

int1974.txt

Version 01 Codebook

CODEBOOK INTRODUCTION FILE
1974 POST-ELECTION STUDY
(1974.T)

AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES
1974 POST-ELECTION STUDY

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS

WARREN E. MILLER
ARTHUR H. MILLER
F. GERALD KLINE

THE CENTER FOR POLITICAL STUDIES
THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

NOV.-DEC., 1974; JAN., 1975

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF ASSISTANCE

ALL MANUSCRIPTS UTILIZING DATA MADE AVAILABLE THROUGH THE CONSORTIUM SHOULD ACKNOWLEDGE THAT FACT AS WELL AS IDENTIFY THE ORIGINAL COLLECTOR OF THE DATA. THE ICPSR COUNCIL URGES ALL USERS OF ICPSR DATA FACILITIES TO FOLLOW SOME ADAPTATION OF THIS STATEMENT WITH THE PARENTHESES INDICATING ITEMS TO BE FILLED IN APPROPRIATELY OR DELETED BY THE INDIVIDUAL USER.

THE DATA (AND TABULATIONS) UTILIZED IN THIS (PUBLICATION) WERE MADE AVAILABLE (IN PART) BY THE INTER-UNIVERSITY CONSORTIUM FOR POLITICAL RESEARCH. THE DATA FOR THE CPS 1974 AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY WERE ORIGINALLY COLLECTED BY THE CENTER FOR POLITICAL STUDIES OF THE INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH, THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN UNDER GRANTS FROM THE NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION, THE JOHN AND MARY R. MARKLE FOUNDATION AND THE CARNEGIE CORPORATION. NEITHER THE ORIGINAL COLLECTORS OF THE DATA NOR THE CONSORTIUM BEAR ANY RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ANALYSES OR INTERPRETATIONS PRESENTED HERE.

IN ORDER TO PROVIDE FUNDING AGENCIES WITH ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE USE OF ARCHIVAL RESOURCES, AND TO FACILITATE THE EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION ABOUT ICPSR PARTICIPANTS' RESEARCH ACTIVITIES, EACH USER OF THE ICPSR DATA FACILITIES IS EXPECTED TO SEND TWO COPIES OF EACH COMPLETED MANUSCRIPT OR THESIS ABSTRACT TO THE CONSORTIUM. PLEASE INDICATE IN THE COVER LETTER WHICH DATA WERE USED.

□

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Note: >>sections in the codebook introduction and codebook appendix can be navigated in the machine-readable files by searching ">>".

INTRODUCTORY MATERIAL (file int1974.cbk)

- >> 1974 STUDY DESCRIPTION
- >> 1974 PUBLICATIONS, 1974
- >> 1974 SAMPLING INFORMATION
- >> 1974 WEIGHTING INFORMATION
- >> 1974 FILE STRUCTURE
- >> 1974 CODEBOOK INFORMATION
- >> 1974 PROCESSING INFORMATION
- >> 1974 VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST

CODEBOOK

1974 variables

APPENDICES (file app1974.cbk)

- >> 1974 STANDARD PRIMARY SAMPLING UNIT CODE
- >> 1974 COUNTY CODES
- >> 1974 STATION CALL LETTER CODE
- >> 1974 NEWSPAPER CODE
- >> 1974 PERSONAL PROBLEMS CODE
- >> 1974 NATIONAL PROBLEMS CODE
- >> 1974 PERSON/ACTOR CODE
- >> 1974 CANDIDATES FOR REPRESENTATIVE TO CONGRESS
- >> 1974 CANDIDATES FOR U.S. SENATOR
- >> 1974 CANDIDATES FOR GOVERNOR
- >> 1974 PARTY/CANDIDATE MASTER CODE
- >> 1974 FEELING THERMOMETER NOTE
- >> 1974 ICPSR COLLEGE AND UNIVERSITY CODE
- >> 1974 CENSUS INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION
- >> 1974 UNION CODE
- >> 1974 THE STATE AND COUNTRY CODE
- >> 1974 CENSUS COUNTY CODES

>> 1974 STUDY DESCRIPTION

THE CPS 1974 AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY (CPS # 495441) WAS CONDUCTED BY THE CENTER FOR POLITICAL STUDIES OF THE INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH, THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN UNDER THE DIRECTION OF WARREN MILLER, ARTHUR MILLER AND GERALD KLINE. IT WAS THE THIRTEENTH IN A SERIES OF STUDIES OF NATIONAL ELECTIONS PRODUCED BY THE POLITICAL BEHAVIOR PROGRAM OF THE SURVEY RESEARCH CENTER AND THE CENTER FOR POLITICAL STUDIES. THE STUDY WAS SUPPORTED BY GRANTS FROM THE NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION (SOC 75-02704), THE JOHN AND MARY R. MARKLE FOUNDATION AND THE CARNEGIE CORPORATION.

THE BASIC DESIGN OF THE 1974 STUDY INCLUDES A SUBSET OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO HAD PREVIOUSLY BEEN INTERVIEWED IN THE 1972 POST-ELECTION SURVEY. THIS SET OF PANEL RESPONDENTS WAS THEN AUGMENTED WITH A SET OF NEW INTERVIEWS SELECTED SO AS TO PROVIDE A REPRESENTATIVE CROSS-SECTION OF U.S. CITIZENS WHEN COMBINED WITH THE NON-MOVING PANEL RESPONDENTS. NEW RESPONDENTS WERE NOT, HOWEVER, SELECTED AT THE SAME SAMPLING RATE AS 1972 RESPONDENTS; THUS WEIGHTING IS NECESSARY TO FORM A REPRESENTATIVE CROSS-SECTION FROM THE TWO TYPES OF RESPONDENT. THE 1974 AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY INCLUDES ONLY THE CROSS-SECTION SAMPLES, THAT IS, NON-MOVING PANEL RESPONDENTS AND THE RESPONDENTS WHO WERE ADDED IN 1974. THE STUDY INCLUDES 1575 RESPONDENTS. RESPONDENTS WERE INTERVIEWED FROM NOVEMBER 5, 1974 THROUGH JANUARY 31, 1975, FOLLOWING THE CONGRESSIONAL ELECTIONS.

THE CPS AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY EXPLORED POLITICAL ATTITUDES AND BEHAVIOR IN THE CONTEXT OF THE WATERGATE EVENTS AND THE 1974 CONGRESSIONAL ELECTIONS. THE PARTY IDENTIFICATION OF THE RESPONDENTS, THEIR VOTING HISTORY, AND INFORMATION ABOUT FACETS OF EARLY POLITICAL SOCIALIZATION WERE OBTAINED. THE RESPONDENTS' SENSE OF POLITICAL EFFICACY AND CIVIC DUTY, THE DEGREE OF THEIR TRUST IN GOVERNMENT, AND THEIR EVALUATIONS OF GOVERNMENTAL RESPONSIVENESS WERE THE SUBJECTS OF QUESTIONS DESIGNED TO MEASURE DIMENSIONS OF THE RESPONDENTS' PERCEPTIONS OF THE RELATIONSHIP OF THE GOVERNMENT TO ITS CITIZENS.

CONSIDERABLE ATTENTION WAS ALSO FOCUSED ON WATERGATE EVENTS. FEELING THERMOMETERS WERE USED TO TAP REACTIONS TO THE PRINCIPAL FIGURES IN THESE EVENTS. ANOTHER SERIES OF QUESTIONS TAPPED ATTITUDES TOWARDS THE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE'S IMPEACHMENT HEARINGS AND THE DECISION TO IMPEACH, NIXON'S RESIGNATION, AND FORD'S PARDON OF NIXON. RESPONDENTS WERE ALSO ASKED TO EVALUATE MEDIA OBJECTIVITY IN COVERAGE OF THE INVOLVEMENT OF THE NIXON ADMINISTRATION IN THE WATERGATE AFFAIR.

THE STUDY CONTAINED EXTENSIVE INQUIRIES INTO THE RESPONDENTS' MEDIA-RELATED ATTITUDES AND BEHAVIOR. AN INITIAL SERIES OF QUESTIONS DEALT WITH THE GENERAL EXTENT OF MASS

int1974.txt

MEDIA USAGE, AND ALSO MEDIA USAGE SPECIFICALLY AS A NEWS SOURCE. FURTHER QUESTIONS PROBED MORE DEEPLY INTO TELEVISION AND NEWSPAPERS AS A SOURCE OF CAMPAIGN INFORMATION. ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS CONCERNED THE RESPONDENT'S MOTIVATIONS FOR FOLLOWING THE CAMPAIGN IN THE MASS MEDIA.

OTHER ITEMS DEALT MORE SPECIFICALLY WITH THE 1974 CAMPAIGN AND ELECTION. THE RESPONDENTS' INTEREST IN THE CAMPAIGN AND CONCERN FOR THE OUTCOME OF THE ELECTION WERE ASCERTAINED, AND THEIR REPORTS OF THEIR 1974 VOTING BEHAVIOR WERE RECORDED. IN ADDITION, RESPONDENTS WERE ASKED TO REPORT THEIR FEELINGS ABOUT POTENTIAL PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES ON A FEELING THERMOMETER, AND TO GIVE THEIR SPECIFIC LIKES AND DISLIKES ABOUT THE SENATORIAL CANDIDATES.

AS IN THE PAST, THE QUESTIONNAIRE INCLUDED AN INQUIRY INTO THE MAJOR PROBLEMS FACING THE COUNTRY. A FEELING THERMOMETER SERIES WAS USED TO MEASURE RESPONDENT PERCEPTIONS OF GROUP INFLUENCES IN AMERICAN LIFE AND POLITICS. ANOTHER SERIES OF SCALES MEASURED THE RESPONDENTS' POSITION AND THE POSITION OF THE POLITICAL PARTIES AND CERTAIN POLITICAL LEADERS WITH REGARD TO POLITICAL ISSUES SUCH AS BUSING, WOMEN'S LIBERATION, URBAN UNREST, RIGHTS OF ACCUSED PERSONS, AID TO MINORITIES AND THE IMPORTANCE OF GOVERNMENT GUARANTEES OF LIVING STANDARDS. FURTHER, RESPONDENTS WERE ASKED TO EVALUATE THEMSELVES AND POLITICAL LEADERS AND PARTIES ON A LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SCALE.

SEVERAL SERIES OF QUESTIONS DEALT WITH NON-POLITICAL ISSUES. RESPONDENTS WERE QUESTIONED ABOUT THEIR PERCEPTION OF THEIR FINANCIAL CONDITION, AND ABOUT BUSINESS AND ECONOMIC CONDITIONS IN THE NATION AS A WHOLE. RESPONDENTS WERE ASKED TO EVALUATE THE QUALITY OF THEIR OWN LIFE IN A SERIES OF QUESTIONS DESIGNED TO MEASURE PERSONAL ESTEEM, TRUST AND QUALITY OF LIFE.

THE PERSONAL DATA SECTION CONTAINED A WIDE VARIETY OF INFORMATION ABOUT THE RESPONDENTS AND THEIR FAMILIES. INFORMATION WAS SOUGHT ON EDUCATION, OCCUPATION, UNION MEMBERSHIP(S), AGE, SEX, MARITAL STATUS AND FAMILY COMPOSITION, SOCIOECONOMIC STATUS, ETHNIC BACKGROUND, INCOME LEVEL AND RELIGIOUS AFFILIATION.

□

>> 1974 PUBLICATIONS

MILLER, ARTHUR H., JEFFREY BRUDNEY AND PETER JOFTIS, "PRESIDENTIAL CRISES AND POLITICAL SUPPORT: THE IMPACT OF WATERGATE ON ATTITUDES TOWARD INSTITUTIONS," PAPER PRESENTED AT THE MIDWEST POLITICAL SCIENCE ASSOCIATION CONVENTION, CHICAGO, MAY 1-3, 1975.

□

>> 1974 SAMPLING INFORMATION

THE SAMPLE FOR THE 1974 CPS AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY WAS A COMBINATION OF TWO SAMPLES, EACH DERIVED FROM THE 1972 CPS AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY SAMPLE. THE 1972 SAMPLE WAS SUPPLEMENTED TO INCLUDE REPRESENTATIONS OF HOUSING UNITS AND CITIZENS ADDED TO THE STUDY UNIVERSE AFTER THE FALL OF 1972. THE SAMPLING OF HOUSING UNITS UTILIZED THE SURVEY RESEARCH CENTER'S MASTER FRAME OF COTERMINOUS UNITED STATES HOUSING UNITS EXCLUSIVE OF THOSE ON MILITARY BASES. <SEE KISH, LESLIE AND HESS, IRENE, "THE SURVEY RESEARCH CENTER'S NATIONAL SAMPLE OF DWELLINGS," ISR NUMBER 2315, ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN: INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH, THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN>

THE 1972 SAMPLE OF HOUSING UNITS, SELECTED AT THE OVERALL RATE OF ABOUT 1/17,000, WAS DESIGNED TO YIELD APPROXIMATELY 2,600 INTERVIEWS, ONE PER HOUSING UNIT, WITH UNITED STATES CITIZENS 18 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER ON OR BEFORE NOVEMBER 7, 1972. ALTHOUGH HOUSING UNITS HAD EQUAL SELECTION PROBABILITIES, RESPONDENTS HAD DISPROPORTIONATE SELECTION RATES BECAUSE THE NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE PERSONS VARIES AMONG HOUSING UNITS. THOSE PERSONS INTERVIEWED PRIOR TO THE 1972 ELECTION WERE CONTACTED AFTER NOVEMBER 7 FOR A POST-ELECTION INTERVIEW. THOSE PERSONS GRANTING TWO INTERVIEWS IN 1972 (PRE- AND POST-ELECTION) COMPRISE THE PANEL FOR THE 1974 STUDY.

THE 1974 PANEL STUDY

THE PANEL STUDY IS SIMPLE IN CONCEPT. AFTER THE 1974 ELECTION, ATTEMPTS TO CONTACT THE 2191 RESPONDENTS WHO GRANTED BOTH A PRE- AND POST-ELECTION INTERVIEW IN 1972 WERE MADE. IF A PANEL MEMBER HAD MOVED FROM HIS OR HER 1972 RESIDENCE, EVERY REASONABLE EFFORT WAS MADE TO LOCATE THAT PERSON AND INTERVIEW HER OR HIM AT THE 1974 RESIDENCE. THUS, APPROXIMATELY 1625 INTERVIEWS WERE OBTAINED WITH PANEL MEMBERS.

THE 1974 CROSS-SECTION STUDY

THE SAMPLE OF HOUSING UNITS

INSTEAD OF SELECTING A NEW SAMPLE OF HOUSING UNITS (HU'S), A CURRENT CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE OF HU'S WAS CONSTRUCTED AT THE OVER-ALL RATE OF APPROXIMATELY 1/51,000 BY SUB-SAMPLING THE 1972 SAMPLE HU'S AT THE RATE OF 1/3 AND THEN ADDING TO THE 1972 SAMPLE A 1/51,000 SAMPLE OF HU'S THAT HAD ZERO CHANCE OF SELECTION IN 1972 FOR ONE OF

int1974.txt

THREE REASONS: (1) THEY WERE OVERLOOKED IN 1972 WHEN THE LISTS WERE PREPARED; (2) THEY RESULTED FROM CONVERSIONS AFTER 1972; (3) THEY WERE NEWLY CONSTRUCTED AFTER 1972.

THE SUBSAMPLING OF 1972 SAMPLE HU'S WAS ACCOMPLISHED IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER:

1. ONE-THIRD OF THE PANEL HU'S WERE DESIGNATED FOR THE CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE, THE SAMPLE SELECTION CUTTING ACROSS ALL REGIONS, ALL PRIMARY AREAS, ALL PLACES AND ALL SEGMENTS THAT HAD ONE OR MORE PANEL HU'S. IF MORE THAN ONE HU WAS FOUND TO EXIST AT A DESIGNATED PANEL ADDRESS, THOSE ADDITIONAL HU'S WERE ADDED TO THE 1974 SAMPLE.
2. ONE-THIRD OF THE 1972 VACANT HU'S, NON-RESPONSES AND PRE-ELECTION ONLY RESPONSES WERE CHOSEN FOR THE CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE IN A MANNER SIMILAR TO THE SUBSAMPLING OF PANEL HU'S. IF MORE THAN ONE HU WAS FOUND AT A 1972 ADDRESS, THOSE ADDITIONAL HU'S WERE ADDED TO THE 1974 SAMPLE.
3. INTERVIEWERS WERE INSTRUCTED TO UPDATE THE HU LISTINGS FOR EACH SAMPLE SEGMENT; THEN INSTRUCTIONS IN THE FORM OF LISTING SHEET LINE NUMBERS WERE PROVIDED, SO ONE-THIRD OF THE HU'S IN PREVIOUSLY UNLISTED RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS WERE ADDED TO THE SAMPLE.

THE SAMPLE OF ELIGIBLE PERSONS

FOR THE 1974 CPS AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY, HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS WHO WERE UNITED STATES CITIZENS 16 YEARS OF AGE OR OVER WERE ELIGIBLE TO BE CHOSEN AS RESPONDENTS. SINCE ANY ELIGIBLE PERSON WHO WAS UNDER 18 YEARS OF AGE ON NOVEMBER 7, 1972 HAD ZERO CHANCE OF SELECTION IN 1972, A PROCEDURE TO GIVE SUCH PERSONS A NON-ZERO CHANCE OF SELECTION IN 1974 WAS DEvised. THE SELECTION OF RESPONDENTS PROCEEDED AS FOLLOWS:

1. ONE-THIRD SELECTION OF 1972 NONPANEL HU'S: THESE ARE THE 1972 HU'S THAT WERE VACANT, PRODUCED NONRESPONSES OR ONLY A PRE-ELECTION RESPONSE. AT EACH OF THESE HU'S, HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS 16 YEARS OF AGE AND OVER WERE LISTED AND AN INTERVIEW ATTEMPTED WITH AN OBJECTIVELY SELECTED RESPONDENT. <SEE KISH, LESLIE, "A PROCEDURE FOR OBJECTIVE RESPONDENT SELECTION WITHIN THE HOUSEHOLD," JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN STATISTICAL ASSOCIATION, 44 (SEPTEMBER, 1949), PP. 380-387.>
2. 1974 SAMPLE HU'S NON-EXISTENT IN 1972: AT EACH OF THESE HU'S HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS

int1974.txt

16 YEARS OF AGE AND OVER WERE LISTED AND AN INTERVIEW ATTEMPTED WITH AN OBJECTIVELY SELECTED RESPONDENT.

3. ONE-THIRD SAMPLE OF 1972 PANEL HU'S:
THERE WERE THREE SITUATIONS:
 - A) THE PANEL MEMBER NO LONGER LIVED AT THE HU. IN THIS CASE, ALL HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS 16 YEARS AND OVER WERE LISTED AND AN INTERVIEW ATTEMPTED WITH ONE OBJECTIVELY SELECTED RESPONDENT.
 - B) THE PANEL MEMBER STILL LIVED AT THE 1972 HU AND NO NEW MEMBERS HAD BEEN ADDED TO THE HOUSEHOLD. BY "NEW" MEMBERS WE MEAN ANYONE WHO HAD ZERO CHANCE OF SELECTION FOR THE 1972 STUDY. WHEN THE PANEL MEMBER WAS PRESENT AND THERE WERE NO NEW MEMBERS, THE PANEL MEMBER WAS ALSO THE CROSS-SECTION RESPONDENT.
 - C) THE PANEL MEMBER WAS STILL LIVING AT THE SAMPLE HU, BUT ONE OR MORE NEW MEMBERS WERE PRESENT IN THE HOUSEHOLD. INTERVIEWERS WERE INSTRUCTED TO LIST ALL ELIGIBLE HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS AND TO CHOOSE ONE OBJECTIVELY. <SEE KISH, OP. CIT.> IF THE CHOSEN PERSON WAS AN ELIGIBLE HOUSEHOLD MEMBER IN 1972, THE PANEL MEMBER WAS THE DESIGNATED RESPONDENT FOR THE CROSS-SECTION STUDY AS WELL AS FOR THE PANEL. IF THE CHOSEN PERSON WAS NOT AN ELIGIBLE HOUSEHOLD MEMBER IN 1972, A CROSS-SECTION INTERVIEW WAS ATTEMPTED WITH THE OBJECTIVELY CHOSEN PERSON.

□

>> 1974 WEIGHTING INFORMATION

THE CPS 1974 AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY SAMPLE CONSISTED OF PANEL AND CROSS-SECTION COMPONENTS. THE RE-CONTACTED RESPONDENTS FORMING THE PANEL WERE FIRST INTERVIEWED IN THE PRE- AND POST-ELECTION PHASES OF THE 1972 CPS AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY. OF THESE PANEL RESPONDENTS 1101 WERE FOUND TO REMAIN AT THEIR 1972 ADDRESSES AND WERE INCORPORATED INTO THE 1974 CROSS SECTION SAMPLE. THESE RESPONDENTS WERE GIVEN A WEIGHT OF 1. TO FORM A REPRESENTATIVE CROSS-SECTION SAMPLE OF ADULTS 16 YEARS AND OVER, 474 NON-PANEL RESPONDENTS WERE SELECTED BY SUB-

int1974.txt

SAMPLING THE 1972 SAMPLE OF HOUSING UNITS. SINCE THE CROSS-SECTION (NON-PANEL) RESPONDENTS WERE SELECTED WITH A ONE-THIRD FRACTION OUT OF THE AVAILABLE ADDRESSES, THEY WERE GIVEN A WEIGHT OF 3.

NOTE: FOR PURPOSES OF THIS DATASET, PERSONS UNDER THE AGE OF 18 ON NOVEMBER 5, 1974 WERE DROPPED FROM THE SAMPLE.

V742003, WEIGHTING VARIABLE, SHOULD BE USED IN CONJUNCTION WITH ANY ANALYSIS OF THIS DATA. THE WEIGHTED N IS 2523.

□

>> 1974 FILE STRUCTURE

THERE IS ONE WEIGHT VARIABLE IN THE CPS 1974 AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY. (SEE V742003; THIS SHOULD BE USED IN CONJUNCTION WITH DATA ANALYSIS PROGRAMS.)

□>> 1974 CODEBOOK INFORMATION

The following example from the 1948 NES study provides the standard format for codebook variable documentation.

Note that NES studies which are not part of the Time-Series usually omit marginals and the descriptive content in lines 2-5 (except for variable name).

Line

```
1  =====
2  VAR 480026    NAME-R NOT VT-WAS R REG TO VT
3                COLUMNS 61    - 61
4                NUMERIC
5                MD=0 OR GE 8
6
7                Q. 17.  (IF R DID NOT VOTE)  WERE YOU REGISTERED (ELIGIBLE)
8                TO VOTE.
9                .....
10
11                82          1.  YES
12                149         2.  NO
13
14                0           8.  DK
```

15 9 9. NA
 16 422 0. INAP., R VOTED

Line 2 - VARIABLE NAME. Note that in the codebook the variable name (usually a 'number') does not include the "V" prefix which is used in the release SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files) for all variables including those which do not have 'number' names. For example the variable "VERSION" in the codebook is "VVERSION" in the data definition files.

Line 2 - "NAME". This is the variable label used in the SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files). Some codebooks exclude this.

Line 3 - COLUMNS. Columns in the ASCII data file (.dat file).

Line 4 - CHARACTER OR NUMERIC. If numeric and the variable is a decimal rather than integer variable, the number of decimal places is also indicated (e.g. "NUMERIC DEC 4")

Line 5 - Values which are assigned to missing by default in the Study's SAS and and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files).

Line 7 - Actual question text for survey variables or a description of non-survey variables (for example, congressional district). Survey items usually include the question number (for example "B1a.") from the Study questionnaire; beginning in 1996 non-survey items also have unique item numbers (for example "CSheet.1").

Line 9 - A dashed or dotted line usually separates question text from any other documentation which follows.

Line 10- When present, annotation provided by Study staff is presented below the question text/description and preceding code values.

Lines 11-16
 Code values are listed with descriptive labels. Valid codes (those not having 'missing' status in line 5) are presented first, followed by the values described in line 5. For continuous variables, one line may appear providing the range of possible values. A blank line usually separates the 'valid' and 'missing' values.

Lines 11-16
 Marginals are usually provided for discrete variables. The counts may be unweighted or weighted; check the Study codebook introductory text to determine weight usage.

□>> 1974 PROCESSING INFORMATION

THIS STUDY WAS PROCESSED ACCORDING TO THE STANDARD ICPSR PROCEDURES. THE DATA WERE CHECKED FOR INCONSISTENT CODES,

int1974.txt

ILLEGAL CODES, AND BLANKS WHICH, WHENEVER FOUND, WERE CORRECTED BY REFERRING TO THE ORIGINAL INTERVIEW SCHEDULES. STATEMENTS BRACKETED IN "<" AND ">" SIGNS IN THE BODY OF THE CODEBOOK AND THE FOOTNOTES WERE ADDED BY THE PROCESSORS FOR EXPLANATORY PURPOSES. THE PROCESSING OF THE STUDY WAS PLANNED AND EXECUTED PRIMARILY BY MICHAEL NOLTE WITH THE COLLABORATION OF PETER JOFTIS AND MITCHELL COHEN.

□

>> 1974 VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST

VAR.
NO.

VERSION NES VERSION NUMBER
DSETNO NES DATASET NUMBER
742001 ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER - 7355
742002 INTERVIEW NUMBER
742003 WEIGHT VARIABLE
742004 FUTURE PANEL R IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

STUDY PROCEDURE AND SAMPLING INFORMATION

742005 STATE OF INTERVIEW
742006 REGION OF INTERVIEW
742007 STATE AND CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT OF INTERVIEW
742008 ICPSR COUNTY CODE
742009 SAMPLING COUNTY CODE
742010 PRIMARY SAMPLING UNIT (PSU) OF INTERVIEW
742011 PRIMARY SAMPLING UNIT AND PLACE IDENTIFICATION
742012 ACTUAL POPULATION OF INTERVIEW PLACE
742013 DISTANCE FROM PLACE OF INTERVIEW TO CENTER OF CENTRAL CITY OF NEAREST SMSA
742014 DISTANCE FROM PLACE OF INTERVIEW TO CENTER OF CENTRAL CITY OF NEAREST SMSA OVER 349,999 PEOPLE
742015 1970 CENSUS TRACT NUMBER FOR PLACE OF INTERVIEW
742016 SIZE OF INTERVIEW PLACE-MASTER CODE
742017 BELT CODE
742018 NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS IN HOUSEHOLD
742019 ADULT COMPOSITION OF PRIMARY FAMILY UNIT
742020 NUMBER OF POLITICALLY ELIGIBLE ADULTS IN DWELLING UNIT
742021 SEGMENT CODE
742022 INTERVIEWER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER
742023 DATE OF INTERVIEW
742024 LENGTH OF INTERVIEW
742025 CODER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

CONCERN ABOUT ELECTION

742026 DOES R CARE HOW CONGRESSIONAL ELECTIONS COME OUT

742027 DOES R FOLLOW POLITICS

TELEVISION AS A NEWS SOURCE

742028 HOW OFTEN DOES R WATCH MORNING NATIONAL NEWS
742029 HOW OFTEN DOES R WATCH DAYTIME TV ENTERTAINMENT
742030 HOW OFTEN DOES R WATCH EARLY EVENING LOCAL NEWS
742031 HOW OFTEN DOES R WATCH NATIONAL NEWS
742032 HOW OFTEN DOES R WATCH EVENING TV ENTERTAINMENT
742033 HOW OFTEN DOES R WATCH LATE EVENING LOCAL NEWS
742034 WHICH NATIONAL EVENING NEWS DOES R WATCH MOST
742035 WHICH STATION DOES R WATCH MOST FOR LOCAL NEWS

TELEVISION AS A SOURCE OF POLITICAL INFORMATION

742036 DID R WATCH ANY PROGRAMS ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN
742037 HOW MANY CAMPAIGN PROGRAMS DID R WATCH
742038 DOES R WATCH TV TO LEARN THE CANDIDATES' STANDS
742039 DOES R WATCH TV TO DECIDE HOW TO VOTE
742040 DOES R WATCH TV TO ENJOY EXCITEMENT OF ELECTION
742041 DOES R WATCH TV TO JUDGE THE CANDIDATES
742042 DOES R WATCH TV TO REENFORCE CANDIDATE PREFERENCE
742043 DOES R WATCH TV TO OBTAIN INFORMATION
742044 DID R SEE ANY SENATE RACE ADVERTISEMENTS ON TV
742045 DID R PAY ATTENTION TO SENATE RACE ADVERTISEMENTS

NEWSPAPERS AS AN INFORMATION SOURCE

742046 DOES R READ A DAILY NEWSPAPER
742047 WHICH NEWSPAPERS DOES R READ
742048 WHAT LOCAL PAPER DOES R READ MOST FOR CURRENT EVENTS
742049 HOW OFTEN DOES R READ PERSONAL INTEREST STORIES
742050 HOW OFTEN DOES R READ NATIONAL POLITICAL NEWS
742051 HOW OFTEN DOES R READ STATE AND LOCAL POLITICAL NEWS
742052 HOW OFTEN DOES R READ COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
742053 HOW OFTEN DOES R READ INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS
742054 HOW OFTEN DOES R READ HOME AND GARDENING STORIES
742055 HOW OFTEN DOES R READ STORIES ABOUT CRIME
742056 HOW OFTEN DOES R READ EDITORIALS AND OPINION COLUMNS

NEWSPAPERS AS A SOURCE OF POLITICAL INFORMATION

742057 DOES R READ PAPERS TO LEARN THE CANDIDATES' STANDS
742058 DOES R READ PAPERS TO DECIDE HOW TO VOTE
742059 DOES R READ PAPERS TO ENJOY EXCITEMENT OF ELECTION
742060 DOES R READ PAPERS TO JUDGE THE CANDIDATES
742061 DOES R READ PAPERS TO REENFORCE CANDIDATE PREFERENCE
742062 DOES R READ PAPERS TO OBTAIN INFORMATION
742063 DID R READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN IN ANY NEWSPAPER
742064 HOW OFTEN DID R READ ABOUT U.S. SENATE CAMPAIGN
742065 HOW OFTEN DID R READ ABOUT U.S. HOUSE CAMPAIGN

742066 R RELY ON TV/NEWSPAPERS FOR POLITICAL INFORMATION

R'S PERSONAL PROBLEMS

int1974.txt

742067 WHAT PERSONAL PROBLEMS DOES R FACE - FIRST MENTION
742068 WHAT PERSONAL PROBLEMS DOES R FACE - SECOND MENTION
742069 WHAT PERSONAL PROBLEMS DOES R FACE - THIRD MENTION
742070 DOES R MENTION ANY PERSONAL PROBLEM
742071 WHAT IS THE MOST IMPORTANT PERSONAL PROBLEM R FACES
742072 DOES R WORK OUT PERSONAL PROBLEM ALONE
742073 WHO SHOULD HELP R WITH PERSONAL PROBLEMS
742074 HOW HELPFUL IS GOVERNMENT WITH R'S PROBLEMS

MOST IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEMS

742075 IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEM - FIRST MENTION
742076 IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEM - SECOND MENTION
742077 IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEM - THIRD MENTION

742078 DOES R MENTION ANY IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEM
742079 WHAT IS THE MOST IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEM

NEWSPAPER COVERAGE -- NATIONAL PROBLEMS

742080 DID R READ ABOUT IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEMS IN PAPER
742081 FIRST NATIONAL PROBLEM R READ ABOUT
742082 LEVEL OF FIRST NATIONAL PROBLEM
742083 PERSON/ACTOR DIMENSION 1 - FIRST NATIONAL PROBLEM
742084 PERSON/ACTOR DIMENSION 2 - FIRST NATIONAL PROBLEM
742085 CRITICISM/PRAISE DIMENSION 1 - FIRST NATIONAL PROBLEM
742086 OBJECT OF CRITICISM/PRAISE 1 - FIRST NATIONAL PROBLEM
742087 CRITICISM/PRAISE DIMENSION 2 - FIRST NATIONAL PROBLEM
742088 OBJECT OF CRITICISM/PRAISE 2 - FIRST NATIONAL PROBLEM
742089 PROPOSAL FOR ACTION DIMENSION - FIRST NATIONAL PROBLEM
742090 KEYWORD DIMENSION (MENTION 1) - FIRST NATIONAL PROBLEM
742091 KEYWORD DIMENSION (MENTION 2) - FIRST NATIONAL PROBLEM

742092 SECOND NATIONAL PROBLEM R READ ABOUT
742093 LEVEL OF SECOND NATIONAL PROBLEM

TELEVISION COVERAGE - NATIONAL PROBLEMS

742094 DID R SEE ANYTHING ABOUT IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEMS
ON TELEVISION
742095 FIRST NATIONAL PROBLEM R SAW ON TELEVISION
742096 LEVEL OF FIRST NATIONAL PROBLEM
742097 PERSON/ACTOR DIMENSION 1 - FIRST NATIONAL PROBLEM
742098 PERSON/ACTOR DIMENSION 2 - FIRST NATIONAL PROBLEM
742099 CRITICISM/PRAISE DIMENSION 1 - FIRST NATIONAL PROBLEM
742100 OBJECT OF CRITICISM/PRAISE 1 - FIRST NATIONAL PROBLEM
742101 CRITICISM/PRAISE DIMENSION 2 - FIRST NATIONAL PROBLEM
742102 OBJECT OF CRITICISM/PRAISE 2 - FIRST NATIONAL PROBLEM
742103 PROPOSAL FOR ACTION DIMENSION - FIRST NATIONAL PROBLEM
742104 KEYWORD DIMENSION (MENTION 1) - FIRST NATIONAL PROBLEM
742105 KEYWORD DIMENSION (MENTION 2) - FIRST NATIONAL PROBLEM

742106 SECOND NATIONAL PROBLEM R SAW ON TELEVISION
742107 LEVEL OF SECOND NATIONAL PROBLEM

742108 HOW HELPFUL IS GOVERNMENT ON MOST IMPORTANT
NATIONAL PROBLEM

IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEMS - ELECTION CAMPAIGN

742109 WHAT WAS THE FIRST IMPORTANT THING THAT R READ
ABOUT DURING THE CAMPAIGN
742110 LEVEL OF PROBLEM - FIRST CAMPAIGN EVENT
742111 PERSON/ACTOR DIMENSION 1 - FIRST CAMPAIGN EVENT
742112 PERSON/ACTOR DIMENSION 2 - FIRST CAMPAIGN EVENT
742113 CRITICISM/PRAISE DIMENSION 1 - FIRST CAMPAIGN EVENT
742114 OBJECT OF CRITICISM/PRAISE 1 - FIRST CAMPAIGN EVENT
742115 CRITICISM/PRAISE DIMENSION 2 - FIRST CAMPAIGN EVENT
742116 OBJECT OF CRITICISM/PRAISE 2 - FIRST CAMPAIGN EVENT
742117 PROPOSAL FOR ACTION DIMENSION - FIRST CAMPAIGN EVENT
742118 KEYWORD DIMENSION (MENTION 1) - FIRST CAMPAIGN EVENT
742119 KEYWORD DIMENSION (MENTION 2) - FIRST CAMPAIGN EVENT

742120 WHAT WAS THE SECOND IMPORTANT THING THAT R READ
ABOUT DURING THE CAMPAIGN
742121 LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT - SECOND CAMPAIGN EVENT

NEWSPAPER COVERAGE OF IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEM

742122 HOW MUCH DID R READ ABOUT NATIONAL PROBLEM IN PAPERS
742123 WAS NEWSPAPER COVERAGE OF NATIONAL PROBLEM FAIR

DISCUSSION OF MOST IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEM

742124 DID R DISCUSS NATIONAL PROBLEM WITH ANYONE
742125 WHOM DID R DISCUSS NATIONAL PROBLEM WITH - MENTION 1
742126 WHOM DID R DISCUSS NATIONAL PROBLEM WITH - MENTION 2

SALIENT ISSUES IN SENATE CAMPAIGN

742127 R MENTIONS HONESTY IN GOVERNMENT--SENATE CAMPAIGN ISSUE
742128 R MENTIONS TAXES AS SENATE CAMPAIGN ISSUE
742129 R MENTIONS INFLATION AS SENATE CAMPAIGN ISSUE
742130 R MENTIONS ENERGY SHORTAGES AS SENATE CAMPAIGN ISSUE
742131 R MENTIONS UNEMPLOYMENT AS SENATE CAMPAIGN ISSUE
742132 R MENTIONS FOREIGN RELATIONS AS SENATE CAMPAIGN ISSUE
742133 R MENTIONS RACIAL TOPICS AS SENATE CAMPAIGN ISSUE
742134 R MENTIONS CRIME/DRUGS AS SENATE CAMPAIGN ISSUE
742135 R MENTIONS CONSUMER PROTECTION AS SENATE CAMPAIGN ISSUE
742136 R MENTIONS POLLUTION AS SENATE CAMPAIGN ISSUE

ISSUES WHICH ARE IMPORTANT TO R

742137 R MENTIONS HONESTY IN GOVERNMENT AS IMPORTANT ISSUE
742138 R MENTIONS TAXES AS IMPORTANT ISSUE
742139 R MENTIONS INFLATION AS IMPORTANT ISSUE
742140 R MENTIONS ENERGY SHORTAGES AS IMPORTANT ISSUE
742141 R MENTIONS UNEMPLOYMENT AS IMPORTANT ISSUE
742142 R MENTIONS FOREIGN RELATIONS AS IMPORTANT ISSUE
742143 R MENTIONS RACIAL TOPICS AS IMPORTANT ISSUE
742144 R MENTIONS CRIME/DRUGS AS IMPORTANT ISSUE
742145 R MENTIONS CONSUMER PROTECTION AS IMPORTANT ISSUE

742146 R MENTIONS POLLUTION AS IMPORTANT ISSUE

R'S RANKING OF IMPORTANT ISSUES

742147 ISSUE RANKED BY R: HONESTY IN GOVERNMENT
742148 ISSUE RANKED BY R: TAXES
742149 ISSUE RANKED BY R: INFLATION
742150 ISSUE RANKED BY R: ENERGY SHORTAGES
742151 ISSUE RANKED BY R: UNEMPLOYMENT
742152 ISSUE RANKED BY R: FOREIGN RELATIONS
742153 ISSUE RANKED BY R: RACIAL ISSUES
742154 ISSUE RANKED BY R: CRIME/DRUGS
742155 ISSUE RANKED BY R: CONSUMER PROTECTION
742156 ISSUE RANKED BY R: POLLUTION

742157 DOES R APPROVE OF THE WAY FORD IS HANDLING HIS JOB

NIXON'S RESIGNATION

742158 WAS R (DIS)PLEASED BY NIXON'S RESIGNATION
742159 WHY WAS R (DIS)PLEASED BY NIXON RESIGNATION - MENTION 1
742160 WHY WAS R (DIS)PLEASED BY NIXON RESIGNATION - MENTION 2
742161 WERE THE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE HEARINGS FAIR
742162 DID R (DIS)APPROVE OF THE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE'S
IMPEACHMENT DECISION
742163 WHY DID R (DIS)APPROVE OF THE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE'S
IMPEACHMENT DECISION - FIRST MENTION
742164 WHY DID R (DIS)APPROVE OF THE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE'S
IMPEACHMENT DECISION - SECOND MENTION
742165 HOW FAIR WAS MEDIA COVERAGE OF THE WATERGATE AFFAIR

FORD'S PARDON OF NIXON

742166 SHOULD FORD HAVE PARDONED NIXON
742167 SHOULD FORD HAVE PARDONED NIXON AFTER TRIAL/NOT AT ALL
742168 WHY SHOULD FORD (NOT) HAVE PARDONED NIXON - MENTION 1
742169 WHY SHOULD FORD (NOT) HAVE PARDONED NIXON - MENTION 2
742170 SHOULD FORD HAVE WAITED UNTIL AFTER TRIAL BEFORE PARDON

R'S EVALUATION OF NIXON'S RESIGNATION

742171 WAS NIXON'S RESIGNATION GOOD/BAD FOR COUNTRY
742172 WHY NIXON'S RESIGNATION GOOD/BAD FOR COUNTRY--MENTION 1
742173 WHY NIXON'S RESIGNATION GOOD/BAD FOR COUNTRY--MENTION 2

SENATORIAL CAMPAIGN

742174 DOES R REMEMBER THE SENATORIAL CANDIDATES
742175 WHO WERE THE SENATORIAL CANDIDATES - MENTION 1
742176 WHO WERE THE SENATORIAL CANDIDATES - MENTION 2
742177 WAS THERE ANYTHING R LIKED ABOUT THE DEMOCRAT
742178 WHAT DID R LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE - MENTION 1
742179 WHAT DID R LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE - MENTION 2
742180 WHAT DID R LIKE ABOUT DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE - MENTION 3
742181 WAS THERE ANYTHING R DISLIKED ABOUT THE DEMOCRAT

int1974.txt

742182 WHAT R DISLIKED ABOUT DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE - MENTION 1
742183 WHAT R DISLIKED ABOUT DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE - MENTION 2
742184 WHAT R DISLIKED ABOUT DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE - MENTION 3
742185 WAS THERE ANYTHING R LIKED ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN
742186 WHAT DID R LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE - MENTION 1
742187 WHAT DID R LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE - MENTION 2
742188 WHAT DID R LIKE ABOUT REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE - MENTION 3
742189 WAS THERE ANYTHING R DISLIKED ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN
742190 WHAT R DISLIKED ABOUT REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE - MENTION 1
742191 WHAT R DISLIKED ABOUT REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE - MENTION 2
742192 WHAT R DISLIKED ABOUT REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE - MENTION 3

PARTY CONTACTS WITH R DURING CAMPAIGN

742193 DID A POLITICAL PARTY WORKER CONTACT R DURING CAMPAIGN
742194 WHICH PARTY(S) CONTACTED R DURING CAMPAIGN

R'S POLITICAL ACTIVITY

742195 DID R TRY TO INFLUENCE OTHERS' VOTE CHOICES
742196 DID R ATTEND ANY POLITICAL MEETINGS OR RALLIES
742197 DID R WORK FOR A PARTY OR CANDIDATE
742198 DID R WEAR A BUTTON OR PUT A STICKER ON THE CAR
742199 DID R GIVE ANY MONEY TO A POLITICAL PARTY
742200 WHICH PARTY DID R GIVE MONEY TO

PARTY IDENTIFICATION

742201 DOES R CONSIDER SELF AS REPUBLICAN/DEMOCRAT/OTHER
742202 STRENGTH OF DEMOCRAT/REPUBLICAN IDENTIFICATION
742203 R THINK OF SELF AS CLOSER REPUBLICAN/DEMOCRATIC PARTY
742204 R'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION
742205 DID R EVER HAVE A DIFFERENT PARTY IDENTIFICATION
742206 WHAT WAS R'S PREVIOUS PARTY IDENTIFICATION
742207 (IF REPUBLICAN/DEMOCRAT) WHEN DID R CHANGE PARTY
IDENTIFICATION

NON-IDENTIFIERS -- INDEPENDENTS

742208 (IF CLOSER TO ONE PARTY) DID R EVER CONSIDER SELF AS
CLOSER TO OTHER PARTY
742209 (IF CLOSE TO NEITHER PARTY) DID R EVER CONSIDER SELF AS
CLOSER TO REPUBLICAN/DEMOCRATIC PARTY
742210 (IF INDEPENDENT/OTHER) WHEN DID R CHANGE PARTY
IDENTIFICATION

PAST PRESIDENTIAL VOTING BEHAVIOR

742211 HAS R VOTED IN ALL POSSIBLE PAST PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS
742212 HAS R ALWAYS VOTED FOR THE SAME PARTY FOR PRESIDENT
742213 PARTY R SUPPORTED IN PAST-PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS

CONGRESSIONAL CAMPAIGN

int1974.txt

742214 DOES R REMEMBER THE CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES
742215 WHO WERE THE CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES - FIRST MENTION
742216 WHO WERE THE CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES - SECOND MENTION
742217 WHO WERE THE CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES - THIRD MENTION
742218 FROM WHICH PARTY WERE THE CANDIDATES - 1ST CANDIDATE
742219 FROM WHICH PARTY WERE THE CANDIDATES - 2ND CANDIDATE
742220 FROM WHICH PARTY WERE THE CANDIDATES - 3RD CANDIDATE
742221 DID BOTH MAJOR PARTIES RUN CANDIDATES IN R'S DISTRICT

POLITICAL EFFICACY

742222 DO PEOPLE LIKE R HAVE ANY SAY IN WHAT GOVERNMENT DOES
742223 IS VOTING THE ONLY WAY PEOPLE LIKE R HAVE A SAY
742224 IS POLITICS TOO COMPLICATED FOR R TO UNDERSTAND
742225 DO OFFICIALS CARE WHAT PEOPLE LIKE R THINK
742226 DO CONGRESSMEN LOSE TOUCH WITH PEOPLE QUICKLY
742227 ARE PARTIES INTERESTED IN PEOPLE'S VOTES, NOT OPINION
742228 IS EVERYONE TREATED EQUALLY BY LAWS

CYNICISM AND TRUST IN GOVERNMENT

742229 DO PEOPLE IN GOVERNMENT WASTE A LOT OF TAX MONEY
742230 HOW MUCH CAN R TRUST FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TO DO RIGHT
742231 IS GOVERNMENT RUN FOR THE BENEFIT OF ALL OR A FEW
742232 DO GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS USUALLY KNOW WHAT THEY'RE DOING
742233 ARE MANY GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS A LITTLE CROOKED

GOVERNMENT ATTENTION TO PEOPLE

742234 HOW MUCH DOES GOVERNMENT LISTEN TO PEOPLE
742235 HOW MUCH DO PARTIES MAKE GOVERNMENT LISTEN
742236 HOW MUCH DO ELECTIONS MAKE GOVERNMENT LISTEN
742237 ARE CONGRESSMEN ATTENTIVE TO THEIR CONSTITUENCIES

R'S ATTITUDE: PROTEST, CIVIL DISOBEDIENCE

742238 WOULD R APPROVE OF TAKING PART IN LEGAL PROTESTS
742239 WOULD R APPROVE OF DISOBEYING UNJUST LAW
742240 WOULD R APPROVE OF EFFORTS TO STOP GOVERNMENT OPERATION

EVALUATION OF GOVERNMENTAL INSTITUTIONS

742241 WHICH PART OF GOVERNMENT CAN BE MOST OFTEN TRUSTED
742242 WHICH PART OF GOVERNMENT CAN BE NEXT MOST OFTEN TRUSTED
742243 WHICH PART OF GOVERNMENT CAN BE LEAST OFTEN TRUSTED

CONFIDENCE IN NATIONAL/STATE/LOCAL GOVERNMENT

742244 LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT R HAS MOST CONFIDENCE IN
742245 WHY R HAS CONFIDENCE IN LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT - MENTION 1
742246 WHY R HAS CONFIDENCE IN LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT - MENTION 2
742247 LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT R HAS LEAST CONFIDENCE IN
742248 WHY R LACKS CONFIDENCE IN LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT-MENTION 1
742249 WHY R LACKS CONFIDENCE IN LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT-MENTION 2

INSTITUTIONAL PERFORMANCE

742250 HOW GOOD A JOB IS DONE BY NATIONAL TELEVISION NEWS
742251 HOW GOOD A JOB IS THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT DOING
742252 HOW GOOD A JOB ARE STATE GOVERNMENTS DOING
742253 HOW GOOD A JOB ARE LOCAL GOVERNMENTS DOING
742254 HOW GOOD A JOB IS THE PRESIDENCY DOING
742255 HOW GOOD A JOB IS THE CONGRESS DOING
742256 HOW GOOD A JOB IS THE SUPREME COURT DOING

INSTITUTIONAL INFLUENCE

742257 HOW MUCH INFLUENCE SHOULD NATIONAL TELEVISION NEWS HAVE
742258 HOW MUCH INFLUENCE SHOULD THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAVE
742259 HOW MUCH INFLUENCE SHOULD STATE GOVERNMENTS HAVE
742260 HOW MUCH INFLUENCE SHOULD LOCAL GOVERNMENTS HAVE
742261 HOW MUCH INFLUENCE SHOULD THE PRESIDENCY HAVE
742262 HOW MUCH INFLUENCE SHOULD CONGRESS HAVE
742263 HOW MUCH INFLUENCE SHOULD THE SUPREME COURT HAVE

742264 ARE CIVIL RIGHTS PEOPLE PUSHING TOO FAST

GOVERNMENT GUARANTEED JOB & LIVING STANDARD SCALE

742265 R'S POSITION ON GUARANTEED JOB AND LIVING STANDARD
SCALE
742266 FORD'S POSITION ON GUARANTEED JOB AND LIVING STANDARD
SCALE
742267 WALLACE'S POSITION ON GUARANTEED JOB AND LIVING
STANDARD SCALE
742268 NIXON'S POSITION ON GUARANTEED JOB AND LIVING STANDARD
SCALE
742269 DEMOCRATS' POSITION ON GUARANTEED JOB AND LIVING
STANDARD SCALE
742270 REPUBLICANS' POSITION ON GUARANTEED JOB AND LIVING
STANDARD SCALE
742271 DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE'S POSITION ON GUARANTEED
JOB AND LIVING STANDARD SCALE
742272 REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE'S POSITION ON GUARANTEED
JOB AND LIVING STANDARD SCALE

HANDLING URBAN UNREST SCALE

742273 R'S POSITION ON HANDLING URBAN UNREST SCALE
742274 FORD'S POSITION ON HANDLING URBAN UNREST SCALE
742275 WALLACE'S POSITION ON HANDLING URBAN UNREST SCALE
742276 NIXON'S POSITION ON HANDLING URBAN UNREST SCALE
742277 DEMOCRATS' POSITION ON HANDLING URBAN UNREST SCALE
742278 REPUBLICANS' POSITION ON HANDLING URBAN UNREST SCALE
742279 DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE'S POSITION ON HANDLING
URBAN UNREST SCALE
742280 REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE'S POSITION ON HANDLING
URBAN UNREST SCALE

RIGHTS OF THE ACCUSED SCALE

int1974.txt

742281 R'S POSITION ON RIGHTS OF THE ACCUSED SCALE
742282 FORD'S POSITION ON RIGHTS OF THE ACCUSED SCALE
742283 WALLACE'S POSITION ON RIGHTS OF THE ACCUSED SCALE
742284 DEMOCRATS' POSITION ON RIGHTS OF THE ACCUSED SCALE
742285 REPUBLICANS' POSITION ON RIGHTS OF THE ACCUSED SCALE
742286 DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE'S POSITION ON RIGHTS OF
THE ACCUSED SCALE
742287 REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE'S POSITION ON RIGHTS OF
THE ACCUSED SCALE

SCHOOL BUSING TO ACHIEVE INTEGRATION SCALE

742288 R'S POSITION ON SCHOOL BUSING SCALE
742289 FORD'S POSITION ON SCHOOL BUSING SCALE
742290 WALLACE'S POSITION ON SCHOOL BUSING SCALE
742291 NIXON'S POSITION ON SCHOOL BUSING SCALE
742292 DEMOCRATS' POSITION ON SCHOOL BUSING SCALE
742293 REPUBLICANS' POSITION ON SCHOOL BUSING SCALE
742294 DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE'S POSITION ON SCHOOL
BUSING SCALE
742295 REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE'S POSITION ON SCHOOL
BUSING SCALE

GOVERNMENT AID TO MINORITIES SCALE

742296 R'S POSITION ON GOVERNMENT AID TO MINORITIES SCALE
742297 FORD'S POSITION ON GOVERNMENT AID TO MINORITIES SCALE
742298 WALLACE'S POSITION--GOVERNMENT AID TO MINORITIES SCALE
742299 NIXON'S POSITION ON GOVERNMENT AID TO MINORITIES SCALE
742300 DEMOCRATS' POSITION ON GOVERNMENT AID TO MINORITIES
SCALE
742301 REPUBLICANS' POSITION ON GOVERNMENT AID TO MINORITIES
SCALE

EQUAL RIGHTS FOR WOMEN SCALE

742302 R'S POSITION ON EQUAL RIGHTS FOR WOMEN SCALE
742303 DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE'S POSITION ON EQUAL RIGHTS
FOR WOMEN SCALE
742304 REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE'S POSITION ON EQUAL RIGHTS
FOR WOMEN SCALE

LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE VIEWS SCALE

742305 R'S POSITION ON LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE VIEWS SCALE
742306 FORD'S POSITION ON LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE VIEWS SCALE
742307 WALLACE'S POSITION ON LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE VIEWS SCALE
742308 NIXON'S POSITION ON LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE VIEWS SCALE
742309 DEMOCRATS' POSITION ON LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE VIEWS SCALE
742310 REPUBLICANS' POSITION ON LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE VIEWS
SCALE
742311 DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE'S POSITION ON LIBERAL/
CONSERVATIVE VIEWS SCALE
742312 REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE'S POSITION ON LIBERAL/
CONSERVATIVE VIEWS SCALE

R'S PERSONAL FINANCIAL SITUATION

742313 DOES R FEEL BETTER OFF FINANCIALLY THAN A YEAR AGO
742314 WILL R BE BETTER OFF FINANCIALLY THAN A YEAR AGO

BUSINESS CONDITIONS

742315 WILL BUSINESS CONDITIONS BE GOOD DURING NEXT YEAR
742316 WHY DOES R THINK BUSINESS CONDITIONS WILL BE GOOD/BAD

GOVERNMENT ECONOMIC POLICY

742317 IS THE GOVERNMENT DOING A GOOD JOB WITH ECONOMIC POLICY
742318 WHICH PARTY CAN BEST HANDLE INFLATION PROBLEMS

R'S 1974 ELECTION VOTING BEHAVIOR

742319 DID R VOTE IN THE 1974 ELECTION
742320 DID R VOTE FOR CONGRESSMAN IN 1974
742321 WHOM DID R VOTE FOR CONGRESSMAN - CANDIDATE
742322 WHOM DID R VOTE FOR CONGRESSMAN - PARTY NAMED BY R
742323 DID R VOTE FOR U.S. SENATOR IN 1974
742324 WHOM DID R VOTE FOR SENATOR - CANDIDATE
742325 WHOM DID R VOTE FOR SENATOR - PARTY NAMED BY R
742326 DID R VOTE FOR GOVERNOR IN 1974
742327 WHOM DID R VOTE FOR GOVERNOR - CANDIDATE
742328 WHOM DID R VOTE FOR GOVERNOR - PARTY NAMED BY R

OTHER STATE AND LOCAL OFFICES

742329 DID R VOTE A STRAIGHT TICKET FOR OTHER OFFICES IN 1974
742330 (STRAIGHT TICKET) PARTY SUPPORTED IN STATE/LOCAL RACES
742331 (IF SPLIT TICKET) PARTY SUPPORTED IN STATE/LOCAL RACES
742332 RECODE: TICKET TYPE AND PARTY SUPPORTED IN STATE/
LOCAL RACES

PROPOSITIONS ON BALLOT

742333 WERE THERE ANY PROPOSITIONS ON THE 1974 BALLOT
742334 HOW MANY PROPOSITIONS DID R VOTE ON
742335 SHOULD MORE ISSUES BE DECIDED AT THE POLLS
742336 ISSUES R FEELS SHOULD BE DECIDED AT POLLS - 1ST MENTION
742337 ISSUES R FEELS SHOULD BE DECIDED AT POLLS - 2ND MENTION

"FEELING THERMOMETER" - POLITICAL/MEDIA FIGURES

742338 FEELING THERMOMETER - GEORGE WALLACE
742339 FEELING THERMOMETER - GERALD FORD
742340 FEELING THERMOMETER - LEON JAWORSKI
742341 FEELING THERMOMETER - JOHN MITCHELL
742342 FEELING THERMOMETER - NELSON ROCKEFELLER
742343 FEELING THERMOMETER - JOHN ERLICHMAN
742344 FEELING THERMOMETER - WALTER CRONKITE

int1974.txt

742345 FEELING THERMOMETER - JOHN CHANCELLOR
742346 FEELING THERMOMETER - PETER RODINO
742347 FEELING THERMOMETER - EDWARD "TED" KENNEDY
742348 FEELING THERMOMETER - HENRY "SCOOP" JACKSON
742349 FEELING THERMOMETER - JUDGE JOHN SIRICA
742350 FEELING THERMOMETER - HOWARD K. SMITH
742351 FEELING THERMOMETER - JOHN DEAN
742352 FEELING THERMOMETER - HUBERT HUMPHREY
742353 FEELING THERMOMETER - WALTER MONDALE
742354 FEELING THERMOMETER - RICHARD NIXON
742355 FEELING THERMOMETER - LLOYD BENTSEN

"FEELING THERMOMETER" - GROUPS IN SOCIETY

742356 FEELING THERMOMETER - BIG BUSINESS
742357 FEELING THERMOMETER - POOR PEOPLE
742358 FEELING THERMOMETER - LIBERALS
742359 FEELING THERMOMETER - RADICAL STUDENTS
742360 FEELING THERMOMETER - POLICEMEN
742361 FEELING THERMOMETER - OLDER PEOPLE
742362 FEELING THERMOMETER - THE MILITARY
742563 FEELING THERMOMETER - WHITES
742364 FEELING THERMOMETER - DEMOCRATS
742365 FEELING THERMOMETER - BLACKS
742366 FEELING THERMOMETER - REPUBLICANS
742367 FEELING THERMOMETER - LABOR UNIONS
742368 FEELING THERMOMETER - YOUNG PEOPLE
742369 FEELING THERMOMETER - CONSERVATIVES
742370 FEELING THERMOMETER - WOMEN'S LIBERATION MOVEMENT
742371 FEELING THERMOMETER - MARIJUANA USERS
742372 FEELING THERMOMETER - BLACK MILITANTS
742373 FEELING THERMOMETER - CIVIL RIGHTS LEADERS
742374 FEELING THERMOMETER - POLITICIANS
742375 FEELING THERMOMETER - FARMERS
742376 FEELING THERMOMETER - MIDDLE-CLASS PEOPLE
742377 FEELING THERMOMETER - TV COMMENTATORS
742378 FEELING THERMOMETER - NEWSPAPER EDITORS

INFLUENCE OF SOCIAL GROUPS SCALE

742379 R'S OPINION OF LABOR UNIONS' INFLUENCE
742380 R'S OPINION OF POOR PEOPLE'S INFLUENCE
742381 R'S OPINION OF POLICEMEN'S INFLUENCE
742382 R'S OPINION OF POLITICIANS' INFLUENCE
742383 R'S OPINION OF BIG BUSINESS' INFLUENCE
742384 R'S OPINION OF BLACKS' INFLUENCE
742385 R'S OPINION OF LIBERALS' INFLUENCE
742386 R'S OPINION OF THE MILITARY'S INFLUENCE
742387 R'S OPINION OF NEWSPAPER EDITORS' INFLUENCE
742388 R'S OPINION OF YOUNG PEOPLE'S INFLUENCE
742389 R'S OPINION OF WOMEN'S INFLUENCE
742390 R'S OPINION OF REPUBLICANS' INFLUENCE
742391 R'S OPINION OF INFLUENCE OF PEOPLE ON WELFARE
742392 R'S OPINION OF FARMERS' INFLUENCE
742393 R'S OPINION OF OLD PEOPLE'S INFLUENCE
742394 R'S OPINION OF DEMOCRATS' INFLUENCE
742395 R'S OPINION OF AVERAGE CITIZEN'S INFLUENCE

CONTROL AND DIRECTION OF OWN LIFE

742396 IS IT BETTER TO PLAN ONE'S LIFE A GOOD WAY AHEAD
742397 WHEN R PLANS AHEAD, DO R'S PLANS WORK AS EXPECTED
742398 HAS R FELT LIFE WOULD WORK OUT AS DESIRED
742399 DOES R FEEL R CAN RUN LIFE AS WANTED

PERSONAL TRUST

742400 GENERALLY SPEAKING, CAN MOST PEOPLE BE TRUSTED
742401 DO PEOPLE TRY TO BE HELPFUL MOST OF THE TIME
742402 IF GIVEN THE CHANCE, WOULD PEOPLE TAKE ADVANTAGE OF R

SATISFACTION WITH STANDARD OF LIVING

742403 HOW SATISFIED IS R WITH R'S INCOME
742404 HOW SATISFIED IS R WITH R'S STANDARD OF LIVING

** PERSONAL DATA **

AGE AND MARITAL STATUS

742405 R'S DATE OF BIRTH
742406 RECODE: R'S AGE AT TIME OF INTERVIEW
742407 R'S MARITAL STATUS

CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD

742408 DOES R HAVE ANY CHILDREN
742409 HOW MANY CHILDREN DOES R HAVE
742410 WHAT ARE CHILDREN'S AGES - FIRST CHILD
742411 WHAT ARE CHILDREN'S AGES - SECOND CHILD
742412 WHAT ARE CHILDREN'S AGES - THIRD CHILD
742413 WHAT ARE CHILDREN'S AGES - FOURTH CHILD
742414 WHAT ARE CHILDREN'S AGES - FIFTH CHILD
742415 WHAT ARE CHILDREN'S AGES - SIXTH CHILD
742416 WHAT ARE CHILDREN'S AGES - SEVENTH CHILD
742417 WHAT ARE CHILDREN'S AGES - EIGHTH CHILD

R'S EDUCATION

742418 WHAT IS THE HIGHEST GRADE COMPLETED BY R
742419 DOES R HAVE A HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA
742420 HAS R HAD ANY OTHER SCHOOLING
742421 DOES R HAVE A COLLEGE DEGREE
742422 WHAT COLLEGE DEGREE DOES R HAVE
742423 RECODE: EDUCATION OF RESPONDENT
742424 FIRST COLLEGE ATTENDED BY R
742425 LAST COLLEGE ATTENDED BY R

742426 FAMILY STATUS OF RESPONDENT

EDUCATION OF FAMILY HEAD

int1974.txt

742427 WHAT IS THE HIGHEST GRADE COMPLETED BY FAMILY HEAD
742428 DOES FAMILY HEAD HAVE A HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA
742429 DOES FAMILY HEAD HAVE ANY OTHER SCHOOLING
742430 DOES FAMILY HEAD HAVE A COLLEGE DEGREE
742431 WHAT COLLEGE DEGREE DOES FAMILY HEAD HAVE
742432 RECODE: EDUCATION OF FAMILY HEAD
742433 FIRST COLLEGE ATTENDED BY FAMILY HEAD
742434 LAST COLLEGE ATTENDED BY FAMILY HEAD

EDUCATION OF WIFE OF FAMILY HEAD

742435 WHAT IS HIGHEST GRADE COMPLETED BY WIFE OF FAMILY HEAD
742436 DOES WIFE OF FAMILY HEAD HAVE A HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA
742437 DOES WIFE OF FAMILY HEAD HAVE ANY OTHER SCHOOLING
742438 DOES WIFE OF FAMILY HEAD HAVE A COLLEGE DEGREE
742439 WHAT COLLEGE DEGREE DOES WIFE OF FAMILY HEAD HAVE
742440 RECODE: EDUCATION OF WIFE OF FAMILY HEAD
742441 FIRST COLLEGE ATTENDED BY WIFE OF FAMILY HEAD
742442 LAST COLLEGE ATTENDED BY WIFE OF FAMILY HEAD

R'S OCCUPATION SECTION

742443 R'S PRESENT EMPLOYMENT STATUS
742444 (IF RETIRED) WHEN DID R RETIRE
742445 (IF UNEMPLOYED) HAS R EVER WORKED FOR PAY
742446 KIND OF WORK R DOES/DID (PB OCCUPATION CODE)
742447 R'S PRESENT/FORMER OCCUPATION - DUNCAN SES
742448 R'S PRESENT/FORMER OCCUPATION - DUNCAN DECILE
742449 R'S PRESENT/FORMER OCCUPATION - CENSUS SES
742450 R'S PRESENT/FORMER OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY
742451 IS/WAS R SELF-EMPLOYED
742452 (IF UNEMPLOYED) DID R WORK FOR PAY DURING THE PAST YEAR
742453 (IF RETIRED OR DISABLED) IS R PRESENTLY WORKING
742454 HOW MANY HOURS A WEEK DOES/DID R WORK
742455 (IF EMPLOYED) WAS R UNEMPLOYED DURING THE PAST YEAR

(IF HOUSEWIFE OR STUDENT)

742456 DID R WORK FOR PAY DURING THE PAST YEAR
742457 IS R PRESENTLY EMPLOYED
742458 WHAT KIND OF WORK DID R DO (PB OCCUPATION CODE)
742459 R'S FORMER OCCUPATION - DUNCAN SES
742460 R'S FORMER OCCUPATION - DUNCAN DECILE
742461 R'S FORMER OCCUPATION - CENSUS SES
742462 R'S FORMER OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY
742463 WAS R SELF-EMPLOYED
742464 HOW MANY HOURS A WEEK DID R WORK

742465 FAMILY STATUS OF RESPONDENT

FAMILY HEAD'S OCCUPATION SECTION

742466 FAMILY HEAD'S PRESENT EMPLOYMENT STATUS
742467 (IF RETIRED) WHEN DID THE FAMILY HEAD RETIRE
742468 (IF UNEMPLOYED) HAS THE FAMILY HEAD EVER WORKED FOR PAY

int1974.txt

742469 WHAT KIND OF WORK DOES/DID THE FAMILY HEAD DO
(PB OCCUPATION CODE)
742470 FAMILY HEAD'S PRESENT/FORMER OCCUPATION - DUNCAN SES
742471 FAMILY HEAD'S PRESENT/FORMER OCCUPATION - DUNCAN DECILE
742472 FAMILY HEAD'S PRESENT/FORMER OCCUPATION - CENSUS SES
742473 FAMILY HEAD'S PRESENT/FORMER OCCUPATION - CENSUS
INDUSTRY
742474 IS/WAS THE FAMILY HEAD SELF-EMPLOYED
742475 (IF UNEMPLOYED) DID THE FAMILY HEAD WORK FOR PAY
DURING THE PAST YEAR
742476 (IF RETIRED OR DISABLED) IS THE FAMILY HEAD PRESENTLY
WORKING
742477 HOW MANY HOURS A WEEK DOES/DID THE FAMILY HEAD WORK
742478 (IF EMPLOYED) WAS FAMILY HEAD UNEMPLOYED IN PAST YEAR

(IF HOUSEWIFE OR STUDENT)

742479 DID THE FAMILY HEAD WORK FOR PAY DURING THE PAST YEAR
742480 IS THE FAMILY HEAD PRESENTLY EMPLOYED
742481 WHAT KIND OF WORK DID THE FAMILY HEAD DO (PB OCCUPATION
CODE)
742482 FAMILY HEAD'S FORMER OCCUPATION - DUNCAN SES
742483 FAMILY HEAD'S FORMER OCCUPATION - DUNCAN DECILE
742484 FAMILY HEAD'S FORMER OCCUPATION - CENSUS SES
742485 FAMILY HEAD'S FORMER OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY
742486 WAS THE FAMILY HEAD SELF-EMPLOYED
742487 HOW MANY HOURS A WEEK DID THE FAMILY HEAD WORK

WIFE OF HEAD'S OCCUPATION SECTION

742488 WIFE OF HEAD'S PRESENT EMPLOYMENT STATUS
742489 (IF RETIRED) WHEN DID WIFE OF HEAD RETIRE
742490 (IF UNEMPLOYED) HAS WIFE OF HEAD EVER WORKED FOR PAY
742491 WHAT KIND OF WORK DOES/DID WIFE OF HEAD DO
(PB OCCUPATION CODE)
742492 WIFE OF HEAD'S PRESENT/FORMER OCCUPATION--DUNCAN SES
742493 WIFE OF HEAD'S PRESENT/FORMER OCCUPATION--DUNCAN DECILE
742494 WIFE OF HEAD'S PRESENT/FORMER OCCUPATION--CENSUS SES
742495 WIFE OF HEAD'S PRESENT/FORMER OCCUPATION--CENSUS
INDUSTRY
742496 IS/WAS WIFE OF HEAD SELF-EMPLOYED
742497 (IF UNEMPLOYED) DID THE WIFE OF HEAD WORK FOR PAY
DURING THE PAST YEAR
742498 (IF RETIRED OR DISABLED) IS THE WIFE OF HEAD PRESENTLY
WORKING
742499 HOW MANY HOURS A WEEK DOES/DID THE WIFE OF HEAD WORK
742500 (IF EMPLOYED) WAS THE WIFE OF HEAD UNEMPLOYED DURING
THE PAST YEAR

(IF HOUSEWIFE OR STUDENT)

742501 DID WIFE OF HEAD WORK FOR PAY DURING THE PAST YEAR
742502 IS WIFE OF HEAD PRESENTLY EMPLOYED
742503 WHAT KIND OF WORK DID WIFE OF HEAD DO (PB OCCUPATION
CODE)
742504 WIFE OF HEAD'S FORMER OCCUPATION - DUNCAN SES
742505 WIFE OF HEAD'S FORMER OCCUPATION - DUNCAN DECILE
742506 WIFE OF HEAD'S FORMER OCCUPATION - CENSUS SES

int1974.txt

742507 WIFE OF HEAD'S FORMER OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY
742508 WAS WIFE OF HEAD SELF-EMPLOYED
742509 HOW MANY HOURS A WEEK DID WIFE OF HEAD WORK

FARMERS' QUESTIONS

742510 DOES THE FAMILY HEAD DO OTHER WORK BESIDES FARMING
742511 FARMER/RANCHER'S OTHER OCCUPATION
742512 HOW MUCH TIME DOES FAMILY HEAD PUT IN ON NON-FARMING
JOB - HOURS/WEEK
742513 HOW MUCH TIME DOES FAMILY HEAD PUT IN ON NON-FARMING
JOB - WEEKS/YEAR
742514 DOES FAMILY HEAD OWN/RENT/MANAGE FARM

HOUSEHOLD UNION MEMBERSHIP

742515 IS ANYONE IN THE HOUSEHOLD A UNION MEMBER
742516 WHO IS THE HOUSEHOLD IS A UNION MEMBER
742517 UNION MEMBERSHIP - FIRST UNION MENTIONED
742518 UNION MEMBERSHIP - SECOND UNION MENTIONED
742519 UNION MEMBERSHIP - THIRD UNION MENTIONED

R'S INCOME LEVEL

742520 DOES R MAKE AS MUCH AS OTHERS WITH SAME OCCUPATION
742521 DOES R MAKE AS MUCH AS OTHERS WITH OTHER OCCUPATIONS

R'S CLASS IDENTIFICATION

742522 DOES R THINK OF SELF AS BELONGING TO A SOCIAL CLASS
742523 WHICH SOCIAL CLASS DOES R THINK OF SELF AS BELONGING TO
742524 R'S RELATIVE PLACEMENT IN SOCIAL CLASS CHOSEN
742525 RECODE: R'S SUBJECTIVE SOCIAL CLASS SUMMARY
742526 DOES R FEEL CLOSE TO PEOPLE IN R'S SOCIAL CLASS
742527 WHAT SOCIAL CLASS WAS R'S FAMILY WHEN R WAS YOUNG

R'S ETHNIC BACKGROUND AND IDENTIFICATION

742528 WHAT DOES R CONSIDER R'S MAIN ETHNIC GROUP
742529 WERE BOTH OF R'S PARENTS BORN IN THIS COUNTRY
742530 (IF ONE OR BOTH PARENTS FOREIGN-BORN) WHAT COUNTRY
WAS R'S FATHER BORN IN
742531 (IF ONE OR BOTH PARENTS FOREIGN-BORN) WHAT COUNTRY
WAS R'S MOTHER BORN IN
742532 (IF BOTH PARENTS NATIVE-BORN) WHICH COUNTRY DID R'S
FAMILY COME FROM - FATHER'S SIDE
742533 (IF BOTH PARENTS NATIVE-BORN) WHICH COUNTRY DID R'S
FAMILY COME FROM - MOTHER'S SIDE

OCCUPATION OF R'S PARENTS

742534 KIND OF WORK R'S FATHER DID WHEN R WAS YOUNG
742535 WAS R'S MOTHER EMPLOYED WHEN R WAS YOUNG
742536 KIND OF WORK R'S MOTHER DID WHEN R WAS YOUNG

WHERE WAS R BROUGHT UP

742537 WHERE WAS R BORN
742538 WHERE DID R GROW UP
742539 R'S AGE WHEN R CAME TO STATE OF CURRENT RESIDENCE
742540 WHAT TYPE OF COMMUNITY WAS R BROUGHT UP IN
742541 WHICH CITY DID R LIVE IN AT AGE 14
742542 WHICH STATE DID R LIVE IN AT AGE 14
742543 HOW LONG HAS R LIVED IN PRESENT COMMUNITY
742544 RESPONDENT'S CITY BEFORE MOVING TO PRESENT COMMUNITY
742545 STATE IN WHICH R LIVED BEFORE MOVING TO PRESENT STATE

R'S HOUSING

742546 HOW LONG HAS R LIVED IN PRESENT HOUSING
742547 IS R'S PRESENT NEIGHBORHOOD NEWER THAN PRIOR ONE
742548 IS R'S PRESENT NEIGHBORHOOD MORE EXPENSIVE THAN
PRIOR ONE

PERSONAL DATA

742549 R'S ESTIMATED FAMILY INCOME FOR 1973
742550 DOES R OWN/RENT PRESENT HOME
742551 R'S RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE
742552 HOW OFTEN DOES R GO TO CHURCH
742553 WHAT IS R'S SEX
742554 WHAT IS R'S RACE
742555 WHAT IS THE RELATIONSHIP OF R TO HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD

CONDITIONS OF THE INTERVIEW

742556 WHO ELSE WAS PRESENT AT THE INTERVIEW
742557 DEGREE OF R'S COOPERATION WITH INTERVIEW
742558 R'S APPARENT LEVEL OF POLITICAL INFORMATION
742559 RATING OF R'S APPARENT INTELLIGENCE
742560 HOW SUSPICIOUS WAS R ABOUT THE STUDY BEFOREHAND
742561 HOW GREAT WAS R'S INTEREST IN THE INTERVIEW
742562 HOW SINCERE WAS R WITH ANSWERS
742563 TYPE OF STRUCTURE IN WHICH R LIVES
742564 NUMBER OF STORIES IN STRUCTURE IN WHICH R LIVES