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ALL MANUSCRIPTS UTILIZING DATA MADE AVAILABLE THROUGH THE CONSORTIUM SHOULD ACKNOWLEDGE THAT FACT AS WELL AS IDENTIFY THE ORIGINAL COLLECTOR OF THE DATA. THE ICPSR COUNCIL URGES ALL USERS OF ICPSR DATA FACILITIES TO FOLLOW SOME ADAPTATION OF THIS STATEMENT WITH THE PARENTHESES INDICATING ITEMS TO BE FILLED IN APPROPRIATELY OR DELETED BY THE INDIVIDUAL USER.

THE DATA (AND TABULATIONS) UTILIZED IN THIS (PUBLICATION) WERE MADE AVAILABLE (IN PART) BY THE INTER-UNIVERSITY CONSORTIUM FOR POLITICAL RESEARCH. THE DATA WERE ORIGINALLY COLLECTED BY THE POLITICAL BEHAVIOR PROGRAM (UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN), WALTER MURPHY (PRINCETON UNIVERSITY), AND JOSEPH TANENHAUS (UNIVERSITY OF IOWA). NEITHER THE ORIGINAL COLLECTORS OF THE DATA NOR THE CONSORTIUM BEAR ANY RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ANALYSES OR INTERPRETATIONS PRESENTED HERE.

IN ORDER TO PROVIDE FUNDING AGENCIES WITH ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE USE OF ARCHIVAL RESOURCES, AND TO FACILITATE THE EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION ABOUT ICPSR PARTICIPANTS' RESEARCH ACTIVITIES, EACH USER OF THE ICPSR DATA FACILITIES IS EXPECTED TO SEND TWO COPIES OF EACH COMPLETED MANUSCRIPT TO THE CONSORTIUM. PLEASE INDICATE IN THE COVER LETTER WHICH DATA WERE USED.
PROBABILITY SAMPLE OF CITIZENS OF VOTING AGE IN THE CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES (EXCLUDING ALASKA AND THE INHABITANTS OF INSTITUTIONS, HOTELS, ROOMING HOUSES, ETC.). THE STUDY WAS CONDUCTED IN COOPERATION WITH THE SRC ECONOMIC BEHAVIOR PROGRAM WHICH WAS GATHERING DATA FOR ITS REGULAR QUARTERLY SURVEY ON THE CONSUMER OUTLOOK.


>> 1966 SAMPLING, INTERVIEW, AND CODING INFORMATION


INTERVIEWS WERE OBTAINED FROM 77% OF THE ELIGIBLE ELECTION STUDY RESPONDENTS, A SLIGHTLY LOWER RATE THAN IS USUAL FOR SRC SURVEYS. INCLUDED IN THE NON-RESPONSE CATEGORY ARE NOT ONLY REFUSALS (14%), BUT ALSO NO ONE AT HOME AFTER REPEATED CALLS (4.3%), AND MISCELLANEOUS REASONS FOR NO INTERVIEW (4.7%), SUCH AS ILLNESS, SENILITY, LANGUAGE DIFFICULTY, ETC. THREE PERCENT OF THE ELECTION STUDY RESPONDENTS WERE NOT ASKED THE ECONOMIC SECTION SINCE THE ECONOMIC INTERVIEWS WERE ONLY ADMINISTERED TO THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD OR HIS WIFE. THE AVERAGE LENGTH OF THE COMBINED POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC INTERVIEWS WAS 93 MINUTES.

THE INTERVIEWS WERE CODED BY THE SRC CODING SECTION UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF POLITICAL BEHAVIOR PROGRAM STAFF MEMBERS, AND THE USUAL PRACTICE OF CHECK-CODING ONE OUT OF EVERY TEN INTERVIEWS WAS FOLLOWED. THIS RESULTED IN THE CALCULATION OF AN AVERAGE CODING ERROR OF 3.5 ERRORS PER INTERVIEW, MOSTLY IN THE MORE DIFFICULT CODES SUCH AS MAJOR PROBLEMS AND PARTY DIFFERENCES QUESTIONS.
THE STUDY WAS PROCESSED ACCORDING TO ICPSR STANDARD PROCESSING PROCEDURES. WHERE APPLICABLE, THE CODE CATEGORIES WERE RECODED TO CONFORM TO ICPSR STANDARDIZED CODES. THE DATA WERE CHECKED FOR INCONSISTENT AND ILLEGAL CODES, AND, WHENEVER FOUND, THE LATTER MISTAKES WERE CORRECTED BY REFER-RING TO THE ORIGINAL INTERVIEW SCHEDULES.

IN THE CONTENTS SECTION, AN ASTERISK APPEARS AFTER EACH VARIABLE HAVING A SPECIAL ICPSR STANDARDIZED CODE. THOSE VARIABLE NAMES PRECEDED BY AN X DESIGNATE A VARIABLE DEPENDENT ON SOME OTHER VARIABLE DUE TO THE STRUCTURE OF THE INTERVIEW SCHEDULE.

FREQUENCIES FOR MULTIPLE RESPONSE VARIABLES ARE CUMU-LATIVE.

INFORMATION SET IN SLASHES (//) WILL BE FOUND THROUGHOUT THE CODEBOOK. FOR EXAMPLE:  /SCHOOL INTEGRATION. SEE REF. NO. 25 FOR COMPLETE TEXT./  THIS REPRESENTS AT ALL TIMES INFORMATION ADDED BY THE STUDY PROCESSOR IN THE COURSE OF PREPARING THE CODEBOOK.

>> 1966 CODEBOOK INFORMATION

The following example from the 1948 NES study provides the standard format for codebook variable documentation.

Note that NES studies which are not part of the Time-Series usually omit marginals and the descriptive content in lines 2-5 (except for variable name).

Line

1  ===============
2  VAR 480026  NAME-R NOT VT-WAS R REG TO VT
3  COLUMNS 61   - 61
4  NUMERIC
5  MD=0 OR GE 8
6
7  Q. 17.  (IF R DID NOT VOTE) WERE YOU REGISTERED (ELIGIBLE)
8  TO VOTE.
9  -----------------------------------------------------------
10
11  82       1.  YES
12  149      2.  NO
13
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Line 2 - VARIABLE NAME. Note that in the codebook the variable name (usually a 'number') does not include the "V" prefix which is used in the release SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files) for all variables including those which do not have 'number' names. For example the variable "VERSION" in the codebook is "VVERSION" in the data definition files.

Line 2 - "NAME". This is the variable label used in the SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files). Some codebooks exclude this.

Line 3 - COLUMNS. Columns in the ASCII data file (.dat file).

Line 4 - CHARACTER OR NUMERIC. If numeric and the variable is a decimal rather than integer variable, the nume of decimal places is also indicated (e.g. "NUMERIC DEC 4")

Line 5 - Values which are assigned to missing by default in the Study's SAS and and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files).

Line 7 - Actual question text for survey variables or a description of non-survey variables (for example, congressional district). Survey items usually include the question number (for example "Bla.") from the Study questionnaire; beginning in 1996 non-survey items also have unique item numbers (for example "CSheet.1").

Line 9 - A dashed or dotted line usually separates question text from any other documentation which follows.

Line 10- When present, annotation provided by Study staff is presented below the question text/description and preceding code values.

Lines 11-16
Code values are listed with descriptive labels. Valid codes (those not having 'missing' status in line 5) are presented first, followed by the values described in line 5. For continuous variables, one line may appear providing the range of possible values. A blank line usually separates the 'valid' and 'missing' values.

Lines 11-16
Marginals are usually provided for discrete variables. The counts may be unweighted or weighted; check the Study codebook introductory text to determine weight usage.

>> 1966 VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VARIABLE</th>
<th>VARIABLE LABEL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VERSION</td>
<td>NES VERSION NUMBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSETNO</td>
<td>NES DATASET NUMBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660001</td>
<td>ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660002</td>
<td>INTERVIEW NUMBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>STUDY PROCEDURE AND SAMPLING</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660003</td>
<td>PRIMARY SAMPLING UNIT AND PLACE IDENTIFICATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660004</td>
<td>SAMPLING COUNTY CODE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660005</td>
<td>ICPSR COUNTY CODE *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660006</td>
<td>BELT CODE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660007</td>
<td>SIZE CODE FOR SURVEY OF CONSUMER FINANCES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660008</td>
<td>SIZE CODE FOR INTERIM SURVEYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660009</td>
<td>DISTANCE TO CENTRAL CITY OF NEAREST SMSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660010</td>
<td>STATE OF INTERVIEW *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660011</td>
<td>CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT OF INTERVIEW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660012</td>
<td>DWELLING UNIT NUMBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660013</td>
<td>INTERVIEWER NUMBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660014</td>
<td>ADULT COMPOSITION OF PRIMARY FAMILY UNIT (PFU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660015</td>
<td>ADULT COMPOSITION OF SECONDARY FAMILY UNIT (SFU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660016</td>
<td>POLITICALLY ELIGIBLE ADULTS IN PFU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660017</td>
<td>DATE OF INTERVIEW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660018</td>
<td>CODER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>MAJOR PROBLEMS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660019</td>
<td>R'S LIST OF MAJOR PROBLEMS *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660020</td>
<td>TYPE OF GOVERNMENT ACTION R WANTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>POWER OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660021</td>
<td>R'S OPINION ON POWER OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660022</td>
<td>R CERTAIN GOVERNMENT IS (NOT) TOO POWERFUL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660023</td>
<td>R'S POSITION ON POWER OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660024</td>
<td>FEDERAL POWER—REP OR DEM WOULD HANDLE BEST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>SCHOOL INTEGRATION</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660025</td>
<td>SCHOOL INTEGRATION IS GOVERNMENT'S BUSINESS?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660026</td>
<td>SCHOOL INTEGRATION—R'S COMMENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660027</td>
<td>R CERTAIN SCHOOL INTEGRATION IS (NOT) GOVERNMENT'S BUSINESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660028</td>
<td>R'S POSITION ON ISSUE OF SCHOOL INTEGRATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660029</td>
<td>SCHOOL INTEGRATION—REP OR DEM WOULD HANDLE BEST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>SCHOOL PRAYER</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660030</td>
<td>R'S OPINION ON SCHOOL PRAYER ISSUE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660031</td>
<td>SCHOOL PRAYER—R'S COMMENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660032</td>
<td>R CERTAIN SCHOOL PRAYER SHOULD (NOT) BE ALLOWED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660033</td>
<td>R'S POSITION ON SCHOOL PRAYER ISSUE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660034</td>
<td>SCHOOL PRAYER—REP OR DEM WOULD HANDLE BEST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>VIETNAM</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660035</td>
<td>VIETNAM INTERVENTION—RIGHT OR WRONG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660036</td>
<td>VIETNAM—WHAT SHOULD U.S. DO?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660037</td>
<td>VIETNAM—R'S COMMENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>660038</td>
<td>VIETNAM—REP OR DEM WOULD HANDLE BEST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>BIGGER WAR</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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BIGGER WAR-PRESENT CHANCES
BIGGER WAR-REP OR DEM WOULD HANDLE BEST
BIGGER WAR-why REP OR DEM WOULD HANDLE BEST

RACE RELATIONS

R'S ESTIMATE OF CHANGE IN THE NEGROES' POSITION
R'S VIEW OF THE PULL OF CIVIL RIGHTS LEADERS

FINANCIAL SITUATION OF FAMILY UNIT

BETTER OR WORSE FINANCIALLY THAN THE YEAR BEFORE
MORE OR LESS MONEY THAN THE YEAR BEFORE
WHY IS FAMILY UNIT'S FINANCIAL STATUS DIFFERENT
BETTER OR WORSE FINANCIALLY NEXT YEAR

NATIONAL ECONOMIC SITUATION

WILL PRICES GO UP OR DOWN?
FUTURE PRICE CHANGES-GOOD OR BAD
GOOD OR BAD NATIONAL FINANCIAL FUTURE
WHY GOOD OR BAD NATIONAL FINANCIAL FUTURE
PRESENT BUSINESS CONDITIONS BETTER OR WORSE
READ OR HEARD ABOUT RECENT CHANGES IN BUSINESS CONDITIONS
FUTURE BUSINESS CONDITIONS BETTER OR WORSE
MORE OR LESS UNEMPLOYMENT NEXT YEAR
WHY MORE OR LESS UNEMPLOYMENT IN THE FUTURE
GOOD OR BAD ECONOMIC TIMES DURING NEXT 5 YEARS
WILL RECESSION HAPPEN AGAIN
WHY RECESSION AGAIN
WHEN WILL RECESSION HAPPEN AGAIN
IS WORLD SITUATION AFFECTING BUSINESS CONDITIONS AT HOME
WHY IS WORLD SITUATION AFFECTING BUSINESS CONDITIONS AT HOME

PUBLIC INTEREST

CONGRESSIONAL ELECTIONS-R'S CONCERN
PUBLIC AFFAIRS-R'S INTEREST
PUBLIC AFFAIRS-WHICH MOST FOLLOWED BY R
PUBLIC AFFAIRS-WHICH SECOND MOST FOLLOWED BY R
PUBLIC AFFAIRS-WHICH LEAST FOLLOWED BY R
ELECTIONS - PARTY ACTIVITY, R'S VOTING BEHAVIOR, AND OTHER
ELECTIONS-R'S MEDIA OF INFORMATION *
ELECTIONS-WHO ASKED FOR R'S VIEWS
ELECTIONS-WHOM R TRIED TO INFLUENCE
WAS R CONTACTED BY POLITICAL PARTIES
HOW MANY TIMES WAS R CONTACTED BY PARTIES
TIMES CONTACTED BY REPUBLICANS
TIMES CONTACTED BY DEMOCRATS
CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTION-WAS R ASKED
CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTION-WHICH PARTY ASKED
CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTION-DID R GIVE
660078 CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTION - TO WHICH PARTY DID R GIVE
660079 CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTION - WOULD R HAVE GIVEN IF
   CONTACTED
660080 PARTY DIFFERENCES - WHICH PARTY MENTIONED BY R
660081 PARTY DIFFERENCES - CONTENT OF R'S RESPONSE
660082 R'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION *
660083 R IS REGULAR VOTER IN PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS
660084 R ALWAYS VOTES FOR SAME PARTY
660085 R'S VOTE IN 1964 ELECTION
660086 DID R VOTE IN PRIMARY
660087 R'S INTEREST IN THE CAMPAIGNS

   CONGRESSIONAL, SENATORIAL AND GUBERNATORIAL
   RACES

660088 KNOWLEDGE OF DEM CANDIDATE FOR CONGRESS
660089 KNOWLEDGE OF REP CANDIDATE FOR CONGRESS
660090 KNOWLEDGE OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES - NUMBER OF
   CORRECT NAMES AND PARTIES
660091 DEM AND/OR REP CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES IN
   DISTRICT
660092 R'S KNOWLEDGE OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDACY
   PATTERNS IN DISTRICT
660093 WHICH CANDIDATE INCUMBENT CONGRESSMAN
660094 R'S KNOWLEDGE OF INCUMBENT CONGRESSIONAL
   CANDIDATE
660095 INCUMBENCY STATUS OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE
660096 REPUBLICAN % OF CONGRESSIONAL VOTE
660097 CONGRESSIONAL 1966 PARTY CANDIDACY PATTERN
660098 GUBERNATORIAL 1966 PARTY CANDIDACY PATTERN
660099 SENATORIAL 1966 PARTY CANDIDACY PATTERN
660100 WHICH PARTY HAD MORE CONGRESSMEN BEFORE ELECTION
660101 WHICH PARTY HAD MORE CONGRESSMEN AFTER ELECTION

   VOTING: WHERE, HOW, FOR WHOM

660102 DID R VOTE IN 1966 ELECTION
660103 R VOTED IN WHICH DISTRICT
660104 STATE OF ABSENTEE VOTE *
660105 CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT OF ABSENTEE VOTE
660106 VOTE BY VOTING MACHINE OR BY PAPER (BALLOT)
660107 DID R VOTE FOR IN THE ELECTION FOR GOVERNOR
660108 WHICH GUBERNATORIAL CANDIDATE DID R VOTE FOR
660109 DID R VOTE IN THE ELECTION FOR U.S. SENATOR
660110 WHICH SENATORIAL CANDIDATE DID R VOTE FOR
660111 DID R VOTE IN THE ELECTION FOR U.S. CONGRESSMAN
660112 WHICH CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE DID R VOTE FOR
660113 DID R VOTE STRAIGHT OR SPLIT TICKET FOR OTHER
   STATE AND LOCAL OFFICES
660114 SPLIT TICKET PATTERNS

   POLITICAL EFFICACY

660115 PEOPLE LIKE ME HAVE NO POLITICAL POWER
660116 VOTING EFFECTIVE POLITICAL WEAPON
660117 POLITICS COMPLICATED FOR PEOPLE
660118 PUBLIC OFFICIALS DON'T CARE

   FEELINGS TOWARDS GROUPS - 'FEELING THERMOMETER'
660119  R'S FEELINGS TOWARDS BIG BUSINESS
660120  R'S FEELINGS TOWARDS LIBERALS
660121  R'S FEELINGS TOWARDS CATHOLICS
660122  R'S FEELINGS TOWARDS LAWYERS
660123  R'S FEELINGS TOWARDS PROTESTANTS
660124  R'S FEELINGS TOWARDS DEMOCRATS
660125  R'S FEELINGS TOWARDS POLICEMEN
660126  R'S FEELINGS TOWARDS JEWS
660127  R'S FEELINGS TOWARDS LABOR UNIONS
660128  R'S FEELINGS TOWARDS WHITES
660129  R'S FEELINGS TOWARDS REPUBLICANS
660130  R'S FEELINGS TOWARDS NEGROES
660131  R'S FEELINGS TOWARDS CONSERVATIVES

660132  POLICEMEN-ARE ANY R'S FRIENDS OR RELATIVES?
660133  LAWYERS-ARE ANY R'S FRIENDS OR RELATIVES?
660134  R EVER TAKEN PART IN TRIAL?
660135  WHICH OCCUPATIONS R ADMires MOST

TRUST IN PEOPLE (ROSENBERG)

660136  PEOPLE CAN (NOT) BE TRUSTED-R'S OPINION
660137  PEOPLE SELFISH OR HELPFUL-R'S OPINION

660138  R'S ESTIMATE OF POLITICAL POWER OF PEOPLE LIKE HIMSELF

SUPREME COURT QUESTIONS

660139  COVER SHEET
660140  SUPREME COURT-ANYTHING R DISLIKES
660141  SUPREME COURT-STRENGTH OF R'S DISLIKES
660142  SUPREME COURT-ANYTHING R LIKES
660143  SUPREME COURT-STRENGTH OF R'S LIKES
660144  SUPREME COURT-ROLE IN THE GOVERNMENT
660145  SUPREME COURT-HOW GOOD DOES ITS JOB
660146  SUPREME COURT-TOO MIXED UP IN POLITICS
660147  SUPREME COURT-R (NOT) SURE IT'S TOO MIXED UP
   IN POLITICS
660148  WHICH R TRUSTS MORE:  SUPREME COURT OR CONGRESS
660149  TRUST MORE SUPREME COURT OR CONGRESS-R IS HOW
   SURE
660150  SUPREME COURT FAVORS SOME GROUPS
660151  SUPREME COURT FAVORS WHICH GROUPS
660152  OPEN HOUSING-R AGREES OR DISAGREES
660153  OPEN HOUSING-STRENGTH OF R'S OPINION
660154  OPEN HOUSING-DEPENDS ON WHAT
660155  SUPREME COURT AGAINST OPEN HOUSING-R'S FEELINGS
660156  SUPREME COURT AGAINST OPEN HOUSING-R'S VIEW OF
   COURT
660157  SUPREME COURT FAVORS OPEN HOUSING-R'S FEELINGS
660158  SUPREME COURT FAVORS OPEN HOUSING-R'S VIEW OF
   COURT
660159  FREEDOM TO SELL INDECENT MAGAZINES-R'S OPINION
660160  FREEDOM TO SELL INDECENT MAGAZINES-STRENGTH OF
   R'S OPINION
660161  INDECENT MAGAZINES-SALE DEPENDS ON WHAT
660162  SUPREME COURT AGAINST INDECENT MAGAZINES-R'S
FEELINGS

SUPREME COURT AGAINST INDECENT MAGAZINES-R’S VIEW OF COURT
SUPREME COURT FAVORS INDECENT MAGAZINES-R’S FEELINGS
SUPREME COURT FAVORS INDECENT MAGAZINES-R’S VIEW OF COURT
NAMES OF SUPREME COURT JUSTICES
CORRECT NAMES (GIVEN) OF SUPREME COURT JUSTICES
HAS R HEARD OR READ ABOUT SUPREME COURT JUSTICES
FAVORABLE MENTIONS ABOUT JUSTICES
UNFAVORABLE MENTIONS ABOUT JUSTICES
R HAS READ OR HEARD ABOUT CHANGES IN SUPREME COURT MEMBERSHIP
R AGREES OR DISAGREES WITH CHANGES IN SUPREME COURT MEMBERSHIP
CAN WE TRUST FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
IMPACT OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ON PEOPLE
POLITICAL POWER OF PEOPLE LIKE R
GOVERNMENT RUN BY BIG INTERESTS
ELECTIONS MAKE GOVERNMENT CARE
(NOT) PUNISH PERSON WHO BREAKS LAW AGAINST HIS RELIGION
BREAKING LAW AGAINST RELIGION-R’S COMMENTS
POLICE TREATMENT
POLICE TREATMENT IF R WENT TO ELECTED OFFICIAL
BUREAUCRATIC TREATMENT
BUREAUCRATIC TREATMENT IF R WENT TO ELECTED OFFICIAL

LOCAL COMPETENCE

LOCAL UNJUST LAW-R'S ACTION
LOCAL UNJUST LAW-EFFECT OF R'S ACTION
LOCAL UNJUST LAW-WOULD R ACT
HOW HAS R TRIED TO INFLUENCE LOCAL DECISION

NATIONAL COMPETENCE

FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-R'S ACTION
FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-EFFECT OF R'S ACTION
FEDERAL UNJUST LAW-WOULD R ACT
HOW HAS R TRIED TO INFLUENCE ACT OF CONGRESS

PERSONAL DATA

R'S DATE OF BIRTH
R'S MARITAL STATUS
CHILDREN UNDER 18 YRS. IN FAMILY
AGE OF YOUNGEST CHILD
R'S LIFE CYCLE
R'S EDUCATION *
COLLEGE R ATTENDED *
HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD'S CURRENT EMPLOYMENT STATUS
HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD WORKS FOR SELF OR OTHER
HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD'S OCCUPATION-POLITICAL BEHAVIOR OCCUPATION CODE *
HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD'S OCCUPATION-POLITICAL BEHAVIOR INDUSTRY CODE *
HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD'S OCCUPATION-CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD'S OCCUPATION-DUNCAN SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS SCALE

(QUESTIONS FOR FARMERS)
R'S FULL-TIME OR PART-TIME FARMER
FARMER'S PART-TIME JOB
HOURS PER WEEK SPENT ON PART-TIME JOB
WEEKS PER YEAR SPENT ON PART-TIME JOB
OWN, MANAGE OR RENT FARM
AMOUNT OF LAND farmed
TYPE OF FARMING DONE *
FARM PRICE MOVEMENT IN PAST FOUR YEARS
EFFECT OF GOVERNMENT POLICY ON CROP PRICES
FARM SUBSIDIZATION-DOES R AGREE OR DISAGREE

PERSONAL DATA (CONT.)
WHO IN HOUSEHOLD A UNION MEMBER
UNION AFFILIATION OF HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS *
LENGTH OF UNION MEMBERSHIP
R'S SOCIAL CLASS IDENTIFICATION-MIDDLE CLASS OR WORKING CLASS
R'S SOCIAL CLASS-OWN RANKING
R'S SOCIAL CLASS-BACKGROUND
R'S CHURCH PREFERENCE *
CHURCH ATTENDANCE
WHERE WAS R BORN *
GENERATIONS IN UNITED STATES
PATRILINEAL ORIGIN *
MATRILINEAL ORIGIN *
NATIONALITY OF GRANDFATHER *
FATHER'S OCCUPATION WHILE R WAS GROWING UP
FARM FINANCIAL SITUATION-R IS A FARMER'S SON
AREA WHERE R GREW UP *
R'S AGE WHEN HE MOVED HERE
SIZE OF PLACE WHERE R GREW UP
LENGTH OF CURRENT RESIDENCE
AREA OF PREVIOUS RESIDENCE
EXPECTED 1966 INCOME *
R'S SEX
R'S RACE
R'S RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD *
OTHER PERSONS PRESENT DURING INTERVIEW
R'S COOPERATION
R'S INTEREST IN POLITICS
R'S LEVEL OF POLITICAL INFORMATION