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>> 1982 STUDY DESCRIPTION

A board of overseers drawn from the national community of social scientists exists to supervise the implementation of the grant, set priorities for future studies, and link members of the social science community to the national election studies. As in 1978 and 1980, the board played an active role in planning the design and content of the 1982 study.

The composition of the board during the planning and execution phases of the 1982 study was as follows: Professors Raymond E. Wolfinger, chair, University of California, Berkeley; Richard A. Brody, Stanford University; Heinz Eulau, Stanford University; Stanley Kelly, Jr., Princeton University; Donald R. Kinder, University of Michigan; David R. Mayhew, Yale University; Warren E. Miller, Arizona State University, ex officio; Mildred A. Schwartz, University of Illinois, Chicago Circle; David O. Sears, University of California, Los Angeles; and J. Merrill Shanks, University of California, Berkeley.

Planning for the 1982 study was greatly delayed due to budgetary uncertainty at the National Science Foundation with respect to the level of support to be received by NES from this organization. As a result, the 1982 study design was largely the result of deliberations between the board of overseers and the project staff, with less involvement than usual from the community of outside scholars. An ad hoc 1982 study planning committee was appointed by the board in February 1982 to formulate recommendations on survey instrumentation and contextual data collections. The committee met once in late March to define the basic contents of the present study.

The committee was chaired by Professor Raymond Wolfinger and consisted of: two additional board members, Professors David Sears and Merrill Shanks; congressional scholars, Professors David W. Brady, John Ferejohn, Morris P. Fiorina, and Gary C. Jacobson; and Professor Warren Miller, ex officio.

The NES board was responsible for the definition of core questionnaire items to support the national elections time series. Final core item selections were partly based on preferences voiced by the user community in response to a memorandum sent in 1979 to the approximately 900 persons on the NES mailing list. The board was also responsible for reviewing the instrumentation of the congressional elections times series started in 1978. Following recommendations from the planning committee, the board approved the repetition in the traditional post-election survey of a substantial number of congressional items used in the 1978 and 1980 NES/CPS election studies.
THE 1982 ELECTION STUDY HAS TWO COMPONENTS:

(1) A TRADITIONAL POST-ELECTION SURVEY USING IN-PERSON INTERVIEWS WITH A NATIONAL SAMPLE OF 1418 RESPONDENTS LOCATED IN THE 74 PRIMARY AREAS OF THE COUNTY-BASED SRC SAMPLING FRAME, AND

(2) AN EXPERIMENTAL POST-ELECTION SURVEY USING COMPUTER-ASSISTED TELEPHONE INTERVIEWING (CATI) WITH A NATIONAL SAMPLE OF 1000 RESPONDENTS SELECTED BY A RANDOM-DIGIT DIALING PROCEDURE.

INTERVIEWING FOR THE IN-PERSON AND TELEPHONE SURVEYS STARTED IMMEDIATELY AFTER ELECTION DAY AND EXTENDED THROUGH JANUARY 1983. TELEPHONE RESPONDENTS WERE ADMINISTERED A SOMEWHAT ABBREVIATED VERSION OF THE IN-PERSON INTERVIEW SCHEDULE, ADAPTED FOR USE OVER THE TELEPHONE WHERE APPROPRIATE.


THE IN-PERSON/TELEPHONE METHODOLOGICAL EXPERIMENT OF THE 1982 STUDY WAS DESIGNED TO ADDRESS A VARIETY OF SUBSTANTIVE, MEASUREMENT AND PROCEDURAL ISSUES SUCH AS: THE VIABILITY OF A METHOD DEVELOPED TO IDENTIFY THE CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT OF TELEPHONE RESPONDENTS; DIFFERENCES IN SAMPLE COVERAGE AND RESPONSE RATE BETWEEN INTERVIEWING MODES; QUALITATIVE MODE DIFFERENCES RESULTING FROM THE ADAPTATION TO TELEPHONE ADMINISTRATION OF TRADITIONAL QUESTIONS RELYING ON "SHOW CARDS" AND OTHER VISUAL AIDS, AND THE FEASIBILITY OF ADMINISTERING LONG (50-55 MINUTES) POLITICAL INTERVIEWS OVER THE TELEPHONE.

SUMMARIZED INFORMATION ABOUT THE FIELD ADMINISTRATION FOR THE IN-PERSON SURVEY IS PRESENTED BELOW:

TRADITIONAL POST-ELECTION SURVEY

FIELD PERIOD NOV 3, 1982 - JAN 31, 1983

MODE OF INTERVIEW IN-PERSON
(AVG. LENGTH, MINUTES) (72.1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COMPLETED INTERVIEWS</td>
<td>1418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REFUSALS</td>
<td>422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER NON-INTERVIEW</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DATA FOR THE 1982 NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY WERE OBTAINED BY INTERVIEWING, IN-PERSON, INDIVIDUALS LIVING IN HOUSEHOLDS IN THE COTERMINOUS UNITED STATES, EXCLUSIVE OF HOUSEHOLDS ON MILITARY RESERVATIONS. THE ELIGIBLE POPULATION CONSISTED OF UNITED STATES CITIZENS WHO WERE 18 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER BY ELECTION DAY, 1982.


THE 1982 STUDY EMPLOYS THE SURVEY RESEARCH CENTER'S NATIONAL SAMPLE OF COUNTIES AND SMSA'S. THE 74 PRIMARY AREAS OF THE SRC NATIONAL SAMPLE INCLUDE 12 MAJOR METROPOLITAN AREAS, 32 OTHER STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS (SMSA'S) AND 30 COUNTIES OR COUNTY-GROUPS REPRESENTING THE NONMETROPOLITAN OR RURAL PORTIONS OF THE COUNTRY. IN THE MULTISTAGE AREA PROBABILITY SAMPLING, FIRST-STAGE STRATIFICATION OF SMSA'S AND COUNTIES IS CARRIED OUT INDEPENDENTLY WITHIN EACH OF THE FOUR MAJOR GEOGRAPHICAL REGIONS, NORTHEAST, NORTH CENTRAL, SOUTH, AND WEST, EACH OF WHICH RECEIVES REPRESENTATION IN PROPORTION TO ITS POPULATION.

OVER ALL REGIONS, THE SMSA'S AND COUNTIES ARE ASSIGNED TO 74 RELATIVELY HOMOGENEOUS GROUPS OR STRATA. TWELVE OF THESE STRATA CONTAIN ONLY ONE PRIMARY AREA EACH; THESE ARE THE TWO STANDARD CONSOLIDATED AREAS AND THE 10 LARGEST SMSA'S, OUTSIDE THE CONSOLIDATED AREAS, WHICH ARE INCLUDED WITH CERTAINTY. THE REMAINING 62 STRATA AVERAGE A LITTLE OVER TWO MILLION POPULATION AND MAY CONTAIN FROM 2 TO 200 OR MORE PRIMARY AREAS (SMSA'S OR COUNTY GROUPS). FROM EACH STRATUM ONE PRIMARY AREA IS SELECTED WITH PROBABILITY PROPORTIONATE TO POPULATION. THIS SAMPLING PROCESS LEADS TO APPROXIMATELY EQUAL SAMPLE SIZES FROM THESE 62 PRIMARY SAMPLE AREAS.

INSTEAD OF INDEPENDENT SELECTIONS WITHIN EACH OF THE 62 STRATA, CONTROLLED PROBABILITY SELECTION IS INTRODUCED FOR A MORE EFFICIENT SAMPLE. WITHIN EACH OF THE FOUR GEOGRAPHIC
REGIONS THE SELECTIONS OF PRIMARY AREAS ARE LINKED BY A PROCEDURE THAT CONTROLS THE DISTRIBUTION OF SAMPLE AREAS BY STATES AND DEGREE OF URBANIZATION BEYOND THE CONTROLS EFFECTED THROUGH THE FORMATION OF THE 62 STRATA. THIS CONTROLLED SELECTION YIELDS A MORE BALANCED SAMPLE AND INCREASES THE PRECISION OF SAMPLE ESTIMATES.

AS THE MULTISTAGE AREA SAMPLING CONTINUES WITHIN THE 74 PRIMARY UNITS, THE AREA IS DIVIDED AND SUBDIVIDED, IN TWO TO FIVE STAGES, INTO SUCCESSIVELY SMALLER SAMPLING UNITS. BY DEFINITION AND PROCEDURE, EACH DWELLING BELONGS UNIQUELY TO ONE SAMPLING UNIT AT EACH STAGE. WITHIN THE PRIMARY AREAS, CITIES, TOWNS, AND RURAL AREAS ARE THE SECONDARY SELECTIONS. BLOCKS OR CLUSTERS OF ADDRESSES IN CITIES AND TOWNS, AND CHUNKS OF RURAL AREAS ARE THE THIRD-STAGE UNITS. IN A FOURTH-STAGE THERE IS A SELECTION OF SMALL SEGMENTS OR CLUSTERS OF DWELLINGS WHERE INTERVIEWS ARE TAKEN FOR A STUDY. IN A LAST STAGE OF SAMPLING, ONE RESPONDENT IS SELECTED FROM AMONG ELIGIBLE HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS.

PROBABILITY SELECTION IS ENFORCED AT ALL STAGES OF THE SAMPLE SELECTION; THE INTERVIEWER HAS NO FREEDOM OF CHOICE AMONG DWELLINGS OR AMONG HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS WITHIN A SAMPLE DWELLING.

AT THE OVERALL RATE OF 1:35,360 A SAMPLE OF 2,278 HOUSING UNITS WAS DRAWN. THESE ARE THE DWELLINGS WHERE INTERVIEWERS CALLED IN 1982 TO ATTEMPT INTERVIEWS WITH ONE OBJECTIVELY SELECTED ELIGIBLE PERSON. THE SELECTIONS WERE THINLY SPREAD, AVERAGING ONLY ONE OR TWO FROM A SAMPLE BLOCK. IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH ELIGIBLE PERSONS, AN INTERVIEW WAS COMPLETED WITH THE HOUSEHOLD MEMBER DESIGNATED AS THE RESPONDENT THROUGH AN OBJECTIVE SELECTION PROCEDURE ALLOWING FOR NO SUBSTITUTIONS.

>> 1982 CONTENTS

THE FOCUS OF THE SURVEY WAS THE JUST-HELD CONGRESSIONAL ELECTIONS. RESPONDENTS WERE ASKED ABOUT THEIR INVOLVEMENT AND INTEREST IN THE CAMPAIGN, WHETHER AND HOW THEY VOTED, THE NATURE AND EXTENT OF CONTACT WITH THEIR U.S. REPRESENTATIVE, AND ABOUT THEIR KNOWLEDGE OF ISSUE POSITIONS HELD BY CANDIDATES FOR CONGRESS.

A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS DEALT WITH PRESIDENT REAGAN'S PERFORMANCE IN OFFICE. IN ADDITION, THE RESPONDENTS WERE ASKED TO EVALUATE REAGAN'S PERSONAL QUALITIES, AND THEIR OWN FEELINGS TOWARD HIM.

OTHER VARIABLES INCLUDE FEELING THERMOMETERS, PARTY IDENTIFICATION, MAJOR NATIONAL PROBLEMS, ATTITUDES ON A NUMBER OF SOCIAL AND POLITICAL ISSUES, AND PERSONAL DATA: EMPLOYMENT, INCOME, RELIGION, AGE, EDUCATION, MARITAL STATUS, AND SOCIAL CLASS.
THE PRESENT RELEASE OF THE DATA INCLUDES FIELD ADMINISTRATION AND SAMPLING VARIABLES, AS WELL AS SEVERAL KINDS OF DERIVED MEASURES: OCCUPATIONAL PRESTIGE SCORES (DUNCAN, NORC) FOR RESPONDENT, HEAD, AND WIFE OF HEAD AND SEPARATE EDUCATIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL SERIES PRESENTING DATA FOR HEAD AND WIFE OF HEAD SEPARATE FROM RESPONDENT.

>> 1982 NOTES ON ADMINISTRATION

SPECIAL INFORMATION: ABOUT THE ---------------
(NAME # X, Y, OR Z)

EXPRESSIONS IN THE 1982 QUESTIONNAIRE

THE 1982 ELECTION STUDY QUESTIONNAIRE MADE PROVISIONS TO HAVE INTERVIEWERS FILL IN DISTRICT/STATE CANDIDATE NAMES IN BLANK SLOTS LIKE THE ONE DEPICTED ABOVE. A COMPREHENSIVE LIST OF HOUSE, SENATE AND GOVERNOR CANDIDATE AND INCUMBENT NAMES WAS PREPARED FOR EACH OF THE 173 DISTRICTS IN THE SAMPLE AND THE INTERVIEWERS USED THE LISTS TO PRE-EDIT NAMES WHERE APPROPRIATE, DEPENDING ON THE DISTRICT OF INTERVIEW. THESE CANDIDATE LISTS ARE REPRODUCED IN NOTE 4 IN THE NOTES SECTION.

THE (NAME #) EXPRESSION WILL GENERALLY LIST MORE THAN ONE CANDIDATE NUMBER. FOR ANY GIVEN DISTRICT, HOWEVER, ONE OF TWO POSSIBILITIES WILL HOLD: 1) THERE WILL BE ONE AND ONLY ONE NAME IN THE DISTRICT CANDIDATE LIST QUALIFYING FOR INCLUSION ON THE BASIS OF THE NUMBERS LISTED IN THE EXPRESSION; OR 2) THERE WILL BE NO NUMBER IN THE DISTRICT CANDIDATE LIST MATCHING ANY OF THE NUMBERS IN THE EXPRESSION. AN INSTANCE OF NO MATCHING NUMBERS ARISES FOR A QUESTION ABOUT THE CANDIDATE CHALLENGING A DISTRICT INCUMBENT WHEN, IN FACT, THE INCUMBENT IS RUNNING UNOPPOSED. INTERVIEWERS WERE INSTRUCTED TO MARK "NO INFO" THOSE QUESTIONS INVOLVING UNMATCHED CANDIDATE NUMBERS IN THE (NAME #) EXPRESSION.

IN THE CANDIDATE LIST, EACH CANDIDATE OR INCUMBENT IS ASSIGNED A NUMBER OR CODE. NUMBERS BEGINNING WITH 1 (11-19) ARE FOR THE SENATE, NUMBERS BEGINNING WITH 3 (31-39) ARE FOR THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, AND NUMBERS BEGINNING WITH 5 (51-58) ARE FOR GOVERNORS. IN ADDITION, THE CODE OR NUMBER ASSIGNED TO A NAME HAS AN INTRINSIC MEANING--IT DENOTES THE FUNCTIONAL ROLE OF THAT PERSON IN THE CONTEXT OF THE 1982 GENERAL ELECTIONS. FOR EXAMPLE, CANDIDATE NUMBER 33 IS USED FOR A DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT SEEKING RE-ELECTION AND PLAYING, THEREFORE, THE DUAL ROLE OF HOUSE INCUMBENT AND DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE FOR THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES IN THE 1982 ELECTIONS. THE PRECISE MEANING OF EACH CANDIDATE NUMBER USED IN THE STUDY IS GIVEN IN NOTE 4 OF THE NOTES SECTION. NEEDLESS TO SAY, YOU WILL NEED TO UNDERSTAND THE SCHEME THAT WAS USED IN ORDER TO WORK EFFECTIVELY WITH THE QUESTIONS INVOLVING (NAME #) EXPRESSIONS.
Virtually all states redefined congressional district boundaries (redistricted) during 1981 or 1982 in accordance with new 1980 census population estimates. In the candidate list, the term 'incumbent' denotes a house candidate who was a member of congress prior to the 1982 general elections. Unlike 1978 and 1980, the incumbent's district in 1982 may consist of a fairly different geographic area from the area covered by the district prior to the boundary changes; therefore, although the 'incumbent' house candidate served in congress as of the 1982 elections, depending on changes in district boundaries, he or she may or may not have been the survey respondent's district incumbent before 1982.

Both the 1982 and the 1978 congressional district numbers corresponding to the location of interview are reported in ref. no. xxx and ref. no. xxx to enable researchers to investigate whether the respondent's incumbent was changed by redistricting.

Special Information: Feeling Thermometer (C5)

Edward Kennedy's name is referenced twice in the feeling thermometer for respondents in Massachusetts. Respondents in this state were asked to rate Kennedy only once, at the first location (C5C). The response was then post-edited into the second reference (C5E).

Special Information: Liberal, Conservative, and Independent Candidates

The congressional districts listed below had an independent or minor party candidate running in 1982, in addition to a democratic and/or republican candidate.

These third party candidates were included in the description of the congressional races. The type of house race (V10) was coded 13, 19, 23, 29, 49, 56, 57 or 59, as appropriate.

NY 08
CA 43 NY 10 NY 16
GA 05 NY 11 NY 18
NY 03 NY 12 NY 20 NY 28
NY 04 NY 14 NY 25 PA 02
NY 06 NY 15 NY 27 UT 03

The minor party candidates were referenced in the following questions only:

C5P J4G J7G
J1M J5G L4
J3G J6G

Special Information: New York Congressional Districts

Liberal and conservative candidates running in New York have been included in all New York districts. In cases where the democratic and republican candidates also had the
SUPPORT OF THE LIBERAL AND CONSERVATIVE PARTIES RESPECTIVELY, THEY ARE ASSIGNED THE CANDIDATE NUMBER APPROPRIATE FOR DEMOCRATIC OR REPUBLICAN CANDIDATES, AND TREATED THROUGHOUT THE QUESTIONNAIRE ONLY AS DEMOCRATIC OR REPUBLICAN CANDIDATES.

SPECIAL INFORMATION: DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA INTERVIEWS

SINCE THERE WERE NO RACES FOR THE U.S. SENATE OR THE U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, CERTAIN QUESTIONS IN THE INTERVIEW SCHEDULE WERE INAPPROPRIATE FOR RESPONDENTS LIVING IN THAT AREA. INTERVIEWERS IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA WERE INSTRUCTED TO OMIT QUESTIONS ABOUT THE HOUSE, SENATE AND GUBERNATORIAL RACES. THE LIST OF QUESTIONS OMITTED FOR DC RESPONDENTS INCLUDES:

C2, C2A, C2B
C4, C4A, C4B
C5E-C5P
E1-E44
F8, F8A
J1C-J1M
J3E-J3G
J4E-J4G
J5E-J5G
J6E-J6G
J7E-J7G
K2, K2A, K10A
L4, L4A
L6, L6A
L8, L8A
J6E-J6G
J7E-J7G

SEVERAL CHECKPOINT QUESTIONS WERE ALSO INAPPROPRIATE FOR DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA INTERVIEWS AND WERE CODED AS FOLLOWS:

C3, E5, E8, F7, L5, L7 = 9
K10 = 2

SPECIAL INFORMATION: VOTE QUESTIONS (SECTION L)

ACCORDING TO LOUISIANA ELECTION LAWS ANY CANDIDATE RECEIVING OVER FIFTY PER CENT OF THE PRIMARY VOTE IS ELECTED TO OFFICE AND DOES NOT APPEAR ON THE BALLOT IN THE NOVEMBER GENERAL ELECTION. IN BOTH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS, 05 AND 07, THE CANDIDATES WERE SO ELECTED. THESE RACES WERE CODED 14, IDENTIFYING THEM AS DISTRICTS WITH INCUMBENTS RUNNING UNOPPOSED (SEE Q.AA5). THE CANDIDATE NUMBER ASSIGNED WAS 33 - DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT (SEE SPECIAL INFORMATION ON (NAME #) EXPRESSIONS IN INTRODUCTION)

THE VOTE SECTION, Q.L4-L8, WAS OMITTED FOR THESE DISTRICTS. RESPONDENTS WERE ASKED L1-L3 AND L9 SINCE THEY DID HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO VOTE ON OTHER STATE MATTERS IN NOVEMBER. ALTERATIONS WERE ALSO MADE TO THE QUESTION WORDING IN C2 AND E1 (SEE SPECIFIC QUESTIONS FOR CHANGES).

SPECIAL INFORMATION: GEORGIA 04 AND 05

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS 04 AND 05 IN GEORGIA WERE LATE IN FINALIZING A REDISTRICTING PLAN AND, AS A RESULT, THEIR
CONGRESSIONAL PRIMARY WAS HELD NOVEMBER 2, FOLLOWED BY A SPECIAL HOUSE ELECTION HELD NOVEMBER 30. INTERVIEWING IN GEORGIA 04 AND 05 WAS DELAYED UNTIL DECEMBER 1, AFTER THE SPECIAL HOUSE ELECTION. RESIDENTS OF THESE TWO GEORGIA DISTRICTS DID GO TO THE POLLS NOVEMBER 2 TO VOTE FOR ALL OTHER OFFICES AND ISSUES ON GEORGIA'S 1982 BALLOT.

TO ASCERTAIN THE VOTING BEHAVIOR OF RESPONDENTS IN GA04 AND 05, Q.L1 WAS ADMINISTERED, AND IF THE RESPONDENT REPORTED NOT VOTING NOVEMBER 2, HE/SHE WAS ASKED AN ADDITIONAL QUESTION ABOUT WHETHER THEY VOTED IN THE SPECIAL NOVEMBER 30TH ELECTION. (SEE L1 FOR QUESTION TEXT.) THIS ADDITIONAL QUESTION WAS NOT CODED SEPARATELY, BUT RESPONDENTS WHO REPORTED VOTING IN THE SPECIAL HOUSE ELECTION WERE RECODED TO A "1. YES, DID VOTE" ANSWER IN L1. Thus, L1 CAPTURES RESPONDENT TURNOUT IN EITHER THE NOVEMBER 2 OR THE NOVEMBER 30 ELECTION FOR GA04 AND GA05 RESPONDENTS.

SPECIAL INFORMATION: UNOPPOSED RACES

IN THE CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS LISTED BELOW, ONLY ONE MAJOR PARTY CANDIDATE RAN. (SOME OF THESE DISTRICTS MAY HAVE HAD MINOR PARTY OPPOSITION).

AL03  MA03  NY18
CA25  MI01  OH03
FL10  MI14  PA02
FL17  MS03  TX17
GA02  NC10  TX22
IL01  NE03  UT03
KY01  NY06  WI09
MA01  NY08  NY16
*LA05, LA07 (SEE "SPECIAL INFORMATION: VOTE QUESTIONS" IN INTRODUCTION)

SPECIAL INFORMATION: NJ13, IL01 AND NY08

NJ13 AND IL01 WERE INCORRECTLY TREATED AS DISTRICTS IN WHICH THE INCUMBENT RAN UNOPPOSED BY A MAJOR PARTY CANDIDATE. THREE INTERVIEWS WERE CONDUCTED IN EACH. SINCE THE TYPE OF HOUSE RACE WAS INCORRECTLY CODED AT THE TIME OF THE INTERVIEW, NO INFORMATION WAS OBTAINED ABOUT THE CONGRESSIONAL CHALLENGER. THE OMITTED QUESTIONS WERE CODED AS MISSING DATA AND THE TYPE OF HOUSE RACE WAS CORRECTED.

INFORMATION PUBLISHED BEFORE THE ELECTION INDICATED THAT FOR NY08 THE DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT'S OPPONENT WAS RUNNING AS A REPUBLICAN AND CONSERVATIVE. THE TWO INTERVIEWS IN THIS DISTRICT WERE CONDUCTED ACCORDINGLY, AND RESPONDENTS WERE ASKED THE QUESTIONS PERTAINING TO THE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE. IN FACT, THE CHALLENGER RAN AS A CONSERVATIVE ONLY. THE QUESTIONS ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE OR ABOUT THE INCUMBENT'S MAJOR PARTY OPPONENT, WHICH SHOULD NOT HAVE BEEN ASKED, HAVE BEEN CODED INAP., AND THE INFORMATION GATHERED ABOUT THIS CANDIDATE IN THE
FEELING THERMOMETER SECTION, THE LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SCALE SECTION, AND THE ISSUE PLACEMENT SECTION HAS BEEN RECORDED IN THE VARIABLES PERTAINING TO THE INDEPENDENT/THIRD PARTY HOUSE CANDIDATE. THE TYPE OF RACE VARIABLE HAS BEEN CORRECTED.

>> 1982 PROCESSING INFORMATION AND FILE STRUCTURE

THIS STUDY WAS PROCESSED ACCORDING TO STANDARD ICPSR PROCEDURES FOR CLASS I STUDIES. THE DATA WERE CHECKED FOR ILLEGAL AND INCONSISTENT CODES WHICH, WHENEVER FOUND, WERE CORRECTED BY REFERRING TO THE ORIGINAL INTERVIEW SCHEDULES.

ALL FREQUENCIES APPEAR IN THE BODY OF THE CODEBOOK.

NOTE NUMBERING IN THE APPENDIX IS NOT CONTIGUOUS, BUT ALL NOTES THAT ARE REFERENCED IN THE CODEBOOK ARE PRESENT IN THE NOTES SECTION.

>> 1982 CODEBOOK INFORMATION

The following example from the 1948 NES study provides the standard format for codebook variable documentation.

Note that NES studies which are not part of the Time-Series usually omit marginals and the descriptive content in lines 2-5 (except for variable name).

Line

1  ==============================  
2  VAR 480026    NAME-R NOT VT-WAS R REG TO VT  
3                COLUMNS 61   - 61  
4                NUMERIC  
5                MD=0 OR GE 8  
6                Q. 17. (IF R DID NOT VOTE) WERE YOU REGISTERED (ELIGIBLE) TO VOTE.  
7                ...........................................................  
8                82       1.  YES  
9                149       2.  NO  
10                0       8.  DK  
11                9       9.  NA  
12                422       0.  INAP., R VOTED  

Page 12
Line 2 - VARIABLE NAME. Note that in the codebook the variable name (usually a 'number') does not include the "V" prefix which is used in the release SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files) for all variables including those which do not have 'number' names. For example the variable "VERSION" in the codebook is "VVERSION" in the data definition files.

Line 2 - "NAME". This is the variable label used in the SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files). Some codebooks exclude this.

Line 3 - COLUMNS. Columns in the ASCII data file (.dat file).

Line 4 - CHARACTER OR NUMERIC. If numeric and the variable is a decimal rather than integer variable, the number of decimal places is also indicated (e.g. "NUMERIC DEC 4")

Line 5 - Values which are assigned to missing by default in the Study's SAS and and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files).

Line 7 - Actual question text for survey variables or a description of non-survey variables (for example, congressional district). Survey items usually include the question number (for example "B1a.") from the Study questionnaire; beginning in 1996 non-survey items also have unique item numbers (for example "CSheet.1").

Line 9 - A dashed or dotted line usually separates question text from any other documentation which follows.

Line 10 - When present, annotation provided by Study staff is presented below the question text/description and preceding code values.

Lines 11-16 Code values are listed with descriptive labels. Valid codes (those not having 'missing' status in line 5) are presented first, followed by the values described in line 5. For continuous variables, one line may appear providing the range of possible values. A blank line usually separates the 'valid' and 'missing' values.

Lines 11-16 Marginals are usually provided for discrete variables. The counts may be unweighted or weighted; check the Study codebook introductory text to determine weight usage.

>> 1982 VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VARIABLE</th>
<th>VARIABLE LABEL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VERSION</td>
<td>NES VERSION NUMBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSETNO</td>
<td>NES DATASET NUMBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>820001</td>
<td>ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>......</td>
<td>...there are no variables 820002, 820003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>820004</td>
<td>CASE ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>820005</td>
<td>TYPE OF INTERVIEW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TYPE OF POLITICAL RACE

820006 TYPE OF RACE - HOUSE
820007 TYPE OF RACE - SENATE
820008 PARTY OF INCUMBENT SENATOR NOT FACING ELECTION
820009 TYPE OF RACE - GOVERNOR

SAMPLING INFORMATION

820010 PRIMARY AREA CODE
820011 SEGMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER
820012 PRIMARY AREA NAMES
820013 STATE ALPHABETIC CODE
820014 CENSUS REGION CODE
820015 ICPSR STATE CODE
820016 FIPS STATE CODE
820017 ICPSR STATE AND COUNTY CODE
820018 FIPS STATE AND COUNTY CODE
820019 ICPSR STATE CODE AND 1982 CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT OF LOCATION OF INTERVIEW
820020 ICPSR STATE CODE AND 1978 CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT OF LOCATION OF INTERVIEW
820021 FIPS STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA (SMSA) CODE
820022 FIPS 1980 STANDARD CONSOLIDATED STATISTICAL AREA (SCSA) CODE
820023 1980 POPULATION SIZE CODE FOR LOCATION OF INTERVIEW
820024 ACTUAL POPULATION OF LOCATION OF INTERVIEW
820025 BELT CODE (1980 POPULATION)
820026 DISTANCE FROM LOCATION OF INTERVIEW TO CENTER OF CENTRAL CITY OF SMSA
820027 DISTANCE FROM LOCATION OF INTERVIEW TO CENTER OF CENTRAL CITY OF SCSA OR SMSA WITH CITY OF 350,000 OR MORE
820028 CENSUS TRACT NUMBER, 1970
820029 CENSUS ENUMERATION DISTRICT, 1970
820030 MINOR CIVIL DIVISION CODE
820031 1980 CENSUS PLACE CODE FOR LOCATION OF INTERVIEW
820032 SAMPLING ERROR CODE
820033 QUARTER SAMPLE CODE

STUDY PROCEDURE INFORMATION

820034 CONTROL ID
820035 CODER ID
820036 INTERVIEWER ID #
820037 REFUSAL CONVERSION INDICATOR
820038 PERSUASION LETTER SENT
820039 DATE OF INTERVIEW
820040 LENGTH OF INTERVIEW
820041 LENGTH OF INTERVIEWER'S PRE-EDIT
820042 LENGTH OF INTERVIEWER'S POST-EDIT
820043 FINAL CALL NUMBER
820044 WAS R'S NAME OBTAINED IN RECONTACT SECTION
820045 USABLE MAILING ADDRESS OBTAINED IN RECONTACT SECTION
int1982.txt
820046 WAS R'S PHONE NUMBER OBTAINED IN RECONTACT SECTION
820047 IS R'S PHONE NUMBER LISTED IN TELEPHONE DIRECTORY
820048 IS TELEPHONE LISTED UNDER R'S NAME
820049 DOES R HAVE OTHER RESIDENCE
820050 WAS CONTACT PERSON INFORMATION OBTAINED

HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION

820051 NUMBER OF PERSONS IN HOUSEHOLD
820052 HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION
820053 NUMBER OF POLITICALLY ELIGIBLE ADULTS IN HOUSEHOLD
820054 NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD UNDER AGE SIX
820055 NUMBER OF CHILDREN 6-9 YEARS OLD IN HOUSEHOLD
820056 NUMBER OF CHILDREN 10-13 YEARS OLD IN HOUSEHOLD
820057 NUMBER OF CHILDREN 14-17 YEARS OLD IN HOUSEHOLD

R'S INTEREST IN CAMPAIGN

820058 HAS R BEEN INTERESTED IN POLITICAL CAMPAIGNS THIS YEAR
820059 DID R LISTEN TO CAMPAIGN RADIO PROGRAMS
820060 DID R READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN IN ANY MAGAZINES
820061 DID R WATCH ANY CAMPAIGN TELEVISION PROGRAMS
820062 DID R READ ABOUT CAMPAIGN IN ANY NEWSPAPER

R'S ASSESSMENT OF CONGRESS AND THE PRESIDENT

820063 DOES R APPROVE OF CONGRESS' HANDLING ITS JOB
820064 HOW STRONGLY DOES R APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF CONGRESS' PERFORMANCE
820065 DOES R APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF RONALD REAGAN'S PERFORMANCE
820066 HOW STRONGLY DOES R APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE OF RONALD REAGAN'S PERFORMANCE
820067 R'S OPINION OF REAGAN'S HANDLING OF RELATIONS WITH SOVIET UNION

R'S PARTY SUPPORT

820068 DOES R THINK OF HIMSELF/HERSELF AS A PARTY SUPPORTER
820069 WHICH POLITICAL PARTY DOES R SUPPORT
820070 IS R CLOSER TO ONE OF THE TWO MAJOR PARTIES
820071 WHICH PARTY DOES R FEEL CLOSER TO
820072 DOES R CONSIDER SELF A POLITICAL INDEPENDENT

R LIKES/DISLIKES THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY

820073 WHETHER R LIKES ANYTHING ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
820074 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY-FIRST MENTION
820075 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY-SECOND MENTION
820076 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY-THIRD MENTION
820077 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY-FOURTH MENTION
R LIKES/DISLIKES THE REPUBLICAN PARTY

820085 WHETHER R LIKES ANYTHING ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY
820086 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-FIRST MENTION
820087 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-SECOND MENTION
820088 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-THIRD MENTION
820089 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-FOURTH MENTION
820090 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-FIFTH MENTION
820091 WHETHER R DISLIKES ANYTHING ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY
820092 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-FIRST MENTION
820093 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-SECOND MENTION
820094 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-THIRD MENTION
820095 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-FOURTH MENTION
820096 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-FIFTH MENTION

R'S CONCERN ABOUT ELECTION RESULTS

820097 DID R CARE HOW CONGRESSIONAL ELECTIONS CAME OUT

R'S KNOWLEDGE OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES

820098 DOES R REMEMBER THE CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES
820099 PARTY OF HOUSE CANDIDATE NAMED--FIRST CANDIDATE
820100 WHO WERE THE CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES--FIRST CANDIDATE
820101 FROM WHICH PARTY WERE THE CANDIDATES--FIRST CANDIDATE
820102 R'S KNOWLEDGE OF HOUSE DISTRICT CANDIDATES/PARTIES--FIRST CANDIDATE
820103 PARTY OF HOUSE CANDIDATE NAMED--SECOND CANDIDATE
820104 WHO WERE THE CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES--SECOND CANDIDATE
820105 FROM WHICH PARTY WERE THE CANDIDATES--SECOND CANDIDATE
820106 R'S KNOWLEDGE OF HOUSE DISTRICT CANDIDATES/PARTIES--SECOND CANDIDATE
820107 PARTY OF CANDIDATE NAMED--THIRD CANDIDATE
WHO WERE THE CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES--THIRD CANDIDATE
FROM WHICH PARTY WERE THE CANDIDATES--THIRD CANDIDATE
R'S KNOWLEDGE OF HOUSE DISTRICT
CANDIDATES/PARTIES--THIRD CANDIDATE

R'S KNOWLEDGE OF SENATE CANDIDATES

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: SENATE RACE IN STATE
DOES R REMEMBER THE SENATORIAL CANDIDATES
WHO WERE THE SENATORIAL CANDIDATES--FIRST CANDIDATE
FROM WHICH PARTY WERE THE CANDIDATES--FIRST CANDIDATE
R'S KNOWLEDGE OF SENATE CANDIDATES/PARTIES--FIRST CANDIDATE
WHO WERE THE SENATORIAL CANDIDATES--SECOND CANDIDATE
FROM WHICH PARTY WERE THE CANDIDATES--SECOND CANDIDATE
R'S KNOWLEDGE OF SENATE CANDIDATES/PARTIES--SECOND CANDIDATE
WHO WERE THE SENATORIAL CANDIDATES--THIRD CANDIDATE
FROM WHICH PARTY WERE THE CANDIDATES--THIRD CANDIDATE
R'S KNOWLEDGE OF SENATE CANDIDATES/PARTIES--THIRD CANDIDATE

FEELING THERMOMETER--POLITICAL FIGURES, GROUPS

FEELING THERMOMETER--JIMMY CARTER
FEELING THERMOMETER--RONALD REAGAN
FEELING THERMOMETER--TED KENNEDY
FEELING THERMOMETER--JOHN ANDERSON
FEELING THERMOMETER--DEMOCRATIC U.S. SENATE CANDIDATE
FEELING THERMOMETER--REPUBLICAN U.S. SENATE CANDIDATE
FEELING THERMOMETER--INDEPENDENT/THIRD PARTY U.S. SENATE CANDIDATE
FEELING THERMOMETER--INCUMBENT U.S. SENATOR #1, NO SENATE RACE IN STATE
FEELING THERMOMETER--INCUMBENT U.S. SENATOR #2, NO SENATE RACE IN STATE
FEELING THERMOMETER--INCUMBENT U.S. SENATOR, TERM NOT UP, SENATE RACE IN STATE
FEELING THERMOMETER--DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE
FEELING THERMOMETER--REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE
FEELING THERMOMETER--INDEPENDENT/THIRD PARTY U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE
FEELING THERMOMETER--GEORGE BUSH
FEELING THERMOMETER--WALTER MONDALE
FEELING THERMOMETER--RICHARD NIXON
FEELING THERMOMETER--DEMOCRATIC PARTY
FEELING THERMOMETER--REPUBLICAN PARTY
FEELING THERMOMETER--POLITICAL INDEPENDENTS
FEELING THERMOMETER--POLITICAL PARTIES
FEELING THERMOMETER--LIBERALS
FEELING THERMOMETER--CONSERVATIVES
FEELING THERMOMETER--WHITES
FEELING THERMOMETER--BLACKS
FEELING THERMOMETER--DEMOCRATS
FEELING THERMOMETER--REPUBLICANS
R LIKES/DISLIKES DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATES

820148 IS THERE ANYTHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE FOR U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
820149 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE--FIRST MENTION
820150 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE--SECOND MENTION
820151 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE--THIRD MENTION
820152 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE--FOURTH MENTION
820153 IS THERE ANYTHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE FOR U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
820154 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE--FIRST MENTION
820155 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE--SECOND MENTION
820156 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE--THIRD MENTION
820157 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE--FOURTH MENTION

R LIKES/DISLIKES REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE

820158 IS THERE ANYTHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE FOR U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
820159 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE--FIRST MENTION
820160 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE--SECOND MENTION
820161 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE--THIRD MENTION
820162 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE--FOURTH MENTION
820163 IS THERE ANYTHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE FOR U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
820164 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE--FIRST MENTION
820165 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE--SECOND MENTION
820166 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE--THIRD MENTION
820167 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE--FOURTH MENTION

KNOWLEDGE OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES’ PRE-ELECTION STATUS

820168 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: NUMBER MAJOR PARTY HOUSE CANDIDATES RUNNING
820169 WAS CANDIDATE IN U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES BEFORE THE ELECTION
820170 PARTY OF CANDIDATE ALREADY IN HOUSE
CANDIDATE NUMBER CODE - CANDIDATE ALREADY IN HOUSE

WAS EITHER CANDIDATE ALREADY IN HOUSE

PARTY OF CANDIDATE ALREADY IN HOUSE

WHICH CANDIDATE WAS ALREADY IN HOUSE

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: NUMBER OF HOUSE INCUMBENTS RUNNING

U.S. HOUSE DISTRICT WITH ONE INCUMBENT RUNNING

R'S CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

DID HOUSE INCUMBENT HAVE CONTACT WITH R IN ANY WAY

R MET HOUSE INCUMBENT PERSONALLY

R ATTENDED MEETING WHERE HOUSE INCUMBENT SPOKE

R TALKED WITH HOUSE INCUMBENT STAFF/OFFICE

R RECEIVED MAIL FROM HOUSE INCUMBENT

R READ ABOUT HOUSE INCUMBENT IN NEWSPAPER/MAGAZINE

R HEARD HOUSE INCUMBENT ON RADIO

R SAW HOUSE INCUMBENT ON TELEVISION

R HAD CONTACT WITH HOUSE INCUMBENT IN OTHER WAYS

OTHERS WHO HAD CONTACT WITH HOUSE INCUMBENT

R'S CONTACT WITH CANDIDATE OPPOSING U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

DID HOUSE CANDIDATE HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH R

R MET HOUSE CANDIDATE PERSONALLY

R ATTENDED MEETING WHERE HOUSE CANDIDATE SPOKE

R TALKED WITH HOUSE CANDIDATE'S STAFF/OFFICE

R RECEIVED MAIL FROM HOUSE CANDIDATE

R READ ABOUT HOUSE CANDIDATE IN NEWSPAPER/MAGAZINE

R HEARD HOUSE CANDIDATE ON RADIO

R SAW HOUSE CANDIDATE ON TELEVISION

R HAD CONTACT WITH HOUSE CANDIDATE IN OTHER WAYS

OTHERS WHO HAD CONTACT WITH HOUSE CANDIDATE

R'S EXPERIENCE WITH U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

DID R/R'S FAMILY EVER CONTACT HOUSE INCUMBENT

EXPERIENCE WITH HOUSE INCUMBENT--EXPRESSED OPINION

EXPERIENCE WITH HOUSE INCUMBENT--SOUGHT INFORMATION

EXPERIENCE WITH HOUSE INCUMBENT--SOUGHT HELP WITH PROBLEM

DID HOUSE INCUMBENT RESPOND TO R

HOW SATISFIED WAS R WITH RESPONSE FROM HOUSE INCUMBENT

OTHERS WHO CONTACTED HOUSE INCUMBENT

DID OTHERS GET RESPONSE FROM HOUSE INCUMBENT

HOW SATISFIED WERE OTHERS WITH RESPONSE FROM HOUSE INCUMBENT

DOES R SEE HOUSE INCUMBENT AS HELPFUL WITH OTHER PROBLEMS

ANYTHING SPECIAL HOUSE INCUMBENT DID FOR DISTRICT
R'S OPINION OF U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT'S VOTING RECORD

820207 R'S OPINION OF THE HOUSE INCUMBENT'S VOTING RECORD
820208 DID HOUSE INCUMBENT SUPPORT REAGAN'S ECONOMIC PROGRAM
820209 DOES HOUSE INCUMBENT STILL SUPPORT/OPPOSE REAGAN'S ECONOMIC PROGRAM
820210 DOES R RECALL HOW HOUSE INCUMBENT VOTED ON ANOTHER BILL
820211 DID R AGREE WITH HOUSE INCUMBENT'S VOTE ON BILL
820212 R APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF HOUSE INCUMBENT'S JOB PERFORMANCE

U.S. HOUSE DISTRICT WITH TWO INCUMBENTS RUNNING

R'S CONTACT WITH DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

820213 DID DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH R
820214 R MET DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE PERSONALLY
820215 R ATTENDED MEETING WHERE DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT SPOKE
820216 R TALKED WITH DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT STAFF/OFFICE
820217 R RECEIVED MAIL FROM DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT
820218 R READ ABOUT DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT IN NEWSPAPER/MAGAZINE
820219 R HEARD DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT ON RADIO
820220 R SAW DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT ON TELEVISION
820221 R HAD CONTACT WITH DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT IN OTHER WAYS
820222 OTHERS WHO HAD CONTACT WITH DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT

R'S CONTACT WITH REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

820223 DID REPUBLICAN HOUSE INCUMBENT HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH R
820224 R MET REPUBLICAN HOUSE INCUMBENT PERSONALLY
820225 R ATTENDED MEETING WHERE REPUBLICAN HOUSE INCUMBENT SPOKE
820226 R TALKED WITH REPUBLICAN HOUSE INCUMBENT'S STAFF/OFFICE
820227 R RECEIVED MAIL FROM REPUBLICAN HOUSE INCUMBENT
820228 R READ ABOUT REPUBLICAN HOUSE INCUMBENT IN NEWSPAPER/MAGAZINE
820229 R HEARD REPUBLICAN HOUSE INCUMBENT ON RADIO
820230 R SAW REPUBLICAN HOUSE INCUMBENT ON TELEVISION
820231 R HAD CONTACT WITH REPUBLICAN HOUSE INCUMBENT IN OTHER WAYS
820232 OTHERS WHO HAD CONTACT WITH REPUBLICAN HOUSE INCUMBENT

R'S EXPERIENCE WITH DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT
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INCUMBENT

820233 DID R/R'S FAMILY EVER CONTACT DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT
820234 EXPERIENCE WITH DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT--EXPRESSED OPINION
820235 EXPERIENCE WITH DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT--SOUGHT INFORMATION
820236 EXPERIENCE WITH DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT--SOUGHT HELP WITH PROBLEM
820237 DID DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT RESPOND TO R
820238 HOW SATISFIED WAS R WITH RESPONSE FROM DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT
820239 OTHERS WHO HAD CONTACT WITH DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT
820240 DID OTHERS GET RESPONSE FROM DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT
820241 HOW SATISFIED WERE OTHERS WITH RESPONSE FROM DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT
820242 DOES R SEE DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT AS HELPFUL WITH OTHER PROBLEMS
820243 ANYTHING SPECIAL DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT DID FOR DISTRICT

R'S OPINION OF DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT'S VOTING RECORD

820244 R'S OPINION OF THE DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT'S VOTING RECORD
820245 DID DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT SUPPORT REAGAN'S ECONOMIC PROGRAM LAST YEAR
820246 DOES DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT STILL SUPPORT/OPPPOSE REAGAN'S ECONOMIC PROGRAM
820247 DOES R RECALL HOW DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT VOTED ON ANOTHER BILL
820248 DID R AGREE WITH DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT'S VOTE ON BILL
820249 R APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT'S JOB PERFORMANCE

R'S EXPERIENCE WITH REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

820250 DID R/R'S FAMILY EVER CONTACT REPUBLICAN HOUSE INCUMBENT
820251 EXPERIENCE WITH REPUBLICAN HOUSE INCUMBENT--EXPRESSED OPINION
820252 EXPERIENCE WITH REPUBLICAN HOUSE INCUMBENT--SOUGHT INFORMATION
820253 EXPERIENCE WITH REPUBLICAN HOUSE INCUMBENT--SOUGHT HELP WITH A PROBLEM
820254 DID REPUBLICAN HOUSE INCUMBENT RESPOND TO R
820255 HOW SATISFIED WAS R WITH RESPONSE FROM REPUBLICAN HOUSE INCUMBENT
820256 OTHERS' CONTACT WITH REPUBLICAN HOUSE INCUMBENT
820257 DID OTHERS GET A RESPONSE FROM REPUBLICAN HOUSE INCUMBENT
HOW SATISFIED WERE OTHERS WITH REPUBLICAN HOUSE INCUMBENT'S RESPONSE

DOES R SEE REPUBLICAN HOUSE INCUMBENT AS HELPFUL WITH OTHER PROBLEMS

ANYTHING SPECIAL REPUBLICAN HOUSE INCUMBENT DID FOR DIST

R'S OPINION OF REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT'S VOTING RECORD

DID REPUBLICAN HOUSE INCUMBENT SUPPORT REAGAN'S ECONOMIC PROGRAM LAST YEAR

DOES REPUBLICAN HOUSE INCUMBENT STILL SUPPORT/OPPose REAGAN'S ECONOMIC PROGRAM

DOES R RECALL HOW REPUBLICAN HOUSE INCUMBENT VOTED ON ANOTHER BILL

DID R AGREE REPUBLICAN HOUSE INCUMBENT'S VOTE ON ANOTHER BILL

R APPROVE/DISAPPROVE REPUBLICAN HOUSE INCUMBENT'S JOB PERFORMANCE

U.S. HOUSE DISTRICTS WITH NO INCUMBENTS RUNNING

DID DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH R

R MET DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE PERSONALLY

R ATTENDED MEETING WHERE DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE SPOKE

R TALKED WITH DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE'S STAFF/OFFICE

R RECEIVED MAIL FROM DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE

R READ ABOUT DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE IN NEWSPAPER/MAGAZINE

R HEARD DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE ON RADIO

R SAW DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE ON TELEVISION

R HAD CONTACT WITH DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE IN OTHER WAYS

OTHERS' CONTACT WITH DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE

R'S CONTACT WITH REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE

DID R/R'S FAMILY EVER CONTACT REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE

R MET REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE PERSONALLY

R ATTENDED MEETING WHERE REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE SPOKE

R TALKED WITH REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE'S STAFF/OFFICE

R RECEIVED MAIL FROM REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE

R READ ABOUT REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE IN NEWSPAPER/MAGAZINE

R HEARD REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE ON RADIO
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820284 R SAW THE REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE ON TELEVISION
820285 R HAD CONTACT WITH REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE IN OTHER WAYS
820286 OTHERS' CONTACT WITH REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE

R'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION

820287 DOES R CONSIDER SELF A REPUBLICAN/DEMOCRAT/OTHER
820288 STRENGTH OF DEMOCRAT/REPUBLICAN IDENTIFICATION
820289 MAJOR PARTY R FEELS CLOSEST TO
820290 STRENGTH OF R'S IDENTIFICATION WITH MAJOR PARTY
820291 SUMMARY: R'S PARTY ID

R'S VOTING BEHAVIOR--1980

820292 DID R VOTE IN 1980 ELECTION
820293 WHICH PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE DID R VOTE FOR
820294 DOES R FOLLOW GOVERNMENT/PUBLIC AFFAIRS

IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEMS

820295 IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEM--FIRST RESPONSE
820296 IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEM--SECOND RESPONSE
820297 IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEM--THIRD RESPONSE
820298 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: DID R MENTION PROBLEMS FACING WASHINGTON
820299 WHAT IS THE MOST IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEM
820300 WHICH PARTY WOULD GET GOVERNMENT TO DEAL WITH PROBLEM
820301 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: NUMBER OF MAJOR HOUSE CANDIDATES IN DISTRICT ELECTION
820302 IS THERE A DIFFERENCE IN HOW HOUSE CANDIDATES WOULD HANDLE THIS PROBLEM
820303 PARTY OF HOUSE CANDIDATE WHO WOULD DO A BETTER JOB
820304 WHICH HOUSE CANDIDATE WOULD DO A BETTER JOB

R'S OPINIONS ON DEFENSE ISSUES

820305 DOES R THINK US SHOULD FREEZE NUCLEAR ARMS PRODUCTION
820306 DOES R THINK US AND SOVIETS WILL REACH NUCLEAR ARMS AGREEMENT
820307 IS R WORRIED ABOUT US GETTING INTO CONVENTIONAL WAR
820308 WILL REPUBLICANS OR DEMOCRATS BE BETTER AT KEEPING US OUT OF WAR

R'S OPINIONS ON FEDERAL SPENDING PROGRAMS

820309 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON THE SPACE PROGRAM
820310 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON THE ENVIRONMENT
820311 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON HEALTH
820312 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON BIG CITIES
820313 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON CRIME
820314 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON DRUGS
820315 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON IMPROVING EDUCATION
R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON IMPROVING CONDITIONS OF BLACKS
R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON DEFENSE
R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON FOREIGN AID
R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON WELFARE
R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON SOCIAL SECURITY
R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON FOOD STAMPS
R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON GUARANTEED STUDENT LOANS
R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION
R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON AID FOR HANDICAPPED

R'S OPINIONS ON ECONOMIC ISSUES

DOES R FEEL BETTER OFF FINANCIALLY TODAY THAN HE/SHE FELT A YEAR AGO
HOW R FEELS HE/SHE WILL BE FINANCIALLY ONE YEAR FROM NOW
DOES R FEEL THAT THE NATION'S ECONOMY HAS IMPROVED/WORSENED/STAYED SAME OVER THE PAST YEAR
HOW MUCH BETTER OR WORSE DOES R THINK ECONOMY HAS GOTTEN
HOW R EXPECTS THE ECONOMY TO BE IN THE NEXT 12 MONTHS
R'S FIRST PRIORITY IN GOVERNMENT APPROACH TO ECONOMIC SITUATION
R'S SECOND PRIORITY IN GOVERNMENT APPROACH TO ECONOMIC SITUATION
R'S THIRD PRIORITY IN GOVERNMENT APPROACH TO ECONOMIC SITUATION
REAGAN'S FIRST PRIORITY IN GOVERNMENT APPROACH TO ECONOMIC SITUATION
REAGAN'S SECOND PRIORITY IN GOVERNMENT APPROACH TO ECONOMIC SITUATION
REAGAN'S THIRD PRIORITY IN GOVERNMENT APPROACH TO ECONOMIC SITUATION
WHETHER R APPROVES/DISAPPROVES OF HOW RONALD REAGAN IS HANDLING INFLATION
WHICH PARTY DOES R FEEL WOULD BETTER HANDLE INFLATION
WHETHER R APPROVES/DISAPPROVES OF REAGAN'S HANDLING OF UNEMPLOYMENT
WHICH PARTY DOES R FEEL WOULD BETTER HANDLE UNEMPLOYMENT
IS CONGRESS OR THE PRESIDENT MORE INFLUENTIAL IN ECONOMY
HAS REAGAN'S ECONOMIC PROGRAM HELPED OR HURT R
HAS REAGAN'S ECONOMIC PROGRAM HELPED OR HURT US ECONOMY
HOW WILL REAGAN'S ECONOMIC PROGRAM AFFECT US ECONOMY LONG-TERM

DOES R'S FAMILY RECEIVE GOVERNMENT BENEFITS

DOES ANY MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY RECEIVE SOCIAL SECURITY PAYMENTS
DOES A MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY RECEIVE FOOD STAMPS
DOES A MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY RECEIVE MEDICAID OR MEDICARE

DOES MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY RECEIVE UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION

DOES A MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY RECEIVE AFDC

DOES A MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY RECEIVE GOVERNMENT STUDENT LOANS

DOES A MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY RECEIVE AID TO THE HANDICAPPED

DOES A MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY RECEIVE VETERANS BENEFITS

DOES A MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY RECEIVE GOVERNMENT RETIREMENT PENSION BENEFITS

R'S FAMILY LOST GOVERNMENT BENEFITS

DID A MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY LOSE BENEFITS IN LAST YEAR

DID A MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY LOSE SOCIAL SECURITY BENEFITS WITHIN LAST YEAR

DID A MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY LOSE FOOD STAMPS BENEFITS WITHIN LAST YEAR

DID A MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY LOSE MEDICAID OR MEDICARE BENEFITS WITHIN LAST YEAR

DID A MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY LOSE UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS WITHIN LAST YEAR

DID A MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY LOSE AID TO FAMILIES WITH DEPENDENT CHILDREN WITHIN LAST YEAR

DID A MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY LOSE STUDENT LOAN BENEFITS WITHIN LAST YEAR

DID A MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY LOSE AID TO HANDICAPPED BENEFITS WITHIN LAST YEAR

DID A MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY LOSE VETERANS' BENEFITS WITHIN LAST YEAR

DID A MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY LOSE GOVERNMENT RETIREMENT PENSION BENEFITS WITHIN LAST YEAR

DOES R EXPECT TO LOSE GOVERNMENT BENEFITS

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: DOES A MEMBER OF R'S FAMILY RECEIVE BENEFITS

DOES R EXPECT TO LOSE CURRENT GOVERNMENT BENEFITS

DOES R EXPECT LOSS/REDUCTIONS IN SOCIAL SECURITY BENEFITS

DOES R EXPECT LOSS/REDUCTIONS IN FOOD STAMP BENEFITS

DOES R EXPECT LOSS/REDUCTION IN MEDICAID/MEDICARE BENEFITS

DOES R EXPECT LOSS/REDUCTIONS IN UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

DOES R EXPECT LOSS/REDUCTIONS IN AID TO FAMILIES WITH DEPENDENT CHILDREN

DOES R EXPECT LOSS/REDUCTIONS IN STUDENT LOAN BENEFITS

DOES R EXPECT LOSS/REDUCTIONS IN AID TO HANDICAPPED BENEFITS

DOES R EXPECT LOSS/REDUCTIONS IN VETERANS' BENEFITS

DOES R EXPECT LOSS/REDUCTIONS IN GOVERNMENT RETIREMENT PENSION BENEFITS
R'S ASSESSMENT OF REAGAN'S PERFORMANCE

820374 DOES R APPROVE OF REAGAN'S TAX MEASURES
820375 DOES R APPROVE OF REAGAN'S MEASURES FOR HIGH INTEREST RATES
820376 DOES R APPROVE OF REAGAN'S HANDLING OF NATIONAL DEFENSE

QUALITIES DESCRIBING REAGAN

820377 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "MORAL" DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN
820378 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "DISHONEST" DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN
820379 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "WEAK" DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN
820380 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "KNOWLEDGEABLE" DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN
820381 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "POWER-HUNGRY" DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN
820382 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "INSPIRING" DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN
820383 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "RECKLESS" DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN
820384 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "HE PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN
820385 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "HE WOULD DEVELOP GOOD RELATIONS WITH OTHER COUNTRIES" DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN
820386 DOES RONALD REAGAN EVER MAKE R FEEL ANGRY
820387 DOES RONALD REAGAN EVER MAKE R FEEL HOPEFUL
820388 DOES RONALD REAGAN EVER MAKE R FEEL AFRAID OF HIM
820389 DOES RONALD REAGAN EVER MAKE R FEEL PROUD
820390 DOES RONALD REAGAN EVER MAKE R FEEL DISGUSTED
820391 DOES RONALD REAGAN EVER MAKE R FEEL SYMPATHETIC TOWARD HIM
820392 DOES RONALD REAGAN EVER MAKE R FEEL UNEASY

POSITIONS ON LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE

820393 LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE--R
820394 LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE--REAGAN
820395 LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE--DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE
820396 LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE--REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE
820397 LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE--INDEPENDENT/THIRD PARTY SENATE CANDIDATE
820398 LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE--INCUMBENT U.S. SENATOR #1
820399 LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE--INCUMBENT U.S. SENATOR #2
820400 LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE--INCUMBENT U.S. SENATOR, TERM NOT UP
820401 LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE--DEMOCRATIC U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE
LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE--REPUBLICAN U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE

LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE--INDEPENDENT/THIRD PARTY HOUSE CANDIDATE

LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE--REPUBLICAN PARTY

LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE--DEMOCRATIC PARTY

LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE--R

POSITIONS ON DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE

DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE--R

DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE--REAGAN

DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE--DEMOCRATIC PARTY

DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE--REPUBLICAN PARTY

DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE--DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE

DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE--REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE

DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE--INDEPENDENT/THIRD PARTY HOUSE CANDIDATE

DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE--FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

POSITIONS ON AID TO MINORITIES SCALE

GOVERNMENT AID TO MINORITIES SCALE--R

GOVERNMENT AID TO MINORITIES SCALE--REAGAN

GOVERNMENT AID TO MINORITIES SCALE--DEMOCRATIC PARTY

GOVERNMENT AID TO MINORITIES SCALE--REPUBLICAN PARTY

GOVERNMENT AID TO MINORITIES SCALE--DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE

GOVERNMENT AID TO MINORITIES SCALE--REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE

GOVERNMENT AID TO MINORITIES SCALE--INDEPENDENT/THIRD PARTY HOUSE CANDIDATE

GOVERNMENT AID TO MINORITIES SCALE--MOST LIBERALS

GOVERNMENT AID TO MINORITIES SCALE--MOST CONSERVATIVES

GOVERNMENT AID TO MINORITIES SCALE--FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

POSITIONS ON GUARANTEED JOB SCALE

GUARANTEED JOB AND LIVING STANDARD SCALE--R

GUARANTEED JOB AND LIVING STANDARD SCALE--REAGAN

GUARANTEED JOB AND LIVING STANDARD SCALE--DEMOCRATIC PARTY

GUARANTEED JOB AND LIVING STANDARD SCALE--REPUBLICAN PARTY

GUARANTEED JOB AND LIVING STANDARD SCALE--DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE

GUARANTEED JOB AND LIVING STANDARD SCALE--REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE

GUARANTEED JOB AND LIVING STANDARD SCALE--INDEPENDENT/THIRD PARTY HOUSE CANDIDATE

GUARANTEED JOB AND LIVING STANDARD SCALE--MOST LIBERALS

GUARANTEED JOB AND LIVING STANDARD SCALE--MOST CONSERVATIVES
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POSITIONS ON WOMEN'S RIGHTS SCALE

820435 EQUAL RIGHTS FOR WOMEN SCALE--R
820436 EQUAL RIGHTS FOR WOMEN SCALE--REAGAN
820437 EQUAL RIGHTS FOR WOMEN SCALE--DEMOCRATIC PARTY
820438 EQUAL RIGHTS FOR WOMEN SCALE--REPUBLICAN PARTY
820439 EQUAL RIGHTS FOR WOMEN SCALE--DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE
820440 EQUAL RIGHTS FOR WOMEN SCALE--REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE
820441 EQUAL RIGHTS FOR WOMEN SCALE--INDEPENDENT/THIRD PARTY HOUSE CANDIDATE
820442 EQUAL RIGHTS FOR WOMEN SCALE--FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

POSITIONS ON GOVERNMENT SERVICES SCALE

820443 GOVERNMENT SERVICES SCALE--R
820444 GOVERNMENT SERVICES SCALE--REAGAN
820445 GOVERNMENT SERVICES SCALE--DEMOCRATIC PARTY
820446 GOVERNMENT SERVICES SCALE--REPUBLICAN PARTY
820447 GOVERNMENT SERVICES SCALE--DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE
820448 GOVERNMENT SERVICES SCALE--REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE
820449 GOVERNMENT SERVICES SCALE--INDEPENDENT/THIRD PARTY HOUSE CANDIDATE
820450 GOVERNMENT SERVICES SCALE--CONGRESS
820451 GOVERNMENT SERVICES SCALE--FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

EFFECT OF TAX CUTS

820452 EFFECT OF TAX CUTS ON R'S DISPOSABLE INCOME

R'S FEELINGS ABOUT ERA DEFEAT

820453 HOW DID R FEEL ABOUT ERA'S FAILURE TO PASS
820454 HOW STRONG ARE R'S FEELINGS REGARDING ERA DEFEAT

R'S ASSESSMENT OF REAGAN'S PERFORMANCE

820455 DOES R APPROVE OF REAGAN'S HANDLING OF NUCLEAR ARMS CONTROL
820456 DOES R APPROVE OF REAGAN'S HANDLING OF THE ENVIRONMENT
820457 DOES R APPROVE OF REAGAN'S HANDLING OF BALANCING THE BUDGET

R'S OPINION ON BIG GOVERNMENT

820458 SHOULD THE FEDERAL OR STATE & LOCAL GOVERNMENTS
HANDLE SOCIAL/ECONOMIC PROBLEMS

820459  DOES R FEEL GOVERNMENT REGULATES BUSINESS TOO MUCH
820460  DOES R THINK FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS INVOLVED IN ISSUES IT SHOULDN'T BE
820461  DOES R FEEL THE GOVERNMENT HAS GOTTEN OVER-INVOLVED IN MANY ISSUES

R'S OPINION ON TRADE WITH SOVIETS

820462  DOES R THINK WESTERN NATIONS SHOULD INCREASE TRADE WITH SOVIETS

R'S OPINION ON ABORTION

820463  DOES R FEEL ABORTION SHOULD BE PERMITTED—IN WHAT CASES

CAMPAIGN CONTACTS

820464  DID A POLITICAL PARTY WORKER CONTACT R DURING CAMPAIGN
820465  WHICH PARTY(S) CONTACTED R DURING CAMPAIGN
820466  DID ANYONE ELSE CONTACT R ABOUT HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES CAMPAIGN
820467  PARTY OF CANDIDATE REPRESENTED BY SUPPORTER WHO CONTACTED R—FIRST MENTION
820468  PARTY OF CANDIDATE REPRESENTED BY SUPPORTER WHO CONTACTED R—SECOND MENTION
820469  NAME OF CANDIDATE REPRESENTED BY SUPPORTER WHO CONTACTED R—FIRST MENTION
820470  NAME OF CANDIDATE REPRESENTED BY SUPPORTER WHO CONTACTED R—SECOND MENTION

CAMPAIGN ACTIVITIES

820471  DID R RECEIVE MAIL ASKING FOR CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS
820472  DID R CONTRIBUTE IN RESPONSE TO CAMPAIGN MAIL
820473  DID R TRY TO INFLUENCE OTHER'S VOTE CHOICES
820474  DID R ATTEND ANY POLITICAL MEETINGS OR RALLIES
820475  DID R WORK FOR PARTY OR CANDIDATE
820476  DID R WEAR A BUTTON OR PUT A STICKER ON THE CAR
820477  R'S MEMBERSHIP IN POLITICAL CLUBS AND ORGANIZATIONS

R'S CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS

820478  DID R USE $1 POLITICAL CONTRIBUTION OPTION ON FEDERAL INCOME TAX RETURN
820479  INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: DOES STATE TAX RETURN HAVE POLITICAL CONTRIBUTION OPTION
820480  DID R GIVE CONTRIBUTION THROUGH STATE INCOME TAX RETURN
820481  DID R GIVE ANY MONEY TO A CANDIDATE FOR OFFICE
820482  R GAVE MONEY TO CANDIDATE FOR WHICH OFFICE—FIRST
MENTION
820483 R GAVE MONEY TO CANDIDATE FROM WHICH PARTY--FIRST MENTION
820484 R GAVE MONEY TO CANDIDATE FOR WHICH OFFICE--SECOND MENTION
820485 R GAVE MONEY TO CANDIDATE FROM WHICH PARTY--SECOND MENTION
820486 R GAVE MONEY TO CANDIDATE FOR WHICH OFFICE--THIRD MENTION
820487 R GAVE MONEY TO CANDIDATE FROM WHICH PARTY--THIRD MENTION
820488 R GAVE MONEY TO CANDIDATE FOR WHICH OFFICE--FOURTH MENTION
820489 R GAVE MONEY TO CANDIDATE FROM WHICH PARTY--FOURTH MENTION
820490 DID R GIVE MONEY TO A POLITICAL PARTY
820491 PARTY R CONTRIBUTED TO--FIRST MENTION
820492 CONTRIBUTION TO LOCAL/STATE/NATIONAL CHAPTER--FIRST MENTION
820493 PARTY R CONTRIBUTED TO--SECOND MENTION
820494 CONTRIBUTION TO LOCAL/STATE/NATIONAL CHAPTER--SECOND MENTION
820495 PARTY R CONTRIBUTED TO--THIRD MENTION
820496 CONTRIBUTION TO LOCAL/STATE/NATIONAL CHAPTER--THIRD MENTION
820497 DID R GIVE MONEY TO POLITICAL ACTION/ISSUE GROUPS
820498 NAME OF POLITICAL ACTION/ISSUE GROUP--FIRST MENTION
820499 NAME OF POLITICAL ACTION/ISSUE GROUP--SECOND MENTION
820500 NAME OF POLITICAL ACTION/ISSUE GROUP--THIRD MENTION

R'S VOTING BEHAVIOR--1982

820501 DID R VOTE IN 1982 ELECTION
820502 IS R REGISTERED DEMOCRAT/REPUBLICAN/OTHER
820503 IS R REGISTERED TO VOTE IN STATE OF INTERVIEW
820504 IS R REGISTERED TO VOTE AT CURRENT ADDRESS
820505 DID R VOTE FOR CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE
820506 PARTY OF CANDIDATE R VOTED FOR
820507 NAME OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE R VOTED FOR
820508 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: WAS THERE A SENATE RACE IN R'S STATE
820509 DID R VOTE FOR A SENATE CANDIDATE
820510 PARTY OF SENATE CANDIDATE R VOTED FOR
820511 NAME OF SENATE CANDIDATE R VOTED FOR
820512 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: WAS THERE A GUBERNATORIAL RACE IN R'S STATE
820513 DID R VOTE FOR A GUBERNATORIAL CANDIDATE
820514 PARTY OF GUBERNATORIAL CANDIDATE R VOTED FOR
820515 NAME OF GUBERNATORIAL CANDIDATE R VOTED FOR
820516 DID R VOTE A STRAIGHT PARTY TICKET FOR OTHER OFFICES
820517 IF R VOTED A STRAIGHT TICKET, FOR WHICH PARTY DID R VOTE
820518 WHICH PARTY GOT MORE OF R'S VOTES
NON-VOTERS

820519 WAS R REGISTERED TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION
820520 WAS R REGISTERED AS REPUBLICAN/DEMOCRAT/INDEPENDENT
820521 WAS R REGISTERED TO VOTE IN STATE OF INTERVIEW
820522 IS R REGISTERED TO VOTE AT CURRENT ADDRESS

R'S KNOWLEDGE OF CONGRESS

820523 DOES R KNOW WHICH PARTY HAD MOST MEMBERS IN U.S. HOUSE
820524 WHICH PARTY ELECTED MOST NEW MEMBERS TO HOUSE
820525 DOES R KNOW WHAT PARTY HAD MOST MEMBERS IN SENATE BEFORE ELECTION
820526 DOES R KNOW WHICH PARTY ELECTED MOST NEW MEMBERS TO SENATE

CYNICISM AND TRUST IN GOVERNMENT

820527 HOW RESPONSIVE DOES R FEEL THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS TO THE PEOPLE
820528 DOES R THINK THE GOVERNMENT WASTES THE MONEY WE PAY IN TAXES
820529 HOW MUCH OF THE TIME DOES R FEEL HE/SHE CAN TRUST THE GOVERNMENT
820530 DOES R FEEL THE GOVERNMENT IS RUN FOR A FEW BIG INTERESTS OR FOR THE BENEFIT OF ALL THE PEOPLE
820531 DO PEOPLE LIKE R HAVE ANY SAY IN WHAT GOVERNMENT DOES
820532 DO PUBLIC OFFICIALS CARE WHAT PEOPLE LIKE R THINK

R'S PERSONAL DATA

820533 WHAT IS THE MONTH OF R'S BIRTH
820534 YEAR OF R'S BIRTH
820535 R'S AGE
820536 R'S MARITAL STATUS

R'S EDUCATION

820537 HIGHEST GRADE/YEAR OF COLLEGE COMPLETED BY R
820538 DID R GET A HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA OR PASS A HIGH SCHOOL EQUIVALENCY TEST
820539 HAS R HAD ANY OTHER SCHOOLING
820540 DOES R HAVE A COLLEGE DEGREE
820541 WHAT IS THE HIGHEST DEGREE R HAS EARNED
820542 SUMMARY: R'S EDUCATION

HEAD OF FAMILY'S EDUCATION

820543 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: R'S FAMILY STATUS
820544 HIGHEST GRADE/YEAR OF COLLEGE COMPLETED BY FAMILY HEAD
820545 DID FAMILY HEAD GET A HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA OR PASS A HIGH SCHOOL EQUIVALENCY TEST
820546 HAS THE FAMILY HEAD HAD ANY OTHER SCHOOLING
DOES FAMILY HEAD HAVE A COLLEGE DEGREE
 WHAT IS THE HIGHEST DEGREE THAT THE FAMILY HEAD HAS EARNED
 SUMMARY: HEAD'S EDUCATION

WIFE OF HEAD'S EDUCATION
 HIGHEST GRADE/YEAR OF COLLEGE COMPLETED BY WIFE OF HEAD
 DID WIFE OF HEAD GET A HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA OR PASS A HIGH SCHOOL EQUIVALENCY TEST
 HAS THE WIFE OF HEAD HAD ANY OTHER SCHOOLING
 DOES WIFE OF HEAD HAVE A COLLEGE DEGREE
 WHAT IS THE HIGHEST DEGREE THAT THE WIFE OF HEAD HAS EARNED
 SUMMARY: WIFE OF HEAD'S EDUCATION

R'S OCCUPATION SECTION
 IS R WORKING NOW, UNEMPLOYED, RETIRED, A HOUSEWIFE, STUDENT, OR WHAT
 R'S WORKING OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF
 WHAT IS R'S MAIN OCCUPATION
 R EMPLOYED OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF--DUNCAN SEI
 R EMPLOYED OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF--NORC PRESTIGE
 IN WHAT TYPE OF BUSINESS/INDUSTRY DOES R WORK
 IS R SELF-EMPLOYED
 DOES R WORK IN INDUSTRY OR GOVERNMENT
 DOES R'S COMPANY DO BUSINESS WITH FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
 HAS BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT INCREASED IN THE LAST YEAR
 NUMBER OF HOURS R WORKS PER WEEK
 DOES R LIKE THE NUMBER OF HOURS R WORKS/WEEK
 HOW WORRIED IS R ABOUT LOSING HIS/HER JOB IN THE NEAR FUTURE
 WAS R OUT OF WORK OR LAID OFF WITHIN THE LAST TWELVE MONTHS
 HAS R HAD TO TAKE A PAY CUT/REDUCE HOURS WITHIN THE LAST TWELVE MONTHS
 R IS UNEMPLOYED

HAS R EVER WORKED FOR PAY
 HAS R HAD A JOB IN THE PAST TWELVE MONTHS?
 WHAT WAS R'S OCCUPATION ON LAST REGULAR JOB
 R UNEMPLOYED--DUNCAN SEI
 R UNEMPLOYED--NORC PRESTIGE
 IN WHAT TYPE OF BUSINESS/INDUSTRY DID R WORK ON LAST JOB
 HOW MANY HOURS PER WEEK DID R WORK
 WAS R SELF-EMPLOYED WHEN HE/SHE WORKED
 DID R WORK IN INDUSTRY OR GOVERNMENT
 DID R'S COMPANY DO BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL
GOVERNMENT
820580 HAD BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT INCREASED FOR R'S FORMER EMPLOYER
820581 IS R LOOKING FOR WORK AT THE PRESENT TIME
820582 IS R WORRIED ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO FIND A JOB

R IS RETIRED OR DISABLED
820583 (IF RETIRED) WHEN DID R RETIRE
820584 (IF PERMANENTLY DISABLED) HAS R DONE ANY WORK FOR PAY
820585 WHAT WAS R'S MAIN OCCUPATION WHEN HE/SHE WORKED
820586 R RETIRED/DISABLED--DUNCAN SEI
820587 R RETIRED/DISABLED--NORC PRESTIGE
820588 IN WHAT TYPE OF BUSINESS/INDUSTRY DID R WORK WHEN HE/SHE WORKED
820589 WHEN R WORKED WAS HE/SHE SELF-EMPLOYED
820590 DID R WORK IN INDUSTRY OR GOVERNMENT WHEN HE/SHE WORKED
820591 DID R'S COMPANY DO BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
820592 DID R DO ANY WORK FOR PAY WITHIN THE PAST 12 MONTHS
820593 NUMBER OF HOURS WORKED PER WEEK WHEN R WAS WORKING

R IS HOUSEWIFE OR STUDENT
820594 IS R WORKING NOW
820595 DID R WORK IN PAST YEAR
820596 IS R WORRIED ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO FIND A JOB
820597 IS R WORKING FOR PAY NOW
820598 DID R DO ANY WORK FOR PAY IN THE LAST TWELVE MONTHS
820599 WHAT WAS R'S OCCUPATION
820600 R HOUSEWIFE/STUDENT--DUNCAN SEI
820601 R HOUSEWIFE/STUDENT--NORC PRESTIGE
820602 IN WHAT TYPE OF BUSINESS/INDUSTRY DID R WORK
820603 WAS R SELF-EMPLOYED WHEN HE/SHE WORKED
820604 DID R WORK IN INDUSTRY OR GOVERNMENT
820605 DID R'S COMPANY DO BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
820606 HAD BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT INCREASED FOR R'S FORMER COMPANY
820607 NUMBER OF HOURS WORKED PER WEEK WHEN R WAS WORKING
820608 IS R LOOKING FOR WORK AT THE PRESENT TIME
820609 IS R WORRIED ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO FIND A JOB

SUMMARY: R'S WORKING STATUS
820610 SUMMARY--R'S WORKING STATUS

R'S FAMILY STATUS
820611 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: R'S FAMILY STATUS
HEAD'S OCCUPATION SECTION

820612 IS HEAD WORKING NOW, UNEMPLOYED, RETIRED, A HOUSEWIFE, STUDENT, OR WHAT

HEAD WORKING OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF

820613 WHAT IS HEAD'S MAIN OCCUPATION
820614 HEAD EMPLOYED OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF-DUNCAN SEI
820615 HEAD EMPLOYED OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF-NORC PRESTIGE
820616 IN WHAT TYPE OF BUSINESS/INDUSTRY DOES HEAD WORK
820617 IS HEAD SELF-EMPLOYED
820618 DID HEAD WORK IN INDUSTRY OR GOVERNMENT
820619 DOES HEAD'S COMPANY DO BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
820620 HAS BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT INCREASED FOR HEAD'S COMPANY
820621 NUMBER OF HOURS HEAD WORKS PER WEEK
820622 DOES HEAD LIKE NUMBER OF HOURS WORKED
820623 HOW WORRIED IS HEAD ABOUT LOosing JOB
820624 WAS HEAD OUT OF WORK IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS
820625 HAS HEAD TAKEN A PAY CUT OR REDUCTION IN HOURS IN LAST 12 MONTHS

HEAD UNEMPLOYED

820626 HAS HEAD EVER WORKED FOR PAY
820627 HAS HEAD WORKED IN LAST TWELVE MONTHS
820628 WHAT WAS HEAD'S OCCUPATION ON LAST REGULAR JOB
820629 HEAD UNEMPLOYED-DUNCAN SEI
820630 HEAD UNEMPLOYED-NORC PRESTIGE
820631 IN WHAT TYPE OF BUSINESS/INDUSTRY DID HEAD WORK ON LAST JOB
820632 HOW MANY HOURS DID HEAD WORK ON LAST JOB
820633 WAS HEAD/SPouse SELF-EMPLOYED
820634 DID HEAD WORK FOR GOVERNMENT OR INDUSTRY
820635 DID HEAD'S COMPANY DO BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
820636 HAS BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT INCREASED FOR FORMER EMPLOYER
820637 IS HEAD LOOKING FOR WORK NOW
820638 HOW WORRIED IS HEAD ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO FIND A JOB

HEAD RETIRED/DISABLED

820639 (IF RETIRED) WHEN DID HEAD RETIRE
820640 (IF PERMANENTLY DISABLED) HAS HEAD EVER WORKED FOR PAY
820641 WHAT WAS HEAD'S MAIN OCCUPATION WHEN HE/SHE WORKED
820642 HEAD RETIRED/DISABLED-DUNCAN SEI
820643 HEAD RETIRED/DISABLED-NORC PRESTIGE
820644 IN WHAT TYPE OF BUSINESS/INDUSTRY DID HEAD WORK WHEN HE/SHE WORKED
820645 WAS HEAD SELF-EMPLOYED WHEN WORKING
820646 DID HEAD WORK IN INDUSTRY OR GOVERNMENT
DID HEAD'S FORMER EMPLOYER DO BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
DID HEAD WORK WITHIN LAST 12 MONTHS
NUMBER OF HOURS HEAD WORKED WHEN WORKING
IS HEAD WORKING NOW
IS HEAD LOOKING FOR WORK NOW
HOW WORRIED IS HEAD ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO FIND A JOB

IS HEAD WORKING NOW
DID HEAD WORK IN PAST YEAR
WHAT WAS HEAD'S MAIN OCCUPATION WHEN WORKING
HEAD HOUSEWIFE/STUDENT-DUNCAN SEI
HEAD HOUSEWIFE/STUDENT-NORC PRESTIGE
IN WHAT TYPE OF BUSINESS/INDUSTRY DID HEAD WORK
WAS HEAD SELF-EMPLOYED WHEN WORKING
DID HEAD WORK IN GOVERNMENT OR INDUSTRY
DID HEAD'S EMPLOYER DO BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
HAD BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT INCREASED FOR WIFE OF HEAD'S EMPLOYER
HOW MANY HOURS DID HEAD WORK WHEN WORKING
IS HEAD LOOKING FOR WORK AT THE PRESENT TIME
HOW WORRIED IS HEAD ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO FIND A JOB

SUMMARY: HEAD'S OCCUPATION

SUMMARY: HEAD'S WORKING STATUS

WIFE OF HEAD'S OCCUPATION SECTION

IS WIFE OF HEAD WORKING NOW, UNEMPLOYED, RETIRED, A HOUSEWIFE, STUDENT OR WHAT

WIFE OF HEAD WORKING OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF

WHAT IS WIFE OF HEAD'S MAIN OCCUPATION
WIFE EMPLOYED OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF-DUNCAN SEI
WIFE OF HEAD EMPLOYED OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF-NORC PRESTIGE
IN WHAT TYPE OF BUSINESS/INDUSTRY DOES WIFE OF HEAD WORK
IS WIFE OF HEAD SELF-EMPLOYED
DID WIFE OF HEAD WORK IN INDUSTRY OR GOVERNMENT
DOES WIFE OF HEAD'S COMPANY DO BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
HAS BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT INCREASED FOR WIFE OF HEAD'S COMPANY
NUMBER OF HOURS WIFE OF HEAD WORKS PER WEEK
DOES WIFE OF HEAD LIKE NUMBER OF HOURS WORKED
HOW WORRIED IS WIFE OF HEAD ABOUT LOSING JOB
820679 WAS WIFE OF HEAD OUT OF WORK IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS
820680 HAS WIFE OF HEAD TAKEN A PAY CUT OR REDUCTION IN HOURS IN LAST 12 MONTHS

WIFE IS UNEMPLOYED

820681 HAS WIFE OF HEAD EVER WORKED FOR PAY
820682 HAS WIFE OF HEAD WORKED IN LAST TWELVE MONTHS
820683 WHAT WAS WIFE OF HEAD'S OCCUPATION ON LAST REGULAR JOB
820684 WIFE OF HEAD UNEMPLOYED-DUNCAN SEI
820685 WIFE OF HEAD UNEMPLOYED-NORC PRESTIGE
820686 IN WHAT TYPE OF BUSINESS/INDUSTRY DID WIFE OF HEAD WORK ON LAST JOB
820687 HOW MANY HOURS DID WIFE OF HEAD WORK ON LAST JOB
820688 WAS WIFE OF HEAD SELF-EMPLOYED
820689 DID WIFE OF HEAD WORK FOR GOVERNMENT OR INDUSTRY
820690 DID WIFE OF HEAD'S COMPANY DO BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
820691 HAD BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT INCREASED FOR FORMER EMPLOYER
820692 IS WIFE OF HEAD LOOKING FOR WORK NOW
820693 HOW WORRIED IS WIFE OF HEAD ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO FIND A JOB

WIFE IS RETIRED OR DISABLED

820694 (IF RETIRED) WHEN DID WIFE OF HEAD RETIRE
820695 (IF PERMANENTLY DISABLED) HAS WIFE OF HEAD EVER WORKED FOR PAY
820696 WHAT WAS WIFE OF HEAD'S MAIN OCCUPATION WHEN SHE WORKED
820697 WIFE OF HEAD RETIRED/DISABLED-DUNCAN SEI
820698 WIFE OF HEAD RETIRED/DISABLED-NORC PRESTIGE
820699 IN WHAT TYPE OF BUSINESS DID WIFE OF HEAD WORK PREVIOUSLY

820700 WAS WIFE OF HEAD SELF-EMPLOYED WHEN WORKING
820701 DID WIFE OF HEAD WORK IN INDUSTRY OR GOVERNMENT
820702 DID WIFE OF HEAD'S FORMER EMPLOYER DO BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
820703 DID WIFE OF HEAD WORK WITHIN LAST 12 MONTHS
820704 NUMBER OF HOURS WIFE OF HEAD WORKED WHEN WORKING
820705 IS WIFE OF HEAD WORKING NOW
820706 IS WIFE OF HEAD LOOKING FOR WORK NOW
820707 HOW WORRIED IS WIFE OF HEAD ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO FIND A JOB

WIFE IS HOUSEWIFE/STUDENT

820708 IS WIFE OF HEAD WORKING NOW
820709 DID WIFE OF HEAD WORK IN PAST YEAR
820710 WHAT WAS WIFE OF HEAD'S MAIN OCCUPATION WHEN WORKING
820711 WIFE OF HEAD HOUSEWIFE/STUDENT-DUNCAN SEI
820712 WIFE OF HEAD STUDENT/HOUSEWIFE-NORC PRESTIGE
820713 IN WHAT KIND OF BUSINESS/INDUSTRY DID WIFE OF HEAD
WORK
820714 WAS WIFE OF HEAD SELF-EMPLOYED WHEN WORKING
820715 DID WIFE OF HEAD WORK IN GOVERNMENT OR INDUSTRY
820716 DID WIFE OF HEAD’S EMPLOYER DO BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
820717 HAD BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT INCREASED FOR WIFE OF HEAD’S EMPLOYER
820718 HOW MANY HOURS DID WIFE OF HEAD WORK WHEN WORKING
820719 IS WIFE OF HEAD LOOKING FOR WORK AT THE PRESENT TIME
820720 HOW WORRIED IS WIFE OF HEAD ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO FIND A JOB

SUMMARY: WIFE OF HEAD'S OCCUPATION
820721 SUMMARY: WIFE OF HEAD'S WORKING STATUS

UNION MEMBERSHIP
820722 DOES ANYONE IN THE HOUSEHOLD BELONG TO A LABOR UNION
820723 WHO BELONGS TO A LABOR UNION IN HOUSEHOLD

AGE COMPOSITION OF FAMILY
820724 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: AGE COMPOSITION OF R'S HOUSEHOLD

FAMILY INCOME
820725 FAMILY'S 1981 INCOME BEFORE TAXES
820726 SUMMARY OF FAMILY INCOME
820727 R'S 1981 INCOME BEFORE TAXES
820728 SUMMARY OF R'S INCOME

R'S CLASS IDENTIFICATION
820729 DOES R THINK OF HIMSELF/HERSELF AS BELONGING TO THE MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS
820730 WOULD R CALL HIMSELF/HERSELF MIDDLE CLASS OR WORKING CLASS
820731 WOULD R SAY HE/SHE IS IN THE AVERAGE/UPPER MIDDLE/NONWORKING CLASS
820732 SUMMARY OF R'S SOCIAL CLASS
820733 DOES R FEEL CLOSER TO HIS VS. OTHER CLASSES

OTHER PERSONAL DATA
820734 RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE
820735 HOW OFTEN DOES R ATTEND CHURCH OR SYNAGOGUE
820736 ETHNIC/NATIONALITY GROUP--FIRST MENTION
820737 ETHNIC/NATIONALITY GROUP--SECOND MENTION
820738 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: NUMBER OF ETHNIC GROUPS TO WHICH R BELONGS
820739 WHICH ETHNIC OR NATIONALITY GROUP DOES R MOST CLOSELY
IDENTIFY WITH

STRENGTH OF ETHNIC IDENTIFICATION
WERE BOTH R'S PARENTS BORN IN THIS COUNTRY
COUNTRY OF R'S FATHER'S BIRTH
COUNTRY OF R'S MOTHER'S BIRTH
COUNTRY OF ORIGIN: R'S PATERNAL SIDE
COUNTRY OF ORIGIN: R'S MATERNAL SIDE
R'S PRIMARY ETHNIC IDENTIFICATION
WHAT WAS R'S FATHER'S OCCUPATION
DID R'S MOTHER HAVE A JOB, OTHER THAN BEING A HOUSEWIFE
WHAT WAS R'S MOTHER'S OCCUPATION
WHERE WAS R BORN
WHERE DID R GROW UP
WHERE DID R LIVE WHEN R WAS FOURTEEN YEARS OLD
R'S RESIDENCE AT 14: ALPHABETIC CITY/FOREIGN CODE
R'S RESIDENCE AT 14: ALPHABETIC STATE CODE
HOW LONG HAS R LIVED IN LOCATION OF INTERVIEW
WHERE R LIVED BEFORE PRESENT RESIDENCE
R'S PREVIOUS RESIDENCE: ALPHABETIC CITY/FOREIGN CODE
R'S PREVIOUS RESIDENCE: ALPHABETIC STATE CODE
HOW LONG HAS R LIVED IN THIS APT/HOUSE
DOES R/R'S FAMILY OWN OR RENT HOME
R'S SEX
R'S RACE
IS R OF HISPANIC ORIGIN
RELATIONSHIP OF R TO HEAD

CONDITIONS OF INTERVIEW

HOW GOOD WAS RESPONDENT'S COOPERATION
R'S INFORMATION LEVEL RE: POLITICS & PUBLIC AFFAIRS
R'S INTELLIGENCE
HOW SUSPICIOUS DID R SEEM TO BE ABOUT THE STUDY, BEFORE THE INTERVIEW?
R'S INTEREST IN THE INTERVIEW
SINCERITY OF R'S ANSWERS
DOES INTERVIEWER FEEL R REPORTED INCOME HONESTLY
INTERVIEWER ESTIMATE OF R'S FAMILY INCOME
WAS INTERVIEW CONDUCTED IN ENGLISH
LANGUAGE IN WHICH INTERVIEW CONDUCTED
TYPE OF STRUCTURE R LIVES IN
HOW MANY UNITS ARE IN R'S RESIDENTIAL STRUCTURE
HOW MANY FLOORS ARE IN R'S RESIDENTIAL STRUCTURE