

int1968.txt

Version 01 Codebook

CODEBOOK INTRODUCTION FILE
1968 PRE-POST STUDY
(1968.T)

AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES
1968 PRE-POST ELECTION STUDY

CONDUCTED BY THE SURVEY RESEARCH CENTER (45523)

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS
POLITICAL BEHAVIOR PROGRAM
UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

SEPT. 6, 1968 - NOV. 4, 1968
NOV. 6, 1968 - FEB. 24, 1969

ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER 7281

□

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF ASSISTANCE

int1968.txt

ALL MANUSCRIPTS UTILIZING DATA MADE AVAILABLE THROUGH THE CONSORTIUM SHOULD ACKNOWLEDGE THAT FACT AS WELL AS IDENTIFY THE ORIGINAL COLLECTOR OF THE DATA. THE ICPSR COUNCIL URGES ALL USERS OF ICPSR DATA FACILITIES TO FOLLOW SOME ADAPTATION OF THIS STATEMENT WITH THE PARENTHESES INDICATING ITEMS TO BE FILLED IN APPROPRIATELY OR DELETED BY THE INDIVIDUAL USER.

THE DATA (AND TABULATIONS) UTILIZED IN THIS (PUBLICATION) WERE MADE AVAILABLE (IN PART) BY THE INTER-UNIVERSITY CONSORTIUM FOR POLITICAL RESEARCH. THE DATA FOR THE SRC 1968 AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY WERE ORIGINALLY COLLECTED BY THE POLITICAL BEHAVIOR PROGRAM OF THE SURVEY RESEARCH CENTER, INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH, THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN. NEITHER THE ORIGINAL COLLECTORS OF THE DATA NOR THE CONSORTIUM BEAR ANY RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ANALYSES OR INTERPRETATIONS PRESENTED HERE.

IN ORDER TO PROVIDE FUNDING AGENCIES WITH ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE USE OF ARCHIVAL RESOURCES, AND TO FACILITATE THE EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION ABOUT ICPSR PARTICIPANTS' RESEARCH ACTIVITIES, EACH USER OF THE ICPSR DATA FACILITIES IS EXPECTED TO SEND TWO COPIES OF EACH COMPLETED MANUSCRIPT TO THE CONSORTIUM. PLEASE INDICATE IN THE COVER LETTER WHICH DATA WERE USED.

□

CONTENTS

Note: >>sections in the codebook introduction and
Page 2

int1968.txt
codebook appendix can be navigated in the
machine-readable files by searching ">>".

INTRODUCTORY MATERIAL (file int1968.cbk)

>> 1968 STUDY DESCRIPTION
>> 1968 SAMPLING INFORMATION
>> 1968 CODEBOOK INFORMATION
>> 1968 VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST

CODEBOOK

1968 variables

APPENDICES (file app1968.cbk)

>> REF. NO. 2 NOTE, 1968
>> REF. NO. 3 NOTE, 1968
>> REF. NO. 5 NOTE, 1968
>> 1968 STANDARD PRIMARY SAMPLING UNIT CODE
>> 1968 THE STATE AND COUNTRY CODE
>> 1968 PSU AND COUNTY CODES ALPHABETICALLY BY STATES
>> REF. NO. 20 NOTE, 1968
>> REF. NOS. 28-37 NOTE, 1968
>> 1968 PARTY AND CANDIDATE MASTER CODES
>> REF. NOS 48, 52, 56 NOTE, 1968
>> REF. NO. 68 NOTE, 1968
>> REF. NOS. 70-72 NOTE, 1968
>> 1968 ICPSR COLLEGE AND UNIVERSITY CODE
>> 1968 OCCUPATION CODES
>> 1968 CENSUS INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION
>> 1968 UNION CODE
>> REF. NOS. 224-242 NOTE, 1968
>> 1968 THE NEWSPAPER CODE
>> 1968 SENATORIAL, GUBERNATORIAL AND CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE LIST
>> REF. NOS. 346, 350, 354, 358, 363, 365, 367, 371, 373, 375, 377
>> 1968 PARTY DIFFERENCES CODE
>> 1968 PRECIPITATING EVENTS CODE
>> 1968 PROBLEMS FACING EDUCATION IN R'S SCHOOL DISTRICT
>> 1968 HOW TO HANDLE SCHOOL DISTRICT PROBLEM
>> Q. 68A <REF. NO. 473>, Q. 69A <REF. NO. 475>,
AND Q. 70A <REF. NO. 477>, 1968
>> INTRODUCTION MATERIAL TO THE "CANDIDATE THERMOMETER QUESTIONS"
(REF. NOS. 478-489), 1968

□>> 1968 STUDY DESCRIPTION

THE 1968 AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY (SRC 45523)
WAS CONDUCTED BY THE POLITICAL BEHAVIOR PROGRAM OF THE
SURVEY RESEARCH CENTER, INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH, THE
UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN. THE STUDY WAS SUPPORTED BY A GRANT
FROM THE FORD FOUNDATION. IT IS THE TENTH IN A SERIES OF
STUDIES OF NATIONAL ELECTIONS BEGINNING WITH THE 1948
PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION.

INTERVIEWING WAS CONDUCTED IN TWO WAVES. FOR THE FIRST OR PRE-ELECTION WAVE, THE INTERVIEWING STARTED IN THE MONTH OF SEPTEMBER AND CONTINUED THROUGH THE FIRST FOUR DAYS IN NOVEMBER. THE POST-ELECTION INTERVIEWING BEGAN IMMEDIATELY AFTER ELECTION DAY AND ENDED IN THE LATTER DAYS OF FEBRUARY, 1969. THE OVERALL RESPONSE RATE FOR THE PRE-ELECTION SURVEY WAS 77%; THE OVERALL REINTERVIEW RATE OF THE 1673 PRE-ELECTION RESPONDENTS WAS 86.3%. TO COMPENSATE FOR A POST-ELECTION RESPONSE RATE UNEXPECTEDLY LOW, A TWO-PAGE MAIL QUESTIONNAIRE, INQUIRING ABOUT THE 1968 VOTING BEHAVIOR, WAS SENT OUT TO 182 POST-ELECTION NON-INTERVIEWEES FOR WHOM A MAILING ADDRESS WAS AVAILABLE. THIS MAILING, AND A SUBSEQUENT ONE, BROUGHT RESPONSES FROM 36 OF THE ORIGINAL NON-INTERVIEWEES, THEREBY INCREASING THE OVERALL POST ELECTION RESPONSE RATE TO 88.5%.

THE PRE-ELECTION SURVEY INQUIRED ABOUT THE RESPONDENT'S ATTITUDES ON RACIAL ISSUES AND HIS RACIAL ENVIRONMENT, SUCH AS RACIAL MIX OF AREA SCHOOLS AND CO-WORKERS, AND OBTAINED INFORMATION ABOUT THE ROLE OF GOVERNMENT IN A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT AREAS (HEALTH CARE, EDUCATION, STANDARD OF LIVING, JOB EQUALITY, INTEGRATION OF SCHOOLS AND PUBLIC ACCOMMODATIONS). OPINIONS ABOUT VIETNAM, FOREIGN AID AND TRADE, AND FOREIGN POLICY IN GENERAL WERE ALSO SOUGHT. OTHER MORE NARROWLY-DEFINED POLITICAL ITEMS GATHERED INFORMATION ON THE RESPONDENT'S LIKES AND DISLIKES ABOUT THE PARTIES AND THE 1968 CANDIDATES, THE REACTIONS TO PARTY NOMINATIONS, INTEREST IN THE CAMPAIGN AND THE PRIMARY, PRESIDENTIAL VOTE INTENTION, AND PAST VOTING BEHAVIOR AS WELL AS PARTY AFFILIATION OF THE RESPONDENT AND HIS PARENTS. AS IN THE PAST, THE QUESTIONNAIRE INCLUDED AN INQUIRY INTO THE MAJOR PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY AND WAYS TO HANDLE THEM, AND "FEELING THERMOMETER" ITEMS ABOUT VARIOUS GROUPS IN THE NATION. THE PRE-ELECTION SURVEY ALSO OBTAINED PERSONAL DATA SUCH AS THE RESPONDENT'S FAMILY COMPOSITION, EDUCATION AND UNION MEMBERSHIP OF THE RESPONDENT AND THE HEAD OF THE HOUSEHOLD, INFORMATION ON THE MAIN AND THE SECONDARY OCCUPATION OF BOTH THE HEAD AND THE RESPONDENT, THE RESPONDENT'S RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE, CLASS IDENTIFICATION, ETHNIC BACKGROUND AND IDENTIFICATION, INCOME, SEX, AND RACE.

THE POST-ELECTION SURVEY CONCENTRATED ON THE ACTUAL VOTING BEHAVIOR IN THE VARIOUS RACES OF THE 1968 ELECTION. IT ALSO SOUGHT TO MEASURE THE NATURE AND THE EXTENT OF POLITICAL ACTIVITIES THAT THE RESPONDENT WAS INVOLVED IN DURING THE CAMPAIGN, FOR EXAMPLE, CONTRIBUTIONS AND ATTEMPTS TO INFLUENCE OTHERS. A NUMBER OF ITEMS IN THIS PART OF THE STUDY INVESTIGATE THE DIFFERENCES THE RESPONDENT PERCEIVES BETWEEN THE DEMOCRATIC AND THE REPUBLICAN PARTIES, AND BETWEEN WALLACE AND THE TWO MAJOR PARTIES. IN ADDITION, QUESTIONS ABOUT A VARIETY OF EDUCATIONAL PROBLEMS, AND PROTEST AND CIVIL DISOBEDIENCE ARE INCLUDED, AS WELL AS TWO SCALES MEASURING THE RESPONDENT'S POSITION AND THE POSITION OF CERTAIN POLITICAL LEADERS WITH REGARD TO URBAN UNREST AND ACTION IN VIETNAM. "FEELING THERMOMETER" ITEMS ABOUT POSSIBLE CANDIDATES IN 1972 ARE PRESENT IN THE POST-ELECTION

int1968.txt

SURVEY ALONG WITH QUESTIONS THAT INQUIRE ABOUT THE RESPONDENT'S PERSONAL FINANCIAL SITUATION AND HIS OUTLOOK ON THE ECONOMIC FUTURE OF THE NATION AS A WHOLE. THE STUDY CONCLUDES WITH A SERIES OF QUESTIONS DESIGNED TO MEASURE THE RESPONDENT'S POLITICAL TRUST AND CYNICISM, PERSONAL TRUST AND EFFECTIVENESS, TRUST IN GOVERNMENT, AND POLITICAL EFFICACY.

AS IN THE 1964 ELECTION STUDY, THE RESPONDENTS IN THIS STUDY ARE DIVIDED INTO TWO SAMPLES--A CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE, CONSISTING OF 1557 RESPONDENTS, AND A BLACK SUPPLEMENT SAMPLE OF 116 RESPONDENTS. THE LATTER GROUP WAS INTERVIEWED TO BROADEN THE BASE OF BLACK RESPONDENTS AVAILABLE TO RESEARCHERS INTERESTED IN THE RACIAL ISSUES INVESTIGATED IN THE STUDY. WHEN THE BLACK SUPPLEMENT IS COMBINED WITH THE BLACKS FROM THE CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE, A RAW N OF 265 BLACK RESPONDENTS IS AVAILABLE. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ON THE CONSTRUCTION AND USE OF THE CROSS-SECTION AND THE ALL-BLACK SAMPLE, OR THE COMBINED CROSS-SECTION AND SUPPLEMENT SAMPLE, SEE THE SECTION OF THIS INTRODUCTION ENTITLED "TYPES OF SAMPLES".

□

>> 1968 SAMPLING INFORMATION

INDIVIDUALS INTERVIEWED IN THE 1968 ELECTION STUDY FORM A REPRESENTATIVE CROSS-SECTION OF VOTING AGE CITIZENS LIVING IN PRIVATE HOUSEHOLDS IN THE CONTIGUOUS UNITED STATES. THE TWELVE LARGEST METROPOLITAN AREAS OF THE UNITED STATES WERE DRAWN WITH CERTAINTY. THE REST OF THE COUNTRY WAS FORMED INTO 62 STRATA (CONTAINING TWO OR MORE PRIMARY SAMPLING UNITS), FROM EACH OF WHICH A PRIMARY SAMPLING UNIT (CONSISTING OF A COUNTY OR GROUP OF COUNTIES) WAS DRAWN WITH PROBABILITY PROPORTIONAL TO ITS 1960 POPULATION. ULTIMATELY, THE SELECTION PROCEDURE WITHIN THESE 74 PSU'S YIELDED A SAMPLE OF PRIVATE HOUSEHOLDS. RESPONDENTS WERE SELECTED FROM THESE HOUSEHOLDS BY AN OBJECTIVE PROCEDURE OF SELEC-

TION WHICH ALLOWED NO SUBSTITUTIONS. THIS SAMPLE IS REPRESENTATIVE OF THE FOUR MAJOR REGIONS (NORTHEAST, NORTH CENTRAL, SOUTH, AND WEST) OF THE UNITED STATES AS WELL AS THE ENTIRE UNITED STATES. DETAILED DESCRIPTIONS OF THE SAMPLING PROCEDURE CAN BE FOUND IN LESLIE KISH AND IRENE HESS, THE SURVEY RESEARCH CENTER'S NATIONAL SAMPLE OF DWELLINGS <ISR PUBLICATION NUMBER 2315> (ANN ARBOR: THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN) AND LESLIE KISH, SURVEY SAMPLING (NEW YORK: JOHN WILEY AND SONS, 1964).

THE PRE-ELECTION SAMPLE WAS DIVIDED INTO TWO RANDOM HALF-SAMPLES EACH OF WHICH COMPOSES A NATIONAL CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE OF CITIZENS OF VOTING AGE. CHANGES IN VOTING INTENTIONS AND SHIFTS IN ATTITUDE TOWARD MAJOR ISSUES DURING THE INTERVIEWING PERIOD CAN BE ANALYZED BY COMPARING THE HALF-SAMPLES. THE FIRST HALF-SAMPLE INTERVIEWS WERE OBTAINED

BEFORE OCTOBER 9, 1968 AND THE SECOND HALF-SAMPLE INTERVIEWS BETWEEN OCTOBER 10, 1968 AND NOVEMBER 4, 1968.

IN ORDER TO PROVIDE A MORE RELIABLE SAMPLE OF BLACKS, AN ADDITIONAL SET OF BLACKS WAS SAMPLED AND ASKED ALL OF THE APPLICABLE QUESTIONS FOUND IN THE INTERVIEW SCHEDULE. THE 116 BLACKS IN THIS SAMPLE, WHEN ADDED TO THE 149 BLACK RESPONDENTS IN THE CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE, GIVE A MORE RELIABLE DATA BASE. THE SUPPLEMENTARY BLACKS WERE SAMPLED BY DIRECTING THE INTERVIEWER TO SEGMENTS (SMALL AREAS OF 3-6 DWELLINGS) IN WHICH ONE OR MORE BLACK HOUSEHOLDS HAD BEEN LOCATED IN PREVIOUS SRC STUDIES. IN GENERAL, THE PROCEDURE WAS TO SELECT ONE RESPONDENT FROM EACH BLACK HOUSEHOLD FOUND IN THE SEGMENT. A PRECISE DESCRIPTION OF THE SAMPLING PROCEDURE CAN BE FOUND IN THE INTERVIEWERS' "INSTRUCTION BOOK".

THE INTERVIEWS WERE CODED BY THE SRC CODING SECTION UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF ARTHUR C. WOLFE AND JERROLD RUSK WHO ALSO ASSISTED WITH THE OTHER MAJOR PHASES OF THE STUDY. THE USUAL PRACTICE OF CHECK-CODING ONE OUT OF EVERY TEN INTERVIEWS WAS FOLLOWED. THIS RESULTED IN THE CALCULATION OF AN AVERAGE CODING ERROR OF 3.07 ERRORS PER INTERVIEW FOR THE PRE-ELECTION SURVEY, AND 3.36 FOR THE POST-ELECTION.

TYPES OF SAMPLES

THE DATA DESCRIBED BY THIS CODEBOOK MAY BE ACCESSED IN THREE DIFFERENT WAYS, YIELDING IN EFFECT THREE SEPARATE SAMPLES, EACH WITH A DIFFERENT NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS:

- A. THE COMBINED SAMPLE (CROSS-SECTION PLUS BLACK SUPPLEMENT RESPONDENTS) USES ALL THE DATA CASES AVAILABLE IN THE ICPSR DATASET (RAW N OF 1673), AND REQUIRES THE USE OF A WEIGHT VARIABLE (V680003) TO MAKE THE SAMPLE VALID. THE USE OF THE COMBINED SAMPLE IS RECOMMENDED FOR CROSS-SECTION ANALYSIS WITH THE FOLLOWING EXCEPTION. BECAUSE THE BLACK SUPPLEMENT SLIGHTLY UNDER-REPRESENTS BLACKS IN MIXED NEIGHBORHOODS, THE USE OF THE COMBINED SAMPLE IS NOT RECOMMENDED FOR ANALYSIS ON QUESTIONS IN WHICH THE RACIAL ENVIRONMENT MIGHT HAVE AN INFLUENCE ON THE RESPONDENT'S ANSWER. WHERE THIS IS ANTICIPATED TO BE A PROBLEM, THE USER SHOULD WORK WITH THE CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE WHICH EXCLUDES THE BLACK SUPPLEMENT RESPONDENTS.

PRE-ELECTION WAVE

A) FILTER STATEMENT:

NONE

POST-ELECTION WAVE

A) FILTER STATEMENT:

EXCLUDE V680005 = 4-5
THIS FILTER EXCLUDES
"NO POST-ELECTION" TYPE
OF RESPONDENTS FROM THE
COMBINED SAMPLE.

B) PRE-ELECTION WAVE N

B) POST-ELECTION WAVE N

int1968.txt

UNWEIGHTED = 1673
WEIGHTED = 3100

UNWEIGHTED = 1481
WEIGHTED = 2748

- B. THE ALL-BLACK SAMPLE USES THE 149 BLACK RESPONDENTS FROM THE CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE AND THE 116 BLACK RESPONDENTS OF THE BLACK SUPPLEMENT TO YIELD A RAW N OF 265. THIS SAMPLE REQUIRES THE USE OF A WEIGHT VARIABLE (V680003). IT CAN BE OBTAINED BY USING A FILTER ON THE ICPSR DATASET TO INCLUDE ONLY THOSE RESPONDENTS CODED '2' IN REF. NO. 264 (R'S RACE).

THE COMPARABLE WHITE SAMPLE FOR RACIAL ANALYSIS IS OBTAINED FROM THE CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE BY FILTERING OUT ALL BLACK RESPONDENTS FROM THAT SAMPLE. THIS ALL-WHITE SAMPLE FOLLOWS THE SAME RULES OUTLINED BELOW FOR THE CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE.

PRE-ELECTION WAVE

POST-ELECTION WAVE

A) FILTER STATEMENT:

A) FILTER STATEMENT:

INCLUDE V680264 = 2
THIS FILTER INCLUDES
ONLY RESPONDENTS WHO
ARE BLACK.

INCLUDE V680264=2 AND V680005=1-3
THIS FILTER INCLUDES
ONLY BLACKS WITH POST-
ELECTION INTERVIEWS.

B) PRE-ELECTION WAVE N

B) POST-ELECTION WAVE N

UNWEIGHTED = 265
WEIGHTED = 284

UNWEIGHTED = 225
WEIGHTED = 241

- C. THE CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE ALONE USES ONLY THE 1557 RESPONDENTS INTERVIEWED FOR THE NATIONAL CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE, AND EXCLUDES THE BLACK SUPPLEMENT. THIS SAMPLE DOES NOT REQUIRE THE USE OF A WEIGHT VARIABLE, BUT IT NECESSITATES USE OF A FILTER VARIABLE (V4) WHICH EXCLUDES THE BLACK SUPPLEMENT RESPONDENTS FROM THE ICPSR DATASET.

PRE-ELECTION WAVE

POST-ELECTION WAVE

A) FILTER STATEMENT:

A) FILTER STATEMENT:

EXCLUDE V680004 = 5-6
THIS FILTER EXCLUDES
BLACK SUPPLEMENT RESPON-
DENTS FROM THE ICPSR DA-
SET.

EXCLUDE V680004=5-6 OR V680005=4-5
THIS FILTER EXCLUDES
BLACK SUPPLEMENT RE-
SPONDENTS, AND "NO
POST-ELECTION" TYPE
OF RESPONDENTS FROM
THE CROSS-SECTION
SAMPLE.

B) PRE-ELECTION WAVE

B) POST-ELECTION WAVE

int1968.txt

N = 1557

N = 1384

NOTE THAT NO OTHER SUBSET OF THESE RESPONDENTS YIELDS A VALID SAMPLE (E.G., THE BLACK SUPPLEMENT ALONE IS NOT A VALID SAMPLE, AND SHOULD NOT BE USED AS SUCH).

FREQUENCIES

CROSS-SECTION ONLY FREQUENCIES HAVE BEEN INSERTED FOR VARIABLES IN THE BODY OF THIS CODEBOOK. THE BLACK SUPPLEMENT RESPONDENTS HAVE BEEN EXCLUDED FROM THE VALUES REPORTED.

□>> 1968 CODEBOOK INFORMATION

The following example from the 1948 NES study provides the standard format for codebook variable documentation.

Note that NES studies which are not part of the Time-Series usually omit marginals and the descriptive content in lines 2-5 (except for variable name).

Line

```

1  =====
2  VAR 480026   NAME-R NOT VT-WAS R REG TO VT
3              COLUMNS 61   - 61
4              NUMERIC
5              MD=0 OR GE 8
6
7              Q. 17.  (IF R DID NOT VOTE)  WERE YOU REGISTERED (ELIGIBLE)
8              TO VOTE.
9              .....
10
11             82           1.  YES
12             149          2.  NO
13
14             0            8.  DK
15             9            9.  NA
16             422          0.  INAP., R VOTED

```

Line 2 - VARIABLE NAME. Note that in the codebook the variable name (usually a 'number') does not include the "V" prefix which is used in the release SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files) for all variables including those which do not have 'number' names. For example the variable "VERSION" in the codebook is "VVERSION" in the data definition files.

int1968.txt

- Line 2 - "NAME". This is the variable label used in the SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files). Some codebooks exclude this.
- Line 3 - COLUMNS. Columns in the ASCII data file (.dat file).
- Line 4 - CHARACTER OR NUMERIC. If numeric and the variable is a decimal rather than integer variable, the number of decimal places is also indicated (e.g. "NUMERIC DEC 4")
- Line 5 - Values which are assigned to missing by default in the Study's SAS and and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files).
- Line 7 - Actual question text for survey variables or a description of non-survey variables (for example, congressional district). Survey items usually include the question number (for example "Bla.") from the Study questionnaire; beginning in 1996 non-survey items also have unique item numbers (for example "CSheet.1").
- Line 9 - A dashed or dotted line usually separates question text from any other documentation which follows.
- Line 10- When present, annotation provided by Study staff is presented below the question text/description and preceding code values.

Lines 11-16

Code values are listed with descriptive labels. Valid codes (those not having 'missing' status in line 5) are presented first, followed by the values described in line 5. For continuous variables, one line may appear providing the range of possible values. A blank line usually separates the 'valid' and 'missing' values.

Lines 11-16

Marginals are usually provided for discrete variables. The counts may be unweighted or weighted; check the Study codebook introductory text to determine weight usage.

□

>> 1968 VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST

VARIABLE VARIABLE LABEL

VERSION NES VERSION NUMBER
DSETNO NES DATASET NUMBER
680001 ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER
680002 INTERVIEW NUMBER

STUDY PROCEDURE, SAMPLING & WEIGHT VARIABLE

680003 WEIGHT VARIABLE
680004 TYPE OF PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEW
680005 TYPE OF POST-ELECTION INFORMATION OBTAINED
680006 PRIMARY SAMPLING UNIT
680007 PRIMARY SAMPLING UNIT AND PLACE IDENTIFICATION
680008 BELT CODE

int1968.txt

680009 STANDARD SIZE CODE FOR CONSUMER FINANCE SURVEY
680010 STANDARD SIZE CODE FOR INTERIM SURVEYS
680011 DISTANCE TO CENTER OF CENTRAL CITY OF NEAREST SMSA
680012 DISTANCE TO CENTER OF CENTRAL CITY OF NEAREST SMSA OF
AT LEAST 350,000 POPULATION
680013 REGION OF INTERVIEW
680014 STATE OF INTERVIEW
680015 STATE AND CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT OF INTERVIEW
680016 ICPSR COUNTY CODE
680017 SAMPLING COUNTY CODE
680018 ADULT COMPOSITION OF PRIMARY FAMILY UNIT
680019 ADULT COMPOSITION OF SECONDARY FAMILY UNITS IN HOUSE
680020 NUMBER OF POLITICALLY ELIGIBLE ADULTS IN HOUSE
680021 INTERVIEWER'S NUMBER

PRE-ELECTION WAVE

680022 DATE OF PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEW
680023 LENGTH OF PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEW
680024 CODER OF PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEW

PREDICTION & CARE ABOUT 1968 RACE

680025 WHOM DOES R THINK WILL BE ELECTED PRESIDENT
680026 WILL IT BE A CLOSE RACE
680027 DOES R CARE MUCH WHO WINS THE PRESIDENCY

LIKES & DISLIKES ABOUT PARTIES AND CANDIDATES

680028 WHAT DOES R LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
680029 WHAT DOES R DISLIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
680030 WHAT DOES R LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY
680031 WHAT DOES R DISLIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY
680032 WHAT DOES R LIKE ABOUT HUMPHREY
680033 WHAT DOES R DISLIKE ABOUT HUMPHREY
680034 WHAT DOES R LIKE ABOUT NIXON
680035 WHAT DOES R DISLIKE ABOUT NIXON
680036 WHAT DOES R LIKE ABOUT WALLACE
680037 WHAT DOES R DISLIKE ABOUT WALLACE

PARTY NOMINATIONS & R'S REACTIONS TO THEM

680038 WHO DID R WANT TO WIN THE REPUBLICAN NOMINATION
680039 WAS R PARTICULARLY HAPPY NIXON WON THE NOMINATION
680040 WAS R PARTICULARLY UNHAPPY NIXON WON THE NOMINATION
680041 WHO WAS R'S 2ND REPUBLICAN CHOICE
680042 WHO DID R WANT TO WIN THE DEMOCRATIC NOMINATION
680043 WAS R PARTICULARLY HAPPY HUMPHREY WON THE NOMINATION
680044 WAS R PARTICULARLY UNHAPPY HUMPHREY WAS NOMINATED
680045 WHAT WAS R'S 2ND DEMOCRATIC CHOICE

EVALUATION OF JOHNSON'S PERFORMANCE

680046 WOULD R HAVE FAVORED JOHNSON AS A CANDIDATE
680047 HOW WELL HAS PRESIDENT JOHNSON DONE HIS JOB

MOST IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEMS

680048 WHAT IS THE MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM FOR WASHINGTON
680049 WHAT SHOULD BE DONE ABOUT (1ST PROBLEM)
680050 HOW STRONGLY DOES R FEEL ABOUT (1ST PROBLEM)
680051 WHICH PARTY WOULD DO WHAT R WANTS ON (1ST PROBLEM)
680052 WHAT IS THE 2ND MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM FOR WASHINGTON
680053 WHAT SHOULD BE DONE ABOUT (2ND PROBLEM)
680054 HOW STRONGLY DOES R FEEL ABOUT (2ND PROBLEM)
680055 WHICH PARTY WOULD DO WHAT R WANTS ON (2ND PROBLEM)
680056 WHAT IS THE 3RD MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM FOR WASHINGTON
680057 WHAT SHOULD BE DONE ABOUT (3RD PROBLEM)
680058 HOW STRONGLY DOES R FEEL ABOUT (3RD PROBLEM)
680059 WHICH PARTY WOULD DO WHAT R WANTS ON (3RD PROBLEM)

GOVERNMENT'S ROLE IN AID TO EDUCATION

680060 SHOULD FEDERAL GOVT AID EDUCATION
680061 WHICH PARTY MORE SUPPORTS FEDERAL AID TO EDUCATION

POWER OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

680062 IS THE FEDERAL GOVT TOO POWERFUL
680063 WHICH PARTY SUPPORTS A STRONGER FEDERAL GOVT

GOVERNMENT'S ROLE IN HEALTH CARE

680064 SHOULD FEDERAL GOVT SUPPORT COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH CARE
680065 WHICH PARTY MORE SUPPORTS A STRONG HEALTH PLAN

GOVERNMENT'S ROLE IN ENSURING STANDARD OF LIVING

680066 SHOULD FEDERAL GOVT ENSURE A GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING
680067 WHICH PARTY MORE SUPPORTS GOVT ROLE IN INCOMES

EFFECT OF ELECTION ON R'S FINANCIAL SITUATION

680068 WILL THE ELECTION MAKE A DIFFERENCE TO R FINANCIALLY
680069 FRAME OF REFERENCE FOR ASSESSING FINANCIAL EFFECT

AMOUNT OF TAX DOLLAR SPENT

680070 CENTS PER TAX DOLLAR SPENT FOR DEFENSE
680071 CENTS PER TAX DOLLAR SPENT FOR SOCIAL WELFARE
680072 CENTS PER TAX DOLLAR SPENT FOR FOREIGN AID

GOVERNMENT'S ROLE IN JOB EQUALITY

680073 SHOULD FEDERAL GOVT ENSURE JOB OPPORTUNITY EQUALITY
680074 WHICH PARTY WILL MORE LIKELY OPPOSE DISCRIMINATION

int1968.txt

GOVERNMENT'S ROLE IN SCHOOL INTEGRATION

680075 SHOULD FEDERAL GOVT ENSURE SCHOOL INTEGRATION
680076 COMMENTS ON SCHOOL INTEGRATION
680077 WHICH PARTY WILL MORE LIKELY SUPPORT INTEGRATION

GOVERNMENT'S ROLE IN ACCOMMODATIONS INTEGRATION

680078 SHOULD FEDERAL GOVT ENSURE ACCOMMODATIONS RIGHTS
680079 WHICH PARTY WILL LIKELY SUPPORT ACCOMMODATIONS RIGHTS

CIVIL RIGHTS

680080 HOW MUCH HAS THE NEGRO'S POSITION CHANGED RECENTLY
680081 ARE CIVIL RIGHTS PEOPLE PUSHING TOO FAST
680082 HAVE MOST NEGRO CIVIL RIGHTS ACTIONS BEEN PEACEFUL
680083 HAVE NEGRO CIVIL RIGHTS ACTIONS HELPED THEIR CAUSE

NEIGHBORHOOD SEGREGATION

680084 DO NEGROES HAVE A RIGHT TO LIVE WHEREVER THEY WANT
680085 DOES R FEEL STRONGLY ON THE HOUSING INTEGRATION ISSUE

RACIAL STAND OF R & THOSE IN HIS AREA

680086 HOW MANY NEGROES IN R'S AREA FAVOR DESEGREGATION
680087 HOW MANY WHITES IN R'S AREA FAVOR SEGREGATION
680088 DOES R FAVOR DESEGREGATION

RACIAL MIX OF R'S ENVIRONMENT

680089 WHAT IS THE RACIAL COMPOSITION OF R'S NEIGHBORHOOD
680090 WHAT IS THE RACIAL MIX OF THE NEAREST GRADE SCHOOL
680091 WHAT IS THE RACIAL MIX OF THE NEAREST JUNIOR HIGH
680092 WHAT IS THE RACIAL MIX OF THE NEAREST HIGH SCHOOL
680093 WHAT IS THE RACIAL MIX AT R'S JOB
680094 WHAT IS THE RACIAL MIX OF THOSE WHO SHOP WHERE R DOES
680095 WAT IS THE RACIAL MIX OF R'S FRIENDS

FOREIGN AID, DIPLOMACY & FOREIGN TRADE

680096 WHAT IS R'S POSITION ON FOREIGN AID
680097 WHICH PARTY MORE LIKELY SUPPORTS FOREIGN AID
680098 SHOULD OUR LEADERS SIT DOWN AND TALK WITH COMMUNISTS
680099 WHICH PARTY MORE LIKELY SUPPORTS SUMMIT CONFERENCES
680100 SHOULD THE U.S. TRADE WITH COMMUNIST NATIONS
680101 WHICH PARTY MORE LIKELY SUPPORTS COMMUNIST TRADE

VIETNAM WAR

680102 HOW MUCH ATTENTION HAS R BEEN PAYING TO VIETNAM
680103 WERE WE RIGHT IN GETTING INTO VIETNAM
680104 WHAT SHOULD WE DO NOW IN VIETNAM
680105 VIETNAM COMMENTS

680106 WHICH PARTY TAKES A STRONGER STAND ON VIETNAM

CHINA & CUBA

680107 IS THE CHINESE GOVT COMMUNIST AND U.N. MEMBER
680108 SHOULD CHINA BE ADMITTED TO THE U.N.
680109 IS CUBA'S GOVT COMMUNIST AND HOW SHOULD WE HANDLE IT
680110 WHICH OF VIETNAM, CHINA, AND CUBA CONCERNS R MOST
680111 WHICH OF VIETNAM, CHINA, AND CUBA CONCERNS R LEAST

U.S. FOREIGN POLICY: EVALUATION OF PAST YEAR

680112 HOW HAVE U.S. FOREIGN RELATIONS BEEN IN THE PAST YEAR
680113 R'S FRAME OF REFERENCE FOR JUDGMENT ON U.S. DIPLOMACY
680114 HAS OUR WORLD POSITION GROWN STRONGER IN A YEAR OR SO
680115 R'S FRAME OF REFERENCE FOR JUDGMENT ON U.S. STRENGTH

BIGGER WAR

680116 ARE WE LIKELY TO GET INTO A BIGGER WAR
680117 WHICH PARTY WOULD BETTER KEEP US OUT OF A BIGGER WAR
680118 WHY WOULD (CHOSEN PARTY) BETTER KEEP US OUT OF WAR

R'S PARTY AFFILIATION: PRESENT, PAST

680119 WHICH PARTY DOES R CONSIDER SELF AS
680120 HOW STRONGLY (PARTY OR INDEPENDENT) DOES R FEEL
680121 DID R EVER THINK OF SELF AS ANOTHER PARTY
680122 WHEN DID R CHANGE TO PRESENT PARTY

R'S PARENTS: PARTY AFFILIATION & POLITICAL INTEREST

680123 WAS R'S FATHER VERY MUCH INTERESTED IN POLITICS
680124 WHAT PARTY DID R'S FATHER THINK OF SELF AS
680125 WAS R'S MOTHER VERY MUCH INTERESTED IN POLITICS
680126 WHAT PARTY DID R'S MOTHER THINK OF SELF AS

PAST PRESIDENTIAL VOTING BEHAVIOR

680127 HAS R VOTED IN MOST PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS
680128 HAS R ALWAYS VOTED FOR THE SAME PARTY
680129 WHOM DID R VOTE FOR FOR PRESIDENT IN 1964
1968 CAMPAIGN: INTEREST, VOTE INTENTION

680130 HAS R BEEN VERY INTERESTED IN THIS YEAR'S CAMPAIGN
680131 IS R REGISTERED FOR THIS ELECTION
680132 DOES R EXPECT TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION
680133 WHO WILL R VOTE FOR FOR PRESIDENT
680134 WHO WOULD R VOTE FOR FOR PRESIDENT
680135 WHAT IS THE MOST IMPORTANT REASON FOR R'S CHOICE

ELECTORAL MAJORITY ISSUE

int1968.txt

680136 IS IT LIKELY THAT NO ONE WILL GET A MAJORITY
680137 WHY IS IT LIKELY THAT NO ONE WILL GET A MAJORITY
680138 HAS THE PROBLEM OF NO MAJORITY AFFECTED YOUR CHOICE

1968 PRIMARY

680139 DID R VOTE IN A PRIMARY THIS YEAR
680140 WAS THERE A PARTICULAR PRIMARY R WAS INTERESTED IN

POLITICAL EFFICACY

680141 DO PUBLIC OFFICIALS CARE WHAT PEOPLE LIKE R THINK
680142 IS VOTING THE ONLY WAY PEOPLE LIKE R CAN HAVE A SAY
680143 IS GOVT TOO COMPLEX FOR PEOPLE LIKE R TO UNDERSTAND
680144 DO PEOPLE LIKE R HAVE LOT OF SAY IN GOVERNMENT

PERSONAL DATA

680145 R'S DATE OF BIRTH
680146 R'S MARITAL STATUS

FAMILY COMPOSITION

680147 NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN R'S FAMILY UNDER 18
680148 AGE OF THE OLDEST CHILD
680149 AGE OF THE YOUNGEST CHILD
680150 NUMBER OF CHILDREN 4 YEARS OLD OR LESS
680151 NUMBER OF CHILDREN 5 THROUGH 11 YEARS OLD
680152 NUMBER OF CHILDREN 12 THROUGH 14 YEARS OLD
680153 NUMBER OF CHILDREN 15 THROUGH 18 YEARS OLD
680154 HOW MANY OF THE CHILDREN GO TO SCHOOL IN R'S AREA
680155 DO THE CHILDREN GO TO PUBLIC SCHOOLS

R'S & HEAD'S EDUCATION AND COLLEGES

680156 R'S EDUCATIONAL LEVEL
680157 HEAD'S EDUCATIONAL LEVEL
680158 R'S COLLEGES
680159 HEAD'S COLLEGES

R'S MAIN OCCUPATION SECTION

680160 R'S EMPLOYMENT STATUS
680161 R'S MAIN OCCUPATION - WHAT KIND OF WORK IS IT
680162 R'S MAIN OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
680163 R'S MAIN OCCUPATION - DUNCAN SES
680164 R'S MAIN OCCUPATION - DUNCAN DECILE
680165 R'S MAIN OCCUPATION - CENSUS SES
680166 R'S MAIN OCCUPATION - IS R SELF-EMPLOYED
680167 R'S MAIN OCCUPATION - DOES R WORK FULL-TIME

HEAD'S MAIN OCCUPATION SECTION

int1968.txt

680168 HEAD'S EMPLOYMENT STATUS
680169 HEAD'S MAIN OCCUPATION - WHAT KIND OF WORK IS IT
680170 HEAD'S MAIN OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
680171 HEAD'S MAIN OCCUPATION - DUNCAN SES
680172 HEAD'S MAIN OCCUPATION - DUNCAN DECILE
680173 HEAD'S MAIN OCCUPATION - CENSUS SES
680174 HEAD'S MAIN OCCUPATION - IS HEAD SELF-EMPLOYED
680175 HEAD'S MAIN OCCUPATION - DOES HEAD WORK FULL TIME

R'S SECOND OCCUPATION SECTION

680176 R'S 2ND OCCUPATION - WHAT KIND OF WORK IS IT
680177 R'S 2ND OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
680178 R'S 2ND OCCUPATION - DUNCAN SES
680179 R'S 2ND OCCUPATION - DUNCAN DECILE
680180 R'S 2ND OCCUPATION - CENSUS SES
680181 R'S 2ND OCCUPATION - IS R SELF-EMPLOYED
680182 R'S 2ND OCCUPATION - DOES R WORK FULL-TIME

HEAD'S SECOND OCCUPATION SECTION

680183 HEAD'S 2ND OCCUPATION - WHAT KIND OF WORK IS IT
680184 HEAD'S 2ND OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
680185 HEAD'S 2ND OCCUPATION - DUNCAN SES
680186 HEAD'S 2ND OCCUPATION - DUNCAN DECILE
680187 HEAD'S 2ND OCCUPATION - CENSUS SES
680188 HEAD'S 2ND OCCUPATION - IS HEAD SELF-EMPLOYED
680189 HEAD'S 2ND OCCUPATION - DOES HEAD WORK FULL-TIME

R'S & HEAD'S UNEMPLOYMENT: LENGTH, REGENCY

680190 R'S LENGTH OF UNEMPLOYMENT
680191 R'S REGENCY OF UNEMPLOYMENT
680192 HEAD'S LENGTH OF UNEMPLOYMENT
680193 HEAD'S REGENCY OF UNEMPLOYMENT

FARMERS' QUESTIONS

680194 DOES R OWN, RENT, OR MANAGE R'S FARM
680195 HOW MUCH LAND DOES R FARM
680196 WHAT KIND OF FARMING DOES R DO MOSTLY
680197 HAVE PRICES FOR R'S PRODUCE RISEN IN THE LAST 4 YEARS
680198 HOW MUCH EFFECT HAS GOVT HAD ON CROP PRICES
680199 SHOULD GOVT SUBSIDIZE AGRICULTURE
680200 DOES R FEEL STRONGLY ABOUT SUBSIDIZED AGRICULTURE

UNION MEMBERSHIP: R, HEAD, OTHER HOUSEHOLD MEMBER

680201 DOES ANYONE IN R'S HOUSEHOLD BELONG TO A UNION
680202 WHAT UNION DOES R BELONG TO
680203 HOW LONG HAS R BELONGED TO THAT UNION
680204 WHAT UNION DOES HEAD BELONG TO
680205 HOW LONG HAS HEAD BELONGED TO THAT UNION
680206 WHAT UNION DOES OTHER HOUSEHOLD MEMBER BELONG TO
680207 HOW LONG HAS OTHER MEMBER BELONGED TO THAT UNION

R'S CLASS IDENTIFICATION

680208 DOES R THINK OF SELF AS MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS
680209 WHICH CLASS DOES R THINK OF SELF AS
680210 DOES R FEEL CLOSE TO (CHOSEN CLASS) PEOPLE
680211 IN WHAT SOCIAL CLASS WOULD OTHERS PLACE R
680212 WHAT CLASS WAS R'S FAMILY WHEN R WAS GROWING UP

R'S RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE

680213 R'S RELIGION
680214 HOW OFTEN DOES R GO TO CHURCH
680215 WHAT IS R'S OPINION ON THE BIBLE

MILITARY SERVICE & VIETNAM TROOP BUILDUP

680216 HAS R SERVED IN THE ARMED FORCES IN THE PAST 6 YEARS
680217 DID R SERVE ANY TIME IN VIETNAM
680218 HAVE ANY FAMILY MEMBERS SERVED IN THE PAST 6 YEARS
680219 DID THE MEMBER SERVE DUE TO THE VIETNAM TROOP-BUILDUP
680220 DID THE MEMBER SERVE ANY TIME IN VIETNAM
680221 HAVE ANY OTHER RELATIVES OR FRIENDS SERVED RECENTLY
680222 DID THE PERSON SERVE DUE TO THE VIETNAM TROOP-BUILDUP
680223 DID THE PERSON SERVE ANY TIME IN VIETNAM

"FEELING THERMOMETER" - GROUPS IN SOCIETY

680224 FEELING THERMOMETER - BIG BUSINESS
680225 FEELING THERMOMETER - LIBERALS
680226 FEELING THERMOMETER - SOUTHERNERS
680227 FEELING THERMOMETER - CATHOLICS
680228 FEELING THERMOMETER - POLICEMAN
680229 FEELING THERMOMETER - COLLEGE STUDENTS
680230 FEELING THERMOMETER - DEMOCRATS
680231 FEELING THERMOMETER - THE MILITARY
680232 FEELING THERMOMETER - JEWS
680233 FEELING THERMOMETER - WHITES
680234 FEELING THERMOMETER - VIETNAM WAR PROTESTERS
680235 FEELING THERMOMETER - LABOR UNIONS
680236 FEELING THERMOMETER - LAWYERS
680237 FEELING THERMOMETER - REPUBLICANS
680238 FEELING THERMOMETER - SCHOOL TEACHERS
680239 FEELING THERMOMETER - PROTESTANTS
680240 FEELING THERMOMETER - NEGROES
680241 FEELING THERMOMETER - CONSERVATIVES
680242 FEELING THERMOMETER - CITY AND COUNTY OFFICIALS

R'S ETHNIC BACKGROUND & IDENTIFICATION

680243 R'S BIRTHPLACE
680244 WERE BOTH R'S PARENTS BORN IN THE US
680245 WHICH COUNTRY WAS R'S FATHER BORN IN
680246 WHICH COUNTRY WAS R'S MOTHER BORN IN
680247 WHICH COUNTRY WAS R'S FAMILY FROM - FATHER'S SIDE
680248 WHICH COUNTRY WAS R'S FAMILY FROM - MOTHER'S SIDE

int1968.txt

680249 WHAT NATIONALITY DOES R CONSIDER R'S FAMILY
680250 R'S MAIN NATIONALITY
680251 FEELING THERMOMETER - R'S MAIN NATIONALITY

R'S FATHER'S OCCUPATION

680252 WHAT KIND OF WORK DID R'S FATHER DO
680253 R'S FATHER'S OCCUPATION - DUNCAN SES
680254 R'S FATHER'S OCCUPATION - DUNCAN DECILE
680255 R'S FATHER'S OCCUPATION - CENSUS SES
680256 WAS R'S FAMILY WELL OFF ON THE FARM WHEN R WAS YOUNG

WHERE WAS R BROUGHT UP

680257 WHAT STATE(S) DID R GROW UP IN
680258 AT WHAT AGE DID R COME TO (PRESENT STATE)
680259 WHAT SIZE COMMUNITY WAS R BROUGHT UP IN
680260 HOW LONG HAS R LIVED IN (PRESENT COMMUNITY)

R'S INCOME

680261 WHAT WILL R AND FAMILY'S TOTAL INCOME BE THIS YEAR
680262 DOES R OWN OR RENT R'S HOME

SEX, RACE, RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD

680263 R'S SEX
680264 R'S RACE
680265 RELATIONSHIP OF R TO HEAD

CONDITIONS OF THE PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEW

680266 WHAT OTHER PERSONS WERE PRESENT AT THE INTERVIEW
680267 EXTENT OF R'S COOPERATION
680268 LEVEL OF R'S INTEREST IN POLITICS
680269 LEVEL OF R'S INFORMATION ABOUT POLITICS

POST-ELECTION WAVE

680270 POST-ELECTION SEQUENCE NUMBER
680271 INTERVIEWER NUMBER
680272 DATE OF POST-ELECTION INTERVIEW
680273 LENGTH OF POST-ELECTION INTERVIEW
680274 POST-ELECTION CODER NUMBER

R'S PERSONAL FINANCIAL SITUATION: PRESENT, FUTURE

680275 IS R BETTER OFF FINANCIALLY THAN A YEAR AGO
680276 FACTORS AFFECTING FINANCIAL STATUS OF R AND FAMILY
680277 IS R AND FAMILY MAKING AS MUCH MONEY AS A YEAR AGO
680278 WILL R BE BETTER OFF A YEAR FROM NOW
680279 WILL THE RECENT TAX INCREASE AFFECT HOW R WILL SPEND
680280 WILL THE RECENT TAX INCREASE AFFECT HOW R WILL SAVE

INFLATION, RECESSION, BUSINESS CONDITIONS

680281 DOES R THINK PRICES WILL GO UP IN THE NEXT YEAR
680282 HOW LARGE A PRICE INCREASE DOES R EXPECT
680283 WILL NATIONAL BUSINESS CONDITIONS BE GOOD NEXT YEAR
680284 WHY DOES R THINK BUSINESS CONDITIONS WILL BE GOOD/BAD
680285 IS DEPRESSION OR GOOD TIMES MORE LIKELY NEXT 5 YEARS
680286 ARE BUSINESS CONDITIONS BETTER THAN A YEAR AGO
680287 HAS R HEARD OF ANY CHANGES IN CONDITIONS RECENTLY
680288 WILL BUSINESS CONDITIONS BE BETTER A YEAR FROM NOW
680289 WILL A RECESSION LIKE 1958 OR 1960-61 HAPPEN AGAIN
680290 WHY IS SUCH A RECESSION LIKELY
680291 WHEN WILL A RECESSION OCCUR

THE 1968 ELECTION & THE MASS MEDIA

680292 DID R FOLLOW THE ELECTION REGULARLY IN NEWSPAPERS
680293 WHAT PAPER DID R READ MOST FOR POLITICAL NEWS
680294 DID THE NEWSPAPER TAKE SIDES IN THE CAMPAIGN
680295 WHO WAS THE NEWSPAPER FOR/AGAINST
680296 DID R LISTEN TO MANY CAMPAIGN RADIO PROGRAMS
680297 DID THE RADIO REPORTING TAKE SIDES IN THE CAMPAIGN
680298 WHO WAS THE RADIO REPORTING FOR/AGAINST
680299 DID R READ MANY MAGAZINE ARTICLES ON THE CAMPAIGN
680300 WHICH MAGAZINES DID R READ POLITICAL ARTICLES IN
680301 DID (MAGAZINE MOST READ) TAKE SIDES IN THE CAMPAIGN
680302 WHO WAS THE MAGAZINE FOR/AGAINST
680303 DID R WATCH ANY PROGRAMS ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN ON TV
680304 DID THE TELEVISION REPORTING TAKE SIDES
680305 WHO WAS THE TELEVISION REPORTING FOR/AGAINST
680306 DID LOCAL OR NATIONAL TELEVISION TAKE SIDES
680307 FROM WHICH MEDIUM DID R GET THE MOST INFORMATION

CHICAGO POLICE & DEMOCRATIC CONVENTION

680308 DID THE CHICAGO POLICE USE TOO MUCH FORCE
680309 CHICAGO POLICE COMMENTS

R'S 1968 ELECTION VOTING BEHAVIOR

680310 DID R VOTE IN THIS ELECTION
680311 DID R VOTE IN R'S PRESENT AREA
680312 STATE R VOTED IN IF R VOTED OUTSIDE PRESENT AREA
680313 DISTRICT VOTED IN IF R VOTED OUTSIDE OF PRESENT AREA
680314 DID R VOTE IN PERSON
680315 DID R VOTE BY VOTING MACHINE

PRESIDENTIAL VOTE

680316 WHOM DID R VOTE FOR FOR PRESIDENT
680317 WHY DID R VOTE FOR (CHOSEN CANDIDATE)
680318 HOW LONG BEFORE THE ELECTION DID R DECIDE R'S VOTE
SENATORIAL VOTE

int1968.txt

680319 DID R VOTE FOR U.S. SENATOR
680320 WHOM DID R VOTE FOR FOR SENATOR
680321 SENATORIAL PARTY CANDIDACY PATTERN

CONGRESSIONAL VOTE

680322 DID R VOTE FOR CONGRESSMAN
680323 WHOM DID R VOTE FOR FOR CONGRESSMAN
680324 CONGRESSIONAL PARTY CANDIDACY PATTERN

GUBERNATORIAL VOTE

680325 DID R VOTE FOR GOVERNOR
680326 WHOM DID R VOTE FOR FOR GOVERNOR
680327 GUBERNATORIAL PARTY CANDIDACY PATTERN

OTHER STATE & LOCAL OFFICES

680328 DID R VOTE A STRAIGHT TICKET FOR OTHER OFFICES

PROPOSITIONS ON BALLOT

680329 WERE THERE ANY PROPOSITIONS R WAS INTERESTED IN
680330 PRESENCE OF PROPOSITIONS ON R'S BALLOT
680331 R'S KNOWLEDGE OF PROPOSITIONS ON BALLOT
680332 TYPE OF PROPOSITION R WAS INTERESTED IN
680333 DID R VOTE IN FAVOR OF (MENTIONED PROPOSITION)
680334 WAS (MENTIONED PROPOSITION) A STATE OR LOCAL ISSUE

680335 WHAT WAS THE MAIN REASON R DID NOT VOTE

NATIONAL AND LOCAL CLOSENESS OF 1968 RACE

680336 WHOM DID R THINK WOULD WIN THE PRESIDENCY
680337 HOW CLOSE DID R THINK THE ELECTION WOULD BE NATIONALLY
680338 HOW CLOSE DID R THINK WALLACE WOULD COME NATIONALLY
680339 WHOM DID R THINK WOULD CARRY R'S STATE
680340 HOW CLOSE DID R THINK IT WOULD BE IN R'S STATE
680341 HOW CLOSE DID R THINK WALLACE WOULD COME IN R'S STATE

PAST VOTING BEHAVIOR IN PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS

680342 YEAR OF R'S BIRTH
680343 HOW MANY PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS HAS R VOTED IN
680344 HOW MANY TIMES DID R VOTE FOR THE DEMOCRAT

REPUBLICAN-DEMOCRATIC PARTY DIFFERENCES

680345 WHAT DIFFERENCES ARE THERE BETWEEN THE TWO PARTIES
680346 CONTENT OF 1ST DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE PARTIES
680347 WHEN DID R FIRST NOTICE THE 1ST DIFFERENCE
680348 WHAT MADE R AWARE OF THE 1ST DIFFERENCE

int1968.txt

680349 ARE THERE ANY MORE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE PARTIES
680350 CONTENT OF 2ND DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PARTIES
680351 WHEN DID R FIRST NOTICE THE 2ND DIFFERENCE
680352 WHAT MADE R AWARE OF THE 2ND DIFFERENCE
680353 ARE THERE ANY MORE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE PARTIES
680354 CONTENT OF 3RD DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PARTIES
680355 WHEN DID R FIRST NOTICE THE 3RD DIFFERENCE
680356 WHAT MADE R AWARE OF THE 3RD DIFFERENCE
680357 ARE THERE ANY MORE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE PARTIES
680358 CONTENT OF 4TH DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PARTIES
680359 WHEN DID R FIRST NOTICE THE 4TH DIFFERENCE
680360 WHAT MADE R AWARE OF THE 4TH DIFFERENCE

CONSERVATIVE-LIBERAL PARTY DIFFERENCES

680361 IS ONE PARTY MORE CONSERVATIVE THAN THE OTHER
680362 1ST CONSERVATIVE-LIBERAL DIFFERENCE - REFERENCE CODE
680363 CONTENT OF 1ST CONSERVATIVE-LIBERAL PARTY DIFFERENCE
680364 2ND CONSERVATIVE-LIBERAL DIFFERENCE - REFERENCE CODE
680365 CONTENT OF 2ND CONSERVATIVE-LIBERAL PARTY DIFFERENCE
680366 3RD CONSERVATIVE-LIBERAL DIFFERENCE - REFERENCE CODE
680367 CONTENT OF 3RD CONSERVATIVE-LIBERAL PARTY DIFFERENCE

WALLACE COMPARED TO DEMOCRATS/REPUBLICANS

680368 DOES R CONSIDER WALLACE AND LEMAY PARTY LEADERS
680369 WHY ARE WALLACE AND LEMAY (NOT) PARTY LEADERS
680370 ARE THERE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN WALLACE AND REPUBLICANS
680371 CONTENT OF 1ST WALLACE-REPUBLICAN DIFFERENCE
680372 ANY OTHER DIFFERENCES BETWEEN WALLACE AND REPUBLICANS

680373 CONTENT OF 2ND WALLACE-REPUBLICAN DIFFERENCE
680374 ARE THERE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN WALLACE AND DEMOCRATS
680375 CONTENT OF 1ST WALLACE-DEMOCRATIC DIFFERENCE
680376 ANY OTHER DIFFERENCES BETWEEN WALLACE AND DEMOCRATS
680377 CONTENT OF 2ND WALLACE-DEMOCRATIC DIFFERENCE

R'S KNOWLEDGE OF DISTRICT'S CONGRESSIONAL RACE

680378 DOES R REMEMBER THE CANDIDATES FOR U.S. CONGRESS
680379 R'S KNOWLEDGE OF REPUBLICAN CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE
680380 R'S KNOWLEDGE OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES-NAME & PARTY
680381 DID BOTH PARTIES RUN CANDIDATES FOR CONGRESS
680382 CORRECTNESS OF R'S PERCEPTION OF CANDIDACY PATTERNS
680383 IS (EITHER OF THE CANDIDATES) ALREADY IN CONGRESS
680384 ACTUAL INCUMBENCY STATUS OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES
680385 CORRECTNESS OF R'S PERCEPTION OF INCUMBENCY STATUS
680386 WHICH PARTY HAD MORE CONGRESSMEN BEFORE THE ELECTION
680387 WHICH PARTY JUST ELECTED THE MOST CONGRESSMEN

POLITICAL ACTIVITIES DURING THE CAMPAIGN

R'S ATTEMPTS TO INFLUENCE OTHERS' VOTE

int1968.txt

680388 DID R TRY TO CONVINCING SOMEONE HOW TO VOTE
680389 DID R TALK TO SPOUSE ABOUT VOTING
680390 DID R TALK TO A RELATIVE ABOUT VOTING
680391 DID R TALK TO A FRIEND ABOUT VOTING
680392 DID R TALK TO A CO-WORKER ABOUT VOTING
680393 DID R TALK TO ANYONE ELSE ABOUT VOTING
680394 WHO IS THE "ANYONE ELSE" R TALKED TO ABOUT VOTING
680395 IDENTITY OF MULTIPLE RESPONSES FOR REF. NOS. 389-393
680396 WHOM DID R TELL THEM TO VOTE FOR

MEETINGS, BUTTONS, CANVASSING

680397 DID R ATTEND ANY POLITICAL MEETINGS OR RALLIES
680398 DID R WORK FOR A PARTY OR CANDIDATE
680399 DID R WEAR A BUTTON OR PUT A STICKER ON THE CAR
680400 DOES R BELONG TO A POLITICAL GROUP

OTHERS' ATTEMPTS TO INFLUENCE R'S VOTE

680401 DID A POLITICAL WORKER TALK TO R
680402 HOW MANY TIMES DID POLITICAL WORKERS TALK TO R
680403 HOW MANY TIMES DID REPUBLICANS TALK TO R
680404 HOW MANY TIMES DID DEMOCRATS TALK TO R
680405 HOW MANY TIMES DID ANOTHER PARTY TALK TO R
680406 WHAT OTHER PARTY TALKED TO R
680407 WHAT SPECIFICALLY DID THESE PEOPLE DISCUSS WITH R
680408 DID ANYONE CLOSE TO R TRY TO TELL R HOW TO VOTE
680409 DID R'S SPOUSE TELL R WHO TO VOTE FOR
680410 WHOM DID SPOUSE TELL R TO VOTE FOR
680411 DID A RELATIVE TELL R WHO TO VOTE FOR
680412 WHOM DID THE RELATIVE TELL R TO VOTE FOR
680413 DID A FRIEND TELL R WHO TO VOTE FOR
680414 WHOM DID THE FRIEND TELL R TO VOTE FOR
680415 DID A CO-WORKER TELL R WHO TO VOTE FOR
680416 WHOM DID THE CO-WORKER TELL R TO VOTE FOR
680417 DID ANYONE ELSE TELL R WHO TO VOTE FOR
680418 WHOM DID "ANYONE ELSE" TELL R TO VOTE FOR
680419 WHO WAS THE OTHER PERSON WHO TOLD R HOW TO VOTE
680420 IDENTITY OF MULTIPLE RESPONSES FOR REF. NOS. 409-419

CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS

680421 WAS R OR FAMILY ASKED TO CONTRIBUTE TO A CAMPAIGN
680422 WHICH PARTY ASKED FOR CONTRIBUTIONS
680423 DID R OR FAMILY CONTRIBUTE TO A CAMPAIGN
680424 TO WHICH PARTY WAS THE CONTRIBUTION MADE

LETTERS TO PUBLIC OFFICIALS, CONGRESSMAN, EDITOR

680425 HAS R EVER WRITTEN AN OPINION TO ANY PUBLIC OFFICIAL
680426 HOW MANY TIMES HAS R WRITTEN IN THE LAST 4 YEARS
680427 HOW MANY TIMES DID R WRITE R'S US CONGRESSMAN
680428 HAS R EVER WRITTEN A POLITICAL LETTER TO THE EDITOR
680429 HOW MANY LETTERS TO THE EDITOR IN THE LAST 4 YEARS

ATTENTION PAID TO INTERNATIONAL/STATE/LOCAL AFFAIRS

680430 DOES R FOLLOW GOVT AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS A LOT
680431 DOES R PAY MUCH ATTENTION TO INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS
680432 DOES R PAY MUCH ATTENTION TO NATIONAL AFFAIRS
680433 DOES R PAY MUCH ATTENTION TO STATE AFFAIRS
680434 DOES R PAY MUCH ATTENTION TO LOCAL AFFAIRS
680435 WHICH LEVEL DOES R FOLLOW MOST-INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS
680436 WHICH LEVEL DOES R FOLLOW MOST-NATIONAL AFFAIRS
680437 WHICH LEVEL DOES R FOLLOW MOST-STATE AFFAIRS
680438 WHICH LEVEL DOES R FOLLOW MOST-LOCAL AFFAIRS

LOCAL SCHOOL BOARD

680439 HOW INTERESTED IS R IN THE LOCAL SCHOOL BOARD
680440 HOW OFTEN DOES R DISAGREE WITH THE SCHOOL BOARD
680441 SHOULD A SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER USE HIS OWN JUDGEMENT
680442 WHY SHOULD A BOARD MEMBER (NOT) USE HIS OWN JUDGEMENT

LOCAL EDUCATION: PROBLEMS, R'S ACTIVITIES

680443 WHAT ARE THE BIGGEST EDUCATIONAL PROBLEMS-1ST PROBLEM
680444 WHAT ARE THE BIGGEST EDUCATIONAL PROBLEMS-2ND PROBLEM
680445 HOW SHOULD THE 1ST EDUCATIONAL PROBLEM BE HANDLED
680446 HOW SHOULD THE 2ND EDUCATIONAL PROBLEM BE HANDLED
680447 HAS R EVER VOTED IN AN ELECTION PERTAINING TO SCHOOLS
680448 WHEN WAS THE LAST TIME R EVER VOTED ON SCHOOL MATTERS
680449 DOES R RECALL WHAT THE ELECTION (LAST VOTED IN) WAS
680450 HAS R TAKEN PART IN A SCHOOL MATTER THE PAST 2 YEARS
680451 WHAT SCHOOL ACTIVITIES HAS R ENGAGED IN

TEACHERS' VOICE & STRIKE RIGHTS

680452 SHOULD TEACHERS HAVE A GREATER VOICE IN SCHOOL POLICY
680453 HOW SHOULD TEACHERS HAVE A GREATER VOICE IN POLICY
680454 SHOULD TEACHERS GO ON STRIKE FOR GREATER BENEFITS
680455 IS R'S MIND MADE UP ON THE ISSUE OF TEACHERS STRIKING

GOVERNMENT CONTROL OF EDUCATION

680456 DOES THE FEDERAL GOVT CONTROL EDUCATION TOO MUCH
680457 DOES THE STATE GOVT CONTROL LOCAL EDUCATION TOO MUCH

SCHOOL PRAYER

680458 SHOULD PRAYER BE ALLOWED IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS
680459 COMMENTS ON PRAYERS IN SCHOOLS

URBAN UNREST SCALE

680460 R'S RATING ON URBAN UNREST SCALE OF LYNDON JOHNSON
680461 R'S RATING ON URBAN UNREST SCALE OF HUBERT HUMPHREY
680462 R'S RATING ON URBAN UNREST SCALE OF RICHARD NIXON
680463 R'S RATING ON URBAN UNREST SCALE OF GEORGE WALLACE

int1968.txt

680464 R'S RATING ON URBAN UNREST SCALE OF HIMSELF
680465 WAS THE URBAN UNREST ISSUE IMPORTANT FOR R'S VOTE

VIETNAM ACTION SCALE

680466 R'S RATING ON VIETNAM ACTION SCALE OF LYNDON JOHNSON
680467 R'S RATING ON VIETNAM ACTION SCALE OF HUBERT HUMPHREY
680468 R'S RATING ON VIETNAM ACTION SCALE OF RICHARD NIXON
680469 R'S RATING ON VIETNAM ACTION SCALE OF GEORGE WALLACE
680470 R'S RATING ON VIETNAM ACTION SCALE OF HIMSELF
680471 WAS THE VIETNAM ISSUE IMPORTANT FOR R'S VOTE

R'S ATTITUDE: PROTEST, CIVIL DISOBEDIENCE

680472 DOES R APPROVE OF LEGAL PROTEST MEETINGS OR MARCHES
680473 WHY DOES R (NOT) APPROVE OF LEGAL DEMONSTRATIONS
680474 DOES R APPROVE OF BREAKING A LAW ONE FEELS IS UNJUST
680475 WHY DOES R (NOT) APPROVE OF LEGAL DEMONSTRATIONS
680476 DOES R APPROVE OF ATTEMPTING TO STOP GOVT ACTIVITIES
680477 WHY DOES R (NOT) APPROVE OF STOPPING GOVT ACTIVITIES

"FEELING THERMOMETER" - POSSIBLE CANDIDATES

680478 FEELING THERMOMETER - GEORGE WALLACE
680479 FEELING THERMOMETER - HUBERT HUMPHREY
680480 FEELING THERMOMETER - RICHARD NIXON
680481 FEELING THERMOMETER - EUGENE MCCARTHY
680482 FEELING THERMOMETER - RONALD REAGAN
680483 FEELING THERMOMETER - NELSON ROCKEFELLER
680484 FEELING THERMOMETER - LYNDON JOHNSON
680485 FEELING THERMOMETER - GEORGE ROMNEY
680486 FEELING THERMOMETER - ROBERT KENNEDY
680487 FEELING THERMOMETER - ED MUSKIE
680488 FEELING THERMOMETER - SPIRO AGNEW
680489 FEELING THERMOMETER - CURTIS LEMAY

POLITICAL TRUST & CYNICISM

680490 HOW MUCH ATTENTION DOES GOVT PAY TO WHAT PEOPLE THINK
680491 HOW MUCH DO PARTIES HELP GOVT PAY ATTENTION TO PEOPLE
680492 HOW MUCH DO ELECTIONS MAKE GOVT RESPONSIVE TO PEOPLE
680493 HOW MUCH ATTENTION DO CONGRESSMEN PAY TO PEOPLE
680494 DO PARTIES USUALLY KEEP THEIR ELECTION PROMISES

PERSONAL EFFECTIVENESS & TRUST

680495 IS IT BETTER TO PLAN ONE'S LIFE A GOOD WAY AHEAD
680496 WHEN R PLANS AHEAD, DO THINGS USUALLY GO AS PLANNED
680497 HAS R FELT SURE THAT LIFE WOULD GO AS R WANTS IT
680498 DOES R FEEL R CAN RUN R'S LIFE PRETTY MUCH AS R LIKES
680499 HOW SATISFYING IS R'S LIFE THESE DAYS
680500 GENERALLY SPEAKING, CAN MOST PEOPLE BE TRUSTED
680501 DO PEOPLE TRY AND BE HELPFUL MOST OF THE TIME
680502 IF GIVEN THE CHANCE, WOULD PEOPLE TAKE ADVANTAGE OF R

TRUST IN GOVERNMENT

680503 DO PEOPLE IN GOVT WASTE A LOT OF TAX MONEY
680504 HOW OFTEN CAN THE FEDERAL GOVT BE TRUSTED TO DO RIGHT
680505 IS GOVT RUN PRETTY MUCH BY A FEW BIG INTERESTS
680506 DO PEOPLE IN GOVT USUALLY KNOW WHAT THEY ARE DOING
680507 ARE QUITE A FEW PEOPLE IN GOVT A LITTLE CROOKED

FAITH IN LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT

680508 WHAT LEVEL OF GOVT DOES R HAVE THE MOST FAITH IN
680509 WHY DOES R HAVE THE MOST FAITH IN (CHOSEN LEVEL)
680510 WHAT LEVEL OF GOVT DOES R HAVE THE LEAST FAITH IN
680511 WHY DOES R HAVE THE LEAST FAITH IN (CHOSEN LEVEL)
680512 LEVEL OF GOVT R RANKS SECOND IN TERMS OF FAITH

POLITICAL EFFICACY

680513 WHAT WOULD R DO TO STOP A BAD LOCAL LAW FROM PASSING
680514 WHAT CHANCE WOULD R HAVE OF CHANGING THE LOCAL LAW
680515 WHAT WOULD R DO ABOUT A BAD NATIONAL LAW
680516 WHAT CHANCE WOULD R HAVE OF CHANGING THE NATIONAL LAW
680517 DO PEOPLE LIKE R HAVE A SAY IN GOVT
680518 IS VOTING THE ONLY WAY PEOPLE LIKE R CAN HAVE A SAY
680519 IS POLITICS AND GOVT TOO COMPLEX FOR R TO UNDERSTAND
680520 DO PUBLIC OFFICIALS CARE WHAT PEOPLE LIKE R THINK
680521 DO US CONGRESSMEN QUICKLY LOSE TOUCH WITH THE PEOPLE

680522 SHOULD WE WORRY ABOUT PROBLEMS ELSEWHERE IN THE WORLD
680523 PARTIES ARE ONLY INTERESTED IN PEOPLE'S VOTES
680524 SCHOOL DISTRICT IN WHICH R LIVES

SEX, RACE, RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD

680525 R'S SEX
680526 R'S RACE
680527 RELATIONSHIP OF R TO HEAD

CONDITIONS OF THE POST-ELECTION INTERVIEW

680528 OTHER PERSONS PRESENT AT THE INTERVIEW
680529 NATURE OF R'S COOPERATION
680530 LEVEL OF R'S INTEREST IN POLITICS AND GOVT
680531 LEVEL OF R'S INFORMATION ABOUT POLITICS AND GOVT
680532 DID THE SAME INTERVIEWER DO THE PRE-ELECTION STUDY

DERIVED VARIABLES

680533 R'S AGE
680534 STATE AND CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT WHERE R VOTED
680535 POLITICAL EFFICACY INDEX
680536 POLITICAL INVOLVEMENT INDEX