

int1984.txt

Version 01 Codebook

CODEBOOK INTRODUCTION FILE
1984 PRE-POST STUDY
(1984.TV)

AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES
1984 PRE-POST ELECTION STUDY

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS

WARREN E. MILLER
AND THE NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES

CENTER FOR POLITICAL STUDIES
THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

PRE-POST SURVEY SEPT-OCT, NOV-DEC

ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER 8298

□

int1984.txt
ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF ASSISTANCE

ALL MANUSCRIPTS UTILIZING DATA MADE AVAILABLE THROUGH THE CONSORTIUM SHOULD ACKNOWLEDGE THAT FACT AS WELL AS IDENTIFY THE ORIGINAL COLLECTOR OF THE DATA. THE ICPSR COUNCIL URGES ALL USERS OF THE ICPSR DATA FACILITIES TO FOLLOW SOME ADAPTATION OF THIS STATEMENT WITH THE PARENTHESES INDICATING ITEMS TO BE FILLED IN APPROPRIATELY OR DELETED BY THE INDIVIDUAL USER.

THE DATA (AND TABULATIONS) UTILIZED IN THIS (PUBLICATION) WERE MADE AVAILABLE (IN PART) BY THE INTER-UNIVERSITY CONSORTIUM FOR POLITICAL AND SOCIAL RESEARCH. THE DATA FOR THE AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY, 1984, WERE ORIGINALLY COLLECTED BY THE CENTER FOR POLITICAL STUDIES OF THE INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH, THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, FOR THE NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES, UNDER THE OVERALL DIRECTION OF WARREN E. MILLER; SANTA TRAU GOTT WAS DIRECTOR OF STUDIES IN 1984. THE DATA WERE COLLECTED UNDER A GRANT FROM THE NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION. NEITHER THE COLLECTOR OF THE ORIGINAL DATA NOR THE CONSORTIUM BEARS ANY RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ANALYSES OR INTERPRETATIONS PRESENTED HERE.

IN ORDER TO PROVIDE FUNDING AGENCIES WITH ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE USE OF ARCHIVAL RESOURCES AND TO FACILITATE THE EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION ABOUT ICPSR PARTICIPANTS' RESEARCH ACTIVITIES, EACH USER OF THE ICPSR DATA FACILITIES IS EXPECTED TO SEND TWO COPIES OF EACH COMPLETED MANUSCRIPT OR THESIS ABSTRACT TO THE CONSORTIUM. PLEASE INDICATE IN THE COVER LETTER WHICH DATA WERE USED.

□

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Note: >>sections in the codebook introduction and codebook appendix can be navigated in the machine-readable files by searching ">>".

>> 1984 STUDY DESCRIPTION
>> 1984 SURVEY CONTENT AND ADMINISTRATION
>> 1984 SAMPLING INFORMATION
>> 1984 NOTE ON CANDIDATE NAME LIST
>> 1984 INDIVIDUAL VOTER RECORD VALIDATION SECTION
>> 1984 NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES WORKING PAPERS SERIES
>> 1984 LIST OF REPORTS FROM ANES PILOT STUDY, SPRING, 1983
>> 1984 CODEBOOK INFORMATION
>> 1984 ICPSR PROCESSING INFORMATION
>> 1984 VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST

CODEBOOK

1984 variables

APPENDICES (file app1984.cbk)

>> 1984 FIPS STATE AND COUNTY NOTE
>> 1980 CENSUS DEFINITIONS
>> 1984 PARTY/CANDIDATE NOTE
>> 1984 NEWSPAPER CODE
>> 1984 ECONOMIC CONDITIONS CODE
>> 1984 COLLEGE AND UNIVERSITY NOTE
>> 1984 RECODING IN THE EDUCATION AND OCCUPATION SECTIONS
>> OCCUPATION CODES, NES 1984 STUDY
>> 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY CODES
>> 1984 NATIONALITY NOTE
>> 1984 STATE AND COUNTRY NOTE
>> 1984 CITIES NOTE
>> 1984 CANDIDATE MASTER CODE
>> 1984 PARTY DIFFERENCE NOTE
>> 1984 IMPORTANT PROBLEMS NOTE
>> 1984 LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE DIMENSIONS

□>> 1984 STUDY DESCRIPTION

THE NES/CPS AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY, 1984, WAS CONDUCTED BY THE CENTER FOR POLITICAL STUDIES OF THE INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH, UNDER THE GENERAL DIRECTION OF WARREN E. MILLER. SANTA TRAUOGOTT IS THE DIRECTOR OF STUDIES. THIS IS THE EIGHTEENTH IN A SERIES OF STUDIES OF AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTIONS PRODUCED BY THE POLITICAL BEHAVIOR PROGRAM OF THE SURVEY RESEARCH CENTER AND THE CENTER FOR POLITICAL STUDIES, AND IS THE FOURTH STUDY TO BE CONDUCTED UNDER THE AUSPICES OF NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION GRANTS (NOS. SOC77-08885 AND SES-8341310) PROVIDING LONG-TERM SUPPORT FOR THE NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES. SINCE 1978, THE NES ELECTION STUDIES HAVE BEEN DESIGNED BY A NATIONAL BOARD OF OVERSEERS, THE MEMBERS OF WHICH MEET SEVERAL TIMES A YEAR TO PLAN CONTENT AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE MAJOR STUDY COMPONENTS. BOARD MEMBERS DURING THE 1984 PLANNING PHASE INCLUDED: RAY WOLFINGER, CHAIR, UNIVERSITY

int1984.txt

OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY; RICHARD A. BRODY, STANFORD UNIVERSITY; HEINZ EULAU, STANFORD UNIVERSITY; MORRIS P. FIORINA, HARVARD UNIVERSITY; STANLEY KELLEY, JR., PRINCETON UNIVERSITY; DONALD R. KINDER, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN; DAVID R. MAYHEW, YALE UNIVERSITY; WARREN E. MILLER, ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY, EX OFFICIO; DAVID O. SEARS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES; AND J. MERRILL SHANKS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY.

AS PART OF THE PLANNING PROCESS, WORKSHOPS MAY BE HELD, A SPECIAL PLANNING COMMITTEE APPOINTED, A PILOT STUDY CONDUCTED, AND STIMULUS LETTERS SENT TO THE MEMBERS OF THE SCHOLARLY COMMUNITY, SOLICITING THEIR INPUT ON STUDY PLANS.

THE 1984 STUDY PLANNING COMMITTEE INCLUDED SEVERAL BOARD MEMBERS (KINDER, CHAIR; BRODY, KELLEY, MILLER, EX OFFICIO; SEARS, AND WOLFINGER) AND THREE OTHER SCHOLARS, STANLEY FELDMAN, UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY; ETHEL KLEIN, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY; AND STEVEN J. ROSENSTONE, YALE UNIVERSITY.

THE PLANNING COMMITTEE BEGAN MEETING IN FEBRUARY OF 1983. A PILOT STUDY WAS CARRIED OUT IN THE SUMMER OF 1983 FOR THE PURPOSE OF DEVELOPING NEW INSTRUMENTATION FOR THE 1984 ELECTION STUDY. NEW ITEMS WERE TESTED ON ECONOMIC WELL-BEING, GROUP IDENTIFICATION, VALUES, POLITICAL PARTICIPATION AND CANDIDATE AFFECT. DATA FROM THE PILOT STUDY ARE AVAILABLE THROUGH THE INTER-UNIVERSITY CONSORTIUM FOR POLITICAL AND SOCIAL RESEARCH (ICPSR 8178). RESULTS FROM THE PILOT STUDY WERE USED BY THE PLANNING COMMITTEE IN FORMULATING RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE BOARD ABOUT STUDY CONTENT FOR THE 1984 ELECTION STUDY. (SEE THE LIST OF PILOT STUDY REPORTS.)

THE 1984 NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY HAD TWO MAJOR COMPONENTS. THIS DOCUMENT DESCRIBES THE NOW TRADITIONAL PRE- AND POST-PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION SURVEY PANEL. THE OTHER MAJOR COMPONENT IS A CONTINUOUS MONITORING EFFORT, WHICH CONSISTS OF 3496 INTERVIEWS TAKEN IN 46 INDEPENDENT, CONSECUTIVE SAMPLES. INTERVIEW ADMINISTRATION WAS TELEPHONE; THE SAMPLE SELECTION WAS BY A RANDOM DIGIT DIALING PROCEDURE. THIS DATASET IS ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE INTER-UNIVERSITY CONSORTIUM, WITH DOCUMENTATION AND DESCRIPTION EQUIVALENT TO THIS.

>> 1984 SURVEY CONTENT AND ADMINISTRATION

THE BOARD OF OVERSEERS BALANCED A NUMBER OF CONSIDERATIONS IN SELECTING CONTENT FOR THE PRE- AND POST-PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION SURVEY PANEL. THERE WAS, AS ALWAYS, THE NECESSITY OF MAINTAINING CONTINUITY WITH PAST SURVEYS, SO THAT MEASURES WHICH HAVE REACHED THE STATUS OF TIME SERIES OR "CORE" COULD BE MAINTAINED. ALL SO-CALLED "CORE" ITEMS WERE EVALUATED BY THE BOARD AND INPUT SOLICITED FROM THE USER COMMUNITY ABOUT WHETHER EACH SHOULD BE RETAINED.

ROOM HAD TO BE MADE FOR THE ADDITION OF NEW CONTENT, MOST OF WHICH HAD BEEN "PILOTTED" IN 1983. EXAMPLES OF NEW CONTENT ARE THE ECONOMIC INDIVIDUALISM AND EGALITARIAN ITEMS (QS F1 AND J1) AND THE GROUP IDENTIFICATION ITEMS.

IT WAS DESIRED ALSO TO TAKE SOMEWHAT MORE ADVANTAGE OF THE PANEL DESIGN AND ALLOCATE SEVERAL MINUTES IN THE POST TO QUESTIONS ASKED IN THE PRE AND DEEMED TO BE "CAMPAIGN-REACTIVE." SEVERAL ISSUE QUESTIONS, TRAITS, AFFECTS, POLITICAL EFFICACY ITEMS, PARTY IDENTIFICATION AND LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SELF-PLACEMENT WERE REPEATED FOR THIS REASON.

ANOTHER CONCERN WAS TO MAINTAIN SIMILARITY OF CONTENT WITH CONTINUOUS MONITORING, WHICH WAS IN THE FIELD SIMULTANEOUSLY, KEEPING IN MIND THE POSSIBILITY THAT SOME SCHOLARS MAY WISH TO "POOL" THE TWO DATASETS.

THE BOARD SET TWO BASIC DESIGN PARAMETERS RELATING TO SURVEY ADMINISTRATION. IN THE PRE-ELECTION WAVE, THE SURVEY WAS TO BE ADMINISTERED IN TWO-WEEK "QUARTERS." IN THE POST-ELECTION, HALF OF THE RESPONDENTS WERE TO BE INTERVIEWED IN PERSON, THE OTHER HALF BY TELEPHONE.

INTERVIEW TARGET PERIODS. IF ONE THINKS THAT THERE IS SOME AMOUNT OF RELATIVELY LATE VOTE DECISION-MAKING, THEN ONE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE A MEASURE OF VOTE DECISION BY TIME OF INTERVIEW WHICH IS NOT CONTAMINATED BY THE DIFFICULTY OF OBTAINING AN INTERVIEW. THAT IS, IF THE ENTIRE SAMPLE IS RELEASED IMMEDIATELY, AND IF THE MOST RELUCTANT AND HARD-TO-REACH RESPONDENTS ARE SYSTEMATICALLY INTERVIEWED LATER IN THE INTERVIEW PERIOD THAN ARE MORE AMENABLE RESPONDENTS, AND IF THE HARDER-TO-REACH RESPONDENTS ALSO DECIDE LATER (AND/OR DIFFERENTLY) THAN OTHER RESPONDENTS, THEN THE VOTE DECISION BY TIME OF INTERVIEW RELATIONSHIP IS CONFOUNDED.

THIS LOGIC LED THE BOARD TO DECIDE THAT THE SAMPLE SHOULD BE ADMINISTERED IN FOUR TWO-WEEK QUARTERS. THE FIELD PERIOD WAS TO BEGIN ON WEDNESDAY, SEPT. 5. (IN ACTUAL PRACTICE, A FEW INTERVIEWERS "JUMPED THE GUN" AND SEVERAL EARLY INTERVIEWS WERE TAKEN ON TUESDAY, THE TRADITIONAL NEWS STARTING DAY.)

THE FIRST QUARTER ENDED TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 18TH. THE SECOND QUARTER WAS SEPT.19TH - OCT.2ND; THE 3RD QUARTER WAS OCT. 3RD THROUGH OCT. 16TH; AND THE LAST QUARTER WAS OCT. 17TH - OCT. 31. THAT LEFT THE NOV. 1 - NOV. 5 PERIOD FOR "CATCH-UP" INTERVIEWS.

IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT INTERVIEWS WITH SELECTED RESPONDENTS WHICH COULD NOT BE TAKEN IN THE ASSIGNED SAMPLE QUARTER WERE NONETHELESS TAKEN AS THE RESPONDENTS WERE AVAILABLE. NINETY-THREE PERCENT OF ALL INTERVIEWS WERE TAKEN WITHIN THE TARGET PERIOD. VARIABLE 840027 RECORDS INFORMATION ABOUT TARGET PERIOD ASSIGNMENT AND SUCCESS. ONE QUARTER OF EACH SEGMENT (SEE 1984 SAMPLE DESIGN) WAS ASSIGNED TO EACH TWO-WEEK TARGET PERIOD (RATHER THAN ONE QUARTER OF THE SET OF SEGMENTS AT EACH PERIOD).

TELEPHONE VS. IN-PERSON ASSIGNMENT. TELEPHONE INTERVIEWING HAS ADVANTAGES OVER IN-PERSON INTERVIEWING IN TERMS OF COST

int1984.txt

AND THE SPEED WITH WHICH INTERVIEWING CAN BE COMPLETED. ON THE OTHER HAND, IN-PERSON INTERVIEWS SEEM TO HAVE BETTER RESPONSE RATES AND CAN BE LONGER THAN TELEPHONE INTERVIEWS, FOR WHICH 50 MINUTES IS USUALLY REGARDED AS PRESSING (OR EXCEEDING) TOLERABLE LIMITS.

A SAMPLE DERIVED BY A RANDOM DIGIT DIAL METHOD HAS THE DISADVANTAGE THAT IDENTIFICATION OF CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT IS NOT AT ALL STRAIGHTFORWARD. MANY RESPONDENTS CANNOT IDENTIFY THEIR CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT OR CONGRESSPERSON. TELEPHONE EXCHANGE, WHICH IS THE ONLY GEOGRAPHIC DESIGNATION READILY AVAILABLE, IS NOT AN ACCURATE INDICATION OF CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT.

THEREFORE, THE PRE-ELECTION WAVE WAS TAKEN ENTIRELY IN-PERSON. FOR THE POST-ELECTION SURVEY, HOWEVER, WITH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT DETERMINED (AREA SEGMENTS, THE SECOND STAGE SAMPLE SELECTIONS, CAN BE ACCURATELY LINKED TO CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS), IT WAS DECIDED TO UTILIZE A MIXED-MODE DESIGN, WHERE PART OF THE MOTIVATION WAS TO ACHIEVE THE ALREADY KNOWN BENEFITS OF TELEPHONE INTERVIEWING, PARTICULARLY COST REDUCTION, AND TO LEARN AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE ABOUT THE EFFECT OF MODE ON QUESTION ANSWERS AND RESPONSE RATE.

IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MIXED MODE DESIGN, HALF OF THE PRE-ELECTION RESPONDENTS WERE RANDOMLY ASSIGNED TO THE IN-PERSON MODE. THE OTHER HALF WERE TO BE INTERVIEWED BY TELEPHONE. THE TELEPHONE RESPONDENTS RECEIVED A SHORTENED VERSION OF THE PERSONAL INSTRUMENT. (THERE ARE NO QUESTIONS ON THE TELEPHONE QUESTIONNAIRE WHICH ARE NOT ALSO ON THE PERSONAL QUESTIONNAIRE.)

RESPONDENTS WHO DID NOT HAVE A TELEPHONE, OR FOR WHOM THE PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEWER INDICATED (IN RESPONSE TO A QUESTION ON THE COVER SHEET) THAT AN INTERVIEW SHOULD NOT BE ATTEMPTED OVER THE TELEPHONE (R IS DEAF, SPEAKS ENGLISH WITH DIFFICULTY, OR OTHER REASON) WERE RE-ASSIGNED TO THE FIELD, TO BE INTERVIEWED IN-PERSON BUT USING A TELEPHONE INTERVIEW. THE FEW RESPONDENTS WHO WERE ASSIGNED THE IN-PERSON MODE WHO HAD TO BE INTERVIEWED OVER THE TELEPHONE RECEIVED A SHORTENED PERSONAL INTERVIEW, WITH THOSE QUESTIONS DELETED WHICH WERE NOT ASKED ON THE TELEPHONE. (THEIR RESPONSES TO THESE QUESTIONS WERE MARKED NOT ASCERTAINED). VARIABLE 840063 COMBINES INFORMATION ABOUT THESE SEVERAL CONTINGENCIES: TO WHICH RANDOM HALF A PRE-ELECTION R WAS ORIGINALLY ASSIGNED, AND WHICH QUESTIONNAIRE AND MODE HE/SHE ULTIMATELY ENDED UP WITH.

>> 1984 SAMPLING INFORMATION

THE 1980 SAMPLING FRAME WAS DESIGNED, SELECTED AND LISTED JOINTLY BY NORC AND SRC. THE SAMPLE UNIVERSE INCLUDES ALL U.S. HOUSEHOLDS IN THE 48 COTERMINOUS STATES, ALASKA AND HAWAII. CIVILIAN HOUSEHOLDS RESIDING ON MILITARY BASES ARE INCLUDED IN THE SAMPLE UNIVERSE.

THE 1980 NORC/SRC NATIONAL SAMPLE IS A MULTI-STAGE AREA

int1984.txt

PROBABILITY DESIGN. THAT IS, IN A SERIES OF HIERARCHICAL STEPS, GEOGRAPHICALLY DEFINED SAMPLING UNITS OF DECREASING SIZE ARE SELECTED WITH PROBABILITY PROPORTIONATE TO THEIR TOTAL OCCUPIED HOUSING UNIT COUNT. THE OVERALL SAMPLING FRACTION IS 1:25,389.

THE NATIONAL SAMPLE INCLUDES A FIRST STAGE SELECTION OF SMSAS, COUNTIES AND COUNTY GROUPS (PRIMARY AREAS), A SECOND STAGE SELECTION OF AREA SEGMENTS (HOUSING UNIT CLUSTERS DEFINED BY CENSUS BLOCKS OR SUBSAMPLED PARTS OF SELECTED CENSUS ENUMERATION DISTRICTS), A THIRD STAGE SAMPLING OF (LISTED) HOUSING UNITS FROM THE SELECTED AREA SEGMENTS, AND, FOR THE NES SAMPLE, A FINAL STAGE OF RANDOMLY SELECTING A RESPONDENT FROM THE ELIGIBLE MEMBERS OF THE SAMPLE HOUSEHOLDS. IN THIS SAMPLE, PRIMARY AREAS CONSIST OF COUNTIES, SMSAS, INDEPENDENT CITIES, AND IN NEW ENGLAND, PARTS OF COUNTIES. EIGHTY-FOUR PRIMARY AREAS WERE SELECTED AT THE FIRST STAGE.

PRIOR TO SELECTION, PRIMARY AREAS WERE GROUPED INTO 84 STRATA. THE STRATA WERE FORMED BY GROUPING METROPOLITAN AND NON-METROPOLITAN PAS WITHIN EACH OF THE FOUR CENSUS REGIONS. WITHIN EACH REGION, ADDITIONAL VARIABLES WERE USED TO DEFINE STRATA. THE STRATIFYING VARIABLES INCLUDED WITHIN-REGION GEOGRAPHY AND SIZE; SIZE WAS MEASURED BY THE 1980 CENSUS COUNT OF OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS. ONE PSU (PRIMARY SELECTION UNIT) WAS SELECTED FROM EACH STRATUM USING A CONTROLLED SELECTION PROCEDURE.

SIXTEEN OF THE SELECTIONS ARE "SELF-REPRESENTING." THAT IS, THERE IS ONE AND ONLY ONE SELECTION FROM THAT STRATA BECAUSE THE ENTIRE STRATA IS COMPRISED OF AN SMSA. FOR EXAMPLE, NEW YORK AND CHICAGO SMSAS ARE SELF-REPRESENTING. TECHNICALLY, THESE ARE NOT PSUS SINCE THERE IS NO SELECTION AS SUCH INVOLVED. FOR THIS REASON THE 84 UNITS IN THE FIRST STAGE OF THE SAMPLE SELECTIONS ARE REFERRED TO IN SUBSEQUENT DESCRIPTIONS AS PRIMARY AREAS, RATHER THAN PSUS.

FOR THE 1980 DESIGN, THE DESIGNATED SECOND STAGE SAMPLING UNITS (SSUS OR SEGMENTS) ARE COMPRISED OF CENSUS BLOCKS IN METROPOLITAN PRIMARY AREAS (OR ENUMERATION DISTRICTS IN SOME RURAL AREAS). THIS REPRESENTS A CHANGE FOR SRC WHICH IN 1970 USED AN INTERMEDIATE STEP OF SELECTING A STRATIFIED SAMPLE OF MINOR CIVIL DIVISIONS OR "PLACES" BEFORE SELECTING THE SMALLER BLOCK OR ED UNITS.

SAMPLING OF SECOND STAGE UNITS WAS PERFORMED WITH PROBABILITIES PROPORTIONATE TO MEASURES OF SIZE (MOS) -- THE TOTAL OCCUPIED HOUSING COUNT FOR THE AREA. BEFORE SECOND STAGE SELECTION TOOK PLACE, SSUS WERE ORDERED OR IMPLICITLY STRATIFIED USING A THREE-STEP PROCEDURE.

FIRST, IN MULTI-COUNTY PRIMARY AREAS, THE SSUS WERE ORDERED BY COUNTY ACCORDING TO GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION AND POPULATION SIZE OF THE COUNTY. THIRTY-SIX OF THE EIGHTY-FOUR PRIMARY AREAS FELL IN STATES WHERE DATA WERE AVAILABLE FOR THE MEDIAN PER CAPITA INCOME FOR EACH MCD. IN THESE AREAS, EACH MCD WAS CLASSIFIED INTO ONE OF THREE POPULATION SIZE, AND ONE OF THREE INCOME SIZE, CATEGORIES. IN ALL PRIMARY AREAS,

int1984.txt

THE FINAL STRATIFICATION STEP WAS TO ORDER INDIVIDUAL CENSUS BLOCKS AND ENUMERATION DISTRICTS ACCORDING TO GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION.

THE SECONDARY SELECTIONS (SEGMENTS) WERE MADE USING A SYSTEMATIC SELECTION PROCEDURE. WITHIN THE 6 LARGEST PRIMARY AREAS, A VARYING NUMBER OF SEGMENTS CAN BE SELECTED, FROM 6 TO ABOUT 25. IN ALL OTHER PRIMARY AREAS, SIX SECONDARY SELECTIONS WERE MADE.

THE MINIMUM SIZE OF A SEGMENT IS 50 OCCUPIED HOUSEHOLDS, BUT THE AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOUSING UNIT LISTINGS IN A SEGMENT IS ABOUT 92. IN ORDER TO MAKE MANAGEABLE THE SUBSEQUENT STEP OF LISTING THE HOUSING UNITS FROM WHICH SAMPLE SELECTIONS ARE TO BE MADE, THE SRC SAMPLE DESIGN FURTHER DIVIDES THE SEGMENTS INTO SEGMENT PARTS, WHICH ARE IDENTIFIABLE UNITS WITHIN THE SEGMENT WITH A MEASURE OF SIZE OF APPROXIMATELY 16 TO 20 HUS -- FOR EXAMPLE, A CITY BLOCK.

EACH HU IN AN ACTIVE SEGMENT PART IS THEN LISTED AS A SAMPLE "LINE," AND FINAL HOUSEHOLD SELECTIONS ARE MADE WITH A RANDOM START AND A SYSTEMATIC SAMPLING PROCEDURE.

ONCE A HOUSEHOLD IS SELECTED, ALL PERSONS RESIDING THERE ARE LISTED BY AN INTERVIEWER, AND A RESPONDENT IS CHOSEN, USING A SELECTION TABLE, FROM THE POLITICALLY ELIGIBLE ADULTS IN THE HOUSEHOLD. (SEE VARIABLES 840037 AND 840070.)

EVERY HOUSEHOLD IN THE UNITED STATES HAS AN EQUAL PROBABILITY OF FALLING INTO THE SAMPLE. HOWEVER, ALL RESPONDENTS ARE NOT SELECTED WITH EQUAL PROBABILITY. FOR EXAMPLE, ONCE A HOUSEHOLD IS SELECTED, AN ELIGIBLE INDIVIDUAL IN A HOUSEHOLD WITH THREE ELIGIBLE PERSONS HAS ONLY ONE-THIRD THE PROBABILITY OF SELECTION AS THE PERSON WHO IS THE ONLY ELIGIBLE PERSON IN HIS/HER HOUSEHOLD.

TECHNICALLY, RESPONDENTS OUGHT TO BE WEIGHTED IN ALL ANALYSES BY THE INVERSE OF THEIR SELECTION PROBABILITY. NES STAFF HAS TRADITIONALLY COMPARED FREQUENCIES RUN WEIGHTED AND UNWEIGHTED ON VARIABLES OF INTEREST AND CONCLUDED THAT THE DIFFERENCES IN DISTRIBUTIONS (TYPICALLY VERY MINOR) DO NOT JUSTIFY THE ADDED COMPLEXITIES OF WEIGHTING. THIS IS THE CASE FOR 1984 AS WELL. (SEE WORKING PAPER 6: WEIGHTING FOR THE 1984 PRE- AND POST-ELECTION SURVEYS.)

FINALLY, THE FULL 1980 NATIONAL SAMPLE HAS 84 PRIMARY SELECTIONS, ONE FROM EACH STRATA. IN ORDER TO PROVIDE FOR FLEXIBILITY IN SAMPLE DESIGN AND FOR EFFICIENCY IN STAFFING PRIMARY AREAS, THE SAMPLE DESIGN ALLOWS FOR USE OF 1/2, 2/3, 5/6 OR ALL OF THE NON-SELF-REPRESENTING PRIMARY AREAS. THIS FEATURE ALLOWS A SMALLER NUMBER OF PRIMARY AREAS TO BE USED FOR SMALLER SAMPLE SIZES, ENABLING MORE OPTIMAL COST/STATISTICAL EFFICIENCY THAN WOULD RESULT IF THE SAMPLE WERE SPREAD ACROSS THE FULL 84 PRIMARY AREAS.

IN PREVIOUS SRC SAMPLES, DESIRED INCREASES IN SAMPLE SIZE WERE HANDLED BY GENERATING ADDITIONAL LINES WITHIN A STANDARD SET OF SEGMENTS. IN THE CURRENT SAMPLING FRAME, ADDITIONAL PRIMARY AREAS AND/OR SEGMENTS WITHIN THE LARGEST

int1984.txt

PRIMARY AREAS ARE SELECTED TO INCREASE SAMPLE SIZE.

THE NES USED THE 1/2 SAMPLE OPTION, WHICH MEANS THAT 11 OF THE 16 SELF-REPRESENTING PRIMARY AREAS AND 34 (1/2 OF 68) OF THE NON-SELF-REPRESENTING PRIMARY AREAS WERE USED, FOR A TOTAL OF 45 PRIMARY AREAS. IN ADDITION TO FLEXIBILITY IN THE NUMBER OF PRIMARY AREAS WHICH MAY BE USED IN A SAMPLE, THE SRC SAMPLE DESIGN ALSO ALLOWS FOR FLEXIBILITY IN THE NUMBER OF SEGMENTS USED WITHIN EACH OF THE 6 LARGEST SELF-REPRESENTING PRIMARY AREAS. EACH OF THESE AREAS IS DIVIDED INTO 4 SETS OF SEGMENTS, AVERAGING 6.25 SEGMENTS EACH. ONE TO FOUR "SETS" OF SEGMENTS CAN BE USED AS NECESSARY. THE NUMBER OF SEGMENTS FROM THE REMAINING 39 PRIMARY AREAS (5 SR, 34 NON-SR) IS EXACTLY SIX EACH. THERE ARE 306 SEGMENTS IN THE SAMPLE; 72 FROM THE 6 LARGEST PRIMARY AREAS AND 234 FROM THE REMAINING ONES. WITH 2257 INTERVIEWS, THERE ARE 6.1 INTERVIEWS ON AVERAGE PER SEGMENT.

THE SAMPLE IS MORE FULLY DESCRIBED IN HEERINGA, STEVEN G. AND JUDITH H. CONNOR, 1980 SRC/NORC NATIONAL SAMPLE, ANN ARBOR: INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH, MAY 1985.

□>> 1984 NOTE ON CANDIDATE NAME LIST

THE _____ EXPRESSIONS IN THE 1984 QUESTIONNAIRE
(NAME # X, Y, OR Z)

THE 1984 ELECTION STUDY QUESTIONNAIRE MADE PROVISIONS TO HAVE INTERVIEWERS FILL IN DISTRICT/STATE CANDIDATE NAMES IN BLANK SLOTS LIKE THE ONE DEPICTED ABOVE. A COMPREHENSIVE LIST OF HOUSE AND SENATE CANDIDATE AND INCUMBENT NAMES WAS PREPARED FOR EACH OF THE 134 DISTRICTS IN THE SAMPLE AND THE INTERVIEWERS USED THE LISTS TO PRE-EDIT NAMES WHERE APPROPRIATE, DEPENDING ON THE DISTRICT OF INTERVIEW. THESE CANDIDATE LISTS ARE REPRODUCED IN NOTE 13 IN THE NOTES SECTION.

THE (NAME #) EXPRESSION WILL GENERALLY LIST MORE THAN ONE CANDIDATE NUMBER. FOR ANY GIVEN DISTRICT, HOWEVER, ONE OF TWO POSSIBILITIES WILL HOLD: 1) THERE WILL BE ONE AND ONLY ONE NAME IN THE DISTRICT CANDIDATE LIST QUALIFYING FOR INCLUSION ON THE BASIS OF THE NUMBERS LISTED IN THE EXPRESSION; OR 2) THERE WILL BE NO NUMBER IN THE DISTRICT CANDIDATE LIST MATCHING ANY OF THE NUMBERS IN THE EXPRESSION. AN INSTANCE OF NO MATCHING NUMBERS ARISES FOR A QUESTION ABOUT THE CANDIDATE CHALLENGING A DISTRICT INCUMBENT WHEN, IN FACT, THE INCUMBENT IS RUNNING UNOPPOSED. INTERVIEWERS WERE INSTRUCTED TO MARK "NO INFO" THOSE QUESTIONS INVOLVING UNMATCHED CANDIDATE NUMBERS IN THE (NAME #) EXPRESSION.

IN THE CANDIDATE LIST, EACH CANDIDATE OR INCUMBENT IS ASSIGNED A NUMBER OR CODE. NUMBERS BEGINNING WITH 1 (11-19) ARE FOR THE SENATE AND NUMBERS BEGINNING WITH 3 (31-39) ARE

int1984.txt

FOR THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES. IN ADDITION, THE CODE OR NUMBER ASSIGNED TO A NAME HAS AN INTRINSIC MEANING--IT DENOTES THE FUNCTIONAL ROLE OF THAT PERSON IN THE CONTEXT OF THE 1984 GENERAL ELECTIONS. FOR EXAMPLE, CANDIDATE NUMBER 33 IS USED FOR A DEMOCRATIC HOUSE INCUMBENT SEEKING RE-ELECTION AND PLAYING, THEREFORE, THE DUAL ROLE OF HOUSE INCUMBENT AND DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE FOR THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES IN THE 1984 ELECTIONS. THE PRECISE MEANING OF EACH CANDIDATE NUMBER USED IN THE STUDY IS GIVEN IN NOTE 13 IN THE NOTES SECTION. NEEDLESS TO SAY, YOU WILL NEED TO UNDERSTAND THE SCHEME THAT WAS USED IN ORDER TO WORK EFFECTIVELY WITH QUESTIONS INVOLVING (NAME #) EXPRESSIONS.

□

>> 1984 INDIVIDUAL VOTER RECORD VALIDATION SECTION

AS IN 1978 AND 1980, THE NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES UNDERTOOK TO VALIDATE THE REPORTED VOTE OF RESPONDENTS. USERS SHOULD AT LEAST NOTE THE EXISTENCE OF VOTE VALIDATION DATA VARIABLES V841119 TO V841134 AS WELL AS THE REPORTED VOTE VARIABLES.

BASICALLY, ALL PRE-ELECTION R'S WERE VALIDATED WITH THE EXCEPTIONS OF 1) R'S WHO TOLD US IN THE POST THAT THEY DID NOT VOTE AND WERE NOT REGISTERED AND 2) R'S WHO DID NOT GIVE US THEIR NAMES.

DOCUMENTATION FOR THESE VARIABLES WILL BE MORE FULLY FLESHED OUT IN THE SECOND CONSORTIUM RELEASE. ALSO, NES STAFF WILL PREPARE A WORKING PAPER ON VOTE VALIDATION OVER THE SUMMER. MEANWHILE, CONSULTATION ON DEVELOPMENT AND USE OF THESE VARIABLES IS AVAILABLE FROM NES STAFF AT (313) 764-5494.

□>> 1984 NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES WORKING PAPERS SERIES

COPIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THE CENTER FOR POLITICAL STUDIES, BOX 1248, ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN 48106. PAPERS WILL BE DISTRIBUTED WITHOUT CHARGE UNTIL THE INITIAL RUN OF COPIES HAS BEEN EXHAUSTED. AFTER THAT, THERE WILL BE A CHARGE FOR COST OF REPRODUCTION, WHICH IS 10 CENTS AT PRESENT (MARCH 1984).

GIOVANNA MORCHIO AND MARIA SANCHEZ. "CREATION OF A FILTER VARIABLE TO BE USED WHEN ANALYZING QUESTIONS ABOUT CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES IN THE 1982 INTEGRATED PERSONAL/ISR CATI/BERKELEY, CATI DATASET: A REPORT TO THE BOARD OF OVERSEERS, NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES." WORKING PAPER NO. 1. ANN ARBOR: CPS, FEBRUARY 28, 1984. 40 PAGES.

GIOVANNA MORCHIO AND MARIA SANCHEZ. "COMPARISON OF THE MICHIGAN METHOD OF DISTRICT ASSIGNMENT ON THE TELEPHONE WITH THE PERSONAL INTERVIEW SIMULATED DATA: A REPORT TO THE

int1984.txt

BOARD OF OVERSEERS, NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES." WORKING PAPER NO. 2. ANN ARBOR: CPS, MARCH 2, 1984. 10 PAGES.

NES STAFF. "QUESTIONS AND VERSIONS IN NES CONTINUOUS MONITORING, 1984: A REPORT TO THE BOARD OF OVERSEERS, NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES." WORKING PAPER NO. 3. ANN ARBOR: CPS, AUGUST, 1984. 10 PAGES.

NES STAFF. "WEEKLY FIELD REPORT FOR THE NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES CONTINUOUS MONITORING, JAN. 11 - AUG. 3, 1984: A REPORT TO THE BOARD OF OVERSEERS, NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES." WORKING PAPER NO. 4. ANN ARBOR: CPS, AUGUST, 1984. 4 PAGES.

SANTA TRAUOGOTT. "SAMPLE WEIGHTING IN NES CONTINUOUS MONITORING, 1984: A REPORT TO THE BOARD OF OVERSEERS, NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES." WORKING PAPER NO. 5. ANN ARBOR: CPS, APRIL, 1985. 6 PAGES.

SANTA TRAUOGOTT. "SAMPLE WEIGHTING IN NES PRE-POST PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION SURVEY." WORKING PAPER NO. 6. ANN ARBOR: CPS, APRIL, 1985.

□>> 1984 LIST OF REPORTS FROM THE ANES PILOT STUDY, SPRING, 1983

SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD OF OVERSEERS, NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY AND THE 1984 NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY PLANNING COMMITTEE

1. "MEASURING PERSONAL ECONOMIC WELL-BEING" FROM STEVEN J. ROSENSTONE AND JOHN MARK HANSEN, DATED OCTOBER, 1983.
2. "GROUP ECONOMIC WELL-BEING AND POLITICAL CHOICE" FROM DONALD R. KINDER, STEVEN J. ROSENSTONE AND JOHN MARK HANSEN, DATED OCTOBER, 1983.
3. MEMO TO NES BOARD OF OVERSEERS FROM STANLEY FELDMAN RE: REPORT ON VALUES IN THE 1983 PILOT STUDY, DATED OCTOBER 19, 1983.
4. MEMO TO NES PLANNING COMMITTEE AND BOARD FROM DAVID O. SEARS, LEONIE HUDDY, LYNITTA SCHAFFER RE: NES PILOT STUDY OF VALUES, DATED OCTOBER, 1983.
5. "PRESIDENTIAL TRAITS" FROM DONALD R. KINDER, DATED DATED OCTOBER, 1983.
6. MEMO TO NES BOARD OF OVERSEERS AND 1984 PLANNING COMMITTEE FROM PAMELA JOHNSTON CONOVER AND STANLEY FELDMAN RE: THE INCLUSION OF ITEMS MEASURING EMOTIONAL REACTIONS TO THE ECONOMY IN THE 1984 NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY, DATED OCTOBER 22, 1983.
7. MEMO TO BOARD OF OVERSEERS AND 1984 NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY PLANNING COMMITTEE FROM JOHN MARK HANSEN AND STEVEN J. ROSENSTONE RE: PARTICIPATION

int1984.txt

OUTSIDE ELECTIONS, DATED OCTOBER 24, 1983.

8. "GROUP CONSCIOUSNESS IN THE 1983 NES PILOT STUDY" FROM DAVID O. SEARS, TOM JESSOR AND MARTIN GAHART, DATED OCTOBER 24, 1983.
9. "MONITORING GROUP INTEREST" FROM ETHEL KLEIN, DATED OCTOBER, 1983.
10. MEMO TO NES PLANNING COMMITTEE AND BOARD FROM JON KROSNICK RE: NES PILOT STUDY OF ISSUE POSITION CENTRALITY MEASURES, DATED OCTOBER 21, 1983.
11. MEMO TO BOARD OF OVERSEERS AND 1984 NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY PLANNING COMMITTEE FROM STEVEN ROSENSTONE AND STANLEY FELDMAN RE: DESIGN, IMPLEMENTATION, AND ANALYSIS OF THE ROLLING CROSS-SECTION AND EVENT MONITORING COMPONENTS OF THE 1984 NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY, DATED OCTOBER 19, 1983.
12. MEMO TO BOARD OF OVERSEERS AND 1984 NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY PLANNING COMMITTEE FROM STEVEN ROSENSTONE RE: STUDYING POLITICAL ACTIVATION ON THE ROLLING CROSS-SECTION, DATED OCTOBER 18, 1983.
13. MEMO TO 1984 NES PLANNING COMMITTEE AND NES BOARD FROM DONALD R. KINDER RE: MEASURING THE DEVELOPMENT OF CANDIDATE IMPRESSIONS, DATED OCTOBER 26, 1983.
14. MEMO TO DONALD KINDER, CHAIR, NES 1984 DESIGN COMMITTEE FROM RICHARD A. BRODY RE: PRESIDENTIAL PERFORMANCE RATINGS IN THE CONTINUOUS MONITORING DATA COLLECTION, DATED SEPTEMBER 12, 1983.
- 15A. MEMO TO DON KINDER, CHAIR, 1984 NES PLANNING COMMITTEE FROM MERRILL SHANKS RE: PERCEPTIONS OF STRENGTH FOR INDIVIDUAL CANDIDACIES: MEASUREMENT OBJECTIVES FOR ANALYSES OF "MOMENTUM" AND "STRATEGIC VOTING", DATED OCTOBER 26, 1983.
- 15B. VARIABLES ON PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES
16. MEMO TO NES BOARD OF OVERSEERS AND 1984 PLANNING COMMITTEE FROM STANLEY FELDMAN RE: VALUES AND THE ROLLING CROSS-SECTION, DATED OCTOBER 19, 1983.
17. MEMO TO 1984 NES PLANNING COMMITTEE AND BOARD FROM DONALD KINDER RE: MEASURING THE PUBLIC'S VIEW OF THE NATION'S CONDITION IN THE 1984 ROLLING CROSS-SECTION, DATED OCTOBER 25, 1983.

□

>> 1984 CODEBOOK INFORMATION

The following example from the 1948 NES study provides the standard format for codebook variable documentation.

Note that NES studies which are not part of the Time-Series usually omit marginals and the descriptive content in lines 2-5 (except for variable name).

Line

```

1  =====
2  VAR 480026   NAME-R NOT VT-WAS R REG TO VT
3              COLUMNS 61   - 61
4              NUMERIC
5              MD=0 OR GE 8
6
7              Q. 17.  (IF R DID NOT VOTE)  WERE YOU REGISTERED (ELIGIBLE)
8              TO VOTE.
9              .....
10
11             82      1.  YES
12             149     2.  NO
13
14             0       8.  DK
15             9       9.  NA
16             422     0.  INAP., R VOTED

```

Line 2 - VARIABLE NAME. Note that in the codebook the variable name (usually a 'number') does not include the "V" prefix which is used in the release SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files) for all variables including those which do not have 'number' names. For example the variable "VERSION" in the codebook is "VVERSION" in the data definition files.

Line 2 - "NAME". This is the variable label used in the SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files). Some codebooks exclude this.

Line 3 - COLUMNS. Columns in the ASCII data file (.dat file).

Line 4 - CHARACTER OR NUMERIC. If numeric and the variable is a decimal rather than integer variable, the number of decimal places is also indicated (e.g. "NUMERIC DEC 4")

Line 5 - Values which are assigned to missing by default in the Study's SAS and and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files).

Line 7 - Actual question text for survey variables or a description of non-survey variables (for example, congressional district). Survey items usually include the question number (for example "B1a.") from the Study questionnaire; beginning in 1996 non-survey items also have unique item numbers (for example "CSheet.1").

Line 9 - A dashed or dotted line usually separates question text from

int1984.txt

any other documentation which follows.

Line 10- When present, annotation provided by Study staff is presented below the question text/description and preceding code values.

Lines 11-16

Code values are listed with descriptive labels. Valid codes (those not having 'missing' status in line 5) are presented first, followed by the values described in line 5. For continuous variables, one line may appear providing the range of possible values. A blank line usually separates the 'valid' and 'missing' values.

Lines 11-16

Marginals are usually provided for discrete variables. The counts may be unweighted or weighted; check the Study codebook introductory text to determine weight usage.

□>> 1984 ICPSR PROCESSING INFORMATION

THE DATA COLLECTION WAS PROCESSED ACCORDING TO THE STANDARD ICPSR PROCESSING PROCEDURES. THE DATA WERE CHECKED FOR ILLEGAL OR INCONSISTENT CODE VALUES WHICH, WHEN FOUND, WERE RECODED TO OSIRIS MISSING DATA VALUES. SOME CONSISTENCY CHECKS WERE PERFORMED. STATEMENTS BRACKETED IN "<" AND ">" SIGNS IN THE BODY OF THE CODEBOOK WERE ADDED BY THE PROCESSORS FOR EXPLANATORY PURPOSES.

□

>> 1984 VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST

VARIABLE VARIABLE LABEL
----- -----

VERSION NES VERSION NUMBER
DSETNO NES DATASET NUMBER
840001 ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER
..... ...there are no variables 840002,840003
840004 CASE ID OF RESPONDENT

SAMPLING INFORMATION

840005 PRIMARY AREA CODE
840006 SEGMENT ID
840007 PRIMARY AREA NAMES
840008 STATE ALPHABETIC CODE
840009 CENSUS REGION
840010 ICPSR STATE CODE
840011 FIPS STATE CODE
840012 FIPS STATE AND COUNTY CODE
840013 ICPSR STATE/82 DISTRICT CODE
840014 ICPSR STATE/78 DISTRICT CODE
840015 FIPS 80 SMSA CENSUS CODE
840016 FIPS 80 SMSA CENSUS CODE

int1984.txt

840017 POPULATION SIZE: PLACE OF INTERVIEW LOCATION
840018 ACTUAL POPULATION OF INTERVIEW LOCATION
840019 BELT CODE
840020 1980 CENSUS TRACT
840021 CENSUS ENUMERATION, 1980
840022 MINOR CIVIL DIVISION
840023 CENSUS PLACE CODE
840024 SAMPLING ERROR CODE

PRE-ELECTION INFORMATION

840025 INTERVIEWER NUMBER
840026 CONTROL ID
840027 TARGET INTERVIEW PERIOD
840028 INTERVIEWER'S INTERVIEW #
840029 DATE OF INTERVIEW
840030 DATE COMPLETED-MONTH
840031 DATE COMPLETED-DAY
840032 DAYS PRIOR TO ELECTION THAT INTERVIEW HELD
840033 LENGTH OF INTERVIEW
840034 LENGTH OF POST-EDITING
840035 BEGINNING TIME-LOCAL
840036 BEGINNING TIME-ANN ARBOR
840037 SELECTION TABLE
840038 REFUSAL CONVERSION INDICATOR
840039 FINAL CALL NUMBER
840040 FINAL RESULT CODE
840041 PHONE NUMBER OBTAINED

840042 REASON SHOULD NOT CONDUCT POST INTERVIEW BY PHONE

POST-ELECTION INFORMATION

840043 RESPONDENT NUMBER
840044 INTERVIEWER NUMBER
840045 CONTROL ID
840046 IS R STILL LIVING AT ORIGINAL ADDRESS?
840047 INTERVIEWER'S INTERVIEW #
840048 DATE COMPLETED-MONTH
840049 DATE COMPLETED-DAY
840050 DAYS BETWEEN ELECTION AND POST-INTERVIEW
840051 LENGTH OF INTERVIEW
840052 LENGTH OF PRE-EDITING
840053 LENGTH OF POST-EDITING
840054 BEGINNING TIME-LOCAL
840055 BEGINNING TIME-ANN ARBOR
840056 REFUSAL CONVERSION INDICATOR
840057 FINAL CALL NUMBER
840058 FINAL RESULT CODE
840059 TYPE OF CONGRESSIONAL RACE
840060 TYPE OF SENATORIAL RACE
840061 WHETHER R TOOK PART IN BOTH PRE AND POST SURVEYS

SAMPLING INFORMATION-POST

840062 SAMPLE TO WHICH R ASSIGNED

840063 TYPE OF SAMPLE AND ADMINISTRATION
840064 INSTRUMENT ACTUALLY USED
840065 STATE OF INTERVIEW
840066 STATE AND CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT CODE
840067 STATE AND CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT CODE FOR THOSE WHO
VOTED OUTSIDE PRE-ELECTION DISTRICT

HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION

840068 NUMBER OF PERSONS IN HOUSEHOLD
840069 HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION
840070 NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE ADULTS
840071 NUMBER OF CHILDREN UNDER SIX YEARS OLD
840072 NUMBER OF CHILDREN SIX TO NINE YEARS OLD
840073 NUMBER OF CHILDREN TEN TO THIRTEEN YEARS OLD
840074 NUMBER OF CHILDREN FOURTEEN TO SEVENTEEN YEARS OLD

R'S INFORMATION ABOUT AND INTEREST IN CAMPAIGN

840075 R'S INTEREST IN THE CAMPAIGN
840076 R'S PREDICTION OF WINNER IN PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION
840077 DOES R THINK PRESIDENTIAL RACE WILL BE CLOSE
840078 WHICH PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE DOES R THINK WILL
CARRY R'S STATE
840079 DOES R THINK PRESIDENTIAL RACE WILL BE CLOSE IN R'S

STATE

840080 DOES R CARE WHICH PARTY WINS THE PRESIDENTIAL
ELECTION

REAGAN AS CANDIDATE

840081 WHETHER SOMETHING ABOUT REAGAN WOULD MAKE R VOTE
FOR HIM
840082 REASONS R MIGHT VOTE FOR REAGAN-FIRST MENTION
840083 REASONS R MIGHT VOTE FOR REAGAN-SECOND MENTION
840084 REASONS R MIGHT VOTE FOR REAGAN-THIRD MENTION
840085 REASONS R MIGHT VOTE FOR REAGAN-FOURTH MENTION
840086 REASONS R MIGHT VOTE FOR REAGAN-FIFTH MENTION
840087 WHETHER SOMETHING ABOUT REAGAN WOULD MAKE R VOTE
AGAINST HIM
840088 REASONS R MIGHT VOTE AGAINST REAGAN-FIRST MENTION
840089 REASONS R MIGHT VOTE AGAINST REAGAN-SECOND MENTION
840090 REASONS R MIGHT VOTE AGAINST REAGAN-THIRD MENTION
840091 REASONS R MIGHT VOTE AGAINST REAGAN-FOURTH MENTION
840092 REASONS R MIGHT VOTE AGAINST REAGAN-FIFTH MENTION

MONDALE AS CANDIDATE

840093 WHETHER SOMETHING ABOUT MONDALE MIGHT MAKE R
VOTE FOR HIM
840094 REASONS R MIGHT VOTE FOR MONDALE-FIRST MENTION
840095 REASONS R MIGHT VOTE FOR MONDALE-SECOND MENTION
840096 REASONS R MIGHT VOTE FOR MONDALE-THIRD MENTION
840097 REASONS R MIGHT VOTE FOR MONDALE-FOURTH MENTION

int1984.txt

840098 REASONS R MIGHT VOTE FOR MONDALE-FIFTH MENTION
840099 WHETHER SOMETHING ABOUT MONDALE MIGHT MAKE R VOTE
AGAINST HIM
840100 REASONS R MIGHT VOTE AGAINST MONDALE-FIRST MENTION
840101 REASONS R MIGHT VOTE AGAINST MONDALE-SECOND MENTION
840102 REASONS R MIGHT VOTE AGAINST MONDALE-THIRD MENTION
840103 REASONS R MIGHT VOTE AGAINST MONDALE-FOURTH MENTION
840104 REASONS R MIGHT VOTE AGAINST MONDALE-FIFTH MENTION

DOES R DISCUSS POLITICS

840105 DOES R DISCUSS POLITICS WITH FAMILY OR FRIENDS
840106 HOW OFTEN DOES R DISCUSS POLITICS PER WEEK
840107 HOW OFTEN DID R DISCUSS POLITICS IN PAST WEEK

THE MEDIA AS AN INFORMATION SOURCE

840108 HOW OFTEN DOES R WATCH NATIONAL NETWORK NEWS
840109 HOW MUCH ATTENTION DOES R GIVE TO GOVERNMENT AND
POLITICAL NEWS ON TV
840110 HOW OFTEN DID R WATCH NATIONAL NEWS IN PAST WEEK
840111 HOW MUCH ATTENTION DID R GIVE TO THE PRESIDENTIAL
CAMPAIGN NEWS ON TV

840112 HOW OFTEN DID R READ A DAILY NEWSPAPER IN PAST WEEK
840113 WHICH PAPER DID R READ-FIRST MENTION
840114 WHICH PAPER DID R READ-SECOND MENTION
840115 WHICH PAPER DID R READ-THIRD MENTION
840116 HOW MUCH ATTENTION DID R GIVE TO THE PRESIDENTIAL
CAMPAIGN NEWS IN THE NEWSPAPER
840117 DID R READ A WEEKLY NEWS MAGAZINE IN PAST WEEK
840118 HOW MUCH ATTENTION DID R GIVE TO THE PRESIDENTIAL
CAMPAIGN NEWS IN MAGAZINES

POSITIONS ON LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE

840119 DOES R CONSIDER SELF LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE/MODERATE
840120 HOW STRONGLY LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE DOES R CONSIDER SELF
840121 DOES R CONSIDER SELF MORE LIKE LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE
840122 SUMMARY: R'S LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE PLACEMENT
840123 DOES R CONSIDER REAGAN LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE/MODERATE
840124 HOW STRONGLY LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE DOES R CONSIDER
REAGAN
840125 DOES R CONSIDER REAGAN MORE LIKE LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE
840126 R'S LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE PLACEMENT-REAGAN
840127 DOES R CONSIDER MONDALE LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE/MODERATE
840128 HOW STRONGLY LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE DOES R CONSIDER
MONDALE
840129 DOES R CONSIDER MONDALE MORE LIKE LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE
840130 R'S LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE PLACEMENT-MONDALE
840131 DOES R CONSIDER DEMOCRATIC PARTY LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE/
MODERATE
840132 HOW STRONGLY LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE DOES R CONSIDER
THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
840133 DOES R CONSIDER DEMOCRATIC PARTY MORE LIBERAL/
CONSERVATIVE

int1984.txt

840134 R'S LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE PLACEMENT-DEMOCRATIC PARTY
840135 DOES R CONSIDER REPUBLICAN PARTY LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE/
MODERATE
840136 HOW STRONGLY LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE DOES R CONSIDER THE
REPUBLICAN PARTY
840137 DOES R CONSIDER REPUBLICAN PARTY MORE LIBERAL/
CONSERVATIVE
840138 R'S LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE PLACEMENT-REPUBLICAN PARTY

R'S PERSONAL FINANCIAL SITUATION

840139 DOES R FEEL BETTER/WORSE FINANCIALLY THAN A YEAR AGO
840140 HOW MUCH BETTER/WORSE OFF DOES R FEEL
840141 WHY DID CONDITION CHANGE/NOT CHANGE-FIRST MENTION
840142 HOW DID CONDITION CHANGE/NOT CHANGE-FIRST MENTION
840143 WHO INFLUENCED CHANGE/LACK OF CHANGE-FIRST MENTION
840144 WHY DID CONDITION CHANGE/NOT CHANGE-SECOND MENTION
840145 HOW DID CONDITION CHANGE/NOT CHANGE-SECOND MENTION
840146 WHO INFLUENCED CHANGE/LACK OF CHANGE-SECOND MENTION
840147 WHY DID CONDITION CHANGE/NOT CHANGE-THIRD MENTION
840148 HOW DID CONDITION CHANGE/NOT CHANGE-THIRD MENTION
840149 WHO INFLUENCED CHANGE/LACK OF CHANGE-THIRD MENTION

840150 CAUSAL RELATION OF MENTIONS FOR CHANGE/NO CHANGE
840151 WILL R BE BETTER/WORSE OFF FINANCIALLY A YEAR FROM NOW
840152 HAS R WATCHED BUDGET MORE CLOSELY THIS YEAR
840153 HOW MUCH MORE CLOSELY HAS R WATCHED BUDGET
840154 HAS R'S INCOME STAYED AT/ABOVE/BELOW THE COST OF
LIVING
840155 HOW MUCH HAS R'S INCOME RISEN ABOVE/FALLEN BEHIND THE
COST OF LIVING
840156 HAS R BEEN ABLE TO BUY THINGS NEEDED OR PLANNED
840157 HAS R HAD TO PUT OFF MEDICAL OR DENTAL TREATMENT DUE
TO MONEY PROBLEMS

840158 DID R BORROW MONEY IN PAST YEAR
840159 DID R BORROW MORE/LESS/THE SAME AMOUNT AS YR. BEFORE
840160 DID R BORROW MONEY THE YEAR BEFORE LAST
840161 DID R USE SAVINGS IN PAST YEAR
840162 DID R USE MORE/LESS/THE SAME AMOUNT OF SAVINGS AS THE
YEAR BEFORE
840163 DID R SAVE ANY MONEY OVER PAST YEAR
840164 DID R SAVE MORE/LESS/THE SAME AMOUNT AS THE YEAR
BEFORE
840165 DID R FALL BEHIND IN RENT OR HOUSE PAYMENTS LAST YEAR
840166 DID R WORK MORE HOURS OR LOOK FOR A NEW JOB TO MAKE
ENDS MEET IN PAST YEAR
840167 HAS FEDERAL ECONOMIC POLICY MADE A DIFFERENCE ON R'S
FINANCIAL POSITION
840168 HOW MUCH BETTER/WORSE HAS IT MADE R

R'S POSITION ON CLOSEST ECONOMIC GROUP

840169 DID R ASK FOR DEFINITION OF "GROUPS"
840170 WHEN IT COMES TO ECONOMIC MATTERS, WHAT
GROUP(S) DOES R FEEL CLOSE TO-FIRST MENTION
840171 WHEN IT COMES TO ECONOMIC MATTERS, WHAT
GROUP(S) DOES R FEEL CLOSE TO-SECOND MENTION

int1984.txt

840172 WHEN IT COMES TO ECONOMIC MATTERS, WHAT
GROUP(S) DOES R FEEL CLOSE TO-THIRD MENTION
840173 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: DID R NAME MORE THAN ONE GROUP
840174 WHEN IT COMES TO ECONOMIC MATTERS, WHAT SORTS
OF PEOPLE DOES R FEEL CLOSEST TO
840175 DOES R THINK THAT THE ECONOMIC CONDITION OF
GROUP HAS GOTTEN BETTER/WORSE/STAYED THE SAME IN
THE PAST YEAR
840176 HOW MUCH WORSE/BETTER DOES R THINK THE CONDITION IS
840177 WHY DID THE CONDITION CHANGE/NOT CHANGE-FIRST MENTION
840178 HOW DID THE CONDITION CHANGE/NOT CHANGE-FIRST MENTION
840179 WHO INFLUENCED CHANGE/LACK OF CHANGE-FIRST MENTION
840180 WHY DID CONDITION CHANGE/NOT CHANGE-SECOND MENTION
840181 HOW DID CONDITION CHANGE/NOT CHANGE-SECOND MENTION
840182 WHO INFLUENCED CHANGE/LACK OF CHANGE-SECOND MENTION
840183 WHY DID CONDITION CHANGE/NOT CHANGE-THIRD MENTION
840184 HOW DID CONDITION CHANGE/NOT CHANGE-THIRD MENTION
840185 WHO INFLUENCED CHANGE/LACK OF CHANGE-THIRD MENTION
840186 CAUSAL RELATION OF MENTIONS FOR CHANGE
840187 DOES R THINK THE INCOMES FROM <GROUP> HAVE RISEN ABOVE
OR FALLEN BEHIND THE COST OF LIVING

840188 HOW MUCH HAS <GROUP>'S INCOME GONE UP/FALLEN BEHIND
840189 DOES R THINK THAT FEDERAL ECONOMIC POLICY HAS MADE
ECONOMIC SITUATION BETTER/WORSE FOR <GROUP>
840190 HOW MUCH BETTER/WORSE OFF DOES R THINK <GROUP> IS
840191 DOES R THINK THAT <GROUP> HAVE HAD A HARDER/EASIER
TIME FINDING WORK IN THE PAST YEAR
840192 HOW MUCH HARDER/EASIER DOES R THINK FINDING WORK WAS
840193 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: DID R USE CHECK BOXES FOR
GROUP
840194 DID R MENTION WOMEN
840195 DID R MENTION BLACKS
840196 DID R MENTION THE ELDERLY (SENIOR CITIZENS)
840197 DID R MENTION THE POOR
840198 DID R MENTION THE MIDDLE CLASS

R'S OPINIONS ON ECONOMIC POSITION OF GROUPS

840199 IS ECONOMIC CONDITION OF WOMEN BETTER/WORSE
840200 IS ECONOMIC CONDITION OF BLACKS BETTER/WORSE
840201 IS ECONOMIC CONDITION OF ELDERLY BETTER/WORSE
840202 IS ECONOMIC CONDITION OF POOR BETTER/WORSE
840203 IS ECONOMIC CONDITION OF MIDDLE CLASS BETTER/WORSE

R'S OPINIONS ON EQUAL OPPORTUNITY

840204 SOCIETY SHOULD ASSURE EQUAL OPPORTUNITY
840205 PEOPLE SHOULD BLAME SELVES NOT SYSTEM FOR ECONOMIC
POSITION
840206 EQUAL RIGHTS HAVE BEEN PUSHED TOO FAR IN THIS COUNTRY
840207 HARD WORK DOESN'T GUARANTEE SUCCESS
840208 A PROBLEM IN THIS COUNTRY IS THAT WE DON'T GIVE
EVERYONE AN EQUAL CHANCE
840209 PEOPLE CAN GET WHAT THEY WANT IF THEY WORK HARD
840210 MEN ARE BETTER SUITED FOR IMPORTANT POSITIONS IN
SOCIETY

R'S POSITION ON DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY

840211 WHO DID R WANT TO WIN THE DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY

R'S FEELINGS ABOUT CANDIDATE: REAGAN

840212 WHETHER REAGAN MAKES R ANGRY
840213 WHETHER REAGAN MAKES R HOPEFUL
840214 WHETHER REAGAN MAKES R AFRAID OF HIM
840215 WHETHER REAGAN MAKES R PROUD
840216 WHETHER REAGAN MAKES R DISGUSTED
840217 WHETHER REAGAN MAKES R SYMPATHETIC TOWARDS HIM
840218 WHETHER REAGAN MAKES R UNEASY

R'S FEELINGS ABOUT CANDIDATE: MONDALE

840219 WHETHER MONDALE MAKES R ANGRY
840220 WHETHER MONDALE MAKES R HOPEFUL
840221 WHETHER MONDALE MAKES R AFRAID OF HIM
840222 WHETHER MONDALE MAKES R PROUD
840223 WHETHER MONDALE MAKES R DISGUSTED
840224 WHETHER MONDALE MAKES R SYMPATHETIC TOWARD HIM
840225 WHETHER MONDALE MAKES R UNEASY

R'S OPINIONS ON ECONOMIC CONDITION OF COUNTRY

840226 HOW DOES R FEEL THE COUNTRY IS DOING
840227 DOES R THINK THE NATION'S ECONOMY HAS GOTTEN BETTER/
WORSE/STAYED THE SAME IN THE PAST YEAR
840228 HOW MUCH BETTER/WORSE IS ECONOMY
840229 WHY DID THE CONDITION CHANGE/NOT CHANGE-FIRST MENTION
840230 HOW DID THE CONDITION CHANGE/NOT CHANGE-FIRST MENTION
840231 WHO INFLUENCED CHANGE/LACK OF CHANGE-FIRST MENTION
840232 WHY DID CONDITION CHANGE/NOT CHANGE-SECOND MENTION
840233 HOW DID CONDITION CHANGE/NOT CHANGE-SECOND MENTION
840234 WHO INFLUENCED CHANGE/LACK OF CHANGE-SECOND MENTION
840235 WHY DID CONDITION CHANGE/NOT CHANGE-THIRD MENTION
840236 HOW DID CONDITION CHANGE/NOT CHANGE-THIRD MENTION
840237 WHO INFLUENCED CHANGE/LACK OF CHANGE-THIRD MENTION
840238 CAUSAL RELATION OF MENTIONS FOR CHANGE
840239 DOES R THINK THAT IN GENERAL PEOPLE HAVE HAD A
HARDER/EASIER TIME FINDING WORK IN THE PAST YEAR
840240 DOES R THINK IT IS MUCH HARDER/EASIER FINDING WORK
840241 DOES R THINK THE COST OF THINGS HAS GONE UP/DOWN/
STAYED THE SAME IN THE PAST YEAR
840242 HOW MUCH HAVE THEY GONE UP/DOWN
840243 DOES R SEE THE ECONOMY GETTING BETTER/WORSE IN THE
NEXT TWELVE MONTHS
840244 DOES R THINK THE FEDERAL ECONOMIC POLICY HAS MADE THE
ECONOMY BETTER/WORSE/UNCHANGED
840245 HOW MUCH BETTER/WORSE DOES R THINK ECONOMY HAS BECOME

R'S POSITIONS ON FOREIGN RELATIONS

- 840246 DOES R THINK THE UNITED STATES' POSITION HAS GROWN STRONGER/WEAKER/STAYED THE SAME IN THE PAST YEAR
840247 HOW WORRIED IS R ABOUT THE U.S. GETTING INTO A CONVENTIONAL WAR
840248 HOW WORRIED IS R ABOUT THE U.S. GETTING INTO A NUCLEAR WAR
840249 DOES R THINK THAT REPUBLICANS/DEMOCRATS COULD BETTER KEEP THE U.S. OUT OF WAR IN THE NEXT FOUR YEARS

R'S POSITION ON WOMEN'S EQUALITY

- 840250 WOMEN'S ROLE SCALE: SHOULD WOMEN BE EQUAL TO MEN OR STAY IN THE HOME

R'S POSITION ON EQUAL OPPORTUNITY

- 840251 WE SHOULD WORRY LESS ABOUT EQUALITY
840252 PEOPLE WHO DO NOT DO WELL WORK AS HARD AS THOSE WHO DO
840253 THE COUNTRY WOULD HAVE FEWER PROBLEMS IF PEOPLE TREATED EQUALLY
840254 IF A PERSON WORKS HE/SHE WILL SUCCEED
840255 IT IS NOT A PROBLEM IF PEOPLE HAVE UNEQUAL CHANCES
840256 EVEN IF THEY WORK HARD PEOPLE OFTEN CANNOT REACH GOAL
840257 MEN ARE BETTER SUITED EMOTIONALLY FOR POLITICS

EVALUATION OF REAGAN'S PERFORMANCE

- 840258 R APPROVES/DISAPPROVES OF REAGAN'S HANDLING OF HIS JOB AS PRESIDENT
840259 HOW STRONGLY DOES R APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF REAGAN'S HANDLING OF HIS JOB
840260 R APPROVES/DISAPPROVES OF REAGAN'S HANDLING OF ECONOMY
840261 HOW STRONGLY DOES R APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF REAGAN'S HANDLING OF ECONOMY
840262 R APPROVES/DISAPPROVES OF REAGAN'S HANDLING OF BALANCING THE BUDGET
840263 HOW STRONGLY DOES R APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF REAGAN'S HANDLING OF BALANCING THE BUDGET
840264 R APPROVES/DISAPPROVES OF REAGAN'S HANDLING OF FOREIGN RELATIONS
840265 HOW STRONGLY DOES R APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF REAGAN'S HANDLING OF FOREIGN RELATIONS

WHAT R LIKES/DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY

- 840266 WHETHER R LIKES ANYTHING ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
840267 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY-FIRST MENTION
840268 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY-SECOND MENTION
840269 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY-THIRD MENTION
840270 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY-FOURTH MENTION

int1984.txt

840271 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY-FIFTH MENTION
840272 WHETHER R DISLIKES ANYTHING ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC
PARTY
840273 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY-FIRST
MENTION
840274 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY-SECOND
MENTION
840275 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY-THIRD
MENTION
840276 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY-FOURTH
MENTION
840277 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY-FIFTH
MENTION

WHAT R LIKES/DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY

840278 WHETHER R LIKES ANYTHING ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY
840279 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-FIRST MENTION
840280 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-SECOND
MENTION
840281 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-THIRD MENTION
840282 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-FOURTH
MENTION
840283 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-FIFTH MENTION
840284 WHETHER R DISLIKES ANYTHING ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN
PARTY
840285 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-FIRST
MENTION
840286 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-SECOND
MENTION
840287 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-THIRD
MENTION
840288 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-FOURTH
MENTION
840289 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-FIFTH
MENTION

FEELING THERMOMETER - POLITICAL FIGURES

840290 FEELING THERMOMETER-RONALD REAGAN
840291 FEELING THERMOMETER-HOWARD BAKER
840292 FEELING THERMOMETER-GEORGE BUSH
840293 FEELING THERMOMETER-JIMMY CARTER
840294 FEELING THERMOMETER-ROBERT DOLE
840295 FEELING THERMOMETER-GERALD FORD
840296 FEELING THERMOMETER-JOHN GLENN
840297 FEELING THERMOMETER-GARY HART
840298 FEELING THERMOMETER-JESSE JACKSON
840299 FEELING THERMOMETER-TED KENNEDY
840300 FEELING THERMOMETER-GEORGE MCGOVERN
840301 FEELING THERMOMETER-WALTER MONDALE
840302 FEELING THERMOMETER-RICHARD NIXON
840303 FEELING THERMOMETER-TIP O'NEILL
840304 FEELING THERMOMETER-GERALDINE FERRARO
840305 FEELING THERMOMETER-DEMOCRATIC PARTY
840306 FEELING THERMOMETER-REPUBLICAN PARTY
840307 FEELING THERMOMETER-POLITICAL INDEPENDENTS

840308 FEELING THERMOMETER-POLITICAL PARTIES

R'S ATTITUDES TOWARDS POLITICAL PROCESS

840309 HOW MUCH DOES HAVING ELECTIONS FORCE THE GOVERNMENT
TO PAY ATTENTION TO WHAT THE PEOPLE THINK

840310 HOW MUCH DOES THE GOVERNMENT PAY ATTENTION TO THE
PEOPLE WHEN MAKING DECISIONS

R'S ATTITUDES ON POLITICAL PROCESS (AGREE/DISAGREE)

840311 A PERSON SHOULD NOT VOTE IF HE/SHE DOESN'T CARE ABOUT
OUTCOME OF ELECTION

840312 PEOPLE LIKE ME HAVE NO SAY IN GOVERNMENT

840313 PUBLIC OFFICIALS DON'T CARE ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE ME

840314 POLITICS AND GOVERNMENT ARE TOO COMPLICATED FOR ME TO
UNDERSTAND

R'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION

840315 R'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION

840316 STRENGTH OF R'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION

840317 R CLOSER TO REPUBLICAN/DEMOCRATIC PARTY

840318 SUMMARY: R'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION

QUALITIES DESCRIBING CANDIDATE - REAGAN

840319 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "HARD-WORKING" DESCRIBES
RONALD REAGAN

840320 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "DECENT" DESCRIBES
RONALD REAGAN

840321 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "COMPASSIONATE"
DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN

840322 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "COMMANDS RESPECT"
DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN

840323 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "INTELLIGENT" DESCRIBES
RONALD REAGAN

840324 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "MORAL" DESCRIBES
RONALD REAGAN

840325 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "KIND" DESCRIBES
RONALD REAGAN

840326 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "INSPIRING" DESCRIBES
RONALD REAGAN

840327 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "KNOWLEDGEABLE"
DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN

840328 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "SETS A GOOD
EXAMPLE" DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN

840329 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "REALLY CARES
ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU" DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN

840330 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "PROVIDES STRONG
LEADERSHIP" DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN

840331 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "UNDERSTANDS PEOPLE
LIKE YOU" DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN

840332 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "FAIR" DESCRIBES RONALD
REAGAN

int1984.txt

840333 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "IN TOUCH WITH
ORDINARY PEOPLE" DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN
840334 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "RELIGIOUS" DESCRIBES
RONALD REAGAN

QUALITIES DESCRIBING CANDIDATE - MONDALE

840335 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "HARD-WORKING" DESCRIBES
WALTER MONDALE
840336 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "DECENT" DESCRIBES
WALTER MONDALE
840337 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "COMPASSIONATE"
DESCRIBES WALTER MONDALE
840338 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "COMMANDS RESPECT"
DESCRIBES WALTER MONDALE
840339 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "INTELLIGENT" DESCRIBES
WALTER MONDALE
840340 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "MORAL" DESCRIBES
WALTER MONDALE
840341 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "KIND" DESCRIBES
WALTER MONDALE
840342 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "INSPIRING" DESCRIBES
WALTER MONDALE
840343 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "KNOWLEDGEABLE"
DESCRIBES WALTER MONDALE
840344 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "SETS A GOOD EXAMPLE"
DESCRIBES WALTER MONDALE
840345 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "REALLY CARES ABOUT
PEOPLE LIKE YOU" DESCRIBES WALTER MONDALE
840346 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "PROVIDES STRONG
LEADERSHIP" DESCRIBES WALTER MONDALE
840347 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "UNDERSTANDS PEOPLE
LIKE YOU" DESCRIBES WALTER MONDALE
840348 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "FAIR" DESCRIBES
WALTER MONDALE
840349 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "IN TOUCH WITH
ORDINARY PEOPLE" DESCRIBES WALTER MONDALE
840350 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "RELIGIOUS" DESCRIBES
WALTER MONDALE

QUALITIES DESCRIBING CANDIDATE - BUSH

840351 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "HARD-WORKING" DESCRIBES
GEORGE BUSH
840352 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "COMPASSIONATE"
DESCRIBES GEORGE BUSH
840353 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "MORAL" DESCRIBES
GEORGE BUSH
840354 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "INSPIRING" DESCRIBES
GEORGE BUSH
840355 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "KNOWLEDGEABLE"
DESCRIBES GEORGE BUSH
840356 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "SETS A GOOD
EXAMPLE" DESCRIBES GEORGE BUSH
840357 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "REALLY CARES
ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU" DESCRIBES GEORGE BUSH
840358 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "PROVIDES STRONG

int1984.txt

LEADERSHIP" DESCRIBES GEORGE BUSH
840359 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "IN TOUCH WITH
ORDINARY PEOPLE" DESCRIBES GEORGE BUSH

QUALITIES DESCRIBING CANDIDATE - FERRARO

840360 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "HARD-WORKING" DESCRIBES
GERALDINE FERRARO
840361 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "COMPASSIONATE"
DESCRIBES GERALDINE FERRARO
840362 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "MORAL" DESCRIBES
GERALDINE FERRARO
840363 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "INSPIRING" DESCRIBES
GERALDINE FERRARO
840364 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "KNOWLEDGEABLE"
DESCRIBES GERALDINE FERRARO
840365 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "SETS A GOOD
EXAMPLE" DESCRIBES GERALDINE FERRARO
840366 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "REALLY CARES
ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU" DESCRIBES GERALDINE FERRARO
840367 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "PROVIDES STRONG
LEADERSHIP" DESCRIBES GERALDINE FERRARO
840368 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "IN TOUCH WITH
ORDINARY PEOPLE" DESCRIBES GERALDINE FERRARO

POSITIONS ON LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE

840369 LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE-R
840370 IF R HAD TO CHOOSE, WOULD R CONSIDER SELF A LIBERAL/
CONSERVATIVE
840371 LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE-REAGAN
840372 LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE-MONDALE
840373 LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE-DEMOCRATIC PARTY
840374 LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE-REPUBLICAN PARTY

POSITIONS ON LESS/MORE GOVT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE

840375 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE - R
840376 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE - REAGAN
840377 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE - MONDALE
840378 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE - DEMOCRATIC
PARTY
840379 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE - REPUBLICAN
PARTY
840380 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE - FEDERAL
GOVERNMENT
840381 HOW IMPORTANT IS GOVERNMENT POSITION TO R:
FEDERAL SPENDING/SERVICES

POSITIONS ON MINORITY AID/NO AID SCALE

840382 MINORITY AID/NO AID SCALE-R
840383 MINORITY AID/NO AID SCALE-REAGAN
840384 MINORITY AID/NO AID SCALE-MONDALE

int1984.txt

840385 MINORITY AID/NO AID SCALE-DEMOCRATIC PARTY
840386 MINORITY AID/NO AID SCALE-REPUBLICAN PARTY
840387 MINORITY AID/NO AID SCALE-FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

POSITIONS ON INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE

840388 INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE-R
840389 INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE-REAGAN
840390 INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE-MONDALE
840391 INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE-DEMOCRATIC PARTY
840392 INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE-REPUBLICAN PARTY
840393 INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE-FEDERAL
GOVERNMENT
840394 HOW IMPORTANT IS GOVERNMENT POSITION TO R:
INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA

POSITIONS ON MORE/LESS DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE

840395 DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE-R
840396 DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE-REAGAN
840397 DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE-MONDALE
840398 DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE-DEMOCRATIC PARTY
840399 DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE-REPUBLICAN PARTY
840400 DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE-FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

POSITION ON IMPROVING SOC/ECON STATUS OF WOMEN SCALE

840401 SOCIAL/ECONOMIC STATUS OF WOMEN SCALE-R
840402 SOCIAL/ECONOMIC STATUS OF WOMEN SCALE-REAGAN
840403 SOCIAL/ECONOMIC STATUS OF WOMEN SCALE-MONDALE
840404 SOCIAL/ECONOMIC STATUS OF WOMEN SCALE-DEMOCRATIC PARTY
840405 SOCIAL/ECONOMIC STATUS OF WOMEN SCALE-REPUBLICAN PARTY
840406 SOCIAL/ECONOMIC STATUS OF WOMEN SCALE-FEDERAL GOVT
840407 HOW IMPORTANT IS GOVERNMENT POSITION TO R:
SOCIAL/ECONOMIC STATUS OF WOMEN

POSITION ON COOPERATION WITH RUSSIA SCALE

840408 COOPERATION WITH RUSSIA SCALE-R
840409 COOPERATION WITH RUSSIA SCALE-REAGAN
840410 COOPERATION WITH RUSSIA SCALE-MONDALE
840411 COOPERATION WITH RUSSIA SCALE-DEMOCRATIC PARTY
840412 COOPERATION WITH RUSSIA SCALE-REPUBLICAN PARTY
840413 COOPERATION WITH RUSSIA SCALE-FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

POSITION ON GOVT GUARANTEED LIVING/JOB SCALE

840414 GUARANTEED STDRD OF LIVING/JOB SCALE-R
840415 GUARANTEED STDRD OF LIVING/JOB SCALE-REAGAN
840416 GUARANTEED STDRD OF LIVING/JOB SCALE-MONDALE
840417 GUARANTEED STDRD OF LIVING/JOB SCALE-DEMOCRATIC PARTY
840418 GUARANTEED STDRD OF LIVING/JOB SCALE-REPUBLICAN PARTY
840419 GUARANTEED STDRD OF LIVING/JOB SCALE-FEDERAL
GOVERNMENT

int1984.txt

840420 HOW IMPORTANT IS GOVERNMENT POSITION TO R:
GOVERNMENT GUARANTEED STANDARD OF LIVING/JOB

R AS POLITICAL INDEPENDENT

840421 DOES R SEE SELF AS POLITICAL INDEPENDENT
840422 HOW STRONGLY INDEPENDENT DOES R SEE SELF

R'S POSITION ON ABORTION

840423 R'S POSITION ON ABORTION

R'S OPINION ON PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION

840424 DOES R EXPECT TO VOTE IN NOVEMBER
840425 WHO WILL R VOTE FOR IN ELECTION FOR PRESIDENT
840426 HOW STRONG IS R'S PREFERENCE FOR PRESIDENTIAL
CANDIDATE

PERSONAL INFORMATION ABOUT R

840427 R'S DATE OF BIRTH-MONTH
840428 R'S DATE OF BIRTH-YEAR
840429 R'S RECODED AGE
840430 R'S MARITAL STATUS

R'S EDUCATION

840431 HIGHEST GRADE OF SCHOOL COMPLETED BY R
840432 R'S EDITED EDUCATION
840433 DOES R HAVE A HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA
840434 HAS R HAD OTHER SCHOOLING
840435 DOES R HAVE A COLLEGE DEGREE
840436 R'S HIGHEST COLLEGE DEGREE
840437 WHICH COLLEGE DID R ATTEND
840438 SUMMARY: R'S EDUCATION

840439 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT:R'S RELATION TO HEAD
EDUCATION OF HEAD OF FAMILY

840440 HIGHEST GRADE OF SCHOOL COMPLETED BY HEAD OF FAMILY
840441 HEAD OF FAMILY'S EDITED EDUCATION
840442 DOES HEAD OF FAMILY HAVE HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA
840443 HAS HEAD OF FAMILY HAD OTHER SCHOOLING
840444 DOES HEAD OF FAMILY HAVE A COLLEGE DEGREE
840445 HEAD OF FAMILY'S HIGHEST COLLEGE DEGREE
840446 WHICH COLLEGE DID HEAD OF FAMILY ATTEND
840447 SUMMARY: HEAD OF FAMILY'S EDUCATION

EDUCATION OF R'S SPOUSE

int1984.txt

840448 HIGHEST GRADE OF SCHOOL COMPLETED BY SPOUSE
840449 SPOUSE'S EDITED EDUCATION
840450 DOES SPOUSE HAVE HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA
840451 HAS SPOUSE HAD OTHER SCHOOLING
840451 DOES SPOUSE HAVE A COLLEGE DEGREE
840453 SPOUSE'S HIGHEST COLLEGE DEGREE
840454 WHICH COLLEGE DID SPOUSE ATTEND
840455 SUMMARY: SPOUSE'S EDUCATION

R'S OCCUPATION SECTION

840456 R'S PRESENT EMPLOYMENT STATUS
840457 SUMMARY: R'S WORKING STATUS
840458 HAS R EVER WORKED FOR PAY-R UNEMPLOYED
840459 HAS R EVER WORKED FOR PAY-R DISABLED
840460 IS R (HOUSEWIFE/STUDENT) WORKING NOW
840461 HAS R (HOUSEWIFE/STUDENT) WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MOS

OCCUPATION - R WORKING/TEMPORARILY LAID OFF

840462 R'S PRESENT OCCUPATION - CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
840463 R'S PRESENT OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1980 OCCUPATION
CODE
840464 R'S PRESENT OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1970 OCCUPATION COD
840465 R'S PRESENT OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
840466 IS R SELF-EMPLOYED
840467 DOES R SUPERVISE WORKERS/EMPLOYEES
840468 R EMPLOYED BY GOVT, BUS, OR NON-PROFIT AGENCY
840469 IS R'S EMPLOYER'S BUSINESS WITH THE GOVERNMENT
840470 IS R'S EMPLOYER'S BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL OR STATE
OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT
840471 NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK R WORKS
840472 IS R SATISFIED WITH NUMBER OF HOURS WORKED
840473 HOW WORRIED ABOUT JOB SECURITY IS R
840474 WAS R OUT OF WORK WITHIN THE LAST SIX MONTHS
840475 HAS R HAD TO TAKE A PAY CUT/REDUCE HOURS WITHIN THE
LAST SIX MONTHS

OCCUPATION - R UNEMPLOYED

840476 R'S LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
840477 R'S LAST OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1980 OCCUPATION CODE
840478 R'S LAST OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1970 OCCUPATION CODE
840479 R'S LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
840480 ON R'S LAST REGULAR JOB, WAS R SELF-EMPLOYED
840481 DID R SUPERVISE WORKERS/EMPLOYEES AS A PART OF LAST
JOB
840482 R EMPLOYED BY GOVT,BUS,OR NON-PROFIT AGENCY
840483 WAS R'S EMPLOYER'S BUSINESS WITH THE GOVERNMENT
840484 WAS R'S EMPLOYER'S BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL OR STATE
OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT
840485 DID R WORK WITHIN THE LAST SIX MONTHS
840486 NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK R WORKED
840487 IS R LOOKING FOR WORK AT THE PRESENT TIME
840488 HOW WORRIED IS R ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO FIND A JOB

OCCUPATION - R RETIRED OR DISABLED

840489 WHEN DID R RETIRE
840490 R'S LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
840491 R'S LAST OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1980 OCCUPATION CODE
840492 R'S LAST OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1970 OCCUPATION CODE
840493 R'S LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
840494 WAS R SELF-EMPLOYED LAST JOB
840495 DID R SUPERVISE ANY WORKERS/EMPLOYEES AT LAST JOB
840496 R EMPLOYED BY GOVT, BUS, OR NON-PROFIT AGENCY
840497 WAS R'S EMPLOYER'S BUSINESS WITH THE GOVERNMENT
840498 WAS R'S EMPLOYER'S BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL OR STATE
OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT
840499 DID R DO ANY WORK FOR PAY WITHIN THE PAST SIX MONTHS
840500 NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK R WORKED WHEN R WAS WORKING
840501 IS R LOOKING FOR WORK AT PRESENT TIME
840502 HOW WORRIED IS R ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO FIND A JOB

OCCUPATION - R HOUSEWIFE OR STUDENT

840503 R'S LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
840504 R'S LAST OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1980 OCCUPATION CODE
840505 R'S LAST OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1970 OCCUPATION CODE
840506 R'S LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
840507 WAS R SELF-EMPLOYED LAST JOB
840508 DID R SUPERVISE ANY WORKERS/EMPLOYEES AT LAST JOB
840509 R EMPLOYED BY GOVT, BUS, OR NON-PROFIT AGENCY
840510 WAS R'S EMPLOYER'S BUSINESS WITH THE GOVERNMENT
840511 WAS R'S EMPLOYER'S BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL OR STATE
OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT
840512 NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK R WORKED WHEN R WAS WORKING
840513 IS R LOOKING FOR WORK AT PRESENT TIME
840514 HOW WORRIED IS R ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO FIND A JOB

R'S OCCUPATIONAL DATA--STACKED

840515 R'S PRESENT/LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
840516 R'S PRESENT/LAST OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1980 CODE
840517 R'S PRESENT/LAST OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1970 CODE
840518 R'S PRESENT/LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
840519 R SELF-EMPLOYED
840520 DOES R SUPERVISE WORKERS
840521 EMPLOYED BY GOVT/BUSINESS/NON-PROFIT AGENCY
840522 EMPLOYER'S BUSINESS WITH GOVERNMENT
840523 BUSINESS WITH FED/STATE/LOCAL GOVT
840524 NUMBER OF HOURS WORKED PER WEEK
840525 WORRIES ABOUT JOB SECURITY
840526 HAS R HAD A JOB IN THE PAST SIX MONTHS

840527 (IF UNEMPLOYED) IS R PRESENTLY LOOKING FOR WORK
840528 (IF NOT WORKING NOW) HAS R EVER WORKED FOR PAY

840529 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT - DOES R HAVE SPOUSE

HEAD OF FAMILY'S OCCUPATION SECTION

840530 HEAD OF FAMILY: EMPLOYMENT STATUS
840531 SUMMARY: HEAD OF FAMILY'S WORKING STATUS
840532 HAS HEAD OF FAMILY EVER WORKED FOR PAY-HEAD UNEMPLOYED
840533 HAS HEAD OF FAMILY EVER WORKED FOR PAY-HEAD DISABLED
840534 IS HEAD (HOUSEWIFE/STUDENT) WORKING FOR PAY
840535 HAS HEAD (HSWF/STD) WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MONTHS

OCCUPATION-HEAD OF FAMILY WORKING/TEMPORARILY LAID OFF

840536 HEAD:PRESENT OCCUPATION-CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
840537 HEAD:PRESENT OCCUPATION-COLLAPSED 1980 OCCUPATION CODE
840538 HEAD:PRESENT OCCUPATION-COLLAPSED 1970 OCCUPATION CODE
840539 HEAD:PRESENT OCCUPATION-CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
840540 IS HEAD OF FAMILY SELF-EMPLOYED
840541 DOES HEAD OF FAMILY SUPERVISE WORKERS/EMPLOYEES
840542 HEAD EMPLOYED BY GOVT, BUS, OR NON-PROFIT AGENCY
840543 IS HEAD'S EMPLOYER'S BUSINESS WITH THE GOVERNMENT
840544 IS HEAD'S EMPLOYER'S BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL OR
STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT
840545 NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK HEAD OF FAMILY WORKS
840546 IS HEAD SATISFIED WITH NUMBER OF HOURS WORKED
840547 HOW WORRIED ABOUT JOB SECURITY IS HEAD OF FAMILY
840548 WAS HEAD OUT OF WORK WITHIN THE LAST SIX MONTHS
840549 HAS HEAD HAD TO TAKE A PAY CUT/REDUCE HOURS WITHIN THE
LAST SIX MONTHS

OCCUPATION - HEAD OF FAMILY UNEMPLOYED

840550 HEAD:LAST OCCUPATION-CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
840551 HEAD:LAST OCCUPATION-COLLAPSED 1980 OCCUPATION CODE
840552 HEAD:LAST OCCUPATION-COLLAPSED 1970 OCCUPATION CODE
840553 HEAD:LAST OCCUPATION-CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
840554 ON LAST REGULAR JOB WAS HEAD OF FAMILY SELF-EMPLOYED
840555 DID HEAD OF FAMILY SUPERVISE WORKERS/EMPLOYEES
840556 WAS HEAD EMPLOYED BY GOVT, BUS, OR NON-PROFIT AGENCY
840557 WAS HEAD'S EMPLOYER'S BUSINESS WITH THE GOVERNMENT
840558 WAS HEAD'S EMPLOYER'S BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL OR
STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT
840559 WAS HEAD OF FAMILY EMPLOYED IN LAST SIX MONTHS
840560 NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK HEAD OF FAMILY WORKED
840561 IS HEAD OF FAMILY LOOKING FOR WORK AT PRESENT TIME
840562 HOW WORRIED IS HEAD ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO FIND A JOB

OCCUPATION - HEAD OF FAMILY RETIRED OR DISABLED

840563 WHEN DID HEAD OF FAMILY RETIRE
840564 HEAD:LAST OCCUPATION-CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
840565 HEAD:LAST OCCUPATION-COLLAPSED 1980 OCCUPATION CODE
840566 HEAD:LAST OCCUPATION-COLLAPSED 1970 OCCUPATION CODE
840567 HEAD:LAST OCCUPATION-CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
840568 ON LAST REGULAR JOB WAS HEAD OF FAMILY SELF-EMPLOYED
840569 DID HEAD OF FAMILY SUPERVISE WORKERS/EMPLOYEES
840570 WAS HEAD EMPLOYED BY GOVT, BUS, OR NON-PROFIT AGENCY

int1984.txt

840571 WAS HEAD'S EMPLOYER'S BUSINESS WITH THE GOVERNMENT
840572 WAS HEAD'S EMPLOYER'S BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL OR
STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT
840573 WAS HEAD OF FAMILY EMPLOYED IN LAST SIX MONTHS
840574 NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK HEAD OF FAMILY WORKED
840575 IS HEAD OF FAMILY LOOKING FOR WORK AT PRESENT TIME
840576 HOW WORRIED IS HEAD ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO FIND A JOB

OCCUPATION - HEAD OF FAMILY HOUSEWIFE OR STUDENT

840577 HEAD:LAST OCCUPATION-CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
840578 HEAD:LAST OCCUPATION-COLLAPSED 1980 OCCUPATION CODE
840579 HEAD:LAST OCCUPATION-COLLAPSED 1970 OCCUPATION CODE
840580 HEAD:LAST OCCUPATION-CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
840581 ON LAST REGULAR JOB WAS HEAD OF FAMILY SELF-EMPLOYED
840582 DID HEAD OF FAMILY SUPERVISE WORKERS/EMPLOYEES
840583 WAS HEAD EMPLOYED BY GOVT, BUS, OR NON-PROFIT AGENCY
840584 WAS HEAD'S EMPLOYER'S BUSINESS WITH THE GOVERNMENT
840585 WAS HEAD'S EMPLOYER'S BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL OR
STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT
840586 NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK HEAD OF FAMILY WORKED
840587 IS HEAD OF FAMILY LOOKING FOR WORK AT PRESENT TIME
840588 HOW WORRIED IS HEAD ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO FIND A JOB

HEAD'S OCCUPATIONAL DATA--STACKED

840589 HEAD'S PRESENT/LAST OCCUPATION-CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
840590 HEAD'S PRESENT/LAST OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1980 CODE
840591 HEAD'S PRESENT/LAST OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1970 CODE
840592 HEAD'S PRESENT/LAST OCCUPATION -CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
840593 HEAD-IS/WAS SELF-EMPLOYED
840594 HEAD-DOES/DID HE/SHE SUPERVISE WORKERS/EMPLOYEES
840595 HEAD-EMPLOYED BY GOVT/BUSINESS/NON-PROFIT AGENCY
840596 HEAD-EMPLOYER'S BUSINESS WITH GOVERNMENT
840597 HEAD/EMPLOYER'S BUSINESS WITH FED/STATE-LOCAL GOVT
840598 HEAD-NUMBER OF HOURS WORKED PER WEEK
840599 HEAD-WORRIES ABOUT JOB SECURITY
840600 HEAD-HAS HE/SHE HAD A JOB IN PAST SIX MONTHS
840601 HEAD-IS HE/SHE PRESENTLY LOOKING FOR WORK
840602 (IF NOT WORKING NOW) HAS HE/SHE EVER WORKED FOR PAY

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION SECTION

840603 SPOUSE: EMPLOYMENT STATUS
840604 SUMMARY: SPOUSE'S WORKING STATUS
840605 HAS SPOUSE EVER WORKED FOR PAY-SPOUSE UNEMPLOYED
840606 HAS SPOUSE EVER WORKED FOR PAY-SPOUSE DISABLED
840607 IS SPOUSE (HOUSEWIFE/STUDENT) WORKING FOR PAY
840608 HAS SPOUSE (HSWF/STD) WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MONTHS

OCCUPATION - SPOUSE WORKING/TEMPORARILY LAID OFF

840609 SPOUSE:PRESENT OCCUPATION - CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
840610 SPOUSE:PRESENT OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1980 OCCUPATION

CODE

840611 SPOUSE:PRESENT OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1970 OCCUPATION
CODE
840612 SPOUSE:PRESENT OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
840613 IS SPOUSE SELF-EMPLOYED
840614 DOES SPOUSE SUPERVISE WORKERS/EMPLOYEES
840615 SPOUSE EMPLOYED BY GOVT, BUS, OR NON-PROFIT AGENCY
840616 IS SPOUSE'S EMPLOYER'S BUSINESS WITH THE GOVERNMENT
840617 IS SPOUSE'S EMPLOYER'S BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL OR
STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT
840618 NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK SPOUSE WORKS
840619 IS SPOUSE SATISFIED WITH NUMBER OF HOURS WORKED
840620 HOW WORRIED ABOUT JOB SECURITY IS SPOUSE
840621 WAS SPOUSE OUT OF WORK WITHIN THE LAST SIX MONTHS
840622 HAS SPOUSE HAD TO TAKE A PAY CUT/REDUCE HOURS WITHIN
THE LAST SIX MONTHS

OCCUPATION - SPOUSE UNEMPLOYED

840623 SPOUSE:LAST OCCUPATION-CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
840624 SPOUSE:LAST OCCUPATION-COLLAPSED 1980 OCCUPATION CODE
840625 SPOUSE:LAST OCCUPATION-COLLAPSED 1970 OCCUPATION CODE
840626 SPOUSE:LAST OCCUPATION-CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
840627 ON LAST REGULAR JOB WAS SPOUSE SELF-EMPLOYED
840628 DID SPOUSE SUPERVISE WORKERS/EMPLOYEES
840629 WAS SPOUSE EMPLOYED BY GOVT, BUS, OR NON-PROFIT AGENCY
840630 WAS SPOUSE'S EMPLOYER'S BUSINESS WITH THE GOVERNMENT
840631 WAS SPOUSE'S EMPLOYER'S BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL OR
STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT
840632 WAS SPOUSE EMPLOYED IN LAST SIX MONTHS
840633 NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK SPOUSE WORKED
840634 IS SPOUSE LOOKING FOR WORK AT PRESENT TIME
840635 HOW WORRIED IS SPOUSE ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO FIND JOB

OCCUPATION - SPOUSE RETIRED OR DISABLED

840636 WHEN DID SPOUSE RETIRE
840637 SPOUSE:LAST OCCUPATION-CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
840638 SPOUSE:LAST OCCUPATION-COLLAPSED 1980 OCCUPATION CODE
840639 SPOUSE:LAST OCCUPATION-COLLAPSED 1970 OCCUPATION CODE
840640 SPOUSE:LAST OCCUPATION-CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
840641 ON LAST REGULAR JOB WAS SPOUSE SELF-EMPLOYED
840642 DID SPOUSE SUPERVISE WORKERS/EMPLOYEES
840643 WAS SPOUSE EMPLOYED BY GOVT, BUS, OR NON-PROFIT AGENCY
840644 WAS SPOUSE'S EMPLOYER'S BUSINESS WITH THE GOVERNMENT
840645 WAS SPOUSE'S EMPLOYER'S BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL OR
STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT
840646 WAS SPOUSE EMPLOYED IN LAST SIX MONTHS
840647 NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK SPOUSE WORKED
840648 IS SPOUSE LOOKING FOR WORK AT PRESENT TIME
840649 HOW WORRIED IS SPOUSE ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO FIND JOB

OCCUPATION - SPOUSE HOUSEWIFE OR STUDENT

840650 SPOUSE:LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

int1984.txt

840651 SPOUSE:LAST OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1980 OCCUPATION
CODE
840652 SPOUSE:LAST OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1970 OCCUPATION
CODE
840653 SPOUSE:LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
840654 ON LAST REGULAR JOB WAS SPOUSE SELF-EMPLOYED
840655 DID SPOUSE SUPERVISE WORKERS/EMPLOYEES
840656 WAS SPOUSE EMPLOYED BY GOVT, BUS, OR NON-PROFIT AGENCY
840657 WAS SPOUSE'S EMPLOYER'S BUSINESS WITH THE GOVERNMENT
840658 WAS SPOUSE'S EMPLOYER'S BUSINESS WITH THE FEDERAL OR
STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT
840659 NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK SPOUSE WORKED
840660 IS SPOUSE LOOKING FOR WORK AT PRESENT TIME
840661 HOW WORRIED IS SPOUSE ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO FIND JOB

SPOUSE OF R OCCUPATIONAL DATA--STACKED

840662 SPOUSE OF R -PRESENT/LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS
OCCUPATION CODE
840663 SPOUSE OF R -PRESENT/LAST OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1980
CODE
840664 SPOUSE OF R -PRESENT/LAST OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1970
CODE
840665 SPOUSE OF R -PRESENT/LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS
INDUSTRY CODE
840666 IS/WAS SPOUSE OF R SELF-EMPLOYED
840667 DOES SPOUSE OF R SUPERVISE WORKERS
840668 SPOUSE OF R-EMPLOYED BY GOVT/BUSINESS/NON-PROFIT
AGENCY
840669 SPOUSE OF R-EMPLOYER'S BUSINESS WITH GOVERNMENT
840670 SPOUSE OF R-BUSINESS WITH FED/STATE-LOCAL GOVT
840671 SPOUSE OF R-NUMBER OF HOURS WORKED PER WEEK
840672 SPOUSE OF R-WORRIED ABOUT JOB SECURITY
840673 DID SPOUSE OF R WORK FOR PAY DURING PAST SIX MONTHS
840674 IS SPOUSE OF R LOOKING FOR WORK AT THE PRESENT TIME
840675 (IF UNEMPLOYED) HAS SPOUSE OF R EVER WORKED FOR PAY

LABOR UNION POSITION

840676 DOES ANYONE IN R'S HOUSEHOLD BELONG TO A LABOR UNION
840677 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT:IS R HEAD OF FAMILY
840678 WHO BELONGS TO LABOR UNION

840679 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT:IS R ONLY FAM MEM 14 OR OLDR?

R'S FAMILY INCOME AND SOCIAL CLASS

840680 FAMILY HOUSEHOLD INCOME BEFORE TAXES
840681 R'S INCOME
840682 DOES R THINK OF SELF AS BELONGING TO A SOCIAL CLASS
840683 DOES R THINK OF SELF AS MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS
840684 DOES R THINK OF SELF AS AVERAGE OR UPPER MIDDLE/
WORKING CLASS
840685 SUMMARY: R'S SOCIAL CLASS
840686 DOES R FEEL CLOSE TO MIDDLE/WORKING CLASS

R'S RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE

840687 R'S RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE AND DENOMINATION
840688 HOW OFTEN DOES R ATTEND CHURCH/SYNAGOGUE

R'S ETHNIC IDENTITY

840689 WHAT DOES R CONSIDER HIS MAIN ETHNIC GROUP (OTHER
THAN AMERICAN)-FIRST MENTION
840690 WHAT DOES R CONSIDER HIS MAIN ETHNIC GROUP (OTHER
THAN AMERICAN)-SECOND MENTION
840691 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: NUMBER OF GROUPS R MENTIONED
840692 WHICH GROUP DOES R MOST CLOSELY IDENTIFY WITH (OF
THOSE MENTIONED)

PERSONAL INFORMATION: R'S PARENTS

840693 WERE R'S PARENTS BORN IN THIS COUNTRY
840694 R'S FATHER'S MAIN OCCUPATION-CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
840695 DID R'S MOTHER HAVE A JOB
840696 R'S MOTHER'S MAIN OCCUPATION-CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
840697 R'S BIRTHPLACE

PERSONAL INFORMATION: R'S COMMUNITY

840698 WHERE DID R GROW UP
840699 WHICH COMMUNITY TYPE DID R GROW UP IN
840700 WHERE DID R LIVE WHEN 14 YEARS OLD-WITHIN THE U.S.A.
840701 WHERE DID R LIVE WHEN 14 YEARS OLD-FOREIGN COUNTRY
840702 HOW LONG HAS R LIVED IN THIS CITY/TOWN, STATE
840703 WHERE DID R LIVE BEFORE THIS CITY/TOWN, STATE-WITHIN
THE U.S.A.
840704 WHERE DID R LIVE BEFORE THIS CITY/TOWN, STATE-FOREIGN
COUNTRY
840705 HOW LONG HAS R LIVED IN THIS HOUSE/APARTMENT
840706 DOES R/R'S FAMILY OWN OR RENT R'S HOME

PERSONAL INFORMATION: SUMMARY

840707 R'S SEX
840708 R'S RACE
840709 IS R OF HISPANIC ORIGIN
840710 R'S RELATION TO HEAD OF FAMILY

CONDITIONS OF INTERVIEW

840711 OTHERS PRESENT AT INTERVIEW
840712 R'S COOPERATION
840713 R'S LEVEL OF INFORMATION ABOUT POLITICS/PUBLIC AFFAIRS
840714 R'S INTELLIGENCE
840715 WAS R SUSPICIOUS BEFORE INTERVIEW
840716 R'S INTEREST IN INTERVIEW

840717 R'S SINCERITY
840718 DID R REPORT INCOME CORRECTLY
840719 ESTIMATE OF R'S INCOME
840720 WAS INTERVIEW CONDUCTED IN ENGLISH
840721 LANGUAGE IN WHICH INTERVIEW WAS CONDUCTED

POST-ELECTION SURVEY VARIABLES

1984 ELECTION AND THE MASS MEDIA

840722 HAS R BEEN INTERESTED IN POLITICAL CAMPAIGNS SO FAR
840723 DID R LISTEN TO CAMPAIGN RADIO PROGRAMS
840724 HOW MANY CAMPAIGN RADIO PROGRAMS DID R LISTEN TO
840725 DID R READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN IN ANY MAGAZINES
840726 HOW MANY MAGAZINE ARTICLES DID R READ ABOUT THE
CAMPAIGN
840727 DID R READ ABOUT CAMPAIGN IN ANY NEWSPAPER
840728 HOW MANY NEWSPAPER ARTICLES ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN DID R
READ
840729 DID R WATCH ANY CAMPAIGN TELEVISION PROGRAMS
840730 HOW MANY TV PROGRAMS ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN DID R WATCH
840731 DID R WATCH OCT. 7 REAGAN-MONDALE DEBATE
840732 DID R WATCH/LISTEN TO ENTIRE OCT. 7 DEBATE
840733 DID R WATCH OCT. 21 REAGAN-MONDALE DEBATE
840734 DID R WATCH/LISTEN TO ENTIRE OCT. 21 DEBATE
840735 DID R WATCH BUSH-FERRARO DEBATE
840736 DID R WATCH/LISTEN TO ENTIRE BUSH-FERRARO DEBATE

CONGRESSIONAL CAMPAIGN

840737 DOES R REMEMBER THE CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES
840738 NUMBER OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE - CANDIDATE 1
840739 FROM WHICH PARTY WAS THE CANDIDATE - CANDIDATE 1
840740 COLLAPSED CODE FOR CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE 1
840741 R'S KNOWLEDGE OF CANDIDATE'S NAME AND PARTY -

CANDIDATE 1

840742 NUMBER OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE - CANDIDATE 2
840743 FROM WHICH PARTY WAS THE CANDIDATE - CANDIDATE 2
840744 COLLAPSED CODE FOR CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE 2
840745 R'S KNOWLEDGE OF CANDIDATE'S NAME AND PARTY -
CANDIDATE 2

840746 NUMBER OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE - CANDIDATE 3
840747 FROM WHICH PARTY WAS THE CANDIDATE - CANDIDATE 3
840748 COLLAPSED CODE FOR CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE 3
840749 R'S KNOWLEDGE OF CANDIDATE'S NAME AND PARTY -
CANDIDATE 3

FEELING THERMOMETER: POLITICAL/MEDIA FIGURES

840750 FEELING THERMOMETER - RONALD REAGAN
840751 FEELING THERMOMETER - WALTER MONDALE
840752 FEELING THERMOMETER - JESSE JACKSON
840753 FEELING THERMOMETER - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE
840754 FEELING THERMOMETER - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE
840755 FEELING THERMOMETER - INDEPENDENT/THIRD PARTY HOUSE

CANDIDATE

840756 FEELING THERMOMETER - GEORGE BUSH
840757 FEELING THERMOMETER - GERALDINE FERRARO
840758 FEELING THERMOMETER - DEMOCRATIC PARTY
840759 FEELING THERMOMETER - REPUBLICAN PARTY
840760 FEELING THERMOMETER - INDEPENDENTS
840761 FEELING THERMOMETER - POLITICAL PARTIES
840762 FEELING THERMOMETER - WOMEN
840763 FEELING THERMOMETER - BLACKS
840764 FEELING THERMOMETER - CONSERVATIVES
840765 FEELING THERMOMETER - HISPANICS
840766 FEELING THERMOMETER - MIDDLE CLASS PEOPLE
840767 FEELING THERMOMETER - LABOR UNIONS
840768 FEELING THERMOMETER - POOR PEOPLE
840769 FEELING THERMOMETER - WHITES
840770 FEELING THERMOMETER - LIBERALS
840771 FEELING THERMOMETER - OLDER PEOPLE
840772 FEELING THERMOMETER - EVANGELICAL/MORAL MAJORITY
840773 FEELING THERMOMETER - BIG BUSINESS
840774 FEELING THERMOMETER - CATHOLICS
840775 FEELING THERMOMETER - BLACK MILITANTS
840776 FEELING THERMOMETER - THE U.S. SUPREME COURT
840777 FEELING THERMOMETER - PEOPLE ON WELFARE
840778 FEELING THERMOMETER - GAYS/LESBIANS
840779 FEELING THERMOMETER - CIVIL RIGHTS LEADERS
840780 FEELING THERMOMETER - ANTI-ABORTIONISTS
840781 FEELING THERMOMETER - THE MILITARY
840782 FEELING THERMOMETER - WOMEN'S LIBERATION MOVEMENT

VOTING SECTION: VOTERS

840783 DID R VOTE IN 1984 ELECTION
840784 IS R REGISTERED DEMOCRAT/REPUBLICAN/OTHER
840785 IS R REGISTERED TO VOTE AT CURRENT ADDRESS

840786 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: IS R REGISTERED TO VOTE IN
STATE
840787 DID R VOTE FOR PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE IN 1984 ELECTION
840788 WHO DID R VOTE FOR IN 1984 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION
840789 WAS R'S PREFERENCE STRONG FOR THIS PRESIDENTIAL
CANDIDATE
840790 WHEN DID R REACH VOTE DECISION
840791 DID R VOTE FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES CANDIDATE
840792 FOR WHICH HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES CANDIDATE DID R
VOTE
840793 R'S VOTE FOR H.R. CANDIDATE - PARTY
840794 WAS R'S PREFERENCE STRONG FOR H.R. CANDIDATE
840795 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT - WAS THERE A SENATE RACE IN
R'S STATE
840796 DID R VOTE FOR A SENATE CANDIDATE
840797 FOR WHOM DID R VOTE FOR SENATE
840798 R'S VOTE FOR SENATE CANDIDATE - PARTY
840799 DID R VOTE A STRAIGHT PARTY TICKET FOR STATE OFFICES
840800 IF R VOTED A STRAIGHT TICKET, WHICH PARTY DID R VOTE
840801 (IF SPLIT TICKET) PARTY FAVORED IN STATE/LOCAL RACES

VOTING SECTION: NON-VOTERS

int1984.txt

840802 WAS R REGISTERED TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION
840803 WAS R REGISTERED AS REPUBLICAN/DEMOCRAT/INDEPENDENT
840804 IS R REGISTERED TO VOTE AT CURRENT ADDRESS
840805 DID R PREFER ONE CANDIDATE FOR PRESIDENT
840806 WHOM DID R PREFER FOR PRESIDENT
840807 HOW STRONG WAS R'S PREFERENCE FOR PRESIDENTIAL
CANDIDATE
840808 DID R PREFER A CANDIDATE FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
840809 WHICH HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES CANDIDATE DID R PREFER
840810 PARTY OF HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES CANDIDATE PREFERRED
BY R
840811 WAS R'S PREFERENCE STRONG FOR H.R. CANDIDATE

PARTY CONTACTS WITH R DURING THE CAMPAIGN

840812 DID A POLITICAL PARTY WORKER CONTACT R DURING
CAMPAIGN
840813 WHICH PARTY(S) CONTACTED R DURING CAMPAIGN
840814 DID ANYONE ELSE CONTACT R DURING CAMPAIGN
840815 WAS THIS CONTACT FROM CANDIDATE'S
ORGANIZATION/SOMEONE ELSE
840816 WHICH CANDIDATE DID THE CONTACT SUPPORT - 1ST MENTION
840817 WHICH CANDIDATE DID THE CONTACT SUPPORT - 2ND MENTION

R'S POLITICAL ACTIVITIES

840818 DID R TRY TO INFLUENCE OTHERS' VOTE CHOICES
840819 DID R WEAR A BUTTON OR PUT A STICKER ON THE CAR
840820 DID ANYONE ELSE TRY INFLUENCING R TO WORK FOR
CANDIDATE
840821 DID R ATTEND ANY POLITICAL MEETINGS OR RALLIES
840822 DID R GIVE MONEY TO CANDIDATE AFTER POLITICAL MEETING
840823 DID R WORK FOR PARTY OR CANDIDATE
840824 DID R USE \$1 POLITICAL CONTRIBUTION OPTION ON FEDERAL
INCOME TAX RETURN

R'S CONTRIBUTION TO POLITICAL PARTIES

840825 DID R CONTRIBUTE MONEY TO A POLITICAL
PARTY/ISSUE/CANDIDATE
840826 DID R GIVE MONEY TO SPECIFIC POLITICAL PARTY
840827 WHICH PARTY DID R GIVE MONEY TO
840828 DID R GIVE MONEY TO SPECIFIC CANDIDATE
840829 R GAVE MONEY TO CANDIDATE FROM WHICH PARTY
840830 DID R GIVE MONEY FOR LOCAL OR STATE BALLOT ISSUE
840831 DID R GIVE MONEY TO POLITICAL ACTION/ISSUE GROUPS
840832 DID R RECEIVE REQUESTS THROUGH MAIL FOR POLITICAL
CONTRIBUTION
840833 HOW MANY MAIL REQUESTS DID R GET
840834 DID R CONTRIBUTE MONEY BECAUSE OF MAIL REQUESTS
840835 DID R RECEIVE PHONE REQUEST FOR POL CONTRIBUTIONS
840836 HOW MANY PHONE REQUESTS DID R RECEIVE
840837 DID R GIVE MONEY BECAUSE OF PHONE REQUESTS
840838 DID R RECEIVE PERSONAL REQUEST FOR POL CONTRIBUTION
840839 HOW MANY PERSONAL REQUESTS DID R RECEIVE

int1984.txt

840840 DID R GIVE MONEY BECAUSE OF PERSONAL REQUESTS
840841 WAS R CONTACTED ABOUT REGISTERING OR VOTING
840842 IN LAST 6 MONTHS DID R JOIN ORGANIZATION TO SOLVE
NATIONAL PROBLEM

R'S EVALUATION OF CONGRESS AND THE PRESIDENT

840843 DOES R APPROVE OF HOW CONGRESS IS HANDLING ITS JOB
840844 HOW STRONGLY DOES R APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF HOW CONGRESS
IS HANDLING ITS JOB
840845 DOES R APPROVE OF HOW REAGAN IS HANDLING HIS JOB
840846 HOW STRONGLY DOES R APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF HOW REAGAN
IS HANDLING HIS JOB
840847 DOES R APPROVE OF REAGAN'S HANDLING OF BALANCED BUDGET
840848 HOW STRONGLY DOES R APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF REAGAN'S
HANDLING OF BALANCED BUDGET

R'S FEELINGS ABOUT CANDIDATES

840849 DOES REAGAN MAKE R FEEL ANGRY
840850 DOES REAGAN MAKE R FEEL HOPEFUL
840851 DOES REAGAN MAKE R FEEL AFRAID
840852 DOES REAGAN MAKE R FEEL PROUD
840853 DOES REAGAN MAKE R FEEL DISGUSTED
840854 DOES REAGAN MAKE R FEEL SYMPATHETIC TOWARD HIM
840855 DOES REAGAN MAKE R FEEL UNEASY
840856 DOES MONDALE MAKE R FEEL ANGRY
840857 DOES MONDALE MAKE R FEEL HOPEFUL

840858 DOES MONDALE MAKE R FEEL AFRAID
840859 DOES MONDALE MAKE R FEEL PROUD
840860 DOES MONDALE MAKE R FEEL DISGUSTED
840861 DOES MONDALE MAKE R FEEL SYMPATHETIC TOWARD HIM
840862 DOES MONDALE MAKE R FEEL UNEASY

R'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION

840863 IS R REPUBLICAN, DEMOCRAT OR INDEPENDENT
840864 IS R A STRONG REPUBLICAN OR DEMOCRAT
840865 R THINK OF SELF AS CLOSER REPUBLICAN/DEMOCRATIC PARTY
840866 SUMMARY - R'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION

PARTY DIFFERENCES

840867 DOES R SEE IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE PARTIES
840868 IMPORTANT PARTY DIFFERENCES: PARTY REFERENCE - FIRST
MENTION
840869 PARTY DIFFERENCE CONTENT - FIRST MENTION
840870 IMPORTANT PARTY DIFFERENCES: PARTY REFERENCE - SECOND
MENTION
840871 PARTY DIFFERENCE CONTENT - SECOND MENTION
840872 IMPORTANT PARTY DIFFERENCES: PARTY REFERENCE - THIRD
MENTION
840873 PARTY DIFFERENCE CONTENT - THIRD MENTION
840874 DOES R THINK ONE PARTY MORE CONSERVATIVE AT

NATIONAL LEVEL

840875 WHICH PARTY IS MORE CONSERVATIVE

QUALITIES DESCRIBING CANDIDATE - REAGAN

840876 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "HARD-WORKING"
DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN

840877 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "DECENT"
DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN

840878 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "COMPASSIONATE"
DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN

840879 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "COMMANDS RESPECT"
DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN

840880 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "INTELLIGENT"
DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN

840881 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "MORAL"
DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN

840882 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "KIND"
DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN

840883 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "INSPIRING"
DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN

840884 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "KNOWLEDGEABLE"
DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN

840885 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "SETS A GOOD EXAMPLE"
DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN

840886 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "CARES ABOUT PEOPLE
LIKE YOU" DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN

840887 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "PROVIDES STRONG
LEADERSHIP" DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN

840888 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "UNDERSTANDS PEOPLE
LIKE YOU" DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN

840889 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "FAIR"
DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN

840890 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "IN TOUCH WITH
ORDINARY PEOPLE" DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN

840891 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "RELIGIOUS"
DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN

QUALITIES DESCRIBING CANDIDATE - MONDALE

840892 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "HARD-WORKING"
DESCRIBES WALTER MONDALE

840893 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "DECENT"
DESCRIBES WALTER MONDALE

840894 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "COMPASSIONATE"
DESCRIBES WALTER MONDALE

840895 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "COMMANDS RESPECT"
DESCRIBES WALTER MONDALE

840896 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "INTELLIGENT"
DESCRIBES WALTER MONDALE

840897 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "MORAL"
DESCRIBES WALTER MONDALE

840898 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "KIND"
DESCRIBES WALTER MONDALE

840899 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "INSPIRING"
DESCRIBES WALTER MONDALE

int1984.txt

840900 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "KNOWLEDGEABLE"
DESCRIBES WALTER MONDALE
840901 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "SETS A GOOD EXAMPLE"
DESCRIBES WALTER MONDALE
840902 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "CARES ABOUT PEOPLE
LIKE YOU" DESCRIBES WALTER MONDALE
840903 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "STRONG LEADERSHIP"
DESCRIBES WALTER MONDALE
840904 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "UNDERSTANDS PEOPLE
LIKE YOU" DESCRIBES WALTER MONDALE
840905 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "FAIR"
DESCRIBES WALTER MONDALE
840906 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "IN TOUCH WITH
ORDINARY PEOPLE" DESCRIBES WALTER MONDALE
840907 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "RELIGIOUS"
DESCRIBES WALTER MONDALE

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES CAMPAIGN

840908 IS THERE ANYTHING R LIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
840909 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE -
FIRST MENTION
840910 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE -
SECOND MENTION

840911 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE -
THIRD MENTION
840912 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE -
FOURTH MENTION
840913 IS THERE ANYTHING R DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC
CANDIDATE FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
840914 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
- FIRST MENTION
840915 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
- SECOND MENTION
840916 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
- THIRD MENTION
840917 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
- FOURTH MENTION
840918 IS THERE ANYTHING R LIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE
FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
840919 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE -
FIRST MENTION
840920 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE -
SECOND MENTION
840921 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE -
THIRD MENTION
840922 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE -
FOURTH MENTION
840923 IS THERE ANYTHING R DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN
CANDIDATE FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
840924 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE
- FIRST MENTION
840925 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE
- SECOND MENTION
840926 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE
- THIRD MENTION

840927 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE
- FOURTH MENTION

840928 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: TYPE OF RACE
840929 ONE HOUSE CANDIDATE RUNNING: WAS CANDIDATE ALREADY IN
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

840930 ONE HOUSE CANDIDATE RUNNING: CANDIDATE NUMBER CODE
840931 ONE HOUSE CANDIDATE RUNNING: PARTY OF HOUSE CANDIDATE
840932 TWO HOUSE CANDIDATES RUNNING: WAS EITHER CANDIDATE
ALREADY IN HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

840933 TWO HOUSE CANDIDATES RUNNING: WHICH CANDIDATE WAS
ALREADY IN HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

840934 TWO HOUSE CANDIDATES RUNNING: PARTY OF CANDIDATE
ALREADY IN HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

840935 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: TYPE OF RACE

CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

840936 DID R HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT
840937 DID R MEET HOUSE INCUMBENT PERSONALLY
840938 DID R ATTEND MEETING/GATHERING WHERE U.S. HOUSE

INCUMBENT SPOKE

840939 DID R TALK WITH U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT'S STAFF/OFFICE
840940 DID R RECEIVE SOMETHING IN MAIL FROM U.S. HOUSE
INCUMBENT

840941 DID R READ ABOUT U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT IN
NEWSPAPER/MAGAZINE

840942 DID R HEAR U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT ON RADIO

840943 DID R SEE U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT ON TELEVISION

840944 R HAD CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT IN OTHER WAYS

840945 DOES R KNOW ANYONE ELSE WHO HAD CONTACT WITH U.S.
HOUSE INCUMBENT

CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE - DISTRICTS WITH
RUNNING INCUMBENT

840946 DID R HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE
840947 DID R MEET U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE PERSONALLY
840948 DID R ATTEND MEETING/GATHERING WHERE U.S. HOUSE
CANDIDATE SPOKE

840949 DID R TALK WITH U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE STAFF/OFFICE

840950 DID R RECEIVE SOMETHING IN MAIL FROM U.S. HOUSE
CANDIDATE

840951 DID R READ ABOUT U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE IN
NEWSPAPER/MAGAZINE

840952 DID R HEAR U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE ON RADIO

840953 DID R SEE U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE ON TELEVISION

840954 R HAD CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE IN OTHER WAYS

840955 DOES R KNOW ANYONE ELSE WHO HAD CONTACT WITH U.S.
HOUSE CANDIDATE

NON-CAMPAIGN CONTACTS WITH U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

840956 DID R OR FAMILY MEMBER EVER CONTACT U.S. HOUSE

int1984.txt

INCUMBENT/OFFICE
840957 REASON FOR CONTACT WITH HOUSE INCUMBENT - TO EXPRESS
OPINION
840958 REASON FOR CONTACT WITH HOUSE INCUMBENT - TO SEEK
INFORMATION
840959 REASON FOR CONTACT WITH HOUSE INCUMBENT - TO SEEK
HELP WITH PROBLEM
840960 DID R GET RESPONSE FROM HOUSE INCUMBENT/OFFICE
840961 HOW SATISFIED WAS R WITH RESPONSE FROM INCUMBENT
840962 DOES R KNOW ANYONE ELSE WHO HAD CONTACT WITH U.S.
HOUSE INCUMBENT
840963 DID PERSON/GROUP GET RESPONSE FROM HOUSE INCUMBENT/
OFFICE
840964 HOW SATISFIED WAS PERSON/GROUP WITH RESPONSE FROM
INCUMBENT
840965 HOW HELPFUL WOULD HOUSE INCUMBENT BE WITH ANOTHER
PROBLEM
840966 ANYTHING SPECIAL DONE BY HOUSE INCUMBENT FOR
DISTRICT/PEOPLE
840967 R APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF INCUMBENT'S HANDLING OF JOB

CONTACTS WITH U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATES -
DISTRICTS WITH NO INCUMBENT REPRESENTATIVE

840968 DID R HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC
CANDIDATE
840969 DID R MEET U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE PERSONALLY
840970 DID R ATTEND MEETING/GATHERING WHERE U.S. HOUSE
DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE SPOKE
840971 DID R TALK WITH U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
STAFF/OFFICE
840972 DID R RECEIVE SOMETHING IN MAIL FROM U.S. HOUSE
DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
840973 DID R READ ABOUT U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN
NEWSPAPER/MAGAZINE
840974 DID R HEAR U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE ON RADIO
840975 DID R SEE U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE ON
TELEVISION
840976 R HAD CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN
OTHER WAYS
840977 DOES R KNOW ANYONE ELSE WHO HAD CONTACT WITH U.S.
HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
840978 DID R HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN
CANDIDATE
840979 DID R MEET U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE PERSONALLY
840980 DID R ATTEND MEETING/GATHERING WHERE U.S. REPUBLICAN
HOUSE CANDIDATE SPOKE
840981 DID R TALK WITH U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE
STAFF/OFFICE
840982 DID R RECEIVE SOMETHING IN MAIL FROM U.S. HOUSE
REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE
840983 DID R READ ABOUT U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN
NEWSPAPER/MAGAZINE
840984 DID R HEAR U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE ON RADIO
840985 DID R SEE U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE ON
TELEVISION
840986 R HAD CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN

OTHER WAYS

840987 DOES R KNOW ANYONE ELSE WHO HAD CONTACT WITH U.S.
HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE

IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEMS

840988 HOW OFTEN DOES R FOLLOW GOVERNMENT/PUBLIC AFFAIRS
840989 WHAT IS MOST IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEM - 1ST RESPONSE
840990 WHAT IS MOST IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEM - 2ND RESPONSE
840991 WHAT IS MOST IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEM - 3RD RESPONSE
840992 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: HAS R MENTIONED ANY PROBLEMS
840993 WHAT IS THE SINGLE MOST IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEM
840994 HOW GOOD A JOB IS GOVERNMENT DOING WITH THIS PROBLEM
840995 WHICH PARTY WOULD GET GOVERNMENT TO DEAL WITH PROBLEM

POSITIONS ON FEDERAL SPENDING

840996 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING FOR IMPROVING AND
PROTECTING THE ENVIRONMENT
840997 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON DEALING WITH CRIME
840998 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON PUBLIC SCHOOLS
840999 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON SOCIAL SECURITY
841000 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON FOOD STAMPS
841001 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON MEDICARE
841002 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON GOVERNMENT JOBS
FOR THE UNEMPLOYED
841003 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON SCIENCE AND
TECHNOLOGY
841004 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON DEFENSE
841005 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON ASSISTANCE
TO BLACKS

KNOWLEDGE OF CONGRESSIONAL PARTY MEMBERSHIP

841006 DOES R KNOW WHICH PARTY HAD MOST MEMBERS IN U.S.
HOUSE BEFORE ELECTION
841007 DOES R KNOW, AS A RESULT OF THE ELECTION, WHICH
PARTY WILL NOW HAVE THE MOST MEMBERS IN U.S. HOUSE
841008 DOES R KNOW WHAT PARTY HAD MOST MEMBERS IN SENATE
BEFORE ELECTION
841009 DOES R KNOW, AS A RESULT OF THE ELECTION, WHICH PARTY
WILL NOW HAVE THE MOST MEMBERS IN THE U.S. SENATE

R'S VIEW OF SELF/OTHERS AS LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE

841010 DOES R CONSIDER SELF A LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE/MODERATE
841011 DOES R CONSIDER SELF A STRONG OR NOT VERY STRONG
LIBERAL OR CONSERVATIVE
841012 DOES R CONSIDER SELF MORE LIKE A LIBERAL OR MORE LIKE
A CONSERVATIVE
841013 LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SUMMARY: R
841014 DOES R THINK RONALD REAGAN IS A LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE/
MODERATE
841015 DOES R THINK RONALD REAGAN IS A STRONG OR NOT VERY

int1984.txt

STRONG LIBERAL OR CONSERVATIVE
841016 DOES R THINK RONALD REAGAN IS MORE LIKE A LIBERAL OR
MORE LIKE A CONSERVATIVE
841017 LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SUMMARY: REAGAN
841018 DOES R THINK WALTER MONDALE IS A LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE/
MODERATE
841019 DOES R THINK WALTER MONDALE IS A STRONG OR NOT VERY
STRONG LIBERAL OR CONSERVATIVE
841020 DOES R THINK WALTER MONDALE IS MORE LIKE A LIBERAL OR
MORE LIKE A CONSERVATIVE
841021 LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE SUMMARY: MONDALE
841022 WHAT R MEANS BY LIBERAL - FIRST MENTION
841023 WHAT R MEANS BY LIBERAL - SECOND MENTION
841024 WHAT R MEANS BY LIBERAL - THIRD MENTION
841025 WHAT R MEANS BY CONSERVATIVE - FIRST MENTION
841026 WHAT R MEANS BY CONSERVATIVE - SECOND MENTION
841027 WHAT R MEANS BY CONSERVATIVE - THIRD MENTION

POSITIONS ON GOVT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE

841028 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE - R
841029 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE - REAGAN
841030 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE - MONDALE
841031 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE - DEMOCRATIC
HOUSE CANDIDATE
841032 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE - REPUBLICAN
HOUSE CANDIDATE
841033 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE - INDEPENDENT
HOUSE CANDIDATE
841034 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE - LIBERALS
841035 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE - CONSERVATIVES
841036 HOW IMPORTANT IS IT TO R FOR THE GOVERNMENT TO DO
WHAT R THINKS IS BEST ON SPENDING AND SERVICES

POSITION ON PRAYER IN SCHOOL

841037 DOES R HAVE OPINION ON PRAYER IN SCHOOL
841038 R'S OPINION ON PRAYER IN SCHOOL

POSITIONS ON INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE

841039 U.S. INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE - R
841040 U.S. INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE - REAGAN
841041 U.S. INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE - MONDALE
841042 U.S. INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE - DEMOCRATIC
HOUSE CANDIDATE
841043 U.S. INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE - REPUBLICAN
HOUSE CANDIDATE
841044 U.S. INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE-INDEPENDENT
HOUSE CANDIDATE
841045 U.S. INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE - LIBERALS
841046 U.S. INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE -
CONSERVATIVES
841047 HOW IMPORTANT IS IT TO R FOR THE GOVERNMENT TO DO
WHAT R THINKS IS BEST IN CENTRAL AMERICA

POSITIONS ON GOVT GUARANTEED LIVING/JOB SCALE

- 841048 GUARANTEED JOB AND LIVING STANDARD SCALE - R
- 841049 GUARANTEED JOB AND LIVING STANDARD SCALE - REAGAN
- 841050 GUARANTEED JOB AND LIVING STANDARD SCALE - MONDALE
- 841051 GUARANTEED JOB AND LIVING STANDARD SCALE - DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE
- 841052 GUARANTEED JOB AND LIVING STANDARD SCALE - REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE
- 841053 GUARANTEED JOB AND LIVING STANDARD SCALE - INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE
- 841054 GUARANTEED JOB AND LIVING STANDARD SCALE - LIBERALS
- 841055 GUARANTEED JOB AND LIVING STANDARD SCALE - CONSERVATIVES

- 841056 HOW IMPORTANT IS IT TO R FOR GOVERNMENT TO DO WHAT R THINKS IS BEST ON GUARANTEED JOB/LIVING STANDARD ISSUE

POSITION ON ISOLATIONIST STANCE OF U.S.

- 841057 DOES R THINK U.S. SHOULD BE ISOLATIONIST

GOVERNMENT FUNDED MEDICAL INSURANCE SCALE

- 841058 GOVERNMENT FUNDED MEDICAL INSURANCE SCALE - R

POSITIONS ON POWER OF FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

- 841059 DOES R HAVE AN OPINION ON FEDERAL GOVERNMENT POWER
- 841060 DOES R THINK FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (IS/ISN'T) GETTING TOO POWERFUL
- 841061 DOES R THINK FEDERAL GOVERNMENT (SHOULD/SHOULDN'T) BECOME MORE POWERFUL
- 841062 PARTY R THINKS FAVORS POWERFUL GOVERNMENT
- 841063 DOES R THINK GOVERNMENT WASTES THE MONEY WE PAY IN TAXES
- 841064 HOW MUCH OF THE TIME DOES R FEEL HE/SHE CAN TRUST THE GOVERNMENT
- 841065 DOES R THINK GOVERNMENT IS RUN BY A FEW BIG INTERESTS OR FOR THE BENEFIT OF ALL THE PEOPLE
- 841066 DOES R THINK PEOPLE IN GOVERNMENT ARE CROOKED
- 841067 DOES R THINK ELECTIONS MAKE GOVERNMENT RESPONSIVE
- 841068 DOES R THINK GOVERNMENT PAYS ATTENTION TO R
- 841069 DOES R THINK ONLY THOSE WHO CARE ABOUT THE OUTCOME SHOULD VOTE
- 841070 DO PEOPLE LIKE R HAVE ANY SAY IN WHAT GOVERNMENT DOES
- 841071 DO PUBLIC OFFICIALS CARE WHAT PEOPLE LIKE R THINK
- 841072 DOES R THINK POLITICS AND GOVERNMENT ARE TOO COMPLICATED FOR PEOPLE LIKE HIM/HER TO UNDERSTAND

CIVIL RIGHTS POSITION

- 841073 DOES R THINK CIVIL RIGHTS IS BEING PUSHED TOO FAST
- 841074 BUSING SCALE - R

841075 R'S OPINION ON CHANGE IN POSITION OF BLACKS

NATIONAL GOAL

841076 NATIONAL GOAL - FIRST CHOICE

841077 NATIONAL GOAL - SECOND CHOICE

POSITION ON RELIGIOUS MATTERS

841078 IS RELIGION IMPORTANT TO R

841079 DOES RELIGION PROVIDE GUIDANCE TO R

841080 IS R BORN AGAIN

841081 R'S OPINION ON THE BIBLE

R'S IDENTIFICATION WITH GROUPS IN SOCIETY

841082 DOES R FEEL CLOSE TO POOR PEOPLE

841083 DOES R FEEL CLOSE TO LIBERALS

841084 DOES R FEEL CLOSE TO SOUTHERNERS

841085 DOES R FEEL CLOSE TO HISPANICS

841086 DOES R FEEL CLOSE TO THE ELDERLY

841087 DOES R FEEL CLOSE TO BLACKS

841088 DOES R FEEL CLOSE TO LABOR UNIONS

841089 DOES R FEEL CLOSE TO FEMINISTS

841090 DOES R FEEL CLOSE TO MEN

841091 DOES R FEEL CLOSE TO ENVIRONMENTALISTS

841092 DOES R FEEL CLOSE TO BUSINESSMEN/BUSINESSWOMEN

841093 DOES R FEEL CLOSE TO YOUNG PEOPLE

841094 DOES R FEEL CLOSE TO CONSERVATIVES

841095 DOES R FEEL CLOSE TO WOMEN

841096 DOES R FEEL CLOSE TO WORKINGMEN/WORKINGWOMEN

841097 DOES R FEEL CLOSE TO FARMERS

841098 DOES R FEEL CLOSE TO WHITES

841099 DOES R FEEL CLOSE TO EVANGELICAL GROUPS

841100 DOES R FEEL CLOSE TO MIDDLE-CLASS PEOPLE

841101 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT - GROUPS D/NOT MENTIONED
MENTIONED

841102 WHICH GROUP R FEELS CLOSEST TO

841103 DOES R BELONG TO ANY ORGANIZATIONS OF CLOSEST GROUP

PERSONAL INFORMATION

841104 R'S RACE

841105 IS R OF HISPANIC ORIGIN

841106 R'S SEX

841107 R'S RACE

841108 IS R OF HISPANIC ORIGIN

841109 RELATIONSHIP OF R TO HEAD OF FAMILY

CONDITIONS OF INTERVIEW

841110 WHO ELSE WAS PRESENT AT THE INTERVIEW

841111 DEGREE OF R'S COOPERATION WITH INTERVIEW

int1984.txt

841112 R'S APPARENT LEVEL OF POLITICAL INFORMATION
841113 RATING OF R'S APPARENT INTELLIGENCE
841114 HOW SUSPICIOUS WAS R ABOUT THE STUDY BEFOREHAND
841115 HOW GREAT WAS R'S INTEREST IN THE INTERVIEW
841116 HOW SINCERE WAS R WITH ANSWERS
841117 WAS THE INTERVIEW CONDUCTED IN ENGLISH
841118 IN WHAT LANGUAGE WAS THE INTERVIEW CONDUCTED

INDIVIDUAL VOTER RECORD VALIDATION SECTION

841119 R'S INDIVIDUAL VOTER RECORD VALIDATION ID
841120 OFFICE CODE FOR INDIVIDUAL VOTER RECORD VALIDATION
841121 SUMMARY: R IS REGISTERED OR NOT REGISTERED TO VOTE
841122 DOES R'S NAME MATCH EXACTLY THE NAME IN THE
REGISTRATION RECORD
841123 DOES R'S ADDRESS MATCH EXACTLY THE ADDRESS IN THE
REGISTRATION RECORD
841124 R'S CITY/TOWN/TOWNSHIP IN REGISTRATION RECORD
841125 IS REGISTRATION RECORD ADDRESS DIFFERENT FROM R'S
ADDRESS ON THIS FORM
841126 ACCORDING TO REGISTRATION RECORD, MONTH OF R'S BIRTH
841127 ACCORDING TO REGISTRATION RECORD, YEAR OF R'S BIRTH
841128 REGISTRATION RECORD INDICATES R REGISTERED WITH
WHICH POLITICAL PARTY
841129 WAS INTERVIEWER ABLE TO GAIN ACCESS TO RECORDS
TO DETERMINE WHETHER R VOTED IN NOV ELECTION
841130 DID R VOTE IN NOV. 6, 1984 GENERAL ELECTION
841131 INFORMATION ABOUT OTHERS REGISTERED AT R'S ADDRESS
841132 VOTE VALIDATION SUMMARY
841133 VOTE TURNOUT FOR RESPONDENTS PARTICIPATING IN BOTH
PRE- AND POST-ELECTION SURVEYS ONLY
841134 VOTE TURNOUT FOR ENTIRE SAMPLE
841135 IMPORTANCE OF ADDRESS IN LOCATING R'S RECORD
841136 TOTAL SOCIOECONOMIC INDEX (TSEI) SCORE OF R'S
CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
841137 MALE SOCIOECONOMIC INDEX (MSEI) SCORE OF R'S
CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
841138 TOTAL SOCIOECONOMIC INDEX (TSEI) SCORE OF HEAD'S
CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
841139 MALE SOCIOECONOMIC INDEX (MSEI) SCORE OF HEAD'S
CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
841140 TOTAL SOCIOECONOMIC INDEX (TSEI) SCORE OF SPOUSE'S
CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
841141 MALE SOCIOECONOMIC INDEX (MSEI) SCORE OF SPOUSE'S
CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE