

Version 02 Codebook

CODEBOOK INTRODUCTION FILE
1986 PRE-POST STUDY
(1986.TV)

AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES

1986 POST-ELECTION STUDY

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS

WARREN E. MILLER
AND THE NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES

CENTER FOR POLITICAL STUDIES
UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER 8678

□

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF ASSISTANCE

ALL MANUSCRIPTS UTILIZING DATA MADE AVAILABLE THROUGH THE CONSORTIUM SHOULD ACKNOWLEDGE THAT FACT AS WELL AS IDENTIFY THE ORIGINAL COLLECTOR OF THE DATA. THE ICPSR COUNCIL URGES ALL USERS OF THE ICPSR DATA FACILITIES TO FOLLOW SOME ADAPTATION OF THIS STATEMENT WITH THE PARENTHESES INDICATING ITEMS TO BE FILLED IN APPROPRIATELY OR DELETED BY THE INDIVIDUAL USER.

THE DATA (AND TABULATIONS) UTILIZED IN THIS (PUBLICATION) WERE MADE AVAILABLE (IN PART) BY THE INTER-UNIVERSITY CONSORTIUM FOR POLITICAL AND SOCIAL RESEARCH. THE DATA FOR THE AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY, 1986, WERE ORIGINALLY COLLECTED BY THE CENTER FOR POLITICAL STUDIES OF THE INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH, THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, FOR THE NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES, UNDER THE OVERALL DIRECTION OF WARREN E. MILLER; SANTA TRAUOGOTT WAS DIRECTOR OF STUDIES IN 1986. NEITHER THE COLLECTOR OF THE ORIGINAL DATA NOR THE CONSORTIUM BEAR ANY RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ANALYSES OR INTERPRETATIONS PRESENTED HERE.

IN ORDER TO PROVIDE FUNDING AGENCIES WITH ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE USE OF ARCHIVAL RESOURCES AND TO FACILITATE THE EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION ABOUT ICPSR PARTICIPANTS' RESEARCH ACTIVITIES, EACH USER OF THE ICPSR DATA FACILITIES IS EXPECTED TO SEND TWO COPIES OF EACH COMPLETED MANUSCRIPT OR THESIS ABSTRACT TO THE CONSORTIUM. PLEASE INDICATE IN THE COVER LETTER WHICH DATA WERE USED.

□

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Note: >>sections in the codebook introduction and codebook appendix can be navigated in the machine-readable files by searching ">>".

INTRODUCTORY MATERIALS (file int1986.cbk)

- >> 1986 INTRODUCTION AND STUDY DESCRIPTION
- >> 1986 SURVEY CONTENT AND ADMINISTRATION
- >> 1986 OCCUPATION CODING
- >> 1986 STUDY SPECIFICATIONS
- >> 1986 SAMPLING INFORMATION
- >> 1986 WORKING PAPERS SERIES
- >> LIST OF REPORTS FROM ANES 1985 PILOT STUDY
- >> PILOT STUDY REPORTS ANES 1983
- >> 1986 DESCRIPTION OF VOTE VALIDATION OFFICE ADMINISTRATION VARIABLES
- >> 1986 CODEBOOK INFORMATION
- >> 1986 ICPSR PROCESSING INFORMATION
- >> 1986 VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST

CODEBOOK (file nes1986.cbk)

1986 variables

APPENDICES (file app1986.cbk)

- >> TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM ON THE SAMPLING DESIGN OF THE 1986 NATIONAL POST-ELECTION STUDY
- >> 1980 CENSUS DEFINITIONS
- >> NES 1986 PARTY CANDIDATE NOTE
- >> NES 1986 CANDIDATE MASTER CODE
- >> NES 1986 IMPORTANT PROBLEMS
- >> NES 1986 EDUCATION AND OCCUPATION RECODES
- >> 1980 CENSUS OCCUPATION CODES
- >> 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY CODES
- >> NES 1986 NATIONALITY NOTE
- >> NES 1986 STATE AND COUNTRY NOTE
- >> NES 1986 CITIES NOTE

□

>> 1986 INTRODUCTION AND STUDY DESCRIPTION

THE NES/CPS AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY, 1986, WAS CONDUCTED BY THE CENTER FOR POLITICAL STUDIES OF THE INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH, UNDER THE GENERAL DIRECTION OF WARREN E. MILLER. SANTA TRAUOGOTT IS THE DIRECTOR OF STUDIES. THIS IS THE NINETEENTH IN A SERIES OF STUDIES OF AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTIONS PRODUCED BY THE POLITICAL BEHAVIOR PROGRAM OF THE SURVEY RESEARCH CENTER AND THE CENTER FOR POLITICAL STUDIES, AND IS THE FIFTH STUDY TO BE CONDUCTED UNDER THE AUSPICES OF NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION GRANTS (NOS. SOC77-08885 AND SES-8341310) PROVIDING LONG-TERM SUPPORT FOR THE NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES. SINCE 1978, THE NES ELECTION STUDIES HAVE BEEN DESIGNED BY A NATIONAL BOARD OF OVERSEERS, THE MEMBERS OF WHICH MEET SEVERAL TIMES A YEAR TO PLAN CONTENT AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE MAJOR STUDY COMPONENTS.

BOARD MEMBERS DURING THE 1986 PLANNING PHASE INCLUDED:

RAYMOND E. WOLFINGER, CHAIR, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY; MORRIS P. FIORINA, HARVARD UNIVERSITY; STEVEN J. ROSENSTONE, YALE UNIVERSITY; RICHARD A. BRODY, STANFORD UNIVERSITY; STANLEY FELDMAN, UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY; EDIE N. GOLDENBERG, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN; GARY C. JACOBSON, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO; STANLEY KELLEY, JR., PRINCETON UNIVERSITY; DONALD R. KINDER, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN; WARREN E. MILLER, ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY, EX OFFICIO.

THE 1986 STUDY PLANNING COMMITTEE INCLUDED SEVERAL BOARD MEMBERS (KELLEY, CHAIR; FELDMAN, FIORINA, JACOBSON, MILLER, EX OFFICIO; AND WOLFINGER) AND TWO OTHER SCHOLARS, DR. THOMAS MANN, AMERICAN POLITICAL SCIENCE ASSOCIATION; AND JOHN ZALLER, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY.

A PILOT STUDY WAS CARRIED OUT IN THE SUMMER OF 1985 FOR THE PURPOSE OF DEVELOPING NEW INSTRUMENTATION FOR THE 1986 ELECTION STUDY. ITEMS APPEARING FOR THE FIRST TIME IN 1986 WHICH WERE PRETESTED IN THE 1985 PILOT ARE ON RACIAL ATTITUDES, POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE, AND MORAL TOLERANCE. DATA FROM THE PILOT STUDY ARE AVAILABLE THROUGH THE INTER-UNIVERSITY CONSORTIUM FOR POLITICAL AND SOCIAL RESEARCH (ICPSR #8476). RESULTS FROM THE PILOT STUDY WERE USED BY THE PLANNING COMMITTEE IN FORMULATING RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE BOARD ABOUT STUDY CONTENT FOR THE 1986 ELECTION STUDY. (SEE THE LIST OF 1985 PILOT STUDY REPORTS.)

□

>> 1986 SURVEY CONTENT AND ADMINISTRATION

MUCH OF THE CONTENT OF THE OFF-YEAR POST-ELECTION SURVEYS IS CONSTRAINED BY THE REQUIREMENTS OF REPEATING "CORE"-- I.E., THOSE ITEMS WHICH HAVE BEEN ASKED IN PREVIOUS ELECTION STUDIES AND WHICH THE BOARD OF OVERSEERS, ACTING FOR AND IN RESPONSE TO THE COMMUNITY OF NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY USERS, HAS DETERMINED TO BE CENTRAL TO THE NES PROGRAM OF RESEARCH.

CORE ITEMS INCLUDE ATTENTION TO MEDIA, INTEREST IN THE CAMPAIGN, PARTY LIKES AND DISLIKES, RECALL OF AND LIKES AND DISLIKES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES, CONTACT WITH INCUMBENT MEMBERS OF CONGRESS AND THOSE CHALLENGING THEM FOR ELECTION, FEELING THERMOMETERS FOR GROUPS AS WELL AS POLITICAL FIGURES, EVALUATIONS OF THE PRESIDENT, RESPONDENT'S OWN POSITION ON ISSUES AND RESPONDENT'S PLACEMENT OF THE PRESIDENT AND CANDIDATES ON THESE ISSUES, LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE AND PARTISAN IDENTIFICATIONS, INFORMATION ABOUT REGISTRATION AND VOTING, AND AN EXTENSIVE ARRAY OF DEMOGRAPHIC MEASURES.

"NEW" CONTENT IN 1986 REFLECTED SOME OF THE THEMES TESTED EXTENSIVELY IN THE 1985 PILOT STUDY-- VALUES (SEE THE MORAL TRADITIONALISM BATTERY, Q.P5A-P5H), POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE (Q.H2-H4) AND ATTITUDES ON RACIAL POLICY AS WELL AS MORE GENERAL ATTITUDES CONCEPTUALIZED AS ANTECEDENT TO THESE OPINIONS ON RACIAL QUESTIONS. (FOR A LIST OF PAPERS PRESENTING PILOT COMMITTEE ANALYSES OF THESE QUESTIONS,

PLEASE REFER TO PG. XI, LIST OF REPORTS FROM THE ANES PILOT STUDY 1985.)

BECAUSE THERE WAS CONSIDERABLE INTEREST IN CONTENT WHICH ADDED UP TO SIGNIFICANTLY MORE MINUTES THAN IS PRESENTLY CONSIDERED TO BE THE LIMIT OF RESPONDENT "BURDEN" -- I.E., 75 MINUTES-- IT WAS DECIDED TO USE TWO FORMS IN ADMINISTERING THE 1986 NES. FORMS A AND B DIFFER FROM EACH OTHER IN THAT FORM A CONTAINS UNIQUE MATERIAL FOR PRESIDENTIAL EVALUATION --TRAITS, AFFECTS, RETROSPECTIVE (AND PROSPECTIVE) ECONOMIC EVALUATIONS, WHILE THE QUESTIONS UNIQUE TO FORM B ARE BASICALLY ABOUT RACIAL ATTITUDES. ABOUT 3/4 OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE IS COMMON MATERIAL, I.E., INCLUDED ON BOTH FORMS. THE CODEBOOK INDICATES WHETHER A VARIABLE IS UNIQUE TO A FORM.

□

>> 1986 OCCUPATION CODING

IN THE 1986 ELECTION STUDY, THE OCCUPATION CODING IS BASED ON THE 1980 CENSUS BUREAU THREE DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE, AND HAS BEEN RELEASED IN SOMEWHAT LESS DETAIL THAN IN YEARS PAST. THE DATASET INCLUDES A TWO-DIGIT CODE, WITH 71 CATEGORIES CORRESPONDING TO CENSUS BUREAU OCCUPATIONAL GROUPINGS. THOSE WHO HAVE NEED OF THE FULL OCCUPATION CODE FOR THEIR RESEARCH SHOULD CONTACT THE NES PROJECT STAFF FOR INFORMATION ABOUT THE CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH ACCESS TO THESE DATA MAY BE PROVIDED.

CONFIDENTIALITY RECODING.

FOR SOME YEARS, THE NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES HAVE NOT RELEASED INFORMATION FOR CENSUS TRACTS OR MINOR CIVIL DIVISIONS. PERMISSION TO USE THE MORE DETAILED GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION FOR SCHOLARLY RESEARCH PURPOSES MAY BE OBTAINED FROM THE BOARD OF OVERSEERS. MORE INFORMATION ABOUT THIS IS AVAILABLE FROM THE NES PROJECT STAFF.

OPEN-ENDED MATERIALS.

TRADITIONALLY, THE ELECTION STUDIES HAVE CONTAINED SEVERAL MINUTES OF OPEN-ENDED RESPONSES (FOR EXAMPLE, THE PARTY LIKES AND DISLIKES QUESTIONS, B1-B4 IN THIS STUDY). THESE QUESTIONS ARE CODED BY THE SRC CODING SECTION. OTHER SCHOLARS HAVE DEVELOPED ALTERNATIVE OR SUPPLEMENTAL CODING SCHEMES FOR THESE QUESTIONS (FOR EXAMPLE, THE LEVELS OF CONCEPTUALIZATION, RELEASED AS ICPSR #8151). THE BOARD OF OVERSEERS WISHES TO ENCOURAGE THESE EFFORTS BUT IN WAYS WHICH RESPECT THE NES AND SRC OBLIGATION TO PROTECT THE PRIVACY AND ANONYMITY OF RESPONDENTS. CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH INDIVIDUALS MAY HAVE ACCESS IN ANN ARBOR TO THESE MATERIALS FOR RESEARCH PURPOSES HAVE BEEN WORKED OUT AND THOSE INTERESTED SHOULD CONTACT THE NES PROJECT STAFF FOR FURTHER DETAILS.

USE OF PROBES.

SRC INTERVIEWERS ARE INSTRUCTED TO PROBE AMBIGUOUS OR TERSE

RESPONDENT ANSWERS WITH A LIMITED SET OF STANDARD PHRASES, AND TO RECORD USE OF THESE PROBES WHENEVER THEY OCCUR. IN THIS YEAR'S STUDY, WE HAVE CODED THE USE OF PROBES IN SEVERAL PLACES IN THE QUESTIONNAIRE, PERHAPS MOST NOTABLY ON CANDIDATE PLACEMENTS FOR 7-POINT ISSUE SCALES.

AUXILIARY INFORMATION.

SAMPLING AND FIELD ADMINISTRATION VARIABLES ARE INCLUDED IN THIS RELEASE OF THE DATA. FOR THE FIRST TIME, SOME INFORMATION ABOUT INTERVIEWERS HAS BEEN ADDED TO THE FILE, SUCH AS AGE, RACE, EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE. (SEE VARIABLES V860037-V860040.)

VALIDATED VOTE.

AS IN 1978, 1980 AND 1984, NES DETERMINED WHETHER RESPONDENTS VOTED, BY REFERENCE TO REGISTRATION AND VOTING RECORDS IN LOCAL JURISDICTIONS. ADDITIONAL ITEMS FOR 1986 CONCERN THE OFFICE WHERE REGISTRATION/VOTE RECORDS WERE KEPT, INCLUDING THE TYPE AND ACCESSIBILITY OF THE VOTE/REGISTRATION RECORDS.

OCCUPATIONAL PRESTIGE SCORES.

DUE TO THE COLLAPSING OF CENSUS BUREAU OCCUPATION CODES, OCCUPATIONAL PRESTIGE SCORES ARE NOT AVAILABLE IN THE PUBLIC USE FILE. THOSE WHO HAVE NEED OF THE FULL OCCUPATION CODES AND RELATED PRESTIGE SCORES FOR THEIR RESEARCH SHOULD CONTACT THE NES PROJECT STAFF FOR INFORMATION ABOUT THE CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH ACCESS TO THESE DATA MAY BE PROVIDED.

FORMS.

THE TWO FORMS, A-B, WERE COMBINED INTO ONE QUESTIONNAIRE, WITH ROUTING FOR FORM A AND B RESPONDENTS TAKING PLACE BY MEANS OF CHECKPOINTS. (VARIABLES V860042 AND V960046 CONTAIN DATA ON FORM ADMINISTRATION).

TO AVOID CLUSTERING OF RESPONDENTS BY FORM, SAMPLE ADDRESSES FOR EACH SEGMENT WERE RANDOMLY ASSIGNED TO FORM A AND FORM B.

RESPONSE RATE AND FIELD PERIOD.

THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS STUDY IS 67.7%. THE STUDY WAS IN THE FIELD UNTIL JANUARY 31, ALTHOUGH 67% OF THE INTERVIEWS WERE TAKEN BY NOVEMBER 25, 80% BY DECEMBER 7, AND 93% BY DECEMBER 31. A STAFF TECHNICAL PAPER "RESPONSE EFFECTS IN THE 1986 ELECTION STUDY," IS BEING PRODUCED AND WILL BE AVAILABLE BY EARLY SUMMER. (SEE THE LIST OF WORKING PAPERS AND TECHNICAL REPORTS.)

WRONG RESPONDENTS.

FIVE RESPONDENTS WERE DETERMINED TO BE WRONGLY SELECTED. THE USUAL REASON FOR THE ERRONEOUS SELECTION IS THAT THE INTERVIEWER DID NOT FIND OUT UNTIL THE DEMOGRAPHIC SECTION ABOUT THE PRESENCE OF ANOTHER ADULT IN THE HOUSEHOLD. THE INTERVIEW NUMBERS OF THESE RESPONDENTS ARE: 551, 847, 954,

1992, AND 2176.

CANDIDATE CARDS AND BALLOT LISTS.

THE CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT OF EACH SAMPLE AREA WAS DETERMINED BY THE SRC SAMPLING SECTION. THE NES STUDY STAFF COMPILED INFORMATION FOR EACH SAMPLE POINT ABOUT CANDIDATES FOR GOVERNOR, SENATE AND THE CONGRESS. USING CANDIDATE LISTS INCORPORATING THESE NAMES, INTERVIEWERS PRE-EDITED THEIR QUESTIONNAIRES SO THAT THE CORRECT CANDIDATE NAMES COULD BE READ TO RESPONDENTS AT VARIOUS POINTS IN THE QUESTIONNAIRE. FOR THE VOTE CHOICE QUESTIONS (V860266, V860270, V860274) THE RESPONDENT USED A "BALLOT CARD" SHOWING THE CORRECT NAMES OF CANDIDATES FOR RACES IN THE RESPONDENTS DISTRICT. THE CANDIDATE CARDS AND BALLOT LISTS ARE REPRODUCED IN THE APPENDIX SECTION OF THE CODEBOOK.

>> 1986 STUDY SPECIFICATIONS

	N	RESPONSE RATE	INTERVIEW LENGTH	ELIGIBLE* SAMPLE
FORM A	1086	67.5	74.1	83.8
FORM B	1090	67.9	74.7	83.3
TOTAL	2176	67.7	74.4	83.6

*ELIGIBLE SAMPLE IS THE PROPORTION OF SAMPLE ADDRESSES WHICH WERE DETERMINED TO BE OCCUPIED BY ONE OR MORE U.S. CITIZENS OF VOTING AGE.

□

>> 1986 SAMPLING INFORMATION

THE 1980 SAMPLING FRAME WAS DESIGNATED, SELECTED AND LISTED JOINTLY BY NORC AND SRC. THE SAMPLE UNIVERSE INCLUDES ALL U.S. HOUSEHOLDS IN THE 48 COTERMINOUS STATES, ALASKA AND HAWAII. (CIVILIAN HOUSEHOLDS RESIDING ON MILITARY BASES ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THE SAMPLE UNIVERSE AS DEFINED BY NES.)

THE 1980 NORC/SRC NATIONAL SAMPLE IS A MULTI-STAGE AREA PROBABILITY DESIGN. THAT IS, IN A SERIES OF HIERARCHICAL STEPS, GEOGRAPHICALLY DEFINED SAMPLING UNITS OF DECREASING SIZE ARE SELECTED WITH PROBABILITY PROPORTIONATE TO THEIR TOTAL OCCUPIED HOUSING UNIT COUNT. THE OVERALL SAMPLING FRACTION IS 1:25,389.

THE NATIONAL SAMPLE INCLUDES A FIRST STAGE SELECTION OF SMSA'S, COUNTIES AND COUNTY GROUPS (PRIMARY AREAS), A SECOND STAGE SELECTION OF AREA SEGMENTS (HOUSING UNIT CLUSTERS DEFINED BY CENSUS BLOCKS OR SUBSAMPLED PARTS OF SELECTED CENSUS ENUMERATION DISTRICTS), A THIRD STAGE SAMPLING OF

(LISTED) HOUSING UNITS FROM THE SELECTED AREA SEGMENTS, AND, FOR THE NES SAMPLE, A FINAL STAGE OF RANDOMLY SELECTING A RESPONDENT FROM THE ELIGIBLE MEMBERS OF THE SAMPLE HOUSEHOLDS.

IN THIS SAMPLE, PRIMARY AREAS CONSIST OF COUNTIES, SMSA'S, INDEPENDENT CITIES, AND IN NEW ENGLAND, PARTS OF COUNTIES. EIGHTY-FOUR PRIMARY AREAS WERE SELECTED AT THE FIRST STAGE. PRIOR TO SELECTION, PRIMARY AREAS WERE GROUPED INTO 84 STRATA. THE STRATA WERE FORMED BY GROUPING METROPOLITAN AND NON-METROPOLITAN PAS WITHIN EACH OF THE FOUR CENSUS REGIONS. WITHIN EACH REGION, ADDITIONAL VARIABLES WERE USED TO DEFINE STRATA. THE STRATIFYING VARIABLES INCLUDED WITHIN-REGION GEOGRAPHY AND SIZE; SIZE WAS MEASURED BY THE 1980 CENSUS COUNTY OF OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS. ONE PSU (PRIMARY SELECTION UNIT) WAS SELECTED FROM EACH STRATUM USING A CONTROLLED SELECTION PROCEDURE.

SIXTEEN OF THE SELECTIONS ARE "SELF-REPRESENTING". THAT IS, THERE IS ONE AND ONLY ONE SELECTION FROM THAT STRATA BECAUSE THE ENTIRE STRATA IS COMPRISED OF AN SMSA. FOR EXAMPLE, NEW YORK AND CHICAGO SMSA'S ARE SELF-REPRESENTING. TECHNICALLY, THESE ARE NOT PSUS SINCE THERE IS NO SELECTION AS SUCH INVOLVED. FOR THIS REASON THE 84 UNITS IN THE FIRST STAGE OF THE SAMPLE SELECTIONS ARE REFERRED TO IN SUBSEQUENT DESCRIPTIONS AS PRIMARY AREAS, RATHER THAN PSUS.

FOR THE 1980 DESIGN, THE DESIGNATED SECOND STAGE SAMPLING UNITS (SSUS OR SEGMENTS) ARE COMPRISED OF CENSUS BLOCKS IN METROPOLITAN PRIMARY AREAS (OR ENUMERATION DISTRICTS IN SOME RURAL AREAS). THIS REPRESENTS A CHANGE FOR SRC WHICH IN 1970 USED AN INTERMEDIATE STEP OF SELECTING A STRATIFIED SAMPLE OF MINOR CIVIL DIVISIONS OR "PLACES" BEFORE SELECTING THE SMALLER BLOCK OR ED UNITS.

SAMPLING OF SECOND STAGE UNITS WAS PERFORMED WITH PROBABILITIES PROPORTIONATE TO MEASURES OF SIZE (MOS) -- THE TOTAL OCCUPIED HOUSING COUNT FOR THE AREA. BEFORE SECOND STAGE SELECTION TOOK PLACE, SSUS WERE ORDERED OR IMPLICITLY STRATIFIED USING A THREE STEP PROCEDURE.

FIRST, IN MULTI-COUNTY PRIMARY AREAS, THE SSUS WERE ORDERED BY COUNTY ACCORDING TO GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION AND POPULATION SIZE OF THE COUNTY. THIRTY-SIX OF THE EIGHTY-FOUR PRIMARY AREAS FELL IN STATES WHERE DATA WERE AVAILABLE FOR THE MEDIAN PER CAPITA INCOME FOR EACH MCD. IN THESE AREAS, EACH MCD WAS CLASSIFIED INTO ONE OF THREE POPULATION SIZE, AND ONE OF THREE INCOME SIZE, CATEGORIES. IN ALL PRIMARY AREAS, THE FINAL STRATIFICATION STEP WAS TO ORDER INDIVIDUAL CENSUS BLOCKS AND ENUMERATION DISTRICTS ACCORDING TO GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION.

THE SECONDARY SELECTIONS (SEGMENTS) WERE MADE USING A SYSTEMATIC SELECTION PROCEDURE. WITHIN THE 6 LARGEST PRIMARY AREAS, A VARYING NUMBER OF SEGMENTS CAN BE SELECTED, FROM 6 TO ABOUT 25. IN ALL OTHER PRIMARY AREAS, SIX SECONDARY SELECTIONS WERE MADE.

THE MINIMUM SIZE OF A SEGMENT IS 50 OCCUPIED HOUSEHOLDS, BUT THE AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOUSING UNIT LISTINGS IN A SEGMENT IS

ABOUT 92. IN ORDER TO MAKE MANAGEABLE THE SUBSEQUENT STEP OF LISTING THE HOUSING UNITS FROM WHICH SAMPLE SELECTIONS ARE TO BE MADE, THE SRC SAMPLE DESIGN FURTHER DIVIDES THE SEGMENTS INTO SEGMENT PARTS, WHICH ARE IDENTIFIABLE UNITS WITHIN THE SEGMENT WITH A MEASURE OF SIZE OF APPROXIMATELY 16 TO 20 HUS -- FOR EXAMPLE, A CITY BLOCK.

EACH HU IN AN ACTIVE SEGMENT PART IS THEN LISTED AS A SAMPLE "LINE," AND FINAL HOUSEHOLD SELECTIONS ARE MADE WITH A RANDOM START AND A SYSTEMATIC SAMPLING PROCEDURE.

ONCE A HOUSEHOLD IS SELECTED, ALL PERSONS RESIDING THERE ARE LISTED BY THE INTERVIEWER, AND A RESPONDENT IS CHOSEN, USING A SELECTION TABLE FROM THE POLITICALLY ELIGIBLE ADULTS IN THE HOUSEHOLD. (SEE VARIABLES V86007 AND V860014.)

EVERY HOUSEHOLD IN THE UNITED STATES HAS AN EQUAL PROBABILITY OF FALLING INTO THE SAMPLE. HOWEVER, ALL RESPONDENTS ARE NOT SELECTED WITH EQUAL PROBABILITY. FOR EXAMPLE, ONCE A HOUSEHOLD IS SELECTED, AN ELIGIBLE INDIVIDUAL IN A HOUSEHOLD WITH THREE ELIGIBLE PERSONS HAS ONLY ONE-THIRD THE PROBABILITY OF SELECTION AS THE PERSON WHO IS THE ONLY ELIGIBLE PERSON IN HIS/HER HOUSEHOLD. TECHNICALLY, RESPONDENTS OUGHT TO BE WEIGHTED IN ALL ANALYSES BY THE INVERSE OF THEIR SELECTION PROBABILITY. NES STAFF HAS TRADITIONALLY COMPARED FREQUENCIES RUN WEIGHTED AND UNWEIGHTED ON VARIABLES OF INTEREST AND CONCLUDED THAT THE DIFFERENCES IN DISTRIBUTIONS (TYPICALLY VERY MINOR) DO NOT JUSTIFY THE ADDED COMPLEXITIES OF WEIGHTING. THIS IS THE CASE FOR 1986 AS WELL.

FINALLY, THE FULL 1980 NATIONAL SAMPLE HAS 84 PRIMARY SELECTIONS, ONE FROM EACH STRATA. IN ORDER TO PROVIDE FOR FLEXIBILITY IN SAMPLE DESIGN AND FOR EFFICIENCY IN STAFFING PRIMARY AREAS, THE SAMPLE DESIGN ALLOWS FOR USE OF 1/2, 2/3, 5/6 OR ALL OF THE NONSELF-REPRESENTING PRIMARY AREAS. THIS FEATURE ALLOWS A SMALLER NUMBER OF PRIMARY AREAS TO BE USED FOR SMALLER SAMPLE SIZES, ENABLING MORE OPTIMAL COST/ STATISTICAL EFFICIENCY THAN WOULD RESULT IF THE SAMPLE WERE SPREAD ACROSS THE FULL 84 PRIMARY AREAS.

IN PREVIOUS SRC SAMPLES, DESIRED INCREASES IN SAMPLE SIZE WERE HANDLED BY GENERATING ADDITIONAL LINES WITHIN A STANDARD SET OF SEGMENTS. IN THE CURRENT SAMPLING FRAME, ADDITIONAL PRIMARY AREAS AND/OR SEGMENTS WITHIN THE LARGEST PRIMARY AREAS ARE SELECTED TO INCREASE SAMPLE SIZE.

IN 1986 THE NES USED THE 2/3 SAMPLE OPTION, WHICH MEANS THAT ALL OF THE 16 SELF-REPRESENTING PRIMARY AREAS AND 45 (2/3 OF 68) OF THE NON-SELF-REPRESENTING PRIMARY AREAS WERE USED, FOR A TOTAL OF 61 PRIMARY AREAS. IN ADDITION TO FLEXIBILITY IN THE NUMBER OF PRIMARY AREAS WHICH MAY BE USED IN A SAMPLE, THE SRC SAMPLE DESIGN ALSO ALLOWS FOR FLEXIBILITY IN THE NUMBER OF SEGMENTS USED WITHIN SELF-REPRESENTING PRIMARY AREAS WHERE THE NUMBER OF SEGMENTS RANGES FROM 6 TO 25 IS PROPORTIONATE TO THE NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS IN THE PRIMARY AREAS. THE NUMBER OF SEGMENTS IN EACH OF THE NON-SELF-REPRESENTING PRIMARY AREAS IS EXACTLY 6. THERE ARE 426 SEGMENTS IN THE SAMPLE (OF WHICH 416 YIELDED AT LEAST ONE INTERVIEW); 156 FROM SELF-REPRESENTING AREAS AND 270

FROM THE REMAINING ONE. WITH 2176 INTERVIEWS, THERE ARE 5.1 INTERVIEWS ON AVERAGE PER SEGMENT.

THE SAMPLE IS MORE FULLY DESCRIBED IN THE APPENDIX ENTITLED "TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM ON THE SAMPLING DESIGN OF THE 1986 NATIONAL POST-ELECTION STUDY".

□

>> 1986 WORKING PAPERS SERIES

GIOVANNA MORCHIO AND MARIA SANCHEZ. "CREATION OF A FILTER VARIABLE TO BE USED WHEN ANALYZING QUESTIONS ABOUT CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES IN THE 1982 INTEGRATED PERSONAL/ISR CATI/BERKELEY, CATI DATASET: A REPORT TO THE BOARD OF OVERSEERS, NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES." WORKING PAPER NO. 1. ANN ARBOR: CPS, FEBRUARY 28, 1984. 40 PAGES.

GIOVANNA MORCHIO AND MARIA SANCHEZ. "COMPARISON OF THE MICHIGAN METHOD OF DISTRICT ASSIGNMENT ON THE TELEPHONE WITH THE PERSONAL INTERVIEW SIMULATED DATA: A REPORT TO THE BOARD OF OVERSEERS, NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES." WORKING PAPER NO. 2. ANN ARBOR: CPS, MARCH 2, 1984. 10 PAGES.

NES STAFF. "QUESTIONS AND VERSIONS IN NES CONTINUOUS MONITORING, 1984: A REPORT TO THE BOARD OF OVERSEERS, NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES." WORKING PAPER NO. 3. ANN ARBOR: CPS, AUGUST, 1984. 10 PAGES.

NES STAFF. "WEEKLY FIELD REPORT FOR THE NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES CONTINUOUS MONITORING, JAN. 11 - AUGUST 3, 1984: A REPORT TO THE BOARD OF OVERSEERS, NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES." WORKING PAPER NO. 4. ANN ARBOR: CPS, AUGUST, 1984. 4 PAGES.

SANTA TRAUOGOTT. "SAMPLE WEIGHTING IN NES CONTINUOUS MONITORING, 1984: A REPORT TO THE BOARD OF OVERSEERS, NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES." WORKING PAPER NO. 5. ANN ARBOR: CPS, 6 PAGES.

SANTA TRAUOGOTT. "SAMPLE WEIGHTING IN NES PRE-POST RESEARCH ELECTION SURVEY." WORKING PAPER NO. 6. ANN ARBOR: CPS, APRIL, 1985.

JOHN BREHM. "ANALYSIS OF RESULT CODE DISPOSITION FOR CONTINUOUS MONITORING BY TIME IN FIELD." WORKING PAPER NO. 7. ANN ARBOR: CPS, AUGUST, 1985.

JOHN BREHM. "REPORT ON CODING OF ECONOMIC CONDITIONS SERIES IN THE 1984 PRE-POST ELECTION STUDY." WORKING PAPER NO. 8. ANN ARBOR: CPS, JUNE, 1985.

GIOVANNA MORCHIO, MARIA SANCHEZ AND SANTA TRAUOGOTT. "MODE DIFFERENCES: DK RESPONSES IN THE 1984 POST-ELECTION SURVEY." WORKING PAPER NO. 9. ANN ARBOR: CPS, NOVEMBER, 1985.

□

>> LIST OF REPORTS FROM THE ANES 1985 PILOT STUDY

PAMELA JOHNSTON CONOVER AND STANLEY FELDMAN. MORALITY ITEMS ON THE 1985 PILOT STUDY. MAY, 1986.

PAMELA JOHNSTON CONOVER AND STANLEY FELDMAN. 1985 PILOT STUDY MEASURES ON CIVIC OBLIGATION. MAY, 1986.

SHANTO IYENGAR. WHITHER POLITICAL INFORMATION. MAY, 1986.

GARY JACOBSON. NEW CONGRESSIONAL QUESTIONS FROM 1985 PILOT STUDY. MAY, 1986.

TOM JESSOR AND DAVID O. SEARS. RACIAL CONFLICT IN THE 1985 NES PILOT STUDY. MAY, 1986.

DONALD R. KINDER AND LYNN M. SANDERS. REVITALIZING THE MEASUREMENT OF WHITE AMERICANS' RACIAL ATTITUDES: A REPORT TO THE NES 1985 PILOT STUDY COMMITTEE AND NES BOARD. MAY, 1986.

DAVID O. SEARS AND LEONIE HUDDY. SOCIAL IDENTITIES AND POLITICAL DISUNITY AMONG WOMEN. MAY, 1986.

DAVID O. SEARS AND LEONIE HUDDY. INTEREST GROUP BEHAVIOR AMONG OLDER PEOPLE. MAY, 1986.

RICHARD SOBEL. FROM JOB PARTICIPATION TO OCCUPATIONAL INVOLVEMENT TO POLITICAL PARTICIPATION. OCTOBER 20, 1986.

JACK L. WALKER AND FRANK BAUMGARTNER. A NEW QUESTION ON GROUP AFFILIATIONS IN THE 1986 NES PILOT STUDY. MAY 20, 1986.

JACK WALKER. SUBJECTIVE MEASURES OF GROUP AFFILIATION. MAY 27, 1986.

JOHN ZALLER. ANALYSIS OF INFORMATION ITEMS IN THE 1985 NES PILOT STUDY. JUNE, 1986.

STAFF MEMORANDA:

JOHN BREHM AND SANTA TRAUOGOTT. SIMILARITY AND REPRESENTATIVENESS OF 1985 PILOT HALF-SAMPLES. MARCH 17, 1986.

SANTA TRAUOGOTT. STAFF EVALUATION OF THE DATA COLLECTION AND DOCUMENTATION FOR THE 1985 PILOT STUDY. MAY 19, 1986.

□

>> PILOT STUDY REPORTS ANES 1983

SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD OF OVERSEERS, NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY AND THE 1984 NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY PLANNING COMMITTEE.

1. "MEASURING PERSONAL ECONOMIC WELL-BEING" FROM STEVEN J.

ROSENSTONE AND JOHN MARK HANSEN, DATED OCTOBER, 1983.

2. "GROUP ECONOMIC WELL-BEING AND POLITICAL CHOICE" FROM DONALD R. KINDER, STEVEN J. ROSENSTONE AND JOHN MARK HANSEN, DATED OCTOBER, 1983.

3. MEMO TO NES BOARD OF OVERSEERS FROM STANLEY FELDMAN RE: REPORT ON VALUES IN THE 1983 PILOT STUDY, DATED OCTOBER 19, 1983.

4. MEMO TO NES PLANNING COMMITTEE AND BOARD FROM DAVID O. SEARS, LEONIE HUDDY, LYNITTA SCHAFFER RE: NES PILOT STUDY OF VALUES, DATED OCTOBER, 1983.

5. "PRESIDENTIAL TRAITS" FROM DONALD R. KINDER, DATED OCTOBER, 1983.

6. MEMO TO NES BOARD OF OVERSEERS AND 1984 PLANNING COMMITTEE FROM PAMELA JOHNSTON CONOVER AND STANLEY FELDMAN RE: THE INCLUSION OF ITEMS MEASURING EMOTIONAL REACTIONS TO THE ECONOMY IN THE 1984 NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY, DATED OCTOBER 22, 1983.

7. MEMO TO BOARD OF OVERSEERS AND 1984 NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY PLANNING COMMITTEE FROM JOHN MARK HANSEN AND STEVEN J. ROSENSTONE RE: PARTICIPATION OUTSIDE ELECTIONS, DATED OCTOBER 24, 1983.

8. "GROUP CONSCIOUSNESS IN THE 1983 NES PILOT STUDY" FROM DAVID O. SEARS, TOM JESSOR AND MARTIN GAHART, DATED OCTOBER 24, 1983.

9. "MONITORING GROUP INTEREST" FROM ETHEL KLEIN, DATED OCTOBER, 1983.

10. MEMO TO NES PLANNING COMMITTEE AND BOARD FROM JON KROSNICK RE: NES PILOT STUDY OF ISSUE POSITION CENTRALITY MEASURES, DATED OCTOBER 21, 1983.

11. MEMO TO BOARD OF OVERSEERS AND 1984 NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY PLANNING COMMITTEE FROM STEVEN ROSENSTONE AND STANLEY FELDMAN RE: DESIGN, IMPLEMENTATION, AND ANALYSIS OF THE ROLLING CROSS-SECTION AND EVENT MONITORING COMPONENTS OF THE 1984 NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY, DATED OCTOBER 19, 1983.

12. MEMO TO BOARD OF OVERSEERS AND 1984 NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY PLANNING COMMITTEE FROM STEVEN ROSENSTONE RE: STUDYING POLITICAL ACTIVATION ON THE ROLLING CROSS-SECTION, DATED OCTOBER 18, 1983.

13. MEMO TO 1984 NES PLANNING COMMITTEE AND NES BOARD FROM DONALD R. KINDER RE: MEASURING THE DEVELOPMENT OF CANDIDATE IMPRESSIONS, DATED OCTOBER 26, 1983.

14. MEMO TO DONALD KINDER, CHAIR, NES 1984 DESIGN COMMITTEE FROM RICHARD A. BRODY RE: PRESIDENTIAL PERFORMANCE RATINGS IN THE CONTINUOUS MONITORING DATA COLLECTION, DATED SEPTEMBER 12, 1983.

15A. MEMO TO DON KINDER, CHAIR, 1984 NES PLANNING COMMITTEE

FROM MERRILL SHANKS RE: PERCEPTIONS OF STRENGTH FOR INDIVIDUAL CANDIDACIES: MEASUREMENT OBJECTIVES FOR ANALYSES OF "MOMENTUM" AND "STRATEGIC VOTING", DATED OCTOBER 26, 1983.

15B. VARIABLES ON PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES.

16. MEMO TO NES BOARD OF OVERSEERS AND 1984 PLANNING COMMITTEE FROM STANLEY FELDMAN RE: VALUES AND THE ROLLING CROSS-SECTION, DATED OCTOBER 19, 1983.

17. MEMO TO 1984 NES PLANNING COMMITTEE AND BOARD FROM DONALD R. KINDER RE: MEASURING THE PUBLIC'S VIEW OF THE NATION'S CONDITION IN THE 1984 ROLLING CROSS-SECTION, DATED OCTOBER 25, 1983.

□>> 1986 DESCRIPTION OF VOTE VALIDATION OFFICE ADMINISTRATION VARIABLES

The Election Administration dataset is part of the 1987 Vote Validation Study. In order to better understand the results of the individual voter record search, an interview was conducted with the Election Administration official in charge of the election office pertaining to our sample. This interview used a structured questionnaire which was designed to gather information in three areas: 1) How registration and voting records were kept and updated; 2) levels of office staffing and consequent ease of registration and 3) interviewer evaluation of office organization, efficiency and cooperation.

Interviews were obtained with officials in 166 offices. Offices varied greatly in size, record keeping procedures, level of computerization, and in the ease with which access was given to our interviewer. The number of registration records in a given office ranged all the way from Los Angeles with 2 million records to a township in Michigan with 800. Almost 80% of the offices are fully, partially or in the process of being computerized. Voting records were unavailable in offices coded 68 and 76 and 79 on variable 882502 (Sample Address Office ID); they had being packed and stored (in office 68) or burned (in office 76), or sent to the Secretary of State's office for processing (office 79).

For the most part office officials and supporting personnel were very cooperative and gave our interviewers wide access to their records. In a few instances they claimed only a state resident could have such access or the interviewer was barred from seeing the actual record, since it contained confidential information, i.e., birth date.

The section of the questionnaire dealing with registration opportunities (office hours and staffing) while accurate as far as it goes, is probably inadequate to portray the variety of staffing and scheduling strategies encountered. This portion of the questionnaire is to be extensively revised in 1988.

The original election administration dataset of 166 records was expanded so that information from the appropriate election office can be attached to the record of each of the 2176 respondents in the 1986 Post election study. The individual respondent case ID was added to the election administration dataset, after expansion, to facilitate merging of the Election Administration interview information with the 1986 post election survey file. Assuming that

both files are in 1986 election survey case ID sort order, the two files can be easily merged. (Users may also request the original file of 166 records.)

Users should be aware of the following special situations. Fifty one respondents gave us in 1986 an address different than their sample address for their registration address. We attempted to validate these people at the office appropriate for the address where they told us they were registered. Information about this situation is coded in variable 882042, Validation Office ID, and variable 882043, Relation of Sample Address Office to Validation Office. The office information for these 51 respondents which is attached to their case ID in the expanded election administration file is the one appropriate for the sample address, as recorded in variable 882502.

Some respondents (N=15) in this category turned out to be registered at nearby offices pertaining to sample segments which also happened to fall into our sample. (They are coded 3 on variable 882043.) these cases, users might desire to substitute for the election administration information in that respondent's file (which, as noted above, pertains to the sample segment in which the respondent actually lives, as opposed to that in which he/she is registered) the election office data corresponding to his/her registration information. Please contact NES Study Staff for information about how to do this.

A number of respondents in the 1986 election study were not validated for any of several reasons; for example, they told us they were not registered and did not vote; and/or they did not give us their name. In these cases, office data was attached to respondents based on their sample address.

□>> 1986 CODEBOOK INFORMATION

The following example from the 1948 NES study provides the standard format for codebook variable documentation.

Note that NES studies which are not part of the Time-Series usually omit marginals and the descriptive content in lines 2-5 (except for variable name).

Line

```

1  =====
2  VAR 480026    NAME-R NOT VT-WAS R REG TO VT
3                COLUMNS 61    - 61
4                NUMERIC
5                MD=0 OR GE 8
6
7                Q. 17.  (IF R DID NOT VOTE)  WERE YOU REGISTERED (ELIGIBLE)
8                TO VOTE.
9                .....
10
11             82          1.  YES
12            149          2.  NO
13
14             0           8.  DK
15             9           9.  NA
16            422          0.  INAP., R VOTED

```

- Line 2 - VARIABLE NAME. Note that in the codebook the variable name (usually a 'number') does not include the "V" prefix which is used in the release SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files) for all variables including those which do not have 'number' names. For example the variable "VERSION" in the codebook is "VVERSION" in the data definition files.
- Line 2 - "NAME". This is the variable label used in the SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files). Some codebooks exclude this.
- Line 3 - COLUMNS. Columns in the ASCII data file (.dat file).
- Line 4 - CHARACTER OR NUMERIC. If numeric and the variable is a decimal rather than integer variable, the number of decimal places is also indicated (e.g. "NUMERIC DEC 4")
- Line 5 - Values which are assigned to missing by default in the Study's SAS and and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files).
- Line 7 - Actual question text for survey variables or a description of non-survey variables (for example, congressional district). Survey items usually include the question number (for example "B1a.") from the Study questionnaire; beginning in 1996 non-survey items also have unique item numbers (for example "CSheet.1").
- Line 9 - A dashed or dotted line usually separates question text from any other documentation which follows.
- Line 10- When present, annotation provided by Study staff is presented below the question text/description and preceding code values.
- Lines 11-16
Code values are listed with descriptive labels. Valid codes (those not having 'missing' status in line 5) are presented first, followed by the values described in line 5. For continuous variables, one line may appear providing the range of possible values. A blank line usually separates the 'valid' and 'missing' values.
- Lines 11-16
Marginals are usually provided for discrete variables. The counts may be unweighted or weighted; check the Study codebook introductory text to determine weight usage.
- >> 1986 ICPSR PROCESSING INFORMATION

THE DATA COLLECTION WAS PROCESSED ACCORDING TO THE STANDARD ICPSR PROCESSING PROCEDURES FOR CLASS I DATA COLLECTIONS. THE DATA WERE CHECKED FOR ILLEGAL OR INCONSISTENT CODE VALUES WHICH, WHEN FOUND, WERE RECODED TO OSIRIS MISSING DATA VALUES. EXTENSIVE CONSISTENCY CHECKS WERE PERFORMED. STATEMENTS BRACKETED IN "<" AND ">" SIGNS IN THE BODY OF THE CODEBOOK WERE ADDED BY THE PROCESSORS FOR EXPLANATORY PURPOSES.

□>> 1986 VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST

VERSION NES VERSION NUMBER
 DSETNO NES DATASET NUMBER
 860001 ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER-8678
 860004 CASE ID OF RESPONDENT

SAMPLING INFORMATION

860005 SEGMENT NUMBER
 860006 STATE AND CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT CODE
 860007 SELECTION TABLE
 860008 REFUSAL CONVERSION INDICATOR
 860009 DATE OF INTERVIEW
 860010 PERSUASION LETTER REQUESTED
 860011 FINAL CALL NUMBER
 860012 FINAL RESULT CODE

HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION

860013 NUMBER OF PERSONS IN HOUSEHOLD
 860014 NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE ADULTS
 860015 NUMBER OF CHILDREN UNDER SIX YEARS OLD
 860016 NUMBER OF CHILDREN SIX TO NINE YEARS OLD
 860017 NUMBER OF CHILDREN TEN TO THIRTEEN YEARS OLD
 860018 NUMBER OF CHILDREN FOURTEEN TO SEVENTEEN YEARS OLD

PERSONAL INFORMATION

860019 IF R IS FEMALE, HAS R LEGALLY CHANGED NAME
 860020 PHONE NUMBER OBTAINED
 860021 IS R'S NUMBER LISTED IN THE PHONE DIRECTORY
 860022 IS INTERVIEW ADDRESS ONLY PLACE OF RESIDENCE
 860023 CAN INTERVIEW BE CONDUCTED BY PHONE

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

860024 PRIMARY AREA NAMES
 860025 CENSUS REGION
 860026 FIPS STATE AND COUNTY CODE
 860027 FIPS 80 SMSA CENSUS CODE
 860028 FIPS 80 SCSA CENSUS CODE
 860029 POPULATION SIZE: PLACE OF INTERVIEW LOCATION
 860030 ACTUAL POPULATION OF INTERVIEW LOCATION
 860031 BELT CODE
 860033 CENSUS ENUMERATION, 1980
 860032 1980 CENSUS TRACT
 860034 MINOR CIVIL DIVISION
 860035 CENSUS PLACE CODE
 860036 SAMPLING ERROR CODE

INTERVIEWER INFORMATION

860037 SUMMARY: INTERVIEWER/RESPONDENT RACE
 860038 INTERVIEWER'S EDUCATION

860039 INTERVIEWER'S AGE BRACKETED
860040 INTERVIEWER'S YEARS OF EXPERIENCE
860041 INTERVIEWER NUMBER
860042 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: SUMMARY VARIABLE

ELECTION INFORMATION

860043 TYPE OF CONGRESSIONAL RACE
860044 TYPE OF SENATORIAL RACE
860045 TYPE OF GOVERNOR RACE
860046 FORM TYPE
860047 ICPSR STATE CODE
860048 FIPS STATE CODE
860049 STATE AND CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT CODE
860050 STATE AND CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT OF R'S WHO VOTED
OUTSIDE SAMPLING CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT
860051 PRIMARY AREA CODE

POST-ELECTION INFORMATION

860052 INTERVIEWER'S INTERVIEW NUMBER
860053 DATE COMPLETED-MONTH
860054 DATE COMPLETED-DAY
860055 LENGTH OF INTERVIEW
860056 LENGTH OF PRE-EDITING
860057 LENGTH OF POST-EDITING
860058 BEGINNING TIME-LOCAL

THE MEDIA AS AN INFORMATION SOURCE

860059 WAS R INTERESTED IN POLITICAL CAMPAIGNS THIS YEAR
860060 DID R LISTEN TO ANYTHING ABOUT CAMPAIGNS ON THE RADIO
860061 HOW MANY CAMPAIGN RADIO PROGRAMS DID R LISTEN TO
860062 DID R READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN IN ANY NEWSPAPERS
860063 HOW MUCH ATTENTION DID R PAY TO THE ARTICLES ABOUT
CAMPAIGN
860064 DID R WATCH ANY PROGRAMS ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN ON
TELEVISION
860065 HOW MUCH ATTENTION DID R PAY TO TV ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN

DOES R DISCUSS POLITICS

860066 DOES R EVER DISCUSS POLITICS WITH FAMILY/FRIENDS
860067 HOW OFTEN DOES R DISCUSS POLITICS WITH FAMILY/FRIENDS
860068 HOW MANY DAYS IN PAST WEEK DID R TALK POLITICS WITH
FAMILY/FRIENDS
860069 HOW MANY DAYS IN PAST WEEK DID R READ A DAILY
NEWSPAPER
860070 HOW MANY DAYS IN PAST WEEK DID R WATCH NATIONAL NEWS
ON TV

R LIKES/DISLIKES DEMOCRATIC PARTY

860071 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: FORM #1
860072 WHETHER R LIKES ANYTHING ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
860073 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY-FIRST MENTION
860074 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY-SECOND
MENTION
860075 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY-THIRD MENTION

860076 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY-FOURTH
MENTION
860077 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY-FIFTH MENTION
860078 WHETHER R DISLIKES ANYTHING ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC
PARTY
860079 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY-FIRST
MENTION
860080 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY-SECOND
MENTION
860081 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY-THIRD
MENTION
860082 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY-FOURTH
MENTION
860083 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY-FIFTH
MENTION

R LIKES/DISLIKES REPUBLICAN PARTY

860084 WHETHER R LIKES ANYTHING ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY
860085 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-FIRST MENTION
860086 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-SECOND
MENTION
860087 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-THIRD MENTION
860088 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-FOURTH
MENTION
860089 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-FIFTH MENTION
860090 WHETHER R DISLIKES ANYTHING ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN
PARTY
860091 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-FIRST
MENTION
860092 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-SECOND
MENTION
860093 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-THIRD
MENTION
860094 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-FOURTH
MENTION
860095 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-FIFTH
MENTION

R'S ASSESSMENT OF CONGRESS AND THE PRESIDENT

860096 DOES R APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF WAY REAGAN HANDLING JOB
AS PRESIDENT
860097 DOES R APPROVE STRONGLY OR NOT STRONGLY
860098 DOES R APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF WAY REAGAN HANDLING THE
ECONOMY
860099 DOES R APPROVE STRONGLY OR NOT STRONGLY
860100 DOES R APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF WAY CONGRESS IS HANDLING
ITS JOB
860101 DOES R APPROVE STRONGLY OR NOT STRONGLY

CONGRESSIONAL ELECTIONS

860102 DID R CARE ABOUT THE OUTCOME OF CONGRESSIONAL
ELECTIONS
860103 DOES R REMEMBER CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES
860104 CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE'S NAME-FIRST MENTION
860105 CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE'S PARTY-FIRST MENTION
860106 WHO WERE THEY-FIRST MENTION
860107 DID R CORRECTLY IDENTIFY CANDIDATE'S NAME AND

PARTY-FIRST MENTION

860108 CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE'S NAME-SECOND MENTION
 860109 CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE'S PARTY-SECOND MENTION
 860110 WHO WERE THEY-SECOND MENTION
 860111 DID R CORRECTLY IDENTIFY CANDIDATE'S NAME AND
 PARTY-SECOND MENTION
 860112 CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE'S NAME-THIRD MENTION
 860113 CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE'S PARTY-THIRD MENTION
 860114 WHO WERE THEY-THIRD MENTION
 860115 DID R CORRECTLY IDENTIFY CANDIDATE'S NAME AND
 PARTY-THIRD MENTION

SENATORIAL ELECTIONS

860116 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: SENATE RACE #1
 860117 DOES R REMEMBER THE U.S. SENATE CANDIDATES
 860118 U.S. SENATE CANDIDATE'S NAME-FIRST MENTION
 860119 U.S. SENATE CANDIDATE'S PARTY-FIRST MENTION
 860120 WHO WERE THEY-FIRST MENTION
 860121 DID R CORRECTLY IDENTIFY CANDIDATE'S NAME AND
 PARTY-FIRST MENTION
 860122 U.S. SENATE CANDIDATE'S NAME-SECOND MENTION
 860123 U.S. SENATE CANDIDATE'S PARTY-SECOND MENTION
 860124 WHO WERE THEY-SECOND MENTION
 860125 DID R CORRECTLY IDENTIFY CANDIDATE'S NAME AND
 PARTY-SECOND MENTION
 860126 U.S. SENATE CANDIDATE'S NAME-THIRD MENTION
 860127 U.S. SENATE CANDIDATE'S PARTY-THIRD MENTION
 860128 WHO WERE THEY-THIRD MENTION
 860129 DID R CORRECTLY IDENTIFY CANDIDATE'S NAME AND
 PARTY-THIRD MENTION

FEELING THERMOMETER - POLITICAL FIGURES

860130 FEELING THERMOMETER-RONALD REAGAN
 860131 FEELING THERMOMETER-DEMOCRATIC SENATE
 CANDIDATE 1
 860132 FEELING THERMOMETER-REPUBLICAN SENATE
 CANDIDATE-CANDIDATE 1
 860133 FEELING THERMOMETER-US SENATE INCUMBENT-CANDIDATE 1
 860134 FEELING THERMOMETER-US SENATE INCUMBENT-CANDIDATE 2
 860135 FEELING THERMOMETER-US SENATE INCUMBENT-CANDIDATE 3
 860136 FEELING THERMOMETER-DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE
 860137 FEELING THERMOMETER-REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE
 860138 FEELING THERMOMETER-LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE/INDEPENDENT
 HOUSE CANDIDATE
 860139 FEELING THERMOMETER-MARIO CUOMO
 860140 FEELING THERMOMETER-ROBERT DOLE
 860141 FEELING THERMOMETER-JACK KEMP
 860142 FEELING THERMOMETER-PAT ROBERTSON
 860143 FEELING THERMOMETER-GARY HART
 860144 FEELING THERMOMETER-GEORGE BUSH
 860145 FEELING THERMOMETER-JESSE JACKSON
 860146 FEELING THERMOMETER-DEMOCRATIC PARTY
 860147 FEELING THERMOMETER-REPUBLICAN PARTY
 860148 FEELING THERMOMETER-POLITICAL PARTIES IN GENERAL
 860149 FEELING THERMOMETER-BLACKS
 860150 FEELING THERMOMETER-CONSERVATIVES
 860151 FEELING THERMOMETER-LABOR UNIONS
 860152 FEELING THERMOMETER-WOMEN'S MOVEMENT

860153 FEELING THERMOMETER-LIBERALS
 860154 FEELING THERMOMETER-PEOPLE ON WELFARE
 860155 FEELING THERMOMETER-BLACK MILITANTS
 860156 FEELING THERMOMETER-POOR PEOPLE

FEELING THERMOMETER - CODER CHECKPOINTS

860157 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.B12A PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
 860158 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.B12B PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
 860159 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.B12C PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
 860160 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.B12D PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
 860161 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.B12E PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
 860162 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.B12F PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
 860163 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.B12G PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
 860164 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.B12H PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
 860165 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.B12J PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
 860166 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS CUOMO PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
 860167 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS DOLE PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
 860168 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS KEMP PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
 860169 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS ROBERTSON PROBED BY
 INTERVIEWER
 860170 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS HART PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
 860171 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS BUSH PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
 860172 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS JACKSON PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
 860173 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS DEMOCRATIC PARTY PROBED BY
 INTERVIEWER
 860174 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS THE REPUBLICAN PARTY PROBED BY
 INTERVIEWER
 860175 CODER CHECKPOINT - WERE POLITICAL PARTIES IN GENERAL
 PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
 860176 CODER CHECKPOINT - WERE BLACKS PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
 860177 CODER CHECKPOINT - WERE CONSERVATIVES PROBED BY
 INTERVIEWER
 860178 CODER CHECKPOINT - WERE LABOR UNIONS PROBED BY
 INTERVIEWER
 860179 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS THE WOMEN'S MOVEMENT PROBED BY
 INTERVIEWER
 860180 CODER CHECKPOINT - WERE LIBERALS PROBED BY
 INTERVIEWER
 860181 CODER CHECKPOINT - WERE PEOPLE ON WELFARE PROBED BY
 INTERVIEWER
 860182 CODER CHECKPOINT - WERE BLACK MILITANTS PROBED BY
 INTERVIEWER
 183 CODER CHECKPOINT - WERE POOR PEOPLE PROBED BY
 INTERVIEWER

R LIKES/DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE

860184 IS THERE ANYTHING R LIKED ABOUT DEMOCRATIC HOUSE
 CANDIDATE
 860185 WHAT DID R LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE-
 FIRST MENTION
 860186 WHAT DID R LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE-
 SECOND MENTION
 860187 WHAT DID R LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE-
 THIRD MENTION
 860188 WHAT DID R LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE-
 FOURTH MENTION
 860189 IS THERE ANYTHING R DISLIKED ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC
 HOUSE CANDIDATE

860190 WHAT DID R DISLIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC HOUSE
CANDIDATE-FIRST MENTION
860191 WHAT DID R DISLIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC HOUSE
CANDIDATE-SECOND MENTION
860192 WHAT DID R DISLIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC HOUSE
CANDIDATE-THIRD MENTION
860193 WHAT DID R DISLIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC HOUSE
CANDIDATE-FOURTH MENTION

R LIKES/DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE

860194 IS THERE ANYTHING R LIKED ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN HOUSE
CANDIDATE
860195 WHAT DID R LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE-
FIRST MENTION
860196 WHAT DID R LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE-
SECOND MENTION
860197 WHAT DID R LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE-
THIRD MENTION
860198 WHAT DID R LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE-
FOURTH MENTION
860199 IS THERE ANYTHING R DISLIKED ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN
HOUSE CANDIDATE
860200 WHAT DID R DISLIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN HOUSE
CANDIDATE-FIRST MENTION
860201 WHAT DID R DISLIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN HOUSE
CANDIDATE-SECOND MENTION
860202 WHAT DID R DISLIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN HOUSE
CANDIDATE-THIRD MENTION
860203 WHAT DID R DISLIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN HOUSE
CANDIDATE-FOURTH MENTION

MOST IMPORTANT ISSUES

860204 MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE TO R IN CAMPAIGN FOR HOUSE OF
REPRESENTATIVES-FIRST MENTION
860205 MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE TO R IN CAMPAIGN FOR HOUSE OF
REPRESENTATIVES-SECOND MENTION
860206 MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE TO R IN CAMPAIGN FOR HOUSE OF
REPRESENTATIVES-THIRD MENTION
860207 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: IMPORTANT ISSUE
860208 WHAT WAS THE SINGLE MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE TO R
860209 DID R PREFER PARTICULAR CANDIDATE BECAUSE OF ISSUE
860210 WHICH CANDIDATE DID R PREFER
860211 CANDIDATE R NAMED

IDENTIFICATION OF HOUSE CANDIDATES

860212 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: TYPE OF RACE
860213 ONE HOUSE CANDIDATE RUNNING: WAS CANDIDATE ALREADY IN
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
860214 ONE HOUSE CANDIDATE RUNNING: CANDIDATE NUMBER CODE
860215 ONE HOUSE CANDIDATE RUNNING: CANDIDATE NAMED
860216 TWO HOUSE CANDIDATES RUNNING: WAS EITHER CANDIDATE
ALREADY IN HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
860217 TWO HOUSE CANDIDATES RUNNING: WHICH CANDIDATE WAS
ALREADY IN HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
860218 TWO HOUSE CANDIDATES RUNNING: CANDIDATE NAMED

CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

860219 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: TYPE OF RACE
860220 DID R HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT
860221 DID R MEET U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT PERSONALLY
860222 DID R ATTEND MEETING/GATHERING WHERE U.S. HOUSE
INCUMBENT SPOKE
860223 DID R TALK WITH U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT'S STAFF/OFFICE
860224 DID R RECEIVE SOMETHING IN MAIL FROM U.S. HOUSE
INCUMBENT
860225 DID R READ ABOUT U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT IN
NEWSPAPER/MAGAZINE
860226 DID R HEAR U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT ON RADIO
860227 DID R SEE U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT ON TELEVISION
860228 R HAD CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT IN OTHER WAYS
860229 DOES R KNOW ANYONE ELSE WHO HAD CONTACT WITH U.S.
HOUSE INCUMBENT

CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE

860230 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: DISTRICT
860231 DID R HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE
860232 DID R MEET U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE PERSONALLY
860233 DID R ATTEND MEETING/GATHERING WHERE U.S. HOUSE
CANDIDATE SPOKE
860234 DID R TALK WITH U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE STAFF/OFFICE
860235 DID R RECEIVE SOMETHING IN MAIL FROM U.S. HOUSE
CANDIDATE
860236 DID R READ ABOUT U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE IN
NEWSPAPER/MAGAZINE
860237 DID R HEAR U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE ON RADIO
860238 DID R SEE U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE ON TELEVISION
860239 R HAD CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE IN OTHER WAYS
860240 DOES R KNOW ANYONE ELSE WHO HAD CONTACT WITH U.S.
HOUSE CANDIDATE

CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE

860241 DID R HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC
CANDIDATE
860242 DID R MEET U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE PERSONALLY
860243 DID R ATTEND MEETING/GATHERING WHERE W.S. HOUSE
DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE SPOKE
860244 DID R TALK WITH U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
STAFF/OFFICE
860245 DID R RECEIVE SOMETHING IN MAIL FROM U.S. HOUSE
DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
860246 DID R READ ABOUT U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN
NEWSPAPER/MAGAZINE
860247 DID R HEAR U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE ON RADIO
860248 DID R SEE U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE ON
TELEVISION
860249 R HAD CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN
OTHER WAYS
860250 DOES R KNOW ANYONE ELSE WHO HAD CONTACT WITH U.S.
HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE

CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE

860251 DID R HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN
CANDIDATE
860252 DID R MEET U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE PERSONALLY
860253 DID R ATTEND MEETING/GATHERING WHERE U.S. HOUSE
REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE SPOKE
860254 DID R TALK WITH U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE
STAFF/OFFICE
860255 DID R RECEIVE SOMETHING IN MAIL FROM U.S. HOUSE
REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE
860256 DID R READ ABOUT U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN
NEWSPAPER/MAGAZINE
860257 DID R HEAR U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE ON RADIO
860258 DID R SEE U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE ON
TELEVISION
860259 R HAD CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN
OTHER WAYS
860260 DOES R KNOW ANYONE ELSE WHO HAD CONTACT WITH U.S.
HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE

VOTING SECTION: VOTERS

860261 DID R VOTE IN THE 1986 ELECTION
860262 IS R REGISTERED REPUBLICAN/DEMOCRAT/INDEPENDENT/OTHER
860263 IS R REGISTERED TO VOTE AT CURRENT ADDRESS
860264 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: STATE OF VOTER REGISTRATION
860265 DID R VOTE FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES CANDIDATE
860266 FOR WHICH HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES CANDIDATE DID R
VOTE
860267 R'S VOTE FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES-CANDIDATE NAMED
860268 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: SENATE RACE 2
860269 DID R VOTE FOR A SENATE CANDIDATE
860270 FOR WHOM DID R VOTE FOR SENATE
860271 R'S VOTE FOR SENATE CANDIDATE-CANDIDATE NAMED
860272 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: GOVERNOR RACE

860273 DID R VOTE FOR A GOVERNOR CANDIDATE
860274 FOR WHOM DID R VOTE FOR GOVERNOR
860275 R'S VOTE FOR GOVERNOR-CANDIDATE NAMED

VOTING SECTION: NON-VOTERS

860276 IS R REGISTERED TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION
860277 IS R REGISTERED AS A REPUBLICAN/DEMOCRAT/
INDEPENDENT/OTHER
860278 IS R REGISTERED TO VOTE AT PRESENT ADDRESS
860279 DID R PREFER A CANDIDATE FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
860280 WHICH HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES CANDIDATE DID R PREFER
860281 HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES - CANDIDATE NAMED 2000

NON-CAMPAIGN CONTACTS WITH U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

860282 DID R OR FAMILY MEMBER EVER CONTACT U.S. HOUSE
INCUMBENT/OFFICE
860283 REASON FOR CONTACT WITH HOUSE INCUMBENT-TO EXPRESS
OPINION
860284 REASON FOR CONTACT WITH HOUSE INCUMBENT-TO SEEK
INFORMATION
860285 REASON FOR CONTACT WITH HOUSE INCUMBENT-TO SEEK HELP
WITH PROBLEM
860286 DID R GET RESPONSE FROM HOUSE INCUMBENT/OFFICE

860287 HOW SATISFIED WAS R WITH RESPONSE FROM INCUMBENT
860288 DOES R KNOW ANYONE ELSE WHO HAD CONTACT WITH U.S.
HOUSE INCUMBENT
860289 DID PERSON/GROUP GET RESPONSE FROM HOUSE
INCUMBENT/OFFICE
860290 HOW SATISFIED WAS PERSON/GROUP WITH RESPONSE FROM
INCUMBENT
860291 HOW HELPFUL WOULD HOUSE INCUMBENT BE WITH ANOTHER
PROBLEM
860292 ANYTHING SPECIAL DONE BY HOUSE INCUMBENT FOR
DISTRICT/PEOPLE
860293 HOW WELL DOES HOUSE INCUMBENT KEEP IN TOUCH WITH
DISTRICT
860294 DOES R AGREE WITH WAY HOUSE INCUMBENT HAS VOTED
860295 DOES R REMEMBER A BILL HOUSE INCUMBENT VOTED ON
860296 DID R AGREE/DISAGREE WITH WAY HOUSE INCUMBENT VOTED

R'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION

860297 IS R REPUBLICAN, DEMOCRAT, INDEPENDENT OR OTHER
860298 IS R A STRONG REPUBLICAN OR DEMOCRAT
860299 R THINK OF SELF AS CLOSER TO REPUBLICAN/DEMOCRATIC
PARTY
860300 SUMMARY: R'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION

IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEMS

860301 HOW OFTEN DOES R FOLLOW GOVERNMENT/PUBLIC AFFAIRS
860302 WHAT IS MOST IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEM - FIRST
MENTION
860303 WHAT IS MOST IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEM - SECOND
MENTION
860304 WHAT IS MOST IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEM - THIRD
MENTION
860305 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: IMPORTANT PROBLEMS
860306 WHAT IS THE SINGLE MOST IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEM
860307 HOW GOOD A JOB IS GOVERNMENT DOING WITH THIS PROBLEM
860308 WHICH PARTY WOULD GET GOVERNMENT TO DEAL WITH PROBLEM

PARTY CONTACTS WITH R DURING THE CAMPAIGN

860309 DID A POLITICAL PARTY WORKER CONTACT R DURING
CAMPAIGN
860310 WHICH PARTY CONTACTED R DURING CAMPAIGN
860311 DID ANYONE ELSE CONTACT R DURING CAMPAIGN
860312 WHICH CANDIDATE DID THE CONTACT SUPPORT - 1ST MENTION
860313 WHICH CANDIDATE DID THE CONTACT SUPPORT - 2ND MENTION

R'S POLITICAL ACTIVITIES

860314 DID R TRY TO INFLUENCE OTHERS' VOTE CHOICES
860315 DID R WEAR A BUTTON OR PUT A STICKER ON THE CAR
860316 DID ANYONE ELSE TRY INFLUENCING R'S VOTE
860317 DID R ATTEND ANY POLITICAL MEETINGS OR RALLIES
860318 DID R WORK FOR A PARTY OR CANDIDATE
860319 DID R USE \$1 POLITICAL CONTRIBUTION OPTION ON FEDERAL
INCOME TAX RETURN

R'S CONTRIBUTIONS TO POLITICAL PARTIES

860320 DID R CONTRIBUTE MONEY TO A POLITICAL PARTY/ISSUE/CANDIDATE

860321 DID R GIVE MONEY TO A SPECIFIC CANDIDATE

860322 R GAVE MONEY TO CANDIDATE FROM WHICH PARTY

860323 DID R GIVE MONEY TO A SPECIFIC POLITICAL PARTY

860324 WHICH PARTY DID R GIVE MONEY TO

860325 DID R GIVE MONEY TO POLITICAL ACTION/ISSUE GROUPS

R CONTACTED ABOUT VOTING

860326 WAS R CONTACTED ABOUT VOTING OR REGISTERING TO VOTE
R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING

860327 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON THE ENVIRONMENT

860328 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON FINANCIAL AID FOR COLLEGE STUDENTS

860329 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON SOCIAL SECURITY

860330 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON FOOD STAMPS

860331 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON CONTRA AID

860332 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON THE UNEMPLOYED

860333 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON SCI/SPACE RESEARCH

860334 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON AID TO BLACKS

860335 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS H1A PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860336 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS H1B PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860337 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS H1C PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860338 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS H1D PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860339 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS H1E PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860340 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS H1F PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860341 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS H1G PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860342 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS H1H PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

R'S RECOGNITION OF POLITICAL FIGURES

860343 DOES R KNOW THE POLITICAL OFFICE OF GEORGE BUSH

860344 DOES R KNOW THE POLITICAL OFFICE OF CASPAR WEINBERGER

860345 DOES R KNOW THE POLITICAL OFFICE OF WILLIAM REHNQUIST

860346 DOES R KNOW THE POLITICAL OFFICE/JOB OF PAUL VOLCKER

860347 DOES R KNOW THE POLITICAL OFFICE OF ROBERT DOLE

860348 DOES R KNOW THE POLITICAL OFFICE OF TIP O'NEILL

860349 DOES R KNOW WHICH PARTY HAD THE MOST MEMBERS IN THE U.S. HOUSE BEFORE THE ELECTIONS

860350 DOES R KNOW WHICH PARTY HAD THE MOST MEMBERS IN THE SENATE BEFORE THE ELECTIONS

860351 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS H3 PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860352 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS H4 PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT'S JOB

860353 DOES R APPROVE U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENTS' HANDLING OF JOB

860354 DOES R APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT STRONGLY OR NOT VERY STRONGLY

R'S PERSONAL FINANCIAL SITUATION

860355 DOES R FEEL BETTER/WORSE FINANCIALLY THAN A YEAR AGO

860356 HOW MUCH BETTER/WORSE OFF DOES R FEEL

860357 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT-FORM #2

860358 WILL R BE BETTR/WRSE OFF FINANCIALLY A YEAR FROM NOW

860359 HOW MUCH BETTER/WORSE OFF WILL R BE A YEAR FROM NOW

860360 HAS R WATCHED BUDGET MORE CLOSELY THIS YEAR

860361 HOW MUCH MORE CLOSELY HAS R WATCHED BUDGET
860362 HAS R'S INCOME STAYED AT/ABOVE/BELOW COST OF LIVING
860363 HOW MUCH HAS R'S INCOME RISEN ABOVE/FALLEN BEHIND THE
COST OF LIVING

R'S OPINION ON EQUAL OPPORTUNITY

860364 SOCIETY SHOULD ASSURE EQUAL OPPORTUNITY TO SUCCEED
860365 EQUAL RIGHTS HAVE BEEN PUSHED TOO FAR IN THIS COUNTRY
860366 A PROBLEM IN THIS COUNTRY IS THAT WE DON'T GIVE
EVERYONE AN EQUAL CHANCE
860367 WE SHOULD WORRY LESS ABOUT EQUALITY
860368 IT IS NOT A PROBLEM IF PEOPLE HAVE UNEQUAL CHANCES
860369 THE COUNTRY WOULD HAVE FEWER PROBLEMS IF PEOPLE
TREATED EQUALLY

STATE'S FINANCIAL SITUATION

860370 DOES R THINK THE STATE'S ECONOMY HAS GOTTEN BETTER/
WORSE/STAYED THE SAME IN THE PAST YEAR
860371 HOW MUCH BETTER/WORSE IS THE STATE'S ECONOMY
R'S OPINIONS ON ECONOMIC CONDITION OF COUNTRY
860372 DOES R THINK THE NATION'S ECONOMY HAS GOTTEN BETTER/
WORSE/STAYED THE SAME IN THE PAST YEAR
860373 HOW MUCH BETTER/WORSE IS THE NATION'S ECONOMY
860374 DOES R THINK THE FEDERAL ECONOMIC POLICY HAS MADE THE
ECONOMY BETTER/WORSE/UNCHANGED
860375 HOW MUCH BETTR/WORSE DOES R THINK THE ECONOMY HAS
BECOME
860376 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: FORM #3

UNEMPLOYMENT AND INFLATION

860377 DOES R THINK THE NATIONAL UNEMPLOYMENT RATE HAS
GOTTEN BETTER/WORSE/STAYED THE SAME
860378 HOW MUCH BETTER/WORSE HAS THE NATIONAL UNEMPLOYMENT
RATE BECOME
860379 DOES R THINK THE NATIONAL INFLATION RATE HAS GOTTEN
BETTER/WORSE/STAYED THE SAME
860380 HOW MUCH BETTER/WORSE HAS THE NATIONAL INFLATION RATE
BECOME
860381 DOES R SEE THE ECONOMY GETTING BETTER/WORSE IN THE
NEXT TWELVE MONTHS

R'S VIEWS ON THE NATIONAL BUDGET

860382 IS R WORRIED ABOUT THE NATIONAL BUDGET DEFICIT
860383 WHO DOES R THINK IS MORE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE BUDGET
DEFICIT
860384 WHICH PARTY DOES R THINK IS MORE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE
BUDGET DEFICIT

POSITIONS ON LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE

860385 LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE-R
860386 IF R HAD TO CHOOSE, WOULD R CONSIDER SELF A LIBERAL/
CONSERVATIVE
860387 LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE-REAGAN
860388 LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE-DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE U.S.
HOUSE

860389 LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE-REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE U.S.
HOUSE
860390 LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE-DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
SENATE
860391 LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE-REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE
SENATE
860392 LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE-SENATOR WITH UNEXPIRED
TERM
860393 LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE-DEMOCRATIC PARTY
860394 LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE-REPUBLICAN PARTY
860395 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.K1A PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860396 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.K1AA PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860397 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.K1B PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860398 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.K1C PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860399 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.K1D PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860400 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.K1E PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860401 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.K1F PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860402 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.K1G PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860403 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.K1H PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860404 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.K1J PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

POSITIONS ON MORE/LESS DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE

860405 DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE-R
860406 DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE-REAGAN
860407 DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE-DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE U.S.
HOUSE
860408 DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE-REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE U.S.
HOUSE
860409 DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE-LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE/
INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE
860410 DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE-DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE SENATE
860411 DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE-REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE SENATE
860412 DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE-DEMOCRATIC PARTY
860413 DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE-REPUBLICAN PARTY
860414 DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE-FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
860415 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L1A PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860416 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L1B PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860417 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L1C PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860418 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L1D PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860419 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L1E PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860420 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L1F PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860421 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L1G PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860422 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L1H PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860423 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L1J PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860424 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L1K PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860425 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: FORM #4

POSITIONS ON MINORITY AID SCALE

860426 MINORITY AID SCALE-R
860427 MINORITY (BLACKS) AID SCALE-R

POSITIONS ON INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE

860428 INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE-R
860429 INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE-REAGAN
860430 INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE-DEMOCRATIC
CANDIDATE HOUSE
860431 INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE-REPUBLICAN

CANDIDATE HOUSE

860432 INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE-LIBERAL/
CONSERVATIVE/INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE

860433 INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE-DEMOCRATIC
CANDIDATE SENATE

860434 INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE-REPUBLICAN
CANDIDATE SENATE

860435 INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE-DEMOCRATIC PARTY

860436 INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE-REPUBLICAN PARTY

860437 INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE-FEDERAL
GOVERNMENT

860438 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L5A PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860439 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L5B PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860440 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L5C PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860441 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L5D PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860442 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L5E PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860443 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L5F PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860444 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L5G PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860445 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L5H PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860446 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L5J PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860447 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L5K PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

POSITIONS ON MORE/LESS GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING

860448 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE-R

860449 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE-REAGAN

860450 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE-DEMOCRATIC
CANDIDATE HOUSE

860451 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE-REPUBLICAN
CANDIDATE HOUSE

860452 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE-LIBERAL/
CONSERVATIVE/INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE

860453 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE-DEMOCRATIC
CANDIDATE SENATE

860454 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE-REPUBLICAN
CANDIDATE SENATE

860455 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE-DEMOCRATIC PARTY

860456 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE-REPUBLICAN PARTY

860457 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE-FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

860458 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L6A PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860459 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L6B PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860460 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L6C PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860461 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L6D PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860462 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L6E PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860463 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L6F PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860464 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L6G PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860465 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L6H PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860466 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L6J PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860467 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L6K PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860468 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT - FORM #5

POSITION ON RACIAL DISCRIMINATION

860469 DOES R FAVOR PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND PROMOTION OF
BLACKS-A

860470 DOES R FAVOR/OPOSE STRONGLY PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND
PROMOTION OF BLACKS-A

860471 DOES R FAVOR QUOTAS TO ADMIT BLACKS TO COLLEGES-A

860472 DOES R FAVOR/OPOSE STRONGLY QUOTAS TO ADMIT BLACKS
TO COLLEGES-A

860473 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L8 PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860474 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L9 PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860475 DOES R FAVOR PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND PROMOTION OF
BLACKS-B
860476 DOES R FAVOR/OPPOSE STRONGLY PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND
PROMOTION OF BLACKS-B
860477 DOES R FAVOR QUOTAS TO ADMIT BLACKS TO COLLEGES-B
860478 DOES R FAVOR/OPPOSE STRONGLY QUOTAS TO ADMIT BLACKS
TO COLLEGES-B
860479 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L10 PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860480 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L11 PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

R'S OPINION ON PRAYER IN SCHOOL

860481 R'S OPINION ON PRAYER IN SCHOOL
860482 DOES R FAVOR/OPPOSE STRONGLY PRAYER IN SCHOOL
860483 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT - FORM #6

R'S OPINION ON DESEGREGATION

860484 DOES R HAVE OPINION ON BLACKS AND WHITES ATTENDING
THE SAME SCHOOL
860485 R'S OPINION ON BLACKS AND WHITES ATTENDING THE SAME
SCHOOL

POSITION ON GOVT GUARANTEED JOB/LIVING SCALE

860486 GUARANTEED JOB AND LIVING STANDARD SCALE-R

POSITION ON COOPERATION WITH RUSSIA SCALE

860487 COOPERATION WITH RUSSIA SCALE-R

R'S POSITION ON ABORTION

860488 R'S POSITION ON ABORTION

R'S OPINION ON SOUTH AFRICA

860489 DOES R HAVE AN OPINION ON RECENT EVENTS IN SOUTH
AFRICA
860490 DOES R THINK THE U.S. SHOULD APPLY ECONOMIC PRESSURE
ON SOUTH AFRICA
860491 DOES R FAVOR/OPPOSE STRONGLY U.S. ECONOMIC PRESSURE
ON SOUTH AFRICA
860492 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.M7 PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860493 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.M7A PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

R'S OPINION ON RECENT TAX LAW CHANGES

860494 DOES R HAVE AN OPINION ON THE RECENT TAX LAW CHANGES
860495 DOES R FAVOR/OPPOSE THE RECENT TAX LAW CHANGES
860496 DOES R FAVOR/OPPOSE STRONGLY RECENT TAX LAW CHANGES
860497 DOES R THINK THE PRESIDENT/CONGRESS IS RESPONSIBLE
FOR TAX CHANGES R LIKES
860498 DOES R THINK THE DEMOCRATS/REPUBLICANS ARE
RESPONSIBLE FOR THINGS R LIKES IN GENERAL
860499 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.M8 PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860500 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.M8A PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860501 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.M8B/E PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860502 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.M8C/F PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860503 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.M8D/G PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860504 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT - FORM #7

SHOULD GOVT INSURE RACIAL FAIRNESS
860505 DOES R HAVE AN OPINION ON WHETHER GOVERNMENT SHOULD
INSURE FAIR TREATMENT OF BLACKS IN JOBS
860506 R'S OPINION ON WHETHER GOVERNMENT SHOULD INSURE FAIR
TREATMENT OF BLACKS IN JOBS

R'S OPINION ON THE BIBLE
860507 R'S OPINION ON THE BIBLE

R'S OPINIONS ON SUCCESS
860508 PEOPLE SHOULD BLAME SELVES NOT SYSTEM FOR ECONOMIC
POSITION
860509 HARD WORK DOES NOT GUARANTEE SUCCESS
860510 PEOPLE CAN GET WHAT THEY WANT IF THEY WORK HARD
860511 PEOPLE WHO DON'T DO WELL WORK AS HARD AS THOSE WHO DO
860512 IF A PERSON WORKS HARD HE/SHE WILL SUCCEED
860513 EVEN IF THEY WORK HARD PEOPLE OFTEN CANNOT REACH GOAL
860514 COMMUNITIES CAN SOLVE OWN PROBLEMS BETTER THAN
GOVERNMENT
860515 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: R'S RACE #1

R'S OPINION ON AFFIRMATIVE ACTION GROUPS/PROGRAMS
860516 DOES R THINK AFFIRMATIVE ACTION REDUCES WHITES
CHANCES IN JOBS AND EDUCATION
860517 DOES R AGREE/DISAGREE STRONGLY THAT AFFIRMATIVE
ACTION PROGRAMS REDUCE WHITES CHANCES IN JOBS
AND EDUCATION
860518 DOES R THINK AFFIRMATIVE ACTION PROGRAMS HELP BLACKS
IN JOBS AND EDUCATION
860519 DOES R AGREE/DISAGREE STRONGLY THAT AFFIRMATIVE
ACTION PROGRAMS HELP BLACKS IN JOBS AND EDUCATION

R'S OPINION ON IMPORT PROBLEM
860520 DOES R HAVE AN OPINION ON HIGH IMPORT PROBLEM IN U.S.
860521 R'S OPINION ON LIMITING IMPORTS

GOVERNMENT'S POSITION ON EQUAL RIGHTS/WORLD POSITION
860522 R'S OPINION ON WHETHER IT IS GOVERNMENT'S JOB TO
INSURE EQUAL OPPORTUNITY FOR BLACKS AND WHITES
860523 DOES R THINK THE UNITED STATES' WORLD POSITION HAS
GROWN STRONGER/WEAKER/STAYED THE SAME IN THE PAST
YEAR

WHO COULD KEEP U.S. OUT OF WAR
860524 DOES R THINK THAT REPUBLICANS/DEMOCRATS COULD BETTER
KEEP THE U.S. OUT OF WAR IN THE NEXT FOUR YEARS

R'S POSITION ON MORALITY IN SOCIETY
860525 NEWER LIFESTYLES CONTRIBUTE TO BREAKDOWN OF SOCIETY

860526 WE SHOULD ADJUST MORAL BEHAVIOR TO CHANGES IN WORLD
860527 THERE WOULD BE FEWER PROBLEMS IF MORE EMPHASIS WAS
PLACED ON TRADITIONAL FAMILY TIES
860528 THERE IS TOO MUCH SEXUAL FREEDOM TODAY
860529 IT IS NOT A PROBLEM IF PEOPLE THINK/ACT DIFFERENTLY
860530 WE SHOULD BE MORE TOLERANT OF PEOPLE WITH DIFFERENT
MORAL STANDARDS
860531 DIVORCE AND LIVING TOGETHER ARE SIGNS OF MORAL DECAY
860532 SOCIETY SHOULD BE MORE ACCEPTING OF DIFFERENCES IN
PEOPLE
860533 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT - FORM #8

R'S OPINION ON U.S. WORLD POSITION

860534 HOW WORRIED IS R ABOUT THE U.S. GETTING INTO A
CONVENTIONAL WAR
860535 DOES R THINK THE U.S. SHOULD BE ISOLATIONIST
POSITIONS ON LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE
860536 DOES R CONSIDER SELF LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE/MODERATE
860537 DOES R CONSIDER SELF A STRONG OR NOT VERY STRONG
LIBERAL OR CONSERVATIVE
860538 DOES R CONSIDER SELF MORE LIKE A LIBERAL/A
CONSERVATIVE
860539 SUMMARY: TO WHAT DEGREE IS R LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE
860540 HOW DOES R FEEL THE COUNTRY IS DOING
860541 DOES R SEE IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE PARTIES

R'S FEELINGS ABOUT REAGAN

860542 WHETHER REAGAN MAKES R ANGRY
860543 WHETHER REAGAN MAKES R HOPEFUL
860544 WHETHER REAGAN MAKES R AFRAID
860545 WHETHER REAGAN MAKES R PROUD

IMPORTANCE OF RELIGION TO R

860546 IS RELIGION IMPORTANT TO R
860547 DOES RELIGION PROVIDE GUIDANCE TO R

R'S FEELINGS TOWARDS GOVERNMENT

860548 HOW MUCH OF THE TIME DOES R FEEL HE/SHE CAN TRUST
GOVERNMENT
860549 PUBLIC OFFICIALS DO NOT CARE ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE ME

QUALITIES DESCRIBING REAGAN

860550 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "INTELLIGENT" DESCRIBES
RONALD REAGAN
860551 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "COMPASSIONATE"
DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN
860552 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "MORAL" DESCRIBES
RONALD REAGAN
860553 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "INSPIRING" DESCRIBES
RONALD REAGAN
860554 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "PROVIDES STRONG
LEADERSHIP" DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN
860555 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "DECENT" DESCRIBES
RONALD REAGAN

860556 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "CARES ABOUT PEOPLE
LIKE YOU" DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN

860557 HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "KNOWLEDGEABLE"
DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN

EQUAL OPPORTUNITY BETWEEN RACES

860558 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: R'S RACE #2

860559 DOES R THINK CIVIL RIGHTS IS BEING PUSHED TOO FAST

860560 IF R IS NOT BLACK-DISCRIMINATION IN ADMISSIONS IF
QUALIFICATION ARE EQUAL OR LESS

860561 IF R IS BLACK-DISCRIMINATION IN ADMISSIONS IF
QUALIFICATIONS ARE EQUAL

860562 HAS THERE BEEN REAL CHANGE FOR BLACKS IN THE PAST FEW
YEARS

860563 IF R IS NOT BLACK-DISCRIMINATION IN JOBS/PROMOTIONS

860564 IF R IS BLACK-DISCRIMINATION IN JOBS/PROMOTIONS

860565 DOES R THINK MOST BLACKS COULD GET ALONG WITHOUT
WELFARE

860566 DOES R THINK BLACKS HAVE GOTTEN LESS THAN THEY
DESERVE

860567 DOES R THINK GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS PAY LESS ATTENTION
TO BLACKS

860568 DOES R THINK BLACKS SHOULD OVERCOME PREJUDICE ON
THEIR OWN

860569 IF R IS NOT BLACK-WOULD R OR FAMILY FACE
DISCRIMINATION IN APPLYING TO SCHOOL

860570 IF R IS BLACK-WOULD R OR FAMILY FACE DISCRIMINATION
IN APPLYING TO SCHOOL

860571 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.R5A/S5A PROBED BY
INTERVIEWER

860572 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.R5B/S5B PROBED BY
INTERVIEWER

860573 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.R5C/S5C PROBED BY
INTERVIEWER

860574 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.R5D/S5D PROBED BY
INTERVIEWER

860575 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.R6 PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860576 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.S6 PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

860577 DOES R THINK POWERFUL WHITES ACT TO KEEP BLACKS DOWN

860578 DOES R THINK THE DIFFERENCES ARE BROUGHT ABOUT BY GOD

860579 DOES R THINK BLACKS SHOULD TRY HARDER TO DO WELL

860580 DOES R THINK SLAVERY/DISCRIMINATION MAKE IT DIFFICULT
FOR BLACKS

860581 DOES R THINK BLACKS TEACH THEIR CHILDREN DIFFERENT
SKILLS/VALUES

860582 DOES R THINK BLACKS COME FROM A LESS ABLE RACE

860583 IF R IS NOT BLACK-WOULD R (OR R'S FAMILY) NOT GET A
JOB/PROMOTION DUE TO REVERSE DISCRIMINATION

860584 IF R IS BLACK-WOULD R (OR R'S FAMILY) GET A JOB/
PROMOTION DUE TO DISCRIMINATION

860585 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.R7A/Q.S7A PROBED BY
INTERVIEWER

860586 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.R7B/Q.S7B PROBED BY
INTERVIEWER

860587 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.R7C/Q.S7C PROBED BY
INTERVIEWER

860588 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.R7D/Q.S7D PROBED BY
INTERVIEWER

860589 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.R7E/Q.S7E PROBED BY

INTERVIEWER
860590 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.R7F/Q.S7F PROBED BY
INTERVIEWER
860591 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.R8 PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860592 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.S8 PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

PERSONAL INFORMATION ABOUT R

860593 R'S DATE OF BIRTH-MONTH
860594 R'S DATE OF BIRTH-YEAR
860595 R'S RECODED AGE

R'S MARITAL STATUS

860596 R'S MARITAL STATUS
860597 IS R LIVING WITH SOMEONE AS A COUPLE
860598 SUMMARY: MARITAL STATUS

R'S EDUCATION

860599 HIGHEST GRADE OF SCHOOL COMPLETED BY R
860600 DOES R HAVE A HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA
860601 R'S HIGHEST COLLEGE DEGREE
860602 SUMMARY: R'S EDUCATION
860603 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: SPOUSE

HEAD'S EDUCATION

860604 HIGHEST GRADE OF SCHOOL COMPLETED BY HEAD
860605 DOES HEAD HAVE A HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA
860606 HEAD'S HIGHEST COLLEGE DEGREE
860607 SUMMARY: HEAD'S EDUCATION

SPOUSE'S/PARTNER'S EDUCATION

860608 HIGHEST GRADE OF SCHOOL COMPLETED BY SPOUSE/PARTNER
860609 DOES SPOUSE/PARTNER HAVE A HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA
860610 SPOUSE'S/PARTNER'S HIGHEST COLLEGE DEGREE
860611 SUMMARY: SPOUSE/PARTNER EDUCATION

SPOUSE'S EMPLOYMENT STATUS

860612 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT - DOES R HAVE SPOUSE
860613 SPOUSE: EMPLOYMENT STATUS

R'S OCCUPATION SECTION

860614 R'S EMPLOYMENT STATUS
860615 SUMMARY: R'S WORKING STATUS
860616 HAS R EVER WORKED FOR PAY-R UNEMPLOYED
860617 WHEN DID R RETIRE
860618 HAS R EVER WORKED FOR PAY-R DISABLED
860619 IS R (HOMEMAKER/STUDENT) WORKING NOW
860620 HAS R (HOMEMAKER/STUDENT) WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST
6 MONTHS

OCCUPATION - R WORKING/TEMPORARILY LAID OFF

860621 R'S PRESENT OCCUPATION - CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
860622 R'S PRESENT OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1980 OCCUPATION
CODE
860623 R'S PRESENT OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE

860624 IS R SELF-EMPLOYED
860625 DID R WORK FOR THE FEDERAL OR STATE OR LOCAL
GOVERNMENT
860626 WHAT PROPORTION OF R'S CO-WORKERS ARE BLACK
860627 ABOUT HOW MANY OF R'S CO-WORKERS ARE BLACK
860628 NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK R WORKS
860629 IS R SATISFIED WITH NUMBER OF HOURS WORKED
860630 HOW WORRIED ABOUT JOB SECURITY IS R
860631 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT - IS R WORKING NOW
860632 WAS R OUT OF WORK WITHIN THE LAST SIX MONTHS
860633 HAS R HAD TO TAKE A PAY CUT/REDUCE HOURS WITHIN THE
LAST SIX MONTHS

OCCUPATON - R UNEMPLOYED

860634 R'S LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
860635 R'S LAST OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1980 OCCUPATION CODE
860636 R'S LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
860637 ON R'S LAST REGULAR JOB, WAS R SELF-EMPLOYED
860638 DID R WORK FOR THE FEDERAL OR STATE OR LOCAL
GOVERNMENT
860639 WHAT PROPORTION OF R'S CO-WORKERS WERE BLACK
860640 ABOUT HOW MANY OF R'S CO-WORKERS WERE BLACK
860641 DID R WORK WITHIN THE LAST SIX MONTHS
860642 NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK R WORKED
860643 IS R LOOKING FOR WORK AT THE PRESENT TIME
860644 HOW WORRIED IS R ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO FIND A JOB

OCCUPATION - R RETIRED OR DISABLED

860645 R'S LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
860646 R'S LAST OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1980 OCCUPATION CODE
860647 R'S LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
860648 WAS R SELF-EMPLOYED LAST JOB
860649 DID R WORK FOR THE FEDERAL OR STATE OR LOCAL
GOVERNMENT
860650 HAS R WORKED WITHIN THE PAST SIX MONTHS
860651 NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK R WORKED WHEN R WAS WORKING
860652 IS R LOOKING FOR WORK AT THE PRESENT TIME
860653 HOW WORRIED IS R ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO FIND A JOB
OCCUPATION - R HOMEMAKER OR STUDENT

860654 R'S LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
860655 R'S LAST OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1980 OCCUPATION CODE
860656 R'S LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
860657 WAS R SELF-EMPLOYED LAST JOB
860658 DID R WORK FOR THE FEDERAL OR STATE OR LOCAL
GOVERNMENT
860659 NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK R WORKED WHEN R WAS WORKING
860660 IS R LOOKING FOR WORK AT PRESENT TIME
860661 HOW WORRIED IS R ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO FIND A JOB

R'S OCCUPATIONAL DATA -- STACKED

860662 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: R'S RELATION TO HEAD
860663 R'S PRESENT/LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
860664 R'S PRESENT/LAST OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1980 CODE
860665 R'S PRESENT/LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
860666 R SELF EMPLOYED
860667 DID R WORK FOR THE FEDERAL OR STATE OR LOCAL

GOVERNMENT

860668 WHAT PROPORTION OF R'S CO-WORKERS ARE BLACK
 860669 ABOUT HOW MANY OF R'S CO-WORKERS ARE BLACK
 860670 NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK R WORKS
 860671 HOW WORRIED ABOUT JOB SECURITY IS R
 860672 DID R WORK WITHIN THE LAST SIX MONTHS
 860673 (IF UNEMPLOYED) IS R LOOKING FOR WORK AT THE PRESENT
 TIME
 860674 (IF NOT WORKING NOW) HAS R EVER WORKED FOR PAY

HEAD OF FAMILY'S OCCUPATION SECTION

860675 HEAD: EMPLOYMENT STATUS
 860676 SUMMARY: HEAD'S WORKING STATUS
 860677 HAS HEAD EVER WORKED FOR PAY-HEAD UNEMPLOYED
 860678 WHEN DID HEAD RETIRE
 860679 HAS HEAD EVER WORKED FOR PAY-HEAD DISABLED
 860680 IS HEAD (HOMEMAKER/STUDENT) WORKING FOR PAY
 860681 HAS HEAD (HOMEMAKER/STUDENT) WORKED FOR PAY IN
 LAST 6 MONTHS

OCCUPATION-HEAD/PARTNER WORKING/TEMPORARILY LAID OFF

860682 HEAD/PARTNER: PRESENT OCCUPATION - CENSUS OCCUPATION
 CODE
 860683 HEAD/PARTNER: PRESENT OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1980
 OCCUPATION CODE
 860684 HEAD/PARTNER: PRESENT OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY
 CODE
 860685 IS HEAD/PARTNER SELF-EMPLOYED
 860686 IS HEAD/PARTNER EMPLOYED BY A FEDERAL, STATE OR LOCAL
 GOVERNMENT
 860687 NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK HEAD/PARTNER WORKS
 860688 IS HEAD/PARTNER SATISFIED WITH NUMBER OF HOURS WORKED
 860689 HOW WORRIED ABOUT JOB SECURITY IS HEAD/PARTNER
 860690 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: IS HEAD/PARTNER WORKING NOW
 860691 WAS HEAD/PARTNER OUT OF WORK WITHIN LAST SIX MONTHS
 860692 HAS HEAD/PARTNER HAD TO TAKE A PAY CUT/REDUCE HOURS
 WITHIN THE LAST SIX MONTHS

OCCUPATION - HEAD/PARTNER UNEMPLOYED

860693 HEAD/PARTNER: LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS OCCUPATION
 CODE
 860694 HEAD/PARTNER: LAST OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1980
 OCCUPATION CODE
 860695 HEAD/PARTNER: LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY
 CODE
 860696 ON LAST REGULAR JOB, WAS HEAD/PARTNER SELF-EMPLOYED
 860697 WAS HEAD/PARTNER EMPLOYED BY A FEDERAL, STATE OR
 LOCAL GOVERNMENT
 860698 WAS HEAD/PARTNER EMPLOYED IN LAST SIX MONTHS
 860699 NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK HEAD/PARTNER WORKED
 860700 IS HEAD/PARTNER LOOKING FOR WORK AT PRESENT TIME
 860701 HOW WORRIED IS HEAD/PARTNER ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO
 FIND A JOB

OCCUPATION - HEAD/PARTNER RETIRED OR DISABLED

860702 HEAD/PARTNER: LAST OCCUPATION-CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

860703 HEAD/PARTNER: LAST OCCUPATION-COLLAPSED 1980
OCCUPATION CODE

860704 HEAD/PARTNER: LAST OCCUPATION-CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
860705 ON LAST REGULAR JOB WAS HEAD/PARTNER SELF-EMPLOYED
860706 WAS HEAD/PARTNER EMPLOYED BY A FEDERAL, STATE OR
LOCAL GOVERNMENT

860707 WAS HEAD/PARTNER EMPLOYED IN LAST SIX MONTHS
860708 NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK HEAD/PARTNER WORKED
860709 IS HEAD/PARTNER LOOKING FOR WORK AT PRESENT TIME
860710 HOW WORRIED IS HEAD/PARTNER ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO
FIND A JOB

OCCUPATION - HEAD/PARTNER HOMEMAKER OR STUDENT

860711 HEAD/PARTNER: LAST OCCUPATION-CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
860712 HEAD/PARTNER: LAST OCCUPATION-COLLAPSED 1980
OCCUPATION CODE

860713 HEAD/PARTNER: LAST OCCUPATION-CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
860714 ON LAST REGULAR JOB WAS HEAD/PARTNER SELF-EMPLOYED
860715 WAS HEAD/PARTNER EMPLOYED BY A FEDERAL, STATE OR
LOCAL GOVERNMENT

860716 NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK HEAD/PARTNER WORKED
860717 IS HEAD/PARTNER LOOKING FOR WORK AT PRESENT TIME
860718 HOW WORRIED IS HEAD/PARTNER ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO
FIND A JOB

HEAD'S OCCUPATIONAL DATA -- STACKED

860719 HEAD'S PRESENT/LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS OCCUPATION
CODE

860720 HEAD'S PRESENT/LAST OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1980 CODE
860721 HEAD'S PRESENT/LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
860722 HEAD SELF EMPLOYED
860723 DID HEAD WORK FOR THE FEDERAL OR STATE OR LOCAL
GOVERNMENT

860724 NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK HEAD WORKS
860725 HOW WORRIED ABOUT JOB SECURITY IS HEAD
860726 HAS HEAD HAD A JOB IN THE PAST SIX MONTHS
860727 (IF UNEMPLOYED) IS HEAD PRESENTLY LOOKING FOR WORK
860728 (IF NOT WORKING NOW) HAS HEAD EVER WORKED FOR PAY

LABOR UNION POSITION

860729 DOES ANYONE IN R'S HOUSEHOLD BELONG TO A LABOR UNION
860730 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: IS R HEAD OF FAMILY
860731 WHO BELONGS TO LABOR UNION

R'S FAMILY INCOME AND SOCIAL CLASS

860732 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: IS R ONLY FAMILY MEMBER 14
OR OLDER

860733 FAMILY HOUSEHOLD INCOME BEFORE TAXES
860734 R'S INCOME BEFORE TAXES IF R IS ONLY FAMILY MEMBER
14 OR OLDER

860735 DOES R THINK OF SELF AS BELONGING TO A SOCIAL CLASS
860736 DOES R THINK OF SELF AS MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS

R'S RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE

860737 R'S RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE AND DENOMINATION

860738 HOW OFTEN DOES R ATTEND CHURCH/SYNAGOGUE

R'S ETHNIC IDENTITY

860739 WHAT DOES R CONSIDER HIS MAIN ETHNIC GROUP (OTHER THAN AMERICAN) - FIRST MENTION

860740 WHAT DOES R CONSIDER HIS MAIN ETHNIC GROUP (OTHER THAN AMERICAN) - SECOND MENTION

860741 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: # GROUPS MENTIONED

860742 WHICH GROUP DOES R MOST CLOSELY IDENTIFY WITH (OF THOSE MENTIONED)

PERSONAL INFORMATION: R'S PARENTS

860743 WERE R'S PARENTS BORN IN THIS COUNTRY

860744 R'S FATHER'S MAIN OCCUPATION-CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

860745 DID R'S MOTHER HAVE A JOB

860746 R'S MOTHER'S MAIN OCCUPATION-CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE

860747 R'S BIRTHPLACE

PERSONAL INFORMATION: R'S COMMUNITY

860748 WHERE DID R GROW UP

860749 WHICH COMMUNITY TYPE DID R GROW UP IN

860750 HOW LONG HAS R LIVED IN THIS CITY/TOWN, STATE

860751 WHERE DID R LIVE BEFORE THIS CITY/TOWN, STATE-WITHIN THE U.S.A.

860752 WHERE DID R LIVE BEFORE THIS CITY/TOWN, STATE-FOREIGN COUNTRY

860753 HOW LONG HAS R LIVED IN THIS HOUSE/APARTMENT

860754 DOES R/R'S FAMILY OWN OR RENT R'S HOME

860755 R'S SEX

860756 R'S RACE

860757 IS R OF HISPANIC ORIGIN

860758 R'S RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF FAMILY

CONDITIONS OF INTERVIEW

860759 OTHERS PRESENT AT INTERVIEW

860760 R'S COOPERATION

860761 R'S LEVEL OF INFORMATION ABOUT POLITICS/PUBLIC AFFAIRS

860762 R'S INTELLIGENCE

860763 WAS R SUSPICIOUS BEFORE INTERVIEW

860764 R'S INTEREST IN INTERVIEW

860765 R'S SINCERITY

860766 DID R REPORT INCOME CORRECTLY

860767 ESTIMATE OF R'S INCOME

860768 WAS INTERVIEW CONDUCTED IN ENGLISH

VOTE VALIDATION

860770 VOTER VALIDATION ID

860771 PRIMARY AREA OF 1986 INTERVIEW

860772 OFFICE IN WHICH VOTING RECORDS WERE CHECKED

860773 DOES R HAVE A REGISTRATION RECORD IN THIS OFFICE

860774 WHERE WAS THE REGISTRATION RECORD FOUND

860775 MONTH OF PURGE/APPLICATION

860776 DAY OF THE PURGE/APPLICATION

860777 YEAR OF PURGE/APPLICATION

860778 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT-PURGE/APPLICATION DATE
860779 DOES R'S NAME ON COVERSHEET LABEL MATCH THE
NAME ON THE REGISTRATION RECORD
860780 MONTH OF BIRTH ON REGISTRATION RECORD
860781 YEAR OF BIRTH ON REGISTRATION RECORD
860782 DIFFERENCE BETWEEN SELF REPORT BIRTHDATE AND
BIRTHDATE ON REGISTRATION RECORD
860783 DOES R'S ADDRESS ON COVERSHEET LABEL MATCH THE
ADDRESS ON REGISTRATION RECORD
860784 DOES THE PRECINCT LISTED ON THE REGISTRATION
RECORD MATCH EXACTLY THE PRECINCT LISTED ON
COVERSHEET LABEL
860785 WHICH SOURCES OF REGISTRATION RECORDS ARE IN THIS
OFFICE
860786 WHICH SOURCES OF RECORDS WERE NOT ACCESSIBLE
FOR CHECKING
860787 ARE REGISTRATION RECORDS UPDATED WITH VOTE
INFORMATION
860788 DOES THE REGISTRATION RECORD INDICATE THAT R VOTED
860789 DO VOTE RECORDS INDICATE THAT R VOTED
860790 WHICH VOTE RECORD INDICATED THAT R VOTED
860791 WERE ALL VOTE RECORDS ACCESSIBLE FOR CHECKING
860792 WHICH VOTE RECORDS WERE NOT AVAILABLE
860793 IS R'S ADDRESS NEEDED TO LOCATE (HIM/HER) IN
REGISTRATION FILE
860794 WERE ALL REGISTRATION RECORDS AVAILABLE TO
INTERVIEWER/SUPERVISOR
860795 IS R'S NAME LISTED IN DIRECTORY AT ADDRESS ON
COVERSHEET LABEL
860796 EASE OF ACCESS RATING CODE FROM ELECTION
ADMINISTRATION SURVEY THUMBNAIL
860797 R SELF REPORTED VOTE
860798 WHETHER A CHECK WAS MADE FOR R'S REGISTRATION /VOTING
RECORD
860799 REGISTRATION RECORD FOUND:SUMMARY
860800 AVAILABILITY OF VOTING RECORDS
860801 RECORD OF R VOTING
860802 SUMMARY:ASSIGNMENT OF R TO VOTE/NON-VOTE