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This codebook was converted to Acrobat .PDF format prior to the renumbering of variables for all studies present on the NES 1948-1997 CDROM.

Please note that the SAS/SPSS data definition files for these 3 studies have variables renumbered, although these Study codebooks do not. Variables have been renumbered in the data definition files to the following format:

2-digit (or 2-char) prefix + 4 digits + [optional] 1-char suffix

In most cases, the prefix consists of a 2-digit representation of the year to which the variable applies. For the 1989 Pilot, there are variables with an "89" prefix and variables with an "88" prefix because 1988 Pre-Post data are attached to 1989 Pilot Study data.

Also, multiple response variables which were previously numbered with suffixes "M1", "M2" etc. are now numbered with suffixes "A", "B", "C" etc., so that (for example) 1948 study variable V14M1 has become V480014A.

General note about codebooks: the variable name references used in NES Study codebooks do not include the "V" prefix found in all variable names used within the SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files) as released with Study data. For example, V920001 in the SAS/SPSS data definition files corresponds to "920001" in the 1992 codebook.
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I. GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE 1989 PILOT STUDY

The NES/CPS 1989 Pilot Study was conducted by the Center for Political Studies of the Institute for Social Research, under the general direction of Warren E. Miller, Steven J. Rosenstone and Donald R. Kinder. Santa Traugott is the Project Manager. Zoanne Blackburn managed the study for the Survey Research Center's Telephone Facility. Giovanna Morchio of the NES project staff prepared the data for release. The study was conducted under the auspices of National Science Foundation Grants SES-8341310 and SES-8808361, providing long-term support for the national election studies. Since 1978 the NES election studies have been designed by a National Board of Overseers, the members of which meet several times a year to plan content and administration of the major study components.

Board members during the planning of the 1989 Pilot Study included: Morris P. Fiorina, Harvard University, Chair; Richard A. Brody, Stanford University; Stanley Feldman, University of Kentucky; Edie N. Goldenberg, University of Michigan; Gary C. Jacobson, University of California, San Diego; Stanley Kelley, Jr., Princeton University; Donald R. Kinder, the University of Michigan; Thomas Mann, The Brookings Institution: Douglas Rivers, the University of California at Los Angeles; Ray Wolfinger, the University of California at Berkeley; Warren E. Miller, Arizona State University, ex officio; and Steven J. Rosenstone, University of Michigan, ex officio.

Work on the Pilot Study was initiated by a "stimulus letter" sent in December 1988 to the NES mailing list, soliciting advice in general about the new items to be piloted and specifically in the areas of predispositions, issues, question frames and survey response, retrospective assessments, political cognition, and the use of mass media. Responses to the stimulus letter were considered at the Board's meeting in February 1989, and certain proposers, as well as members of the Board, were asked to join a Pilot Study Committee for detailed planning of the 1989 Pilot Study.

The 1989 Pilot Study Committee consists of, from the Board or ex-officio; Rivers (Chair), Kelley, Kinder, Miller and Rosenstone. Other members of the Pilot Study Committee were: David Leege, the University of Notre Dame; Gregory A. Markus, the University of Michigan, Vincent Price, the University of Michigan and John Zaller, the University of California, Los Angeles. The Pilot Study Committee met in Ann Arbor in early April to plan the design and content of the study. The April meeting was preceded by a two-day meeting in March at Notre Dame of a Working Group on Religious Measures. Those attending that meeting were: David Leege, Clark Roof (University of Massachusetts), Lyman Kellstedt (Wheaton College) Ken Wald (University of Florida) and Santa Traugott.
II. DESCRIPTION OF THE 1989 PILOT STUDY

A. CONTENT. The 1989 Pilot Study, like its predecessors in 1979, 1983, 1985, and 1987, provided an opportunity for social scientists to refine existing NES measures and develop and test new instrumentation. This Pilot Study carries new measures of religious identity and the political salience of religion, media exposure and the type of information recalled, individualism, represented by four predispositions to autonomy, self reliance, laissez-faire and limited government. A significant portion of the study was devoted to experiments contrasting different instrumentation for issue questions: seven point scales versus branching, framed versus stripped, unipolar versus bipolar scales, labeled scales versus unlabeled, filtered versus unfiltered questions. A ten point scale for the feeling thermometer was tested against the traditional one hundred point scale. New items on gun control, abortion and the Alaska oil spill were also piloted.

B. SAMPLING The 1989 Pilot Study respondents are a subsample of respondents to the 1988 Pre-Post Election Survey. The sample selection for the Pilot was restricted to the 1640 Pre/Post respondents who completed both the pre and post interview and who gave us their telephone number. Since people who are less involved and interested in politics drop out of panel studies at a higher rate than do the more politically involved, the use of a straight equal probability sample strategy to draw the pilot sample seemed undesirable. Such a strategy would have yielded a disproportionately well-informed group of respondents. In order to ensure that the pilot sample is representative of the Pre-Post respondents, a dual sampling procedure combining an equal probability sample with an oversample disproportionately allocated across 5 strata defined by the Political Information Index was used. (The sampling design was also used in the 1987 Pilot Study.) Within each stratum the sample rate was set to the inverse probability of response. The probability of response was defined as the actual response rate obtained by the 1985 Pilot in the five information categories (with a minor correction for category 3). The expected response rate for strata 1 (lowest information score) was set to 563, 72% for stratas 2 and 3, 82% for strata 4 and 87% for strata 5 (highest information score). The total Pilot sample comprises 855 respondents. In order to preserve comparability with Pilot Studies prior to 1987, the sampling section resampled the 1989 Pilot Study sample control file, reconstructing a data subset (smaller n=708) with the equal probability (EPSEM) sample design properties and nonresponse characteristics of past Pilot Studies. Variable 7012 can be used to obtain an equal probability sample for analysis purpose.
The Political Information Index is modeled on Zaller's 1987 Index and is based on the following variables:

- Recall of House candidates
- Identification of Political Figures: Kennedy, Schultz, Rehnquist, Gorbachev, Thatcher, Arafat, Wright.
- Knowledge of Majority Party both in the House and Senate before the election
- Knowledge of relative position of Democratic and Republican Party on Issues:
  - Liberal/conservative placement of Reagan
  - Liberal/Conservative placement of parties
  - Parties placement on defense spending
  - Parties placement on social services spending
  - Parties placement on health insurance
  - Parties placement on job/standard of living
  - Parties placement on women roles
- Interviewer estimate of respondent political information

The component variables were considered to have missing data only if they were coded as Not Ascertained, DKs were treated as low information level. The Recall of candidate names vars were given double weight by being used twice (with a more or less stringent requirement to qualify as correct). The interviewer evaluation of the respondent political knowledge was weighted by a factor of 4, by using 4 different versions of the variable. The knowledge of the relative standing of the parties on issues required that the respondent would have placed the parties within at least 2 point difference of each other in the "correct direction". The 2 point difference criteria applied to all placements except for "women roles" where only a 1 point difference was required. The Information Index was the straight sum of all the component variable, correcting for missing data. A maximum of ten variables with missing data were allowed. The resulting variable, number 7011 in this release, was used to divide the sample in g- quintiles.

C. STUDY ADMINISTRATION. The Pilot Study can be thought of as a four-wave panel. A subsample of 614 respondents to the 1988 Pre-Post Election Study were reinterviewed between July 6 and August 1, 1989: 494 of those people were reinterviewed between September 6 and October 6, 1989. The ICPSR dataset includes variables from the 1988 Pre-Post for the 614 Pilot Study cases. To facilitate the testing of the new instrumentation, four forms were used in each of the two waves.

**Response Rate.** The overall response rate for Wave 1 was 74% (614 respondents). The response rate for each strata after controlling for non-sample, were 65% for strata 1, 67% for each strata 2, 76% for strata 3, 82% for strata 4 and 87% for strata 5. Except for strata 1, where the actual response rate was 9% higher than expected, the response rate for stratas 2 to 5 are within 5% points from the expected. The response rate for Wave 2 was 80%.
D. A NOTE ON DOCUMENTATION. The Pilot Study has four forms, and the question format and order experiments across forms has made documentation especially difficult. In addition, it is a CAT1 study, and, except for the religion section (see below) there are no "paper and, pencil" questionnaires to which a user can refer where the documentation is not clear. We have tried to be cognizant of this throughout the documentation itself, inserting boxed notes in boldfaced type to describe experiments. Also, in the user documentation section, we have laid out outlines of Waves 1 and 2 which lay out in chart form the contents of the questionnaires. The particularly confusing religion series in section C of Wave 2 is laid out in a questionnaire format in the user documentation.

Throughout the questionnaire, form notation, e.g., (A,B) under the variable number means that only data for these forms appear in this variable with respondents from other forms being assigned missing data values. Form notation under the question number means that wording was different across forms, although data for the forms may be combined in the same variable.
B. OUTLINE OF STUDY CONTENTS
### Wave 1 Outline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q#</th>
<th>FORM A</th>
<th>FORM B</th>
<th>FORM C</th>
<th>FORM D</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Campaign interest</td>
<td>same</td>
<td>same</td>
<td>same</td>
<td>MWT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>Reagan approval: overall</td>
<td>same</td>
<td>same</td>
<td>same</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3,A4</td>
<td>Reagan likes/dislikes, what did as Pres.</td>
<td>same</td>
<td>same</td>
<td>same</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5-A5b</td>
<td>Recall of House candidates;</td>
<td>Recall of Senate candidates;</td>
<td>same as A</td>
<td>same as B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6-A6b</td>
<td>Recall of Senate candidates</td>
<td>Recall of House candidates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1a-n</td>
<td>Feeling therm: 0-100, political leaders: Reagan, Bush, Dukakis, Jackson, Kennedy, Senate incl, Senate inc2, Senate chall, House cand1, House cand2</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Rating scale, 0-10, political leaders (As in A)</td>
<td>Same as C</td>
<td>STAFF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2a-f</td>
<td>Feeling therm: 0-100, for: 'People on welfare Feminists, Consrvtves, Liberals, Dem.party, uRep.party</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Rating scale, 0-10, for groups (as in A)</td>
<td>Same as C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1a-f</td>
<td>Contact with winner of race, since January, HOUSE PRECEDES SENATE</td>
<td>Contact with winner of race ever (implicit) HOUSE PRECEDES SENATE</td>
<td>Contact with winner of race ever (implicit) HOUSE PRECEDES SENATE</td>
<td>MWT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2a-f</td>
<td>Contact with winner of race, since January, HOUSE PRECEDES SENATE</td>
<td>Contact with winner of race ever (implicit) HOUSE PRECEDES SENATE</td>
<td>Contact with winner of race ever (implicit) HOUSE PRECEDES SENATE</td>
<td>MWT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3a-f</td>
<td>Contact with winner of race, since January, HOUSE PRECEDES SENATE</td>
<td>Contact with winner of race ever (implicit) HOUSE PRECEDES SENATE</td>
<td>Contact with winner of race ever (implicit) HOUSE PRECEDES SENATE</td>
<td>MWT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>Miss out on voting in the election for President</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Did you vote in the election for President (NES STANDARD)</td>
<td>ABELSON</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
D1(A&B) lib-con 7-pt, very
D2(C&D) very
D3 Affirm. action, advantages not earned frame
D4 Stealth bomber, Frame 1
D5 Spending battery
D6-D6c Women's role; bipolar item
D7a-D7f six unipolar items

E1 (A&B) Defense spdg. 7-pt scale (unlabeled) same as A; no filter
E2 (A&B) Central America 7-pt scale (unlabeled) same as A; no filter
E3 (A&B) Gun control 7-pt scale (unlabeled) same as A; no filter
E4 (A&B) Party ID 7-pt scale (unlabeled) same as A; no filter
E5 (A&B) Defense Spdg. 7-pt scale (unlabeled) same as A; no filter
E6 (A&B) 7-pt scale (unlabeled) same as A; no filter
E7 (A&B) 7-pt scale (unlabeled) same as A; no filter
E8 (A&B) 7-pt scale (unlabeled) same as A; no filter
E9 (A&B) 7-pt scale (unlabeled) same as A; no filter

Lib-con 7-pt, extremely
Lib-con, branching, very
Lib-con, branching, extremely
Affirm. action; discriminates against whites
Stealth Bomber Frame 1
Same as A
Women's role; six unipolar items
Defense spdg.
Defense spdg.
Defense Spdg.
Same as C; no filter
Same as C; no filter
Same as C; no filter
Same as C; no filter
Same as C; no filter
Same as C; no filter

KNIIGHT/KROSNICK
KINDER
ZALLER
SJROSE
<p>| G1 | abortion, stripped | Abortion, framed | abortion, stripped | Same as B |
| G2 | Cooperate with Russia, stripped | Russia, stripped, framed | Russia, stripped | KINDER |
| G3 | E.R.A. | same | same | KINDER |
| G4 | Welfare aid; frame &quot;budget deficit&quot; | Welfare aid; frame &quot;don't need help&quot; | Same as A | Same as B |
| G5 | Alaska oil, drilling; dependent on foreign oil | Same as A | Alaska oil, avoid layoffs | Alaska oil, stripped | ZALLER |
| G6 | Death penalty; killing always immoral | Death penalty; cruel and unusual punishment | Same as A | Death penalty, Stripped |
| G7 | Drugs; testing an invasion of privacy | Same as A | Drugs; Frame 2 | Drugs; stripped |
| G8 | Contra aid; frame as &quot;freedom fighters&quot; | Same as A | Contra aid, frame as promote democracy | Contra aid stripped |
| G9 | Gov. asst to blacks, framed | Gov. asst to blacks, CORE | Same as A | Same as B |
| G10 | AIDS-frame 1 | Same as A | AIDS-frame 2 | same as C | KINDER |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>G11</th>
<th>affirmative action; school; general</th>
<th>same as A</th>
<th>same as A</th>
<th>same as A</th>
<th>KINDER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G12</td>
<td>affirmative action; school; self</td>
<td>same as A</td>
<td>same as A</td>
<td>same as A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G13</td>
<td>affirmative action; jobs</td>
<td>same</td>
<td>same</td>
<td>same</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1-H1d</td>
<td>watch specific kinds of TV last week</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>watch specific of TV tvoical week</td>
<td>Same as C</td>
<td>PRICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2-H2c</td>
<td>listen specific radio last week</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>listen specific radio tvoical week</td>
<td>Same as C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3-H3a</td>
<td>read news magazine last week</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>read news magazine tvoical week</td>
<td>Same as C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4-H4d</td>
<td>newspapers- content read</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5-H19</td>
<td>Story recognition</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1-J6</td>
<td>Individualism items; second half of battery</td>
<td>same</td>
<td>same</td>
<td>same</td>
<td>MARKUS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### WAVE 2 OUTLINE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q#</th>
<th><strong>FORM A</strong></th>
<th><strong>FORM B</strong></th>
<th><strong>FORM C</strong></th>
<th><strong>FORM D</strong></th>
<th><strong>SOURCE</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>In a week, news from Local TV</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>PRICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>News from NPR</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>CNN</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>National news</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>Nightline</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td>Wall Street Journal</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A7</td>
<td>Daily newspaper</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8</td>
<td>McNeil-Leher</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A9</td>
<td>C-Span</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A10</td>
<td>Sunday news talk shows</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A11</td>
<td>People Magazine</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A12</td>
<td>60 Min, 20/20</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bla-f Not asked Not asked Women's Rights Unipolar Same as C SJROSE


C1-Clx Religious denomination R raised in Same as A Same as A Same as A LEEGE

C2-C3 How well these words describe church R raised in Same as A Same as A Same as A |

C4-C5a Ever attend church; Ever consider self church member or religious person Same as A Same as A Same as A |

C6-C6x Denomination attend now (if only one) Same as A Same as A Same as A |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Same as A</th>
<th>Same as A</th>
<th>Same as A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C6z</td>
<td>Consider self same relig. as church attend now?</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7-C10</td>
<td>How well words describe R's church, own views</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11</td>
<td>Born-again?</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12-C20</td>
<td>Series C6-C11, those who attend more than one church on regular basis asked for &quot;most frequent&quot; and &quot;other&quot; Church attended</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C21</td>
<td>Church attendance as asked in NES</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Church attendance as asked in GSS</td>
<td>Same as C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C22</td>
<td>Member of congreg/parish, etc.</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C23</td>
<td>Participate in a relig. organization</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E1(A&amp;B)</th>
<th>Lib-con 7-pt, very</th>
<th>Lib-con 7-pt, extremely</th>
<th>Lib-con, branching extremely</th>
<th>Lib-con, KROSNICK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>Affirm action; discriminates against whites frame</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Affirm action; advantages not earned frame</td>
<td>Same as C, KINDER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E4</td>
<td>Defense spndg. 7-pt; no filter</td>
<td>Same as A, filtered</td>
<td>Defense spndg. branching; no filter</td>
<td>Same as C; KROSNICK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E5</td>
<td>Central Amer. 7-pt; no filter</td>
<td>Same as A, filtered</td>
<td>Central Amer. branching; no filter</td>
<td>Same as C; KROSNICK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E6</td>
<td>Gun Control 7-pt; no filter</td>
<td>Same as A; filtered</td>
<td>Gun Control branching, no filter</td>
<td>Same as C; KROSNICK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E7</td>
<td>Party ID - 7 pt. Same as A no filter</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Party ID NES Standard</td>
<td>Same as A, KROSNICK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1-F1b</td>
<td>watch specific kinds of TV last week</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>watch specific kinds of TV twical week</td>
<td>Same as C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2-F2c</td>
<td>listen specific radio last week</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>listen specific radio twical week</td>
<td>Same as C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3-F3a</td>
<td>read news magazine last week</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>read news magazine twical week</td>
<td>Same as C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4-F4d</td>
<td>newspapers-</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5a-f</td>
<td>Social Welfare battery 2 unipolar</td>
<td>Same as C</td>
<td>SJROSE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F6</td>
<td>Govmnt Srvces bipolar, branching</td>
<td>See F5a-f</td>
<td>See F5a-f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F7</td>
<td>Stndrd Lvng bipolar, branching</td>
<td>See F5a-f</td>
<td>See F5a-f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F8</td>
<td>Health Insurance bipolar, branching</td>
<td>See F5a-f</td>
<td>See F5a-f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F10</td>
<td>Parental consent for teenage abortion.</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F11</td>
<td>Prohibit public spending on abortion</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F12a-k</td>
<td>Individualism Battery</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>Cooperate with Russia, framed</td>
<td>Cooperate with Russia, stripped</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>Abortion, stripped</td>
<td>Abortion, framed</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>Contra aid, freedom fighters , promote democracy</td>
<td>Contra aid; STRIPPED</td>
<td>Same as B</td>
<td>ZALLER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4</td>
<td>Welfare aid, don't need help frame</td>
<td>Welfare aid, Budget deficit frame</td>
<td>Same as A</td>
<td>Same as B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5</td>
<td>Alaska oil drilling, dependent on foreign oil</td>
<td>Alaska oil layoffs</td>
<td>Same as B</td>
<td>Alaska Oil, stripped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>G</strong>6</td>
<td><strong>Death penalty, killing</strong></td>
<td>Death penalty; Cruel and unusual punishment</td>
<td><strong>Death penalty, ZALLER</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>always immoral</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Stripped</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>G</strong>7</td>
<td><strong>Drugs, Frame 1:</strong> testing an invasion of privacy</td>
<td><strong>Drugs, Frame 2:</strong> Vacuous</td>
<td><strong>Drugs; stripped</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>G</strong>9</td>
<td><strong>Gov asst to blacks, framed</strong></td>
<td><strong>Gov. assist to blacks, NES standard question</strong></td>
<td><strong>Same as B KINDER</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>G</strong>10</td>
<td><strong>AIDS: Cancer Research</strong></td>
<td><strong>AIDS: More careful</strong></td>
<td><strong>Same as D KINDER</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>H5-H19</strong></td>
<td><strong>Newspaper Stories:</strong> Recognition</td>
<td><strong>Same as A</strong></td>
<td><strong>PRICE/ZALLER</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>J1a-f</strong></td>
<td><strong>Not asked</strong></td>
<td><strong>Social Welfare, unipolar battery</strong></td>
<td><strong>SJROSE</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>K1a-g</strong></td>
<td><strong>Do religious leaders in R's church speak on issues?</strong></td>
<td><strong>Same as A</strong></td>
<td><strong>Same as A LEEGE</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>K2</strong></td>
<td><strong>All right for religious leaders to speak on issues?</strong></td>
<td><strong>Same as A</strong></td>
<td><strong>Same as A</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>K3</strong></td>
<td><strong>Bible inerrancy</strong></td>
<td><strong>Same as A</strong></td>
<td><strong>Same as A LEEGE</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>K4a-f</strong></td>
<td><strong>Religious practices</strong></td>
<td><strong>Same as A</strong></td>
<td><strong>Same as A</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. LAYOUT OF RELIGION SERIES
CAT1 SCREEN 1: DENOMINATION IN WHICH RAISED

C1. In what religion were you raised: as a Protestant, as a Roman Catholic, as a Jew, in no religion at all, or what?

1. PROTESTANT ------ GO TO NEXT SCREEN

2. ROMAN CATHOLIC --- GO TO C2

3. JEW

31a. Were you raised in the Orthodox, Conservative or Reform tradition?

1. ORTHODOX
2. REFORM

3. CONSERVATIVE

4. RECONSTRUCTIONIST

5. OTHER; SPECIFY

8. DON'T KNOW

0. DIDN'T EVER ATTEND

Cla(2) Did your family consider itself . . . . . . . .
(RESPONSE OPTIONS AS ABOVE)

8. NO RELIGION AT ALL; . . . . . . GO TO C4 (CURRENT RELIGION)

NONE, NOTHING

0. NOT GIVEN ABOVE ------ GO TO NEXT SCREEN (2)
CAT1 SCREEN 2: DENOMINATION IN WHICH RAISED

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT:

1. R said "PROTESTANT" -- 1 on Cl: Read Clb
2. "0" entered on previous screen -- Don't read Clb unless necessary to probe

Clb. (To the best you can remember, what denomination was that?) What kind of church was that? (What was it called exactly?)

ASSEMBLY OF GOD

BAPTIST -- GO TO Clf; BAPTIST Follow-up

CHRISTIAN ---- GO TO Clh; CHRISTIAN Follow-up

CHURCH OF GOD -- GO TO Clq; CHURCH OF GOD Follow-up

CHURCHES OF CHRIST --- GO TO Cli; CHURCH OF CHRIST Follow-up

UNITED CHURCH OF CHRIST I-- GO TO Cli, CHURCH OF CHRIST Follow-up

CONGREGATIONALIST

DISCIPLE OF CHRIST

EPISCOPAL

HOLINESS -- GO TO Clj -- SPECIFY follow-up

LUTHERAN --- GO TO Clk -- LUTHERAN follow-up

METHODIST -- GO to Clm -- METHODIST follow-up

PENTECOSTAL -- GO TO Clj -- SPECIFY follow-up

PRESBYTERIAN -- GO TO Clp -- PRESBYTERIAN follow-up

0. NOT GIVEN ON THIS SCREEN .... GO TO SCREEN 3
ANGELICAN/CHURCH OF ENGLAND

BUDDHIST

/BRETHREN/ Clg -- BRETHREN Followup

CHRISTIAN scientest

EVANGELICAL UNITED BRETHREN

HINDU

ISLAM (MUSLIM)

JEHOVAHS WITNESS

LATTER DAY SAINTS

MORMON

MUSLIM (ISLAM)

NATIVE AMERICAN

QUAKER

UNITARIAN

NON-DENOMINATIONAL PROTESTANT

DON'T KNOW

MULTIPLE DENOMINATIONS

"JUST PROTESTANT"

GO TO Cln -- Which most important?

OTHER -- PLEASE SPECIFY. USE THESE PROBES: What kind of church was that? What was it called exactly?

GO TO Clx
Clix. Is this a Christian religion?

YES NO

PROTESTANT TRAITS, C3 CURRENT RELIGION, C4

CATHOLIC TRAITS: GREW UP

c2. I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe a particular church. For each, tell me whether the word or phrase describes the Catholic church you attended. (Just tell me if you don't know a word and we'll go on to the next one.)

GO TO C4

PROTESTANT TRAITS: GREW UP

c3. I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe a particular church. For each, tell me whether the word or phrase describes the church you grew up in. (Just tell me if you don't know a word, and we'll go on to the next one.)

c4. Lots of things come up that keep people from attending religious services if they want to. Thinking about your life nowadays, do you ever attend religious services, apart from occasional weddings, baptisms, or funerals?

1. YES 3. NO --PREVENTED BY CIRCUMSTANCES (HOUSEBOUND) 5. NO -

GO TO C5

C4a. When you are not on vacation or traveling, do you normally attend one place of worship or do you usually attend one place of worship some of the time and a different place of worship at other times?

ONE CHURCH OR SYNAGOGUE

GO TO C6

MORE THAN ONE CHURCH OR SYNAGOGUE

GO TO C12
c5. Regardless of whether you now attend any church, do you ever consider yourself as belonging to a particular church or denomination?

1. YES  
5. NO  
GO TO C6

C5a. Do you think of yourself as a religious person?

1. YES  
5. NO  
GO TO SECTION E
C6. What denomination or faith (is the church you attend now/do you consider yourself?) Is it Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or what?

REPEAT PREVIOUS SCREENS 2 and 3, changing WAS to IS in all instances.

C6z. Does R consider him/herself to be of a different religion than the church he/she presently attends?

C7. CATHOLIC TRAITS -- church attend now
C8. CATHOLIC TRAITS -- describe self

C9. PROTESTANT TRAITS -- Church attend now
C10. PROTESTANT TRAITS -- describe self

C11. Would you call yourself a born-again Christian - that is, have you personally had a conversion experience related to Jesus Christ?

C12 Thinking now about the place you worship that you attend most frequently, what denomination or faith is that church? First, is it Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or what?

REPEAT SCREENS 2 and 3, CHANGE WAS TO IS

C13. Thinking now about the other church that you attend; what denomination or faith is that church? First, is it Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or what?

C14. CHECKPOINT: Roman Catholic in either C12 or C13?

C15. CATHOLIC TRAITS -- church R attends now.
C16. CATHOLIC TRAITS -- how R describes self
C17. CHECKPOINT: Protestant denom. given in either C12 or C13
   (CAT1 will do this, based on responses to C12 and C13)

   C18. PROTESTANT TRAITS -- church R attends now.
   C19. PROTESTANT TRAITS -- how R describes self.

C20. BORN AGAIN?

C21. (FORMS A and B) Would you say you go to (church/synagogue) every week
   almost every week, once or twice a month, a few times a year, or never?

   C21A. (IF ONCE A WEEK) Would you say you go to (church/synagogue) once a week or
   more often than once a week?

C22. (FORMS C and D) How often do you attend religious services?
   (Open-end, use categories as probes.)

C22. Are you officially a member of a parish, congregation, temple, or other place of
   worship?

C23. Do you participate in a religious organisation, society or group outside of (your/a)
   (parish/congregation/temple/place of worship?)
D. CODEBOOK
CAT1 Case ID#

00001-00855. There are gaps in the numbering series.

- Length of interview in minutes
- Time of startina interview -- numeric
- Time of endina interview -- numeric
- Date of startina interview -- numeric
- Date on which interview ended -- numeric

Form of questionnaire

1. FORM A
2. FORM B
3. FORM C
4. FORM D

Time Zone (in which respondent was interviewed)

1. Eastern
2. Central
3. Mountain
4. Pacific

Interviewer ID

TOTAL CALLS COUNTER

Stratum variable

The sample frame was stratified on this variable before the sample was drawn. See Sampling information in the introduction for more details.

EPSEM flag

Use of this variable as a weight reconstructs a standard equal probability sample. See Sampling information in the introduction for details.

1. Not part of the low information oversample
0. Oversample

Status of sample point

6. Completed interview
7014 Senate race flag

1. Senate race in 1988
2. No Senate race in 1988

7015 House winner

31. Democratic candidate won (no incumbent running)
32. Republican candidate won (no incumbent running)
33. Democratic incumbent won
34. Republican incumbent won
35. Democratic challenger won
36. Republican challenger won

7016 Senate winner

11. Democratic candidate won (no incumbent running)
12. Republican candidate won (no incumbent running)
13. Democratic incumbent won
14. Republican incumbent won
15. Democratic challenger won
16. Republican challenger won

00. Inap, no Senate race in state (5 in 7014)

7017 Type of House race

12. Democrat incumbent: Republican challenger
14. Democrat incumbent, unopposed
21. Republican incumbent; Democrat challenger
24. Republican incumbent; unopposed
55. Dem and Rep candidates: Dem incumbent not running
65. Dem and Rep candidates: Rep incumbent not running

7018 Type of Senate race

12. Democrat incumbent; Republican challenger
21. Republican incumbent; Democrat challenger
55. Dem and Rep candidates: Dem incumbent not running
65. Dem and Rep candidates: Rep incumbent not running
81. Two Democrat incumbents, no Senate race in state
82. Two Republican incumbents, no Senate race in state
85. Democrat and Republican incumbents, no race in state

7019 ICPSR state code: Congressional District
First two digits are state code, second two are CD

7020 ICPSR state code
This interview is completely voluntary - if we should come to any question which you don't want to answer, just let me know and we'll go on to the next question.

7151 AI. Some people don't pay much attention to political campaigns. How about you? Would you say that you were very much interested, somewhat interested, or not much interested in following the political campaigns last year?

1. VERY MUCH INTERESTED
3. SOMewhat INTERESTED
5. NOT MUCH INTERESTED

8. DK
9. NA

7152 A2. Ronald Reagan was elected President in November 1980 and left office this past January after serving for eight years. Thinking back to his terms in office -- overall do you approve or disapprove of the way Ronald Reagan handled his job as President?

1. APPROVE
5. DISAPPROVE

8. DK
9. NA

7153 A2a. Do you approve strongly or not strongly?

A2a Do you disapprove strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY
2. NOT STRONGLY

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, 8-9 in 7152

7154 A2x. SUMMARY: Reagan Approval

1. APPROVE STRONGLY
2. APPROVE NOT STRONGLY
4. DISAPPROVE NOT STRONGLY
5. DISAPPROVE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, 8-9 in 7152
A3. Is there anything in particular that you like about what Ronald Reagan did as President?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA

A3a. (What is that? IF NECESSARY) PROBE: ("Anything else you like about what he did as President?" UNTIL R SAYS "NO")

Code 5 mentions. Use Party-Candidate Master Code, except:

9998. DK (V156 only)
9999. NA (V156 only)

0000. Inap, 5,8-9 in 7156; no further mention

A4. Is there anything in particular you don't like about what he did as President?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA

A4a. (What is that? IF NECESSARY) ("Anything else you don't like about what he did as President?" UNTIL R SAYS "NO")

Code 5 mentions. Use Party-Candidate Master code, except:

9998. DK (V162 only)
9999. NA (V162 only)

0000. Inap, 5,8-9 in 7161; no further mention
A5. Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress – that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington -- who ran in this district last November?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA

A5a. Who were they? (PROBE: Any others?)

For districts with NO INCUMBENT REPRESENTATIVE RUNNING:

31. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
32. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE
39. INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE

For districts with RUNNING INCUMBENT REPRESENTATIVE:

33. DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT
34. REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT
35. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
36. REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE
37. LIBERAL OR CONSERVATIVE CANDIDATE
39. INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE

80. MINOR PARTY CANDIDATE: MINOR INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE

96. DON'T KNOW NAME, BUT KNOW PARTY (VOLUNTEERED)
97. NAME GIVEN NOT ON CANDIDATE LIST FOR THIS RACE

98. DK
99. NA
00. **INAP, 5,8-9** in 7167; No further mention (7172, 7176)

A5b. (ASK FOR EACH NAME in A5a) What was (NAME's) party?

1. DEMOCRATIC
5. REPUBLICAN

'7. OTHER PARTY: SPECIFY:

8. DK
9. NA
0. **INAP, 5,8-9** in 7167; No further mention (7173, 7177)
**A5aa. Who were they?**

**Built from 7168 / 7172 / 7176**

1. **DEcratch CANDIDATE** (31, 33, 35 in 7168, 7172, 7176)
2. **REpUBLICAN CANDIDATE** (32, 34, 36 in 7168, 7172, 7176)
3. **THIRD PARTY/INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE** (37, 39, 80 in 7172, 7176)
4. **NAME GIVEN NOT in CANDIDATE LIST FOR THAT RACE** (97 in 7168, 7172, 7176)
5. **DON'T KNOW NAME BUT KNOW PARTY** (96 in 7168, 7172, 7176)
6. **DON'T KNOW NAME** (96 in 7168, 7172, 7176), BUT PARTY GIVEN
7. **INAP, 5, 8-9 in 7167; No further mention; 98-99 in 7168, 7172, 7176**

**A5x. Respondent’s knowledge of the names and parties of candidates running in the Congressional race for R’s district.**

**SEE MASTER CODES C and D**

**Built from: 7168 and 7169; 7172 and 7173; 7176 and 7177**

1. **VALID CANDIDATE NAME GIVEN AND CORRECT PARTY ASSOCIATED WITH NAME**
2. **VALID CANDIDATE NAME GIVEN, BUT INCORRECT PARTY ASSOCIATED WITH NAME**
3. **VALID CANDIDATE NAME GIVEN, DK OR NA FOR PARTY ASSOCIATED WITH NAME**
4. **INVALID CANDIDATE NAME GIVEN WITH ANY MENTION OF PARTY ASSOCIATED WITH NAME**
5. **INVALID CANDIDATE NAME GIVEN WITH DK OR NA FOR PARTY ASSOCIATED WITH NAME**
6. **NO CANDIDATE NAME GIVEN (DK OR NA) = ANY PARTY MENTION**
7. **NO CANDIDATE NAME GIVEN (DK) = DK OR NA FOR PARTY MENTION, NO CANDIDATE NAME (NA) = DK FOR PARTY MENTION**
8. **NA FOR CANDIDATE NAME AND NA FOR PARTY MENTION**
9. **INAP, 5, 8-9 in 7167; No further mention (V7175, V7179)**
A6. And how about the candidates who ran in this state for the United States Senate in Washington? Do you happen to remember what the candidates’ names were?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>DK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: IN 12 CASES, (ID VAR 7001=136,174,207,228,281,620,490,709,849) V7180 IS NA AND VARS 7181 TO 7192 ARE INAP, DUE TO A FLAW IN CAT1 LOGIC

0. Inap, 5 in 7014 (no race in the state)

A6a. (Who were they?) (PROBE: Any others?)

For states with NO INCUMBENT SENATOR RUNNING:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SEE MASTER CODES C AND D

For states with RUNNING INCUMBENT SENATOR:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.</td>
<td>MINOR PARTY CANDIDATE: MINOR INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96.</td>
<td>DON’T KNOW NAME, BUT KNOW PARTY (VOLUNTEERED)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97.</td>
<td>NAME GIVEN NOT ON CANDIDATE LIST FOR THIS RACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98.</td>
<td>DK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00.</td>
<td>INAP, 5 in 7014, 5,8-9 in 7180; NO FURTHER MENTION (7185, 7189)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A6b. FOR EACH NAME in A6a What was (NAME’s) party?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>DEMOCRATIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>REPUBLICAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>OTHER PARTY: SPECIFY:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>DK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.</td>
<td>INAP, 5 in 7014, 5,8-9 in 7180; NO FURTHER MENTION (7186, 7190)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SEE MASTER CODES C AND D

1. DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE (11, 13 and 15 in 7181, 7185, 7189)
2. REPUBLIC CANDIDATE (12, 14 and 16 in 7181, 7185, 7189)
3. THIRD PARTY/INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE (20, 80 in 7181, 7185, 7189)
4. NAME GIVEN NOT in CANDIDATE LIST FOR THAT RACE (97 in 7181, 7185, 7189)
5. DON'T KNOW NAME (96 in 7181, 7185, 7189) BUT PARTY GIVEN
6. DON'T KNOW NAME (96 in 7181, 7185, 7189), NO PARTY GIVEN
7. INAP, 5 in 7014, 5, 8-9 in 7180; NO FURTHER MENTION: 98-99 in 7181, 7185, 7189

SEE MASTER CODES C AND D

Built from: 7181 and 7182; 7185 and 7186; 7189 and 7190

1. VALID CANDIDATE NAME GIVEN AND CORRECT PARTY ASSOCIATED WITH NAME
2. VALID CANDIDATE NAME GIVEN, BUT INCORRECT PARTY ASSOCIATED WITH IT
3. VALID CANDIDATE NAME GIVEN, DK OR NA FOR PARTY ASSOCIATED WITH NAME
4. INVALID CANDIDATE NAME GIVEN WITH ANY MENTION OF PARTY ASSOCIATED WITH NAME
5. INVALID CANDIDATE NAME GIVEN WITH DK OR NA FOR PARTY ASSOCIATED WITH NAME
6. NO CANDIDATE NAME GIVEN (DK OR NA) = ANY PARTY MENTION
7. NO CANDIDATE NAME GIVEN (DK) = DK OR NA FOR PARTY MENTION, NO CANDIDATE NAME (NA) = DK FOR PARTY MENTION
8. NA FOR CANDIDATE NAME AND NA FOR PARTY MENTION
9. INAP, 5 in 7014, 5, 8-9 in 7180; NO FURTHER MENTION (7188, 7192)
**B1 THRU B4 ARE FEELING THERMOMETER RATING SCALE EXPERIMENTS. B1/B2 USE THE STANDARD 0-100 SCALE; B3/B4 TEST THE 0-10 SCALE.**

7201 (A, B) **B1.** I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who have been in the news. I'll read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person using something called the feeling thermometer. You can choose any number between 0 and 100. The higher the number, the warmer or more favorable you feel toward that person; the lower the number, the colder or less favorable. You would rate the person at the 50 degree mark if you feel neither warm nor cold toward them. If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

B1a. **PROBE FOR DK RESPONSE:** "When you say "don't know" do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?" ENTER 1 AT SECOND INPUT IF PROBE USED; ENTER 5 IF PROBE NOT USED.

Our first person is Ronald Reagan. How would you rate him usina the feelina thermometer?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-100</td>
<td>ENTER NUMBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>997</td>
<td>R DOESN'T RECOGNIZE NAME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>998</td>
<td>DK WHERE TO RATE: CAN'T JUDGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>888</td>
<td>INAP, 3-4 IN 7007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7202 (A, B) **B1b.** George Bush

7203 (A, B) **B1c.** Michael Dukakis

7204 (A, B) **B1d.** Jesse Jackson

7205 (A, B) **B1e.** Ted Kennedy

7206 (A, B) **B1f.** 'Name #17: (NO RACE: 1ST U.S. SENATE INCUMBENT)** Use same code as 7201 except:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>888</td>
<td>Inap, 3-4 in 7007; 1 in 7014 (race in the state)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Blq. Name #18: (NO RACE: 2ND U.S. SENATE INCUMBENT)
Use same code as 7201 except:

888. INAP, 3-4, in 7007; 1 in 7014 (race in the state)

Blh. Name #11, 13, 15 (DEMOCRATIC U.S. SENATE CANDIDATE)
Use same code as 7201 except:

888. INAP, 3-4, in 7007; 5 in 7014 (no race in the state)
And still using this thermometer, how would you rate:

B2a. People on welfare?

B2b. Feminists?

B2c. Conservatives?

B2d. Liberals?

B2e. The Democratic Party?

B2f. The Republican Party

Bla. Probe Indicator

1. Yes, Probe box checked

5. Not Probed

0. Inap, 3-4 in 7007

Use same codes for 7220-7230

Blb. Probe Indicator

Blc. Probe Indicator

Bld. Probe Indicator

Ble. Probe Indicator

Use same code as 7219, except:

0. Inap, 3-4, in 7007; 1 in 7014 (race in the state)

Blf. Probe Indicator

Use same code as 7219, except:

0. Inap, 3-4 in 7007; 1 in 7014 (race in the state)
Blh. Probe Indicator
(A,B) Use same code as 7219, except:
0. Inap, 3-4 in 7007; 5 in 7014 (no race in the state)

Bli. Probe Indicator
(A,B) Use same code as 7219, except:
0. Inap, 3-4 in 7007; 5 in 7014 (no race in the state)

Blk. Probe Indicator
(A,B) Use same code as 7219, except:
0. Inap, 3-4 in 7007; 5 in 7014 (no race in the state)

Blm. Probe Indicator
(A,B) Use same code as 7219, except:
0. Inap, 3-4 in 7007: 24, 52, 62 in 7017 (type of race)

Bln. Probe Indicator
(A,B) Use same code as 7219, except:
0. Inap, 3-4 in 7007: 14, 51, 61 in 7017 (type of race)
I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who have been in the news. I'll read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person. You can choose any number between 0 and 10. The higher the number, the more favorable you feel toward that person; the lower the number, the less favorable. You would rate the person at "5" if you feel neither favorable nor unfavorable toward them. If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

PROBE FOR DK RESPONSE: "When you say "don't know" do you mean that you don't know who the person is or do you have something else in mind?" ENTER 1 AT SECOND INPUT IF PROBE USED: ENTER 5 IF PROBE NOT USED

Our first person is Ronald Reagan. How would you rate him on a scale from 0 to 10?

0-10. ENTER NUMBER

88. INAP, 1-2 in 7007

97. R DOESN'T RECOGNIZE NAME

98. DK WHERE TO RATE; CAN'T JUDGE

Georae Bush?

Michael Dukakis?

Jesse Jackson?

Ted Kennedy?

Name #17: (NO RACE: 1ST U.S. SENATE INCUMBENT)

Use same code as 7231, except:

88. Inap, 1-2 in 7007; in 1 in 7014 (race in the state)

NAME #18: (NO RACE: 2ND U.S. SENATE INCUMBENT)

Use same code as 7231, except:

88. Inap, 1-2 in 7007; 1 in 7014 (race in the state)
7238  **B3h. NAME #11, 13, 15 (DEMOCRATIC U.S. SENATE CANDIDATE)**
     **(C,D)**  Use same code as 7231,  **except:**
     88.  **Inap**, 1-2 in 7007; 5 in 7014 (no race in state)

7239  **B3i. NAME #12, 14, 16 (REPUBLICAN U.S. SENATE CANDIDATE)**
     **(C,D)**  Use same code as 7231,  **except:**
     88.  **Inap**, 1-2 in 7007; 5 in 7014 (no race in state)

7240  **B3k. NAME #19: (OTHER U.S. SENATE INCUMBENT WHOSE TERM IS NOT UP)**
     **(C,D)**  Use same code as 7231,  **except:**
     88.  **Inap**, 1-2 in 7007; 5 in 7014 (no race in state)

7241  **B3m. NAME #31, 33, 35 (DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE)**
     **(C,D)**  Use same code as 7231,  **except:**
     88.  **Inap**, 1-2 in 7007; 24, 52, 62 in 7017 (type of race)

7242  **B3n. NAME #32, 34, 36 (REPUBLICAN. U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE)**
     **(C,D)**  Use same code as 7231,  **except:**
     88.  **Inap**, 1-2 in 7007; 14, 51, 61 in 7017 (type of race)

7243  **B4a. People on welfare?**
     **(C,D)**

7244  **B4b. Feminist?**
     **(C,D)**

7245  **B4c. Conservatives?**
     **(C,D)**

7246  **B4d. Liberals?**
     **(C,D)**

7247  **B4e. The Democratic Party**
     **(C,D)**

7248  **B4f. The Republican Party**
     **(C,D)**
7249  B3a. Probe Indicator
(C,D)  
1. Yes, Probe box checked
5. Not probed
0. Inap, 1-2 in 7007

USE SAME CODES FOR 7250-7260

7250  B3b. Probe Indicator
(C,D)  

7251  B3c. Probe Indicator
(C,D)  

7252  B3d. Probe Indicator
(C,D)  

7253  B3e. Probe Indicator
(C,D)  

7254  B3f. Probe Indicator
(C,D)  Use same code as 7249, except:
0. Inap, 1-2 in 7007; 1 in 7014 (race in the state)

7255  B3g. Probe Indicator
(C,D)  Use same code as 7231, except:
88. Inap, 1-2 in 7007; 1 in 7014 (race in the state)

7256  B3h. Probe Indicator
(C,D)  Use same code as 7231, except:
888. Inap, 1-2 in 7007; 5 in 7014 (no race in state)

7257  B3i. Probe Indicator
(C,D)  Use same code as 7231, except:
88. Inap, 1-2 in 7007; 5 in 7014 (no race in state)

7258  B3k. Probe Indicator
(C,D)  Use same code as 7231, except:
88. Inap, 1-2 in 7007; 5 in 7014 (no race in state)

7259  B3m. Probe Indicator
(C,D)  Use same code as 7231, except:
88. Inap, 3-4 in 7007; 24, 52, 62 in 7017 (type of race)
B3n. Probe Indicator

(C, D) Use same code as 7231, except:

88. Inap, 1, 2 in 7007; 14, 15, 61 in 7017 (type of race)
There are two experiments in Section C:

1) In forms A and C, House questions precede Senate questions. The order is C1, C2, C3. In forms B and D Senate questions precede House questions. The order is C2, C3, C1.

2) In forms A and B, the phrase "WINCE THE 1ST OF JANUARY" was used. In forms C and D, this phrase was not used and the time referent is, presumably, "ever", as in the standard NES version of the question.

Data for all four forms are combined in a given variable.

7270

There are many ways in which members of Congress can have contact with the people from their district. I will read you a list of some of these ways, and for each one please tell me whether you have had such contact since the first of January. Think of (Name: 88 House race winner) who has been a U.S. Representative in Washington.

**SEE NASTER CODE D FOR LIST OF WINNERS**

7270

Cl. There are many ways in which members of Congress can have contact with the people from their district. I will read you a list of some of these ways, and for each one please tell me whether you have had such contact since the first of January. Think of (Name: 88 House race winner) who has been a U.S. Representative in Washington.

Cl.a. (A,B: SINCE JANUARY 1ST) of this year, have you contacted Representative (Name: 88 House race winner) or anyone in (his/her) office?

7271

Cl.b. (A,B: SINCE JANUARY 1ST OF THIS YEAR) (Have you) met (him/her) personally?

7272

Cl.c. (A,B: SINCE JANUARY 1ST), have you talked to a member of (his/her) staff or someone in (his/her) office?

7273

Cl.d.(A,B: SINCE JANUARY 1st) (Have you) received something in the mail from (him/her)?

7274

Cl.e.(A,B: SINCE JANUARY 1st) (Have you) read about '(him/her) in a newspaper or magazine?

7275

Cl.f. (A,B: SINCE JANUARY 1st) (Have you) seen (him/her) on TV?
Similarly, there are many ways in which U.S. Senators can have contact with the people from their state. I will read you a list of some of these ways, and for each one please tell me whether you have had such contact since January 1st of this year. Think of (Name #13, 14) who has been a U.S. Senator in Washington.

SEE MASTER CODE D FOR LIST OF WINNERS

**C2a.** (A,B: SINCE JANUARY 1ST) of this year, have you contacted Senator (Name #13, 14) or anyone in (his/her) office?

1. YES
3. PROBABLY [VOLUNTEERED]
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA

**C2b.** (A,B: SINCE JANUARY 1st...) (Have you) met (him/her) personally?

**C2c.** (A,B: SINCE JANUARY 1ST) Have you talked to a member of (his/her) staff or someone in (his/her) office?

**C2d.** (A,B: SINCE JAN. 1st...) (Have you) received something in the mail from (him/her)?

**C2e.** (A,B: SINCE JAN. 1st...) (Have you) read about (him/her) in a newspaper or magazine?

**C2f** (A.B: SINCE JAN. 1st) (Have you) seen (him/her) on TV?

**C3a.** (A,B: SINCE JANUARY 1ST OF THIS YEAR) Have you contacted Senator (Name: X15, other incumbent whose term is not up) or anyone in (his/her) office?

1. YES
3. PROBABLY [VOLUNTEERED]
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA

USE SAME CODES FOR 7283-7287
C3b. (A,B: SINCE JAN. 1st ...) (Have you) met (him/her) personally?

C3c. (A,B: SINCE JANUARY 1ST), have you talked to a member of (his/her) staff or someone in (his/her) office?

C3d. (A,B: SINCE JAN. 1st...) (Have you) received something in the mail from (him/her)?

C3e. (A,B: SINCE JAN. 1st...) Have you read about (him/her) in a newspaper or magazine?

C3f. (A,B: SINCE JAN 1st....) Have you seen (him/her) on TV?

C4. In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people missed out on voting because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time. How about you -- did you miss out on voting in the 1988 election for President?

C4. In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time. How about you -- did you vote in the 1988 election for President?

1. R VOTED
5. R DID NOT VOTE

8. DK
9. NA

NOTE: BECAUSE QUESTION C4 USES CONFUSING WORDING FOR FORMS A,B QUESTIONS C4a, C4b WERE ASKED, TO CONFIRM INITIAL RESPONSES: RESULTS OF THESE PROBES WERE USED TO CORRECT THE DATA IN V7288

C4a. That is, you were not able to vote? Is that right?

1. YES, R DID NOT VOTE
5. NO, R SAYS S/HE VOTED

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, 3, 4 in 7007; 5, 8, 9 in 7288
### C4b. That is, did you vote?

(C, D)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>YES, R VOTED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>NO, R DID NOT VOTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Inap, 3, 4 in 7007; 1, 8, 9 in 7288**

### C4c. Who did you vote for?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BUSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>DUKAKIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>OTHER [PF10 TO SPECIFY]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Inap, 5 in 7288**
D1 AND D2 ARE EXPERIMENTS WITH THE
LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SELF PLACEMENT QUESTION.
1) IN FORMS A AND B; THE SEVEN POINT SCALE IS USED (D1). IN FORMS C AND D, THE BRANCHING (LABELED) FORMAT IS USED (D2)
2) IN FORMS A AND C; MODIFYING WORD "VERY" IS USED. IN FORMS B AND D, THE MODIFIER "EXTREMELY" IS SUBSTITUTED.

7301 D1. Think about a ruler for measuring political views that people might hold from liberal to conservative. This ruler goes from one to seven. One means (VERY/EXTREMELY) liberal political views, and seven means (VERY/EXTREMELY) conservative political views. Just like a regular ruler, it has points in between, at 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6. Where would you place yourself on this ruler, remembering that 1 is (VERY/EXTREMELY) liberal and 7 is (VERY/EXTREMELY) conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT
1. VERY (A) OR EXTREMELY (B) LIBERAL
3. MODERATE/MIDDLE OF ROAD
5. CONSERVATIVES
7. VERY (A) OR EXTREMELY (B) CONSERVATIVE
8. DK
9. NA; Inap, 3, 4 in 7007

7302 Dla. Do you think of yourself as closer to liberals or conservatives?

1. LIBERAL
3. MODERATE/MIDDLE OF ROAD
5. CONSERVATIVES
7. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE
8. R INSISTS DOESN'T KNOW MEANING OF WORDS
9. NA
0. Inap, 3, 4 in 7007; 1-3, 5-7,9 in 7301
7303 Dlb. Just to make sure I have it right, that means you think of yourself as a (Liberal/Conservative).

THE RESPONSE TO 7303 WAS USED TO CORRECT 7301

1. YES
5. NO (GO BACK AND ASK D1 AGAIN)
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 3, 4 in 7007; 0,4,8-9 in 7301

7304 D2. Generally speaking, would you consider yourself to be a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or what, or haven't you thought much about this?

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
1. LIBERAL
3. MODERATE/MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. CONSERVATIVE
7. Inap, 1, 2 in 7007
8. DON'T KNOW

7305 D2a. Do you think of yourself as closer to liberals or conservatives?

1. LIBERALS
5. CONSERVATIVES
7. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 1, 2 in 7007; 1,5 in 7304

7306 D2b. Do you consider yourself to be VERY (C) (liberal/conservative) or just (liberal/conservative) or EXTREMELY (D) (liberal/conservative or just (liberal/conservative)?

1. VERY/EXTREMELY
2. JUST
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 1, 2 in 7007; 0, 3, 8, 9 in 7304
SUMMARY: LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE (BRANCHING)
Built from 7304-7306

1. VERY/EXTREMELY LIBERAL (1/1/0)
2. JUST LIBERAL (1/2, 8, 9/0)
3. MODERATE LIBERAL (0, 3, 8, 9 /0/1)
4. MODERATE (3/0/7, 8, 9)
5. MODERATE CONSERVATIVE (0, 3, 8, 9/0/5)
6. JUST CONSERVATIVE (5/2, 8, 9/0)
7. VERY/EXTREMELY CONSERVATIVE (5/1/0)
8. DK (0, 8, 9/0/ 7,8,9)
9. NA (9/0/9)
0. Inap; 1, 2 in 7007

AFFIRMATIVE ACTION FRAME EXPERIMENT
FORMS A and B: ADVANTAGES NOT EARNED
FORMS C and D: DISCRIMINATES AGAINST WHITES

Next is a question about whether employers should favor blacks when they decide who to hire and promote. Some people say that because of past discrimination, employers should give preference to qualified blacks. Others disagree, saying that favoring blacks gives them advantages that they haven't really earned. Do you have an opinion on this issue, or haven't you thought much about it?

Next is a question about whether employers should favor blacks when they decide who to hire and promote. Some people say that because of past discrimination, employers should give preference to qualified blacks. Others disagree, saying that favoring blacks amounts to discrimination against whites.

Do you have an opinion on this issue, or haven't you thought much about it?

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
1. YES, HAVE OPINION
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
### D3a. Are you for or against employers favoring blacks when they decide who to hire and promote?

1. **FOR FAVORING BLACKS**
2. **AGAINST FAVORING BLACKS**
3. **DK**
4. **NA**
5. **Inap, 0, 9 in 7308**

### D3b. Do you (support/oppose) favoring blacks strongly or not strongly?

1. **STRONGLY**
2. **NOT STRONGLY**
3. **DK**
4. **NA**
5. **Inap, 0, 9 in 7308, 8, 9, in 7309**

### D3x. SUMMARY: Employers hiring blacks

Built from 7308-7310

1. **FOR FAVORING BLACKS; STRONGLY**
2. **FOR FAVORING BLACKS; NOT STRONGLY**
3. **AGAINST FAVORING BLACKS; STRONGLY**
4. **AGAINST FAVORING BLACKS; NOT STRONGLY**
5. **DK**
6. **NA**
7. **Inap, 0, 9 in 7308**
Here is a question about defense policy. There is much debate over whether the Air Force should build the new Stealth bomber. Some people are against this weapon. They say the Stealth is another costly high-tech weapon system that will probably not work very well. Others believe we need the Stealth bomber. They say the only way to be safe from Russia and the other Communist bloc nations is to make sure we stay a lot stronger than they are. Do you believe the Air Force should go ahead with plans to build the Stealth bomber, or do you think that building the Stealth bomber would be a bad idea?

1. GO AHEAD
5. BAD IDEA
8. DON'T KNOW [DO NOT PROBE]
9. NA
**D4x. SUMMARY:** Plans to build the Stealth bomber

1. GO AHEAD; STRONGLY
2. GO AHEAD; NOT STRONGLY
4. BAD IDEA: NOT STRONGLY
5. BAD IDEA: STRONGLY

8. DK
9. NA

**D5.** If you had a say in making up the federal budget this year, for which of the following programs would you like to see spending decreased?

**D5a.** How about social security? Should federal spending on social security be increased, decreased, or kept about the same?

1. INCREASED
2. DECREASED
3. SAME

8. DK
9. NA

**D5b. Food stamps?**

**D5c. Care for the elderly?**

**D5d. Spending on the unemployed?**

**D5e. Child care?**

**D5f. Public schools?**

**D5g. Care for the homeless?**
Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government. Others feel that women's place is in the home. Which do you think: that women should have an equal role with men or that women's place is in the home?

1. EQUAL ROLE
5. PLACE IS HOME
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 3, 4, in 7007

Do you feel strongly that women should have an equal role with men, or not so strongly?

1. STRONGLY
2. NOT SO STRONGLY
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 3, 4 in 7007; 8, 9 in 7322

SUMMARY: Women's equal rights

1. EQUAL RIGHTS, STRONGLY
2. EQUAL RIGHTS, NOT STRONGLY
4. PLACE IS HOME, NOT STRONGLY
5. PLACE IS HOME, NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 3, 4, in 7007
People have different ideas about the position that women should have in our society. Please tell me how much you approve or disapprove of each of the following statements.

**D7a.** There should be an amendment to the U.S. Constitution guaranteeing equal rights for all citizens regardless of sex. Do you approve strongly, approve somewhat, disapprove somewhat, or disapprove strongly?

1. APPROVE STRONGLY
2. APPROVE SOMewhat
3. DISAPPROVE SOMewhat
4. DISAPPROVE STRONGLY

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 1, 2 in 7007

The government should ensure that affordable child care is available to all those who need it.

Men should have as much responsibility for raising their children as women do.

When a company has to lay off workers, women whose husbands have jobs should be laid off first.

The government in Washington should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of women.

A woman's place is in the home.
There has been a lot of debate recently about defense spending. Some people believe that the U.S. should spend a lot less money on defense. Suppose these people are at one end of a seven-point scale, at point number 1. Others feel that the U.S. should spend a lot more on defense. Suppose these people are at the other end of the scale -- at point number 7. And, of course, other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6.

Where would you place yourself on this scale, (remembering that point number 1 is a lot less spending on defense and point number 7 is a lot more spending on defense)?

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
1-7. ENTER NUMBER

a. DON'T KNOW
9. NA; Inap, 3-4 in 7007
Some people think the United States should become a lot less involved in the internal affairs of Central American countries. Suppose these people are at one end of a seven-point scale, at point number 1. Others believe that the U.S. should become a lot more involved in this part of the world. Suppose these people are at the other end of the scale -- at point number 7. And, of course, other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6.

Where would you place yourself on this scale, remembering that point number 1 is a lot less involved and point number 7 is a lot more involved in the internal affairs of Central American countries.)

E2. Some people think the United States should become a lot less involved in the internal affairs of Central American countries. Suppose these people are at one end of a seven-point scale, at point number 1. Others believe that the U.S. should become a lot more involved in this part of the world. Suppose these people are at the other end of the scale -- at point number 7. And, of course, other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6.

Where would you place yourself on this scale, remembering that point number 1 is a lot less involved and point number 7 is a lot more involved in the internal affairs of Central American countries) or haven't you thought much about this?

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
1-7. ENTER NUMBER
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA, Inap, 3, 4 in 7007
In recent years, there has been increasing public debate about gun control laws. Some people feel the laws covering the sale of firearms should be a lot less strict. Suppose these people are at one end of a 7-point scale, at point number 1. Others feel that the laws covering the sale of firearms should be a lot more strict. Suppose these people are at the other end of the scale -- at point number 7. (Of course, other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6.)

Where would you place yourself on this scale (remembering that point number 1 is a lot less strict gun control laws and point number 7 is a lot more strict gun control laws)?

In recent years, there has been increasing public debate about gun control laws. Some people feel the laws covering the sale of firearms should be a lot less strict. Suppose these people are at one end of a 7-point scale, at point number 1. Others feel that the laws covering the sale of firearms should be a lot more strict. Suppose these people are at the other end of the scale -- at point number 7. (Of course, other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6.)

Where would you place yourself on this scale (remembering that point number 1 is a lot less strict gun control laws and point number 7 is a lot more strict gun control laws) OR HAVEN'T YOU THOUGHT much ABOUT THIS?

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
1-7. ENTER NUMBER

a. DON'T KNOW
9. NA; Inap, 3-4 in 7007
Some people consider themselves to be strong Republicans. Suppose these people are at one end of a seven-point scale, at point number 1. Other people consider themselves to be strong Democrats. Suppose these people are at the other end of the scale, at point number 7. (Of course, other people think of themselves as somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6.) Where would you place yourself on this scale (remembering that 1 is a strong Republican and 7 is a strong Democrat)?

Some people consider themselves to be strong Republicans. Suppose these people are at one end of a seven-point scale, at point number 1. Other people consider themselves to be strong Democrats. Suppose these people are at the other end of the scale, at point number 7. (Of course, other people think of themselves as somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6.) Where would you place yourself on this scale (remembering that 1 is a strong Republican and 7 is a strong Democrat) or haven't you thought much about this?

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
1-7. ENTER NUMBER
9. INDEPENDENT

88. Inap, 3,4 in 7007
98. DON'T KNOW
99. NA

Do you think of yourself as closer to Republicans or to Democrats?

1. DEMOCRATS
3. INDEPENDENT
5. REPUBLICANS
8. DON'T KNOW
9. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE
0. NA (Due to an error in CAT1 logic, 5 of the 7 cases coded 9 on 7334 were not asked this question and should be regarded as NA); Inap, 3,4 in 7007, 1-3, 5-7, 99 in 7334
E4c. You rated yourself as a [V450]. Just to make sure I have it right, that means you think of yourself as a (Democrat/Republican).

RESPONSES TO THIS QUESTION WERE USED TO CODE 7334

1. YES
5. NO (GO BACK TO E4)
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 3,4 in 7007; 0,4,9,98,99 in 7334

DEFENSE SPENDING, LABELED (BRANCHING) FORMAT FILTERED (HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH) AND NOT FILTERED EXPERIMENT

E5. There has been a lot of debate recently about defense spending. Do you think the U.S. should spend less money on defense, more money on defense, or continue spending about the same amount on defense?

E5. There has been a lot of debate recently about defense spending. Do you think the U.S. should spend less money on defense, more money on defense, or continue spending about the same amount on defense, or HAVEN'T YOU THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS?

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
1. LESS
3. SAME
5. MORE
6. Inap, 1,2 in 7007
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

E5a. Would you say the U.S. should spend a lot less or a little less on defense?

1. LOT LESS
2. LITTLE LESS
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 1,2 in 7007; 3,5,8-9 in 7337
E5b. Would you lean toward spending less on defense or more on defense?

1. SPEND LESS
2. LESS/ LITTLE LESS
3. NO CHANGE [VOLUNTEERED]
4. SAME/ SPEND LESS
5. SPEND MORE
6. MORE/ LITTLE MORE
7. MORE/ LOT MORE
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 1,2 in 7007; 1,5,8-9 in 7337

E5c. Would you say the U.S. should spend a lot more or a little more on defense?

1. LOT MORE
2. LITTLE MORE
3. LESS/ LITTLE LESS
4. SAME/ SPEND LESS
5. SAME/ NO CHANGE
6. MORE/ LITTLE MORE
7. MORE/ LOT MORE
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 1,2 in 7007; 1,3, a-9 in 7337

E5x. SUMMARY: Defense Spending. BRANCHING FORMAT

Built from 7337-7340

1. LESS/ LOT LESS
2. LESS/ LITTLE LESS
3. SAME/ SPEND LESS
4. SAME/ NO CHANGE
5. SAME/ SPEND MORE
6. MORE/ LITTLE MORE
7. MORE/ LOT MORE
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 1,2 in 7007; o,a-9 in 7337
CENTRAL AMERICA, LABELED (BRANCHING) FORMAT
FILTERED (HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH) VERSUS
NOT FILTERED EXPERIMENT

7342 E6. (C,D) (C)
Do you think the U.S. should become less involved in
the internal affairs of Central American countries,
more involved in their affairs, or continue being
involved at about the same level?

E6. (D)
Do you think the U.S. should become less involved in
the internal affairs of Central American countries,
more involved in their affairs, continue being
involved at about the same level, OR HAVEN'T YOU
THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS?

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
1. LESS
3. SAME
5. MORE

6. Inap, 1,2 in 7007

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

7343 E6a. Would you say we should become a lot less involved, or
a little less involved?

1. LOT LESS
2. LITTLE LESS

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, 1,2 in 7007; 0,3,5, 8-9 in 7342

7344 E6b. Would you lean toward the U.S. becoming less involved
or more involved?

1. LESS INVOLVED
3. NO CHANGE [VOLUNTEERED]
5. MORE INVOLVED

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, 1,2 in 7007; 0,1,5,8-9 in 7342
E6c. Would you say we should become a lot more involved or a little more involved?

1. LOT MORE
2. LITTLE MORE
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, 1,2 in 7007; 0,1,3,8-9 in 7342

E6x. SUMMARY: US involvement in Central America

BUILT FROM 7342-7345

1. LOT LESS INVOLVEMENT
2. LITTLE LESS INVOLVEMENT
3. SAME; LEAN TOWARD LESS INVOLVEMENT
4. SAME; NO CHANGE
5. SAME; LEAN TOWARD MORE INVOLVEMENT
6. LITTLE MORE INVOLVEMENT
7. LOT MORE INVOLVEMENT
8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, 1,2 in 7007; 0, 8-9 in 7342
In recent years, there has been increasing public debate about gun control laws. Do you feel the laws covering the sale of firearms should be made less strict, more strict, or kept as they are now, or haven't you thought much about this?

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
1. LESS STRICT
3. KEPT AS NOW
5. MORE STRICT
6. Inap, 1-2 in 7007
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

Do you feel these laws should be made a lot less strict or a little less strict?

1. LOT LESS
2. LITTLE LESS
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 1-2, in 7007; 0,3,5,8-9 in 7347

Would you lean toward these laws less strict or more strict?

1. LESS STRICT
3. NO CHANGE [VOLUNTEERED]
5. MORE STRICT
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, 1-2, in 7007; 0-1,5,8-9 in 7347
E7C. Do you feel these laws should be made a lot more strict or a little more strict?

1. LOT MORE STRICT
2. LITTLE MORE STRICT

a. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, 1-2, in 7007; 0, 1, 3, a-9 in 7347

E7X. SUMMARY: Gun Control Laws.

1. LOT LESS STRICT
2. LITTLE LESS STRICT
3. SAME; LEAN TOWARDS LESS STRICT
4. SAME; NO CHANGE
5. SAME; LEAN TOWARDS MORE STRICT
6. LITTLE MORE STRICT
7. LOT MORE STRICT

a. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, 1-2 in 7007; 0, a-9 in 7347
PARTY ID, LABELED (BRANCHING) FORMAT
FILTERED (HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH)
VERSUS NOT FILTERED EXPERIMENT

7352  E8. Generally speaking, do you **usually** think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent, or what?

C, D

E8. Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent, or what, or haven't you thought much about this?

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
1. REPUBLICAN
5. DEMOCRAT
2. INDEPENDENT
3. NO PREFERENCE
4. OTHER PARTY
6. **Inap**, 1-2 in 7007
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

7353  E8a. Would you call yourself a strong (Republican/Democrat) or a not very strong (Republican/Democrat)?

(C,D)

1. STRONG
5. NOT VERY STRONG
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. **Inap**, 1-2 in 7007; 0,2-4,8-9 in 7352

7354  E8b. Do you think of yourself as closer to Republicans or to Democrats?

(C,D)

1. CLOSER TO REPUBLICANS
5. CLOSER TO DEMOCRATS
3. NEITHER [VOLUNTEERED]
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. **Inap**, 1-2 in 7007; 0-1,5,9 in 7352
Party ID Built from 7352-7354

0. STRONG DEMOCRAT (7510)
1. WEAK DEMOCRAT (7550, 7580, 7590)
2. INDEPENDENT-DEMOCRAT (7205, 7305, 7405, 7805)
3. INDEPENDENT-INDEPENDENT (7203, 7208, 7209)
4. INDEPENDENT-REPUBLICAN (7201, 7301, 7401, 7801)
5. WEAK REPUBLICAN (7150, 7180, 7190)
6. STRONG REPUBLICAN (7110)
7. OTHER-MINOR PARTY, REFUSES TO SAY (7403, 7408, 7409)
8. APOLITICAL (7303, 7803)
9. NA (7803, 7808, 7809, 7900); Inap, 1-2 in 7007
Fl. How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you watch the news TV?
(A,B)
Fl. How many days IN A TYPICAL WEEK do you watch the news on TV?
(C,D)
0-7. ENTER NUMBER
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

Fla. How much attention DID you pay to news on TV about national politics -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?
(A,B)
Fla. How much attention DO you pay to news on TV about national politics -- a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none?
(C,D)
1. A GREAT DEAL
2. QUITE A BIT
3. SOME
4. VERY LITTLE
5. NONE
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, 0, 8-9 in 7356

Flb. Was this a typical week for you with respect to the amount of news on TV you watched?
(A,B)
1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, 3-4 in 7007

Flc. How many days in a typical week do you watch the news TV?
(A,B)
'0-7. ENTER NUMBER
8. DK
9. NA; Inap, 3-4 in 8; 1, 8-9 in 7358
7360  F2.  How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you read a daily 
(A,B) newspaper?
F2.  How many days IN A TYPICAL WEEK do you read a daily 
(C,D) newspaper?

0-7. ENTER NUMBER

a.  DON'T KNOW
9.  NA

7361  F2a.  How much attention DID you pay to newspaper articles 
(A,B) about national politics -- a great deal, quite a bit, 
some, very little, or none?
F2a.  How much attention DO you pay to newspaper articles 
(C,D) about national politics -- a great deal, quite a bit, 
some, very little, or none?

1.  A GREAT DEAL
2.  QUITE A BIT
3.  SOME
4.  VERY LITTLE
5.  NONE

a.  DK
9.  NA
0.  Inap, 0, a-9 in 7360

7362  F2b.  Was this a typical week for you with respect to how 
(A,B) often you read a daily newspaper?

1.  YES
5.  NO
8.  DK
9.  NA
0.  Inap, 3-4, in 7007

7363  F2c.  How many days in a typical week do you read a daily 
(A,B) newspaper?

0-7. ENTER NUMBER

8.  DK
9.  NA, Inap, 3-4 in 7007; 1, a-9 in 7362
I am going to read two statements. Please tell me which one is closer to your own view.

ONE, government regulation of big businesses and corporations is necessary to protect the public or, TWO, that government regulation does more harm than good?

1. NECESSARY TO PROTECT
3. BOTH, DEPENDS
5. MORE HARM THAN GOOD
8. DK
9. NA

Next, consider a different pair of statements. Which is closer to your own view:

ONE, the government should try to ensure that all Americans have such things as jobs, health care, and housing or, TWO, the government should not be involved in this.

1. GOVERNMENT ENSURE
3. BOTH, DEPENDS
5. GOVERNMENT NOT INVOLVED
8. DK
9. NA

(Next, consider a different pair of statements. Which is closer to your own view) Which is closer: ONE, it is better to fit in with the people around you or, TWO, it is better to conduct yourself according to your own standards, even if that makes you stand out?

1. FIT IN
3. BOTH, DEPENDS
5. OWN STANDARDS
8. DK
9. NA
F6. Which is closer: ONE, people should take care of themselves and their families and let others do the same or, TWO, people should care less about their own success and more about the needs of society?

1. TAKE CARE OF SELF
3. BOTH, DEPENDS
5. MORE ABOUT SOCIETY

8. DK
9. NA

F7. Which is closer: ONE, when raising children it is more important to teach them to be independent-minded and think for themselves or, TWO, it is more important to teach them obedience and respect for authority?

1. INDEPENDENT MINDED
3. BOTH, DEPENDS
5. OBEDIENCE

8. DK
9. NA

F8. Which is closer: ONE, most poor people are poor because they don't work hard enough or, TWO, they are poor because of circumstances beyond their control?

1. DON'T WORK HARD
3. BOTH, DEPENDS
5. BEYOND THEIR CONTROL

8. DK
9. NA
There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. Which one of the opinions I am about to read you best agrees with your view on abortion?

1. ONE: By law abortion should never be permitted.
2. TWO: The law should permit abortion only in the case of rape, incest or when the woman's life is in danger.
3. THREE: The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman's life, but only after the need for the abortion has been clearly established.
4. FOUR: By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice.
5. OTHER: [PF10 TO SPECIFY]

8. DK
9. NA
Our next question concerns the relationship between the United States and Russia. Some Americans feel that we should try to cooperate more with Russia, in order to reduce the chances of a nuclear war. Other Americans believe that we should be much tougher in our dealings with Russia because Russian leaders are communists and they still want to take over the world. Do you have an opinion on this, or haven't you thought much about it?

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH  
1. YES  
5. NO  
8. DK  
9. NA

Do you feel we should try to cooperate more with Russia, get tougher in our dealings with Russia, or is your opinion somewhere in between?

1. COOPERATE MORE  
3. IN BETWEEN  
5. GET TOUGHER  
8. DK  
9. NA  
0. Inap, 0, 5, 8, 9 in 7402
G2b. Should we try to cooperate a lot more or somewhat more? 
G2c. Should we get a lot tougher or somewhat tougher?

1. LOT
2. SOMewhat
8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, 0, 5, 8, 9 in 7402; 3, 8, 9 in 7403

G2x. Summary: Cooperate with Russia
Built from 7402-704

1. COOPERATE MORE; LOT
2. COOPERATE MORE; SOMEWHAT
3. IN BETWEEN
4. GET TOUGHER; SOMEWHAT
5. GET TOUGHER; LOT
8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, 0, 5, 8-9 in 7402
In recent years, the Equal Rights Amendment—also known as E.R.A.—has been debated across the country. Let me read you the actual wording of the E.R.A.: "Equality of rights under the law shall not be denied or abridged by the United States or by any state on account of sex."

Do you favor or oppose the Equal Rights Amendment to the Constitution?

1. FAVOR
2. DEPENDS
3. OPPOSE
4. DK
5. NA

Do you favor the E.R.A. strongly or not so strongly?
1. STRONGLY
2. NOT SO STRONGLY
3. DK
4. NA

Do you oppose the E.R.A. strongly or not so strongly?
1. OPPOSE STRONGLY
2. OPPOSE NOT SO STRONGLY
3. DK
4. NA

Summary: ERA Built from 7406. 7407

1. FAVOR: STRONGLY
2. FAVOR: NOT SO STRONGLY
3. DEPENDS
4. OPPOSE NOT SO STRONGLY
5. OPPOSE STRONGLY
6. DK
7. NA
Our next question deals with government programs to assist the poor. Some people say that government spending on such programs for the poor needs to be increased to help those who, through no fault of their own, simply cannot earn enough to take care of themselves and their children. Others say that government spending on such programs for the poor should be decreased, because given the huge budget deficit, we simply can't afford it.

If you had a say in making up the federal budget this year, would you like to see spending on programs that assist the poor increased, decreased, or stay the same?

1. INCREASED
3. STAY THE SAME
5. DECREASED

8. DK
9. NA
G4x. SUMMARY: Welfare programs.
Built from 7409-7410

1. INCREASED: A LOT
2. INCREASED: A LITTLE
3. STAY THE SAME
4. DECREASED: A LITTLE
5. DECREASED: A LOT
8. DK
9. NA

QUESTION FRAMING EXPERIMENT: ALASKAN OIL DRILLING
FORMS A,B: FRAME 1 PRESERVATION/FOREIGN DEPENDENCE)
FORM C: FRAME2 EFFICIENCY/LAYOFFS)
FORM D: STRIPPED

G5. There is a lot of talk these days about a plan to allow
(A,B) more drilling for oil on federal lands in Alaska. Some
people are opposed to this drilling. They say the
Alaskan wilderness should be preserved in its natural
state for future generations. Others say the drilling
is necessary because the U.S. needs new energy sources
to avoid becoming dependent on foreign oil. What is
your opinion? Do you favor or oppose drilling new oil
fields on federal lands in Alaska?

G5. There is a lot of talk these days about a plan to allow
(C) more drilling for oil on federal lands in Alaska. Some
people are opposed to this drilling. They say there
would be no need to drill for oil in Alaska if we made
more efficient use of our existing energy resources.
Others support the drilling. They say without new
sources of oil, working people will be hurt by higher
gasoline prices and there will be further layoffs in
American factories. What is your opinion? Do you
favor or oppose drilling new oil fields on federal
lands in Alaska?

G5. Do you favor or oppose drilling new oil fields on
(D) federal lands in Alaska?

1. FAVOR
5. OPPOSE
8. DK [DO NOT PROBE]
9. NA
G5a. Do you favor drilling new oil fields strongly or not so strongly?

G5b. Do you oppose drilling new oil fields strongly or not so strongly?

1. STRONGLY
2. NOT SO STRONGLY

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, 8, 9 in 7412

SUMMARY:

G5x: ALASKA OIL: Alaska Oil Built from 7412, 7413

1. FAVOR STRONGLY
2. FAVOR NOT STRONGLY
3. OPPOSE NOT STRONGLY
4. OPPOSE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. NA

DEATH PENALTY QUESTION FRAME EXPERIMENT
FORMS A,C: DETERRENCE/IMMORALITY
FORM B: PUNISHMENT/UNCONSTITUTIONAL
FORM D: STRIPPED

G6. There is still much controversy about the death penalty in murder cases. Some people favor the death penalty because they believe it deters crime. Others oppose the death penalty because they believe killing another human being is always immoral, even the killing of someone who has committed murder. Do you favor or oppose the death penalty for the crime of murder?

G6. There is still much controversy about the death penalty in murder cases. Some people say that murder is so awful a crime that it deserves to be punished by death. Others oppose the death penalty. They say it is unconstitutional because it is "cruel and unusual punishment". Do you favor or oppose the death penalty for the crime of murder?

G6. 'Do you favor or oppose the death penalty for the crime of murder?

1. FAVOR
5. OPPOSE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>STRONGLY</th>
<th>NOT SO STRONGLY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>DK</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inap, 5, 8, 9 in 7415

**Summary:** Death Penalty Built from 7415, 7416

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FAVOR: STRONGLY</th>
<th>FAVOR: NOT STRONGLY</th>
<th>OPPOSE: NOT STRONGLY</th>
<th>OPPOSE: STRONGLY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Some people believe that illegal drugs like heroin and cocaine are the greatest problem facing America today. As one step in dealing with this problem, they favor giving all employers the right to test their workers for use of illegal drugs. Other people oppose such testing as an invasion of privacy. They say that as long 'as a worker is performing well on the job, employers should not be able to require a drug test. Do you favor or oppose giving all employers the right to test their workers for possible use of illegal drugs?

Here is a question about the problem of illegal drugs. As one step in dealing with this problem, some people think all employers should have the right to test their workers for possible use of illegal drugs. Others oppose this kind of testing. As you know, there is a lot of debate in the press on this question of whether employers should have the right to test workers for illegal drugs. Which side of the debate is closer to your view? Do you favor or oppose giving all employers the right to test their workers for possible use of illegal drugs?

Do you favor or oppose giving all employers the right to test their workers for the possible use of illegal drugs?

1. FAVOR  
5. OPPOSE  
8. DK  
9. NA

Do you favor the drug testing strongly or not so strongly?  
Do you oppose the drug testing strongly or not so strongly?

1. STRONGLY  
2. NOT SO STRONGLY  
8. DK  
9. NA
Here is another question about foreign policy. As you know, the U.S. has been giving aid to the Contras, a guerrilla group that wants to overthrow the Communist government of Nicaragua. Some people say we should stop aid to the Contras because the U.S. has no business in the internal affairs of Nicaragua. Others think the U.S. should continue the aid because the Contras are freedom fighters trying to stop the spread of Communism in Central America.

Would you like to see aid to the Contras in Nicaragua increased, decreased, or stay the same?

Here is another question about foreign policy. As you know, the U.S. has been giving aid to the Contras, a guerrilla group that wants to overthrow the Sandinista government of Nicaragua. Some people say we should stop aid to the Contras because the money could be better spent in the U.S. Others think the U.S. should continue the aid because the Contras are fighting to promote democracy in Central America.

Would you like to see aid to the Contras in Nicaragua increased, decreased, or stay the same?
G8. Here is another question about foreign policy. Would (D) you like to see aid to the Contras in Nicaragua increased, decreased, or stay the same?

1. INCREASED
3. STAY THE SAME
5. DECREASED

8. DK
9. NA

G8x. SUMMARY: Aid to the Contras

1. INCREASED: A LOT
2. INCREASED; A LITTLE
3. STAY THE SAME
4. DECREASED; A LITTLE
5. DECREASED; A LOT

8. DK
9. NA

7423 DUMMY VARIABLE
Some people feel that the government in Washington should make a special effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, because blacks still don't have the same opportunities to get ahead as everyone else. Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks; that blacks should help themselves, just as other groups have done. Do you have an opinion on this issue, or haven't you thought much about it?

Some people feel that the government in Washington should make a special effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, while others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks. Do you have an opinion on this issue or haven't you thought much about it?

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. NA

Do you feel the government should or should not make a special effort to help blacks, or is your opinion somewhere in between?

1. SHOULD
3. IN BETWEEN
5. SHOULD NOT

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, 0, 5, 8, 9 in 7424
G9b. Should the government help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>V7425 Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>GREAT</td>
<td>V7425=1 or &quot;Yes&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SOME</td>
<td>V7425=1 or &quot;Some&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>IN BETWEEN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SHOULD NOT/YES</td>
<td>V7425=1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>SHOULD NOT/NO</td>
<td>V7425=1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0. Inap, 0, 5, 8, 9 in 7424: 3, 5, 8, 9 in 7425

G9c. Should the government make any effort at all to improve the position of blacks?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>DK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0. Inap, 0, 5, 8, 9 in 7424: 1, 3, 8, 9 in 7425

G9x. SUMMARY: GOVERNMENT ASSISTANCE TO BLACKS

Built from 7424 - 7426

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SHOULD/GREAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SHOULD/SOME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>IN BETWEEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SHOULD NOT/YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>SHOULD NOT/NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Our next question deals with the disease AIDS. Some Americans believe that AIDS is a very serious threat to public health, that too many people have already died from AIDS and that the government in Washington should spend more money trying to stop the spread of AIDS and taking care of those people who suffer from the disease. Other Americans believe that most people who get AIDS--primarily homosexual men and intravenous drug users--should have been more careful in the first place. If you had a say in making up the federal budget this year, would you like to see spending increased, decreased, or stay the same for the fight against AIDS?

Our next question deals with the disease AIDS. Some Americans believe that AIDS is a very serious threat to public health, that too many people have already died from AIDS and that the government in Washington should spend more money trying to stop the spread of AIDS and taking care of those people who suffer from the disease. Other Americans believe that the government has more important things to spend money on, like cancer research. If you had a say in making up the federal budget this year, would you like to see spending increased, decreased, or stay the same for the fight against AIDS?

1. INCREASED
3. STAY THE SAME
5. DECREASED
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

**SUMMARY:** AIDS
Built from 7428, 7429

1. INCREASED A LOT
2. INCREASED A LITTLE
3. STAY THE SAME
4. DECREASED A LITTLE
5. DECREASED A LOT
8. DK
9. NA
7430......DUMMY VARIABLE

7431  G11. (NON-BLACKS) What do you think the chances are these days that a white person won't get admitted to a school while an equally or less qualified black person gets admitted instead? Is this very likely, somewhat likely, or not very likely to happen these days?

G11. (BLACKS) What do you think the chances are these days that a black person will get admitted to a school while an equally qualified white person gets turned down? Is this very likely, somewhat likely, or not very likely to happen these days?

1. VERY LIKELY
2. SOMewhat LIKELY
3. NOT VERY LIKELY

a. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

7432  G12. (NON-BLACKS) What do you think the chances are these days that you or anyone in your family won't get admitted to a school while an equally or less qualified black person is admitted instead? Very likely, somewhat likely, or not very likely?

G12. (BLACKS) What do you think the chances are these days that you or anyone in your family will get admitted to a school while and equally qualified white student gets turned down? Is this very likely, somewhat likely, or not very likely?

1. VERY LIKELY
2. SOMewhat LIKELY
3. NOT VERY LIKELY

a. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
G13. I would like you to tell me whether you agree or disagree with the following statement: Affirmative action programs for blacks have reduced whites' chances for jobs, promotions, and admissions to schools and training programs. Do you agree or disagree?

1. AGREE
5. DISAGREE
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

G13a. Do you agree strongly or not so strongly?
G13b. Do you disagree strongly or not so strongly?

1. STRONGLY
2. NOT SO STRONGLY
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, a, 9 IN 7433

G13x. SUMMARY: Affirmative Action

1. AGREE STRONGLY
2. AGREE NOT STRONGLY
3. DISAGREE NOT STRONGLY
4. DISAGREE STRONGLY
8. DK
9. NA
Now I'd like to ask some more questions about television, radio and newspapers.

7436 H1. Please tell me how often you watch each of the following types of shows on TV.

H1a. How many (DAYS IN THE LAST WEEK/DAYS IN A TYPICAL WEEK) (DID/DO) you watch nighttime entertainment programs like comedies or dramas?

0-7. ENTER NUMBER
8. DK
9. NA

7437 H1b. How many (DAYS IN THE LAST WEEK/DAYS IN A TYPICAL WEEK) (DID/DO) you watch morning news programs like "Good Morning America" or "Today"?

7438 H1c. How many (DAYS IN LAST WEEK/DAYS IN A TYPICAL WEEK) (DID/DO) you watch local news broadcasts, that is programs that mainly cover news that occurs in your state and local community?

7439 H1d. How many (DAYS IN THE LAST WEEK/DAYS IN A TYPICAL WEEK) (DID/DO) you watch national news broadcasts, like the ABC News with Peter Jennings, CBS with Dan Rather, or NBC with Tom Browkaw?

7440 H2a. Now radio. How many (DAYS IN THE LAST WEEK/DAYS IN A TYPICAL WEEK) (DID/DO) you listen to talk radio programs, where people call in to talk about public issues?

7441 H2b. How many (DAYS IN THE LAST WEEK/DAYS IN A TYPICAL WEEK) (DID/DO) you listen to short news summaries, usually five minutes or so, that are broadcast in between music or other regular programs?

7442 H2c. How many (DAYS IN THE LAST WEEK/DAYS IN A TYPICAL WEEK) (DID/DO) you listen to full length news programs, lasting an hour or more?
H3a. (IN THE PAST WEEK DID) (DO) you read a national news magazine, such as Newsweek or Time (IN A TYPICAL WEEK?)

1. YES
5. NO

a. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

H3a. Do you read more for general entertainment, more for news about politics and international affairs. or both?

1. ENTERTAINMENT
2. NEWS
3. BOTH

a. DK
9. NA

0. INAP, 5, a, 9 in 443

H4. Now think about different kinds of stories in the newspaper. For each, just tell me if you read them frequently, sometimes, rarely, or never.

H4a. How often do you read stories about things happening in your local community--do you read them frequently, sometimes, rarely, or never?

1. FREQUENTLY
2. SOMETIMES
3. RARELY
4. NEVER

a. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

H4b. (How often do you read stories about) sports or entertainment?

H4c. (How often do you read stories about) national affairs and politics?

H4d. (How often do you read stories about) international and world affairs?
Every day there are dozens of stories in the news. We are interested in how many of these stories people actually see or hear and how good a job the media does in covering the news. For example:

7449 H5. Have you read or heard any news articles about the resignation of Congressman Jim Wright?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA

7450 H5a. Do you happen to recall why he resigned? (Why was that?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CODE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01.</td>
<td>Drinking/ Womanizing/ Took bribes / Other incorrect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02.</td>
<td>Scandal/ Trouble /Under attack /Charges (not specified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03.</td>
<td>Ethical violations/ Morals/ Corruption/ Wasn't Honest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04.</td>
<td>Financial misdeeds/ Conflict of interest/ Financial discrepancies (not specified)/ Used his office for personal gain/ Malfeasance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05.</td>
<td>Forced out politically/ Left to avoid investigation or impeachment/ Quit to spare his party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06.</td>
<td>Problem with his wife/ His wife's job / Trouble with his relatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07.</td>
<td>Problem with his book</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08.</td>
<td>Problem with his use of staff members/ Problem with a savings and loan/ Trouble with an oil company/ Other specific problem or charge mentioned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.</td>
<td>Mention of any 2 or more specific problems or charges (e.g., wife and book, or his book and trouble with a savings and loan)/ Other specific details reflecting extensive knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.</td>
<td>Missing/ No answer/ Unintelligible/ Not ascertained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'98.</td>
<td>No/ Can't remember/ &quot;Just quit&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97.</td>
<td>Other Response /Not classified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96.</td>
<td>I used to know but I forgot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00.</td>
<td>Inap, 5, 8, 9 in 7499</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
H6. Have you heard or read any stories about baseball manager Pete Rose?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, Interview taken 7/7 - 8/1

H6a. Do you remember why he was in the news? (Why was that?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CODE</th>
<th>HIGHEST POSSIBLE NON MISSING DATA VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01.</td>
<td>General comment about baseball/ sports (no specifics)/ Other incorrect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02.</td>
<td>Scandal/ Trouble/ Under attack/ Charges (not specified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03.</td>
<td>Womanizing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04.</td>
<td>Drugs/ Mixed up in drugs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05.</td>
<td>Gambling/ betting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06.</td>
<td>Betting on baseball/ Betting on his team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07.</td>
<td>Mention of: Bart Giamatti, Baseball's investigation of his gambling, Rose's suit to block investigation/ Other specific details reflecting extensive knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.</td>
<td>Missing/ No answer/ Unintelligible/ Not ascertained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98.</td>
<td>No/ Can't remember/ &quot;Just quit&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97.</td>
<td>Other Response /Not classified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96.</td>
<td>I used to know but I forgot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00.</td>
<td>Inap, Interview taken 7/7 - 8/1; 5, 8, 9 in 7451</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H7. Do you recall any stories about a U.S. Supreme Court decision this summer on the death penalty?

1. YES
5. NO

-8. DK
9. NA
H7a. Do you remember what the court decided? (What did they decide?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CODE HIGHEST POSSIBLE NON MISSING DATA VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01. Against death penalty/ Banned death penalty/ Made death penalty illegal/ Other incorrect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02. Hasn't decided yet/ Didn't make any decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03. Reinstated death penalty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04. In favor of the death penalty/ Supported death penalty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05. Left it up to the states/ Gave it back to the states/ Let local level decide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06. Can execute minors, teens/ Lowered age/ Mention of minors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07. Can execute retarded, mentally impaired/ Mention of retarded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08. Mention of both 6,7 (minors and retarded)/ Other specific details reflecting extensive knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99. Missing/ No answer/ Unintelligible/ Not ascertained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98. No/ Can't remember/ &quot;Just quit&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97. Other Response /Not classified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96. I used to know but I forgot</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 00. Inap, 5, 8, 9 in 7503 |

H8. Have you heard or read any stories about the Department of Housing and Urban Development, also known as HUD?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA

H8a. Do you happen to remember why this agency was in the news? (Why?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CODE HIGHEST POSSIBLE NON MISSING DATA VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01. Gives money for housing/ Helps poor with housing/ Gives loans for poor to get housing/ Other incorrect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02. Sex scandal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03. Scandal, trouble (unspecified)/ Under investigation/ Controversy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
04. Fraud/ Financial misdeeds (unspecified)/
Misappropriation of Funds / Malfeasance / Corruption / Embezzlement
05. Mismanagement / Housing programs run poorly /
Housing went to the rich instead of the poor
06. Mentions specific dollar amount of financial losses/
Mentions Congressional hearings, investigation into HUD activities
07. Lady who robbed from rich, gave to poor / Lady who
took money / "Robin HUD"/ Lady in real estate
08. Mention of 7 (Robin HUD) plus another category 3-6
09. Influence peddling / Lobbying / Former officials
lobbying HUD / Insiders receiving contract
paybacks / Officials steering money to friends /
Former government officials making money off their
contacts
10. Specific mention of: Jack Kemp, Carla Hill, DRG
(or some reasonable approximation of DRG), Samuel
Pierce, "Silent Sam," Deborah Gore Dean / Other
specific details reflecting extensive knowledge

99. Missing/ No answer / Unintelligible / Not
ascertained
98. No / Can't remember / "Just quit"
97. Other Response / Not classified
96. I used to know but I forgot
00. Inap, 5, 8, 9 in 7455

7457 H9. Do you remember any recent stories about Marine Colonel
Oliver North receiving a sentence for his conviction
in the Iran-Contra affair?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. NA

7458 H9a. Do you recall anything about what sentence he received?

(What was that?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CODE</th>
<th>HIGHEST POSSIBLE NON MISSING DATA VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'01.</td>
<td>Found guilty / Going to jail / Other incorrect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'02.</td>
<td>Found not guilty / Acquitted / Acquitted of some charges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'03.</td>
<td>Light sentence / Not heavy enough / Not enough for me</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'04.</td>
<td>No jail / Probation / Suspended sentence (without</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
05. Community service/ Drug program (without time specified)
06. Fine (without amount specified)
07. Can't run for office / Loss of Pension
08. Mention of any 1 component of sentence from 4 to 7 (with some' specifics) (e.g., "150 thousand dollar fine," "2 year suspended sentence," or "1200 hours of community service")
09. Mention of any 2 components of sentence (without any specifics) (e.g., "probation and fine," "fine and loss of pension")
10. Mention of any 2 components of sentence (with some specifics) (e.g., "two years probation and 150 thousand dollar fine")
11. Mention of 3 or more components of sentence (without any specifics) (e.g., "community service, fine, and he can't run for federal office")
12. Mention of 3 or more components of sentence (with some specifics)/ Other specific details reflecting extensive knowledge

99. Missing/ No answer/ Unintelligible/ Not ascertained
98. No/ Can't remember/ "Just quit"
97. Other Response /Not classified
96. I used to know but I forgot
00. Inap, 5, 8, 9 in 7457

7459 H10. Have you heard or read any stories about a U.S. Supreme Court decision this summer on abortion?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA

7460 H10a. Do you remember what the court decided? (What did they decide?)

[CODE HIGHEST POSSIBLE NON MISSING DATA VALUE]

'01. Decided for abortion/ Let women decide for themselves/ Other incorrect
02. Made abortion illegal/ Outlawed abortion/ Overturned Roe v. Wade
03. Don't think they decided yet (but not 7 below!)
04. Allowed abortion to continue/ Trying to change
laws on abortion/ Middle of the road/ A difficult
decision to follow or understand
05. Against abortion/ Anti-abortion/ Against women's
rights/ Basically pro-life/ limited abortion
06. Upheld Roe v. Wade/ Didn't overturn Roe v. Wade
07. Court still making up its mind/ Court hasn't
really decided yet/ Court may overturn Roe v.
Wade/ Holding off until next term
08. Left it up to the states/ Gave it back to the
states/ Let local level decide
09. Mention of: Missouri case, Missouri law, Webster,
Webster case
10. Specifics mentioned: Ban on public funding or
public facilities to perform abortions, requirements
for viability testing of fetus, parental consent for abortions/ Any mention of
Supreme Court Justices by name/ Other specific
details reflecting extensive knowledge

99. Missing/ No answer/ Unintelligible/ Not
ascertained
98. No/ Can't remember/ "Just quit"
97. Other Response /Not classified
96. I used to know but I forgot
00. Inap, 5, 8, 9 in 7459

7461 H11. Have you heard or read any news stories about a minor
accident involving a former President Reagan?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, Interview taken 7/10 - 8/1

7462 H11a. Do you remember what the accident was? (What was
it?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CODE</th>
<th>HIGHEST POSSIBLE NON MISSING DATA VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01.</td>
<td>Any accident other than fell off his horse/ Other incorrect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02.</td>
<td>Fell off his horse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03.</td>
<td>Happened in Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04.</td>
<td>Any mention of both 2,3 (e.g., &quot;fell off horse in Mexico&quot;)/ Other specific details reflecting extensive knowledge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

68
99. Missing/ No answer/ Unintelligible/ Not ascertained
90. No/ Can't remember/ "Just quit"
97. Other Response /Not classified
96. I used to know but I forgot

00. Inap, Interview taken 7/10 - 8/1; 5, 8, 9 in 7461

7463 H12. Do you remember any major news stories about the American flag?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, Interview taken 7/14 - 8/1

7464 H12a. Can you recall what these stories were about? (What?)

CODE HIGHEST POSSIBLE NON MISSING DATA VALUE

01. Flag burning/ Someone burned the flag
02. Right to burn flag/ Burning flag is OK/ Whether or not you can burn the flag
03. Any mention of: first amendment, free speech, constitutional right
04. Any mention of: Decision, Supreme Court, Supreme Court Decision
05. Any mention of: Bush wants to ban flag burning, Amendment to ban flag burning, Proposal to ban flag burning, Congress wants to ban flag burning
06. Mention of both 4,5 (Court decision and amendment)/ Other specific details reflecting extensive knowledge

99. Missing/ No answer/ Unintelligible/ Not ascertained
90. No/ Can't remember/ "Just quit"
97. Other Response /Not classified
96. I used to know but I forgot

00. Inap, Interview taken 7/14 - 8/1; 5, 8, 9 in 7463
H13. Have you heard or read any stories about Soviet leader Gorbachev making an arms control proposal during his recent visit to France?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, Interview taken 7/6, 7/18 = 8/1

H13a. Do you remember anything about what he proposed? (What was that?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CODE HIGHEST POSSIBLE NON MISSING DATA VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01. Don't like Gorbachev/ Japanese Proposal/ Can't trust Gorbachev/ Other incorrect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02. Wants to reduce or cut military, arms, weapons (not specified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03. Wants to reduce or cut aircraft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04. Wants to reduce or cut troops/ Wants to reduce or cut conventional weapons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05. Wants to reduce or cut missiles (but not &quot;short-range missiles&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06. Wants to cut both men and weapons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07. Will remove troops from Europe/ Will remove troops from Poland, Hungary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08. Wants to reduce or cut short-range missiles (also OK: &quot;smaller missiles,&quot; &quot;medium-range weapons&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09. Mention of both 7,8 (removal of troops from Europe and cuts in short-range missiles)/ Mention of: &quot;zero-based&quot; disarmament, mutual exchange/ Other specific details reflecting extensive knowledge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 99. Missing/ No answer/ Unintelligible/ Not ascertained |
| 98. No/ Can't remember/ "Just quit" |
| 97. Other Response /Not classified |
| 96. I used to know but I forgot |

00. Inap, Interview taken 7/6, 7/18 = 8/1; 5, 8, 9 in 7465
7467 H14. Have you heard or read any stories about President Bush leaving Washington to go on an official trio?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, Interview taken 7/6 - 7/9, 7/20 - 8/1

7468 H14a. Do you happen to remember where the President went? (Where was that?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CODE</th>
<th>HIGHEST POSSIBLE NON MISSING DATA VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01.</td>
<td>Any country other than Poland, Hungary, France, Holland (Netherlands)/ Any continent other than Europe/ Other incorrect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02.</td>
<td>Someplace he's never been before/ His ancestral home</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03.</td>
<td>Europe/ All over/ Bunch of places/ Gone for a week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04.</td>
<td>Mention economic summit meetings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05.</td>
<td>Poland (Warsaw, Gdansk)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06.</td>
<td>Hungary (Budapest)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07.</td>
<td>France (Paris)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08.</td>
<td>Netherlands (Holland, Leiden)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.</td>
<td>Poland and Hungary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Poland and France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Poland and Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Hungary and France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Hungary and Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>France and Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Poland, Hungary, and France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Mention any other combination of 3 correct countries, cities/ Other specific details reflecting extensive knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.</td>
<td>Missing/ No answer/ Unintelligible/ Not ascertained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98.</td>
<td>No/ Can't remember/ &quot;Just quit&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97.</td>
<td>Other Response /Not classified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96.</td>
<td>I used to know but I forgot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00.</td>
<td>Inap, Interview taken 7/6 - 7/9, 7/20 - 8/1, 5, 8, 9 in 7467</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
H15. Do you remember any stories about a prominent military general in Cuba who was not on trial and executed?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, Interview taken 7/6 - 7/3, 7/18 - 8/1

H15a. Do you happen to remember what he was charged with? (What was that?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CODE HIGHEST POSSIBLE NON MISSING DATA VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01. Spying/ Coup/ Other incorrect'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02. Drugs/ Drug Smuggling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03. Castro wanted him out/ Castro got rid of him</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04. Mention of both 2,3 (Drugs and Castro got rid of him)/ Any mention of General Ochoa/ Other specific details reflecting extensive knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99. Missing/ No answer/ Unintelligible/ Not ascertained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98. No/ Can't remember/ &quot;Just quit&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97. Other Response /Not classified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96. I used to know but I forgot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00. Inap, Interview taken 7/6 - 7/3, 7/18 - 8/1; 5, 8, 9 in 7469</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H16. Have you heard or seen any stories about the B2 Stealth Bomber?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, Interview taken 7/6 - 7/17
H16a. Do you happen to remember why the stealth Bomber was in the news? (Why was that?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CODE HIGHEST POSSIBLE NON MISSING DATA VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01. It crashed/ Other incorrect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02. General description of plane (but no mention of funding debate or recent tests)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03. It got cancelled (but not 10 below!)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04. They approved it (but not 10 below!)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05. It is no longer secret/ They unveiled it (no specifics)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06. It costs too much/ It is very expensive/ It's the most expensive plane ever/ Congress is deciding whether they want to pay for it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07. They tested it on the ground/ They postponed a test flight/ Test (unspecified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08. They flew it/ They tested it in the air/ Maiden voyage/ It finally flew</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09. First they tested it on the ground, then postponed flight, then finally they flew it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. They cut some money from the project/ They cut 300 million from the project/ Congress is cutting some money, but still going ahead with building it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Mention of both 6 or 10 (funding) and 7, 8 or 9 (testing) (e.g., &quot;They tested it and it's very expensive,&quot; &quot;Congress is debating whether to fund it or not but it finally flew&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Mention of 3 or more categories above/ Other specific details reflecting extensive knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99. Missing/ No answer/ Unintelligible/ Not ascertained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98. No/ Can't remember</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97. Other Response /Not classified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96. I used to know but I forgot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00. Inap, Interview taken 7/6 - 7/17; 5, 8, 9 in 7471</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H17. Have you heard or seen any stories about a major workers' strike in the Soviet Union?

| 1. YES |
| 5. NO |
| '8. DK |
| 9. NA |
| 0. Inap, Interview taken 7/6 - 7/17, 8/1 |
**H17a. What are the main things you have heard about the strike?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CODE</th>
<th>HIGHEST POSSIBLE NON MISSING DATA VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00.</td>
<td>Unintelligible/ Not ascertained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.</td>
<td>Any industry other than coal or mining/ Other incorrect (but not factory workers in Estonia, see 11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02.</td>
<td>Mention ethnic violence in Soviet Georgia (but not strike)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03.</td>
<td>Mention reasons why workers are striking: Better wages, Better conditions, more control (without any mention of who or where, coal mining, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04.</td>
<td>Mention how Gorbachev is responding to strike (but no identification of who is striking)/ Strike is spreading (without mention of who is striking)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05.</td>
<td>They went back to work/ The strike ended (without any mention of who is striking -- not 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06.</td>
<td>Identify who's striking: Coal/ Mining/ Coal mining/ Miners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07.</td>
<td>Identify where the strike is: In Siberia/ In the Ukraine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08.</td>
<td>Identify who is striking and where: both 6,7 (e.g., &quot;Coal miners in Siberia&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.</td>
<td>Identify who is striking and provide other details (e.g., &quot;Coal miners are on strike for better wages, safer conditions and greater control over their jobs&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Identify who is striking and also that they went back to work (e.g., &quot;Coal miners were out, but they just ended their strike&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Mention strike in Estonia/ Factory workers in Estonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Identify who is striking and also mention economic impact (e.g., &quot;Miners have shutdown power supply, so Soviets will have to buy more gas and electric power,&quot; &quot;Soviet economy is crippled due to mining strike&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Identify who is striking and also mention political impact (e.g., that Soviet government or Gorbachev has made concessions, or that workers are becoming more militant)/ Any mention of: Perestroika, Glasnost/ Other specific details reflecting extensive knowledge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

99. Missing/ No answer/ Unintelligible/ Not ascertained
98. No/ Can't remember
97. Other Response/ Not classified
96. I used to know but I forgot
00. Inap, Interview taken 7/6 – 7/17, 8/1; 5, 8, 9 in 7473
H18. Have you seen or heard any stories about a major airline disaster?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, Interview taken 7/6 - 7/19, 7/28 - 8/1

H18a. What exactly happened?

CODE HIGHEST POSSIBLE NON MISSING DATA VALUE

01. Pan Am jet crashed over Scotland/ Other incorrect
02. Plane crashed in Iowa/ In cornfield (Also OK: Chicago, Denver, other location that clearly reflects exposure to the story but is incorrect, e.g., "plane crashed in a Nebraska cornfield")
03. Identification of plane: DC 10, United Airlines jet, United Flight 232
04. Lots of survivors/ Many people survived/ About 100 people died
05. Mention any 2 categories 3 through 5 above (e.g., "A United flight crashed landing in Iowa," "A United DC 10 crashed, but there were still lots of survivors")
06. Explanation of why crash occurred: Engine exploded/ Hydraulics failed/ Tail exploded/ Rear of plane broke up/ Number 2 engine Failed/ Experts not sure exactly what caused crash
07. Mention 3 or more details from categories 3 through 6 above/ Other specific details reflecting extensive knowledge
99. Missing/ No answer/ Unintelligible/ Not ascertained
98. No/ Can't remember
97. Other response/ Not classified
96. I used to know but I forgot
00. Inap, Interview taken 7/6 - 7/19, 7/28 - 8/1; 5, 8, 9 in 7475
H19. Have you seen or heard any stories about a vote by auto workers at a Japanese-owned plant in Tennessee to decide whether they wanted to unionize?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, Interview taken 7/6 - 7/27

H19a. Do you remember how the vote came out?

CODE HIGHEST POSSIBLE NON MISSING DATA VALUE
01 Union won/ Other incorrect
02 Union lost (no specifics)
03 Union lost with additional specifics
04 Union lost and this is a serious blow to UAW/ Other details reflecting extensive knowledge
99. Missing/ No answer/ Unintelligible/ Not ascertained
98. No/ Can't remember
97. Other Response / Not classified
96. I used to know but I forgot

00. Inap, Interview taken 7/6 - 7/27; 5, 8, 9 in 7477

H20. Have you seen or heard any stories about the announced execution of an American hostage by a pro-Iranian terrorist group in Lebanon?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, Interview taken 7/6 - 7/31

H20a. Do you recall why the execution was announced at this time?

CODE HIGHEST POSSIBLE NON MISSING DATA VALUE
01 They killed somebody in Central America/ Other incorrect
02 To get back at the U.S.
03 Out of revenge (not specified)/ To get back one of
their own people (no mention of Israel)
04 Mention of Israel (no specifics)
05 Because Israel is holding one of their members hostage
06 It is not clear whether the hostage was really killed/ They are threatening to kill more
07 Any two categories 3 to 5 above
08 They want to drive a wedge between Israel and the U.S.
09 Any mention of Sheik Obeid/ Any 3 categories 3 through 7 above/ Other specific details reflecting extensive knowledge

99. Missing/ No answer/ Unintelligible/ Not ascertained
98. No/ Can't remember
97. Other Response /Not classified
96. I used to know but I forgot

00. Interview taken 7/6 - 7/31; 5, 8, 9 in 7479
7501 J1. Please tell me which of the following statements is closer to your own views.

ONE, we need a strong government to handle today's complex economic problems or, TWO, the free market can handle these problems without government being involved?

1. STRONG GOVERNMENT
3. BOTH, DEPENDS
5. FREE MARKET
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

7502 J2. Which is closer: ONE, the less government the better or, TWO, there are more things that government should be doing?

1. LESS GOVERNMENT
3. BOTH, DEPENDS
5. OTHER THINGS THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD BE DOING
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

7503 J3. Which is closer: ONE, there is too little respect for traditional authorities, such as religious leaders and government officials, or, TWO, there is too much restriction and regulation of personal opinion and behavior?

1. LACK OF RESPECT
3. BOTH, DEPENDS
5. TOO MUCH RESTRICTION
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

7504 J4. Which is closer: ONE, it is more important to be a cooperative person who works well with others or, TWO, it is more important to be a self-reliant person able to take care of oneself?

1. COOPERATIVE PERSON
3. BOTH, DEPENDS
5. SELF-RELIANT
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
### 7505 J5.

Which is closer: ONE, society is better off when businesses are free to make as much profit as they can, or, TWO, businesses should be prohibited from earning excessive profits?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1. SOCIETY BETTER OFF WHEN BUSINESS PROFITS</th>
<th>3. BOTH, DEPENDS</th>
<th>5. PROHIBIT BUSINESS EXCESSIVE PROFITS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>DON'T KNOW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7506 J6.

Which is closer: ONE, the main reason that government has gotten bigger over the years is because it has gotten involved in things that people should do for themselves or, TWO, government has gotten bigger because the problems we face have gotten bigger?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1. GOTTEN INVOLVED IN THINGS PEOPLE SHOULD DO</th>
<th>3. BOTH, DEPENDS</th>
<th>5. PROBLEMS WE FACE ARE BIGGER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>DON'T KNOW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**WAVE 2 CODEBOOK**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8001</td>
<td>Case ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8002</td>
<td>Length of Interview in minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8003</td>
<td>Time interview started</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8004</td>
<td>Time interview ended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8005</td>
<td>Date on which interview began</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8006</td>
<td>Date on which interview ended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8007</td>
<td>Form of questionnaire</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respondents were assigned the same form in both waves:

1. Form A
2. Form B
3. Form C
4. Form D

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8008</td>
<td>Interviewer ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8009</td>
<td>Total calls counter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT MUST BE READ TO ALL RESPONDENTS:

This interview is completely voluntary - if we should come to any question which you don't want to answer, just let me know and we'll go on to the next question.

8101  Al. We've found that people get their news from many different places. In a typical week, do you get any news from local television news programs, that is, programs that mainly cover news that occurs in your state and local community?

1. YES  
5. NO  
8. DK  
9. NA  
0. Inap, no Wave 2

8102  Ala. How many days in a typical week do you watch local TV news?

1-7. ENTER NUMBER OF DAYS  
8. DK  
9. NA  
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8101

8103  A2. (In a typical week, do you get any news from) NPR, that is, National Public Radio?

1. YES  
5. NO  
8. DK  
9. NA  
0. Inap, no Wave 2

8104  A2a. How many days in a typical week do you listen to NPR?

1-7. ENTER NUMBER OF DAYS  
8. DK  
9. NA  
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8103
A3. (In a typical week, do you get any news from) Cable News Network, that is CNN?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2

A3a. (How many days in a typical week do you watch CNN?)

1-7. ENTER NUMBER OF DAYS
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8105

A4. (In a typical week, do you get any news from) National television news programs, such as the ABC news with Peter Jennings, CBS with Dan Rather, or NBC with Tom Brokaw?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2

A4a. (How many days in a typical week do you watch national TV news?)

1-7. ENTER NUMBER OF DAYS
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8107

A5. (In a typical week, do you get any news from) Nightline with Ted Koppel?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2
A5a. (How many days in a typical week do you watch Nightline?)

1-7. ENTER NUMBER OF DAYS

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8109

A6. (In a typical week, do you get any news from) the Wall Street Journal?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2

A6a. (How many days in a typical week do you read this newspaper?)

1-7. ENTER NUMBER OF DAYS

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8111

A7. (In a typical week, do you get any news from) a daily newspaper?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2

A7a. (How many days in a typical week do you read a daily newspaper?)

'1-7. ENTER NUMBER OF DAYS

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8113
A8. (In a typical week, do you get any news from) the MacNeil-Leher News Hour on TV?

1. YES
5. NO

a. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2

A8a. (How many days in a typical week do you watch MacNeil-Leher?)

1-7. ENTER NUMBER OF DAYS

a. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8115

A9. (In a typical week, do you get any news from) C-SPAN, the cable television news show?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2

A9a. (How many days in a typical week do you watch C-SPAN?)

1-7. ENTER NUMBER OF DAYS

a. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8117
8119 **A10.** Now, in a typical month, do you get news from the Sunday news talk shows on TV, such as Meet the Press, Face the Nation, or This Week with David Brinkley?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2

8120 **A10a.** How many Sundays in a typical month do you watch one of these shows?

1-5. ENTER NUMBER OF SUNDAYS

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8119

8121 **Al.** (In a typical month, do you get any news from) People magazine?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2

8122 **Ala.** How many times in a typical month do you read People?

1-5. ENTER TIMES PER MONTH

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8121
A12. (In a typical month, do you get any news from television news magazine programs, such as CBS' Sixty Minutes or ABC's 20/20 program?)

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2

A12a. How many times in a typical month do you watch one of these programs?

1-10. ENTER TIMES PER MONTH
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5, 8-9 in 8123
People have different ideas about the position that women should have in our society. Please tell me how much you approve or disapprove of each of the following statements.

Bla. Women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry, and government. Do you approve strongly, approve somewhat, disapprove somewhat, or disapprove strongly?

1. APPROVE STRONGLY
2. APPROVE SOMEWHAT
3. DISAPPROVE SOMEWHAT
4. DISAPPROVE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 1, 2 in 8007

Blb. Women with small children should not work outside home.

Blc. The police should stay out of disputes between husbands and wives unless life is in danger.

Bld. Men and women who have similar jobs should be paid similar wages.

Ble. Women who have children without being married shouldn't expect the government to support their children.

Blf. The government should enforce tough laws against the harassment of women on the job.
Here is a question about defense policy. There is much debate over whether the Air Force should build the new Stealth bomber. Some people are against this weapon. They say the Stealth is another costly high-tech weapon system that will probably not work very well. Others believe we need the Stealth bomber. They say the only way to be safe from Russia and the other Communist bloc nations is to make sure we stay a lot stronger than they are. Do you believe the Air Force should go ahead with plans to build the Stealth bomber, or do you think that building the Stealth bomber would be a bad idea?

Here is a question about defense policy. There is much debate over whether the Air Force should build the new Stealth bomber. Some people are against this weapon. They say the only way to stop the immorality of the nuclear arms race is for the U.S. to stop building up its nuclear forces. Others believe we need the Stealth bomber. They say that our existing bomber forces are obsolete and need to be modernized. Do you believe the Air Force should go ahead with plans to build the Stealth bomber, or do you think that building the Stealth bomber would be a bad idea?

Here is a question about defense policy. Do you believe the Air Force should go ahead with plans to build the Stealth bomber, or do you think that building the Stealth bomber would be a bad idea?

1. **GO AHEAD**
5. **BAD IDEA**
8. **DON'T KNOW [DO NOT PROBE]**
9. **NA**
0. **Inap, no Wave 2**
B2a. Do you feel strongly that the Air Force should go ahead with the Stealth bomber or not strongly?

B2b. Do you feel strongly that building the Stealth bomber is a bad idea or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY
2. NOT STRONGLY
3. DK
4. NA
5. Inap, no Wave 2; 8–9 in 8131

B2x. SUMMARY: Stealth Bomber

1. GO AHEAD STRONGLY
2. GO AHEAD NOT STRONGLY
3. BAD IDEA NOT STRONGLY
4. BAD IDEA STRONGLY
5. DK
6. NA
7. Inap, no Wave 2
8134 Cl. In what religion were you raised: were you raised Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, no religion at all, or what?

1. PROTESTANT
2. CATHOLIC
3. JEW
6. Inap, no Wave 2
8. NO RELIGION AT ALL; NONE; NOTHING
9. NA
0. OTHER RELIGION NOT LISTED ABOVE

8135 Cla. Were you raised in the Orthodox, Conservative or Reform tradition?

1. ORTHODOX
2. REFORM
3. CONSERVATIVE
4. RECONSTRUCTIONIST
5. OTHER - PF10 TO SPECIFY
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 0-2, 8-9 in 8134

8136 Clb. (What denomination was that?) (What kind of place of worship was that?) (What was it called exactly?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>01. ASSEMBLY OF GOD</th>
<th>09. EPISCOPAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02. BAPTIST</td>
<td>10. HOLINESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03. CHRISTIAN</td>
<td>11. LUTHERAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04. CHURCH OF GOD</td>
<td>12. METHODIST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05. CHURCH(ES) OF CHRIST</td>
<td>13. PENTECOSTAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06. UNITED CHURCH OF CHRIST</td>
<td>14. PRESBYTERIAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07. CONGREGATIONALIST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08. DISCIPLES OF CHRIST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

96. Inap, no Wave 2; 2-3, 8-9 in 8134

99. NA
00. NOT GIVEN ON THIS SCREEN
8137 Clc. (What denomination was that?) (What kind of place of worship was that?) (What was it called exactly?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESPONSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15. ANGLICAN/CHURCH OF ENGLAND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. BUDDHIST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. BRETHREN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. CHRISTIAN SCIENTIST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. EVANGELICAL UNITED BRETHREN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. FRIENDS, QUAKER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. HINDU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. ISLAM (MUSLIM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. JEHOVAH'S WITNESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. LATTER DAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. MORMON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. MUSLIM (ISLAM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. NATIVE AMERICAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. NON-DENOMINATIONAL PROTESTANT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. UNITARIAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95. MULTIPLE DENOMINATIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96. OTHER = SPECIFY ON NEXT SCREEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97. &quot;JUST PROTESTANT&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98. DON'T KNOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99. NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00. Inap, no Wave 2; 2-3, 8-9 in 8134; 1-14 in 8136</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8138 Clf. With which Baptist group was your church associated? Was it the Southern Baptist Convention, the American Baptist churches in the U.S.A., the American Baptist Association, independent Baptists, or what?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESPONSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. SOUTHERN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. AMERICAN BAPTISTS U.S.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. AMERICAN BAPTIST ASSOCIATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. INDEPENDENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. OTHER - PF10 TO SPECIFY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. DON'T KNOW: JUST BAPTIST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0. Inap, no Wave 2; 2-3, 8-9 in 8134; 0-1,3-14 in 8136</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8139  Clf(2) Were you affiliated with any larger Baptist group or was this strictly a local church?

1. LOCAL
2. AFFILIATED WITH LARGER GROUP
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 2-3,8-9 in 8134; 0-1,3-14 in 8136; 1-3,7-9 in 8138

8140  Clg. Was this the Evangelical United Brethren, the Plymouth Brethren, or what?

1. EVANGELICAL
2. PLYMOUTH
7. OTHER - PF10 TO SPECIFY
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 2-3, 8-9 in 8134; 0,1-14 in 8136; 15,16,18-98 in 8137

8141  Clh. When you say "Christian" does this mean you were "just Christian", and didn't think of yourself as a belonging to a particular denomination, or did you belong to a non-denominationa church, or to the Disciples of Christ, or what?

1. JUST CHRISTIAN
2. NON-DENOMINATIONAL
3. DISCIPLES OF CHRIST
8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 2-3, 8-9 in 8134; 0-2, 4-14 in 8136

8142  Cli. Was this the Church of Christ or the United Church of Christ?

1. UNITED CHURCH OF CHRIST
2. CHURCH OF CHRIST

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 2-3, 8-9 in 8134; 0,1-4,7-14 in 8136
8143 Clj. Can you give me the specific denomination? What kind of church was that? What was it called exactly?

Use Religious Preference Master Code

000. Inap, no Wave 2; 2-3, 8-9 in 8134; 1-9, 11-12, 14 in 8136

8144 Clk. Was this church part of the American Lutheran Church, the Lutheran Church in America, the Missouri Synod, or what?

1. AMERICAN LUTHERAN
2. LUTHERAN CHURCH IN AMERICA
3. MISSOURI SYNOD
7. OTHER - PF10 TO SPECIFY
8. DON'T KNOW: JUST LUTHERAN
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 2-3, 8-9 in 8134; 0, 1-10, 12-14 in 8136

8145 Clm. Was your church part of the United Methodist Church or something else?

1. UNITED METHODIST
2. AFRICAN METHODIST EPISCOPALIAN (AME)
7. OTHER - PF10 TO SPECIFY
8. DON'T KNOW; JUST METHODIST
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 2-3, 8-9 in 8134; 0, 1-11, 13-14 in 8136

8146 Cln. Which one was most important to you?

Use Religious Preference Master Code

000. Inap, no Wave 2; 2-3, 8, 9 in 8134; 1-14 in 8136: 15-29, 96-99 in 8137

8147 Cld. Was this the United Presbyterian Church or what?

1. UNITED
7. OTHER - PF10 TO SPECIFY
8. DON'T KNOW; JUST PRESBYTERIAN
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 2-3, 8, 9 in 8134; 0, 1-13 in 8136
Clq. Was this the church of God of Anderson, Indiana; the Church of God of Cleveland, Tennessee; or the Church of God in Christ?

1. ANDERSON, INDIANA
2. CLEVELAND, TENNESSEE
3. IN CHRIST
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 2-3, 8, 9 in 8134; 0, 1-3, 5-14 in 8136

Clr. OTHER PROTESTANT: PLEASE SPECIFY.
USE THESE PROBES: "What kind of church was that?"
"What was it called exactly?"

Use Religious Preference Master Code

000. Inap, no Wave 2; 2-3, 8, 9 in 8134; 1-14 in 8136; 15-29, 95, 98-99 in 8137

Clx. Is this a Christian religion?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 2-3, 8, 9 in 8134; 1-14 in 8136; 15-29, 95, 98-99 in 8137

Clxx. SUMMARY: R Religious Preference when growing up.

Use Religious Preference Master Code

000. INAP, no Wave 2
c2. I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe a particular church. For each, tell me whether the word or phrase describes the Catholic church you attended. If you don't know a word, just tell me and we'll go on to the next one.

C2a. Thinking **about** the Catholic church or **parish** you mostly attended as a child, does the word "**traditional**" describe this church very well, **quite** well not too well, or not well **at all**?

1. VERY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap; no Wave 2; 0,1,3,8-9 in 8134

C2b. (How about) supportive of the reforms of Post-Vatican two?

C2c. Ethnic, that is, reflects a particular national or cultural tradition such as Polish, Italian, Hispanic or some other nationality?

C2d. Charismatic, that is, **Spirit-filled**?
"TRAITS" FOR PROTESTANT CHURCHES:

8156 C3. I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe a particular church. For each, tell me whether the word or phrase describes the church you grew up in. Just tell me if you don't know a word and we'll go on to the next one.

C3b. Thinking about the church you mostly attended as a child, does the word @fundamentalist@ describe this church very well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

1. VERY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2;2-3,8-9 in 8134; 16,21-22,26,27,98-99 in 8137

8157 C3b. (How about) Evangelical?
8158 C3c. Spirit-filled or Pentecostal?
8159 C3d. Conservative?
8160 C3e. Liberal?
8201 C4. Lots of things come up that keep people from attending religious services even if they want to. Thinking about your life nowadays, do you ever attend religious services, apart from occasional weddings, baptisms, or funerals?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2

8202 C4a. When you are not on vacation or traveling, do you normally attend one place of worship or do you usually attend one place of worship some of the time and a different place of worship at other times?

1. ONE
5. MORE THAN ONE
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201

8203 C5. Regardless of whether you now attend any church, do you ever think of yourself as part of a particular church or denomination?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 1,8,9 in 8201

8204 C5a. Do you think of yourself as a religious person?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 1,8,9 in 8201; 1,8-9 in 8203
DENOMINATION SERIES FOR RESPONDENTS
CURRENTLY ATTENDING ONLY ONE CHURCH

8205 C6. What denomination or faith (is the place of worship you
attend/do you consider yourself). Is it Roman
Catholic, Protestant, Jewish. or what?

1. PROTESTANT
2. CATHOLIC
3. JEW

6. Inap, no Wave 2; 5 in 8202; 5,8,9 in 8203

8. NO RELIGION AT ALL; NONE: NOTHING
9. NA
0. OTHER RELIGION NOT LISTED ABOVE

8206 C6a. Is the synagogue you usually attend Orthodox,
Conservative, Reform. or what?

1. ORTHODOX
2. REFORM
3. CONSERVATIVE
4. RECONSTRUCTIONIST
5. OTHER - PF10 TO SPECIFY

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5 in 8202; 5-8,9 in 8203;
0-2,8-9 in 8205

8207 C6b. (What denomination is that?) (What kind of church is
that?) (What is it called exactly?)

THESE RESPONSES WERE LISTED ON
CAT1 SCREEN [1]

01. ASSEMBLY OF GOD
02. BAPTIST
03. CHRISTIAN
04. CHURCH OF GOD
05. CHURCH(ES) OF CHRIST
06. UNITED CHURCH OF CHRIST
07. CONGREGATIONALIST
08. DISCIPLES OF CHRIST
09. EPISCOPAL
10. HOLINESS
11. LUTHERAN
12. METHODIST
13. PENTECOSTAL
14. PRESBYTERIAN

96. Inap, no Wave 2; 5 in 8202: 5-8,9 in 8203:
2-3,8-9 in 8205

00. NOT GIVEN ON THIS SCREEN
C6c. (What denomination is that?) (What kind of church is that?) (What is it called exactly?)

THESE RESPONSES WERE LISTED ON CAT1 SCREEN [2]

15. ANGLICAN/CHURCH OF ENGLAND
16. BUDDHIST
17. BRETHREN
18. CHRISTIAN SCIENTIST
19. EVANGELICAL UNITED BRETHREN
20. FRIENDS, QUAKER
21. HINDU
22. ISLAM (MUSLIM)
23. JEHOVAH'S WITNESS
24. LATTER DAY
25. MORMON
26. MUSLIM (ISLAM)
27. NATIVE AMERICAN
28. NON-DENOMINATIONAL PROTESTANT
29. UNITARIAN
95. MULTIPLE DENOMINATIONS
96. OTHER - SPECIFY ON NEXT SCREEN
97. "JUST PROTESTANT"
98. DON'T KNOW
99. NA

00. Inap, no Wave 2; 5 in 8202; 5-9 in 8203; 2-3, 8-9 in 8205; 1-14 in 8207

C6d. With which Baptist group is your church associated?
Is it the Southern Baptist Convention, the American Baptist churches in the U.S.A., the American Baptist Association, independent Baptists, or what?

1. SOUTHERN
2. AMERICAN BAPTISTS U.S.A.
3. AMERICAN BAPTIST ASSOCIATION
4. INDEPENDENT
7. OTHER - PF10 TO SPECIFY
8. DON'T KNOW: JUST BAPTIST
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5 in 8202; 5-9 in 8203; 2-3, 8-9 in 8205; 1-14 in 8207
Are you affiliated with any larger Baptist group or is this strictly a local church?

1. LOCAL
2. AFFILIATED WITH LARGER GROUP
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5 in 8202; 5-8,9 in 8203; 2-3,8,9 in 8205; 0,1,3-14, in 8207; 1-3,7-9 in 8209

Is this the Evangelical United Brethren, the Plymouth Brethren, or what?

1. EVANGELICAL
2. PLYMOUTH
7. OTHER - PF10 TO SPECIFY
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5 in 8202; 5-8,9 in 8203; 2-3,8,9 in 8205; 1-14 in 8207; 15-16,18-99 in 8208

When you say "Christian" does this mean you're "just Christian", and don't think of yourself as a belonging to a particular denomination, or do you belong to a non-denominational church, or to the Disciples of Christ, or what?

1. JUST CHRISTIAN
2. NON-DENOMINATIONAL
3. DISCIPLES OF CHRIST
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5 in 8202; 5-8,9 in 8203; 2-3,8-9 in 8205, 0,1-2,4-14 in 8207

Is this the Church of Christ or the United Church of Christ?

1. UNITED CHURCH OF CHRIST
2. CHURCH OF CHRIST
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5 in 8202; 5-8,9 in 8203; 2-3,8-9 in 8205; 0, 1-4,7-14 in 8207
Can you give me the specific denomination? What kind of church is that? What is it called exactly?

Use Religious Preference Master Code

000. Inap, no Wave 2; 5 in 8202; 5-8,9 in 8203; 2-3,8,9 in 8205; 0,1-9,11-14 in 8207

Is this church part of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America, the Missouri Synod, or what?

2. EVANGELICAL LUTHERAN CHURCH IN AMERICA
3. MISSOURI SYNOD
7. OTHER - PF10 TO SPECIFY
8. DON'T KNOW: JUST LUTHERAN
9. NA

Inap, no Wave 2; 5 in 8202; 5-8,9 in 8203; 2-3,8,9 in 8205; 0,1-10,12-14 in 8207

Is your church part of the United Methodist Church or something else?

1. UNITED METHODIST
2. AFRICAN METHODIST EPISCOPALIAN (AME)
7. OTHER - PF10 TO SPECIFY
8. DON'T KNOW: JUST METHODIST
9. NA

Inap, no Wave 2; 5 in 8202; 5-8,9 in 8203; 2-3,8,9 in 8205; 0,1-11,13-14 in 8207

Is this the United Presbyterian Church or what?

1. UNITED
7. OTHER - PF10 TO SPECIFY
8. DON'T KNOW: JUST PRESBYTERIAN
9. NA

Inap, no Wave 2; 5 in 8202; 5-8,9 in 8203; 2-3,8-9 in 8205; 0,1-12,14 in 8207
8219  C6n. Is this the Church of God of Anderson, Indiana: the Church of God of Cleveland, Tennessee; or the Church of God in Christ?

1. ANDERSON, INDIANA
2. CLEVELAND, TENNESSEE
3. IN CHRIST
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5 in 8202; 5-8,9 in 8203; 2-3,8-9 in 8205; 0,1-3,5-14 in 8207

8220  C6p. OTHER PROTESTANT: PLEASE SPECIFY. USE THESE PROBES: "What kind of church is that?" What is it called exactly?"

Use Religious Preference Master Code

000. Inap, no Wave 2; 5 in 8202; 5-8,9 in 8203; 2-3,8,9 in 8205: 1-3,5-14 in 8207; 15-29,98,99 in 8208

8221  C6x. Is this a Christian religion?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5 in 8202; 5-8,9 in 8203; 2-3,8-9 in 8205: 1-14 in 8207; 15-29,98,99 in 8208

8222  C6xx. SUMMARY: Church R attends

Use Religious Preference Master Code

000. Inap, no Wave 2; 5 in 8202; 5-8,9 in 8203

8223  C6z. For a variety of reasons, some people attend a place of worship for a religious denomination or faith different than their own. Is your religious preference the same as the denomination of the place of worship you now attend?

1. SAME
2. DIFFERENT
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5 in 8202; 5-8,9 in 8203;
C6zz. What relation is that?

Use Religious Preference Master Code

000. Inap, no Wave 2; 5-8,9 in 8201; 5 in 8202; 1, 8-9 in 8223

"TRAITS" FOR CATHOLIC PARISH R NOW ATTENDS

C7. For each word or phrase I will read, tell me whether the word or phrase describes the Catholic church you now attend. (As before, if you don't know a word, just tell me and we'll go on.)

C7a. Thinking about the Catholic church or parish you mostly attend now, does the word *traditionalist* describe this church very well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

1. VERY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5 in 8201; 5-8,9 in 8203; 0,1,3,8-9 in 8205

C7b. (How about) supportive of the reforms of Post-Vatican two?

C7c. Ethnic, that is, reflects a particular national or cultural tradition such as Polish, Italian, Hispanic or some other nationality?

C7d. Charismatic, that is, Spirit-filled?
8229 C8. Now thinking about yourself, how do these words describe your own views?

C8a. Traditionalist?

1. VERY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5 in 8202; 5-8,9 in 8203; 0,1,3,8,9 in 8205

8230 C8b. (How about) supportive of the reforms of Post-Vatican two?

8231 C8c. Do you think of yourself as a Catholic from a particular nationality or group such as Polish, Italian, Hispanic, or some other nationality?

8232 C8d. Charismatic, that is, Spirit-filled?

"TRAITS" FOR PROTESTANT CHURCH NOW ATTENDS

8233 C9. For each word or phrase I will read, tell me whether the word or phrase describes the church you now attend. (As before, if you don't know a word, just tell me and we'll go on.)

C9a. Thinking about the church you mostly attend now, does the word "fundamentalist" describe this church very well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

1. VERY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5-9 in 8201; 5-8,9 in 8203; 2-3,8,9 in 8205
C9b. (How about) Evangelical?

C9c. Spirit-filled or Pentecostal?

C9d. Conservative?

C9e. Liberal?

C10. Now thinking about yourself, how do these words describe your own views?

C10a. Fundamentalist?

1. VERY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

a. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5-9 in 8202; 5-a,9 in 8203; 2-3,8,9 in a205

C10b. (How about) Evangelical?

C10c. Spirit-filled or Pentecostal?

C10d. Conservative?

C10e. Liberal?

C11. Would you call yourself a born-again Christian — that is, have you personally had a conversion experience related to Jesus Christ?

1. YES
5. NO

a. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5 in 8202; 5-a,9 in 8203; 3,a in 8205; 16,21-22,26-27 in 8208
DENOXINATION SERIES FOR RESPONDENTS
ATTENDING MORE THAN ONE CHURCH

8301  C12. Thinking now about the place of worship you attend most frequently, what denomination or faith is that place of worship? Is it Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or what?

1. PROTESTANT
2. CATHOLIC
3. JEW
6. Inap, no Wave 2; 5-8,9 in 8201; 1,8-9 in 8202
8. NO RELIGION AT ALL: NONE; NOTHING
9. NA
0. OTHER RELIGION NOT LISTED ABOVE

8302  C12a. Is the synagogue you usually attend Orthodox, Conservative, Reform, or what?

1. ORTHODOX
2. REFORM
3. CONSERVATIVE
4. RECONSTRUCTIONIST
5. OTHER - PF10 TO SPECIFY
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201; 1,8-9 in 8202; o-2,8-9 in 8301

8303  C12b. (What denomination is that?) (What kind of church is that?) (What is it called exactly?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THESE RESPONSES WERE LISTED ON CAT1 SCREEN</th>
<th>[1]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01. ASSEMBLY OF GOD 02. BAPTIST 03. CHRISTIAN 04. CHURCH OF GOD 05. CHURCH(ES) OF CHRIST 06. UNITED CHURCH OF CHRIST '07. CONGREGATIONALIST 08. DISCIPLES OF CHRIST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

96. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8,9 in 8201; 1,8-9 in 8202; 2-3,8-9 in 8301
99. NA
00. NOT GIVEN ON THIS SCREEN
(What denomination is that?) (What kind of church is that?) (What is it called exactly?)

These responses were listed on CAT1 screen [2]

15. ANGLICAN/CHURCH OF ENGLAND
16. BUDDHIST
17. BRETHREN
18. CHRISTIAN SCIENTIST
19. EVANGELICAL UNITED BRETHREN
20. FRIENDS, QUAKER
21. HINDU
22. ISLAM (MUSLIM)
23. JEHOVAH'S WITNESS
24. LATTER DAY
25. MORMON
26. MUSLIM (ISLAM)
27. NATIVE AMERICAN
28. NON-DENOMINATIONAL PROTESTANT
29. UNITARIAN
95. MULTIPLE DENOMINATIONS
96. OTHER - SPECIFY ON NEXT SCREEN
97. "JUST PROTESTANT"

98. DON'T KNOW
99. NA

00. Inap, no Wave 2; 2-3, 8-9 in 8134: 1-14 in 8136

With which Baptist group is your church associated? Is it the Southern Baptist Convention, the American Baptist churches in the U.S.A., the American Baptist Association, independent Baptists, or what?

1. SOUTHERN
2. AMERICAN BAPTISTS U.S.A.
3. AMERICAN BAPTIST ASSOCIATION
4. INDEPENDENT
7. OTHER - PF10 TO SPECIFY

8. DON'T KNOW: JUST BAPTIST
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201; 1,8-9 in 8202; 2-3,8-9 in 8301; 0-1,3-14 in 8303
C12g. Are you affiliated with any larger Baptist group or is this strictly a local church?

1. LOCAL
2. AFFILIATED WITH LARGER GROUP
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201; 1,8-9 in 8202; 2-3, 8-9 in 8301;0-1,3-14 in 8303;1-3,7-9 in 8305

C12h. Is this the Evangelical United Brethren, the Plymouth Brethren, or what?

1. EVANGELICAL
2. PLYMOUTH
7. OTHER = PF10 TO SPECIFY
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201;1,8-9 in 8202; 2-3, 8-9 in 8301;1-14 in 8303;15-16,18-99 in 8304

C12i. When you say "Christian" does this mean you're "just Christian", and don't think of yourself as belonging to a particular denomination, or do you belong to a non-denominational church, or to the Disciples of Christ, or what?

1. JUST CHRISTIAN
2. NON-DENOMINATIONAL
3. DISCIPLES OF CHRIST
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201;1,8-9 in 8202; 2-3, 8-9 in 8301; 1-2,4-14 in 8303

C12j. Is this the Church of Christ or the United Church of Christ?

1. UNITED CHURCH OF CHRIST
2. CHURCH OF CHRIST
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201;1,8-9 in 8202; 2-3, 8-9 in 8301; 0-4,7-14 in 8303
8310 Cl2k. Can you give me the specific denomination? What kind of church is that? What is it called **exactly**?

Use Religious Preference Master Code

000. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201; 1,8-9 in 8202; 2-3,8-9 in 8301; 0-9,11-14 in 8303

8311 Cl2l. Is this church part of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America, the Missouri Synod, or what?

2. EVANGELICAL LUTHERAN CHURCH IN AMERICA
3. MISSOURI SYNOD
7. OTHER - **PF10** TO SPECIFY
8. DON'T KNOW; JUST LUTHERAN
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201; 1,8-9 in 8202; 2-3, 8-9 in 8301; 0-10,12-14 in 8303

8312 Cl2m. Is your church part of the United Methodist Church or something else?

1. UNITED METHODIST
2. AFRICAN METHODIST EPISCOPALIAN (AME)
7. OTHER - **PF10** TO SPECIFY
8. DON'T KNOW: JUST METHODIST
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201; 1,8-9 in 8202; 2-3,8-9 in 8301; 0-11,13-14 in 8303
C12n. Can you give me the specific denomination? What kind of church is that? What is it called exactly?

Use Religious Preference Master Code

000. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201; 1,8-9 in 8202; 2-3,8-9 in 8301; 0-12,14 in 8303

C12p. Is this the United Presbyterian Church or what?

1. UNITED
7. OTHER - PF10 TO SPECIFY
8. DON'T KNOW; JUST PRESBYTERIAN
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201; 1,8,9 in 8202; 2-3, 8-9 in 8301; 0-13 in 8303

C12q. Is this the Church of God of Anderson, Indiana; the Church of God of Cleveland, Tennessee; or the Church of God in Christ?

1. ANDERSON, INDIANA
2. CLEVELAND, TENNESSEE
3. IN CHRIST
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201; 1,8-9 in 8202; 2-3, 8-9 in 8301; 0-3,5-14 in 8303

C12r. OTHER PROTESTANT: PLEASE SPECIFY.
USE THESE PROBES: "What kind of church is that?"
"What is it called exactly?"

Use Religious Preference Master Code

000. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201; 1,8-9 in 8202; 2-3, 8-9 in 8301; 1-14 in 8303; 15-29,98,99 in 8304

C12x. Is this a Christian relation?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201; 1,8-9 in 8202; 2-3, 8-9 in 8301; 1-14 in 8303; 15-29,98,99 in 8304
8318 C12xx. SUMMARY: Denomination of church most frequently attended

Use Religious Preference Master Code

000. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201; 1,8-9 in 8202

RELIGION SERIES FOR OTHER PLACE OF WORSHIP

8319 C13. Thinking now about the other place of worship that you attend, what denomination or faith is that place of worship? Is it Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or what?

1. PROTESTANT
2. CATHOLIC
3. JEW

6. Inap, no Wave 2; 5-8,9 in 8201; 1,8-9 in 8202

8. NO RELIGION AT ALL: NONE; NOTHING
9. NA
0. OTHER RELIGION NOT LISTED ABOVE

8320 C13a. Is the synagogue you usually attend Orthodox, Conservative, Reform, or what?

1. ORTHODOX
2. REFORM
3. CONSERVATIVE
4. RECONSTRUCTIONIST
5. OTHER - PF10 TO SPECIFY

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201; 1,8-9 in 8202; 0-2,8-9 in 8319
8321 C13b. **What denomination is that?** (What kind of church is that?) (What is it called exactly?)

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01.</td>
<td>ASSEMBLY OF GOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02.</td>
<td>BAPTIST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03.</td>
<td>CHRISTIAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04.</td>
<td>CHURCH OF GOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05.</td>
<td>CHURCH(ES) OF CHRIST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06.</td>
<td>UNITED CHURCH OF CHRIST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07.</td>
<td>CONGREGATIONALIST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08.</td>
<td>DISCIPLES OF CHRIST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.</td>
<td>EPISCOPAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>HOLINESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>LUTHERAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>METHODIST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>PENTECOSTAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>PRESBYTERIAN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

96. Inap, no Wave 2; 5, 8-9 in 8201; 1,8-9 in 8202; 2-3,8-9 in 8319

00. NOT GIVEN ON THIS SCREEN

8322 C13c. **What denomination is that?** (What kind of church is that?) (What is it called exactly?)

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>ANGLICAN/CHURCH OF ENGLAND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>BUDDHIST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>BRETHREN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>CHRISTIAN SCIENTIST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>EVANGELICAL UNITED BRETHREN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>FRIENDS, QUAKER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>HINDU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>ISLAM (MUSLIM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>JEHOVAH'S WITNESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>LATTER DAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>MORMON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>MUSLIM (ISLAM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>NATIVE AMERICAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>NON-DENOMINATIONAL PROTESTANT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>UNITARIAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95.</td>
<td>MULTIPLE DENOMINATIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96.</td>
<td>OTHER = SPECIFY ON NEXT SCREEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97.</td>
<td>&quot;JUST PROTESTANT&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98.</td>
<td>DON'T KNOW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

00. Inap, no Wave 2; 2-3, 8-9 in 8134; 1-14 in 8136
With which Baptist group is your church associated? Is it the Southern Baptist Convention, the American Baptist churches in the U.S.A., the American Baptist Association, independent Baptists, or what?

1. SOUTHERN
2. AMERICAN BAPTISTS U.S.A.
3. AMERICAN BAPTIST ASSOCIATION
4. INDEPENDENT
7. OTHER - PF10 TO SPECIFY
8. DON'T KNOW: JUST BAPTIST
9. NA

Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201;1,8-9 in 8202; 2-3, 8-9 in 8319; 0-1,3-14 in 8321

Are you affiliated with any larger Baptist group or is this strictly a local church?

1. LOCAL
2. AFFILIATED WITH LARGER GROUP
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201;1,8-9 in 8202;2-3, 8-9 in 8319; 0-1,3-14 in 8321;1-3,7-9 in 8323

Is this the Evangelical United Brethren, the Plymouth Brethren, or what?

1. EVANGELICAL
2. PLYMOUTH
7. OTHER - PF10 TO SPECIFY
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201;1,8-9 in 8202;2-3,8-9 in 8319;1-14 in 8321;15-16,18-99 in 8322
8326 **C13i.** When you say "Christian," does this mean you're "just Christian," and don't think of yourself as belonging to a particular denomination, or do you belong to a non-denominational church, or to the Disciples of Christ, or what?

1. **JUST CHRISTIAN**
2. **NON-DENOMINATIONAL**
3. **DISCIPLES OF CHRIST**

8. **DK**
9. **NA**

0. **Inap,** no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201; 1,8-9 in 8202; 2-3,8-9 in 8319; 1-2,4-14 in 8321

a327 **C13j.** Is this the Church of Christ or the United Church of Christ?

1. **UNITED CHURCH OF CHRIST**
2. **CHURCH OF CHRIST**

8. **DON'T KNOW**
9. **NA**

0. **Inap,** no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201; 1,8-9 in 8202; 2-3,8-9 in 8319; 0-4,7-14 in 8321

8328 **C13k.** Can you give me the specific denomination? What kind of church is that? What is it called exactly?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use religious Preference Master Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>000. <strong>Inap,</strong> no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201; 1,8-9 in 8202; 2-3,8-9 in 8319; 0-9,11-14 in 8321</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a329 **C13l.** Is this church part of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America, the Missouri Synod, or what?

2. **EVANGELICAL LUTHERAN CHURCH IN AMERICA**
3. **MISSOURI SYNOD**
7. **OTHER - **PF10** TO SPECIFY**

8. **DON'T KNOW: JUST LUTHERAN**
9. **NA**

0. **Inap,** no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201; 1,8-9 in 8202; 2-3,8-9 in 8319; 0-10,12-14 in 8321
Clnm. Is your church part of the United Methodist Church or something else?

1. UNITED METHODIST
2. AFRICAN METHODIST EPISCOPALIAN (AME)
7. OTHER - PF10 TO SPECIFY
8. DON'T KNOW; JUST METHODIST
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201; 1,8-9 in 8202; 2-3, 8-9 in 8319; 0-11,13-14 in 8321

Clnn. Can you give me the specific denomination? What kind of church is that? What is it called exactly?

Use Religious Preference Master Code

000. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201; 1,8-9 in 8202; 2-3, 8-9 in 8319; 0-12,14 in 8321

Clnp. Is this the United Presbyterian Church or what?

1. UNITED
7. OTHER - PF10 TO SPECIFY
8. DON'T KNOW; JUST PRESBYTERIAN
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201; 1,8-9 in 8202; 2-3, 8-9 in 8319; 0-13 in 8321

Clnq. Is this the Church of God of Anderson, Indiana; the Church of God of Cleveland, Tennessee; or the Church of God in Christ?

1. ANDERSON, INDIANA
2. CLEVELAND, TENNESSEE
3. IN CHRIST
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201; 1,8-9 in 8202; 2-3, 8-9 in 8319; 0-3,5-14 in 8321
C13r. OTHER PROTESTANT: PLEASE SPECIFY.
USE THESE PROBES: "What kind of church is that?"
What is it called exactly?"

Use Religious Preference Master Code

000. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201; 1,8-9 in 8202; 2-3, 8-9 in 8319; 1-14 in 8321; 15-29,98,99 in 8322

C13x. Is this a Christian relation?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201; 1,8-9 in 8202; 2-3, 8-9 in 8319; 1-14 in 8321; 15-29,98,99 in 8322

C13xx. SUMMARY: Denom. of Second Church R Attends
Use Religious Preference Master Code

000. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201; 1,8-9 in 8202

R ATTENDS MORE THAN ONE CHURCH:
"TRAITS" FOR CATHOLIC PARISH NOW ATTENDS

C15. For each word or phrase I will read, tell me whether the word or phrase describes the Catholic church you now attend. (As before, if you don't know a word, just tell me and we'll go on.)

C15a. Thinking about the Catholic church or parish you mostly attend now, does the word "traditionalist" describe this church very well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

1. VERY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201; 1,8,9 in 8202; 0,1,3,8-9 in 8301 or 0-1,3,8,9 in 8319
C15b. (How about) supportive of the reforms of Post-Vatican two?

C15c. Ethnic, that is, reflects a particular national or cultural tradition such as Polish, Italian, Hispanic or some other nationality?

C15d. Charismatic, that is, Spirit-filled?

C16. Now thinking about yourself, how do these words describe your own views?

C16a. Traditionalist?

C16b. (How about) supportive of the reforms of Post-Vatican Two?

C16c. Do you think of yourself as a Catholic from a particular nationality or group such as Polish, Italian, Hispanic or some other nationality?

C16d. Charismatic, that is, Spirit-filled?

R ATTENDS MORE THAN ONE CHURCH: "TRAITS" FOR PROTESTANT CHURCH R NOW ATTENDS

C18. For each word or phrase I will read, tell me whether the word or phrase describes the church you now attend. (As before, if you don't know a word, just tell me and we'll go on.)

C18a. Thinking about the church you mostly attend now, does the word "fundamentalist" describe this church very well, quite well, not too well, or not well at all?

1. VERY WELL
2. QUITE WELL
3. NOT TOO WELL
4. NOT WELL AT ALL

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201; 1,8-9 in 8202; 2-3,8,9 in 8301 or 2-3,8,9 in 8319; 16,21-22, 26-27 in 8304 or 8322

C18b. (How about) Evangelical?
C18c. SPIRIT-FILLED or Pentecostal?

C18d. Conservative?

C18e. Liberal?

C19. Now thinking about yourself, how do these words describe your own views?

C19a. Fundamentalist?

C19b. (How about) Evangelical?

C19c. Spirit-filled or Pentecostal?

C19d. Conservative?

C19e. Liberal?

C20. Would you call yourself a born-again Christian—that is, have you personally had a conversion experience related to Jesus Christ?

1. YES
5. NO

a. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5, a-9 in 8201; i,a-9 in 8202; 3,8,9 in 8301 or 3,8-9 in a319 3,a in 8205; 0,16,21-22,26-27 in 8304 or 8322

C21. Would you say you go to (church/synagogue) every week, almost every week, once or twice a month, a few times a year, or never?

1. EVERY WEEK
2. ALMOST EVERY WEEK
3. ONCE OR TWICE A MONTH
4. A FEW TIMES A YEAR
5. SOMETIMES OR NEVER

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 3-4 in 8007; 5,8-9 in a203
How often do you attend religious services?

1. SEVERAL TIMES A WEEK
2. EVERY WEEK
3. NEARLY EVERY WEEK
4. 2-3 TIMES A MONTH
5. ABOUT ONCE A MONTH
6. SEVERAL TIMES A YEAR
7. 1 OR 2 TIMES A YEAR
8. LESS OR NEVER
9. DK, NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 1-2 in 8007; 5,8-9 in 8203

Would you say you go to (church/synagogue) once a week or more often than once a week?

1. MORE OFTEN
2. JUST ONCE A WEEK
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap; no Wave 2; 3-4 in 8007; 5,8-9 in 8203;2-9 in 8356

Are you officially a member of a parish, congregation, temple, or other place of worship?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8203

Do you participate in a religious organization, society or group outside of (your/a) (parish/ congregation/ temple/place of worship)?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8203
The religious organizations are coded in a two column field. The first column lists the locus of participation. The second column lists the function or activity in which the respondent participates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column 1</th>
<th>Column 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Within parish, congregation</td>
<td>1. Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Outside congregation, but</td>
<td>2. Social service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>within denomination</td>
<td>3. Social action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Other denomination</td>
<td>4. Financial support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Ecumenical</td>
<td>5. Evangelization,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Civic</td>
<td>mission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. NA</td>
<td>6. Ethnic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Marriage or family</td>
<td>7. Civic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Worship services</td>
<td>8. NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

00. Inap, no wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8203; 5,8-9 in 8360; no further mention (8362,8363)
Think about a ruler for measuring political views that people might hold from liberal to conservative. This ruler goes from one to seven. One means VERY liberal political views, and seven means VERY conservative political views. Just like a regular ruler, it has points in between, at 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6.

Where would you place yourself on this ruler, remembering that 1 is VERY liberal and 7 is VERY conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
1-7. ENTER NUMBER
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA; Inap, no Wave 2:3-4 in 8007
Elb. Do you think of yourself as closer to liberals or conservatives?

1. LIBERALS
3. MODERATE/MIDDLE OF ROAD
5. CONSERVATIVES
7. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE

8. R INSISTS DOESN'T KNOW MEANING OF WORDS
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 3-4 in 8007; 1-3,5-7, 9 in 8401

Elc. You rated yourself as a [NUMBER]. Just to make sure I have it right, that means you think of yourself as a [LIBERAL/MODERATE/CONSERVATIVE].

1. YES
5. NO

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 3-4 in 8007; 0,4,8,9 in 8401
LIB/CON BRANCHING (LABELED) VERY/EXTREMELY EXPERIMENT

8404 (CD) El. Generally speaking, would you consider yourself to be a liberal, a conservative, a moderate, or what, or haven't you thought much about this?

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
1. LIBERAL
3. MODERATE/MIDDLE OF THE ROAD
5. CONSERVATIVE

6. Inap, no Wave 2; 1-2 in 8007
8. DON'T KNOW

8405 (CD) Elb. Do you think of yourself as closer to liberals or conservatives?

1. LIBERALS
5. CONSERVATIVES
7. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 1-2 in 8007; 1,5 in 8404

8406 (CD) Elc. Do you consider yourself to be VERY [Liberal/conservative] or just [Liberal/conservative]?

Elc. Do you consider yourself to be EXTREMELY [Liberal/conservative] or just [Liberal/conservative]?

1. VERY/EXTREMELY
2. JUST
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 1-2 in 8007; 0,3,8-9 in 8404
SUMMARY: LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE (Branching)
BUILT FROM 8404-8406

1. VERY/EXTREMELY Liberal (1/0/1)
2. JUST Liberal (1/0/2,8,9)
3. MODERATE Liberal (0,3,8,9/1/0)
4. MODERATE (3/0/7-9)
5. MODERATE Conservative (0,3,8-9/5/0)
6. JUST Conservative (5/0/2,8-9)
7. VERY/EXTREMELY Conservative (5/0/1)

8. DK (0,8-9/7-9/0)
9. NA (9/9/0)
0. Inap, No Wave 2; 1,2 in 8007
Next is a question about whether employers should favor blacks when they decide who to hire and promote. Some people say that because of past discrimination, employers should give preference to qualified blacks. Others disagree, saying that favoring blacks amounts to discrimination against whites.

Do you have an opinion on this issue, or haven't you thought much about it?

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
1. YES, HAVE OPINION
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA; Inap, no Wave 2

Are you for or against employers favoring blacks when they decide who to hire and promote?

1. FOR FAVORING BLACKS
5. AGAINST FAVORING BLACKS
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 0 in 8408

Do you (support/oppose) favoring blacks strongly or not strongly?

1. STRONGLY
2. NOT STRONGLY
8. DK
9. NA
0. INAP, no Wave 2; 0,8,9 in 8409
<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>FAVOR STRONGLY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>FAVOR NOT STRONGLY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>OPPOSE NOT STRONGLY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>OPPOSE STRONGLY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 0 in 8409
There has been a lot of debate recently about defense spending. Some people believe that the U.S. should spend a lot less money on defense. Suppose these people are at one end of a seven-point scale, at point number 1. Others feel that the U.S. should spend a lot more on defense. Suppose these people are at the other end of the scale -- at point number 7. And, of course, other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6.

Where would you place yourself on this scale, (remembering that point number 1 is a lot less spending on defense and point number 7 is a lot more spending on defense)?
There has been a lot of debate recently about defense spending. Do you think the U.S. should spend less money on defense, more money on defense, or continue spending about the same amount on defense?

E4. There has been a lot of debate recently about defense spending. Do you think the U.S. should spend less money on defense, more money on defense, or continue spending about the same amount on defense, or HAVEN'T YOU THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS?

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
1. LESS
3. SAME
5. MORE
6. Inap, no Wave 2; 1,2 in 8007
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

E4a. Would you say the U.S. should spend a lot less or a little less on defense?

1. LOT LESS
2. LITTLE LESS
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 1,2 in 8007; 0,3,5, 8-9 in 8413

E4b. Would you lean toward spending less on defense or more on defense?

1. SPEND LESS
3. NO CHANGE [VOLUNTEERED]
5. SPEND MORE
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 1,2 in 8007; 0,1,5,8-9 in 8413
E4c. Would you say the U.S. should spend a lot more or a
little more on defense?

1. LOT MORE
2. LITTLE MORE
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 1,2 in 8007; 0,1,3, 8-9 in 8413

SUMMARY: Defense Spending, BRANCHING FORMAT

Built from 8414-8416

1. LESS/ LOT LESS
2. LESS/ LITTLE LESS
3. SAME/ SPEND LESS
4. SAME/ NO CHANGE
5. SAME/ SPEND MORE
6. MORE/ LITTLE MORE
7. MORE/ LOT MORE
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, 1,2 in 8007; 0,8-9 in 8413; 8-9 in 8414, 8415, 8416
Some people think the United States should become a lot less involved in the internal affairs of Central American countries. Suppose these people are at one end of a seven-point scale, at point number 1. Others believe that the U.S. should become a lot more involved in this part of the world. Suppose these people are at the other end of the scale -- at point number 7. And, of course, other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6.

Where would you place yourself on this scale, remembering that point number 1 is a lot less involved and point number 7 is a lot more involved in the internal affairs of Central American countries?

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
1-7. ENTER NUMBER

a. DON'T KNOW
9. NA, Inap, no Wave 2; 3, 4 in 8007
Do you think the U.S. should become less involved in the internal affairs of Central American countries, more involved in their affairs, or continue being involved at about the same level?

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
1. LESS
3. SAME
5. MORE

6. Inap, no Wave 2; 1,2 in 8007

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

Would you say we should become a lot less involved, or a little less involved?

1. LOT LESS
2. LITTLE LESS

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 1,2 in 8007; 0,3,5, 8-9 in 8419

Would you lean toward the U.S. becoming less involved or more involved?

1. LESS INVOLVED
3. NO CHANGE [VOLUNTEERED]
5. MORE INVOLVED

a. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 1,2 in 8007; 0,1,5,8-9 in 8419
**E5c. Would you say we should become a lot more involved or a little more involved?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LOT MORE</th>
<th>LITTLE MORE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>DON'T KNOW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Inap, no Wave 2; 1,2 in 8007; 0,1,3,8-9 in 8419</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**E5x. SUMMARY: US involvement in Central America**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LESS/ LOT LESS INVOLVED</th>
<th>LESS/ LITTLE LESS</th>
<th>SAME/ LESS INVOLVED</th>
<th>SAME/ NO CHANGE</th>
<th>SAME/ MORE INVOLVED</th>
<th>MORE/ LITTLE MORE</th>
<th>MORE/ LOT MORE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Inap, no Wave 2; 1,2 in 8007; 0, a-9 in 8419</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In recent years, there has been increasing public debate about gun control laws. Some people feel the laws covering the sale of firearms should be a lot less strict. Suppose these people are at one end of a 7-point scale, at point number 1. Others feel that the laws covering the sale of firearms should be a lot more strict. Suppose these people are at the other end of the scale -- at point number 7. (Of course, other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6.)

Where would you place yourself on this scale (remembering that point number 1 is a lot less strict gun control laws and point number 7 is a lot more strict gun control laws)?

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
1-7. ENTER NUMBER
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA; Inap, no Wave 2; 3-4 in 8007
In recent years, there has been increasing public debate about gun control laws. Do you feel the laws covering the sale of firearms should be made less strict, more strict, or kept as they are now?

- Haven't thought much
- Less strict
- Kept as now
- More strict

- Inap, no Wave 2; 1-2 in 8007
- Don't know
- NA

Do you feel these laws should be made a lot less strict or a little less strict?

- Lot less
- Little less
- Don't know
- NA

- Inap, no Wave 2; 1,2 in 8007; 0,3,5, 8-9 in 8425

Would you lean toward (making) these laws less strict or more strict?

- Less strict
- No change [voted]
- More strict
- Don't know
- NA

- Inap, no Wave 2; 1-2 in 8007; 0,1,5, 8-9 in 8425
E6c. Do you feel these laws should be made a lot more strict or a little more strict?

1. LOT MORE STRICT
2. LITTLE MORE STRICT

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 1,2 in 8007; 0,1,3,8-9 in 8425

SUMMARY: GUN CONTROL LAWS

Built from: 8425 - 8428

1. LESS/ LOT LESS STRICT
2. LESS/ LITTLE STRICT
3. SAME/ LESS STRICT
4. SAME/ NO CHANGE
5. SAME/ MORE STRICT
6. MORE/ LITTLE MORE STRICT
7. MORE/ LOT MORE STRICT

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 1, 2, in 8007; 0, 8-9 in 8425
Some people consider themselves to be strong Republicans. Suppose these people are at one end of a seven-point scale, at point number 1. Other people consider themselves to be strong Democrats. Suppose these people are at the other end of the scale, at point number 7. (Of course, other people think of themselves as somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6.) Where would you place yourself on this scale (remembering that 1 is a strong Republican and 7 is a strong Democrat)?

Some people consider themselves to be strong Republicans. Suppose these people are at one end of a seven-point scale, at point number 1. Other people consider themselves to be strong Democrats. Suppose these people are at the other end of the scale, at point number 7. (Of course, other people think of themselves as somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6.) Where would you place yourself on this scale (remembering that 1 is a strong Republican and 7 is a strong Democrat) or haven't you thought much about this?

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
1-7. ENTER NUMBER
9. INDEPENDENT

88. Inap, no Wave 2; 3,4 in 8007

98. DON'T KNOW
99. NA

Do you think of yourself as closer to Republicans or to Democrats?

1. DEMOCRATS
3. INDEPENDENT
5. REPUBLICANS
8. DON'T KNOW
9. R REFUSES TO CHOOSE

Inap, no Wave 2;3-4 in 8007;1-3, 5-7,9 in,8430
E7c. You rated yourself as a (Democrat/Republican). Just to make sure I have it right, that means you think of yourself as a (Democrat/Republican).

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2;3-4 in 8007

PARTY ID, LABELED (BRANCHING) FORMAT FILTERED (HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH) VERSUS NOT FILTERED EXPERIMENT

E7. Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Democrat, a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent, or what?

Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent, or what, or haven't you thought much about this?

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
1. REPUBLICAN
5. DEMOCRAT
2. INDEPENDENT
3. NO PREFERENCE
4. OTHER PARTY
6. Inap, no Wave 2; 1,2 in 8007
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

E7a. Would you call yourself a strong (Democrat/Republican) or a not very strong (Democrat/Republican)?

1. STRONG
5. NOT VERY STRONG
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 1,2 in 8007; 0, 2-4,6,8-9 in 8433
E7b. Do you think of yourself as closer to Republicans or to Democrats?

1. CLOSER TO REPUBLICANS
5. CLOSER TO DEMOCRATS
3. NEITHER [VOLUNTEERED]

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 1, 2, in 8007; 0-1, 5 in 8433

E7x. SUMMARY: PARTY ID

0. STRONG DEMOCRAT(510)
1. WEAK DEMOCRAT(550,580,590)
2. INDEPENDENT-DEMOCRAT(205,305,405,805,905)
3. INDEPENDENT-INDEPENDENT(203,208,209)
4. INDEPENDENT-REPUBLICAN(201,301,401,801,901)
5. WEAK REPUBLICAN(150,180,190)
6. STRONG REPUBLICAN(110)
7. OTHER-MINOR PARTY, REFUSES TO SAY(403)
8. APOLITICAL(000,303,308,309,809)

9. NA, no Wave 2; Inap, 1-2 in 8007
Now I'd like to ask some more questions about television, radio, and newspapers.

8437 **F1.** Please tell me how often you watch each of the following types of shows on TV.

**Fla.** How many times in (AB: THE PAST WEEK/CD: A TYPICAL WEEK) you watch nighttime entertainment programs like comedies or dramas?

0-7. ENTER NUMBER

8. DON'T KNOW

9. NA; Inap, no Wave 2

8438 **Flb.** How many times in (A,B: THE PAST WEEK/C,D: A TYPICAL WEEK) you watch morning news programs like "Good Morning America" or "Today"?

8439 **F2a.** Now radio. How many times in (A,B: THE PAST WEEK/C,D: A TYPICAL WEEK) do you listen to talk radio programs, where people call to talk about public issues?

8440 **F2b.** How many times in (A,B: THE PAST WEEK/C,D: A TYPICAL WEEK) do you listen to short news summaries, usually five minutes or so, that are broadcast in between music or other regular programs?

8441 **F2c.** How many times in (A,B: THE PAST WEEK/C,D: A TYPICAL WEEK) do you listen to full length news programs, lasting an hour or more?

8442 **F3.** Did you read a national news magazine, such as Newsweek (A,B) or Time last week?

**F3.** Do you read a national news magazine, such as Newsweek or Time in a typical week?

1. YES

5. NO

8. DON'T KNOW

9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2
F3a. Do you read more for general entertainment, more for news about politics and international affairs, or both?

1. ENTERTAINMENT
2. NEWS
3. BOTH
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 5, 8-9 in 8442

F4. Now think about different kinds of stories in the newspaper. For each, just tell me if you read them frequently, sometimes, rarely, or never.

F4a. How often do you read stories about things happening in your local community -- do you read them frequently, sometimes, rarely, or never?

1. FREQUENTLY
2. SOMETIMES
3. RARELY
4. NEVER
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2

F4b. (How often do you read stories about) sports or entertainment?

F4c. (How often do you read stories about) national affairs and politics?

F4d. (How often do you read stories about) international and world affairs?
F5A-F5F are social welfare UNIPOLAR
ITEM8 ASKED IN FORMS C AND D. F6-F8
ARE BIPOLAR SOCIAL WELFARE ITEM8 ASKED
IN FORMS A AND B.

8448 F5. People have different ideas about how much
responsibility the federal government in Washington has
for the well-being of its citizens. Please tell me
how much you approve or disapprove of each of the
following statements.

F5a. The problem of homeless people can be solved best by
private charities and volunteer groups. Do you approve
strongly, approve somewhat, disapprove somewhat, or
disapprove strongly?

1. APPROVE STRONGLY
2. APPROVE SOMEWHAT
3. DISAPPROVE SOMEWHAT
4. DISAPPROVE STRONGLY

USE SAME CODE
FOR 8449-8453

a. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 1,2 in 8007

8449 F5b. The federal government in Washington should see to it
that people who work full-time earn enough so that
they don't live in poverty.

8450 F5c. All medical expenses should be paid by individuals, and
through private insurance plans like Blue Cross or
other company-paid plans. (Do you approve strongly,
approve somewhat, disapprove somewhat, or
disapprove strongly?)

8451 F5d. The government should provide a decent job for everyone
who wants to work.

8452 F5e. It is important for the federal government to provide
many more services even if it means an increase in
spending. (Do you approve strongly, approve somewhat,
disapprove somewhat, or disapprove strongly?)

8453 F5f. The federal government should just let each person get
ahead on their own.
Some people think the government should provide fewer services, even in areas such as health and education, in order to reduce spending. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide more services even if it means an increase in spending.

**F6a.** Do you have an opinion on this topic or haven't you thought much about it?

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT IT

1. YES, HAVE AN OPINION

5. NO, NO OPINION

6. Inap, no Wave 2; 3-4 in 8007

8. DK

9. NA

**F6a.** Do you think the government should reduce spending, provide more services, or is your position somewhere in between?

1. REDUCE SPENDING

3. IN BETWEEN

5. PROVIDE MORE SERVICES

8. DK

9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 3-4 in 8007; 0,5, 8-9 in 8501

**F6b.** Would you prefer a moderate (increase/decrease) in spending and services, or a large (increase/decrease).

1. MODERATE

2. LARGE

8. DK

9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 3-4 in 8007; 0,5,8-9 in 8501
SUMMARY:

GOVERNMENT SPENDING ON SERVICES

1. REDUCE SPENDING/ LARGE
2. REDUCE SPENDING/ MODERATE
3. IN BETWEEN
4. PROVIDE MORE SERVICES/ MODERATE
5. PROVIDE MORE SERVICES/ LARGE

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 3-4 in 8007; 0,5, 8-9 in 8501; 8-9 in 8502

Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on their own.

Do you have an opinion on this topic or haven't you thought much about it?

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT IT
1. YES, HAVE AN OPINION
5. NO, NO OPINION

6. INAP, no Wave 2; 3,4 in 8007

8. DK
9. NA

Should the government see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living, should it let each person get ahead on their own, or is your position somewhere in between?

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD SEE TO IT
3. IN BETWEEN
5. LET EACH GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 3,4 in 8007; 0,5, 8-9 in 8505
8507 F7b. Do you feel strongly that [Government should see to it/each person get ahead on their own] or not so strongly?

1. STRONGLY
2. NOT SO STRONGLY
8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 3,4 in 8007; 0,5,8-9 in 8505; 3,8-9 in 8506

8508 F7x. SUMMARY: GOOD JOB AND STANDARD OF LIVING

Built from: 8505-8507

1. GOVERNMENT SHOULD SEE TO IT/STRONGLY
2. GOVERNMENT SHOULD SEE TO IT/NOT STRONGLY
3. IN BETWEEN
4. LET EACH GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN/NOT STRONGLY
5. LET EACH GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN/STRONGLY
8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 3,4 in 8007; 0,5,8-9 in 8505; 8-9 in 8506

8509 F8. There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some people feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses for everyone. Others feel that all medical expenses should be paid by individuals through private insurance plans like Blue Cross or other company plans. Do you have an opinion on this topic or haven't you thought much about it?

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT IT
1. YES, HAVE AN OPINION
5. NO, NO OPINION

6. Inap, no Wave 2; 3-4 in 8007
8. DK
9. NA
F8a. Do you think that there should be a government insurance plan or that all medical expenses should be paid by individuals and private insurance plans?

1. GOVERNMENT INSURANCE PLAN
5. EXPENSES SHOULD BE PAID BY INDIVIDUALS AND PRIVATE INSURANCE

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 3,4 in 8007; 0,5,8-9 in 8509

F8b. Do you feel strongly that [GOVERNMENT INSURANCE PLAN/EXPENSES PAID BY INDIVIDUALS] or not so strongly?

1. STRONGLY
2. NOT SO STRONGLY

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 3,4 in 8007; 0,5,8-9 in 8509; 8-9 in 8510

F8x; SUMMARY GOVERNMENT HEALTH INSURANCE (Built from 8509-8511)

1. GOVT INSURANCE PLAN STRONGLY
2. " " NOT STRONGLY
4. EXPENSES BY INDIVIDUALS NOT STRONGLY
5. " "

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 3-4 in 8007; 0,5,8-9 in 8509; 8-9 in 8510

F10. Thinking now about the issue of abortion, do you favor or oppose a state law that would require parental consent before a teenager under 18 can have an abortion?

1. FAVOR
5. OPPOSE

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2
8514 **F10a. Do you (favor/oppose) strongly or not strongly?**

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 8, 9 in 8513

8515 **F10x. SUMMARY: PARENTAL CONSENT AND ABORTION**

Built From: 8513-8514

1. FAVOR/STRONGLY
2. FAVOR/NOT STRONGLY
4. OPPOSE/NOT STRONGLY
5. OPPOSE/STRONGLY

8. DK
9. NA

0. INAP, no Wave 2; 8-9 in 8513

8516 **F11. Would you favor or oppose a state law that would prohibit public spending on abortion?**

1. FAVOR
5. OPPOSE

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2

8517 **F11a. Do you (favor/oppose) strongly or not strongly?**

1. STRONGLY
5. NOT STRONGLY

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 8, 9 in 8517
F11x. SUMMARY: PUBLIC SPENDING ON ABORTION

Built from:  8416-8417

1. FAVOR/STRONGLY
2. FAVOR/ NOT STRONGLY
4. OPPOSE/ NOT STRONGLY
5. OPPOSE/ STRONGLY

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 8-9 in 8516

F12a. Now, here are some questions about how much say the government should have in regulating things that individuals and businesses do.

In the interests of public safety, should the government require the use of seatbelts in automobiles or helmets for motorcycle riders OR should those decisions be left up to individuals?

1. GOVERNMENT REQUIRE
3. BOTH, DEPENDS (VOLUNTEERED)
5. LEFT TO INDIVIDUALS

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2

F12b. Would you say that the American free enterprise system is or is not a match for a centrally coordinated economy like Japan's?

1. IS A WATCH
3. BOTH, DEPENDS (VOLUNTEERED)
5. IS NOT A WATCH

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2
When it comes to making decisions in industry, should workers have more say than they do now OR do they have enough say already?

1. HAVE MORE SAY
3. BOTH, DEPENDS (VOLUNTEERED)
5. HAVE ENOUGH SAY NOW
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2
F12d. Generally speaking, are government limits on rents and home prices a bad idea or a good idea?

1. BAD IDEA
3. BOTH, DEPENDS (VOLUNTEERED)
5. GOOD IDEA
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2

F12e. Would you favor or oppose a law requiring school children to recite the Pledge of Allegiance daily?

1. FAVOR
3. BOTH, DEPENDS (VOLUNTEERED)
5. OPPOSE
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2

F12f. If a person refuses to sell his house to members of a certain racial or ethnic group, should he have that right OR should that be illegal?

1. HAVE THAT RIGHT
3. BOTH, DEPENDS (VOLUNTEERED)
5. SHOULD BE ILLEGAL
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2

F12g. Do you think it would be a good idea or a bad idea if the government owned the airlines in the United States as is done in many other countries?

1. GOOD IDEA
3. BOTH, DEPENDS (VOLUNTEERED)
5. BAD IDEA
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2
8526 **Fl2h.** If people want to smoke marijuana in their own homes, is that basically their business OR should it be illegal?

1. BASICALLY HIS BUSINESS
3. BOTH, DEPENDS (VOLUNTEERED)
5. SHOULD BE ILLEGAL

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2

8527 **Fl2i.** Would you favor or oppose a law requiring that all young adults serve their country by spending some time in the military, the Peace Corps, or in some other kind of national service?

1. FAVOR
5. OPPOSE

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2

8528 **Fl2j.** Would you favor or oppose a law requiring people to recycle newspaper, glass, and other recyclable waste in order to reduce the trash problem?

1. FAVOR
5. OPPOSE

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2

8529 **Fl2k.** Do you think that most poor people are poor because they don't work hard enough OR because of circumstances beyond their control?

1. DON'T WORK HARD
3. BOTH, DEPENDS (VOLUNTEERED)
5. CIRCUMSTANCES BEYOND CONTROL

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2
V. MASTER CODES
Our next question concerns the relationship between the United States and Russia. Some Americans feel that we should try to cooperate more with Russia, in order to reduce the chances of a nuclear war. Other Americans believe that we should be much tougher in our dealings with Russia because Russian leaders are communists and they still want to take over the world. Do you have an opinion on this, or haven't you thought much about it?

G1.

Our next question concerns the relationship between the United States and Russia. Some Americans feel that we should try to cooperate more with Russia, while others believe that we should be much tougher in our dealings with Russia. Do you have an opinion on this, or haven't you thought much about it?

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
1. YES
5. NO
6. Inap, no Wave 2
8. DK
9. NA

8531 Gla. Do you feel we should try to cooperate more with Russia, get tougher in our dealings with Russia, or is your opinion somewhere in between?

1. COOPERATE MORE
3. IN BETWEEN
5. GET TOUGHER
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 0, 5, 8-9 in 8530
Glb. Should we **try** to cooperate a **lot** more or somewhat more?  
Glc. Should we get a **lot** tougher or somewhat **tougher**?

1. LOT  
2. SOMEWHAT  

8. DK  
9. NA  

0. **Inap**, no Wave 2; **0, 5, 8-9** in 8530; **3, 8-9** in 8531

---

**SUMMARY:** COOPERATE WITH RUSSIA  
Built from 8530-8532

1. COOPERATE MORE: LOT  
2. COOPERATE MORE; SOMEWHAT  
3. IN BETWEEN  
4. GET TOUGHER: SOMEWHAT  
5. GET TOUGHER: LOT  

8. DK  
9. NA  

0. **Inap**, no Wave 2; **0,5,8,9** in 8530; **8,9** in 8531
There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. Which one of the opinions I am about to read you best agrees with your view on abortion?

By law abortion should never be permitted.
The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger.
The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman's life, but only after the need for the abortion has been clearly established.
By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice.

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2
AID TO CONTRAS FRAMING EXPERIMENT

FORM A: FRAME 1, Non-interference versus freedom fighters
FORMS B and C: FRAME 2; Money better spent versus democracy
FORM C: "STRIPPED"

8535

G3. Here is another question about foreign policy. As you know, the U.S. has been giving aid to the Contras, a guerilla group that wants to overthrow the Communist government of Nicaragua. Some people say we should stop aid to the Contras because the U.S. has no business in the internal affairs of Nicaragua. Others think the U.S. should continue the aid because the Contras are freedom fighters trying to stop the spread of Communism in Central America. Would you like to see aid to the Contras in Nicaragua increased, decreased, or stay the same?

G3. Here is another question about foreign policy. As you know, the U.S. has been giving aid to the Contras, guerilla group that wants to overthrow the Sandinista government of Nicaragua. Some people say we should stop aid to the Contras because the money could be better spent in the U.S. Others think the U.S. should continue the aid because the Contras are fighting to promote democracy in Central America. Would you like to see aid to the Contras in Nicaragua increased, decreased, or stay the same?

G3. Here is another question about foreign policy.
Would you like to see aid to the Contras in Nicaragua increased, decreased, or stay the same?

1. INCREASED
3. STAY THE SAME
5. DECREASED

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2
8536  G3X4MARY : AID TO THE CONTRAS
Built from: 8535-8536

1. INCREASE/ A LOT
2. INCREASE/ A LITTLE
3. STAY THE SAME
4. DECREASE/ A LITTLE
5. DECREASE/ A LOT

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2

8537  DUMMY VARIABLE
Our next question deals with government programs to assist the poor. Some people say that government spending on such programs for the poor needs to be increased to help those who, through no fault of their own, simply cannot earn enough to take care of themselves and their children. Others say that government spending on such programs for the poor should be decreased, because given the huge budget deficit, we simply can't afford it. If you had a say in making up the federal budget this year, would you like to see spending on programs that assist the poor increased, decreased, or stay the same?

1. INCREASED
3. STAY THE SAME
5. DECREASED
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2
G4a. Increased a little or a lot?  
G4b. Decreased a little or a lot?  

1. A LITTLE  
2. A LOT  
8. DK  
9. NA  
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 3, 8-9 in 8538  

G4x4MARY: GOVERNMENT ASSISTANCE TO POOR  
Built from: 8538-8539  

1. INCREASE/ A LOT  
2. INCREASE/ A LITTLE  
3. STAY THE SAME  
4. DECREASE/ A LITTLE  
5. DECREASE/ A LOT  
8. DK  
9. NA  
0. Inap, no Wave 2
There is a lot of talk these days about a plan to allow more drilling for oil on federal lands in Alaska. Some people are opposed to this drilling. They say the Alaskan wilderness should be preserved in its natural state for future generations. Others say the drilling is necessary because the U.S. needs new energy sources to avoid becoming dependent on foreign oil. What is your opinion? Do you favor or oppose drilling new oil fields on federal lands in Alaska?

Do you favor or oppose drilling new oil fields on federal lands in Alaska?

1. FAVOR
5. OPPOSE

8. DON’T KNOW [DO NOT PROBE]
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2

Do you favor drilling new oil fields strongly or not so strongly?

Do you oppose drilling new oil fields strongly or not so strongly?

1. STRONGLY
2. NOT SO STRONGLY

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 8, 9 in 8541
DEATH PENALTY FRAME EXPERIMENT
FORM A: Deterrence/immorality
FORM B: Deterrence/immorality
FORM C: Punishment/Constitution
FORM D: Stripped

8543 G5x. SUMMARY: ALASKA OIL
Built from 8541-8542

1. FAVOR STRONGLY
2. FAVOR NOT STRONGLY
3. OPPOSE NOT STRONGLY
4. OPPOSE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2

8544 G6. There is still much controversy about the death penalty in murder cases. Some people favor the death penalty because they believe it deters crime. Others oppose the death penalty because they believe killing another human being is always immoral, even the killing of someone who has committed murder. Do you favor or oppose the death penalty for the crime of murder?

G6. There is still much controversy about the death penalty in murder cases. Some people say that murder is so awful a crime that it deserves to be punished by death. Others oppose the death penalty. They say it is unconstitutional because it is "cruel and unusual punishment". Do you favor or oppose the death penalty for the crime of murder?

G6. Do you favor or oppose the death penalty for the crime of murder?

1. FAVOR
5. OPPOSE

8. DON'T KNOW [DO NOT PROBE]
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2
G6a. Do you favor the death penalty strongly or not so strongly?

1. STRONGLY
2. NOT SO STRONGLY

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; 8-9 in 8544

G6x. SUMMARY: DEATH PENALTY

Built from: 8544-8545

1. FAVOR STRONGLY
2. FAVOR NOT STRONGLY
3. OPPOSE NOT STRONGLY
4. OPPOSE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2
Some people believe that illegal drugs like heroin and cocaine are the greatest problem facing America today. As one step in dealing with this problem, they favor giving all employers the right to test their workers for use of illegal drugs. Other people oppose such testing as an invasion of privacy. They say that as long as a worker is performing well on the job, employers should not be able to require a drug test. Do you favor or oppose giving all employers the right to test their workers for possible use of illegal drugs?

Here is a question about the problem of illegal drugs. As one step in dealing with this problem, some people think all employers should have the right to test their workers for possible use of illegal drugs. Others oppose this kind of testing. As you know, there is a lot of debate in the press on this question of whether employers should have the right to test workers for illegal drugs. Which side of the debate is closer to your view? Do you favor or oppose giving all employers the right to test their workers for possible use of illegal drugs?

Do you favor or oppose giving all employers the right to test their workers for the possible use of illegal drugs?

1. FAVOR
5. OPPOSE
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2

Do you favor the drug testing strongly or not so strongly?
Do you oppose the drug testing strongly or not so strongly?

1. STRONGLY
2. NOT SO STRONGLY
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 8-9 in 8547
Some people feel that the government in Washington should make a special effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, because blacks still don't have the same opportunities to get ahead as everyone else. Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks; that blacks should help themselves, just as other groups have done. Do you have an opinion on this issue, or haven't you thought much about it?

Some people feel that the government in Washington should make a special effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks, while others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks. Do you have an opinion on this issue or haven't you thought much about it?

0. HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH
1. YES
5. NO

6. Inap, no Wave 2

8. DK
9. NA
8551  **G8a.** Do you feel the government should or should not make a special effort to help blacks, or is your opinion somewhere in between?

1. **SHOULD**
2. **IN BETWEEN**
3. **SHOULD NOT**
4. **DON'T KNOW**
5. **NA**
6. **Inap, no Wave 2; 0,5,8-9 in 8550**

8552  **G8b.** Should the government help blacks to a great extent or only to some extent?

8553  **G8c.** Should the government make any effort at all to improve the position of blacks?

---

**SUMMARY:** GOVERNMENT ASSISTANCE TO BLACKS

Built from: 8550-8552

1. **SHOULD/ GREAT**
2. **SHOULD/ SOME**
3. **IN BETWEEN**
4. **SHOULD NOT/ YES**
5. **SHOULD NOT/ NO**
6. **DK**
7. **NA**
8. **Inap; no Wave 2; 0,5,8-9 in 8551**
Our next question deals with the disease AIDS. Some Americans believe that AIDS is a very serious threat to public health, that too many people have already died from AIDS and that the government in Washington should spend more money trying to stop the spread of AIDS and taking care of those people who suffer from the disease. Other Americans believe that the government has more important things to spend money on, like cancer research. If you had a say in making up the federal budget this year, would you like to see spending increased, decreased, or stay the same for the fight against AIDS?

---

1. INCREASED
3. STAY THE SAME
5. DECREASED
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2

---

Should spending be Increased/Decreased a lot or a little?

Built from: 8554-8555

1. INCREASE/ A LOT
2. INCREASE/ A LITTLE
3. STAY THE SAME
4. DECREASE/ A LITTLE
5. DECREASE/ A LOT
8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; 8, 9 in 8554
H5-H19 were not all asked on every day of the study. Some were added and some dropped during the course of the study period. The **INAP** code documents this situation.

8601 H5. Every day there are dozens of stories in the news. We're interested in how many of these stories people actually see or hear and how good a job the media does in covering the news. For example:

Have you seen or read any stories about a major televised speech to the nation by President George Bush?

1. YES  
5. NO  
8. DON'T KNOW  
9. NA  
0. **INAP**, no Wave 2; not asked on this date
8602  **H5a.** Do you remember what the main points of his speech were?  *(What were those?)*  *(Any others?)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code highest possible (non missing data) value:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

01. AIDS/ Education/ Other incorrect
02. Drugs/ The drug problem/ The War on Drugs
03. About a boy from a bad neighborhood/ Other specific detail from his speech *(without any other statement about the overall theme of fighting drugs)*
04. Fighting drugs **plus** how to finance the program/ How to pay for his drug program
05. The drug war in Colombia *(with no mention of a domestic anti-drug program)*
06. Mention of his address to school children concerning drugs
07. Mention of 2 or more categories 3 through 5 above *(e.g., "His drug program, how he is going to help the Colombian government, and his ideas of how much it is all going to cost"; "He gave a national address laying out his drug program, and then he talked to school kids yesterday")/ Other specific details reflecting extensive knowledge

99. Missing/ No answer/ Unintelligible/ Not ascertained
98. No/ **Can't** remember/ **"Just quit"**
97. Other Response /Not classified
96. I **used** to know but I forgot

00. INAP, no Wave 2; not asked this date, 5,8-9 in 8601
H6. Have you read or heard any news stories about the resignation of Congressman Jim Wright?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2, not asked this date

H6a. Do you happen to recall why he resigned?
(Why was that?)

Code highest possible (non missing data) value:

01. Drinking/ Womanizing/ Took bribes / Other incorrect
02. Scandal/ Trouble /Under attack /Charges (not specified)
03. Ethical violations/ Morals/ Corruption/ Wasn't Honest
04. Financial misdeeds/ Conflict of interest/ Financial discrepancies (not specified)/ Used his office for personal gain/ Malfeasance
05. Forced out politically/ Left to avoid investigation or impeachment/ Quit to spare his party
06. Problem with his wife/ His wife's job/ Trouble with his relatives
07. Problem with his book
08. Problem with his use of staff members/ Problem with a savings and loan/ Trouble with an oil company/ Other specific problem or charge mentioned
09. Mention of any 2 or more specific problems or charges (e.g., wife and book, or his book and trouble with a savings and loan)/ Other specific details reflecting extensive knowledge
99. Missing/ No answer/ Unintelligible/ Not ascertained
98. No/ Can't remember/ "Just quit"
97. Other Response /Not classified
96. I used to know but I forgot
95. I remember this question from before/ You already asked me that question
00. INAP, no Wave 2, not asked this date, 5,8-9 on 8603
Do you remember any stories about the Solidarity labor movement gaining a new position in the Polish government?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. INAP, no Wave 2; not asked this date

Do you recall what that position was?

Code highest possible (non missing data) value:

01. They got more power /Other mention of unspecified gains
02. They won a lot of seats in the government/ They got a majority in the government/ They won more offices in the government/ They won a majority in recent elections
03. They will now have a non-communist government
04. Lech Walensa will lead the country/ Lech Walensa will be president
05. They have the vice-president/ They have the president/ They have the head of state
06. They have the prime minister/ They have the premiÈre
07. They are forming a coalition government/ They are putting together a cabinet/
08. They put together a cabinet and it was approved by the legislature
09. Any mention of 2 or more categories 5 through 8 above (e.g., "Lech Walensa could'Ève been prime minister, but he picked another person")/ Other specific details reflecting extensive knowledge

99. Missing/ No answer/ Unintelligible/ Not ascertained
98. No/ Can't remember
97. Other Response /Not classified
96. I used to know but I forgot

00. INAP, no wave 2; 0,5,8-9 in 8605
8607 H8. Do you recall any stories about a U.S. Supreme Court decision this summer on the death penalty?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2, not asked on this date

8608 H8a. Do you remember what the court decided? (What did they decide?) (What was that?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code highest possible (non missing data) value:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01. Against death penalty/ Banned death penalty/ Made death penalty illegal/ Other incorrect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02. Hasn't decided yet/ Didn't make any decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03. Reinstated death penalty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04. In favor of the death penalty/ Supported death penalty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05. Left it up to the states/ Gave it back to the states/ Let local level decide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06. Can execute minors, teens/ Lowered age/ Mention of minors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07. Can execute retarded, mentally impaired/ Mention of retarded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08. Mention of both 6,7 (minors and retarded)/ Other specific details reflecting extensive knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99. Missing/ No answer/ Unintelligible/ Not ascertained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98. No/ Can't remember</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97. Other Response /Not classified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96. I used to know but I forgot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00. INAP, no wave 2; 0,5,8-9 in 8607</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8609 H9. Have you heard or read any stories about the Department of Housing and Urban Development, also known as HUD?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; not asked this date
H9a. Do you happen to remember why this agency was in the news? Why?

| Code highest possible (non missing data) value: |

01. Gives money for housing/ Helps poor with housing/ Gives loans for poor to get housing/ Other incorrect
02. Sex scandal
03. Scandal, trouble (unspecified)/ Under investigation/ Controversy
04. Fraud/ Financial misdeeds (unspecified)/ Misappropriation of Funds/ Malfeasance/ Corruption / Embezzlement
05. Mismanagement/ Housing programs run poorly/ Housing went to the rich instead of the poor
06. Mentions specific dollar amount of financial losses/ Mentions Congressional hearings, investigation into HUD activities
07. Lady who robbed from rich, gave to poor/ Lady who took money/ "Robin HUD"/ Lady in real estate
08. Mention of 5 (Robin HUD) plus another category 3 through 6
09. Influence peddling/ Lobbying/ Former officials lobbying HUD/ Insiders receiving contract paybacks/ Officials steering money to friends/ Former government officials making money off their contacts
10. Specific mention of: Jack Kemp, Carla Hill, DRG (or some reasonable approximation of DRG), Samuel Pierce, "Silent Sam," Deborah Gore Dean/ Other specific details reflecting extensive knowledge
11. Sam Pierce will not testify/ The former head of HUD took the fifth/ The former director is not cooperating with Congress/ Congress had to subpoena the former director because he wouldn't cooperate.

99. Missing/ No answer/ Unintelligible/ Not ascertained
98. No/ Can't remember
97. Other Response/ Not classified
96. I used to know but I forgot
00. INAP, no wave 2; 0,5,8-9 in 8609
H10. Have you heard or seen any stories about the trial of TV evangelist Jim Bakker?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; not asked this date

H10a. Do you recall what's going on with the trial right now? (What?)

Code highest possible (non missing data) value:

01. He's on trial/ He's on trial for fraud/ Scandal
02. He's in deep trouble/ They're throwing the book at him
03. Just in the last few days, I haven't heard/ What is going on this very minute, I'm not sure/ What's happening right now? -- I'm not sure
04. He's pleading insanity
05. He had a breakdown/ He went to the hospital/ He had a panic attack/ They stopped the trial because he had a breakdown/ He was sent to an institution/ He was crying and they had to stop the trial
06. They are deciding if he is fit to stand trial/ He may not be competent to go ahead with the trial/ Other comment emphasizing the question of his competence to stand trial
07. He's faking it/ He is trying to get out of his trial/ He faked sickness to get out of the trial
08. He was seeing animals/ He saw the media as insects trying to get him/ He was in a fetal position/ Other specific details of his panic attack
09. They found him competent to stand trial/ They are going ahead with his trial now
10. People who worked for him are now testifying against him/ They are bringing in more people to testify that he defrauded his donors/ He oversold space at his theme park/ Any mention of a "Gold Mine"
11. They postponed his trial due to Hurricane Hugo
12. The defense is now presenting its side/ Bakker himself testified/ the defense put Bakker on the stand
13. The testimony is concluded and a verdict is coming soon
14. He was found guilty
15. He was found innocent
16. Mention of any 2 categories 4 through 15 above/ Mention of a key witness who collapsed on the stand/ Mention of associates of Bakker's who were given stiff sentences for similar charges/ Any mention of Mr. Dortch/ Other details reflecting extensive knowledge of the trial proceedings
17. He was found guilty plus a description of his crimes and/or a description of events surrounding the case (e.g., "He was found guilty and now they are going to decide whether he will go to prison," "He's guilty of fraud, and selling those vacation agreements to people knowing they couldn't use them")

99. Missing/ No answer/ Unintelligible/ Not ascertained
98. No/ Can't remember
97. Other Response /Not classified
96. I used to know but I forgot

00. INAP, no wave 2; 0,5,8-9 in 8611

8613 H11. Do you remember any recent stories about Marine Colonel Oliver North receiving a sentence for his conviction in the Iran-Contra affair?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; not asked this date
**8614** H1la. Do you recall anything about the sentence he received? (What was that?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code highest possible (non missing data) value:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01. Found guilty/ Going to jail/ Other incorrect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02. Found not guilty/ Acquitted/ Acquitted of some charges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03. Light sentence/ Not heavy enough/ Not enough for me</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04. No jail/ Probation/ Suspended sentence (without time specified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05. Community service/ Drug program (without time specified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06. Fine (without amount specified)/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07. Can't run for office / Loss of Pension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08. Mention of any 1 component of sentence from 4 to 7 (with some specifics) (e.g., &quot;150 thousand dollar fine,&quot; &quot;2 year suspended sentence,&quot; **or &quot;1200 hours of community service&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09. Mention of any 2 components of sentence (without any specifics) (e.g., &quot;probation and fine,&quot; &quot;fine and loss of pension&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Mention of any 2 components of sentence (with some specifics) (e.g., &quot;two years probation and 150 thousand dollar fine&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Mention of 3 or more components of sentence (without any specifics) (e.g., &quot;community service, fine, and he can't run for federal office&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Mention of 3 or more components of sentence (with some specifics)/ Other specific details reflecting extensive knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99. Missing/ No answer/ Unintelligible/ Not ascertained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98. No/ Can't remember</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97. Other Response /Not classified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96. I used to know but I forgot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00. INAP, no wave 2; 0,5,8-9 in 8613</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**8615** H12. Have you heard or read any stories about a U.S. Supreme Court decision this summer on abortion?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code highest possible (non missing data) value:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01. Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05. No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08. Don't know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09. NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00. INAP, no Wave 2; not asked this date</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8616  H12a. Do you remember what the court decided?  (What did they decide?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code highest possible (non missing data) value:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01. Decided for abortion/ Let women decide for themselves/ Other incorrect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02. Made abortion illegal/ Outlawed abortion/ Overturned Roe v. Wade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03. Don't think they decided yet (but not 7 below!)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04. Allowed abortion to continue/ Trying to change laws on abortion/ Middle of the road/ A difficult decision to follow or understand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05. Against abortion/ Anti-abortion/ Against women's rights/ Basically pro-life/ limited abortion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06. Upheld Roe v. Wade/ Didn't overturn Roe v. Wade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07. Court still making up its mind/ Court hasn't really decided yet/ Court may overturn Roe v. Wade/ Holding off until next term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08. Left it up to the states/ Gave it back to the states/ Let local level decide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09. Mention of: Missouri case, Missouri law, Webster, Webster case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Specifics mentioned: Ban on public funding or public facilities to perform abortions, requirements for viability testing of fetus, parental consent for abortions/ Any mention of Supreme Court Justices by name/ Other specific details reflecting extensive knowledge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 97. Other Response /Not classified |
| 96. I used to know but I forgot |
| 98. No/ Can't remember |
| 99. Missing/ No answer/ Unintelligible/ Not ascertained |
| 00. INAP, no wave 2; 0,5,8-9 in 8615 |
H13. Have you seen or heard any stories about terrorist bombings and assassinations by drug traffickers in Colombia?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; not asked this date

H13a. Do you recall what brought on these attacks?

Code highest possible (non missing data) value:

01. Drugs/ The drug situation (without any elaboration)
02. The government is cracking down/ The government is going after the drug cartels
03. Because of the U.S. drug program/ Because of Bush's drug policy
04. They are threatening to kill judges/ They will kill ten judges for every one of their guys
05. Any mention of extradition/ Any mention of sending arrested drug traffickers to the U.S.
06. The assassination of a leading presidential candidate led to a government crackdown
07. Mention of any 2 or more categories 2 through 6 above/ Other specific details reflecting extensive knowledge

99. Missing/ No answer/ Unintelligible/ Not ascertained
98. No/ Can't remember
97. Other Response /Not classified
96. I used to know but I forgot

00. Inap, no Wave 2; not asked this date: 5,8-9 in 8617
H14. Do you remember any news stories about former President Reagan undergoing surgery?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; not asked this date

H14a. Do you recall why he underwent surgery? (Why was that?)

[Code highest possible (non missing data) value:]

01. Cancer/ Other incorrect
02. I don't know what the problem was, but I know that he's alright
03. Brain "Tumor"
04. Brain surgery (not specified)
05. Fluid in his brain/ Blood in his skull
06. Because he fell off his horse/ Because of a fall (no specifics about injury to his brain)
07. Brain injury and mention of horse riding accident
08. Other specific details reflecting extensive knowledge (e.g., He had a fluid build-up in his skull due to a riding accident, so they did surgery at the Mayo Clinic and he's OK; "They had to drill a hole in his skull to drain blood, but he's recovering very quickly"

99. Missing/ No answer/ Unintelligible/ Not ascertained
98. No/ Can't remember
97. Other Response /Not classified
96. I used to know but I forgot

00. Inap, no Wave 2; not asked this date; 5,8-9 in 8619
H15. Have you seen or heard any news stories about the actress Zsa Zsa Gabor?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; not asked this date

H15a. Do you recall why she was in the news?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>She died/ She got divorced/ Other incorrect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>She's involved with a trial (with no specifics)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>She was found guilty of something (with no specifics)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>She was cited for driving with an open intoxicant/ She got a driving citation/ A parking citation/ Other incident involving her driving (without any mention of an officer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>She was harassed/ abused/ attacked by an officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>She slapped an officer/ She punched a cop/ She abused an officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>She got a driving citation and she slapped the arresting officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>She slapped an officer and she was found guilty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>Description of her offense plus any other specific details (concerning the incident or the trial) reflecting extensive knowledge (e.g., &quot;She's on trial for punching an officer. She said he was abusive, and they're just now picking jurors&quot;; &quot;She's been warned to stop talking so much to the media,&quot; &quot;She said she's afraid of lesbians in jail, but now she may have to go&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>Missing/ No answer/ Unintelligible/ Not ascertained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>No/ Can't remember</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>Other Response /Not classified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>I used to know but I forgot</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

00. Inap, no Wave 2; not asked this date; 5,8-9 in 8621
H16. Have you heard or seen any stories about Ed Koch's defeat in his effort to be re-elected as mayor of New York?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; not asked this date

H16a. Do you recall anything about the candidate who beat him?

Code highest possible (non missing data) value:

01. A Republican beat him/ Other incorrect
02. His name is Dinkins (or some reasonable approximation of Dinkins, e.g., Dickens, Diggins)/ His name is David somebody
03. He's a very soft-spoken person/ He has an image of being very quiet and kind
04. He's black
05. His name is Dinkins and he's black
06. Other specific details reflecting extensive knowledge (e.g., "He was able to unite voters and overcome racial differences, which Koch couldn't"; "He got most of the black vote and a good part of the white vote too, and he could be the first black mayor of New York")

99. Missing/ No answer/ Unintelligible/ Not ascertained
98. No/ Can't remember
97. Other Response /Not classified
96. I used to know but I forgot
00. Inap, no Wave 2; not asked this date: 5,8-9 in 8623
H17. Do you remember any stories about proposals in Congress to change the government's catastrophic health insurance program?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; not asked this date

H17a. Do you recall what these proposals are mainly about?

Code highest possible (non missing data) value:

01. They want to add more taxes/Everybody should have insurance/Other incorrect
02. Elderly people are involved/Any comment about the elderly
03. Elderly people are complaining about the program/Any mention of AARP (without specifics)
04. They want to cut the cost of the program/Any mention of financing
05. Any combination of the elderly and costs (e.g., "The elderly don't want it because they are being taxed for it")
06. Other specific details reflecting extensive knowledge of the issue
99. Missing/No answer/Unintelligible/Not ascertained
98. No/Can't remember
97. Other Response/Not classified
96. I used to know but I forgot
0. Inap, no Wave 2; not asked this date; 5,8-9 in 8625
H18. Do you remember any stories about a controversy surrounding a former concentration camp in Auschwitz, Poland?

1. YES
5. NO
8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA
0. Inap, no Wave 2; not asked this date

H18a. Do you recall why Auschwitz was in the news?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code highest possible (non missing data) value:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01. The Polish government is there /Other incorrect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02. Something about a church/ Any comment concerning a church/ The pope visited there</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03. Nuns are there/ There is a convent there/ Any comment concerning nuns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04. There is controversy over whether a convent should be moved/ Any comment concerning moving nuns or a convent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05. Jews were upset about a convent/ Jews and Catholics are (were) fighting over whether a convent should be moved/ Any mention of Jews and Catholics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06. A priest made derogatory comments about Jews (without specifics)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07. Any mention of two or more categories 2 through 6 above/ Any mention of the Polish Catholic Primate, Cardinal Glemp (or reasonable approximation of &quot;Glemp&quot;/ Other specific details reflecting extensive knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99. Missing/ No answer/ Unintelligible/ Not ascertained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98. No/ Can't remember</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97. Other Response /Not classified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96. I used to know but I forgot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0. Inap, no Wave 2; not asked this date; 5,8-9 in 8627</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
H19. Have you seen or heard any stories about debate in Congress over changing the taxes that Americans have to pay on capital gains?

1. YES
5. NO

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap, no Wave 2; not asked this date

H19a. If you can recall, what was the major change being proposed?

| Code highest possible (non missing data) value: |

01. They want to raise taxes/ Other incorrect
02. Description of capital gains with no mention of proposals for changing the tax rate (e.g., "Those are taxes you pay on money you make when you sell your house, or sell stock")
03. They want to lower taxes on capital gains/ You could make more money on capital gains/ Any comment having to do with a reduction in rates
04. A tax break for the rich
05. The Republicans want to lower rates, but many Democrats oppose it/ Any comment concerning alternative proposals having to do with IRAs
06. They just voted to cut capital gains/ Mention of House vote, or Democratic defeat/ Other specific details reflecting extensive knowledge

99. Missing/ No answer/ Unintelligible/ Not ascertained
98. No/ Can't remember
97. Other Response /Not classified
96. I used to know but I forgot

00. Inap, no Wave 2; not asked this date; 5,8-9 in 8629
People have different ideas about how much responsibility the federal government in Washington has for the well-being of its citizens. Please tell me how much you approve or disapprove of each of the following statements.

Jla. The government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Do you approve strongly, approve somewhat, disapprove somewhat, or disapprove strongly?

1. APPROVE STRONGLY
2. APPROVE SOMEWHAT
3. DISAPPROVE SOMEWHAT
4. DISAPPROVE STRONGLY

8. DK
9. NA

0. Inap; no Wave 2; 1-2 in 8007

Jlb. People should take advantage of every opportunity to improve themselves rather than expect help from the government.

Jlc. There should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses for everyone. (Do you approve strongly, approve somewhat, disapprove somewhat, or disapprove strongly?)

Jld. Able-bodied people should be required to work in order to receive help from the federal government.

Jle. The federal government should provide fewer services even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. (Do you approve strongly, approve somewhat, disapprove somewhat, or disapprove strongly?)

Jlf. The government in Washington should see to it that every person eats three nutritious meals every day.
K1. Political issues often times reflect moral concerns. Some religious leaders like pastors, rabbis, or bishops speak out on public issues through sermons, newsletters or other public statements. Others feel it is better not to speak out on public issues. How about religious leaders in your place of worship?

Kla. Do they speak out on Draver in public schools?

1. SPEAK OUT
5. DON'T SPEAK OUT

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inap; no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8201

Klb. ... the economy?

Klc. ... abortion?

Kld. ... housing and homelessness?

Kle. ... proper sexual behavior?

Klf. ... nuclear disarmament?

Klg. ... candidates for political office?

K2. In general, do you feel it is alright or not alright for religious leaders like pastors, preachers, rabbis or bishops to speak out on political issues in their places of worship?

1. ALL RIGHT
3. DEPENDS ON THE ISSUE
5. NOT ALL RIGHT

8. DON'T KNOW
9. NA

0. Inapt no Wave 2
K3. Which of these statements comes closest to describing your feelings about the Bible?

1. One, the Bible is the actual word of God and is to be taken literally, word for word, OR
2. Two, the Bible is the word of God but not everything in it should be taken literally, word for word, OR
3. Three, the Bible is a book written by men and is not the word of God.

8. DK
9. NA
0. Inap; no Wave 2

K4. People follow their faith in different ways. How often do you do each of the following:

K4a. First: pray on your own?

IWER: USE RESPONSE CATEGORIES AS PROBES.

01. DAILY
02. 2 TO 3 TIMES A WEEK
03. ONCE A WEEK
04. 2 TO 3 TIMES A MONTH
05. ONCE A MONTH
06. SEVERAL TIMES A YEAR
07. HARDLY EVER
08. NEVER

98. DON'T KNOW
99. NA

00. Inap; no Wave 2; 5,8-9 in 8204

K4b. . . . read about religion through magazines, books or newspapers?

K4c. . watch religious programs, other than services of local churches, on TV.

K4d. . . . read the Bible on your own?

K4e. . . convince others to accept your faith?

K4f. . . What are the main programs you watch?

THESE DATA ARE NOT CODED
The National Election Studies staff, in cooperation with a small working group of interested scholars (David Leege, Clark Roof, Ken Wald and Lyman Kellstedt) is in the process of revising the master code of religious denominations. We have elaborated the code considerably, by adding codes for different affiliations within the major mainline Protestant denominations, and by reworking almost entirely the Pentecostal, Holiness, fundamentalist, and evangelical Protestant denominations.

There are two columns of numbers associated with the code descriptions. The first column is what is recorded for a respondent who mentioned this denomination in the 1989 Pilot Study. The second column displays the code value associated with this response in the 1988 National Election Studies (and in all earlier elections studies using the religion code).

The asterisk in the second column means that there is no specific category associated with this response in the original code. In some cases, it is clear from the context what number would have been assigned to this response. For example, a respondent who said he/she was "Norwegian Lutheran" would almost certainly have been coded 111, Lutheran, Not Further Specified. In other instances, how the response would have been coded is not so clear. An inter-denominational church might have been coded 100, 101, or 102, for example. The *** indicate that there is no category in the 1988 version of the code which exactly matches the 1989 category.

NFS means "Not Further Specified"

1989 1988
PILOT NES

GENERAL PROTESTANT
010 100 Protestant, no denomination given
020 101 Non-denominational Protestant
030 102 Community church
040 109 Other Protestant:

** New Testament Christian
  Eden Evangelist
  First Church
Disciples of God
Federated Church
Christ Cathedral of Truth
Chapel of Faith
Faith Gospel Tabernacle
Chinese Gospel Chruch
INDEPENDENT  FUNDAMENTALIST/EVANGELICAL

050  *** Christian Catholic
051  *** Evangelical (NFB)
052  *** Independent Bible: Bible
053  *** Independent Fundamental Churches of America
054  134  Plymouth Brethren
055  *** The Way International
056  *** Grace Brethren
059  149  Other Fundamentalists

PRESBYTERIANS

100  *** Presbyterian Church in the USA
101  *** United Presbyterian Church
102  *** Presbyterian Church (USA)
108  *** Other Presbyterians, e.g.:
      Presbyterian Church in America
      Orthodox Presbyterian Church
      Cumberland Presbyterian Church
      Evangelical Presbyterian Church
      Associate Reformed Presbyterian Church
109  110  Presbyterian (NFS)

LUTHERANS:

110  *** American Lutheran Church
111  *** Lutheran Church in America
112  141  Lutheran Church (Missouri Synod)
113  *** Lutheran Church (Wisconsin Synod)
114  *** Evangelical Lutheran Church of America
115  *** Norwegian Lutheran
116  *** Swedish Lutheran (Augustana)
117  *** Other Ethnic Lutherans:
      Danish (AEC)
      Latvian
      Finnish (Suomi)
118  *** Other splinter Lutherans, e.g., Church of the Lutheran Brethren
119  111  Lutheran (NFS)

CONGREGATIONAL:

120  112  Congregational
121  113  Evangelical and Reformed
122  *** Reformed United Church of Christ
123  115  United Church of Christ; United Church of Christianity

REFORMED:

130  114  Christian Reformed Church
131  114  Dutch Reformed
132  114  Reformed Church in America
133  *** Protestant Reformed
139  *** Other Reformed, e.g.:
      Hungarian Reformed
      First Reformed
EPISCOPAL:
140 116 Episcopal
141 116 Anglican; Church of England

METHODOIST:
150 *** United Methodist Church
151 121 African Methodist Episcopal
152 *** AME Zion
153 122 Reformed Zion Union Apostolic
168 *** Other (Non-Holiness) Methodists:
122 Evangelical United Brethren
*** Zion Union: Zion Union Apostolic
*** Christian Methodist Episcopal Church
*** Southern Methodist Episcopal Church
*** Union AME Episcopal Church
*** Church of the United Brethren in Christ
*** Evangelical Congregational Church
169 120 Methodist (NFS)

BAPTISTS:
170 *** American Baptist Assoc.
171 *** American Baptist Churches in the USA
172 *** National Bapt. Convention of America
173 *** National Baptist Convention of the USA
174 140 Southern Baptist Convention
175 138 Free Will Baptists
176 138 Primitive Baptists
177 *** Missionary Baptists
178 *** Independent Baptist (local church)
185 *** Fundamentalist Baptists, e.g.:
    General Assoc. of Regular Baptists
    Fundamental Baptist Fellowship
    World Baptists Fellowship
    Southwide Baptist Fellowship
188 *** Other affiliated Baptists, e.g.:
    Progressive National Baptist Convention
    Conservative Baptists
    Baptist General Conference
189 123 Baptist (NFS)

CHRISTIAN RESTORATIONISTS:
190 125 Christian;
124 Disciples of Christ;
*** Christian Disciples:
*** First Christian Disciples of Christ
*** First Christian
191 136 Churches of Christ
1989 1988
PILOT NES

PENTACOSTAL:
201 135 Assemblies of God
202 *** Apostolic Faith (Pentecostal Apostolic)
203 133 Church of God in Christ
204 131 Church of God (Cleveland, TN)
205 *** Four Square gospel
206 *** Full Gospel
207 *** International Pentacostal Holiness Church
208 *** International Convention of Faith Churches and Ministers
       (Word of God, Faith movements)
238 *** Other Pentecostal denominations, e.g.:
       Open Bible
       Church of Living God
       House of Prayer
       United Pentacostal Church
       Association of Vineyard churches
239 135 Pentecostal (NFS)

HOLINESS:
240 132 Nazarene
241 131 Church of God (Anderson, IN)
242 *** Christian Missionary Alliance
243 137 Salvation Army
244 *** Sanctified; Sanctification
245 *** Wesleyan
246 130 Missionary Church (Old United Missionary, Missionary Prot)
247 *** Church of Christ (Holiness) USA
248 *** United Brethren; United Brethren in Christ
250 *** Methodist Holiness denominations, e.g.:
       132 Free Methodists
       *** Methodist Protestant Church
       *** Primitive Methodists
       *** Evangelical Methodist Church
       *** Congregational Methodist Church
268 *** Other Holiness denominations, e.g.:
       Evangelical Congregationalists
       Witness Holiness
       Christ in Christian Union
       Pilgrim Holiness
       United Holiness
       House of God
       Church of Daniel's Band
       Bible Fellowship
269 *** Holiness, Church of Holiness (denomination not specified)
1989 1988
PILOT NES

EUROPEAN FREE CHURCH TRADITION:
270 126 Amish
271 *** Apostolic Christian
272 127 Brethren
Church of the Brethren
Brethren in Christ
273 *** Covenant
*** Evangelical Covenant
*** Mission Covenant
*** Swedish Covenant
274 *** Evangelical Free Church
275 126 Mennonite
276 *** Moravian
288 *** European Free Churches, other, e.g:
Christadelphians
Swedish Mission

ADVENTISTS:
290 139 Seventh Day Adventists
291 *** Advent Christian
298 *** Other Adventists

NON-TRADITIONAL PROTESTANTS
300 150 Christian Scientists
301 *** Religious Science, Mind Science
302 152 Mormons, Latter Day Saints, Church of the First Born
303 *** Reorganized Church of the Latter Day Saints
304 151 Spiritualist
305 153 Unitarian, Universalist
306 154 Jehovah's Witnesses
307 155 Quakers, Friends
308 156 Unity, Unity Church, Christ Church Unity
319 *** Other non-traditional Protestants: Worldwide Church of
God, Church Universal and Triumphant, Church of God,
Saints and Christ

ROMAN CATHOLIC:
400 200 Roman Catholic
401 *** Other Catholic Churches in Western Roman tradition:
Polish National Church
450 *** Uniate (Ukranian Orthodox)

JEWISH:
500 300 Jewish, no preference
501 *** Orthodox Jewish
502 *** Conservative Jewish
503 *** Reform Jewish
504 *** Reconstructionist Jewish
1989 1988
PILOT NES

GREEK RITE CATHOLIC AND EASTERN ORTHODOX
700 700 Greek Rite Catholic
710 710 Greek Orthodox
711 711 Russian Orthodox
712 712 Rumanian Orthodox
713 713 Serbian Orthodox
714 *** Syrian Orthodox
715 *** Armenian Orthodox
716 *** Georgian Orthodox
719 719 Other Orthodox

NON-CHRISTIAN/NON-JEWISH
720 720 Muslim: Mohammedan; Islam
721 721 Buddhist
722 722 Hindu
723 723 Bahai
724 *** American Indian Religions
729 729 Other non-Christian/non-Jewish
790 790 Religious/ethical cults

OTHER/NO RELIGION/MISSING DATA
800 800 Agnostics
801 800 Atheists
995 998 None, no preference
997 998 Don't know preference
998 996 Refused, DK

999 999 N A
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0001</td>
<td>Johnson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0002</td>
<td>Kennedy, John; JFK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0003</td>
<td>Kennedy, Robert; RFK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0004</td>
<td>Kennedy, Edward; &quot;Ted&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0005</td>
<td>Truman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0006</td>
<td>Roosevelt; &quot;FDR&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0007</td>
<td>McGovern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0008</td>
<td>Carter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0009</td>
<td>Mondale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0010</td>
<td>McCarthy, Eugene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0011</td>
<td>Humphrey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0012</td>
<td>Muskie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0013</td>
<td>Dukakis, Michael</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0014</td>
<td>Rockefeller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0015</td>
<td>Reagan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0016</td>
<td>Rockefeller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0017</td>
<td>Nixon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0018</td>
<td>Eisenhower; Ike</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0019</td>
<td>Nixon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0020</td>
<td>Jackson, Jesse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0021</td>
<td>Wallace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0022</td>
<td>Mccarthy, Micheal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0023</td>
<td>Muskie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0024</td>
<td>Humphrey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0025</td>
<td>Mccarthy, Eugene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0026</td>
<td>Mondale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0027</td>
<td>Carter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0028</td>
<td>McGovern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0029</td>
<td>Roosevelt; &quot;FDR&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0030</td>
<td>Truman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0031</td>
<td>Kennedy, John; JFK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0032</td>
<td>Kennedy, Robert; RFK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0033</td>
<td>Kennedy, Edward; &quot;Ted&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0034</td>
<td>Kennedy, John; JFK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0035</td>
<td>Johnson</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PARTY ONLY -- PEOPLE WITHIN PARTY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0001</td>
<td>Johnson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0002</td>
<td>Kennedy, John; JFK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0003</td>
<td>Kennedy, Robert; RFK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0004</td>
<td>Kennedy, Edward; &quot;Ted&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0005</td>
<td>Truman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0006</td>
<td>Roosevelt; &quot;FDR&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0007</td>
<td>McGovern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0008</td>
<td>Carter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0009</td>
<td>Mondale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0010</td>
<td>McCarthy, Eugene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0011</td>
<td>Humphrey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0012</td>
<td>Muskie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0013</td>
<td>Dukakis, Michael</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0014</td>
<td>Rockefeller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0015</td>
<td>Reagan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0016</td>
<td>Rockefeller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0017</td>
<td>Nixon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0018</td>
<td>Eisenhower; Ike</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0019</td>
<td>Nixon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0020</td>
<td>Jackson, Jesse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0021</td>
<td>Wallace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0022</td>
<td>Mccarthy, Micheal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0023</td>
<td>Muskie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0024</td>
<td>Humphrey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0025</td>
<td>Mccarthy, Eugene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0026</td>
<td>Mondale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0027</td>
<td>Carter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0028</td>
<td>McGovern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0029</td>
<td>Roosevelt; &quot;FDR&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0030</td>
<td>Truman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0031</td>
<td>Kennedy, John; JFK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0032</td>
<td>Kennedy, Robert; RFK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0033</td>
<td>Kennedy, Edward; &quot;Ted&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0034</td>
<td>Kennedy, John; JFK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0035</td>
<td>Johnson</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PARTY ONLY - PARTY CHARACTERISTICS

0101 Traditional Democratic voter: always been a Democrat; just a Democrat; never been a Republican; just couldn't vote Republican

0102 Traditional Republican voter: always been a Republican; just a Republican; never been a Democrat; just couldn't vote Democratic

0111 Positive, personal, affective terms applied to party--good/nice people; patriotic; etc.

0112 Negative, personal, affective terms applied to party--bad/lazy people; lack of patriotism; etc.

0121 Can trust them; they keep their promises; you know where they stand

0122 Can't trust them; they break their promises; you don't know where they stand

0131 Party is well-organized, sticks together, is united; members are disciplined; votes party line

0132 Party is poorly-organized/really two parties/divided/factionalized; members not disciplined; doesn't vote party line

0133 Party is (more) representative/good cross-section of the country; encompasses a wider variety of views/people; is more at the center of the country's views

0134 Party is less/not representative/bad cross-section of the country; encompasses more restricted views; is less at the center of the country's views

0135 Reference to participation of minority candidate(s)

0141 Reference to party's most recent National Convention; party's process/method of selecting presidential/vice-presidential candidates

0151 Performance of local branch of party; how they've done in this state/county/town
0161 Reference to the predominant faction that R sees as being in control of the party (NA which faction); "I don’t like the people running it"

0162 Reference to Northerners/Liberals (as in control) of Democratic Party

0163 Reference to Southerners/Conservatives (as in control) of Democratic Party

0164 Reference to Easterners/Liberals/Moderates (as in control) of Republican Party

0165 Reference to Midwesterners/Westerners/Southerners/Conservatives (as in control) of Republican Party

0166 Can’t win; doesn’t have a chance

0167 Can win; party can’t be beat

0168 Too big a party; there are too many of them; party is too powerful

0169 Too small a party; there are not enough of them; party is too weak

0170 Listens (more) to people: takes (more) into consideration the needs and wants of people; understands (better) the people/the majority of the people

0171 Doesn’t listen to/understand the needs and wants of the people/the majority of the people

0172 Campaign tactics, uses too much money in campaigns, slings mud

0173 Other party-characteristic reasons
CANDIDATE ONLY -- EXPERIENCE, ABILITY

-0201 General reference to him as "a good/bad man or a good/bad guy"; R has heard good/bad things about him; qualifications; general ability; reference to his "personality"

-0203 Not qualified for the office; the job is too big for him to handle

0211 Experienced (NA what kind) (see 0217, 0218, 0220 for specific kinds of experience; if in foreign policy see 1100's)

0212 Inexperienced

0213 Dependable/Trustworthy/Reliable; a man you can trust with the responsibilities of government ("trust" in the capability sense, rather than the honesty sense>

0214 Undependable/Untrustworthy/Unreliable; a man you can't trust with the responsibilities of government

0215 A military man; a good military/war record

0216 Not a military man; bad military/war record; no military/war record

0217 His record in public service; how well he's performed in previous offices; voting record in Congress

0218 Has government experience/political experience/seniority/incumbency

0219 Lacks government experience/political experience

0220 A statesman; has experience in foreign affairs

0221 Not a statesman; lacks experience in foreign affairs

0222 "He has done a good job so far"; he has brought us through hard times; has gotten things done; has some good ideas; trying to do right things

0223 Hasn't done anything; hasn't produced any results (general); has not been able to get programs off the ground

0224 Has fulfilled/kept (campaign) promises

0225 Has not fulfilled/kept (campaign) promises

0297 Other candidate experience/ability reasons
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CANDIDATE ONLY -- CANDIDATE LEADERSHIP QUALITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0301 Dignified/has dignity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0302 Undignified/lacks dignity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0303 Strong/decisive/self-confident/aggressive; will end all this indecision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0304 Weak/indecisive/develops self-confidence/vacillating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0305 Inspiring; a man you can follow; <em>a leader</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0306 Uninspiring; not <em>a</em> man you can follow; not a leader</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0307 Makes people <strong>feel good</strong> about America/being Americans; is patriotic/loves the country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0308 People have confidence in him</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0309 People <strong>don't have confidence</strong> in him</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0309 Good at communicating with blacks, young people, other &quot;problem&quot; groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0310 Bad at communicating with blacks, young people, other &quot;problem&quot; groups (if communicate in general, see 0441, 0442)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0311 Knows how to handle people (at personal level)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0312 Doesn't know how to handle people (at personal level)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0313 <strong>A</strong> politician/political person; (too) much in <em>politics</em>; a good politician; part of Washington crowd; politically motivated; just wants to be re-elected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0314 Not a politician; not in politics; above politics; a bad politician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0315 Independent; no one runs him; his own boss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0316 Not independent; run by others; not his own man/boss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0317 Humble; knows his limitations; doesn't pretend to know all the answers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0318 Not humble enough; too cocky/self-confident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0319 (Too) Careful/Cautious/Good judgment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0320 (Too) Impulsive/Careless/Bad/Poor judgment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>0334</strong> Poor at explaining himself/his positions; doesn't answer questions clearly; speaks off the top of his head/doesn't stop to think before he speaks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Helps people in the district on a personal level; has helped R personally with a problem (specific mention); tries to do things for the people

Doesn't help people in the district on a personal level; was not helpful to R with a personal problem (specific mention)

Represents (well) the views of the district; close to people in the district; comes home regularly to chat and mix with people

Does not represent (well) the views of the district; not close to the people in the district; doesn't interact enough with the people

Keeps people well informed about governmental matters; communicates with constituents; any mention of R receiving newsletters or communications from him/her; explains matters well so people can understand

Does not inform people enough about governmental matters; does not send enough newsletters or communications; doesn't explain matters well

Listens to the people/solicits public opinion; any mention of polls or questionnaires; is accessible to constituents (NFS)

Doesn't listen to the people/does not solicit public opinion; isn't accessible to constituents (NFS)

Has helped local (district) economy; brought money, projects, jobs to district

Has not helped local (district) economy; not brought money, projects, jobs to district

Candidate helps the district; watches out for the interests of the district or region in general

Candidate has not protected/watched out for the interests of the district (specific mentions)

Located after 0320

Located after 0306

Other candidate leadership reason
CANDIDATE ONLY -- PERSONAL QUALITIES

0401 Honest/Sincere; keeps promises; man of integrity; means what he says; fair; not tricky; open and candid; straightforward; positive Playboy references (1976)
0402 Dishonest/Insincere; breaks promises; no integrity; doesn't mean what he says; tricky; not open and candid; not straightforward
0403 Man of high principles/ideals; high moral purpose; Idealistic (if too idealistic, code 0416)
0404 Lacks principles/ideals
0405 Racist/Bigoted/Prejudiced
0406 Not a racist/bigoted/prejudiced
0407 Public servant; man of duty; conscientious; hard-working; would be a full-time President; good attendance record in Congress; dedicated; really interested in serving people
0408 Doesn't take public service seriously; lazy; would be a part-time President; poor attendance record in office; not dedicated; not really interested in serving people
0409 Doesn't use office for personal benefit; not in office to maximize personal benefit
0410 Uses/in office (mostly) for personal benefits (junket trips, big salary, other perks)
0411 Patriotic; (88) like Bush's stand on Pledge of Allegiance issue
0412 Unpatriotic; (88) dislike Dukakis' stand on Pledge of Allegiance issue
0413 Understands the nation's/district's problems; well-informed; studies up on issues
0414 Doesn't understand the nation's/district's problems; poorly informed; doesn't study up on issues
0415 Realistic
0416 Unrealistic; too idealistic; (if "idealistic" in positive sense, code 0403)
0417 Uses common sense; makes a lot of sense; pragmatic/practical/down-to-earth
0418 Not sensible; impractical
CANDIDATE ONLY -- PERSONAL QUALITIES CONT'D.

0419  (Too) well educated; scholarly
0420  Poorly educated; unschooled
0421  Intelligent/Smart
0422  Unintelligent/Stupid/Dumb

*0464  Uninformed; doesn't (seem to) know anything about the issues/what is going on in the country/government

0423  Religious; "moral" (in religious sense); God-fearing; "too" religious
0424  "Irreligious"; "immoral" (in religious sense); Playboy interview (reflects on Carter--1976)

0425  Self-made; not well off; started out as poor; worked his way up; (started out) unpolished/unrefined/rough
0426  Wealthy; rich; born with silver spoon in mouth; polished/refined/well-mannered

0427  Old hat; has run before; a die-hard; "a loser" (in the past)
0428  Someone new; a fresh face

0429  Don't change horses in midstream
0430  Time for a change

0431  Unsafe/Unstable; dictatorial; craves power; ruthless
0432  Safe/Stable

0433  Sense of humor; jokes a lot (too much)
0434  No sense of humor; humorless (too serious)

0435  Rind/Warm/Gentle
0436  m/Aloof

0437  Likeable; gets along with people; friendly; outgoing
0438  Not likeable; can't get along with people

0439  Democratic (in non-partisan sense)
0440  Undemocratic (in non-partisan sense)

0441  High-fallutin'/High-brow; talks in circles; can't talk to common man; can't communicate ideas well
0442  Not high-fallutin'/is low-brow; talks straight; can talk to common man; can communicate ideas well

0443  Well-known; "I know him/her"
0444  Unknown; not well known
0445 Reference to his family (not 0457)
0446 Reference to his wife/spouse

0447 Speaking ability
0448 Health
0449 Appearance/Looks/Face/Appearance On TV; his Smile

0450 Age (NA how perceived)
0451 (Too) Old
0452 (Too) Young

0453 Mature
0454 Immature

0455 Regional reference; "he's a Southerner"; "he's a Midwesterner"; he comes from the country/a rural area; area reference

0456 Previous occupation

0457 He's a family man

0459 Energetic; too energetic
0460 Not energetic

0461 Gender, e.g., "She's a woman"
0462 Racial/Ethnic attribute; "He is a black man"

"0464 Located after 0422

0495 Other negative personal qualities
0496 Other positive personal qualities
0497 Other candidate personal qualities

0498 References to Playboy interview--NA direction or neutral; "it's OK," "that is what the Bible says" (not 0401)--1976
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0500</td>
<td>A Democrat; good Democrat; typical Democrat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0501</td>
<td>A Republican; good Republican; typical Republican</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0502</td>
<td>Controlled by party regulars/bosses/machine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0503</td>
<td>Not controlled by party regulars/bosses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0504</td>
<td>Reference to men around him/staff/followers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0505</td>
<td>Reference to his speeches (example), campaign tactics, mud-slinging; dislike Bush's stand on Pledge of Allegiance issue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0506</td>
<td>Can win; best choice for party victory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0507</td>
<td>Cannot win; not good choice for party victory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0508</td>
<td>Reference to linkage with other party figures (example)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0509</td>
<td>Would continue/keep/follow Democratic policies (unspecified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0510</td>
<td>Would change/get rid of Democratic policies (unspecified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0511</td>
<td>Would continue/keep/follow Democratic domestic policies (unspecified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0512</td>
<td>Would change/get rid of Democratic domestic policies (unspecified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0513</td>
<td>Would continue/keep/follow Democratic foreign policies (unspecified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0514</td>
<td>Would change/get rid of Democratic foreign policies (unspecified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0515</td>
<td>Would continue/keep/follow Republican policies (unspecified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0516</td>
<td>Would change/get rid of Republican policies (unspecified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0517</td>
<td>Would continue/keep/follow Republican domestic policies (unspecified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0518</td>
<td>Would change/get rid of Republican domestic policies (unspecified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0519</td>
<td>Would continue/keep/follow Republican foreign policies (unspecified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0520</td>
<td>Would change/get rid of Republican foreign policies (unspecified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0531</td>
<td>More liberal than most Democrats; a Northern Democrat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0532</td>
<td>More conservative; a Southern Democrat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0533</td>
<td>More liberal than most Republicans; an Eastern Republican</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0534</td>
<td>More conservative; a Midwestern/Western/Southern Republican</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### CANDIDATE ONLY--PARTY CONNECTIONS CONT'D.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0535</td>
<td>Will bring in/listen to the <em>(party)</em> liberals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0536</td>
<td>Will bring in/listen to the <em>(party)</em> conservatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0541</td>
<td>Reference to the <em>Eagleton</em> affair--1972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0542</td>
<td>Reference to vice-presidential incumbent/candidate, running mate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0543</td>
<td>Mondale's selection of a woman for vice-president</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0551</td>
<td>References to link with &quot;Watergate&quot;--positive reference to Watergate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0552</td>
<td>Not associated with &quot;Watergate&quot;--negative reference to Watergate; making too much out of Watergate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0553</td>
<td>Ford's pardon of Nixon--NA direction or against pardon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0554</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;&quot;&quot; &quot;&quot;--pro; brave/right thing to do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0555</td>
<td>Positive references about independent candidacy; maybe the country needs a third party; third parties should have more recognition; the two party system needs buckling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0556</td>
<td>Negative references/liabilities related to independent candidacy; &quot;he's an independent* (NFS); &quot;we don't need a third party&quot;; &quot;he lacks backing from a party&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other candidate party connection reasons
PARTY OR CANDIDATE--GOVERNMENT MANAGEMENT

0601 Good/Efficient/Businesslike administration; balanced budget; lower/wouldn’t increase national debt; cautious spending
0602 Bad/Inefficient/Unbusinesslike administration; wasteful; “bureaucratic”; deficit budget; higher/increased national debt; overspend

0603 Honest government; not corrupt; no “mess in Washington”
0604 Dishonest/Corrupt government; “mess in Washington*”; immorality in government; reference to Hayes, Mills, Lance

0605 (Would) Spend less (than other side); (would) spend too little
0606 (Would) Spend more (than other side); (would) spend too much

0607 Has brought/will bring about bureaucratic reform
0608 Has not brought/will not bring about bureaucratic reform

0609 General assessment of job he/they would do/are doing; is good/bad President; are providing good/bad administration

*0622 Doesn’t work (hard) at job; not involved (enough) in the work of his office/delegates too much authority to others; has chosen poor/incompetent aides; his aides have not performed well
0610 Reference to management/performance in Congress/Supreme Court/other government agency

0611 He has/has not worked well with (Democratic) Congress; would/could have done better with (Republican) Congress; he kept/would keep Congress in check
0612 He will work well/better with (Democratic) Congress

0613 Gets more done/accomplishes as much/more productive
0614 Gets less done/doesn’t accomplish as much/less productive

0615 Mostly approve of/happy with job done so far, but doesn’t approve of everything that has been done

0616 Sympathy/understanding expressed for the complexity/magnitude of the job (e.g., President): tough job
0617 Sympathy/understanding expressed for the difficult situation (“a mess”) inherited by the incumbent

50623 Doing the best he can (under the circumstances); doing as good a job as anyone else could do; everyone makes some mistakes
PARTY OR CANDIDATE—MISCELLANEOUS

0617 Will face (difficult) issues; faces problems directly; faces up to political reality
0618 Will not face (difficult) issues; will not face problems directly; ignores political reality
0619 Supports the president/works well with the president/would work well with the president
0620 Does not support the president/does not (would not) work well with the president

"0622 Located after 0609
"0623 Located after 0616
'0625 Located after 0614

"0627 The economy is bad, but that is not (necessarily) his fault

0697 Other government management reasons

0701 Just like him/them (NA why); like everything about him/them; "I was hoping he would win the (nomination/primaries)"
0702 Just dislike/Don’t like him/them (NA why); don’t like anything about him/them

0732 Used to like him but don’t now; have lost respect for him
0703 Will save America; America needs him/them
0704 Will ruin America; last thing America needs
0705 Will unite Americans/bring people together
0706 Will divide Americans/drive people apart

0707 Speaks of party/candidate as good protector(s); will know what to do; more intelligent
0708 Speaks of party/candidate as bad protector(s); won’t know what to do

0709 Good for country (unspecified); trying to do good job; trying; not just out for self/own best interest; has/have country’s interest at heart
0710 Bad for country (unspecified); don’t have country’s interests at heart; only looking out for their own interests

0711 Lesser of two evils
0718. Treatment of Jesse Jackson; didn’t offer him the *vice-presidential* nomination; didn’t use him (effectively) to get out the Black vote; weren’t *courteous/respectful* toward him; didn’t keep promises made to him

0719. Reference to Chappaquiddik; Kennedy’s personal problems

0720. Reference to *Watergate* affair (exc. 0551-0554)

0721. The *way* the incumbent came to office; the people should select *President*

0722. The incumbent *should have a chance* (on his own)/another chance/second chance

0723. (I believe in/Necessary for! a *two-party* system; choice between candidates; opposition; balances power of other party

0724. Vote for the *man* rather than party; look for more qualified man; don’t pay attention to *parties*  

0725. The opponent who the candidate ran against; the candidate was the better of the two in general; the candidate ran against someone I really dislike

0726. Splits votes; will elect wrong candidate; “spoiler”

0727. Expression of *sympathy/admiration* for the candidate’s underdog position; trying hard *against* terrible odds; courageous uphill battle; “I like underdogs”; “they are bucking the guy” (keeping him off ballot, not taking him seriously, not giving him enough publicity)

0728. Negative comments about the *candidate’s* switching parties, being a turncoat, disloyal to his original party

0729. Party selection of a woman for *vice-president*

0730. Mention of debates; *candidate’s* performance in the debates

0732. Located after 0702

0796. References to *unfair/undeserved/excessive* criticism by media or public

Other miscellaneous reasons: Other miscellaneous reasons relating to image and *candidate/party* effect on nation
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low priority if issues mentioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>0801</strong> General assessment of ideas/policies/stands (unspecified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>0802</strong> Different from other party/candidate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>0803</strong> Same as other party/candidate; not different enough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>0804</strong> (Too) negative; always tearing down other side; no solutions of his/their own</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **0805** For government activity; believe government should take care of things; for big government; supports social programs/spending (not 0905-0907)
- **0806** Against government activity; believe government involved in too many things; favors reduction in social programs/spending (not 0905-0907)
- **0807** Humanistic; favor human beings over property rights
- **0838** Not humanistic; favor property rights over human beings
- **0809** Favor social change/reform/progress/improvement of social conditions
- **0810** Against social change/reform/progress/improvement of social conditions
- **0811** Socialistic
- **0812** Anti-socialistic
- **0813** Communistic/soft/hard-liner on Communism/apologist for Communists/dupe
- **0814** (Too) anti-communistic/hard-liner on Communism
- **0815** (Too) liberal (except 0531 or 0533)
- **0816** (Too) conservative (except 0532 or 0534)
- **0817** Moderate/middle of the road/for slow change; not an extremist/fanatic
- **0818** Extremist/fanatic/too far out; not too moderate/not a fence-sitter
- **0819** Pro-Far Right/Birchers/reactionaries; encouraging fascist/police state
- **0820** Anti-Far Right/""""; discouraging "
- **0821** Pro-Far Left/radicals/Yippies/SDS; encouraging anarchy/guerrilla state
- **0822** Anti-Far Left/""""; discouraging "
PARTY OR CANDIDATE--GOVERNMENT ACTIVITY/PHILOSOPHY CONT'D.

0823 Pro-Extremists (NA direction)/nuts/bomb-throwers
0824 Anti-Extremists " " "

0827 Pro-States'/local/community rights; better local government
0828 Anti- " " "; worse/weaker local government

0829 For equality; believe everyone should have things equally/ be treated equally
0830 Anti-equality; believe some people should have more than others/people should not be treated equally

0631 Generous , compassionate, believe in helping others
0832 Selfish, only help themselves

0833 Acceptance of change/new ideas; less bound to status quo; more open to new ideas/ways of doing things; flexible, innovative
0834 Resistance to change/new ideas; stick to (protect) status quo; resist new ways of doing things; rigid

0835 Has a well-defined set of beliefs/definite philosophy; does not compromise on principles; has (clear ) understanding of goals they stand for
0836 Has poorly defined set of beliefs; lacks a definite philosophy; compromise on principles; has no (clear, understanding of goals they stand for

0837 Favor work ethic; believes in self-reliance/in people working hard to get ahead
0838 Doesn't favor work ethic; believes in people being handed things/in government handouts (if specific policy mentioned, code in 0900's)

0841 Keep track of/control over administration heads, cabinet members, etc.; follow through on policies; determine if programs are working
0642 Don't (as in 0841)

0843 Conditional evaluation: R suggests candidate/party cannot solve problems because not under his/their control (no negative connotations); will he/they be able to do what they say (determining factor outside his/their control); "I like what he says but wonder if he can do it" (if clearly negative, code in 0122 or 0402)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0845</td>
<td>Will involve/wants to involve people/Congress/Cabinet/advisors/other government officials in government/decision making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0846</td>
<td>Will not involve people/Congress/Cabinet/advisors/other government officials in government/decision making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0847</td>
<td>Separation of church and state/religion and politics--pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0848</td>
<td>Separation of church and state/religion and politics--anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0849</td>
<td>Stand/views on religion (church/state relationship NA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0897</td>
<td>Other Government Activity/Philosophy reasons</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### PARTY OR CANDIDATE--DOMESTIC POLICIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0900</td>
<td>General assessment of domestic ideas/policies/stands (unspecified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0901</td>
<td>General assessment of economic policy (unspecified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0902</td>
<td>Government economic controls--NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0903</td>
<td>Pro; we need planned economy; control of private enterprise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0904</td>
<td>Anti; we have too much interference in private enterprise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0905</td>
<td>Welfare/Poverty problems--NA direction; give-away</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0906</td>
<td>Pro government aid/activity; pro give-aways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0907</td>
<td>Anti government aid/activity; anti give-aways; pro self-help</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0908</td>
<td>Social Security/Pensions--NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0909</td>
<td>Pro expansion in coverage and/or increase in benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0910</td>
<td>Anti expansion in coverage and/or increase in benefits; favoring contraction and/or decrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0911</td>
<td>Unemployment compensation--NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0912</td>
<td>Pro expansion in coverage and/or increase in benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0913</td>
<td>Anti expansion in coverage and/or increase in benefits; favoring contraction and/or decrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0914</td>
<td>Aid to education--WA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0915</td>
<td>Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0916</td>
<td>Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0917</td>
<td>Aid to parochial schools--NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0918</td>
<td>Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0919</td>
<td>Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0920</td>
<td>Housing--NH direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0921</td>
<td>Pro more public housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0922</td>
<td>Anti more public housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0923</td>
<td>Aid/Programs for older people/the aged, Medicare, Medicaid, direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0924</td>
<td>Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0925</td>
<td>Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Policy Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0926</td>
<td>Monetary policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0928</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0929</td>
<td>Tax policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0930</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0931</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0932</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0933</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0934</td>
<td>&quot;The Times&quot;/General conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0935</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0936</td>
<td>Inflation/Cost of living</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0937</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0938</td>
<td>Wages/Salaries/Income/Employment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0940</td>
<td>Prices for producers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0943</td>
<td>Programs to help farmers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0945</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0946</td>
<td>Civil rights/Racial justice/Integration/Desegregation/Voting Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0947</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0948</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PARTY OR CANDIDATE--DOMESTIC POLICIES CONT'D.

0949 Civil liberties/Freedom of expression/First amendment/Privacy -- NA direction
0950 "--Pro; against snooping pol. trials, etc; (88) like Dukakis' stand on Pledge of Allegiance issue
0951 "--Anti; for snooping pol. trials; McCarthyite; (88) dislike Republican party stand on Pledge of Allegiance issue

0952 General assessment of Labor policy (unspecified)

0953 Right to work laws--NA direction
0954 """" "--Pro (i.e., opposes unions [anti-labor, code 1208])
0955 """" "--Anti (i.e., supports unions [pro-labor, code 1207])

0956 Strikes--NA direction
0957 "--will have fewer/will handle better
0958 "" "--will have more/will handle worse

0959 Public power/Utilities/TVA/Atomic reactors/Nuclear power plants/Etc.--NA direction
0960 """" "-- Pro
0961 """" "-- Anti

0962 Ecology; Air and Water Pollution--NA direction
0963 Will crack down on polluters, will be activist
0964 Won't crack down on polluters, doesn't care; in league with polluters

0965 Veterans' Benefits--NA direction
0966 "" "-- Pro expansion of coverage and/or increase in benefits
0967 "" "-- Anti expansion of coverage and/or increase in benefits; favoring contraction and/or decrease

0968 Law and order--NA direction
0969 "" "--soft line--unspecified
0970 "" "" "" ""--blacks
0971 "" "" "" ""--campus demonstrators
0972 "" "" "" ""--criminals/organized crime/hoodlums/street crime
0973 "" "" "" ""--anti power of police; court interference
1041 "" "" "" ""--opposes death penalty
0974 "" "" "" ""--hard line--unspecified
0975 "" "" "" ""--blacks
0976 "" "" "" ""--campus demonstrators
PARTY OR CANDIDATE--DOMESTIC POLICIES CONT'D.

0977 Law and order--hard line--criminals/organized crime/hoodlums/street crime
0978 " " " " --pro power of police; reduced court interference
1042 " " " " --favors death penalty

0979 Public morality--NA direction
0980 " " " --Strict/older/traditionalistic outlook; improve/renew morality of country; pro-family; defends family values
0981 " " " --Permissive/newer/modernistic outlook; not (strongly enough) pro-family; doesn’t defend (strongly enough) family values

0982 Drugs--NA direction
0983 " --Pro legalization/decriminalization; soft-liner; (88) doesn’t support (strongly enough) the war on drugs not willing to do more to combat drug use/pushers; involvement with Noreiga
0984 " --Anti legalization/decriminalization; hard-liner; (88) supports the war on drugs; willing to do more combat drug use/pushers

0985 Abortion and birth control--NA direction
0986 " " " --Pro reform/legalization; new outlook
0987 " " " --Anti reform/legalization; traditional outlook

0988 Gun control--NA direction
0989 " " " --Pro; controls
0990 " " " --Anti; "everyone has the right to own a gun"

0991 Busing--NA direction
0992 " --Pro; against neighborhood school
0993 " --Anti; for neighborhood school

0994 Urban problem/Cities--NA direction
0995 " " " --Pro government aid/activity
0996 " " " --Anti government aid/activity

0997 Other domestic policy reasons
### PARTY OR CANDIDATE--DOMESTIC POLICIES CONT'D.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1001</td>
<td>National Health Insurance--NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1002</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; --Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1003</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; --Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1004</td>
<td>Energy/Gas shortage--Development of alternative energy source, NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1005</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; --Pro development of alternative source, better/handled better; more fuel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1006</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; --Anti development of alternative energy source, worse/handled worse; less fuel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(References to nuclear energy should be coded in 0959.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1007</td>
<td>Government plans to make more jobs--NA direction; make-work programs; CETA; WPAL; CCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1008</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; --Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1009</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; --Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1010</td>
<td>Confidence/Trust in government--NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1011</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; --would handle better: restore confidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1012</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; --would handle worse; cause loss of confidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1013</td>
<td>ERA; Women's rights--NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1014</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; --Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1015</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; --Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1016</td>
<td>Influx of political/economic refugees (Cubans, Haitians, Mexicans, etc.)--NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1017</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; --Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1018</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; --Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1019</td>
<td>School prayer--NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1020</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; --Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1021</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; --Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1022</td>
<td>Gay rights--NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1023</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; --Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1024</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; --Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1025</td>
<td>Health--NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1026</td>
<td>&quot; --Pro government programs/aid for mentally ill, disabled, handicapped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1027</td>
<td>&quot; --Anti (except 0923, 0924, 0925)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1028</td>
<td>Space program--NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1029</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; --Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1030</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; --Anti</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PARTY OR CANDIDATE--FOREIGN POLICIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1031</td>
<td>Help to/improvement in a specific industry or occupation--NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1032</td>
<td>-- Pro help/improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1033</td>
<td>-- Anti help/improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1035</td>
<td>Polarization of classes/increasing gap between rich and poor--NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1036</td>
<td>--will stop trend/handle better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1037</td>
<td>--will accelerate trend/handle better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1038</td>
<td>Day care--NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1039</td>
<td>--favors/will expand or extend day care programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1040</td>
<td>--opposes/will not expand or extend (will cut or eliminate) day care programs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Low priority if issues mentioned:

- 1101 General assessment of foreign ideas/policies/stands (unspecified)
- 1102 Foreign policies more clear-cut/decisive; less bungling
- 1103 Foreign policies less clear-cut/decisive; more bungling
- 1104 Internationalist/Interested in other countries' problems/Interested in world role/Pro-UN and allies; Meddling in other people's problems
- 1105 Isolationist/America First/Fortress America/Would meddle less in other people's problems

1184 Military/Defense position/spending--NA direction or neutral (not 1106, 1107)

- 1106 Strong military position/Preparedness/Weapons systems/Pentagon spending/Overkill; SDI ("Star Wars")
- 1107 Weak military position/Pentagon spending cutbacks/No overkill/Reduce armed forces; SDI ("Star Wars")
PARTY OR CANDIDATE--FOREIGN POLICIES CONT'D.

1108  Cold-war oriented; **opposed** detente; international Communist-fighter

1109  Against cold war/Wants thaw/Detente/Understanding with international **communists** (if NA whether international, code in 0813-0814)

1110  **Military aid to allies--NA direction**

1111  " " " --Pro

1112  " " " --Anti

1113  **Economic aid/Foreign aid/AID/Non-military aid--NA direction**

1114  " " " " " " --Pro

1115  " " " " " --Anti

1116  Located after 1163

1117  " " " " "

1118  **Mideast--NA direction; any references to oil embargo; boycott of companies dealing with Israel**

1119  " --handle better/more experience; positive comments about Arab-Israeli peace treaty

1120  " --handle worse/less experience; negative comments about Arab-Israeli peace treaty

1121  " --Pro-Israel/anti-Arabs

1122  " --Anti-Israel/pro-Arabs; wishy-washy on Israel

1123  **Red China--NA direction**

1124  " " --handle better/more experience/doing well, better

1125  " " --handle worse/less experience/doing poorly

1126  " " --pro understanding/thaw/detente/new relationships/ **recognition/admission** to UN

1127  " " --anti understanding/thaw/detente/new relationships/ **recognition/admission** to UN; defender of Formosa/ Chaing/Nationalists

1128  **Russia--NA direction**

1129  " --handle better/more experience

1130  " --handle worse/less experience

1131  " --pro understanding/thaw/detente/broadening of relations; SALT II

1132  " --anti understanding/thaw/detente/broadening of relations; SALT II
PARTY OR CANDIDATE--FOREIGN POLICIES CONT'D.

1133 Eastern Europe--NA direction
1134 " " --handle better/more experience
1135 " " --handle worse/less experience
1136 " " --pro defense of Iron-Curtain countries
1137 " " --anti " " "

1138 Latin America--NA direction
1139 " " --handle better/more experience
1140 " " --handle worse/less experience
1141 " " --pro-third world posture; reach understanding with Castro/Chile/neutrals; anti-colonialism/European powers; against Contra aid/pro-Sandinista
1142 " " --anti-third world posture; hard anti-communism/anti-revolutionary policy; pro-colonialism/European powers; pro Contra aid/anti-Sandinista

1143 Africa--NA direction
1144 " " --handle better/more experience
1145 " " --handle worse/less experience
1146 " " --pro-third world posture; reach understanding with leftists/neutrals; anti-colonialism/European powers
1147 " " --anti-third world posture; hard anti-communism/anti-revolutionary policy; pro-colonialism/European powers

1148 Asia/India--NA direction
1149 " " --handle better/more experience
1150 " " --handle worse/less experience
1151 " " --pro India/Bangladesh
1152 " " --pro Pakistan

Located after 1163
1153 " " "
1154 " " "
1155 " " "
1156 " " "

(Involvement in) Diversion of money to the Contras (in violation of the law)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1157</td>
<td>Vietnam/Indochina/Southeast Asia—WA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1158</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; --better chance for peace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1159</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; --poorer chance for peace; failed to end war</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1160</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; --pro military victory/preservation of Saigon regime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1161</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; --anti military victory/willing to sacrifice Thieu/Ky; favoring withdrawal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1163</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; --will bring policy change (unspecified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1166</td>
<td>Trouble spots (not specifically coded)—would handle better (Panama, Afghanistan, Persian Gulf)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1167</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; --would handle worse (Panama, Afghanistan, Persian Gulf)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1168</td>
<td>(88) The invasion of Grenada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1153</td>
<td>Would raise American prestige</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1154</td>
<td>Would lower American prestige; not maintain American prestige</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1155</td>
<td>would have better chance for Peace (unspecified); not get us into trouble abroad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1156</td>
<td>Would have poorer chance for peace (unspecified); get us into war/trouble abroad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1164</td>
<td>Tariffs—NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1165</td>
<td>&quot; --Pro free trade/reduce tariffs; would not protect US labor from foreign competition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1166</td>
<td>&quot; --Anti free trade; for high tariffs; would protect US labor from foreign competition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1167</td>
<td>Foreign trade/balance of payments deficit—any mention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1168</td>
<td>Trade with communists—NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1169</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; --Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1170</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; --Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1171</td>
<td>Draft—NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1172</td>
<td>&quot; --Pro volunteer army/abolition of peacetime draft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1173</td>
<td>&quot; --Anti volunteer army; for peacetime draft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1174</td>
<td>&quot; --Pro amnesty/pardon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1175</td>
<td>&quot; --Anti amnesty/draft dodgers/pardon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1178</td>
<td>Amnesty—NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1175</td>
<td>POW-MIA—Will get prisoners back, will not abandon them</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1176</td>
<td>POW-MIA—Will not get prisoners back, will abandon them</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1177</td>
<td>POW-MIA—NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1178</td>
<td>Located after 1174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1179</td>
<td>Did a good job of getting the boys/country out of Vietnam war; got us out of Vietnam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1180</td>
<td>Should have won Vietnam war; gave too much away and then pulled out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1181</td>
<td>Secrecy/deception in U.S. foreign policy; shuttle diplomacy; Kissinger's foreign policy (1976) --NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1182</td>
<td>Priorities in military/defense spending (not reduction or increase but allocation of existing defense budget--Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1183</td>
<td>Priorities in military/defense spending (not reduction or increase but allocation of existing defense budget--Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1184</td>
<td>Located after 1105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1185</td>
<td>Iranian crisis; American hostages (1980)/Arms sale (1986) --NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1186</td>
<td>Nuclear freeze/Disarmament--NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1187</td>
<td>Nuclear freeze/Disarmament--NA direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1188</td>
<td>Terrorism; dealings with terrorists; hostages (except 1187-1189) -- NA direction; (88) Bombing of Libya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1189</td>
<td>Terrorism; dealings with terrorists; hostages (except 1187-1189) -- NA direction; (88) Bombing of Libya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1190</td>
<td>Terrorism; dealings with terrorists; hostages (except 1187-1189) -- NA direction; (88) Bombing of Libya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1191</td>
<td>Terrorism; dealings with terrorists; hostages (except 1187-1189) -- NA direction; (88) Bombing of Libya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1192</td>
<td>Terrorism; dealings with terrorists; hostages (except 1187-1189) -- NA direction; (88) Bombing of Libya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1193</td>
<td>Terrorism; dealings with terrorists; hostages (except 1187-1189) -- NA direction; (88) Bombing of Libya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1194</td>
<td>Terrorism; dealings with terrorists; hostages (except 1187-1189) -- NA direction; (88) Bombing of Libya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1195</td>
<td>Terrorism; dealings with terrorists; hostages (except 1187-1189) -- NA direction; (88) Bombing of Libya</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PARTY OR CANDIDATE--FOREIGN POLICIES CONT'D.

1196 Located after 1166

1197 Other foreign policy reasons

1198 Located after 1142

1199 Iran-Contra affair--NFS (NA whether 1187 or 1198)
## PARTY OR CANDIDATE--GROUP CONNECTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Connection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1201</td>
<td>Special interests/Privileged people/Influential--Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1202</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1203</td>
<td>&quot;People like me&quot;--pro, WA whether 1205, 1206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1204</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1205</td>
<td>Common man/People/Little people/Working people--Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1206</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1207</td>
<td>Labor/Unions/Labor bosses/Racketeers--Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1208</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1209</td>
<td>Big Business/Corporate rich/The rich individuals/People with power/Wall Street/Industry/Upper classes--Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1210</td>
<td>(Same as 1209)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1211</td>
<td>Small businessman--Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1212</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1213</td>
<td>White collar workers/Salaried people/Kiddie class--Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1214</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1215</td>
<td>Farmers/Country people--Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1216</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1217</td>
<td>Blacks/Black people/Negroes--Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1218</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1219</td>
<td>People on welfare/ADC mothers/&quot;Chiselers&quot;--Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1220</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1221</td>
<td>Old people/Senior citizens--Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1222</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1223</td>
<td>Young people/Kids/&quot;Freaks&quot;/Hippies--Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1224</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1225</td>
<td>Women/Feminists/Womens Liberationists, &quot;sexists&quot;--Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1226</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1227</td>
<td>Veterans/Servicemen--Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1228</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1229</td>
<td>Ethnic or racial group (exc. 1217-1218); Minority groups (NA composition--Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1230</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; Anti</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PARTY OR CANDIDATE--GROUP CONNECTIONS CONT'D.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1231</td>
<td>Section of the country--Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1232</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; --Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1233</td>
<td>Poor people/needy people--Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1234</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; &quot; &quot; --Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1235</td>
<td>Civil servants--Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1236</td>
<td>&quot; &quot; --Anti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1297</td>
<td>Other group connection reasons</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MISSING DATA CODES

9001 R has been influenced by spouse
9002 R has been influenced by someone else
9996 Refused to say
9997 Other miscellaneous
9998 DK
9999 NA
0000 Inap, no further mentions
### Senate Race

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For States with No Incumbent Senator Running</th>
<th>For State with Running Incumbent Senator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11. Democratic Candidate</td>
<td>13. Democratic Incumbent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Republican Candidate</td>
<td>14. Republican Incumbent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Other Senate incumbent whose term is not up</td>
<td>15. Democratic Challenger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Independent candidate</td>
<td>16. Republican Challenger</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For State with No Senate Race</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17. 1st Incumbent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. 2nd Incumbent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### House Race

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For Districts with No Incumbent Representative Running</th>
<th>For States with Running Incumbent Representative(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31. Democratic Candidate</td>
<td>33. Democratic Incumbent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. Republican Candidate</td>
<td>34. Republican Incumbent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39. Independent Candidate</td>
<td>35. Democratic Challenger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36. Republican Challenger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37. Liberal or Conservative Candidate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>39. Independent Candidate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Used in the Vote Section Only for Respondents Who Voted Outside of District/State of Interview:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For States/Districts with No Incumbents Running</th>
<th>For States/Districts with Running Incumbents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>81. Democratic Candidate</td>
<td>83. Democratic Incumbent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82. Republican Candidate</td>
<td>84. Republican Incumbent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89. Independent Candidate</td>
<td>85. Democratic Challenger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91. Democrat - No name given</td>
<td>86. Republican Challenger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92. Republican - No name given</td>
<td>87. Liberal or Conservative Candidate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>89. Independent Candidate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| |
|                                                                         |
|                                                                         |
| 91. Democrat - No name given.                                           |
| 91. Republican - No name given.                                         |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE</th>
<th>REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>15 *Joseph I. Lieberman</td>
<td>14 Lowell P. Weicker, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>13 *Edward M. Kennedy</td>
<td>16 Joseph Malone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>13 *Frank R. Lautenberg</td>
<td>16 Pete Dawkins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>13 *Daniel Patrick Moynihan</td>
<td>16 Robert McMillan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>15 Joseph Vignola</td>
<td>14 *John Heinz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>15 Jack Wickes</td>
<td>14 *Richard Lugar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>13 *Donald W. Riegle, Jr.</td>
<td>16 Jim Dunn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>13 *Howard M. Metzenbaum</td>
<td>16 George Voinwich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>11 *Herbert Kohl</td>
<td>12 Susan Engeleiter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN</td>
<td>15 Hubert H. Humphrey III</td>
<td>14 *David Durenberger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>15 Jeremiah W. &quot;Jay&quot; Nixon</td>
<td>14 *John C. Danforth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>11 *Charles S. Robb</td>
<td>12 Maurice A. Dawkins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>11 Buddy MacKay</td>
<td>12 *Connie Mack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX</td>
<td>13 *Lloyd Bentsen</td>
<td>16 Beau Boulter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>13 *Paul Sarbanes</td>
<td>16 Alan L. Keyes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN</td>
<td>13 *Jim Sasser</td>
<td>16 Bill Anderson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV</td>
<td>13 *Robert C. Byrd</td>
<td>16 Jay Wolfe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WY</td>
<td>15 John Vinich</td>
<td>14 *Malcolm Wallop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>15 Leo T. McCarthy</td>
<td>14 *Pete Wilson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>11 Mike Lowry</td>
<td>12 *Slade Gorton</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>INCUMBENT SENATOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Gordon J. Humphrey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>Paul Simon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>Tom Harkin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS</td>
<td>Nancy Landon Kasseebaum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>Richard C. Shelby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>David Pryor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX</td>
<td>Wyche Fouler, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>Jesse A. Helms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Timothy Wirth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>Mark O. Hatfield</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>INCUMBENT SENATOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>Warren B. Rudman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Alan J. Dixon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>Charles E. Grassley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS</td>
<td>Robert Dole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>Howell Heflin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Dale Bumpers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX</td>
<td>Sax Nunn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>Terry Sanford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>William L. Armstrong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>Robert W. &quot;Bob&quot; Packwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATE</td>
<td>CD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATE</td>
<td>CD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* indicates winner
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>CD</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>co</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Patricia Schroeder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>co</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>David E. Skaggs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Martha M. Ezzard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WY</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Bryan Sharratt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Nancy Pelosi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>George Miller</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>*Fortney H. &quot;Pete&quot; Stark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Don Edwards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Tom Lantos</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Patricia Malberg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Tony Coelho</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Vincent Lavery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Richard H. Lehman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>John G. Simmons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Anthony C. Beilenson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>*Henry A. Waxman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Edward R. Roybal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Howard L. Berman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Mel Levine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Julian C. Dixon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Augustus F. Hawkins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Matthew G. Martinez</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Nelson Gentry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>*Esteban Edward Torres</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Jerry Yudelson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Lida Lenney</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Guy C. Kimbrough</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>*Peter A. Defazio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Reese Lindquist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>*Al Swift</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>*Jim McDermott</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Jim Kean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Joy Wood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>David Bath</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>*Daniel L. Schaefer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>*Dick Cheney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Bruce M. O'Neill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Jean Last</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Howard Hertz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Unopposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>G.M. &quot;Bill&quot; Quraishi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>*Norman D. Shumway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Carol Harner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>*Charles Pashayan, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>David R. Linn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>*Carlos J. Moorhead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Jim Salomon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>John N. Cowles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Unopposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>G.C. &quot;Brodie&quot; Broderson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Dennis Galbraith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>George Zaldivar Adams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Reubin D. Franco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Ralph R. Ramirez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>*David Dreier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Charles M. House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>*Robert Dornan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>*C. Christopher Cox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>*Dana Rohrabacher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Jim Howard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>*John R. Miller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Unopposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Robert Edwards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>*Rod Chandler</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>