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>> 1968 STUDY DESCRIPTION

THE 1968 AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY (SRC 45523)
WAS CONDUCTED BY THE POLITICAL BEHAVIOR PROGRAM OF THE
SURVEY RESEARCH CENTER, INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH, THE
UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN.  THE STUDY WAS SUPPORTED BY A GRANT
FROM THE FORD FOUNDATION.  IT IS THE TENTH IN A SERIES OF
STUDIES OF NATIONAL ELECTIONS BEGINNING WITH THE 1948
PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION.
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SURVEY ALONG WITH QUESTIONS THAT INQUIRE ABOUT THE RESPONDENT’S PERSONAL FINANCIAL SITUATION AND HIS OUTLOOK ON THE ECONOMIC FUTURE OF THE NATION AS A WHOLE. THE STUDY CONCLUDES WITH A SERIES OF QUESTIONS DESIGNED TO MEASURE THE RESPONDENT’S POLITICAL TRUST AND CYNICISM, PERSONAL TRUST AND EFFECTIVENESS, TRUST IN GOVERNMENT, AND POLITICAL EFFICACY.


>> 1968 SAMPLING INFORMATION


THE PRE-ELECTION SAMPLE WAS DIVIDED INTO TWO RANDOM HALF-SAMPLES EACH OF WHICH COMPOSES A NATIONAL CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE OF CITIZENS OF VOTING AGE. CHANGES IN VOTING INTENTIONS AND SHIFTS IN ATTITUDE TOWARD MAJOR ISSUES DURING THE INTERVIEWING PERIOD CAN BE ANALYZED BY COMPARING THE HALF-SAMPLES. THE FIRST HALF-SAMPLE INTERVIEWS WERE OBTAINED

IN ORDER TO PROVIDE A MORE RELIABLE SAMPLE OF BLACKS, AN ADDITIONAL SET OF BLACKS WAS SAMPLED AND ASKED ALL OF THE APPLICABLE QUESTIONS FOUND IN THE INTERVIEW SCHEDULE. THE 116 BLACKS IN THIS SAMPLE, WHEN ADDED TO THE 149 BLACK RESPONDENTS IN THE CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE, GIVE A MORE RELIABLE DATA BASE. THE SUPPLEMENTARY BLACKS WERE SAMPLED BY DIRECTING THE INTERVIEWER TO SEGMENTS (SMALL AREAS OF 3-6 DWELLINGS) IN WHICH ONE OR MORE BLACK HOUSEHOLDS HAD BEEN LOCATED IN PREVIOUS SRC STUDIES. IN GENERAL, THE PROCEDURE WAS TO SELECT ONE RESPONDENT FROM EACH BLACK HOUSEHOLD FOUND IN THE SEGMENT. A PRECISE DESCRIPTION OF THE SAMPLING PROCEDURE CAN BE FOUND IN THE INTERVIEWERS' "INSTRUCTION BOOK".


TYPES OF SAMPLES
THE DATA DESCRIBED BY THIS CODEBOOK MAY BE ACCESSED IN THREE DIFFERENT WAYS, YIELDING IN EFFECT THREE SEPARATE SAMPLES, EACH WITH A DIFFERENT NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS:

A. THE COMBINED SAMPLE (CROSS-SECTION PLUS BLACK SUPPLEMENT RESPONDENTS) USES ALL THE DATA CASES AVAILABLE IN THE ICPSR DATASET (RAW N OF 1673), AND REQUIRES THE USE OF A WEIGHT VARIABLE (V680003) TO MAKE THE SAMPLE VALID. THE USE OF THE COMBINED SAMPLE IS RECOMMENDED FOR CROSS-SECTION ANALYSIS WITH THE FOLLOWING EXCEPTION. BECAUSE THE BLACK SUPPLEMENT SLIGHTLY UNDER-REPRESENTS BLACKS IN MIXED NEIGHBORHOODS, THE USE OF THE COMBINED SAMPLE IS NOT RECOMMENDED FOR ANALYSIS ON QUESTIONS IN WHICH THE RACIAL ENVIRONMENT MIGHT HAVE AN INFLUENCE ON THE RESPONDENT'S ANSWER. WHERE THIS IS ANTICIPATED TO BE A PROBLEM, THE USER SHOULD WORK WITH THE CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE WHICH EXCLUDES THE BLACK SUPPLEMENT RESPONDENTS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-Election Wave</th>
<th>Post-Election Wave</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Filter Statement:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Filter Statement:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>Exclude V680005 = 4-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This filter excludes &quot;No Post-Election&quot; type of respondents from the combined sample.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

THE COMPARABLE WHITE SAMPLE FOR RACIAL ANALYSIS IS OBTAINED FROM THE CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE BY FILTERING OUT ALL BLACK RESPONDENTS FROM THAT SAMPLE. THIS ALL-WHITE SAMPLE follows the same rules outlined below for the cross-section sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-Election Wave</th>
<th>Post-Election Wave</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNWEIGHTED = 265</td>
<td>UNWEIGHTED = 225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEIGHTED = 284</td>
<td>WEIGHTED = 241</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. THE CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE ALONE USES ONLY THE 1557 RESPONDENTS INTERVIEWED FOR THE NATIONAL CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE, AND EXCLUDES THE BLACK SUPPLEMENT. THIS SAMPLE DOES NOT REQUIRE THE USE OF A WEIGHT VARIABLE, BUT IT NECESSitates USE OF A FILTER VARIABLE (V4) WHICH EXCLUDES THE BLACK SUPPLEMENT RESPONDENTS FROM THE ICPSR DATASET.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-Election Wave</th>
<th>Post-Election Wave</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EXCLUDE V680004 = 5-6</td>
<td>EXCLUDE V680004=5-6 OR V680005=4-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THIS FILTER EXCLUDES BLACK SUPPLEMENT RESPONDENTS FROM THE ICPSR DATASET.</td>
<td>THIS FILTER EXCLUDES BLACK SUPPLEMENT RESPONDENTS, AND &quot;NO POST-ELECTION&quot; TYPE OF RESPONDENTS FROM THE CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NOTE THAT NO OTHER SUBSET OF THESE RESPONDENTS YIELDS A VALID SAMPLE (E.G., THE BLACK SUPPLEMENT ALONE IS NOT A VALID SAMPLE, AND SHOULD NOT BE USED AS SUCH).

FREQUENCIES

CROSS-SECTION ONLY FREQUENCIES HAVE BEEN INSERTED FOR VARIABLES IN THE BODY OF THIS CODEBOOK. THE BLACK SUPPLEMENT RESPONDENTS HAVE BEEN EXCLUDED FROM THE VALUES REPORTED.

>> 1968 CODEBOOK INFORMATION

The following example from the 1948 NES study provides the standard format for codebook variable documentation.

Note that NES studies which are not part of the Time-Series usually omit marginals and the descriptive content in lines 2-5 (except for variable name).

Line
1  ==============================  
2         VAR 480026    NAME-R NOT VT-WAS R REG TO VT  
3             COLUMNS 61   - 61  
4             NUMERIC  
5             MD=0 OR GE 8  
6         Q. 17. (IF R DID NOT VOTE) WERE YOU REGISTERED (ELIGIBLE) TO VOTE.  
7  ...........................................................  
8         82       1.  YES  
9         149       2.  NO  
10         0       8.  DK  
11         9       9. NA  
12         422       0. INAP., R VOTED  

Line 2 - VARIABLE NAME. Note that in the codebook the variable name (usually a 'number') does not include the "V" prefix which is used in the release SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files) for all variables including those which do not have 'number' names. For example the variable "VERSION" in the codebook is "VVERSION" in the data definition files.
int1968.txt

Line 2 - "NAME". This is the variable label used in the SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files). Some codebooks exclude this.

Line 3 - COLUMNS. Columns in the ASCII data file (.dat file).

Line 4 - CHARACTER OR NUMERIC. If numeric and the variable is a decimal rather than integer variable, the number of decimal places is also indicated (e.g. "NUMERIC DEC 4")

Line 5 - Values which are assigned to missing by default in the Study's SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files).

Line 7 - Actual question text for survey variables or a description of non-survey variables (for example, congressional district). Survey items usually include the question number (for example "B1a.") from the Study questionnaire; beginning in 1996 non-survey items also have unique item numbers (for example "CSheet.1").

Line 9 - A dashed or dotted line usually separates question text from any other documentation which follows.

Line 10 - When present, annotation provided by Study staff is presented below the question text/description and preceding code values.

Lines 11-16
Code values are listed with descriptive labels. Valid codes (those not having 'missing' status in line 5) are presented first, followed by the values described in line 5. For continuous variables, one line may appear providing the range of possible values. A blank line usually separates the 'valid' and 'missing' values.

Lines 11-16
Marginals are usually provided for discrete variables. The counts may be unweighted or weighted; check the Study codebook introductory text to determine weight usage.

>> 1968 VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VARIABLE</th>
<th>VARIABLE LABEL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VERSION</td>
<td>NES VERSION NUMBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSETNO</td>
<td>NES DATASET NUMBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>680001</td>
<td>ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>680002</td>
<td>INTERVIEW NUMBER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STUDY PROCEDURE, SAMPLING & WEIGHT VARIABLE

| 680003  | WEIGHT VARIABLE |
| 680004  | TYPE OF PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEW |
| 680005  | TYPE OF POST-ELECTION INFORMATION OBTAINED |
| 680006  | PRIMARY SAMPLING UNIT |
| 680007  | PRIMARY SAMPLING UNIT AND PLACE IDENTIFICATION |
| 680008  | BELT CODE |
680009 STANDARD SIZE CODE FOR CONSUMER FINANCE SURVEY
680010 STANDARD SIZE CODE FOR INTERIM SURVEYS
680011 DISTANCE TO CENTER OF CENTRAL CITY OF NEAREST SMSA
680012 DISTANCE TO CENTER OF CENTRAL CITY OF NEAREST SMSA OF AT LEAST 350,000 POPULATION
680013 REGION OF INTERVIEW
680014 STATE OF INTERVIEW
680015 STATE AND CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT OF INTERVIEW
680016 ICPSR COUNTY CODE
680017 SAMPLING COUNTY CODE
680018 ADULT COMPOSITION OF PRIMARY FAMILY UNIT
680019 ADULT COMPOSITION OF SECONDARY FAMILY UNITS IN HOUSE
680020 NUMBER OF POLITICALLY ELIGIBLE ADULTS IN HOUSE
680021 INTERVIEWER'S NUMBER

PRE-ELECTION WAVE

680022 DATE OF PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEW
680023 LENGTH OF PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEW
680024 CODER OF PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEW

PREDICTION & CARE ABOUT 1968 RACE

680025 WHOM DOES R THINK WILL BE ELECTED PRESIDENT
680026 WILL IT BE A CLOSE RACE
680027 DOES R CARE MUCH WHO WINS THE PRESIDENCY

LIKES & DISLIKES ABOUT PARTIES AND CANDIDATES

680028 WHAT DOES R LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
680029 WHAT DOES R DISLIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
680030 WHAT DOES R LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY
680031 WHAT DOES R DISLIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY
680032 WHAT DOES R LIKE ABOUT HUMPHREY
680033 WHAT DOES R DISLIKE ABOUT HUMPHREY
680034 WHAT DOES R LIKE ABOUT NIXON
680035 WHAT DOES R DISLIKE ABOUT NIXON
680036 WHAT DOES R LIKE ABOUT WALLACE
680037 WHAT DOES R DISLIKE ABOUT WALLACE

PARTY NOMINATIONS & R'S REACTIONS TO THEM

680038 WHO DID R WANT TO WIN THE REPUBLICAN NOMINATION
680039 WAS R PARTICULARLY HAPPY NIXON WON THE NOMINATION
680040 WAS R PARTICULARLY UNHAPPY NIXON WON THE NOMINATION
680041 WHO WAS R'S 2ND REPUBLICAN CHOICE
680042 WHO DID R WANT TO WIN THE DEMOCRATIC NOMINATION
680043 WAS R PARTICULARLY HAPPY HUMPHREY WON THE NOMINATION
680044 WAS R PARTICULARLY UNHAPPY HUMPHREY WAS NOMINATED
680045 WHAT WAS R'S 2ND DEMOCRATIC CHOICE

EVALUATION OF JOHNSON'S PERFORMANCE

680046 WOULD R HAVE FAVORED JOHNSON AS A CANDIDATE
680047 HOW WELL HAS PRESIDENT JOHNSON DONE HIS JOB
MOST IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEMS

680048 WHAT IS THE MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM FOR WASHINGTON
680049 WHAT SHOULD BE DONE ABOUT (1ST PROBLEM)
680050 HOW STRONGLY DOES R FEEL ABOUT (1ST PROBLEM)
680051 WHICH PARTY WOULD DO WHAT R WANTS ON (1ST PROBLEM)
680052 WHAT IS THE 2ND MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM FOR WASHINGTON
680053 WHAT SHOULD BE DONE ABOUT (2ND PROBLEM)
680054 HOW STRONGLY DOES R FEEL ABOUT (2ND PROBLEM)
680055 WHICH PARTY WOULD DO WHAT R WANTS ON (2ND PROBLEM)
680056 WHAT IS THE 3RD MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM FOR WASHINGTON
680057 WHAT SHOULD BE DONE ABOUT (3RD PROBLEM)
680058 HOW STRONGLY DOES R FEEL ABOUT (3RD PROBLEM)
680059 WHICH PARTY WOULD DO WHAT R WANTS ON (3RD PROBLEM)

GOVERNMENT'S ROLE IN AID TO EDUCATION

680060 SHOULD FEDERAL GOVT AID EDUCATION
680061 WHICH PARTY MORE SUPPORTS FEDERAL AID TO EDUCATION

POWER OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

680062 IS THE FEDERAL GOVT TOO POWERFUL
680063 WHICH PARTY SUPPORTS A STRONGER FEDERAL GOVT

GOVERNMENT'S ROLE IN HEALTH CARE

680064 SHOULD FEDERAL GOVT SUPPORT COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH CARE
680065 WHICH PARTY MORE SUPPORTS A STRONG HEALTH PLAN

GOVERNMENT'S ROLE IN ENSURING STANDARD OF LIVING

680066 SHOULD FEDERAL GOVT ENSURE A GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING
680067 WHICH PARTY MORE SUPPORTS GOVT ROLE IN INCOMES

EFFECT OF ELECTION ON R'S FINANCIAL SITUATION

680068 WILL THE ELECTION MAKE A DIFFERENCE TO R FINANCIALLY
680069 FRAME OF REFERENCE FOR ASSESSING FINANCIAL EFFECT

AMOUNT OF TAX DOLLAR SPENT

680070 CENTS PER TAX DOLLAR SPENT FOR DEFENSE
680071 CENTS PER TAX DOLLAR SPENT FOR SOCIAL WELFARE
680072 CENTS PER TAX DOLLAR SPENT FOR FOREIGN AID

GOVERNMENT'S ROLE IN JOB EQUALITY

680073 SHOULD FEDERAL GOVT ENSURE JOB OPPORTUNITY EQUALITY
680074 WHICH PARTY WILL MORE LIKELY OPPOSE DISCRIMINATION
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GOVERNMENT'S ROLE IN SCHOOL INTEGRATION

680075 SHOULD FEDERAL GOVT ENSURE SCHOOL INTEGRATION
680076 COMMENTS ON SCHOOL INTEGRATION
680077 WHICH PARTY WILL MORE LIKELY SUPPORT INTEGRATION

GOVERNMENT'S ROLE IN ACCOMMODATIONS INTEGRATION

680078 SHOULD FEDERAL GOVT ENSURE ACCOMMODATIONS RIGHTS
680079 WHICH PARTY WILL LIKELY SUPPORT ACCOMMODATIONS RIGHTS

CIVIL RIGHTS

680080 HOW MUCH HAS THE NEGRO'S POSITION CHANGED RECENTLY
680081 ARE CIVIL RIGHTS PEOPLE PUSHING TOO FAST
680082 HAVE MOST NEGRO CIVIL RIGHTS ACTIONS BEEN PEACEFUL
680083 HAVE NEGRO CIVIL RIGHTS ACTIONS HELPED THEIR CAUSE

NEIGHBORHOOD SEGREGATION

680084 DO NEGROES HAVE A RIGHT TO LIVE WHEREVER THEY WANT
680085 DOES R FEEL STRONGLY ON THE HOUSING INTEGRATION ISSUE

RACIAL STAND OF R & THOSE IN HIS AREA

680086 HOW MANY NEGROES IN R'S AREA FAVOR DESEGREGATION
680087 HOW MANY WHITES IN R'S AREA FAVOR SEGREGATION
680088 DOES R FAVOR DESEGREGATION

RACIAL MIX OF R'S ENVIRONMENT

680089 WHAT IS THE RACIAL COMPOSITION OF R'S NEIGHBORHOOD
680090 WHAT IS THE RACIAL MIX OF THE NEAREST GRADE SCHOOL
680091 WHAT IS THE RACIAL MIX OF THE NEAREST JUNIOR HIGH
680092 WHAT IS THE RACIAL MIX OF THE NEAREST HIGH SCHOOL
680093 WHAT IS THE RACIAL MIX AT R'S JOB
680094 WHAT IS THE RACIAL MIX OF THOSE WHO SHOP WHERE R DOES
680095 WHAT IS THE RACIAL MIX OF R'S FRIENDS

FOREIGN AID, DIPLOMACY & FOREIGN TRADE

680096 WHAT IS R'S POSITION ON FOREIGN AID
680097 WHICH PARTY MORE LIKELY SUPPORTS FOREIGN AID
680098 SHOULD OUR LEADERS SIT DOWN AND TALK WITH COMMUNISTS
680099 WHICH PARTY MORE LIKELY SUPPORTS SUMMIT CONFERENCES
680100 SHOULD THE U.S. TRADE WITH COMMUNIST NATIONS
680101 WHICH PARTY MORE LIKELY SUPPORTS COMMUNIST TRADE

VIETNAM WAR

680102 HOW MUCH ATTENTION HAS R BEEN PAYING TO VIETNAM
680103 WERE WE RIGHT IN GETTING INTO VIETNAM
680104 WHAT SHOULD WE DO NOW IN VIETNAM
680105 VIETNAM COMMENTS
680106 WHICH PARTY TAKES A STRONGER STAND ON VIETNAM

CHINA & CUBA

680107 IS THE CHINESE GOVT COMMUNIST AND U.N. MEMBER
680108 SHOULD CHINA BE ADMITTED TO THE U.N.
680109 IS CUBA'S GOVT COMMUNIST AND HOW SHOULD WE HANDLE IT
680110 WHICH OF VIETNAM, CHINA, AND CUBA CONCERNS R MOST
680111 WHICH OF VIETNAM, CHINA, AND CUBA CONCERNS R LEAST

U.S. FOREIGN POLICY: EVALUATION OF PAST YEAR

680112 HOW HAVE U.S. FOREIGN RELATIONS BEEN IN THE PAST YEAR
680113 R'S FRAME OF REFERENCE FOR JUDGMENT ON U.S. DIPLOMACY
680114 HAS OUR WORLD POSITION GROWN STRONGER IN A YEAR OR SO
680115 R'S FRAME OF REFERENCE FOR JUDGMENT ON U.S. STRENGTH

BIGGER WAR

680116 ARE WE LIKELY TO GET INTO A BIGGER WAR
680117 WHICH PARTY WOULD BETTER KEEP US OUT OF A BIGGER WAR
680118 WHY WOULD (CHOSEN PARTY) BETTER KEEP US OUT OF WAR

R'S PARTY AFFILIATION: PRESENT, PAST

680119 WHICH PARTY DOES R CONSIDER SELF AS
680120 HOW STRONGLY (PARTY OR INDEPENDENT) DOES R FEEL
680121 DID R EVER THINK OF SELF AS ANOTHER PARTY
680122 WHEN DID R CHANGE TO PRESENT PARTY

R'S PARENTS: PARTY AFFILIATION & POLITICAL INTEREST

680123 WAS R'S FATHER VERY MUCH INTERESTED IN POLITICS
680124 WHAT PARTY DID R'S FATHER THINK OF SELF AS
680125 WAS R'S MOTHER VERY MUCH INTERESTED IN POLITICS
680126 WHAT PARTY DID R'S MOTHER THINK OF SELF AS

PAST PRESIDENTIAL VOTING BEHAVIOR

680127 HAS R VOTED IN MOST PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS
680128 HAS R ALWAYS VOTED FOR THE SAME PARTY
680129 WHOM DID R VOTE FOR FOR PRESIDENT IN 1964
680130 1968 CAMPAIGN: INTEREST, VOTE INTENTION
680131 HAS R BEEN VERY INTERESTED IN THIS YEAR'S CAMPAIGN
680132 IS R REGISTERED FOR THIS ELECTION
680133 DOES R EXPECT TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION
680134 WHO WILL R VOTE FOR FOR PRESIDENT
680135 WHAT IS THE MOST IMPORTANT REASON FOR R'S CHOICE

ELECTORAL MAJORITY ISSUE
1968 PRIMARY

680139 DID R VOTE IN A PRIMARY THIS YEAR
680140 WAS THERE A PARTICULAR PRIMARY R WAS INTERESTED IN

POLITICAL EFFICACY

680141 DO PUBLIC OFFICIALS CARE WHAT PEOPLE LIKE R THINK
680142 IS VOTING THE ONLY WAY PEOPLE LIKE R CAN HAVE A SAY
680143 IS GOVT TOO COMPLEX FOR PEOPLE LIKE R TO UNDERSTAND
680144 DO PEOPLE LIKE R HAVE LOT OF SAY IN GOVERNMENT

PERSONAL DATA

680145 R'S DATE OF BIRTH
680146 R'S MARITAL STATUS

FAMILY COMPOSITION

680147 NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN R'S FAMILY UNDER 18
680148 AGE OF THE OLDEST CHILD
680149 AGE OF THE YOUNGEST CHILD
680150 NUMBER OF CHILDREN 4 YEARS OLD OR LESS
680151 NUMBER OF CHILDREN 5 THROUGH 11 YEARS OLD
680152 NUMBER OF CHILDREN 12 THROUGH 14 YEARS OLD
680153 NUMBER OF CHILDREN 15 THROUGH 18 YEARS OLD
680154 HOW MANY OF THE CHILDREN GO TO SCHOOL IN R'S AREA
680155 DO THE CHILDREN GO TO PUBLIC SCHOOLS

R'S & HEAD'S EDUCATION AND COLLEGES

680156 R'S EDUCATIONAL LEVEL
680157 HEAD'S EDUCATIONAL LEVEL
680158 R'S COLLEGES
680159 HEAD'S COLLEGES

R'S MAIN OCCUPATION SECTION

680160 R'S EMPLOYMENT STATUS
680161 R'S MAIN OCCUPATION - WHAT KIND OF WORK IS IT
680162 R'S MAIN OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
680163 R'S MAIN OCCUPATION - DUNCAN SES
680164 R'S MAIN OCCUPATION - DUNCAN DECILE
680165 R'S MAIN OCCUPATION - CENSUS SES
680166 R'S MAIN OCCUPATION - IS R SELF-EMPLOYED
680167 R'S MAIN OCCUPATION - DOES R WORK FULL-TIME

HEAD'S MAIN OCCUPATION SECTION
int1968.txt
680168 HEAD'S EMPLOYMENT STATUS
680169 HEAD'S MAIN OCCUPATION - WHAT KIND OF WORK IS IT
680170 HEAD'S MAIN OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
680171 HEAD'S MAIN OCCUPATION - DUNCAN SES
680172 HEAD'S MAIN OCCUPATION - DUNCAN DECILE
680173 HEAD'S MAIN OCCUPATION - CENSUS SES
680174 HEAD'S MAIN OCCUPATION - IS HEAD SELF-EMPLOYED
680175 HEAD'S MAIN OCCUPATION - DOES HEAD WORK FULL-TIME

R'S SECOND OCCUPATION SECTION

680176 R'S 2ND OCCUPATION - WHAT KIND OF WORK IS IT
680177 R'S 2ND OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
680178 R'S 2ND OCCUPATION - DUNCAN SES
680179 R'S 2ND OCCUPATION - DUNCAN DECILE
680180 R'S 2ND OCCUPATION - CENSUS SES
680181 R'S 2ND OCCUPATION - IS R SELF-EMPLOYED
680182 R'S 2ND OCCUPATION - DOES R WORK FULL-TIME

HEAD'S SECOND OCCUPATION SECTION

680183 HEAD'S 2ND OCCUPATION - WHAT KIND OF WORK IS IT
680184 HEAD'S 2ND OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
680185 HEAD'S 2ND OCCUPATION - DUNCAN SES
680186 HEAD'S 2ND OCCUPATION - DUNCAN DECILE
680187 HEAD'S 2ND OCCUPATION - CENSUS SES
680188 HEAD'S 2ND OCCUPATION - IS HEAD SELF-EMPLOYED
680189 HEAD'S 2ND OCCUPATION - DOES HEAD WORK FULL-TIME

R'S & HEAD'S UNEMPLOYMENT: LENGTH, RECENCY

680190 R'S LENGTH OF UNEMPLOYMENT
680191 R'S RECENCY OF UNEMPLOYMENT
680192 HEAD'S LENGTH OF UNEMPLOYMENT
680193 HEAD'S RECENCY OF UNEMPLOYMENT

FARMERS' QUESTIONS

680194 DOES R OWN, RENT, OR MANAGE R'S FARM
680195 HOW MUCH LAND DOES R FARM
680196 WHAT KIND OF FARMING DOES R DO MOSTLY
680197 HAVE PRICES FOR R'S PRODUCE RISEN IN THE LAST 4 YEARS
680198 HOW MUCH EFFECT HAS GOVT HAD ON CROP PRICES
680199 SHOULD GOVT SUBSIDIZE AGRICULTURE
680200 DOES R FEEL STRONGLY ABOUT SUBSIDIZED AGRICULTURE

UNION MEMBERSHIP: R, HEAD, OTHER HOUSEHOLD MEMBER
680201 DOES ANYONE IN R'S HOUSEHOLD BELONG TO A UNION
680202 WHAT UNION DOES R BELONG TO
680203 HOW LONG HAS R BELONGED TO THAT UNION
680204 WHAT UNION DOES HEAD BELONG TO
680205 HOW LONG HAS HEAD BELONGED TO THAT UNION
680206 WHAT UNION DOES OTHER HOUSEHOLD MEMBER BELONG TO
680207 HOW LONG HAS OTHER MEMBER BELONGED TO THAT UNION
R'S CLASS IDENTIFICATION

680208 DOES R THINK OF SELF AS MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS
680209 WHICH CLASS DOES R THINK OF SELF AS
680210 DOES R FEEL CLOSE TO (CHOSEN CLASS) PEOPLE
680211 IN WHAT SOCIAL CLASS WOULD OTHERS PLACE R
680212 WHAT CLASS WAS R'S FAMILY WHEN R WAS GROWING UP

R'S RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE

680213 R'S RELIGION
680214 HOW OFTEN DOES R GO TO CHURCH
680215 WHAT IS R'S OPINION ON THE BIBLE

MILITARY SERVICE & VIETNAM TROOP BUILDUP

680216 HAS R SERVED IN THE ARMED FORCES IN THE PAST 6 YEARS
680217 DID R SERVE ANY TIME IN VIETNAM
680218 HAVE ANY FAMILY MEMBERS SERVED IN THE PAST 6 YEARS
680219 DID THE MEMBER SERVE DUE TO THE VIETNAM TROOP-BUILDUP
680220 DID THE MEMBER SERVE ANY TIME IN VIETNAM
680221 HAVE ANY OTHER RELATIVES OR FRIENDS SERVED RECENTLY
680222 DID THE MEMBER SERVE DUE TO THE VIETNAM TROOP-BUILDUP
680223 DID THE PERSON SERVE ANY TIME IN VIETNAM

"FEELING THERMOMETER" - GROUPS IN SOCIETY

680224 FEELING THERMOMETER - BIG BUSINESS
680225 FEELING THERMOMETER - LIBERALS
680226 FEELING THERMOMETER - SOUTHERNERS
680227 FEELING THERMOMETER - CATHOLICS
680228 FEELING THERMOMETER - POLICEMAN
680229 FEELING THERMOMETER - COLLEGE STUDENTS
680230 FEELING THERMOMETER - DEMOCRATS
680231 FEELING THERMOMETER - THE MILITARY
680232 FEELING THERMOMETER - JEWS
680233 FEELING THERMOMETER - WHITES
680234 FEELING THERMOMETER - VIETNAM WAR PROTESTERS
680235 FEELING THERMOMETER - LABOR UNIONS
680236 FEELING THERMOMETER - LAWYERS
680237 FEELING THERMOMETER - REPUBLICANS
680238 FEELING THERMOMETER - SCHOOL TEACHERS
680239 FEELING THERMOMETER - PROTESTANTS
680240 FEELING THERMOMETER - NEGROES
680241 FEELING THERMOMETER - CONSERVATIVES
680242 FEELING THERMOMETER - CITY AND COUNTY OFFICIALS

R'S ETHNIC BACKGROUND & IDENTIFICATION

680243 R'S BIRTHPLACE
680244 WERE BOTH R'S PARENTS BORN IN THE US
680245 WHICH COUNTRY WAS R'S FATHER BORN IN
680246 WHICH COUNTRY WAS R'S MOTHER BORN IN
680247 WHICH COUNTRY WAS R'S FAMILY FROM - FATHER'S SIDE
680248 WHICH COUNTRY WAS R'S FAMILY FROM - MOTHER'S SIDE
WHAT NATIONALITY DOES R CONSIDER R'S FAMILY MAIN NATIONALITY
FEELING THERMOMETER - R'S MAIN NATIONALITY

R'S FATHER'S OCCUPATION

WHAT KIND OF WORK DID R'S FATHER DO
R'S FATHER'S OCCUPATION - DUNCAN SES
R'S FATHER'S OCCUPATION - DUNCAN DECILE
R'S FATHER'S OCCUPATION - CENSUS SES
WAS R'S FAMILY WELL OFF ON THE FARM WHEN R WAS YOUNG

WHERE WAS R BROUGHT UP

WHAT STATE(S) DID R GROW UP IN
AT WHAT AGE DID R COME TO (PRESENT STATE)
WHAT SIZE COMMUNITY WAS R BROUGHT UP IN
HOW LONG HAS R LIVED IN (PRESENT COMMUNITY)

R'S INCOME

WHAT WILL R AND FAMILY'S TOTAL INCOME BE THIS YEAR
DOES R OWN OR RENT R'S HOME

SEX, RACE, RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD

R'S SEX
R'S RACE
RELATIONSHIP OF R TO HEAD

CONDITIONS OF THE PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEW

WHAT OTHER PERSONS WERE PRESENT AT THE INTERVIEW
EXTENT OF R'S COOPERATION
LEVEL OF R'S INTEREST IN POLITICS
LEVEL OF R'S INFORMATION ABOUT POLITICS

POST-ELECTION WAVE

POST-ELECTION SEQUENCE NUMBER
INTERVIEWER NUMBER
DATE OF POST-ELECTION INTERVIEW
LENGTH OF POST-ELECTION INTERVIEW
POST-ELECTION CODER NUMBER

R'S PERSONAL FINANCIAL SITUATION: PRESENT, FUTURE

IS R BETTER OFF FINANCIALLY THAN A YEAR AGO
FACTORS AFFECTING FINANCIAL STATUS OF R AND FAMILY
IS R AND FAMILY MAKING AS MUCH MONEY AS A YEAR AGO
WILL R BE BETTER OFF A YEAR FROM NOW
WILL THE RECENT TAX INCREASE AFFECT HOW R WILL SPEND
WILL THE RECENT TAX INCREASE AFFECT HOW R WILL SAVE
INFLATION, RECESSION, BUSINESS CONDITIONS

680281 DOES R THINK PRICES WILL GO UP IN THE NEXT YEAR
680282 HOW LARGE A PRICE INCREASE DOES R EXPECT
680283 WILL NATIONAL BUSINESS CONDITIONS BE GOOD NEXT YEAR
680284 WHY DOES R THINK BUSINESS CONDITIONS WILL BE GOOD/BAD
680285 IS DEPRESSION OR GOOD TIMES MORE LIKELY NEXT 5 YEARS
680286 ARE BUSINESS CONDITIONS BETTER THAN A YEAR AGO
680287 HAS R HEARD OF ANY CHANGES IN CONDITIONS RECENTLY
680288 WILL BUSINESS CONDITIONS BE BETTER A YEAR FROM NOW
680289 WILL A RECESSION LIKE 1958 OR 1960-61 HAPPEN AGAIN
680290 WHY IS SUCH A RECESSION LIKELY
680291 WHEN WILL A RECESSION OCCUR

THE 1968 ELECTION & THE MASS MEDIA

680292 DID R FOLLOW THE ELECTION REGULARLY IN NEWSPAPERS
680293 WHAT PAPER DID R READ MOST FOR POLITICAL NEWS
680294 DID THE NEWSPAPER TAKE SIDES IN THE CAMPAIGN
680295 WHO WAS THE NEWSPAPER FOR/AGAINST
680296 DID R LISTEN TO MANY CAMPAIGN RADIO PROGRAMS
680297 DID THE RADIO REPORTING TAKE SIDES IN THE CAMPAIGN
680298 WHO WAS THE RADIO REPORTING FOR/AGAINST
680299 DID R READ MANY MAGAZINE ARTICLES ON THE CAMPAIGN
680300 WHICH MAGAZINES DID R READ POLITICAL ARTICLES IN
680301 DID (MAGAZINE MOST READ) TAKE SIDES IN THE CAMPAIGN
680302 WHO WAS THE MAGAZINE FOR/AGAINST
680303 DID R WATCH ANY PROGRAMS ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN ON TV
680304 DID THE TELEVISION REPORTING TAKE SIDES
680305 WHO WAS THE TELEVISION REPORTING FOR/AGAINST
680306 DID LOCAL OR NATIONAL TELEVISION TAKE SIDES
680307 FROM WHICH MEDIUM DID R GET THE MOST INFORMATION

CHICAGO POLICE & DEMOCRATIC CONVENTION

680308 DID THE CHICAGO POLICE USE TOO MUCH FORCE
680309 CHICAGO POLICE COMMENTS

R'S 1968 ELECTION VOTING BEHAVIOR

680310 DID R VOTE IN THIS ELECTION
680311 DID R VOTE IN R'S PRESENT AREA
680312 STATE R VOTED IN IF R VOTED OUTSIDE PRESENT AREA
680313 DISTRICT VOTED IN IF R VOTED OUTSIDE OF PRESENT AREA
680314 DID R VOTE IN PERSON
680315 DID R VOTE BY VOTING MACHINE

PRESIDENTIAL VOTE

680316 WHOM DID R VOTE FOR FOR PRESIDENT
680317 WHY DID R VOTE FOR (CHOSEN CANDIDATE)
680318 HOW LONG BEFORE THE ELECTION DID R DECIDE R'S VOTE
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CONGRESSIONAL VOTE

680322 DID R VOTE FOR CONGRESSMAN
680323 WHOM DID R VOTE FOR FOR CONGRESSMAN
680324 CONGRESSIONAL PARTY CANDIDACY PATTERN

GUBERNATORIAL VOTE

680325 DID R VOTE FOR GOVERNOR
680326 WHOM DID R VOTE FOR FOR GOVERNOR
680327 GUBERNATORIAL PARTY CANDIDACY PATTERN

OTHER STATE & LOCAL OFFICES

680328 DID R VOTE A STRAIGHT TICKET FOR OTHER OFFICES

PROPOSITIONS ON BALLOT

680329 WERE THERE ANY PROPOSITIONS R WAS INTERESTED IN
680330 PRESENCE OF PROPOSITIONS ON R'S BALLOT
680331 R'S KNOWLEDGE OF PROPOSITIONS ON BALLOT
680332 TYPE OF PROPOSITION R WAS INTERESTED IN
680333 DID R VOTE IN FAVOR OF (MENTIONED PROPOSITION)
680334 WAS (MENTIONED PROPOSITION) A STATE OR LOCAL ISSUE

680335 WHAT WAS THE MAIN REASON R DID NOT VOTE

NATIONAL AND LOCAL CLOSENES OF 1968 RACE

680336 WHOM DID R THINK WOULD WIN THE PRESIDENCY
680337 HOW CLOSE DID R THINK THE ELECTION WOULD BE NATIONALLY
680338 HOW CLOSE DID R THINK WALLACE WOULD COME NATIONALLY
680339 WHOM DID R THINK WOULD CARRY R'S STATE
680340 HOW CLOSE DID R THINK IT WOULD BE IN R'S STATE
680341 HOW CLOSE DID R THINK WALLACE WOULD COME IN R'S STATE

PAST VOTING BEHAVIOR IN PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS

680342 YEAR OF R'S BIRTH
680343 HOW MANY PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS HAS R VOTED IN
680344 HOW MANY TIMES DID R VOTE FOR THE DEMOCRAT

REPUBLICAN-DEMOCRATIC PARTY DIFFERENCES

680345 WHAT DIFFERENCES ARE THERE BETWEEN THE TWO PARTIES
680346 CONTENT OF 1ST DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE PARTIES
680347 WHEN DID R FIRST NOTICE THE 1ST DIFFERENCE
680348 WHAT MADE R AWARE OF THE 1ST DIFFERENCE
ARE THERE ANY MORE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE PARTIES
CONTENT OF 2ND DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PARTIES
WHEN DID R FIRST NOTICE THE 2ND DIFFERENCE
WHAT MADE R AWARE OF THE 2ND DIFFERENCE
ARE THERE ANY MORE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE PARTIES
CONTENT OF 3RD DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PARTIES
WHEN DID R FIRST NOTICE THE 3RD DIFFERENCE
WHAT MADE R AWARE OF THE 3RD DIFFERENCE
ARE THERE ANY MORE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE PARTIES
CONTENT OF 4TH DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PARTIES
WHEN DID R FIRST NOTICE THE 4TH DIFFERENCE
WHAT MADE R AWARE OF THE 4TH DIFFERENCE

CONSERVATIVE-LIBERAL PARTY DIFFERENCES

IS ONE PARTY MORE CONSERVATIVE THAN THE OTHER
1ST CONSERVATIVE-LIBERAL DIFFERENCE - REFERENCE CODE
CONTENT OF 1ST CONSERVATIVE-LIBERAL PARTY DIFFERENCE
2ND CONSERVATIVE-LIBERAL DIFFERENCE - REFERENCE CODE
CONTENT OF 2ND CONSERVATIVE-LIBERAL PARTY DIFFERENCE
3RD CONSERVATIVE-LIBERAL DIFFERENCE - REFERENCE CODE
CONTENT OF 3RD CONSERVATIVE-LIBERAL PARTY DIFFERENCE

WALLACE COMPARED TO DEMOCRATS/REPUBLICANS

DOES R CONSIDER WALLACE AND LEMAY PARTY LEADERS
WHY ARE WALLACE AND LEMAY (NOT) PARTY LEADERS
ARE THERE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN WALLACE AND REPUBLICANS
CONTENT OF 1ST WALLACE-REPUBLICAN DIFFERENCE
ANY OTHER DIFFERENCES BETWEEN WALLACE AND REPUBLICANS
CONTENT OF 2ND WALLACE-REPUBLICAN DIFFERENCE
ARE THERE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN WALLACE AND DEMOCRATS
CONTENT OF 1ST WALLACE-DEMOCRATIC DIFFERENCE
ANY OTHER DIFFERENCES BETWEEN WALLACE AND DEMOCRATS
CONTENT OF 2ND WALLACE-DEMOCRATIC DIFFERENCE

R'S KNOWLEDGE OF DISTRICT'S CONGRESSIONAL RACE

DOES R REMEMBER THE CANDIDATES FOR U.S. CONGRESS
R'S KNOWLEDGE OF REPUBLICAN CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE
R'S KNOWLEDGE OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES-NAME & PARTY
DID BOTH PARTIES RUN CANDIDATES FOR CONGRESS
CORRECTNESS OF R'S PERCEPTION OF CANDIDACY PATTERNS
IS (EITHER OF THE CANDIDATES) ALREADY IN CONGRESS
ACTUAL INCUMBENCY STATUS OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES
CORRECTNESS OF R'S PERCEPTION OF INCUMBENCY STATUS
WHICH PARTY HAD MORE CONGRESSMEN BEFORE THE ELECTION
WHICH PARTY JUST ELECTED THE MOST CONGRESSMEN

POLITICAL ACTIVITIES DURING THE CAMPAIGN

R'S ATTEMPTS TO INFLUENCE OTHERS' VOTE
METEINGS, BUTTONS, CANVASSING

680397 DID R ATTEND ANY POLITICAL MEETINGS OR RALLIES
680398 DID R WORK FOR A PARTY OR CANDIDATE
680399 DID R WEAR A BUTTON OR PUT A STICKER ON THE CAR
680400 DOES R BELONG TO A POLITICAL GROUP

OTHERS' ATTEMPTS TO INFLUENCE R'S VOTE

680401 DID A POLITICAL WORKER TALK TO R
680402 HOW MANY TIMES DID POLITICAL WORKERS TALK TO R
680403 HOW MANY TIMES DID REPUBLICANS TALK TO R
680404 HOW MANY TIMES DID DEMOCRATS TALK TO R
680405 HOW MANY TIMES DID ANOTHER PARTY TALK TO R
680406 WHAT OTHER PARTY TALKED TO R
680407 WHAT SPECIFICALLY DID THESE PEOPLE DISCUSS WITH R
680408 DID ANYONE CLOSE TO R TRY TO TELL R HOW TO VOTE
680409 DID R'S SPOUSE TELL R WHO TO VOTE FOR
680410 WHOM DID SPOUSE TELL R TO VOTE FOR
680411 DID A RELATIVE TELL R WHO TO VOTE FOR
680412 WHOM DID THE RELATIVE TELL R TO VOTE FOR
680413 DID A FRIEND TELL R WHO TO VOTE FOR
680414 WHOM DID THE FRIEND TELL R TO VOTE FOR
680415 DID A CO-WORKER TELL R WHO TO VOTE FOR
680416 WHOM DID THE CO-WORKER TELL R TO VOTE FOR
680417 DID ANYONE ELSE TELL R WHO TO VOTE FOR
680418 WHOM DID "ANYONE ELSE" TELL R TO VOTE FOR
680419 WHO WAS THE OTHER PERSON WHO TOLD R HOW TO VOTE
680420 IDENTITY OF MULTIPLE RESPONSES FOR REF. NOS. 409-419

CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS

680421 WAS R OR FAMILY ASKED TO CONTRIBUTE TO A CAMPAIGN
680422 WHICH PARTY ASKED FOR CONTRIBUTIONS
680423 DID R OR FAMILY CONTRIBUTE TO A CAMPAIGN
680424 TO WHICH PARTY WAS THE CONTRIBUTION MADE

LETTERS TO PUBLIC OFFICIALS, CONGRESSMAN, EDITOR

680425 HAS R EVER WRITTEN AN OPINION TO ANY PUBLIC OFFICIAL
680426 HOW MANY TIMES HAS R WRITTEN IN THE LAST 4 YEARS
680427 HOW MANY TIMES DID R WRITE R'S US CONGRESSMAN
680428 HAS R EVER WRITTEN A POLITICAL LETTER TO THE EDITOR
680429 HOW MANY LETTERS TO THE EDITOR IN THE LAST 4 YEARS
ATTENTION PAID TO INTERNATIONAL/STATE/LOCAL AFFAIRS

680430 DOES R FOLLOW GOVT AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS A LOT
680431 DOES R PAY MUCH ATTENTION TO INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS
680432 DOES R PAY MUCH ATTENTION TO NATIONAL AFFAIRS
680433 DOES R PAY MUCH ATTENTION TO STATE AFFAIRS
680434 DOES R PAY MUCH ATTENTION TO LOCAL AFFAIRS
680435 WHICH LEVEL DOES R FOLLOW MOST-INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS
680436 WHICH LEVEL DOES R FOLLOW MOST-NATIONAL AFFAIRS
680437 WHICH LEVEL DOES R FOLLOW MOST-STATE AFFAIRS
680438 WHICH LEVEL DOES R FOLLOW MOST-LOCAL AFFAIRS

LOCAL SCHOOL BOARD

680439 HOW INTERESTED IS R IN THE LOCAL SCHOOL BOARD
680440 HOW OFTEN DOES R DISAGREE WITH THE SCHOOL BOARD
680441 SHOULD A SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER USE HIS OWN JUDGEMENT
680442 WHY SHOULD A BOARD MEMBER (NOT) USE HIS OWN JUDGEMENT

LOCAL EDUCATION: PROBLEMS, R'S ACTIVITIES

680443 WHAT ARE THE BIGGEST EDUCATIONAL PROBLEMS-1ST PROBLEM
680444 WHAT ARE THE BIGGEST EDUCATIONAL PROBLEMS-2ND PROBLEM
680445 HOW SHOULD THE 1ST EDUCATIONAL PROBLEM BE HANDLED
680446 HOW SHOULD THE 2ND EDUCATIONAL PROBLEM BE HANDLED
680447 HAS R EVER VOTED IN AN ELECTION PERTAINING TO SCHOOLS
680448 WHEN WAS THE LAST TIME R EVER VOTED ON SCHOOL MATTERS
680449 DOES R RECALL WHAT THE ELECTION (LAST VOTED IN) WAS
680450 HAS R TAKEN PART IN A SCHOOL MATTER THE PAST 2 YEARS
680451 WHAT SCHOOL ACTIVITIES HAS R ENGAGED IN

TEACHERS' VOICE & STRIKE RIGHTS

680452 SHOULD TEACHERS HAVE A GREATER VOICE IN SCHOOL POLICY
680453 HOW SHOULD TEACHERS HAVE A GREATER VOICE IN POLICY
680454 SHOULD TEACHERS GO ON STRIKE FOR GREATER BENEFITS
680455 IS R'S MIND MADE UP ON THE ISSUE OF TEACHERS STRIKING

GOVERNMENT CONTROL OF EDUCATION

680456 DOES THE FEDERAL GOVT CONTROL EDUCATION TOO MUCH
680457 DOES THE STATE GOVT CONTROL LOCAL EDUCATION TOO MUCH

SCHOOL PRAYER

680458 SHOULD PRAYER BE ALLOWED IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS
680459 COMMENTS ON PRAYERS IN SCHOOLS

URBAN UNREST SCALE

680460 R'S RATING ON URBAN UNREST SCALE OF LYNDON JOHNSON
680461 R'S RATING ON URBAN UNREST SCALE OF HUBERT HUMPHREY
680462 R'S RATING ON URBAN UNREST SCALE OF RICHARD NIXON
680463 R'S RATING ON URBAN UNREST SCALE OF GEORGE WALLACE
680464 R'S RATING ON URBAN UNREST SCALE OF HIMSELF
680465 WAS THE URBAN UNREST ISSUE IMPORTANT FOR R'S VOTE

VIETNAM ACTION SCALE

680466 R'S RATING ON VIETNAM ACTION SCALE OF LYNDON JOHNSON
680467 R'S RATING ON VIETNAM ACTION SCALE OF HUBERT HUMPHREY
680468 R'S RATING ON VIETNAM ACTION SCALE OF RICHARD NIXON
680469 R'S RATING ON VIETNAM ACTION SCALE OF GEORGE WALLACE
680470 R'S RATING ON VIETNAM ACTION SCALE OF HIMSELF

680471 WAS THE VIETNAM ISSUE IMPORTANT FOR R'S VOTE

R'S ATTITUDE: PROTEST, CIVIL DISOBEDIENCE

680472 DOES R APPROVE OF LEGAL PROTEST MEETINGS OR MARCHES
680473 WHY DOES R (NOT) APPROVE OF LEGAL DEMONSTRATIONS
680474 DOES R APPROVE OF BREAKING A LAW ONE FEELS IS UNJUST
680475 WHY DOES R (NOT) APPROVE OF LEGAL DEMONSTRATIONS
680476 DOES R APPROVE OF ATTEMPTING TO STOP GOVT ACTIVITIES
680477 WHY DOES R (NOT) APPROVE OF STOPPING GOVT ACTIVITIES

"FEELING THERMOMETER" - POSSIBLE CANDIDATES

680478 FEELING THERMOMETER - GEORGE WALLACE
680479 FEELING THERMOMETER - HUBERT HUMPHREY
680480 FEELING THERMOMETER - RICHARD NIXON
680481 FEELING THERMOMETER - EUGENE MCCARTHY
680482 FEELING THERMOMETER - RONALD REAGAN
680483 FEELING THERMOMETER - NELSON ROCKEFELLER
680484 FEELING THERMOMETER - LYNDON JOHNSON
680485 FEELING THERMOMETER - GEORGE ROMNEY
680486 FEELING THERMOMETER - ROBERT KENNEDY
680487 FEELING THERMOMETER - ED MUSKIE
680488 FEELING THERMOMETER - SPIRO AGNEW
680489 FEELING THERMOMETER - CURTIS LEMAY

POLITICAL TRUST & CYNICISM

680490 HOW MUCH ATTENTION DOES GOVT PAY TO WHAT PEOPLE THINK
680491 HOW MUCH DO PARTIES HELP GOVT PAY ATTENTION TO PEOPLE
680492 HOW MUCH DO ELECTIONS MAKE GOVT RESPONSIVE TO PEOPLE
680493 HOW MUCH ATTENTION DO CONGRESSMEN PAY TO PEOPLE
680494 DO PARTIES USUALLY KEEP THEIR ELECTION PROMISES

PERSONAL EFFECTIVENESS & TRUST

680495 IS IT BETTER TO PLAN ONE'S LIFE A GOOD WAY AHEAD
680496 WHEN R PLANS AHEAD, DO THINGS USUALLY GO AS PLANNED
680497 HAS R FELT SURE THAT LIFE WOULD GO AS R WANTS IT
680498 DOES R FEEL R CAN RUN R'S LIFE PRETTY MUCH AS R LIKES
680499 HOW SATISFYING IS R'S LIFE THESE DAYS
680500 GENERALLY SPEAKING, CAN MOST PEOPLE BE TRUSTED
680501 DO PEOPLE TRY AND BE HELPFUL MOST OF THE TIME
680502 IF GIVEN THE CHANCE, WOULD PEOPLE TAKE ADVANTAGE OF R
TRUST IN GOVERNMENT

680503 DO PEOPLE IN GOVT WASTE A LOT OF TAX MONEY
680504 HOW OFTEN CAN THE FEDERAL GOVT BE TRUSTED TO DO RIGHT
680505 IS GOVT RUN PRETTY MUCH BY A FEW BIG INTERESTS
680506 DO PEOPLE IN GOVT USUALLY KNOW WHAT THEY ARE DOING
680507 ARE QUITE A FEW PEOPLE IN GOVT A LITTLE CROOKED

FAITH IN LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT

680508 WHAT LEVEL OF GOVT DOES R HAVE THE MOST FAITH IN
680509 WHY DOES R HAVE THE MOST FAITH IN (CHOSEN LEVEL)
680510 WHAT LEVEL OF GOVT DOES R HAVE THE LEAST FAITH IN
680511 WHY DOES R HAVE THE LEAST FAITH IN (CHOSEN LEVEL)
680512 LEVEL OF GOVT R RANKS SECOND IN TERMS OF FAITH

POLITICAL EFFICACY

680513 WHAT WOULD R DO TO STOP A BAD LOCAL LAW FROM PASSING
680514 WHAT CHANCE WOULD R HAVE OF CHANGING THE LOCAL LAW
680515 WHAT WOULD R DO ABOUT A BAD NATIONAL LAW
680516 WHAT CHANCE WOULD R HAVE OF CHANGING THE NATIONAL LAW
680517 DO PEOPLE LIKE R HAVE A SAY IN GOVT
680518 IS VOTING THE ONLY WAY PEOPLE LIKE R CAN HAVE A SAY
680519 IS POLITICS AND GOVT TOO COMPLEX FOR R TO UNDERSTAND
680520 DO PUBLIC OFFICIALS CARE WHAT PEOPLE LIKE R THINK
680521 DO US CONGRESSMEN QUICKLY LOSE TOUCH WITH THE PEOPLE
680522 SHOULD WE WORRY ABOUT PROBLEMS ELSEWHERE IN THE WORLD
680523 PARTIES ARE ONLY INTERESTED IN PEOPLE'S VOTES
680524 SCHOOL DISTRICT IN WHICH R LIVES

SEX, RACE, RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD

680525 R'S SEX
680526 R'S RACE
680527 RELATIONSHIP OF R TO HEAD

CONDITIONS OF THE POST-ELECTION INTERVIEW

680528 OTHER PERSONS PRESENT AT THE INTERVIEW
680529 NATURE OF R'S COOPERATION
680530 LEVEL OF R'S INTEREST IN POLITICS AND GOVT
680531 LEVEL OF R'S INFORMATION ABOUT POLITICS AND GOVT
680532 DID THE SAME INTERVIEWER DO THE PRE-ELECTION STUDY

DERIVED VARIABLES

680533 R'S AGE
680534 STATE AND CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT WHERE R VOTED
680535 POLITICAL EFFICACY INDEX
680536 POLITICAL INVOLVEMENT INDEX