in Y13

M000981 Y17(3). Stacked - occ prestige (blanked)
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

Y17(3).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/:
IF R IS RETIRED:/
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

What is/was your main occupation? What sort of work do/did you do?
What are/were your most important activities or duties?

STACKED - OCCUPATION PRESTIGE (BLANKED)

See notes Y6.
Built from Y7a(4), Y10a(4), Y12a(4), Y14a(4).
This variable represents employment data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are not used).
The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have their current occupation from Y7a represented here. Retirees who are also currently working have past occupation from Y12a represented here rather than current occupation in Y7a. If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.
Prestige scores are based on Occupational Prestige Ratings from the 1989 General Social Survey, by James A. Davis, Robert W. Hodge, Keiko Nakao, Tom W. Smith, and Judith Treas (ICPSR 9593), Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research, Ann Arbor MI 48106, December 1991. Prestige Score data have been coded 0 missing to preserve respondents' anonymity.

98.00 903, 904, 905 in Y7a(2)/Y10a(2)/Y12a(2)/Y14a(2)
99.99 995, 998, 999 in Y7a(2)/Y10a(2)/Y12a(2)/Y14a(2)
00.00. INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5, 8, 9, NA in Y9; 5, 8, 9, NA in Y13

-----------------------------
M000982    Y17(4). Stacked - industry
 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 995
Numeric

Y17(4).
IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :
IF R IS RETIRED:/
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

What do/did they make or do where you work/ed?
What kind of a business or industry is/was that?

STACKED - OCCUPATION INDUSTRY
---------------------------------------------------------------------
See notes Y6.
Built from Y7b, Y10b, Y12b, Y14b.
This variable combines industry data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for industry of employment in the past 6 months are omitted). The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have industry of their current occupation from Y7b coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have industry of past occupation from Y12b coded here rather than industry of current occupation in Y7b. If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

Codes 10-932 and:

995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5, 8, 9, NA in Y9; 5, 8, 9, NA in Y13

-----------------------------
M000983    Y17(5). Stacked - work for self
 MD1: EQ 0,   MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y17(5).
IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :  
IF R IS RETIRED: / 
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: / 
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: 

Do/did you work for someone else, are/were you self-employed, or what? 

STACKED - WORK FOR SELF  
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

See notes Y6.  
Built from Y7c, Y10c, Y12c, Y14c.  
This variable combines data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are omitted).  
The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have description of their current occupation from Y7c coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have description of past occupation from Y12c coded here rather than for current occupation in Y7c. 
If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here. 

1. SOMEONE ELSE  
3. BOTH SELF AND SOMEONE ELSE  
5. SELF-EMPLOYED  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9 ; 5,8,9,NA in Y13  

================================
M000984  Y17(6). Stacked - employed by govt  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
Numeric  

Y17(6).  

IF R WORKED NOT EXCLUSIVELY FOR SELF and:  
IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :  
IF R IS RETIRED: /  
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: /  
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY: 

Are/were you employed by a federal, state or local government?  

STACKED - EMPLOYED BY GOVERNMENT  
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

See notes Y6.  
Built from Y7d, Y10d, Y12d, Y14d.  
This variable combines data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are omitted).  
The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have description of their current occupation from Y7d coded here. Retirees who are also currently
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

working have description of past occupation from Y12d coded here rather than for current occupation in Y7d. If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here.

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 70,75,80,0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9; 5,8,9,NA in Y13; 5,8,9,NA in Y10c/Y12c/Y14c/Y7c

M000985 Y17(7). Stacked - hours per week
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

Y17(7).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/:
IF R IS RETIRED BUT HAS WORKED IN PAST 6 MONTHS: /
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED BUT HAS WORKED IN PAST 6 MONTHS: /
IF R IS DISABLED BUT HAS WORKED IN PAST 6 MONTHS:

About how many hours do/did you work on your job in the average week?

STACKED - HOURS WORKING/WORKED PER WEEK
---------------------------------------------------------------------

See notes Y6. Built from Y7e, Y10f, Y12f, Y14f.
This variable combines data for all Rs currently working or who have worked within the past six months:
- Employed or temp. laid off [present employment Y7e],
- Unemployed [employment within past 6 months Y10f],
- Retired [employment within past 6 months Y12f],
- Permanently disabled [employment within past 6 months Y14f].

Note that homemakers or students without current outside employment are coded 00 [Y16f data are NOT used]. The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have data for their current occupation from Y7e coded here. For retirees, since data for number of hours worked describes recent employment within past 6 months, this may in effect describe pre-retirement employment (i.e. for very recent retirees), current employment (i.e. for working retirees at a post-retirement job that has been held in the last 6 months), or employment that is neither pre-retirement nor a current job but rather an interim position.
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996. INAP, 70,75,80,0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9; 5,8,9,NA in Y13; 5,8,9,NA in Y10e, Y12e or Y14e
998. DK
999. RF
000. NA
M000986  Y17(8). Stacked - worr abt los/find job  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y17(8).

IF R IS WORKING NOW OR TLO/ :  
IF R IS RETIRED AND NOT CURRENTLY WORKING: /  
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED:/  
IF R IS DISABLED AND NOT CURRENTLY WORKING:

How worried are you about losing your job/ not being able to find a job in the near future:
A LOT, SOMEWHAT, or NOT MUCH AT ALL?

STACKED - WORRIED ABOUT LOSING/FINDING JOB
---------------------------------------------------------------------
See notes Y6.
Built from Y7g, Y10h, Y12j, Y14j.
This variable combines data for all Rs who are currently:
- Employed or temp. laid off [Y7g], (including working retired and working disabled)
- Unemployed [Y10h],
- Retired and not working [Y12j],
- Permanently disabled and not working [Y14j].
Note that homemakers or students without current outside employment are coded 0 [Y16j data are NOT used].

1. A LOT  
3. SOMEWHAT  
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 70, 75, 80, 0 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y10g; 5,8,9,NA in Y12h; 5,8,9,NA in Y14h

M000987  Y17(9). Stacked - job in past 6 mos.  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y17(9).

IF R IS UNEMPLOYED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY /  
IF R IS RETIRED/  
IF R IS DISABLED AND HAS EVER WORKED FOR PAY:

Have you had a job in the past 6 months?

STACKED - JOB IN PAST 6 MONTHS
---------------------------------------------------------------------
See notes Y6.
Built from Y10e, Y12e, Y14e.
This variable combines data for all Rs who are currently:
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

- Unemployed [Y10e],
- Retired [Y12e],
- Permanently disabled, if R has ever worked [Y14e]
NOTE: For nonworking homemakers and students, data from Y16a are NOT included.

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; Inap, 0,10,17,18,20,70,71,75,80,81 in Y6(2); 5,8,9,NA in Y9;
   5,8,9,NA in Y13

M000988 Y17(10). Stacked - looking for work
   MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
   Numeric

Y17(10).
IF R IS RETIRED AND NOT CURRENTLY WORKING: /
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED: /
IF R IS DISABLED AND NOT WORKING NOW:
Are you looking for work at the present time?
STACKED - LOOKING FOR WORK
---------------------------------------------------------------------
See notes Y6.
Built from Y10g, Y12h, Y14h.
This variable combines data for all Rs who are currently:
- Unemployed [Y10g],
- Retired, if R is not currently working [Y12h],
- Permanently disabled, if R has ever worked but is not currently working [Y14h].
NOTE: For nonworking homemakers and students, data from Y16h are NOT included.

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; Inap, 0,10,17,18,20,70,71,75,80,81 in Y6(2);
   1,8,9,NA in Y12g; 1,8,9,NA in Y14g

M000989 Y17(11). Stacked - ever work for pay
   MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
   Numeric

Y17(11).
IF R IS UNEMPLOYED: /
IF R IS DISABLED:
Have you ever done any work for pay?
STACKED - EVER WORK FOR PAY
---------------------------------------------------------------------
M000990    Y25. Anyone in HH belong to union
    MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
    Numeric

Y25.

Do you or anyone else in this household belong to a labor union?


1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO Y26
8. DK --> SKIP TO Y26
9. RF
0. NA

M000991a   Y25a1. Who belongs to union #1
    MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
    Numeric

Y25a1.

IF SOMEONE IN HOUSEHOLD BELONGS TO LABOR UNION:

Who is it that belongs?

MENTION 1

1. RESPONDENT
2. R’s WIFE OR FEMALE PARTNER
3. R’s HUSBAND OR MALE PARTNER
4. SOMEONE ELSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y25

M000991b   Y25a2. Who belongs to union #2
    MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
    Numeric

Y25a2.
IF SOMEONE IN HOUSEHOLD BELONGS TO LABOR UNION

Who is it that belongs?

MENTION 2

1. RESPONDENT
2. R’s WIFE OR FEMALE PARTNER
3. R’s HUSBAND OR MALE PARTNER
4. SOMEONE ELSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y25; no further mention

M000991c  Y25a3. Who belongs to union #3
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y25a3.

IF SOMEONE IN HOUSEHOLD BELONGS TO LABOR UNION

Who is it that belongs?

MENTION 3

1. RESPONDENT
2. R’s WIFE OR FEMALE PARTNER
3. R’s HUSBAND OR MALE PARTNER
4. SOMEONE ELSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Y25; no further mention

M000992  Y26. IWR chkpt: # of Persons age 14+
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Y26.

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT:
IS R THE ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER?

Note: This variable was completed by interviewers. In 67 cases, households corresponding to more than 1 adult were incorrectly coded 1 in this variable; as a result of the effect upon the skip pattern, household income was not asked. For these cases, the following revisions have been made to the original data: P000992 recoded to 5; P000993 [HH income] and P000994 [HH income summary] recoded to 00 NA; P000995 [R income] assigned the value formerly coded in P000996; P000996 recoded to 96 Inap.
1. YES, R IS ONLY HH MEMBER 14+       --> SKIP TO Y28
5. NO, THERE ARE OTHER HH MEMBERS 14+
9. NA

============================================
M00993    Y27/Y27.T. HH income - others in HH 14+
          MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 96
          Numeric

Y27/Y27.T.

IF NO, R IS NOT ONLY MEMBER AGE 14+:

FTF:
Please look at page 18 of the booklet.
Please look at the booklet and tell me the letter of the
income group that includes the income of all
members of your family living here in 1999 before taxes.
This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions,
dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE:
I am going to read you a list of income categories.
Please tell me which category best describes the.
total income of all members of your family living in
your house in 1999 before taxes. This figure
should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends,
interest, and all other income. Please stop me
when I get to your family's income.

[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]
98. DK
99. Z. REFUSED
00. NA

M000994 Y27x. HH income -all HHs
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

Y27x.

IF NO, R IS NOT ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14+ /
IF YES, R IS ONLY HOUSEHOLD MEMBER AGE 14+:

FTF - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
Please look at page 18 of the booklet.
Please look at the booklet and tell me the letter of the
income group that includes the income of all
members of your family living here in 1999 before taxes.
This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions,
dividends, interest, and all other income.

FTF - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
(RB, page 18) Please tell me the letter of the income
group that includes the income you had in 1999 before
taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages,
pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
I am going to read you a list of income categories.
Please tell me which category best describes the.
total income of all members of your family living in
your house in 1999 before taxes. This figure
should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends,
interest, and all other income. Please stop me
when I get to your family's income.

TELEPHONE - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
I am going to read you a list of all income categories.
Please tell me which category best describes the total
income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure
should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest,
and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your
income category.

SUMMARY: HOUSEHOLD INCOME
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]
This variable combines data for Respondents from
households with other members age 14 and older and data for
Respondents who are the only member age 14 and older.

1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN $4,999
2. B. $5,000-$9,999
3. C. $10,000-$14,999
4. D. $15,000-$24,999
5. E. $25,000-$34,999
6. F. $35,000-$49,999
7. G. $50,000-$64,999
8. H. $65,000-$74,999

Page 461
Now we are interested in the income that you yourself received in 1999, not including any of the income received by (your spouse and the rest of your family). Please look at this page and tell me the income you yourself had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

[If uncertain: What would be your best guess?]
FTF:
(RB, page 18) Please tell me the letter of the income
group that includes the income you had in 1999 before
taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages,
pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE:
I am going to read you a list of all income categories.
Please tell me which category best describes the total
income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should
include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest,
and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your
income category.

[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]

1. A. NONE OR LESS THAN $4,999
2. B. $5,000-$9,999
3. C. $10,000-$14,999
4. D. $15,000-$24,999
5. E. $25,000-$34,999
6. F. $35,000-$49,999
7. G. $50,000-$64,999
8. H. $65,000-$74,999
9. J. $75,000-$84,999
10. K. $85,000-$94,999
11. M. $95,000-$104,999
12. N. $105,000-$114,999
13. P. $115,000-$124,999
14. Q. $125,000-$134,999
IF NO, R IS NOT ONLY MEMBER AGE 14+ /
IF YES, R IS ONLY MEMBER AGE 14+:

FTF - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
(RB, still on page 18) Now we are interested in the income that you yourself received in 1999, not including any of the income received by (your spouse and the rest of your family). Please look at this page and tell me the income you yourself had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

TELEPHONE - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
Now we are interested in the income that you yourself received in 1999, not including any of the income received by (your spouse and the rest of your family). Please tell me which category best describes the income you yourself had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your income category.

TELEPHONE - R ONLY HH MEMBER AGE 14 OR OLDER:
I am going to read you a list of all income categories. Please tell me which category best describes the total income you had in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your income category.

SUMMARY: R INCOME

[IF UNCERTAIN: WHAT WOULD BE YOUR BEST GUESS?]
There's been some talk these days about different social classes. Most people say they belong either to the middle class or the working class. Do you ever think of yourself as belonging in one of these classes?

1. YES
5. NO --> Y29b
8. DK --> Y29b
9. RF
0. NA
1. MIDDLE CLASS     --> Y29c
5. WORKING CLASS    --> Y29e
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)  --> Y30

8. DK               --> Y30
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y29

M001000    Y29b. If had to choose class
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y29b.

IF R DOESN'T CONSIDER SELF MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS:

Well, if you had to make a choice, would you call
yourself middle class or working class?

M001001    Y29c. Middle class- avg or upper
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y29c.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF MIDDLE CLASS:

Would you say that you are about average middle class or
that you are in the upper part of the middle class?

M001002    Y29d. Middle class - feel close to class
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y29d.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF MIDDLE CLASS:
Would you say you feel pretty close to middle class people, or that you don't feel much closer to them than to people in other classes?

1. CLOSE -- SKIP TO Y30
5. NOT CLOSE -- SKIP TO Y30
8. DK -- SKIP TO Y30
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y29; 5 or NA in Y29a; 5,7,8,9, NA in Y29b

M001003 Y29e. Working class - avg or upper
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y29e.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF WORKING CLASS:

Would you say that you are about average working class or that you are in the upper part of the working class?

1. AVERAGE WORKING
5. UPPER WORKING
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y29; 1 or NA in Y29a; 1,7,8,9, NA in Y29b

M001004 Y29f. Working class - feel close to class
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y29f.

IF R CONSIDERS SELF WORKING CLASS:

Would you say you feel pretty close to working class people, or that you don't feel much closer to them than to people in other classes?

1. CLOSE
5. NOT CLOSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0 in Y29; 1 or NA in Y29a; 1,7,8,9, NA in Y29b

M001005 Y29x. Soc.class summary
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
(Not using the booklet)
There's been some talk these days about different social classes. Most people say they belong either to the middle class or the working class. Do you ever think of yourself as belonging in one of these classes? (IF YES:) Which one? (IF NO:) Well, if you had to make a choice, would you call yourself middle class or working class? (IF MIDDLE CLASS:) Would you say that you are about average middle class or that you are in the upper part of the middle class? (IF WORKING CLASS:) Would you say that you are about average working class or that you are in the upper part of the working class?

SUMMARY: R SOCIAL CLASS
---------------------------------------------------
Built from Y29, Y29a, Y29b,Y29c,Y29e.

1. Average working class
2. Working class -- NA if average or upper
3. Upper working class
4. Average middle class
5. Middle class -- NA if average or upper
6. Upper middle class
7. Other
8. DK in Y29b
9. RF in Y29b
0. NA in Y29/Y29b

-----------------------------
M001006a Y30(1). Racial group #1 self-description
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

Y30(1).
What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?

MENTION 1 - RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP
----------------------------------------

10. BLACK
20. ASIAN
30. NATIVE AMERICAN
40. HISPANIC OR LATINO
50. WHITE
60. OTHER SPECIFY - MISC.
75. OTHER SPECIFY - EAST INDIAN
76. OTHER SPECIFY - PACIFIC ISLANDER
79. OTHER SPECIFY - MORE THAN 3 MAJOR GROUPS
80. OTHER SPECIFY - 'AMERICAN'
90. OTHER SPECIFY - 'NONE'; 'DOESN'T MATTER" ETC.

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA

============================================
M001006b Y30(2). Racial group #2 self-description
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Y30(2).

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?

MENTION 2 - RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP

-----------------------------------------------

10. BLACK
20. ASIAN
30. NATIVE AMERICAN
40. HISPANIC OR LATINO
50. WHITE
60. OTHER SPECIFY - MISC.
70. OTHER SPECIFY - EAST INDIAN
76. OTHER SPECIFY - PACIFIC ISLANDER
79. OTHER SPECIFY - MORE THAN 3 MAJOR GROUPS
80. OTHER SPECIFY - 'AMERICAN'
90. OTHER SPECIFY - 'NONE'; 'DOESN'T MATTER' ETC.

00. NA; INAP, no further mention

============================================
M001006c Y30(3). Racial group #3 self-description
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Y30(3).

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?

MENTION 3 - RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP

-----------------------------------------------

10. BLACK
20. ASIAN
30. NATIVE AMERICAN
40. HISPANIC OR LATINO
50. WHITE
60. OTHER SPECIFY - MISC.
70. JEWISH
75. OTHER SPECIFY - EAST INDIAN
76. OTHER SPECIFY - PACIFIC ISLANDER
79. OTHER SPECIFY - MORE THAN 3 MAJOR GROUPS
80. OTHER SPECIFY - 'AMERICAN'
90. OTHER SPECIFY - 'NONE'; 'DOESN'T MATTER' ETC.

00. NA; INAP, no further mention

============================================
M001007 Y30a. Both parents born in U.S.?
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Were both of your parents born in this country?

1. YES
5. NO

Y30b(1).

In addition to being American, what do you consider your main ethnic group or nationality group?

MENTION 1 - ETHNIC OR NATIONALITY GROUP

See ETHNICITY master code.

Codes 1-89, 91-94 and:

90. None
96. 'American'
97. Other group; combinations not codeable elsewhere
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA

Y30b(2).

In addition to being American, what do you consider your main ethnic group or nationality group?

MENTION 2 - ETHNIC OR NATIONALITY GROUP

See ETHNICITY master code.

Codes 1-89, 91-94 and:

90. None
96. 'American'
97. Other group; combinations not codeable elsewhere
00. NA; no further mention
M001010 Y30bx. IWR ckpt: >2 ethnic mentions?
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Y30bx1.

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: NUMBER OF NATIONALITY OR ETHNIC GROUPS
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Respondents who have identified more than one group
are asked the 'choice' question Y30c.

0. NO NATIONALITY/GROUP MENTIONED
1. ONE NATIONALITY OR ETHNIC GROUP MENTIONED
2. 2 OR MORE NATIONALITY/GROUPS MENTIONED
9. RESPONDENT REFUSED OR DK/NA ANSWER TO NATIONALITY OR ETHNICITY

-------------------------------
M001010a Y30bx2. Number of ethnic groups mentioned
MD1: EQ 96, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

Y30bx2.

NUMBER OF NATIONALITY OR ETHNIC GROUPS MENTIONED BY R
---------------------------------------------------------------------
This is the exact number of mentions given by R

00. No mention (0 in Y30bx1)
01. One mention
02. Two mentions
  .
06. Six mentions

96. Ethnicity question NA
98. R responded DK to ethnicity question
99. R refused ethnicity question

-------------------------------
M001011 Y30c. Choice of ethnic/nationality group
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

Y30c.

IF MORE THAN 1 NATIONALITY/ETHNIC GROUP IDENTIFIED:

With which of these groups do you most closely identify?

CHOICE - ETHNIC OR NATIONALITY GROUP
---------------------------------------------------------------------
See ETHNICITY master code.
Rs giving only 1 mention to Y22 were not asked this question; their single response to Y22 has been duplicated in this variable.
Note that this variable was coded according to IWR identification of number of ethnic groups mentioned; in some instances, the IWR counted only 1 mention when in fact more than 1 was given by R. For such cases, the 'choice' variable (Y30c) was unasked.

Codes 1-89,91-94 and:

90. None; neither
95. Both/all of them
96. 'American'
97. Other group; combinations not codeable elsewhere
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; 0,9 in Y30bx1

M001012  Y30x/Y31. Spanish or Hispanic descent
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y30x/Y31.

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT:
IS SPANISH OR HISPANIC GROUP MENTIONED AS MAIN GROUP?
(IF NO:)
Are you of Spanish or Hispanic origin or descent?

IS R OF SPANISH OR HISPANIC ORIGIN OR DESCENT

The question about Spanish or Hispanic descent was directed to the respondent at the interviewer's discretion if the interviewer decided that R had not already mentioned a Spanish or Hispanic group in the ethnicity mentions. In a few cases, mentions coded 4,5,8,12 or 16 in Y30b(1) or Y30b(2) contradict what has been coded in this checkpoint.
Note that, if R gave more than 2 mentions in Y30, the code for the specific Spanish or Hispanic group may not be represented in the 2 variables for Y30.
See also Pre-Csheet.37 and Pre-Csheet.28 (P000068,P000069) and Post-Csheet.32 and Post-Csheet.33 (P000184d, P000184e).

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO Z1
8. DK --> SKIP TO Z1
9. RF
0. NA

M001013  Y31a/Y31a.T. Category of Hispanic descent
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y31a/Y31a.T.
IF R MENTIONED SPANISH OR HISPANIC GROUP/
IF R SAYS HE/SHE HAS SPANISH OR HISPANIC DESCENT:

FTF:
Please look at page 19 of the booklet and tell me which
category best describes your Hispanic origin.

TELEPHONE:
Would you describe your Hispanic origin as Mexican,
Puerto Rican, Cuban, Latin American, Central American,
or Spanish?

[CODE SPANISH OR HISPANIC GROUP W/O ASKING, IF R Y31a
MENTIONS ONE OF THE RESPONSE OPTIONS BELOW]

1. MEXICAN
2. PUERTO RICAN
3. CUBAN
4. LATIN AMERICAN
5. CENTRAL AMERICAN
6. SPANISH
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in Y30x/Y31

M001014 Z1. Where R grew up-ICPSR st/cntry code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 998
Numeric

Z1.
Where was it that you grew up?

[IF UNITED STATES: WHICH STATE OR STATES?] Use STATE AND COUNTRY codes
101-997 and:

998. DK
999. RF
000. NA

M001015 Z2. FTF- urbanicity where grew up
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Z2.
Looking at page 20 of the booklet.
Looking at this list, please tell me where you were
mostly brought up?
For telephone format, see Z2.T/Z2a.T/Z2b.T

1. ON A FARM
2. IN THE COUNTRY, NOT ON A FARM
3. IN A SMALL CITY OR TOWN (UNDER 50,000 PEOPLE)
4. IN A MEDIUM-SIZED CITY (50,000 - 100,000)
5. IN A LARGE CITY (100,000 - 500,000)
6. IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY
7. IN A VERY LARGE CITY (OVER 500,000)
8. IN A SUBURB OF A VERY LARGE CITY
9. DK
0. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode

-----------------------------
M001016    Z2.T. Phone -urbanicity where grew up
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z2.T.

TELEPHONE:
I am going to read you a list of categories. Please
tell me which category best describes where you were
mostly brought up?

[READ ANSWER LIST]

For FTF format, see Z2.

1. ON A FARM
3. IN THE COUNTRY, NOT ON A FARM
5. IN A CITY
7. OR, IN A SUBURB OF A CITY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode

-----------------------------
M001017    Z2a. Phone -urbanicity where grew up
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z2a.T.

IF R BROUGHT UP IN A CITY:

TELEPHONE:
Were you mostly brought up in.

[READ ENTIRE ANSWER LIST]

For FTF format, see Z2.

1. IN A SMALL CITY OR TOWN UNDER 50,000 PEOPLE
3. IN A MEDIUM-SIZED CITY 50,000-100,000
5. IN A LARGE CITY, 100,000-500,000
7. OR, IN A VERY LARGE CITY, OVER 500,000
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8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,3,7,8,9,0 in Z2.T

==============================
M001018  Z2b. Phone - urbanicity where grew up
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z2b.T.

IF R BROUGHT UP IN A SUBURB OF A CITY:

TELEPHONE:
Were you mostly brought up in.

[READ ENTIRE ANSWER LIST]
For FTF format, see Z2.

1. IN A SUBURB OF A LARGE CITY (100,000 TO 500,000 PEOPLE)
5. OR IN A SUBURB OF A VERY LARGE CITY (OVER 500,000 PEOPLE)

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1,3,5,8,9,0 in Z2.T

==============================
M001019  Z2x. Comb. Summary where R grew up
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z2x.

FTP:
Looking at page 20 of the booklet.
Looking at this list, please tell me where you were mostly brought up?

TELEPHONE:
I am going to read you a list of categories. Please tell me which category best describes where you were mostly brought up?
Were you mostly brought up in.

SUMMARY: SIZE OF PLACE WHERE R GREW UP

Built from Z2 and Z2.T/Z2a.T/Z2b.T.
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

============================================
M001020a  Z3(1). Mos. - how long lived in community
MD1: EQ 96,  MD2: GE 97
Numeric

Z3(1).

(Not using the booklet)
How long have you lived in your present
(CITY/TOWN/TOWNSHIP/COUNTY)?
MONTHS
---------------------------------------------------------------------
0-23 and:

96. ALL MY LIFE
97. NA
98. DK
99. RF

============================================
M001020b  Z3(2). Yrs. - how long lived in community
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 997
Numeric

Z3(2).

(Not using the booklet)
How long have you lived in your present
(CITY/TOWN/TOWNSHIP/COUNTY)?
YEARS
---------------------------------------------------------------------
0-89 and:

995. INAP, 96 in Z3(1)
997. NA
998. DK
999. RF

============================================
M001020c  Z3x. Summ. - how long lived in community
MD1: EQ 96,  MD2: GE 97
Numeric

Z3x.

(Not using the booklet)
How long have you lived in your present
(CITY/TOWN/TOWNSHIP/COUNTY)?

SUMMARY: LENGTH OF RESIDENCE IN COMMUNITY
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Built from Z3(1) and Z3(2).

0. 0-11 months
1. 12-23 months
2. 2 years
...
89. 89 years

96. 'All my life'
97. NA
98. DK
99. RF

M001021a  Z4(1)/Z4(1).T. Mos.-length resid in home
          MD1: EQ 96,  MD2: GE 97
          Numeric

Z4(1)/Z4(1).T.

FTF:
How long have you lived in this (house/condo/apartment)?

TELEPHONE:
How long have you lived in your current home?

MONTHS

0-23 and:

96. ALL MY LIFE
97. NA
98. DK
99. RF

M001021b  Z4(2)/Z4(1).T. Yrs.-length resid in home
          MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 997
          Numeric

Z4(2)/Z4(1).T.

FTF:
How long have you lived in this (house/condo/apartment)?

TELEPHONE:
How long have you lived in your current home?

YEARS

0-100 and:

995. INAP, 96 in Z4(1)/Z4(1).T
997. NA
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

998. DK
999. RF

==============================
M001021c  Z4x. Summ. - length residence in home
         MD1: EQ 96,  MD2: GE 97
         Numeric

Z4x.

FTF:
How long have you lived in this (house/condo/apartment)?

TELEPHONE:
How long have you lived in your current home?

SUMMARY: LENGTH OF RESIDENCE IN HOME

Built from Z4(1)/Z4(1).T and Z4(2)/Z4(2).T.

0. 0-11 months
1. 12-23 months
2. 2 years
   ...
89. 89 years
96. 'All my life'
97. NA
98. DK
99. RF

==============================
M001022    Z5. Does R family own/rent home
         MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
         Numeric

Z5.

(Do you/Does your family) own your home, pay rent, or
what?

1. OWN HOUSE
5. PAY RENT
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA

==============================
M001023    Z8. Does R have children
         MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
         Numeric

Z8.
These are the last few questions. Often we find that people who have children or commute great distances have less time to participate in politics. How about you? Do you have any children?

(INCLUDE STEP AND ADOPTED CHILDREN)

1. YES
3. YES, STEPCHILDREN/ADOPTED [VOL]
5. NO --> SKIP TO Z9
8. DK --> SKIP TO Z9
9. RF
0. NA

M001024 Z8a. How many children R has under 18
MD1: EQ 95, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

IF R HAS ANY CHILDREN:

How many children do you have under 18?

O.  --> SKIP TO Z9
1-25.
95. NA
96. INAP, 0,5,8,9 in Z8
98. DK
99. RF

M001025 Z8b. R's children under 18 living w/R
MD1: EQ 95, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

How many of them live with you at least half of the time?

O.  --> SKIP TO Z9
1-25.
95. NA
96. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a
98. DK
99. RF

M001026a Z8c(1). Mention 1 minor child age
MD1: EQ 95, MD2: GE 98
Z8c(1).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 1

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a
98. DK
99. RF

M001026b  Z8c(2). Mention 2 minor child age
MD:  EQ 95
Numeric

Z8c(2).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 2

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

M001026c  Z8c(3). Mention 3 minor child age
MD:  EQ 95
Numeric

Z8c(3).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 3

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

M001026d  Z8c(4). Mention 4 minor child age
MD:  EQ 95
Numeric
IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 4

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

M001026e Z8c(5). Mention 5 minor child age
MD:  EQ 95
Numeric

Z8c(5).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 5

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

M001026f Z8c(6). Mention 6 minor child age
MD:  EQ 95
Numeric

Z8c(6).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

MENTION 6

1-17

95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

M001026g Z8c(7). Mention 7 minor child age
MD:  EQ 95
Numeric

Z8c(7).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?
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95. INAP, 0, 95-99 in Z8a; 98 in Z8c(1); no further mention

M001026h  Z8c(8). Mention 8 minor child age
MD:  EQ 95
Numeric

Z8c(8).

IF R HAS CHILDREN UNDER 18 YEARS OLD:

What are the ages of your children?

M001027  Z9. How many miles R drives per day
MD1: EQ 998,  MD2: GE 999
Numeric

Z9.

(And finally), about how many miles do you drive in a
typical day?

M001028  ZZ0. Education estimate if missed in IW
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ0.

IF HIGHEST GRADE AND Y3a (DIPLOMA) ARE BOTH MISSING /
IF HIGHEST GRADE <12 AND Y3a (DIPLOMA) ARE BOTH MISSING:

ALTHOUGH THERE IS NO WAY OF BEING 100% ACCURATE, PLEASE
GIVE YOUR BEST ESTIMATE OF THE RESPONDENT'S PROBABLE
APPROXIMATE EDUCATION LEVEL [IF YOU FIND IT IMPOSSIBLE
TO CHOOSE BETWEEN 2 CHOICES, SELECT 'OTHER' AND
EXPLAIN]
1. LOW - PROBABLY LESS THAN HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA
2. PROBABLY HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA
3. AT LEAST SOME COLLEGE
4. OTHER (SPECIFY)
0. NA; INAP, education data not missing

M001029    ZZ1. IWR obs: R gender
            Numeric

ZZ1.

R's sex is:

1. MALE
2. FEMALE

M001030    ZZ2. FTF IWR obs: R race
            MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
            Numeric

ZZ2.

FTF:
R's race is:

1. WHITE
2. BLACK
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode

M001031a   ZZ3(1). FTF: #1 others present during IW
            MD1: EQ 8,  MD2: GE 9
            Numeric

ZZ3(1).

FTF:
Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 1

0. NONE
1. CHILDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode
MZ3(2).

FTF:
Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 2

1. CHILDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no further mention

MZ3(3).

FTF:
Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 3

1. CHILDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no further mention

MZ3(4).

FTF:
Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 4

1. CHILDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no further mention

===============
M001031e ZZ3(5). FTF: #5 others present during IW
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

ZZ3(5).

FTF:
Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 5

===============

1. CHILDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no further mention

===============
M001032 ZZ4. IWR obs: R cooperation
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ4.

R's cooperation was:

1. VERY GOOD
2. GOOD
3. FAIR
4. POOR
5. VERY POOR
0. NA

===============
M001033 ZZ5. IWR obs: R informed about politics
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ5.

R's general level of information about politics and public affairs seemed:
MZ6. IWR obs: R intelligence
MD:   EQ 0
Numeric

R's apparent intelligence:

1. VERY HIGH
2. FAIRLY HIGH
3. AVERAGE
4. FAIRLY LOW
5. VERY LOW
0. NA

MZ7. IWR obs: R suspicious
MD:   EQ 0
Numeric

How suspicious did R seem to be about the study before the interview?:

1. NOT AT ALL SUSPICIOUS
2. SOMEWHAT SUSPICIOUS
3. VERY SUSPICIOUS
0. NA

MZ8. IWR obs: R interest in IW
MD:   EQ 0
Numeric

Overall, how great was R's interest in the interview?

1. VERY HIGH
2. FAIRLY HIGH
3. AVERAGE
4. FAIRLY LOW
5. VERY LOW
Z29. How sincere did R seem in his/her answers?

1. COMPLETE SINCERE                          --> Z210
3. USUALLY SINCERE                           --> Z29a
5. OFTEN SEEMED TO BE INSINCERE             --> Z29a

Z29a.

IF NOT COMPLETELY SINCERE:

Were there any particular parts of the interview for which you doubted R's sincerity?

[IF SO NAME THEM BY SECTION OR QUESTION NUMBER]
This variable is blanked.

Z210.

Z210a.

IF R DID NOT REPORT INCOME OR IWR SUSPECTS DID NOT REPORT CORRECTLY:
If possible, give a reasonable estimate of what R's family income is (before taxes in 1999).

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>A. NONE OR LESS THAN $4,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>B. $5,000-$9,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>C. $10,000-$14,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>D. $15,000-$24,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>E. $25,000-$34,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>F. $35,000-$49,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>G. $50,000-$64,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>H. $65,000-$74,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>J. $75,000-$84,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>K. $85,000-$94,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>M. $95,000-$104,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>N. $105,000-$114,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>P. $115,000-$124,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Q. $125,000-$134,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>R. $135,000-$144,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>S. $145,000-$154,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>T. $155,000-$164,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>U. $165,000-$174,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>V. $175,000-$184,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>W. $185,000-$194,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>X. $195,000-$199,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>Y. $200,000 AND OVER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97.</td>
<td>DK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98.</td>
<td>Y. Impossible to estimate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00.</td>
<td>NA; INAP, 1 in ZZ10; phone mode</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

M001041a   ZZ11(1). Mention 1 - R reaction to IW
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ11(1).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 1

---

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/ TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
R was agitated or stressed by interview process
41. R became angry at interview content
45. R became concerned about sampling purposes or bias
50. R could not read respondent booklet (FTF only)
70. R appeared to enjoy the IW (R was cooperative/interested/pleasant)
80. Neutral or no feedback
00. NA

M001041b ZZ11(2). Mention 2 - R reaction to IW
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

R's reaction to interview:
MENTION 2

10. Negative - general
11. Negative - too long
12. Negative - too complicated
13. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious
15. R wanted to stop before IW completed. After starting IW, R made comments indicating regrets having agreed to do IW
20. R complained and/or IWR observed R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight etc. IW was hard for R
22. R complained and/or IWR observed R was confused by Qs, R was slow reader. IW was hard for R
30. R expressed doubts/apologies/embarrassments over lack of knowledge or own suitability for IW
31. R expressed doubts/apologies/embarrassments over lack of political knowledge, candidate names, election issues, or own lack of political participation.
40. R was agitated or stressed by interview process
41. R became angry at interview content
45. R became concerned about sampling purposes or bias
50. R could not read respondent booklet (FTF only)
70. R appeared to enjoy the IW (R was cooperative/interested/pleasant)
80. Neutral or no feedback
00. NA; no further mention

M001041c ZZ11(3). Mention 3 - R reaction to IW
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

R's reaction to interview:
MENTION 3

00. NA; no further mention
10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/ TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; no further mention

M001041d ZZ11(4). Mention 4 - R reaction to IW
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ11(4).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 4

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/ TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
M001041e ZZ11(5). Mention 5 - R reaction to IW
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ11(5).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 5

---------------------------------------------------------------------

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/ APOLOGIES/ EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/ APOLOGIES/ EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; no further mention

================================
M001041f ZZ11(6). Mention 6 - R reaction to IW
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ11(6).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 6

---------------------------------------------------------------------

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/ APOLOGIES/ EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/ APOLOGIES/ EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; no further mention
EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
00. NA; no further mention

ZZ11(7).

R's reaction to interview:
MENTION 7

-------------------------------

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
00. NA; no further mention

-----------------------------

ZZ11(8).

R's reaction to interview:
MENTION 8

-------------------------------

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
00. NA; no further mention

-----------------------------
R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 8

-----------------------------------------------

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY QS, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; no further mention

==============================

M001041j ZZ11(9). Mention 9 - R reaction to IW
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ11(9).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 9

-----------------------------------------------

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY QS, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET (FTF ONLY)
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS

COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; no further mention

===============================================
M001042 PreRand.A4/A5. Order-Gore,GWB lik/dis
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.A4/A5.

Order of Gore and Bush in Pre A4-A5 Likes/dislikes

1. Gore first
2. GW Bush first
9. NA

===============================================
M001043 Pre.Rand.C1b. Pos-Gore in therms
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.C1b.

Position of Gore in Pre Thermometers
C1b-Cle / C1b.T-Cle.T

Clinton's name was always first.

Codes 2 (2nd name) to 5 (5th name) and:

===============================================
M001044 Pre.Rand.C1c. Pos-GW Bush in therms
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.C1c.

Position of GW Bush in Pre Thermometers
C1b-Cle / C1b.T-Cle.T

Clinton's name was always first.

Codes 2 (2nd name) to 5 (5th name) and:

9. NA

===============================================
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M001045    Pre.Rand.C1d. Pos-Buchanan in therms
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.C1d.

Position of Buchanan in Pre Thermometers
C1b-C1d / C1b.T-C1d.T
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Clinton's name was always first.

Codes 2 (2nd name) to 5 (5th name) and:

9. NA

M001046    Pre.Rand.C1e. Pos-Nader in thermoms
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.C1e.

Position of Nader in Pre Thermometers
C1b-C1e / C1b.T-C1e.T
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Clinton's name was always first.

Codes 2 (2nd name) to 5 (5th name) and:

9. NA

M001047    Pre.Rand.C1f/g. Pos-Mccain, Bradley ther
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.C1f/g.

Position of McCain and Bradley in Pre Thermometers
C1f-C1g / C1f.T-C1g.T
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. McCain 6th name, Bradley 7th name
2. Bradley 6th name, McCain 7th name

9. NA

M001048    Pre.Rand.C1h/k. Pos-Cheney,Liebermn ther
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.C1h/k.

Position of Lieberman and Cheney in Pre Thermometers
C1h-C1j / C1h.T-C1j.T
1. Lieberman 8th name, Cheney 9th name
2. Cheney 8th name, Lieberman 9th name
9. NA

M001049 Pre.rand.c2. Order-parties in therms
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.C2.
Order of 3 major parties in Pre thermometers C2a-C2c

1. Democratic 1st, Republican 2nd, Reform 3rd
2. Democratic 1st, Reform 2nd, Republican 3rd
3. Republican 1st, Democratic 2nd, Reform 3rd
4. Republican 1st, Reform 2nd, Democratic 3rd
5. Reform 1st, Democratic 2nd, Republican 3rd
6. Reform 1st, Republican 2nd, Democratic 3rd
9. NA

M001050 Pre.rand.d1/d3. Order-parties in lik/dis
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.D1/D3.
Order of 2 major parties in Pre 'Likes-Dislikes'

1. Democratic party first (D1-D2), Republican party 2nd (D3-D4)
2. Republican Party first (D1-D2), Democratic party 2nd (D3-D4)
9. NA

M001051 Pre.rand.f1-f3. Order-Gore, GWB, Buchn
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F1-F3.
Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Pre Affects series F1,F2,F3

1. Gore 1st, GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd
2. Gore 1st, Buchanan 2nd, GW Bush 3rd
3. GW Bush 1st, Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd
4. GW Bush 1st, Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd
5. Buchanan 1st, Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd
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6. Buchanan 1st, GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd

9. NA

==================================
M001052  Pre.Rand.F1a. Pos-angry -Gore affects
MD:   EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F1a.

Pre: Position of Angry among Gore Affects F1a-F1d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

==================================
M001053  Pre.Rand.F1b. Pos-hopeful -Gore affects
MD:   EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F1b.

Pre: Position of Hopeful among Gore Affects F1a-F1d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

==================================
M001054  Pre.Rand.F1c. Pos-afraid -Gore affects
MD:   EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F1c.

Pre: Position of Afraid among Gore Affects F1a-F1d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

==================================
M001055  Pre.Rand.F1d. Pos-proud -Gore affects
MD:   EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F1d.

Pre: Position of Proud among Gore Affects F1a-F1d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA
MD:  EQ 9  
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F2a.

Pre: Position of Angry among GW Bush Affects F2a-F2d
-----------------------------------------------

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

M001057  Pre.Rand.F2b. Pos-hopeful -GWB affects
MD:  EQ 9  
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F2b.

Pre: Position of Hopeful among GW Bush Affects F2a-F2d
-----------------------------------------------

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

M001058  Pre.Rand.F2c. Pos-afraid -GWB affects
MD:  EQ 9  
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F2c.

Pre: Position of Afraid among GW Bush Affects F2a-F2d
-----------------------------------------------

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

M001059  Pre.Rand.F2d. Pos-proud -GWB affects
MD:  EQ 9  
Numeric

Pre-Rand.F2d.

Pre: Position of Proud among GW Bush Affects F2a-F2d
-----------------------------------------------

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

M001060  Pre.Rand.F3a. Pos-angry -Buchnn affects
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Pre-Rand.F3a.

Pre: Position of Angry among Buchanan Affects F3a-F3d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

M001061 Pre.Rand.F3b. Pos-hopeful -Buchnn affects

Pre-Rand.F3b.

Pre: Position of Hopeful among Buchanan Affects F3a-F3d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

M001062 Pre.Rand.F3c. Pos-afraid -Buchnn affects

Pre-Rand.F3c.

Pre: Position of Afraid among Buchanan Affects F3a-F3d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA

M001063 Pre.Rand.F3d. Pos-proud -Buchnn affects

Pre-Rand.F3d.

Pre: Position of Proud among Buchanan Affects F3a-F3d

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA
Pre-Rand.G3-G5.


Clinton placement was always first.

1. Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Buchanan 4th
2. Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, GW Bush 4th
3. GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd, Buchanan 4th
4. GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, Gore 4th
5. Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd, GW Bush 4th

9. NA

Pre-Rand.G8-10.E.


Clinton placement was always first.

1. Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Buchanan 4th
2. Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, GW Bush 4th
3. GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd, Buchanan 4th
4. GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, Gore 4th
5. Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd, GW Bush 4th

9. NA


Order of Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan in Pre Traits series K2,K3,K4

1. Gore 1st, GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd
2. Gore 1st, Buchanan 2nd, GW Bush 3rd
3. GW Bush 1st, Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd
4. GW Bush 1st, Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd
5. Buchanan 1st, Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd
6. Buchanan 1st, GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd

9. NA
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2a.

Pre: Order of Moral among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2b.

Pre: Order of Really Cares among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

M001069 Pre.Rand.K2c. Order-knowldg -Gore traits
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2c.

Pre: Order of Knowledgeable among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2d.

Pre: Order of Leadership among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

M001071 Pre.Rand.K2e. Order-dishon -Gore traits
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric
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Pre-Rand.K2e.

Pre: Order of Dishonest among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

==========================================
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2f.

Pre: Order of Intelligent among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

==========================================
M001073 Pre.Rand.K2g. Ord-out touch -Gore traits
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K2g.

Pre: Order of Out of Touch among Gore traits K2a-K2g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

==========================================
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K3a.

Pre: Order of Moral among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

==========================================
M001075 Pre.Rand.K3b. Order-cares -GWB traits
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K3b.
Pre: Order of Really Cares among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

M001076 Pre.Rand.K3c. Order-knowldg -GWB traits
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K3c.

Pre: Order of Knowledgeable among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K3d.

Pre: Order of Leadership among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

M001078 Pre.Rand.K3e. Order-dishon -GWB traits
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K3e.

Pre: Order of Dishonest among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K3f.

Pre: Order of Intelligent among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g
Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

==================================
M001080  Pre.Rand.K3g. Ord-out touch -GW Bush traits
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K3g.

Pre: Order of Out of Touch among GW Bush traits K3a-K3g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA

==================================
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4a.

Pre: Order of Moral among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

==================================
M001082  Pre.Rand.K4b. Ord-cares -Buchanan traits
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4b.

Pre: Order of Really Cares among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

==================================
M001083  Pre.Rand.K4c. Ord-knowl -Buchanan traits
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4c.
Pre: Order of Knowledgeable among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g
-------------------------------------------------------------

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period
(09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

-----------------------------
MD:   EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4d.

Pre: Order of Leadership among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g
-------------------------------------------------------------

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period
(09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

-----------------------------
M001085  Pre.Rand.K4e. Ord-dishon -Buchann traits
MD:   EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4e.

Pre: Order of Dishonest among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g
-------------------------------------------------------------

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period
(09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

-----------------------------
M001086  Pre.Rand.K4f. Ord-intell -Buchann traits
MD:   EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4f.

Pre: Order of Intelligent among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g
-------------------------------------------------------------

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period
(09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:
9. NA; Question dropped

M001087 Pre.Rand.K4g. Ord-out touch-Buchnn traits
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.K4g.

Pre: Order of Out of Touch among Buchanan traits K4a-K4g

Buchanan traits were dropped during the field period (09/28/00).

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA; Question dropped

M001088 Pre.Rand.L1c/L1d. Order-Gore,GWB serv/sp
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.L1c/L1d.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Services/Spending placements L1c-L1d / L1c-L1d.T

Clinton's name was always first.

1. Gore 2nd name; GW Bush 3rd name
2. GW Bush 2nd name; Gore 3rd name

9. NA

M001089 Pre.Rand.L1e/L1f. Order-Gore,GWB serv/sp
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.L1e/L1f.

Pre: Order of major parties among Services/Spending placements L1e-L1f / L1e-L1f.T

Clinton's name was always first.

1. Democratic party 4th name; Republican party 5th
2. Republican party 4th name; Democratic party 5th

9. NA

M001090 Pre.Rand.L2b/L2c. Order-Gore,GWB def sp
MD: EQ 9
Pre-Rand.L2b/L2c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Defense Spending placements L2b-L2c / L2b.T-L2c.T

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
9. NA

Pre-Rand.L2d/L2e.

Pre: Order of major parties among Defense Spending placements L2d-L2e / L2d.T-L2e.T

1. Democratic Party 3rd name, Republican Party 4th
2. Republican Party 3rd name, Democratic Party 4th
9. NA

Pre-Rand.L4b/L4c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Guaranteed Jobs placements L4b-L4c / L4b.T-L4c.T

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
9. NA

Pre-Rand.L4d/L4e.

Pre: Order of major parties among Guaranteed Jobs placements L4d-L4e / L4d.T-L4e.T

1. Democratic Party 3rd name, Republican Party 4th
2. Republican Party 3rd name, Democratic Party 4th
M001094  Pre.Rand.L5c/L5d. Ord-Gore,GWB aid bcks  
  MD:  EQ 9  
  Numeric  

Pre-Rand.L5c/L5d.  

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Aid to Blacks placements L5c-L5d /L5c.T-L5d.T  

Clinton's name was always first.  
1. Gore 2nd name; GW Bush 3rd name  
2. GW Bush 2nd name; Gore 3rd name  

M001095  Pre.Rand.L5e/L5f. Order-parties aid blks  
  MD:  EQ 9  
  Numeric  

Pre-Rand.L5e/L5f.  

Pre Order of major parties among Aid to Blacks placements L5e-L5f /L5e.T-L5f.T  

Clinton's name was always first.  
1. Democratic party 4th name; Republican party 5th  
2. Republican party 4th name; Democratic party 5th  

M001096  Pre.Rand.L7b. Order-welfare -fed spendng  
  MD:  EQ 9  
  Numeric  

Pre-Rand.L7b.  

Order of Welfare among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p  

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).  

Codes 2-13 and:  

M001097  Pre.Rand.L7c. Order-aids resch-fed spend  
  MD:  EQ 9  
  Numeric
Pre-Rand.L7c.

Order of Aids Research among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

Pre-Rand.L7d.

Order of Foreign Aid among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

Pre-Rand.L7e.

Order of Food Stamps among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

Pre-Rand.L7f.

Order of Aid to Poor among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA
Pre-Rand.L7g.

Order of Soc Security among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

Pre-Rand.L7h.

Order of Env Protection among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

Pre-Rand.L7j.

Order of Public Schls among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

Pre-Rand.L7k.

Order of Deal w/Crime among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p
'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

Pre-Rand.L7m.

Order of Child Care among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

Pre-Rand.L7n.

Order of Border Security among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

Pre-Rand.L7p.

Order of Aid to Blacks among Pre Federal spending items L7b-L7p

'Repairing the highways' was always first (L7a).

Codes 2-13 and:

9. NA

Pre-Rand.M1b/M1c. Ord-Gore-GWB abortion

MD:  EQ 9
Numeric
Pre-Rand.M1b/M1c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Abortion placements M1b-M1c / M1b.T-M1c.T

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
9. NA

Pre-Rand.M4b/M4c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Environment-Jobs placements M4b-M4c / M4b.T-M4c.T

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
9. NA

Pre-Rand.M6b/M6c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush for Gun restriction placement M6b-M6c

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
9. NA

Pre-Rand.P1b/P1c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Women's Role placements P1b-P1c / P1b.T-P1c.T

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
9. NA
M001112 Pre.Rand.P2b/P2c. Ord-Gore-envir reg
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.P2b/P2c.

Pre: Order of Gore and GW Bush among Environmental regulation placements P2b-P2c / P2b.T-P2c.T

1. Gore 1st name, GW Bush 2nd name
2. GW Bush 1st name, Gore 2nd name
9. NA

M001113 Pre.Rand.Q14a. Ord-angry-Clinton affects
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q14a.

Pre: Order of Angry among Clinton Affects Q14a-Q14d.

Codes 1-4 and:
9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

M001114 Pre.Rand.Q14b. Ord-hopeful-Clinton affects
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q14b.

Pre: Order of Hopeful among Clinton Affects Q14a-Q14d.

Codes 1-4 and:
9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

M001115 Pre.Rand.Q14c. Ord-afraid -Clinton affects
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Pre-Rand.Q14c.

Pre: Order of Afraid among Clinton Affects Q14a-Q14d.

Codes 1-4 and:
9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

==============================
M001116 Pre.Rand.Q14d. Ord-proud-Clinton affects
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Pre-Rand.Q14d.

Pre: Order of Proud among Clinton Affects Q14a-Q14d.
--------------------------------------------------------

Codes 1-4 and:

9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

==============================
M001117 Pre.Rand.Q15a. Ord-moral-Clinton traits
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Pre-Rand.Q15a.

Pre: Order of Moral among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g
-----------------------------------------------

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

==============================
M001118 Pre.Rand.Q15b. Ord-cares-Clinton traits
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Pre-Rand.Q15b.

Pre: Order of Really Cares among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g
-----------------------------------------------

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

==============================
M001119 Pre.Rand.Q15c. Ord-knowl-Clinton traits
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Pre-Rand.Q15c.

Pre: Order of Knowledgeable among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g
-----------------------------------------------

Codes 1-7 and:
9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

M001120 Pre.Rand.Q15d. Ord-leader-Clinton traits
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Pre-Rand.Q15d.

Pre: Order of Leadership among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

M001121 Pre.Rand.Q15e. Ord-dishon-Clinton traits
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Pre-Rand.Q15e.

Pre: Order of Dishonest among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

M001122 Pre.Rand.Q15f. Ord-intell-Clinton traits
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Pre-Rand.Q15f.

Pre: Order of Intelligent among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g

Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

M001123 Pre.Rand.Q15g. Ord-out touch-Clint trait
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Pre-Rand.Q15g.

Pre: Order of Out of Touch among Clinton traits Q15a-Q15g
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Codes 1-7 and:

9. NA
0. Question administered in Post

==============================
M001201    A1. R interest in campaigns
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

A1.

Some people don't pay much attention to political campaigns. How about you? Would you say that you were very much interested, somewhat interested, or not much interested in following the political campaigns this year?

1. VERY MUCH INTERESTED
3. SOMEWHAT INTERESTED
5. NOT MUCH INTERESTED
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

==============================
M001202    A2. R watched programs about campaign
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

A2.

Did you watch any programs about the campaign on television?

1. YES
5. NO  --> SKIP TO A3
8. DK  --> SKIP TO A3
9. RF  --> SKIP TO A3
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

==============================
M001203    A2a. How many programs R watched about campaign
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

A2a.

IF R WATCHED PROGRAMS ABOUT CAMPAIGN:

Would you say you watched a good many, several, or just one or two?

1. A GOOD MANY
3. SEVERAL
5. JUST ONE OR TWO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in A2

M001204 A3. Does R ever discuss politics
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

A3.

Do you ever discuss politics with your family or friends?

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO A4
8. DK --> SKIP TO A4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001205 A3a. How often does R discuss politics
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 96
Numeric

A3a.

IF R DISCUSSES POLITICS:

How many days in the past week did you talk about politics with family or friends?

1-7

96. NONE (NO DAYS)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9 in A3; no Post interview

M001206 A4. Does R recall names of House candidates
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

A4.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
1. YES, REMEMBER
5. NO, DON'T REMEMBER --> SKIP TO B1
8. DK --> SKIP TO B1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

M001207  A4a1. Hse name recall 1 - cand code
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
Numeric

A4a1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
Who were they?

1ST RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]
** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)
30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list for this race

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; Inap, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no Post interview

M001208  A4b1. Hse party recall 1
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

A4b1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
district?
Who were they?
What is <NAME>'s> party?

1ST RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY

1. DEMOCRAT
5. REPUBLICAN
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.;
   no Post interview

M001209    A4(1)x1. Actual party of recall 1
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

A4(1)x1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district? Who were they?

1ST RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY OF CANDIDATE

1. Democrat
5. Republican
7. Other

0. INAP, 00,97,98 in A4a1

M001210    A4(2)x2. Accuracy of recall 1
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

A4(2)x2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district? Who were they? What is <NAME>'s> party?

1ST RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY
Built from A4a1 and A4b1.

1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
9. NA/RF for candidate name and NA/RF for party mention
0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in A4

M001211 A4a2. Hse name recall 2 - cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

A4a2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.: IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district? Who were they?

2nd RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]
** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list for this race

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; Inap, no Post interview; R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention
M001212  A4b2. Hse party recall 2
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

A4b2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
Who were they?
What is <NAME>'S> party?

2nd RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY
---------------------------------------------------------------------
  1. DEMOCRAT
  5. REPUBLICAN
  7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
  8. DK
  9. RF
  0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention

M001213  A4(2)x1. Actual party of recall 2
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

A4(2)x1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
Who were they?

2nd RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY OF CANDIDATE
---------------------------------------------------------------------
  1. Democrat
  5. Republican
  7. Other

  0. INAP, 00,97,98 in A4a2

M001214  A4(2)x2. Accuracy of recall 2
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
Numeric
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
Who were they?
What is <NAME>'S> party?

2nd RECALLED NAME - ACCCURACY

Built from A4a2 and A4b2.

1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
8. NA for candidate name and DK or NA for party mention
9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in A4; no further mention

M001215  A4a3. Hse name recall 3 - cand code
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

A4a3.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
Who were they?

3RD RECALLED NAME - CANDIDATE CODE

[PROBE: ANY OTHERS?]
** codes 30,38,39 used only if name appears on candidate list (if name not on candidate list, code 97 is used)

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
M001216    A4b3. Hse party recall 3
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

A4b3.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
Who were they?
What is <NAME>'s> party?

3RD RECALLED NAME - RECALLED PARTY
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. DEMOCRAT
5. REPUBLICAN
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R says he/she does not recall names; Washington D.C.; no further mention

M001217    A4(3)x1. Actual party of recall 3
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

A4(3)x1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district?
Who were they?
3RD RECALLED NAME - ACTUAL PARTY OF CANDIDATE

1. Democrat
5. Republican
7. Other

0. INAP, 00,97,98 in A4a3

---------------------------------------------
M001218  A4(3)x2. Accuracy of recall 3
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

A4(3)x2.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE RECALLS NAMES OF HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress -- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in the November election from this district? Who were they? What is <NAME>'S> party?

3RD RECALLED NAME - ACCURACY
---------------------------------------------

Built from A4a3 and A4b3.

1. Valid candidate name given and correct party associated with name
2. Valid candidate name given but incorrect party associated with name
3. Valid candidate name given, DK or NA for party associated with name
4. Invalid candidate name given with any mention of party associated with name
5. Invalid candidate name given with DK or NA for party associated with name
6. No candidate name given (DK or NA) -- any party mention
7. No candidate name given (DK) -- DK or NA for party
9. NA for candidate name and NA for party mention
0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in A4; no further mention

-----------------------------
M001219  B1. Party talked to R about campaign
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B1.

As you know, the political parties try to talk to as many people as they can to get them to vote for their candidate. Did anyone from one of the political parties call you up
or come around and talk to you about the campaign this year?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO Blb
8. DK --> SKIP TO Blb
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001220 Bla. Party that spoke to R about campgn
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Bla.

IF SOMEONE FROM PARTY SPOKE TO R ABOUT CAMPAIGN:

Which party was that?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. DEMOCRATS
5. REPUBLICANS
6. BOTH
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Bl

M001221 Blb. Anyone else talk to R about cands
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Blb.

Other than someone from the two major parties, did anyone (else) call you up or come around and talk to you about supporting specific candidates in this last election?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001222 Blc. Party send R mail about campaign
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Blc.
Did anyone from one of the political parties send you mail about the campaign this year?

1. YES
5. NO  --> SKIP TO B1D
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001223  Blc1. Party that sent mail about campaign
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B1c1.

IF POLITICAL PARTY SENT MAIL:

Which party was that?

1. DEMOCRATS
5. REPUBLICANS
6. BOTH
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B1c

M001224  B1d. Anyone else send mail about campaign
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B1d.

Other than someone from the two major parties, did anyone (else) send you mail about supporting specific candidates in this last election?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001225  B2. Did R try to influence vote of others
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B2.
We would like to find out about some of the things people do to help a party or a candidate win an election. During the campaign, did you talk to any people and try to show them why they should vote for or against one of the parties or candidates?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001226 B3. Did R display button/sticker/sign
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B3.

Did you wear a campaign button, put a campaign sticker on your car, or place a sign in your window or in front of your house?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001227 B4. Did R go to meetings/rallies etc.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B4.

Did you go to any political meetings, rallies, speeches, dinners, or things like that in support of a particular candidate?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001228 B5. Did R do any other campaign work
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B5.
Did you do any (other) work for one of the parties or candidates?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001229 B6. Did R contribute to candidate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B6.

During an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. Did you give money to an individual candidate running for public office?

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO B7
8. DK --> SKIP TO B7
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001230 B6a. Party of candidate - R contribution
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B6a.

IF R GAVE MONEY TO INDIVIDUAL CANDIDATE:

Which party did that candidate belong to?

1. DEMOCRATS
3. REPUBLICANS
5. OTHER (SPECIFY)
6. BOTH DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE AND REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE (VOL)
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B6

M001231 B7. Did R give money to party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B7.
Did you give money to a political party during this election year?  

1. YES  
2. NO  ---> SKIP TO B8  
3. DK  ---> SKIP TO B8  
4. RF  
5. NA; INAP, no Post interview  

M001232   B7a. Party - R contribution  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
Numeric  

B7a.  

IF R GAVE MONEY TO POLITICAL PARTY:  
To which party did you give money?  

1. DEMOCRATS  
2. REPUBLICANS  
3. OTHER (SPECIFY)  
4. BOTH DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS  
5. DK  
6. RF  
7. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B7  

M001233   B8. Did R give to group for/against candidate  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
Numeric  

B8.  

Did you give any money to any other group that supported or opposed candidates?  

1. YES  
2. NO  
3. DK  
4. RF  
5. NA; INAP, no Post interview  

M001234   B9. Did anyone talk to R about registering or voting  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
Numeric  

B9.  

During the campaign this year, did anyone talk to you
1. YES, SOMEONE DID
5. NO, NO ONE DID

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001235  B10. Did moral/relig groups discuss cmpaign w/R
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B10.

Were there any groups concerned with moral or religious issues that tried to encourage you to vote in a particular way?

1. YES, THERE WERE GROUPS
5. NO, NO GROUPS TRIED TO ENCOURAGE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001236  B11. Clergy provide election information
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B11.

Was information about candidates, parties, or political issues made available in your place of worship before the election?

[DON'T PROBE DK RESPONSE]

1. YES
5. NO, NO INFORMATION AVAILABLE
7. NO, DON'T GO TO CHURCH [VOL]  --> C1

8. DK  --> C1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001237  B12. Did clergy encourage R to vote for cand or prty
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

B12.

IF R DID NOT INDICATE NON-ATTENDANCE IN B11:
Did the clergy or other church leaders at your place of worship encourage you to vote for a particular candidate or party?

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP TO C1
8. DK --> SKIP TO C1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7, 8, 9, 0 in B11

M001238  B12a1. Clergy endorsement 1
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
Numeric

B12a1.

IF CLERGY/CHURCH ENDORSED CANDIDATE/PARTY:

Which candidate?

MENTION 1 - CANDIDATE CODE

[IF R SAYS CAN'T REMEMBER CANDIDATE NAME, PROBE: WHICH ELECTION OR WHAT OFFICE? ANY OTHERS?]

PRESIDENT:
01. Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Gore)
03. Independent/3rd party Presidential candidate (2000:Buchanan,Nader)
05. Anti-Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Gore)
06. Anti-Republican Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Bush)

SENATE:
11. Democratic Senate candidate in state without incumbent
12. Republican Senate candidate in state without incumbent
13. Democratic Senate incumbent candidate
14. Republican Senate incumbent candidate
15. Republican Senate challenger candidate
16. Republican Senate challenger candidate

HOUSE:
31. Democratic House candidate in district without incumbent
32. Republican House candidate in district without incumbent
33. Democratic House incumbent candidate
34. Republican House incumbent candidate
35. Democratic House challenger candidate
36. Republican House challenger candidate

OTHER - PARTY-SPECIFIC:
71. Democratic Candidate(s)--NA which office
72. Republican Candidate(s)--NA which office
73. Other Democratic Candidate--any office level
74. Other Republican Candidate--any office level
80. Other independent or minor party candidate--any office level
81. Democratic Party
82. Republican Party
85. Anti-'Democrats'; against any Democratic cand exc.Presidential
86. Anti-'Republicans'; against any Republican cand exc. Presidential
89. Other party
OTHER - NOT PARTY SPECIFIC:
90. Vote on particular issue
91. 'Just to vote'
94. Nonpartisan/judicial candidate
95. Other candidate(s) for state/local offices (office level given
but party NA)
96. Other groups or individuals which are neither parties nor
organized supporters of specific candidates
97. Candidate name given but party and office level NA (low priority)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B12

M001239  B12a2. Clergy endorsement 2
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
Numeric

B12a2.

IF CLERGY/CHURCH ENDORSED CANDIDATE/PARTY:

Which candidate?

MENTION 2 - CANDIDATE CODE

[IF R SAYS CAN'T REMEMBER CANDIDATE NAME, PROBE: WHICH
ELECTION OR WHAT OFFICE? ANY OTHERS?]

PRESIDENT:
01. Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Gore)
03. Independent/3rd party Presidential candidate (2000:Buchanan,Nader)
05. Anti-Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Gore)
06. Anti-Republican Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Bush)

SENATE:
11. Democratic Senate candidate in state without incumbent
12. Republican Senate candidate in state without incumbent
13. Democratic Senate incumbent candidate
14. Republican Senate incumbent candidate
15. Republican Senate challenger candidate
16. Republican Senate challenger candidate

HOUSE:
31. Democratic House candidate in district without incumbent
32. Republican House candidate in district without incumbent
33. Democratic House incumbent candidate
34. Republican House incumbent candidate
35. Democratic House challenger candidate
36. Republican House challenger candidate

OTHER - PARTY-SPECIFIC:
71. Democratic Candidate(s)--NA which office
72. Republican Candidate(s)--NA which office
73. Other Democratic Candidate--any office level
74. Other Republican Candidate--any office level
80. Other independent or minor party candidate--any office level
81. Democratic Party
82. Republican Party
85. Anti-'Democrats'; against any Democratic cand exc. Presidential
86. Anti-'Republicans'; against any Republican cand exc. Presidential
89. Other party
OTHER - NOT PARTY SPECIFIC:
90. Vote on particular issue
91. 'Just to vote'
94. Nonpartisan/judicial candidate
95. Other candidate(s) for state/local offices (office level given but party NA)
96. Other groups or individuals which are neither parties nor organized supporters of specific candidates
97. Candidate name given but party and office level NA (low priority)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in B12; no further mention

M001240 B12a3. Clergy endorsement 3
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

B12a3.

IF CLERGY/CHURCH ENDORSED CANDIDATE/PARTY:

Which candidate?

MENTION 3 - CANDIDATE CODE

[IF R SAYS CAN'T REMEMBER CANDIDATE NAME, PROBE: WHICH ELECTION OR WHAT OFFICE? ANY OTHERS?]

PRESIDENT:
01. Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Gore)
03. Independent/3rd party Presidential candidate (2000:Buchanan,Nader)
05. Anti-Democratic Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Gore)
06. Anti-Republican Presidential candidate (2000: Anti-Bush)

SENATE:
11. Democratic Senate candidate in state without incumbent
12. Republican Senate candidate in state without incumbent
13. Democratic Senate incumbent candidate
14. Republican Senate incumbent candidate
15. Republican Senate challenger candidate
16. Republican Senate challenger candidate

HOUSE:
31. Democratic House candidate in district without incumbent
32. Republican House candidate in district without incumbent
33. Democratic House incumbent candidate
34. Republican House incumbent candidate
35. Democratic House challenger candidate
36. Republican House challenger candidate

OTHER - PARTY-SPECIFIC:
71. Democratic Candidate(s)--NA which office
72. Republican Candidate(s)--NA which office
In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time.

Which of the following statements best describes you:
One, I did not vote (in the election this November);
Two, I thought about voting this time - but didn't;
Three, I usually vote, but didn't this time; or
Four, I am sure I voted?  

[INTERVIEWER: PLEASE READ ALL OPTIONS]

1. I DID NOT VOTE (IN THE ELECTION THIS NOVEMBER).
2. I THOUGHT ABOUT VOTING THIS TIME, BUT DIDN'T.
3. I USUALLY VOTE, BUT DIDN'T THIS TIME.
4. I AM SURE I VOTED                             --> SKIP TO C3

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
Were you registered to vote in this election?  

1. YES  
5. NO --> SKIP TO C9(2)  
6. VOL: NOT REQUIRED TO REGISTER IN R'S STATE --> SKIP TO C9(2)  
8. DK --> SKIP TO C9(2)  
9. RF --> SKIP TO C9(2)  
0. NA; INAP, 0, 4 in C1

------------------------------------------
M001243  C3. Registered in county of IW?  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

C3.

IF R IS REGISTERED/  
IF R VOTED:  

Your residence is located in <NAME'S> County.  
Are you registered to vote in <NAME'S> County? /  
Your residence is located in Washington D.C.  
Are you registered to vote in Washington D.C.?  

1. YES, REGISTERED IN (PRELOAD COUNTY) --> IF C1 IS YES GO TO C4, /WASHINGTON D.C ELSE GO TO C9(1))  
5. NO, REGISTERED IN OTHER COUNTY --> SKIP TO C3a  
8. DK --> IF C1 IS YES GO TO C4, ELSE GO TO C9(1)  
9. RF --> IF C1 IS YES GO TO C4, ELSE GO TO C9(1)  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 5, 6, 8, 9 or NA in C2

------------------------------------------
M001244  C3a1. State of registration  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98  
Numeric

C3a1.

IF R NOT REGISTERED IN COUNTY/ IN WASHINGTON D.C.:  

In what county and state are you registered?  

STATE OF REGISTRATION  

------------------------------------------
ICPSR state code  

98. DK  
99. RF  
00. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in C3

------------------------------------------
M001244a  C3a2. County of registration (blank)  
Character
C3a2.

IF R NOT REGISTERED IN COUNTY/ IN WASHINGTON D.C.:

In what county and state are you registered?

COUNTY OF REGISTRATION (BLANKED)
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

This variable has been blanked to preserve the confidentiality of the respondent.

==============================
M001245   C4. Did R vote on election day or before
            MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
            Numeric

C4.

IF R VOTED:

Did you vote on election day -- that is, November 7th 2000, or did you vote at some time before this?
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

1. ELECTION DAY   --> SKIP TO C5
5. SOME TIME BEFORE THIS   --> C4a

8. DK   --> SKIP TO C5
9. RF   --> SKIP TO C5
0. NA; INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1

==============================
M001246   C4a. How long before election did R vote
            MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
            Numeric

C4a.

IF R VOTED PRIOR TO ELECTION DAY:

How long before November 7th did you vote?
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: A FEW DAYS, A WEEK, LONGER THAN THAT?]

01. Less than one week, 1-6 days
02. One week; 7 days
03. 1-2 weeks; 8-14 days
04. 2-3 weeks; 15-21 days
05. 3-4 weeks; 22-28 days
06. One month; 29-31 days
07. More than one month; 32-60 days
11. A few days; a couple of days; several days -- NFS
12. A few weeks; a couple of weeks; several weeks -- NFS
91. More than a few days -- NFS
92. More than a few weeks -- NFS
97. Other
98. DK; not sure
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in C4

==============================
M001247  C4b. Did R vote in person or absentee
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C4b.

IF R VOTED PRIOR TO ELECTION DAY:

Did you vote in person or by absentee ballot?

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. IN PERSON --> SKIP TO C5
5. ABSENTEE BALLOT --> SKIP TO C5
7. R VOLUNTEERS: BY MAIL [OREGON ONLY] --> SKIP TO C5
8. DK --> SKIP TO C5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in C4

==============================
M001248  C5. Did R vote for President?
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C5.

IF R VOTED:

How about the election for President? Did you vote for a candidate for President?

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES, VOTED FOR PRESIDENT --> SKIP TO C9
5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR PRESIDENT --> SKIP TO C9
8. DK --> SKIP TO C9
9. RF --> SKIP TO C9
0. NA; INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1

==============================
M001249  C6. R vote cast for President
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C6.

IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT:

Who did you vote for?

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. AL GORE --> SKIP TO C6a
3. GEORGE W. BUSH --> SKIP TO C6a
6. PAT BUCHANAN --> SKIP TO C6a
5. RALPH NADER --> SKIP TO C6a
2. HOWARD PHILLIPS - CONSTITUTION PARTY CANDIDATE --> SKIP TO C6a
4. HARRY BROWN - LIBERTARIAN CANDIDATE --> SKIP TO C6a
7. R REPORTS VOTING FOR SELF --> SKIP TO C6a
8. DK --> SKIP TO C9
9. RF --> SKIP TO C9
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C5

M001250  C6a. How strong R support Pres cand
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C6a. IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

Would you say that your preference for (IF NAME GIVEN:
<candidate name>/) (IF OTHER SPECIFY: this candidate)
was strong or not strong?

1. STRONG
5. NOT STRONG

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6

M001251  C7. Timing of Pres vote decision
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
Numeric

C7. IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

How long before the election did you decide that you
were going to vote the way you did?

[PROBE IF NECESSARY "WOULD THAT HAVE BEEN A FEW DAYS BEFORE
THE ELECTION, A WEEK, OR LONGER THAN THAT?"]

01. Knew all along/from the first; always vote for the same party;
    before the convention/nomination (no mention of Gore/Bush/
    Buchanan/Nader); before the primaries; 9 months or more
02. Before the convention because of Gore's/ Bush's/ Buchanan's/ 
    Nader's candidacy; as soon as Gore/Bush/Buchanan/Nader said he
    would run; "months before"; "early on"; "long time/quite a bit
    before the election"
03. At the time of the Republican convention/ when Bush was nominated;
    just after the Republican convention; 3 months; July; "a few
    months"; "2-3 months"
04. During the Democratic convention when Gore was nominated; just
    after the Democratic convention; 4 months; August
05. After the convention(s); during the campaign (NFS); 2 months; "a couple of months"; September
06. Five to seven weeks before the election
07. One month; three weeks; October; after the Presidential debates
08. About two weeks before the election; "ten days"
09. In the last few days of the campaign; the last part of it
10. On election day
11. During/after the primaries (not codeable in 02); "several months"; 5-8 months
12. After the vice-presidential debate
97. Other

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6

M001252a C8(1). If R cld have cast more than one vote -1st
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

C8(1).

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

If you could have cast more than one vote in the Presidential election this (past) November, is there any other candidate you would have found acceptable and have voted for?

MENTION 1

1. AL GORE
3. GEORGE W BUSH
5. PAT BUCHANAN
6. RALPH NADER
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. NO OTHER CANDIDATE (VOL)

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6

M001252b C8(2). If R cld have cast more than one vote -2nd
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

C8(2).

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

If you could have cast more than one vote in the Presidential election this (past) November, is there any other candidate you would have found acceptable and have voted for?

MENTION 2
1. AL GORE
3. GEORGE W BUSH
5. PAT BUCHANAN
6. RALPH NADER
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. NO OTHER CANDIDATE (VOL)

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C6; no further mention

M001252c   C8(3). If R cld have cast more than one vote -3rd
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
Numeric

C8(3).

IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/REF:

If you could have cast more than one vote in the
Presidential election this (past) November, is there any
other candidate you would have found acceptable and have
voted for?

MENTION 3

==============================

M001253a   C9(1). Hse ckpt: vote in/out county
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
Numeric

C9(1).

CHECKPOINT: VOTE IN COUNTY OF INTERVIEW

1. R VOTED WITHIN COUNTY OF INTERVIEW
2. R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF INTERVIEW
3. R DID NOT VOTE (AND DK IF VOTED)
4. WASHINGTON DC

9. R VOTED - NA WHERE VOTED (TREAT AS WITHIN COUNTY)
0. INAP, no Post interview
M001253b  C9(2). Hse ckpt: order of Dem/Rep names
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

C9(2).

IF R VOTED:

CHECKPOINT: RANDOM ORDER OF DEMOCRATIC/REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAMES
 =========================================================================

This describes, for House vote and nonvoter House preference, the order in which names appeared on the FTF ballot card or the order in which names were read in Telephone administration.

NOTE: in VT01 and VA05, the independent incumbent candidate was randomized, in the FTF ballot card and in the order of names in the Telephone wording, as if the candidate were a major party candidate: VT01 candidate Bernie Sanders was randomized as if a Democratic name, and in VA05 Virgil Goode Jr. was randomized as if a Republican name (no Democratic name was preloaded for VT01 and no Republican name was preloaded for VA05).

All other ind/3rd-party candidate names appearing on the FTF ballot card or included in Telephone wording appear last in order.

NOTE: ind/3rd-party candidate names are included in response codes/question wording whenever they have been preloaded; ind/3rd-party names are preloaded only for candidates who have been identified as especially viable. See note concerning randomization failure in P000262.

1. Democratic names first (FTF: BLUE BALLOT CARD)
2. Republican names first (FTF: GOLD BALLOT CARD)

0. INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1; Washington D.C.

==========================================================================
M001254  C9a/C11a.T. In-county: vote for House?
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C9a/C11a.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

FTF:
Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district. How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?

TELEPHONE:
How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?
FTF: [SHOW <BLUE/GOLD> BALLOT CARD]

1. YES, VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2, 3, 4, 9, 0 in C9(1)

M001255  C9b(1)/C11bx1.T. In-county House vote
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C9b(1)/C11bx1.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

FTF:
Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,
<NAME>?)

See C9(2) for the order in which names were presented on
the ballot card/ read over the phone.
The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present
on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that
candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into
the instrument).
Code 7 includes cases where R volunteered that he/she
voted "a straight ticket" but no candidate for R's party
ran; it also includes cases where R insists that he/she
voted for a specific party's candidate but no candidate
from that party ran in R's district.
CODE 6 NOTE: In 8 cases where R resided in county of interview,
R did not select one of the names from the district of the
interview location but provided a name which was later
identified as belonging to another congressional district.
These cases have been coded 6 in P001255 but the candidate code
and party identification according to the other district are
coded in P001256 and P001257. In Pre-Sample.7 (P000085) and
Pre-Sample.8 (P000086) these cases are coded as a vote outside
CD of interview.

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major
party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate
list, his/her name was read last.
1. <PRELOAD DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME>
3. <PRELOAD IND/3RD-PARTY INCUMBENT NAME
5. <PRELOAD REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME
6. R PROVIDED NAME FROM ANOTHER DISTRICT
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C9a/C11a.T

M001256  C9b/(2)/C11bx2.T. In-Hse vote-cand code
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
Numeric

C9b(2)/C11bx2.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

FTF:
Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,
<NAME>?)

IN-COUNTY: HOUSE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE

** The names of independent/3rd party candidates were
present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only
if that candidate's name was on the candidate list
(preloaded into the instrument).
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major
party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate
list, his/her name was read last.

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list for this race

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C9a/C11a.T
M001257    C9b(3)/C11bx.T. In-House vote - party
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C9b(3)/C11bx.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

FTF:
Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(thе Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(thе Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate, <NAME>?)

IN-COUNTY: HOUSE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY
---------------------------------------------------------------

The names of independent/3rd party candidates were
present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only
if that candidate's name was on the candidate list
(preloaded into the instrument).
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major
party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate
list, his/her name was read last.

1. Democratic
2. Republican
3. Other (3rd party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C9a/C11a.T

M001258    C10a/C12a.T. Out-county: vote for House?
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C10a/C12a.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

How about the election for the House of Representatives
in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S.
House of Representatives?
---------------------------------------------------------------
FTF: [DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD]

1. YES, VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
5. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
7. R VOLUNTEERS: NO RACE IN STATE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 4, 9, 0 in C9(1)

M001259 C10b1/C12b1.T. Out- House vote - cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

C10b1/C12b1.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

Who did you vote for?
Which party was that?

OUT-OF-COUNTY: HOUSE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE

If R gave the name of a candidate from within his district of residence, it has been coded accordingly (codes 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 39).

VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW:
DISTRICT WITH NO RUNNING INCUMBENT: (VOTE VAR ONLY)
81. Democratic candidate
82. Republican candidate
DISTRICT WITH RUNNING INCUMBENT:
83. Democratic incumbent
84. Republican incumbent
85. Democratic challenger
86. Republican challenger
ALL DISTRICTS:
80. Third party or independent candidate **
91. Democrat--no name given
92. Republican--no name given
97. Name not on candidate list (name unidentified with party or candidate or CD not identified)

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C10a/C12a.T

M001260 C10b2/C12b2.T. Out- House vote - party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C10b2/C12b2.T.
IF R VOTED:
IF REGISTERED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

Who did you vote for?
Which party was that?

OUT-OF-COUNTY: HOUSE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race
8. DK --> C14a
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C10a/C12a.T

M001261  C10c/C12c.T. Out-party ment of Hse vote
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C10c/C12c.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF REGISTERED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

Which party was that?

PARTY ASSOCIATED BY RESPONDENT WITH HOUSE VOTE (OUT OF COUNTY)

1. DEMOCRAT
5. REPUBLICAN
7. OTHER: SPECIFY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C10a/C12a.T

M001262  C10x1/C12x1.T. Summ- Hose vote cand code
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
Numeric

C10x1/C12x1.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

IN-COUNTY FTF:
Who did you vote for?
OUT-OF-COUNTY FTF AND TELEPHONE:
Who did you vote for?
Which party was that?

IN-COUNTY TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF 1ND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,
<NAME>?)

SUMMARY: HOUSE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from C9b(2)/C11bx2.T and C10b1/C12b1.T.

** IN-COUNTY: The names of independent/3rd party candidates were
present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only
if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into
the instrument).
IN-COUNTY TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major
party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate
list, his/her name was read last.

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent
97. Name not on candidate list for this race

VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW (CODES 81-92):
   DISTRICT WITH NO RUNNING INCUMBENT:
81. Democratic candidate
82. Republican candidate

   DISTRICT WITH RUNNING INCUMBENT:
83. Democratic incumbent
84. Republican incumbent
85. Democratic challenger
86. Republican challenger

   ALL OUT-OF-COUNTY DISTRICTS:
80. Third party or independent candidate **
91. Democrat--no name given
92. Republican--no name given
97. Name not on candidate list

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 3,4,0 in C9(1); 5,8,9,NA in C9a/C11a.T or 5,7,8,9, NA
    in C10a/C12a.T

===============================================================================

M001263 C10x2/C12x2.T. Summary- House vote party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Page 547
C10x2/C12x2.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR CONGRESS:

IN-COUNTY FTF:
Who did you vote for?
OUT-OF-COUNTY FTF AND TELEPHONE:
Who did you vote for?
Which party was that?

IN-COUNTY TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate, <NAME>?)

SUMMARY: HOUSE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from C10x1/C12x1.T

1. Democratic
2. Republican
3. Other (independent and 3rd party)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

-----------------------------

M001264  C13(1). Sen ckpt: vote in/out county
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

C13(1).

IF R VOTED:

SENATE CHECKPOINT: RACE IN STATE OF INTERVIEW
---------------------------------------------------------------------

For identification of states without races, see the Senate type race variable (P000204).

1. Race in state of interview
2. No race in state of inerview

-----------------------------

M001265  C13(2). Sen ckpt: order of Dem/Rep names
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
Numeric

C13(2).
SENATE CHECKPOINT: R VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF INTERVIEW

1. R VOTED WITHIN COUNTY OF INTERVIEW
2. R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF INTERVIEW
3. R DID NOT VOTE/DK IF VOTED
4. WASHINGTON DC
5. R VOTED - NA WHERE VOTED (TREATED AS WITHIN COUNTY)
6. INAP, no Post interview

M001266 C13a/C15a.T. In-county: vote for Senate?
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C13a/C15a.T.
IF R VOTED:
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

How about the election for the United States Senate?
Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. Senate?

FTF: [SHOW <BLUE/GOLD> BALLOT CARD]
1. YES, VOTED FOR SENATE
2. NO, DIDN'T VOTE FOR SENATE
5. RF
8. DK --> D1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2 in C13(1) and/or 2, 3, 4, 0 in C13(2)

M001267 C13b1/C15bx1.T. In county - Senate vote
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C13b1/C15bx1.T.
IF R VOTED:
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF VOTED FOR SENATE:

FTF:
Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY>
candidate,"<NAME>")
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY>
candidate,"<NAME>")
See C9(2) for the order in which names were presented on the ballot card/ read over the phone. The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

Code 7 includes cases where R volunteered that he/she voted "a straight ticket" but no candidate for R's party ran; it also includes cases where R insists that he/she voted for a specific party's candidate but no candidate from that party ran in R's district.

MISSOURI NOTE: In 4 cases in Missouri (IDs 581,1071,1072,1507) Rs voted for Mel Carnihan (deceased). Because this name was not included in the preload, these responses were coded 7.

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)]. If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

1. <PRELOAD DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME>     --> D1
2. <PRELOAD 1ST IND/3RD-PARTY CAND NAME>   --> D1
3. <PRELOAD 2ND IND/3RD-PARTY CAND NAME>   --> D1
4. <PRELOAD REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME      --> D1
5. OTHER (SPECIFY)                         --> D1

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C13a/C15a.T

M001268    C13b2/C15bx2.T. In county -Sen vote - cand code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

C13b2/C15bx2.T.

IF R VOTED:
  IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
  IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
  IF VOTED FOR SENATE:

    FTP:
    Who did you vote for?

    TELEPHONE:
    Did you vote for
    (the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
    (the Republican, <NAME>)
    (IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY> candidate,<NAME>)
    (IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY> candidate,<NAME>)

    IN-COUNTY: SENATE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE

** The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that
candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)]. If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

08. Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent**
09. Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
10. Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent**
11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
13. Democratic Senate incumbent
14. Republican Senate incumbent
15. Democratic Senate challenger
16. Republican Senate challenger
97. Name not on candidate list for this race

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C13a/C15a.T

-------------
M001269 C13b3/C15bx3.T. In county- Sen vote - party
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C13b3/C15bx3.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF VOTED FOR SENATE:

PTF:
Who did you vote for?

TELEPHONE:
Did you vote for
does the Democrat, <NAME>, or
the Republican, <NAME>?
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY>
candidate, <NAME>?)

(IN COUNTRY: SENATE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY

The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)]. If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C13a/C15a.T

M001270  C14a/C16a.T. Out-county: vote for Senate?
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

How about the election for the United States Senate?
Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. Senate?

FTF: [DO NOT SHOW BALLOT CARD]

1. YES, VOTED FOR SENATE
5. NO, Didn’t VOTE FOR SENATE --> D1
7. R VOLUNTEERS: NO RACE IN STATE OF VOTE --> D1
8. DK --> D1
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 4, 9, 0 in C13(2)

M001271  C14b1/C16b1.T. Out of county - Sen vote - cand code
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
Numeric

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

Who did you vote for?
Which party was that?

OUT-OF COUNTY: SENATE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE

If R gave the name of a candidate from within his district of residence, it has been coded accordingly (codes 08,10,11,12,13,14,15,16).

CODES 80-92: IF R VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW
80. Third party or independent Senate candidate
81. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
82. Republican candidate in open Senate race
83. Democratic Senate incumbent
84. Republican Senate incumbent

Page 552
85. Democratic Senate challenger
86. Republican Senate challenger
91. Democrat - no name given
92. Republican - no name given
97. Name given not on candidate list for this race (name not identified with candidate or party, or CD not identified)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C14a/C16a.T

-----------------------------
M001272  C14b2/C16b2.T. Out of county - Senate vote party
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C14b2/C16b2.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

Who did you vote for?
Which party was that?

OUT-OF-COUNTY: SENATE VOTE - PARTY SUMMARY
-----------------------------------------------
1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C14a/C16a.T

-----------------------------
M001273  C14c/C16c.T. Out of county- party of Sen vote
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C14c/C16c.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

Which party was that?

PARTY ASSOCIATED BY RESPONDENT WITH SENATE VOTE (OUT OF COUNTY)
-------------------------------------------------------------------
1. DEMOCRAT     --> D1
5. REPUBLICAN   --> D1
7. OTHER: SPECIFY: --> D1
8. DK           --> D1
9. RF
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
0. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in C14a/C16a.T

===============================================
M001274   C14x1/C16x1.T. Summ- Sen vote - cand code
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
Numeric
C14x1/C16x1.T.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED IN/OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:
IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

SUMMARY: SENATE VOTE - CANDIDATE CODE

**IN-COUNTY: The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).
IN-COUNTY TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)]. If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

08. Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent**
09. Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
10. Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent**
11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
13. Democratic Senate incumbent
14. Republican Senate incumbent
15. Democratic Senate challenger
16. Republican Senate challenger
CODES 80-92: IF R VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW
80. Third party or independent Senate candidate
81. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
82. Republican candidate in open Senate race
83. Democratic Senate incumbent
84. Republican Senate incumbent
85. Democratic Senate challenger
86. Republican Senate challenger
91. Democrat - no name given
92. Republican - no name given
97. Name given not on candidate list for this race; 'outside' name not identified with candidate or party, or 'outside' CD not identified)

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 3,4,0 in C13(2); 1,9 in C13(2) and 2 in C13(1); 5,8,9,NA in C13a/C15a.T or 5,7,8,9,NA in C14a/C16a.T

===============================================
M001275   C14x2/C16x2.T. Summary- Sen vote - party
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
Numeric
C14x2/C16x2.T.
### 1. DEMOCRAT
### 2. REPUBLICAN
### 3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
### 7. Name not on candidate list for this race

### 8. DK
### 9. RF
### 0. NA; INAP, 3,4,0 in C13(2); 1,9 in C13(2) and 2 in C13(1);
### 5,8,9,NA in C13a/C15a.T or 5,7,8,9,NA in C14a/C16a.T

---

**M001276  C17. Nonvoter - prefer any Pres cand?**

MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

**C17.**

**IF R DID NOT VOTE:**

How about the election for President?  
Did you prefer one of the candidates for President?  
---------------------------------------------

1. YES  
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0, 4 in C1

---

**M001277  C17a. Nonvoter- Pres cand preferred**

MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

**C17a.**

**IF R DID NOT VOTE:**

**IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A CANDIDATE FOR PRESIDENT:**

Who did you prefer?  
---------------------------------------------

1. AL GORE  
3. GEORGE W. BUSH  
5. PAT BUCHANAN  
6. RALPH NADER  
7. OTHER
8. **DK**
9. **RF**
0. **NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C17**

==============================
M001278  C17b. Nonvoter-strength Pres cand pref
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C17b.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A CANDIDATE FOR PRESIDENT:
IF NAMED PREFERENCE IS NOT DK/RF:

Would you say your preference for this candidate was strong or not strong?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. **STRONG**
5. **NOT STRONG**
8. **DK**
9. **RF**
0. **NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in C17a**

==============================
M001279  C18/C18.T. Nonvoter-prefer Hse cand?
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C18/C18.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

**FTF:**
Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district. How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington? Did you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. House of Representatives?

**TELEPHONE:**
How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington? Did you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. House of Representatives?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
**FTF: [SHOW BLUE/GOLD CARD]**

1. **YES**
5. **NO**
8. **DK**
9. **RF**
0. **NA; INAP, 1, 2, 4, 9, 0 in C9(1)**

==============================
C18a/C18a.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED ONE OF THE HOUSE CANDIDATES:

FTF:
Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:
Did you prefer
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate,
<NAME>?)
---------------------------------------------------------------------
See C9(2) for the order in which names were presented on
the ballot card/ read over the phone.
The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present
on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that
candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into
the instrument).
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major
party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate
list, his/her name was read last.

1. <preload Democratic candidate name>
3. <preload Ind/3rd-party cand name>
5. <preload Republican candidate name>
7. Other - specify
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C18/C18.T

-----------------------------
M001281 C18al/C18al.T. Nonvotr-Hse cand pref code
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 97
Numeric

C18al/C18al.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED ONE OF THE HOUSE CANDIDATES:

FTF:
Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:
Did you prefer
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate, <NAME>?)

NONVOTER: HOUSE PREFERENCE - CANDIDATE CODE

** The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)]. If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

30. Third party or independent House candidate --nonincumbent **
31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
38. Third party or independent House candidate--2nd nonincumbent **
39. Third party or independent House candidate--incumbent

97. Name not on candidate list for this race
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C18/C18.T

M001282    C18a2/C18a2.T.Nonvoter-Hse cand pref pty
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

C18a2/C18a2.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED ONE OF THE HOUSE CANDIDATES:

FTF:
Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:
Did you prefer
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the <PARTY> candidate, <NAME>?)

NONVOTER: HOUSE PREFERENCE - PARTY SUMMARY

The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)]. If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.
candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C18/C18.T

================================
M001283 C19a/C19.T. Nonvoter-pref Senate cand?
     MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
     Numeric

C19a/C19.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:

How about the election for the United States Senate?
Did you prefer one of the candidates for the U.S. Senate?

-------------------------------
FTF: [SHOW BLUE/GOLD BALLOT CARD]

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2 in C13(1) and/or; 1, 2, 4, 9, 0 in C13(2)

================================
M001284 C19b/C19a.T. Nonvoter-Sen cand pref
     MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
     Numeric

C19b/C19a.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A SENATE CANDIDATE:

FTF:
Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:
Did you prefer
(the Democrat, "<NAME>", or)
(the Republican, "<NAME>")
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY>
candidate,"<NAME>")
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY>
candidate,"<NAME>")

----------------------------------------
See C9(2) for the order in which names were presented on the ballot card/ read over the phone.
The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)]. If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

1. <PRELOAD DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME>
3. <PRELOAD 1ST INDEPENDENT/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NAME>
4. <PRELOAD 2ND INDEPENDENT/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NAME>
5. <PRELOAD REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME>
7. OTHER; SPECIFY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C19a/C19.T

-----------------------------
M001285    C19b1/C19al.T. Nonvotr-Sen cand pref code  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 97  
Numeric

C19b1/C19al.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A SENATE CANDIDATE:

FTF:
Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:
Did you prefer
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY> candidate, <NAME>?)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY> candidate, <NAME>?)

NONVOTER: HOUSE PREFERENCE - CANDIDATE CODE

** The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the instrument).
TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)]. If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list, his/her name was read last.

08. Third party or independent Senate candidate --2nd nonincumbent**
09. Third party or independent Senate candidate --incumbent
10. Third party or independent Senate candidate --nonincumbent**
11. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
12. Republican candidate in open Senate race
13. Democratic Senate incumbent
14. Republican Senate incumbent
15. Democratic Senate challenger
16. Republican Senate challenger

97. Name not on candidate list for this race
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C19a/C19.T

===========================================================================
M001286 C19b2/C19a2.T. Nonvoter-Sen cand pref pty
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
C19b2/C19a2.T.

IF R DID NOT VOTE:
IF SENATE ELECTION IN STATE:
IF R SAYS HE/SHE PREFERRED A SENATE CANDIDATE:

FTF:
Which candidate did you prefer?

TELEPHONE:
Did you prefer
(the Democrat, <NAME>, or)
(the Republican, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.1: [or] the <PARTY>
candidate, <NAME>)
(IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE NO.2: or the <PARTY>
candidate, <NAME>)

NONVOTER: HOUSE PREFERENCE - PARTY SUMMARY
===========================================================================

The names of independent/3rd party candidates were present on
the ballot card or read to the respondent only if that
candidate's name was on the candidate list (preloaded into the
instrument).

TELEPHONE: The order in which the names of the major party
candidates was read was randomized [see C9(2)].
If an independent/3rd party candidate was on the candidate list,
his/her name was read last.

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in C19a/C19.T

===========================================================================
M001287 (Blank)
C20. How fair was November election

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

Please continue thinking about the November election. In some countries, people believe their elections are conducted fairly. In other countries, people believe that their elections are conducted unfairly. Thinking of the presidential election we've just had, do you believe it was very fair, somewhat fair, neither fair nor unfair, somewhat unfair, or very unfair?

This variable was added 11/10/2000.

1. VERY FAIR
2. SOMewhat FAIR
3. NEITHER FAIR NOR UNFAIR
4. SOMewhat UNFAIR
5. VERY UNFAIR
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; interview or portion of interview administered before question added

==================================
M001292    D1a/D1a.T. Thermometer Clinton
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
Numeric

D1a/D1a.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
BILL CLINTON

TELEPHONE:
Our first person is Bill Clinton. Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate Bill Clinton?

THERMOMETER - BILL CLINTON

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==================================
M001293    D1b/D1b.T. Thermometer Gore
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
Numeric

D1b/D1b.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
AL GORE

TELEPHONE:
The next person is AL GORE. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - AL GORE

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

M001294   D1c/D1c.T. Thermometer GW Bush
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
Numeric

D1c/D1c.T.

PTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
GEORGE W. BUSH

TELEPHONE:
The next person is GEORGE W. BUSH. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - GEORGE W. BUSH

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
Dld/Dld.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
RALPH NADER

TELEPHONE:
The next person is RALPH NADER. (Where on that feeling
thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - RALPH NADER

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

Dla was administered first, and Dlb-Dld were randomly
administered as the 2nd-4th names. Dle and Dlf were administered
as the 5th and 6th names, and Dlg-Dln were randomly administered
as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

Dle/Dle.T.

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
JESSE JACKSON

TELEPHONE:
The next person is JESSE JACKSON. (Where on that feeling
thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - JESSE JACKSON
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

M001297  D1f/D1f.T. Thermometer former Pres Bush
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
Numeric

D1f/D1f.T.

PTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
FORMER PRESIDENT, GEORGE BUSH

TELEPHONE:
The next person is FORMER PRESIDENT, GEORGE BUSH. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - FORMER PRESIDENT, GEORGE BUSH
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================================================
M001298 Dlg/Dlg.T. Thermometer Dem House cand
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

dlg/dlg.t.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:  
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE RUNNING IN HOUSE RACE:

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
<DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>

TELEPHONE:
The next person is <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>. (Where
on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>
=====================================================================

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly
administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered
as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered
as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Democratic House candidate; no Post interview;
Washington DC
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================================================
M001299 Dlh/Dlh.T. Thermometer Rep House cand
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

dlh/dlh.t.
D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Republican House candidate; no Post interview; Washington DC
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

M001300   D1j/D1j.T. Thermometer retiring Hse rep
           MD1: EQ 995,    MD2: GE 996
           Numeric

D1j/D1j.T.

D1j/D1j.T.

M001299   D1h/D1h.T. Thermometer retiring Hse rep
           MD1: EQ 995, GE 996
           Numeric

D1h/D1h.T.
[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, retiring House Representative; no Post interview; Washington DC
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==================================
M001300a D1jx. Checkpt:Hse retiree also Sen cand?
MD: EQ 9
Numeric

D1jx.

CHECKPOINT: IS RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE ALSO THE SENATE DEMOCRATIC OR REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE?

0. House retiree is not also Senate candidate
1. House retiree is also the Senate Democratic candidate
2. House retiree is also the Senate Republican candidate

9. Washington D.C.; no Post interview

==================================
M001301 D1k/D1k.T. Thermometer Dem Senate cand
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

D1k/D1k.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF SENATE RACE IN STATE:
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN SENATE RACE:
IF DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE IS NOT ALSO RETIRING HOUSE CANDIDATE:

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
<DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE>

TELEPHONE:
The next person is <DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE>. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE>

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Democratic Senate candidate; no Post interview; Washington D.C.; 1 in D1jx.
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

M001302  Dlm/Dlm.T. Thermometer Rep Senate cand
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
Numeric

Dlm/Dlm.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTN D.C.:
IF SENATE RACE IN STATE:
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN SENATE RACE:
IF REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE IS NOT ALSO RETIRING HOUSE CANDIDATE:

FTP:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
<REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE>

TELEPHONE:
The next person is <REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE>. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE>

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Republican Senate candidate; no Post interview; Washington D.C.; 2 in D1jx
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================
M001303  D1n/D1n.T. Thermometer Ind House cand
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
Numeric

Dln/Dln.T.

IF CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT IS VT01 OR VA05:

FTF:
(Looking at page 1 of the booklet) (How would you rate:)
<INDEPENDENT HOUSE INCUMBENT CANDIDATE>

TELEPHONE:
The next person is <INDEPENDENT HOUSE INCUMBENT CANDIDATE>. (Where on that feeling thermometer would you rate him/her?)

THERMOMETER - <INDEPENDENT HOUSE INCUMBENT CANDIDATE>

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

D1a was administered first, and D1b-D1d were randomly administered as the 2nd-4th names. D1e and D1f were administered as the 5th and 6th names, and D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

996. INAP, no incumbent Independent House candidate (VT01, VA05); no Post interview or Washington D.C.; 0,9 in D1nx.
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================
M001303a   D1nx. Checkpoint: VT01 or VA05
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

D1nx.

CHECKPOINT:  VT01 OR VA05
---------------------------------------------------------------

0. CD OF INTERVIEW IS NEITHER VT01 NOR VA05
1. CD OF INTERVIEW IS VT01
2. CD OF INTERVIEW IS VA05

9. Washington D.C.; no Post interview

==============================
M001304    D2a. Thermometer supreme court
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
Numeric

D2a.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - THE SUPREME COURT
---------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================
M001305    D2b. Thermometer Congress
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
Numeric
D2b.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - CONGRESS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

-----------------------------
M001306  D2c. Thermometer military
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
Numeric

D2c.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - THE MILITARY

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN WASHINGTON

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - BLACKS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
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Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the pre-survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

-----------------------------
M001311  D2h. Thermometer liberals
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

D2h.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - LIBERALS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

-----------------------------
M001312  D2j. Thermometer labor unions
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

D2j.

(How would you rate:)

Page 576
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

M001313 D2k. Thermometer big business
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

D2k.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - BIG BUSINESS

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

M001314 D2m. Thermometer poor people
D2m.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - POOR PEOPLE

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

M001315    D2n. Thermometer people on welfare
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
Numeric

D2n.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - PEOPLE ON WELFARE

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
D2p.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - HISPANICS (HISPANIC-AMERICANS)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

D2q.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - CHRISTIAN FUNDAMENTALISTS
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================================
M001318 D2r. Thermometer women's movement
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

D2r.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - THE WOMEN'S MOVEMENT
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?] The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================================
M001319 D2s. Thermometer older people
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

D2s.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - OLDER PEOPLE (THE ELDERLY)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO...
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

M001320    D2t. Thermometer environmentalists
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

D2t.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - ENVIRONMENTALISTS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?] The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

M001321    D2u. Thermometer homosexuals
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

D2u.
(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - GAY MEN AND LESBIANS, THAT IS, HOMOSEXUALS
==============================================

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

==============================================
M001322    D2v. Thermometer Christian Coalition
MD1: EQ 995, MD2: GE 996
Numeric

D2v.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - THE CHRISTIAN COALITION
==============================================

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW"
DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO
YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]
The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered
was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF
D2w.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - CATHOLICS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

D2x.

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - JEWS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100
(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - PROTESTANTS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - FEMINISTS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100
995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=================================
M001327  D2z. Thermometer Asian-americans
MD1: EQ 995,  MD2: GE 996
Numeric

(How would you rate:)

THERMOMETER - ASIAN-AMERICANS

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

The order in which thermometers D2a-D2z were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

0-100
995. NA
996. INAP, no Post interview
997. DON'T RECOGNIZE
998. DK WHERE TO RATE
999. RF

=================================
E0.

Next I would like to ask you some questions about the candidate(s) who ran in this district for the U.S. House of Representatives.

=================================
M001328  E1. Like anything- Dem House cand
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric
E1.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE/
IF VT01:

Was there anything in particular that you liked about <NAME>, the Democratic candidate (VT01: <INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE>) for the U.S. House of Representatives?

The order in which the E1-E2 series and the E3-E4 series was administered was randomized.
In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES  --> SKIP TO E1a
5. NO   --> SKIP TO E2
7. R VOLUNTEERS: I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE  --> E3 or E5a
8. DK   --> SKIP TO E2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; no Democratic candidate in House race

M001329  Ela(1). #1 like Dem House candidate
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9001
Numeric

Ela(1).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 1 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
M001330  Ela(2). #2 like Dem House candidate
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Ela(2).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 2 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in El; no further mention; no Post IW

-----------------------------
M001331  Ela(3). #3 like Dem House candidate
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Ela(3).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 3 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in El; no further mention; no Post IW

-----------------------------
M001332  Ela(4). #4 like Dem House candidate
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Ela(4).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)
MENTION 4 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in El; no further mention; no Post IW

==============================
M001333 Ela(5). #5 like Dem House candidate
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Ela(5).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 5 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in El; no further mention; no Post IW

==============================
M001334 E2. Dislike anything- Dem House cand
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

E2.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE/ IF VT01:

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about <NAME>, the Democratic candidate (VT01:<INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE>) for the U.S. House of Representatives?

The order in which the E1-E2 series and the E3-E4 series was administered was randomized. In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name.

Randomization:  Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
vars closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES, R NAMES SOMETHING DISLIKED --> SKIP TO E2a
5. NO, NOTHING DISLIKED --> SKIP TO E3
8. DK --> SKIP TO E3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP,0, 7 in E1; Democratic candidate in House race; Washington D.C.

M001335  E2a(1). #1 dislike Dem House candidate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
Numeric

E2a(1).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 1 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no Post IW

M001336  E2a(2). #2 dislike Dem House candidate
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

E2a(2).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 2 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
M001337 E2a(3). #3 dislike Dem House candidate
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

E2a(3).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 3 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no further mention; no Post IW

M001338 E2a(4). #4 dislike Dem House candidate
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

E2a(4).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 4 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------
[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no further mention; no Post IW

M001339 E2a(5). #5 dislike Dem House candidate
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

E2a(5).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT DEMOCRATIC/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:
What was that?)

MENTION 5 - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E2; no further mention; no Post IW

The order in which the E1-E2 series and the E3-E4 series
was administered was randomized.
In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate
was administered in place of a Republican candidate name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES, R NAMES SOMETHING LIKED --> SKIP TO E3a
5. NO, NOTHING LIKED --> SKIP TO E4
7. R VOLUNTEERS: I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING
   ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE --> SKIP TO E3 or E5a
8. DK --> SKIP TO E4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; no Republican candidate in House
   race; Washington D.C.

The order in which the E1-E2 series and the E3-E4 series
was administered was randomized.
In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate
was administered in place of a Republican candidate name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES, R NAMES SOMETHING LIKED --> SKIP TO E3a
5. NO, NOTHING LIKED --> SKIP TO E4
7. R VOLUNTEERS: I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING
   ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE --> SKIP TO E3 or E5a
8. DK --> SKIP TO E4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; no Republican candidate in House
   race; Washington D.C.
MENTION 1 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE? UNTIL R SAYS "NO." ]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE. Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no Post IW

M001342 E3a(2). #2 like Repub House candidate
MD: EQ 0 Numeric

E3a(2).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 2 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE? UNTIL R SAYS "NO." ]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE. Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no further mention; no Post IW

M001343 E3a(3). #3 like Repub House candidate
MD: EQ 0 Numeric

E3a(3).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 3 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE? UNTIL R SAYS "NO." ]
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See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no further mention; no Post IW

M001344  E3a(4). #4 like Repub House candidate
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

E3a(4).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 4 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no further mention; no Post IW

M001345  E3a(5). #5 like Repub House candidate
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

E3a(5).

IF R LIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 5 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'LIKES'

[PROBE: ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU LIKED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E3; no further mention; no Post IW

M001346  E4. Dislike anything— Repub House cand
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

E4.
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE/
IF VA05:

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about <NAME>, the Republican candidate (VA05: <INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE>) for the U.S. House of Representatives?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

The order in which the E1-E2 series and the E3-E4 series was administered was randomized.
In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Republican candidate name.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES, R NAMES SOMETHING DISLIKED  --> SKIP TO E4a
5. NO, NOTHING DISLIKED         --> SKIP TO E5
8. DK                        --> SKIP TO E5a
9. RF                        0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 7 in E3

M001347 E4a(1). #1 dislike Repub House candidate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9001
Numeric

E4a(1).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 1 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

9001. R has been influenced by spouse
9002. R has been influenced by someone else
9995. NA
9998. DK
9999. Refused to say
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no Post IW

M001348 E4a(2). #2 dislike repun House candidate
E4a(2).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 2 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no further mention; no Post IW

=================================
M001349 E4a(3). #3 dislike Repub House candidate
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

E4a(3).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 3 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:
8999. Other miscellaneous
0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no further mention; no Post IW

=================================
M001350 E4a(4). #4 dislike Repub House candidate
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

E4a(4).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 4 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate?
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UES  R SAYS "NO."
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no further mention; no Post IW

================================
M001351   E4a(5). #5 dislike Repub House candidate
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

E4a(5).

IF R DISLIKED SOMETHING ABOUT REPUBLICAN/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(What was that?)

MENTION 5 - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE 'DISLIKES'

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE: Anything else that you liked about this candidate?
UNTIL R SAYS "NO."
See PARTY-CANDIDATE MASTER CODE.
Codes 1-1304 and:

8999. Other miscellaneous

0000. Inap 5,8,9,0 in E4; no further mention; no Post IW

================================
M001352   E5. Checkpt: number of Hse cands
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

E5.

CHECKPOINT: NUMBER OF HOUSE CANDIDATES

---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. One House candidate in candidate list
2. Two or more House candidates in candidate list

9. Washington DC
0. No Post IW

================================
M001353a  E5a(1). 2 cands- either cand incumbent
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

E5a(1).

IF 2 OR MORE CANDIDATES IN HOUSE RACE:

Do you happen to know if either of these candidates,
<DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME>/ <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND
NAME> or <REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME>/(VA05: <INDEPENDENT
NAME>)/
INCUMBENT CAND NAME>, was already in the U.S. House of Representatives before the election?

[PROBE FOR NO: DO YOU MEAN "NEITHER CANDIDATE" OR "DON’T KNOW"?]

1. YES, <DEMOCRATIC NAME> --> E6
2. YES, <REPUBLICAN NAME> --> E6
3. YES <INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE> --> E6
4. BOTH [VOL] --> E6
5. NO, NEITHER WAS [VOL] --> E6
6. DON’T KNOW, NOT SURE [VOL] --> E6
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in E5

-----------------------------
M001353b  E5a(2). Cand iden as incum- 2 cand race
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

E5a(2).

IF 2 OR MORE CANDIDATES IN HOUSE RACE:

Do you happen to know if either of these candidates, <DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE NAME>/ (VT01: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND NAME>) or <REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAME>/(VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND NAME>), was already in the U.S. House of Representatives before the election?

INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE CODE - 2 CANDIDATE RACE

[PROBE FOR NO: DO YOU MEAN "NEITHER CANDIDATE" OR "DON’T KNOW"?]

In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name.
In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Republican candidate name.

30. INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE (VA05/VT01)
31. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN OPEN RACE
32. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN OPEN RACE
33. DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT CANDIDATE
34. REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT CANDIDATE
35. DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER
36. REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER
39. NONINCUMBENT INDEPENDENT/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE
90. "BOTH"

00. NA; INAP, 5, 7, 9, 0 in E5a(1)

-----------------------------
M001354  E5b(1). 1 cand- is candidate incumbent
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 9
Numeric
E5b(1).

IF ONLY 1 CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:

Do you happen to know if <NAME OF DEMOCRATIC OR REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE> was already in the U.S. House of Representatives before the election?

[PROBE FOR NO: DO YOU MEAN "NO, HE/SHE WASN'T" OR "DON'T KNOW"?]

1. YES
5. NO
7. DON'T KNOW, NOT SURE [VOL]

9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2, 9, 0 in E5

E5b(2).

IF ONLY 1 CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:

Do you happen to know if <NAME OF DEMOCRATIC OR REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE> was already in the U.S. House of Representatives before the election?

INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE CODE - 1 CANDIDATE RACE

[PROBE FOR NO: DO YOU MEAN "NO, HE/SHE WASN'T" OR "DON'T KNOW"?]

31. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN OPEN RACE
32. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN OPEN RACE
33. DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT CANDIDATE
34. REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT CANDIDATE

00. INAP, 5, 7, 9, 0 in E5(1)

E6.

Do you happen to know which party had the most members in the House of Representatives in Washington BEFORE the election (this/last) month?

(IF NECESSARY: WHICH ONE?)
E7.

Do you happen to know which party had the most members in the U.S. Senate BEFORE the election (this/last) month?

(IF NECESSARY: WHICH ONE?)

1. THE DEMOCRATS
5. THE REPUBLICANS
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

F1.

In general, do you approve or disapprove of the way Representative <RUNNING INCUMBENT OR RETIRING INCUMBENT NAME> has been handling <HIS/HER> job?

1. APPROVE --> SKIP TO F1a
5. DISAPPROVE --> SKIP TO F1b
8. DK --> F2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

IF R APPROVES RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE:
Do you approve strongly or not strongly?

1. APPROVE STONGLY --> SKIP TO F2
5. NOT STRONGLY --> SKIP TO F2
8. DK --> SKIP TO F2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in F1

M001360  Flb. Strength disapp r House incumbent
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

IF R DISAPPROVES RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE:

Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?

1. DISAPPROVE STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in F1

M001361  Flx. Summary- approve/disapp Hse incumb
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Flx.

In general, do you approve or disapprove of the way Representative <RUNNING INCUMBENT OR RETIRING INCUMBENT NAME> has been handling <HIS/HER> job?
Do you approve strongly or not strongly?/
Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: APPROVE RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE

Built from F1 and Fla/b.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly
8. DK in F1 or Fla/b
9. RF in Fla or Fla/b
0. NA

M001362  F2. Special action by incumbent
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Do you happen to remember anything special that Representative <RUNNING INCUMBENT OR RETIRING INCUMBENT NAME> has done for the people in <HIS/HER> district while <HE/SHE> has been in Congress?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

M001363  F3. R know #yrs Hse incumbent has serv
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Do you happen to know about how many years <HE/SHE> has been in the House of Representatives?

1. YES, R KNOWS ---> SKIP TO F3a
5. NO ---> SKIP TO F3b
8. DK ---> SKIP TO F3b
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

M001364  F3a. #yrs House incumbent has been in of
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
Numeric

IF R SAYS KNOWS HOW LONG RETIRING REPRESENTATIVE HAS BEEN IN OFFICE:

(About how many?)

Actual number coded.

98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in F3

M001365  F3b. Incumbent more/less 12 yrs in offic
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric
IF R DK HOW LONG RETIRING REPRESENTATIVE HAS BEEN IN OFFICE:

Would you say less than 12 years, about 12 years, or more than 12 years?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

1. LESS THAN 12 YEARS
3. ABOUT 12 YEARS
5. MORE THAN 12 YEARS
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in F3

M001366 F4. House incumb kept in touch w/district
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F4.

How good a job would you say U.S. Representative <NAME> does of keeping in touch with the people in your district -- does (he/she) do a very good job, fairly good, fairly poor, or a very poor job of keeping in touch with the people in this district?

1. VERY GOOD
2. FAIRLY GOOD
3. FAIRLY POOR
4. VERY POOR
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

M001367 F5. R follows govt and public affairs
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

F5.

Some people seem to follow what's going on in government and public affairs most of the time, whether there's an election going on or not. Others aren't that interested. Would you say you follow what's going on in government and public affairs most of the time, some of the time, only now and then, or hardly at all?

1. MOST OF THE TIME
2. SOME OF THE TIME
3. ONLY NOW AND THEN
4. HARDLY AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

==============================
M001368 G1a/G1a.T. R placement lib-con scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G1a/G1a.T.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

TELEPHONE:
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?

[DO NOT PROBE]
1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL --> G2b
2. LIBERAL --> G2b
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL --> G2b
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD --> G1b
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE --> G2b
6. CONSERVATIVE --> G2b
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE --> G2b
8. DK --> G1b
9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH [DO NOT PROBE] --> G1b

==============================
M001369 G1b. Had to choose lib-con self-placemt
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G1b.

IF R LIB-CON SELF-Placement IS MODERATE/DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT:

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?

[DO NOT PROBE]
1. LIBERAL
3. CONSERVATIVE
5. MODERATE [VOL]
7. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE [VOL]
8. DK
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1-3, 5-7 in G1a/G1a.T; no Post interview

==============================================
M001370    G1x. 3-category lib-con summary
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G1x.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?

TELEPHONE:
When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?
If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal, a conservative, or a moderate?

3-CATEGORY LIB-CON SUMMARY
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from G1a/G1a.T and G1b.
This is the traditional summary used in 7-pt scale FTF administration in previous years.
Recode values used for each category appear in the order: G1a/G1a.T,G1b.

1. Liberal  (1/2/3,0; 0/4/8,1)
3. Moderate  (0/4/8,5; 4,7/8/9/NA)
5. Conservative  (5/6/7,0; 0/4/8,3)
7. Refused to choose  (0/8,7/9)
8. DK  (0/8,8)
9. NA/RF to entire question series  (RF/NA,0)
0. NA to follow up question G4aa  (0/8,NA); No Post IW

==============================================
M001371    G2/G2.T. Clinton placement lib-con scale
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G2/G2.T.

FTF:
Where would you place Bill Clinton on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about Bill Clinton? Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?

=================================================================
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1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

The order in which G3-G5/G3.T-G5.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
G3a/G3a.T.

IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF GORE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G3/G3.T

M001374 G4/G4.T. Bush placement lib-con scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G4/G4.T.

FTF: Where would you place George W. Bush on this scale?

TELEPHONE: What about George W. Bush?
(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]
The order in which G3-G5/G3.T-G5.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE IN RANDOMIZATION OR G6/G6.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001375 G4a/G4a.T. Bush-certain lib-con placement
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G4a/G4a.T.

IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF GW BUSH IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G4/G4.T

------------------------------
M001376 G5/G5.T. Buchanan placement lib-con scale
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G5/G5.T.

FTF:
Where would you place Pat Buchanan on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about Pat Buchanan?
(Do you think he is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]
The order in which G3-G5/G3.T-G5.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the pre-survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK          --> NEXT PRES. CANDIDATE IN RANDOMIZATION OR G6/G6.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
G5a/G5a.T.

IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF BUCHANAN IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G5/G5.T

G6.

CHECKPOINT:
NUMBER OF CANDIDATES/ VT01 OR VA05
FOR LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE HOUSE CANDIDATE PLACEMENTS

In VT01, liberal-conservative placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Democratic candidate placement. In VA05, liberal-conservative placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Republican candidate placement.

1. Democratic and Republican candidates in House race
2. Democratic candidate only in House race
3. Republican candidate only in House race
4. VT01: independent incumbent candidate in place of Democratic candidate; Republican challenger
5. VA05: independent incumbent candidate in place of Republican candidate; Democratic challenger
9. INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

G6(1)/G6(1).T.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF CANDIDATE THERMOMETER IS NOT 997 (D1g):

FTF:
Where would you place <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>?
(Do you think he/she is extremely liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?

[DON'T PROBE DK]
Respondents were asked G6(1)/G6(1).T in all districts except VT01; G6(2)/G6(2).T was asked in VT01.
FTF: The order in which G6(1)/G6(2) and G7(1)/G7(2) was administered was randomized.
TELEPHONE: The order in which G6(1)/T/G6(2).T and G7(1).T/G7(2).T was administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> G7 OR PARTY PLACEMENTS
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 4, 9 in G6; 997 in D1g

=================================
M001378b G6.(2)/G6(2).T. #1 incum Ind cand place
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G6(2)/G6(2).T.

IF VT01 (INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE):
IF CANDIDATE THERMOMETER IS NOT 997 (D1n):

FTF:
Where would you place <INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about <INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>?
(Do you think he/she is extremely liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?

[DON'T PROBE DK]
Respondents were asked G6(1)/G6(1).T in all districts except VT01; G6(2)/G6(2).T was asked in VT01.

FTP: The order in which G6(1)/G6(2) and G7(1)/G7(2) was administered was randomized.
TELEPHONE: The order in which G6(1)/T/G6(2).T and G7(1).T/G7(2).T was administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREME CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> G7 OR PARTY PLACEMENTS
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3, 5, 9 in G6; 997 in D1n

==============================================
M001379a  G6a1/G6a1.T. Dem Hse cand-crtn lib-con
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric
G6a1/G6a1.T.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?

==============================================================================

Respondents were asked G6a1/G6a1.T in all districts except VT01; G6a2/G6a2.T was asked in VT01.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G6(1)/G6(1).T

==============================================
M001379b  G6a2/G6a2.T. #1 incum Ind cand-crtn lib
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric
G6a2/G6a2.T.

IF VT01 (INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE):
IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF INCUMBENT IND. HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Respondents were asked G6a1/G6a1.T in all districts except VT01; G6a2/G6a2.T was asked in VT01.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

====================================================================
M001380a  G7(1)/G7(1).T. Rep Hse cand placmnt-lib
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G7(1)/G7(1).T.

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IS IN HOUSE RACE:
IF CANDIDATE THERMOMETER IS NOT 997 (D1h):

FTF:
Where would you place <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>? (Do you think he/she is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DON'T PROBE DK]
Respondents were asked G7(1)/G7(1).T in all districts except VA05; G7(2)/G7(2).T was asked in VA05.

FTF: The order in which G6(1)/G6(2) and G7(1)/G7(2) was administered was randomized.

TELEPHONE: The order in which G6(1)/T/G6(2).T and G7(1).T/G7(2).T was administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK

--> G7 OR PARTY PLACEMENTS
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2, 5, 9 in G6; 997 in D1h

==============================
M001380b   G7.(2)/G7(2).T. #2 incum Ind cand-lib
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G7(2)/G7(2).T.

IF VA05 (INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE):
IF CANDIDATE THERMOMETER IS NOT 997 (D1n):

FTF:
Where would you place <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>?
(Do you think he/she is extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]
Respondents were asked G7(1)/G7(1).T in all districts except VA05; G7(2)/G7(2).T was asked in VA05.

FTF: The order in which G6(1)/G6(2) and G7(1)/G7(2) was administered was randomized.
TELEPHONE: The order in which G6(1)/T/G6(2).T and G7(1).T/G7(2).T was administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> G7 OR PARTY PLACEMENTS
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3, 4, 9 in G6; 997 in D1n

==============================
M001381a   G7al/G7al.T. Rep Hse cand-certn lib-con
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G7al/G7al.T.
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Respondents were asked G7a1/G7a1.T in all districts except VT01; G7a2/G7a2.T was asked in VT01.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G7(1)/G7(1).T

-----------------------------
M001381b  G7a2/G7a2.T. #2 incum Ind cand lib-con
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G7a2/G7a2.T.

IF VA05 (INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE):
IF LIB-CON PLACEMENT OF INCUMBENT IND. HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of this? Very certain, pretty certain or not very certain?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Respondents were asked G7a1/G7a1.T in all districts except VT01; G7a2/G7a2.T was asked in VT01.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G7(2)/G7(2).T

-----------------------------
M001382  G8/G8.T. Dem Party placement lib-con scale
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G8/G8.T.

FTF:
Where would you place the Democratic Party on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about the Democratic Party?
(Do you think they are extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)
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[DON'T PROBE DK]
The order in which G8-G10/G8.T-G10.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE
8. DK --> NEXT PARTY IN RANDOMIZATION OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001383 G9/G9.T. Repub Party placement lib-con
   MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
   Numeric

G9/G9.T.

PTF:
Where would you place the Republican Party on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
What about the Republican Party?
(Do you think they are extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]
The order in which G8-G10/G8.T-G10.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> NEXT PARTY IN RANDOMIZATION OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

G10/G10.T.

FTF: Where would you place the Reform Party on this scale?

TELEPHONE: What about the Reform Party?
(Do you think they are extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, conservative, or extremely conservative?)

[DON'T PROBE DK]
The order in which G8-G10/G8.T-G10.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY LIBERAL
2. LIBERAL
3. SLIGHTLY LIBERAL
4. MODERATE; MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE
6. CONSERVATIVE
7. EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE

8. DK --> NEXT PARTY IN RANDOMIZATION OR G11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

G11a.

FTF: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
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Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G11b or G11c
2. --> G11b or G11c
3. --> G11b or G11c
4. --> G11b or G11c
5. --> G11b or G11c
6. --> G11b or G11c
7. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G11b or G11c
8. DK --> G11b or G11c
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode (see Post Admin. 1); no Post interview
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> G11b or G11c

================================

M001386  G11a.T. R placement-services/spend branch
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11a.T.

TELEPHONE:
How about you? Do you think the government should provide fewer services, many more services, or continue providing services at the present level, or haven't you thought much about this?

G11a1.T.

IF R THINKS GOVT SHOULD REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Should the government reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL --> G11b.T
M001388  G11a2.T. How much increase services/spend
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11a2.T.

IF R THINKS GOVT SHOULD INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Should the government increase services and spending a
great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

1. A GREAT DEAL  --> G11b.T
5. ONLY SOME     --> G11b.T

8. DK            --> G11b.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11a.T

M001389  G11a3.T. If serv/spend changed, would favor inc/dec
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11a3.T.

IF R THINKS SERVICES/SPENDING SHOULD STAY SAME/DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH:

TELEPHONE:
If the level of services and spending were to be changed,
would you be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

1. INCREASE
3. DECREASE
5. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3 in G11a.T; FTF mode; no Post interview

M001390  G11ax. PH Summary R serv/spend scale
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11ax1.

TELEPHONE:
How about you? Do you think the government should
provide fewer services, many more services, or continue
providing services at the present level, or haven't you thought much about this?

(IF REDUCE:) Should the government reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

(IF INCREASE:) Should the government increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

(IF SAME:) If the level of services and spending were to be changed, would you be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

**BRANCHING SUMMARY: 7-POINT SERVICES/SPENDING SELF-PLACEMENT**

---

Built from G1la.T, G1la1.T, G1la2.T, G1la3.T.

1. REDUCE A GREAT DEAL (1,1,0,0)
2. REDUCE ONLY SOME (1,5/8/9/NA,0,0)
3. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE (0/5/8,0,0,3)
4. STAY THE SAME (5,0,0,5/8/9/NA)
5. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE (0/5/8,0,0,1)
6. INCREASE ONLY SOME (3,0,1,0)
7. INCREASE A GREAT DEAL (3,0,5/8/9/NA,0)

---

**M001390a**  
G1la. Summary R serv/spend scale  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric  

G1la2.

**PTF:**  
Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?

**TELEPHONE:**  
How about you? Do you think the government should provide fewer services, many more services, or continue providing services at the present level, or haven't you thought much about this?

(IF REDUCE:) Should the government reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

(IF INCREASE:) Should the government increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

(IF SAME/DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT:) If the level of services and spending were to be changed, would you be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

**SUMMARY: 7-POINT SERVICES/SPENDING SELF-PLACEMENT**

---

Built from G1la and G1la1.

1. SCALE: 1/ BRANCHING: REDUCE A GREAT DEAL
2. SCALE: 2/ BRANCHING: REDUCE ONLY SOME
3. SCALE: 3/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE
4. SCALE: 4/ BRANCHING: STAY THE SAME
5. SCALE: 5/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE
6. SCALE: 6/ BRANCHING: INCREASE ONLY SOME
7. SCALE: 7/ BRANCHING: INCREASE A GREAT DEAL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post IW

==========================================
M001391   G11b. Ckpt: number of cands/VT01/VA05
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

G11b.

CHECKPOINT:
NUMBER OF CANDIDATES/ VT01 OR VA05
FOR SERVICES/SPENDING HOUSE CANDIDATE PLACEMENTS
==========================================

In VT01, services/spending placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Democratic candidate placement.
In VA05, services/spending placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Republican candidate placement.

1. Democratic and Republican candidates in House race
2. Democratic candidate only in House race
3. Republican candidate only in House race
4. VT01: independent incumbent candidate in place of Democratic candidate; Republican challenger
5. VA05: independent incumbent candidate in place of Republican candidate; Democratic challenger

9. INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

==========================================
M001391a  G11b1. Dem Hse candidate on services/spending
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11b1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF DEM CAND NOT CODED 997 IN D1g THERMOMETER:

FTF:
Where would you place <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this issue?
==========================================

[DON'T PROBE DK]
FTF: The order in which G11b(1)/G11b(2) and G11c(1)/G11c(2) were administered was randomized.
In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name: in VT01, FTF respondents were administered G11b(2).
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in Page 619
the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G12
2. --> G12
3. --> G12
4. --> G12
5. --> G12
6. --> G12
7. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G12
8. DK --> G12
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 3, 4, 9 in G11b; candidate coded 997 in thermometer

M001391b G11b. #1 Ind incumbent Hse cand on services/spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11b2.

IF VT01:
IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND NOT CODED 997 IN D1n THERMOMETER:

FTF:
Where would you place (VT01: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>) on this issue?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

FTF: The order in which G11b(1)/G11b(2) and G11c(1)/G11c(2) were administered was randomized. In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name: in districts other than VT01, FTF respondents were administered G11b(1).

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G12
2. --> G12
3. --> G12
4. --> G12
5. --> G12
What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>? Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level? 

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5]. 

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G11b1.T
2. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G11b2.T
3. CONTINUE SERVICES AT PRESENT LEVEL---> G11b3.T

8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 3, 4, 9 in G11b; candidate coded 997 in thermometer
IF VT01:
IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CAND NOT CODED 997 IN D1n THERMOMETER:

TELEPHONE:
What about (VT01: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>)?
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DON'T PROBE DK]
PHONE: The order in which G11b(1).T/G11b(2).T and G11c(1).T/G11c(2).T were administered was randomized. In VT01, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Democratic candidate name: in districts other than VT01, Phone respondents were administered G11b(1).T

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G11b1.T
3. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G11b2.T
5. CONTINUE SERVICES AT PRESENT LEVEL--> G11b3.T

8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1, 2, 3, 5, 9 in G11b; candidate coded 997 in thermometer

M001393a G11b1(1).T. Dem cand- how much reduce services/spend
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
G11b1(1).T.

IF R THINKS DEM HOUSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES AND SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T

8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(1).T
G11b1(2).T.

**IF R THINKS VT01 IND HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:**

**TELEPHONE:**
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A GREAT DEAL</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONLY SOME</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G11b1(2).T.

**G11b2(1).T. DEM HSE CAND- HOW MUCH INCREASE SER/SP**

**IF R THINKS DEM HOUSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES AND SPENDING:**

**TELEPHONE:**
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A GREAT DEAL</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONLY SOME</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G11b2(1).T.

**G11b2(2).T. #1 IND INCUMBENT HSE CAND- HOW MUCH INCR**

**IF R THINKS VT01 IND HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:**

**TELEPHONE:**
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?
M001395a  G11b3(1).T. Dem Hse cnd- if serv/spnd chnged, inc/dec
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11b3(1).T.

IF R THINKS DEM HOUSE CAND WOULD LIKE SERVICES/SPENDING UNCHANGED:

TELEPHONE:
If the level of services and spending were to be
changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of
an increase or a decrease?

1. INCREASE   --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
3. DECREASE   --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. NEITHER    --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK        --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(1).T

M001395b  G11b3(2).T. #1 Ind inc Hse cand- if chnged, incr/decr
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11b3(2).T.

IF R THINKS VT01 IND HOUSE CAND WOULD LIKE SERVICES/SPENDING UNCHANGED:

TELEPHONE:
If the level of services and spending were to be
changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of
an increase or a decrease?

1. INCREASE   --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
3. DECREASE   --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. NEITHER    --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK        --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 8, 9, 0 in G11b(2).T

M001396  G11bx1. PH Dem cand serv/spend summary
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric
TELEPHONE:
What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE/VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?
(IF REDUCE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?
(IF INCREASE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?
(IF SAME): If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

BRANCHING SUMMARY: 7PT SERV/SPEND DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CAND PLACEMENT
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. REDUCE A GREAT DEAL (1,1,0,0)
2. REDUCE ONLY SOME (1,5/8/9/NA,0,0)
3. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE (5/8,0,0,3)
4. STAY THE SAME (5,0,0,5/8/9/NA)
5. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE (5/8,0,0,1)
6. INCREASE ONLY SOME (3,0,1,0)
7. INCREASE A GREAT DEAL (3,0,5/8/9/NA,0)
8. DK (8,0,0,5,8/9/NA)
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Democratic candidate and not VT01; candidate coded 997 in thermometer; no Post IW

M001396a  G11bx2. PH Dem cand serv/spend summary
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11bx2.

FTF:
Where would you place <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE/ VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this issue?

TELEPHONE:
What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE/VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?
(IF REDUCE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?
(IF INCREASE: ) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some? (IF SAME): If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

SUMMARY: 7-POINT SERVICES/SPEND DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CAND PLACEMENT
---------------------------------------------------------------

Built from and G11b1/G11b2 and G11bx1.

1. SCALE: 1/ BRANCHING: REDUCE A GREAT DEAL
2. SCALE: 2/ BRANCHING: REDUCE ONLY SOME
3. SCALE: 3/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE
4. SCALE: 4/ BRANCHING: STAY THE SAME
5. SCALE: 5/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE
6. SCALE: 6/ BRANCHING: INCREASE ONLY SOME
7. SCALE: 7/ BRANCHING: INCREASE A GREAT DEAL

8. DK (8,0,0,5,8/9/NA)
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Democratic candidate and not VT01; candidate coded 997 in thermometer; no Post IW

==============================
M001397a  G11c1. Repub House candidate on services/spend
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11c1.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF REP CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1h THERMOMETER:

FTF:
Where would you place <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this issue?

[DON'T PROBE DK]
FTF: The order in which G11b(1)/G11b(2) and G11c(1)/G11c(2) were administered was randomized.
In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Republican candidate name:
in VA05, FTF respondents were administered G11c(2).
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING     --> G12
2.                                     --> G12
3.                                     --> G12
4.                                     --> G12
5.                                     --> G12
6.                                     --> G12
7. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING     --> G12
8. DK  --> G12
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 2, 5, 9 in G11b;
candidate coded 997 in thermometer

-----------------------------
M001397b  G1lc2. #2 Ind inc Hse cand on services/spending
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G1lc2.

IF VA05:
IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1n THERMOMETER:

FTF:
Where would you place (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT
HOUSE CANDIDATE>) on this issue?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
[DON'T PROBE DK]
FTF: The order in which G11b(1)/G11b(2) and G1lc(1)/G1lc(2)
were administered was randomized.
In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate
was administered in place of a Republican candidate name:
in districts other than VA05, FTF respondents were
administered G1lc(1).

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING  --> G12
2.  --> G12
3.  --> G12
4.  --> G12
5.  --> G12
6.  --> G12
7. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING  --> G12
8. DK  --> G12
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, Phone mode; 1, 2, 3, 4, 9 in G11b;
candidate coded 997 in thermometer

-----------------------------
M001398a  G1lc(1).T. Rep Hse cand on services/spending
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G1lc1.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF REP CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1h THERMOMETER:

TELEPHONE:
What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>?
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to
provide fewer services in order to reduce spending,
provide many more services even if it means an increase
in spending, or continue services at the present level?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
[DON'T PROBE DK]

FTP: The order in which G11b(1).T/G11b(2).T and G11c(1).T/G11c(2).T were administered was randomized.
In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Republican candidate name:
in VA05, Phone respondents were administered G11c(2).T

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G11c1.T
3. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G11c2.T
5. CONTINUE SERVICES AT PRESENT LEVEL--> G11c3.T

8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 2, 5, 9 in G11b; candidate coded 997 in thermometer

M001398b G11c(2).T. #2 Ind incumbent Hse cand on services/spnd
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G11c2.T.

IF VA05:
IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1n THERMOMETER:

TELEPHONE:
What about (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>)?
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?

[DON'T PROBE DK]
FTP: The order in which G11b(1).T/G11b(2).T and G11c(1).T/G11c(2).T were administered was randomized.
In VA05, the name of the independent incumbent candidate was administered in place of a Republican candidate name:
in districts other than VA05, Phone respondents were administered G11c(1).T

1. FEWER SERVICES; REDUCE SPENDING --> G11c1.T
3. MORE SERVICES; INCREASE SPENDING --> G11c2.T
5. CONTINUE SERVICES AT PRESENT LEVEL--> G11c3.T

8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; 1, 2, 3, 4, 9 in G11b; candidate coded 997 in thermometer

M001399a G11c1(1).T. Rep Hse cand- how much reduce services/sp
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
G1lc1(1).T.

IF R THINKS REPUBLICAN HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A GREAT DEAL   --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. ONLY SOME      --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK             --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G1lc1(1).T

G1lc1(2).T.

IF R THINKS VA05 HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO REDUCE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A GREAT DEAL   --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. ONLY SOME      --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK             --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G1lc1(2).T

G1lc2(1).T.

IF R THINKS REPUB HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A GREAT DEAL   --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. ONLY SOME      --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
M001400b G1lc2(2).T. #2 Ind incumbent Hse cand- how much incre
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

IF R THINKS VA05 IND HSE CAND WOULD LIKE TO INCREASE SERVICES/SPENDING:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A GREAT DEAL --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. ONLY SOME --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in G1lc(1).T

M001401a G1lc3(1).T. Rep Hse cand- if serv/spend chngd, inc/de
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

IF R THINKS REPUB HSE CAND WOULD LIKE SERVICES/SPENDING UNCHANGED:

TELEPHONE:
If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. INCREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
3. DECREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. NEITHER --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 8, 9, 0 in G1lc(1).T

M001401b G1lc3(2).T. #2 Ind incumbt Hse cand- if srv/spd chang
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

IF R THINKS VA05 IND HSE CAND WOULD LIKE SERVICES/SPENDING UNCHANGED:

TELEPHONE:
If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

1. INCREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
3. DECREASE --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
5. NEITHER --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
8. DK --> NEXT HOUSE CANDIDATE OR G12.T
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 8, 9, 0 in G11c(2).T

What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE/VA05 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?

(IF REDUCE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?
(IF INCREASE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?
(IF SAME): If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

BRANCHING SUMMARY: 7PT SERV/SPENDING REPUB HOUSE CAND PLACEMENT

1. REDUCE A GREAT DEAL (1,1,0,0)
2. REDUCE ONLY SOME (1,5/8/9/NA,0,0)
3. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE (5/8,0,0,3)
4. STAY THE SAME (5,0,0,5/8/9/NA)
5. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE (5/8,0,0,1)
6. INCREASE ONLY SOME (3,0,1,0)
7. INCREASE A GREAT DEAL (3,0,5/8/9/NA,0)
8. DK (8,0,0,5,8/9/NA)
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Republican candidate and not VA05; candidate coded 997 in thermometer; no Post IW
FTF:
Where would you place <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE/ VA05 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> on this issue?

TELEPHONE:
What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE/VA05 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>? Do you think <HE/SHE> would like the government to provide fewer services in order to reduce spending, provide many services even if it means an increase in spending, or continue services at the present level?
(IF REDUCE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to reduce services and spending a great deal or (reduce services and spending) only some?
(IF INCREASE:) Do you think <HE/SHE> would like to increase services and spending a great deal or (increase services and spending) only some?
(IF SAME): If the level of services and spending were to be changed, do you think <HE/SHE> would be more in favor of an increase or a decrease?

SUMMARY: 7-POINT SERVICES/SPEND REPUBLICAN HOUSE CAND PLACEMENT

1. SCALE: 1/ BRANCHING: REDUCE A GREAT DEAL
2. SCALE: 2/ BRANCHING: REDUCE ONLY SOME
3. SCALE: 3/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR DECREASE
4. SCALE: 4/ BRANCHING: STAY THE SAME
5. SCALE: 5/ BRANCHING: NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR INCREASE
6. SCALE: 6/ BRANCHING: INCREASE ONLY SOME
7. SCALE: 7/ BRANCHING: INCREASE A GREAT DEAL

8. DK (8,0,0,5,8/9/NA)
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Republican candidate and not VA05; candidate coded 997 in thermometer; no Post IW

-----------------------------------------
M001403  G12/G12.T. R placement- abortion scale
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G12/G12.T.

FTF:
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. Which one of the opinions on this page best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

TELEPHONE:
There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. I am going to read you a short list of opinions. Please tell me which one of the opinions...
1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

==============================
M001404    G12a. How important is abortion issue to R
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G12a.

ALL ABORTION RESPONDENTS:

How important is this issue to you personally? Not at all important, not too important, somewhat important, very important, or extremely important?

1. NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT
2. NOT TOO IMPORTANT
3. SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT
4. VERY IMPORTANT
5. EXTREMELY IMPORTANT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 9, 0 in G12/G12.T

==============================
M001405    G12b. Checkpoint: number of cands/VT01/VA05
MD:  EQ 9
Numeric

G12b.

CHECKPOINT:
NUMBER OF CANDIDATES/ VT01 OR VA05
FOR ABORTION HOUSE CANDIDATE PLAECMENTS

In VT01, abortion placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Democratic candidate placement. In VA05, abortion placement of the independent House incumbent was asked in place of Republican candidate placement.
1. Democratic and Republican candidates in House race
2. Democratic candidate only in House race
3. Republican candidate only in House race
4. VT01: independent incumbent candidate in place of Democratic candidate; Republican challenger
5. VA05: independent incumbent candidate in place of Republican candidate; Democratic challenger

9. INAP, no Post interview; Washington D.C.

=================================
M001405a  G12b1/G12b1.T. Dem Hse cand placmt on abortion
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G12b1/G12b1.T.

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF DEM CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1g THERMOMETER:

FTF:
Where would you place <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>
(on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:
What about <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE> (on abortion)?
Which opinion best agrees with [HIS/HER] views?

[DON'T PROBE DK]

G12b(1)/G12b(1).T was administered in all districts except
VT01; in VT01, G12b(2)/G12b(2).T was administered.

FTF: The order in which G12b(1)/G12b(2) AND
G12c(1)/G12c(2) were administered was randomized.

TELEPHONE: The order in which G12b(1).T/G12b(2).T and
G12c(1).T/G12c(2).T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.   --> G12c or G13
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE
   OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS
   IN DANGER.                                    --> G12c or G13
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS
   OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE
   WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE
   ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.       --> G12c or G13
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO
   OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL
   CHOICE.                                       --> G12c or G13
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]                          --> G12c or G13
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 4, 9 in G12b; 997 in D1g

=================================
M001405b  G12b2/G12b2.T. #1 Ind inc cand plac on abort
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G12b2/G12b2.T.

IF VT01:
IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1g THERMOMETER:

FTF: Where would you place (VT01: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>) (on abortion)?

TELEPHONE: What about <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE> (on abortion)?
Which opinion best agrees with [HIS/HER] views?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DON'T PROBE DK]
G12b(1)/G12b(1).T was administered in all districts except VT01; in VT01, G12b(2)/G12b(2).T was administered.
FTF: The order in which G12b(1)/G12b(2) AND G12c(1)/G12c(2) were administered was randomized.
TELEPHONE: The order in which G12b(1).T/G12b(2).T and G12c(1).T/G12c(2).T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.  --> G12c or G13
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.  --> G12c or G13
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED.  --> G12c or G13
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE.  --> G12c or G13
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]  --> G12c or G13

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3, 5, 9 in G12b; 997 in D1n

=================================
M001406a  G12b1(1)/G12b1(1).T. Dem Hse cand-cetainty of plcmt
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
IF ABORTION PLACEMENT OF DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of <DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE>'s position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

G12b1(1)/G12b1(1).T was administered in all districts except VT01; in VT01, G12b1(2)/G12b1(2).T was administered.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G12b(1)/G12b(1).T

IF ABORTION PLACEMENT OF VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of <VT01 INCUMBENT INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>'s position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

G12b1(1)/G12b1(1).T was administered in all districts except VT01; in VT01, G12b1(2)/G12b1(2).T was administered.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G12b(2)/G12b(2).T

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF REP CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1H THERMOMETER:

FTF:
Where would you place <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE> (on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:
What about <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE> (on abortion)?
Which opinion best agrees with <HIS/HER> views?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DON'T PROBE DK]
G12c(1)/G12c(1).T was administered in all districts except VA05; in VT01, G12c(2)/G12c(2).T was administered.
FTF: The order in which G12b(1)/G12b(2) and G12c(1)/G12c(2) were administered was randomized.
TELEPHONE: The order in which G12b(1).T/G12b(2).T and G12c(1).T/G12c(2).T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED.       --> G12b or G13
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER.   --> G12b or G13
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED. --> G12b or G13
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE. --> G12b or G13
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL]                             --> G12b or G13
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 2, 5, 9 in G12b; 997 in D1h

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8

M001407b  G12c2/G12c2.T. #2 Ind inc cand placmt on abort
Numeric
G12c2/G12c2.T.

IF VA05:
IF INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE NOT CODED 997 IN D1H THERMOMETER:

FTF:
Where would you place (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>) (on abortion)?

TELEPHONE:
What about (VA05: <INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT HOUSE CANDIDATE>) (on abortion)?
Which opinion best agrees with <HIS/HER> views?

====================================================================
[DON'T PROBE DK]

G12c(1)/G12c(1).T was administered in all districts except VA05; in VA05, G12c(2)/G12c(2).T was administered. FTF: The order in which G12b(1)/G12b(2) AND G12c(1)/G12c(2) were administered was randomized. TELEPHONE: The order in which G12b(1).T/G12b(2).T and G12c(1).T/G12c(2).T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. BY LAW, ABORTION SHOULD NEVER BE PERMITTED. --> G12b or G13
2. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION ONLY IN CASE OF RAPE, INCEST, OR WHEN THE WOMAN'S LIFE IS IN DANGER. --> G12b or G13
3. THE LAW SHOULD PERMIT ABORTION FOR REASONS OTHER THAN RAPE, INCEST, OR DANGER TO THE WOMAN'S LIFE, BUT ONLY AFTER THE NEED FOR THE ABORTION HAS BEEN CLEARLY ESTABLISHED. --> G12b or G13
4. BY LAW, A WOMAN SHOULD ALWAYS BE ABLE TO OBTAIN AN ABORTION AS A MATTER OF PERSONAL CHOICE. --> G12b or G13
7. OTHER (SPECIFY) [VOL] --> G12b or G13

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 2, 3, 4, 9 in G12b; 997 in Dln

==============================
M001408a  G12c1(1)/G12c1(1).T. Rep Hse cand-certainty of plc
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G12c1(1)/G12c1(1).T.

IF ABORTION PLACEMENT OF REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of <REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE>'s position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

G12c(1)/G12c(1).T was administered in all districts except VA05; in VA05, G12c(2)/G12c(2).T was administered.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G12c(1)/G12c(1).T

==============================
M001408b  G12c1(2)/G12c1(2).T. #2 Ind inc cnd-certainty of plc
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
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G12c(1)/G12c(1).T.

IF ABORTION PLACEMENT OF VA05 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT CANDIDATE IS NOT DK:

How certain are you of <VA05 IND HOUSE CANDIDATE>'s position? Very certain, pretty certain, or not very certain?
----------------------------------------------------------

G12c(1)/G12c(1).T was adminstered in all districts except VA05; in VA05, G12c(2)/G12c(2).T was administered.

1. VERY CERTAIN
3. PRETTY CERTAIN
5. NOT VERY CERTAIN
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8, 9, 0 in G12c(2)/G12c(2).T

-----------------------------
M001409  G13. R thinks of themself as Republican or Democrat
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

G13.

Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Democrat or Republican?
----------------------------------------------------------

1. DEMOCRAT
3. REPUBLICAN
5. NEITHER [VOL]
7. OTHER  [SPECIFY]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

-----------------------------
M001410  H1. R better/worse off in last year financially
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H1.

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family living here/there) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?
----------------------------------------------------------

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

1. BETTER
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

3. WORSE        --> SKIP H1b
5. THE SAME     --> SKIP H2

8. DK           --> SKIP H2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R not administered 'section H in Post

==============================
M001411a   H1a. How much better off in last yer financially
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H1a.

IF R FINANCIAL SITUATION BETTER IN LAST YEAR:

Much better or somewhat better?
-----------------------------------------------

1. MUCH BETTER       --> SKIP H2
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER   --> SKIP H2

8. DK                --> SKIP H2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in H1

==============================
M001411b   H1b. How much worse off last year financially
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H1b.

IF R FINANCIAL SITUATION WORSE IN LAST YEAR:

Much worse or somewhat worse?
-----------------------------------------------

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in H1

==============================
M001412   H1x. Summary of R's financial situation in last year
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H1x.

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family living here/there) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago? Much better or somewhat better? Much worse or somewhat worse?
SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN PAST YEAR

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. The same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK in H1 or H1a/b
9. RF in H1 or H1a/b
0. NA; INAP, no Post IW; R selected for administration in the Pre

M001412a   H1x1. Pre/Post Summary of R financial situation
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H1x1.

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family living here/there) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?

Much better or somewhat better?
Much worse or somewhat worse?

COMBINED PRE/POST SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN PAST YEAR

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post. Built from Pre Elx and Post H1x

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. The same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Post administration and no Post IW

M001413    H2. Put off medical or dental treatment in last year
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

H2.

In the past year did you (or anyone in your family living here/there) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?
The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R not administered section H in Post

M001413a  H2x. Pre/Po: Put off medical or dental treatment
          MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
          Numeric

H2x.

In the past year did you (or anyone in your family living here/there) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?

COMBINED PRE/POST: MEDICAL OR DENTAL TREATMENT POSTPONED

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.
Built from Pre E2 and Post H2.

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for Post administration and no Post IW

M001414  H3. R expects next year to be better/worse financial
          MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
          Numeric

H3.

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you (and your family living here/there) will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE ---> Skip H3b
5. THE SAME ---> Skip H4

8. DK ---> Skip H4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; R not administered section H in Post
H3a.

IF R THINKS FINANCIAL SITUATION WILL BE BETTER IN NEXT YEAR:

Much better off or somewhat better off?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP H4
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP H4
8. DK --> Skip H4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in H3

H3b.

IF R THINKS FINANCIAL SITUATION WILL BE WORSE IN NEXT YEAR:

Much worse off or somewhat worse off?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in H3

H3x.

Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you (and your family living here/there) will be better off financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?

Much better or somewhat better?
Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN NEXT YEAR

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.
Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you
(and your family living here/there) will be better off
financially, worse off, or just about the same as now?
Much better or somewhat better?
Much worse or somewhat worse?

PRE/POST SUMMARY: R FINANCIAL SITUATION IN NEXT YEAR
---------------------------------------------------------------

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered
section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.

How afraid are you that a member of your family, or a
close friend, or you yourself might be the victim of
an assault during the coming year? Would you say you
are very afraid, somewhat afraid, a little bit afraid,
or not afraid?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

The respondent was randomly selected to be administered
section H in the Pre (as section E) or Post.
NOTE: due to an error in the application logic, this variable
was administered only to cases selected for Post administration of
questions H1-H3.
1. VERY AFRAID
3. SOMEWHAT AFRAID
5. A LITTLE BIT AFRAID
7. NOT AFRAID

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, logic error; no Post interview

=====

M001419    J1. R's attitude about serving on jury duty
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

J1.

If you were selected to serve on a jury, would you be happy to do it or would you rather not serve?

1. HAPPY TO DO IT
5. RATHER NOT SERVE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001420    J2a. Less govt, or more things government should do
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

J2a.

ONE, the less government, the better; OR
TWO, there are more things that government should be doing?

[IF NECESSARY, PROBE "WHICH IS CLOSER"]

1. THE LESS GOVERNMENT THE BETTER
2. MORE THINGS GOVERNMENT SHOULD BE DOING

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=====

M001421    J2b. Strong govt to handle cmplx prbls or free mrket
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

J2b.

ONE, we need a strong government to handle today's complex economic problems; OR
TWO, the free market can handle these problems without government being involved.
[IF NECESSARY, PROBE "WHICH IS CLOSER"]

1. NEED A STRONG GOV'T TO HANDLE COMPLEX ECONOMIC PROBLEMS
2. FREE MARKET CAN HANDLE WITHOUT GOV'T INVOLVEMENT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001422    J2c. Reason govt is bigger- meddlesome or big prblms
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

J2c.

ONE, the main reason government has become bigger over the years is because it has gotten involved in things that people should do for themselves; OR
TWO, government has become bigger because the problems we face have become bigger.

[IF NECESSARY, PROBE "WHICH IS CLOSER"]

1. GOV'T BIGGER BECAUSE IT'S INVOLVED IN THINGS PEOPLE SHOULD HANDLE THEMSELVES
2. GOV'T BIGGER BECAUSE PROBLEMS BIGGER

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001423    J2d. Better cooperative or self-reliant
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

J2d.

ONE, it is more important to be a cooperative person who works well with others; OR
TWO, it is more important to be a self-reliant person able to take care of oneself.

[IF NECESSARY, PROBE "WHICH IS CLOSER"]

1. MORE IMPT. TO BE COOPERATIVE
2. MORE IMPT. TO BE SELF-RELIANT

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001424    J3. # times R watched jeopardy
J3.

Now I'd like to ask you a few short questions about television viewing, because what you watch often determines what political advertising you will see. How many times in the last week have you watched "Jeopardy"?

1-20. NUMBER OF TIMES
95. EVERY DAY
96. NEVER, NOT AT ALL
97. R VOLUNTEERS, "NEVER WATCHES TELEVISION" --> SKIP TO J 8
98. DON'T KNOW
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

J4.

How many times in the last week have you watched "Wheel of Fortune"?

1-20. NUMBER OF TIMES
95. EVERY DAY
96. NEVER, NOT AT ALL
98. DON'T KNOW
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 97 in J3

J5.

How many times in the last week have you watched morning news programs such as "Today," "Good Morning America," or "The Early Show"?

1-20. NUMBER OF TIMES
95. EVERY DAY
96. NEVER, NOT AT ALL
98. DON'T KNOW
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 97 in J3
M001427  J6. # times R watched daytime talk show
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
        Numeric

J6.

How many times in the last week have you watched daytime television talk shows such as "Oprah Winfrey," "Rosie O'Donnell," or "Jerry Springer"?

----------------------------------------
1-20. NUMBER OF TIMES
95. EVERY DAY
96. NEVER, NOT AT ALL
98. DON'T KNOW
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 97 in J3

M001428  J7. Network news program R watches most
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric

J7.

Which of the network news programs do you watch most often - "World News Tonight" on ABC, "NBC Nightly News," "The CBS Evening News," or some other network news program?

----------------------------------------
1. ABC
3. NBC
5. CBS
6. WATCH NO NETWORK NEWS PROGRAM [VOL]
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; 97 in J3

M001429  J8. How much can you trust the media
        MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
        Numeric

J8.

How much of the time do you think you can trust the media to report the news fairly? Just about always, most of the time, only some of the time, or almost never?

----------------------------------------
1. JUST ABOUT ALWAYS
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. ONLY SOME OF THE TIME
J9. Does R listen to political talk radio

There are a number of programs on radio in which people call in to voice their opinions about politics. Do you ever listen to political talk radio programs of this type?

1. YES, LISTEN --> GO TO J9a
5. NO, DON'T LISTEN --> GO TO J10
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

J9a.

IF R LISTENS TO POLITICAL TALK RADIO:

How often do you listen to those programs -- every day, most days, once or twice a week, or only occasionally?

1. EVERYDAY
2. MOST DAYS
3. ONCE OR TWICE A WEEK
4. ONLY OCCASIONALLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in J9

J9b.

IF R LISTENS TO POLITICAL TALK RADIO:

Some people listen carefully to these talk radio programs while others just keep them on in the background.
background while they do other things. How about you?
When you turn on a political talk radio program, would you say you pay very close attention, fairly close attention, occasional attention, or very little attention?

1. VERY CLOSE ATTENTION
2. FAIRLY CLOSE ATTENTION
3. OCCASIONAL ATTENTION
4. VERY LITTLE ATTENTION

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in J9

M001433    J10. Does R have Internet or WWW access
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

J10.
Do you have access to the Internet or the World Wide Web?

1. YES
5. NO --> J11
8. DK --> J11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001434    J10a. Has R seen election info on Internet
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

J10a.
IF R HAS ACCESS TO THE INTERNET:
Have you seen any information about this election campaign on the (Internet/Web)?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in J10

M001435    J11. Important differences b/w Reps/Dems
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

J11.
Do you think there are any important differences in what the Republicans and Democrats stand for?

1. YES, DIFFERENCES
5. NO, NO DIFFERENCES
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001436a  J11a1(1). #1 difference b/w Reps/Dems
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 995
Numeric

J11a1(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 1 - DIFFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.
Codes 001-985 and:
990. Other comments
995. NA
998. DK
999. RF
000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11

M001436b  J11a1(2). #1 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

J11a1(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 1 - PARTY REFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

1. Democratic party mention
2. Democratic Presidential candidate
4. Republican Presidential candidate
5. Republican Party mention
6. Other party mentioned instead
7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party
difference

8. DK (998 in J11a1(1))
9. RF (999 in J11a1(1))
0. NA (995 in J11a1(1)); Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11

=================================
M001436c  J11a2(1). #2 difference b/w Reps/Dems
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

J11a2(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 2 - DIFFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.
Codes 001-985 and:
990. Other comments
000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

=================================
M001436d  J11a2(2). #2 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

J11a2(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 2 - PARTY REFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
1. Democratic party mention
2. Democratic Presidential candidate
4. Republican Presidential candidate
5. Republican Party mention
6. Other party mentioned instead
7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party difference
0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

=================================
M001436e  J11a3(1). #3 difference b/w Reps/Dems
MD:  EQ 0
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IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 3 - DIFFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO." ]

See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.

Codes 001-985 and:

990. Other comments

000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

==============================================================================
M001436f  J11a3(2). #3 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

J11a3(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 3 - PARTY REFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO." ]

1. Democratic party mention
2. Democratic Presidential candidate
4. Republican Presidential candidate
5. Republican Party mention
6. Other party mentioned instead
7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party difference

0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

==============================================================================
M001436g  J11a4(1). #4 difference b/w Reps/Dems
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

J11a4(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 4 - DIFFERENCE
[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.
Codes 001-985 and:

990. Other comments

000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further
mention; no Post IW

==============================
M001436h  J11a4(2). #4 party refnce b/w Reps/Dems
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

J11a4(2).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 4 - PARTY REFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

1. Democratic party mention
2. Democratic Presidential candidate
4. Republican Presidential candidate
5. Republican Party mention
6. Other party mentioned instead
7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party
difference

0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further
mention; no Post IW

==============================
M001436j  J11a5(1). #5 difference b/w Reps/Dems
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

J11a5(1).

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 5 - DIFFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]
See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.
Codes 001-985 and:

990. Other comments

000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 5 - PARTY REFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

1. Democratic party mention
2. Democratic Presidential candidate
4. Republican Presidential candidate
5. Republican Party mention
6. Other party mentioned instead
7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party difference

0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 6 - DIFFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

See PARTY DIFFERENCES master code.

Codes 001-985 and:

990. Other comments

000. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW
IF R SAYS IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS:

What are those differences?

MENTION 6 - PARTY REFERENCE

[PROBE: ANY OTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES? UNTIL R SAYS "NO."]

1. Democratic party mention
2. Democratic Presidential candidate
4. Republican Presidential candidate
5. Republican Party mention
6. Other party mentioned instead
7. Unclear party reference-- some substantive area of party difference

0. Inap, 5,8,9,0 in J11; 995,998,999 in J11a1(1); no further mention; no Post IW

==============================
M001437 Kla. Whites influence
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Kla.

The first group is WHITES.
Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

==============================
M001438 Klb. Blacks influence
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Klb.

What about BLACKS?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
Klc.

What about HISPANICS (HISPANIC-AMERICANS)?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about
the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

Kld.

What about ASIAN AMERICANS?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about
the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

Kle.

What about JEWS?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about
the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

8. DK
9. RF
M001442    K1f. Protestants influence
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K1f.

What about PROTESTANTS?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001443    K1g. Catholics influence
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K1g.

What about CATHOLICS?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001444    K1h. Men influence
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K1h.

What about MEN?
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

8. DK
And the last group is WOMEN.
(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. TOO MUCH INFLUENCE
2. JUST ABOUT THE RIGHT AMOUNT
3. TOO LITTLE INFLUENCE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

The first name is TRENT LOTT.
What job or political office does he NOW hold?

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES LOTT AS SENATE MAJORITY LEADER
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2a.E; no Post interview

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

The first name is TRENT LOTT.
What job or political office does he NOW hold?
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES LOTT AS SENATE MAJORITY LEADER
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001447 K2ax. Summary identify Trent Lott
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

The first name is TRENT LOTT.
What job or political office does he NOW hold?

SUMMARY: TRENT LOTT OFFICE RECOGNITION

M001448 K2al.E. Identify Trent Lott- DK probe used
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

The first name is TRENT LOTT.
What job or political office does he NOW hold?

CHECKPOINT: LOTT - PROBE USED?
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. YES
5. NO
0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2a; no Post interview

==============================
M001449a  K2b. Identify William Rehnquist -standard
       MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
       Numeric

K2b.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

WILLIAM REHNQUIST [PRON: Renn-kwist]
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES REHNQUIST AS CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT
5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
8.R makes no attempt to guess
9.RF
0.NA; INAP, R selected for K2b; no Post interview

==============================
M001449b  K2b.E. Identify William Rehnquist -experimental
       MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
       Numeric

K2b.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

WILLIAM REHNQUIST [PRON: Renn-kwist]
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES REHNQUIST AS CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT
5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
8.R makes no attempt to guess
9.RF
0.NA; INAP, R selected for K2b; no Post interview

==============================
M001450    K2bx. Summary identify William Rehnquist
          \hspace{1cm} Page 661
K2bx.

WILLIAM REHNQUIST [PRON: Renn-kwist]
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

SUMMARY: REHNQUIST OFFICE RECOGNITION
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]/
[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

Built from K2b/K2b.E

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES REHNQUIST AS CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

==============================
M001451   K2b1.E. Identify William Rehnquist - DK probe used
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

K2b1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

WILLIAM REHNQUIST [PRON: Renn-kwist]
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. YES
5. NO

0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2b; no Post interview

==============================
M001452a   K2c. Identify Tony Blair - standard
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K2c.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:
TONY BLAIR
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)
------------------------------------------

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES BLAIR AS PRIME MINISTER OF ENGLAND/GREAT
BRITAIN
5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
8.R makes no attempt to guess
9.RF
0.NA; INAP, R selected for K2c.E; no Post interview

M001452b   K2c.E. Identify Tony Blair-experimental
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K2c.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

TONY BLAIR
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)
------------------------------------------

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES BLAIR AS PRIME MINISTER OF ENGLAND/GREAT
BRITAIN
5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
8.R makes no attempt to guess
9.RF
0.NA; INAP, R selected for K2c; no Post interview

M001453    K2cx. Summary identify Tony Blair
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K2cx.

TONY BLAIR
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

SUMMARY: BLAIR OFFICE RECOGNITION
------------------------------------------

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]/
[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Built from K2c/K2c.E
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Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES BLAIR AS PRIME MINISTER OF ENGLAND/GREAT BRITAIN
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

============================================
M001454    K2c1.E. Identify Tony Blair - DK probe used
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric
K2c1.E.

IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

TONY BLAIR
(What job or political office does he NOW hold?)

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?
==============================================================================
[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
1. YES
5. NO
0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2c; no Post interview

============================================
M001455a   K2d. Identify Janet Reno -standard
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
K2d.

IF R SELECTED FOR STANDARD VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

JANET RENO
(What job or political office does she NOW hold?)
==============================================================================

[DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES RENO AS ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES
5. IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG
8. R makes no attempt to guess
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2d.E; no Post interview
M001455b  K2d.E. Identify Janet Reno -experimental
         MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
         Numeric

         K2d.E.

         IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

         JANET RENO
         (What job or political office does she NOW hold?)
         ---------------------------------------------------------------------

         [PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
         Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
         K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
         battery with "Don't Know" probes.

         1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES RENO AS ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES
         5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

         8. R makes no attempt to guess
         9.RF
         0.NA; INAP, R selected for K2d; no Post interview

M001456  K2dx. Summary identify Janet Reno
         MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
         Numeric

         K2dx.

         JANET RENO
         (What job or political office does she NOW hold?)

         SUMMARY: RENO OFFICE RECOGNITION
         ---------------------------------------------------------------------

         [DON'T PROBE DON'T KNOWS]/
         [PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
         Built from K2d/K2d.E
         Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
         K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
         battery with "Don't Know" probes.

         1.CORRECTLY IDENTIFIES RENO AS ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES
         5.IDENTIFICATION IS INCOMPLETE OR WRONG

         8. R makes no attempt to guess
         9.RF
         0.NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001457  K2d1.E. Identify Janet Reno -DK probe used
         MD:  EQ 0
         Numeric

         K2d1.E.
IF R SELECTED FOR EXPERIMENTAL VERSION OF OFFICE RECOGNITION ITEMS:

JANET RENO
(What job or political office does she NOW hold?)

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?
-------------------------------------------------------------

[PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?"]
Respondents were randomly selected to be administered the
K2a-K2d battery without "Don't Know" probes or the K2a.E-K2d.E
battery with "Don't Know" probes.

1. YES  
5. NO  
0. NA; INAP, R selected for K2d; no Post interview

---------------------------
M001458    K3a. In what state does Bush live  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

K3a.

What U.S. state does George W. Bush live in now? 
---------------------------------------------

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

1. CONNECTICUT  
2. TENNESSEE  
3. TEXAS  
4. WYOMING  
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

---------------------------
M001459    K3a1. Respondent was probed for DK answer  
MD:  EQ 0  
Numeric

K3a1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?  
---------------------------

1. YES  
5. NO  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

---------------------------
M001460    K3b. What is Bush's religion  
MD1: EQ 8,  MD2: GE 9  
Numeric
K3b.

What is George W. Bush's religion?  

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

0. NONE  
1. BAPTIST  
2. METHODIST  
3. JEWISH  
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)  
8. DK  
9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001461  K3bl. Respondent was probed for DK answer  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric

K3bl.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?  

1. YES  
5. NO  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001462  K4a. What state is Gore from  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

K4a.

What U.S. state is Al Gore from originally?  

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

1. CONNECTICUT  
2. TENNESSEE  
3. TEXAS  
4. WYOMING  
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001463  K4al. Respondent was probed for DK answer  
MD: EQ 0  
Numeric
K4a1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

1. YES
5. NO
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

K4b.

What is Al Gore's religion?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

0. NONE
1. BAPTIST
2. METHODIST
3. JEWISH
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview

K4b1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

1. YES
5. NO
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

K5a.

What U.S. state does Dick Cheney live in now?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

1. CONNECTICUT
2. TENNESSEE
3. TEXAS
4. WYOMING
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

==============================
M001467    K5a1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

K5a1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

1. YES
5. NO
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

==============================
M001468    K5b. What is Cheney's religion
MD1: EQ 8,  MD2: GE 9
Numeric

K5b.

What is Dick Cheney's religion?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

0. NONE
1. BAPTIST
2. METHODIST
3. JEWISH
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview

==============================
M001469    K5b1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

K5b1.

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

1. YES
5. NO
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
K6a. In what state does Lieberman live
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

What U.S. state does Joseph Lieberman live in now?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

1. CONNECTICUT
2. TENNESSEE
3. TEXAS
4. WYOMING
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

K6a1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

1. YES
5. NO
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

K6b. What is Lieberman's religion
MD1: EQ 8, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

What is Joseph Lieberman's religion?

[PROBE DK WITH, "WELL, WHAT IS YOUR BEST GUESS?"]

0. NONE
1. BAPTIST
2. METHODIST
3. JEWISH
7. OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview
K6b1. Respondent was probed for DK answer
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

CHECKPOINT: PROBE USED?

1. YES
5. NO
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

K7. Volunteer work in last year
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Many people say they have less time these days to do volunteer work. What about you, were you able to devote any time to volunteer work in the last 12 months or did you not do so?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

K8. Are people trustworthy
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Generally speaking, would you say that most people can be trusted, or that you can't be too careful in dealing with people?

1. MOST PEOPLE CAN BE TRUSTED
5. CAN'T BE TOO CAREFUL
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

K9. People take advantage or act fairly
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric
K9.

Do you think most people would try to take advantage of you if they got the chance or would they try to be fair?

1. TAKE ADVANTAGE
5. TRY TO BE FAIR
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

-----------------------------------------------

M001477    K10. People helpful or selfish
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K10.

Would you say that most of the time people try to be helpful, or that they are just looking out for themselves?

1. TRY TO BE HELPFUL
5. JUST LOOKING OUT FOR THEMSELVES
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

-----------------------------------------------

M001478    K11. Fav/opp laws protect against job discrm homosexu
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K11.

Recently there has been a lot of talk about job discrimination. Do you favor or oppose laws to protect homosexuals against job discrimination?

1. FAVOR
5. OPPOSE --> SKIP TO K11b
8. DK --> SKIP TO K12
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

-----------------------------------------------

M001479    K11a. How much favor law protecting homosexuals
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K11a.

IF R FAVORS PROTECTING HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION:
Do you favor such laws strongly or not strongly?

1. FAVOR STRONGLY  --> K12
5. FAVOR NOT STRONGLY --> K12

=================================
M001480  K11b. How much oppose law protecting homosexuals
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K11b.

IF R OPPOSES PROTECTING HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION:

Do you oppose such laws strongly or not strongly?

1. OPPOSE STRONGLY
5. OPPOSE NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 8, 9, 0 in K11

=================================
M001481  K11x. Summary protctng homosxls against job discrim
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K11x.

Recently there has been a lot of talk about job discrimination. Do you favor or oppose laws to protect homosexuals against job discrimination?
(IF FAVOR/OPPOSE:)
Do you favor such laws strongly or not strongly?/
Do you oppose such laws strongly or not strongly?

SUMMARY: PROTECTING HOMOSEXUALS AGAINST JOB DISCRIMINATION

=================================
Built from K11 and K11a/b

1. Favor strongly
2. Favor not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly
8. DK in K11 or K11a/b
9. RF in K11 or K11a/b
0. NA; INAP, no Post IW

=================================
M001482  K12a. R placmnt crime -address social prblms/punish
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric
K12a.

FTF:
Where would you place yourself on this scale or haven't you thought much about this?

1. ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS THAT CAUSE CRIME
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. MAKE SURE CRIMINALS ARE CAUGHT, CONVICTED AND PUNISHED
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

========================================================================
M001482a K12a.T. R placmnt crime -address social prbl/punish
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K12a.T.

TELEPHONE:
How about you? Do you think that the best way to reduce crime is to address social problems or to make sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, or that we should do something in between, or haven't you thought much about this?

========================================================================
1. ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS THAT CAUSE CRIME --> K12a1.T
3. MAKE SURE CRIMINALS ARE CAUGHT, CONVICTED AND PUNISHED --> K12a2.T
5. DO SOMETHING IN BETWEEN --> K12a3.T
8. DK --> K13a3.T
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS --> K13a3.T

========================================================================
M001483 K12a1.T. How much btr is approach -adressing social
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K12a1.T.

IF R'S OPINION IS TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think that addressing the social problems that cause crime, rather than making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, is a much better way or somewhat better way to reduce crime?

========================================================================
1. MUCH BETTER --> K13
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> K13
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in K12a.T

==============================
M001484   K12a2.T. How much btr is approach -punishing crimls
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K12a2.T.

IF R'S OPINION IS TO MAKE SURE CRIMINALS ARE CAUGHT AND PUNISHED:

TELEPHONE:
Do you think that making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, rather than addressing the social problems that cause crime is a much better way or a somewhat better way to reduce crime?

1. MUCH BETTER --> K13
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> K13
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in K12a.T

==============================
M001485   K12a3.T. If had to choose, which approach is better
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K12a3.T.

IF R'S OPINION IS 'SOMETHING IN BETWEEN'/DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH:

TELEPHONE:
If you had to choose, which way would you say is better at reducing crime -- addressing the social problems that cause or crime or making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished?

1. ADDRESSING SOCIAL PROBLEMS
5. MAKING SURE CRIMINALS ARE CAUGHT, CONVICTED AND PUNISHED
7. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 9 in K12a.T

==============================
M001486   K12ax1. PH Summary- R placement on ways to reduce crime
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric
TELEPHONE:
How about you? Do you think that the best way to reduce crime is to address social problems or to make sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, or that we should do something in between, or haven't you thought much about this?
(IF ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS:) Do you think that addressing the social problems that cause crime, rather than making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished is a much better way or somewhat better way to reduce crime?
(IF PUNISH CRIMINALS:) Do you think that making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, rather than addressing the social problems that cause crime is a much better way or a somewhat better way to reduce crime?
(IF DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT/'IN-BETWEEN'): If you had to choose, which way would you say is better at reducing crime -- addressing the social problems that cause crime or making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished?

BRANCHING SUMMARY: CRIME REDUCTION SELF-PLACEMENT
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. MUCH BETTER TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS
2. SOMEWHAT BETTER TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS
3. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR ADDRESSING PROBLEMS
4. IN-BETWEEN
5. NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR PUNISHING CRIMINALS
6. SOMEWHAT BETTER TO PUNISH CRIMINALS
7. MUCH BETTER TO PUNISH CRIMINALS
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post IW
0. Haven't thought much

M001486a K12ax2. Summary- R placement on ways to reduce crime
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

K12ax2.

TELEPHONE:
How about you? Do you think that the best way to reduce crime is to address social problems or to make sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, or that we should do something in between, or haven't you thought much about this?
(IF ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS:) Do you think that addressing the social problems that cause crime, rather than making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished is a much better way or somewhat better way to reduce crime?
reduce crime?
(If PUNISH CRIMINALS:) Do you think that making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished, rather than addressing the social problems that cause crime is a much better way or a somewhat better way to reduce crime?
(If DK/HAVEN'T THOUGHT/IN-BETWEEN): If you had to choose, which way would you say is better at reducing crime -- addressing the social problems that cause crime or making sure criminals are caught, convicted, and punished?

SUMMARY: CRIME REDUCTION SELF-PLACEMENT

Built from K12 and K12ax1

1. SCALE: 1/ BRANCHING: MUCH BETTER TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS
2. SCALE: 2/ SOMEWHAT BETTER TO ADDRESS SOCIAL PROBLEMS
3. SCALE: 3/ NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR ADDRESSING PROBLEMS
4. SCALE: 4/ IN-BETWEEN
5. SCALE: 5/ NEITHER BUT SLIGHTLY FAVOR PUNISHING CRIMINALS
6. SCALE: 6/ SOMEWHAT BETTER TO PUNISH CRIMINALS
7. SCALE: 7/ MUCH BETTER TO PUNISH CRIMINALS
8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post IW
0. Haven't thought much

M001487   K13. How worried is R about nuclear war
   MD1: EQ 0,    MD2: GE 8
   Numeric

K13.

How worried are you about our country getting into a nuclear war at this time? Are you very worried, somewhat worried, or not worried at all?

Through an error in the survey application program, this variable was administered only to telephone Rs.

1. VERY WORRIED
3. SOMEWHAT WORRIED
5. NOT WORRIED AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001488   K14. How worried is R about conventional war
   MD1: EQ 0,    MD2: GE 8
   Numeric

K14.
How worried are you about our country getting into a conventional war at this time, one in which nuclear weapons are not used? Are you very worried, somewhat worried, or not worried at all?

Through an error in the survey application program, this variable was administered only to FTF Rs.

1. VERY WORRIED
3. SOMEWHAT WORRIED
5. NOT WORRIED AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

Which is closer to the way you think, or haven't you thought much about this?

1. PROTECT GOVERNMENT FROM EXCESSIVE INFLUENCE
5. PROTECTING THE FREEDOM OF INDIVIDUALS

8. DK
9. RF; NA; INAP, no Post interview
0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS

In general, which of the following statements best represents what you feel about the way political campaigns are financed in this country: it needs to be completely overhauled, it needs major changes, it needs minor changes, or it is basically fine the way it is?

1. COMPLETELY OVERHAULED
3. MAJOR CHANGES
5. MINOR CHANGES
7. FINE THE WAY IT IS

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
M001491  L5. Worked on community issue in last year  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
Numeric  

L5.  

During the past 12 months, have you worked with other people to deal with some issue facing your community?

1. YES  
5. NO  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview  

M001492  L6. Contacted public official to express in last year  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
Numeric  

L6.  

During the past twelve months, have you telephoned, written a letter to, or visited a government official to express your views on a public issue?

1. YES  
5. NO  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview  

M001493  L7. Attend commun meeting about issue in last year  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
Numeric  

L7.  

During the past twelve months, did you attend a meeting about an issue facing your community or schools?

1. YES  
5. NO  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview  

M001494  L8. Is R a member of any organizations  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
Numeric
Here is a list of some organizations people can belong to. There are labor unions, associations of people who do the same kinds of work, fraternal groups such as Lions or Kinterviewanis, hobby clubs or sports teams, groups working on political issues, community groups, and school groups. Of course, there are lots of other types of organizations, too. Not counting membership in a local church or synagogue, are you a member of any of these kinds of organizations?

1. YES
5. NO --> L9
8. DK --> L9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

How many organizations are you currently a member of?

0. NO ORGANIZATIONS --> L9
1. ONE ORGANIZATION --> L9b
2-96. ORGANIZATIONS --> L9bb
97. 97 OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS --> L9bb
98. DK --> L9bb
99. RF; NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in L8

If R BELONGS TO ONE ORGANIZATION ONLY:

If you average across the past twelve months, about how many hours per week did you spend doing things with or for your organizations?

Number of hours coded and:

96. NONE (NO HOURS)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 0, 2-99 in L8a
M001497  L8c. 1 org-do orgs try to influence government
       MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
       Numeric

       IF R BELONGS TO ONE ORGANIZATION ONLY:

       Does the organization you belong to ever try to influence government?
       ---------------------------------------------------------------------

       1. YES
       5. NO

       8. DON'T KNOW
       9. RF
       0. NA; INAP, 0, 2-99 in L8a

M001498  L8d. 1 org-does org try to influence schools
       MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
       Numeric

       IF R BELONGS TO ONE ORGANIZATION ONLY:

       Does the organization you belong to ever try to influence schools?
       ---------------------------------------------------------------------

       1. YES     --> L9
       5. NO      --> L9

       8. DON'T KNOW  --> L9
       9. RF
       0. NA; INAP, 0, 2-99 in L8a

M001499  L8bb. 2+ org-how many hours per week spent for org
       MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 96
       Numeric

       IF R BELONGS TO TWO OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS OR DK HOW MANY:

       If you average across the past twelve months, about how many hours per week did you spend doing things with or for your organizations?
       ---------------------------------------------------------------------

       Number of hours coded and:

       96. NONE (NO HOURS)
       98. DK
M001500  L8cc. 2+ org-does org try to influence government
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L8cc.

IF R BELONGS TO TWO OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS OR DK HOW MANY:

Do any of the organizations you belong to ever try to influence government?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0, 1, 98, 99 in L8a

M001501  L8dd. 2+ org-does org try to influence schools
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L8dd.

IF R BELONGS TO TWO OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS OR DK HOW MANY:

Do any of the organizations you belong to ever try to influence schools?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0, 1, 98, 99 in L8a

M001502  L8x1. Summary hours per week spent for org
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 96
Numeric

L8x1.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS:

If you average across the past twelve months, about how many hours per week did you spend doing things with or for your organization(s)?

SUMMARY: NUMBER OF HOURS/WEEK WITH ORGANIZATIONS
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Number of hours coded and:

96. NONE (NO HOURS)
98. DK
99. RF
00. NA; INAP, 0, 2-99 in L8a

M001503 L8x2. Summary org influence schools
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L8x2.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS:

Does the organization you belong to ever try to influence government?/
Do any of the organizations you belong to ever try to influence government?

SUMMARY: ORGANIZATION INFLUENCE GOVERNMENT
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0, 98, 99 in L8a

M001504 L8x3. Summary org influence government
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L8x3.

IF R BELONGS TO ONE OR MORE ORGANIZATIONS:

Does the organization you belong to ever try to influence schools/
Do any of the organizations you belong to ever try to influence schools?

SUMMARY: ORGANIZATION INFLUENCE SCHOOLS
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 0, 98, 99 in L8a

M001505 L9. Contributed to church or charity in last year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
L9.

Many people are finding it more difficult to make contributions to church or charity as much as they used to. How about you -- were you able to contribute any money to church or charity in the last 12 months?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001506   L10. Taken part in Protest or march in last year
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L10.

Aside from a strike against your employer, in the past twelve months, have you taken part in a protest, march, or demonstration on some national or local issue?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001507   L10a. How many times protested/marched
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

L10a.

IF R TAKEN PART IN PROTEST, MARCH OR DEMONSTRATION ON LOCAL ISSUE:

About how many times was that -- once, two or three times, or four times or more?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. ONCE
2. TWO OR THREE
4. FOUR TIMES OR MORE
7. CAN'T REMEMBER [VOL]
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in L10

============================================================
M001508    M3a/M3a.T. Blks should overcome prejudice w/o favors  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
Numeric  

M3a/M3a.T.  
'Irish, Italians, Jewish and many other minorities overcame prejudice and worked their way up. Blacks should do the same without any special favors.'  
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?  
---------------------------------------------------------------------  
1. AGREE STRONGLY  
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT  
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE  
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT  
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview  
---------------------------------------------------------------------  
M001509    M3b/M3b.T. Blacks have gotten less than they deserve  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
Numeric  

M3b/M3b.T.  
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)  
'Over the past few years, blacks have gotten less than they deserve.'  
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)  
TELEPHONE:  
'Over the past few years, blacks have gotten less than they deserve.'  
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?  
---------------------------------------------------------------------  
1. AGREE STRONGLY  
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT  
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE  
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT  
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview  
---------------------------------------------------------------------  
M001510    M3c/M3c.T. If blks wld try harder they cld be welloff  
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It's really a matter of some people not trying hard enough; if blacks would only try harder they could be just as well off as whites.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for blacks to work their way out of the lower class.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER Agree NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001512 N1. R have opinion on strength of federal govt
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

N1.
Now I'd like to ask you about your feelings toward the
federal government. Some people are afraid the government in Washington is
growing too powerful for the good of the country and the
individual person. Others feel that the government in
Washington is not getting too strong. Do you have an
opinion on this or not?

1. YES
5. NO --> N2
8. DK --> N2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001513 N1a. Govt is getting too powerful or not too strong
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

N1a.
IF R HAS AN OPINION:
What is your feeling, do you think the government is
growing too powerful or do you think the government is
not getting too strong?

1. GOVERNMENT TOO POWERFUL --> SKIP TO N1b
5. GOVERNMENT NOT GETTING TOO STRONG
7. OTHER; DEPENDS (SPECIFY) --> SKIP TO N2
8. DK --> SKIP TO N2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in N1

M001514 N1a1. Should govt become more powerful
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
IF R THINKS GOVT NOT GETTING TOO STRONG:

Do you think the government should become more powerful or should it stay the way it is?

1. BECOME MORE POWERFUL    --> N1b
5. STAY THE WAY IT IS      --> N2
8. DK                      --> N2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 7, 8, 9, 0 in N1a

M001515    N1b. Which party favors a strong federal govt
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

IF R THINKS GOVT TOO POWERFUL IN N1a/ IF R THINKS GOVT SHOULD BECOME MORE POWERFUL IN N1a:

Which party do you think is more likely to favor a powerful government in Washington -- the Democrats, the Republicans, or wouldn't there be much difference between them on this?

1. DEMOCRATS
3. REPUBLICANS
5. NO DIFFERENCE
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7, 8, 9, 0 in N1a; 5, 8, 9 or NA in N1a

M001516    N2a/N2a.T. Does R have good undrstdg of pol issues
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

N2a/N2a.T.

' I feel that I have a pretty good understanding of the important political issues facing our country. '
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=================================
M001517  N2b/N2b.T. R well-qualfd to participate in politics
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

N2b/N2b.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)
' I consider myself well-qualified to participate in politics. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
' I consider myself well-qualified to participate in politics. '
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=================================
M001518  N2c/N2c.T. Could do good job in public office
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

N2c/N2c.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)
' I feel that I could do as good a job in public office as most other people. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
' I feel that I could do as good a job in public office as most other people. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

====================================
M001519  N2d/N2dT. Better informed about govt than most
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

N2d/N2dT.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet.)
' I think that I am better informed about politics and
government than most people.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
' I think that I am better informed about politics and
government than most people.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
this statement?)

====================================
1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

====================================
M001520  N3. Does R believe their vote matters
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

N3.

So many other people vote in the national election that
it doesn't matter much to me whether I vote or not.
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor
disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with
this statement?)

This variable was added 11/10/2000.
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1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; question not implemented at time of interview

M001521 Pla/Pla.T. Society needs to give everyone equal oppr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Pla/Pla.T.

"Our society should do whatever is necessary to make sure that everyone has an equal opportunity to succeed.'
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

M001522 Plb/Plb.T. We've pushed equal rights too far
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Plb/Plb.T.

(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
"We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
"We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country.'
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?
One of the big problems in this country is that we don't give everyone an equal chance. (Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

This country would be better off if we worried less about how equal people are. (Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)
This country would be better off if we worried less about how equal people are. 
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

We'd have fewer problems if people treated each other more equally.
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
'If people were treated more equally in this country we would have many fewer problems.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
'If people were treated more equally in this country we would have many fewer problems.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001527 Q1a/Q1a.T. Public officials don't care
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q1a/Q1a.T.

'Public officials don't care much what people like me think.'
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001528 Q1b/Q1b.T. People don't have say in govt
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q1b/Q1b.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)

'People like me don't have any say about what the government does.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
'People like me don't have any say about what the government does.'
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001529 Q1c/Q1c.T. Politics too complicated
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q1c/Q1c.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
'Sometimes politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
'Sometimes politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.'
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001530 Q2a/Q2a.T. New morals are causing society breakdown
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Q2a/Q2a.T.

' The newer lifestyles are contributing to the breakdown of our society. '
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001531 Q2b/Q2b.T. Should adjust views to chgd moral behav
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8

Q2b/Q2b.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
' The world is always changing and we should adjust our view of moral behavior to those changes. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE:
' The world is always changing and we should adjust our view of moral behavior to those changes. '
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001532 Q2c/Q2c.T. Less prblms if emphasize trad family ties
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8

Numeric
Q2c/Q2c.T.

FTF: (Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
' This country would have many fewer problems if there were more emphasis on traditional family ties. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE: ' This country would have many fewer problems if there were more emphasis on traditional family ties. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001533 Q2d/Q2d.T. Should tolerate other's morality
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Q2d/Q2d.T.

FTF: (Still looking at page 6 in the booklet)
' We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live according to their own moral standards, even if they are very different from our own. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

TELEPHONE: ' We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live according to their own moral standards, even if they are very different from our own. '
(Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE SOMEWHAT
3. NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE
4. DISAGREE SOMEWHAT
5. DISAGREE STRONGLY
Q3a. How much can govt be trusted

How much of the time do you think you can trust the government in Washington to do what is right -- just about always, most of the time, or only some of the time?

1. JUST ABOUT ALWAYS
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. ONLY SOME OF THE TIME
4. NEVER [VOL]

Q4. How much of taxes does govt waste

Do you think that people in government waste a lot of the money we pay in taxes, waste some of it, or don't waste very much of it?

1. WASTE A LOT
3. WASTE SOME
5. DON'T WASTE VERY MUCH

Q5. Govt run by big intersts or for benefit of all

Would you say the government is pretty much run by a few big interests looking out for themselves or that it is run for the benefit of all the people?

1. GOV'T RUN BY A FEW BIG INTERESTS
5. GOV'T RUN FOR THE BENEFIT OF ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

==============================
M001537    Q6. How many in govt are crooked
                   MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
                   Numeric

Q6.

Do you think that quite a few of the people running the government are crooked, not very many are, or do you think hardly any of them are crooked?

1. QUITE A FEW ARE CROOKED
3. NOT VERY MANY ARE CROOKED
5. HARDLY ANY ARE CROOKED

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

==============================
M001538    Q7. Elections make govt pay attention
                   MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
                   Numeric

Q7.

How much do you feel that having elections makes the government pay attention to what the people think -- good deal, some, or not much?

1. A GOOD DEAL
3. SOME
5. NOT MUCH

==============================
M001539    Q8. Attn govt pays to people when making decisions
                   MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
                   Numeric

Q8.

Over the years, how much attention do you feel the government pays to what people think when it decides what to do -- a good deal, some, or not much?

1. A GOOD DEAL
3. SOME
5. NOT MUCH
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

==============================
M001540    R1a. Close to whites FTF
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

R1a.

FTF:
WHITES
CLOSE TO GROUP - WHITES

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R
0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

==============================
M001541    R1a.T. Close to whites phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R1a.T.

TELEPHONE:
What about whites? Do you feel particularly close to whites?

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

==============================
M001542    R1b. Close to poor people FTF
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

R1b.

FTF:
POOR PEOPLE
CLOSE TO GROUP - POOR PEOPLE

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

==================================
M001543 Rlb.T. Close to poor people phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Rlb.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) poor people
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

==================================
M001544 Rlc. Close to Asian Americans FTF
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Rlc.

FTF:
ASIAN AMERICANS
CLOSE TO GROUP - ASIAN AMERICANS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

==================================
M001545 Rlc.T. Close to Asian americans phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric
R1c.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) Asian Americans
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

M001546 R1d. Close to liberals FTF
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Rld.

FTF:
LIBERALS
CLOSE TO GROUP - LIBERALS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R
0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

M001547 R1d.T. Close to liberals phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Rld.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) liberals
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

M001548 R1e. Close to elderly FTF
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

R1e.

FTF:
THE ELDERLY
CLOSE TO GROUP - THE ELDERLY

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R
0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

M001549 R1e.T. Close to elderly phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R1e.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) the elderly
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

M001550 R1f. Close to blacks FTF
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

R1f.

FTF:
BLACKS
CLOSE TO GROUP - BLACKS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R
0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

M001551    Rlf.T. Close to blacks phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Rlf.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) blacks
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

M001552    Rlg. Close to labor unions FTF
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Rlg.

FTF:
LABOR UNIONS

CLOSE TO GROUP - LABOR UNIONS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R
0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview
M001553 Rlg.T. Close to labor unions phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Rlg.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) labor unions
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

M001554 Rlh. Close to feminists FTF
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Rlh.

FTF:
FEMINISTS
CLOSE TO GROUP - FEMINISTS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R
0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

M001555 Rlh.T. Close to feminists phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Rlh.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) feminists
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic
although administered FTF.

1. Yes  
5. No  

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

--------------------
M001556    Rlj. Close to southerners FTF  
MD:  EQ 0  
Numeric  

Rlj.

FTF:

SOUTHERNERS

CLOSE TO GROUP - SOUTHERNERS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R  
5. Not mentioned by R  

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

--------------------
M001557    Rlj.T. Close to southerners phone  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
Numeric  

Rlj.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) southerners
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes  
5. No  

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

--------------------
M001558    Rlk. Close to business people FTF  
MD:  EQ 0  
Numeric
R1k.

**FTF:**
**BUSINESS PEOPLE**

**CLOSE TO GROUP - BUSINESS PEOPLE**

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R

0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

==============================
M001559    R1k.T. Close to business people phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R1k.T.

**TELEPHONE:**
(What about) business people
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

==============================
M001560    Rlm. Close to young people FTF
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Rlm.

**FTF:**
**YOUNG PEOPLE**

**CLOSE TO GROUP - YOUNG PEOPLE**

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R
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0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

==================================
M001561 R1m.T. Close to young people phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R1m.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) young people
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

==================================
M001562 R1n. Close to conservatives FTF
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

R1n.

FTF:
CONSERVATIVES
CLOSE TO GROUP - CONSERVATIVES

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R
0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

==================================
M001563 R1n.T. Close to conservatives phone
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R1n.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) conservatives
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)
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Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

----------
M001564  Rlp. Close to Hispanic-Americans FTF
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Rlp.

FTF:
HISPANIC-AMERICANS
CLOSE TO GROUP - HISPANIC-AMERICANS

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R
0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

----------
M001565  Rlp.T. Close to Hispanic-Americans ph
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2:  GE 8
Numeric

Rlp.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) Hispanic-Americans
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

----------
Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R
0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.
although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R
0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

M001569  Rlr.T. Close to working-class phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Rlr.T.

TELEPHONE:
(What about) working-class people
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

M001570  Rls .Close to middle-class FTF
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Rls.

FTF:
MIDDLE-CLASS PEOPLE
CLOSE TO GROUP - MIDDLE-CLASS PEOPLE

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R
0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

M001571  Rls.T. Close to middle-class phone
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Rls.T.
TELEPHONE:
(What about) middle-class people
(Do you feel particularly close to them?)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, FTF mode; no Post interview

-------------------------------
M001572    R1t. Close to men FTF
MD:  EQ 0
      Numeric

R1t.

      FTF:
      MEN

CLOSE TO GROUP - MEN
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Mentioned by R
5. Not mentioned by R
0. NA to entire question; INAP, Phone mode; no Post interview

-------------------------------
M001573    Rlt.T. Close to men phone
MD1:  EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
      Numeric

Rlt.T.

      TELEPHONE:
      What about) men
      (Do you feel particularly close to them?)
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: cases coded 7 in Post-Admin.1 (P000126) were administered in the wrong mode. In several of these cases, the IWR used phone logic although administered FTF.

1. Yes
5. No
8. DK
9. RF
R2a/R2a.T.

FTF:
Where would you rate whites in general on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate whites in general on a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4 indicates most whites are not closer to one end or the other.

HARDWORKING RATING - WHITES
---------------------------------------------------------------------
The order in which groups R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T were administered was randomized.

1. HARDWORKING
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. LAZY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

R2b/R2b.T.

FTF:
Still looking at page 8 of the booklet,
Where would you rate blacks on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate blacks on a scale of 1 to 7?
(where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4 indicates most blacks are not closer to one end or the other.)

HARDWORKING RATING - BLACKS
---------------------------------------------------------------------
The order in which groups R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T were administered was randomized.
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. HARDWORKING
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. LAZY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001576  R2c/R2c.T. Hardworking- Hispanic-America
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R2c/R2c.T.

Still looking at page 8 of the booklet, Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate:
Hispanic Americans on a scale of 1 to 7?
(where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4 indicates most Hispanic-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

HARDWORKING RATING - HISPANIC-AMERICANS

The order in which groups R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. HARDWORKING
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. LAZY
8. DK
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9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

============================================= 
M001577 R2d/R2d.T. Hardworking- Asian-American
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R2d/R2d.T.

FTF: 
Still looking at page 8 of the booklet,
Where would you rate Asian-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate Asian-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4 indicates most Asian-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

HARDWORKING RATING - ASIAN-AMERICANS

The order in which groups R2b-R2d/R2b.T-R2d.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. HARDWORKNG
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. LAZY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

============================================= 
M001578 R3a/R3a.T. Intelligence- whites
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R3a/R3a.T.

FTF: 
Where would you rate whites in general on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate whites on a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 indicates intelligent, 7 means unintelligent, and 4 indicates most whites are not closer to one end or the other.

Page 715
other.

INTELLIGENT RATING - WHITES
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. INTELLIGENT
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. UNINTELLIGENT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

==============================
M001579    R3b/R3b.T. Intelligence- blacks
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R3b/R3b.T.

PTF:
Still looking at page 9 of the booklet,
Where would you rate blacks on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate blacks on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where
1 indicates intelligent, 7 means unintelligent,
and 4 indicates most blacks are not closer to one end or
the other.)

INTELLIGENT RATING - BLACKS
---------------------------------------------------------------------

The order in which groups Rdb-Rdd/Rdb.T-Rdd.T were
administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g, for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INTELLIGENT
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. UNINTELLIGENT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview
AES2000to2004merged_var.txt

M001580  R3c/R3c.T. Intelligence- Hispanic-American
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R3c/R3c.T.

FTF:
Still looking at page 9 of the booklet,
Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where 1 indicates intelligent, 7 means unintelligent, and 4 indicates most Hispanic-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

INTELLIGENT RATING - HISPANIC-AMERICANS

The order in which groups Rdb-Rdd/Rdb.T-Rdd.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INTELLIGENT
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. UNINTELLIGENT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001581  R3d/R3d.T. Intelligence- Asian-American
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R3d/R3d.T.

FTF:
Still looking at page 9 of the booklet,
Where would you rate Asian-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate Asian-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where 1 indicates intelligent, 7 means unintelligent, and 4 indicates most Asian-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)
INTELLIGENT RATING - ASIAN-AMERICANS

The order in which groups Rdb-Rdd/Rdb.T-Rdd.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. INTELLIGENT
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. UNINTELLIGENT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001582    R4a/R4a.T. Trustworthy- whites
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R4a/R4a.T.

PTF: Where would you rate whites in general on this scale?

TELEPHONE: Where would you rate whites on a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 indicates trustworthy, 7 means untrustworthy, and 4 indicates most whites are not closer to one end or the other.

TRUSTWORTHY RATING - WHITES

1. TRUSTWORTHY
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. UNTRUSTWORTHY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001583    R4b/R4b.T. Trustworthy- blacks
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric
FTF:
(Still looking at page 10 of the booklet),
Where would you rate blacks on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate blacks on a scale of 1 to 7? (where
1 indicates trustworthy, 7 means untrustworthy, and 4
indicates most blacks are not closer to one end or the
other.)

TRUSTWORTHY RATING - BLACKS
---------------------------------------------------------------------
The order in which groups R4b-R4d/R4b.T-R4d.T were
administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization
variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization
variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TRUSTWORTHY
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. UNTRUSTWORTHY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

========================================
M001584  R4c/R4c.T. Trustworthy- Hispanic-America
        MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
        Numeric

R4c/R4c.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10 of the booklet),
Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on a scale of 1
to 7? (Where 1 indicates trustworthy, 7 means
untrustworthy, and 4 indicates most Hispanic-Americans
are not closer to one end or the other.)

TRUSTWORTHY RATING - HISPANIC-AMERICANS
---------------------------------------------------------------------
The order in which groups R4b-R4d/R4b.T-R4d.T were
Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TRUSTWORTHY
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. UNTRUSTWORTHY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001585 R4d/R4d.T. Trustworthy- Asian-American
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R4d/R4d.T.

FTF:
(Still looking at page 10 of the booklet),
Where would you rate Asian-Americans on this scale?

TELEPHONE:
Where would you rate Asian-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7? (Where 1 indicates trustworthy, 7 means untrustworthy, and 4 indicates most Asian-Americans are not closer to one end or the other.)

TRUSTWORTHY RATING - ASIAN-AMERICANS

The order in which groups R4b-R4d/R4b.T-R4d.T were administered was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. TRUSTWORTHY
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. UNTRUSTWORTHY
R5a. Independence or respect for elders
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

R5a.

Please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have:
INDEPENDENCE OR RESPECT FOR ELDERS

-----------------------------------------------
1. INDEPENDENCE
3. BOTH [VOL]
5. RESPECT FOR ELDERS
7. NEITHER [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

R5b.

(Please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have:)
OBEDIENCE OR SELF-RELIANCE

-----------------------------------------------
1. OBEDIENCE
3. BOTH [VOL]
5. SELF-RELIANCE
7. NEITHER [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

R5c.

(Please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have:)
CURIOSITY OR GOOD MANNERS

-----------------------------------------------
1. CURIOSITY
R5d. Considerate or well behaved
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

(Please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have):
BEING CONSIDERATE OR WELL BEHAVED

1. BEING CONSIDERATE
3. BOTH
5. WELL BEHAVED
7. NEITHER [VOL]

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

S1. Budget deficit lar/smal since 1992
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

As you know, Bill Clinton was first elected President in
November 1992. He will soon be leaving office after
8 years as President. The next several questions ask
whether you think things have changed since Clinton came
into office.
First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal
budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the
retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects
and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected
for the retrospective battery in the Post election
instrument they were assigned to administration in the
Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. GOTTEN SMALLER
3. GOTTEN LARGER --> SKIP TO S1b
5. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME --> SKIP TO S2

8. DK --> SKIP TO S2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post
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Sla.

IF BUDGET DEFICIT SMALLER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller or somewhat smaller?

1. MUCH SMALLER       --> SKIP TO S2
5. SOMEWHAT SMALLER   --> SKIP TO S2

Slb.

IF BUDGET DEFICIT LARGER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much larger or somewhat larger?

1. MUCH LARGER
5. SOMEWHAT LARGER
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S1

Slx.

First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same?
(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller or somewhat smaller?
(Would you say that the budget deficit is) much larger or somewhat larger?

SUMMARY: BUDGET DEFICIT SINCE 1992

Built from S1 and S2a/b.

1. Much smaller
2. Somewhat smaller
3. About the same
4. Somewhat larger
5. Much stronger

==================================
M001592a   S1x1. Summary pre & post - budget deficit
            MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
            Numeric

S1x1.

First, would you say that compared to 1992, the federal budget deficit is now smaller, larger, or about the same? (Would you say that the budget deficit is) much smaller or somewhat smaller? (Would you say that the budget deficit is) much larger or somewhat larger?

COMB SUMMARY: BUDGET DEFICIT SINCE 1992  
---------------------------------------------------------------

Built from Pre Q1s and Post S1x.

1. Much smaller
2. Somewhat smaller
3. About the same
4. Somewhat larger
5. Much stronger

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

==================================
M001593    S2. Spending on poor inc/dec since 92
            MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
            Numeric

S2.

Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as in 1992?

---------------------------------------------------------------

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. INCREASED
2. DECREASED
5. STAYED ABOUT THE SAME  --> SKIP TO S3

8. DK  --> SKIP TO S3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post
M001594    S2a. Spend poor a lot/somewhat more/less
          MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
          Numeric

S2a.

IF FEDERAL SPENDING ON THE POOR INCREASED SINCE 1992/
IF FEDERAL SPENDING ON THE POOR DECREASED SINCE 1992:

A lot or somewhat?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A LOT
5. SOMEWHAT
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S2

-------------------------------
M001595    S2x. Summary – spending on poor since 92
          MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
          Numeric

S2x.

Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been
increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as
in 1992? A little or a lot?

SUMMARY: FEDERAL ASSISTANCE TO THE POOR
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Increased a lot
2. Increased somewhat
3. Stayed about the same
4. Decreased somewhat
5. Decreased a lot
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

-------------------------------
M001595a   S2x1. Summary pre & post - spending on poor
           MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
           Numeric

S2x1.

Has federal spending on assistance to the poor been
increased, decreased, or has it stayed about the same as
in 1992? A little or a lot?
COMB. SUMMARY: FEDERAL ASSISTANCE TO THE POOR

Built from Pre Q2x and Post S2x.

1. Increased a lot
2. Increased somewhat
3. Stayed about the same
4. Decreased somewhat
5. Decreased a lot
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

M001596    S3. Economy better/worse since 1992
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S3.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's economy is better, worse, or about the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE       --> SKIP TO S3b
5. THE SAME    --> SKIP TO S4
8. DK          --> SKIP TO S4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

M001597    S3a. Economy much/somewhat better
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S3a.

IF U.S. ECONOMY BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER       --> SKIP TO S4
5. SOMewhat BETTER   --> SKIP TO S4
8. DK                --> SKIP TO S4
9. RF
S3b.

IF U.S. ECONOMY WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S3

S3x.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's economy is better, worse, or about the same?

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: ECONOMY SINCE 1992

Built from S3, S3a, S3b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. About the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

S3x1.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's economy is better, worse, or about the same?

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?
COMB. SUMMARY: ECONOMY SINCE 1992
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from Pre Q3x and Post S3x.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. About the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

=====================================================================
M001600  S4. Clinton made economy better/worse since 92
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S4.

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the
nation's economy better, made the economy worse,
or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?
=====================================================================
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the
retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects
and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected
for the retrospective battery in the Post election
instrument they were assigned to administration in the
Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. MADE THE ECONOMY BETTER
3. MADE THE ECONOMY WORSE     --> SKIP TO S4b
5. NO EFFECT                   --> SKIP TO S5

8. DK                          --> SKIP TO S5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview;  not administered in Post

=====================================================================
M001601  S4a. Clinton made econ much/somewhat better
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S4a.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. ECONOMY BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?
=====================================================================

1. MUCH BETTER     --> SKIP TO S5
5. SOMewhat BETTER --> SKIP TO S5
8. DK              --> SKIP TO S5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S4

M001602    S4b. Clinton made econ much/somewhat worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S4b.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. ECONOMY WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S4

M001603    S4x. Summary - Clinton made econ better/worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S4x.

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?
Much better or somewhat better?/
Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: CLINTON EFFECT ON ECONOMY
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from S4, S4a, S4b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No effect
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

M001603a   S4x1. Summary pre & post - Clinton made econ
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S4x1.

Since 1992, would you say President Clinton has made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse,
or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?
Much better or somewhat better?/
Much worse or somewhat worse?

COMB. SUMMARY: CLINTON EFFECT ON ECONOMY
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from Pre Q4x and Post S4x.

1. Much better  
2. Somewhat better  
3. No effect  
4. Somewhat worse  
5. Much worse  

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

=================================
M001604  S5. Clinton admin hurt/help R personally  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S5.

Have you personally been helped or have you been hurt economically by the Clinton Administration, or hasn't it affected you one way or the other?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. HELPED  
3. HURT  
5. NOT AFFECTED  

8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

=================================
M001604a  S5x. Clinton admin hurt/help R economically?  
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

S5x.

Have you personally been helped or have you been hurt economically by the Clinton Administration, or hasn't it affected you one way or the other?

Built from Pre Q5 and Post S5.
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. HELPED
3. HURT
5. NOT AFFECTED

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post interview

S6. Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much?

IF U.S. MORE SECURE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much more secure or somewhat more secure?

1. MUCH MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO S7
5. SOMETHAT MORE SECURE --> SKIP TO S7
s6b.

**IF U.S LESS SECURE SINCE 1992:**

(Would you say) much less secure or somewhat less secure?

---

1. MUCH LESS SECURE
5. SOMEWHAT LESS SECURE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S6

-------------------------------

m001608  S6x. Summary - U.S. security
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

s6x.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much?

(Would you say) much more secure or somewhat more secure?/
(Would you say) much less secure or somewhat less secure?

SUMMARY: U.S. SECURITY FROM FOREIGN ENEMIES

---

Built from S6, S6a, S6b.

1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. No change
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

-------------------------------

m001608a  S6x1. Summary pre & post - U.S. security
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

s6x1.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much?

(Would you say) much more secure or somewhat more secure?/
(Would you say) much less secure or somewhat less secure?

COMB. SUMMARY: U.S. SECURITY FROM FOREIGN ENEMIES
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Built from Pre Q6x and Post S6x.

1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. No change
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

-----------------------------
M001609   S7. Clinton made U.S. more/less secure
          MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
          Numeric

S7.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. MORE SECURE
3. LESS SECURE  --> SKIP TO S7b
5. NO CHANGE   --> SKIP TO S8

8. DK         --> SKIP TO S8
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

-----------------------------
M001610   S7a. Clinton made much/smwyht more secure
          MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
          Numeric

S7a.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORE SECURE:

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?

1. MUCH MORE SECURE  --> SKIP TO S8
5. SOMewhat MORE SECURE  --> SKIP TO S8

8. DK       --> SKIP TO S8
9. RF
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M001611    S7b. Clinton made much/smewhat less secure
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S7b.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. LESS SECURE:

Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

1. MUCH LESS SECURE
5. SOMEWHAT LESS SECURE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S7

M001612    S7x. Summ-Clinton impact on U.S. security
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S7x.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?
Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

SUMMARY: CLINTON ADMINISTRATION EFFECT ON U.S. SECURITY

Built from S7, S7a, S7b.

1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. No change
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

M001612a   S7x1. Summ pre & post- Clinton impact on secur
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S7x1.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies,
less secure, or hasn't it made much difference either way?
Much more secure or somewhat more secure?
Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

COMB. SUMMARY: CLINTON ADMINISTRATION EFFECT ON U.S. SECURITY

Built from Pre Q7x and Post S7x.

1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. No change
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

M001613  S8. U.S. crime rate better/wors since 92
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S8.
Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO S8b
5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO S9

8. DK --> SKIP TO S9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

M001614  S8a. U.S. crime rate much/smwht better
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S8a.
IF U.S. CRIME RATE BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S9
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO S9
8. DK --> SKIP TO S9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S8

==============================
M001615 S8b. U.S. crime rate much/smwht worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S8b.

IF U.S. CRIME RATE WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S8

==============================
M001616 S8x. Summary - U.S. crime rate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S8x.

Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same?
(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: U.S. CRIME RATE SINCE 1992
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from S8, S8a, S8b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

==============================
M001616a S8x1. Summary pre & post - U.S. crime rate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Page 736
Would you say that compared to 1992 the nation's crime rate has gotten better, gotten worse, or stayed about the same? 
(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

COMB. SUMMARY: U.S. CRIME RATE SINCE 1992
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from Pre Q8x and Post S8x.
1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

M001617 S9. Clinton made crime rate better/worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S9.
Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO S9b
5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO S10
8. DK --> SKIP TO S10
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post

M001618 S9a. Clinton made crime rate much/smght bettr
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S9a.
IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE BETTER:
Much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S10
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO S10
8. DK --> SKIP TO S10
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S9

M001619  S9b. Clinton made crime rate much/smwhrt worse
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S9b.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S.S CRIME RATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S9

M001620  S9x. Summ-Clinton impact on crime rate
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S9x.

Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Much better or somewhat better?
Much worse or somewhat worse?
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON CRIME RATE

Built from S9, S9a, S9b.
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW
Would you say that the Clinton administration has made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way? Much better or somewhat better? Much worse or somewhat worse?

0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

COMB. SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON CRIME RATE

Built from Pre Q9x and Post S9x.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
0. NA; INAP, administered in Pre; no Post IW

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO S10b
5. THE SAME --> SKIP TO S11
8. DK --> SKIP TO S11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post
M001622  S10a. Moral climate much/somewhat better
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S10a.

IF U.S. MORAL CLIMATE BETTER SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?
-----------------------------------------------

1. MUCH BETTER   --> SKIP TO S11
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO S11
8. DK           --> SKIP TO S11
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S10

==============================================
M001623  S10b. Moral climate much/somewhat worse
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S10b.

IF U.S. MORAL CLIMATE WORSE SINCE 1992:

(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?
-----------------------------------------------

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S10

==============================================
M001624  S10x. Summary - moral climate since 92
Numeric

S10x.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?
(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: U.S. MORAL CLIMATE SINCE 1992
---------------------------------------------------

Built from S10, S10a, S10b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
S10x1.

Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's moral climate has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?
(Would you say) much better or somewhat better?/
(Would you say) much worse or somewhat worse?

COMB. SUMMARY: U.S. MORAL CLIMATE SINCE 1992

Built from Pre Q10x and Post S10x.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. Stayed about the same
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

S11.

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the retrospective battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO S11b
5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO S14
8. DK --> SKIP TO S14
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in Post
S11a.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORAL CLIMATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO S14
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO S14
8. DK --> SKIP TO S14
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S11

S11b.

IF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORAL CLIMATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S11

S11x.

Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?

Much better or somewhat better?/
Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON MORAL CLIMATE

Built from S11, S11a, S11b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No difference
S11x1. Has the Clinton administration made the nation's moral climate better, worse, or hasn't it made much difference either way?  
Much better or somewhat better?
Much worse or somewhat worse?

COMB. SUMMARY: EFFECT OF CLINTON ADMINISTRATION ON MORAL CLIMATE
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from Pre Q11x and Post S11x.
1. Much better  
2. Somewhat better  
3. No difference  
4. Somewhat worse  
5. Much worse  
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

S14a. (Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
ANGRY

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.  
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of Page 743
the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO  --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK  --> SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

 M001629a  S14ax. Summ pre & post Clinton - angry
     MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
     Numeric

 (Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
 ANGRY

 COMB.PRE/POST: CLINTON AFFECT - ANGRY

 Built from Pre Q14a and Post S14a.

1. YES
5. NO  --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

 M001630  S14a1. How often Clinton makes R angry
     MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
     Numeric

 IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R ANGRY:

 How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S14a
M001630a   S14a1. Summ pre & post - how often angry
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S14a1x.

How often would you say you've felt angry -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

COMB. PRE/POST: HOW OFTEN CLINTEN AFFECT - ANGRY
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from Pre Q14a1 and Post S14a1.

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

M001631    S14b. Clinton makes R hopeful
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S14b.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
HOPEFUL
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa. The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post
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S14bx.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
HOPEFUL

COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON AFFECT - HOPEFUL

Built from Pre Q14b and Post S14b.
1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

S14b1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R HOPEFUL:

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S14b

S14blx.

How often would you say you've felt hopeful -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

COMB. PRE/POST: HOW OFTEN CLINTON AFFECT - HOPEFUL
1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

M001633  S14c. Clinton makes R afraid
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S14c.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
AFRAID

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO   --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK   --> SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

M001633a  S14cx. Summ pre & post Clinton - afraid
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S14cx.

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel:)
AFRAID
COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON AFFECT - AFRAID

Built from Pre Q14c and Post S14c.

1. YES
5. NO  --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

M001634  S15c1. How often Clinton makes R afraid
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S14c1.

IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R AFRAID:

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very
often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5,8,9,0 in S14c

M001634a  S14c1x. Summ pre & post - how often afraid
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S14c1x.

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very
often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

COMB. PRE/POST: HOW OFTEN CLINTON AFFECT - AFRAID

Built from Pre Q14c1 and Post S14c1.

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW
S14d. Clinton makes R proud

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel;)
PROUD

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa. The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK --> SKIP to next affect
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

S14dx. Summ pre & post Clinton - proud

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

(Has Bill Clinton -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel;)
PROUD

COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON AFFECT - PROUD

Built from Pre Q14d and Post S14d.

1. YES
5. NO --> SKIP to next affect
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW
S14d1.

**IF R SAYS CLINTON HAS MADE R AFRAID:**

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in S14d

S14dlx.

How often would you say you've felt afraid -- very often, fairly often, occasionally, or rarely?

**COMB. PRE/POST: HOW OFTEN CLINTON AFFECT - PROUD**

Built from Pre Q14d1 and Post S14d1.

1. VERY OFTEN
2. FAIRLY OFTEN
3. OCCASIONALLY
4. RARELY

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

S15a.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.

In your opinion, does the phrase "he is <trait>?" describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

M001637a  S15ax. Summ pre & post-Clinton trait - moral
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S15ax.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase "he is <trait>?" describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

COMB. PRE/POST: CLINTON TRAIT - MORAL

Built from Pre Q15a and Post S15a.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW
Please look at page 4 of the booklet. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]

REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa. The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post
COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - CARES

Built from Pre Q15b and Post S15b.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

M001639  S15c. Clinton trait - knowledgeable
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S15c.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase "he is <trait>?" describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]}

KNOWLEDGEABLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post
S15cx.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - KNOWLEDGEABLE

Built from Pre Q15c and Post S15c.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

S15d.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]

PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.

The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the
collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

S15dx.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]}

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - LEADERSHIP
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from Pre Q15d and Post S15d.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

S15e.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]}
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa. The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

M001641a  S15ex. Summ pre & post-Clinton dishonest
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S15ex.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - DISHONEST

Built from Pre Q15e and Post S15e.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW
Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase "he is <trait>?" describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]}

INTELLIGENT
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa.
The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post
Built from Pre Q15f and Post S15f.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

==============================

M001643    S15g. Clinton trait - out of touch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

S15g.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase "he is <trait>?" describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]

OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Respondents were randomly assigned either to the retrospective assessments battery (S1-S11) or the affects and traits battery (S14-S15). If R did not get selected for the affects/traits battery in the Post election instrument they were assigned to administration in the Pre (Q1-Q11) and vice versa. The order in which Clinton affects were asked was randomized.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

==============================

M001643a   S15gx. Summ pre & post-Clinton out of touch
Please look at page 4 of the booklet. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe Bill Clinton extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]

COMB. PRE/POST: CLITON TRAIT - OUT OF TOUCH
--------------------------------------------------

Built from Pre Q15g and Post S15g.

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, selected for Post administration and no Post IW

-------------------------------
M001644 T1. Did R watch a Pres debate on tv
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

T1.

Did you watch a televised presidential debate between Al Gore and George W. Bush?

-------------------------------

1. YES, WATCHED --> SKIP TO T1a
5. NO, DIDN'T WATCH --> SKIP TO T2
8. DK --> SKIP TO T2
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; not administered in the Post

-------------------------------
M001645 T1a. Did R watch an entire or just part of debate
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

T1a.

IF R WATCHED PRESIDENTIAL DEBATE:

Did you watch an entire debate or just part of it?

-------------------------------

1. WATCHED ENTIRE DEBATE
5. JUST PART OF IT
T2.

Did you listen to any speeches or discussions about the campaign on the radio?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES --> SKIP TO T2a
5. NO --> SKIP TO T3
8. DK --> SKIP TO T3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

T2a.

IF R LISTENED TO RADIO CAMPAIGN PROGRAMS:

Would you say you listened to a good many, several, or just one or two?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. A GOOD MANY
3. SEVERAL
5. JUST ONE OR TWO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in T2

T3/T3.T.

FTF:
Looking at page 12 in the booklet:
In general, how much attention did you pay to news about the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:
In general, how much attention did you pay to news about
the campaign for President -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

1. A GREAT DEAL
2. QUITE A BIT
3. SOME
4. VERY LITTLE
5. NONE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001649  T4/T4.T. How much attention to Cong campaign news
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

T4/T4.T.

FTF:
(Still on page 12 in the booklet)
In general, how much attention did you pay to news about the campaigns for election to Congress -- that is, the House of Representatives in Washington -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

TELEPHONE:
In general, how much attention did you pay to news about the campaigns for election to Congress -- that is, the House of Representatives in Washington -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?

1. A GREAT DEAL
2. QUITE A BIT
3. SOME
4. VERY LITTLE
5. NONE

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001650  T5/T5.T. Two parties, no labels, or new party
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

T5/T5.T.

FTF:
Looking at page 13 of the booklet:
Here is a question about political parties.
Which of the following outcomes regarding political parties best represents what you would like to see happen? You can just tell me the number of the outcome you choose.
TELEPHONE:
Which one of the following outcomes regarding political parties best represents what you would like to see happen?
ONE, a continuation of the two party system of Democrats and Republicans.
TWO, elections in which candidates run as individuals without party labels or
THREE, the growth of one or more parties that could effectively challenge the Democrats and Republicans?

1. A CONTINUATION OF THE TWO PARTY SYSTEM OF DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS?
2. ELECTIONS IN WHICH CANDIDATES RUN AS INDIVIDUALS WITHOUT PARTY LABELS?
3. THE GROWTH OF ONE OR MORE PARTIES THAT COULD EFFECTIVELY CHALLENGE THE DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS?

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

On the whole, are you satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in the United States?

This variable was added 11/10/2000.

1. SATISFIED
2. FAIRLY SATISFIED
3. NOT VERY SATISFIED
4. NOT AT ALL SATISFIED

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview; interview administered before question added

As you will remember, former President George Bush (George W. Bush's father) was elected in November 1988. The next several questions ask you to think about his four years as President.
Would you say President Bush made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other?

1. MADE THE ECONOMY BETTER
2. MADE THE ECONOMY WORSE
3. NO EFFECT
4. DK ANYTHING ABOUT BUSH SR. [VOL.]
5. NO EFFECT
6. DK
7. RF
8. NA; INAP, no Post interview

V1a. How much better Bush made economy
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

IF FORMER PRESIDENT BUSH MADE ECONOMY BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER
2. SOMEWHAT BETTER
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in V1

V1b. How much worse Bush made economy
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

IF FORMER PRESIDENT BUSH MADE ECONOMY WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
2. SOMEWHAT WORSE
3. DK
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 7, 8, 9, 0 in V1

V1x. Summary: Bush effect on economy
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

V1x.
Would you say President Bush made the nation's economy better, made the economy worse, or had no effect on the economy one way or the other? Much better or somewhat better? Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF FORMER PRESIDENT BUSH ON 1988-1992 ECONOMY
---------------------------------------------------------------

Built from V1, V1a, V1b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No effect
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post IW

M001656  V2. Bush made US more secure
         MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
         Numeric

V2.

Would you say that the Bush administration made the United States more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or didn't it make much difference either way?
---------------------------------------------------------------

1. MORE SECURE
3. LESS SECURE    --> SKIP TOV2b
5. NO DIFFERENCE  --> SKIP TO V3

8. DK            --> SKIP TO V3
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

M001657  V2a. Bush made US how much more secure
         MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
         Numeric

V2a.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORE SECURE:

Much more secure or somewhat more secure?
---------------------------------------------------------------

1. MUCH MORE SECURE    --> SKIP TO V3
5. SOMewhat more secure --> SKIP TO V3

8. DK            --> SKIP TO V3
9. RF
IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. LESS SECURE:

Much less secure or somewhat less secure?

1. MUCH LESS SECURE
5. SOMewhat LESS SECURE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V2

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF FORMER PRES BUSH ON U.S. SECURITY 1988-1992

Built from V2, V2a, V2b.

1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. No difference
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post IW

Would you say that the Bush administration made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or didn't it make much difference either way?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF FORMER PRES BUSH ON U.S. SECURITY 1988-1992

Built from V2, V2a, V2b.

1. Much more secure
2. Somewhat more secure
3. No difference
4. Somewhat less secure
5. Much less secure
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post IW
much difference either way?

1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO V3b
5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO V4
8. DK --> SKIP TO V4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

M001661 V3a. Bush made crime rate how much better
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

V3a.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO V4
5. SOMEWHAT BETTER --> SKIP TO V4
8. DK --> SKIP TO V4
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V3

M001662 V3b. Bush made crime rate how much worse
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

V3b.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. CRIME RATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V3

M001663 V3x. Summary: Bush effect on crime
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

V3x.

Would you say that the Bush administration made the nation's crime rate better, worse, or didn't it make much difference either way?

Built from V3, V3a, V3b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

M001664 V4. Bush effect on moral climate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

V4.

Did the Bush administration make the nation's moral climate better, worse, or didn't it make much difference either way?

1. BETTER
3. WORSE --> SKIP TO V4b
5. NO DIFFERENCE --> SKIP TO V5
8. DK --> SKIP TO V5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

M001665 V4a. Bush moral climate how much better
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

V4a.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORAL CLIMATE BETTER:

Much better or somewhat better?

1. MUCH BETTER --> SKIP TO V5
5. SOMewhat BETTER --> SKIP TO V5
8. DK --> SKIP TO V5
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 3, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V4

M001666 V4b. Bush moral climate how much worse
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V4b.

IF FORMER BUSH ADMINISTRATION MADE U.S. MORAL CLIMATE WORSE:

Much worse or somewhat worse?

1. MUCH WORSE
5. SOMEWHAT WORSE
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 5, 8, 9, 0 in V4

M001667 V4x. Summary: Bush effect on moral climate
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

V4x.

Did the Bush administration make the nation's moral climate better, worse, or didn't it make much difference either way?
Much better or somewhat better?/
Much worse or somewhat worse?

SUMMARY: EFFECT OF FORMER PRES BUSH ON MORAL CLIMATE 1988-1992
Bult from V4, V4a, V4b.

1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. No difference
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post IW

M001668 V5a. Bush trait - moral
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

V5a.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])
[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

==============================================
M001669  V5b. Bush trait - cares about people
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

V5b.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase "he is <trait>?" describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU

==============================================

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]
Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

========================================
M001670    V5c. Bush trait - knowledgeable
  MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
  Numeric

V5c.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

KNOWLEDGEABLE
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

  1. EXTREMELY WELL
  2. QUITE WELL
  3. NOT TOO WELL
  4. NOT WELL AT ALL

========================================
M001671    V5d. Bush trait - strong leadership
  MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
  Numeric

V5d.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by
"Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

-----------------------------------------------
M001672  V5e. Bush trait - dishonest
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

V5e.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all? (What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?]}

DISHONEST

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

-----------------------------------------------
M001673  V5f. Bush trait - intelligent
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

V5f.

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe former President George Bush extremely well,
Quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

INTELLIGENT
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

=================================
M001674 V5g. Bush trait - out of touch
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Please look at page 4 of the booklet.
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is <trait>?' describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
(What about <trait>? [Does this phrase describe former President George Bush extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?])

OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE
---------------------------------------------------------------------

[DO NOT PROBE "DK"]

Bush Sr. traits were asked in random order.

Randomization: Randomization is documented within the collection of randomization variables that appear at the end of the Pre survey vars. The question numbers for randomization variables closely follow survey question numbers, preceded by "Rand" [e.g. for questions A4/A5 there is a randomization variable Rand.A4/A5].

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 7 in V1; no Post interview

==================================
M001675  Y5. Is R currently working
        MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
        Numeric

Y5.

Are you currently working?

1. YES   --> Y7
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

==================================
M001676a  Y6a. Why is R not working, reason 1
        MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
        Numeric

Y6a.

IF R NOT CURRENTLY WORKING:

Please look at page 14 of the booklet.
We'd like to know if you are temporarily laid off, or
are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a
homemaker, a student, or what?

MENTION 1

[MULTIPLE MENTIONS; IF TLO AND RETIRED, GO TO Y10; IF
RETIRED AND ANY OTHER COMBINATION(S) EXCEPT TLO GO TO Y15;
COMBINATIONS NOT INCLUDING RETIRED OR TLO SKIP TO Z1]

1. TEMPORARILY LAID OFF --> SKIP TO Y10 IF TLO ONLY
2. UNEMPLOYED   --> SKIP TO Z1 IF UNEMPLOYED ONLY
3. RETIRED       --> SKIP TO Y15 IF RETIRED ONLY
4. PERMANENTLY DISABLED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF DISABLED ONLY
5. HOMEMAKER    --> SKIP TO Z1 IF HOMEMAKER ONLY
6. STUDENT      --> SKIP TO Z1 IF STUDENT ONLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5

==================================
M001676b  Y6b. Why is R not working, reason 2
        MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
        Numeric
Y6b.

IF R NOT CURRENTLY WORKING:

Please look at page 14 of the booklet.
We'd like to know if you are temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

MENTION 2

[MULTIPLE MENTIONS; IF TLO AND RETIRED, GO TO Y10; IF RETIRED AND ANY OTHER COMBINATION(S) EXCEPT TLO GO TO Y15; COMBINATIONS NOT INCLUDING RETIRED OR TLO SKIP TO Z1]

1. TEMPORARILY LAID OFF --> SKIP TO Y10 IF TLO ONLY
2. UNEMPLOYED           --> SKIP TO Z1 IF UNEMPLOYED ONLY
3. RETIRED              --> SKIP TO Y15 IF RETIRED ONLY
4. PERMANENTLY DISABLED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF DISABLED ONLY
5. HOMEMAKER            --> SKIP TO Z1 IF HOMEMAKER ONLY
6. STUDENT              --> SKIP TO Z1 IF STUDENT ONLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; no further mention

Y6c.

IF R NOT CURRENTLY WORKING:

Please look at page 14 of the booklet.
We'd like to know if you are temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, a homemaker, a student, or what?

MENTION 3

[MULTIPLE MENTIONS; IF TLO AND RETIRED, GO TO Y10; IF RETIRED AND ANY OTHER COMBINATION(S) EXCEPT TLO GO TO Y15; COMBINATIONS NOT INCLUDING RETIRED OR TLO SKIP TO Z1]

1. TEMPORARILY LAID OFF --> SKIP TO Y10 IF TLO ONLY
2. UNEMPLOYED           --> SKIP TO Z1 IF UNEMPLOYED ONLY
3. RETIRED              --> SKIP TO Y15 IF RETIRED ONLY
4. PERMANENTLY DISABLED --> SKIP TO Z1 IF DISABLED ONLY
5. HOMEMAKER            --> SKIP TO Z1 IF HOMEMAKER ONLY
6. STUDENT              --> SKIP TO Z1 IF STUDENT ONLY

8. DK
9. RF
0. INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; no further mention
M001677  Y7. Workers: How satisfied is R with work  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y7.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

On the whole, how satisfied are you with the work you do? Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?

1. VERY SATISFIED  
2. SOMEWHAT SATISFIED  
3. SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED  
4. VERY DISSATISFIED  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5

M001678  Y7a. WRKS: Does R spend work time with people  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y7a.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

In your current position, do you spend time during the day with other people or are you pretty much by yourself?

1. WITH OTHER PEOPLE  
5. OR BY YOURSELF  
8. DON'T KNOW  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5

M001679  Y8. WRKS: Rs coworkers looking out for themselves  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Y8.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

I'm going to ask you a few questions about the people you regularly see at work. In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they are just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?
1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER

7. R INSISTS [VOL]: NOT APPROPRIATE TO WORK SITUATION ---> 21

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5

==============================

M001680 Y8a. WRKS: Do Rs coworkers try to take advantage
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y8a.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

Would you say those people you see regularly at work try
to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5; 7 in Y8

==============================

M001681 Y8b. WRKS: Do Rs coworkers treat others with respect
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y8b.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

(Again, thinking about those people you see regularly at work) Would you say that they treat others with respect
all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
M001682 Y8c. WRKS: Does honest describe Rs coworkers
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y8c.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

Would you say that honest describes the people you work with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

1. EXTREMELY WELL --> Z1
2. QUITE WELL --> Z1
3. NOT TOO WELL --> Z1
4. NOT WELL AT ALL --> Z1
5. DON'T KNOW --> Z1
6. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5; 7 in Y8

M001683 Y8d. WRKS: Racial diversity of Rs coworkers
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y8d.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING:

Thinking about the diversity of your workplace, are the people who work where you work all White, mostly white, about half and half, mostly Black, or all Black?

1. ALL WHITE
2. MOSTLY WHITE
3. ABOUT HALF AND HALF
4. MOSTLY BLACK
5. ALL BLACK
6. R VOLUNTEERS: OTHER (SPECIFY)
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Y5; 7 in Y8

M001684 Y10. Laid off: Does R spend days alone/with others
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y10.
When you are working, do you spend time during the day with other people or are you pretty much by yourself?

1. WITH OTHER PEOPLE
5. OR BY YOURSELF
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c

---

I'm going to ask you a few questions about the people you regularly see at work. In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they are just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
7. R INSISTS [VOL]: WAS NOT APPROPRIATE TO WORK SITUATION ---> Z1
8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c

---

Would you say those people you see regularly at work try to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c; 7 in Y11

Y11b.

IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:

(Again, thinking about those people you see regularly at work) Would you say that they treat others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c; 7 in Y11

Y11c.

IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:

Would you say that honest describes the people you work with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

1. EXTREMELY WELL --> 21
2. QUITE WELL --> 21
3. NOT TOO WELL --> 21
4. NOT WELL AT ALL --> 21

8. DON'T KNOW --> 21
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; unemployed, disabled, homemaker or student and not also retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c; 7 in Y11
Y15. Retired: Does R spend days alone/with others

If R is retired:

Thinking about your last job, did you spend time during the day with other people or were you pretty much by yourself?

1. WITH OTHER PEOPLE
2. OR BY YOURSELF
3. DON'T KNOW
4. RF
5. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c

Y16. Ret: Rs coworker look out for themselves

If R is retired:

For these next few questions please continue thinking about your last job. I'm going to ask you about the people you saw regularly at work. In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they were just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
6. R INSISTS [VOL]: WAS NOT APPROPRIATE TO WORK SITUATION --> Z1
7. DON'T KNOW
8. RF
9. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c

Y16a. Ret: Did Rs coworkers try to take advantage

If R is retired:
Would you say those people you saw regularly at work tried to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c; 7 in Y16

M001692 Y16b. RET: Were Rs coworkers respectful
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y16b.

IF R IS RETIRED:

(Again, thinking about those people you saw regularly at work) Would you say that they treated others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER

8. DON'T KNOW
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 9, 0 in Y5; not retired in Y6a, Y6b, Y6c; 7 in Y16

M001693 Y16c. RET: Does honest describe Rs coworkers
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y16c.

IF R IS RETIRED:

Would you say that honest described the people you worked with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
M001694    Y17x. Summary: R work with others
 MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

Y17x.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /  
IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /  
IF R IS RETIRED:

In your current position, do you spend time during the
day with other people or are you pretty much by
yourself?/
When you are working, do you spend time during the day
with other people or are you pretty much by yourself?/
Thinking about your last job, did you spend time during
the day with other people or were you pretty much by
yourself?

SUMMARY: R WORK WITH OTHERS
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1  WITH OTHER PEOPLE
5  OR BY YOURSELF

M001695    Y18x. Summary: co-workers look out for selves
 MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
 Numeric

Y18x.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /  
IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /  
IF R IS RETIRED:

In general, with these people in mind, would you say
that they are just looking out for themselves all of the
time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever,
or never?/
In general, with these people in mind, would you say
that they are just looking out for themselves all of the
time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever,
or never?/
In general, with these people in mind, would you say
that they were just looking out for themselves all of
the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever,
or never?

SUMMARY: CO-WORKERS LOOK OUT FOR SELVES
---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
7. R INSISTS [VOL]: WAS NOT APPROPRIATE TO WORK SITUATION --> Z1
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; no Post IW

M001696  Y18ax. Summary: co-workers try to take advantage
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y18ax.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /
IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /
IF R IS RETIRED:

Would you say those people you see regularly at work try to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?/
Would you say those people you see regularly at work try to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?/
Would you say those people you saw regularly at work tried to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

SUMMARY: CO-WORKERS TAKE ADVANTAGE
---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; 7 in Y18x; no Post IW

M001697  Y18bx. Summary: co-workers treat others w/respect
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y18bx.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /
IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /
IF R IS RETIRED:
(Again, thinking about those people you see regularly at work) Would you say that they treat others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?/

SUMMARY: CO-WORKERS TREAT OTHERS WITH RESPECT

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; 7 in Y18x;
no Post IW

M001698 Y18cx. Summary: co-workers honest
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Y18cx.

IF R CURRENTLY WORKING /
IF R TEMPORARILY LAID OFF /
IF R IS RETIRED:

Would you say that honest describes the people you work with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?/
Would you say that honest describes the people you work with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?/
Would you say that honest described the people you worked with extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

SUMMARY: CO-WORKERS HONEST

1. EXTREMELY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 8,9,NA in Y5; 2,4,5,6,8,9 in Y6a/Y6b/Y6c; 7 in Y18x;
Now let's shift our attention to another area. From time to time, people discuss government, elections and politics with other people. I'd like to ask you about the people with whom you discuss these matters. These people might or might not be relatives. Can you think of anyone?

R IDENTIFIES NAME 1

1. YES
5. NO --> Z25
8. DK --> Z25
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

Is there anyone else you talk with about these matters?

R IDENTIFIES NAME 2

1. YES
5. NO --> Z9
8. DK --> Z9
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

Is there anyone else (you talk with about these matters)?

R IDENTIFIES NAME 3

1. YES
5. NO --> Z9
M001702  Z7. Person R discusses politics with: name 4
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z7.

Is there anyone else (you talk with about these matters)?

R IDENTIFIES NAME 4

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

1. YES
5. NO  --> Z9

M001703  Z9. Is name 1 relative
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z9.

Is <NAME 1> a spouse or partner, other relative, or unrelated to you by blood or marriage?

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

1. SPOUSE OR PARTNER (A PARTNER IS LIKE A SPOUSE, BUT WITHOUT BEING MARRIED)  --> SKIP TO Z10
3. OTHER RELATIVE, OR  --> SKIP TO Z9a
5. UNRELATED BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE  --> SKIP TO Z9a

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

M001704  Z9a. Is name 1 male or female
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z9a.

IF NECESSARY: Is <NAME 1> male or female?

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

1. MALE  --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z10
5. FEMALE  --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z10

8. DK
9. RF
M001705  Z9b. Is name 1 coworker  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Z9b.

IF NAME 1 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Is <NAME 1> a co-worker?  

1. YES  
5. NO

8. DK  
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z9

M001706  Z9c. Does name 1 go to church with R  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Z9c.

IF NAME 1 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Do you and <NAME 1> go to the same place of worship?  

1. YES  
5. NO

8. DK  
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z9

M001707  Z9d. Is name 1 a neighbor  
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
Numeric

Z9d.

IF NAME 1 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Does <NAME 1> live in your neighborhood?  

1. YES  
5. NO

8. DK  
9. RF

0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z9
M001708  Z10. How often does R discuss politics w/name 1
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z10.

When you talk with <NAME 1>, do you discuss political matters...often, sometimes, rarely, or never?

1. OFTEN
3. SOMETIMES
5. RARELY
7. NEVER
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

M001709  Z11. How much does name 1 know about politics
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z11.

Generally speaking, how much do you think <NAME 1> knows about politics? Would you say:

1. A GREAT DEAL
3. AN AVERAGE AMOUNT
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL
8. DK - DON'T PROBE
9. RF - DON'T PROBE
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

M001710  Z12. How name 1 voted in election
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
Numeric

Z12.

I have another question about the first person you have named. How do you think <NAME 1> voted in the election? Do you think he/she voted for Al Gore, George Bush, some other candidate, or do you think <NAME 1> didn't vote?

1. AL GORE
3. GEORGE W BUSH
5. SOME OTHER CANDIDATE (SPECIFY)
7. DIDN'T VOTE
8. INELIGIBLE TO VOTE [VOL]
M001711 Z13. Is name 2 relative
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z13.

Is <NAME 2> a spouse or partner, other relative, or unrelated to you by blood or marriage?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. SPOUSE OR PARTNER (A PARTNER IS LIKE A SPOUSE, BUT WITHOUT BEING MARRIED) --> SKIP TO Z14
3. OTHER RELATIVE, OR --> SKIP TO Z13a
5. UNRELATED BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE --> SKIP TO Z13a

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

M001712 Z13a. Is name 2 male or female
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z13a.

IF NECESSARY: Is <NAME 2> male or female?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. MALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z14
5. FEMALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z14

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

M001713 Z13b. Is name 2 coworker
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z13b.

IF NAME 2 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Is <NAME 2> a co-worker?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z13
Z13c. Does name 2 go to church with R

MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z13c.

IF NAME 2 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Do you and <NAME 2> go to the same place of worship?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z13

Z13d. Is name 2 a neighbor

MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z13d.

IF NAME 2 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Does <NAME 2> live in your neighborhood?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z13

Z14. How often does R discuss politics w/name 2

MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z14.

When you talk with <NAME 2>, do you discuss political matters...often, sometimes, rarely, or never?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
1. OFTEN
3. SOMETIMES
5. RARELY
7. NEVER
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3
Z15. Generally speaking, how much do you think <NAME 2> knows about politics? Would you say:

1. A GREAT DEAL
3. AN AVERAGE AMOUNT, OR
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL

8. DK - DON'T PROBE
9. RF - DON'T PROBE
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

Z16. Do you think <NAME 2> voted for Al Gore, George Bush, some other candidate, or do you think he/she didn't vote?)

1. AL GORE
3. GEORGE W BUSH
5. SOME OTHER CANDIDATE [SPECIFY]
7. DIDN'T VOTE
8. INELIGIBLE TO VOTE [VOL]

98. DK - DON'T PROBE
99. RF - DON'T PROBE
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z3

Z17. Is <NAME 3> a spouse or partner, other relative, or unrelated to you by blood or marriage?

1. SPOUSE OR PARTNER (A PARTNER IS LIKE A SPOUSE, BUT WITHOUT BEING MARRIED) --> SKIP TO Z18
3. OTHER RELATIVE, OR --> SKIP TO Z17a
5. UNRELATED BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE --> SKIP TO Z17a

8. DK
9. RF
M001720  Z17a. Is name 3 male or female  
    MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
    Numeric  

Z17a.

IF NECESSARY: Is <NAME 3> male or female?  

1. MALE      --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z18  
5. FEMALE    --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z18  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z5  

M001721  Z17b. Is name 3 coworker  
    MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
    Numeric  

Z17b.

IF NAME 3 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:  

Is <NAME 3> a co-worker?  

1. YES  
5. NO  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z17  

M001722  Z17c. Does name 3 go to church with R  
    MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8  
    Numeric  

Z17c.

IF NAME 3 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:  

Do you and <NAME 3> go to the same place of worship?  

1. YES  
5. NO  
8. DK  
9. RF  
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z17  

M001723  Z17d. Is name 3 a neighbor
Z17d.

IF NAME 3 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Does <NAME 3> live in your neighborhood?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z17

M001724  Z18. How often does R discuss politics w/name 3
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z18.

When you talk with <NAME 3>, do you discuss political matters...often, sometimes, rarely, or never?

1. OFTEN
3. SOMETIMES
5. RARELY, OR
7. NEVER

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z25

M001725  Z19. How much does name 3 know about politics
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z19.

Generally speaking, how much do you think <NAME 3> knows about politics? Would you say:

1. A GREAT DEAL
3. AN AVERAGE AMOUNT, OR
5. NOT MUCH AT ALL

8. DK - DON’T PROBE
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z25

M001726  Z20. How name 3 voted in election
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
Z20.

Do you think <NAME 3> voted for Al Gore, George Bush, some other candidate, or do you think fill he/she didn't vote?)

1. AL GORE
3. GEORGE W BUSH
5. SOME OTHER CANDIDATE (SPECIFY) [SPECIFY]
7. DIDN'T VOTE
8. INELIGIBLE TO VOTE [VOL]

98. DK - DON'T PROBE
99. RF - DON'T PROBE
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

Z21.

Is <NAME 4> a spouse or partner, other relative, or unrelated to you by blood or marriage?

1. SPOUSE OR PARTNER (A PARTNER IS LIKE A SPOUSE, BUT WITHOUT BEING MARRIED) --> SKIP TO Z22
3. OTHER RELATIVE, OR --> SKIP TO Z21a
5. UNRELATED BY BLOOD OR MARRIAGE --> SKIP TO Z21a

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z7

Z21a.

IF NECESSARY: Is <NAME 4> male or female?

1. MALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z22
5. FEMALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z22

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z7

Z21b.

Is name 4 coworker

1. MALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z22
5. FEMALE --> IF SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE, SKIP TO Z22

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z7
Z21b.

IF NAME 4 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Is <NAME 4> a co-worker?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z21

Z21c.

IF NAME 4 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Do you and <NAME 4> go to the same place of worship?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, 1, 3, 0 in Z21

Z21d.

IF NAME 4 IS NOT SPOUSE/PARTNER OR OTHER RELATIVE:

Does <NAME 4> live in your neighborhood?

1. YES
5. NO

Z22.

When you talk with <NAME 4>, do you discuss political matters...often, sometimes, rarely, or never?
1. OFTEN
2. SOMETIMES
3. RARELY, OR
4. NEVER
5. DK
6. RF
7. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z7

M001733    Z23. How much does name 4 know about politics
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z23. Generally speaking, how much do you think <NAME 4> knows about politics? Would you say:

1. A GREAT DEAL
2. AN AVERAGE AMOUNT, OR
3. NOT MUCH AT ALL
8. DK - DON'T PROBE
9. RF - DON'T PROBE
0. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z7

M001734    Z24. How name 4 voted in election
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
Numeric

Z24. Do you think <NAME 4> voted for Al Gore, George Bush, some other candidate, or do you think fill he/she didn't vote?)

1. AL GORE
2. GEORGE W BUSH
3. SOME OTHER CANDIDATE (SPECIFY)
7. DIDN'T VOTE
8. INELIGIBLE TO VOTE [VOL]
98. DK - DON'T PROBE
99. RF - DON'T PROBE
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

M001735    Z25. Has R worked with neighbor on common issue/year
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z25.
Now, I'd like to ask you a few questions about life in your neighborhood. During the past twelve months, have you worked with others from your neighborhood to deal with a common issue or problem?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001736  Z26. How satisfied is R with neighborhood
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Z26.

On the whole, how satisfied are you with your neighborhood? Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied?

1. VERY SATISIFIED
2. SOMEWHAT SATISFIED
3. SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED
4. VERY DISSATISFIED
8. DK
9. RF
0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001737  Z27. Are neighbors just looking out for themselves
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 98
Numeric

Z27.

I'm going to ask you a few questions about the people you regularly see in your neighborhood. In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they are just looking out for themselves all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER
8. DK - DON'T PROBE
9. RF - DON'T PROBE
Z27a. Do neighbors try to take advantage of others

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

Would you say those people you see regularly in your neighborhood try to take advantage of others all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

1. ALL OF THE TIME
2. MOST OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
4. HARDLY EVER
5. NEVER

98. DK - DON'T PROBE
99. RF - DON'T PROBE
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

Z27b. Do neighbors treat others with respect

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 98
Numeric

(Again, thinking about those people you see in your neighborhood) Would you say they treat others with respect all of the time, most of the time, some of the time, hardly ever, or never?

1. ALL OF THE TIME
3. SOME OF THE TIME
5. NEVER

98. DK - DON'T PROBE
99. RF - DON'T PROBE
00. NA; INAP, 5, 8, 9, 0 in Z1

Z27c. Does honest describe neighbors

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 8
Numeric

Would you say that honest describes the people in your neighborhood extremely well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?
\begin{verbatim}
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

1  EXTREMELY WELL
2  QUITE WELL
3  NOT TOO WELL
4  NOT WELL AT ALL

8  DON'T KNOW
9  RF
0  NA; INAP, no Post interview

-----------------------------
M001741  Z27d. What is the racial diversity of neighborhood
    MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
    Numeric

Z27d.

Thinking about the diversity of your neighborhood, are the people who live where you live all White, mostly white, about half and half, mostly Black, or all Black?

-----------------------------

1.  ALL WHITE
2.  MOSTLY WHITE
3.  ABOUT HALF AND HALF
4.  MOSTLY BLACK
5.  ALL BLACK
7.  R VOL UNEERS: OTHER (SPECIFY)

8.  DON'T KNOW
9.  RF
0.  NA; INAP, no Post interview

-----------------------------
M001742  Z28. How satisfying is Rs life
    MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 8
    Numeric

Z28.

In general, how satisfying do you find the way you're spending your life these days? Would you call it completely satisfying, pretty satisfying, or not very satisfying?

-----------------------------

1.  COMPLETELY SATISFYING
3.  PRETTY SATISFYING
5.  NOT VERY SATISFYING

8.  DK
9.  RF
0.  NA; INAP, no Post interview

-----------------------------
M001743a  ZZ1(1). Others present for interview
    MD:  EQ 0
\end{verbatim}
ZZ1(1).

Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 1

0. NONE
1. CHILDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS
7. PHONE INTERVIEW - COULD NOT TELL
9. NA; INAP, no Post interview

ZZ1(2).

Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 2

1. CHILDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS
7. PHONE INTERVIEW - COULD NOT TELL
9. NA; INAP, no Post interview; no further mention

ZZ1(3).

Others present at time of interview:

MENTION 3

1. CHILDREN UNDER 6
2. OLDER CHILDREN
3. SPOUSE
4. OTHER RELATIVES
5. OTHER ADULTS
7. PHONE INTERVIEW - COULD NOT TELL
9. NA; INAP, no Post interview; no further mention

M001744    ZZ2. Rs cooperation
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

RR's cooperation was:

1. VERY GOOD
2. GOOD
3. FAIR
4. POOR
5. VERY POOR

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001745    ZZ3. Rs knowledge of politics
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

R's general level of information about politics and public affairs seemed:

1. VERY HIGH
2. FAIRLY HIGH
3. AVERAGE
4. FAIRLY LOW
5. VERY LOW

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001746    ZZ4. Rs apparent intelligence
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

R's apparent intelligence:

1. VERY HIGH
2. FAIRLY HIGH
3. AVERAGE
4. FAIRLY LOW
5. VERY LOW

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001747    ZZ5. How suspicious did R seem

Page 801
ZZ5.
How suspicious did R seem to be about the study before the interview?:

1. NOT AT ALL SUSPICIOUS
3. SOMEWHAT SUSPICIOUS
5. VERY SUSPICIOUS

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

ZZ6.
Overall, how great was R's interest in the interview?

1. VERY HIGH
2. FAIRLY HIGH
3. AVERAGE
4. FAIRLY LOW
5. VERY LOW

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

ZZ7.
How sincere did R seem in his/her answers?

1. COMPLETE SINCERE --> ZZ11
3. USUALLY SINCERE  --> ZZ7a
5. OFTEN SEEMED TO BE INSINCERE --> ZZ7a

0. NA; INAP, no Post interview

ZZ7a.
IF R NOT COMPLETELY SINCERE:

Were there any particular parts of the interview for which you doubted R's sincerity?
[IF SO NAME THEM BY SECTION OR QUESTION NUMBER]
This response was blanked to preserve the confidentiality of the Respondent.

==============================
M001751a ZZ11(1). Rs reaction to IW
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 1

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

==============================
M001751b ZZ11(2). Rs reaction to IW
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 2

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

M001751c ZZ11(3). R's reaction to IW
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ11(3).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 3

---------------------------------------------------------------------
10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; INAP, no Post interview
M001751d  ZZ11(4). Rs reaction to IW
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ11(4).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 4

---------------------------------------------------------------------
10. NEUTRAL - GENERAL
11. NEUTRAL - TOO LONG
12. NEUTRAL - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEUTRAL - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R
   MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD
    EYESIGHT ETC.  IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS
    SLOW READER.  IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF
    KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF
    POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES,
    OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS
    COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

===================================
M001751e  ZZ11(5). Rs reaction to IW
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

ZZ11(5).

R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 5

---------------------------------------------------------------------
10. NEUTRAL - GENERAL
11. NEUTRAL - TOO LONG
12. NEUTRAL - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEUTRAL - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R
   MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD
    EYESIGHT ETC.  IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS
    SLOW READER.  IW WAS HARD FOR R
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SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

--------------------------------------------------------
M001751f ZZ11(6). Rs reaction to IW
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

R's reaction to interview:
MENTION 6

--------------------------------------------------------
10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

--------------------------------------------------------
M001751g ZZ11(7). Rs reaction to IW
MD: EQ 0
Numeric

Zz11(7).
R's reaction to interview:

MENTION 7

-------------------------------

10. NEGATIVE - GENERAL
11. NEGATIVE - TOO LONG
12. NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED
13. NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
15. R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE IW COMPLETED. AFTER STARTING IW, R MADE COMMENTS INDICATING REGRETS HAVING AGREED TO DO IW
20. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS ILL/DEAF/ TIRED/HAD BAD EYESIGHT ETC. IW WAS HARD FOR R
22. R COMPLAINED AND/OR IWR OBSERVED R WAS CONFUSED BY Qs, R WAS SLOW READER. IW WAS HARD FOR R
30. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF KNOWLEDGE OR OWN SUITABILITY FOR IW
31. R EXPRESSED DOUBTS/APOLOGIES/EMBARRASSMENTS OVER LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, CANDIDATE NAMES, ELECTION ISSUES, OR OWN LACK OF POLITICAL PARTICIPATION.
40. R WAS AGITATED OR STRESSED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS
41. R BECAME ANGRY AT INTERVIEW CONTENT
45. R BECAME CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSES OR BIAS
50. R COULD NOT READ RESPONDENT BOOKLET
70. R APPEARED TO ENJOY THE IW (R WAS COOPERATIVE/INTERESTED/PLEASANT)
80. NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

00. NA; INAP, no Post interview

=======================================
M001752 Post-Rand.C9(2).
MD:  EQ 0
Numeric

Post-Rand.C9(2).

Order of Dem and Repub names in Vote section

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of an interview --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrerandomized order is represented here.

1. Democratic names first (FTF: BLUE BALLOT CARD)
2. Republican names first (FTF: GOLD BALLOT CARD)
0. INAP, 1-3, 8, 9, 0 in C1; Washington D.C.
Post-Rand.D2b-D2d.

Order of Gore, GW Bush and Nader in Post Thermometers
D2b-D2d

These names were administered after Clinton (1st name)

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Nader 4th
2. Gore 2nd, Nader 3rd, GW Bush 4th
3. GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd, Nader 4th
4. GW Bush 2nd, Nader 3rd, Gore 4th
5. Nader 2nd, Gore 3rd, GW Bush 4th
9. NA
0. INAP, no Post IW

Post-Rand.D2g.

Order of Democratic House candidate in Post Thermometers
D2g-D2n

D2g-D2n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such
cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA; no Democratic candidate

M001755 Post-Rand.D2h.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric

Post-Rand.D1h.

Order of Republican House candidate in Post Thermometers D1g-D1n

D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA; no Republican candidate

M001756 Post-Rand.D2j.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric

Post-Rand.D1j.

Order of Retiring House Representative in Post Thermometers D1g-D1n

D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.
were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA; no retiring House representative

M001757  Post-Rand.D2k.
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 99
Numeric

M001758  Post-Rand.D2m.
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 99
Numeric

D1g-Dln were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA; INAP, no Democratic Senate candidate; no race in state

D1g-Dln were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days,
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews

---

**Portions of interviews** -- that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00)

werenot administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice).

Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA; INAP, no Republican Senate candidate; no race in state

---

**M001759** Post-Rand.D2n.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

**Post-Rand.D1n.**

Order of Independent Incumbent House candidate in Post Thermometers D1g-D1n

---

This var represents candidates in VT01 and VA05. D1g-D1n were randomly administered as the 7th-12th names.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews -- or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 7-12 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA; INAP, no independent incumbent House candidate

---

**M001760** Post-Rand.D3a.

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99

Numeric

**Post-Rand.D2a.**

Order of Supreme Court in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

---

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

Post-Rand.D2b.
Order of Congress in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

Post-Rand.D2c.
Order of the Military in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

Order of the Federal Govt in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

Order of Blacks in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error
were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

M001765 Post-Rand.D3f.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2f.

Order of Whites in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

M001766 Post-Rand.D3g.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 99
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2g.

Order of Conservatives in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

Order of Liberals in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

Order of Labor Unions in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z
Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

Post-Rand.D3k.
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 99
Numeric

Order of Big Business in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

Post-Rand.D2m.

Order of Poor People in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews--that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice).

Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

---

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews--that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice).

Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:
00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

---

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview  
99. NA  

-------------------------------  
M001780  Post-Rand.D3x.  
  MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 99  
  Numeric  

Post-Rand.D2x.  

Order of Jews in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z  
-------------------------------  

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview  
99. NA  

-------------------------------  
M001781  Post-Rand.D3xx.  
  MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 99  
  Numeric  

Post-Rand.D2xx.  

Order of Protestants in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z  
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On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

M001782    Post-Rand.D3y.
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 99
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2y.

Order of Feminists in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-24 and:

00. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

M001783    Post-Rand.D3z.
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 99
Numeric

Post-Rand.D2z.

Order of Asian-Americans in Post Group Thermometers D2a-D2z
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews -- or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Democratic House candidate first, Republican House candidate 2nd
2. Republican House candidate first, Democratic House candidate 2nd

0. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

These names were administered after Clinton (1st name).

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews -- or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Democratic House candidate first, Republican House candidate 2nd
2. Republican House candidate first, Democratic House candidate 2nd

0. Inap, no Post interview
99. NA

These names were administered after Clinton (1st name).
program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Gore 2nd, GW Bush 3rd, Buchanan 4th
2. Gore 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, GW Bush 4th
3. GW Bush 2nd, Gore 3rd, Buchanan 4th
4. GW Bush 2nd, Buchanan 3rd, Gore 4th
5. Buchanan 2nd, Gore 3rd, GW Bush 4th

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric


These names were administered after the names of Clinton, Gore, GW Bush, and Buchanan.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Democratic House candidate 5th, Republican House candidate 6th
2. Republican House candidate 5th, Democratic House candidate 6th

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA


Post: Order of Democratic, Republican, and Reform parties in Liberal-Conservative Placements
G8-G10/G8.T-G10.T

These names were administered after the names of Clinton, Gore, GW Bush, Buchanan, the Democratic House candidate, and the Republican House candidate.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Democratic Party 7th, Republican Party 8th, Reform Party 9th
2. Democratic Party 7th, Reform Party 8th, Republican Party 9th
3. Republican Party 7th, Democratic Party 8th, Reform Party 9th
4. Republican Party 7th, Reform Party 8th, Democratic Party 9th
5. Reform Party 7th, Democratic Party 8th, Republican Party 9th
6. Reform Party 7th, Republican Party 8th, Democratic Party 9th
7. Inap, no Post interview
8. NA

================================
M001788 Post-Rand.G11b/c.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric
Post-Rand.G11b/c.


On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Democratic House candidate 1st, Republican House candidate 2nd
2. Republican House candidate 1st, Democratic House candidate 2nd
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

These names were randomly administered after whites.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error
were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Blacks 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
2. Blacks 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
3. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
4. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th
5. Asian-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
6. Asian-Americans 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

These names were randomly administered after whites.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews -- or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Blacks 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
2. Blacks 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
3. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
4. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th
5. Asian-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
6. Asian-Americans 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA
These names were randomly administered after whites.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews -- or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice).

Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

1. Blacks 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
2. Blacks 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
3. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Asian-Americans 4th
4. Hispanic-Americans 2nd, Asian-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th
5. Asian-Americans 2nd, Blacks 3rd, Hispanic-Americans 4th
6. Asian-Americans 2nd, Hispanic-Americans 3rd, Blacks 4th

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

--------------
M001793  Post-Rand.S14a.
MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Rand.S14a.

Post: Order of Angry in Clinton affects S14a-S14d

--------------

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews -- or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice).

Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-4 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

--------------
M001794  Post-Rand.S14b.
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-4 and:
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-4 and:
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-4 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

M001799 Post-Rand.S15c.
  MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
  Numeric

Post-Rand.S15c.

Post: Order of Knowledgeable in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

M001800 Post-Rand.S15d.
  MD1: EQ 0,  MD2: GE 9
  Numeric

Post-Rand.S15d.

Post: Order of Leadership in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.
program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews
--or, for some interviews administered over several days,
portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the
correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00)
were not administered with correctly functioning randomization.
All cases administered prior to the correction of this error
were administered as if only one choice in the randomization
were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice).
Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such
cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented
here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

Post-Rand.S15e.
Post: Order of Dishonest in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

Post-Rand.S15f.
Post: Order of Intelligent in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument
program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews
--or, for some interviews administered over several days,
portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the
correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00)
were not administered with correctly functioning randomization.
All cases administered prior to the correction of this error
were administered as if only one choice in the randomization
were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice).
Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such
cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented
here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

Post-Rand.S15h.
Post: Order of Courageous in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

==

M001803 Post-Rand.S15g.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Rand.S15g.

Post: Order of Out of Touch in Clinton traits S15a-S15g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA; INAP, administered in the PRE

==

MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Rand.V5a.

Post: Order of Moral in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error...
were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice).
Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

M001805 Post-Rand.V5b.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Rand.V5b.
Post: Order of Really Cares in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.
-----------------------------------------------

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:
0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

M001806 Post-Rand.V5c.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Rand.V5c.
Post: Order of Knowledgeable in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.
-----------------------------------------------

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such
cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

================================
M001807 Post-Rand.V5d.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Rand.V5d.

Post: Order of Leadership in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

================================
M001808 Post-Rand.V5e.
MD1: EQ 0, MD2: GE 9
Numeric

Post-Rand.V5e.

Post: Order of Dishonest in Bush Sr. traits V5a-V5g.

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews --that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Page 835
On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA

On 11/16/00 it was discovered that the survey instrument program had an error in setting randomization. Interviews --or, for some interviews administered over several days, portions of interviews -- that were conducted prior to the correction of this error (in the 2nd VQ released on 11/16/00) were not administered with correctly functioning randomization. All cases administered prior to the correction of this error were administered as if only one choice in the randomization were available (in almost all cases, an identical choice). Item Post-Summary.24 (P000262) can be used to identify such cases; for these cases, their unrandomized order is represented here.

Codes 1-7 and:

0. Inap, no Post interview
9. NA
The Selection Table is used to select a respondent from all household members who are eligible adults. (An eligible adult is a U.S. citizen who is 18 years of age or older). A Selection Table was randomly assigned to all households in the Fresh Cross sample component.

After a complete listing of the HH was taken, each eligible adult was assigned a "Number" based on the criteria of gender and age [beginning with the oldest male as number 1, males numbered by increments of 1 according to descending age; females numbered by descending age starting with the number one higher than that assigned to the youngest male]. The interviewer then used the Selection Table to determine the "Number" of the eligible adult to be interviewed. There were 8 different selection tables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#PERSONS</th>
<th>PERSON SELECTED (person &quot;Number&quot;)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A  B1  B2  C  D  E1  E2  F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1    1   1   1   1   1   1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1    1   1   1   2   2   2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1. Selection Table A1
2. Selection Table B1
3. Selection Table B2
4. Selection Table C
5. Selection Table D
6. Selection Table E1
7. Selection Table E2
8. Selection Table F

0. NA

INAP.

'Panel' case

M021101a      HHLISTING.1a. 1st HH List Gend-FRESH CR
Numeric
Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.1a

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 1st HH member gender

This describes the first household member mentioned in the household listing of all adult household members. The household listing was provided by a household member ('informant'). The first name in the household listing corresponds to the informant.

1. Male
2. Female

0. NA

INAP.

'Panel' case

M021101b      HHLISTING.1b. 1st HH List Age-FRESH CR
Numeric
Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.1b

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 1st HH member age

This describes the first household member mentioned in the household listing (informant).
Ages 18-90 and:

00. NA

INAP.

'Panel' case

=================================================================================
M021101c        HHLISTING.1c. 1st HH List Citiz-FRESH CR
      Numeric
      Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.1c

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 1st HH member citizen
=================================================================================

This describes the first household member mentioned in the household listing (informant).

1. Yes, a citizen
5. No, not a citizen

0. NA

INAP.

'Panel' case

=================================================================================
M021101d        HHLISTING.1d. 1st HH List Pers#-FRESH CR
      Numeric
      Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.1d

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 1st HH member Person Number
=================================================================================

This describes the first household member mentioned in the household listing (informant).
This represents the 'Person number' (if any) assigned to this household member, according to the numbering process used to number eligible household members while administering the Selection Table respondent selection process (see HHLISTING.0).

1. HH member is eligible person 1
2. HH member is eligible person 2
3. HH member is eligible person 3
4. HH member is eligible person 4
5. HH member is eligible person 5
6. HH member is eligible person 6

0. NA

INAP.
M021102a HHLISTING.2a. 2nd HH List Gend-FRESH CR
Numeric
Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.2a

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 2nd HH member gender

This describes the second household member mentioned in the household listing; the household listing is a listing, provided by a household member or informed contact, of all adult household members.

1. Male
2. Female
0. NA

INAP.
No further members of Household; 'Panel' case

M021102b HHLISTING.2b. 2nd HH List Age-FRESH CR
Numeric
Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.2b

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 2nd HH member age

This describes the second household member mentioned in the household listing.
Ages 18-90 and:
00. NA

INAP.
No further members of Household; 'Panel' case

M021102c HHLISTING.2c. 2nd HH List Citiz-FRESH CR
Numeric
Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.2c

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 2nd HH member citizen
This describes the second household member mentioned in the household listing.

1. Yes, a citizen
5. No, not a citizen
0. NA

INAP. No further members of Household; 'Panel' case

M021102d HHLISTING.2d. 2nd HH List Pers#-FRESH CR
Numeric
Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.2d

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 2nd HH member Person Number

This describes the second household member mentioned in the household listing. This represents the 'Person number' assigned to this household member, according to the numbering process used to number eligible household members while administering the Selection Table respondent selection process (see HHLISTING.0).

1. HH member is eligible person 1
2. HH member is eligible person 2
3. HH member is eligible person 3
4. HH member is eligible person 4
5. HH member is eligible person 5
6. HH member is eligible person 6

0. NA

INAP. Not an eligible household member; No further members of Household; 'Panel' case

M021102e HHLISTING.2e. 2nd HH List Relat-FRESH CR
Numeric
Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.2e

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 2nd HH member Relationship to Informant

This describes the second household member mentioned in the household listing. The description was provided by informant.
01. Wife
02. Husband
03. Girlfriend; female fiance/partner
04. Boyfriend; male fiance/partner
11. Daughter/stepdaughter
12. Son/stepson
14. Daughter's boyfriend/fiance/husband
15. Son's girlfriend/fiance/wife
16. Foster son
21. Mother
22. Father
31. Grandmother
32. Grandfather
41. Sister
42. Brother
51. Niece
52. Nephew
61. Aunt
62. Uncle
70. Roommate /friend

00. NA

INAP.
No further members of Household; 'Panel' case

=================================================
M021103a     HHLISTING.3a. 3rd HH List Gend-FRESH CR
              Numeric
              Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.3a

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 3rd HH member gender

This describes the third household member mentioned in the
household listing; the household listing is a listing, provided
by a household member or informed contact, of all adult
household members.

1. Male
2. Female
0. NA

INAP.

No further members of Household; 'Panel' case

=================================================
M021103b     HHLISTING.3b. 3rd HH List Age-FRESH CR
              Numeric
              Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.3b
IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 3rd HH member age

This describes the third household member mentioned in the household listing. Ages 18-90 and:

00. NA

INAP.
No further members of Household; 'Panel' case

M021103c HHLISTING.3c. 3rd HH List Citiz-FRESH CR
Numeric
Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.3c

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 3rd HH member citizen

This describes the third household member mentioned in the household listing.

1. Yes, a citizen
5. No, not a citizen

0. NA

INAP.
No further members of Household; 'Panel' case

M021103d HHLISTING.3d. 3rd HH List Pers#-FRESH CR
Numeric
Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.3d

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 3rd HH member Person Number

This describes the third household member mentioned in the household listing. This represents the 'Person number' assigned to this household member, according to the numbering process used to number eligible household members while administering the Selection Table respondent selection process (see HHLISTING.0).

1. HH member is eligible person 1
2. HH member is eligible person 2
3. HH member is eligible person 3
4. HH member is eligible person 4
5. HH member is eligible person 5
6. HH member is eligible person 6
0. NA

INAP.
Not an eligible household member; No further members of Household; 'Panel' case

==============================================
M021103e  HHLISTING.3e. 3rd HH List Relat-FRESH CR
Numeric
Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.3e

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 3rd HH member Relationship to Informant
=====================================================================
This describes the third household member mentioned in the household listing. The description was provided by informant.

01. Wife
02. Husband
03. Girlfriend; female fiance/partner
04. Boyfriend; male fiance/partner
11. Daughter/stepdaughter
12. Son/stepson
14. Daughter's boyfriend/fiance/husband
15. Son's girlfriend/fiance/wife
16. Foster son
21. Mother
22. Father
31. Grandmother
32. Grandfather
41. Sister
42. Brother
51. Niece
52. Nephew
61. Aunt
62. Uncle
70. Roommate /friend

00. NA

INAP.
No further members of Household; 'Panel' case

==============================================
M021104a  HHLISTING.4a. 4th HH List Gend-FRESH CR
Numeric
Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.4a

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:
This describes the fourth household member mentioned in the household listing; the household listing is a listing, provided by a household member or informed contact, of all adult household members.

1. Male
2. Female
0. NA
INAP.
No further members of Household; 'Panel' case

-----------------------------------------------
M021104b   HHListing.4b. 4th HH List Age-FRESH CR
Numeric    Missing eq 0

HHListing.4b
IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

This describes the fourth household member mentioned in the household listing.
Ages 18-90 and:
00. NA
INAP.
No further members of Household; 'Panel' case

-----------------------------------------------
M021104c   HHListing.4c. 4th HH List Citiz-FRESH CR
Numeric    Missing eq 0

HHListing.4c
IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

This describes the fourth household member mentioned in the household listing.

1. Yes, a citizen
5. No, not a citizen
0. NA
INAP.
No further members of Household; 'Panel' case

==============================
M021104d        HHLISTING.4d. 4th HH List Pers#-FRESH CR
            Numeric
            Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.4d

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 4th HH member Person Number

This describes the fourth household member mentioned in the household listing.
This represents the 'Person number' assigned to this household member, according to the numbering process used to number eligible household members while administering the Selection Table respondent selection process (see HHLISTING.0).

1. HH member is eligible person 1
2. HH member is eligible person 2
3. HH member is eligible person 3
4. HH member is eligible person 4
5. HH member is eligible person 5
6. HH member is eligible person 6

0. NA

INAP.
Not an eligible household member; no further members of Household; 'Panel' case

==============================
M021104e        HHLISTING.4e. 4th HH List Relat-FRESH CR
            Numeric
            Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.4e

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household listing - 4th HH member Relationship to Informant

This describes the fourth household member mentioned in the household listing. The description was provided by informant.

01. Wife
02. Husband
03. Girlfriend; female fiance/partner
04. Boyfriend; male fiance/partner
11. Daughter/stepdaughter
12. Son/stepson
14. Daughter's boyfriend/fiance/husband
15. Son's girlfriend/fiance/wife
16. Foster son
21. Mother
22. Father
31. Grandmother
32. Grandfather
41. Sister
42. Brother
51. Niece
52. Nephew
61. Aunt
62. Uncle
70. Roommate /friend

00. NA

INAP.
No further members of Household; 'Panel' case

-----------------------------
M021105  HHLISTING.5. Number Adults -FRESH CROSS
Numeric
Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.5

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Number of adults in Household
-----------------------------------------------

1. One adult in Household
2. Two adults in Household
3. Three adults in Household
4. Four adults in Household

0. NA

INAP.
'Panel' case

-------------------------------
M021106  HHLISTING.6. Num Elig Adults-FRESH CROSS
Numeric
Missing eq 0

HHLISTING.6

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Number of eligible adults in Household
-----------------------------------------------

1. One eligible adult in Household
2. Two eligible adults in Household
3. Three eligible adults in Household
4. Four eligible adults in Household

0. NA

INAP.
'Panel' case
Number of children in Household

00. No children in Household
01. One child in Household
02. Two children in Household
03. Three children in Household
04. Four children in Household
05. Five children in Household
06. Six children in Household

99. NA

INAP.
'Panel' case

Age of 1st child

Age 1-17 and:

00. Under 1 year old

99. NA

INAP.
No children in household; 'Panel' case

Age of 2nd child

Age 1-17 and:
00. Under 1 year old
99. NA

INAP.
No children in household; no additional children in household; 'Panel' case

==================================
M021107c        HHLISTING.7c. Age 3rd child -FRESH CROSS
Numeric
Missing eq 99

HHLISTING.7c

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Age of 3rd child

Age 1-17 and:
00. Under 1 year old
99. NA

INAP.
No children in household; no additional children in household; 'Panel' case

==================================
M021107d        HHLISTING.7d. Age 4th child -FRESH CROSS
Numeric
Missing eq 99

HHLISTING.7d

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Age of 4th child

Age 1-17 and:
00. Under 1 year old
99. NA

INAP.
No children in household; no additional children in household; 'Panel' case

==================================
M021107e        HHLISTING.7e. Age 5th child -FRESH CROSS
Numeric
Missing eq 99

HHLISTING.7e

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:
Age of 5th child

Age 1-17 and:
00. Under 1 year old
99. NA

INAP.
No children in household; no additional children in household;
'Panel' case

M021107f        HHLISTING.7f. Age 6th child -FRESH CROSS
Numeric
Missing eq 99

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:
Age of 6th child

Age 1-17 and:
00. Under 1 year old
99. NA

INAP.
No children in household; no additional children in household;
'Panel' case

M021108         HHLISTING.8. R Person No. -FRESH CROSS
Numeric
Missing eq 0

IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:
R Person Number

This is the person number assigned to R in the Household Listing
for administration of the Selection Table.

1. R is person numbered 1
2. R is person numbered 2
3. R is person numbered 3
4. R is person numbered 4
0. NA

INAP.
'Panel' case
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IF FRESH-CROSS CASE:

Household composition

The HH composition code is derived from information provided in the household listing. "Adults" are 18 years of age or older; all individuals included in the HH composition codes below refer to adults.

10. 1 adult male HHR
11. 1 adult male HHR plus 1 other non-relative
12. 1 adult male HHR plus 2 or more non-relatives
20. 1 adult female HHR
21. 1 adult female HHR plus 1 other non-relative
22. 1 adult female HHR plus 2 or more non-relatives
30. 1 married couple: no children or all children living at home are under 18
40. 1 married couple plus 1 other relative
50. 1 married couple plus 2 or more other relatives
51. 1 married couple plus 1 other non-relative
52. 1 married couple plus 2 or more non-relatives
55. 1 married couple plus relatives and non-relatives
60. 1 male HHR plus 1 other relative
65. 1 male HHR plus relatives and non-relatives
70. 1 male HHR plus 2 or more other relatives
80. 1 female HHR plus 1 other relative
85. 1 female HHR plus relatives and non-relatives
90. 1 female HHR plus 2 or more other relatives
00. NA

INAP.

'Panel' case

This represents the state identified for the Post instrument preload.

01. Alabama             02. Alaska
04. Arizona             05. Arkansas
06. California          08. Colorado
09. Connecticut         10. Delaware
13. Georgia 15. Hawaii
18. Indiana 19. Iowa
22. Louisiana 23. Maine
24. Maryland 25. Massachusetts
26. Michigan 27. Minnesota
28. Mississippi 29. Missouri
30. Montana 31. Nebraska
32. Nevada 33. New Hampshire
34. New Jersey 35. New Mexico
38. North Dakota 39. Ohio
40. Oklahoma 41. Oregon
42. Pennsylvania 44. Rhode Island
45. South Carolina 46. South Dakota
47. Tennessee 48. Texas
49. Utah 50. Vermont
51. Virginia 53. Washington
54. West Virginia 55. Wisconsin
56. Wyoming

99. NA

========================================
M021201a  Sample.1a. ICPSR State Code
Numeric
Missing eq 99

Sample.1a

ICPSR State Code
========================================

This represents the state identified for the Post instrument preload.

01. Connecticut 02. Maine
03. Massachusetts 04. New Hampshire
05. Rhode Island 06. Vermont
11. Delaware 12. New Jersey
21. Illinois 22. Indiana
25. Wisconsin 31. Iowa
32. Kansas 33. Minnesota
34. Missouri 35. Nebraska
36. North Dakota 37. South Dakota
40. Virginia 41. Alabama
42. Arkansas 43. Florida
44. Georgia 45. Louisiana
46. Mississippi 47. North Carolina
48. South Carolina 49. Texas
51. Kentucky 52. Maryland
53. Oklahoma 54. Tennessee
55. Washington DC 56. West Virginia
61. Arizona 62. Colorado
63. Idaho 64. Montana
65. Nevada 66. New Mexico
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M021201b  Sample.1b. State Abbreviation
         Character

   State abbreviation (alpha)
   ==========================================================================

   This represents the state identified for the Post instrument preload.

============================================================================
M021202  Sample.2. Congressional District Number
         Numeric
         Missing eq 99

Sample.2

   Congressional District Number
   ==========================================================================

   This represents the congressional district identified for the Post instrument preload.

   Codes 1-52 and:
   77. Washington DC

   99. Correct district not assigned (see Summary.1)

   INAP.
   No 2002 Post interview

============================================================================
M021202a Sample.2a. FIPS State and District
            Numeric
            Missing eq 9999

Sample.2a

   FIPS State code and Congressional District Number
   ==========================================================================

   This represents the state and district identified for the Post instrument preload.
   The first 2 digits are FIPS state code; last 2 digits are Congressional district number. 1100=Washington DC

   9999. Correct district not assigned (see Summary.1)

   INAP.
   No 2002 Post interview
Sample.2b

ICPSR State code and Congressional District Number

This represents the state and district identified for the Post instrument preload.
The first 2 digits are ICPSR state code; last 2 digits are Congressional district number. 5500=Washington DC

9999. Correct district not assigned (see Summary.1)

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

Sample.2c

State Abbreviation and Congressional District Number (alpha)

This represents the state and district identified for the Post instrument preload.
"DC00"=Washington DC. "9999" corresponds to 1 in Summary.1

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

Sample.3

Census region

Built from Sample.2.

1. Northeast (CT, ME, MA, NH, NJ, NY, PA, RI, VT)
2. North Central (IL, IN, IA, KS, MI, MN, MO, NE, ND, OH, SD, WI)
3. South (AL, AR, DE, DC, FL, GA, KY, LA, MD, MS, NC, OK, SC, TN, TX, VA, WV)
4. West (AK, AZ, CA, CO, HI, ID, MT, NM, NV, OR, UT, WA, WY)

Sample.4

2000 Census Urban/Rural Classification
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2000 Census Urban/Rural Classification

The Pre production address was used for assigning geocodes.

1. Urban
2. Rural
0. NA

M021205 2000 Census FIPS County code
Numeric
Missing eq 0

Sample.5

2000 Census FIPS County code (state and county)

The Pre production address was used for assigning geocodes. This variable has been blanked to preserve respondent confidentiality.

00000. NA

M021206 2000 Census Place code
Numeric
Missing eq 0

Sample.6

2000 Census Place code

The Pre production address was used for assigning geocodes. This variable has been blanked to preserve respondent confidentiality.

00000. NA

INAP.
No defined Census Place

M021207 2000 Census MSA code
Numeric
Missing eq 0

Sample.7

2000 Census MSA code

The Pre production address was used for assigning geocodes. This variable has been blanked to preserve respondent confidentiality.
0000. NA

INAP.
Location not in MSA

============================================
M021208    2000 Census Tract
Numeric
Missing eq 0

Sample.8
2000 Census Tract

The Pre production address was used for assigning geocodes.
This variable has been blanked to preserve respondent confidentiality.

0000.00 NA

============================================
M022000 PreAdmin.0. Form of Pre interview
Numeric
Missing eq 0

PreAdmin.25

Form of 2002 Pre Interview

Aside from various randomly assigned wording and placement/order options, the following content options were randomly assigned to 1/2 samples in the Pre:

1- either K1 series or K2 series of Federal budget spending items (increase/decrease)
2- L2 general income inequality as open end (L2a/L2b) or as closed series (L2c/L2d) [each has wording variants]
3- Income inequality questions for either race (P1 series) or gender (P2 series) [each series includes wording variants]

01.  K1 spending - L2OPEN  - P1RACE
02.  K1 spending - L2OPEN  - P2GENDER
03.  K1 spending - L2CLOSED - P1RACE
04.  K1 spending - L2CLOSED - P2GENDER
06.  K2 spending - L2OPEN  - P1RACE
06.  K2 spending - L2OPEN  - P2GENDER
07.  K2 spending - L2CLOSED - P1RACE
08.  K2 spending - L2CLOSED - P2GENDER

00. NA

============================================
M022001 PreAdmin.1. Release
Numeric
Pre Release number

1. 1st third
2. 2nd third
3. remainder

Pre Admin.2

Pre Mode

1. Telephone

Pre Admin.3

Pre number of calls

1-25

99. NA

Pre Admin.4

Pre Result

There were no partials in the 2002 Pre.

1. Completed interview

Pre Admin.5

Pre language

1. English
PreAdmin.6

Pre interview verification

There was no verification of interviews at the interviewing facility.

5. No verification

PreAdmin.7

Pre interview evaluation

There was no evaluation of interviews at the interviewing facility.

5. No evaluation

PreAdmin.8

Pre interview tape-recorded

1. Tape recorded
5. Not tape recorded

PreAdmin.9

Pre interview in parts

1. Interviewed in parts (multiple sessions)
5. Not interviewed in parts (1 session)

PreAdmin.10

Pre interview in parts

IF PRE INTERVIEW CONDUCTED IN PARTS:
Pre number of parts

2-5
9. NA
INAP.
Not interviewed in parts (5 in PreAdmin.9)

M022011 PreAdmin.11. Number of interviewers
Numeric
Missing eq 9

PreAdmin.11
Pre number of interviewers

This is the number of interviewers used on the case (1-5).
9. NA

M022012a PreAdmin.12a. Beginning month
Numeric

PreAdmin.12a
Pre interview - beginning month

09. September
10. October
11. November

M022012b PreAdmin.12b. Beginning day
Numeric

PreAdmin.12b
Pre interview - beginning day

1-31

M022012c PreAdmin.12c. Beginning month and day
Character

PreAdmin.12c
Pre interview - beginning month and day (MMDD)

This is a character variable
PreInterview - Number of days before election

Based on beginning date of interview.
The 2002 national elections were held November 5.

00. NA

Pre Interview - ending month

09. September
10. October
11. November

Pre Interview - ending day

1-31

Pre interview - ending month and day (MMDD)

This is a character variable

Pre payment address given?

1. Yes
5. No

================================================================================
M022015    PreAdmin.15. Payment mode
 Numeric

PreAdmin.15

IF PRE PAYMENT ADDRESS GIVEN:

Pre payment mode
================================================================================

  1. Check

 INAP.
  5 in PreAdmin.14

================================================================================
M022016    PreAdmin.16. Payment amount
 Numeric

PreAdmin.16

IF PRE PAYMENT ADDRESS GIVEN:

Pre payment amount
================================================================================

  20. Twenty dollars
  40. Forty dollars
  50. Fifty dollars

 INAP.
  5 in PreAdmin.14

================================================================================
M022017a   PreAdmin.17a. Month payment mailed
 Numeric

PreAdmin.17a

IF PRE PAYMENT ADDRESS GIVEN:

Date Pre payment mailed - month
================================================================================

  09. September
  10. October
  11. November

 INAP.
  5 in PreAdmin.14

================================================================================
M022017b   PreAdmin.17b. Day payment mailed
 Numeric

PreAdmin.17b
IF PRE PAYMENT ADDRESS GIVEN:

Date Pre payment mailed - day

1-31
INAP.
5 in PreAdmin.14

PreAdmin.18
Pre Interview Length
This is length in minutes.
0. NA

PreAdmin.19
Pre Interviewer's Interview Number
This represents the Nth interview of the interviewer.
0. NA

PreAdmin.20
Pre Persuasion letter sent
0. No letter sent
1. Pre persuasion letter sent

PreAdmin.21
Date of Pre Persuasion Letter
This is a character variable MMDD.
INAP.
No Pre persuasion letter

M022022  PreAdmin.22. Type Pre Persuasion Letter
Numeric

PreAdmin.22

Type of Pre Persuasion letter sent

For types 21, 22 and 24, increased compensation ($40) was offered in the persuasion letter.

11. 'Panel' Busy
12. 'Panel' Health
13. 'Panel' No Contact
14. 'Panel' Reluctant
21. Fresh Cross Busy
22. Fresh Cross Health
23. Fresh Cross No Contact
24. Fresh Cross Reluctant
30. Special

INAP.
No Pre persuasion letter

M022023  PreAdmin.23. Second Persuasion Letter
Character

PreAdmin.23

2nd Persuasion Letter sent

At a late stage of the Pre production period (on October 28, 2002), a special letter offering final maximum compensation ($50) was sent to 872 'panel' cases which were not yet completions.

This letter was in addition to the persuasion letter described in PreAdmin.22.
(Note: Fresh cross cases were also offered $50 late in the Pre production period, but these offers were made by telephone).

0. 2nd letter not sent
1. 2nd persuasion letter sent October 28, 2002

INAP.
Fresh Cross case

M022024  IWR.1. Pre IWR of Record
Numeric
Missing eq 0

PreIWR.1
PRE Interviewer of Record

Interviewer ID number is coded and:

000. NA

M022025   IWR.2. Pre IWR Gender
Numeric
Missing eq 0

PreIWR.2

PRE Interviewer Gender

This describes the Pre interviewer of record.
Interviewer characteristics were self-descriptions provided by interviewers.

1. Male
2. Female
0. NA

M022026   IWR.3. Pre IWR Education Level
Numeric
Missing eq 0

PreIWR.3

PRE Interviewer Education Level (highest education)

This describes the Pre interviewer of record.
Interviewer characteristics were self-descriptions provided by interviewers.

1. 1-8 grades
2. Some high school
3. High school graduate
4. Some college
5. College degree
6. Master's degree
7. Ph.D

0. NA

M022027   IWR.4. Pre IWR Race
Numeric
Missing eq 0

PreIWR.4

PRE Interviewer Race
This describes the Pre interviewer of record. Interviewer characteristics were self-descriptions provided by interviewers.

1. White
2. Black
3. Native American
4. Asian
5. Other
0. NA

==============================
M022027a    IWR.4a. Pre IWR Ethnicity
Numeric
Missing eq 9

PreIWR.4a

PRE Interviewer Ethnicity

This describes the Pre interviewer of record. Interviewer characteristics were self-descriptions provided by interviewers.

0. No ethnicity mentioned
1. Hispanic
7. Other
9. NA

==============================
M022028     IWR.5. Pre IWR languages
Numeric
Missing eq 9

PreIWR.5

PRE Interviewer Languages

This describes the Pre interviewer of record. Interviewer characteristics were self-descriptions provided by interviewers.

0. No languages spoken
1. Spanish
7. Other
9. NA

==============================
M022029     IWR.6. Pre IWR experience
Numeric
Missing eq 99

PreIWR.6
PRE Interviewer Experience

This describes the Pre interviewer of record. Interviewer characteristics were self-descriptions provided by interviewers.

00. None
01. 1 Year or less
02. 13-23 Months
03. 2 years
04. 4 years
05. 5 years
06. 6 years
07. 7 years
08. 8 years
09. 9 years
99. NA

PreIWR.7

PRE Interviewer Age (age groups)

This describes the Pre interviewer of record. Interviewer characteristics were self-descriptions provided by interviewers.

1. 18-34 years
2. 35-40 years
3. 41-45 years
4. 46-50 years
5. 51-55 years
6. 56-60 years
7. 61-64 years
8. 65-79 years
0. NA

PreRand.C1

RANDOMIZATION C1 PRE THERMOMETER SERIES

This variable describes the order of administration of Pre interview thermometer questions (C1 series). Two orderings were possible.
Respondents were randomly assigned to either H4 or J5 in the Pre (alternate placements for President Bush performance on the economy).

1. H5 administered in the Pre interview
2. J5 administered in the Pre interview

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered Pre question H7 (party that would perform better on the economy) with either "Democrats" or "Republicans" read first.

1. Democrats first, Republicans second
2. Republicans first, Democrats second

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either J4a and J4d or else R1a and R1d in the Pre (alternate placements for patriotism items).

1. J4 administered in the Pre interview
2. R1 administered in the Pre interview

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either K1a and K1d or else K2a and K2d in the Pre (alternate placements for patriotism items).

1. K1 administered in the Pre interview
2. K2 administered in the Pre interview
Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered either the K1 Federal spending items or the K2 Federal spending items. (If R was administered K1 in the Pre, then questions corresponding to K2 were asked in the Post; if R was administered K2 in the Pre, then questions corresponding to K1 were asked in the Post.)

1. R administered K1 in the Pre
2. R administered K2 in the Pre

PreRand.K1

RANDOMIZATION PRE K1 SERIES

This variable describes the order of administration of Pre K1 series Federal spending items. Two orderings were possible.

1. K1a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h
2. K1a,g,e,b,c,f,h,d

INAP.
Not administered K1 in the Pre

PreRand.K1d

RANDOMIZATION PRE K1d WORDING

This variable describes the random assignment of wording in Federal spending item K1d in the Pre.

1. "Public schools"
2. "Big city schools"

INAP.
Not administered K1 in the Pre

PreRand.K1g

RANDOMIZATION PRE K1g WORDING

This variable describes the random assignment of wording in Federal spending item K1g in the Pre.
1. "Homeland security"
2. "War on terrorism"

INAP.
Not administered K1 in the Pre

M022409         PreRand.K2. RANDOMIZATION PRE K2 SERIES
Numeric

PreRand.K2

RANDOMIZATION PRE K2 SERIES
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
This variable describes the order of administration of Pre K2 series Federal spending items. Two orderings were possible.
1. Kla,b,c,d,e,f,g,h
2. Kla,g,c,d,e,f,h,b

INAP.
Not administered K2 in the Pre

M022410         PreRand.K2b. RANDOMIZATION PRE K2b WORD
Numeric

PreRand.K2b

RANDOMIZATION PRE K2b WORDING
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
This variable describes the random assignment of wording in Federal spending item K2b in the Pre.
1. "Poor people"
2. "Working poor"

INAP.
Not administered K2 in the Pre

M022411         PreRand.K2h. RANDOMIZATION PRE K2h WORD
Numeric

PreRand.K2h

RANDOMIZATION PRE K2h WORDING
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
This variable describes the random assignment of wording in Federal spending item K2h in the Pre.
1. "Poor children"
2. "Black children"

INAP.
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income
L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income
L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income
L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income
The closed list for L2c consists of questions L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7; the closed list for L2d consists of questions L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7.

1. L2a open-end ("better")
2. L2b open-end ("worse")
3. L2c closed series ("better")
4. L2d closed series ("worse")

This variable describes the order of administration of Pre L2c closed-list income inequality series items (reasons why some people have better jobs/income). Two orderings were possible.

1. L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7
2. L2c2,c4,c5,c6,c3,c1,c7

INAP.
R not selected for L2c series

This variable describes the order of administration of Pre L2d closed-list income inequality series items (reasons why some people have worse jobs/income). Two orderings were possible.
Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered L9a/L9b (party better for the rich/poor) with wording "poor" first and "rich" second or vice versa. (Data in L9a are data for "poor" wording regardless of order; data in L9b are data for "rich" wording regardless of order).

1. "Poor" first, "rich" second
2. "Rich" first, "poor" second

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered L11b first and L11c second, or L11c first and L11b second in the Pre (appropriateness of amount paid by rich/poor).

1. L11b ("rich") first, L11c ("poor") second
2. L11c ("poor") first, L11b ("rich") second

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered Pre question M1d (party performance on corporate scandals) with either "Democrats" or "Republicans" read first.

1. Democrats first, Republicans second
2. Republicans first, Democrats second
PreRand.M2

RANDOMIZATION - M2 SERIES WORDING

Respondents were randomly assigned to alternate wording in the M2 tax cut series (all questions in the series were randomly assigned to the same wording).

1. "Congress passed"
2. "Bush signed"

-----------------------------
M022419 PreRand.P1P2. P1/P2 RANDOM SELECTION Numeric

PreRand.P1P2

P1/P2 RANDOM SELECTION

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 and P1j,k,m
Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 and P1j,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 and P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 and P2j,k,m

1. Series 1: P1 series "whites better jobs/income" wording
2. Series 2: P1 series "blacks worse jobs/income" wording
3. Series 3: P2 series "women worse jobs/income" wording
4. Series 4: P2 series "men better jobs/income" wording

-----------------------------
M022420 PreRand.P1. RANDOMIZATION PRE P1 SERIES Numeric

PreRand.P1

RANDOMIZATION PRE P1 SERIES

Respondents were administered a P1 (race) income inequality series in 1 of 2 possible orders, randomly assigned. This order was used regardless of which P1 series was administered ("whites better/higher" or "blacks worse/lower").

1. Pla,c,d,e,f,g
2. Plb,d,e,f,c,a,g

INAP.
Not assigned to a P1 series

-----------------------------
M022421 PreRand.P1k/m. RANDOM PRE P1k/m WORDING Numeric

PreRand.P1k/m
Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered
P1k/P1m (party better for whites/blacks) with wording "whites"
first and "blacks" second or vice versa. (Data in P1k are data
for "whites" wording regardless of order; data in P1m are data
for "blacks" wording regardless of order).

1. "Whites" first, "blacks" second
2. "Blacks" first, "whites" second

INAP.
Not assigned to a P1 series

Respondents were administered a P2 (gender) income inequality
series in 1 of 2 possible orders, randomly assigned. This order
was used regardless of which P2 series was administered
("women worse/lower" or "men better/higher").

1. P2a,c,d,e,f,g
2. P2b,d,e,f,c,a,g

INAP.
Not assigned to a P2 series

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered
P2k/P2m (party better for women/men) with wording "women"
first and "men" second or vice versa. (Data in P2k are data
for "women" wording regardless of order; data in P2m are data
for "men" wording regardless of order).

1. "Women" first, "men" second
2. "Men" first, "women" second

INAP.
Not assigned to a P2 series
Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11.

1. Q2-Q4 first, Q1-Q2 second
2. Q1-Q2 first, Q3-Q4 second

INAP.
Fresh Cross-Section respondent

For Pre 'panel' respondents, the Q3d series of questions (reasons for 9/11 attack) were asked in 1 of 2 possible orders, randomly assigned.

1. Q3d1,d2,d5,d6
2. Q3d5,d6,d1,d2

INAP.
Fresh Cross-Section respondent

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings for Q3g (President Bush performance) in the Pre.

1. "Handling war on terrorism"
2. "Responded to attack of September 11"
'Panel 'respondents were randomly assigned to be administered Q4a4 (whether war against the Taliban worth the cost) either before or after Q4a5 (is Bin Laden still alive) in the Pre.

1. Q4a4 first, Q4a5 second
2. Q4a5 first, Q4a4 second

INAP.

Fresh Cross-Section respondent

==========================================
M023001  A1. How Much Attn to Campaigns
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

A1.

Some people don't pay much attention to political campaigns. How about you? Would you say that you have been VERY MUCH INTERESTED, SOMewhat interested or NOT MUCH INTERESTED in the political campaigns so far this year?

1. Very much interested
3. Somewhat interested
5. Not much interested
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

==========================================
Numeric
Missing eq 8, ge 9

A6.

How many days in the PAST WEEK did you watch the NATIONAL network news on TV?

00. None
01. One Day
02. Two Days
03. Three Days
04. Four Days
05. Five Days
06. Six Days
07. Every Day

08. Don't know
09. Refused
99. NA

==========================================
M023003  A7. Num Days Local Aft/Early Eve News
Numeric
A7.

How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you watch the local TV news shows, either in the late afternoon or in the early-evening?

-------------------------------------------------------------------------
00. None
01. One Day
02. Two Days
03. Three Days
04. Four Days
05. Five Days
06. Six Days
07. Every Day
08. Don't know
09. Refused
99. NA

========================================================================

M023004       A10. Num Days Read Newsp in Past Wk
Numeric
Missing eq 8, ge 9

A10.

How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you read a daily newspaper?

-------------------------------------------------------------------------
00. None
01. One Day
02. Two Days
03. Three Days
04. Four Days
05. Five Days
06. Six Days
07. Every Day
08. Don't know
09. Refused
99. NA

========================================================================

M023005       A12. App/disapp Bush Handling Job as Pre
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

A12.

Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush is HANDLING HIS JOB AS PRESIDENT?

-------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Approve
5. Disapprove
8. Don't know
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9. Refused
0. NA

==============================
M023006       A12a. Bush Job as Pres- Approve/Disapp
             Numeric
             Missing eq 0, ge 8

A12a.

IF R APPROVES GEORGE W. BUSH HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:/
IF R DISAPPROVES GEORGE W. BUSH HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:

(Do you [approve/disapprove])
STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

1. Strongly
5. Not Strongly
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
8,9,0 in A12

==============================
M023006x      A12x. Summary - Bush job as Pres
              Numeric
              Missing eq 0, ge 8

A12x.

Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush is
HANDLING HIS JOB AS PRESIDENT?
(Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

APPROVAL - GEORGE W. BUSH JOB AS PRESIDENT

Built from A12 and A12a.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly
8. Don't know (A12 or A12a)
9. Refused (A12 or A12a)
0. NA (A12 or A12a)

==============================
              Numeric
              Missing eq 0, ge 8

B1.
As you know, representatives to Congress in Washington are being chosen in this election from congressional districts all around the country.

How much would you say that you personally care about the way the election to the U.S. House of Representatives comes out: do you care VERY MUCH, PRETTY MUCH, NOT VERY MUCH or NOT AT ALL?

1. Very much
2. Pretty much
3. Not very much
4. Not at all

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

B2.

Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way the U.S. Congress has been handling its job?

1. Approve
5. Disapprove

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

B2a.

IF R APPROVES U.S. CONGRESS HANDLING ITS JOB:/
IF R DISAPPROVES U.S. CONGRESS HANDLING ITS JOB:

(Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

1. Strongly
5. Not Strongly

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

8, 9, 0 in B2
M023009x       B2x. Summary - Approve Congress Job
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

B2x.

Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way the U.S. Congress
has been handling its job?
(Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

SUMMARY: APPROVAL OF CONGRESS HANDLING ITS JOB

Built from B2 and B2a.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly
8. Don't know (B2 or B2a)
9. Refused (B2 or B2a)
0. NA (B2 or B2a)

-------------------------------

C1.

I'd like to get your feelings toward some people in the news
these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'll ask you to
rate that person on a thermometer that runs from 0 to 100
degrees. Rating above 50 means that you feel favorable and warm
toward the person. Rating below 50 means that you feel
unfavorable and cool toward the person. Rating right at the 50
degree mark means you don't feel particularly warm or cold.
You may use any number from 0 to 100 to tell me how favorable
or unfavorable your feelings are. If we come to a person whose
name you don't recognize, just tell me and we'll move on to the
next one.

-------------------------------

M023010         C1a. George W. Bush Thermometer
Numeric
Missing eq 887, ge 888

C1a.

The first person is:
George W. Bush
Where on that thermometer would you rate George W. Bush?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: GEORGE W. BUSH

-------------------------------
0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

==============================
M023011         Clb. Cheney Thermometer
               Numeric
               Missing eq 887, ge 888

Clbl.

(The next person is:)
Dick Cheney
(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

THERMOMETER RATING: DICK CHENEY

-------------------------------

Individual thermometers (Cl) were administered in one of two
possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st
thermometer):
  C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1j,C1h,C1m,C1p,C1r
  C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1r,C1p,C1m,C1h,C1j
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

==============================
M023012         Clc. Gore Thermometer
               Numeric
               Missing eq 887, ge 888

C1c.

(The next person is:)
Al Gore
(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

[PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?]

THERMOMETER RATING: AL GORE

-------------------------------
Individual thermometers (C1) were administered in one of two possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st thermometer):

C1a, C1b, C1c, C1d, C1e, C1f, C1j, C1h, C1m, C1p, C1r
C1a, C1b, C1c, C1d, C1e, C1f, C1r, C1p, C1m, C1h, C1j

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

M023013 C1d. Lieberman Thermometer
Numeric
Missing eq 887, ge 888

C1d.

(The next person is:)
Joseph Lieberman
(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: JOSEPH LIEBERMAN

M023014 C1e. Nader Thermometer
Numeric
Missing eq 887, ge 888

C1e.

(The next person is:)
Ralph Nader
Individual thermometers (C1) were administered in one of two possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st thermometer):

C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1j,C1h,C1m,C1p,C1r
C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1r,C1p,C1m,C1h,C1j

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

M023015  Clf. Bill Clinton Thermometer
Numeric
Missing eq 887, ge 888

clf.

(The next person is:)
Bill Clinton
(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: BILL CLINTON

Individual thermometers (C1) were administered in one of two possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st thermometer):

C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1j,C1h,C1m,C1p,C1r
C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1r,C1p,C1m,C1h,C1j

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA
THERMOMETER RATING: COLIN POWELL

Individual thermometers (C1) were administered in one of two possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st thermometer): C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1j,C1h,C1m,C1p,C1r C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1r,C1p,C1m,C1h,C1j Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

THERMOMETER RATING: JOHN ASHCROFT

Individual thermometers (C1) were administered in one of two possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st thermometer): C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1j,C1h,C1m,C1p,C1r C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1r,C1p,C1m,C1h,C1j Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification
Individual thermometers (C1) were administered in one of two possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st thermometer):
   C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1j,C1h,C1m,C1p,C1r
   C1a,C1b,C1c,C1d,C1e,C1f,C1j,C1r,C1p,C1m,C1h,C1j
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA
Individual thermometers (C1) were administered in one of two possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st thermometer):

C1a, Clb, Clc, Cld, Clf, Clj, Clh, Clm, Clp, Clr
C1a, Clb, Clc, Cld, Clf, Clj, Clh, Clm, Clp, Clr

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

M023020  Clr. Hillary Clinton Thermometer
Numeric
Missing eq 887, ge 888

Clr.

(The next person is:)
Hillary Clinton
(Where on that thermometer would you rate her?)

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: HILLARY CLINTON

Individual thermometers (C1) were administered in one of two possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st thermometer):

C1a, Clb, Clc, Cld, Clf, Clj, Clh, Clm, Clp, Clr
C1a, Clb, Clc, Cld, Clf, Clj, Clh, Clm, Clp, Clr

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

M023021  D2. Better One Party Control or Split
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

D2.
Do you think it is better when ONE PARTY CONTROLS both the presidency and Congress, better when CONTROL IS SPLIT between the Democrats and Republicans, or DOESN'T MATTER?

1. Better When One Party Controls Both
3. Better When Control Is Split
5. It Doesn't Matter
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as EXTREMELY LIBERAL, LIBERAL, SLIGHTLY LIBERAL, MODERATE OR MIDDLE OF THE ROAD, SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE, CONSERVATIVE, EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE, or haven't you thought much about this?

01. Extremely Liberal
02. Liberal
03. Slightly Liberal
04. Moderate; Middle of the Road
05. Slightly Conservative
06. Conservative
07. Extremely Conservative
08. Don't know
09. Refused
90. Haven't thought much [Do Not Probe]
00. NA

IF R LIB-CON SCALE SELF-PLACEMENT IS MODERATE/HAVEN'T THOUGHT/DK:

If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a LIBERAL or a CONSERVATIVE?

1. Liberal
3. Conservative
5. Moderate {VOL}
7. R Refuses to Choose
8. Don't know
0. NA

INAP.
1-3,5-7,9,0 in F1

=================================
M023024     Flx. Summary - Lib-Con Self-Placement
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Flx.

We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as EXTREMELY LIBERAL, LIBERAL, SLIGHTLY LIBERAL, MODERATE OR MIDDLE OF THE ROAD, SLIGHTLY CONSERVATIVE, CONSERVATIVE, EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE, or haven't you thought much about this? If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a LIBERAL or a CONSERVATIVE?

SUMMARY - LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SELF-PLACEMENT

Built from F1 and F1a

1. Liberal  (01/02/03;. or 90/04/08;1)
3. Moderate  (90/04/08;5 or 04;7/8/0)
5. Conservative  (05/06/07;. or 90/04/08;3)
7. Refused to choose (90/08;7 or 09;.)
8. DK  (90/08;8)
0. NA to F1; 08,90 in F1 and 0 in F1a

=================================
M023025     Gl. R Better/Worse Off in Last Year
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Gl.

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family) are BETTER OFF, WORSE OFF, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?

1. Better
3. Worse
5. Same
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

=================================
M023026     Gla. R How Much Bett/Wrs Off in Last Yr
Numeric
Gla.

IF R IS BETTER OFF FINANCIALLY THAN A YEAR AGO:/
IF R IS WORSE OFF FINANCIALLY THAN A YEAR AGO:

(Is that) MUCH [better/worse] off or SOMEWHAT [better/worse] off?

1. Much Better
2. Somewhat Better
3. Same (5 in G1)
4. Somewhat Worse
5. Much Worse

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
8,9,0 in G1

M023027     H1. US Economy Better/Worse in Last Yr
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

H1.

Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that over the past year the nation's economy has gotten BETTER, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME, or gotten WORSE?

1. Better
3. Same
5. Worse

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

M023028     H1a. How Much US Econ Bet/Wrs in Last Yr
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

H1a.

IF R SAYS ECONOMY HAS GOTTEN BETTER:/
IF R SAYS ECONOMY HAS GOTTEN WORSE:

(Would you say) MUCH [better/worse] or SOMEWHAT [better/worse]?

1. Much Better
2. Somewhat Better
3. Same (3 in H1)
4. Somewhat Worse
5. Much Worse

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
8,9,0 in H1

M023029       H5. Approve/Disapp Bush on Economy
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

H5.

IF R SELECTED FOR H5 ADMINISTRATION:

Do you APROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush is
HANDLING THE ECONOMY?

Approval of the President's performance on the economy
was randomly assigned to administration at H5 or J5 in
the Pre.
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Approve
5. Disapprove

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for J5 administration

M023030       H5a. How Much Appr/Disapp Bush on Econ
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

H5a.

IF R SELECTED FOR H5 ADMINISTRATION:
IF R APPROVES GEORGE W. BUSH HANDLING THE ECONOMY:
IF R DISAPPROVES GEORGE W. BUSH HANDLING THE ECONOMY:

(Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

1. Strongly
5. Not Strongly
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
8,9,0 in H5; R selected for J5 administration

==============================================

M023031     H7. Which Pty Bettr Handle Economy
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

H7.

Which party do you think would do a better job of HANDLING THE
NATION'S ECONOMY... the [Democrats/Republicans], the
[Republicans/Democrats], or wouldn't there be much difference
between them?
{IF 'DK' OR 'NEITHER PARTY' IS VOLUNTEERED, DO NOT PROBE}

Order of "Democrats" and "Republicans" in question text is
randomized.
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Democrats
3. Republicans
5. Wouldn't Be Much Difference/no Difference
7. Neither Party (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

==============================================

M023032     H9. US Position Stronger/Weaker in Last
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

H9.

Turning to some other issues facing the country. During
the past year, would you say that the United States' position in
the world has grown WEAKER, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME, or has it
grown STRONGER?

1. Weaker
3. Stayed about the Same
5. Stronger

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

==============================================

Numeric
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H12.

Do you AGREE or DISAGREE with this statement: 'This country would be better off if we just stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems in other parts of the world.'

1. Agree
2. Strongly
3. Agree
4. Don't know
5. Disagree
6. Not Strongly
7. Disagree
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

H13.

Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush is HANDLING OUR RELATIONS WITH FOREIGN COUNTRIES?

1. Approve
2. Strongly
3. Approve
4. Don't know
5. Disapprove
6. Not Strongly
7. Disapprove
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

H13a.

IF R APPROVES GEORGE W. BUSH HANDLING FOREIGN RELATIONS:
IF R DISAPPROVES GEORGE W. BUSH HANDLING FOREIGN RELATIONS:

(Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

1. Strongly
2. Not Strongly
3. Strongly
4. Don't know
5. Not Strongly
6. Disagree
7. Strongly
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

8,9,0 in H13
Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush is 
HANDLING OUR RELATIONS WITH FOREIGN COUNTRIES? 
(Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY? 

SUMMARY - APPROVE GEORGE W. BUSH ON FOREIGN RELATIONS

Built from H13 and H13a.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly

8. Don't know (H13 or H13a)
9. Refused (H13 or H13a)
0. NA (H13 or H13a)

-------------

M023036       J1. R Consider Self Dem/Rep/Ind
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

J1.

Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a 
REPUBLICAN, a DEMOCRAT, an INDEPENDENT, or what?

1. Democrat
2. Republican
3. Independent
4. Other Party {VOL} {SPECIFY}
5. No Preference {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

-------------

M023037       J1a. Strength R Considers Self Dem/Rep
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

J1a.

IF R IDENTIFIES SELF AS A DEMOCRAT:
IF R IDENTIFIES SELF AS A REPUBLICAN:

Would you call yourself a STRONG [democrat/republican] or a 
NOT VERY STRONG [democrat/republican]?

1. Strong
5. Not Very Strong
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
3-5,8,9,0 in J1

M023038    J1b. Is R Closer to Dem/Rep Party
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

J1b.

IF R IDENTIFIES AS INDEPENDENT/OTHER PARTY/NO PREFERENCE/DK:

Do you think of yourself as CLOSER to the Republican Party or to the Democratic party?

1. Closer to Republican
3. Neither (VOL)
5. Closer to Democratic
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
1,2,9,0 in J1

M023038x    J1x. Party Identification Summary
Numeric
Missing eq 7, ge 8

J1x.

Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a REPUBLICAN, a DEMOCRAT, an INDEPENDENT, or what? Would you call yourself a STRONG [democrat/republican] or a NOT VERY STRONG [democrat/republican]? /

Do you think of yourself as CLOSER to the Republican Party or to the Democratic party?

SUMMARY - PARTY IDENTIFICATION

Built from J1, J1a, J1b.

Code 8 (apolitical) was used only if the respondent had a code of 5 or 8 in J1 and a code of 3,8,9,0 in J1b AND also showed little or no interest in politics in response to the following survey questions: PRE question A1.(interest in campaigns, 5), PRE question B1 (care about Congressional race outcome, 4), POST question C1x (nonvoter, 5), POST question F5 (follow public affairs, 4 ); respondents who showed an interest
in politics were coded 3 in J1x.

0. Strong Democrat  
1. Weak Democrat  
2. Independent-Democrat  
3. Independent-Independent  
4. Independent-Republican  
5. Weak Republican  
6. Strong Republican  
7. Other; minor party; refuses to say  
8. Apolitical  
9. NA 0 in J1

===============================================
M023039          J4a. Has R Shown Flag in Past 12 Months  
Numeric  
Missing eq 0, ge 8

J4a.

IF R SELECTED FOR J4 ADMINISTRATION:

In the PAST TWELVE MONTHS, have you flown an American flag or displayed the flag in some other way, such as in a window, or on your car, or on a T-shirt or other piece of clothing?

Patriotism items were randomly assigned to placement at J4a,d or R1a,d.  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Yes  
5. No

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
R selected for R1 administration

===============================================
M023040          J4d. Things about US Make R Ashamed(1)  
Numeric  
Missing eq 0, ge 8

J4d.

IF R SELECTED FOR J4 ADMINISTRATION:

Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with this statement: 'There are some things about America today that make me feel ashamed of America.' Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE?

-------------------------------------------------------------------
Patriotism items were randomly assigned to placement at J4a,d or R1a,d. Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Agree
3. Neither agree nor disagree
5. Disagree
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for R1 administration

\[\text{\textbf{M023041}} \quad \text{J5. Approve/Disapprove Bush on Economy} \]
\[\text{Numeric} \]
\[\text{Missing eq 0, ge 8} \]

J5.

\textbf{IF R SELECTED FOR J5 ADMINISTRATION:}

\textbf{Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush is HANDLING THE ECONOMY?}

Approval of the President's performance on the economy was randomly assigned to administration at H5 or J5 in the Pre. Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Approve
5. Disapprove
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for H5 administration

\[\text{\textbf{M023042}} \quad \text{J5a. How Much Appr/Disapp Bush on Econ} \]
\[\text{ Numeric} \]
\[\text{Missing eq 0, ge 8} \]

J5a.

\textbf{IF R SELECTED FOR J5 ADMINISTRATION:}
\textbf{IF R APPROVES GEORGE W. BUSH HANDLING THE ECONOMY:}
\textbf{IF R DISAPPROVES GEORGE W. BUSH HANDLING THE ECONOMY:}

(Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush is HANDLING THE ECONOMY?
(Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

SUMMARY - APPROVE GEORGE W. BUSH ON ECONOMY

This summarizes data from H5 and J5 placements.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

Now I am going to read a statement and ask you whether you agree or disagree.

In the U.S. today, a poor person has the same chance of getting a fair trial as a wealthy person does'. Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE?
K1.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K1 SERIES:

Next I am going to read you a list of federal programs. For each one, I would like you to tell me whether you would like to see spending INCREASED or DECREASED.

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: BUILDING AND REPAIRING HIGHWAYS

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal spending series was randomly assigned. Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):

K1a,Klb,Klc,Kld,Kle,Klf,Klg,Klh
Kla,Klg,Kle,Klb,Klc,Klf,Klh,Kld

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for Pre K2 series
Klb.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K1 SERIES:

(What about) spending on AIDS research?

(Should federal spending on AIDS research be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: AIDS RESEARCH

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal spending series was randomly assigned. Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two possible orders following Kla (highways fixed as 1st):

Kla,Klb,Klc,Kld,Kle,Klf,Klg,Klh
Kla,Klg,Kle,Klb,Klc,Klf,Klh,Kld

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decrease
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
INAP.
R selected for Pre K2 series

-----------------------------

Klc.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K1 SERIES:

(What about) welfare programs?

(Should federal spending on welfare programs be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: WELFARE PROGRAMS

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal spending series was randomly assigned.
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Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):

K1a, K1b, K1c, K1d, K1e, K1f, K1g, K1h
K1a, K1g, K1e, K1b, K1c, K1f, K1h, K1d

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for Pre K2 series

M023047a K1d1. Public Schools- Federal Spending
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

K1d1.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K1 SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'PUBLIC SCHOOLS' WORDING:

(What about)
[public schools/big-city schools]?

(Should federal spending on [public schools/big-city schools] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: PUBLIC SCHOOLS

1/2 of K1d respondents (1/4 sample each) were administered each wording.

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal spending series was randomly assigned. Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):

K1a, K1b, K1c, K1d, K1e, K1f, K1g, K1h
K1a, K1g, K1e, K1b, K1c, K1f, K1h, K1d

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
Kld2.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K1 SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'BIG CITY SCHOOLS' WORDING:

(What about)
[public schools/big-city schools]?

(Should federal spending on [public schools/big-city schools] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: BIG CITY SCHOOLS

1/2 of Kld respondents (1/4 sample each) were administered each wording.

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal spending series was randomly assigned.
Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two possible orders following Kla (highways fixed as 1st):

Kla,Klb,Klc,Kld,Kle,Klf,Klg,Klh
Kla,Klg,Kle,Klb,Klc,Klf,Klh,Kld

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for Pre K2 series; R selected for alternate wording

====================================================================================================
M023048        K1e. Crime- Federal Spending
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Kle.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K1 SERIES:

(What about)
(Should federal spending on dealing with crime be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: DEALING WITH CRIME

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal spending series was randomly assigned. Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):

K1a,K1b,K1c,K1d,K1e,K1f,K1g,K1h
K1a,K1g,K1e,K1b,K1c,K1f,K1h,K1d

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for Pre K2 series

M023049   K1f. Child Care- Federal Spending
        Numeric
        Missing eq 0, ge 8

K1f.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K1 SERIES:

(What about) child care?

(Should federal spending on child care be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: CHILD CARE

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal spending series was randomly assigned. Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):

K1a,K1b,K1c,K1d,K1e,K1f,K1g,K1h
K1a,K1g,K1e,K1b,K1c,K1f,K1h,K1d

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for Pre K2 series

M023050a    K1g1. Homeland Security-Federal Spending
             Numeric
             Missing eq 0, ge 8

K1g1.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K1 SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'HOMELAND SECURITY' WORDING:

   (What about)
   [Homeland security/the war on terrorism]?

   (Should federal spending on Homeland security be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: HOMELAND SECURITY

1/2 of K1g respondents (1/4 sample each) were administered each wording.

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal spending series was randomly assigned. Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):
   K1a,K1b,K1c,K1d,K1e,K1f,K1g,K1h
   K1a,K1g,K1e,K1b,K1c,K1f,K1h,K1d

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for Pre K2 series; R selected for alternate wording

M023050b    K1g2. Terrorism-Federal Spending
             Numeric
             Missing eq 0, ge 8
IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K1 SERIES:

1/2 of Klg respondents (1/4 sample each) were administered each wording.

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal spending series was randomly assigned.
Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two possible orders following Kla (highways fixed as 1st):

Kla,Kib,Klc,Kld,Kle,Klf,Klg,Klh
Kla,Klg,Kle,Kib,Klc,Klf,Klh,Kld

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for Pre K2 series; R selected for alternate wording

M023051          Klh. Unemp Insurance-Federal Spending
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K1 SERIES:

(What about)
unemployment insurance?

(Should federal spending on unemployment insurance be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal
spending series was randomly assigned. Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):
K1a,K1b,K1c,K1d,K1e,K1f,K1g,K1h
K1a,K1g,K1e,K1b,K1c,K1f,K1h,K1d
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for Pre K2 series

-----------------------------

K2.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K2 SERIES:

Next I am going to read you a list of federal programs. For each one, I would like you to tell me whether you would like to see spending INCREASED or DECREASED.

-----------------------------

M023052   K2a. Environmental Protect-Federal Spend
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

K2a.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K2 SERIES:

The first program is:
environmental protection

If you had a say in making up the federal budget this year, should federal spending on environmental protection be INCREASED, DESCREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

-----------------------------

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal spending series was randomly assigned. Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):
K2a,K2b,K2c,K2d,K2e,K2f,K2g,K2h
K2a,K2g,K2c,K2d,K2e,K2f,K2h,K2b
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased  
2. Decreased  
3. Kept about the same  
4. Cut out entirely \{VOL\}

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for Pre K1 series

==
M023053a    K2bl. Aid To Poor People-Federal Spend
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

K2bl.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K2 SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'POOR PEOPLE' WORDING:

(What about)
[aid to poor people/aid to the working poor]?

(Should federal spending on [aid to poor people /aid to the working poor] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: AID TO POOR PEOPLE
=================================================================================================

1/2 of K2b respondents (1/4 sample each) were administered each wording.

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal spending series was randomly assigned. Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):

K2a,K2b,K2c,K2d,K2e,K2f,K2g,K2h
K2a,K2g,K2c,K2d,K2e,K2f,K2h,K2b

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased  
2. Decreased  
3. Kept about the same  
4. Cut out entirely \{VOL\}

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for Pre K1 series; R selected for alternate wording

===================================
K2b2.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K2 SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'WORKING POOR' WORDING:

(What about)
[aid to poor people/aid to the working poor]?

(Should federal spending on [aid to poor people /aid to the working poor] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: AID TO THE WORKING POOR

1/2 of K2b respondents (1/4 sample each) were administered each wording.

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal spending series was randomly assigned.
Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):
   K2a,K2b,K2c,K2d,K2e,K2f,K2g,K2h
   K2a,K2d,K2e,K2f,K2g,K2h,K2c,K2b

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for Pre K1 series; R selected for alternate wording

M023054         K2c. Foreign Aid-Federal Spending
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

K2c.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K2 SERIES:

(What about)
foreign aid?

(Should federal spending on foreign aid be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: FOREIGN AID
Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal spending series was randomly assigned. Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):
K2a,K2b,K2c,K2d,K2e,K2f,K2g,K2h
K2a,K2g,K2c,K2d,K2e,K2f,K2h,K2b
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
INAP.
R selected for Pre K1 series

==============
M023055     K2d. Social Security-Federal Spending
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

K2d.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K2 SERIES:

(What about)
Social Security?

(Should federal spending on Social Security be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: SOCIAL SECURITY

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal spending series was randomly assigned. Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):
K2a,K2b,K2c,K2d,K2e,K2f,K2g,K2h
K2a,K2g,K2c,K2d,K2e,K2f,K2h,K2b
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
M023056       K2e. Border Security - Federal Spending
             Numeric
             Missing eq 0, ge 8

K2e.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K2 SERIES:

(What about)
tightening border security to prevent illegal immigration?

(Should federal spending on tightening border security to
prevent illegal immigration be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT
ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: TIGHTENING BORDER SECURITY

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal
spending series was randomly assigned.
Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two
possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):
   K2a, K2b, K2c, K2d, K2e, K2f, K2g, K2h
   K2a, K2g, K2c, K2d, K2e, K2f, K2h, K2b
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for Pre K1 series

M023057       K2f. Aid to Blacks - Federal Spending
             Numeric
             Missing eq 0, ge 8

K2f.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K2 SERIES:

(What about)
aid to blacks?

(Should federal spending on aid to blacks be INCREASED,
ANES2000TO2004MERGED_VAR.TXT

DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: AID TO BLACKS

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal spending series was randomly assigned. Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):

K2a, K2b, K2c, K2d, K2e, K2f, K2g, K2h
K2a, K2g, K2c, K2d, K2e, K2f, K2h, K2b

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for Pre K1 series

--------------------
M023058 K2g. Infant Mortality—Federal Spending
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

K2g.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K2 SERIES:

(What about) preventing infant mortality?

(Should federal spending on preventing infant mortality be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: PREVENTING INFANT MORTALITY

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal spending series was randomly assigned. Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):

K2a, K2b, K2c, K2d, K2e, K2f, K2g, K2h
K2a, K2g, K2c, K2d, K2e, K2f, K2h, K2b

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for Pre K1 series

==============================
M023059a  K2h1. Early Ed For Poor- Federal Spend
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8
K2h1.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K2 SERIES:  
IF R SELECTED FOR 'POOR CHILDREN' WORDING

(What about)
[pre-school and early education for poor children/  
pre-school and early education for black children]?

(Should federal spending on [pre-school and early education for poor children/  
pre-school and early education for black children] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: EARLY EDUCATION FOR POOR CHILDREN

1/2 of K2h respondents (1/4 sample each) were administered each wording.

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal spending series was randomly assigned.
Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):

K2a,K2b,K2c,K2d,K2e,K2f,K2g,K2h
K2a,K2g,K2c,K2d,K2e,K2f,K2h,K2b

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for Pre K1 series; R selected for alternate wording

==============================
M023059b  K2h2. Early Ed For Blacks- Federal Spend
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8
K2h2.

IF R SELECTED FOR PRE K2 SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'BLACK CHILDREN' WORDING

(What about)
[pre-school and early education for poor children/
pre-school and early education for black children]?

(Should federal spending on [pre-school and early education
for poor children/ pre-school and early education for black
children] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: EARLY EDUCATION FOR BLACK CHILDREN

--------------------------------------------------------------------------
1/2 of K2h respondents (1/4 sample each) were administered each
wording.

Administration of K1 Federal spending series or K2 Federal
spending series was randomly assigned.
Individual K1 spending items were administered in one of two
possible orders following K1a (highways fixed as 1st):
K2a,K2b,K2c,K2d,K2e,K2f,K2g,K2h
K2a,K2g,K2c,K2d,K2e,K2f,K2h,K2b
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely (VOL)
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
INAP.

R selected for Pre K1 series; R selected for alternate wording

-----------------------------
M023060       L1a. Last 20 Yrs Change in Inc Inequal
       Numeric
       Missing eq 0, ge 8

L1a.

Now on a different topic.
Do you think the difference in incomes between rich people and
poor people in the United States today is LARGER, SMALLER, or
ABOUT THE SAME as it was 20 years ago?

--------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Larger
3. Smaller
5. About the same
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

==============================================
M023061   L1a1. How Much Change in Income Inequal
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

L1a1.

IF R SAYS GAP BETWEEN RICH AND POOR LARGER THAN 20 YEARS AGO:/
IF R SAYS GAP BETWEEN RICH AND POOR SMALLER THAN 20 YEARS AGO:

(Would you say the difference in incomes is) MUCH
[larger/smaller] or SOMEWHAT [larger/smaller]?
=====================================================================
1. Much larger
2. Somewhat larger
3. About the same (3 in L1a)
4. Somewhat smaller
5. Much smaller

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
5,8,9,0 in L1a

==============================================
M023062   L1a2. Is Change in Inc Inequal Good/Bad
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 7

L1a2.

IF R SAYS GAP BETWEEN RICH AND POOR LARGER THAN 20 YEARS AGO:/
IF R SAYS GAP BETWEEN RICH AND POOR SMALLER THAN 20 YEARS AGO:

Do you think this is A GOOD THING, A BAD THING, or haven't you
thought about it?
=====================================================================
1. Good thing
3. Bad thing

7. Haven't thought
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
5,8,9,0 in L1a

==============================================
M023063   L2a. Why do Some Have Better Jobs/Income
Numeric
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L2a.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2a ADMINISTRATION:

In America today, some people have BETTER JOBS and HIGHER INCOMES than others do. WHY do you think that is -- why do some Americans have better jobs and higher incomes than others do?

REASON FOR BETTER JOBS/HIGHER INCOME

This variable flags cases with text recorded whether or not the text itself represents substantive response or opinion (including e.g. digressions, statement of no opinion or refusal etc.). Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

- L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income
- L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income
- L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income
- L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Text present
5. No text present

INAP.
R not selected for L2a administration

M023064 L2b. Why do Some Have Worse Jobs/Income
Numeric

L2b.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2b ADMINISTRATION:

In America today, some people have WORSE JOBS and LOWER INCOMES than others do. WHY do you think that is -- why do some Americans have worse jobs and lower incomes than others do?

REASON FOR WORSE JOBS/LOWER INCOME

This variable flags cases with text recorded whether or not the text itself represents substantive response or opinion (including e.g. digressions, statement of no opinion or refusal etc.). Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:

- L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income
- L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income
- L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income
- L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.
INAP.
R not selected for L2b administration

==============================

L2c.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2c ADMINISTRATION:

Next, we'd like to know WHY you think it is, that in America today, some people have BETTER JOBS and HIGHER INCOMES than others do. I'm going to read you some possible explanations, and I want you to tell me how IMPORTANT you think each is.

REASON FOR BETTER JOBS/INCOME: IN-BORN ABILITY TO LEARN

1. Two orderings L2c series items were possible:
   L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7 OR L2c2,c4,c5,c6,c3,c1,c7
2. Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
   L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income
   L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income
   L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income
   L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income
The closed list for L2c consists of questions L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7; the L2d closed list consists of L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7.
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
M023065b

L2c2. Why Bett Jobs: discrimination

Numeric

Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2c2.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2c ADMINISTRATION:

[The first is:/(Next:)]

'Because discrimination holds some people back.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have better jobs and higher incomes than others do?)

REASON FOR BETTER JOBS/INCOME: DISCRIMINATION HOLDS BACK

------------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Two orderings L2c series items were possible:
   L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7 OR L2c2,c4,c5,c6,c3,c1,c7
2. Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
   L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income
   L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income
   L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income
   L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income

The closed list for L2c consists of questions L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7; the L2d closed list consists of L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7.

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R not selected for L2c administration

------------------------------------------------------------------------

M023065c

L2c3. Why Bett Jobs: don't work as hard

Numeric

Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2c3.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2c ADMINISTRATION:

[The first is:/(Next:)]
Because some people just don't work as hard.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have better jobs and higher incomes than others do ?)

REASON FOR BETTER JOBS/INCOME: SOME PEOPLE DON'T WORK AS HARD

1. Two orderings L2c series items were possible:
   L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7 OR L2c2,c4,c5,c6,c3,c1,c7
2. Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
   L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income
   L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income
   L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income
   L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income

   The closed list for L2c consists of questions L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7; the L2d closed list consists of L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7.

   Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede
   Pre survey variables, provide case level identification
   of randomization assigned.

   1. Very important
   3. Somewhat important
   5. Not important at all
   7. Statement isn't true {VOL}
   8. Don't know
   9. Refused
   0. NA

   INAP.
   R not selected for L2c administration

M023065d L2c4. Why Better Jobs: no chance at good ed
   Numeric
   Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2c4.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2c ADMINISTRATION:

[The first is:/(Next:)]

'Because some people don't get a chance to get a good education.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have better jobs and higher incomes than others do ?)

REASON FOR BETTER JOBS/INCOME: SOME HAVE NO CHANCE AT EDUCATION

1. Two orderings L2c series items were possible:
   L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7 OR L2c2,c4,c5,c6,c3,c1,c7
2. Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income
L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income
L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income
L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income
The closed list for L2c consists of questions L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7; the L2d closed list consists of L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7.
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
INAP.
R not selected for L2c administration

M023065e L2c5. Why Bett Jobs: choose low-pay jobs
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2c5.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2c ADMINISTRATION:

[The first is:/ (Next:)]
'Because some people just choose low-paying jobs.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMewhat important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have better jobs and higher incomes than others do?)

REASON FOR BETTER JOBS/INCOME: SOME PEOPLE CHOOSE LOW-PAY JOBS

1. Two orderings L2c series items were possible:
   L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7 OR L2c2,c4,c5,c6,c3,c1,c7
2. Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
   L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income
   L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income
   L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income
   L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income
The closed list for L2c consists of questions L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7; the L2d closed list consists of L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7.
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

R not selected for L2c administration

L2c6.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2c ADMINISTRATION:

'[The first is://(Next:)]
'Because government policies have helped high-income workers more.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have better jobs and higher incomes than others do?)

REASON FOR BETTER JOBS/INCOME: GOV'T POLICIES

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true (VOL)

L2c7.

R not selected for L2c administration
L2c7.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2c ADMINISTRATION:

[The first is://(Next:)]
'Because God made people different from one another.'

Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have better jobs and higher incomes than others do?

REASON FOR BETTER JOBS/INCOME: GOD MADE PEOPLE DIFFERENT

1. Two orderings L2c series items were possible:
   L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7 OR L2c2,c4,c5,c6,c3,c1,c7
2. Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
   L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income
   L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income
   L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income
   L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income

The closed list for L2c consists of questions L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7; the L2d closed list consists of L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7.

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R not selected for L2c administration

L2d.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2d ADMINISTRATION:

Next, we'd like to know WHY you think it is, that in America today, some people have WORSE JOBS and LOWER INCOMES than others do. I'm going to read you some possible explanations, and I want you to tell me how IMPORTANT you think each is.

M023066a       L2d1. Why Wrse Jobs:more able to learn
                   Numeric
                   Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2d1.
IF R SELECTED FOR L2d ADMINISTRATION:

[The first is:/(Next:)]
'Because some people have more in-born ability to learn.'

Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have worse jobs and lower incomes than others do?

REASON FOR WORSE JOBS/INCOME: IN-BORN ABILITY TO LEARN

Two orderings L2d series items were possible:
L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7
L2d2,d4,d5,d6,d3,d1,d7
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income
L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income
L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income
L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income
The closed list for L2c consists of questions L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7; the closed list for L2d consists of questions L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7.
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R not selected for L2d administration

L2d2.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2d ADMINISTRATION:

[The first is:/(Next:)]
'Because discrimination holds some people back.'

Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have worse jobs and lower incomes than others do?

REASON FOR WORSE JOBS/INCOME: DISCRIMINATION HOLDS BACK
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Two orderings L2d series items were possible:
L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7
L2d2,d4,d5,d6,d3,d1,d7
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income
L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income
L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income
L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income
The closed list for L2c consists of questions L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5, c6,c7; the closed list for L2d consists of questions L2d1,d2,d3, d4,d5,d6,d7.
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R not selected for L2d administration

M023066c        L2d3. Why Wrse Jobs:some don't work hard
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2d3.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2d ADMINISTRATION:

[The first is:/ (Next:)]
'Because some people just don't work as hard.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why
some people have worse jobs and lower incomes than others
do?)

REASON FOR WORSE JOBS/INCOME: SOME PEOPLE DON'T WORK AS HARD

Two orderings L2d series items were possible:
L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7
L2d2,d4,d5,d6,d3,d1,d7
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income
L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income
L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income
L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income
The closed list for L2c consists of questions L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5, c6,c7; the closed list for L2d consists of questions L2d1,d2,d3, d4,d5,d6,d7.
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R not selected for L2d administration

M023066d  L2d4. Why Wrse Jobs: no chance at good ed
          Numeric
          Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2d4.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2d ADMINISTRATION:

[The first is://(Next:)]
'Because some people don't get a chance to get a good education.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have worse jobs and lower incomes than others do?)

REASON FOR WORSE JOBS/INCOME: SOME HAVE NO CHANCE AT EDUCATION

Two orderings L2d series items were possible:
L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7
L2d2,d4,d5,d6,d3,d1,d7

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income
L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income
L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income
L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income

The closed list for L2c consists of questions L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5, c6,c7; the closed list for L2d consists of questions L2d1,d2,d3, d4,d5,d6,d7.

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
M023066e  L2d5. Why Wrse Jobs:choose low-pay jobs  
          Numeric  
          Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2d5.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2d ADMINISTRATION:

[The first is://(Next:)]
'BBecause some people just choose low-paying jobs.'
(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT  
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why  
some people have worse jobs and lower incomes than others  
do ?)

REASON FOR WORSE JOBS/INCOME: SOME PEOPLE CHOOSE LOW-PAY JOBS

Two orderings L2d series items were possible:
L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7
L2d2,d4,d5,d6,d3,d1,d7
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income
L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income
L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income
L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income
The closed list for L2c consists of questions L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,  
c6,c7; the closed list for L2d consists of questions L2d1,d2,d3,  
d4,d5,d6,d7.

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.  
R not selected for L2d administration

M023066f  L2d6. Why Wrse Jobs:govt policies  
          Numeric  
          Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2d6.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2d ADMINISTRATION:
'Because government policies have helped high-income workers more.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have worse jobs and lower incomes than others do?)

REASON FOR WORSE JOBS/INCOME: GOV'T POLICIES
-------------------------------------------

Two orderings L2d series items were possible:
L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7
L2d2,d4,d5,d6,d3,d1,d7
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income
L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income
L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income
L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income
The closed list for L2c consists of questions L2c1,c2,c3,c4,c5,c6,c7; the closed list for L2d consists of questions L2d1,d2,d3,d4,d5,d6,d7.
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R not selected for L2d administration

-----------------------------
M023066g L2d7. Why Wrse Jobs:God made some differ
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2d7.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2d ADMINISTRATION:

[The first is:/(Next:)]
'Because God made people different from one another.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have worse jobs and lower incomes than others do?)

REASON FOR WORSE JOBS/INCOME: GOD MADE PEOPLE DIFFERENT
-------------------------------------------
Two orderings L2d series items were possible:
L2d1, d2, d3, d4, d5, d6, d7
L2d2, d4, d5, d6, d3, d1, d7
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
L2a - open-end reason some people have better jobs/income
L2b - open-end reason some people have worse jobs/income
L2c - closed list reasons some people have better jobs/income
L2d - closed list reasons some people have worse jobs/income
The closed list for L2d consists of questions L2c1, c2, c3, c4, c5, c6, c7; the closed list for L2d consists of questions L2d1, d2, d3, d4, d5, d6, d7.
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R not selected for L2d administration

-----------------------------
M023067 L9a. Party Better for Poor People
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

L9a.

Which political party do you think is generally better for [poor/rich] people - the DEMOCRATS, the REPUBLICANS, or is there NOT MUCH DIFFERENCE between them?

PARTY R THINKS IS BETTER FOR POOR PEOPLE

-----------------------------

L9a-L9b are randomly assigned to have a 1/2 sample administered with "poor" first and "rich" second. The other 1/2 sample administered reverse wording order ("rich" first and "poor" second).
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Democrats
3. Republicans
5. Not much difference

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

-----------------------------
L9b. Party Better for Rich People

And which party do you think is generally better for [rich/poor] people - the DEMOCRATS, the REPUBLICANS, or is there NOT MUCH DIFFERENCE between them?

PARTY R THINKS IS BETTER FOR RICH PEOPLE

L9a-L9b are randomly assigned to have a 1/2 sample administered with "poor" first and "rich" second. The other 1/2 sample administered reverse wording order ("rich" first and "poor" second).

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Democrats
3. Republicans
5. Not much difference
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

L11. 

Next are a few questions about federal income taxes.

L11a. Do you feel you are asked to pay MORE THAN YOU SHOULD in federal income taxes, about the RIGHT AMOUNT, or LESS THAN YOU SHOULD?

1. More than should pay
3. About right
5. Less than should pay
7. Don't pay at all {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
L11b.

What about rich people? Do you feel rich people are asked to pay MORE THAN THEY SHOULD in federal income taxes, about the RIGHT AMOUNT, or LESS THAN THEY SHOULD?

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. More than should pay
3. About right
5. Less than should pay
7. Don't pay at all {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

L11c.

What about poor people? Do you feel poor people are asked to pay MORE THAN THEY SHOULD in federal income taxes, about the RIGHT AMOUNT, or LESS THAN THEY SHOULD?

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. More than should pay
3. About right
5. Less than should pay
7. Don't pay at all {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

M1a.
Over the last year, investigations into a number of large corporations such as Enron have found top executives to have exaggerated profits through shady accounting procedures. The executives received huge bonuses, but their companies went bankrupt and workers lost their jobs and retirement savings.

How much attention would you say you've paid to those stories -- QUITE A LOT, SOME, JUST A LITTLE, or NONE AT ALL?

1. Quite a lot
2. Some
3. Just a little
4. None
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

M023073 M1a2. Made R Angry- Corporate Scandals
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M1a2.

IF R PAID ATTENTION TO CORPORATE SCANDALS:

Have these stories ever made you angry?

1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
4,8,9,0 in M1a

M023074 M1a3. How Many COs Affected by Scandal
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 7

M1a3.

IF R PAID ATTENTION TO CORPORATE SCANDALS:

How common do you think this problem is today: would you say it affects NEARLY ALL large U.S. companies, MANY, SOME, or JUST A FEW?

1. Nearly all
2. Many
3. Some
M023075 Mld. Which Pty Bett to Deal w/ Corp Scan
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Mld.

Which party do you think would do a better job making sure that these problems do not continue to happen, the [DEMOCRATS/REPUBLICANS], the [REPUBLICANS/DEMOCRATS], or WOULDN'T THERE BE MUCH DIFFERENCE between them?

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered Pre question Mld (party performance on corporate scandals) with either "Democrats" or "Republicans" read first. Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Democrats
3. Republicans
5. Wouldn't be much difference
7. Neither {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

M023076 M2a. Favor 2001 Tax Cut-Combined Wording
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M2a.

As you may recall, [Congress passed/President Bush signed] a big tax cut last year. Did you FAVOR or OPPOSE the tax cut, or is this something you haven't thought about?

FAVOR/OPPOSE TAX CUT: COMBINED WORDING

This combines data from M2a1 and M2a2. See notes M2a1/M2a2.

01. Favor
05. Oppose
07. Other/depends/neither {VOL} {SPECIFY}
As you may recall, [Congress passed/President Bush signed] a big tax cut last year. Did you FAVOR or OPPOSE the tax cut, or is this something you haven't thought about?

FAVOR/OPPOSE TAX CUT: CONGRESS WORDING

Respondents were randomly assigned to alternate wording in the M2 tax cut series (all questions in the series were randomly assigned to the same wording). Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

01. Favor
05. Oppose
07. Other/depends/neither {VOL} {SPECIFY}

08. Don't know
09. Refused
00. NA
90. Haven't thought about

INAP.
R not selected for this wording

---

As you may recall, [Congress passed/President Bush signed] a big tax cut last year. Did you FAVOR or OPPOSE the tax cut, or is this something you haven't thought about?

FAVOR/OPPOSE TAX CUT: PRESIDENT BUSH WORDING

Respondents were randomly assigned to alternate wording in the M2 tax cut series (all questions in the series were...
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

01. Favor
05. Oppose
07. Other/depends/neither {VOL} {SPECIFY}

08. Don't know
09. Refused
00. NA
90. Haven't thought about

INAP.
R not selected for this wording

M023077         M2b. How Much Favor/Opp Tax Cut
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M2b.

IF R FAVORED TAX CUT LAST YEAR:/
IF R OPPOSED TAX CUT LAST YEAR:

Did you [favor/oppose] the tax cut STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

See notes M2a1/M2a2.

1. Strongly
5. Not strongly

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
7,8,9,90,0 in M2a

M023077x        M2bx. Summary 2001 Tax Cut:Combined Word
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 6

M2bx.

As you may recall, [Congress passed/President Bush signed] a big tax cut last year. Did you FAVOR or OPPOSE the tax cut, or is this something you haven't thought about? Did you [favor/oppose] the tax cut STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

SUMMARY - APPROVE LAST YEAR'S TAX CUT (COMBINED WORDING)

Built from M2a and M2b.
See notes M2a1/M2a2.
1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly

6. Other; depends; neither (7 in M2a)
7. Haven't thought about it (90 in M2a)
8. Don't know in M2a or M2b
9. Refused in M2a or M2b
0. NA in M2a or M2b

M023078   M2c. Dem Pty Fav 2001 Tax Cut:Comb Word
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M2c.

Do you happen to remember - did the Democratic Party FAVOR or
OPPOSE the tax cut that [Congress passed/President Bush
signed] last year?

DEMOCRATIC PARTY FAVOR TAX CUT: COMBINED WORDING

This combines data from M2c1 and M2c2.
See notes M2c1/M2c2.

01. Favor
05. Oppose
07. Other {VOL} {SPECIFY}

08. Don't know
09. Refused
00. NA
80. Don't remember {VOL}

M023078a   M2c1. Dem Pty Fav 2001 Tax Cut:Cong Word
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M2c1.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'CONGRESS' WORDING:

Do you happen to remember - did the Democratic Party FAVOR or
OPPOSE the tax cut that [Congress passed/President Bush
signed] last year?

DEMOCRATIC PARTY FAVOR TAX CUT - CONGRESS WORDING

Respondents were randomly assigned to alternate wording
in the M2 tax cut series (all questions in the series wee
randomly assigned to the same wording).
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

01. Favor
05. Oppose
07. Other {VOL} {SPECIFY}

08. Don't know
09. Refused
00. NA
80. Don't remember {VOL}

INAP.
R not selected for this wording

M023078b M2c2. Dem Pty Fav 2001 Tax Cut:Pres Word
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M2c2.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'PRESIDENT BUSH' WORDING:

Do you happen to remember – did the Democratic Party FAVOR or
OPPOSE the tax cut that [Congress passed/President Bush
signed] last year?

DEMOCRATIC PARTY FAVOR TAX CUT - BUSH WORDING

Respondents were randomly assigned to alternate wording
in the M2 tax cut series (all questions in the series were
randomly assigned to the same wording).
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede
pre survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

01. Favor
05. Oppose
07. Other {VOL} {SPECIFY}

08. Don't know
09. Refused
00. NA
80. Don't remember {VOL}

INAP.
R not selected for this wording

M023079 M2e. Rep Pty Fav 2001 Tax Cut:Comb Word
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M2e.

What about the Republican Party – did the Republican Party
FAVOR or OPPOSE the tax cut that [Congress passed/President
Bush signed] last year?
REPUBLICAN PARTY FAVOR TAX CUT: COMBINED WORDING

This combines data from M2el and M2e2. See notes M2el/M2e2.

01. Favor
05. Oppose
07. Other  {VOL} {SPECIFY}

08. Don't know
09. Refused
00. NA
80. Don't remember {VOL}

M023079a  M2el. Rep Pty Fav 2001 Tax Cut:Cong Word
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M2el.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'CONGRESS' WORDING:

What about the Republican Party - did the Republican Party FAVOR or OPPOSE the tax cut that [Congress passed/President Bush signed] last year?

REPUBLICAN PARTY FAVOR TAX CUT - CONGRESS WORDING

Respondents were randomly assigned to alternate wording in the M2 tax cut series (all questions in the series were randomly assigned to the same wording). Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

01. Favor
05. Oppose
07. Other  {VOL} {SPECIFY}

08. Don't know
09. Refused
00. NA
80. Don't remember {VOL}

INAP.
R not selected for this wording

M023079b  M2e2. Rep Pty Fav 2001 Tax Cut:Pres Word
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M2e2.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'PRESIDENT BUSH' WORDING:
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What about the Republican Party - did the Republican Party FAVOR or OPPOSE the tax cut that [Congress passed/President Bush signed] last year?

REPUBLICAN PARTY FAVOR TAX CUT - BUSH WORDING
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Respondents were randomly assigned to alternate wording in the M2 tax cut series (all questions in the series were randomly assigned to the same wording).
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.Cl), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

01. Favor
05. Oppose
07. Other  {VOL} {SPECIFY}
08. Don't know
09. Refused
00. NA
80. Don't remember {VOL}
INAP.
R not selected for this wording

M023080 M2n. How Important is Tax Cut Issue
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M2n.
How important is this issue to you personally - VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL?

IMPORTANCE OF TAX CUT TO R
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
See notes M2a1/M2a2.
1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

M023081 M2p. Has Tax Cut Issue Made R Angry
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M2p.
Has this issue ever made you angry?
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TAX CUT EVER MADE R ANGRY

See notes M2a1/M2a2.

1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

M023082 N1. Is Religion Important to R
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

N1.

Now, on another topic. . .
Do you consider religion to be an IMPORTANT part of your life, or NOT?

1. Important
5. Not important
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

M023083 N1a. Religion Provide Guidance to R
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

N1a.

IF R CONSIDERS RELIGION IMPORTANT:

Would you say your religion provides SOME guidance in your day-to-day living, QUITE A BIT of guidance, or A GREAT DEAL of guidance in your day-to-day life?

1. Some
3. Quite a bit
5. A great deal
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
0,5,8,9 in N1
Lots of things come up that keep people from attending religious services even if they want to. Thinking about your life these days, do you ever attend religious services, apart from occasional weddings, baptisms, or funerals?

1. Yes  
5. No  
8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

IF R EVER ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:

Do you go to religious services EVERY WEEK, ALMOST EVERY WEEK, ONCE OR TWICE A MONTH, A FEW TIMES A YEAR, or NEVER?

1. Every week  
2. Almost every week  
3. Once or twice a month  
4. A few times a year  
5. Never  
8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP.  
5,8,9,0 in N3

IF R EVER ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:  
IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES EVERY WEEK:

Would you say you go to religious services ONCE A WEEK or MORE OFTEN THAN ONCE A WEEK?
2. More often than once a week

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
5,8,9,0 in N3; 2-5,8,9,0 in N3a

M023087 N4. Activities At Place of Worship
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

N4.

Aside from attending services, in the PAST SIX MONTHS have you been an active member at your place of worship - I mean, have you done things like serve on committees, give time for special projects, or help organize meetings?

1. Yes
5. No

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

M023088 N4a. Plan/Chair Meeting at Place Worship
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

N4a.

IF R REPORTS BEING AN ACTIVE MEMBER AT R'S PLACE OF WORSHIP:

As part of these activities, have you planned or chaired a meeting (in the last six months)?

1. Yes
5. No

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
5,8,9,0 in N4

M023089 N4b. Presentation at Place Worship
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

N4b.
With R's Place of Worship:

(As part of these activities, have you given a presentation or speech (in the last six months)?)

1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
5, 8, 9, 0 in N4

-----------------------------

P1.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:

Now for some other questions. Next, we'd like to know WHY you think it is, that in America today, [blacks/whites] tend to have [WORSE/BETTER] JOBS and [LOWER/HIGHER] INCOMES than [whites/blacks] do. I'm going to read you some possible explanations, and I want you to tell me how IMPORTANT you think each is.

[The first is:/(Next:)]

'Because whites have more in-born ability to learn'.

Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [blacks/whites] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [whites/blacks] do ?)

WHITES BETTER JOBS: MORE IN-BORN ABILITY TO LEARN

-----------------------------

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.
Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m
Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P1 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:
P1a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P1b,d,e,f,c,a,g
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for income inequality by gender series P2; R selected for alternate wording for income inequality by race

M023090a   P1a2. Blacks Wrse Jobs: less able to lrn
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Pla2.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'BLACKS WORSE JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is://(Next:)]
'Because whites have more in-born ability to learn'.

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [blacks/ whites] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [whites/blacks] do ?)

BLACKS WORSE JOBS: MORE IN-BORN ABILITY TO LEARN

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.
Series 1: Pla1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m
Series 2: Pla2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m
Reasons for inequality by race (either P1 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:
Pla,b,c,d,e,f,g OR Plb,d,e,f,c,a,g
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
INAP.
R selected for income inequality by gender series P2; R
selected for alternate wording for income inequality by race

============================================
M023091         P1b1. Whites BETTER Jobs: discrimination
               Numeric
               Missing eq 0, ge 8

P1b1.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'WHITES BETTER JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]
'Because discrimination holds blacks back'.

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why
[blacks/ whites] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and
[lower/higher] incomes than [whites/blacks] do ?)

WHITES BETTER JOBS: DISCRIMINATION HOLDS BLACKS BACK

------------------------------------------------------------------------
Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income
inequality series.
  Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m
  Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m
  Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
  Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m
Reasons for inequality by race (either P1 series) were
administered in one of two possible orders:
  P1a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P1b,d,e,f,c,a,g
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for income inequality by gender series P2; R
selected for alternate wording for income inequality by race

============================================
M023091a        P1b2. Blacks WORSE Jobs:discrimination
               Numeric
               Missing eq 0, ge 8

P1b2.
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Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.
Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m
Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,g1 ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P1 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:
Pla,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P1b,d,e,f,c,a,g
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true (VOL)
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for income inequality by gender series P2; R selected for alternate wording for income inequality by race

-----------------------------
M023092 Plcl. Whites Bett Jobs:blacks don't work
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Plc1.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:
 IF R SELECTED FOR 'WHITES BETTER JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is://(Next:)]
'Because blacks just don't work as hard'.

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [blacks/ whites] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [whites/blacks] do ?)

WHITES BETTER JOBS: BLACKS JUST DON'T WORK AS HARD
Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m
Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P1 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:

Pla,b,c,d,e,f,g OR Plb,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by gender series P2; R selected for alternate wording for income inequality by race

-----------------------------

M023092a P1c2. Blacks Wrse Jobs:blacks don't work
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

P1c2.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'BLACKS WORSE JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/ (Next:)]
'Because blacks just don't work as hard'.

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMewhat important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [blacks/ whites] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [whites/blacks] do?)

BLACKS WORSE JOBS: BLACKS JUST DON'T WORK AS HARD

-----------------------------

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m
Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P1 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:

Pla,b,c,d,e,f,g OR Plb,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede
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Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by gender series P2; R selected for alternate wording for income inequality by race

M023093 P1d1. Whites Bett Jobs:chance at educ
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

P1d1.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'WHITES BETTER JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is://(Next:)]
'Because blacks don't get a chance to get a good education'.

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [blacks/whites] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [whites/blacks] do?)

WHITES BETTER JOBS: BLACKS DON'T GET CHANCE AT GOOD EDUC.

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m
Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P1 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:
P1d,e,f,g OR P1b,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.
Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m
Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P1 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:
   Pla,b,c,d,e,f,g OR Plb,d,e,f,c,a,g
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for income inequality by gender series P2; R selected for alternate wording for income inequality by race

======================================================================
WHITES BETTER JOBS: BLACKS JUST CHOOSE LOW-PAYING JOBS

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.
Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m
Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P1 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:
P1a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P1b,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

R selected for income inequality by gender series P2; R selected for alternate wording for income inequality by race

========================================================

M023094a  P1e2. Blacks Wrse Jobs:blacks opt lowpay
             Numeric
             Missing eq 0, ge 8

P1e2.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:

IF R SELECTED FOR 'BLACKS WORSE JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]
'Because blacks just choose low-paying jobs'.

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [blacks/ whites] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [whites/blacks] do ?)

BLACKS WORSE JOBS: BLACKS JUST CHOOSE LOW-PAYING JOBS

-----------------------------------------------
Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m
Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P1 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:
Pla,b,c,d,e,f,g OR Plb,d,e,f,c,a,g
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true (VOL)
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by gender series P2; R selected for alternate wording for income inequality by race

M023095 P1f1. Whites Bett Jobs: govt policies
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

P1f1.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'WHITES BETTER JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]
'Because government policies have helped whites more'.

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMewhat important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [blacks/ whites] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [whites/blacks] do?)

WHITES BETTER JOBS: GOV'T POLICIES HELP WHITES MORE

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m
Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P1 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:
Pla,b,c,d,e,f,g OR Plb,d,e,f,c,a,g
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede
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Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
2. Somewhat important
3. Not important at all
4. Statement isn't true (VOL)
5. Don't know
6. Refused
7. NA

INAP.
R selected for income inequality by gender series P2; R selected for alternate wording for income inequality by race

-----------------------------------------------
M023095a  P1f2. Blacks Wrse Jobs:govt policies
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

P1f2.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'BLACKS WORSE JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:] (Next:)
'Because government policies have helped whites more'.

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [blacks/ whites] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [whites/blacks] do ?)

BLACKS WORSE JOBS: GOV'T POLICIES HELP WHITES MORE

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.
Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m
Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P1 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:
P1a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P1b,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
2. Somewhat important
3. Not important at all
4. Statement isn't true (VOL)
5. Don't know
6. Refused
7. NA
INAP.
R selected for income inequality by gender series P2; R
selected for alternate wording for income inequality by race

===================================
M023096  Plgl. Whites Bett Jobs:God made some dif
Missing Numeric eq 0, ge 8

Plgl.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'WHITES BETTER JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]
'Because God made people different from one another'.

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why
[blacks/ whites] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and
[lower/higher] incomes than [whites/blacks] do ?)

WHITES BETTER JOBS: GOD MADE PEOPLE DIFFERENT

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income
inequality series.
Series 1: Pla1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), Plj,k,m
Series 2: Pla2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), Plj,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m
Reasons for inequality by race (either P1 series) were
administered in one of two possible orders:
Pla,b,c,d,e,f,g OR Plb,d,e,f,c,a,g
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for income inequality by gender series P2; R
selected for alternate wording for income inequality by race

===================================
M023096a  Plg2. Blacks Wrse Jobs:God made some dif
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Plg2.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'BLACKS WORSE JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:
"Because God made people different from one another'.

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [blacks/whites] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [whites/blacks] do ?)

BLACKS WORSE JOBS: GOD MADE PEOPLE DIFFERENT

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.
Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m
Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P1 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:
Pla,b,c,d,e,f,g OR Plb,d,e,f,c,a,g
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
INAP.
R selected for income inequality by gender series P2; R selected for alternate wording for income inequality by race

M023097 Plj. Blacks same Chance at Fair Trial
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Plj.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:

Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with the following: 'In the U.S. today, a black person has the same chance of getting a fair trial as a white person does.' Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE?

This question was asked of respondents assigned to either series for income inequality by race (P1)
Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.
Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m
Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Agree
3. Neither agree nor disagree
5. Disagree
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for gender inequality series P2

M023098       P1k. Which party better for Whites
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

P1k.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:

Which political party do you think is generally better for
[white/black] people - the DEMOCRATS, the REPUBLICANS, or is
there NOT MUCH DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THEM?

PARTY BETTER FOR WHITES

P1k-P1m are randomly assigned to have a 1/2 sample administered
with "white" first (in P1k) and "black" second (in P1m). The
other 1/2 sample administered reverse wording order ("black" in
P1k and "white" in P1m)

This question was asked of respondents assigned to either
series for income inequality by race (P1)
Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income
inequality series.
Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m
Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Democrats
3. Republicans
5. Not much difference between them
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for gender inequality series P2

-------------------------------
M023099  P1m. Which party better for Blacks
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

P1m.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE SERIES:

And which party do you think is generally better for [black/white] people - the DEMOCRATS, the REPUBLICANS, or is there NOT MUCH DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THEM?

PARTY BETTER FOR BLACKS

-------------------------------------------------------------------------

Plk-Plm are randomly assigned to have a 1/2 sample administered with "white" first (in Plk) and "black" second (in Plm). The other 1/2 sample administered reverse wording order ("black" in Plk and "white" in Plm)

This question was asked of respondents assigned to either series for income inequality by race (P1) Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.
Series 1: Pla1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), Plj,k,m
Series 2: Pla2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), Plj,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Democrats
3. Republicans
5. Not much difference between them
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for gender inequality series P2

-------------------------------

P2.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:

Now for some other questions. Next, we'd like to know WHY you think it is, that in America today, [women/men] tend to have [WORSE/BETTER] JOBS and [LOWER/HIGHER] INCOMES than [men/women] do. I'm going to read you some possible explanations, and I want you to tell me how IMPORTANT you think each is.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------
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**M023100**  
P2a1. Women Wrse Jobs: less able to learn  
Numeric  
Missing eq 0, ge 8

P2a1.

**IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:**  
**IF R SELECTED FOR 'WOMEN WORSE JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:**

[The first is://(Next:)]

'Because men have more in-born ability to learn'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT  
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why  
[women/men] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and  
[lower/higher] incomes than [men/women] do?)

**REASON WOMEN WORSE JOBS: MEN HAVE MORE IN-BORN ABILITY TO LEARN**

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income  
inequality series.  
Series 1: P1a1, b1, c1, d1, e1, f1, g1 ('whites better'), P1j, k, m  
Series 2: P1a2, b2, c2, d2, e2, f2, g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j, k, m  
Series 3: P2a1, b1, c1, d1, e1, f1, g1. ('women worse'), P2j, k, m  
Series 4: P2a2, b2, c2, d2, e2, f2, g2 ('men better'), P2j, k, m  
Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were  
administered in one of two possible orders:  
P2a, b, c, d, e, f, g OR P2b, d, e, f, c, a, g  
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede  
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification  
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important  
3. Somewhat important  
5. Not important at all  
7. Statement isn't true (VOL)

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA  

INAP.  
R selected for income inequality by race series P1; R  
selected for alternate wording for income inequality by gender  

**-----------------------------**  
M023100a  
P2a2. Men Bett Jobs: more able to learn  
Numeric  
Missing eq 0, ge 8

P2a2.

**IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:**  
**IF R SELECTED FOR 'MEN BETTER JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:**

[The first is://(Next:)]
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'Because men have more in-born ability to learn'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [women/ men] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [men/women] do ?)

REASON MEN BETTER JOBS: MEN HAVE MORE IN-BORN ABILITY TO LEARN

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m
Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:
P2a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P2b,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true (VOL)

INAP.
R selected for income inequality by race series Pl; R
selected for alternate wording for income inequality by gender

P2b1. Women Wrse Jobs:discrimination
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'WOMEN WORSE JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]
'Because discrimination holds women back'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [women/ men] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [men/women] do ?)

REASON WOMEN WORSE JOBS: DISCRIMINATION HOLDS WOMEN BACK

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.
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Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

Series 1: Pla1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), Plj,k,m
Series 2: Pla2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), Plj,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:
P2a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P2b,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for income inequality by race series P1; R selected for alternate wording for income inequality by gender

******************************
M023101a    P2b2. Men Bett Jobs:discrimination
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

P2b2.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'MEN BETTER JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]
'Because discrimination holds women back'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMewhat important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [women/men] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [men/women] do ?)

REASON MEN BETTER JOBS: DISCRIMINATION HOLDS WOMEN BACK

--------------------------------------------------------------------------
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for income inequality by race series P1; R
selected for alternate wording for income inequality by gender

M023102      P2c1. Women Wrse Jobs: women don't work
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

P2c1.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'WOMEN WORSE JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]
'Because women just don't work as hard'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMETIME
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why
[women/ men] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and
[lower/higher] incomes than [men/women] do?)

REASON WOMEN WORSE JOBS: WOMEN JUST DON'T WORK AS HARD

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income
inequality series.
Series 1: Pla1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), Plj,k,m
Series 2: Pla2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), Plj,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m
Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were
administered in one of two possible orders:
P2a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P2b,d,e,f,c,a,g
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for income inequality by race series P1; R
selected for alternate wording for income inequality by gender
IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'MEN BETTER JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is://(Next:)]
'Because women just don't work as hard'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [women/ men] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [men/women] do ?)

REASON MEN BETTER JOBS: WOMEN JUST DON'T WORK AS HARD

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.
Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m
Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:
P2a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P2b,d,e,f,c,a,g
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for income inequality by race series P1; R selected for alternate wording for income inequality by gender

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'WOMEN WORSE JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is://(Next:)]
'Because women don't get a chance to get a good education'
Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.
Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m
Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:
P2a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P2b,d,e,f,c,a,g
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by race series P1; R selected for alternate wording for income inequality by gender

-----------------------------
M023103a        P2d2. Men Bett Jobs: chance at educ
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

P2d2.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'MEN BETTER JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]
'Because women don't get a chance to get a good education'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [women/ men] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [men/women] do ?)

REASON MEN BETTER JOBS: WOMEN DON'T GET CHANCE AT GOOD EDUCATION

-----------------------------
Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.
Series 1: P1a1, b1, c1, d1, e1, f1, g1 ('whites better'), P1j, k, m
Series 2: P1a2, b2, c2, d2, e2, f2, g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j, k, m
Series 3: P2a1, b1, c1, d1, e1, f1, g1. ('women worse'), P2j, k, m
Series 4: P2a2, b2, c2, d2, e2, f2, g2 ('men better'), P2j, k, m
Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:
P2a, b, c, d, e, f, g OR P2b, d, e, f, c, a, g
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true (VOL)
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for income inequality by race series P1; R
selected for alternate wording for income inequality by gender

M023104 P2e1. Women Wrse Jobs: women opt low pay
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

P2e1.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'WOMEN WORSE JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]
'Because women just choose low-paying jobs'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEBEHAT
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why
[women/ men] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and
[lower/higher] incomes than [men/women] do?)

REASON WOMEN WORSE JOBS: WOMEN JUST CHOOSE LOW-PAYING JOBS

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income
inequality series.
Series 1: P1a1, b1, c1, d1, e1, f1, g1 ('whites better'), P1j, k, m
Series 2: P1a2, b2, c2, d2, e2, f2, g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j, k, m
Series 3: P2a1, b1, c1, d1, e1, f1, g1. ('women worse'), P2j, k, m
Series 4: P2a2, b2, c2, d2, e2, f2, g2 ('men better'), P2j, k, m
Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were
administered in one of two possible orders:
P2a, b, c, d, e, f, g OR P2b, d, e, f, c, a, g
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for income inequality by race series P1; R
selected for alternate wording for income inequality by gender

============================================
M023104a        P2e2. Men Bett Jobs:women opt low pay
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

P2e2.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'MEN BETTER JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/ (Next:)]
'Because women just choose low-paying jobs'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why
[women/ men] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and
[lower/higher] incomes than [men/women] do ?)

REASON MEN BETTER JOBS: WOMEN JUST CHOOSE LOW-PAYING JOBS

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income
inequality series.
Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m
Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m
Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were
administered in one of two possible orders:
P2a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P2b,d,e,f,c,a,g
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for income inequality by race series P1; R
selected for alternate wording for income inequality by gender

============================================
P2f1.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'WOMEN WORSE JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is://(Next://)]
'Because government policies have helped men more'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMETHING IMPORTANT, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [women/men] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [men/women] do?)

REASON WOMEN WORSE JOBS: GOV'T POLICIES HELP MEN MORE

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.
Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m
Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:
P2a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P2b,d,e,f,c,a,g
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for income inequality by race series P1; R selected for alternate wording for income inequality by gender

P2f2.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'MEN BETTER JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is://(Next://)]
'Because government policies have helped men more'
Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [women/ men] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [men/women] do?

REASON MEN BETTER JOBS: GOV'T POLICIES HELP MEN MORE

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1, b1, c1, d1, e1, f1, g1 ('whites better'), P1j, k, m
Series 2: P1a2, b2, c2, d2, e2, f2, g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j, k, m
Series 3: P2a1, b1, c1, d1, e1, f1, g1. ('women worse'), P2j, k, m
Series 4: P2a2, b2, c2, d2, e2, f2, g2 ('men better'), P2j, k, m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:
P2a, b, c, d, e, f, g OR P2b, d, e, f, c, a, g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
2. Somewhat important
3. Not important at all
4. Statement isn't true (VOL)
5. Don't know
6. Refused
7. NA
8. INAP.

R selected for income inequality by race series P1; R selected for alternate wording for income inequality by gender

M023106 P2g1. Women Wrse Jobs: God made some diff
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

P2g1.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:

IF R SELECTED FOR 'WOMEN WORSE JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is:/(Next:)]
'Because God made people different from one another'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [women/ men] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [men/women] do?)

REASON WOMEN WORSE JOBS: GOD MADE PEOPLE DIFFERENT

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1, b1, c1, d1, e1, f1, g1 ('whites better'), P1j, k, m
Series 2: P1a2, b2, c2, d2, e2, f2, g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j, k, m
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Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m
Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:
P2a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P2b,d,e,f,c,a,g
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true (VOL)
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
INAP.
R selected for income inequality by race series P1; R selected for alternate wording for income inequality by gender

--------------------
M023106a  P2g2. Men Bett Jobs:God made some diff
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

P2g2.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:
IF R SELECTED FOR 'MEN BETTER JOBS-INCOME' WORDING:

[The first is://(Next:)]
'Because God made people different from one another'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why [women/ men] tend to have [worse/better] jobs and [lower/higher] incomes than [men/women] do ?)

REASON MEN BETTER JOBS: GOD MADE PEOPLE DIFFERENT

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.
Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m
Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m
Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:
P2a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P2b,d,e,f,c,a,g
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
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Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with the following: 'In the U.S. today, a woman has the same chance of a getting a fair trial as a man does.' Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE?

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m
Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:

P2a,b,c,d,e,F, g OR P2b,d,e,F,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Agree
3. Neither agree nor disagree
5. Disagree
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for income inequality by race series P1

Which political party do you think is generally better for women?
Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 race/gender income inequality series.

Series 1: P1a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1 ('whites better'), P1j,k,m
Series 2: P1a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('blacks worse'), P1j,k,m
Series 3: P2a1,b1,c1,d1,e1,f1,g1. ('women worse'), P2j,k,m
Series 4: P2a2,b2,c2,d2,e2,f2,g2 ('men better'), P2j,k,m

Reasons for inequality by race (either P2 series) were administered in one of two possible orders:

P2a,b,c,d,e,f,g OR P2b,d,e,f,c,a,g

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Democrats
3. Republicans
5. Not much difference between them
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for income inequality by race series P1

M023109 P2m. Which Party Better for Men
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

P2m.

IF R SELECTED FOR INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER SERIES:

And which party do you think is generally better for [men/women] - the DEMOCRATS, the REPUBLICANS, or is there NOT MUCH DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THEM?

PARTY BETTER FOR MEN
Now I'd like to ask you a few questions about the 2000 Presidential election.
In 2000 George W. Bush ran on the Republican ticket against Al Gore for the Democrats, and Ralph Nader as a third party candidate. Do you remember for sure whether or not you voted in that election?

(Please do not probe 'DK' responses)

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11.
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Yes, voted
5. No, didn't vote
8. Don't know
9. Refused
O. NA

INAP.
Fresh Cross-Section respondent
Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11. Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Al Gore
3. George W. Bush
5. Ralph Nader
7. Other {SPECIFY}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
Fresh Cross-Section respondent; 5,8,9 in Q1

M023112 Q2a. Remember 2000 Election Controversy
Numeric

Q2a.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

Whether or not you voted, you might remember that the 2000 presidential election ended in a big controversy. What do you remember most about that?

2000 ELECTION: OPENEND RESPONSE

This variable flags cases with text recorded whether or not the text itself represents substantive response or opinion (including e.g. digressions, statement of no opinion or refusal etc.).

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11. Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Text present
5. No text present

INAP.
Fresh Cross-Section respondent

M023113 Q2c. 2000 Pres Election Fair or Unfair
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q2c.
If 'panel' respondent:

All things considered, would you say that the 2000 presidential election was decided in a way that was FAIR or UNFAIR?

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11. Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Fair
5. Unfair

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

Fresh Cross-Section respondent

-------------------------------
M023114         Q2c1. How Fair/Unfair 2000 Election
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q2c1.

If 'panel' respondent:
If R FELT 2000 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION OUTCOME WAS FAIR /
If R FELT 2000 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION OUTCOME WAS UNFAIR:

Do you feel STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY that it was [fair/unfair]?

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11. Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Strongly
5. Not strongly

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

Fresh Cross-Section respondent; 8,9,0 in Q2c

-------------------------------
M023114x        Q2cx. Summary: 2000 Pres Elect Fair
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8
Q2cx.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

All things considered, would you say that the 2000 presidential election was decided in a way that was FAIR or UNFAIR? Do you feel STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY that it was [fair/unfair]?

SUMMARY: FAIRNESS OF 2000 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION

Built from Q2c and Q2c1.

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11.
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Strongly - feel that 2000 Presidential election was fair
2. Not strongly - feel that 2000 Presidential election was fair
3. Not strongly - feel that 2000 Presidential election was unfair
4. Strongly - feel that 2000 Presidential election was unfair

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
Fresh Cross-Section respondent

Q3.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

Now for another topic. As you know, on September 11th 2001, a group of terrorists took control of several U.S. commercial airplanes and crashed them into the World Trade Center in New York and the Pentagon in Washington.

INAP.
Fresh Cross-Section respondent

M023115    Q3b. Did R See World Trade Ctr Collapse
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q3b.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:
Did you happen to see the collapse of the World Trade Center towers on live television AS IT WAS ACTUALLY HAPPENING?

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11.

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Yes
5. No
7. R witnessed in person

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

Fresh Cross-Section respondent

Q3c. What Terrorists Wanted to Accom

If 'Panel' Respondent:

What do you think the terrorists were trying to accomplish by their actions?

9/11 TERRORISTS: OPENENDED RESPONSE

This variable flags cases with text recorded whether or not the text itself represents substantive response or opinion (including e.g. digressions, statement of no opinion or refusal etc.).

1. Text present
5. No text present

INAP.

Fresh Cross-Section respondent

Q3d.

If 'Panel' Respondent:

Now I'm going to read you a short list of explanations people have suggested for September 11. I would like you to tell me how IMPORTANT you think each is in explaining the September 11th attack.
INAP.
Fresh Cross-Section respondent

==============================
M023117a  Q3d1. 9/11 Reason - US Support Israel
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q3d1.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

[The first is://(What about:)]
'The United States' support of Israel.'

(Would you say that U.S. support of Israel is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for the terrorist attack on September 11?)

REASON FOR 9/11: US SUPPORT OF ISRAEL

--------------------------------------------------------------------------
For Pre 'panel' respondents, the Q3d series of questions (reasons for 9/11 attack) were asked in 1 of 2 possible orders, randomly assigned:
Q3d1,d2,d5,d6
Q3d5,d6,d1,d2

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11.
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true (VOL)
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
Fresh Cross-Section respondent

==============================
M023117b  Q3d2. 9/11 Reason - 1991 War w/ Iraq
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q3d2.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

[The first is://(What about:)]
'Desert Storm, the U.S. war against Iraq and Saddam Hussein in 1991'
(Would you say that Desert Storm is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for the terrorist attack on September 11?)

REASON FOR 9/11: DESERT STORM, US WAR AGAINST IRAQ IN 1991

For Pre 'panel' respondents, the Q3d series of questions (reasons for 9/11 attack) were asked in 1 of 2 possible orders, randomly assigned:

Q3d1,d2,d5,d6
Q3d5,d6,d1,d2

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11.

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

Fresh Cross-Section respondent

M023117c Q3d5. 9/11 Reason - Religious War Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q3d5.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

[The first is://(What about:)]
'To carry out a religious war'

(Would you say that a religious war is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for the terrorist attack on September 11?)

REASON FOR 9/11: TO CARRY OUT A RELIGIOUS WAR

For Pre 'panel' respondents, the Q3d series of questions (reasons for 9/11 attack) were asked in 1 of 2 possible orders, randomly assigned:

Q3d1,d2,d5,d6
Q3d5,d6,d1,d2

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the
Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11.

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

Fresh Cross-Section respondent

M023117d Q3d6. 9/11 Reason - US Immoral
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q3d6.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

[The first is:/(What about:)]
'Because the terrorists believe that America is immoral'

(Would you say that this belief about America is VERY important, SOMewhat important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for the terrorist attack on September 11?)

REASON FOR 9/11: TERRORISTS BELIEVE AMERICA IS IMMORAL

For Pre 'panel' respondents, the Q3 series of questions (reasons for 9/11 attack) were asked in 1 of 2 possible orders, randomly assigned:
Q3d1,d2,d5,d6
Q3d5,d6,d1,d2

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11.
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
M023118       Q3e. How Likely Another Terrorist Attack
Numeric
    Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q3e.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

How likely do you think it is that the U.S. will suffer an attack as serious as the one in New York and Washington some time in the next 12 months? Would you say VERY LIKELY, SOMEWHAT LIKELY, SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY, or VERY UNLIKELY?

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11. Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very Likely
2. Somewhat Likely
3. Somewhat Unlikely
4. Very Unlikely
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
Fresh Cross-Section respondent

M023119       Q3g. Appr/Dis Bush-9-11Resp/Terrorism
Numeric
    Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q3g.

All things considered, do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush [is handling the war on terrorism/has responded to the terrorist attack of September 11]? 

GEORGE W. BUSH APPROVAL COMBINED WORDING

This combines data from Q3g1 and Q3g2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings for Q3g (President Bush performance) in the Pre. Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11. Pre cases from the fresh
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Approve
5. Disapprove
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

M023119a Q3g1a. Appr/Dis Bush-War on Terrorism
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q3g1a.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'WAR ON TERRORISM' WORDING:

All things considered, do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush [is handling the war on terrorism/has responded to the terrorist attack of September 11]?

GEORGE W. BUSH APPROVAL - HANDLING WAR ON TERRORISM

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings for Q3g (President Bush performance) in the Pre.

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11. Pre cases from the fresh cross-section were asked only Q3g questions. Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Approve
5. Disapprove
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for alternate wording

M023119b Q3g1b. Appr/Dis Bush-9-11Response
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q3g1b.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'RESPONDED TO 9/11' WORDING:

All things considered, do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the
way George W. Bush [is handling the war on terrorism/has responded to the terrorist attack of September 11]?

GEORGE W. BUSH APPROVAL - RESPONSE TO TERRORIST ATTACKS
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings for Q3g (President Bush performance) in the Pre.

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11. Pre cases from the fresh cross-section were asked only Q3g questions.

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Approve
5. Disapprove
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for alternate wording

M023119c Q3g2. How Much App/Dis Bush-9-11/Terror
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q3g2.

IF R APPROVES GEORGE W. BUSH ON 9-11/WAR ON TERRORISM: /
IF R DISAPPROVES GEORGE W. BUSH ON 9-11/WAR ON TERRORISM:

(Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

See notes Q3g.

1. Strongly
5. Not Strongly
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
8,9,0 in Q3g

M023119x Q3gx. Summary:App Bush on Terrorism/9-11
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q3gx.
All things considered, do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush is handling the war on terrorism? (Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

SUMMARY: APPROVE BUSH ON TERRORISM/SEPT 11 RESPONSE (COMBINED)

Built from Q3g1 and Q3g2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings for Q3g (President Bush performance) in the Pre.

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11. Pre cases from the fresh cross-section were asked only Q3g questions.

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

M023119y Q3gx1. Summary: App Bush on War on Terr
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q3gx1.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'WAR ON TERRORISM' WORDING:

All things considered, do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush is handling the war on terrorism? (Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

SUMMARY: APPROVE BUSH ON 'WAR ON TERRORISM'

Built from Q3g1 and Q3g2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings for Q3g (President Bush performance) in the Pre.

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11. Pre cases from the fresh cross-section were asked only Q3g questions.

Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.
1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for alternate wording

M023119z        Q3gx2. Summary:App Bush on 9-11 Response
numeric
missing eq 0, ge 8

Q3gx2.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'RESPONDED TO 9/11' WORDING:

All things considered, do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush has responded to the terrorist attack of September 11? (Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

SUMMARY: APPROVE BUSH ON 'RESPONSE TO 9/11'

Built from Q3g1 and Q3g2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings for Q3g (President Bush performance) in the Pre.

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11. Pre cases from the fresh cross-section were asked only Q3g questions. Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for alternate wording

Q4a.
After the September 11 terrorist attacks, President Bush declared a war on terrorism. A first step was to launch air strikes against the Taliban government of Afghanistan that was providing aid and protection to Osama bin Laden and the Al-Qaeda terrorists responsible for the September 11 attacks.

M023120       Q4a4. War in Afghanistan Worth Cost
               Numeric
               Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q4a4.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

Taking everything into account, do you think the U.S. war against the Taliban government in Afghanistan was WORTH THE COST or NOT?

' Panel 'respondents were randomly assigned to be administered Q4a4 (whether war against the Taliban worth the cost) either before or after Q4a5 (is Bin Laden still alive) in the Pre.

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11.
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Worth it
5. Not worth it
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
Fresh Cross-Section respondent

M023121       Q4a5. Does R Think Bin Laden is Alive
               Numeric
               Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q4a5.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

Do you think Osama bin Laden is still alive?

' Panel 'respondents were randomly assigned to be administered Q4a4 (whether war against the Taliban worth the cost) either before or after Q4a5 (is Bin Laden still alive) in the Pre.
Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11. Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Yes
5. No

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
Fresh Cross-Section respondent

-------------------------------
M023122     Q4a6. Does R Fav/Opp Mil Action-Iraq
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q4a6.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

As you may know, President Bush and his top advisers are discussing the possibility of taking military action against Iraq to remove Saddam Hussein from power. Do you FAVOR or OPPOSE military action against Iraq -- or is this something you haven't thought about?

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11. Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

01. Favor
05. Oppose
07. Other; depends {VOL} {SPECIFY}

08. Don't know
09. Refused
90. Haven't thought about it
00. NA

INAP.
Fresh Cross-Section respondent

-------------------------------
M023123     Q4a7a. How Much Fav/Opp Mil Action-Iraq
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q4a7a.
IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:
IF R FAVORS OR OPPOSES MILITARY ACTION AGAINST IRAQ:

Do you [favor/oppose] this policy STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11. Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Strongly
5. Not strongly
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
Fresh Cross-Section respondent; 7,8,9,0,90 in Q4a6

---------------------------------
M023123x Q4a7x. Summary:Fav/Opp Mil Action-Iraq
 Numeric
    Missing eq 0, ge 6

Q4a7x.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

As you may know, President Bush and his top advisers are discussing the possibility of taking military action against Iraq to remove Saddam Hussein from power. Do you FAVOR or OPPOSE military action against Iraq -- or is this something you haven't thought about?

Do you [favor/oppose] this policy STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

SUMMARY: FAVOR/OPPOSE MILITARY ACTION AGAINST IRAQ

---------------------------------
Built from Q4a6 and Q4a7a.

Pre 'panel' cases were randomly assigned to be administered the Q1-Q2 questions on the 2000 election either before or after the Q3-Q4 questions on September 11. Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly
6. Other; depends; neither (7 in M2a)
7. Haven't thought about it (90 in M2a)
8. Don't know in M2a or M2b
9. Refused in M2a or M2b
0. NA in M2a or M2b

INAP.

Fresh Cross-Section respondent

========================================

M023124  R1a. Has R Shown Flag in Past 12 Mo
Numeric
     Missing eq 0, ge 8

R1a.

IF R SELECTED FOR R1 ADMINISTRATION:

In the PAST TWELVE MONTHS, have you flown an American flag or
displayed the flag in some other way, such as in a window, or
on your car, or on a T-shirt or other piece of clothing?

1/2 SAMPLE PRE J4 (REMAINING 1/2 SAMPLE PRE R1)

Patriotism items were randomly assigned to placement
at J4a,d or R1a,d.
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede
Pre survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Yes
5. No

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for J4 administration

========================================

M023124x  R1ax. Summary: Flag Display in Past 12 Mo
Numeric
     Missing eq 0, ge 8

R1ax.

In the PAST TWELVE MONTHS, have you flown an American flag or
displayed the flag in some other way, such as in a window, or
on your car, or on a T-shirt or other piece of clothing?

COMBINED J4a/R1a: PATRIOTIC DISPLAY

This summarized data from Pre placements, J4a and R1a.
See notes R1a.

1. Yes
5. No
Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with this statement: 'There are some things about America today that make me feel ashamed of America.' Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE?

1/2 SAMPLE PRE J4 (REMAINING 1/2 SAMPLE PRE R1)

Patriotism items were randomly assigned to placement at J4a,d or R1a,d.
Pre randomization variables (e.g. PreRand.C1), which precede Pre survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Agree
3. Neither agree nor disagree
5. Disagree
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for J4 administration

COMBINED J4a/R1a: ASHAMED OF AMERICA

This summarizes data from both Pre placements, J4d and R1d.
See notes Rld.
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

M023126a  Y1a. Month of Birth - FRESH CROSS
    Numeric
    Missing eq 0, ge 89

Y1a.

IF FRESH CROSS:

Now, on a different topic.
What is the month, day and year of your birth?

MONTH OF BIRTH

01. JANUARY
02. FEBRUARY
03. MARCH
04. APRIL
05. MAY
06. JUNE
07. JULY
08. AUGUST
09. SEPTEMBER
10. OCTOBER
11. NOVEMBER
12. DECEMBER

89. Refused
00. NA

INAP.
Panel 'Respondent

M023126c  Y1c. Year of Birth - FRESH CROSS
    Numeric
    Missing eq 8889

Y1c.

IF FRESH CROSS:

Now, on a different topic.
What is the month, day and year of your birth?

YEAR OF BIRTH

1912-1984
8889. Refused
INAP.
Now, on a different topic.
What is the month, day and year of your birth?

**SUMMARY: RESPONDENT AGE**

Calculated from year of birth Y1c.
Age of Panel respondent included by adding 2 years to age recorded for 2000 Study.

889. Refused
999. NA

**IF FRESH CROSS RESPONDENT:**

Are you MARRIED NOW and living with your spouse - or are you WIDOWED, DIVORCED, SEPARATED, or have you NEVER MARRIED?

**MARITAL STATUS 2002 FRESH CROSS**

1. Married
2. Widowed
3. Divorced
4. Separated
5. Never married
6. Partnered, not married {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

**IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:**
Are you MARRIED NOW and living with your spouse -
or are you WIDOWED, DIVORCED, SEPARATED, or have you NEVER
MARRIED?

2000 MARITAL STATUS FOR 'PANEL'

This is 2000 data for 2002' Panel 'respondents.

1. Married
2. Widowed
3. Divorced
4. Separated
5. Never married
6. Partnered, not married (VOL)
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
' Panel 'Respondent

M023128 Y3. R Highest Grade of School - FRESH CR
Numeric
Missing eq 88, ge 89

Y3.

IF FRESH CROSS RESPONDENT:
What is the highest grade of school or year of college you
completed?

00-12 years
13-16 years
17. 17+ years
88. Don't know
89. Refused
99. NA

INAP.
' Panel 'Respondent

M023129 Y3a. R High School Diploma - FRESH CROSS
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Y3a.

IF FRESH CROSS RESPONDENT:
IF R HAS 0-12 YRS OF ED. OR IF HIGHEST ED. LEVEL IS DK/RF/NA:

Did you get a high school diploma or pass a high school
equivalency test?
1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
INAP.
13-17 in Y3;' Panel 'Respondent

M023130         Y3b. R Highest Degree - FRESH CROS
Numeric
Missing eq 8, ge 9

Y3b.

IF FRESH CROSS RESPONDNET:
IF R HAS MORE THAN 12 YEARS OF EDUCATION:

What is the highest degree that you have earned?

00. None (no degree)
01. BACHELOR'S DEGREE
02. MASTER'S DEGREE
03. PhD, LIT, SCD, DFA, DLIT, DPH, DPHIL, JSC, SJD
04. LLB, JD
05. MD, DDS, DVM, MVSA, DSC, DO
06. JDC, STD, THD
07. ASSOCIATE DEGREE (AA)
08. Don't know
09. Refused
99. NA
INAP.
00-12 in Y3;' Panel 'Respondent

M023131         Y3x. Summary: R Education
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 9

Y3x.

IF FRESH CROSS RESPONDNET:

What is the highest grade of school or year of college you completed?
Did you get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test?
What is the highest degree that you have earned?

SUMMARY - R EDUCATION
Education summary data from the 2000 study has been added for Panel 'respondents.

1. 8 grades or less and no diploma or equivalency
   [0-8 in Y3, 5 in Y3a]
2. 9-11 grades, no further schooling (incl. 12 years
   without diploma or equivalency) [9-12 in Y3, 5 in Y3a]
3. High school diploma or equivalency test [0-12 in Y3,
   1 in Y3a]
4. More than 12 years of schooling, no higher degree
   (13-17 in Y3, 00 in Y3b)
5. Junior or community college level degrees (AA degrees)
   (07 in Y3b)
6. BA level degrees; 17+ years, no advanced degree (01 in Y3b)
7. Advanced degree, including LLB [13-17 in Y3, 2-6 in Y3b]

9. Refused
0. NA in Y3, Y3a or Y3b

M023132 Y4. R Employment Status - FRESH CROSS
Numeric
Missing eq 0, 999

Y4.

IF FRESH CROSS RESPONDENT:

We'd like to know if you are working now, or are you
unemployed, retired, (a homemaker), (a student), or what?
{MULTIPLE RESPONSES}

FRESH CROSS RESPONDENT EMPLOYMENT STATUS

The maximum number of mentions was 3 (1 case).

001. working now
002. temporarily laid off
003. unemployed
004. retired
005. permanently disabled
006. homemaker
007. Student
014. working now and retired
016. working now and homemaker
046. retired and homemaker
146. working now retired and homemaker

999. Refused
000. NA

INAP.

' Panel 'Respondent

M023132x Y4x. Pre/Post Employment Status Summary
Numeric
Missing eq 0
We'd like to know if you are working now, or are you unemployed, retired, a homemaker, (a student), or what? (MULTIPLE RESPONSES)

2002 PRE (FRESH CROSS) AND POST ('PANEL') EMPLOYMENT STATUS SUMMARY
--------------------------------------------------------------------------

Built from Pre Y4 (Fresh Cross) and Post Y4 (Panel; duplicates data found in P025183).

001. Working now
002. Temporarily laid off
003. Unemployed
004. Retired
005. Permanently disabled
006. Homemaker
007. Student
014. Working now and retired
016. Working now and homemaker
017. Working now and student
026. Temporarily laid off and homemaker
034. Unemployed and retired
035. Unemployed and permanently disabled
036. Unemployed and homemaker
045. Retired and permanently disabled
046. Retired and homemaker
047. Retired and student
067. Homemaker and student
146. Working now, retired, and homemaker
167. Working now, homemaker, and student
467. Retired, homemaker, and student

000. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview (Panel only)

M023133 Y6. Labor Union Membership - FRESH CROSS
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Y6.

IF FRESH CROSS RESPONDENT:

Does anyone in this household belong to a labor union?
--------------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
INAP. ' Panel 'Respondent

=================================
M023133a        Y6. Labor Union Membership 2000
    Numeric
    Missing eq 0, ge 8

Y6(1).

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

Does anyone in this household belong to a labor union?

'PANEL' - 2000 HOUSEHOLD UNION MEMBERSHIP

This is 2000 data for 'Panel 'respondents.

1. Yes
5. No

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

Fresh Cross Respondent

------------------------
M023134         Y6a. Who Belongs to Labor Union - FRESH
    Numeric
    Missing eq 0, ge 88

Y6a.

IF FRESH CROSS RESPONDENT:
IF SOMEONE IN R'S HOUSEHOLD BELONGS TO A LABOR UNION:

Who is it that belongs?

The maximum number of mentions was 2.

01. Respondent
02. Respondent's spouse
03. Other family member [SPECIFY]
04. Someone else [SPECIFY]
12. Respondent and spouse
14. Respondent and other family member

88. Don't know
89. Refused
00. NA

INAP.
5,8,9,0 in Y6;' Panel 'Respondent

=================================
M023134a  Y6a(1). 2000 Who Belongs to Labor Union
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Y6a(1).

IF 'PANEL':
IF SOMEONE IN R'S HOUSEHOLD BELONGS TO A LABOR UNION:

Who is it that belongs?

'PANEL': 2000 UNION MEMBERSHIP

This summarizes 2000 data for Panel 'Rs.

1. Respondent
2. Respondent's spouse
3. Someone else
4. R and spouse
5. R and someone else
6. R's spouse and someone else
7. R, spouse, and someone else
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
Fresh Cross respondent

M023135  Y7. Religious Preference - FRESH CROSS
Numeric
Missing eq 8, ge 9

Y7.

IF FRESH CROSS RESPONDENT:

Is your religious preference PROTESTANT, ROMAN CATHOLIC, JEWISH, or something else?

00. None/Atheist/Agnostic
01. Protestant
02. Roman Catholic
03. Jewish
07. Other {SPECIFY}

08. Don't know
09. Refused
99. NA

INAP.
' Panel 'Respondent

M023136  Y7a. Religious Denomination FRESH CROSS
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IF FRESH CROSS RESPONDENT:
IF R'S RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE IS PROTESTANT OR "OTHER":

What church or denomination is that?
(CODE MENTION. IF UNSURE CODE "OTHER" AND SPECIFY R'S RESPONSE)

00. Non-denominational Christian
01. Baptist
02. Episcopalian/Anglican/Church of England
03. Lutheran
04. Methodist
05. Just Protestant; Protestant DK/NA type
06. Presbyterian
07. Reformed
08. Brethren
09. Evangelical United Brethren
10. Christian or Just Christian
11. Christian Scientist
12. Church (Or Churches) of Christ
13. United Church of Christ
14. Disciples of Christ
15. Church of God
16. Assembly of God
17. Congregationalist
18. Holiness
19. Pentecostal
20. Friends, Quaker
21. Orthodox, E.g. Greek, Russian (SPECIFY)
22. Non-denominational - Protestant
23. Mormons
24. Jehovah's Witnesses
25. Latter Day Saints
26. Unitarian/universalist
27. Seventh day Adventist
28. Christian NA denomination
35. Buddhist
45. Hindu
55. Muslim/islam
65. Native American
87. Other (SPECIFY)

88. Don't know
89. Refused
99. NA

INAP.
00,02,03,08,09,99 in Y7;' Panel 'Respondent
Y7a1.

IF FRESH CROSS:
IF R'S RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE IS PROTESTANT OR "OTHER":
IF R IDENTIFIES AS BAPTIST:

2000:
Is that SOUTHERN BAPTIST or SOMETHING ELSE?
2002:
With which Baptist group is your church associated? Is it the
Southern Baptist Convention, the American Baptist Churches in
the U.S.A., the American Baptist Association, an independent
Baptist church or some other Baptist group?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
Data from 2000 has been added for 'Panel' cases.

1. Southern Baptist
7. Something else
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
00,02,03,08,09,99 in Y7; 00,02-87,88,89,99 in Y7a; Panel
Respondent

-----------------------------
M023138      Y7x1. R Religion Summary 1
           Numeric
           Missing eq 8, ge 9

Y7x1.

2002:
Is your religious preference PROTESTANT, ROMAN CATHOLIC,
JEWISH, or something else?
2000:
(IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:) Do you mostly attend a
place of worship that is Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or
something else? /
(IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF
CHURCH OR DENOMINATION:) Do you consider yourself Protestant,
Roman Catholic, Jewish, or something else?

---------------------------------------------------------------------
2002: Built from Y7 and Y7a.

Note the change in question flow, which especially affects
cases coded 0.
In 2000, code 0 includes cases of Rs who said they did not
attend church and who then answered 'No' when asked if they
thought of themselves as part of a church or denomination.

00. None incl. atheist/agnostic
01. Protestant
02. Roman Catholic
03. Jewish
07. Other {SPECIFY}

08. Don't know
09. Refused
99. NA

INAP.
R refused or NA whether attends church (2000)

M023138a        Y7x2. R Religion Summary 2
Numeric
Missing eq 88, ge 89

Y7x2.

2002:
Is your religious preference PROTESTANT, ROMAN CATHOLIC,
JEWISH, or something else?
What church or denomination is that?
2000:
(IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:) Do you mostly attend a
place of worship that is Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or
something else? What church or denomination is that? /
(IF R DOES NOT ATTEND CHURCH BUT THINKS OF SELF AS PART OF
CHURCH OR DENOMINATION:) Do you consider yourself Protestant,
Roman Catholic, Jewish, or something else? What Church or
Denomination is that?


Fresh Cross: Built from Y7 and Y7a.

Please note the change in question flow, which especially
affects cases coded 'None (incl. agnostic/atheist'.

00. Non-denominational Christian
01. Baptist
02. Episcopalian/anglican/church of England
03. Lutheran
04. Methodist
05. Just Protestant; Protestant DK/RF/NA denomination;
other Protestant
06. Presbyterian
07. Reformed
08. Brethren
09. Evangelical United Brethren
10. Christian or Just Christian
11. Christian Scientist
12. Church (Or Churches) of Christ
13. United Church of Christ
14. Disciples of Christ
15. Church of God
16. Assembly of God
17. Congregationalist
18. Holiness
19. Pentecostal
20. Friends, Quaker; Anabaptist; Mennonite
21. Orthodox, E.g. Greek, Russian {SPECIFY}
22. Non-denominational - Protestant
23. Mormons
24. Jehovah's Witnesses
25. Latter Day Saints
26. Unitarian/universalist
27. Seventh day Adventist
28. Christian NA denomination
29. Roman Catholic
30. Buddhist
31. Jewish
32. Hindu
33. Muslim/islam
34. Native American
35. Other {SPECIFY}
36. None incl. agnostic/atheist (in 2000, includes cases of Rs who said they did not attend church and who then answered 'No' when asked if they thought of themselves as part of a church or denomination)
37. Don't know
38. Refused
39. NA

INAP. R refused or NA whether attends church (2000)

-----------------------------
M023139 Z1. How Long in Community - FRESH CROSS
Numeric
Missing eq 888, ge 889

Z1.

IF FRESH CROSS RESPONDENT:

How long have you lived in your present community?

Years are rounded to the next highest year if months are more than 6.

000. Less than 1 year
001. 13-18 months
002. 19-24 months; 2 years
003-097 years
098. All my life

888. Don't know
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.

' Panel 'Respondent

-----------------------------
Page 995
Z1a. 2000 Study Num Years in Community

numeric

missing eq 888, ge 889

Z1a.

If 'Panel' Respondent:

'Panel' Respondents: 2000 Study Number of Years in Community

--------------------------------------------------------------------

This is 'Panel' respondent's reported status in 2000.

000. Less than 1 year
001. 13-18 months
002. 19-24 months; 2 years
003-097 years
098. All my life

888. Don't know
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.

Fresh Cross-Section respondent

=====================================================================

Z2. How Long in Home - Fresh Cross

numeric

missing eq 888, ge 889

Z2.

If Fresh Cross Respondent:

How long have you lived in your current home?

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Years are rounded to the next highest year if months are more than 6.

Note: case ID 1128 has indicated a number of years greater in R's home than in R's community.

000. Less than 1 year
001. 13-18 months
002. 19-24 months; 2 years
003-097 years
098. All my life

888. Don't know
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.

'Panel' Respondent

=====================================================================

Z2a. 2000 Study Num Years in House-'Panel'

numeric

missing eq 888, ge 889
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Z2a.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

'PANEL' RESPONDENTS: 2000 STUDY NUMBER OF YEARS IN HOUSE

This is 'Panel' respondent's reported status in 2000.

000. Less than 1 year
001. 13-18 months
002. 19-24 months; 2 years
003-097 years
098. All my life

888. Don't know
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
Fresh Cross-Section Respondent

M023141 Z3. Family Own Home or Rent
    Numeric
    Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z3.

(IF 'PANEL': Now on a different topic.)
(Do you/Does your family) own your home, pay rent, or what?

1. Own house
5. Pay rent
7. Other {SPECIFY}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

M023142 Z4. R Have Money in Stock Market
    Numeric
    Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z4.

Do you personally (or jointly with a spouse), have any MONEY INVESTED IN THE STOCK MARKET RIGHT NOW -- either in an individual stock or in a mutual fund?

1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

M023143  Z5b. Family Medicare Benefits
         Numeric
         Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z5b.

Do you (or any family member living with you) CURRENTLY receive payments from: Medicare?

1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

M023144  Z5c. Family Medicaid Benefits
         Numeric
         Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z5c.

Do you (or any family member living with you) CURRENTLY receive payments from: Medicaid?

1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

M023145  Z5g. Family Retirement Account/Pension
         Numeric
         Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z5g.

Do you (or any family member living with you) CURRENTLY have retirement savings either in a pension plan or a retirement account, like an IRA?

1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
Z6.

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. The next questions are about the total income of all members of your family living in your house in 2001, before taxes and other deductions. The figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

M023146
Z6a. Is Fam Income More/Less than 50K
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z6a.

Is your total household income less than $50,000 or more than $50,000?
{PROBE IF NEEDED: What would be your best guess?}

1. Less than $50,000
3. More than $50,000
7. Income was (about) $50,000 {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

M023147
Z6b. Category Fam Income More Than 50K
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z6b.

IF R'S TOTAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME IS MORE THAN $50,000:

Which category best describes your total household income:
$50,000-$64,999, $65,000-$84,999, or more than $84,999?
{PROBE IF NEEDED: What would be your best guess?}

1. $50,000-$64,999
3. $65,000-$84,999
5. More than $84,999

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
1,7,8,9,0 in 26a

M023148
Z6c. Category Fam Income Less Than 50K
Numeric
IF R'S TOTAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME IS LESS THAN $50,000:

Which category best describes your total household income:
$0-$14,999, $15,000-$34,999, or $35,000-$49,999?
(PROBE IF NEEDED: What would be your best guess?)

1. $0-$14,999
3. $15,000-$34,999
5. $35,000-$49,999

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
3, 7, 8, 9, 0 in Z6a

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. The next questions are about the total income of all members of your family living in your house in 2001, before taxes and other deductions. The figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.

Is your total household income less than $50,000 or more than $50,000? [PROBE IF NEEDED: What would be your best guess?]

Which category best describes your total household income:
$50,000-$64,999, $65,000-$84,999, or more than $84,999?
(PROBE IF NEEDED: What would be your best guess?)

Which category best describes your total household income:
$0-$14,999, $15,000-$34,999, or $35,000-$49,999?

SUMMARY - HOUSEHOLD INCOME

Built from Z6a, Z6b and Z6c.

01. $0     -$14,999
02. $15,000-$34,999
03. $35,000-$49,999
04. Just about $50,000 [VOL]
05. $50,000-$64,999
06. $65,000-$84,999
07. More than $84,999
08. Less than $50,000 - DK/NA/RF additional subcategorization
09. More than $50,000 - DK/NA/RF additional subcategorization
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
88. Don't know household income (8 in Z6a)
89. Refused household income (9 in Z6a)
00. NA (0 in Z6a)

=================================
M023150  Z7x. Race Summary
Numeric
Missing eq 88, ge 89

Z7x.

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?
Are you of Spanish or Hispanic origin or descent?

SUMMARY: RESPONDENT RACE

constructed from Z7a-Z7e, text of Z7f, and Z9.
For Panel 'cases, data from 2000 are included.

01. Black only mentioned
02. Asian/Pacific Islander only mentioned
03. Native American only mentioned
04. Hispanic only mentioned
05. White only mentioned
12. Black and Asian/Pacific Islander mentioned
13. Black and Native American mentioned
14. Black and Hispanic mentioned
15. Black and White mentioned
23. Asian/Pacific Islander and Native American mentioned
24. Asian/Pacific Islander and Hispanic mentioned
25. Asian/Pacific Islander and White mentioned
34. Native American and Hispanic mentioned
35. Native American and White mentioned
45. Hispanic and White mentioned
77. Other (not codeable elsewhere) including 3 or more racial groups

88. Don't know
89. Refused
99. NA

=================================
M023150a  Z7a. Mention Black: R Racial Groups
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z7a.

IF FRESH CROSS:

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

MENTION BLACK

Order in which mentions were made is not available.
M023150b  Z7b. Mention Asian: R Racial Groups
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z7b.

IF FRESH CROSS:

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

MENTION ASIAN

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. Mentioned
5. Not mentioned
8. Don't know
9. Refused to answer question
0. NA

INAP.

' Panel 'Respondent

M023150c  Z7c. Mention Native Am: R Racial Groups
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z7c.

IF FRESH CROSS:

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

MENTION NATIVE AMERICAN

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. Mentioned
5. Not mentioned
8. Don't know
9. Refused to answer question
0. NA

INAP.
' Panel 'Respondent

==============
M023150d  Z7d. Mention Hispanic: R Racial Groups
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z7d.

IF FRESH CROSS:

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

MENTION HISPANIC

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. Mentioned
5. Not mentioned
8. Don't know
9. Refused to answer question
0. NA

INAP.
' Panel 'Respondent

==============
M023150e  Z7e. Mention White: R Racial Groups
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z7e.

IF FRESH CROSS:

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

MENTION WHITE

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. Mentioned
5. Not mentioned
8. Don't know
9. Refused to answer question
0. NA

INAP.
' Panel 'Respondent
M023150f  Z7f. Mention Other: R Racial Groups
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z7f.

IF FRESH CROSS:

What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

MENTION OTHER

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. Mentioned
5. Not mentioned
8. Don't know
9. Refused to answer question
0. NA

INAP.
' Panel 'Respondent

M023151  Z9. R Hispanic
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z9.

IF FRESH CROSS:

{IF HISPANIC OR LATINO MENTIONED FOR RACE, CODE AND DO NOT ASK,
OTHERWISE ASK:}
Are you of Spanish or Hispanic origin or descent?

' Panel 'data from the 2000 Study have been included.

1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
' Panel 'Respondent

M023152  Z9a. Type Hispanic R
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z9a.
IF FRESH CROSS:
IF R IDENTIFIES AS BEING OF SPANISH OR HISPANIC ORIGIN OR DESCENT:

Would you describe your Hispanic origin as MEXICAN, PUERTO RICAN, CUBAN, LATIN AMERICA, CENTRAL AMERICAN, or SPANISH?

' Panel 'data from the 2000 Study have been included.

1. Mexican
2. Puerto Rican
3. Cuban
4. Latin American
5. Central American
6. Spanish
7. Other {VOL} {SPECIFY}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP. 5,8,9,0 in Z9;' Panel 'Respondent

M023153 Z10. R Gender
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 9

Z10.

{ASK ONLY IF UNSURE, OTHERWISE CODE WITHOUT ASKING:}
Finally, are you MALE or FEMALE?

1. Male
2. Female

9. Refused
0. NA

M023154 ZZ4. IWR: R Cooperation
Numeric
Missing eq 0

ZZ4.

R's cooperation was:

1. Very good
2. Good
3. Fair
4. Poor
5. Very poor

Page 1005
ZZ5.
R's general level of information about politics and public affairs seemed:

1. Very high
2. Fairly high
3. Average
4. Fairly low
5. Very low

0. NA

ZZ6.
R's apparent intelligence:

1. Very high
2. Fairly high
3. Average
4. Fairly low
5. Very low

0. NA

ZZ7.
How suspicious did R seem to be about the study before the interview?

1. Not at all suspicious
3. Somewhat suspicious
5. Very suspicious

0. NA

ZZ8. IWR: R Interest in Interview
Numeric

Page 1006
Overall, how great was R's interest in the interview?

1. Very high  
2. Fairly high  
3. Average  
4. Fairly low  
5. Very low  
0. NA

How sincere did R seem to be in his/her answers?

1. Completely sincere  
3. Usually sincere  
5. Often seemed to be insincere  
0. NA

IF IWR REPORTED THAT R WAS USUALLY SINCERE:/
IF IWR REPORTED THAT R OFTEN SEEMED TO BE INSINCERE:

Were there any particular parts of the interview for which you doubted R's sincerity?

1. Yes  
5. No  
0. NA

INAP.
1,0 in ZZ9

Zz10. IWR: R Reported Income Accurately

Zz10.
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Do you feel R reported income accurately?

1. Yes, think R reported correctly
2. No, think R reported incorrectly
3. Refused income questions
5. No, think R reported dishonestly
8. Don't know
0. NA

M023162 ZZ10a. IWR: Estimate R Family Income
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 888888

IF IWR DID NOT FEEL R REPORTED INCOME CORRECTLY :/
IF R REFUSED INCOME QUESTIONS OR DK/NA IF REPORTED CORRECTLY:

If possible, give a reasonable estimate of what R's family income is (before taxes in 2001).

Coded in 1000s of dollars (range 10,000-90,000)

888888. DK
000000. NA

INAP.
1 in ZZ10

M023163 ZZ11a. IWR: Estimate R Age
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 98

What would you estimate R's age to be?

Coded 18-96 and:
97. 97 and older
98. Hard to guess {SPECIFY}
00. NA

M023164 ZZ11b. IWR: Estimate R Education
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

What would you estimate R's level of education to be?
1. Low - probably less than high school diploma
2. Probably has a high school diploma but probably no college
3. Probably a little college
4. Probably a college degree

8. Hard to guess {SPECIFY}
0. NA

------------------------------
M023165a ZZ12a. IWR:IW Rxns- Negative General
Numeric
Missing eq 0

ZZ12a.
R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

NEGATIVE - GENERAL

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "Negative - general"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

-------------------------------
M023165b ZZ12b. IWR:IW Rxns-Too Long
Numeric
Missing eq 0

ZZ12b.
R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

NEGATIVE - TOO LONG

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "Negative - too long"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

-------------------------------
M023165c ZZ12c. IWR:IW Rxns-Too Complicated
Numeric
Missing eq 0

ZZ12c.
R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}
NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "Negative - too complicated"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

-----------------------------
M023165d  ZZ12d. IWR:IW Rxns-Boring/Tedious
          Numeric
          Missing eq 0

ZZ12d.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

-----------------------------
M023165e  ZZ12e. IWR:IW Rxns-Wanted to Stop
          Numeric
          Missing eq 0

ZZ12e.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE INTERVIEW COMPLETED

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R wanted to stop before interview completed. After starting the interview R made comments indicating he/she regretted having agreed to be interviewed"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

-----------------------------
M023165f  ZZ12f. IWR:IW Rxns- Ill/Deaf/Tired
          Numeric
          Missing eq 0

ZZ12f.  
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R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R ILL/DEAF/TIRED ETC.
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R complained and/or interviewer observed that R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight etc.; interview was obviously hard for R"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

M023165g ZZ12g. IWR:IW Rxns-Confused
Numeric
Missing eq 0

ZZ12g.
R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R CONFUSED BY QUESTIONS
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R complained and/or interviewer observed that R was confused by questions "couldn't understand the scales"; interview was obviously hard for R"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

M023165h ZZ12h. IWR:IW Rxns-Lack of Knowledge
Numeric
Missing eq 0

ZZ12h.
R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R SELF-CONSCIOUS - LACK OF KNOWLEDGE/SUITABILITY FOR INTERVIEWING
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R expressed (especially repeatedly) doubts/apologies/embarrassment over lack of knowledge or own suitability for interview"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA
M023165j        ZZ12j. IWR:IW Rxns-Lack Political Knwldg
Numeric
Missing eq 0

ZZ12j.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R SELF-CONSCIOUS - LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1.  "R expressed (especially repeatedly) doubts/apologies/
    embarrassment over lack of POLITICAL knowledge"
5.  Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

M023165k        ZZ12k. IWR:IW Rxns-Agitated by Process
Numeric
Missing eq 0

ZZ12k.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R AGITATED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1.  "R was agitated or stressed by interview PROCESS"
5.  Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

M023165m        ZZ12m. IWR:IW Rxns-Angry at Content
Numeric
Missing eq 0

ZZ12m.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R ANGRY ABOUT INTERVIEW CONTENT

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1.  "R became angry at interview CONTENT"
5.  Not checked by interviewer
M023165n        ZZ12n. IWR:IW Rxns-Sampling Concerns
Numeric
Missing eq 0

ZZ12n.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSE/BIAS

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R became concerned about sampling purpose or bias: 'why do you come to the old folks home?' 'why THIS neighborhood?' 'why/why not blacks/Hispanics?' 'why me?' etc."
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

M023165p        ZZ12p. IWR:IW Rxns- Positive
Numeric
Missing eq 0

ZZ12p.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

POSITIVE

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R appeared to enjoy the interview (R was "cooperative" /"interested"/"pleasant" etc.)"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

M023165q        ZZ12q. IWR:IW Rxns- Neutral/None
Numeric
Missing eq 0

ZZ12q.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK
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Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "Neutral or no feedback (1st mention only)"
5. Not checked by interviewer
0. NA

==============================
M023168 PROB1. Problem 1 Recorded
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 9

PROB1.
Is there any difficulty administering an interview by phone to this R?

(EXAMPLES: hard of hearing, illness that makes it difficult for R to stay on the phone very long, etc.)

1. Yes {SPECIFY}
5. No
9. Refused
0. NA

==============================
M024000 PostAdmin.0. Form of Post interview
Numeric

PostAdmin.25
Form of 2002 Post Interview

Aside from various randomly assigned wording and placement/order options, the following content options were randomly assigned to 1/2 samples in the Post:

1- either D3 or D4 Bush Traits questions
2- either K4 or K5 social trust item
3- either L1 series or L2 series Federal spending items
4- either M5 affirmative action or M6 equal pay items
5- M11 general income inequality as openend (M11c/M11d) or as closed series (M11a/M11b) [each has wording variants]
Note that for the spending items and income inequality options, the alternate option had been assigned to the pre.

01. D3 - K4 - L1 - M5 race - M11 open
02. D3 - K4 - L1 - M5 race - M11 closed
03. D3 - K4 - L1 - M6 gend - M11 open
04. D3 - K4 - L1 - M6 gend - M11 closed
05. D3 - K4 - L2 - M5 race - M11 open
06. D3 - K4 - L2 - M5 race - M11 closed
07. D3 - K4 - L1 - M5 race - M11 open
08. D3 - K4 - L2 - M6 gend - M11 open
09. D3 - K4 - L2 - M6 gend - M11 closed
1. There was a single release in the Post

INAP.
No Post interview

M024001 PostAdmin.1. Release
Numeric

PostRelease number

1. There was a single release in the Post

INAP.
No Post interview

M024002 PostAdmin.2. Mode
Numeric

PostMode

1. Telephone

INAP.
No Post interview
M024003  PostAdmin.3. Number of calls
        Numeric

PostAdmin.3

Post number of calls
--------------------------------------------------------------------------

1-25

M024004  PostAdmin.4. Result
        Numeric

PostAdmin.4

Post Result
--------------------------------------------------------------------------

Because few cases were ever removed from callback status, most cases with final disposition "never available" may be considered as, in fact, refusals.

01. Completion
05. Refusal
60. Phone Not in service or new number
61. No contact - no answer/answering device/busy/barrier
68. "Never Available"/refusals

M024005  PostAdmin.5. Language
        Numeric

PostAdmin.5

Post language
--------------------------------------------------------------------------

1. English

INAP.
No Post interview

M024006  PostAdmin.6. Verification
        Numeric

PostAdmin.6

Post interview verification
--------------------------------------------------------------------------

There was no verification of interviews at the interviewing facility.

5. No verification

INAP.
No Post interview

M024007 PostAdmin.7. Evaluation
 Numeric

PostAdmin.7

Post interview evaluation

There was no evaluation of interviews at the interviewing facility.

5. No evaluation
 INAP.
 No Post interview

M024008 PostAdmin.8. Tape recorded
 Numeric

PostAdmin.8

Post interview tape-recorded

1. Tape recorded
  5. Not tape recorded
 INAP.
 No Post interview

M024009 PostAdmin.9. Interviewed in parts
 Numeric

PostAdmin.9

Post interview in parts

1. Interviewed in parts (multiple sessions)
  5. Not interviewed in parts (1 session)
 INAP.
 No Post interview

M024010 PostAdmin.10. Number of parts
 Numeric

PostAdmin.10

IF PRE INTERVIEW CONDUCTED IN PARTS:

Post number of parts
INAP.
Interview not conducted in parts; no Post interview

M024011 PostAdmin.11. Number of interviewers
PostAdmin.11
Post number of interviewers

This is the number of interviewers used on the case (1-5).

INAP.
No Post interview

M024012a PostAdmin.12a. Beginning month
PostAdmin.12a
Post interview - beginning month

11. November
12. December

INAP.
No Post interview

M024012b PostAdmin.12b. Beginning day
PostAdmin.12b
Post interview - beginning day

1-30

INAP.
No Post interview

M0242012c PostAdmin.12c. Beginning month and day
PostAdmin.12c

Post interview - beginning month and day (MMDD)

This is a character variable
M024012d  PostAdmin.12d. Days after election
            Numeric
            Missing eq 0

PostAdmin.12d

Post Interview - Number of days after election
------------------------------------------------------------------------

               Based on beginning date of interview

00. NA

===============================================
M024013a  PostAdmin.13a. Ending month
            Numeric

PostAdmin.13a

Post interview - ending month
------------------------------------------------------------------------

11. November
12. December

INAP.
No Post interview

===============================================
M024013b  PostAdmin.13b. Ending day
            Numeric

PostAdmin.13b

Post interview - ending day
------------------------------------------------------------------------

1-30

INAP.
No Post interview

===============================================
M024013c  PostAdmin.12c. Ending month and day
            Character

PostAdmin.13c

Post interview - ending month and day (MMDD)
------------------------------------------------------------------------

This is a character variable

===============================================
M024014  PostAdmin.14. Pre Address given
            Numeric

PostAdmin.14

Post payment address given?
1. Yes
5. No

INAP.
No Post interview

M024015  PostAdmin.15. Payment mode
         Numeric

PostAdmin.15
IF PRE PAYMENT ADDRESS GIVEN:
Post payment mode

1. Check

INAP.
5 in PostAdmin.14; no Post interview

M024016  PostAdmin.16. Payment amount
         Numeric

PostAdmin.16
IF PRE PAYMENT ADDRESS GIVEN:
Post payment amount

20. Twenty dollars
40. Forty dollars
50. Fifty dollars

INAP.
5 in PostAdmin.14; no Post interview

M024017a  PostAdmin.17a. Month payment mailed
          Numeric

PostAdmin.17a
IF PRE PAYMENT ADDRESS GIVEN:
Date Post payment mailed - month

11. November
12. December

INAP.
5 in PostAdmin.14; no Post interview
M024017b PostAdmin.17b. Day payment mailed
Numeric

PostAdmin.17b

IF PRE PAYMENT ADDRESS GIVEN:

Date Post payment mailed - day
******************************************************************************

1-31

INAP.
5 in PostAdmin.14; no Post interview

M024018 PostAdmin.18. Pre Interview Length
Numeric
Missing eq 0

PostAdmin.18

Post Interview Length
******************************************************************************

This is length in minutes.

0. NA

INAP.
No Post interview

M024019 PostAdmin.19. Pre Interviewer IW Number
Numeric
Missing eq 0

PostAdmin.19

Post Interviewer's Interview Number
******************************************************************************

The interviewer's interviews are numbered by beginning date.

0. NA

INAP.
No Post interview

M024020 PostAdmin.20. Post persuasion letter
Numeric

PostAdmin.20

Post Persuasion letter
******************************************************************************
No persuasion letters were sent in the Post
1. No persuasion letter sent in the Post
INAP.
No Post interview

M024024         IWR.1. Post IWR of Record
Numeric
Missing eq 0

PostIWR.1

POST Interviewer of Record

Interviewer ID number is coded and:

000. NA
INAP.
No Post interview

M024025         IWR.2. Post IWR Gender
Numeric
Missing eq 0

PostIWR.2

POST Interviewer Gender

This describes the Post interviewer of record.
Interviewer characteristics were self-descriptions provided by interviewers.

1. Male
2. Female
0. NA
INAP.
No Post interview

M024026         IWR.3. Post IWR Education Level
Numeric
Missing eq 0

PostIWR.3

POST Interviewer Education Level (highest education)

This describes the Post interviewer of record.
Interviewer characteristics were self-descriptions provided by interviewers.
1. 1-8 grades
2. Some high school
3. High school graduate
4. Some college
5. College degree
6. Master’s degree
7. Ph.D
0. NA

INAP.
No Post interview

===============================================
M024027    IWR.4. Post IWR Race
Numeric
Missing eq 0

PostIWR.4

POST Interviewer Race
===============================================
This describes the Post interviewer of record.
Interviewer characteristics were self-descriptions provided by interviewers.

1. White
2. Black
3. Native American
4. Asian
5. Other
0. NA

INAP.
No Post interview

===============================================
M024027a    IWR.4a. Post IWR Ethnicity
Numeric
Missing eq 9

PostIWR.4a

POST Interviewer Ethnicity
================================================================================
This describes the Post interviewer of record.
Interviewer characteristics were self-descriptions provided by interviewers.

0. No ethnicity mentioned
1. Hispanic
7. Other
9. NA
INAP.
No Post interview

=======================================
M024028   IWR.5. Post IWR languages
          Numeric
          Missing eq 9

PostIWR.5

POST Interviewer Languages
=======================================

This describes the Post interviewer of record.
Interviewer characteristics were self-descriptions provided by interviewers.

0. No languages spoken
1. Spanish
7. Other
9. NA

INAP.
No Post interview

=======================================
M024029   IWR.6. Post IWR experience
          Numeric
          Missing eq 99

PostIWR.6

POST Interviewer Experience
=======================================

This describes the Post interviewer of record.
Interviewer characteristics were self-descriptions provided by interviewers.

00. None
01. 1 Year or less
02. 13-23 Months
03. 2 years
04. 4 years
05. 5 years
06. 6 years
07. 7 years
08. 8 years
09. 9 years
99. NA

INAP.
No Post interview

=======================================
M024030   IWR.7. Post IWR Age
          Numeric
This describes the Post interviewer of record. Interviewer characteristics were self-descriptions provided by interviewers.

1. 18-34 years
2. 35-40 years
3. 41-45 years
4. 46-50 years
5. 51-55 years
6. 56-60 years
7. 61-64 years
8. 65-79 years
0. NA

INAP.
No Post interview

M024201  PostSummary.1. Flag misassigned preload
Numeric

FLAG - MISSASSIGNMENT IN PRELOAD

This variable flags 1 case where the preload district assignment was incorrect, and 2 cases where the preload county was misidentified but for which district assignment was still correct.

1. District preload incorrect (NY03 correct; NY04 preloaded)
2. County preload incorrect (district correct)
3. No preload misassignment

M024202  PostSummary.2.' Panel 'Flag - candidate same
Numeric

Panel:
2002 House candidate preload names same as 2000 preload names?

This determines whether the House candidate name(s) preloaded into the 2002 Post instrument were the same as the House candidate names that had been preloaded into the ANES 2000 Post.

Note: Due to redistricting, district number for nonmovers may not be the same in 2000-2002; names of candidates were compared to construct this summary.
00. Neither 2002 Democratic candidate preload name nor 2002 Republican candidate preload name was preloaded in 2000
01. 2002 Democratic preload name same as in 2000
02. 2002 Republican preload name same as in 2000
03. Both Democratic and Republican candidates same 2000-2002
04. 2002 Democratic Retiring Representative name was preloaded in 2000 as Democratic candidate name
05. 2002 Republican Retiring Representative name was preloaded in 2000 as Democratic candidate name
15. Democrat preload same and 2002 retiring Republican was preloaded in 2000
24. Republican preload same and 2002 retiring Democrat was preloaded in 2000

INAP.
Fresh cross case

M024202a
Summary.2a

' Panel ' - 2000 district number

Due to redistricting, district number for nonmovers may not be the same in 2002 as it was in 2000.
9996=case with misidentified district number in 2000

INAP.
Fresh cross case

M024401 PostRand.Cl. Rand Cla/C1b Voter Turnout Numeric
PostRand.Cl

RANDOMIZED PREASSIGNMENT - Cla/C1b VOTER TURNOUT

Respondents were randomly preassigned to either Cla or C1b in the Pre (alternate versions of vote turnout question).

1. Cla
2. C1b

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

PostRand.Vote

RANDOMIZED ORDER - DEM/REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE NAMES IN VOTE SECTION
This variable describes the order to which the names of major party candidates were randomly preassigned for reading in the text of House and Senate vote-choice and nonvoter preference questions. This preassigned order did not actually apply if only a single major party candidate ran (major party candidate name always read before any independent/third-party name, if any).

1. Democratic name first, Republican name second
2. Republican name first, Democratic name second

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

-----------------------------
M024403 PostRand.D1. Rand of D1 Thermometers
Numeric

PostRand.D1

RANDOMIZATION OF D1 THERMOMETERS

Individual thermometers (D1) were administered in one of two possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st thermometer).
Note: This is a randomly pre-assigned order; not all thermometers apply to every respondent.

1. D1a,D1g,D1h,D1j,D1m,D1ml,D1k
2. D1a,D1h,D1g,D1j,D1k,D1m,D1ml

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

-----------------------------
M024404 PostRand.D2. Rand of D2 Thermometers
Numeric

PostRand.D2

RANDOMIZATION OF D2 THERMOMETERS

Group thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two possible orders.

1. D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z
2. D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

-----------------------------
Numeric

PostRand.D3/D4
RANDOMIZED SELECTION D3/D4 TRAITS

Respondents were randomly assigned to either D3 or D4 Bush traits questions.

1. R administered D3 traits
2. R administered D4 traits

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

RANDOMIZATION - ORDER OF D3 BUSH TRAITS

George W. Bush traits questions in D3 were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible sequential orders.

1. D3a,b,c,d
2. D3a,d,c,b

INAP.
R selected for D4 traits; no 2002 Post interview

RANDOMIZATION - ORDER OF D4 BUSH TRAITS

George W. Bush traits questions in D4 were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible sequential orders.

1. D4a,b,c,d
2. D4a,d,c,b

INAP.
R selected for D3 traits; no 2002 Post interview

RANDOMIZATION ORDER OF G1a/b

Respondents were randomly preassigned to be administered
major party liberal-conservative House candidate placements with either the Democrat or Republican first.

1. G1a Democratic House cand 1st, G1b Republican House cand 2nd
2. G1b Republican House cand 1st, G1a Democratic House cand 2nd

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

-------------------------------
Numeric

PostRand.K4/K5

RANDOMIZED SELECTION K4/K5
-----------------------------------------------

Respondents were randomly assigned to either K4 or K5 (trust in people).

1. K4 selected (people take advantage)
2. K5 selected (people try to be helpful)

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

-------------------------------
M024410  PostRand.L1/L2. Rand Assign L1/L2 Fed Sp
Numeric

PostRand.L1/L2

RANDOMIZED ASSIGNMENT L1/L2 FEDERAL SPENDING
-----------------------------------------------

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- K1 series in Pre, L2 series in Post
- K2 series in Pre, L1 series in Post
This variable describes the Post assignment based on this randomized selection.
Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

1. L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)
2. L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

-------------------------------
M024411  PostRand.L1. Rand Post L1 Series
Numeric

PostRand.L1

RANDOMIZATION POST L1 SERIES
This variable describes the order of administration of Post L1 series Federal spending items. Two orderings were possible.

1. Lla,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. Llb,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

INAP.
R selected for Post L2 series; no 2002 Post interview

M024412 PostRand.L1e. Rand Post L1e Wording

RANDOMIZATION POST L1e WORDING

This variable describes the random assignment of wording in Federal spending item L1e in the Pre.

1. "Public schools"
2. "Big city schools"

INAP.
R selected for Post L1 series; no 2002 Post interview

M024413 PostRand.L1h. Rand Post L1h Wording

RANDOMIZATION POST L1h WORDING

This variable describes the random assignment of wording in Federal spending item L1h in the Pre.

1. "Homeland security"
2. "War on terrorism"

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M024414 PostRand.L2. Rand Post L2 Series

RANDOMIZATION POST L2 SERIES

This variable describes the order of administration of Post L2 series Federal spending items. Two orderings were possible.
1. L2a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L2a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

==============================
M024415 PostRand.L2c. Rand Post L2c Wording Numeric
PostRand.L2c

RANDOMIZATION POST L2c WORDING

This variable describes the random assignment of wording in Federal spending item L2c in the Pre.

1. "Poor people"
2. "Working poor"

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

==============================
M024416 PostRand.L2j. Rand Post L2j Wording Numeric
PostRand.L2j

RANDOMIZATION POST L2j WORDING

This variable describes the random assignment of wording in Federal spending item L2j in the Pre.

1. "Poor children"
2. "Black children"

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

==============================
M024417 PostRand.M5/M6. Randomized Assign M5/M6 Numeric
PostRand.M5/M6

RANDOMIZED ASSIGNMENT M5/M6

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- P1 income inequality by race in Pre; M5a,b,c,d affirmative action items in Post
- P2 income inequality by gender in Pre; M6a,b,c,d equal pay for women in Post

This variable describes the Post assignment based on this randomized selection.
Note: this Pre/Post randomization description applies regardless
of which alternative wording of P1/P2 was randomly selected in the Pre.

1. M5a,b,c,d asked in Post (P1 in Pre)
2. M6a,b,c,d asked in Post (P2 in Pre)

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

==============================================
M024418 PostRand.M5a/b. Random Order M5a/b
       Numeric

PostRand.M5a/b

RANDOMIZATION ORDER OF M5a/b

Respondents were administered affirmative action items M5a (position of Democratic Party) and M5b (position of Republican Party) in random order.

1. M5a Democratic Party first, M5b Republican Party second
2. M5b Republican Party first, M5a Democratic Party second

INAP.
R selected for M6a,b,c,d; no 2002 Post interview

==============================================
M024419 PostRand.M6a/b. Random Order M6a/b
       Numeric

PostRand.M6a/b

RANDOMIZATION ORDER OF M6a/b

Respondents were administered equal pay for women items M6a (position of Democratic Party) and M6b (position of Republican Party) in random order.

1. M6a Democratic Party first, M6b Republican Party second
2. M6b Republican Party first, M6a Democratic Party second

INAP.
R selected for M5a,b,c,d; no 2002 Post interview

==============================================
M024420 PostRand.M7. Random Post M7 Wording
       Numeric

PostRand.M7

RANDOMIZATION POST M7 WORDING

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings for M7 questions (same wording used in all M7 questions).
1. "Estate tax"
2. "Death tax"

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

-----------------------------------------
M024421  PostRand.M7c/e. Random Order M7c/e
Numeric

PostRand.M7c/e

RANDOMIZATION ORDER OF M7c/e
-----------------------------------------

Respondents were administered estate tax items M7c (position of Democratic Party) and M7e (position of Republican Party) in random order.

1. M7c Democratic Party first, M7e Republican party second
2. M7e Republican Party first, M7c Democratic party second

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

-----------------------------------------
M024422  PostRand.M9b/c. Random Order of M9b/c
Numeric

PostRand.M9b/c

RANDOMIZATION ORDER OF M9b/c
-----------------------------------------

Respondents were administered M9b and M9c items on level of taxation in random order.

1. M9b taxes paid by rich first, M9c taxes paid by poor second
2. M9c taxes paid by poor first, M9b taxes paid by rich second

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

-----------------------------------------
M024423  PostRand.M11. Randomized Assignment M11
Numeric

PostRand.M11

RANDOMIZED ASSIGNMENT M11
-----------------------------------------

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following combinations of general income inequality questions:
- Pre L2a (open "better"), Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse"), Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better"), Post M11a (open "better")
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse"), Post M11b (open "worse")
This variable describes the Post assignment based on this randomized selection.
Note: Income inequality items in Pre L2 and Post M11 are identical (L2a/M11a, L2b/M11b, L2c/M11c, L2d/M11d).

1. Post M11c administered (Pre L2a)
2. Post M11d administered (Pre L2b)
3. Post M11a administered (Pre L2c)
4. Post M11b administered (Pre L2d)

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

==============================
Numeric

PostRand.M11c

RANDOMIZATION POST M11c SERIES

This variable describes the order of administration of Post M11c closed-list income inequality items (reasons why some people have better jobs/income). Two orderings were possible.

1. M11c1,2,3,4,5,6,7
2. M11c2,4,5,6,3,1,7

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

==============================
Numeric

PostRand.M11d

RANDOMIZATION POST M11d SERIES

This variable describes the order of administration of Post M11d closed-list income inequality series items (reasons why some people have worse jobs/income). Two orderings were possible.

1. M11d1,2,3,4,5,6,7
2. M11d2,4,5,6,3,1,7

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

==============================
M024500a    Cand.0a. House Type Race
Numeric
Missing eq 97, ge 99

Cand.0a
In LA05 only, there were 2 significant Republican candidates facing a Democrat in an open race.

**INCUMBENT RUNNING**
- 12. Democratic incumbent running - Republican challenger
- 13. Democratic incumbent running - other challenger
- 14. Democratic incumbent running - unopposed
- 19. Democratic incumbent running - Repub and other challengers
- 21. Republican incumbent running - Democratic challenger
- 23. Republican incumbent running - other challenger
- 24. Republican incumbent running - unopposed
- 29. Republican incumbent running - Dem and other challengers
- 31. Other incumbent running - Democratic challenger
- 32. Other incumbent running - Republican challenger
- 34. Other incumbent running - unopposed
- 35. Other incumbent running - Dem and Repub challengers

**SPECIAL TYPE RACE DUE TO REDISTRICTING**
- 40. Dem and Repub incumbents running - no other candidate
- 41. 2 Democratic incumbents running - no other candidate
- 42. 2 Republican incumbents running - no other candidate
- 43. Dem and Repub incumbents running - other candidate(s)
- 44. Dem non-incumbent only - no retiree/unclear who is retiree
- 45. Repub non-incumbent only - no retiree/unclear who is retiree
- 46. Dem and Rep candidates - no retiree/unclear who is retiree
- 47. Rep and other candidates - no retiree/unclear who is retiree
- 49. Dem, Rep and other candidates - no retiree/unclear who is retiree

**NO INCUMBENT RUNNING**
- 51. Dem incumbent not running - Democratic cand unopposed
- 52. Dem incumbent not running - Republican cand unopposed
- 53. Dem incumbent not running - Other cand unopposed
- 55. Dem incumbent not running - Democratic and Republican cands
- 56. Dem incumbent not running - Republican and other candidates
- 57. Dem incumbent not running - Democratic and other candidates
- 59. Dem incumbent not running - Democ, Repub, other cands
- 61. Rep incumbent not running - Democratic cand unopposed
- 62. Rep incumbent not running - Republican cand unopposed
- 63. Rep incumbent not running - Other cand unopposed
- 65. Rep incumbent not running - Democratic and Republican cands
- 66. Rep incumbent not running - Republican and other candidates
- 67. Rep incumbent not running - Democratic and other candidates
- 69. Rep incumbent not running - Democr, Repub, other cands

**LOUISIANA DISTRICT 05 ONLY**
- 80. Rep incumbent not running - Democr and 2 Repub candidates

97. Washington DC
99. Correct district not assigned (See Summary.1)

==============================
M024500b  Cand.0b. Senate Type Race
Numeric
Missing eq 97

Cand.0b
In Louisiana only, there were 2 significant Republican challengers to the incumbent Democratic Senate candidate.

INCUMBENT RUNNING
12. Democratic incumbent running - Republican challenger
13. Democratic incumbent running - other challenger
14. Democratic incumbent running - unopposed
19. Democratic incumbent running - Repub and other challengers
21. Republican incumbent running - Democratic challenger
23. Republican incumbent running - other challenger
24. Republican incumbent running - unopposed
29. Republican incumbent running - Dem and other challengers
31. Other incumbent running - Democratic challenger
32. Other incumbent running - Republican challenger
34. Other incumbent running - unopposed
35. Other incumbent running - Dem and Repub challengers

NO INCUMBENT RUNNING
51. Dem incumbent not running - Democratic cand unopposed
52. Dem incumbent not running - Republican cand unopposed
53. Dem incumbent not running - Other cand unopposed
55. Dem incumbent not running - Democratic and Republican cands
56. Dem incumbent not running - Republican and other candidates
57. Dem incumbent not running - Democratic and other candidates
59. Dem incumbent not running - DemocR, Repub, other cands
61. Rep incumbent not running - Democratic cand unopposed
62. Rep incumbent not running - Republican cand unopposed
63. Rep incumbent not running - Other cand unopposed
65. Rep incumbent not running - Democratic and Republican cands
66. Rep incumbent not running - Republican and other candidates
67. Rep incumbent not running - Democratic and other candidates
69. Rep incumbent not running - DemocR, Repub, other cands

LOUISIANA ONLY
80. Democratic incumbent running - 2 Republican challengers

NO RACE IN STATE
81. Democratic incumbents, no race in state
82. Republican incumbents, no race in state
83. Democratic and other incumbent, no race in state
84. Republican and other incumbent, no race in state
85. Democratic and Republican incumbents, no race in state
86. 2 Other incumbents - no race

97. Washington DC

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M024501    Cand.1. House Democratic Candidate Name
Character

Cand.1

Democratic House candidate name (alpha)
Cand.2

Candidate code - Democratic House candidate

31. Democratic candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger

INAP.
No Democratic House candidate; correct district not assigned
(See Summary.1); no 2002 Post interview

Cand.3

Democratic House candidate gender

1. Male
2. Female

INAP.
No Democratic House candidate; correct district not assigned
(See Summary.1); no 2002 Post interview

Cand.4

Republican House candidate name (alpha)

INAP.
No Republican House candidate; correct district not assigned
(See Summary.1); no 2002 Post interview

Cand.5

Candidate code - Republican House candidate

32. Republican candidate in open House race
34. Republican House incumbent
36. Republican House challenger
INAP.
No Republican House candidate; correct district not assigned
(See Summary.1); no 2002 Post interview

==============================
M024506     Cand.6. House Republican Cand Gender
Numeric

Cand.6

Republican House candidate gender
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Male
2. Female

INAP.
No Republican House candidate; correct district not assigned
(See Summary.1); no 2002 Post interview

==============================
M024507     Cand.7. House Ind/3rd Party Cand Name
Character

Cand.7

Independent/3rd-Party House candidate name (alpha)
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

In LA05 only, there were 2 significant Republican candidates
facing a Democrat in an open race. The 2nd Republican
candidate is represented here.

INAP.
No independent/3rd-party House candidate; correct district not
assigned (See Summary.1) no 2002 Post interview

==============================
M024508     Cand.8. Cand Code-House Ind/3rd Pty cand
Numeric

Cand.8

Candidate code -Independent/3rd-Party House candidate
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

In LA05 only, there were 2 significant Republican candidates
facing a Democrat in an open race. The 2nd Republican
candidate is represented here.

32. 2nd Republican candidate in open House race (LA05 ONLY)
37. 3rd-Party or Independent House candidate - non incumbent
39. 3rd-Party or Independent House candidate - incumbent (VT01)

INAP.
No independent/3rd-party House candidate; correct district not
assigned (See Summary.1) no 2002 Post interview
Cand.9

Independent/3rd-Party House candidate gender

In LA05 only, there were 2 significant Republican candidates facing a Democrat in an open race. The 2nd Republican candidate is represented here.

1. Male
2. Female

INAP.
No independent/3rd-party House candidate; correct district not assigned (See Summary.1) no 2002 Post interview

Cand.10

Independent/3rd-Party House candidate party (alpha)

In LA05 only, there were 2 significant Republican candidates facing a Democrat in an open race. The 2nd Republican candidate is represented here.

INAP.
No independent/3rd-party House candidate; correct district not assigned (See Summary.1) no 2002 Post interview

Cand.11

Retiring House representative name (alpha)

INAP.
No retiring House representative; correct district not assigned (See Summary.1) no 2002 Post interview

Cand.12

Candidate code - Retiring House representative

41. Democratic Representative retiring (district with open race)
42. Republican Representative retiring (district with open race)
INAP.
No retiring House representative; correct district not assigned
(See Summary.1) no 2002 Post interview

==============================================
M024512a  Cand.2a. Ret Hse Rep running for Senate
Numeric

Cand.12a

Is Retiring House representative also Senate candidate

Note: for cases coded 1-2, thermometer was asked only once
(for House retiree).

1. House retiree is running as Democratic Senate cand in 2002
2. House retiree is running as Republican Senate cand in 2002
3. House retiree is not running as Senate cand in 2002

INAP.
No retiring House representative; correct district not assigned
(See Summary.1); no 2002 Post interview

==============================================
M024513  Cand.13. House Retiring Rep Gender
Numeric

Cand.13

Retiring House representative gender

1. Male
2. Female

INAP.
No retiring House representative; correct district not assigned
(See Summary.1) no 2002 Post interview

==============================================
M024514  Cand.14. Senate Democratic Cand Name
Character

Cand.14

Democratic Senate candidate name (alpha)

INAP.
No Democratic Senate candidate; no race in state; no 2002 Post
interview

==============================================
M024515  Cand.15. Cand Code-Senate Dem Candidate
Numeric

Cand.15

Candidate code - Democratic Senate candidate
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01. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
03. Democratic Senate incumbent
05. Democratic Senate challenger

INAP.
No Democratic Senate candidate; no race in state; no 2002 Post interview

M024516 Cand.16. Senate Democratic Cand Gender
Numeric

Dem. Senate candidate gender

1. Male
2. Female

INAP.
No Democratic Senate candidate; no race in state; no 2002 Post interview

M024517 Cand.17. Senate Republican Cand Name
Character

Rep. Senate candidate name (alpha)

INAP.
No Republican Senate candidate; no race in state; no 2002 Post interview

M024518 Cand.18. Cand Code-Senate Repub Cand
Numeric

Candidate code - Repub Senate candidate

02. Republican candidate in open Senate race
04. Republican Senate incumbent
06. Republican Senate challenger

INAP.
No Republican Senate candidate; no race in state; no 2002 Post interview

M024519 Cand.19. Senate Republican Cand Gender
Numeric
Republican Senate candidate gender

1. Male
2. Female

INAP.
No Republican Senate candidate; no race in state; no 2002 Post interview

In Louisiana only, there were 2 significant Republican challengers to the incumbent Democratic Senate candidate. The 2nd Republican Senate challenger is represented here.

INAP.
No independent/3rd-party Senate candidate; no race in state; 2002 Post interview

Candidate code - Independent/3rd-Party Senate candidate

In Louisiana only, there were 2 significant Republican challengers to the incumbent Democratic Senate candidate. The 2nd Republican Senate challenger is represented here.

06. Republican Senate challenger (LA only)
07. 3rd-Party or Independent Senate candidate - non incumbent

INAP.
No independent/3rd-party Senate candidate; no race in state; 2002 Post interview

Independent/3rd-Party Senate candidate gender

In Louisiana only, there were 2 significant Republican challengers to the incumbent Democratic Senate candidate.
The 2nd Republican Senate challenger is represented here.

1. Male
2. Female

INAP.
No independent/3rd-party Senate candidate; no race in state; 2002 Post interview

In Louisiana only, there were 2 significant Republican challengers to the incumbent Democratic Senate candidate. The 2nd Republican Senate challenger is represented here.

INAP.
No independent/3rd-party Senate candidate; no race in state; 2002 Post interview

31. Democratic candidate in open House race
32. Republican candidate in open House race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
39. 3rd-Party or Independent House candidate – incumbent (VT01)

INAP.
Washington DC; correct district not assigned (See Summary.1)

Source: CNN.com

1. Democrat
5. Republican
7. Other
INAP.
Washington DC; correct district not assigned (See Summary.1)

M024524b        Cand.24b. 2002 Dem House Cand Percen
Numeric

Cand.24b

Vote % Dem House candidate

Source: CNN.com

INAP.
No Democratic House candidate (incl. Washington DC); correct
district not assigned (See Summary.1)

M024524c        Cand.24c. 2002 Rep House Cand Percent
Numeric

Cand.24c

Vote % Rep House candidate

Source: CNN.com

INAP.
No Democratic House candidate (incl. Washington DC); correct
district not assigned (See Summary.1)

M024524d        Cand.24d. 2002 Ind/3rd Hse Cand Percent
Numeric

Cand.24d

Vote % Ind/3rd Party House candidate

Source: CNN.com.
This includes the vote percentage of the independent/third
party candidate with the greatest number of votes over 5% of
returns (if any).
Note that the Post instrument preload included, for several
districts, 1 independent/3rd party candidate name; that
candidate is represented here even if he/she did not finally
receive more than 5% of the vote.
Note: in a few districts, more than 1 independent/3rd-party
candidate received more than 5% of the vote; besides an
independent/3rd-party candidate described in Cand24.d-Cand.24f:
LA02 - Additional Republican candidate 11% (Sullivan)
LA05 - Additional Republican candidate 24% (Fletcher)
SC02 - United Citizens Party candidate 6% (Legg)
SC05 - Constitution Party candidate  6% (FaFemine)
INAP. No Ind/3rd Party House candidate with more than 5% of vote and no preload of independent/3rd party name; Washington DC; correct district not assigned (See Summary.1)

-------------------------------
M024524e Cand.24e. 2002 Ind/3rd Hse Cand Name
Character

Ind/3rd Party House candidate name

Source: CNN.com.
This includes the independent/third party candidate with the greatest number of votes over 5% of returns (if any). Note that the Post instrument preload included, for several districts 1 independent/3rd party candidate name; that candidate is represented here even if he/she did not finally receive more than 5% of the vote.

INAP. No Ind/3rd Party House candidate with more than 5% of vote and no preload of independent/3rd party name; Washington DC; correct district not assigned (See Summary.1)

-------------------------------
M024524f Cand.24f. 2002 Hse Ind/3rd Party
Character

Ind/3rd Party House candidate party

Source: CNN.com.
This includes the independent/third party candidate with the greatest number of votes over 5% of returns (if any). Note that the Post instrument preload included, for several districts 1 independent/3rd party candidate name; that candidate is represented here even if he/she did not finally receive more than 5% of the vote.

INAP. No Ind/3rd Party House candidate with more than 5% of vote and no preload of independent/3rd party name; Washington DC; correct district not assigned (See Summary.1)

-------------------------------
M024525 Cand.25. Cand Code-2002 Senate winner
Numeric

2002 Senate Election Winner - candidate code

01. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
02. Republican candidate in open Senate race
03. Democratic Senate incumbent
04. Republican Senate incumbent
05. Democratic Senate challenger
06. Republican Senate challenger

INAP.
No Senate race in state or Washington DC; no 2002 Post interview

==============================
M024525a        Cand.25a. 2002 Senate Winner-Party
Numeric

Cand.25a

2002 Senate Election Winner - Party

Source: CNN.com

1. Democrat
5. Republican
7. Other

INAP.
No Senate race in state or Washington DC; no 2002 Post interview

==============================
M024525b        Cand.25b. 2002 Dem Senate Cand Percent
Numeric

Cand.25b

Vote % Dem Senate candidate

Source: CNN.com

INAP.
No Democratic Senate candidate; no race in state; Washington DC

==============================
M024525c        Cand.25c. 2002 Rep Senate Cand Percent
Numeric

Cand.25c

Vote % Rep Senate candidate

Source: CNN.com

INAP.
No Republican Senate candidate; no race in state; Washington DC

==============================
M024525d        Cand.25d. 2002 Ind Senate Cand Percent
Numeric

Cand.25d
Vote % Ind/3rd party Senate candidate

Source: CNN.com.
This includes the vote percentage of the independent/third party candidate with the greatest number of votes over 5% of returns (if any).
Note that the Post instrument preload included 2 states for each of which an independent/third party candidate name was included in the preload; both of these preloaded independent/3rd party candidate names are represented here, including the MN candidate who did not finally receive more than 5% of the vote.

KS: Libertarian candidate [Rosile]
LA: 2nd Republican candidate [Terrell]
MN: Reform party [Moore]
MS: Reform candidate [O'Hara]
OK: Independent candidate [Germalic]
VA: Independent candidate [Spannaus]

LA Note:
Louisiana had multiple Republican challengers to the Senate running Democratic incumbent in the 2002 election; the Republican candidate who seemed to be the leading challenger was preloaded as 'the' Republican candidate in the instrument, and 1 additional Republican candidate was included in the preload fields otherwise reserved for independent/3rd party candidate. Also, in addition to the 2nd Republican candidate whose vote percentage is represented in Cand.25d, Louisiana had a 4th candidate with over 5% of the vote (a 3rd Republican candidate, [Perkins] who received 10% of the vote).

VA Note:
In Virginia, an additional Independent candidate [Hornberger] received 7% of the vote.

INAP.
No independent/3rd party Senate candidate with more than 5% of vote; no race in state; Washington DC

M024526 Cand.26. Junior Senator Status Numeric

Cand.26

Junior Senator Status 2002

This describes the Junior Senator prior to the 2002 election (107th Congress).

0. Junior Senator not running or retiring in 2002
1. Junior Senator running in 2002
2. Junior Senator retiring in 2002

INAP.
Washington DC; no Post IW

Cand.26a

Junior Senator Party 2002

This describes the Junior Senator prior to the 2002 election (107th Congress).

1. Democratic
5. Republican
7. Other

INAP.
Washington DC; no Post IW

Cand.27

Senior Senator Status 2002

This describes the Senior Senator prior to the 2002 election (107th Congress).

0. Senior Senator not running or retiring in 2002
1. Senior Senator running in 2002
2. Senior Senator retiring in 2002

INAP.
Washington DC; no Post IW

Cand.27a

Senior Senator Party 2002

This describes the Senior Senator prior to the 2002 election (107th Congress).

1. Democratic
5. Republican
7. Other

INAP.
Washington DC; no Post IW

Cand.28

Junior Senator Name

This describes the Junior Senator prior to the 2002 election (107th Congress).
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Junior Senator name (alpha)

INAP.
Washington DC; no Post IW

M024529  Cand.29. Junior Senator Code
Numeric

Cand.29

Junior Senator code

RACE IN STATE
03. Democratic Senate Running Incumbent
04. Republican Senate Running Incumbent
JUNIOR SENATOR WITH TERM NOT UP (NOT RUNNING FOR RETIRING)
11. Democratic Junior Senator
12. Republican Junior Senator
13. Independent/3rd-Party Junior Senator
JUNIOR SENATOR RETIRING
21. Retiring Democratic Junior Senator in state with open race
22. Retiring Republican Junior Senator in state with open race
23. Retiring Ind/3rd Party Junior Senator in state w/ open race

INAP.
Washington DC; no Post IW

M024530  Cand.30. Junior Senator Gender
Numeric

Cand.30

Junior Senator gender

1. Male
2. Female

INAP.
Washington DC; no Post IW

M024531  Cand.31. Senior Senator Name
Character

Cand.31

Senior Senator Name

INAP.
Washington DC; no Post IW

M024532  Cand.32. Senior Senator Code
Numeric
Cand.32

Senior Senator Code

RACE IN STATE
03. Democratic Senate Running Incumbent
04. Republican Senate Running Incumbent

SENIOR SENATOR RETIRING
27. Retiring Democratic Senior Senator in state with open race
28. Retiring Republican Senior Senator in state with open race
29. Retiring Ind/3rd Party Senior Senator in state w/ open race

SENIOR SENATOR WITH TERM NOT UP (NOT RUNNING FOR RETIRING)
17. Democratic Senior Senator
18. Republican Senior Senator
19. Independent/3rd Party Senior Senator

INAP.
Washington DC; no Post IW

M024533 Cand.33. Senior Senator Gender
Numeric

Cand.33

Senior Senator gender

1. Male
2. Female

INAP.
Washington DC; no Post IW

M024534 Cand.34. Retiring Senator Name
Character

Cand.34

Retiring Senator Name

INAP.
No race in state; Washington DC; no Post IW

M024535 Cand.35. Retiring Senator Code
Numeric

Cand.35

Retiring Senator Code

21. Retiring Democratic Junior Senator in state with open race
22. Retiring Republican Junior Senator in state with open race
23. Retiring Ind/3rd Party Junior Senator in state w/ open race
27. Retiring Democratic Senior Senator in state with open race
28. Retiring Republican Senior Senator in state with open race
29. Retiring Ind/3rd Party Senior Senator in state w/ open race

INAP.
No race in state; Washington DC; no Post IW

==============================
M024536                Cand.36. Retiring Senator Gender
Numeric

Cand.36

Retiring Senator gender

1. Male
2. Female

INAP.
No race in state; Washington DC; no Post IW

==============================
M025001                A1. How Much Attn to Campaigns
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

A1.

Some people don't pay much attention to political campaigns. How about you? Would you say that you have been VERY MUCH INTERESTED, SOMEWHAT INTERESTED or NOT MUCH INTERESTED in the political campaigns so far this year?

1. Very much interested
3. Somewhat interested
5. Not much interested
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

==============================
M025002                A2. Watch Campaign TV Programs
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

A2.

Did you watch any programs about the campaign on television?

1. Yes
5. No
A3. Discuss Politics w/ Family/Friends

numeric

Missing eq 0, ge 8

A3.

Do you ever discuss politics with your family or friends?

1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

A3a.

IF R DISCUSSED POLITICS WITH FAMILY OR FRIENDS IN PAST WEEK:

How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you talk about politics with family or friends?

00. None
01. One Day
02. Two Days
03. Three Days
04. Four Days
05. Five Days
06. Six Days
07. Every Day
08. Don't know
09. Refused
99. NA

INAP.
5,8,9,0 in A3; no 2002 Post interview

B1. Parties Talk to R About Campaign

numeric

Page 1052
B1.

As you know, the political parties try to talk to as many people as they can to get them to vote for their candidate. Did anyone from one of the POLITICAL PARTIES call you up or come around and talk to you about the campaign this year?

1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

Bla.

IF POLITICAL PARTY SPOKE TO R ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN:

Which party was that?

1. Democrats
5. Republicans
6. Both
7. Other {SPECIFY}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
5,8,9,0 in B1; no 2002 Post interview

B2.

We would like to find out about some of the things people do to help a party or a candidate win an election. During the campaign, did you talk to any people and try to show them why they should vote for or against one of the parties or candidates?

1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

----------------------------
M025008   B3. R Display Campaign Button/Sticker
          Numeric
          Missing eq 0, ge 8

B3.

Did you wear a campaign button, put a campaign sticker on your car, or place a sign in your window or in front of your house?

-----------------------------
1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

----------------------------
M025009   B4. R Attend Candidate Meetings/Rallies
          Numeric
          Missing eq 0, ge 8

B4.

Did you go to any political meetings, rallies, speeches, dinners, or things like that in support of a particular candidate?

----------------------------
1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

----------------------------
M025010   B5. R Do Other Work for Party or Cand
          Numeric
          Missing eq 0, ge 8

B5.
Did you do any (other) work for one of the parties or candidates?

1. Yes
5. No

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

B6. Did R Give Money to Candidate

During an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. Did you give money to AN INDIVIDUAL CANDIDATE running for public office?

1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

B7. Did R Give Money to Party

Did you give money to A POLITICAL PARTY during this election year?

1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

B8. R Give Money to Any Other Group
B8.

Did you give any money to ANY OTHER GROUP that supported or opposed candidates?

------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025014  B9. Anyone Talk to R About Reg/Vote

B9.

During the campaign this year, did anyone talk to you about REGISTERING TO VOTE or GETTING OUT TO VOTE?

------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Yes, someone did
5. No, no one did
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025015a  C1a. R Turnout Traditional

C1a.

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1 OF VOTE TURNOUT QUESTION:

In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time. How about you--did you vote in the elections this November?

R 2002 ELECTION TURNOUT - VERSION 1

Respondents were randomly assigned to either C1a or C1b in the Pre (alternate version for R turnout).
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Yes, voted
5. No, didn't vote
6. R refused to say whether voted
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for version 2 of R turnout (C1b); no 2002 Post interview

IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2 OF VOTE TURNOUT QUESTION:

In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time.
Which of the following statements best describes you:

One, I did not vote (in the election this November);
Two, I thought about voting this time but didn't;
Three, I usually vote, but didn't this time; or
Four, I am sure I voted?

R 2002 ELECTION TURNOUT - VERSION 2

Respondents were randomly assigned to either Cla or C1b in the Pre (alternate version for R turnout).
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. I did not vote (in the election this November)
2. I thought about voting this time but didn't
3. I usually vote but didn't this time
4. I am sure I voted
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for version 1 of R turnout (Cla); no 2002 Post interview
VERS1 1:  
In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot 
of people were not able to vote because they weren't 
registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time. How 
about you--did you vote in the elections this November? 

VERS1 2:  
In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot 
of people were not able to vote because they weren't 
registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time. 
Which of the following statements best describes you:  
One, I did not vote (in the election this November); 
Two, I thought about voting this time - but didn't; 
Three, I usually vote, but didn't this time; or 
Four, I am sure I voted? 

SUMMARY: R 2002 ELECTION TURNOUT  
------------------------------------------------------------------------ 
Built from C1a and C1b. 

1. R reported voting in 2002 election 
5. R reported not voting in 2002 election 

8. R did not know whether voted in 2002 election 
9. R refused to say whether voted in 2002 election 
0. NA 
INAP. 
No 2002 Post interview  

==================================  
M025017  C2. Was R Registered to Vote  
Numeric 
Missing eq 0, ge 8 

C2.  

IF R DID NOT VOTE OR NA/REFUSED IF VOTED:  

Were you registered to vote in this election?  
------------------------------------------------------------------------ 

1. Yes 
5. No 
6. VOL: Not required to register in R's state 

8. Don't know 
9. Refused 
0. NA 

INAP. 
1,9,0 in C1x; no 2002 Post interview  

==================================  
M025018  C2a. R Registered in County  
Numeric 
Missing eq 0, ge 7 
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C2a.

IF R VOTED:/
IF R IS REGISTERED NONVOTER:

{IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C AND NOT LOUISIANA:}
Your residence is located in <PRELOAD COUNTY NAME> county. 
Are you registered to vote in <PRELOAD COUNTY NAME> county?
{IF LOUISIANA:}
Your residence is located in <PRELOAD PARISH NAME> parish?
Are you registered to vote in <PRELOAD PARISH NAME> parish?
{IF WASHINGTON D.C.:
Your residence is located in Washington D.C. 
Are you registered to vote in Washington D.C.?

R REGISTERED IN COUNTY OF INTERVIEW

------------------------------------------------------------------------
PRELOADED: NAME OF COUNTY OR WASHINGTON DC
(ALASKA: OTHER UNITS; IN METROPOLITANA AREAS SOMETIMES
IDENTIFIED READS: "<PRELOAD CITY NAME> city")

1. Yes, registered in <COUNTY/WASH D.C.>
5. No, registered elsewhere
7. Not registered elsewhere but District misassignment (see
   Summary.1)
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
5,8,9,0 in C2; 9,0 in C1x; no 2002 Post interview

=================================
M025018a   C2aa. Preloaded FIPS county
           Numeric

C2aa.

PRELOADED COUNTY

------------------------------------------------------------------------
The first 2 digits are FIPS state code; last 3 digits are
FIPS county code. This variable has been blanked to preserve
respondent confidentiality. 11000=Washington DC

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

=================================
M025019   C2al. County Registered if Not Residence
           Numeric
           Missing eq 0

C2al.

IF R VOTED OR IS REGISTERED NONVOTER:
IF R REGISTERED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

In what county and state are you registered?

STATE OF REGISTRATION - R REGISTERED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE

FIPS state code.

00. NA

INAP.

9,0 in C1x; 5,6,8,9,0 in C2; 1,8,9,0 in C2a; no 2002 Post interview

C2a2.

IF R VOTED OR IS REGISTERED NONVOTER:

IF R REGISTERED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE:

In what county and state are you registered?

DISTRICT OF REGISTRATION - R REGISTERED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE

99. NA

INAP.

6,8,9,0 in C2; 1,8,9,0 in C2a; no 2002 Post interview

C2ax.

VERSION 1:
In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot
of people were not able to vote because they weren't
registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time. How
about you—did you vote in the elections this November? /

VERSION 2:
In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot
of people were not able to vote because they weren't
registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time.
Which of the following statements best describes you:

One, I did not vote (in the election this November);
Two, I thought about voting this time—but didn't;
Three, I usually vote, but didn't this time; or
Four, I am sure I voted?

Were you registered to vote in this election?
{IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C AND NOT LOUISIANA:}
Your residence is located in <PRELOAD COUNTY NAME> county.
Are you registered to vote in <PRELOAD COUNTY NAME> county?
{IF LOUISIANA:}
Your residence is located in <PRELOAD PARISH NAME> parish?
Are you registered to vote in <PRELOAD PARISH NAME> parish?
{IF WASHINGTON D.C.:}
Your residence is located in Washington D.C.
Are you registered to vote in Washington D.C.?

SUMMARY: R VOTE/REGISTRATION STATUS

Built from C1x, C2, C2a.

1. R voted, registered in county (includes 7 in C2a)
2. R voted, registered outside county /DK/RF/NA where registered
3. R did not vote or DK/RF/NA if voted
5. Washington DC: R voted, registered outside or DK/RF/NA where
6. Washington DC: R did not vote or DK/RF/NA if voted

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025021    C4. Did R Vote on Election Day
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

C4.

IF R VOTED:

Did you vote ON ELECTION DAY -- that is, November 5, 2002, or
did you vote at SOME TIME BEFORE this?

1. On election day
5. Some time before this
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
5,8,9,0 in C1x; no 2002 Post interview

M025022    C4al. R Voted How Long Before Election
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 88

C4al.

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

How long before November 5th did you vote?
{PROBE: A FEW DAYS, A WEEK, LONGER THAN THAT?}

01. Less than one week, 1-6 days
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02. One week; 7 days
03. 1-2 weeks; 8-14 days
04. 2-3 weeks; 15-21 days
05. 3-4 weeks; 22-28 days
06. One month; 29-31 days
07. More than one month; 32-60 days
11. A few days; a couple of days; several days -- NFS
12. A few weeks; a couple of weeks; several weeks -- NFS
87. Other

88. Don't know
89. Refused
00. NA

INAP.
5,8,9,0 in C1x; 1,8,9,0 in C4a; no 2002 Post interview

-----------------------------
M025023         C4a2. R Vote Absentee Ballot or in Perso
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

C4a2.

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED BEFORE ELECTION DAY:

Did you vote IN PERSON or by ABSENTEE BALLOT?

1. In person
5. Absentee ballot
7. R VOLUNTEERS: by mail {OREGON ONLY}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
5,8,9,0 in C1x; 1,8,9,0 in C4a; no 2002 Post interview

-----------------------------
M025024         C11a. Did R Vote for House
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

C11a.

IF R VOTED AND NOT WASHINGTON D.C.

How about the election for the HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?

1. Yes, voted for House of Representatives
5. No, didn't vote for House of Representatives
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
3,4,6,8,9,0 in C2ax; no 2002 Post interview

M025025  C11a1. In County R Vote House of Represent
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

C11a1.

IF R NOT VOTED:
IF NOT WASHINGTON DC AND VOTED IN COUNTY:
IF R VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:

Who did you vote for?
Did you vote for (the [Democrat/Republican], [<DEM CAND NAME>/<REP CAND NAME>]) (or) (the [Republican/Democrat], [<REP CAND NAME>/<DEM CAND NAME>]) (or the <OTHER PARTY> candidate, <OTHER CAND NAME>) (or someone else)?

Note: The case with misassigned district preloaded (see Summary.1) was coded for R's candidate vote from R's actual district.
In LA05 there were 2 Republican candidates, the 2nd of which was preloaded into House independent/3rd-party candidate preload fields. Independent/3rd-party candidate names were read after any major party names. Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered this questions with the name of the Democratic candidate read first or the name of the Republican candidate read first. Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. <PRELOAD DEM CAND NAME>
5. <PRELOAD REP CAND NAME>
3. <PRELOAD IND/3RD PARTY CAND NAME>
7. Other {SPECIFY}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
2,3,4,5,6,8,9,0 in C2ax; 5,8,9,0 in C11a; no 2002 Post interview

M025025x  C11ax. Cand Code-House Vote In County
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 88

C11ax.

IF R VOTED:
IF NOT WASHINGTON DC AND VOTED IN COUNTY:
IF R VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:

Who did you vote for?
Did you vote for (the [Democrat/Republican], [<DEM CAND NAME>/<REP CAND NAME>]) (or) (the [Republican/Democrat], [<REP CAND NAME>/<DEM CAND NAME>]) (or the <OTHER PARTY> candidate, <OTHER CAND NAME>) (or someone else)?

CANDIDATE CODE HOUSE VOTE - IN COUNTY
--------------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: The case with misassigned district preloaded (see Summary.1) was coded for R's candidate vote from R's actual district.
In LA05 there were 2 Republican candidates, the 2nd of which was preloaded into House independent/3rd-party candidate preload fields. Independent/3rd-party candidate names were read after any major party names. Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered this question with the name of the Democratic candidate read first or the name of the Republican candidate read first. Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

31. Democratic House candidate in open race
32. Republican House candidate in open race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
37. Third party or independent House cand - non incumbent
38. Third party or independent House cand - 2nd non incumbent
39. Third party or independent House cand - incumbent
85. Name not on candidate list

88. Don't know
89. Refused
00. NA

INAP.
2,3,4,5,6,8,9,0 in C2ax; 5,8,9,0 in C11a; no 2002 Post interview

==============================================
M025026       C11a2. Outside Cand R Vote House of Rep
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 88

C11a2.

IF R VOTED:
IF VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY:
IF R VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:

Who did you vote for?

HOUSE VOTE CANDIDATE CODE - R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF IW
--------------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: in 1 case, R's 'outside' candidate was in the same
congressional district as district of residence (1 case coded 33).

DISTRICT WITH NO RUNNING INCUMBENT:
71. Democratic candidate
72. Republican candidate

DISTRICT WITH RUNNING INCUMBENT:
73. Democratic incumbent
74. Republican incumbent
75. Democratic challenger
76. Republican challenger

ALL DISTRICTS:
80. Third party or independent candidate
81. Democrat--no name given
82. Republican--no name given
85. Name not on candidate list

88. Don't know
89. Refused
00. NA

INAP.
1,3,4,6,8,9,0 in C2ax; 5,8,9,0 in C11a; no 2002 Post interview

M025027        C11a2a. Outside Party R Vote House of Re
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

C11a2a.

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY:
IF R VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:

Which party was that?

PARTY OF HOUSE VOTE - OUTSIDE COUNTY OF IW

This is the respondent's identification of 'outside' candidate's party.

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
1,3,4,6,8,9,0 in C2ax; 5,8,9,0 in C11a; no 2002 Post interview

M025028a        C11x1. Cand Code-House Vote Summary
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8
C11x1.

IF R VOTED IN COUNTY AND NOT WASHINGTON DC / IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY:
IF R VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:

Who did you vote for?
Did you vote for (the [Democrat/Republican], [<DEM CAND NAME/>/<REP CAND NAME>]) (or) (the [Republican/Democrat], [<REP CAND NAME>/<DEM CAND NAME>]) (or the <OTHER PARTY> candidate, <OTHER CAND NAME>) (or someone else)? / Who did you vote for? Which party was that?

CANDIDATE CODE HOUSE VOTE SUMMARY - ALL VOTERS

Built from C11ax, C11a2.

31. Democratic House candidate in open race
32. Republican House candidate in open race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
37. Third party or independent House cand - non incumbent
38. Third party or independent House cand - 2nd non incumbent
39. Third party or independent House cand - incumbent

OUTSIDE DISTRICT

71. Democratic candidate
72. Republican candidate
73. Democratic incumbent
74. Republican incumbent
75. Democratic challenger
76. Republican challenger
80. Third party or independent candidate
81. Democrat--no name given
82. Republican--no name given
85. Name not on candidate list
88. Don't know
89. Refused
00. NA

INAP.

3,4,6,8,9,0 in C2ax; 5,8,9,0 in C11a; no 2002 Post interview

=================================
M025028b C11x2. Cand Pty House Vote Summary
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

C11x2.

IF R VOTED IN COUNTY AND NOT WASHINGTON DC / IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY:
IF R VOTED FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:
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Who did you vote for?
Did you vote for (the [Democrat/Republican], [<DEM CAND NAME>/<REP CAND NAME>]) (or) (the [Republican/Democrat], [<REP CAND NAME>/<DEM CAND NAME>]) (or the <OTHER PARTY> candidate, <OTHER CAND NAME>) (or someone else?)?

CANDIDATE PARTY HOUSE VOTE SUMMARY - ALL VOTERS
--------------------------------------------------------

Built from C11ax, C11a2.

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
3,4,6,8,9,0 in C2ax; 5,8,9,0 in C11a; no 2002 Post interview

M025029 C15. Summary-Senate Race Status
Numeric

C15.

IF R VOTED:

SUMMARY: SENATE RACE STATUS
-----------------------------------------------

1. Registered in county and race in state
2. Registered in county and no race in state
3. Registered outside county or DK/RP/NA where registered (incl. Washington DC)
4. Washington DC and registered in Washington DC

INAP.
5,8,9,0 in C1x; no 2002 Post interview

M025030 C15a. Did R Vote Senate
Numeric
    Missing eq 0, ge 8

C15a.

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF IW IN STATE WITH SENATE RACE:
IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF INTERVIEW:

How about the election for the UNITED STATES SENATE?
Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. Senate?
----------------------------
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1. Yes, voted for Senate
5. No, didn't vote for Senate
7. R VOLUNTEERS: no race in state of vote
   (R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF RESIDENCE)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
5,8,9,0 in C1x; 2,4 in C15; no 2002 Post interview

-----------------------------
M025031  C15al. In County Cand R Senate Vote
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

C15al.

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF IW IN STATE WITH SENATE RACE:
IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

Who did you vote for?
Did you vote for (the [Democrat/Republican], [<DEM CAND
NAME>/<REP CAND NAME>]) (or) (the [Republican/Democrat],
[<REP CAND NAME>/<DEM CAND NAME>]) (or the <OTHER PARTY>
candidate, <OTHER CAND NAME>) (or someone else?)?

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered this
questions with the name of the Democratic candidate read first
or the name of the Republican candidate read first.
Note: in LA there were 2 Republican candidates, the 2nd of
which was preloaded into Senate independent/3rd-party candidate
preload fields. Independent/3rd-party candidate names were
read after any major party names.
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
Post survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. <PRELOAD DEM CAND NAME>
5. <PRELOAD REP CAND NAME>
3. <PRELOAD IND/3RD PARTY CAND NAME>
7. Other (SPECIFY)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
5,8,9,0 in C1x; 2,3,4 in C15; no 2002 Post interview

-----------------------------
M025031a  C15ax. Cand - in-county senate vote
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8
IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED IN COUNTY OF IW IN STATE WITH SENATE RACE:
IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

CANDIDATE CODE SENATE VOTE - IN COUNTY

01. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
02. Republican candidate in open Senate race
03. Democratic Senate incumbent
04. Republican Senate incumbent
05. Democratic Senate challenger
06. Republican Senate challenger
07. Third party or independent Senate cand - non incumbent
08. Third party or independent Senate cand - 2nd non incumbent
09. Third party or independent Senate cand - incumbent
    VOTED IN COUNTY OF IW BUT R GAVE NAME OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW
71. Democratic candidate
72. Republican candidate
73. Democratic incumbent
74. Republican incumbent
75. Democratic challenger
76. Republican challenger
    NAME NOT ON CANDIDATE LIST
85. Name not on candidate list
88. Don't know
89. Refused
00. NA

INAP.
5, 8, 9, 0 in C1x; 2, 3, 4 in C15; no 2002 Post interview

M025032         C15a2. Outside Cand R Senate Vote
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 88

C15a2.

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF IW:
IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

Who did you vote for?

SENATE VOTE CANDIDATE CODE - R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF IW

If R voted outside county of interview but within the same state, the regular candidate code is used here (as found in C15ax, codes 1-9).

71. Democratic candidate
72. Republican candidate
73. Democratic incumbent
74. Republican incumbent
75. Democratic challenger
76. Republican challenger
80. Third party or independent candidate
81. Democrat--no name given
82. Republican--no name given
85. Name not on candidate list

88. Don't know
89. Refused
00. NA

INAP.
5,8,9,0 in C1x; 1,2,4 in C15; no 2002 Post interview

============================================
M025033 C15a2a. Outside Party R Vote Senate
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

C15a2a.

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF IW:
IF R VOTED FOR SENATE:

Which party was that?

PARTY OF SENATE VOTE - OUTSIDE COUNTY OF IW

This is the respondent's identification of 'outside' candidate's party.

1. Democratic
5. Republican
7. Other {SPECIFY}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
5,8,9,0 in C1x; 1,2,4 in C15; no 2002 Post interview

============================================
M025034 C15ax1. Summary - Senate Vote
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 88

C15ax1.

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED IN STATE OF IW AND RACE IN STATE /
IF R VOTED OUTSIDE STATE OF IW:
ED FOR SENATE:

CANDIDATE CODE SENATE VOTE SUMMARY - ALL VOTERS
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Built from C15ax, C15a2.

01. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
02. Republican candidate in open Senate race
03. Democratic Senate incumbent
04. Republican Senate incumbent
05. Democratic Senate challenger
06. Republican Senate challenger
07. Third party or independent Senate cand - non incumbent
08. Third party or independent Senate cand - 2nd non incumbent
09. Third party or independent Senate cand - incumbent

VOTED IN COUNTY OF IW BUT R GAVE NAME OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF IW

71. Democratic candidate
72. Republican candidate
73. Democratic incumbent
74. Republican incumbent
75. Democratic challenger
76. Republican challenger
VOTED OUTSIDE STATE OF IW:
STATE WITH NO RUNNING INCUMBENT: (VOTE VAR ONLY)

71. Democratic candidate
72. Republican candidate
STATE WITH RUNNING INCUMBENT:
73. Democratic incumbent
74. Republican incumbent
75. Democratic challenger
76. Republican challenger

ALL STATES:
80. Third party or independent candidate
81. Democrat--no name given
82. Republican--no name given
85. Name not on candidate list

88. Don't know
89. Refused
00. NA

INAP.
5, 8, 9, 0 in C1x; 2, 4 in C15; no 2002 Post interview

===============================================
M025034x C15ax2. Cand party - all Senate vote
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

C15ax2.

IF R VOTED:
IF R VOTED IN STATE OF IW AND RACE IN STATE /
IF R VOTED OUTSIDE STATE OF IW:
ED FOR SENATE:

CANDIDATE PARTY SENATE VOTE SUMMARY - ALL VOTERS

Built from C15ax, C15a2.

1. DEMOCRAT
2. REPUBLICAN
3. OTHER (third party or independent candidate)
7. Name not on candidate list for this race

8. Don’t know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
5,8,9,0 in C1x; 2,4 in C15; no 2002 Post interview

M025035 C18a. Nonvoter Prefer House Cand
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

C18a.

IF R DID NOT VOTE OR DK/NA IF VOTED:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

How about the election for the HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES in Washington? Did you PREFER one of the candidates for the U.S. House of Representatives?

1. Yes
5. No
8. Don’t know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
1,2,4,5,6 in C2ax; no 2002 Post interview

M025036 C18a1. House Cand Nonvoter Prefers
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

C18a1.

IF R DID NOT VOTE OR DK/NA IF VOTED:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R PREFERRED ONE OF THE U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATES:

Who did you prefer?
Did you prefer (the [Democrat/Republican], [<DEM CAND NAME>/<REP CAND NAME>]) (or) (the [Republican/Democrat], [<REP CAND NAME>/<DEM CAND NAME>]) (or the <OTHER PARTY> candidate, <OTHER CAND NAME>) (or someone else)?

NONVOTER HOUSE CANDIDATE PREFERENCE

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered this questions with the name of the Democratic candidate read first or the name of the Republican candidate read first.
Note: in LA05 there were 2 Republican candidates, the 2nd of
which was preloaded into House independent/3rd-party candidate preload fields. Independent/3rd-party candidate names were read after any major party names.

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. <PRELOAD DEM CAND NAME>
5. <PRELOAD REP CAND NAME>
3. <PRELOAD IND/3RD PARTY CAND NAME>
7. Other {SPECIFY}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
5,8,9,0 in C18a; 1,2,4,5,6,8,9,0 in C2ax; no 2002 Post interview

M025037 C18ax1. Nonvoter Hse pref cand code
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 88

C18ax1.

IF R DID NOT VOTE OR DK/NA IF VOTED:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R PREFERRED ONE OF THE U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATES:

NONVOTER HOUSE CANDIDATE PREFERENCE - CANDIDATE CODE

31. Democratic House candidate in open race
32. Republican House candidate in open race
33. Democratic House incumbent
34. Republican House incumbent
35. Democratic House challenger
36. Republican House challenger
37. Third party or independent House cand - non incumbent
38. Third party or independent House cand - 2nd non incumbent
39. Third party or independent House cand - incumbent
85. Name not on candidate list

88. Don't know
89. Refused
00. NA

INAP.
5,8,9,0 in C18a; 1,2,4,5,6,8,9,0 in C2ax; no 2002 Post interview

M025038 C18ax2. Sen race in state of IW?
Numeric

C19.

IF R DID NOT VOTE OR DK/NA IF VOTED:
RACE IN STATE OF IW?

1. State with race
2. State with no race (including Washington DC)

INAP.
5, 8, 9, 0 in C1x; no 2002 Post interview

M025039  C19a. Nonvoter Prefer Senate Candidate
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

C19a.

IF R DID NOT VOTE OR DK/NA IF VOTED:
IF STATE WITH SENATE RACE:

How about the election for the UNITED STATES SENATE? Did you PREFER one of the candidates for the U.S. Senate?

1. Yes
5. No

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
2 in C19; 5, 8, 9, 0 in C1x; no 2002 Post interview

M025040  C19a1. Senate Cand Nonvoter Prefers
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

C19a1.

IF R DID NOT VOTE OR DK/NA IF VOTED:
IF STATE WITH SENATE RACE:

R PREFERRED ONE OF THE U.S. SENATE CANDIDATES:

Who did you prefer? Did you prefer (the [Democrat/Republican], [<DEM CAND NAME>/<REP CAND NAME>]) (or) (the [Republican/Democrat], [<REP CAND NAME>/<DEM CAND NAME>]) (or the <OTHER PARTY> candidate, <OTHER CAND NAME>) (or someone else)?

NONVOTER SENATE CANDIDATE PREFERENCE

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered this questions with the name of the Democratic candidate read first or the name of the Republican candidate read first. Note: in LA there were 2 Republican candidates, the 2nd of which was preloaded into Senate independent/3rd-party candidate preload fields. Independent/3rd-party candidate names were
read after any major party names.

1. <PRELOAD DEM CAND NAME>
5. <PRELOAD REP CAND NAME>
3. <PRELOAD IND/3RD PARTY CAND NAME>
7. Other (SPECIFY)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
5,8,9,0 in C19a; 2 in C19; 5,8,9,0 in C1x; no 2002 Post interview

M025041    C19ax. Nonvoter Sen pref cand code
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 88

C19ax.

IF R DID NOT VOTE OR DK/NA IF VOTED:
IF STATE WITH SENATE RACE:
R PREFERRED ONE OF THE U.S. SENATE CANDIDATES:

NONVOTER SENATE CANDIDATE PREFERENCE - CANDIDATE CODE
------------------------------------------------------------------------
Code 6 includes the 2nd Republican Senate candidate in Louisiana (included in code 3 in C19a).

01. Democratic candidate in open Senate race
02. Republican candidate in open Senate race
03. Democratic Senate incumbent
04. Republican Senate incumbent
05. Democratic Senate challenger
06. Republican Senate challenger
07. Third party or independent Senate cand - non incumbent
08. Third party or independent Senate cand - 2nd non incumbent
09. Third party or independent Senate cand - incumbent
85. Name not on candidate list

88. Don't know
89. Refused
00. NA

INAP.
5,8,9,0 in C19a; 2 in C19; 5,8,9,0 in C1x; no 2002 Post interview

M025042    C20. Congr Elections Conducted Fairly
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

C20.

In some countries, people believe their elections are conducted fairly. In other countries, people believe that their elections are conducted unfairly. Thinking of the
Congressional elections we've just had, do you believe they were VERY FAIR, SOMewhat FAIR, NEITHER FAIR NOR UNFAIR, SOMEWHAT UNFAIR, or VERY UNFAIR?

1. Very fair
2. Somewhat fair
3. Neither fair nor unfair
4. Somewhat unfair
5. Very unfair

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

D1.

I'd like to get your feelings toward some people in the news these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'll ask you to rate that person on a thermometer that runs from 0 to 100 degrees. Rating above 50 means that you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Rating below 50 means that you feel unfavorable and cool toward the person. Rating right at the 50 degree mark means you don't feel particularly warm or cold. You may use any number from 0 to 100 to tell me how favorable or unfavorable your feelings are. If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

M025043    D1a. George W. Bush Thermometer
Numeric
Missing eq 887, ge 888

D1a.

The first person is:
George W. Bush
Where on that thermometer would you rate George W. Bush?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: GEORGE W. BUSH

Individual thermometers (D1) were administered in one of two possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st thermometer):
D1a,D1g,D1h,D1j,D1m,D1m1,D1k
D1a,D1h,D1g,D1j,D1k,D1m,D1m1

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

Individual thermometers (D1) were administered in one of two possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st thermometer):
   D1a, D1g, D1h, D1j, D1m, D1ml, D1k
   D1a, D1h, D1g, D1j, D1k, D1m, D1ml
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
No Democratic House candidate; error in district assignment preload (1 case); no 2002 Post interview
IF R'S COUNTY OF RESIDENCE HAS A REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE:

(The next person is:)
<PRELOAD REP HOUSE CAND NAME>
(Where on that thermometer would you rate [him/her]?)

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: PRELOADED REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE NAME

Indivudual thermometers (D1) were administered in one of two possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as lst thermometer):
   D1a,D1g,D1h,D1j,D1m,D1ml,D1k
   D1a,D1h,D1g,D1j,D1k,D1m,D1ml

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
No Republican House candidate; error in district assignment preload (1 case); no 2002 Post interview

===============================================
M025046     D1j. Retiring House Representative Therm
Numeric
   Missing eq 887, ge 888

D1j.

IF R'S COUNTY OF RESIDENCE HAS A RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE:

(The next person is:)
<PRELOAD RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE NAME>
(Where on that thermometer would you rate [him/her]?)

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: PRELOADED RETIRING HOUSE REPRESENTATIVE

Indivudual thermometers (D1) were administered in one of two possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as lst thermometer):
   D1a,D1g,D1h,D1j,D1m,D1ml,D1k
   D1a,D1h,D1g,D1j,D1k,D1m,D1ml
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Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
No retiring House representative; error in district assignment preload (1 case); no 2002 Post interview

M025047 Dlk. Dem Senate Cand Thermometer
Numeric
Missing eq 887, ge 888

Dlk.

IF R LIVES IN A STATE WITH A DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE:
IF DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE IS NOT HOUSE RETIREE:

(The next person is:)
<PRELOAD DEM SENATE CAND NAME>
(Where on that thermometer would you rate [him/her]?)

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?)

THERMOMETER RATING: PRELOADED DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE

--------------------------------------------------------------------------

If Democratic Senate candidate was also House retiree, then only the House retiree thermometer was asked (Dlj). Individual thermometers (Dl) were administered in one of two possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st thermometer):
D1a,D1g,D1h,Dlj,Dlm,Dlm1,Dlk
D1a,D1h,D1g,Dlj,Dlk,Dlm,Dlm1

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
No Democratic Senate candidate; Democratic Senate candidate is also House retiree (1 in Cand.12a); no race in state or Washington DC; no 2002 Post interview
IF R LIVES IN A STATE WITH A REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE:
IF REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE IS NOT HOUSE RETIREE:

(The next person is:)

<PRELOAD REP SENATE CAND NAME>
(Where on that thermometer would you rate [him/her]?)

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: PRELOADED REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE

If Republican Senate candidate was also House retiree, then only the House retiree thermometer was asked (Dlj).
Individual thermometers (D1) were administered in one of two possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st thermometer):
D1a,D1g,D1h,D1j,D1m,D1ml,Dlk
D1a,D1h,D1g,D1j,D1m,D1m1,Dlk
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.
887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
No Republican Senate candidate; Republican Senate candidate is also House retiree (1 in Cand.12a); no race in state or Washington DC; no 2002 Post interview
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?)

THERMOMETER RATING: PRELOADED LA 2ND REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE

In Louisiana only, there were 2 significant Republican challengers to the incumbent Democratic Senate candidate. Individual thermometers (D1) were administered in one of two possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st thermometer):

D1a,D1g,D1h,D1j,D1m,D1ml,D1k
D1a,D1h,D1g,D1j,D1k,D1m,D1ml

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
Not Louisiana (no 2nd Republican Senate candidate); no 2002 Post interview

==================================
M025050 D1n. Ind/3rd Party House Cand Thermometer
Numeric
Missing eq 887, ge 888

D1n.

IF INDEPENDENT/3RD PARTY HOUSE CAND.:/
IF LA05:

(The next person is:)
<PRELOAD IND/3RD PARTY HOUSE CAND NAME>
(Where on that thermometer would you rate [him/her]?)

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?)

THERMOMETER RATING: PRELOADED INDEPENDENT/3RD PARTY HOUSE CANDIDATE

In LA05 only, there were 2 significant Republican candidates facing a Democrat in an open race; for LA05, this variable represents the 2nd Republican candidate. Individual thermometers (D1) were administered in one of two possible orders following George W. Bush (fixed as 1st thermometer):

D1a,D1g,D1h,D1j,D1m,D1ml,D1k
D1a,D1h,D1g,D1j,D1k,D1m,D1ml

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
No independent/3rd-party House candidate and not LA05; no 2002
Post interview

==============================================

D2.

Still using the thermometer, how would you rate:

==============================================

==============================================

M025051   D2a. Supreme Court Thermometer
           Numeric
           Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2a.

(How would you rate:)
the Supreme Court?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: THE SUPREME COURT

----------------------------------------------

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two
possible orders:
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f, g, h, j, k, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u, v, w, y, z /
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
Post survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

==============================================

M025052   D2b. Congress Thermometer
           Numeric
           Missing eq 887, ge 888
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D2b.

(How would you rate:)
Congress?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: CONGRESS
--------------------------------------------------------------------------

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two possible orders:
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

==============================
M025053     D2c. Military Thermometer
Numeric
Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2c.

(How would you rate:)
the Military?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: THE MILITARY
--------------------------------------------------------------------------

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two possible orders:
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
D2d.

(How would you rate:)
the federal government in Washington?

{THERMOMETER RATING: THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN WASHINGTON}

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two possible orders:
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

D2e.

(How would you rate:)
blacks?

{THERMOMETER RATING: BLACKS}

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two possible orders:
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview
possible orders:
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
Post survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

-----------------------------
M025056     D2f. Whites Thermometer
Numeric
Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2f.

(How would you rate:)
whites?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: WHITES

-------------------------------------------------------------------

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two
possible orders:
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
Post survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

-----------------------------
M025057     D2g. Conservatives Thermometer
Numeric
Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2g.
(How would you rate:) conservatives?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: CONSERVATIVES

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two possible orders:

D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z / D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,y,z

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

==================================
M025058  D2h. Liberals Thermometer
Numeric
Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2h.

(How would you rate:) liberals?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: LIBERALS

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two possible orders:

D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z / D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,y,z

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA
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M025059   D2j. Labor Unions Thermometer  
         Numeric  
         Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2j.

(How would you rate:)
labor unions?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?)

THERMOMETER RATING: LABOR UNIONS

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two possible orders:
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /  
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025060   D2k. Big Business Thermometer  
         Numeric  
         Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2k.

(How would you rate:)
big business?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?)

THERMOMETER RATING: BIG BUSINESS

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two possible orders:
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
Post survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

0-100.
887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025061         D2m. Poor People Thermometer
Numeric
Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2m.

(How would you rate:)
poor people?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: POOR PEOPLE

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two
possible orders:
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
Post survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

0-100.
887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025062         D2n. People on Welfare Thermometer
Numeric
Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2n.

(How would you rate:)
people on welfare?
{PROBE FOR DON’T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON’T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: PEOPLE ON WELFARE
---------------------------------------------------------

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two possible orders:
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

===========================================================
M025063   D2p. Hispanics Thermometer
       Numeric
       Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2p.

(How would you rate:)
Hispanics (Hispanic-Americans)?

{PROBE FOR DON’T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON’T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: HISPANICS (HISPANIC-AMERICANS)
---------------------------------------------------------

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two possible orders:
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
M025064  D2q. Christian Fundamentalists Thermometer  
        Numeric  
        Missing eq 887, ge 888  

D2q.  

(How would you rate:)  
Christian fundamentalists?  

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU  
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}  

THERMOMETER RATING: CHRISTIAN FUNDAMENTALISTS  

--------------------------------------------------------------------------  

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two  
possible orders:  
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /  
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification of  
randomization assigned.  

0-100.  
887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA  

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview  

==========================================================================  

M025065  D2r. Elderly Thermometer  
        Numeric  
        Missing eq 887, ge 888  

D2r.  

(How would you rate:)  
older people (the elderly)?  

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO  
YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU  
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}  

THERMOMETER RATING: OLDER PEOPLE (THE ELDERLY)  

--------------------------------------------------------------------------  

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two  
possible orders:  
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /  
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z  
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede  
Post survey variables, provide case level identification of  
randomization assigned.  

0-100.  
887. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused  
999. NA  

INAP.  
No 2002 Post interview  
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Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

---

M025066
D2s. Environmentalists Thermometer
Numeric
Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2s.

(How would you rate:)
environmentalists?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: ENVIRONMENTALISTS

---

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two possible orders:
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

---

M025067
D2t. Gay Men and Lesbians Thermometer
Numeric
Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2t.

(How would you rate:)
gay men and lesbians, that is, homosexuals?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO
THERMOMETER RATING: GAY MEN AND LESBIANS (HOMOSEXUALS)

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two possible orders:
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025068         D2u. Catholics Thermometer
Numeric
Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2u.

(How would you rate:)
Catholics?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: CATHOLICS

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two possible orders:
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview
M025069   D2v. Jews Thermometer
          Numeric
          Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2v.

(How would you rate:)
Jews?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: JEWS

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two possible orders:
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025070   D2w. Protestants Thermometer
          Numeric
          Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2w.

(How would you rate:)
Protestants?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: PROTESTANTS

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two possible orders:
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.
0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

==============================
M025071         D2y. Feminists Thermometer
    Numeric
    Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2y.

(How would you rate:)
feminists?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: FEMINISTS

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two
possible orders:
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
Post survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

==============================
M025072         D2z. Asian-Americans Thermometer
    Numeric
    Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2z.

(How would you rate:)
Asian-Americans?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO
YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU
HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}
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THERMOMETER RATING: ASIAN-AMERICANS

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two possible orders:
D2za, a, b, c, d, zb, e, f, g, h, j, k, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u, v, w, y, z /
D2za, b, c, a, d, zb, h, g, k, j, f, e, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, w, v, u, y, z

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025073         D2za. News Media Thermometer
Numeric
Missing eq 887, ge 888

D2za.

(How would you rate:)
the news media?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: THE NEWS MEDIA

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two possible orders:
D2za, a, b, c, d, zb, e, f, g, h, j, k, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u, v, w, y, z /
D2za, b, c, a, d, zb, h, g, k, j, f, e, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, w, v, u, y, z

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025074         D2zb. Catholic Church Thermometer
D2zb.

(How would you rate:) the Catholic Church?

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

THERMOMETER RATING: THE CATHOLIC CHURCH

Individual thermometers (D2) were administered in one of two possible orders:
D2za,a,b,c,d,zb,e,f,g,h,j,k,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,u,v,w,y,z /
D2za,b,c,a,d,zb,h,g,k,j,f,e,m,n,p,q,r,s,t,w,v,u,y,z

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

0-100.

887. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused
999. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

D3.

I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe George W. Bush. For each, please tell me whether the word or phrase describes him.

M025075       D3a. Bush Trait -Leadership
               Numeric
               Missing eq 0, ge 8

D3a.

IF R SELECTED FOR D3 GEORGE W. BUSH TRAITS:

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP' describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?

GEORGE W. BUSH TRAIT: PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

Respondents were randomly assigned to either D3 or D4 Bush
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traits questions.
George W. Bush traits questions in D3 were randomly assigned to
1 of 2 possible sequential orders:
   D3a,b,c,d
   D3a,d,c,b
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
Post survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Extremely Well
2. Quite Well
3. Not Too Well
4. Not Well at All
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
INAP.
R selected for D4 traits; no 2002 Post interview

M025076         D3b. Bush Trait - Moral
 Numeric
 Missing eq 0, ge 8

D3b.

IF R SELECTED FOR D3 GEORGE W. BUSH TRAITS:
What about 'he is MORAL'?
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL,
QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

GEORGE W. BUSH TRAIT: MORAL

Respondents were randomly assigned to either D3 or D4 Bush
traits questions.
George W. Bush traits questions in D3 were randomly assigned to
1 of 2 possible sequential orders:
   D3a,b,c,d
   D3a,d,c,b
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
Post survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Extremely Well
2. Quite Well
3. Not Too Well
4. Not Well at All
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
INAP.
R selected for D4 traits; no 2002 Post interview
IF R SELECTED FOR D3 GEORGE W. BUSH TRAITS:

What about 'he is OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE'? 
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

GEORGE W. BUSH TRAIT: OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE

Respondents were randomly assigned to either D3 or D4 Bush traits questions. 
George W. Bush traits questions in D3 were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible sequential orders: 
D3a,b,c,d 
D3a,d,c,b 
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede 
Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Extremely Well 
2. Quite Well 
3. Not Too Well 
4. Not Well at All 
8. Don't know 
9. Refused 
0. NA 

INAP. 
R selected for D4 traits; no 2002 Post interview 

IF R SELECTED FOR D3 GEORGE W. BUSH TRAITS:

What about 'he is KNOWLEDGEABLE'? 
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

GEORGE W. BUSH TRAIT: KNOWLEDGEABLE

Respondents were randomly assigned to either D3 or D4 Bush traits questions. 
George W. Bush traits questions in D3 were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible sequential orders: 
D3a,b,c,d
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Extremely Well
2. Quite Well
3. Not Too Well
4. Not Well at All

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for D4 traits; no 2002 Post interview

D4.

I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe George W. Bush. For each, please tell me whether the word or phrase describes him.

-----------------------------

M025079 D4a. Bush Trait - Leader
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

D4a.

IF R SELECTED FOR D4 GEORGE W. BUSH TRAITS:

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP' describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?

GEORGE W. BUSH TRAIT: PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP

Respondents were randomly assigned to either D3 or D4 Bush traits questions.
George W. Bush traits questions in D4 were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible sequential orders:
D4a,b,c,d
D4a,d,c,b

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Extremely Well
2. Quite Well
3. Not Too Well
4. Not Well at All

8. Don't know
9. Refused
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0. NA
INAP.
R selected for D3 traits; no 2002 Post interview

=================================
M025080   D4b. Bush Trait - Cares Abt People
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

D4b.

IF R SELECTED FOR D4 GEORGE W. BUSH TRAITS:

What about 'he REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU'?
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL,
QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

GEORGE W. BUSH TRAIT: REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU

Respondents were randomly assigned to either D3 or D4 Bush
traits questions.
George W. Bush traits questions in D4 were randomly assigned to
1 of 2 possible sequential orders:
D4a,b,c,d
D4a,d,c,b
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
Post survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Extremely Well
2. Quite Well
3. Not Too Well
4. Not Well at All

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

=================================
M025081   D4c. Bush Trait - Dishonest
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

D4c.

IF R SELECTED FOR D4 GEORGE W. BUSH TRAITS:

What about 'he is DISHONEST'?
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL,
QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

GEORGE W. BUSH TRAIT: DISHONEST
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Respondents were randomly assigned to either D3 or D4 Bush traits questions.
George W. Bush traits questions in D4 were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible sequential orders:
D4a,b,c,d
D4a,d,c,b
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Extremely Well
2. Quite Well
3. Not Too Well
4. Not Well at All
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025082         D4d. Bush Trait - Intelligent
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

D4d.

IF R SELECTED FOR D4 GEORGE W. BUSH TRAITS:

What about 'he is INTELLIGENT'?
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

GEORGE W. BUSH TRAIT: INTELLIGENT

Respondents were randomly assigned to either D3 or D4 Bush traits questions.
George W. Bush traits questions in D4 were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible sequential orders:
D4a,b,c,d
D4a,d,c,b
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Extremely Well
2. Quite Well
3. Not Too Well
4. Not Well at All
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview
M025083 El. Before Election Party w/House Most
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

El.

Do you happen to know which party had the most members in the
House of Representatives in Washington BEFORE the election
[this/last] month?
{IF NECESSARY: WHICH ONE?}
{DON'T PROBE DK}

1. The Democrats
5. The Republicans
7. About equal; above even; about the same [VOL]

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025084 F5. R Follow Politics and Public Affairs
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

F5.

Some people seem to follow what's going on in government and
public affairs most of the time, whether there's an election
going on or not. Others aren't that interested. Would you say
you follow what's going on in government and public affairs
MOST OF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, ONLY NOW AND THEN, or
HARDLY AT ALL?

1. Most of the time
2. Some of the time
3. Only now and then
4. Hardly at all

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

G1.

We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives.
M025085  Dem Hse Cand Liberal-Conservative
        Numeric
        Missing eq 0, ge 8

Gla.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE OR VT01:
IF R RECOGNIZED DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE IN THERMOMETER:

When it comes to politics, do you think of [DEM HOUSE CAND NAME/REP HOUSE CAND NAME/VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT] as a LIBERAL, a CONSERVATIVE, or a MODERATE?

DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CAND/VT01 INDEP INCUMBENT: LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE

Note: if R indicated nonrecognition of the Democratic House candidate’s name in the Dlh thermometer, then this question was not asked.
Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered Gla/Glb (liberal-conservative placement of Democratic House candidate/Republican House candidate) with wording Democratic House candidate name first and Republican House candidate name second or vice versa. (Data in Gla are data for Democratic House candidate regardless of order; data in Glb are data for Republican House candidate regardless of order).
Placement for VT01 independent incumbent (only) is also included in this variable, with data for VT01 Republican challenger in Glb.
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Liberal
2. Conservative
3. Moderate
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No Democratic House candidate and not VT01; wrong district identification preloaded (1 case, 1 in Summary.1); R did not recognize Democratic House candidate in thermometer (887 in Dlg); no 2002 Post interview

M025086  Dem Hse Cand Liberal-How Strong
        Numeric
        Missing eq 0, ge 8

Gla1.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE OR VT01:
IF R RECOGNIZED DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE IN THERMOMETER:
IF R CONSIDERS DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE LIBERAL:

Would you call [him/her] a STRONG liberal or a NOT VERY STRONG liberal?

See notes G1a

1. Strong liberal
5. Not very strong liberal
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
INAP.
2,3,8,9,0 in G1a; no Democratic House candidate and not VT01; wrong district identification preloaded (1 case, 1 in Summary.1); R did not recognize Democratic House candidate in thermometer (887 in Dlg); no 2002 Post interview

==============================================
M025087         G1a2. Dem Hse Cand Conservative-How Stro
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

G1a2.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE OR VT01:
IF R RECOGNIZED DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE IN THERMOMETER:
IF R CONSIDERS DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call [him/her] a STRONG conservative or a NOT VERY STRONG conservative?

See notes G1a

1. Strong conservative
5. Not very strong conservative
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
INAP.
1,3,8,9,0 in G1a; no Democratic House candidate and not VT01; wrong district identification preloaded (1 case, 1 in Summary.1); R did not recognize Democratic House candidate in thermometer (887 in Dlg); no 2002 Post interview

==============================================
M025088         G1a3. Dem Hse Cand Mod/DK -More Lib or C
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

G1a3.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE / VT01:
IF R RECOGNIZED DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE IN THERMOMETER:
IF R CONSIDERS DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE MODERATE/DK:

Do you think [he/she] is more like a LIBERAL or more like a CONSERVATIVE?

See notes G1a

1. Liberal
2. Conservative
3. Moderate (VOL)
7. Can't choose; neither (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
1,2,9,0 in G1a; no Democratic House candidate and not VT01;
wrong district identification preloaded (1 case, 1 in Summary.1); R did not recognize Democratic House candidate in thermometer (887 in Dlg); no 2002 Post interview

M025089 Glax. Summary Lib-Con Hse Dem/VT01
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Glax.

IF DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE / VT01:
IF R RECOGNIZED DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE IN THERMOMETER:

When it comes to politics, do you think of [<DEM HOUSE CAND NAME/>/<REP HOUSE CAND NAME/>/VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT] as a LIBERAL, a CONSERVATIVE, or a MODERATE?
Would you call [him/her] a STRONG liberal or a NOT VERY STRONG liberal? /
Would you call [him/her] a STRONG conservative or a NOT VERY STRONG conservative? /
Do you think [he/she] is more like a LIBERAL or more like a CONSERVATIVE?

SUMMARY: DEM HOUSE CAND/VT01 INDEP INCUMBENT LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered G1a/G1b (liberal-conservative placement of Democratic House candidate/Republican House candidate) with wording Democratic House candidate name first and Republican House candidate name second or vice versa. (Data in G1a are data for Democratic House candidate regardless of order; data in G1b are data for Republican House candidate regardless of order). Placement for VT01 independent incumbent (only) is also included in this variable, with data for VT01 Republican challenger in G1b.
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification.
of randomization assigned.

1. Strong liberal
2. Not strong liberal
3. Leaning liberal
4. Moderate
5. Leaning conservative
6. Not strong conservative
7. Strong conservative
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

No Democratic House candidate and not VT01; wrong district identification preloaded (1 case, 1 in Summary.1); R did not recognize Democratic House candidate in thermometer (887 in Dlg); no 2002 Post interview

M025090 Glb. Rep Hse Cand Liberal-Conservative
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Glb.

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF R RECOGNIZED REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE IN THERMOMETER:

What about [<DEM HOUSE CAND NAME>/<REP HOUSE CAND NAME>]? Do you think [he/she] is a LIBERAL, a CONSERVATIVE, or a MODERATE?

REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE: LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE

Note: if R indicated nonrecognition of the Republican House candidate's name in the D1h thermometer, then this question was not asked.

Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered Gla/Glb (liberal-conservative placement of Democratic House candidate/Republican House candidate) with wording Democratic House candidate name first and Republican House candidate name second or vice versa. (Data in Glb are data for Republican House candidate regardless of order; data in Gla are data for Democratic House candidate regardless of order). Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Liberal
2. Conservative
3. Moderate
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt

No Republican House candidate; wrong district identification preloaded (1 case, 1 in Summary.1); R did not recognize Republican House candidate in thermometer (887 in D1h); no 2002 Post interview

==================================================================================================
M025091     G1b1. Rep Hse Cand Liberal-How Strong
            Numeric
            Missing eq 0, ge 8

G1b1.

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF R RECOGNIZED REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE IN THERMOMETER:
IF R CONSIDERS REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE LIBERAL:

Would you call [him/her] a STRONG liberal or a NOT VERY STRONG liberal?
==================================================================================================

See notes G1b.

1. Strong liberal
5. Not very strong liberal

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
2,3,8,9,0 in G1b; no Republican House candidate; wrong district identification preloaded (1 case, 1 in Summary.1); R did not recognize Republican House candidate in thermometer (887 in D1h); no 2002 Post interview

==================================================================================================
M025092     G1b2. Rep Hse Cand Conservative-How Stro
            Numeric
            Missing eq 0, ge 8

G1b2.

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF R RECOGNIZED REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE IN THERMOMETER:
IF R CONSIDERS REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call [him/her] a STRONG conservative or a NOT VERY STRONG conservative?
==================================================================================================

See notes G1b.

1. Strong conservative
5. Not very strong conservative

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
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M025093  G1b3. Rep Hse Cand Mod/DK -More Lib or C
        Numeric
        Missing eq 0, ge 8

G1b3.

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF R RECOGNIZED REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE IN THERMOMETER:
IF R CONSIDERS REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE MODERATE/DK:

Do you think [he/she] is more like a LIBERAL or more like a
CONSERVATIVE?

-------------------------------------------------------------------------

See notes G1b.

1. Liberal
2. Conservative
3. Moderate (VOL)
7. Can't choose; neither (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
1,2,9,0 in G1b; no Republican House candidate; wrong district
identification preloaded (1 case, 1 in Summary.1); R did not
recognize Republican House candidate in thermometer (887 in
D1h); no 2002 Post interview

M025094  G1bx. Summary - Rep Hse cand Lib-Con
        Numeric
        Missing eq 0, ge 8

G1bx.

IF REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN HOUSE RACE:
IF R RECOGNIZED REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE IN THERMOMETER:

When it comes to politics, do you think of [<DEM HOUSE CAND
NAME/>/<REP HOUSE CAND NAME/>/VT01 INDEPENDENT INCUMBENT] as a
LIBERAL, a CONSERVATIVE, or a MODERATE?
Would you call [him/her] a STRONG liberal or a NOT VERY STRONG
liberal? /
Would you call [him/her] a STRONG conservative or a NOT VERY
STRONG conservative? /
Do you think [he/she] is more like a LIBERAL or more like a
CONSERVATIVE?

SUMMARY: REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE
Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered G1a/G1b (liberal-conservative placement of Democratic House candidate/Republican House candidate) with wording Democratic House candidate name first and Republican House candidate name second or vice versa. (Data in G1a are data for Democratic House candidate regardless of order; data in G1b are data for Republican House candidate regardless of order). Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Strong liberal
2. Not strong liberal
3. Leaning liberal
4. Moderate
5. Leaning conservative
6. Not strong conservative
7. Strong conservative
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

No Republican House candidate; wrong district identification preloaded (1 case, 1 in Summary.1); R did not recognize Republican House candidate in thermometer (887 in D1h); no 2002 Post interview

M025095         G1c. LA05 2nd Rep Cand Liberal-Conservat
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

G1c.

IF LA05:

What about [LA05 2ND HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE]?
Do you think [he/she] is a LIBERAL, a CONSERVATIVE, or a MODERATE?

LA05 2nd REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE: LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE

This question applies to LA05 only, which had 2 major Republican House candidates.

1. Liberal
2. Conservative
3. Moderate
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
anes2000to2004merged_var.txt
Not LA05; no 2002 Post interview

==================================
M025096    Glc1. LA05 2nd Rep Hse Cand Liberal-How
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Glc1.
IF LA05:
IF R CONSIDERS 2ND HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE LIBERAL:

Would you call [him/her] a STRONG liberal or a NOT VERY STRONG liberal?
--------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Strong liberal
5. Not very strong liberal
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
INAP.
2,3,8,9,0 in Glc; not LA05; no 2002 Post interview

==================================
M025097    Glc2. LA05 2nd Rep Hse Cand Conserv-How
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Glc2.
IF LA05:
IF R CONSIDERS 2ND HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE CONSERVATIVE:

Would you call [him/her] a STRONG conservative or a NOT VERY STRONG conservative?
--------------------------------------------------------------------------
1. Strong conservative
5. Not very strong conservative
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
INAP.
1,3,8,9,0 in Glc; not LA05; no 2002 Post interview

==================================
M025098    Glc3. LA05 2d Rep Hse Cand Mod/DK-More L
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Glc3.
IF LA05:
IF R CONSIDERS 2ND HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE MODERATE/DK:
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Do you think [he/she] is more like a LIBERAL or more like a CONSERVATIVE?

1. Liberal
2. Conservative
3. Moderate {VOL}
7. Can't choose; neither {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
1,3,9,0 in Glc; not LA05; no 2002 Post interview

M025099 Glcx. Summary - Lib-Con 2nd Rep LA05
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Glcx.

IF LA05:
IF R CONSIDERS 2ND HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE MODERATE/DK:

What about [LA05 2ND HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE]? Do you think [he/she] is a LIBERAL, a CONSERVATIVE, or a MODERATE? / Would you call [him/her] a STRONG liberal or a NOT VERY STRONG liberal? / Would you call [him/her] a STRONG conservative or a NOT VERY STRONG conservative? / Do you think [he/she] is more like a LIBERAL or more like a CONSERVATIVE?

SUMMARY: 2ND REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE

This question applies to LA05 only, which had 2 major Republican House candidates.

1. Strong liberal
2. Not strong liberal
3. Leaning liberal
4. Moderate
5. Leaning conservative
6. Not strong conservative
7. Strong conservative

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
Not LA05; no 2002 Post interview
K2.

Many people say they have less time these days to do volunteer work. What about you, were you able to devote any time to volunteer work IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS or did you not do so?

1. Yes
5. No

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

K3.

Generally speaking, would you say that MOST PEOPLE CAN BE TRUSTED or that you CAN'T BE TOO CAREFUL in dealing with people?

1. Most people can be trusted
5. Can't be too careful

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

K4.

IF R SELECTED FOR K4 ADMINISTRATION:

Do you think most people would try to TAKE ADVANTAGE of you if they got the chance or would they TRY TO BE FAIR?

Respondents were randomly assigned to either K4 or K5. Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.
K5.

IF R SELECTED FOR K5 ADMINISTRATION:

Would you say that most of the time people TRY TO BE HELPFUL, or that they are JUST LOOKING OUT FOR THEMSELVES?

Respondents were randomly assigned to either K4 or K5. Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Try to be helpful
5. Just looking out for themselves
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for K4 administration; no 2002 Post interview

-----------------------------
M025104         L1a. Highways - Federal Spending
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

L1a.

IF R SELECTED FOR L1 SERIES IN POST (K2 IN PRE):

The first program is: building and repairing highways.
If you had a say in making up the federal budget this year, should federal spending on building and repairing highways be INCREASED, DECREASED or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?

FEDERAL SPENDING: BUILDING AND REPAIRING HIGHWAYS

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)

Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible orderings of L1 spending items:
1. L1a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, j
2. L1a, b, h, f, c, d, g, j, e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for L2 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

M025104x   Llax. Pre-Po Summary: Highways spending
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Llax.

If you had a say in making up the federal budget this year, should federal spending on building and repairing highways be INCREASED, DECREASED or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?

PRE-POST SUMMARY: BUILDING/REPAIRING HIGHWAYS - FEDERAL SPENDING

Combined from Pre K2a and Post L1a.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
IF R SELECTED FOR L1 SERIES IN POST (K2 IN PRE):

(What about) defense?

(Should federal spending on defense be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: DEFENSE

Note: This item was not included in the Pre K2 series; it is also included in the Post L2 series, followed by a Post L1/L2 summary. Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)

Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible orderings of L1 spending items:
1. L1a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L1a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for L2 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview
IF R SELECTED FOR L1 SERIES IN POST (K2 IN PRE):

(W what about)
spending on AIDS research?

(Should federal spending on AIDS research be INCREASED,
DECREASED or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: AIDS RESEARCH

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)

Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending,
Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series
K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending,
Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible
orderings of L1 spending items:
1. Llα,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. Llα,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
Post survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for L2 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

M025106x        Llcx. PrePo Summary: AIDS spending
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Llcx.

(W what about)
spending on AIDS research?

(Should federal spending on AIDS research be INCREASED,
DECREASED or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: AIDS RESEARCH - FEDERAL SPENDING

Combined from Pre K2b and Post Llc.
1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

===============================================
M025107    LId. Welfare - Federal Spending
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

LId.

IF R SELECTED FOR L1 SERIES IN POST (K2 IN PRE):

(What about)

welfare programs?

(Should federal spending on welfare programs be INCREASED,
DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: WELFARE PROGRAMS

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)

Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending,
Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending,
Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible orderings of L1 spending items:
1. Llå,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
   2. Lla,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
Post survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R selected for L2 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

===============================================
M025107x    Lldx. PrePo Summary - Welfare spending
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(What about) welfare programs?

(Should federal spending on welfare programs be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: WELFARE PROGRAMS - FEDERAL SPENDING

Combined from Pre K2c and Post Lld.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025108a        L1e1. Public Schools - Fed Spending
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

L1e1.

IF R SELECTED FOR L1 SERIES IN POST (K2 IN PRE):
IF R SELECTED FOR 'PUBLIC SCHOOLS' WORDING:

(What about) [public schools/big-city schools]? 

(Should federal spending on [public schools/big-city schools] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Respondents were randomly assigned to either "public schools" or "big-city schools" wording for L1e.
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)
Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible orderings of L1 spending items:
1. L1a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L1a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for alternate wording; R selected for L2 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

M025108b        L1e2. Big City Schools - Fed Spending
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

L1e2.

IF R SELECTED FOR L1 SERIES IN POST (K2 IN PRE):
IF R SELECTED FOR 'BIG-CITY SCHOOLS' WORDING:

(What about) [public schools/big-city schools]?

(Should federal spending on [public schools/big-city schools] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: BIG-CITY SCHOOLS

Respondents were randomly assigned to either "public schools" or "big-city schools" wording for L1e.
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)

Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible orderings of L1 spending items:
1. L1a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L1a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely (VOL)
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
INAP.
R selected for alternate wording; R selected for L2 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

=================================
M025108x  Lle1x. PrePo Summary-Public Sch spend
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Lle1x.
IF R SELECTED FOR 'PUBLIC SCHOOLS' WORDING:

(What about)
[public schools/big-city schools]?

(Should federal spending on [public schools/big-city schools]
be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: PUBLIC SCHOOLS - FEDERAL SPENDING
====================================================================================================================================
This combines data from Pre K2d1 and Post Lle1.
1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely (VOL)
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
INAP.
R selected for alternate wording; no 2002 Post interview

=================================
M025108y  Lle2x. PrePo Summary-Big City Sch spend
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Lle2x.
IF R SELECTED FOR 'BIG-CITY SCHOOLS' WORDING:

(What about)
[public schools/big-city schools]?

(Should federal spending on [public schools/big-city schools]
be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: BIG-CITY SCHOOLS - FEDERAL SPENDING
====================================================================================================================================
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This combines data from Pre K2d2 and Post L1e2.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for alternate wording; no 2002 Post interview

M025109         L1f. Crime - Federal Spending
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

L1f.

IF R SELECTED FOR L1 SERIES IN POST (K2 IN PRE):

(What about)
dealing with crime?

(Should federal spending on dealing with crime be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: DEALING WITH CRIME

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)

Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible orderings of L1 spending items:
1. L1a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L1a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for L2 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

=================================================================================
MO25109x  L1fx. PrePo Summary - Crime spending
          Numeric
          Missing eq 0, ge 8

L1fx.

(What about)
dealing with crime?

(Should federal spending on dealing with crime be INCREASED,
DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: DEALING WITH CRIME - FEDERAL SPENDING
=================================================================================

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)
Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible
orderings of L1 spending items:
   1. Llā,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
   2. Llā,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for L2 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

-------------------------------
M025110x Llgx. PrePo Summary - Child care spend
        Numeric
        Missing eq 0, ge 8

Llgx.

(What about)
child care?

(Should federal spending on child care be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: CHILD CARE - FEDERAL SPENDING

Combined from Pre K2f and Post Llg.
R

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

-------------------------------
        Numeric
        Missing eq 0, ge 8

Llh1.

IF R SELECTED FOR L1 SERIES IN POST (K2 IN PRE):
IF R SELECTED FOR 'HOMELAND SECURITY' WORDING:

(What about)
[homeland security/the war on terrorism]?

(Should federal spending on homeland security be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: HOMELAND SECURITY

Respondents were randomly assigned to either "homeland security" or "war on terrorism" wording for L1h.
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)
Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible orderings of L1 spending items:
1. Lla, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, j
2. Lla, b, h, f, c, d, g, j, e
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely (VOL)
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
INAP.
R selected for alternate wording; R selected for L2 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

-----------------------------
M025111b L1h2. War on Terrorism - Fed spending
Numeric Missing eq 0, ge 8

L1h2.

IF R SELECTED FOR L1 SERIES IN POST (K2 IN PRE):
IF R SELECTED FOR 'WAR ON TERRORISM' WORDING:

(What about)
[homeland security/the war on terrorism]?

(Should federal spending on homeland security be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING SERIES: WAR ON TERRORISM
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Respondents were randomly assigned to either "homeland security" or "war on terrorism" wording for L1h.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)

Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible orderings of L1 spending items:
1. Lla, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, j
2. Lla, b, h, e, c, d, g, j, e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for alternate wording; R selected for L2 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

==============================
M02511lx L1h1x. PrePo Summary -Homeland Sec Spend
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

L1h1x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'HOMELAND SECURITY' WORDING:

(What about) [homeland security/the war on terrorism]?

(Should federal spending on homeland security be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: HOMELAND SECURITY - FEDERAL SPENDING

This combines data from Pre K2g1 and Post L1h1.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for alternate wording; no 2002 Post interview

===============================================
M025111y L1h2x. PrePo Summary -War on Terr Spend
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8
L1h2x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'WAR ON TERRORISM' WORDING:

(What about)
[homeland security/the war on terrorism]?

(Should federal spending on homeland security be INCREASED,
DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: WAR ON TERRORISM - FEDERAL SPENDING
===============================================
This combines data from Pre K2g2 and Post L1h2.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for alternate wording; no 2002 Post interview

===============================================
M025112 L1j. Unemp Insurance - Federal Spendin
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8
L1j.

IF R SELECTED FOR L1 SERIES IN POST (K2 IN PRE):

(What about)
unemployment insurance?

(Should federal spending on unemployment insurance be
INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE
===============================================
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)
Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible orderings of L1 spending items:
1. L1a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L1a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for L2 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

-----------------------------
M025112x  L1jx. PrePo Summary - Unemp Insur Spend
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

L1jx.

(What about)
unemployment insurance?

(Should federal spending on unemployment insurance be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE - FEDERAL SPENDING

Combined from Pre K2h and Post L1j.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

-----------------------------

L2.
Next I am going to read you a list of federal programs. For each one, I would like you to tell me whether you would like to see spending INCREASED or DECREASED.

The first program is:

environmental protection

If you had a say in making up the federal budget this year, should federal spending on environmental protection be INCREASED, DECREASED or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?

FEDERAL SPENDING: ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)

Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible orderings of L2 spending items:
1. L2a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L2a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for L1 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview
The first program is:
environmental protection

If you had a say in making up the federal budget this year, should federal spending on environmental protection be INCREASED, DECREASED or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?

PRE-POST SUMMARY: ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION - FEDERAL SPENDING
---------------------------------------------------------------------------

Combined from L1a in the Pre and L2a in the Post

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

-----------------------------
M025114 L2b. Defense - Federal Spending
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2b.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2 SERIES IN POST (K1 IN PRE):

(What about)
defense?

(Should federal spending on defense be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: DEFENSE
---------------------------------------------------------------------------

Note: This item was not included the in the Pre K1 series; it is also included in the Post L1 series (see L2bx).
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)
Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible orderings of L2 spending items:
1. L2a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L2a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.
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M025114x L2bx. Post L1/L2 Summary Defense Budget
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2bx.

(What about)
defense?

(Should federal spending on defense be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

POST L1/L2 SUMMARY: DEFENSE - FEDERAL SPENDING

Built from Post variables L1a and L2b.
Note: This item was not included in the Pre K1 series; it is also included in the Post L1 series.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025115a L2c1. Aid Poor - Federal Spending
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2c1.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2 SERIES IN POST (K1 IN PRE):
IF R SELECTED TO 'POOR PEOPLE' WORDING:

(What about)
[aid to poor people/aid to the working poor]?

(Should federal spending on [aid to poor people /aid to the
Respondents were randomly assigned to either "poor people" or "working poor" wording for L2c. Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)

Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible orderings of L2 spending items:
1. L2a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L2a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for alternate wording; R selected for L1 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

M025115b L2c2. Aid Working Poor - Federal Spend
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2c2.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2 SERIES IN POST (K1 IN PRE):
IF R SELECTED TO 'WORKING POOR' WORDING:

(What about)
[aid to poor people/aid to the working poor]?

(Should federal spending on [aid to poor people /aid to the working poor] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: THE WORKING POOR

Respondents were randomly assigned to either "poor people" or "working poor" wording for L2c. Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)

Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible orderings of L2 spending items:

1. L2a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, j
2. L2a, b, h, f, c, d, g, j, e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for alternate wording; R selected for L1 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

==============================================
M025115x        L2c1x. PrePo Summary - Aid to Poor spend
                   Numeric
                   Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2c1x.

IF R SELECTED TO 'POOR PEOPLE' WORDING:

(What about)
[aid to poor people/aid to the working poor]?

(Should federal spending on [aid to poor people /aid to the working poor] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: AID TO POOR PEOPLE - FEDERAL SPENDING

Combined from Pre L1b1 and Post L2c1.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for alternate wording; no 2002 Post interview
M025115y  L2c2x. PrePo Summary - Aid Wk Poor spend
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2c2x.

IF R SELECTED TO 'WORKING POOR' WORDING:

(What about)
[aid to poor people/aid to the working poor]?

(Should federal spending on [aid to poor people /aid to the
working poor] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: AID TO WORKING POOR - FEDERAL SPENDING
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Combined from Pre L1b2 and Post L2c2.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for alternate wording; no 2002 Post interview

====================================================================

M025116  L2d. Foreign Aid - Federal Spending
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2d.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2 SERIES IN POST (K1 IN PRE):

(What about)
foreign aid?

(Should federal spending on foreign aid be INCREASED,
DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: FOREIGN AID
---------------------------------------------------------------------

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)
Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending,
Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series
K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending,
Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible
orderings of L2 spending items:
1. L2a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, j
2. L2a, b, h, f, c, d, g, j, e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for L1 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

M025116x L2dx. PrePo Summary - Foreign Aid spend
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2dx.

(What about)
foreign aid?

(Should federal spending on foreign aid be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: FOREIGN AID - FEDERAL SPENDING

Combined from Pre L1c and Post L2d.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025117 L2e. Social Security - Federal Spending
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2e.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2 SERIES IN POST (K1 IN PRE):

(What about)
Social Security?

(Should federal spending on Social Security be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: SOCIAL SECURITY

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)

Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible orderings of L2 spending items:
1. L2a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L2a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for L1 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

M025117x  L2ex. PrePo Summary - Soc Sec spending
            Numeric
            Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2ex.

(What about)
Social Security?

(Should federal spending on Social Security be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: SOCIAL SECURITY - FEDERAL SPENDING

Combined from Pre L1d and Post L2e.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely (VOL)
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

==============================
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2f.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2 SERIES IN POST (K1 IN PRE):

(What about)
tightening border security to prevent illegal immigration?

(Should federal spending on tightening border security to
prevent illegal immigration be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT
ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: TIGHTENING BORDER SECURITY

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)
Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending,
Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series
K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending,
Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible
orderings of L2 spending items:
1. L2a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L2a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
Post survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for L1 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

-------------------------------
M025118xL2fx. PrePo Summary - Border Sec spend
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8
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(What about) tightening border security to prevent illegal immigration?

(Should federal spending on tightening border security to prevent illegal immigration be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: BORDER SECURITY - FEDERAL SPENDING

Combined from Pre L1e and Post L2f.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025119 L2g. Aid to Blacks - Federal Spending
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

IF R SELECTED FOR L2 SERIES IN POST (K1 IN PRE):

(What about) aid to blacks?

(Should federal spending on aid to blacks be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: AID TO BLACKS

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)

Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible orderings of L2 spending items:
1. L2a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L2a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.
1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for L1 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

M025119x        L2gx. PrePo Summary - Aid Blacks spend
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2gx.

(What about)
aid to blacks?

(Should federal spending on aid to blacks be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: AID TO BLACKS - FEDERAL SPENDING

Combined from Pre L1f and Post L2g.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025120        L2h. Infant Mortality - Federal Spending
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2h.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2 SERIES IN POST (K1 IN PRE):

(What about)
preventing infant mortality?

(Should federal spending on preventing infant mortality be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: PREVENTING INFANT MORTALITY
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Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)

Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending, Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible orderings of L2 spending items:
1. L2a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, j
2. L2a, b, h, f, c, d, g, j, e

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for L1 series in the Post; no 2002 Post interview

----------------------------------------
M025120x        L2hx. PrePo Summary - Infant Mort spend
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2hx.

(What about)
preventing infant mortality?

(Should federal spending on preventing infant mortality be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: Preventing Infant Mortality - Federal Spending

Combined from Pre L1g and Post L2h.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview
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L2j1.

IF R SELECTED FOR L2 SERIES IN POST (K1 IN PRE):
IF R SELECTED FOR 'POOR CHILDREN' WORDING:

(What about)
[pre-school and early education for poor children/
pre-school and early education for black children]?

(Should federal spending on [pre-school and early education
for poor children/ pre-school and early education for black
children] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: POOR CHILDREN PRE-SCHOOL

Respondents were randomly assigned to either "poor children"
or "black children" wording for L2j.
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)
Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending,
Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series
K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending,
Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible
orderings of L2 spending items:
1. L2a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L2a,b,d,h,f,c,d,g,j,e
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
Post survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for alternate wording; R selected for L1 series in
the Post; no 2002 Post interview
IF R SELECTED FOR L2 SERIES IN POST (K1 IN PRE):
IF R SELECTED FOR 'BLACK CHILDREN' WORDING

(What about)
[pre-school and early education for poor children/
pre-school and early education for black children]?

(Should federal spending on [pre-school and early education
for poor children/ pre-school and early education for black
children] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

FEDERAL SPENDING: BLACK CHILDREN PRE-SCHOOL
-------------------------------------------------------------------------
Respondents were randomly assigned to either "poor children"
or "black children" wording for L2j.
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- L1 series in Post (K2 series in Pre)
- L2 series in Post (K1 series in Pre)
Note: With the exception of the addition for defense spending,
Post series L1 includes the same questions as Pre series K1; with the exception of the addition for defense spending,
Post series L2 includes the same questions as Pre series K2.

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible
orderings of L2 spending items:
1. L2a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,j
2. L2a,b,h,f,c,d,g,j,e
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
Post survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

R selected for alternate wording; R selected for L1 series in
the Post; no 2002 Post interview

M025121x        L2j1x. PrePo Summary - Poor Child spend
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2j1x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'POOR CHILDREN' WORDING

(What about)
[pre-school and early education for poor children/
pre-school and early education for black children]?
(Should federal spending on [pre-school and early education for poor children/ pre-school and early education for black children] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: POOR CHILDREN PRE-SCHOOL - FEDERAL SPENDING

Combined from Pre L1h1 and Post L2j1.

1. Increased  
2. Decreased  
3. Kept about the same  
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP. 
R selected for alternate wording; no 2002 Post interview

M025121y       L2j2x. PrePo Summary - Black Child spend
                  Numeric
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8

L2j2x.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'BLACK CHILDREN' WORDING

(What about)  
[pre-school and early education for poor children/ pre-school and early education for black children]?

(Should federal spending on [pre-school and early education for poor children/ pre-school and early education for black children] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

PRE-POST SUMMARY: BLACK CHILDREN PRE-SCHOOL - FEDERAL SPENDING

Combined from Pre L1h2 and Post L2j2.

1. Increased  
2. Decreased  
3. Kept about the same  
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
0. NA

INAP. 
R selected for alternate wording; no 2002 Post interview

M025122       M4. R Favor Guaranteed Jobs/Std of Livin
                  Numeric
                  Missing eq 0, ge 8
M4.

Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has A JOB AND A GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING. Others think the government should just LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN. Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

------------------------------------------------------------------------

01. Government should see to jobs and standard of living
05. Government should let each person get ahead on own
07. Other, it depends, neither (SPECIFY) (VOL)
08. Don't know
09. Refused
90. Haven't thought much about this
00. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

-----------------------------
M025123   M4c. How Important is Guar Jobs/Std Livi
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M4c.

How important is this issue to you personally - VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL?

------------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

-----------------------------
M025124   M4d. Has Guar Jobs Issue Made R Angry
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M4d.

Has this issue ever made you angry?

------------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Yes
5. No

8. Don't know
9. Refused
M025125         M5. Companies Have Affirm Action  
Numeric        
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M5.

Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring. What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program?

1. Yes, they should have to have affirmative action
5. No, they should not have to have affirmative action
7. Other (specify) (VOL)
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

M025126         M5a. Dem Party on Affirm Action  
Numeric        
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M5a.

IF R ASKED EITHER VERSION OF PRE P1 (INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE):

Which would you say is CLOSER to the Democratic Party's position -- that companies that have discriminated against blacks should have an affirmative action program, or not?
{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
IF R ASKED EITHER VERSION OF PRE P1 (INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE):

Which would you say is CLOSER to the Republican Party's position -- that companies that have discriminated against blacks should have an affirmative action program, or not? (DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW)

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- P1 income inequality by race in Pre (either version) and M5a,b,c,d affirmative action items in Post
- P2 income inequality by gender in Pre (either version) and M6a,b,c,d equal pay for women in Post

Respondents were administered affirmative action items M5a (position of Democratic Party) and M5b (position of Republican Party) in random order.
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Yes, they should have to have affirmative action
5. No, they should not have to have affirmative action
7. Other (specify) (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R administered P2 in Pre; no 2002 Post interview
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- P1 income inequality by race in Pre (either version) and
  M5a,b,c,d affirmative action items in Post
- P2 income inequality by gender in Pre (either version) and
  M6a,b,c,d equal pay for women in Post
randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which
precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
INAP.

R administered P2 in Pre; no 2002 Post interview

-------------------------------
M025129     M5d. Has Affirm Action Made R Angry
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M5d.

IF R ASKED EITHER VERSION OF PRE P1 (INCOME INEQUALITY BY RACE):

Has this issue ever made you angry?

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- P1 income inequality by race in Pre (either version) and
  M5a,b,c,d affirmative action items in Post
- P2 income inequality by gender in Pre (either version) and
  M6a,b,c,d equal pay for women in Post
randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which
precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
INAP.

R administered P2 in Pre; no 2002 Post interview

-------------------------------
M025130     M6. Govt Ensure Equal Pay for Women
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8
M6.

How much do you think the federal government should be doing to make sure that women get equal pay for equal work - A LOT, SOME, or NOT MUCH AT ALL?

---------------------------------------------------------------------

1. A lot
3. Some
5. Not much at all

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

====================================================================

M025131 M6a. Democratic Party on Equal Pay for W
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M6a.

IF R ASKED EITHER VERSION OF PRE P2 (INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER):

Which would you say is CLOSER to the Democratic Party's position -- that the federal government should be doing - A LOT, SOME, or NOT MUCH AT ALL to make sure that women get equal pay for equal work?

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

====================================================================

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- P1 income inequality by race in Pre (either version) and M5a,b,c,d affirmative action items in Post
- P2 income inequality by gender in Pre (either version) and M6a,b,c,d equal pay for women in Post

Respondents were administered equal pay by gender items M6a (position of Democratic Party) and M6b (position of Republican Party) in random order.

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. A lot
3. Some
5. Not much at all

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R administered P1 in Pre; no 2002 Post interview

====================================================================

M025132 M6b. Republican Party on Equal Pay for W
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M6b.

IF R ASKED EITHER VERSION OF PRE P2 (INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER):

Which would you say is CLOSER to the Republican Party's position -- that the federal government should be doing - A LOT, SOME, or NOT MUCH AT ALL to make sure that women get equal pay for equal work?

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- P1 income inequality by race in Pre (either version) and M5a,b,c,d affirmative action items in Post
- P2 income inequality by gender in Pre (either version) and M6a,b,c,d equal pay for women in Post

Respondents were administered equal pay by gender items M6a (position of Democratic Party) and M6b (position of Republican Party) in random order.

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. A lot
3. Some
5. Not much at all

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R administered P1 in Pre; no 2002 Post interview

M6c.

IF R ASKED EITHER VERSION OF PRE P2 (INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER):

How important is this issue to you personally - VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL?

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- P1 income inequality by race in Pre (either version) and M5a,b,c,d affirmative action items in Post
- P2 income inequality by gender in Pre (either version) and M6a,b,c,d equal pay for women in Post

randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.
M025134 M6d. Has Women Equal Pay Made R Angry
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M6d.

IF R ASKED EITHER VERSION OF PRE P2 (INCOME INEQUALITY BY GENDER): Has this issue ever made you angry?

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following:
- P1 income inequality by race in Pre (either version) and M5a,b,c,d affirmative action items in Post
- P2 income inequality by gender in Pre (either version) and M6a,b,c,d equal pay for women in Post

randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R administered P1 in Pre; no 2002 Post interview

M025135 M7b1. Favor estate/death tax - combined Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M7b1.

There has been a lot of talk recently about doing away with the tax on large inheritances, the so-called "[estate/death] tax". Do you FAVOR or OPPOSE doing away with the [estate/death tax]?

FAVOR ELIMINATING ESTATE/DEATH TAX - COMBINED WORDING

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings for M7 questions (same wording used in all M7 questions). Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which
There has been a lot of talk recently about doing away with the tax on large inheritances, the so-called "[estate/death] tax". Do you FAVOR or OPPOSE doing away with the [estate/death tax]?

**FAVOR ELIMINATING ESTATE/DEATH TAX - ESTATE TAX WORDING**

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings for M7 questions (same wording used in all M7 questions). Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Favor
5. Oppose
7. Other; depends {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview
FAVOR ELIMINATING ESTATE/DEATH TAX - DEATH TAX WORDING

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings for M7 questions (same wording used in all M7 questions). Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Favor
5. Oppose
7. Other; depends {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for alternate wording; no 2002 Post interview

M025136
M7b2. How Strong Fav/Opp Elim Estate Tax
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 7

M7b2.

IF R FAVORS DOING AWAY WITH THE ESTATE/DEATH TAX:
IF R OPPOSES DOING AWAY WITH THE ESTATE/DEATH TAX:

Do you [favor/oppose] doing away with the [estate/death] tax STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

STRENGTH FAVOR ELIMINATING ESTATE/DEATH TAX - COMBINED WORDING

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings for M7 questions (same wording used in all M7 questions). Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Favor strongly
2. Favor not strongly
4. Oppose not strongly
5. Oppose strongly

7. Other; depends in M7b [VOL]
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
8,9,0 in M7b; No 2002 Post interview

M025136a
M7b2a. Strength fav/opp elim estate tax
Numeric
M7b2a.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'ESTATE TAX' WORDING:
IF R FAVORS DOING AWAY WITH THE ESTATE TAX /
IF R OPPOSES DOING AWAY WITH THE ESTATE TAX:

Do you [favor/oppose] doing away with the [estate/death] tax
STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

STRENGTH FAVOR ELIMINATING ESTATE/DEATH TAX - ESTATE TAX WORDING

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings
for M7 questions (same wording used in all M7 questions).
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which
precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Favor strongly
2. Favor not strongly
4. Oppose not strongly
5. Oppose strongly
7. Other; depends in M7b [VOL]
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for alternate wording; no 2002 Post interview

M7b2b.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'DEATH TAX' WORDING:
IF R FAVORS DOING AWAY WITH THE ESTATE TAX /
IF R OPPOSES DOING AWAY WITH THE ESTATE TAX:

Do you [favor/oppose] doing away with the [estate/death] tax
STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

STRENGTH FAVOR ELIMINATING ESTATE/DEATH TAX - DEATH TAX WORDING

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings
for M7 questions (same wording used in all M7 questions).
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which
precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Favor strongly
2. Favor not strongly
4. Oppose not strongly
5. Oppose strongly
7. Other; depends in M7b [VOL]
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for alternate wording; no 2002 Post interview

-----------------------------
M025137    M7c. Democrats favor/oppose elim. death
tNumeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M7c.

Which would you say is closer to the Democratic Party's position -- that they FAVOR or OPPOSE doing away with the [estate/death] tax?
{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

DEMOCRATIC PARTY ON ESTATE/DEATH TAX - COMBINED WORDING

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings for M7 questions (same wording used in all M7 questions). Respondents were administered estate/death tax items M7c (position of Democratic Party) and M7e (position of Republican Party) in random order. Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Favor
5. Oppose
7. Other; depends {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

-----------------------------
M025137a   M7cl. Dem Pty Favor/Opp Estate Tax Elim
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M7cl.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'ESTATE TAX' WORDING:

Which would you say is closer to the Democratic Party's position -- that they FAVOR or OPPOSE doing away with the [estate] tax?
{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings for M7 questions (same wording used in all M7 questions). Respondents were administered estate/death tax items M7c (position of Democratic Party) and M7e (position of Republican Party) in random order. Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.Cl), which precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Favor
5. Oppose
7. Other; depends {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for alternate wording; no 2002 Post interview

M025137b         M7c2. Dem Pty Favor/Opp Death Tax Elim
        Numeric
        Missing eq 0, ge 8

M7c2.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'DEATH TAX' WORDING:

Which would you say is closer to the Democratic Party's position -- that they FAVOR or OPPOSE doing away with the [death] tax?
{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
anses2000to2004merged_var.txt

==============================
M025138         M7e. Rep Pty Fav/Opp Death/Est Tax Elim
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M7e.
Which would you say is closer to the Republican Party's
position -- that they FAVOR or OPPOSE doing away with of the
[estate/death] tax?
{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

DEMOCRATIC PARTY ON ESTATE/DEATH TAX - COMBINED WORDING

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings
for M7 questions (same wording used in all M7 questions).
Respondents were administered estate/death tax items M7c
(position of Democratic Party) and M7e (position of Republican
Party) in random order.
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which
precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Favor
5. Oppose
7. Other; depends {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

--------------------------
M025138a        M7e1. Rep Pty Favor/Opp Estate Tax Elim
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M7e1.

IF R SELECTED FOR 'ESTATE TAX' WORDING:
Which would you say is closer to the Republican Party's
position -- that they FAVOR or OPPOSE doing away with of the
[estate/death] tax?
{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

REPUBLICAN PARTY ON ESTATE/DEATH TAX - ESTATE TAX WORDING

Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings
for M7 questions (same wording used in all M7 questions).
Respondents were administered estate/death tax items M7c
(position of Democratic Party) and M7e (position of Republican
Party) in random order.
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which
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Respondents were randomly assigned to 1 of 2 possible wordings for M7 questions (same wording used in all M7 questions).
Respondents were administered estate/death tax items M7c (position of Democratic Party) and M7e (position of Republican Party) in random order.
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Favor
5. Oppose
7. Other; depends {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R selected for alternate wording; no 2002 Post interview
IMPORTANCE OF ESTATE/DEATH TAX ISSUE

See notes M7b1

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025140 M7j. Has Estate Tax Issue Made R Angry
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M7j.

Has this issue ever made you feel angry?

ESTATE/DEATH TAX ISSUE EVER MADE R ANGRY

See notes M7b1

1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M9.

Next are a few miscellaneous questions.

M025141 M9a. R Pay Right Amount in Taxes
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M9a.

Do you feel you are asked to pay MORE THAN YOU SHOULD in federal income taxes, about the RIGHT AMOUNT, or LESS THAN YOU SHOULD?
1. More than should pay
3. About right
5. Less than should pay
7. Don't pay at all {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025142 M9b. Rich Pay Right Amount in Taxes
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M9b.

What about rich people? Do you feel rich people are asked to pay MORE THAN THEY SHOULD in federal income taxes, about the RIGHT AMOUNT, or LESS THAN THEY SHOULD?

Respondents were administered M9b and M9c taxation level items in random order. Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. More than should pay
3. About right
5. Less than should pay
7. Don't pay at all {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025143 M9c. Poor Pay Right Amount in Taxes
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M9c.

What about poor people? Do you feel poor people are asked to pay MORE THAN THEY SHOULD in federal income taxes, about the RIGHT AMOUNT, or LESS THAN THEY SHOULD?

Respondents were administered M9b and M9c taxation level items in random order. Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which
precedePost survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. More than should pay
3. About right
5. Less than should pay
7. Don't pay at all {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025144  M10a. Worried about Nuclear War
         Numeric
         Missing eq 0, ge 8

M10a.

How worried are you about our country getting into a nuclear war at this time? Are you VERY worried, SOMewhat worried, or NOT WORRIED AT ALL?

1. Very worried
3. Somewhat worried
5. Not worried at all

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025145  M10b. Worried about Conventional War
         Numeric
         Missing eq 0, ge 8

M10b.

How worried are you about our country getting into a conventional war at this time, one in which nuclear weapons are not used? Are you VERY WORRIED, SOMewhat worried, or NOT WORRIED AT ALL?

1. Very worried
3. Somewhat worried
5. Not worried at all

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
M025146  M10d. Extent of Campaign Finance Reform
        Numeric
        Missing eq 0, ge 8

M10d.

Recently, there has been a lot of talk about campaign finance reform.
In general, which of the following statements best represents what you feel about the way political campaigns are financed in this country:
- it needs to be completely overhauled,
- it needs major changes,
- it needs minor changes, or
- it is basically fine the way it is?

1. Completely overhauled
3. Major changes
5. Minor changes
7. Fine the way it is
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025147  M11a. Openend - Why Some Have Better Job
        Numeric

M11a.

If R was asked pre L2c closed series (better jobs/higher incomes):

In America today, some people have better jobs and higher incomes than others do. Why do you think that is -- why do some Americans have better jobs and higher incomes than others do?

Reason better jobs: open-end response

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following combinations of general income inequality questions:
- Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")

This variable describes the post assignment based on this randomized selection.
Note: Income inequality items in pre L2 and post M11 are identical (L2a/M11a, L2b/M11b, L2c/M11c, L2d/M11d).
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Text present
5. No text present

INAP.
R was not selected for L2c closed series in the Pre; no 2002 Post interview

M025148         M11b. Openend - Why Some Have Worse Jobs
Numeric

M11b.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2d CLOSED SERIES (WORSE JOBS/LOWER INCOMES):

In America today, some people have WORSE JOBS and LOWER INCOMES than others do. WHY do you think that is -- why do some Americans have worse jobs and lower incomes than others do?

REASON WORSE JOBS: OPEN-END RESPONSE

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following combinations of general income inequality questions:
- Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")

This variable describes the Post assignment based on this randomized selection.

Note: Income inequality items in Pre L2 and Post M11 are identical (L2a/M11a, L2b/M11b, L2c/M11c, L2d/M11d).

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Text present
5. No text present

INAP.
R was not selected for L2d closed series in the Pre; no 2002 Post interview

M11c.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2a OPEN-END (BETTER JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

Next, we'd like to know WHY you think it is, that in America today, some people have BETTER JOBS and HIGHER INCOMES than others do. I'm going to read you some possible explanations, and I want you to tell me how IMPORTANT you think each is.

--------------------------
M025149  M11c1. #1 Reason Some Have Better Jobs
        Numeric
        Missing eq 0, ge 8

M11c1.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2a OPEN-END (BETTER JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

[The first is:/(Next:)]
'Because some people have more in-born ability to learn.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have better jobs and higher incomes than others do ?)

REASON BETTER JOBS: CLOSED LIST - IN-BORN ABILITY TO LEARN

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following combinations of general income inequality questions:
- Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")

Two randomly assigned orderings were possible:
    M11c1,2,3,4,5,6,7
    M11c2,4,5,6,3,1,7

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R was not selected for L2a open-end in the Pre; no 2002 Post interview

M025150  M11c2. #2 Reason Some Have Better Jobs
        Numeric
        Missing eq 0, ge 8

M11c2.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2a OPEN-END (BETTER JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

[The first is:/(Next:)]
'Because discrimination holds some people back.'
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(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have better jobs and higher incomes than others do?)

REASON BETTER JOBS: CLOSED LIST - DISCRIMINATION HOLDS BACK

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following combinations of general income inequality questions:
- Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")

Two randomly assigned orderings were possible:
  M11c1,2,3,4,5,6,7
  M11c2,4,5,6,3,1,7

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R was not selected for L2a open-end in the Pre; no 2002 Post interview

M025151       M11c. #3 Reason Some Have Better Jobs
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M11c3.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2a OPEN-END (BETTER JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

[The first is:/(Next:)]
'Because some people just don't work as hard.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have better jobs and higher incomes than others do?)

REASON BETTER JOBS: CLOSED LIST - SOME DON'T WORK HARD

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following combinations of general income inequality questions:
- Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")

Two randomly assigned orderings were possible:

M1lc1,2,3,4,5,6,7
M1lc2,4,5,6,3,1,7

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

R was not selected for L2a open-end in the Pre; no 2002
Post interview

-------------------------------
M025152    M11c4. #4 Reason Some Have Better Jobs
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M11c4.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2a OPEN-END (BETTER JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

[The first is://(Next:)]

'Because some people don't get a chance to get a good education.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have better jobs and higher incomes than others do?)

REASON BETTER JOBS: CLOSED LIST - SOME DON'T WORK HARD

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following combinations of general income inequality questions:
- Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")

Two randomly assigned orderings were possible:

M11c1,2,3,4,5,6,7
M11c2,4,5,6,3,1,7

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true (VOL)
M025153  M11c5. #5 Reason Some Have Better Jobs
          Numeric
          Missing eq 0, ge 8

M11c5.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2a OPEN-END (BETTER JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

'[The first is://(Next:)]
'Because some people just choose low-paying jobs.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMewhat
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why
some people have better jobs and higher incomes than others
do ?)

REASON BETTER JOBS: CLOSED LIST - SOME CHOOSE LOW-PAYING JOBS

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following
combinations of general income inequality questions:
- Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")

Two randomly assigned orderings were possible:
  M11c1,2,3,4,5,6,7
  M11c2,4,5,6,3,1,7
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
Post survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R was not selected for L2a open-end in the Pre; no 2002
Post interview

M025154  M11c6. #6 Reason Some Have Better Jobs
          Numeric
          Missing eq 0, ge 8
M1lc6.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2a OPEN-END (BETTER JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

'[The first is:/'(Next:)]
'Because government policies have helped high-income workers more.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have better jobs and higher incomes than others do?)

REASON BETTER JOBS: CLOSED LIST - GOVERNMENT POLICIES

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following combinations of general income inequality questions:
- Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")

Two randomly assigned orderings were possible:
M1lc1,2,3,4,5,6,7
M1lc2,4,5,6,3,1,7

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R was not selected for L2a open-end in the Pre; no 2002 Post interview

M025155 M1lc7. #7 Reason Some Have Better Jobs
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M1lc7.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2a OPEN-END (BETTER JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

'[The first is:/'(Next:)]
'Because God made people different from one another.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have better jobs and higher incomes than others do?)
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following combinations of general income inequality questions:
- Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")

Two randomly assigned orderings were possible:
M11C1,2,3,4,5,6,7
M11C2,4,5,6,3,1,7

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R was not selected for L2a open-end in the Pre; no 2002 Post interview

-----------------------------
M11d.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2B OPEN-END (WORSE JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

Next, we'd like to know WHY you think it is, that in America today, some people have WORSE JOBS and LOWER INCOMES than others do. I'm going to read you some possible explanations, and I want you to tell me how IMPORTANT you think each is.

-----------------------------
M025156 M11D1. #1 Reason Some Have Worse Jobs Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M11d1.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2B OPEN-END (WORSE JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

[The first is:/(Next:)]
'Because some people have more in-born ability to learn.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have worse jobs and lower incomes than others do?)
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following combinations of general income inequality questions:
- Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")
Two randomly assigned orderings were possible:
  M1ld1,2,3,4,5,6,7
  M1ld2,4,5,6,3,1,7
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP. R was not selected for L2b open-end in the Pre; no 2002 Post interview

-----------------------------
M025157 M1ld2. #2 Reason Some Have Worse Jobs
Numeric
  Missing eq 0, ge 8

M1ld2.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2b OPEN-END (WORSE JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

[The first is;/Next:]
'Because discrimination holds some people back.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMewhat important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have worse jobs and lower incomes than others do?)

REASON WORSE JOBS: CLOSED LIST - DISCRIMINATION HOLDS BACK

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following combinations of general income inequality questions:
- Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")
Two randomly assigned orderings were possible:
  M1ld1,2,3,4,5,6,7
  M1ld2,4,5,6,3,1,7
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
M025158       M11d3. #3 Reason Some Have Worse Jobs
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M11d3.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2b OPEN-END (WORSE JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

[The first is:/(Next:)]
'Because some people just don't work as hard.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMewhat
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why
some people have worse jobs and lower incomes than others
do ?)

REASON WORSE JOBS: CLOSED LIST - SOME DON'T WORK HARD

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following
combinations of general income inequality questions:
- Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")
Two randomly assigned orderings were possible:
   M11d1,2,3,4,5,6,7
   M11d2,4,5,6,3,1,7
Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
Post survey variables, provide case level identification
of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true (VOL)

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
M11d4. #4 Reason Some Have Worse Jobs

Numeric

Missing eq 0, ge 8

M11d4.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2b OPEN-END (WORSE JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

'[The first is:/ (Next:)]

'Because some people don't get a chance to get a good education.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMewhat important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have worse jobs and lower incomes than others do?)

REASON WORSE JOBS: CLOSED LIST - SOME DON'T WORK HARD

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following combinations of general income inequality questions:
- Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")

Two randomly assigned orderings were possible:
- M11d1,2,3,4,5,6,7
- M11d2,4,5,6,3,1,7

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
R was not selected for L2b open-end in the Pre; no 2002 Post interview

M11d5. #5 Reason Some Have Worse Jobs

Numeric

Missing eq 0, ge 8

M11d5.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2b OPEN-END (WORSE JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

Page 1170
'Because some people just choose low-paying jobs.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have worse jobs and lower incomes than others do?)

REASON WORSE JOBS: CLOSED LIST - SOME CHOOSE LOW-PAYING JOBS
------------------------------------------------------------------------

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following combinations of general income inequality questions:
- Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")

Two randomly assigned orderings were possible:
  M11d1,2,3,4,5,6,7
  M11d2,4,5,6,3,1,7

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important  3. Somewhat important  5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}

8. Don't know  9. Refused  0. NA

INAP.
R was not selected for L2b open-end in the Pre; no 2002
Post interview

M025161         M11d6. #6 Reason Some Have Worse Jobs
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M11d6.

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2b OPEN-END (WORSE JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

[The first is:/(Next:)]

'Because government policies have helped high-income workers more.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why some people have worse jobs and lower incomes than others do?)

REASON WORSE JOBS: CLOSED LIST - GOVERNMENT POLICIES
------------------------------------------------------------------------

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following
Two randomly assigned orderings were possible:

- Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all
7. Statement isn't true {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

R was not selected for L2b open-end in the Pre; no 2002
Post interview

==============================================

IF R WAS ASKED PRE L2b OPEN-END (WORSE JOBS/HIGHER INCOMES):

[The first is:/(Next:)]
'Because God made people different from one another.'

(Would you say that this is VERY important, SOMewhat
important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL as an explanation for why
some people have worse jobs and lower incomes than others
do ?)

REASON FOR WORSE JOBS: CLOSED LIST - GOD MADE PEOPLE DIFFERENT

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the following
combinations of general income inequality questions:

- Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")

Two randomly assigned orderings were possible:

- Pre L2a (open "better") and Post M11c (closed list "better")
- Pre L2b (open "worse") and Post M11d (closed list "worse")
- Pre L2c (closed list "better") and Post M11a (open "better")
- Pre L2d (closed list "worse") and Post M11b (open "worse")

Post randomization variables (e.g. PostRand.C1), which precede
Post survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

1. Very important
DURING THE PAST 12 MONTHS, have you worked with other people to deal with some issue facing your community?

1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

DURING THE PAST TWELVE MONTHS, have you telephoned, written a letter to, or visited a government official to express your views on a public issue?

1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview
M12c.

DURING THE PAST TWELVE MONTHS, did you attend a meeting about an issue facing your community or schools?

1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025166  M12d. R a Member or any Organizatio
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M12d.

Here is a list of some organizations people can belong to. There are labor unions, associations of people who do the same kinds of work, fraternal groups such as Lions or Kiwanis, hobby clubs or sports teams, groups working on political issues, community groups, and school groups. Of course, there are lots of other types of organizations, too. Not counting membership in a local church or synagogue, are you a member of any of these kinds of organizations?

1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025167  M12e. R Contribute to Charity Last 12 Mo
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

M12e.

Many people are finding it more difficult to make contributions to church or charity as much as they used to. How about you -- were you able to contribute any money to church or charity in the LAST 12 MONTHS?

1. Yes
5. No
Aside from a strike against your employer, in the PAST TWELVE MONTHS, have you taken part in a protest, march, or demonstration on some national or local issue?

1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA
INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

Now I'd like to read you a few statements about public life. I'll read them one at a time. Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with each of them.

'I consider myself well-qualified to participate in politics.' Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE with this statement?
Q1.

Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with these statements about the government. The first is:

' I think that I am better informed about politics and government than most people.' (Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE with this statement)?

1. Agree
3. Neither agree nor disagree
5. Disagree
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview
Q1a. Public Officials Don't Care

'Public officials don't care much what people like me think.' Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE with this statement?

1. Agree
3. Neither agree nor disagree
5. Disagree

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

Q1b. People Like R Have no Say about Gov

'People like me don't have any say about what the government does.' (Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE with this statement)?

1. Agree
3. Neither agree nor disagree
5. Disagree

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

Q2.

We're nearly at the end of the interview now, and have just a few more questions on a couple of topics.

Q3.

People have different ideas about the government in Washington. These ideas don't refer to Democrats or Republicans in
particular, but just to the government in general. We want to see how you feel about these ideas. For example:

M025174 Q3a. How Often Trust Govt in Washington
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q3a.
How much of the time do you think you can trust the government in Washington to do what is right -- JUST ABOUT ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, or only SOME OF THE TIME?

1. Just about always
2. Most of the time
3. Only some of the time
4. Never {VOL}

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025175 Q4. Govt Wastes Tax Money
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q4.
Do you think that people in government waste A LOT of the money we pay in taxes, waste SOME of it, or DON'T WASTE VERY MUCH of it?

1. Waste a lot
3. Waste some
5. Don't waste very much

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025176 Q5. Govt Run by Few Big Interests
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q5.
Would you say the government is pretty much run by A FEW BIG
INTERESTS looking out for themselves or that it is run for THE BENEFIT OF ALL THE PEOPLE?

1. Government run by a few big interests
5. Government run for the benefit of all

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

==============================
M025177 Q6. How Many in Govt are Crooked
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q6.

Do you think that QUITE A FEW of the people running the government are crooked, NOT VERY MANY are, or do you think HARDLY ANY of them are crooked?

1. Quite a few are crooked
3. Not very many are crooked
5. Hardly any are crooked

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

==============================
M025178 Q7. How Much Elections Make Govt Attenti
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Q7.

How much do you feel that having elections makes the government pay attention to what the people think -- a GOOD DEAL, SOME, or NOT MUCH?

1. A good deal
3. Some
5. Not much

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
          Numeric
          Missing eq 0, ge 8

T6.

On the whole, are you SATISFIED, FAIRLY SATISFIED, NOT VERY
SATISFIED, or NOT AT ALL SATISFIED with the way democracy works
in the United States?

1. Satisfied
2. Fairly satisfied
3. Not very satisfied
4. Not at all satisfied

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025180   T7a. How Good does U.S. Flag Make R Feel
          Numeric
          Missing eq 0, ge 8

T7a.

When you see the American flag flying does it make you feel
EXTREMELY GOOD, VERY GOOD, SOMewhat GOOD, or NOT VERY GOOD?

1. Extremely good
2. Very good
3. Somewhat good
4. Not very good
7. Don't feel anything [VOL]

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025181   T7b. How Strong is Rs Love for Country
          Numeric
          Missing eq 0, ge 8

T7b.

How strong is your love for your country... EXTREMELY STRONG,
VERY STRONG, SOMEWHAT STRONG, or NOT VERY STRONG?
1. Extremely Strong
2. Very Strong
4. Somewhat Strong
5. Not Very Strong

8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

==============================
M025182 Y4. R Employment Status
Numeric
Missing eq 0

Y4.

IF 'PANEL' RESPONDENT:

We'd like to know if you are working now, or are you unemployed, retired, a homemaker, (a student), or what?
(MULTIPLE RESPONSES)

The maximum number of mentions was 3. For 2-digit and 3-digit codes, each digit represents an employment category.

001. Working now
002. Temporarily laid off
003. Unemployed
004. Retired
005. Permanently disabled
006. Homemaker
007. Student
014. Working now and retired
016. Working now and homemaker
017. Working now and student
026. Temporarily laid off and homemaker
034. Unemployed and retired
035. Unemployed and permanently disabled
036. Unemployed and homemaker
045. Retired and permanently disabled
046. Retired and homemaker
047. Retired and student
067. Homemaker and student
167. Working now, homemaker, and student
467. Retired, homemaker, and student

000. NA

INAP.
Fresh Cross-section case; no 2002 Post interview
We'd like to know if you are working now, or are you unemployed, retired, a homemaker, (a student), or what? (MULTIPLE RESPONSES)

PRE AND POST EMPLOYMENT STATUS SUMMARY
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Built from Pre Y4 and Post Y4

001. Working now
002. Temporarily laid off
003. Unemployed
004. Retired
005. Permanently disabled
006. Homemaker
007. Student
014. Working now and retired
016. Working now and homemaker
017. Working now and student
026. Temporarily laid off and homemaker
034. Unemployed and retired
035. Unemployed and permanently disabled
036. Unemployed and homemaker
045. Retired and permanently disabled
046. Retired and homemaker
047. Retired and student
067. Homemaker and student
146. Working now, retired, and homemaker
167. Working now, homemaker, and student
467. Retired, homemaker, and student

000. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

==============================

Y8.

IF 'PANEL' AND REPORTED WORKING NOW IN POST Y4 :/
   IF FRESH CROSS AND REPORTED WORKING NOW IN PRE Y4 :

   Here are a couple of things people sometimes do as part of their job. After I read each, please tell me whether or not you have done this, DURING THE LAST SIX MONTHS, as part of your job.

---------------------------------------------------------------------

M025184    Y8a. Chaired Meeting for Job Last 6 Mos.
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8
Y8a.

IF 'PANEL' AND REPORTED WORKING NOW IN POST Y4 :
IF FRESH CROSS AND REPORTED WORKING NOW IN PRE Y4 :

Have you planned or chaired a meeting (in the last 6 months?)

1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
0,2,3,4,5,6,7,26,34,35,36,45,46,47,67,467 (R not working) in Y4x; no 2002 Post interview

Y8b.

IF 'PANEL' AND REPORTED WORKING NOW IN POST Y4 :
IF FRESH CROSS AND REPORTED WORKING NOW IN PRE Y4 :

Have you given a presentation or speech (in the last 6 months?)

1. Yes
5. No
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
0,2,3,4,5,6,7,26,34,35,36,45,46,47,67,467 (R not working) in Y4x; no 2002 Post interview

Z1. R Worked with Neighbors on Issue/Problem

Finally, I'd like to ask you a few questions about life in your neighborhood. During the PAST TWELVE MONTHS, have you worked with others from your neighborhood to deal with a common issue or problem?

1. Yes
5. No
7. No neighbors [VOL]
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025187    Z2. R Neighbors Just Out for Selves
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z2.

Next some questions about the people you regularly see in your neighborhood.
In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they are just looking out for themselves ALL OF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, HARDLY EVER, or NEVER?

Note: several respondents volunteered in one or more of set of neighborhood-trust questions (Z2,Z3a,Z3b,Z3c) that they had no neighbors, yet still provided valid responses to other questions from the set.

1. All of the time
2. Most of the time
3. Some of the time
4. Hardly ever
5. Never
7. No neighbors [VOL]
8. Don't know [DO NOT PROBE]
9. Refused   [DO NOT PROBE]
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025188    Z3a. R Neighbors Take Advantage
Numeric
Missing eq 0, ge 8

Z3a.

Would you say those people you see regularly in your neighborhood try to take advantage of others ALL OF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, HARDLY EVER, or NEVER?

Note: several respondents volunteered in one or more of set of neighborhood-trust questions (Z2,Z3a,Z3b,Z3c) that they had no neighbors, yet still provided valid responses to other questions from the set.
Z3b. R Neighbors Respect Others

(Again, thinking about those people you see in your neighborhood,) Would you say they treat others with respect ALL OF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, HARDLY EVER, or NEVER?

Note: several respondents volunteered in one or more of set of neighborhood-trust questions (Z2,Z3a,Z3b,Z3c) that they had no neighbors, yet still provided valid responses to other questions from the set.

1. All of the time
2. Most of the time
3. Some of the time
4. Hardly ever
5. Never
7. No neighbors [VOL]
8. Don't know {DO NOT PROBE}
9. Refused {DO NOT PROBE}
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

==
M025190 Z3c. Honest Describe R Neighbors

Would you say that HONEST describes the people in your neighborhood EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?

Note: several respondents volunteered in one or more of set of neighborhood-trust questions (Z2,Z3a,Z3b,Z3c) that they had no neighbors, yet still provided valid responses to other questions from the set.

1. All of the time
2. Most of the time
3. Some of the time
4. Hardly ever
5. Never
7. No neighbors [VOL]
8. Don't know {DO NOT PROBE}
9. Refused {DO NOT PROBE}
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

==
neighborhood-trust questions (Z2, Z3a, Z3b, Z3c) that they had no neighbors, yet still provided valid responses to other questions from the set.

1. All of the time
2. Most of the time
3. Some of the time
4. Hardly ever
5. Never
7. No neighbors [VOL]

8. Don't know {DO NOT PROBE}
9. Refused {DO NOT PROBE}
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025191       ZZ4. R Cooperation
Numeric
Missing eq 0

ZZ4.

R's cooperation was:

1. Very good
2. Good
3. Fair
4. Poor
5. Very poor
0. NA

INAP.
No 2002 Post interview

M025192       ZZ5. R Level of Information
Numeric
Missing eq 0

ZZ5.

R's general level of information about politics and public affairs seemed:

1. Very high
2. Fairly high
3. Average
4. Fairly low
5. Very low
0. NA

INAP.
R's apparent intelligence:

1. Very high
2. Fairly high
3. Average
4. Fairly low
5. Very low

0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

How suspicious did R seem to be about the study before the interview?:

1. Not at all suspicious
3. Somewhat suspicious
5. Very suspicious

0. NA

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

Overall, how great was R's interest in the interview?

1. Very high
2. Fairly high
3. Average
4. Fairly low
5. Very low
How sincere did R seem to be in his/her answers?

1. Completely sincere
3. Usually sincere
5. Often seemed to be insincere
0. NA

Were there any particular parts of the interview for which you doubted R's sincerity?

1. Yes
5. No
0. NA

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

NEGATIVE - GENERAL
Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "Negative - general"
5. Not checked by interviewer
0. NA

INAP.
No Post Interview

========================================
M025198b ZZ12b. IWR:IW Rxns-Too Long
Numeric
Missing eq 0

ZZ12b.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

NEGATIVE - TOO LONG

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "Negative - too long"
5. Not checked by interviewer
0. NA

INAP.
No Post Interview

========================================
M025198c ZZ12c. IWR:IW Rxns-Too Complicated
Numeric
Missing eq 0

ZZ12c.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

NEGATIVE - TOO COMPLICATED

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "Negative - too complicated"
5. Not checked by interviewer
0. NA

INAP.
No Post Interview

========================================
M025198d ZZ12d. IWR:IW Rxns-Boring/Tedious
Numeric
ZZ12d.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

NEGATIVE - BORING/TEDIOUS/REPETITIOUS
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious"
5. Not checked by interviewer
0. NA

INAP.
No Post Interview

==============================================
M025198e       ZZ12e. IWR:IW Rxns-Wanted to Stop
Numeric
Missing eq 0

ZZ12e.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R WANTED TO STOP BEFORE INTERVIEW COMPLETED
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R wanted to stop before interview completed. After starting the interview R made comments indicating he/she regretted having agreed to be interviewed"
5. Not checked by interviewer
0. NA

INAP.
No Post Interview

==============================================
M025198f       ZZ12f. IWR:IW Rxns- Ill/Deaf/Tired
Numeric
Missing eq 0

ZZ12f.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R ILL/DEAF/ TIRED ETC.
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R complained and/or interviewer observed that R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight etc.; interview was obviously hard for R"

5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

INAP.
No Post Interview

M025198g    ZZ12g. IWR:IW Rxns-Confused
Numeric
Missing eq 0

ZZ12g.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R CONFUSED BY QUESTIONS

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R complained and/or interviewer observed that R was confused by questions "couldn't understand the scales"; interview was obviously hard for R"

5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

INAP.
No Post Interview

M025198h    ZZ12h. IWR:IW Rxns-Lack of Knowledge
Numeric
Missing eq 0

ZZ12h.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R SELF-CONSCIOUS - LACK OF KNOWLEDGE/SUITABILITY FOR INTERVIEWING

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R expressed (especially repeatedly) doubts/apologies/embarrassment over lack of knowledge or own suitability for interview"

5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA
M025198j  ZZ12j. IWR:IW Rxns-Lack Political Knwldg
Numeric
Missing eq 0

ZZ12j.
R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R SELF-CONSCIOUS - LACK OF POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R expressed (especially repeatedly) doubts/apologies/embarrassment over lack of POLITICAL knowledge"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

INAP.
No Post Interview

M025198k  ZZ12k. IWR:IW Rxns-Agitated by Process
Numeric
Missing eq 0

ZZ12k.
R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R AGITATED BY INTERVIEW PROCESS

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R was agitated or stressed by interview PROCESS"
5. Not checked by interviewer

0. NA

INAP.
No Post Interview

M025198m  ZZ12m. IWR:IW Rxns-Angry at Content
Numeric
Missing eq 0

ZZ12m.
R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}
R ANGRY ABOUT INTERVIEW CONTENT

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R became angry at interview CONTENT"
5. Not checked by interviewer
0. NA

INAP.
No Post Interview

Z212n.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

R CONCERNED ABOUT SAMPLING PURPOSE/BIAS

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R became concerned about sampling purpose or bias: 'why do you come to the old folks home?' 'why THIS neighborhood?' 'why/why not blacks/Hispanics?' 'why me?' etc."
5. Not checked by interviewer
0. NA

INAP.
No Post Interview

Z212p.

R's reaction to interview
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

POSITIVE

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "R appeared to enjoy the interview (R was "cooperative" "/"interested"/"pleasant" etc.)"
5. Not checked by interviewer
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R's reaction to interview

{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

NEUTRAL OR NO FEEDBACK

Order in which mentions were made is not available.

1. "Neutral or no feedback (1st mention only)"
5. Not checked by interviewer

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview

Is there any difficulty administering an interview by phone to this R?

{EXAMPLES: hard of hearing, illness that makes it difficult for R to stay on the phone very long, etc.}

1 Yes {SPECIFY}
5 No

INAP.

No 2002 Post interview
YPES:

---------

State name.

NOTES:

------

This is the full name of the state corresponding to the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address.

TYPE:

-----

Character

VALID CODES:

---------

AL. Alabama
AK. Alaska
AZ. Arizona
AR. Arkansas
CA. California
CO. Colorado
CT. Connecticut
DE. Delaware
DC. Washington DC
FL. Florida
GA. Georgia
HI. Hawaii
ID. Idaho
IL. Illinois
IN. Indiana
IA. Iowa
KS. Kansas
KY. Kentucky
LA. Louisiana
ME. Maine
MD. Maryland
MA. Massachusetts
MI. Michigan
MN. Minnesota
MS. Mississippi
MO. Missouri
MT. Montana
NE. Nebraska
NV. Nevada
NH. New Hampshire
NJ. New Jersey
NM. New Mexico
NY. New York
NC. North Carolina
ND. North Dakota
OH. Ohio
OK. Oklahoma
OR. Oregon
PA. Pennsylvania
RI. Rhode Island
SC. South Carolina
SD. South Dakota
TN. Tennessee
TX. Texas
UT. Utah
VT. Vermont
VA. Virginia
WA. Washington
WV. West Virginia
WI. Wisconsin
WY. Wyoming

NOTES:
-------
This is the 2-character postal abbreviation of the state corresponding to the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address.

TYPE:
-----
Character

========================================================================
M041202 Sampling.2. 2004 FIPS State Code
========================================================================

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
FIPS state code.

VALID CODES:
-----------
01. Alabama
02. Alaska
04. Arizona
05. Arkansas
06. California
08. Colorado
09. Connecticut
10. Delaware
11. Washington DC
12. Florida
13. Georgia
15. Hawaii
16. Idaho
17. Illinois
18. Indiana
19. Iowa
20. Kansas
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21. Kentucky
22. Louisiana
23. Maine
24. Maryland
25. Massachusetts
26. Michigan
27. Minnesota
28. Mississippi
29. Missouri
30. Montana
31. Nebraska
32. Nevada
33. New Hampshire
34. New Jersey
35. New Mexico
36. New York
37. North Carolina
38. North Dakota
39. Ohio
40. Oklahoma
41. Oregon
42. Pennsylvania
43. Rhode Island
44. South Carolina
45. South Dakota
46. Tennessee
47. Texas
48. Utah
49. Vermont
50. Virginia
51. Washington
52. West Virginia
53. Wisconsin
54. Wyoming

NOTES:
-------
This is the FIPS code of the state corresponding to the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:
-------
ICPSR state code.

VALID CODES:
-------------
NEW ENGLAND
01. Connecticut
02. Maine
03. Massachusetts
04. New Hampshire
05. Rhode Island
06. Vermont
MIDDLE ATLANTIC
11. Delaware
12. New Jersey
13. New York
14. Pennsylvania
EAST NORTH CENTRAL
21. Illinois
22. Indiana
23. Michigan
24. Ohio
25. Wisconsin
WEST NORTH CENTRAL
31. Iowa
32. Kansas
33. Minnesota
34. Missouri
35. Nebraska
36. North Dakota
37. South Dakota
SOLID SOUTH
40. Virginia
41. Alabama
42. Arkansas
43. Florida
44. Georgia
45. Louisiana
46. Mississippi
47. North Carolina
48. South Carolina
49. Texas
BORDER STATES
51. Kentucky
52. Maryland
53. Oklahoma
54. Tennessee
55. Washington DC
56. West Virginia
MOUNTAIN STATES
61. Arizona
62. Colorado
63. Idaho
64. Montana
65. Nevada
66. New Mexico
67. Utah
68. Wyoming
PACIFIC STATES
71. California
72. Oregon
73. Washington
EXTERNAL STATES
81. Alaska
82. Hawaii

NOTES:
This is the ICPSR code of the state corresponding to
the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

===============================================
M041204  Sampling.4. 2004 Congressional District Number
===============================================

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Congressional district number.

VALID CODES:
------------
01-53

MISSING CODES:
--------------
00. Washington D.C.

NOTES:
------
This is the Congressional District of the respondent's
2004 Panel Study address. At-large districts are coded 1.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

===============================================
M041204a Sampling.4a. 2004 State Postal Abbrev And Congr District Num
===============================================

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
State Postal abbreviation and Congressional district number.

NOTES:
------
This is the state postal abbreviation (1st two characters)
and Congressional District (2nd two characters) of the
respondent's 2004 Panel Study address. At-large districts
are represented as district 01.

TYPE:
-----
Character

===============================================
M041204b Sampling.4b. 2004 State FIPS Code And Congr District Number
===============================================
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STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
State FIPS code and Congressional district number.

NOTES:
------
This is the state FIPS code (1st 1-2 digits) and Congressional District (last 2 digits) of the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address. At-large districts are represented as district 01.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

M041204c   Sampling.4c. 2004 State ICPSR Code And Congr District Number

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
State ICPSR code and Congressional district number.

NOTES:
------
This is the state ICPSR code (1st 1-2 digits) and Congressional District (last 2 digits) of the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address. At-large districts are represented as district 01.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

M041205     Sampling.5. 2004 Census Region

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Census region.

VALID CODES:
-----------
1. Northeast (CT,ME,MA,NH,NJ,NY,PA,RI,VT)
2. North Central (IL,IN,IA,KS,MI,MN,MO,NE,ND,OH,SD,WI)
3. South (AL,AR,DE,DC,FL,GA,KY,LA,MD,MS,NC,OK,SC,TN,TX,VA,WV)
4. West (AK,AZ,CA,CO,HI,ID,MT,NM,NV,OR,UT,WA,WY)

NOTES:
------
This is the Census region of the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address.
address.

TYPE:  
-----
Numeric Dec 0

========================================================================
M041206     Sampling.6. 2000 ANES Primary Area (PSU) Number
========================================================================

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:  
---------
Primary area (PSU).

MISSING CODES:  
---------------
INAP. 2000 RDD case

NOTES:  
------
This is the primary area (PSU) number of the respondent's original 2000 sampling address (for 2000 ANES face-to-face respondents). To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this variable has been recoded in the public release: the 44 PSU codes listed (110 through 482) have been recoded to values 1-44 in random order.

TYPE:  
-----
Numeric Dec 0

========================================================================
M041207     Sampling.7. 2000 ANES Segment Number
========================================================================

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:  
---------
Segment number.

MISSING CODES:  
---------------
INAP. 2000 RDD case

NOTES:  
------
This is the segment in which the respondent's original 2000 sampling address was selected as a household (for 2000 ANES face-to-face respondents). To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this variable has been recoded to 999 in the public release.

TYPE:  
-----
Numeric Dec 0
STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:  
---------
FIPS state and county code.

NOTES:  
-------
This represents the state (1st 1 or 2 digits) and county (last 3 digits) of the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address. Washington D.C. is 11001. To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this variable has been coded to 99999 in the public release.

TYPE:  
-----
Numeric Dec 0

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:  
---------
FIPS County name.

NOTES:  
-------
This represents the FIPS version of the county name that corresponds to the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address. To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this variable has been blanked in the public release.

TYPE:  
-----
Character

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:  
---------
2000 Census tract number.

MISSING CODES:  
--------------
0000.00 NA

NOTES:  
-------
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This represents the 2000 Census tract number corresponding to the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address. To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this variable has been recoded to 9999.99 in the public release.

TYPE: Numeric Dec 2

This represents the 2000 Census Place code corresponding to the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address. To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this variable has been recoded to 99999 in the public release.

TYPE: Numeric Dec 0

This represents the 2000 Census Minor Civil Division corresponding to the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address. To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this variable has been recoded to 99999 in the public release.
STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION: 
-----------
Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) 1990.

MISSING CODES: 
---------------
0000. Not in a 1990 MSA

NOTES: 
-------
This represents the 1990 Census MSA corresponding to the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address. To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this variable has been recoded to 9999 in the public release.

TYPE: 
-----
Numeric Dec 0

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION: 
-----------

VALID CODES: 
------------
1. Rural
5. Urban

MISSING CODES: 
---------------
0. NA

NOTES: 
-------
This represents the 2000 Census urban/rural classification corresponding to the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address.

TYPE: 
-----
Numeric Dec 0
STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:
--------

MISSING CODES:
-------------
000. Not in a CSA

NOTES:
-----
This represents the 2000 Census Combined Statistical Area (CSA) corresponding to the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address. To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this variable has been recoded to 999 in the public release.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

------------------------------------------------------------------------
M041214a    Sampling.14a. 2004 Address - 2000 Census CBSA
------------------------------------------------------------------------

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:
--------
2000 Census Component Core-Based Statistical Area (CBSA).

MISSING CODES:
-------------
00000. Not in a CBSA

NOTES:
-----
This represents the 2000 Census Component Core-Based Statistical Area (CBSA) corresponding to the respondent's 2004 Panel Study address. To protect the confidentiality of the respondent, this variable has been recoded to 99999 in the public release.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

------------------------------------------------------------------------
M041215     Sampling.15. 2002 FIPS State And Congressional District
------------------------------------------------------------------------

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:
--------
2002 State FIPS code and Congressional district number.

MISSING CODES:
9999. District not correctly assigned in 2002

NOTES:
-------
This is the state FIPS code (1st 1-2 digits) and Congressional District (last 2 digits) of the respondent's 2002 ANES address. At-large districts are represented as district 01. Washington DC is 1100. Note that some redistricting was effected prior to the 2002 election.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M041216 Sampling.16. 2000 FIPS State And Congressional District

STUDY DESCRIPTIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
2000 State FIPS code and Congressional district number.

MISSING CODES:
--------------
9996. District not correctly assigned in 2004

NOTES:
-------
This is the state FIPS code (1st 1-2 digits) and Congressional District (last 2 digits) of the respondent's 2000 ANES address. At-large districts are represented as district 01. Washington DC is 1100. Note that some redistricting was effected prior to the 2002 election; prior to the 2004 election, redistricting was effected in Texas only.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M044001 PostAdmin.1. Form Of Post Interview

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Assigned form of interview.

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Affirm action (Q188-94); soc trust fair (Q217);
traits 1 (Q137-40)
2. Affirm action (Q188-94); soc trust fair (Q217);
   traits 2 (Q137,Q141-43)
3. Affirm action (Q188-94); soc trust helpful (Q218);
   traits 1 (Q137-40)
4. Affirm action (Q188-94); soc trust helpful (Q218);
   traits 2 (Q137,Q141-43)
5. Equal pay (Q195-198); soc trust fair (Q217);
   traits 1 (Q137-40)
6. Equal pay (Q195-198); soc trust fair (Q217);
   traits 2 (Q137,Q141-43)
7. Equal pay (Q195-198); soc trust helpful (Q218);
   traits 1 (Q137-40)
8. Equal pay (Q195-198); soc trust helpful (Q218);
   traits 2 (Q137,Q141-43)

NOTES:
------
In the 2004 Panel, respondents were assigned to the same items that had been randomly assigned in 2002 for three question sets: alternative sets of 4 Bush traits; a question set either on race (affirmative action for Blacks) or gender (equal pay for women); and a social trust question either describing people as fair/taking advantage or as helpful/looking out for themselves.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0
QUESTION: 
--------
Mode of post-election interview.

VALID CODES: 
----------
1. All interviews conducted by telephone

TYPE: 
-----
Numeric Dec 0

------------------------------------------------------------------------
M044004  PostAdmin.4. Number Of Calls
------------------------------------------------------------------------

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE

QUESTION: 
--------
Total number of calls made.

VALID CODES: 
----------
1-58

TYPE: 
-----
Numeric Dec 0

------------------------------------------------------------------------
M044005  PostAdmin.5. Result
------------------------------------------------------------------------

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION: 
--------
Post-election result code.

VALID CODES: 
----------
1. Completed interview

NOTES: 
------
No post-election interviews were partials.

TYPE: 
-----
Numeric Dec 0

------------------------------------------------------------------------
M044006  PostAdmin.6. Language Of Interview
------------------------------------------------------------------------

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION: 
--------
Language of post-election interview.

VALID CODES:
------------
1. All interviews were conducted in English

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

-------------------------------------------------------------
M044007 PostAdmin.7. Interview Verification
-------------------------------------------------------------

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Verification of post-election interview.

VALID CODES:
------------
5. No verification

NOTES:
------
There was no verification of interviews at the interviewing facility.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

-------------------------------------------------------------
M044008 PostAdmin.8. Interviewer Evaluation
-------------------------------------------------------------

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Interviewer evaluation conducted for post-election interview.

VALID CODES:
------------
5. No interviewer evaluation

NOTES:
------
There was no interviewer evaluation at the interviewing facility.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

-------------------------------------------------------------
M044009 PostAdmin.9. Interview Tape-Recorded
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POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
--------
Interview tape-recorded.

VALID CODES:
------------
5. Interview not tape-recorded

NOTE:
-----
There were no tape-recorded interviews at the interviewing facility.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M044010  PostAdmin.10. Interviewed In Parts

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
--------
Interview conducted in more than one part/session.

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Interviewed in parts (multiple sessions)
5. Not interviewed in parts (1 session)

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M044011  PostAdmin.11. Number Of Parts

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
--------
Total number of interviewing sessions for each interview.

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Interview conducted in one session
2. Interview conducted in two sessions
3. Interview conducted in three sessions

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0
M044012  PostAdmin.12. Number Of Interviewers For Each Interview

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Total number of interviewers for each interview.

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. One interviewer conducted the entire interview
2. Two interviewers conducted the entire interview
3. Three interviewers conducted the entire interview

NOTES:
------
This is the total number of interviewers administering 1 or more sessions of the interview.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M044013a  PostAdmin.13a. Interview Session 1 Interviewer ID

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Interviewer ID of interviewer who conducted session 1 of interview.

VALID CODES:
-------------
1002-1056

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M044013b  PostAdmin.13b. Interview Session 1 Date (MMDD)

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Interview session 1 date (MMDD).

NOTES:
------
This is the interview date of interviews conducted in a single session and the date of the 1st interview session for interviews which were broken into more than 1 session
of interviewing.
The first 2 characters provide the month of the session
date; the last 2 characters provide the day.

TYPE:
-----
Character

========================================================================
M044013c   PostAdmin.13c. Interview Session 1 Breakoff
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:
IF INTERVIEW CONDUCTED IN MORE THAN 1 SESSION:

QUESTION:
---------
Breakoff session 1.

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Between Q1 and Q57
2. Between Q57 and Q144
3. Between Q144 and Q207

MISSING CODES:
---------------
INAP. 1 in Post.Admin.11

NOTES:
------
This describes the section of interview where the interview
was broken off between session 1 and session 2.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 2

========================================================================
M044014a   PostAdmin.14a. Interview Session 2 Interviewer ID
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:
IF INTERVIEW CONDUCTED IN MORE THAN 1 SESSION:

QUESTION:
---------
Interviewer ID of interviewer who conducted session 2 of interview.

VALID CODES:
------------
1002-1056

MISSING CODES:
---------------
INAP. 1 in Post.Admin.1

TYPE:
-----

Page 1212
M044014b PostAdmin.14b. Interview Session 2 Date (MMDD)

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:
IF INTERVIEW CONDUCTED IN MORE THAN 1 SESSION:

QUESTION:
---------
Interview session 2 date (MMDD).

MISSING CODES:
--------------
INAP. 1 in Post.Admin.1

NOTES:
------
This is the interview date of session 2. If an interview was conducted in a single session, this variable is INAP. The first 2 characters provide the month of the session date; the last 2 characters provide the day.

TYPE:
-----
Character

M044014c PostAdmin.14c. Interview Session 2 Breakoff

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:
IF INTERVIEW CONDUCTED IN 3 SESSIONS:

QUESTION:
---------
Breakoff session 2.

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Between Q1 and Q57
2. Between Q57 and Q144
3. Between Q144 and Q207

MISSING CODES:
--------------
INAP. 1,2 in Post.Admin.11

NOTES:
------
This describes the section of interview where the interview was broken off between session 2 and session 3.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 2
POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:
IF INTERVIEW CONDUCTED IN 3 SESSIONS:

QUESTION:
---------
Interviewer ID of interviewer who conducted part 3 of interview.

VALID CODES:
------------
1002-1056

MISSING CODES:
---------------
INAP. 1,2 in Post.Admin.11

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:
IF INTERVIEW CONDUCTED IN 3 SESSIONS:

QUESTION:
---------
Interview session 3 date (MMDD).

MISSING CODES:
---------------
INAP. 1,2 in Post.Admin.11

NOTES:
------
This is the interview date of session 3. If an interview was conducted in one or two sessions, this variable is INAP. The first 2 characters provide the month of the session date; the last 2 characters provide the day.

TYPE:
-----
Character

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Total length of interview.
MISSING CODES:  
-------------
00.0 NA

NOTES:  
-----
The mean interview length for the post-election interview was 45.0 minutes.

TYPE:  
-----
Numeric  Dec 1

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:  
---------
Timezone of interview.

VALID CODES:  
-------------
1. Eastern  
2. Central  
3. Mountain  
4. Pacific  
5. Alaska  
6. Hawaii  

MISSING CODES:  
-------------
0. NA

TYPE:  
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:  
---------
Date interview completed (MMDD).

NOTES:  
-----
This is the date the interview was completed. The first 2 characters provide the month of the completion date; the last 2 characters provide the day.

TYPE:  
-----
Character
M044019   PostAdmin.19. Number of Days After Election IW Completed

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Number of days after the election interview was completed.

VALID CODES:
-------------
1-49

NOTES:
-----
This is the number of days by which the November 2, 2004 general election preceded the ending date of the interview. (Note: no interviewing was conducted on the day of the general election).

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

M044020   PostAdmin.20. Interviewer Interview Number (Nth IW)

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Interviewer interview number.

VALID CODES:
-------------
1-41

NOTES:
------
This identifies the interview as the Nth interview conducted by the interviewer.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

M044021   PostAdmin.21. Payment 1 Amount

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Payment 1 amount paid to respondent for interview.
VALID CODES:
------------
00. Respondent refused payment
20. $20
40. $40
50. $50

NOTES:
-----
The amount of this payment (unless refused) represents
the initial amount offered to the respondent and is
the equivalent of the highest amount previously paid
to the respondent. For some respondents not yet
interviewed and initially offered $20, an additional
payment was offered (see PostAdmin.24) later in the
field period.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

-------------------------------------------------------------------
M044022  PostAdmin.22. Payment 1 Mode
-------------------------------------------------------------------

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:
IF RESPONDENT DID NOT REFUSE PAYMENT:

QUESTION:
---------
Payment 1 mode.

VALID CODES:
------------
1. All respondents paid by check

MISSING CODES:
--------------
INAP. Respondent refused payment (00 in PostAdmin.20)

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

-------------------------------------------------------------------
M044023  PostAdmin.23. Payment 1 Date (MMDD)
-------------------------------------------------------------------

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:
IF RESPONDENT DID NOT REFUSE PAYMENT:

QUESTION:
---------
Date of payment 1 to respondent for IW (MMDD).

MISSING CODES:
--------------
INAP. Respondent refused payment (00 in PostAdmin.20)
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NOTES:
------
This is the date that the payment 1 check was issued.

TYPE:
-----
Character

== POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:
IF RESPONDENT WAS OFFERED/PAID AN ADDITIONAL PAYMENT: ==

QUESTION:
---------
Payment 2 amount paid to respondent for interview.

VALID CODES:
------------
30. $30

MISSING CODES:
--------------
INAP. R did not receive a second payment amount

NOTES:
------
Late in the interviewing period, to increase response rate, an additional payment amount of $30.00 was offered to some respondents who had already been offered a $20.00 payment; respondents who accepted the additional $30 were paid a total of $50 in 2 payments.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

== POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:
IF RESPONDENT WAS OFFERED/PAID AN ADDITIONAL PAYMENT: ==

QUESTION:
---------
Payment 2 mode.

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Payment by check

MISSING CODES:
--------------
INAP. R did not receive a second payment amount
M044026  PostAdmin.26. Payment 2 Date (MMDD)

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:
IF RESPONDENT WAS OFFERED/PAID AN ADDITIONAL PAYMENT:

QUESTION:
---------
Date of payment 2 to respondent for IW (MMDD).

MISSING CODES:
--------------
INAP. R did not receive a second payment amount

NOTES:
------
This is the date that the payment 2 check was issued.

TYPE:
-----
Character

M044027  PostAdmin.27. Respondent Incentive

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Type of incentive mailed to respondent in advance of interview.

VALID CODES:
------------
1. University of Michigan notepad

NOTES:
------
This identifies that a respondent incentive, a refillable University of Michigan padded notepad, was sent with the advance letter and ANES study brochure to the respondent prior to the start of the interviewing period. This does not identify whether the advance letter, ANES Study brochure, and incentive gift (notepad) were actually received by the respondent due to mail or address difficulties.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0
POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
----------
Final persuasion letter sent 12/03/2004.

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Sent
5. Not sent

NOTES:
------
In a final effort to convert non-interview cases, a final general persuasion letter was mailed 12/03/2004 to a subset of outstanding cases; some had already, previously, been mailed a refusal conversion letter (PostAdmin.29).

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

========================================================================
M044029     PostAdmin.29. Refusal Conversion Letter Sent
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
----------
Refusal conversion letter sent

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Sent
5. Not sent

NOTES:
------
Case 409 was sent a second refusal conversion letter. The type of refusal conversion letter was "interview incomplete". It was sent by two-day mail on December 3, 2004.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

========================================================================
M044029a    PostAdmin.29a. Refusal Conversion Letter Type
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:
IF REFUSAL CONVERSION LETTER SENT TO RESPONDENT:

QUESTION:
----------
Refusal conversion letter type.
VALID CODES:
------------
1. Interview incomplete
2. Not interested
3. General reluctance
4. Too busy
5. Too old

MISSING CODES:
---------------
INAP. 5 in PostAdmin.29

NOTES:
------
Case 409 was sent a second refusal conversion letter. The type of refusal conversion letter was "interview incomplete". It was sent by two-day mail on December 3, 2004.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:
IF REFUSAL CONVERSION LETTER SENT TO RESPONDENT:

QUESTION:
---------
Refusal conversion letter mail priority.

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Two-day mail
2. Standard mail

MISSING CODES:
---------------
INAP. 5 in PostAdmin.29

NOTES:
------
Case 409 was sent a second refusal conversion letter. The type of refusal conversion letter was "interview incomplete". It was sent by two-day mail on December 3, 2004.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:
IF REFUSAL CONVERSION LETTER SENT TO RESPONDENT:
QUESTION: 
-------
Refusal conversion letter date sent (MMDD).

MISSING CODES: 
----------
INAP. 5 in PostAdmin.29

NOTES: 
------
Case 409 was sent a second refusal conversion letter. The type of refusal conversion letter was "interview incomplete". It was sent by two-day mail on December 3, 2004.

TYPE: 
-----
Character

========================================================================
M044101     PostIwr.1. Interviewer Of Record (IWR ID)
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION: 
-------
Interviewer of record - interviewer ID.

VALID CODES: 
----------
0401 - 0450

TYPE: 
-----
Numeric Dec 0

========================================================================
M044102     PostIwr.2. Interviewer Gender
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION: 
-------
Interviewer gender.

VALID CODES: 
----------
1. Male
2. Female

MISSING CODES: 
----------
9. NA

TYPE: 
-----
Numeric Dec 0
POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Interviewer education level.

VALID CODES:
----------
1. Grades 1 - 8
2. Some high school
3. High school graduate
4. Some college
5. College degree
6. Master's degree
7. Ph.D.

MISSING CODES:
---------------
9. NA

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Interviewer race.

VALID CODES:
----------
1. White
2. Black
3. Native American
4. Asian
7. Other (includes Hispanic)

MISSING CODES:
---------------
9. NA

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0
QUESTION:  
---------  
Interviewer ethnicity.  

VALID CODES:  
-----------  
0. No ethnic identity coded  
1. Hispanic  
7. Other  

MISSING CODES:  
------------  
9. NA  

TYPE:  
-----  
Numeric Dec 0  

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:  

QUESTION:  
---------  
Languages spoken by interviewer other than English.  

VALID CODES:  
-----------  
0. No other languages  
1. Spanish  
7. Other  

MISSING CODES:  
------------  
9. NA  

TYPE:  
-----  
Numeric Dec 0  

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:  

QUESTION:  
---------  
Interviewer years of experience.  

VALID CODES:  
-----------  
00. Less than one year  
01. One year of experience  

21. Twenty one years of experience

MISSING CODES:
---------------
99. NA

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M044108  PostIwr.8. Interviewer Age (Bracketed)

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Interviewer age (bracketed).

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. 18-34 years
2. 35-40 years
3. 41-45 years
4. 46-50 years
5. 51-55 years
6. 56-60 years
7. 51-64 years
8. 65-79 years

MISSING CODES:
---------------
9. NA

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M044201  PostErr.1. Error Flag For Diplomacy/Military Force Items

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Error flag for the diplomacy/military force scales.

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. Before probe correction
2. After probe correction

NOTE:
-----
During the field period, for the diplomacy /military force scales Q144-Q147 an error was discovered in the probe,
text, which had appeared as follows prior to the discovery:
(As a reminder, 1 means the U.S. should solve with
diplomacy and international pressure, 7 means the U.S.
must be ready to use international force, and of
course you can choose points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 in between.)
instead of [correct version]:
(As a reminder, 1 means the U.S. should solve with
diplomacy and international pressure, 7 means the U.S.
must be ready to use military force, and of course
you can choose points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 in between.)
This error was corrected immediately upon discovery but
only after approximately half of the interviews were
already completed. Since the probe as it appeared onscreen
was specifically flagged as optional text, it is unknown
precisely when the incorrect version was actually read
aloud prior to the error discovery. However, PostErr.1
identifies cases that were administered prior to the date
when the instrument was revised.
Cases are coded 1 if the respondent was asked the
diplomacy/force interventionism series before the probe fix
was implemented, indicating that the question may have
been administered with the incorrect probe text read to
the respondent. Cases coded 2 were administered with
the correct probe text appearing onscreen.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

========================================================================
M044401  PostRand.1a. Pos Kerry - Polit Figs Thermometers
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of John Kerry in thermometers for political figures.

VALID CODES:
------------
01. Kerry administered 1st
02. Kerry administered 2nd
03. Kerry administered 3rd
04. Kerry administered 4th
05. Kerry administered 5th
06. Kerry administered 6th
07. Kerry administered 7th
08. Kerry administered 8th
09. Kerry administered 9th
10. Kerry administered 10th
11. Kerry administered 11th

NOTES:
------
The Q16-Q27 series of political figure thermometers
was administered in random order after George W. Bush
(fixed as the first thermometer). This variable
identifies the thermometer for Kerry (Q17) as administered as the nth thermometer in the randomized portion of the series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of Dick Cheney in thermometers for political figures.

VALID CODES:
------------
01. Cheney administered 1st
02. Cheney administered 2nd
03. Cheney administered 3rd
04. Cheney administered 4th
05. Cheney administered 5th
06. Cheney administered 6th
07. Cheney administered 7th
08. Cheney administered 8th
09. Cheney administered 9th
10. Cheney administered 10th
11. Cheney administered 11th

NOTES:
------
The Q16-Q27 series of political figure thermometers was administered in random order after George W. Bush (fixed as the first thermometer). This variable identifies the thermometer for Cheney (Q18) as administered as the nth thermometer in the randomized portion of the series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of Al Gore in thermometers for political figures.

VALID CODES:
------------
01. Gore administered 1st
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02. Gore administered 2nd
03. Gore administered 3rd
04. Gore administered 4th
05. Gore administered 5th
06. Gore administered 6th
07. Gore administered 7th
08. Gore administered 8th
09. Gore administered 9th
10. Gore administered 10th
11. Gore administered 11th

NOTES:
-------
The Q16-Q27 series of political figure thermometers was administered in random order after George W. Bush (fixed as the first thermometer). This variable identifies the thermometer for Gore (Q19) as administered as the nth thermometer in the randomized portion of the series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M044404 PostRand.1d. Pos Edwards - Polit Figs Thermometers

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of John Edwards in thermometers for political figures.

VALID CODES:
-------------
01. Edwards administered 1st
02. Edwards administered 2nd
03. Edwards administered 3rd
04. Edwards administered 4th
05. Edwards administered 5th
06. Edwards administered 6th
07. Edwards administered 7th
08. Edwards administered 8th
09. Edwards administered 9th
10. Edwards administered 10th
11. Edwards administered 11th

NOTES:
-------
The Q16-Q27 series of political figure thermometers was administered in random order after George W. Bush (fixed as the first thermometer). This variable identifies the thermometer for Edwards (Q20) as administered as the nth thermometer in the randomized portion of the series.

TYPE:
-----
POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of Ralph Nader in thermometers for political figures.

VALID CODES:
------------
01. Nader administered 1st
02. Nader administered 2nd
03. Nader administered 3rd
04. Nader administered 4th
05. Nader administered 5th
06. Nader administered 6th
07. Nader administered 7th
08. Nader administered 8th
09. Nader administered 9th
10. Nader administered 10th
11. Nader administered 11th

NOTES:
------
The Q16-Q27 series of political figure thermometers was administered in random order after George W. Bush (fixed as the first thermometer). This variable identifies the thermometer for Nader (Q21) as administered as the nth thermometer in the randomized portion of the series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of Bill Clinton in thermometers for political figures.

VALID CODES:
------------
01. Clinton administered 1st
02. Clinton administered 2nd
03. Clinton administered 3rd
04. Clinton administered 4th
05. Clinton administered 5th
06. Clinton administered 6th
07. Clinton administered 7th
08. Clinton administered 8th
09. Clinton administered 9th
10. Clinton administered 10th
11. Clinton administered 11th

NOTES:
------
The Q16-Q27 series of political figure thermometers was administered in random order after George W. Bush (fixed as the first thermometer). This variable identifies the thermometer for Clinton (Q22) as administered as the nth thermometer in the randomized portion of the series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

========================================================================
M044407   PostRand.1g. Pos Powell - Polit Figs Thermometers
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of Colin Powell in thermometers for political figures.

VALID CODES:
------------
01. Powell administered 1st
02. Powell administered 2nd
03. Powell administered 3rd
04. Powell administered 4th
05. Powell administered 5th
06. Powell administered 6th
07. Powell administered 7th
08. Powell administered 8th
09. Powell administered 9th
10. Powell administered 10th
11. Powell administered 11th

NOTES:
------
The Q16-Q27 series of political figure thermometers was administered in random order after George W. Bush (fixed as the first thermometer). This variable identifies the thermometer for Powell (Q23) as administered as the nth thermometer in the randomized portion of the series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

========================================================================
M044408   PostRand.1h. Pos Ashcroft - Polit Figs Thermometers
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:   Page 1230
QUESTION:  
---------
Order of John Ashcroft in thermometers for political figures.

VALID CODES:  
----------
01. Ashcroft administered 1st  
02. Ashcroft administered 2nd  
03. Ashcroft administered 3rd  
04. Ashcroft administered 4th  
05. Ashcroft administered 5th  
06. Ashcroft administered 6th  
07. Ashcroft administered 7th  
08. Ashcroft administered 8th  
09. Ashcroft administered 9th  
10. Ashcroft administered 10th  
11. Ashcroft administered 11th  

NOTES:  
------
The Q16-Q27 series of political figure thermometers was administered in random order after George W. Bush (fixed as the first thermometer). This variable identifies the thermometer for Ashcroft (Q24) as administered as the nth thermometer in the randomized portion of the series.

TYPE:  
-----
Numeric Dec 0

========================================================================
M044409     PostRand.1j. Pos Jackson - Polit Figs Thermometers
========================================================================
was administered in random order after George W. Bush (fixed as the first thermometer). This variable identifies the thermometer for Jackson (Q25) as administered as the nth thermometer in the randomized portion of the series.

**TYPE:**

-----

Numeric Dec 0

-----------------------------

M044410  PostRand.1k. Pos Laura Bush - Polit Figs Thermometers

-----------------------------

**POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:**

**QUESTION:**

----------

Order of Laura Bush in thermometers for political figures.

**VALID CODES:**

--------

01. Laura Bush administered 1st
02. Laura Bush administered 2nd
03. Laura Bush administered 3rd
04. Laura Bush administered 4th
05. Laura Bush administered 5th
06. Laura Bush administered 6th
07. Laura Bush administered 7th
08. Laura Bush administered 8th
09. Laura Bush administered 9th
10. Laura Bush administered 10th
11. Laura Bush administered 11th

**NOTES:**

------

The Q16-Q27 series of political figure thermometers was administered in random order after George W. Bush (fixed as the first thermometer). This variable identifies the thermometer for Laura Bush (Q26) as administered as the nth thermometer in the randomized portion of the series.

**TYPE:**

-----

Numeric Dec 0

-----------------------------

M044411  PostRand.1m. Pos Hillary Clinton - Polit Figs Thermometers

-----------------------------

**POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:**

**QUESTION:**

--------

Order of Hillary Clinton in thermometers for political figures.

**VALID CODES:**

--------
01. Hillary Clinton administered 1st
02. Hillary Clinton administered 2nd
03. Hillary Clinton administered 3rd
04. Hillary Clinton administered 4th
05. Hillary Clinton administered 5th
06. Hillary Clinton administered 6th
07. Hillary Clinton administered 7th
08. Hillary Clinton administered 8th
09. Hillary Clinton administered 9th
10. Hillary Clinton administered 10th
11. Hillary Clinton administered 11th

NOTES:
------
The Q16-Q27 series of political figure thermometers was administered in random order after George W. Bush (fixed as the first thermometer). This variable identifies the thermometer for Hillary Clinton (Q27) as administered as the nth thermometer in the randomized portion of the series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M044412 PostRand.2a. Pos Supreme Crt - Group Therms

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of the Supreme Court in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:
------------
01 - 26

NOTES:
------
The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for the Supreme Court (Q28) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M044413 PostRand.2b. Pos Congress - Group Therms

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of Congress in the group thermometers.
VALID CODES:
------------
01 - 26

NOTES:
------
The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for Congress (Q29) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

---------------------------------------------------------------
M044414 PostRand.2c. Pos Military - Group Therms
---------------------------------------------------------------

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of the military in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:
------------
01 - 26

NOTES:
------
The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for the military (Q30) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

---------------------------------------------------------------
M044415 PostRand.2d. Pos Federal Govt - Group Therms
---------------------------------------------------------------

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of the federal government in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:
------------
01 - 26

NOTES:
------
The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer
for the federal government (Q31) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

**TYPE:**
-----
Numeric Dec 0

-----

**M044416 PostRand.2e. Pos Blacks - Group Therms**

**POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:**

**QUESTION:**
-------
Order of Blacks in the group thermometers.

**VALID CODES:**
---------
01 - 26

**NOTES:**
------
The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for blacks (Q32) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

**TYPE:**
-----
Numeric Dec 0

****

**M044417 PostRand.2f. Pos Whites - Group Therms**

**POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:**

**QUESTION:**
-------
Order of Whites in the group thermometers.

**VALID CODES:**
---------
01 - 26

**NOTES:**
------
The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for whites (Q33) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

**TYPE:**
-----
Numeric Dec 0

****

**M044418 PostRand.2g. Pos Conservatives - Group Therms**
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POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of conservatives in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:
------------
01 - 26

NOTES:
------
The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for conservatives (Q34) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M044419  PostRand.2h. Pos Liberals - Group Therms

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of liberals in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:
------------
01 - 26

NOTES:
------
The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for liberals (Q35) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M044420  PostRand.2j. Pos Unions - Group Thems

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of unions in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:
The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for unions (Q36) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for big business (Q37) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for poor people (Q38) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0
M044423 PostRand.2n. Pos People On Welfare - Group Therms

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of people on welfare in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:
------------
01 - 26

NOTES:
------
The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for people on Welfare (Q39) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M044424 PostRand.2p. Pos Hispanics - Group Therms

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of Hispanics in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:
------------
01 - 26

NOTES:
------
The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for Hispanics (Q40) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M044425 PostRand.2g. Pos Christian Fundam - Group Therms

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:
QUESTION:
---------
Order of Christian fundamentalists in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:
------------
01 - 26

NOTES:
------
The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for Christian fundamentalists (Q41) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of the elderly in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:
------------
01 - 26

NOTES:
------
The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for the elderly (Q42) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0
c Dec 0

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of environmentalists in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:
The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for environmentalists (Q43) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

**TYPE:**
------
Numeric Dec 0

---

**M044428 PostRand.2t. Pos Homosexuals - Group Therm**

---

**POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:**

**QUESTION:**
---------
Order of homosexuals in the group thermometers.

**VALID CODES:**
------------
01 - 26

**NOTES:**
------
The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for homosexuals (Q44) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

**TYPE:**
-----
Numeric Dec 0

---

**M044429 PostRand.2u. Pos Catholics - Group Therm**

---

**POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:**

**QUESTION:**
---------
Order of Catholics in the group thermometers.

**VALID CODES:**
------------
01 - 26

**NOTES:**
------
The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for Catholics (Q45) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.
POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of Jews in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:
------------
01 - 26

NOTES:
-----
The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for Jews (Q46) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of Protestants in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:
------------
01 - 26

NOTES:
-----
The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for Protestants (Q47) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:
QUESTION:  
----------
Order of feminists in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:  
----------
01 - 26

NOTES:  
------
The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for feminists (Q48) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:  
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

========================================================================
M044433    PostRand.2z. Pos Asian-Americans - Group Therms
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:  
----------
Order of Asian-Americans in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:  
----------
01 - 26

NOTES:  
------
The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for Asian-Americans (Q49) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:  
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

========================================================================
M044434    PostRand.2za. Pos News Media - Group Therms
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:  
----------
Order of the news media in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:  
----------
01 - 26
NOTES:
------
The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for the news media (Q50) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

===============================================
M044435  PostRand.2zb. Pos Catholic Church - Group Therms
===============================================

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of the Catholic Church in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:
------------
01 - 26

NOTES:
------
The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for the Catholic Church (Q51) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

===============================================
M044436  PostRand.2zc. Men - Group Therms
===============================================

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of men in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:
------------
01 - 26

NOTES:
------
The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for men (Q52) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.
POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of women in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:
------------
01 - 26

NOTES:
------
The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for women (Q53) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of AIDS research in the federal spending series.

VALID CODES:
------------
01 - 13

NOTES:
------
The Q93-Q114 series of federal spending items was administered in random order after environmental protection (fixed as the first spending item). This variable identifies AIDS research (Q81) as administered as the nth item in the randomized portion of the federal spending series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of welfare in the group thermometers.

VALID CODES:
------------
01 - 26

NOTES:
------
The Q28-Q53 series of group thermometers was administered in random order. This variable identifies the thermometer for women (Q53) as administered as the nth thermometer in the series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of AIDS research in the federal spending series.

VALID CODES:
------------
01 - 13

NOTES:
------
The Q93-Q114 series of federal spending items was administered in random order after environmental protection (fixed as the first spending item). This variable identifies AIDS research (Q81) as administered as the nth item in the randomized portion of the federal spending series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0
POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of welfare in the federal spending series.

VALID CODES:
------------
01 - 13

NOTES:
------
The Q93-Q114 series of federal spending items was administered in random order after environmental protection (fixed as the first spending item). This variable identifies welfare (Q82) as administered as the nth item in the randomized portion of the federal spending series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

------------------------------------------------------------------------
M044440  PostRand.3c. Pos Public/Big-City Schools - Fed Spending
------------------------------------------------------------------------

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of public schools/big-city schools in the federal spending series.

VALID CODES:
------------
01 - 13

NOTES:
------
The Q93-Q114 series of federal spending items was administered in random order after environmental protection (fixed as the first spending item). This variable identifies public schools/big-city schools as administered as the nth item in the randomized portion of the federal spending series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

------------------------------------------------------------------------
M044441  PostRand.3d. Pos Crime - Fed Spending
------------------------------------------------------------------------

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:
Order of crime in the federal spending series.

VALID CODES:
----------
01 - 13

NOTES:
------
The Q93-Q114 series of federal spending items was administered in random order after environmental protection (fixed as the first spending item). This variable identifies crime (Q99) as administered as the nth item in the randomized portion of the federal spending series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of child care in the federal spending series.

VALID CODES:
----------
01 - 13

NOTES:
------
The Q93-Q114 series of federal spending items was administered in random order after environmental protection (fixed as the first spending item). This variable identifies child care (Q100) as administered as the nth item in the randomized portion of the federal spending series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of homeland security/war on terrorism in the federal spending series.

VALID CODES:
NOTES: 
-----
The Q93-Q114 series of federal spending items was administered in random order after environmental protection (fixed as the first spending item). This variable identifies homeland security/war on terrorism as administered as the nth item in the randomized portion of the federal spending series.

TYPE: 
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION: 
----------
Order of poor people/working poor in the federal spending series.

VALID CODES: 
------------
01 - 13

NOTES: 
-----
The Q93-Q114 series of federal spending items was administered in random order after environmental protection (fixed as the first spending item). This variable identifies poor/working poor as administered as the nth item in the randomized portion of the federal spending series.

TYPE: 
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION: 
----------
Order of foreign aid in the federal spending series.

VALID CODES: 
------------
01 - 13

NOTES: 
------
The Q93-Q114 series of federal spending items was administered in random order after environmental protection (fixed as the first spending item). This variable identifies foreign aid (Q107) as administered as the nth item in the randomized portion of the federal spending series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

The Q93-Q114 series of federal spending items was administered in random order after environmental protection (fixed as the first spending item). This variable identifies Social Security (Q108) as administered as the nth item in the randomized portion of the federal spending series.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

The Q93-Q114 series of federal spending items was administered in random order after environmental protection (fixed as the first spending item). This variable identifies border security (Q109) as administered as the nth item in the randomized portion of the federal spending series.
administered as the nth item in the randomized portion of the federal spending series.

**TYPE:**
-----
Numeric Dec 0

PORT-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

**QUESTION:**
---------
Order of aid to Blacks in the federal spending series.

**VALID CODES:**
----------
01 - 13

**NOTES:**
------
The Q93-Q114 series of federal spending items was administered in random order after environmental protection (fixed as the first spending item). This variable identifies aid to Blacks (Q110) as administered as the nth item in the randomized portion of the federal spending series.

**TYPE:**
-----
Numeric Dec 0

PORT-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

**QUESTION:**
---------
Order of preschool and early education for poor children/pre-school and early education for Black children in the federal spending series.

**VALID CODES:**
----------
01 - 13

**NOTES:**
------
The Q93-Q114 series of federal spending items was administered in random order after environmental protection (fixed as the first spending item). This variable identifies preschool and early education for poor children/pre-school and early education for Black children as administered as the nth item in the randomized portion of the federal spending series.
series.

QUESTION: 
--------
Order of defense in the federal spending series.

VALID CODES: 
----------
01 - 13

NOTES: 
-----
The Q93-Q114 series of federal spending items was administered in random order after environmental protection (fixed as the first spending item). This variable identifies defense (Q114) as administered as the nth item in the randomized portion of the federal spending series.

QUESTION: 
--------
Random administration in federal spending series: public schools or big-city schools.

VALID CODES: 
----------
01. Federal spending on public schools
02. Federal spending on big-city schools

NOTE: 
-----
For the federal spending item on school spending, respondents were randomly administered one of two possible wordings: public schools or big-city schools (Q96,Q97)
M044452     PostRand.5. Homeland Sec/War On Terrorism Wording

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
--------
Random administration in federal spending series:
homeland security or war on terrorism.

VALID CODES:
------------
01. Federal spending on homeland security
02. Federal spending on the war on terrorism

NOTE:
-----
For the federal spending item related to national
security, respondents were randomly administered one
of two possible wordings: homeland security or war
on terrorism (Q102,Q103)

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

M044453     PostRand.6. Poor People/Working Poor Wording

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
--------
Random administration in federal spending series:
poor people or working poor.

VALID CODES:
------------
01. Federal spending on poor people
02. Federal spending on the working poor

NOTE:
-----
For the federal spending item on aid to the poor,
respondents were randomly administered one of two
possible wordings: poor people or the working poor
(Q104,Q105)

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

M044454     PostRand.7. Early Ed For Poor/Black Children Wording
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POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Random administration in federal spending series:
poor children or black children.

VALID CODES:
-----------
01. Federal spending on preschool and early education for poor children
02. Federal spending on preschool and early education for black children

NOTE:
-----
For the federal spending item on preschool and early
education, respondents were randomly administered
one of two possible wordings: poor children or black
children (Q111,Q112)

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M044455 PostRand.8a. Pos Provides Strong Leadership Trait

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:
IF R ADMINISTERED 1ST SET OF GW BUSH TRAITS:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of "provides strong leadership" in 1st set of
GW Bush traits.

VALID CODES:
-----------
01. Provides strong leadership 1st in set 1 of GW Bush traits
02. Provides strong leadership 2nd in set 1 of GW Bush traits
03. Provides strong leadership 3rd in set 1 of GW Bush traits
04. Provides strong leadership 4th in set 1 of GW Bush traits

MISSING CODES:
------------
INAP. R selected for 2nd set of traits

NOTES:
-----
Respondents were assigned the same set of 4 traits
for George W. Bush as in 2002, with the order of
traits randomized. This is the order of the
leadership trait (Q137a/Q137) in the 1st set of
traits.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0
POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:
IF R ADMINISTERED 1ST SET OF GW BUSH TRAITS:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of "moral" in 1st set of GW Bush traits.

VALID CODES:
------------
01. Moral 1st in set 1 of GW Bush traits
02. Moral 2nd in set 1 of GW Bush traits
03. Moral 3rd GW set 1 of GW Bush traits
04. Moral 4th GW set 1 of GW Bush traits

MISSING CODES:
---------------
INAP. R selected for 2nd set of traits

NOTES:
-----
Respondents were assigned the same set of 4 traits for George W. Bush as in 2002, with the order of traits randomized. This is the order of the moral trait (Q138) in the 1st set of traits.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:
IF R ADMINISTERED 1ST SET OF GW BUSH TRAITS:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of "out of touch" in 1st set of GW Bush traits.

VALID CODES:
------------
01. Out of touch 1st in set 1 of GW Bush traits
02. Out of touch 2nd in set 1 of GW Bush traits
03. Out of touch 3rd in set 1 of GW Bush traits
04. Out of touch 4th in set 1 of GW Bush traits

MISSING CODES:
---------------
INAP. R selected for 2nd set of traits

NOTES:
-----
Respondents were assigned the same set of 4 traits for George W. Bush as in 2002, with the order of traits randomized. This is the order of the out of touch trait.
touch trait (Q139) in the 1st set of traits.

TYPE:  
-----  
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:  
IF R ADMINISTERED 1ST SET OF GW BUSH TRAITS:  

QUESTION:  
---------  
Order of "knowledgeable" in 1st set of GW Bush traits.

VALID CODES:  
------------  
01. Knowledgeable 1st in set 1 of GW Bush traits  
02. Knowledgeable 2nd in set 1 of GW Bush traits  
03. Knowledgeable 3rd in set 1 of GW Bush traits  
04. Knowledgeable 4th in set 1 of GW Bush traits  

MISSING CODES:  
---------------  
INAP. R selected for 2nd set of traits

NOTES:  
-----  
Respondents were assigned the same set of 4 traits  
for George W. Bush as in 2002, with the order of  
traits randomized. This is the order of the  
knowledgeable trait (Q140) in the 1st set of traits.

TYPE:  
-----  
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:  
IF R ADMINISTERED 2ND SET OF GW BUSH TRAITS:  

QUESTION:  
---------  
Order of "provides strong leadership" in 2nd set of  
GW Bush traits.

VALID CODES:  
------------  
01. Provides strong leadership 1st in set 2 of GW Bush traits  
02. Provides strong leadership 2nd in set 2 of GW Bush traits  
03. Provides strong leadership 3rd in set 2 of GW Bush traits  
04. Provides strong leadership 4th in set 2 of GW Bush traits
MISSING CODES:
-------------
INAP. R selected for 1st set of traits

NOTES:
-----
Respondents were assigned the same set of 4 traits for George W. Bush as in 2002, with the order of traits randomized. This is the order of the leadership trait (Q137b/Q137) in the 2nd set of traits.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

------------------------------------------------------------------------
M044460 PostRand.9b. Pos Really Cares Trait
------------------------------------------------------------------------

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:
IF R ADMINISTERED 2ND SET OF GW BUSH TRAITS:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of "really cares" in 2nd set of GW Bush traits.

VALID CODES:
-------------
01. Really cares 1st in set 2 of GW Bush traits
02. Really cares 2nd in set 2 of GW Bush traits
03. Really cares 3rd in set 2 of GW Bush traits
04. Really cares 4th in set 2 of GW Bush traits

MISSING CODES:
-------------
INAP. R selected for 1st set of traits

NOTES:
-----
Respondents were assigned the same set of 4 traits for George W. Bush as in 2002, with the order of traits randomized. This is the order of the really cares trait (Q141) in the 2nd set of traits.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

------------------------------------------------------------------------
M044461 PostRand.9c. Pos Dishonest Trait
------------------------------------------------------------------------

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:
IF R ADMINISTERED 2ND SET OF GW BUSH TRAITS:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of "dishonest" in 2nd set of GW Bush traits.
VALID CODES:
-----------
01. Dishonest 1st in set 2 of GW Bush traits
02. Dishonest 2nd in set 2 of GW Bush traits
03. Dishonest 3rd in set 2 of GW Bush traits
04. Dishonest 4th in set 2 of GW Bush traits

MISSING CODES:
--------------
INAP. R selected for 1st set of traits

NOTES:
-----
Respondents were assigned the same set of 4 traits for George W. Bush as in 2002, with the order of traits randomized. This is the order of the really cares trait (Q142) in the 2nd set of traits.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

-------------------------------
M044462  PostRand.9d. Pos Intelligent Trait
-------------------------------

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

IF R ADMINISTERED 2ND SET OF GW BUSH TRAITS:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of "intelligent" in 2nd set of GW Bush traits.

VALID CODES:
-----------
01. Intelligent 1st in set 2 of GW Bush traits
02. Intelligent 2nd in set 2 of GW Bush traits
03. Intelligent 3rd in set 2 of GW Bush traits
04. Intelligent 4th in set 2 of GW Bush traits

MISSING CODES:
--------------
INAP. R selected for 1st set of traits

NOTES:
-----
Respondents were assigned the same set of 4 traits for George W. Bush as in 2002, with the order of traits randomized. This is the order of the intelligent trait (Q143) in the 2nd set of traits.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

-------------------------------
M044463  PostRand.10. Order Party Plcmnt Diplomacy Scale
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POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
-------
Order of Democratic Party and Republican Party in the diplomacy/military scale items.

VALID CODES:
-----------
01. Diplomacy scale placement -Democratic party 1st, Republican party 2nd
02. Diplomacy scale placement -Republican party 1st, Democratic party 2nd

NOTES:
------
Respondents were randomly assigned one of two possible orders for placements of the Democratic party and Republican party on the diplomacy/military scale (Q146,Q147): Democratic party first and Republican party second, or vice-versa.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
-------
Order of rich and poor in the pay taxes series.

VALID CODES:
-----------
01. Taxes paid by the rich 1st, taxes paid by the poor 2nd
02. Taxes paid by the poor 1st, taxes paid by the rich 2nd

NOTE:
-----
Respondents were randomly assigned one of two possible orders for placement of questions on the payment of taxes by the rich/poor (Q168,Q169): rich first and poor second, or vice-versa.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0
Order of Democratic Party and Republican Party in the government services items.

VALID CODES:
--------------
01. Government services -Democratic party 1st, Republican party 2nd
02. Government services -Republican party 1st, Democratic party 2nd

NOTES:
------
Respondents were randomly assigned one of two possible orders for placements of Democratic party and Republican party positions on the government spending scale (Q183, Q184): Democratic party first and Republican party second, or vice-versa.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:
IF R WAS ADMINISTERED THE AFFIRMATIVE ACTION SERIES:

QUESTION:
----------
Order of Democratic Party and Republican Party in the affirmative action series.

VALID CODES:
--------------
01. Affirmative action -Democratic party 1st, Republican party 2nd
02. Affirmative action -Republican party 1st, Democratic party 2nd

MISSING CODES:
-----------------
INAP. R administered series on equal pay for women

NOTES:
------
Respondents were randomly assigned one of two possible orders for placements of Democratic party and Republican party positions on affirmative action (Q190, Q192): Democratic party first and Republican party second, or vice-versa. [Respondents were assigned either to the affirmative action series or the equal pay for women series according to which series had been previously administered to them, in 2002]

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0
POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

IF R WAS ADMINISTERED THE EQUAL PAY FOR WOMEN SERIES:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of Democratic Party and Republican Party in the equal pay for women series.

VALID CODES:
-------------
01. Equal pay for women-Democratic party 1st, Republican party 2nd
02. Equal pay for women-Republican party 1st, Democratic party 2nd

MISSING CODES:
---------------
INAP. R administered series on Affirmative Action

NOTE:
-----
Respondents were randomly assigned one of two possible orders for placements of Democratic party and Republican party positions on equal pay for women (Q197,Q198): Democratic party first and Republican party second, or vice-versa. [Respondents were assigned either to the affirmative action series or the equal pay for women series according to which series had been previously administered to them, in 2002]

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Order of three items in the working women series.

VALID CODES:
-------------
01. Order Q213, Q214, Q215
02. Order Q215, Q213, Q214

NOTE:
-----
Respondents were randomly administered to one of two possible orders for questions in the working women series: either Q213,Q214,Q215 or else Q215,Q213,Q214.

TYPE:
-----
POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
2004 House Type Race.

VALID CODES:
-----------

INCUMBENT RUNNING
12  Democratic incumbent running - Republican challenger
13  Democratic incumbent running - other challenger
14  Democratic incumbent running - unopposed
19  Democratic incumbent running - Repub and other challengers
21  Republican incumbent running - Democratic challenger
23  Republican incumbent running - other challenger
24  Republican incumbent running - unopposed
29  Republican incumbent running - Dem and other challengers
31  Other incumbent running - Democratic challenger
32  Other incumbent running - Republican challenger
34  Other incumbent running - unopposed
35  Other incumbent running - Democratic and Republican challengers
36  Other incumbent running -- Democratic and Republican challengers
37  Other incumbent running -- Democratic and other challengers
39  Other incumbent running -- Democratic, Republican, other challengers

SPECIAL HOUSE TYPE RACE DUE TO REDISTRICTING
40  Democratic and Republican incumbents running - no other candidate
41  2 Democratic incumbents running - no other candidate
42  2 Republican incumbents running - no other candidate
35  Democratic non-incumbent only - no retiree/unclear who is retiree
43  Republican non-incumbent only - no retiree/unclear who is retiree
44  Democratic and Republican cands - no retiree/unclear who is retiree
45  Democratic and other cands - no retiree/unclear who is retiree
46  Republican and other cands - no retiree/unclear who is retiree
47  Democratic, Republican, other cands - no retiree/unclear who is retiree

NO INCUMBENT RUNNING:
51  Dem incumbent not running -- Democratic candidate unopposed
52  Dem incumbent not running -- Republican candidate unopposed
53  Dem incumbent not running -- other candidate unopposed
55  Dem incumbent not running -- Democratic and Republican cands
56  Dem incumbent not running -- Republican and other cands
57  Dem incumbent not running -- Democratic and other cands
59  Dem incumbent not running -- Democratic, Republican, other cands
61  Rep incumbent not running -- Democratic, Republican, other cands
62  Rep incumbent not running -- Democratic candidate unopposed
63  Rep incumbent not running -- other candidate unopposed
65  Rep incumbent not running -- Democratic and Republican cands
66  Rep incumbent not running -- Republican and other cands
67  Rep incumbent not running -- Democratic and other cands
69  Rep incumbent not running -- Democratic, Republican, other cands
71  Other incumbent not running -- Democratic candidate unopposed
72  Other incumbent not running -- Republican candidate unopposed
73  Other incumbent not running -- other candidate unopposed
### Notes on House Incumbent Running

House incumbents have been regarded as candidates (already) in office and representing a district -- where district is regarded as a geographic unit -- at the time of the 2004 election. The extent of the Texas redistricting prior to the 2004 election produced House races with incumbents running in areas where he or she had provided little or no prior representation, thus no Texas candidate has been identified as a true incumbent for the new Texas districts in effect for the 2004 election (House type race 44-49).

In Louisiana (House type race 91-94), the runoff system allows multiple candidates from a single party to run in the November general election.

### Question

**POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:**

**QUESTION:**

2004 Senate Type Race.

### Valid Codes

**INCUMBENT RUNNING**

12 Democratic incumbent running - Republican challenger  
13 Democratic incumbent running - other challenger  
14 Democratic incumbent running - unopposed  
19 Democratic incumbent running - Repub and other challengers  
21 Republican incumbent running - Democratic challenger  
23 Republican incumbent running - other challenger  
24 Republican incumbent running - unopposed  
29 Republican incumbent running - Dem and other challengers  
31 Other incumbent running - Democratic challenger  
32 Other incumbent running - Republican challenger  
34 Other incumbent running - unopposed  
35 Other incumbent running - Democratic and Republican challengers  
36 Other incumbent running -- Republican and other challengers
Other incumbent running -- Democratic and other challengers

Other incumbent running -- Democratic, Republican, other challengers

NO INCUMBENT RUNNING:

Dem incumbent not running -- Democratic candidate unopposed
Dem incumbent not running -- Republican candidate unopposed
Dem incumbent not running -- other candidate unopposed
Dem incumbent not running -- Democratic and Republican cands
Dem incumbent not running -- Republican and other cands
Dem incumbent not running -- Democratic and other cands
Dem incumbent not running -- Democratic, Republican, other cands
Rep incumbent not running -- Democratic candidate unopposed
Rep incumbent not running -- Republican candidate unopposed
Rep incumbent not running -- other candidate unopposed
Rep incumbent not running -- Democratic and Republican cands
Rep incumbent not running -- Republican and other cands
Rep incumbent not running -- Democratic and other cands
Rep incumbent not running -- Democratic, Republican, other cands
Other incumbent not running -- Democratic candidate unopposed
Other incumbent not running -- Republican candidate unopposed
Other incumbent not running -- other candidate unopposed
Other incumbent not running -- Democratic and Republican cands
Other incumbent not running -- Republican and other cands
Other incumbent not running -- Democratic and other cands
Other incumbent not running -- Democratic, Republican, other cands

NO RACE IN STATE

Democratic incumbents, no race in state
Republican incumbents, no race in state
Democratic and Republican incumbents, no race in state
Democratic and other incumbent, no race in state
Republican and other incumbent, no race in state

MISSING CODES:

00 Washington D.C. (no House or Senate race)

NOTE:

The Louisiana runoff system allows multiple candidates from a single party to run in the House November general election.

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:


VALID CODES:

0. Same address 2000 and 2002
1. Different address 2000 and 2002
MISSING CODES:  
-----------------
9. NA

NOTE:  
-----
Based on address information. 2002 address was address where respondent was located at the beginning of the 2002 field period; in several cases, this was a 2nd residence in another state. Code 9 corresponds to cases where physical address was insufficient (for example, post office box within the same state in one or both years).

TYPE:  
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:  
---------

VALID CODES:  
--------------
0. Same address 2002 and 2004
1. Different address 2002 and 2004

MISSING CODES:
--------------
9. NA

NOTE:  
-----
Based on address information. 2002 address was address where respondent was located at the beginning of the 2002 field period; in several cases, this was a 2nd residence in another state. Code 9 corresponds to cases where physical address was insufficient (for example, post office box within the same state in one or both years).

TYPE:  
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:
QUESTION:  
---------  

VALID CODES:  
-------------  
0. Same address 2000 and 2004  
1. Different address 2000 and 2004  

MISSING CODES:  
------------------  
9. NA  

NOTE:  
-----  
Based on address information. Code 1 includes 3 cases where R clearly moved between 2000 and 2004 but year of move is unknown. Code 9 corresponds to cases where physical address was insufficient (for example, post office box within the same state in one or both years).

TYPE:  
-----  
Numeric Dec 0  

------------------------------------------------------------------------  
M044504a  PostCand.4a. 2000-2002 Change In District Number  
------------------------------------------------------------------------  

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:  

QUESTION:  
---------  
Panel respondent change in Congressional district number 2000-2002.

VALID CODES:  
-------------  
0. Same state and district number in 2000 and 2002  
1. Different state/district number in 2000 and 2002  

MISSING CODES:  
------------------  
9. NA (error in district assignment in 2000 or 2002)  

NOTE:  
-----  
Due to redistricting effected just prior to the 2002 general election, code 1 may include cases where the change in district was not due to change in address. (For code 0, it is also possible --though less likely-- that, due to redistricting, the respondent moved to another district but redistricting renumbered to the same number as in 2000.)  

TYPE:  

M044504b  PostCand.4b. 2002-2004 Change In District Number
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------
Panel respondent change in Congressional district number 2002-2004.

VALID CODES:
------------
0. Same state and district number in 2002 and 2004
1. Different state/district number in 2002 and 2004

MISSING CODES:
--------------
9. NA (error in district assignment in 2002)

NOTE:
-----
Due to Texas redistricting effected just prior to the 2004 general election, code 1 may include Texas cases where the change in district was not due to change in address. (For code 0, it is also possible --though less likely-- that, due to redistricting, the respondent moved to another Texas district but redistricting renumbered to the same number as in 2002.)

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

========================================================================
M044504c  PostCand.4c. 2000-2004 Change In District Number
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------

VALID CODES:
------------
0. Same state and district number in 2000 and 2004
1. Different state/district number in 2000 and 2004

MISSING CODES:
--------------
9. NA (error in district assignment in 2000)

NOTE:
-----
Due to redistricting effected just prior to the 2002
general election, and Texas redistricting effected just prior to the 2004 general election, code 1 may include cases where the change in district was not due to change in address. (For code 0, it is also possible --though less likely-- that, due to redistricting, the respondent moved to another district but redistricting renumbered to the same number as in 2000.)

**TYPE:**
-----
**Numeric Dec 0**

========================================================================
M044505a  PostCand.5a. 2000-2002 District Status
========================================================================

**POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:**

**QUESTION:**
---------

**VALID CODES:**
------------
SAME DISTRICT
0. Move not known; same district assignment
1. R did not move 2000-2002, no change in district
2. R moved 2000-2002 but same district
DIFFERENT DISTRICT
3. R moved 2000-2002 to different district
4. R did not move 2000-2002 but different district (redistricting)
5. Move not known; different district assignment in 2002

**MISSING CODES:**
--------------
9. NA (includes 9 in PostCand.4a)

**NOTE:**
-----
Based on move status and district assignment.
Codes 0 and 5 include cases where address detail not sufficiently to identify as same or different address, though district known.

**TYPE:**
-----
**Numeric Dec 0**

========================================================================
M044505b  PostCand.5b. 2002-2004 District Status
========================================================================

**POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:**

**QUESTION:**
---------
Panel respondent change in Congressional district 2002-2004.
VALID CODES:
----------
SAME DISTRICT
0. Move not known; same district assignment
1. R did not move 2002-2004, no change in district
2. R moved 2002-2004 but same district
DIFFERENT DISTRICT
3. R moved 2002-2004 to different district
4. R did not move 2002-2004 but different district (redistricting)
5. Move not known; different district assignment in 2004

MISSING CODES:
--------------
9. NA (includes 9 in PostCand.4b)

NOTE:
-----
Based on move status and district assignment
Codes 0 and 5 include cases where address detail
not sufficiently to identify as same or different
address, though district known.

TYPE:
------
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------

VALID CODES:
----------
SAME DISTRICT
0. Move not known; same district assignment
1. R did not move 2000-2004, no change in district
2. R moved 2000-2004 but same district
DIFFERENT DISTRICT
3. R moved 2000-2004 to different district
4. R did not move 2000-2004 but different district (redistricting)
5. Move not known; different district assignment in 2004

MISSING CODES:
--------------
9. NA (includes 9 in PostCand.4c)

NOTE:
-----
Based on move status and district assignment
Codes 0 and 5 include cases where address detail
not sufficiently to identify as same or different
address, though district known.

TYPE:
POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:  
---------
2000 and 2002 House candidate(s) same.

VALID CODES:  
---------
00. Neither 2002 Democratic candidate preload name nor 2002 Republican candidate preload name had been preloaded as candidates in respondent's 2000 IW
01. 2002 Democratic cand preload name same as preloaded for 2000
02. 2002 Republican cand preload name same as preloaded for 2000
03. Both Democratic and Republican candidate names same 2000-2002
04. 2002 Democratic Retiring Representative name had been preloaded in 2000 as Democratic candidate name
05. 2002 Republican Retiring Representative name had been preloaded in 2000 as Republican candidate name
15. 2000-2002 preload Democratic cand name same; 2002 retiring Republican incumbent had been preloaded in 2000 as Republican candidate
24. 2000-2002 preload Republican cand name same; 2002 retiring Democratic incumbent had been preloaded in 2000 as Democratic candidate

NOTE:  
-----
This determines whether either of the House candidate names preloaded into the 2002 Post instrument was the same as the House candidate name that had been preloaded into the ANES 2000 Post instrument.
Note: Due to 2002 redistricting, district number for 2000-2002 nonmovers may not have been the same in 2000 and 2002; names of candidates were compared to construct this summary.

TYPE:  
-----
Numeric Dec 0
01. No, R in different districts 2002-2004
02. No, R in same district 2002-2004 but neither candidate same
03. No, R TExas 2002-2004: neither candidate same
10. Yes, R in same district 2002-2004: same Democratic candidate
20. Yes, R in same district 2002-2004: same Republican candidate
30. Yes, R in same district 2002-2004: both major party candidates the same
70. Texas 2004: R's 2004 Democratic candidate same as 2002
80. Texas 2004: R's 2004 Republican candidate same as 2002
90. Texas 2004: both 2004 major party candidates same as 2002

MISSING CODES:
-------------
00. NA, error in district assignment in 2002

NOTE:
-----
This determines whether the Democratic or Republican House candidate running in the district of the respondent’s 2004 address was the same that ran in the district of respondent's 2002 address.
The extent of the Texas redistricting prior to the 2004 election produced 2004 House races with incumbents running in areas where he or she had provided little or no prior representation. Thus for 2004 Texas cases, 2002-2004 move status or congressional district identification does not necessarily have a relationship to sameness of candidates from 2002 to 2004; only names of candidates were compared to construct this summary for TX cases.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

=================================================================================
M045406b PostCand.6b. 2004 House Retiree Status In 2002
=================================================================================

POST-ELECTION ADMINISTRATIVE:

QUESTION:
---------

VALID CODES:
------------
0. No, R's 2004 House retiree was not R's 2002 Dem or Repub cand
1. Yes, R's 2004 House retiree was R's 2002 Democratic candidate
2. Yes, R's 2004 House retiree was R's 2002 Republican candidate

MISSING CODES:
------------
9. Texas 2004

NOTE:
-----
Names were compared to construct this summary.
This determines whether the Democratic or Republican retiring House incumbent for the district of R's 2004 address had been preloaded as a running candidate in 2002 for the district.
of R's 2002 address. For 2004 Texas cases, extensive redistricting did not allow clear identification of retiring incumbent.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M045001 Q1.f2a2. Watch Campaign TV Programs

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Did you watch any programs about the campaign on television?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M045002 Q2.f2a3. R Discuss Politics With Family/Friends

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Do you ever discuss politics with your family or friends?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTERVIEWER: WE WANT TO KNOW IF THEY THINK THEY DISCUSS POLITICS, WHETHER THEY HAVE IN MIND A LENGTHY DEBATE OVER CANDIDATE STANDS ON POLICY, OR BRIEFLY MENTION THE SCANDALS OF POLITICIANS.)

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
M045002a    Q3.f2a3a. How Often R Discuss Politics

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R DISCUSES POLITICS WITH FAMILY OR FRIENDS:

QUESTION:
---------
How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you talk about politics with family or friends?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTERVIEWER: THIS ASKS FOR NUMBER OF DAYS, NOT NUMBER OF TIMES)

VALID CODES:
------------
0. None
1. One Day
2. Two Days
3. Three Days
4. Four Days
5. Five Days
6. Six Days
7. Every Day

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 5,8,9 in Q2

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0
VALID CODES:
-------------
1. Yes, voted
5. No, didn't vote

MISSING CODES:
-------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

---

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R VOTED IN 2000 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION:

QUESTION:
---------
Which one did you vote for?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
{DO NOT PROBE 'DK' RESPONSE}

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. Al Gore
3. George W. Bush
5. Ralph Nader
7. Other {SPECIFY}

MISSING CODES:
-------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 5,8,9 in Q4

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

---

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
All things considered, would you say that the 2000 presidential election was decided in a way that was FAIR or UNFAIR?

VALID CODES:
1. Fair
5. Unfair

MISSING CODES:
-----------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R SAYS 2000 PRESIDENTIAL ELECT DECIDED IN FAIR WAY/
IF R SAYS 2000 PRESIDENTIAL ELECT DECIDED IN UNFAIR WAY:

QUESTION:
---------
Do you feel STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY that it was [fair/unfair]?

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. Strongly
5. Not strongly

MISSING CODES:
-----------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 8,9 in Q7

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
All things considered, would you say that the 2000 presidential election was decided in a way that was FAIR or UNFAIR?
Do you feel STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY that it was [fair/unfair]?

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. Feel strongly election was fair
2. Feel not so strongly election was fair
4. Feel not so strongly election was unfair
5. Feel strongly election was unfair

MISSING CODES:
-------------
8. Don't know (in Q8)
9. Refused (in Q8)
INAP. 8,9 in Q7

NOTES:
------
Built from Q7 and Q8.

TYPE:
-----
------
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush is HANDLING HIS JOB AS PRESIDENT?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTERVIEWER: IF RESPONDENT ASKS WHETHER THEY CAN CHOOSE A MIDDLE CATEGORY, ANSWER "WELL IN GENERAL, WHICH IS CLOSER TO THE WAY YOU FEEL?" AND REPEAT QUESTION. IF RESPONDENT ASKS ABOUT TIME PERIOD, REPEAT THE QUESTION AND EMPHASIZE "IS HANDLING".)

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. Approve
5. Disapprove

MISSING CODES:
-------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
------
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R APPROVES GEORGE W. BUSH HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT/
IF R DISAPPROVES GEORGE W. BUSH HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT:

QUESTION:
---------
VALID CODES:
-----------
1. Strongly
5. Not Strongly

MISSING CODES:
------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 8,9 in Q10

TYPE:
-----
 numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
QUESTION:
---------
Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush
is HANDLING HIS JOB AS PRESIDENT?
(Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

VALID CODES:
-----------
1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly

MISSING CODES:
------------
8. Don't know (in Q11)
9. Refused (in Q11)
INAP. 8,9 in Q10

NOTES:
------
Built from Q10 and Q11

TYPE:
-----
 numeric Dec 0
is HANDLING THE ECONOMY?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTERVIEWER: IF RESPONDENT ASKS ABOUT TIME PERIOD, REPEAT THE
QUESTION AND EMPHASIZE "IS HANDLING").

VALID CODES:
----------
1. Approve
5. Disapprove

MISSING CODES:
----------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R APPROVES GEORGE W. BUSH HANDLING OF ECONOMY/
IF R DISAPPROVES GEORGE W. BUSH HANDLING OF ECONOMY:

QUESTION:
---------
(Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

VALID CODES:
----------
1. Strongly
5. Not Strongly

MISSING CODES:
----------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 8,9 in Q13

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush
is HANDLING THE ECONOMY?
(Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?
I'd like to get your feelings toward some people in the news these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'll ask you to rate that person on a thermometer that runs from 0 to 100 degrees. Rating above 50 means that you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Rating below 50 means that you feel unfavorable and cool toward the person. Rating right at the 50 degree mark means you don't feel particularly warm or cool. You may use any number from 0 to 100 to tell me how favorable or unfavorable your feelings are. If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
The first person is:
George W. Bush
Where on that thermometer would you rate George W. Bush?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}
VALID CODES:
----------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:
----------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:
------
Individual thermometers (Q16-Q27) were administered in randomized order following George W. Bush (fixed as first thermometer). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
M045008   Q17.f1c1a1. John Kerry Thermometer
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
(The next person is:)
John Kerry
(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:
----------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:
----------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:
------
Individual thermometers (Q16-Q27) were administered in randomized order following George W. Bush (fixed as first thermometer). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
(The next person is:)
Dick Cheney
(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU
DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:
------------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:
----------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:
------
Individual thermometers (Q16-Q27) were administered
in randomized order following George W. Bush (fixed
as first thermometer). Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
(The next person is:)
Al Gore
(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
Individual thermometers (Q16-Q27) were administered in randomized order following George W. Bush (fixed as first thermometer). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

Individual thermometers (Q16-Q27) were administered in randomized order following George W. Bush (fixed as first thermometer). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
(The next person is:)
John Edwards
(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(Probe for don't know response:
When you say "don't know" do you mean that you
don't know who the person is or do you have
something else in mind?)

VALID CODES:
------------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:
------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:
------
Individual thermometers (Q16-Q27) were administered in randomized order following George W. Bush (fixed as first thermometer). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.
in randomized order following George W. Bush (fixed as first thermometer). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:
------
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
(The next person is:)
Ralph Nader
(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
----------------------------
{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:
-------------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:
---------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:
------
Individual thermometers (Q16-Q27) were administered in randomized order following George W. Bush (fixed as first thermometer). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:
------
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
(The next person is:)
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Bill Clinton
(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU
DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?)

VALID CODES:
------------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:
--------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:
------
Individual thermometers (Q16-Q27) were administered
in randomized order following George W. Bush (fixed
as first thermometer). Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M045014 Q23.f1clh. Colin Powell Thermometer

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
(The next person is:)
Colin Powell
(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU
DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?)

VALID CODES:
------------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:
--------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:
------
Individual thermometers (Q16-Q27) were administered in randomized order following George W. Bush (fixed as first thermometer). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

========================================================================
M045015     Q24.f1clj. John Ashcroft Thermometer
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
(The next person is:)
John Ashcroft
(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:
------------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:
--------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:
------
Individual thermometers (Q16-Q27) were administered in randomized order following George W. Bush (fixed as first thermometer). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

========================================================================
M045016     Q25.f1clm. Jesse Jackson Thermometer
========================================================================
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POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
--------
(The next person is:)
Jesse Jackson
(Where on that thermometer would you rate him?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
-------------------------
(PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU
DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?)

VALID CODES:
------------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:
---------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:
------
Individual thermometers (Q16-Q27) were administered
in randomized order following George W. Bush (fixed
as first thermometer). Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
--------
(The next person is:)
Laura Bush
(Where on that thermometer would you rate her?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
-------------------------
(PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU
DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE
SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?)

VALID CODES:
------------

Page 1284
0-100.

MISSING CODES:
------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:
------
Individual thermometers (Q16-Q27) were administered in randomized order following George W. Bush (fixed as first thermometer). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

------------------------------------------------------------------------
M045018     Q27.f1clr. Hillary Clinton Thermometer
------------------------------------------------------------------------

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
(The next person is:)
Hillary Clinton
(Where on that thermometer would you rate her?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
-----------------------
{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU DON'T KNOW WHO THE PERSON IS OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:
------------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:
------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:
------
Individual thermometers (Q16-Q27) were administered in randomized order following George W. Bush (fixed as first thermometer). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.
F2D2. INTRO - GROUP THERMOMETERS

Still using the thermometer, how would you rate:

M045019    Q28.f2d2a. Supreme Court Thermometer

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION::

(How would you rate:) the Supreme Court?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:

0-100.

MISSING CODES:

777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:

-----
Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in randomized order. Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:

-----
Numeric  Dec 0

M045020    Q29.f2d2b. Congress Thermometer

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

(How would you rate:) Congress?
INTerviewer Instruction:
-------------------------------
{Probe for Don't Know Response:
When you say "Don't Know" do you mean that
you have never heard the term before or
Do you have something else in mind?}

Valid Codes:
------------
0-100.

Missing Codes:
--------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

Notes:
------
Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

Type:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

------------------------------------------------------------------------
M045021     Q30.f2d2c Military Thermometer
------------------------------------------------------------------------

Post-Election Survey:

Question:
--------
(How would you rate:)
the Military?

Interviewer Instruction:
-------------------------------
{Probe for Don't Know Response:
When you say "Don't Know" do you mean that
you have never heard the term before or
Do you have something else in mind?}

Valid Codes:
------------
0-100.

Missing Codes:
--------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

Notes:
------
Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in randomized order. Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:  
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M045022     Q31.f2d2d. Federal Government Thermometer

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:  
-----------
(How would you rate:)
the federal government in Washington?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:  
------------------------
{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:  
------------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:  
---------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:  
------
Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in randomized order. Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:  
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M045023     Q32.f2d2e. Blacks Thermometer

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:  
-----------
(How would you rate:)
blacks?
INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:
------------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:
------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:
------
Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
QUESTION:
---------
(How would you rate:)
whites?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:
------------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:
------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:
------
Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE: 
-----
Numeric Dec 0

========================================================================
M045025     Q34.f2d2g. Conservatives Thermometer
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION: 
---------
(How would you rate:)
conservatives?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:
-------------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:
-------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES: 
------
Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in randomized order. Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE: 
-----
Numeric Dec 0

========================================================================
M045026     Q35.f2d2h. Liberals Thermometer
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION: 
---------
(How would you rate:)
liberals?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
(PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?)

VALID CODES:
-------------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:
---------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:
------
Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in randomized order. Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
(How would you rate:)
labor unions?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?)

VALID CODES:
-------------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:
---------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:
------
Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M045028  Q37.f2d2k. Big Business Thermometer

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
(How would you rate:)
big business?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:
------------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:
--------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:
------
Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M045029  Q38.f2d2m. Poor People Thermometer

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
(How would you rate:)
poor people?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
(PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?)

VALID CODES:  
-------------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:  
----------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:  
------
Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in randomized order. Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:  
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M045030   Q39.f2d2n. People On Welfare Thermometer

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:  
--------
(How would you rate:)  
people on welfare?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:  
------------------------
(Prosbe for Don't Know Response: When you say "Don't Know" do you mean that you have never heard the term before or do you have something else in mind?)

VALID CODES:  
-------------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:  
----------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:  
------
Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in randomized order. Randomization variables, which
M045031  Q40.f2d2p. Hispanics Thermometer

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
(How would you rate:)
Hispanics/Hispanic-Americans?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:
------------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:
--------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:
------
Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M045032  Q41.f2d2q. Christian Fundamentalists Thermometer

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
(How would you rate:)
Christian fundamentalists?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
VALID CODES:  
---------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:  
--------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:  
------
Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in randomized order. Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:  
------
Numeric  Dec 0
precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
(How would you rate:)
environmentalists?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:
------------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:
-------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:
------
Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in randomized order. Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
(How would you rate:)
gay men and lesbians, that is, homosexuals?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?)

VALID CODES:
-----------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:
-------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:
------
Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

M045036  Q45.f2d2u. Catholics Thermometer

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
--------
(How would you rate:)
Catholics?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(Probe for Don't Know Response:
When you say "Don't Know" do you mean that
you have never heard the term before or
do you have something else in mind?)

VALID CODES:
-----------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:
-------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:
------
Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:  
-----  
Numeric  Dec 0

========================================================================
M045037     Q46.f2d2v. Jews Thermometer
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:  
---------  
(How would you rate:)  
Jews?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:  
------------------------  
{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:  
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT  
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR  
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:  
------------  
0-100.

MISSING CODES:  
---------------  
777. Don't recognize  
888. Don't know where to rate  
889. Refused

NOTES:  
------  
Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in  
randomized order. Randomization variables, which  
precede survey variables, provide case level  
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:  
-----  
Numeric  Dec 0

========================================================================
M045038     Q47.f2d2w. Protestants Thermometer
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:  
---------  
(How would you rate:)  
Protestants?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:  
------------------------  
{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:  
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT  
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR  
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}  

Page 1298
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?

VALID CODES:
-------------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:
-------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:
------
Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

M045039 Q48.f2d2y. Feminists Thermometer

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
(How would you rate:)
feminists?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:
-------------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:
-------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:
------
Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
(How would you rate:)
Asian-Americans?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:
------------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:
---------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:
------
Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?

VALID CODES:
------------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:
---------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:
------
Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M045042 Q51.f2d2zb. Catholic Church Thermometer

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
(How would you rate:)
the Catholic Church?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE:
WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT
YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES:
------------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:
---------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:
------
Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in
randomized order. Randomization variables, which
precede survey variables, provide case level
identification of randomization assignment.
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION: 
---------
(How would you rate:)
men?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}

VALID CODES: 
------------
0-100.

MISSING CODES: 
--------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES: 
------
Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in randomized order. Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE: 
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION: 
---------
(How would you rate:)
women?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------

{PROBE FOR DON'T KNOW RESPONSE: WHEN YOU SAY "DON'T KNOW" DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU HAVE NEVER HEARD THE TERM BEFORE OR DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?}
DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE IN MIND?

VALID CODES:
-------------
0-100.

MISSING CODES:
---------------
777. Don't recognize
888. Don't know where to rate
889. Refused

NOTES:
------
Group thermometers (Q28-Q53) were administered in randomized order. Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assignment.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

M045045a  Q54.f2cla. R 2004 Voter Turnout-Traditional

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 1 OF VOTER TURNOUT QUESTION:

QUESTION:
---------
In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time. How about you-- did you vote in the elections this November?

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. Yes, voted
5. No, didn't vote

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

INAP. R selected for version 2 of R turnout (Q55)

NOTES:
------
Respondents were randomly assigned to either Q54 or Q55. Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:
-----
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POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R SELECTED FOR VERSION 2 OF VOTER TURNOUT QUESTION:

QUESTION:
---------
In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time. Which of the following statements best describes you:
One, I did not vote (in the election this November);
Two, I thought about voting this time - but didn't;
Three, I usually vote, but didn't this time; or
Four, I am sure I voted?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
{INTERVIEWER: PLEASE READ ALL OPTIONS}

VALID CODES:
------------
1. I did not vote (in the election this November)
2. I thought about voting this time but didn't
3. I usually vote but didn't this time
4. I am sure I voted

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. R selected for version 1 of R turnout (Q54)

NOTES:
------
Respondents were randomly assigned to either Q54 or Q55. Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

-----------------------------------------------
M045045x   Q56.f2c1x. R 2004 Voter Turnout-Summary
-----------------------------------------------

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
VERSION 1:
In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time. How about you--did you vote in the elections this November?

**VERSION2:**
In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time. Which of the following statements best describes you:

One, I did not vote (in the election this November);
Two, I thought about voting this time - but didn't;
Three, I usually vote, but didn't this time; or
Four, I am sure I voted?

**INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:**

------------------------
{INTERVIEWER: PLEASE READ ALL OPTIONS}

**VALID CODES:**

1. R reported voting in 2004 election
5. R reported not voting in 2004 election

**MISSING CODES:**

8. R did not know whether voted in 2004 election
9. R refused to say whether voted in 2004 election

**NOTES:**

------
Built from Q54 and Q55

**TYPE:**

-----
Numeric  Dec 0

========================================================================
M045046     Q57.f2c2. Was Non-Voter R Registered To Vote
========================================================================

**POST-ELECTION SURVEY:**

IF R DID NOT VOTE OR DK/REFUSED IF VOTED:

**QUESTION:**

---------
Were you registered to vote in this election?

**VALID CODES:**

1. Yes
5. No
6. VOL: Not required to register in R's state

**MISSING CODES:**

8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 1 in Q54 or 4 in Q55

TYPE: 
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R VOTED:

QUESTION:
---------
Were you registered to vote in [PRELOAD STATE]?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Yes
5. No
6. VOL: Not required to register in R's state

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

INAP. 5,8,9 in Q54; 1-3,8,9 in Q55

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R VOTED:
IF R WAS NOT REGISTERED IN [PRELOAD STATE]:

QUESTION:
---------
What state were you registered in?

VALID CODES:
------------
01. Alabama
02. Alaska
04. Arizona
05. Arkansas
06. California
08. Colorado
09. Connecticut
10. Delaware
11. Washington DC
12. Florida
13. Georgia
15. Hawaii
16. Idaho
17. Illinois
18. Indiana
19. Iowa
20. Kansas
21. Kentucky
22. Louisiana
23. Maine
24. Maryland
25. Massachusetts
26. Michigan
27. Minnesota
28. Mississippi
29. Missouri
30. Montana
31. Nebraska
32. Nevada
33. New Hampshire
34. New Jersey
35. New Mexico
36. New York
37. North Carolina
38. North Dakota
39. Ohio
40. Oklahoma
41. Oregon
42. Pennsylvania
43. Rhode Island
44. South Carolina
45. South Dakota
46. Tennessee
47. Texas
48. Utah
49. Vermont
50. Virginia
51. Washington
52. West Virginia
53. Wisconsin
54. Wyoming

MISSING CODES:
----------------
88. Don't know
89. Refused
INAP. 5 in Q56; 1,6,8,9 in Q58

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

VALID CODES:
----------
1. Voter registered in-state
2. Voter registered out-of-state
3. Non-voter registered
4. Non-voter not registered
5. Non-voter not required to register in state
6. Voter not required to register in state

MISSING CODES:
-------------
9. Voter, DK/RF if registered in state
0. Non-voter, DK/RF if registered or DK/RF if registered in state

NOTES:
------
Built from Q57, Q58, and Q59.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M045049 Q61.f2c3. Did R Vote For President

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R VOTED:
QUESTION:
---------
How about the election for President? Did you vote for a candidate for PRESIDENT?

MISSING CODES:
-------------
1. Yes, voted for President
5. No, didn't vote for President

MISSING CODES:
-------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 5,8,9 in Q54; 1-3,8,9 in Q55

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M045049a Q62.f2c3a. Presidential Vote Choice

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT:
QUESTION:
---------
Who did you vote for?
VALID CODES:
------------
1. John Kerry
3. George W. Bush
5. Ralph Nader
7. Other {SPECIFY}

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 5,8,9 in Q61

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

--------
M045050  Q64.f2c3a1. Strength Presidential Cand Pref
--------

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT:
IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/RF:

QUESTION:
---------
Would you say that your preference for (NAME GIVEN /this candidate) was STRONG or NOT STRONG?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Strong
5. Not strong

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 5,8,9 in Q61; 8,9 in Q62

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

--------
M045051  Q65.f2c3b. Timing Of Presidential Vote Decision
--------

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT:
IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE CAST IS NOT DK/RF:

QUESTION:
---------
How long before the election did you decide that you were going to vote the way you did?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
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(INTERVIEWER: THIS IS AN OPEN-ENDED QUESTION. REMEMBER TO RECORD VERBATIM. USE "ANYTHING ELSE" PROBE UNTIL COMPLETION. USE STANDARD CLARIFICATION PROBES AS APPROPRIATE. IF MORE SPACE IS NEEDED, FINISH ON PAPER.)

(INTERVIEWER: TRY TO ELICIT AN ANSWER THAT CAN BE TRANSLATED INTO A TIME FRAME. FOR EXAMPLE, "WHEN I ATTENDED A PTA MEETING" SHOULD BE PROBED WITH "WHEN WAS THIS MEETING HELD?" ANSWERS REFERRING TO RECOGNIZABLE EVENTS ARE ACCEPTABLE, SUCH AS "I DECIDED DURING THE SECOND PRESIDENTIAL DEBATE.")

VALID CODES:
------------
01. Knew all along/from the first; always vote for the same party; before the convention/nomination (no mention of Kerry/Bush); before the primaries; 9 months or more
02. Before the convention because of Kerry's/Bush's candidacy; as soon as Kerry/Bush/Nader said he would run; "months before"; "early on"; "long time/quite a bit before the election"; "in the summer"
03. During the Democratic convention when Kerry was nominated; just after the Republican convention; July; 4 months; 3-4 months; 4-5 months
04. At the time of the Republican convention/when Bush was nominated; just after the Republican convention; August; 3 months; a few months; 2-3 months
05. After the convention(s); during the campaign (NFS)*; when the campaign started; 2 months; "a couple of months"; September
06. 5-7 weeks before the election
07. 1 month; three weeks; October; after the Presidential debates; "a few weeks"; "several weeks"; "4-5 weeks"; "weeks before"
08. About two weeks before the election; "ten days"; "longer than a week" - NFS; "a couple of weeks"
09. In the last days of the campaign; the last part of it; a week; less than a week
10. On election day
11. During/after the primaries (not codeable in 02); several months; 5-8 months; 6 months
87. Other

*NFS - not further specified

MISSING CODES:
--------------
88. Don't know
89. Refused
00. NA
INAP. 5,8,9 in Q61; 8,9 in Q62

NOTES:
------
This variable coded from open-ended responses.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R VOTED:

IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:

QUESTION:
---------
How about the election for the HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Yes, voted for House of Representatives
5. No, didn't vote for House of Representatives

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

INAP. 5,8,9 in Q54 or 1-3,8,9 in Q55 or (1 in Q54 or 4 in Q55) and 1,6,8,9 in Q58 and residence in Washington D.C. or (1 in Q54 or 4 in Q55) and 5 in Q58 and 11 in Q59

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF NOT WASHINGTON D.C.:
IF R VOTED FOR U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES:

QUESTION:
---------
Who did you vote for?
Did you vote for the Democratic candidate, the Republican candidate, or someone else?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
5. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE
7. Other {SPECIFY}

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

INAP. 5,8,9 in Q54 or 1-3,8,9 in Q55
or (1 in Q54 or 4 in Q55) and 1,6,8,9
in Q58 and residence in Washington D.C.
or (1 in Q54 or 4 in Q55 ) and 5 in
Q58 and 11 in Q59; 5,8,9 in Q68

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

VALID CODES:
------------
1. State with race: preload state, same as state of 2004 address
2. State with race: preload state, not same as state of 2004 address
3. State with race: state of 2004 address, not same as preload state
4. State without race: preload state, same as state of 2004 address
5. State without race: preload state, not same as state of 2004 address
6. State without race: state of 2004 address, not same as preload state

MISSING CODES:
------------
INAP. nonvoter; DK/RF/NA whether voted

NOTES:
------
Status of senate race here refers to state of registration. Codes 2 and 5 apply to cases where R moved from preload state but is still registered in preload state; codes 3 and 6 correspond to cases where R moved from preload state and is now registered in new state. Case 489 had preload state incorrect (as past or present state).

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R VOTED:
IF R REGISTERED IN STATE WITH SENATORIAL ELECTION:

QUESTION:
---------
How about the election for the UNITED STATES SENATE?
Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. Senate?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Yes, voted for Senate
5. No, didn't vote for Senate
7. R VOLUNTEERS: no race in state of vote

MISSING CODES:
-------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 5 in Q56 or 1 in Q56 and 4,5,6 in Q71

NOTES:
------
For the 2 cases coded 7, the respondent was incorrect when informing the interviewer that no 2004 Senate election occurred in the state.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R REGISTERED IN STATE WITH SENATE ELECTION:
IF R VOTED FOR THE UNITED STATES SENATE:

QUESTION:
---------
Who did you vote for?
Did you vote for the Democratic candidate, the Republican candidate, or someone else?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
5. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE
7. Other {SPECIFY}

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 5 in Q56 or 1 in Q56 and 4,5,6, in Q71

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Please continue thinking about the November election. In some countries, people believe their elections are conducted fairly. In other countries, people believe
that their elections are conducted unfairly. Thinking of the presidential election we've just had, do you believe it was very fair, somewhat fair, neither fair nor unfair, somewhat unfair, or very unfair?

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. Very fair
2. Somewhat fair
3. Neither fair nor unfair
4. Somewhat unfair
5. Very unfair

MISSING CODES:
-------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Some people seem to follow what's going on in government and public affairs most of the time, whether there's an election going on or not. Others aren't that interested. Would you say you follow what's going on in government and public affairs MOST OF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, ONLY NOW AND THEN, or HARDLY AT ALL?

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. Most of the time
2. Some of the time
3. Only now and then
4. Hardly at all

MISSING CODES:
-------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
QUESTION:
--------
Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a REPUBLICAN, a DEMOCRAT, an INDEPENDENT, or what?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTerviewer: this question is intended to pin down the respondent's *usual* party, not how they voted this year. if they respond "american" or the like, probe "well, a lot of us who consider ourselves american also think of ourselves as democrats, republicans, and so forth." and repeat question.)

VALID CODES:
-----------
1. Republican
2. Democrat
3. Independent
4. Other party {VOL} {SPECIFY}
5. No preference {VOL}

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R IDENTIFIES AS A REPUBLICAN/
IF R IDENTIFIES AS A DEMOCRAT:

QUESTION:
--------
Would you call yourself a STRONG [Democrat/Republican] or a NOT VERY STRONG [Democrat/Republican]?

VALID CODES:
-----------
1. Strong
5. Not very strong

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 3,4,5,8,9, in Q77

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R INDEPENDENT/OTHER/DK/NO PREFERENCE:

QUESTION:
---------
Do you think of yourself as CLOSER to the Republican Party or to the Democratic party?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Closer to Republican
3. Neither (VOL)
5. Closer to Democratic

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 1,2,9 in Q77

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a REPUBLICAN, a DEMOCRAT, an INDEPENDENT, or what?

Would you call yourself a STRONG [Democrat/Republican] or a NOT VERY STRONG [Democrat/Republican]?
Do you think of yourself as CLOSER to the Republican Party or to the Democratic party?

VALID CODES:
------------
0. Strong Democrat (2/1/.)
1. Weak Democrat (2/5-8-9/.; 8/.5)
2. Independent-Democrat (3-4-5/.5)
3. Independent-Independent
   (3/.3-8-9; 5/.3-8-9 if not apolitical)
4. Independent-Republican (3-4-5/.1)
5. Weak Republican (1/5-8-9/; 8/.1)
6. Strong Republican (1/1/.)
7. Other; minor party; refuses to say (9/.; 4/.3-8-9)

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Apolitical (5././3-8-9 if apolitical)
9. DK (8././.; 8././8)

NOTES:
------
Code combinations in parentheses represent corresponding values in Q77/Q79/Q80.
Code 8 (apolitical) was used if R was coded 'No preference' in Q77 and also showed little or no interest in politics in response to the following survey questions:
Q54/Q55: Voted
Q76: Follow public affairs
Respondents coded 'No preference' in Q77 who showed interest in politics were coded 3.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
As you know, the political parties try to talk to as many people as they can to get them to vote for their candidate. Did anyone from one of the POLITICAL PARTIES call you up or come around and talk to you about the campaign this year?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTERVIEWER: THESE ARE ABOUT RESPONDENT'S PARTICIPATION IN THIS YEAR'S CAMPAIGN, NOT IN THE PAST. IF ASKED, REFERS TO PARTISAN ELECTIONS WHERE CANDIDATES ARE RUNNING FROM SPECIFIC PARTIES - NOT SCHOOL BOARD CANDIDATES, ETC.)

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF POLITICAL PARTY SPOKE TO R ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN:

QUESTION:
---------
Which party was that?

VALID CODES:
-----------

1. Democrats
5. Republicans
6. Both
7. Other {SPECIFY}

MISSING CODES:
-----------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 5,8,9 in Q82

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
During the campaign this year, did anyone talk to you about REGISTERING TO VOTE or GETTING OUT TO VOTE?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTERVIEWER: WE ARE INTERESTED IN HOW MANY OF OUR RESPONDENTS HAVE BEEN CONTACTED BY REGISTRATION AND VOTING DRIVES. IF RESPONDENT SAYS HE/SHE RECEIVED A CALL ON ELECTION DAY FROM THE LOCAL PARTY ASKING IF RESPONDENT HAS VOTED AND OFFERING RIDES TO THE POLLS, ETC. -- THAT COUNTS -- THE ANSWER IS "YES".)

VALID CODES:
-----------

1. Yes, someone did
5. No, no one did

MISSING CODES:
-----------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0
M045061  Q86.f2b2. R Try To Influence Vote Of Others

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
-------
We would like to find out about some of the things people do to help a party or a candidate win an election. During the campaign, did you talk to any people and try to show them why they should vote for or against one of the parties or candidates?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTERVIEWER: IF RESPONDENT SAYS HE/SHE HAS TRIED TO CONVINCE OTHERS SIMPLY TO VOTE, BUT NOT FOR OR AGAINST A CANDIDATE OR PARTY, THE ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION IS "NO". THESE ARE ABOUT RESPONDENT'S PARTICIPATION IN THIS YEAR'S CAMPAIGN, NOT IN THE PAST. IF ASKED, REFERS TO PARTISAN ELECTIONS WHERE CANDIDATES ARE RUNNING FROM SPECIFIC PARTIES - NOT SCHOOL BOARD CANDIDATES, ETC.)

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

M045062  Q87.f2b3. R Display Campaign Button/Sticker

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
-------
Did you wear a campaign button, put a campaign sticker on your car, or place a sign in your window or in front of your house?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTERVIEWER: THESE ARE ABOUT RESPONDENT'S PARTICIPATION IN THIS YEAR'S CAMPAIGN, NOT IN THE PAST. IF ASKED, REFERS TO PARTISAN ELECTIONS WHERE CANDIDATES ARE RUNNING FROM SPECIFIC PARTIES - NOT SCHOOL BOARD CANDIDATES, ETC.)
VALID CODES:
-----------
1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES:
------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
QUESTION:
---------
Did you go to any political meetings, rallies, speeches, dinners, or things like that in support of a particular candidate?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTERVIEWER: THESE ARE ABOUT RESPONDENT'S PARTICIPATION IN THIS YEAR'S CAMPAIGN, NOT IN THE PAST. IF ASKED, REFERS TO PARTISAN ELECTIONS WHERE CANDIDATES ARE RUNNING FROM SPECIFIC PARTIES - NOT SCHOOL BOARD CANDIDATES, ETC.)

VALID CODES:
-----------
1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES:
------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
During an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. Did you give money to AN INDIVIDUAL CANDIDATE running for public office?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTERVIEWER: THESE ARE ABOUT RESPONDENT'S PARTICIPATION IN THIS YEAR'S CAMPAIGN, NOT IN THE PAST. IF ASKED, REFERS TO PARTISAN ELECTIONS WHERE CANDIDATES ARE RUNNING FROM SPECIFIC PARTIES - NOT SCHOOL BOARD CANDIDATES, ETC.)

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Did you give money to A POLITICAL PARTY during this election year?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Did you give any money to ANY OTHER GROUP that supported or opposed candidates?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTERVIEWER: THESE ARE ABOUT RESPONDENT'S PARTICIPATION IN THIS YEAR'S CAMPAIGN, NOT IN THE PAST. IF ASKED, REFERS TO PARTISAN ELECTIONS WHERE CANDIDATES ARE RUNNING FROM SPECIFIC PARTIES - NOT SCHOOL BOARD CANDIDATES, ETC.)

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

========================================================================
M045067 Q92.f2b8. Did R Give Money To Any Other Group
========================================================================

Next I am going to read you a list of federal programs. For each one, I would like you to tell me whether you would like to see spending INCREASED or
The first program is: environmental protection. If you had a say in making up the federal budget this year, should federal spending on environmental protection be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?

VALID CODES:
-----------
1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:
------
Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:
------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:
------
Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M045070 Q95.f1k1c. Welfare-Federal Spending

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
----------
(What about) welfare programs?
(Should federal spending on welfare programs be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:
------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:
------
Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF RESPONDENT SELECTED FOR "PUBLIC SCHOOLS" WORDING:

QUESTION:
---------
(What about)
public schools?
(Should federal spending on public schools be
INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. R selected for alternate wording

NOTES:
------
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of
two possible wordings: public schools OR
big-city schools.
Federal spending items were administered in
randomized order, following environmental
protection (fixed as first federal spending item).
Randomization variables, which precede survey
variables, provide case level identification of
randomization assigned.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF RESPONDENT SELECTED FOR "BIG-CITY SCHOOLS" WORDING:

QUESTION:
---------
(What about)
big-city schools?
(Should federal spending on big-city schools be
INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:
(What about) [public schools/big-city schools]? (Should federal spending on [public schools/big-city schools] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:
-------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:
------
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible wordings: public schools OR big-city schools.
Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item).
Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible wordings: public schools OR big-city schools.
Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item).
Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.
Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE: 
----- 
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION: 
--------- 
(What about) dealing with crime? (Should federal spending on dealing with crime be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES: 
------------
1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES: 
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES: 
------ 
Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE: 
----- 
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION: 
--------- 
(What about) child care?
(Should federal spending on child care be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:

--------
1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

-------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:

------
Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:

------
Numeric Dec 0
possible wordings: homeland security OR the war on terrorism.
Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:  
-----  
Numeric  Dec 0

========================================================================
M045074b Q102.f1k1g1b. War On Terrorism-Federal Spending
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF RESPONDENT SELECTED FOR "WAR ON TERRORISM" WORDING:

QUESTION:  
---------  
(What about) the war on terrorism?  
(Should federal spending on the war on terrorism be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:  
-------------  
1. Increased  
2. Decreased  
3. Kept about the same  
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:  
-------------  
8. Don't know  
9. Refused

NOTES:  
------  
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible wordings: homeland security OR the war on terrorism. Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:  
-----  
Numeric  Dec 0

========================================================================
M045074x Q103.f1k1g1x. Homeland Sec/War On Terrorism-Summary
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POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION: 
---------
(What about)
[Homeland security/the war on terrorism]?
(Should federal spending on [Homeland security/the war on terrorism] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES: 
------------
1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES: 
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES: 
------
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible wordings: homeland security OR the war on terrorism.
Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item).
Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE: 
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY: 
IF RESPONDENT SELECTED FOR "AID TO POOR PEOPLE" WORDING:

QUESTION: 
---------
(What about)
aid to poor people?
(Should federal spending on aid to poor people be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES: 
------------
1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
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4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. R selected for alternate wording

NOTES:
------
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible wordings: aid to poor people OR aid to the working poor.
Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item).
Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M045075b Q105.f1k2b1b. Aid To Working Poor-Federal Spending

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF RESPONDENT SELECTED FOR "AID TO THE WORKING POOR" WORDING:

QUESTION:
----------
(What about)
aid to the working poor?
(Should federal spending on aid to the working poor be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:
------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. R selected for alternate wording

NOTES:
------
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible wordings: aid to poor people OR aid to the working poor.
Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item).
Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

---

M045075x Q106.f1k2b1x. Aid To Poor/Working Poor-Summary

---

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------

(What about)
[aid to poor people/aid to the working poor]?
(Should federal spending on [aid to poor people/aid to the working poor] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:
------
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible wordings: aid to poor people OR aid to the working poor.
Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item).
Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

---

M045076 Q107.f1k2c. Foreign Aid-Federal Spending

---

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
(What about) foreign aid?
(Should federal spending on foreign aid be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:
-----------
1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:
-----
Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
(What about) Social Security?
(Should federal spending on Social Security be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:
-----------
1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:
------
Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.
randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:  
-----  
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:  
---------  
(What about) tightening border security to prevent illegal immigration?  
(Should federal spending on tightening border security to prevent illegal immigration be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:  
-------------  
1. Increased  
2. Decreased  
3. Kept about the same  
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:  
----------------  
8. Don't know  
9. Refused

NOTES:  
------  
Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:  
-----  
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:  
---------  
(What about)
aid to blacks?
(Should federal spending on aid to blacks be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:
----------
1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:
-----
Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M045080a Q111.f1k2h1a. Early Ed For Poor Children-Federal Spending

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R SELECTED FOR "EARLY EDUCATION FOR POOR CHILDREN" WORDING:

QUESTION:
---------
(What about)
pre-school and early education for poor children?
(Should federal spending on pre-school and early education for poor children be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:
----------
1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. R selected for alternate wording

NOTES:

Page 1335
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible wordings: pre-school and early education for poor children or pre-school and early education for black children. Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

------------------------------------------------------------------------
M045080b    Q112.f1k2h1b. Early Ed For Black Children-Federal Spending
------------------------------------------------------------------------

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R SELECTED FOR "EARLY EDUCATION FOR BLACK CHILDREN" WORDING:

QUESTION:
---------
(What about) pre-school and early education for black children? (Should federal spending on pre-school and early education for black children] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. R selected for alternative wording

NOTES:
------
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible wordings: pre-school and early education for poor children or pre-school and early education for black children. Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:
-----
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
(What about) [pre-school and early education for poor children/pre-school and early education for black children]?
(Should federal spending on [pre-school and early education for poor children/pre-school and early education for black children] be INCREASED, DECREASED, or KEPT ABOUT THE SAME?)

VALID CODES:  
--------------
1. Increased  
2. Decreased  
3. Kept about the same  
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:  
----------------
8. Don’t know  
9. Refused

NOTES:  
-------
Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible wordings: pre-school and early education for poor children or pre-school and early education for black children. Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:  
-----
Numeric Dec 0
VALID CODES:
------------
1. Increased
2. Decreased
3. Kept about the same
4. Cut out entirely {VOL}

MISSING CODES:
------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:
------
Federal spending items were administered in randomized order, following environmental protection (fixed as first federal spending item). Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

F1Q3. INTRODUCTION - WAR ON TERRORISM/9-11 QUESTIONS

Now for another topic.
As you know, on September 11th 2001, a group of terrorists took control of several U.S. commercial airplanes and crashed them into the World Trade Center in New York and the Pentagon in Washington.

M045082 Q115.f1q3c. What Terrorists Wanted To Accomplish

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
What do you think the terrorists were trying to accomplish by their actions?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTERVIEWER: THIS IS AN OPEN-ENDED QUESTION. REMEMBER TO RECORD VERBATIM. USE "ANYTHING ELSE" PROBE UNTIL COMPLETION. USE STANDARD CLARIFICATION PROBES AS APPROPRIATE. IF MORE SPACE IS NEEDED, FINISH ON PAPER.)

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Text present
5. No text present
NOTES:
------
This variable coded from an open-ended response

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

========================================================================
M045083 Q118.flq3e. How Likely Another Terrorist Attack
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
How likely do you think it is that the U.S. will suffer an attack as serious as the one in New York and Washington some time in the next 12 months? Would you say VERY LIKELY, SOMEWHAT LIKELY, SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY, or VERY UNLIKELY?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Very Likely
2. Somewhat Likely
3. Somewhat Unlikely
4. Very Unlikely

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

========================================================================
M045084x Q119.flq3gx. Checkpoint: F1Q3g Wording
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
CHECKPOINT FOR F1Q3G WORDING:

VALID CODES:
------------
1. "War on terrorism" wording
2. "Terrorist attack of September 11" wording

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

========================================================================
M045084a Q120.flq3ga. App/Disapp Bush War On Terrorism/9-11
========================================================================
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
-------
All things considered, do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush [is handling the war on terrorism/has responded to the terrorist attack of September 11]? 

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTERVIEWER: IF RESPONDENT ASKS ABOUT TIME PERIOD, REPEAT THE QUESTION AND EMPHASIZE "IS HANDLING").

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Approve
5. Disapprove

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTE:
-----
Respondents were randomly selected for one of the following wordings: war on terrorism OR terrorist attack of September 11. Randomization variables, which precede survey variables, provide case level identification of randomization assigned. Q119 is a checkpoint variable, coded by wording.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R SELECTED FOR "WAR ON TERRORISM" WORDING:
IF R APPROVES G.W. BUSH RESPONSE TO WAR ON TERRORISM/
IF R DISAPPROVES G.W. BUSH RESPONSE TO WAR ON TERRORISM:

QUESTION:
-------
All things considered, do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush is handling the war on terrorism? (Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Strongly
5. Not Strongly
MISSING CODES:
-------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. R selected for alternate wording; 8,9 in Q120

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R SELECTED FOR "TERRORIST ATTACK OF SEPTEMBER 11' WORDING:
IF R APPROVES G.W. BUSH RESPONSE TO TERRORIST ATTACK OF SEPTEMBER 11/
IF R DISAPPROVES G.W. BUSH RESPONSE TO TERRORIST ATTACK OF SEPTEMBER 11:

QUESTION:
---------
All things considered, do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush has responded to the terrorist attack of September 11]? (Do you [approve/disapprove]) STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Strongly
5. Not Strongly

MISSING CODES:
-------------
8. Don't know (in
9. Refused
INAP. R selected for alternate wording; 8,9 in Q120

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R APPROVES G.W. BUSH HANDLING WAR ON TERRORISM/ RESPONSE TO 9/11 /
IF R DISAPPROVES G.W. BUSH HANDLING WAR ON TERRORISM/ RESPONSE TO 9/11:

QUESTION:
---------
All things considered, do you APPROVE or DISAPPROVE of the way George W. Bush [is handling the war on terrorism/has responded to the terrorist attack of September 11]?
(Do you [approve/disapprove])
STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

VALID CODES:
----------
1. Approve strongly
2. Approve not strongly
4. Disapprove not strongly
5. Disapprove strongly

MISSING CODES:
----------
8. Don't know (in Q122)
9. Refused (in Q122)
INAP. 8,9 in Q120; 8,9 in Q121

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Taking everything into account, do you think the U.S. war against the Taliban government in Afghanistan was WORTH THE COST or NOT?

VALID CODES:
----------
1. Worth it
5. Not worth it

MISSING CODES:
----------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0
1. Worth it
5. Not worth it

MISSING CODES:
-------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family) are BETTER OFF, WORSE OFF, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTERVIEWER: IF RESPONDENT SAYS THEY ARE "BETTER OFF" IN SOME RESPECTS AND "WORSE OFF" IN OTHERS, PROBE BY ASKING "OVERALL, WOULD YOU SAY...")

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Better
3. Worse
5. Same

MISSING CODES:
-------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R BETTER OFF FINANCIALLY THAN A YEAR AGO:
IF R WORSE OFF FINANCIALLY THAN A YEAR AGO:

QUESTION:
---------
(Is that) MUCH [better/worse] off or SOMETHING [better/worse] off?
INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTERVIEWER: IF RESPONDENT SAYS THEY ARE "BETTER OFF" IN SOME
RESPECTS AND "WORSE OFF" IN OTHERS, PROBE BY ASKING "OVERALL, WOULD
YOU SAY...")

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. Much
5. Somewhat

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 5,8,9 in Q126.F1G1

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

------------------------------------------------------------------------
M045089x Q128.figla. R How Much Better/Worse Off-Summary
------------------------------------------------------------------------

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you (and your family) are BETTER OFF, WORSE OFF, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago? (Is that) MUCH [better/worse] off or SOMEWHAT [better/worse] off?

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. The same (5 in Q126)
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know (in Q127)
9. Refused (in Q127)
INAP. 8,9 in Q126

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

------------------------------------------------------------------------
M045090 Q129.fih1. US Economy Better/Worse In Last Yr
------------------------------------------------------------------------
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
-------
Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that over the past year the nation's economy has gotten BETTER, gotten WORSE or STAYED ABOUT THE SAME?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTERVIEWER: WE ARE INTERESTED IN RESPONDENT'S "PERCEPTIONS" OR "FEELINGS" AS OPPOSED TO KNOWLEDGE ON DIFFERENT ECONOMIC TOPICS.)

VALID CODES:
-----------
1. Better
3. Worse
5. Same

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
------
Numeric Dec 0

M045091 Q130.f1h1a. Extent US Econ Better/Worse In Last Yr

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R SAYS US ECONOMY HAS GOTTEN BETTER:/
IF R SAYS US ECONOMY HAS GOTTEN WORSE:

QUESTION:
-------
(Would you say) MUCH [better/worse] or SOMEWHA [better/worse]?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTERVIEWER: WE ARE INTERESTED IN RESPONDENT'S "PERCEPTIONS" OR "FEELINGS" AS OPPOSED TO KNOWLEDGE ON DIFFERENT ECONOMIC TOPICS.)

VALID CODES:
-----------
1. Much
5. Somewhat

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 8,9 in Q129
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that over the past year the nation's economy has gotten BETTER, gotten WORSE or STAYED ABOUT THE SAME? (Is that) MUCH [better/worse] off or SOMewhat [better/worse] off?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Much better
2. Somewhat better
3. The same (5 in Q129)
4. Somewhat worse
5. Much worse

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know (in Q130)
9. Refused (in Q130)
INAP. 8,9 in Q129

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Recently, there has been a lot of talk about campaign finance reform. In general, which of the following statements best represents what you feel about the way political campaigns are financed in this country: It needs to be completely overhauled, it needs major changes, it needs minor changes, or it is basically fine the way it is?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Completely overhauled
3. Major changes
5. Minor changes
7. Fine the way it is

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
In the PAST TWELVE MONTHS, have you flown an American flag or displayed the flag in some other way, such as in a window, or on your car, or on a T-shirt or other piece of clothing?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with this statement: 'There are some things about America today that make me feel ashamed of America.' Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Agree
3. Neither agree nor disagree
5. Disagree
MISSING CODES:
------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
When you see the American flag flying does it make you feel EXTREMELY GOOD, VERY GOOD, SOMEWHAT GOOD, or NOT VERY GOOD?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Extremely good
2. Very good
3. Somewhat good
4. Not very good
7. Don't feel anything [VOL]

MISSING CODES:
------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
How strong is your love for your country...
EXTREMELY STRONG, VERY STRONG, SOMEWHAT STRONG, or NOT VERY STRONG?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Extremely strong
2. Very strong
4. Somewhat strong
5. Not very strong

MISSING CODES:
------------
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP' describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTERVIEWER: IF RESPONDENTS SAY THEY DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE, PAUSE AND LET THEM THINK ABOUT IT. IF THEY DON'T CHANGE THEIR RESPONSE, ACCEPT THE DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE AND MOVE ON.)

VALID CODES:
----------
1. Extremely well
2. Quite well
3. Not too well
4. Not well at all

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:
-----
Respondents were administered the same set of 4 traits as in 2002: either Q137-Q140 or Q137, Q141-Q143. Each set of traits was administered in random order. This variable combines data from Q137 and Q147. The leadership trait (Q137) was the only trait asked in both sets.
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF SELECTED FOR 1st SET OF GEORGE W. BUSH TRAITS:

QUESTION:
---------
In your opinion, does the phrase 'he PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP' describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTERVIEWER: IF RESPONDENTS SAY THEY DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE, PAUSE AND LET THEM THINK ABOUT IT. IF THEY DON'T CHANGE THEIR RESPONSE, ACCEPT THE DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE AND MOVE ON.)

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Extremely well
2. Quite well
3. Not too well
4. Not well at all

MISSING CODES:
-----------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

INAP. Administered 2nd set of G.W. Bush traits

NOTES:
-----
Respondents were administered the same set of 4 traits as in 2002: either Q137-Q140 or Q137, Q141-Q143. Each set of traits was administered in random order.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0
INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTERVIEWER: IF RESPONDENTS SAY THEY DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE,
PAUSE AND LET THEM THINK ABOUT IT. IF THEY DON'T CHANGE THEIR
RESPONSE, ACCEPT THE DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE AND MOVE ON.)

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Extremely well
2. Quite well
3. Not too well
4. Not well at all

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

INAP. Administered 1st set of G.W. Bush traits

NOTES:
-----
Respondents were administered the same set of 4
traits as in 2002: either Q137-Q140 or Q137,
Q141-Q143. Each set of traits was administered
in random order.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

------------------------------------------------------------------------
M045098  Q138.f2d3b. Bush Trait-Moral
------------------------------------------------------------------------

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R ADMINISTERED 1ST SET OF GW BUSH TRAITS IN 2002:

QUESTION:
---------
What about 'he is MORAL'?
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush
EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL,
or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTERVIEWER: IF RESPONDENTS SAY THEY DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE,
PAUSE AND LET THEM THINK ABOUT IT. IF THEY DON'T CHANGE THEIR
RESPONSE, ACCEPT THE DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE AND MOVE ON.)

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Extremely well
2. Quite well
3. Not too well
4. Not well at all

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

INAP. R administered 2nd set of GW Bush traits in 2002

NOTES:
-----
Respondents were administered the same set of 4 traits as in 2002: either Q137-Q140 or Q137, Q141-Q143. Each set of traits was administered in random order.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

========================================================================
M045099 Q139.f2d3c. Bush Trait-Out Of Touch
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R ADMINISTERED 1st SET OF GW BUSH TRAITS IN 2002:

QUESTION:
---------
What about 'he is OUT OF TOUCH WITH ORDINARY PEOPLE'? 
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTERVIEWER: IF RESPONDENTS SAY THEY DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE, PAUSE AND LET THEM THINK ABOUT IT. IF THEY DON'T CHANGE THEIR RESPONSE, ACCEPT THE DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE AND MOVE ON.)

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Extremely well
2. Quite well
3. Not too well
4. Not well at all

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

INAP. R administered 2nd set of GW Bush traits in 2002

NOTES:
-----
Respondents were administered the same set of 4 traits as in 2002: either Q137-Q140 or Q137, Q141-Q143. Each set of traits was administered in random order.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
If R administered 1st set of GW Bush traits in 2002:

Question:
---------
What about 'he is KNOWLEDGEABLE'?
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush
EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL,
or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

Interviewer Instruction:
------------------------
(Interviewer: If respondents say they don't know or can't decide,
pause and let them think about it. If they don't change their
response, accept the don't know or can't decide and move on.)

Valid Codes:
------------
1. Extremely well
2. Quite well
3. Not too well
4. Not well at all

Missing Codes:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

INAP. R administered 2nd set of GW Bush traits in 2002

Notes:
-----
Respondents were administered the same set of 4
traits as in 2002: either Q137-Q140 or Q137,
Q141-Q143. Each set of traits was administered
in random order.

Type:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
If R administered 2nd set of GW Bush traits in 2002:

Question:
---------
What about 'he REALLY CARES ABOUT
PEOPLE LIKE YOU'?
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush
EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL,
or NOT WELL AT ALL?)
INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTERVIEWER: IF RESPONDENTS SAY THEY DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE,
PAUSE AND LET THEM THINK ABOUT IT. IF THEY DON'T CHANGE THEIR
RESPONSE, ACCEPT THE DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE AND MOVE ON.)

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Extremely well
2. Quite well
3. Not too well
4. Not well at all

MISSING DATA:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

INAP. R administered 1st set of GW Bush traits in 2002

NOTES:
-----
Respondents were administered the same set of 4
traits as in 2002: either Q137-Q140 or Q137,
Q141-Q143. Each set of traits was administered
in random order.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

=========================================================================
M045102     Q142.f2d4c. Bush Trait-Dishonest
=========================================================================

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R ADMINISTERED 2nd SET OF GW BUSH TRAITS IN 2002:

QUESTION:
---------
What about 'he is DISHONEST'?
(Does this phrase describe George W. Bush
EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL,
or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTERVIEWER: IF RESPONDENTS SAY THEY DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE,
PAUSE AND LET THEM THINK ABOUT IT. IF THEY DON'T CHANGE THEIR
RESPONSE, ACCEPT THE DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE AND MOVE ON.)

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Extremely well
2. Quite well
3. Not too well
4. Not well at all

MISSING DATA:
8. Don't know
9. Refused

INAP. R administered 1st set of GW Bush traits in 2002

NOTES:
-----
Respondents were administered the same set of 4 traits as in 2002: either Q137-Q140 or Q137, Q141-Q143. Each set of traits was administered in random order.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

------------------------------------------------------------------------
M045103    Q143.f2d4d. Bush Trait-Intelligent
------------------------------------------------------------------------

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R ADMINISTERED 2nd SET OF GW BUSH TRAITS IN 2002:

QUESTION TEXT:
--------------
What about 'he is INTELLIGENT'? (Does this phrase describe George W. Bush EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTerviewer: IF Respondents say THEY DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE, PAUSE AND LET THEM THINK ABOUT IT. IF THEY DON'T CHANGE THEIR RESPONSE, ACCEPT THE DON'T KNOW OR CAN'T DECIDE AND MOVE ON.)

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Extremely well
2. Quite well
3. Not too well
4. Not well at all

MISSING DATA:
-----------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

INAP. R administered 1st set of GW Bush traits in 2002

NOTES:
-----
Respondents were administered the same set of 4 traits as in 2002: either Q137-Q140 or Q137, Q141-Q143. Each set of traits was administered in random order.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0
Some people believe the United States should solve international problems by using diplomacy and other forms of international pressure and use military force only if absolutely necessary. Suppose we put such people on a scale that goes from 1 to 7, placing them at the end of the scale numbered "1".

Other people believe that diplomacy and pressure often fail and the US must be ready to use military force. Suppose these people are at the other end of the scale, at point number "7". And of course other people fall into positions in-between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6.

---

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this?
(As a reminder, 1 means the U.S. should solve with diplomacy and international pressure, 7 means the U.S. must be ready to use military force, and of course you can choose points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 in between.)

VALID CODES:
------------
1. U.S. should solve with diplomacy and international pressure
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. U.S. must be ready to use military force

MISSING CODES:
---------------
0. Haven't thought much about this
8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:
-----
See PostErr.1.
During the field period, an error was discovered in the probe, which had appeared as follows prior to the discovery:
(As a reminder, 1 means the U.S. should solve with diplomacy and international pressure, 7 means the U.S.
must be ready to use international force, and of course you can choose points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 in between.)

This error was corrected during the field period but only after approximately half of the interviews were already completed. Since the probe as it appeared onscreen was specifically flagged as optional text, it is unknown precisely when the incorrect version was actually used prior to the error discovery. However, interviews are identified in [Post-election administration item] PostErr.1 if they were administered prior to the date when the instrument was revised to display the correct probe text.

---

Numeric Dec 0

M045105 Q145.f1h6a2. Diplomacy/Military Scale-GW Bush

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Where would you place George W. Bush (on this scale)?
(As a reminder, 1 means the U.S. should solve with diplomacy and international pressure, 7 means the U.S. must be ready to use military force, and of course you can choose points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 in between.)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:------------------------
DO NOT PROBE DK

VALID CODES:------------------
1. U.S. should solve with diplomacy and international pressure
2. 3.
4. 5.
6. 7. U.S. must be ready to use military force

MISSING CODES:------------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:-----
See notes Q144.f1h6a.

TYPE:-----
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:  
---------
Where would you place the Democratic Party  
(on this scale)?
(As a reminder, 1 means the U.S. should solve  
with diplomacy and international pressure, 7  
means the U.S. must be ready to use military  
force, and of course you can choose points  
2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 in between.)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:  
------------------------
DO NOT PROBE DK

VALID CODES:  
-------------
1. U.S. should solve with diplomacy and international pressure  
2.  
3.  
4.  
5.  
6.  
7. U.S. must be ready to use military force

MISSING CODES:  
------------
8. Don't know  
9. Refused

NOTES:  
-----
See notes Q144.flh6a.  
Order of Democratic and Republican party  
placements randomized.

TYPE:  
-----
Numeric Dec 0
means the U.S. must be ready to use military force, and of course you can choose points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 in between.)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
DO NOT PROBE DK

VALID CODES:
----------
1. U.S. should solve with diplomacy and international pressure
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. U.S. must be ready to use military force

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:
-----
See notes Q144.f1h6a.
Order of Democratic and Republican party placements randomized.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
How important is this issue to you personally - VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL?

VALID CODES:
----------
1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the United States to live should be INCREASED A LOT, INCREASED A LITTLE, LEFT THE SAME as it is now, DECREASED A LITTLE, or DECREASED A LOT?

VALID CODES:

1. Increased a lot
2. Increased a little
3. Left the same as it is now
4. Decreased a little
5. Decreased a lot

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0
VALID CODES:

1. By law, abortion should never be permitted.
2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger.
3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman's life, but only after the need for the abortion has been clearly established.
4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice.
5. Other (SPECIFY) [VOL]

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric  Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Do you favor or oppose laws to protect homosexuals against job discrimination?

VALID CODES:

1. Favor
5. Oppose

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric  Dec 0
QUESTION:
--------
Do you [favor/oppose] such laws strongly or not strongly?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Strongly
5. Not strongly

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 8,9 in Q152

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R FAVORS LAWS TO PROTECT HOMOSEXUALS/
IF R OPPOSES LAWS TO PROTECT HOMOSEXUALS:

QUESTION:
---------
Do you [favor/oppose] such laws strongly or not strongly?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Favor strongly
2. Favor not strongly
4. Oppose not strongly
5. Oppose strongly

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 8,9 in Q152

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

F1H15. INTRO - EQUAL TREATMENT FOR WOMEN IN JOBS

Some people feel that if women are not getting equal treatment in jobs, the government in Washington ought to see to it that they do. Others feel that this is not the federal government's business.
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION: 
-------
Have you had enough interest in this question to favor one side over the other?

VALID CODES: 
-----------
1. Yes, have interest
5. No, don’t have interest

MISSING CODES: 
-------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE: 
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R HAS HAD INTEREST IN EQUAL TREATMENT FOR WOMEN ISSUE:

QUESTION: 
-------
How do you feel?
Should the government in Washington see to it that women get equal treatment in jobs OR is this not the federal government's business?

VALID CODES: 
-----------
1. Govt should see to it
5. Not the federal govt's business
7. Other (SPECIFY)

MISSING CODES: 
-------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 5,8,9 in Q155

TYPE: 
-----
Numeric Dec 0
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R THINKS WOMEN EQUAL TREATMENT IN JOBS GOVT ISSUE/
IF R THINKS WOMEN EQUAL TREATMENT IN JOBS NOT GOVT ISSUE:

QUESTION:
Do you feel strongly or not strongly about that?

VALID CODES:
---------
1. Strongly
5. Not strongly

MISSING CODES:
---------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 5,8,9 in Q155; 7,8 in Q156

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

M045114x    Q159.f1h15a2x. Strnght Govt Equal Treat Women - Summary
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R THINKS WOMEN EQUAL TREATMENT IN JOBS GOVT ISSUE/
IF R THINKS WOMEN EQUAL TREATMENT IN JOBS NOT GOVT ISSUE:

QUESTION:
---------
Have you had enough interest in this question
to favor one side over the other?
How do you feel?
Should the government in Washington see to it
that women get equal treatment in jobs OR is this
not the federal government's business?
Do you feel strongly or not strongly about that?

VALID CODES:
---------
1. Feel strongly govt should see to it
2. Feel not so strongly govt should see to it
4. Feel not so strongly it is not govt's business
5. Feel strongly it is not govt's business
7. Other

MISSING CODES:
---------
8. Don't know (in Q158)
9. Refused (in Q158)
0. No interest
INAP. 8,9 in Q155

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R IS FEMALE:

QUESTION:
---------
Do you think that what happens to women in this country will have something to do with what happens in your life?

VALID CODES:
-----------
1. Yes
5. No
7. Unsure; depends

MISSING CODES:
------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. R male

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R THINKS WHAT HAPPENS TO WOMEN IN US WILL AFFECT HER LIFE:

QUESTION:
---------
How much will it affect you?
A LOT, SOME, or NOT VERY MUCH AT ALL?

VALID CODES:
-----------
1. A lot
3. Some
5. Not very much at all

MISSING CODES:
------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 5,7-9 in Q160; male respondent

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0
M045116     Q162.f1h16b Rs Sense Of Pride As A Woman

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R IS FEMALE:

QUESTION:  
-------
How often do you find yourself feeling a sense of pride as a woman in the accomplishments of women?  
Is it A LOT, FAIRLY OFTEN, ONCE IN A WHILE, or HARDLY EVER?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. A lot  
2. Fairly often  
3. Once in a while  
4. Hardly ever

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
INAP. R male

TYPE:  
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

M045117     Q163.f1h16c. Is R Angry About Way Women Treated

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R IS FEMALE:

QUESTION:  
-------
How often do you find yourself feeling angry about the way women are treated in society?  
Is it A LOT, FAIRLY OFTEN, ONCE IN A WHILE, or HARDLY EVER?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. A lot  
2. Fairly often  
3. Once in a while  
4. Hardly ever

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know  
9. Refused  
INAP. R male

TYPE:  
-----
Numeric  Dec 0
M045118 Q164.f1l1a. Last 20 Yrs Change In Income Inequality

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Now on a different topic. Do you think the difference in incomes between rich people and poor people in the United States today is LARGER, SMALLER, or ABOUT THE SAME as it was 20 years ago?

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. Larger
3. Smaller
5. About the same

MISSING CODES:
-------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M045118a Q165.f1l1a1. How Much Diff In Income Inequality

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R THINKS INCOME GAP IS LARGER/
IF R THINKS INCOME GAP IS SMALLER:

QUESTION:
---------
(Would you say the difference in incomes is)
MUCH [larger/smaller] or SOMewhat [larger/smaller]?

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. Much
5. Somewhat

MISSING CODES:
-------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 5,8,9 in Q164

TYPE:
-----
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Do you think the difference in incomes between rich people and poor people in the United States today is LARGER, SMALLER, or ABOUT THE SAME as it was 20 years ago? (Would you say the difference in incomes is) MUCH [larger/smaller] or SOMewhat [larger/smaller]?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Much larger
2. Somewhat larger
3. About the same
4. Somewhat smaller
5. Much smaller

MISSING CODES:
----------------
8. Don't know (in Q165)
9. Refused (in Q165)
INAP. 8,9 in Q164

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R THINKS INCOME GAP IS LARGER:

IF R THINKS INCOME GAP IS SMALLER:

QUESTION:
---------
Do you think this is A GOOD THING, A BAD THING, or haven't you thought about it?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Good thing
2. Bad thing

MISSING CODES:
----------------
7. Haven't thought
8. Don't know
F1L11. INTRODUCTION - FEDERAL INCOME TAXES

Next are a few questions about federal income taxes.

M045119 Q167.f111a. R Think Pay More/Less Taxes Than Shld

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Do you feel you are asked to pay MORE THAN YOU SHOULD in federal income taxes, about the RIGHT AMOUNT, or LESS THAN YOU SHOULD?

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. More than should pay
3. About right
5. Less than should pay
7. Don't pay at all {VOL}

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:      
----------
Numeric Dec 0

M045120 Q168.f111b. Rich Pay More/Less Than Shld In Taxes

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
What about rich people?
Do you feel rich people are asked to pay MORE THAN THEY SHOULD in federal income taxes, about the RIGHT AMOUNT, or LESS THAN THEY SHOULD?

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. More than should pay
3. About right
5. Less than should pay
7. Don't pay at all {VOL}

MISSING CODES:
------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:
------
The order in which Q168 and Q169 was administered was randomized.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
QUESTION:
---------
What about poor people?
Do you feel poor people are asked to pay MORE THAN THEY SHOULD in federal income taxes, about the RIGHT AMOUNT, or LESS THAN THEY SHOULD?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. More than should pay
3. About right
5. Less than should pay
7. Don't pay at all {VOL}

MISSING CODES:
------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:
------
The order in which Q168 and Q169 was administered was randomized.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
QUESTION:
---------
INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: (choose one)
VALID CODES:
------------
1. "Congress passed" wording
2. "President Bush signed" wording

NOTES:
------
For the tax cut series of questions, respondents were randomly assigned one of two possible wordings, listed here.

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
As you may recall, [Congress passed/President Bush signed] a big tax cut a few years ago. Did you FAVOR or OPPOSE the tax cut, or is this something you haven't thought about?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Favor
5. Oppose
7. Other/depends/neither {VOL} {SPECIFY}

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. Haven't thought about

NOTES:
------
Respondents were randomly assigned one of two possible wordings: Congress passed OR President Bush signed.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R ASSIGNED "CONGRESS PASSED" WORDING:
IF R FAVORED TAX CUT/
IF R OPPOSED TAX CUT:

QUESTION:
---------
Did you [favor/oppose] the tax cut STRONGLY or
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R ASSIGNED "PRESIDENT BUSH SIGNED" WORDING:
IF R FAVORED TAX CUT/
IF R OPPOSED TAX CUT:

QUESTION:
---------
Did you [favor/oppose] the tax cut STRONGLY or NOT STRONGLY?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Strongly
5. Not strongly

MISSING CODES:
------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 0,7,8,9 in Q171; alternate wording

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
As you may recall, [Congress passed/President Bush signed] a big tax cut a few years ago.
Did you FAVOR or OPPOSE the tax cut, or is this something you haven't thought about?
Did you [favor/oppose] the tax cut STRONGLY or
NOT STRONGLY?

VALID CODES:

1. Favored strongly
2. Favored not so strongly
4. Opposed not so strongly
5. Opposed strongly
7. Other

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know (in Q173 or Q174)
9. Refused (in Q173 or Q174)
0. Haven't thought about
INAP 8,9 in Q171

TYPE:

-----
Numeric Dec 0

========================================================================
M045124 Q176.f1m2c. Dem Party Favor/Oppose Tax Cut
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Do you happen to remember - did the Democratic Party FAVOR or OPPOSE the tax cut that [Congress passed/President Bush signed] a few years ago?

VALID CODES:

1. Favor
5. Oppose
7. Other {VOL} {SPECIFY}
0. Don't remember {VOL}

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:

------
Order in which Q176 and Q178 was administered was randomized

TYPE:

-----
Numeric Dec 0

========================================================================
M045125 Q178.f1m2e. Rep Party Fav/Opp Tax Cut
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:  

Page 1373
QUESTION:
--------
What about the Republican Party - did the Republican Party FAVOR or OPPOSE the tax cut that [Congress passed/President Bush signed] a few years ago?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Favor
5. Oppose
7. Other  {VOL} {SPECIFY}
0. Don't remember {VOL}

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:
------
Order in which Q176 and Q178 was administered was randomized

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
--------
How important is this issue to you personally - VERY important, SOMewhat important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0
Some people think the government should provide fewer services even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Suppose we put such people on a scale that goes from 1 to 7, placing them at the end of the scale numbered "1". Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Suppose these people are at the other end of the scale, at point number "7". And, of course other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6.

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

Where would you place YOURSELF on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? (As a reminder, 1 means the government should provide many fewer services, 7 means the government should provide many more services, and of course you can choose points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 in between.)

VALID CODES:

01. Govt should provide many fewer services
02.
03.
04.
05.
06.
07. Govt should provide many more services

MISSING CODES:

80. Haven't thought much about this
88. Don't know
89. Refused

TYPE:

Numeric Dec 0
services, 7 means the government should provide many more services, and of course you can choose points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 in between.)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
--------------------------
DO NOT PROBE DK

VALID CODES:
----------
1. Govt should provide many fewer services
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. Govt should provide many more services

MISSING CODES:
------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
QUESTION:
---------
Where would you place the DEMOCRATIC PARTY (on this scale)?
(As a reminder, 1 means the government should provide many fewer services, 7 means the government should provide many more services, and of course you can choose points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 in between.)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
--------------------------
DO NOT PROBE DK

VALID CODES:
----------
1. Govt should provide many fewer services
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. Govt should provide many more services

MISSING CODES:
------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
Order of Democratic and Republican party placements randomized.

Where would you place the REPUBLICAN PARTY (on this scale)?
(As a reminder, 1 means the government should provide many fewer services, 7 means the government should provide many more services, and of course you can choose points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 in between.)

Do not probe DK

Valid codes:
1. Govt should provide many fewer services
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7. Govt should provide many more services

missing codes:
8. Don't know
9. Refused

How important is this issue to you personally - VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL?
Some people think that if a company has a history of discriminating against blacks when making hiring decisions, then they should be required to have an affirmative action program that gives blacks preference in hiring.

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R ADMINISTERED AFFIRMATIVE ACTION SERIES IN 2002:

QUESTION:
---------
What do you think? Should companies that have discriminated against blacks have to have an affirmative action program?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Yes, they should have to have affirmative action
5. No, they should not have to have affirmative action
7. Other (specify) {VOL}

MISSING CODES:
------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

INAP. R administered equal pay for women series

NOTES:
------
Respondents were administered the same set of questions as in 2002. They were asked either affirmative action items Q186-Q194 or equal treatment for women items Q195-Q199.
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R ADMINISTERED AFFIRMATIVE ACTION SERIES IN 2002:

QUESTION:
---------
Which would you say is CLOSER to George Bush's position -- that companies that have discriminated against blacks should have an affirmative action program, or not?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW)

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Yes, they should have to have affirmative action
5. No, they should not have to have affirmative action
7. Other (specify) {VOL}

MISSING CODES:
-----------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. R administered equal pay for women series

NOTES:
------
Respondents were administered the same set of questions as in 2002. They were asked either affirmative action items Q186-Q194 or equal treatment for women items Q195-Q199.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0
Respondents were administered the same set of questions as in 2002. They were asked either affirmative action items Q186-Q194 or equal treatment for women items Q195-Q199. Order of Q190.F2M5b (position of Democratic Party) and Q192.F2M5c (position of Republican Party) was randomized.

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R ADMINISTERED AFFIRMATIVE ACTION SERIES IN 2002:

QUESTION:
---------
Which would you say is CLOSER to the Republican Party's position -- that companies that have discriminated against blacks should have an affirmative action program, or not?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Yes, they should have to have affirmative action
5. No, they should not have to have affirmative action
7. Other (specify) {VOL}

MISSING CODES:
------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. R administered equal pay for women series

NOTES:
------
Respondents were administered the same set of questions as in 2002. They were asked either affirmative action items Q186-Q194 or equal treatment for women items Q195-Q199. Order of Q190.F2M5b (position of Democratic Party) and Q192.F2M5c (position of Republican Party) was randomized.
Respondents were administered the same set of questions as in 2002. They were asked either affirmative action items Q186-Q194 or equal treatment for women items Q195-Q199. Order of Q190.F2M5b (position of Democratic Party) and Q192.F2M5c (position of Republican Party) was randomized.

**TYPE:**
---
Numeric Dec 0

---

**M045136** Q194.f2m5d. Affirmative Action-Importance To R

---

**POST-ELECTION SURVEY:**
IF R ADMINISTERED AFFIRMATIVE ACTION SERIES IN 2002:

**QUESTION:**
--------
How important is this issue to you personally - VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL?

**VALID CODES:**
------------
1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all

**MISSING CODES:**
------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. R administered equal pay for women series

**NOTES:**
-------
Respondents were administered the same set of questions as in 2002. They were asked either affirmative action items Q186-Q194 or equal treatment for women items Q195-Q199.

**TYPE:**
---
Numeric Dec 0

---

**M045137** Q195.f2m6. Govt Ensure Equal Pay For Women

---

**POST-ELECTION SURVEY:**
IF R ADMINISTERED EQUAL PAY FOR WOMEN SERIES IN 2002:

**QUESTION:**
--------
How much do you think the federal government
should be doing to make sure that women get equal pay for equal work - A LOT, SOME, or NOT MUCH AT ALL?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. A lot
3. Some
5. Not much at all

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

INAP. R administered Affirmative Action series

NOTES:
------
Respondents were administered the same set of questions as in 2002. They were asked either affirmative action items Q186-Q194 or equal treatment for women items Q195-Q199.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R ADMINISTERED EQUAL PAY FOR WOMEN SERIES IN 2002:

QUESTION:
---------
Which would you say is CLOSER to George Bush's position -- that the federal government should be doing - A LOT, SOME, or NOT MUCH AT ALL to make sure that women get equal pay for equal work?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW)

VALID CODES:
------------
1. A lot
3. Some
5. Not much at all

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

INAP. R administered Affirmative Action series
Respondents were administered the same set of questions as in 2002. They were asked either affirmative action items Q186-Q194 or equal treatment for women items Q195-Q199.

**QUESTION:**

Which would you say is CLOSER to the Democratic Party's position -- that the federal government should be doing -- A LOT, SOME, or NOT MUCH AT ALL to make sure that women get equal pay for equal work?

**INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:**

{DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

**VALID CODES:**

1. A lot
3. Some
5. Not much at all

**MISSING CODES:**

8. Don't know
9. Refused

INAP. R administered Affirmative Action series

Respondents were administered the same set of questions as in 2002. They were asked either affirmative action items Q186-Q194 or equal treatment for women items Q195-Q199.

Order of Q197 (position of Democratic Party) and Q198 (position of Republican Party) was randomized.
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R ADMINISTERED EQUAL PAY FOR WOMEN SERIES IN 2002:

QUESTION:
---------
Which would you say is CLOSER to the Republican Party's position -- that the federal government should be doing - A LOT, SOME, or NOT MUCH AT ALL to make sure that women get equal pay for equal work?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
-----------------------
(Do not probe don't know)

VALID CODES:
------------
1. A lot
3. Some
5. Not much at all

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

INAP. R administered Affirmative Action series

NOTES:
------
Respondents were administered the same set of questions as in 2002. They were asked either affirmative action items Q186-Q194 or equal treatment for women items Q195-Q199. Order of Q197 (position of Democratic Party) and Q198 (position of Republican Party) was randomized.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

------------------------------------------------------------------------
M045141 Q199.f2m6c. Equal Pay For Women - Importance To R
------------------------------------------------------------------------

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R ADMINISTERED EQUAL PAY FOR WOMEN SERIES IN 2002:

QUESTION:
---------
How important is this issue to you personally - VERY important, SOMewhat important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Very important
3. Somewhat important
5. Not important at all

MISSING CODES:
------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

INAP. R administered Affirmative Action series

NOTES:
------
Respondents were administered the same set of questions as in 2002. They were asked either affirmative action items Q186-Q194 or equal treatment for women items Q195-Q199.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

========================================================================
M045142     Q200.f1h9. US Position In World-Weaker/Stronger
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Turning to some other issues facing the country. During the past year, would you say that the United States' position in the world has grown WEAKER, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME, or has it grown STRONGER?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Weaker
3. Stayed about the same
5. Stronger

MISSING CODES:
------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

========================================================================
M045143     Q201.f1h12. Agree/Disagree US Should Stay Home
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Do you AGREE or DISAGREE with this statement: 'This country would be better off if we just stayed home and did not concern ourselves with
Now I'd like to read you a few statements about public life. I'll read them one at a time. Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with each of them.

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:

'I consider myself well-qualified to participate in politics.'
Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE with this statement?

VALID CODES:

1. Agree
3. Neither agree nor disagree
5. Disagree

MISSING CODES:

8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:

-----
Numeric Dec 0
QUESTION:
--------
'I think that I am better informed about
politics and government than most people.'
(Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE,
or DISAGREE with this statement?)

VALID CODES:
-----------
1. Agree
3. Neither agree nor disagree
5. Disagree

MISSING CODES:
-----------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
--------
'So many other people vote in the
national election that it doesn't matter
much to me whether I vote or not.'
(Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE,
or DISAGREE with this statement?)

VALID CODES:
-----------
1. Agree
3. Neither agree nor disagree
5. Disagree

MISSING CODES:
-----------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

Please tell me whether you agree or disagree
with these statements about the government.
The first is:
M045147     Q205.f2q1a. Public Officials Don't Care

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
' Public officials don't care much what people like me think. ' 
Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE with this statement?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Agree
3. Neither agree nor disagree
5. Disagree

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M045148     Q206.f2q1b. People Like Me Have No Say

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
' People like me don't have any say about what the government does. ' 
(Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE with this statement?)

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Agree
3. Neither agree nor disagree
5. Disagree

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Missing

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0
People have different ideas about the government in Washington. These ideas don't refer to Democrats or Republicans in particular, but just to the government in general. We want to see how you feel about these ideas. For example:

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
How much of the time do you think you can trust the government in Washington to do what is right -- JUST ABOUT ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, or only SOME OF THE TIME?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Just about always  
2. Most of the time  
3. Only some of the time  
4. Never {VOL}

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know  
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Do you think that people in government waste A LOT of the money we pay in taxes, waste SOME of it, or DON'T WASTE VERY MUCH of it?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Waste a lot  
3. Waste some  
5. Don't waste very much

MISSING CODES:
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

---

M045151 Q209.F2Q5. Govt Run By Big Interests/Benefit Of All
---

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Would you say the government is pretty much run by A FEW BIG INTERESTS looking out for themselves or that it is run for THE BENEFIT OF ALL THE PEOPLE?

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. Government run by a few big interests
5. Government run for the benefit of all

MISSING CODES:
-------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

---

M045152 Q210.F2Q6. How Many In Govt Crooked
---

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Do you think that QUITE A FEW of the people running the government are crooked, NOT VERY MANY are, or do you think HARDLY ANY of them are crooked?

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. Quite a few are crooked
3. Not very many are crooked
5. Hardly any are crooked

MISSING CODES:
-------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
M045153  Q211.f2q7. How Much Elections Make Govt Pay Attn

**POST-ELECTION SURVEY:**

**QUESTION:**

How much do you feel that having elections makes the government pay attention to what the people think -- a GOOD DEAL, SOME, or NOT MUCH?

**VALID CODES:**

1. A good deal
3. Some
5. Not much

**MISSING CODES:**

8. Don't know
9. Refused

M045154  Q212.f2t6. Satisfaction With How US Democracy Works

**POST-ELECTION SURVEY:**

**QUESTION:**

On the whole, are you SATISFIED, FAIRLY SATISFIED, NOT VERY SATISFIED, or NOT AT ALL SATISFIED with the way democracy works in the United States?

**VALID CODES:**

1. Satisfied
2. Fairly satisfied
3. Not very satisfied
4. Not at all satisfied

**MISSING CODES:**

8. Don't know
9. Refused
Now for some other questions. Please tell me whether you AGREE or DISAGREE with the next few statements.

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
'A working mother can establish just as warm and secure a relationship with her children as a mother who does not work.'
(Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE with this statement?)

VALID CODES:
----------
1. Agree
3. Neither agree nor disagree
5. Disagree

MISSING CODES:
------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:
-------
1/2 sample administered, in order, Q213,Q214,Q215;
1/2 sample administered, in order, Q215,Q213,Q214

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
'When women demand equality these days, they are actually seeking special favors.'
(Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE with this statement?)

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Agree
3. Neither agree nor disagree
5. Disagree

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:
-------
1/2 sample administered, in order, Q213,Q214,Q215;
1/2 sample administered, in order, Q215,Q213,Q214

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0
Turning to another topic.
Generally speaking, would you say that MOST PEOPLE CAN BE TRUSTED or that you CAN'T BE TOO CAREFUL in dealing with people?

VALID CODES:
-----------
1. Most people can be trusted
5. Can't be too careful

MISSING CODES:
------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R ADMINISTERED "PEOPLE TAKE ADVANTAGE OF" ITEM IN 2002:

QUESTION:
---------
Do you think most people would try to TAKE ADVANTAGE of you if they got the chance or would they TRY TO BE FAIR?

VALID CODES:
-----------
1. Take advantage
5. Try to be fair

MISSING CODES:
------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. R administered Q218

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R ADMINISTERED "PEOPLE TRY TO BE HELPFUL" ITEM IN 2002:

QUESTION:
---------
Would you say that most of the time people TRY TO BE HELPFUL, or that they are JUST
LOOKING OUT FOR THEMSELVES?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Try to be helpful
5. Just looking out for themselves

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. R administered Q217

TYPE:
-----
------
Numeric Dec 0

M045161  Q219.f2k2. R Able To Do Volunteer Work Past Year

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Many people say they have less time these days to do volunteer work. What about you, were you able to devote any time to volunteer work IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS or did you not do so?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTERVIEWER: VOLUNTEER WORK IS UNPAID WORK ON BEHALF OF SOMEONE OTHER THAN THE RESPONDENT OR HIS/HER FAMILY. IF RESPONDENT ASKS, WE CAN SAY "WORK THAT YOU DO FOR OTHER PEOPLE OR GROUPS THAT YOU DO NOT EXPECT TO BE PAID FOR").

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
------
Numeric Dec 0

M045162  Q220.f2m12a. R Worked With Others On Community Issue

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
DURING THE PAST 12 MONTHS, have you worked with other people to deal with some issue facing your community?

VALID CODES: 
------------
1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES: 
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE: 
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION: 
----------
DURING THE PAST TWELVE MONTHS, have you telephoned, written a letter to, or visited a government official to express your views on a public issue?

VALID CODES: 
------------
1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES: 
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE: 
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION: 
----------
DURING THE PAST TWELVE MONTHS, did you attend a meeting about an issue facing your community or schools?

VALID CODES: 
------------
1. Yes  
5. No  

MISSING CODES:  
--------------  
8. Don't know  
9. Refused  

TYPE:  
-----  
Numeric Dec 0  

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:  

QUESTION:  
------  
Here is a list of some organizations people can belong to. There are labor unions, associations of people who do the same kinds of work, fraternal groups such as Lions or Kiwanis, hobby clubs or sports teams, groups working on political issues, community groups, and school groups. Of course, there are lots of other types of organizations, too. Not counting membership in a local church or synagogue, are you a member of any of these kinds of organizations?  

VALID CODES:  
---------  
1. Yes  
5. No  

MISSING CODES:  
--------------  
8. Don't know  
9. Refused  

TYPE:  
-----  
Numeric Dec 0  

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:  

QUESTION:  
------  
Many people are finding it more difficult to make contributions to church or charity as much as they used to. How about you -- were you able to contribute any money to church or charity in the LAST 12 MONTHS?
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
-------
Aside from a strike against your employer, in the PAST TWELVE MONTHS, have you taken part in a protest, march, or demonstration on some national or local issue?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
-------
Next, I'd like to ask you a few questions about life in your neighborhood. During the PAST TWELVE MONTHS, have you worked with others from your neighborhood to deal with a common issue or problem?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Yes
5. No  
7. No neighbors [VOL]  

MISSING CODES:  
------------
8. Don't know  
9. Refused  

TYPE:  
-----  
Numeric Dec 0  

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:  

QUESTION:  
---------  
Next some questions about the people you regularly see in your neighborhood. In general, with these people in mind, would you say that they are just looking out for themselves ALL OF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, HARDLY EVER, or NEVER?  

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:  
------------------------  
(DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW)  

VALID CODES:  
------------
1. All of the time  
2. Most of the time  
3. Some of the time  
4. Hardly ever  
5. Never  
7. No neighbors [VOL]  

MISSING CODES:  
------------
8. Don't know  
9. Refused  

TYPE:  
-----  
Numeric Dec 0  

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:  

QUESTION:  
---------  
Would you say those people you see regularly in your neighborhood try to take advantage of
others ALL OF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, HARDLY EVER, or NEVER?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:  
-------------------------------
(Do not probe Don't know)

VALID CODES:  
---------------
1. All of the time
2. Most of the time
3. Some of the time
4. Hardly ever
5. Never
7. No neighbors [VOL]

MISSING CODES:  
----------------- 
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:  
------
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:  
----------
(Again, thinking about those people you see in your neighborhood,) Would you say they treat others with respect ALL OF THE TIME, MOST OF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, HARDLY EVER, or NEVER?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:  
--------------------------
(Do not probe Don't know)

VALID CODES:  
---------------
1. All of the time
2. Most of the time
3. Some of the time
4. Hardly ever
5. Never
7. No neighbors [VOL]

MISSING CODES:  
----------------- 
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:  
------
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Would you say that HONEST describes the people in your neighborhood EXTREMELY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT TOO WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:  
------------------------
(DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW)

VALID CODES:  
--------------
1. Extremely well
2. Quite well
3. Not too well
4. Not well at all
7. No neighbors [VOL]

MISSING CODES:  
----------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:  
-----
Numeric Dec 0

----------------------------

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
On another subject now. Do you consider religion to be an IMPORTANT part of your life, or NOT?

VALID CODES:  
--------------
1. Important
5. Not important

MISSING CODES:  
----------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:  
-----
M045173a  Q232.f1n1a. How Important Religion In Rs Life

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF RELIGION IS IMPORTANT PART OF R'S LIFE:

QUESTION:
---------
Would you say your religion provides SOME guidance in your day-to-day living, QUITE A BIT of guidance, or A GREAT DEAL of guidance in your day-to-day life?

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. Some
3. Quite a bit
5. A great deal

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 5,8,9 in Q231

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

M045174     Q233.f1n3. Does R Attend Religious Services

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Lots of things come up that keep people from attending religious services even if they want to. Thinking about your life these days, do you ever attend religious services, apart from occasional weddings, baptisms, or funerals?

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0
M045174a  Q234.f1n3a. How Often R Attends Religious Services

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:

QUESTION:
---------
Do you go to religious services EVERY WEEK, ALMOST EVERY WEEK, ONCE OR TWICE A MONTH, A FEW TIMES A YEAR, or NEVER?

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. Every week
2. Almost every week
3. Once or twice a month
4. A few times a year
5. Never

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 5,8,9 in Q233.F1N3.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M045174b  Q235.f1n3a1. Attends Once A Week/More Than Weekly

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES EVERY WEEK:

QUESTION:
---------
Would you say you go to religious services ONCE A WEEK or MORE OFTEN THAN ONCE A WEEK?

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. Once a week
2. More often than once a week

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 2-5,8,9 in Q234

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------

Aside from attending services, in the PAST SIX MONTHS have you been an active member at your place of worship - I mean, have you done things like serve on committees, give time for special projects, or help organize meetings?

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R HAS BEEN ACTIVE MEMBER AT PLACE OF WORSHIP:

QUESTION:
---------

As part of these activities, have you planned or chaired a meeting (in the last six months)?

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

INAP. 5,8,9 in Q236.F1N4

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

IF R HAS BEEN ACTIVE MEMBER AT PLACE OF WORSHIP:

QUESTION:
---------
(As part of these activities,) have you given
a presentation or speech (in the last six months)?

VALID CODES:
-----------
1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 5,8,9 in Q236.F1N4

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

F2Q2. INTRODUCTION TO LAST SET OF QUESTIONS
We're nearly at the end of the interview now, and have
just this last set of questions.

M045176 Q239.f3y2. Rs Marital Status

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Are you MARRIED NOW and living with your spouse
- or are you WIDOWED, DIVORCED, SEPARATED, or
have you NEVER MARRIED?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTERVIEWER: PEOPLE THAT YOU INCLUDE UNDER CATEGORY 6 SHOULD BE
LIVING AS MARRIED.)

VALID CODES:
-----------
1. Married
2. Widowed
3. Divorced
4. Separated
5. Never married
6. Partnered, not married {VOL}

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Did you ever attend an undergraduate college or university?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTERVIEWER: IF "YES" ASK: What school was that?)
(INTERVIEWER: The word "attend" includes both those who did not finish their degree, and those who did.)
(INTERVIEWER: THIS IS AN OPEN-ENDED QUESTION. REMEMBER TO RECORD VERBATIM. USE "ANYTHING ELSE" PROBE UNTIL COMPLETION. USE STANDARD CLARIFICATION PROBES AS APPROPRIATE. IF MORE SPACE IS NEEDED, FINISH ON PAPER.)

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Yes
2. No

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

NOTES:
------
This variable coded from an open-end response
VALID CODES:
-----------
001. Working now
002. Temporarily laid off
003. Unemployed
004. Retired
005. Permanently disabled
006. Homemaker
007. Student
014. Working now and retired
016. Working now and homemaker
017. Working now and student
026. Temporarily laid off and homemaker
034. Unemployed and retired
035. Unemployed and permanently disabled
036. Unemployed and homemaker
045. Retired and permanently disabled
046. Retired and homemaker
047. Retired and student
067. Homemaker and student
146. Working now, retired, and homemaker
167. Working now, homemaker, and student
467. Retired, homemaker, and student

MISSING CODES:
--------------
888. Don't know
889. Refused

NOTES:
------
This is a final summary which codes respondent occupation status from R's response to the questions in the employment section (items Q243, Q244, and Q245)

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

F3Y8. INTRODUCTION - QUESTIONS FOR RS WORKING NOW

Here are a couple of things people sometimes do as part of their job. After I read each, please tell me whether or not you have done this, DURING THE LAST SIX MONTHS, as part of your job.

M045179a Q247.f3y8a. R Planned/Chaired Mtg At Work

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R IS WORKING NOW:
Have you planned or chaired a meeting (in the last 6 months?)

VALID CODES:
1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES:
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 3-7,34-67,888 in Q246

TYPE:
Numeric Dec 0

========================================================================
M045179b     Q248.f3y8b. R Given Presentation/Speech At Work
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R IS WORKING NOW:

Have you given a presentation or speech (in the last 6 months?)

VALID CODES:
1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES:
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 3-7,34-67,888 in Q246

TYPE:
Numeric Dec 0

========================================================================
F326. INTRODUCTION - R'S FAMILY INCOME
========================================================================

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. The next questions are about the total income of all members of your family living in your house in 2003, before taxes and other deductions. The figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income.
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Is your total household income less than $50,000 or more than $50,000?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(PROBE IF NEEDED: What would be your best guess?)

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. Less than $50,000
3. More than $50,000
7. Income was (about) $50,000 (VOL)

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R's TOTAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME MORE THAN $50,000:

QUESTION:
---------
Which category best describes your total household income: $50,000-$64,999, $65,000-$84,999, or more than $84,999?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(PROBE IF NEEDED: What would be your best guess?)

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. $50,000-$64,999
3. $65,000-$84,999
5. More than $84,999

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 1,7-9 in Q249
M045180c  Q251.f3z6c. Category Family Income If Less Than 50K

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R's TOTAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME LESS THAN $50,000:

QUESTION:
--------
Which category best describes your total household income: $0-$14,999, $15,000-$34,999, or $35,000-$49,999?

{PROBE IF NEEDED: What would be your best guess?}

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. $0-$14,999
3. $15,000-$34,999
5. $35,000-$49,999

MISSING CODES:
---------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 3,7-9 IN Q249

M045180x  Q252.f3z6x. R's Family Income-Summary

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
--------
Is your total household income less than $50,000 or more than $50,000?
Which category best describes your total household income: $50,000-$64,999, $65,000-$84,999, or more than $84,999?
Which category best describes your total household income: $0-$14,999, $15,000-$34,999, or $35,000-$49,999?

{PROBE IF NEEDED: What would be your best guess?}

VALID CODES:
-------------
01. $0-$14,999
02. $15,000-$34,999
03. $35,000-$49,999
04. Just about $50,000 [VOL]
05. $50,000-$64,999
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Do you personally (or jointly with a spouse), have any MONEY INVESTED IN THE STOCK MARKET RIGHT NOW -- either in an individual stock or in a mutual fund?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
(INTERVIEWER: "MONEY INVESTED" INCLUDES RETIREMENT ACCOUNTS, LIKE IRAS INVESTED IN MUTUAL FUNDS.)

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES:
-------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

---

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Do you (or any family member living with you) CURRENTLY receive payments from: Medicare?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES:
-------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

========================================================================
M045183 Q255.f3z5c. Family Medicaid Benefits
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
--------
Do you (or any family member living with you)
CURRENTLY receive payments from: Medicaid?

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES:
-------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

========================================================================
M045184 Q256.f3z5g. Family Retirement Account/Pension
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
--------
Do you (or any family member living with you)
CURRENTLY have retirement savings either in a
pension plan or a retirement account, like an IRA?

VALID CODES:
-------------
1. Yes
5. No

MISSING CODES:
-------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE:
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

(INTERVIEWER: THIS IS AN OPEN-ENDED QUESTION. REMEMBER TO RECORD VERBATIM. USE "ANYTHING ELSE" PROBE UNTIL COMPLETION. USE STANDARD CLARIFICATION PROBES AS APPROPRIATE. IF MORE SPACE IS NEEDED, FINISH ON PAPER.)

VALID CODES:
------------
10. Black
20. Asian
30. Native American
40. Hispanic
50. White
70. Other

MISSING CODES:
--------------
80. None
88. Don't know
89. Refused
00. NA

NOTES:
------
The summary variable for Q257-Q259 follows at Q260. This variable coded from an open-end response. Mentions coded in order, as mentioned by R. Hawaiian/Pacific Islander coded "20. Asian".

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
What racial or ethnic group or groups best
What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

(INTERVIEWER: THIS IS AN OPEN-ENDED QUESTION. REMEMBER TO RECORD VERBATIM. USE "ANYTHING ELSE" PROBE UNTIL COMPLETION. USE STANDARD CLARIFICATION PROBES AS APPROPRIATE. IF MORE SPACE IS NEEDED, FINISH ON PAPER.)

VALID CODES:
------------
10. Black
20. Asian
30. Native American
40. Hispanic
50. White
70. Other

MISSING CODES:
---------------
80. None
88. Don't know
89. Refused
00. NA

NOTES:
------
The summary variable for Q257-Q259 follows at Q260.
This variable coded from an open-end response.
Mentions coded in order, as mentioned by R.
Hawaiian/Pacific Islander coded "20. Asian".

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

M045185c   Q259.f3z7e3. Rs Race/Ethnicity-Mention 3

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?

(INTERVIEWER: THIS IS AN OPEN-ENDED QUESTION. REMEMBER TO RECORD VERBATIM. USE "ANYTHING ELSE" PROBE UNTIL COMPLETION. USE STANDARD CLARIFICATION PROBES AS APPROPRIATE. IF MORE SPACE IS NEEDED, FINISH ON PAPER.)

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

VALID CODES:
------------
10. Black
20. Asian
30. Native American
40. Hispanic
50. White
70. Other

MISSING CODES:
-------------
80. None
88. Don’t know
89. Refused
00. NA

NOTES:
------
The summary variable for Q257-Q259 follows at Q260.
This variable coded from an open-end response.
Mentions coded in order, as mentioned by R.
Hawaiian/Pacific Islander coded "20. Asian".

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

------------------------------------------------------------------------
M045185x    Q260.f3z7ex. Summary: Rs Race/Ethnicity
------------------------------------------------------------------------

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}
(INTERVIEWER: THIS IS AN OPEN-ENDED QUESTION. REMEMBER TO RECORD
VERBATIM. USE "ANYTHING ELSE" PROBE UNTIL COMPLETION. USE STANDARD
CLARIFICATION PROBES AS APPROPRIATE. IF MORE SPACE IS NEEDED, FINISH
ON PAPER.)

VALID CODES:
------------
10. Black
12. Black and Asian
13. Black and Native American
14. Black and Hispanic
15. Black and White
20. Asian
23. Asian and Native American
24. Asian and Hispanic
25. Asian and White
30. Native American
34. Native American and Hispanic
35. Native American and White
40. Hispanic
45. Hispanic and White
50. White (no mention of other race)
70. Other

MISSING CODES:
----------
80. None
88. Don't know
89. Refused
00. NA

NOTES:
------
Hawaiian/Pacific Islander coded "20. Asian".
Note: case 652 has been coded 15 (Black and White)
and American Indian)

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Checkpoint: Hispanic mention

VALID CODES:
----------
0. No Hispanic mention in Q257-Q259
1. Hispanic mention in Q257-Q259
6. Hispanic mention in Q257-Q259 but IWR mistakenly
coded Q263 for no Hispanic mention
7. No Hispanic mention in Q257-Q259 but IWR coded
Q263 for Hispanic mention
8. Hispanic mention in Q257-Q259 but IWR mistakenly
coded Q263 as don't know

NOTE:
-----
Respondents whom the interviewer did not
code for Hispanic mention in Q263 were
asked Q264 (if Q263 correctly coded).
See Q265 for final Hispanic status summary.
Code 7 did not appear onscreen for the
interviewer to code but flags cases where
respondent mentions in Q257-Q259 did not
specifically indicate Hispanic race but
interviewer independently identified
respondent as Hispanic and coded Q263
accordingly [note: for all cases coded 7
the respondent was Hispanic].
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**TYPE:**
-----
Numeric Dec 0

---

**M045187 Q264.F3Z9. Is R Spanish/Of Hispanic Origin**

---

**POST-ELECTION SURVEY:**

**IF HISPANIC OR LATINO NOT MENTIONED FOR RACE/ETHNICITY IN Q257-Q262:**

**QUESTION:**
---------
Are you of Spanish or Hispanic origin or descent?

**VALID CODES:**
-------------
1. Yes
5. No

**MISSING CODES:**
------------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 1, 6, 7, 8 in Q263

**NOTE:**
-----
See Q265.F3Z9ax for Hispanic status summary.

**TYPE:**
-----
Numeric Dec 0

---

**M045188x Q265.F3z9ax. Summary: Is R Spanish/Of Hispanic Origin**

---

**POST-ELECTION SURVEY:**

**QUESTION:**
---------
INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT:
IS SPANISH OR HISPANIC GROUP MENTIONED IN Q257-Q262/ DID R IDENTIFY AS SPANISH/OF HISPANIC ORIGIN IN Q264:

**VALID CODES:**
-------------
0. No Hispanic mention in either Q257-Q262 or Q264
   (0 in Q263 and 5, DK, RF in Q264)
1. Hispanic mention in Q257-Q262 (1 in Q263)
2. Hispanic mention in Q264 (0 in Q263 and 1 in Q264)
3. Hispanic mention in both Q257-Q262 and Q264
   (6 in Q263 and 1 in Q264)

7. Hispanic - no Hispanic mention in Q257-Q259 but IWR
correctly coded Q263 as Hispanic [Q264 not asked]

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:
IF R HISPANIC:

QUESTION:
---------
Would you describe your Hispanic origin as MEXICAN,
PUERTO RICAN, CUBAN, LATIN AMERICA, CENTRAL AMERICAN,
or SPANISH?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Mexican
2. Puerto Rican
3. Cuban
4. Latin American
5. Central American
6. Spanish
7. Other [VOL] [SPECIFY]

MISSING CODES:
--------------
8. Don't know
9. Refused
INAP. 0,7 in Q265

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
How long have you lived in your present community?

VALID CODES:
------------
00. Less than 1 year
01. 12-18 months; 1 year
02. 19-24 months; 2 years
03. 3 years
. 
76. 76 years or more

MISSING CODES:
-------------
88. Don't know
89. Refused
99. NA

NOTES:
------
If R answered 'all my life' then R's age in years has been coded 18-76.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
How long have you lived in your current home?

VALID CODES:
-------------
00. Less than 1 year
01. 12-18 months; 1 year
02. 19-24 months; 2 years
03. 3 years
. 
76. 76 years or more

MISSING CODES:
-------------
88. Don't know
89. Refused
99. NA

NOTES:
------
If R answered 'all my life' then R's age in years has been coded 18-76.

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION: 
-------
(Do you/Does your family) own your home, pay rent, or what?

VALID CODES: 
----------
1. Own house
5. Pay rent
7. Other {SPECIFY}

MISSING CODES: 
----------
8. Don't know
9. Refused

TYPE: 
-----
Numeric Dec 0
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION: 
---------
R's cooperation was:

VALID CODES:
----------
1. Very good
2. Good
3. Fair
4. Poor
5. Very poor

TYPE: 
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION: 
---------
R's general level of information about politics and public affairs seemed:

VALID CODES:
----------
1. Very high
2. Fairly high
3. Average
4. Fairly low
5. Very low

TYPE: 
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION: 
---------
R's apparent intelligence:
VALID CODES:
------------
1. Very high
2. Fairly high
3. Average
4. Fairly low
5. Very low

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

---

M045204     Q281.f4z7. IWR - How Suspicious R Before Study
---

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
How suspicious did R seem to be about the study before the interview?:

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Not at all suspicious
3. Somewhat suspicious
5. Very suspicious

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

---

M045205     Q282.f4z8. IWR - Rs Interest In Interview
---

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Overall, how great was R's interest in the interview?

VALID CODES:
------------
1. Very high
2. Fairly high
3. Average
4. Fairly low
5. Very low

TYPE:
-----
Numeric  Dec 0

---

M045206     Q283.f4z9. IWR - Rs Sincerity
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION: 
---------
How sincere did R seem to be in his/her answers?

VALID CODES: 
-----------
1. Completely sincere
3. Usually sincere
5. Often seemed to be insincere

TYPE: 
-----
Numeric Dec 0

========================================================================
M045206a Q284.f4z9a. IWR - Doubted Rs Sincerity
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION: 
---------
Were there any particular parts of the interview for which you doubted R's sincerity?

VALID CODES: 
-----------
1. Yes
5. No

TYPE: 
-----
Numeric Dec 0

========================================================================
M045207a Q286.f4z12_1. IWR - Rs Reaction To IW - Mention 1
========================================================================

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION: 
---------
R's reaction to interview

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION: 
------------------------
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

VALID CODES: 
-----------
10. Negative - general
11. Negative - too long
12. Negative - too complicated
13. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious
15. R wanted to stop before iw completed. After starting IW, R made comments indicating regrets
having agreed to do IW
20. R complained and/or iwr observed R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight etc. IW was hard for R
22. R complained and/or iwr observed R was confused by Qs, R was slow reader. IW was hard for R
30. R expressed doubts/apologies/embarrassments over lack of knowledge or own suitability for IW
31. R expressed doubts/apologies/embarrassments over lack of political knowledge, candidate names, election issues, or own lack of political participation
40. R was agitated or stressed by interview process
41. R became angry at interview content
45. R became concerned about sampling purposes or bias
70. R appeared to enjoy the IW (R was cooperative/interested/pleasant)
80. Neutral or no feedback (1st mention only)

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
R's reaction to interview

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

VALID CODES:
------------
10. Negative - general
11. Negative - too long
12. Negative - too complicated
13. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious
15. R wanted to stop before iw completed. After starting IW, R made comments indicating regrets having agreed to do IW
20. R complained and/or iwr observed R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight etc. IW was hard for R
22. R complained and/or iwr observed R was confused by Qs, R was slow reader. IW was hard for R
30. R expressed doubts/apologies/embarrassments over lack of knowledge or own suitability for IW
31. R expressed doubts/apologies/embarrassments over lack of political knowledge, candidate names, election issues, or own lack of political participation
40. R was agitated or stressed by interview process
41. R became angry at interview content
45. R became concerned about sampling purposes or bias
70. R appeared to enjoy the IW (R was cooperative/interested/pleasant)

MISSING CODES:
-------------
INAP. No further mention

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
--------
R's reaction to interview

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

VALID CODES:
------------
10. Negative - general
11. Negative - too long
12. Negative - too complicated
13. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious
15. R wanted to stop before IW completed. After starting IW, R made comments indicating regrets having agreed to do IW
20. R complained and/or iwr observed R was ill/deaf/tired/bad eyesight etc. IW was hard for R
22. R complained and/or iwr observed R was confused by Qs, R was slow reader. IW was hard for R
30. R expressed doubts/apologies/embarrassments over lack of knowledge or own suitability for IW
31. R expressed doubts/apologies/embarrassments over lack of political knowledge, candidate names, election issues, or own lack of political participation
40. R was agitated or stressed by interview process
41. R became angry at interview content
45. R became concerned about sampling purposes or bias
70. R appeared to enjoy the IW (R was cooperative/interested/pleasant)

MISSING CODES:
-------------
INAP. No further mention

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0
POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
--------
R's reaction to interview

INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
------------------------
{MULTIPLE MENTIONS}

VALID CODES:
------------
10. Negative - general
11. Negative - too long
12. Negative - too complicated
13. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious
15. R wanted to stop before IW completed. After starting IW, R made comments indicating regrets having agreed to do IW
20. R complained and/or IWR observed R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight etc. IW was hard for R
22. R complained and/or IWR observed R was confused by Qs, R was slow reader. IW was hard for R
30. R expressed doubts/apologies/embarrassments over lack of knowledge or own suitability for IW
31. R expressed doubts/apologies/embarrassments over lack of political knowledge, candidate names, election issues, or own lack of political participation
40. R was agitated or stressed by interview process
41. R became angry at interview content
45. R became concerned about sampling purposes or bias
70. R appeared to enjoy the IW (R was cooperative/interested/pleasant)

MISSING CODES:
--------------
INAP. No further mention

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0
VALID CODES:
------------
10. Negative - general
11. Negative - too long
12. Negative - too complicated
13. Negative - boring/tedious/repetitious
15. R wanted to stop before IW completed. After starting IW, R made comments indicating regrets having agreed to do IW
20. R complained and/or iwr observed R was ill/deaf/tired/had bad eyesight etc. IW was hard for R
22. R complained and/or iwr observed R was confused by Qs, R was slow reader. IW was hard for R
30. R expressed doubts/apologies/embarrassments over lack of knowledge or own suitability for IW
31. R expressed doubts/apologies/embarrassments over lack of political knowledge, candidate names, election issues, or own lack of political participation
40. R was agitated or stressed by interview process
41. R became angry at interview content
45. R became concerned about sampling purposes or bias
70. R appeared to enjoy the IW (R was cooperative/interested/pleasant)

MISSING CODES:
---------------
INAP. No further mention

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0

POST-ELECTION SURVEY:

QUESTION:
---------
Is there any difficulty administering an interview by phone to this R? (EXAMPLES: hard of hearing, illness that makes it difficult for R to stay on the phone very long, etc.)

VALID CODES:
------------
1 Yes {SPECIFY}
5 No

TYPE:
-----
Numeric Dec 0