AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES

1986 POST-ELECTION STUDY

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS

WARREN E. MILLER
AND THE NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES

CENTER FOR POLITICAL STUDIES
UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER 8678
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF ASSISTANCE

ALL MANUSCRIPTS UTILIZING DATA MADE AVAILABLE THROUGH THE CONSORTIUM SHOULD ACKNOWLEDGE THAT FACT AS WELL AS IDENTIFY THE ORIGINAL COLLECTOR OF THE DATA. THE ICPSR COUNCIL URGES ALL USERS OF THE ICPSR DATA FACILITIES TO FOLLOW SOME ADAPTATION OF THIS STATEMENT WITH THE PARENTHESES INDICATING ITEMS TO BE FILLED IN APPROPRIATELY OR DELETED BY THE INDIVIDUAL USER.


IN ORDER TO PROVIDE FUNDING AGENCIES WITH ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE USE OF ARCHIVAL RESOURCES AND TO FACILITATE THE EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION ABOUT ICPSR PARTICIPANTS' RESEARCH ACTIVITIES, EACH USER OF THE ICPSR DATA FACILITIES IS EXPECTED TO SEND TWO COPIES OF EACH COMPLETED MANUSCRIPT OR THESIS ABSTRACT TO THE CONSORTIUM. PLEASE INDICATE IN THE COVER LETTER WHICH DATA WERE USED.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Note: >>sections in the codebook introduction and codebook appendix can be navigated in the machine-readable files by searching ">>".
INTRODUCTORY MATERIALS  (file int1986.cbk)
----------------------
>> 1986 INTRODUCTION AND STUDY DESCRIPTION
>> 1986 SURVEY CONTENT AND ADMINISTRATION
>> 1986 OCCUPATION CODING
>> 1986 STUDY SPECIFICATIONS
>> 1986 SAMPLING INFORMATION
>> 1986 WORKING PAPERS SERIES
>> LIST OF REPORTS FROM ANES 1985 PILOT STUDY
>> PILOT STUDY REPORTS ANES 1983
>> 1986 DESCRIPTION OF VOTE VALIDATION OFFICE ADMINISTRATION VARIABLES
>> 1986 CODEBOOK INFORMATION
>> 1986 ICPSR PROCESSING INFORMATION
>> 1986 VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST

CODEBOOK (file nes1986.cbk)
--------
  1986 variables

APPENDICES   (file app1986.cbk)
----------
>> TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM ON THE SAMPLING DESIGN OF THE 1986 NATIONAL
     POST-ELECTION STUDY
>> 1980 CENSUS DEFINITIONS
>> NES 1986 PARTY CANDIDATE NOTE
>> NES 1986 CANDIDATE MASTER CODE
>> NES 1986 IMPORTANT PROBLEMS
>> NES 1986 EDUCATION AND OCCUPATION RECODES
>> 1980 CENSUS OCCUPATION CODES
>> 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY CODES
>> NES 1986 NATIONALITY NOTE
>> NES 1986 STATE AND COUNTRY NOTE
>> NES 1986 CITIES NOTE

>> 1986 INTRODUCTION AND STUDY DESCRIPTION

THE NES/CPS AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY, 1986, WAS
CONDUCTED BY THE CENTER FOR POLITICAL STUDIES OF THE
INSTITUTE FOR SOCIAL RESEARCH, UNDER THE GENERAL DIRECTION
OF WARREN E. MILLER. SANTA TRAUGOTT IS THE DIRECTOR OF
STUDIES. THIS IS THE NINETEENTH IN A SERIES OF STUDIES OF
AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTIONS PRODUCED BY THE POLITICAL
BEHAVIOR PROGRAM OF THE SURVEY RESEARCH CENTER AND THE
CENTER FOR POLITICAL STUDIES, AND IS THE FIFTH STUDY TO BE
CONDUCTED UNDER THE AUSPICES OF NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION
GRANTS (NOS. SOC77-08885 AND SES-8341310) PROVIDING
LONG-TERM SUPPORT FOR THE NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES. SINCE
1978, THE NES ELECTION STUDIES HAVE BEEN DESIGNED BY A
NATIONAL BOARD OF OVEREERS, THE MEMBERS OF WHICH MEET
SEVERAL TIMES A YEAR TO PLAN CONTENT AND ADMINISTRATION OF
THE MAJOR STUDY COMPONENTS.

BOARD MEMBERS DURING THE 1986 PLANNING PHASE INCLUDED:
RAYMOND E. WOLFINGER, CHAIR, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY; MORRIS P. FIORINA, HARVARD UNIVERSITY; STEVEN J. ROSENSTONE, YALE UNIVERSITY; RICHARD A. BRODY, STANFORD UNIVERSITY; STANLEY FELDMAN, UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY; EDIE N. GOLDENBERG, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN; GARY C. JACOBSON, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO; STANLEY KELLEY, JR., PRINCETON UNIVERSITY; DONALD R. KINDER, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN; WARREN E. MILLER, ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY, EX OFFICIO.

THE 1986 STUDY PLANNING COMMITTEE INCLUDED SEVERAL BOARD MEMBERS (KELLEY, CHAIR; FELDMAN, FIORINA, JACOBSON, MILLER, EX OFFICIO; AND WOLFINGER) AND TWO OTHER SCHOLARS, DR. THOMAS MANN, AMERICAN POLITICAL SCIENCE ASSOCIATION; AND JOHN ZALLER, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY.


>> 1986 SURVEY CONTENT AND ADMINISTRATION

MUCH OF THE CONTENT OF THE OFF-YEAR POST-ELECTION SURVEYS IS CONSTRAINED BY THE REQUIREMENTS OF REPEATING "CORE"--I.E., THOSE ITEMS WHICH HAVE BEEN ASKED IN PREVIOUS ELECTION STUDIES AND WHICH THE BOARD OF OVERSEERS, ACTING FOR AND IN RESPONSE TO THE COMMUNITY OF NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY USERS, HAS DETERMINED TO BE CENTRAL TO THE NES PROGRAM OF RESEARCH.

CORE ITEMS INCLUDE ATTENTION TO MEDIA, INTEREST IN THE CAMPAIGN, PARTY LIKES AND DISLIKES, RECALL OF AND LIKES AND DISLIKES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES, CONTACT WITH INCUMBENT MEMBERS OF CONGRESS AND THOSE CHALLENGING THEM FOR ELECTION, FEELING THERMOMETERS FOR GROUPS AS WELL AS POLITICAL FIGURES, EVALUATIONS OF THE PRESIDENT, RESPONDENT'S OWN POSITION ON ISSUES AND RESPONDENT'S PLACEMENT OF THE PRESIDENT AND CANDIDATES ON THESE ISSUES, LIBERAL-CONSERVATIVE AND PARTISAN IDENTIFICATIONS, INFORMATION ABOUT REGISTRATION AND VOTING, AND AN EXTENSIVE ARRAY OF DEMOGRAPHIC MEASURES.

"NEW" CONTENT IN 1986 REFLECTED SOME OF THE THEMES TESTED EXTENSIVELY IN THE 1985 PILOT STUDY--VALUES (SEE THE MORAL TRADITIONALISM BATTERY, Q.P5A-P5H), POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE (Q.H2-H4) AND ATTITUDES ON RACIAL POLICY AS WELL AS MORE GENERAL ATTITUDES CONCEPTUALIZED AS ANTECEDENT TO THESE OPINIONS ON RACIAL QUESTIONS. (FOR A LIST OF PAPERS PRESENTING PILOT COMMITTEE ANALYSES OF THESE QUESTIONS,
PLEASE REFER TO PG. XI, LIST OF REPORTS FROM THE ANES PILOT STUDY 1985.)

BECAUSE THERE WAS CONSIDERABLE INTEREST IN CONTENT WHICH ADDED UP TO SIGNIFICANTLY MORE MINUTES THAN IS PRESENTLY CONSIDERED TO BE THE LIMIT OF RESPONDENT "BURDEN" -- I.E., 75 MINUTES-- IT WAS DECIDED TO USE TWO FORMS IN ADMINISTERING THE 1986 NES. FORMS A AND B DIFFER FROM EACH OTHER IN THAT FORM A CONTAINS UNIQUE MATERIAL FOR PRESIDENTIAL EVALUATION --TRAITS, AFFECTS, RETROSPECTIVE (AND PROSPECTIVE) ECONOMIC EVALUATIONS, WHILE THE QUESTIONS UNIQUE TO FORM B ARE BASICALLY ABOUT RACIAL ATTITUDES. ABOUT 3/4 OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE IS COMMON MATERIAL, I.E., INCLUDED ON BOTH FORMS. THE CODEBOOK INDICATES WHETHER A VARIABLE IS UNIQUE TO A FORM.

>> 1986 OCCUPATION CODING

IN THE 1986 ELECTION STUDY, THE OCCUPATION CODING IS BASED ON THE 1980 CENSUS BUREAU THREE DIGIT OCCUPATION CODE, AND HAS BEEN RELEASED IN SOMewhat LESS DETAIL THAN IN YEARS PAST. THE DATASET INCLUDES A TWO-DIGIT CODE, WITH 71 CATEGORIES CORRESPONDING TO CENSUS BUREAU OCCUPATIONAL GROUPINGS. THOSE WHO HAVE NEED OF THE FULL OCCUPATION CODE FOR THEIR RESEARCH SHOULD CONTACT THE NES PROJECT STAFF FOR INFORMATION ABOUT THE CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH ACCESS TO THESE DATA MAY BE PROVIDED.

CONFIDENTIALITY RECODING.

FOR SOME YEARS, THE NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES HAVE NOT RELEASED INFORMATION FOR CENSUS TRACTS OR MINOR CIVIL DIVISIONS. PERMISSION TO USE THE MORE DETAILED GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION FOR SCHOLARLY RESEARCH PURPOSES MAY BE OBTAINED FROM THE BOARD OF OVERSEERS. MORE INFORMATION ABOUT THIS IS AVAILABLE FROM THE NES PROJECT STAFF.

OPEN-ENDED MATERIALS.

TRADITIONALLY, THE ELECTION STUDIES HAVE CONTAINED SEVERAL MINUTES OF OPEN-ENDED RESPONSES (FOR EXAMPLE, THE PARTY LIKES AND DISLIKES QUESTIONS, B1-B4 IN THIS STUDY). THESE QUESTIONS ARE CODED BY THE SRC CODING SECTION. OTHER SCHOLARS HAVE DEVELOPED ALTERNATIVE OR SUPPLEMENTAL CODING SCHEMES FOR THESE QUESTIONS (FOR EXAMPLE, THE LEVELS OF CONCEPTUALIZATION, RELEASED AS ICPSR #8151). THE BOARD OF OVERSEERS WISHES TO ENCOURAGE THESE EFFORTS BUT IN WAYS WHICH RESPECT THE NES AND SRC OBLIGATION TO PROTECT THE PRIVACY AND ANONYMITY OF RESPONDENTS. CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH INDIVIDUALS MAY HAVE ACCESS IN ANN ARBOR TO THESE MATERIALS FOR RESEARCH PURPOSES HAVE BEEN WORKED OUT AND THOSE INTERESTED SHOULD CONTACT THE NES PROJECT STAFF FOR FURTHER DETAILS.

USE OF PROBES.

SRC INTERVIEWERS ARE INSTRUCTED TO PROBE AMBIGUOUS OR TERSE
RESPONDENTS ANSWERED WITH A LIMITED SET OF STANDARD PHRASES, AND TO RECORD USE OF THESE PROBES WHENEVER THEY OCCUR. IN THIS YEAR'S STUDY, WE HAVE CODED THE USE OF PROBES IN SEVERAL PLACES IN THE QUESTIONNAIRE, PERHAPS MOST NOTABLY ON CANDIDATE PLACEMENTS FOR 7-POINT ISSUE SCALES.

AUXILIARY INFORMATION.

SAMPLING AND FIELD ADMINISTRATION VARIABLES ARE INCLUDED IN THIS RELEASE OF THE DATA. FOR THE FIRST TIME, SOME INFORMATION ABOUT INTERVIEWERS HAS BEEN ADDED TO THE FILE, SUCH AS AGE, RACE, EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE. (SEE VARIABLES V860037-V860040.)

VALIDATED VOTE.


OCCUPATIONAL PRESTIGE SCORES.

DUE TO THE COLLAPSING OF CENSUS BUREAU OCCUPATION CODES, OCCUPATIONAL PRESTIGE SCORES ARE NOT AVAILABLE IN THE PUBLIC USE FILE. THOSE WHO HAVE NEED OF THE FULL OCCUPATION CODES AND RELATED PRESTIGE SCORES FOR THEIR RESEARCH SHOULD CONTACT THE NES PROJECT STAFF FOR INFORMATION ABOUT THE CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH ACCESS TO THESE DATA MAY BE PROVIDED.

FORMS.

THE TWO FORMS, A-B, WERE COMBINED INTO ONE QUESTIONNAIRE, WITH ROUTING FOR FORM A AND B RESPONDENTS TAKING PLACE BY MEANS OF CHECKPOINTS. (VARIABLES V860042 AND V960046 CONTAIN DATA ON FORM ADMINISTRATION).

TO AVOID CLUSTERING OF RESPONDENTS BY FORM, SAMPLE ADDRESSES FOR EACH SEGMENT WERE RANDOMLY ASSIGNED TO FORM A AND FORM B.

RESPONSE RATE AND FIELD PERIOD.

THE RESPONSE RATE FOR THIS STUDY IS 67.7%. THE STUDY WAS IN THE FIELD UNTIL JANUARY 31, ALTHOUGH 67% OF THE INTERVIEWS WERE TAKEN BY NOVEMBER 25, 80% BY DECEMBER 7, AND 93% BY DECEMBER 31. A STAFF TECHNICAL PAPER "RESPONSE EFFECTS IN THE 1986 ELECTION STUDY," IS BEING PRODUCED AND WILL BE AVAILABLE BY EARLY SUMMER. (SEE THE LIST OF WORKING PAPERS AND TECHNICAL REPORTS.)

WRONG RESPONDENTS.

FIVE RESPONDENTS WERE DETERMINED TO BE WRONGLY SELECTED. THE USUAL REASON FOR THE ERRONEOUS SELECTION IS THAT THE INTERVIEWER DID NOT FIND OUT UNTIL THE DEMOGRAPHIC SECTION ABOUT THE PRESENCE OF ANOTHER ADULT IN THE HOUSEHOLD. THE INTERVIEW NUMBERS OF THESE RESPONDENTS ARE: 551, 847, 954,
CANDIDATE CARDS AND BALLOT LISTS.

THE CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT OF EACH SAMPLE AREA WAS DETERMINED BY THE SRC SAMPLING SECTION. THE NES STUDY STAFF COMPILED INFORMATION FOR EACH SAMPLE POINT ABOUT CANDIDATES FOR GOVERNOR, SENATE AND THE CONGRESS. USING CANDIDATE LISTS INCORPORATING THESE NAMES, INTERVIEWERS PRE-EDITED THEIR QUESTIONNAIRES SO THAT THE CORRECT CANDIDATE NAMES COULD BE READ TO RESPONDENTS AT VARIOUS POINTS IN THE QUESTIONNAIRE. FOR THE VOTE CHOICE QUESTIONS (V860266, V860270, V860274) THE RESPONDENT USED A "BALLOT CARD" SHOWING THE CORRECT NAMES OF CANDIDATES FOR RACES IN THE RESPONDENTS DISTRICT. THE CANDIDATE CARDS AND BALLOT LISTS ARE REPRODUCED IN THE APPENDIX SECTION OF THE CODEBOOK.

---

**>> 1986 STUDY SPECIFICATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Interview</th>
<th>Eligible*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Rate</td>
<td>Length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form A</td>
<td>1086</td>
<td>67.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form B</td>
<td>1090</td>
<td>67.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2176</td>
<td>67.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ELIGIBLE SAMPLE IS THE PROPORTION OF SAMPLE ADDRESSES WHICH WERE DETERMINED TO BE OCCUPIED BY ONE OR MORE U.S. CITIZENS OF VOTING AGE.

---

**>> 1986 SAMPLING INFORMATION**

THE 1980 SAMPLING FRAME WAS DESIGNATED, SELECTED AND LISTED JOINTLY BY NORC AND SRC. THE SAMPLE UNIVERSE INCLUDES ALL U.S. HOUSEHOLDS IN THE 48 COTERMINOUS STATES, ALASKA AND HAWAII. (CIVILIAN HOUSEHOLDS RESIDING ON MILITARY BASES ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THE SAMPLE UNIVERSE AS DEFINED BY NES.)

THE 1980 NORC/SRC NATIONAL SAMPLE IS A MULTI-STAGE AREA PROBABILITY DESIGN. THAT IS, IN A SERIES OF HIERARCHICAL STEPS, GEOGRAPHICALLY DEFINED SAMPLING UNITS OF DECREASING SIZE ARE SELECTED WITH PROBABILITY PROPORTIONATE TO THEIR TOTAL OCCUPIED HOUSING UNIT COUNT. THE OVERALL SAMPLING FRACTION IS 1:25,389.

THE NATIONAL SAMPLE INCLUDES A FIRST STAGE SELECTION OF SMSA'S, COUNTIES AND COUNTY GROUPS (PRIMARY AREAS), A SECOND STAGE SELECTION OF AREA SEGMENTS (HOUSING UNIT CLUSTERS DEFINED BY CENSUS BLOCKS OR SUBSAMPLED PARTS OF SELECTED CENSUS ENUMERATION DISTRICTS), A THIRD STAGE SAMPLING OF
(LISTED) HOUSING UNITS FROM THE SELECTED AREA SEGMENTS, AND, FOR THE NES SAMPLE, A FINAL STAGE OF RANDOMLY SELECTING A RESPONDENT FROM THE ELIGIBLE MEMBERS OF THE SAMPLE HOUSEHOLDS.

IN THIS SAMPLE, PRIMARY AREAS CONSIST OF COUNTIES, SMSA'S, INDEPENDENT CITIES, AND IN NEW ENGLAND, PARTS OF COUNTIES. EIGHTY-FOUR PRIMARY AREAS WERE SELECTED AT THE FIRST STAGE. PRIOR TO SELECTION, PRIMARY AREAS WERE GROUPED INTO 84 STRATA. THE STRATA WERE FORMED BY GROUPING METROPOLITAN AND NON-METROPOLITAN PAS WITHIN EACH OF THE FOUR CENSUS REGIONS. WITHIN EACH REGION, ADDITIONAL VARIABLES WERE USED TO DEFINE STRATA. THE STRATIFYING VARIABLES INCLUDED WITHIN-REGION GEOGRAPHY AND SIZE; SIZE WAS MEASURED BY THE 1980 CENSUS COUNTY OF OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS. ONE PSU (PRIMARY SELECTION UNIT) WAS SELECTED FROM EACH STRATUM USING A CONTROLLED SELECTION PROCEDURE.

SIXTEEN OF THE SELECTIONS ARE "SELF-REPRESENTING". THAT IS, THERE IS ONE AND ONLY ONE SELECTION FROM THAT STRATA BECAUSE THE ENTIRE STRATA IS COMPRISED OF AN SMSA. FOR EXAMPLE, NEW YORK AND CHICAGO SMSA'S ARE SELF-REPRESENTING. TECHNICALLY, THESE ARE NOT PSUS SINCE THERE IS NO SELECTION AS SUCH INVOLVED. FOR THIS REASON THE 84 UNITS IN THE FIRST STAGE OF THE SAMPLE SELECTIONS ARE REFERRED TO IN SUBSEQUENT DESCRIPTIONS AS PRIMARY AREAS, RATHER THAN PSUS.

FOR THE 1980 DESIGN, THE DESIGNATED SECOND STAGE SAMPLING UNITS (SSUS OR SEGMENTS) ARE COMPRised OF CENSUS BLOCKS IN METROPOLITAN PRIMARY AREAS (OR ENUMERATION DISTRICTS IN SOME RURAL AREAS). THIS REPRESENTS A CHANGE FOR SRC WHICH IN 1970 USED AN INTERMEDIATE STEP OF SELECTING A STRATIFIED SAMPLE OF MINOR CIVIL DIVISIONS OR "PLACES" BEFORE SELECTING THE SMALLER BLOCK OR ED UNITS.

SAMPLING OF SECOND STAGE UNITS WAS PERFORMED WITH PROBABILITIES PROPORTIONATE TO MEASURES OF SIZE (MOS) -- THE TOTAL OCCUPIED HOUSING COUNT FOR THE AREA. BEFORE SECOND STAGE SELECTION TOOK PLACE, SSUS WERE ORDERED OR IMPLICITLY STRATIFIED USING A THREE STEP PROCEDURE.

FIRST, IN MULTI-COUNTY PRIMARY AREAS, THE SSUS WERE ORDERED BY COUNTY ACCORDING TO GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION AND POPULATION SIZE OF THE COUNTY. THIRTY-SIX OF THE EIGHTY-FOUR PRIMARY AREAS FELL IN STATES WHERE DATA WERE AVAILABLE FOR THE MEDIAN PER CAPITA INCOME FOR EACH MCD. IN THESE AREAS, EACH MCD WAS CLASSIFIED INTO ONE OF THREE POPULATION SIZE, AND ONE OF THREE INCOME SIZE, CATEGORIES. IN ALL PRIMARY AREAS, THE FINAL STRATIFICATION STEP WAS TO ORDER INDIVIDUAL CENSUS BLOCKS AND ENUMERATION DISTRICTS ACCORDING TO GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION.

THE SECONDARY SELECTIONS (SEGMENTS) WERE MADE USING A SYSTEMATIC SELECTION PROCEDURE. WITHIN THE 6 LARGEST PRIMARY AREAS, A VARYING NUMBER OF SEGMENTS CAN BE SELECTED, FROM 6 TO ABOUT 25. IN ALL OTHER PRIMARY AREAS, SIX SECONDARY SELECTIONS WERE MADE.

THE MINIMUM SIZE OF A SEGMENT IS 50 OCCUPIED HOUSEHOLDS, BUT THE AVERAGE NUMBER OF HOUSING UNIT LISTINGS IN A SEGMENT IS
ABOUT 92. IN ORDER TO MAKE MANAGEABLE THE SUBSEQUENT STEP OF LISTING THE HOUSING UNITS FROM WHICH SAMPLE SELECTIONS ARE TO BE MADE, THE SRC SAMPLE DESIGN FURTHER DIVIDES THE SEGMENTS INTO SEGMENT PARTS, WHICH ARE IDENTIFIABLE UNITS WITHIN THE SEGMENT WITH A MEASURE OF SIZE OF APPROXIMATELY 16 TO 20 HUS -- FOR EXAMPLE, A CITY BLOCK.

EACH HU IN AN ACTIVE SEGMENT PART IS THEN LISTED AS A SAMPLE "LINE," AND FINAL HOUSEHOLD SELECTIONS ARE MADE WITH A RANDOM START AND A SYSTEMATIC SAMPLING PROCEDURE.

ONCE A HOUSEHOLD IS SELECTED, ALL PERSONS RESIDING THERE ARE LISTED BY THE INTERVIEWER, AND A RESPONDENT IS CHOSEN, USING A SELECTION TABLE FROM THE POLITICALLY ELIGIBLE ADULTS IN THE HOUSEHOLD. (SEE VARIABLES V86007 AND V860014.)

EVERY HOUSEHOLD IN THE UNITED STATES HAS AN EQUAL PROBABILITY OF FALLING INTO THE SAMPLE. HOWEVER, ALL RESPONDENTS ARE NOT SELECTED WITH EQUAL PROBABILITY. FOR EXAMPLE, ONCE A HOUSEHOLD IS SELECTED, AN ELIGIBLE INDIVIDUAL IN A HOUSEHOLD WITH THREE ELIGIBLE PERSONS HAS ONLY ONE-THIRD THE PROBABILITY OF SELECTION AS THE PERSON WHO IS THE ONLY ELIGIBLE PERSON IN HIS/HER HOUSEHOLD. TECHNICALLY, RESPONDENTS OUGHT TO BE WEIGHTED IN ALL ANALYSES BY THE INVERSE OF THEIR SELECTION PROBABILITY. NES STAFF HAS TRADITIONALLY COMPARED FREQUENCIES RUN WEIGHTED AND UNWEIGHTED ON VARIABLES OF INTEREST AND CONCLUDED THAT THE DIFFERENCES IN DISTRIBUTIONS (TYPICALLY VERY MINOR) DO NOT JUSTIFY THE ADDED COMPLEXITIES OF WEIGHTING. THIS IS THE CASE FOR 1986 AS WELL.

FINALLY, THE FULL 1980 NATIONAL SAMPLE HAS 84 PRIMARY SELECTIONS, ONE FROM EACH STRATA. IN ORDER TO PROVIDE FOR FLEXIBILITY IN SAMPLE DESIGN AND FOR EFFICIENCY IN STAFFING PRIMARY AREAS, THE SAMPLE DESIGN ALLOWS FOR USE OF 1/2, 2/3, 5/6 OR ALL OF THE NONSELF-REPRESENTING PRIMARY AREAS. THIS FEATURE ALLOWS A SMALLER NUMBER OF PRIMARY AREAS TO BE USED FOR SMALLER SAMPLE SIZES, ENABLING MORE OPTIMAL COST/STATISTICAL EFFICIENCY THAN WOULD RESULT IF THE SAMPLE WERE SPREAD ACROSS THE FULL 84 PRIMARY AREAS.

IN PREVIOUS SRC SAMPLES, DESIRED INCREASES IN SAMPLE SIZE WERE HANDLED BY GENERATING ADDITIONAL LINES WITHIN A STANDARD SET OF SEGMENTS. IN THE CURRENT SAMPLING FRAME, ADDITIONAL PRIMARY AREAS AND/OR SEGMENTS WITHIN THE LARGEST PRIMARY AREAS ARE SELECTED TO INCREASE SAMPLE SIZE.

IN 1986 THE NES USED THE 2/3 SAMPLE OPTION, WHICH MEANS THAT ALL OF THE 16 SELF-REPRESENTING PRIMARY AREAS AND 45 (2/3 OF 68) OF THE NONSELF-REPRESENTING PRIMARY AREAS WERE USED, FOR A TOTAL OF 61 PRIMARY AREAS. IN ADDITION TO FLEXIBILITY IN THE NUMBER OF PRIMARY AREAS WHICH MAY BE USED IN A SAMPLE, THE SRC SAMPLE DESIGN ALSO ALLOWS FOR FLEXIBILITY IN THE NUMBER OF SEGMENTS USED WITHIN SELF-REPRESENTING PRIMARY AREAS WHERE THE NUMBER OF SEGMENTS RANGES FROM 6 TO 25 IS PROPORTIONATE TO THE NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS IN THE PRIMARY AREAS. THE NUMBER OF SEGMENTS IN EACH OF THE NONSELF-REPRESENTING PRIMARY AREAS IS EXACTLY 6. THERE ARE 426 SEGMENTS IN THE SAMPLE (OF WHICH 416 YIELDED AT LEAST ONE INTERVIEW); 156 FROM SELF-REPRESENTING AREAS AND 270
FROM THE REMAINING ONE. WITH 2176 INTERVIEWS, THERE ARE 5.1 INTERVIEWS ON AVERAGE PER SEGMENT.

THE SAMPLE IS MORE FULLY DESCRIBED IN THE APPENDIX ENTITLED "TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM ON THE SAMPLING DESIGN OF THE 1986 NATIONAL POST-ELECTION STUDY".

>> 1986 WORKING PAPERS SERIES

GIOVANNA MORCHIO AND MARIA SANCHEZ. "CREATION OF A FILTER VARIABLE TO BE USED WHEN ANALYZING QUESTIONS ABOUT CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES IN THE 1982 INTEGRATED PERSONAL/ISR CATI/Berkeley, CATI DATASET: A REPORT TO THE BOARD OF OVERSEERS, NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES." WORKING PAPER NO. 1. ANN ARBOR: CPS, FEBRUARY 28, 1984. 40 PAGES.

GIOVANNA MORCHIO AND MARIA SANCHEZ. "COMPARISON OF THE MICHIGAN METHOD OF DISTRICT ASSIGNMENT ON THE TELEPHONE WITH THE PERSONAL INTERVIEW SIMULATED DATA: A REPORT TO THE BOARD OF OVERSEERS, NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES." WORKING PAPER NO. 2. ANN ARBOR: CPS, MARCH 2, 1984. 10 PAGES.

NES STAFF. "QUESTIONS AND VERSIONS IN NES CONTINUOUS MONITORING, 1984: A REPORT TO THE BOARD OF OVERSEERS, NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES." WORKING PAPER NO. 3. ANN ARBOR: CPS, AUGUST, 1984. 10 PAGES.

NES STAFF. "WEEKLY FIELD REPORT FOR THE NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES CONTINUOUS MONITORING, JAN. 11 - AUGUST 3, 1984: A REPORT TO THE BOARD OF OVERSEERS, NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES." WORKING PAPER NO. 4. ANN ARBOR: CPS, AUGUST, 1984. 4 PAGES.

SANTA TRAUGOTT. "SAMPLE WEIGHTING IN NES CONTINUOUS MONITORING, 1984: A REPORT TO THE BOARD OF OVERSEERS, NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES." WORKING PAPER NO. 5. ANN ARBOR: CPS, 6 PAGES.


LIST OF REPORTS FROM THE ANES 1985 PILOT STUDY


PAMELA JOHNSTON CONOVER AND STANLEY FELDMAN. 1985 PILOT STUDY MEASURES ON CIVIC OBLIGATION. MAY, 1986.

SHANTO IYENGAR. WHITHER POLITICAL INFORMATION. MAY, 1986.


DAVID O. SEARS AND LEONIE HUDDY. SOCIAL IDENTITIES AND POLITICAL DISUNITY AMONG WOMEN. MAY, 1986.

DAVID O. SEARS AND LEONIE HUDDY. INTEREST GROUP BEHAVIOR AMONG OLDER PEOPLE. MAY, 1986.

RICHARD SOBEL. FROM JOB PARTICIPATION TO OCCUPATIONAL INVOLVEMENT TO POLITICAL PARTICIPATION. OCTOBER 20, 1986.


JACK WALKER. SUBJECTIVE MEASURES OF GROUP AFFILIATION. MAY 27, 1986.


STAFF MEMORANDA:


PILOT STUDY REPORTS ANES 1983

SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD OF OVERSEERS, NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY AND THE 1984 NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY PLANNING COMMITTEE.

1. "MEASURING PERSONAL ECONOMIC WELL-BEING" FROM STEVEN J.


4. MEMO TO NES PLANNING COMMITTEE AND BOARD FROM DAVID O. SEARS, LEONIE HUDDY, LYNITTA SCHAFFER RE: NES PILOT STUDY OF VALUES, DATED OCTOBER, 1983.


10. MEMO TO NES PLANNING COMMITTEE AND BOARD FROM JON KROSNIK RE: NES PILOT STUDY OF ISSUE POSITION CENTRALITY MEASURES, DATED OCTOBER 21, 1983.


15A. MEMO TO DON KINDER, CHAIR, 1984 NES PLANNING COMMITTEE

15B. VARIABLES ON PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATES.


>> 1986 DESCRIPTION OF VOTE VALIDATION OFFICE ADMINISTRATION VARIABLES

The Election Administration dataset is part of the 1987 Vote Validation Study. In order to better understand the results of the individual voter record search, an interview was conducted with the Election Administration official in charge of the election office pertaining to our sample. This interview used a structured questionnaire which was designed to gather information in three areas: 1) How registration and voting records were kept and updated; 2) levels of office staffing and consequent ease of registration and 3) interviewer evaluation of office organization, efficiency and cooperation.

Interviews were obtained with officials in 166 offices. Offices varied greatly in size, record keeping procedures, level of computerization, and in the ease with which access was given to our interviewer. The number of registration records in a given office ranged all the way from Los Angeles with 2 million records to a township in Michigan with 800. Almost 80% of the offices are fully, partially or in the process of being computerized. Voting records were unavailable in offices coded 68 and 76 and 79 on variable 882502 (Sample Address Office ID); they had being packed and stored (in office 68) or burned (in office 76), or sent to the Secretary of State's office for processing (office 79).

For the most part office officials and supporting personnel were very cooperative and gave our interviewers wide access to their records. In a few instances they claimed only a state resident could have such access or the interviewer was barred from seeing the actual record, since it contained confidential information, i.e., birth date.

The section of the questionnaire dealing with registration opportunities (office hours and staffing) while accurate as far as it goes, is probably inadequate to portray the variety of staffing and scheduling strategies encountered. This portion of the questionnaire is to be extensively revised in 1988.

The original election administration dataset of 166 records was expanded so that information from the appropriate election office can be attached to the record of each of the 2176 respondents in the 1986 Post election study. The individual respondent case ID was added to the election administration dataset, after expansion, to facilitate merging of the Election Administration interview information with the 1986 post election survey file. Assuming that
both files are in 1986 election survey case ID sort order, the two files can be easily merged. (Users may also request the original file of 166 records.)

Users should be aware of the following special situations. Fifty one respondents gave us in 1986 an address different than their sample address for their registration address. We attempted to validate these people at the office appropriate for the address where they told us they were registered. Information about this situation is coded in variable 882042, Validation Office ID, and variable 882043, Relation of Sample Address Office to Validation Office. The office information for these 51 respondents which is attached to their case ID in the expanded election administration file is the one appropriate for the sample address, as recorded in variable 882502.

Some respondents (N=15) in this category turned out to be registered at nearby offices pertaining to sample segments which also happened to fall into our sample. (They are coded 3 on variable 882043.) these cases, users might desire to substitute for the election administration information in that respondent's file (which, as noted above, pertains to the sample segment in which the respondent actually lives, as opposed to that in which he/she is registered) the election office data corresponding to his/her registration information. Please contact NES Study Staff for information about how to do this.

A number of respondents in the 1986 election study were not validated for any of several reasons; for example, they told us they were not registered and did not vote; and/or they did not give us their name. In these cases, office data was attached to respondents based on their sample address.

>> 1986 CODEBOOK INFORMATION

The following example from the 1948 NES study provides the standard format for codebook variable documentation.

Note that NES studies which are not part of the Time-Series usually omit marginals and the descriptive content in lines 2-5 (except for variable name).

Line

1 ==============
2 VAR 480026 NAME-R NOT VT-WAS R REG TO VT
3        COLUMNS 61 - 61
4        NUMERIC
5        MD=0 OR GE 8
6
7        Q. 17. (IF R DID NOT VOTE) WERE YOU REGISTERED (ELIGIBLE) TO VOTE.
8        -----------------------------------------------
9
10  82     1. YES
11  149    2. NO
12  0      8. DK
13  9      9. NA
14  422    0. INAP., R VOTED
Line 2 - VARIABLE NAME. Note that in the codebook the variable name (usually a 'number') does not include the "V" prefix which is used in the release SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files) for all variables including those which do not have 'number' names. For example, the variable "VERSION" in the codebook is "VVERSION" in the data definition files.

Line 2 - "NAME". This is the variable label used in the SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files). Some codebooks exclude this.

Line 3 - COLUMNS. Columns in the ASCII data file (.dat file).

Line 4 - CHARACTER OR NUMERIC. If numeric and the variable is a decimal rather than integer variable, the number of decimal places is also indicated (e.g. "NUMERIC DEC 4")

Line 5 - Values which are assigned to missing by default in the Study's SAS and and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files).

Line 7 - Actual question text for survey variables or a description of non-survey variables (for example, congressional district). Survey items usually include the question number (for example "Bla.") from the Study questionnaire; beginning in 1996 non-survey items also have unique item numbers (for example "CSheet.1").

Line 9 - A dashed or dotted line usually separates question text from any other documentation which follows.

Line 10 - When present, annotation provided by Study staff is presented below the question text/description and preceding code values.

Lines 11-16 Code values are listed with descriptive labels. Valid codes (those not having 'missing' status in line 5) are presented first, followed by the values described in line 5. For continuous variables, one line may appear providing the range of possible values. A blank line usually separates the 'valid' and 'missing' values.

Lines 11-16 Marginals are usually provided for discrete variables. The counts may be unweighted or weighted; check the Study codebook introductory text to determine weight usage.

>> 1986 ICPSR PROCESSING INFORMATION

THE DATA COLLECTION WAS PROCESSED ACCORDING TO THE STANDARD ICPSR PROCESSING PROCEDURES FOR CLASS I DATA COLLECTIONS. THE DATA WERE CHECKED FOR ILLEGAL OR INCONSISTENT CODE VALUES WHICH, WHEN FOUND, WERE RECODED TO OSIRIS MISSING DATA VALUES. EXTENSIVE CONSISTENCY CHECKS WERE PERFORMED. STATEMENTS BRACKETED IN "<" AND ">" SIGNS IN THE BODY OF THE CODEBOOK WERE ADDED BY THE PROCESSORS FOR EXPLANATORY PURPOSES.
1986 VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VERSION</td>
<td>NES VERSION NUMBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSETNO</td>
<td>NES DATASET NUMBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860001</td>
<td>ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER-8678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860004</td>
<td>CASE ID OF RESPONDENT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SAMPLING INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>860005</td>
<td>SEGMENT NUMBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860006</td>
<td>STATE AND CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT CODE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860007</td>
<td>SELECTION TABLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860008</td>
<td>REFUSAL CONVERSION INDICATOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860009</td>
<td>DATE OF INTERVIEW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860010</td>
<td>PERSUASION LETTER REQUESTED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860011</td>
<td>FINAL CALL NUMBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860012</td>
<td>FINAL RESULT CODE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>860013</td>
<td>NUMBER OF PERSONS IN HOUSEHOLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860014</td>
<td>NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE ADULTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860015</td>
<td>NUMBER OF CHILDREN UNDER SIX YEARS OLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860016</td>
<td>NUMBER OF CHILDREN SIX TO NINE YEARS OLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860017</td>
<td>NUMBER OF CHILDREN TEN TO THIRTEEN YEARS OLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860018</td>
<td>NUMBER OF CHILDREN FOURTEEN TO SEVENTEEN YEARS OLD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PERSONAL INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>860019</td>
<td>IF R IS FEMALE, HAS R LEGALLY CHANGED NAME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860020</td>
<td>PHONE NUMBER OBTAINED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860021</td>
<td>IS R'S NUMBER LISTED IN THE PHONE DIRECTORY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860022</td>
<td>IS INTERVIEW ADDRESS ONLY PLACE OF RESIDENCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860023</td>
<td>CAN INTERVIEW BE CONDUCTED BY PHONE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>860024</td>
<td>PRIMARY AREA NAMES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860025</td>
<td>CENSUS REGION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860026</td>
<td>FIPS STATE AND COUNTY CODE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860027</td>
<td>FIPS 80 SMSA CENSUS CODE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860028</td>
<td>FIPS 80 SCSA CENSUS CODE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860029</td>
<td>POPULATION SIZE: PLACE OF INTERVIEW LOCATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860030</td>
<td>ACTUAL POPULATION OF INTERVIEW LOCATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860031</td>
<td>BELT CODE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860032</td>
<td>CENSUS ENUMERATION, 1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860033</td>
<td>1980 CENSUS TRACT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860034</td>
<td>MINOR CIVIL DIVISION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860035</td>
<td>CENSUS PLACE CODE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860036</td>
<td>SAMPLING ERROR CODE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INTERVIEWER INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>860037</td>
<td>SUMMARY: INTERVIEWER/RESPONDENT RACE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860038</td>
<td>INTERVIEWER'S EDUCATION</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ELECTION INFORMATION

860043 TYPE OF CONGRESSIONAL RACE
860044 TYPE OF SENATORIAL RACE
860045 TYPE OF GOVERNOR RACE
860046 FORM TYPE
860047 ICPSR STATE CODE
860048 FIPS STATE CODE
860049 STATE AND CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT CODE
860050 STATE AND CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT OF R'S WHO VOTED OUTSIDE SAMPLING CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT
860051 PRIMARY AREA CODE

POST-ELECTION INFORMATION

860052 INTERVIEWER'S INTERVIEW NUMBER
860053 DATE COMPLETED-MONTH
860054 DATE COMPLETED-DAY
860055 LENGTH OF INTERVIEW
860056 LENGTH OF PRE-EDITING
860057 LENGTH OF POST-EDITING
860058 BEGINNING TIME-LOCAL

THE MEDIA AS AN INFORMATION SOURCE

860059 WAS R INTERESTED IN POLITICAL CAMPAIGNS THIS YEAR
860060 DID R LISTEN TO ANYTHING ABOUT CAMPAIGNS ON THE RADIO
860061 HOW MANY CAMPAIGN RADIO PROGRAMS DID R LISTEN TO
860062 DID R READ ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN IN ANY NEWSPAPERS
860063 HOW MUCH ATTENTION DID R PAY TO THE ARTICLES ABOUT CAMPAIGN
860064 DID R WATCH ANY PROGRAMS ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN ON TELEVISION
860065 HOW MUCH ATTENTION DID R PAY TO TV ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN

DOES R DISCUSS POLITICS

860066 DOES R EVER DISCUSS POLITICS WITH FAMILY/FRIENDS
860067 HOW OFTEN DOES R DISCUSS POLITICS WITH FAMILY/FRIENDS
860068 HOW MANY DAYS IN PAST WEEK DID R TALK POLITICS WITH FAMILY/FRIENDS
860069 HOW MANY DAYS IN PAST WEEK DID R READ A DAILY NEWSPAPER
860070 HOW MANY DAYS IN PAST WEEK DID R WATCH NATIONAL NEWS ON TV

R LIKES/DISLIKES DEMOCRATIC PARTY

860071 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: FORM #1
860072 WHETHER R LIKES ANYTHING ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY
860073 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY-FIRST MENTION
860074 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY-SECOND MENTION
860075 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY-THIRD MENTION
R LIKES/DISLIKES REPUBLICAN PARTY

860084 WHETHER R LIKES ANYTHING ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY
860085 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-FIRST MENTION
860086 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-SECOND MENTION
860087 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-THIRD MENTION
860088 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-FOURTH MENTION
860089 WHAT R LIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-FIFTH MENTION
860090 WHETHER R DISLIKES ANYTHING ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY
860091 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-FIRST MENTION
860092 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-SECOND MENTION
860093 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-THIRD MENTION
860094 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-FOURTH MENTION
860095 WHAT R DISLIKES ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY-FIFTH MENTION

R'S ASSESSMENT OF CONGRESS AND THE PRESIDENT

860096 DOES R APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF WAY REAGAN HANDLING JOB AS PRESIDENT
860097 DOES R APPROVE STRONGLY OR NOT STRONGLY
860098 DOES R APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF WAY REAGAN HANDLING THE ECONOMY
860099 DOES R APPROVE STRONGLY OR NOT STRONGLY
860100 DOES R APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF WAY CONGRESS IS HANDLING ITS JOB
860101 DOES R APPROVE STRONGLY OR NOT STRONGLY

CONGRESSIONAL ELECTIONS

860102 DID R CARE ABOUT THE OUTCOME OF CONGRESSIONAL ELECTIONS
860103 DOES R REMEMBER CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES
860104 CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE'S NAME-FIRST MENTION
860105 CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE'S PARTY-FIRST MENTION
860106 WHO WERE THEY-FIRST MENTION
860107 DID R CORRECTLY IDENTIFY CANDIDATE'S NAME AND
PARTY-FIRST MENTION
860108 CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE'S NAME-SECOND MENTION
860109 CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE'S PARTY-SECOND MENTION
860110 WHO WERE THEY-SECOND MENTION
860111 DID R CORRECTLY IDENTIFY CANDIDATE'S NAME AND PARTY-SECOND MENTION
860112 CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE'S NAME-THIRD MENTION
860113 CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE'S PARTY-THIRD MENTION
860114 WHO WERE THEY-THIRD MENTION
860115 DID R CORRECTLY IDENTIFY CANDIDATE'S NAME AND PARTY-THIRD MENTION

SENATORIAL ELECTIONS
860116 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: SENATE RACE #1
860117 DOES R REMEMBER THE U.S. SENATE CANDIDATES
860118 U.S. SENATE CANDIDATE'S NAME-FIRST MENTION
860119 U.S. SENATE CANDIDATE'S PARTY-FIRST MENTION
860120 WHO WERE THEY-FIRST MENTION
860121 DID R CORRECTLY IDENTIFY CANDIDATE'S NAME AND PARTY-FIRST MENTION
860122 U.S. SENATE CANDIDATE'S NAME-SECOND MENTION
860123 U.S. SENATE CANDIDATE'S PARTY-SECOND MENTION
860124 WHO WERE THEY-SECOND MENTION
860125 DID R CORRECTLY IDENTIFY CANDIDATE'S NAME AND PARTY-SECOND MENTION
860126 U.S. SENATE CANDIDATE'S NAME-THIRD MENTION
860127 U.S. SENATE CANDIDATE'S PARTY-THIRD MENTION
860128 WHO WERE THEY-THIRD MENTION
860129 DID R CORRECTLY IDENTIFY CANDIDATE'S NAME AND PARTY-THIRD MENTION

FEELING THERMOMETER - POLITICAL FIGURES
860130 FEELING THERMOMETER-RONALD REAGAN
860131 FEELING THERMOMETER-DEMOCRATIC SENATE CANDIDATE-CANDIDATE 1
860132 FEELING THERMOMETER-REPUBLICAN SENATE CANDIDATE-CANDIDATE 1
860133 FEELING THERMOMETER-US SENATE INCUMBENT-CANDIDATE 1
860134 FEELING THERMOMETER-US SENATE INCUMBENT-CANDIDATE 2
860135 FEELING THERMOMETER-US SENATE INCUMBENT-CANDIDATE 3
860136 FEELING THERMOMETER-DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE
860137 FEELING THERMOMETER-REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE
860138 FEELING THERMOMETER-LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE/INDEPENDENT HOUSE CANDIDATE
860139 FEELING THERMOMETER-MARIO CUOMO
860140 FEELING THERMOMETER-ROBERT DOLE
860141 FEELING THERMOMETER-JACK KEMP
860142 FEELING THERMOMETER-PAT ROBERTSON
860143 FEELING THERMOMETER-GARY HART
860144 FEELING THERMOMETER-GEORGE BUSH
860145 FEELING THERMOMETER-JESSE JACKSON
860146 FEELING THERMOMETER-DEMOCRATIC PARTY
860147 FEELING THERMOMETER-REPUBLICAN PARTY
860148 FEELING THERMOMETER-POLITICAL PARTIES IN GENERAL
860149 FEELING THERMOMETER-BLACKS
860150 FEELING THERMOMETER-CONSERVATIVES
860151 FEELING THERMOMETER-LABOR UNIONS
860152 FEELING THERMOMETER-WOMEN'S MOVEMENT
FEELING THERMOMETER - CODER CHECKPOINTS

CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.B12A PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.B12B PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.B12C PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.B12D PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.B12E PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.B12F PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.B12G PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.B12H PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.B12J PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS CUOMO PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS DOLE PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS KEMP PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS ROBERTSON PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS HART PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS BUSH PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS JACKSON PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS DEMOCRATIC PARTY PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS THE REPUBLICAN PARTY PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
CODER CHECKPOINT - WERE POLITICAL PARTIES IN GENERAL PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
CODER CHECKPOINT - WERE BLACKS PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
CODER CHECKPOINT - WERE CONSERVATIVES PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
CODER CHECKPOINT - WERE LABOR UNIONS PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS THE WOMEN'S MOVEMENT PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
CODER CHECKPOINT - WERE LIBERALS PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
CODER CHECKPOINT - WERE PEOPLE ON WELFARE PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
CODER CHECKPOINT - WERE BLACK MILITANTS PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
CODER CHECKPOINT - WERE POOR PEOPLE PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

R LIKES/DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE

IS THERE ANYTHING R LIKED ABOUT DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE
WHAT DID R LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE - FIRST MENTION
WHAT DID R LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE - SECOND MENTION
WHAT DID R LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE - THIRD MENTION
WHAT DID R LIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE - FOURTH MENTION
IS THERE ANYTHING R DISLIKED ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE
WHAT DID R DISLIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE-FIRST MENTION
WHAT DID R DISLIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE-SECOND MENTION
WHAT DID R DISLIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE-THIRD MENTION
WHAT DID R DISLIKE ABOUT THE DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE-FOURTH MENTION

R LIKES/DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE

IS THERE ANYTHING R LIKED ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE
WHAT DID R LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE-FIRST MENTION
WHAT DID R LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE-SECOND MENTION
WHAT DID R LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE-THIRD MENTION
WHAT DID R LIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE-FOURTH MENTION

IS THERE ANYTHING R DISLIKED ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE
WHAT DID R DISLIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE-FIRST MENTION
WHAT DID R DISLIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE-SECOND MENTION
WHAT DID R DISLIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE-THIRD MENTION
WHAT DID R DISLIKE ABOUT THE REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE-FOURTH MENTION

MOST IMPORTANT ISSUES

MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE TO R IN CAMPAIGN FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES-FIRST MENTION
MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE TO R IN CAMPAIGN FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES-SECOND MENTION
MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE TO R IN CAMPAIGN FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES-THIRD MENTION

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: IMPORTANT ISSUE
WHAT WAS THE SINGLE MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE TO R
DID R PREFER PARTICULAR CANDIDATE BECAUSE OF ISSUE
WHICH CANDIDATE DID R PREFER
CANDIDATE R NAMED

IDENTIFICATION OF HOUSE CANDIDATES

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: TYPE OF RACE
ONE HOUSE CANDIDATE RUNNING: WAS CANDIDATE ALREADY IN HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
ONE HOUSE CANDIDATE RUNNING: CANDIDATE NUMBER CODE
ONE HOUSE CANDIDATE RUNNING: CANDIDATE NAMED
TWO HOUSE CANDIDATES RUNNING: WAS EITHER CANDIDATE ALREADY IN HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TWO HOUSE CANDIDATES RUNNING: WHICH CANDIDATE WAS ALREADY IN HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TWO HOUSE CANDIDATES RUNNING: CANDIDATE NAMED
CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

860219 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: TYPE OF RACE
860220 DID R HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT
860221 DID R MEET U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT PERSONALLY
860222 DID R ATTEND MEETING/GATHERING WHERE U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT SPOKE
860223 DID R TALK WITH U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT'S STAFF/OFFICE
860224 DID R RECEIVE SOMETHING IN MAIL FROM U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT
860225 DID R READ ABOUT U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT IN NEWSPAPER/MAGAZINE
860226 DID R HEAR U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT ON RADIO
860227 DID R SEE U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT ON TELEVISION
860228 R HAD CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT IN OTHER WAYS
860229 DOES R KNOW ANYONE ELSE WHO HAD CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE

860230 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: DISTRICT
860231 DID R HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE
860232 DID R MEET U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE PERSONALLY
860233 DID R ATTEND MEETING/GATHERING WHERE U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE SPOKE
860234 DID R TALK WITH U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE STAFF/OFFICE
860235 DID R RECEIVE SOMETHING IN MAIL FROM U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE
860236 DID R READ ABOUT U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE IN NEWSPAPER/MAGAZINE
860237 DID R HEAR U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE ON RADIO
860238 DID R SEE U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE ON TELEVISION
860239 R HAD CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE IN OTHER WAYS
860240 DOES R KNOW ANYONE ELSE WHO HAD CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE CANDIDATE

CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE

860241 DID R HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
860242 DID R MEET U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE PERSONALLY
860243 DID R ATTEND MEETING/GATHERING WHERE W.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE SPOKE
860244 DID R TALK WITH U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE STAFF/OFFICE
860245 DID R RECEIVE SOMETHING IN MAIL FROM U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE
860246 DID R READ ABOUT U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN NEWSPAPER/MAGAZINE
860247 DID R HEAR U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE ON RADIO
860248 DID R SEE U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE ON TELEVISION
860249 R HAD CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE IN OTHER WAYS
860250 DOES R KNOW ANYONE ELSE WHO HAD CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE

CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE
DID R HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE

DID R MEET U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE PERSONALLY

DID R ATTEND MEETING/GATHERING WHERE U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE SPOKE

DID R TALK WITH U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE STAFF/OFFICE

DID R RECEIVE SOMETHING IN MAIL FROM U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE

DID R READ ABOUT U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN NEWSPAPER/MAGAZINE

DID R HEAR U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE ON RADIO

DID R SEE U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE ON TELEVISION

R HAD CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN OTHER WAYS

DOES R KNOW ANYONE ELSE WHO HAD CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE

VOTING SECTION: VOTERS

DID R VOTE IN THE 1986 ELECTION

IS R REGISTERED REPUBLICAN/DEMOCRAT/INDEPENDENT/OTHER

IS R REGISTERED TO VOTE AT CURRENT ADDRESS

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: STATE OF VOTER REGISTRATION

DID R VOTE FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES CANDIDATE

FOR WHICH HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES CANDIDATE DID R VOTE

R'S VOTE FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES-CANDIDATE NAMED

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: SENATE RACE 2

DID R VOTE FOR A SENATE CANDIDATE

FOR WHOM DID R VOTE FOR SENATE

R'S VOTE FOR SENATE CANDIDATE-CANDIDATE NAMED

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: GOVERNOR RACE

DID R VOTE FOR A GOVERNOR CANDIDATE

FOR WHOM DID R VOTE FOR GOVERNOR

R'S VOTE FOR GOVERNOR-CANDIDATE NAMED

VOTING SECTION: NON-VOTERS

IS R REGISTERED TO VOTE IN THIS ELECTION

IS R REGISTERED AS A REPUBLICAN/DEMOCRAT/INDEPENDENT/OTHER

IS R REGISTERED TO VOTE AT PRESENT ADDRESS

DID R PREFER A CANDIDATE FOR HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

WHICH HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES CANDIDATE DID R PREFER

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES - CANDIDATE NAMED 2000

NON-CAMPAIGN CONTACTS WITH U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT

DID R OR FAMILY MEMBER EVER CONTACT U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT/OFFICE

REASON FOR CONTACT WITH HOUSE INCUMBENT-TO EXPRESS OPINION

REASON FOR CONTACT WITH HOUSE INCUMBENT-TO SEEK INFORMATION

REASON FOR CONTACT WITH HOUSE INCUMBENT-TO SEEK HELP WITH PROBLEM

DID R GET RESPONSE FROM HOUSE INCUMBENT/OFFICE
860287  HOW SATISFIED WAS R WITH RESPONSE FROM INCUMBENT
860288  DOES R KNOW ANYONE ELSE WHO HAD CONTACT WITH U.S.
         HOUSE INCUMBENT
860289  DID PERSON/GROUP GET RESPONSE FROM HOUSE
         INCUMBENT/OFFICE
860290  HOW SATISFIED WAS PERSON/GROUP WITH RESPONSE FROM
         INCUMBENT
860291  HOW HELPFUL WOULD HOUSE INCUMBENT BE WITH ANOTHER
         PROBLEM
860292  ANYTHING SPECIAL DONE BY HOUSE INCUMBENT FOR
         DISTRICT/PEOPLE
860293  HOW WELL DOES HOUSE INCUMBENT KEEP IN TOUCH WITH
         DISTRICT
860294  DOES R AGREE WITH WAY HOUSE INCUMBENT HAS VOTED
860295  DOES R REMEMBER A BILL HOUSE INCUMBENT VOTED ON
860296  DID R AGREE/DISAGREE WITH WAY HOUSE INCUMBENT VOTED

R'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION

860297  IS R REPUBLICAN, DEMOCRAT, INDEPENDENT OR OTHER
860298  IS R A STRONG REPUBLICAN OR DEMOCRAT
860299  R THINK OF SELF AS CLOSER TO REPUBLICAN/DEMOCRATIC
         PARTY
860300  SUMMARY:  R'S PARTY IDENTIFICATION

IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEMS

860301  HOW OFTEN DOES R FOLLOW GOVERNMENT/PUBLIC AFFAIRS
860302  WHAT IS MOST IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEM - FIRST
         MENTION
860303  WHAT IS MOST IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEM - SECOND
         MENTION
860304  WHAT IS MOST IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEM - THIRD
         MENTION
860305  INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: IMPORTANT PROBLEMS
860306  WHAT IS THE SINGLE MOST IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEM
860307  HOW GOOD A JOB IS GOVERNMENT DOING WITH THIS PROBLEM
860308  WHICH PARTY WOULD GET GOVERNMENT TO DEAL WITH PROBLEM

PARTY CONTACTS WITH R DURING THE CAMPAIGN

860309  DID A POLITICAL PARTY WORKER CONTACT R DURING
         CAMPAIGN
860310  WHICH PARTY CONTACTED R DURING CAMPAIGN
860311  DID ANYONE ELSE CONTACT R DURING CAMPAIGN
860312  WHICH CANDIDATE DID THE CONTACT SUPPORT - 1ST MENTION
860313  WHICH CANDIDATE DID THE CONTACT SUPPORT - 2ND MENTION

R'S POLITICAL ACTIVITIES

860314  DID R TRY TO INFLUENCE OTHERS' VOTE CHOICES
860315  DID R WEAR A BUTTON OR PUT A STICKER ON THE CAR
860316  DID ANYONE ELSE TRY INFLUENCING R'S VOTE
860317  DID R ATTEND ANY POLITICAL MEETINGS OR RALLIES
860318  DID R WORK FOR A PARTY OR CANDIDATE
860319  DID R USE $1 POLITICAL CONTRIBUTION OPTION ON FEDERAL
         INCOME TAX RETURN

R'S CONTRIBUTIONS TO POLITICAL PARTIES
860320 DID R CONTRIBUTE MONEY TO A POLITICAL PARTY/ISSUE/CANDIDATE
860321 DID R GIVE MONEY TO A SPECIFIC CANDIDATE
860322 R GAVE MONEY TO CANDIDATE FROM WHICH PARTY
860323 DID R GIVE MONEY TO A SPECIFIC POLITICAL PARTY
860324 WHICH PARTY DID R GIVE MONEY TO
860325 DID R GIVE MONEY TO POLITICAL ACTION/ISSUE GROUPS

R CONTACTED ABOUT VOTING
860326 WAS R CONTACTED ABOUT VOTING OR REGISTERING TO VOTE
R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING
860327 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON THE ENVIRONMENT
860328 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON FINANCIAL AID FOR COLLEGE STUDENTS
860329 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON SOCIAL SECURITY
860330 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON FOOD STAMPS
860331 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON CONTRA AID
860332 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON THE UNEMPLOYED
860333 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON SCI/SPACE RESEARCH
860334 R'S OPINION OF FEDERAL SPENDING ON AID TO BLACKS
860335 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS H1A PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860336 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS H1B PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860337 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS H1C PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860338 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS H1D PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860339 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS H1E PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860340 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS H1F PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860341 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS H1G PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860342 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS H1H PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

R'S RECOGNITION OF POLITICAL FIGURES
860343 DOES R KNOW THE POLITICAL OFFICE OF GEORGE BUSH
860344 DOES R KNOW THE POLITICAL OFFICE OF CASPAR WEINBERGER
860345 DOES R KNOW THE POLITICAL OFFICE OF WILLIAM REHNQUIST
860346 DOES R KNOW THE POLITICAL OFFICE/JOB OF PAUL VOLCKER
860347 DOES R KNOW THE POLITICAL OFFICE OF ROBERT DOLE
860348 DOES R KNOW THE POLITICAL OFFICE OF TIP O'NEILL
860349 DOES R KNOW WHICH PARTY HAD THE MOST MEMBERS IN THE U.S. HOUSE BEFORE THE ELECTIONS
860350 DOES R KNOW WHICH PARTY HAD THE MOST MEMBERS IN THE SENATE BEFORE THE ELECTIONS
860351 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS H3 PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860352 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS H4 PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT'S JOB
860353 DOES R APPROVE U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENTS' HANDLING OF JOB
860354 DOES R APPROVE/DISAPPROVE OF U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT STRONGLY OR NOT VERY STRONGLY

R'S PERSONAL FINANCIAL SITUATION
860355 DOES R FEEL BETTER/WORSE FINANCIALLY THAN A YEAR AGO
860356 HOW MUCH BETTER/WORSE OFF DOES R FEEL
860357 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT-FORM #2
860358 WILL R BE BETTER/WORSE OFF FINANCIALLY A YEAR FROM NOW
860359 HOW MUCH BETTER/WORSE OFF WILL R BE A YEAR FROM NOW
860360 HAS R WATCHED BUDGET MORE CLOSELY THIS YEAR
860361 HOW MUCH MORE CLOSELY HAS R WATCHED BUDGET
860362 HAS R'S INCOME STAYED AT/ABOVE/BELOW COST OF LIVING
860363 HOW MUCH HAS R'S INCOME RISEN ABOVE/FALLEN BEHIND THE COST OF LIVING

R'S OPINION ON EQUAL OPPORTUNITY

860364 SOCIETY SHOULD ASSURE EQUAL OPPORTUNITY TO SUCCEED
860365 EQUAL RIGHTS HAVE BEEN PUSHED TOO FAR IN THIS COUNTRY
860366 A PROBLEM IN THIS COUNTRY IS THAT WE DON'T GIVE EVERYONE AN EQUAL CHANCE
860367 WE SHOULD WORRY LESS ABOUT EQUALITY
860368 IT IS NOT A PROBLEM IF PEOPLE HAVE UNEQUAL CHANCES
860369 THE COUNTRY WOULD HAVE FEWER PROBLEMS IF PEOPLE TREATED EQUALLY

STATE'S FINANCIAL SITUATION

860370 DOES R THINK THE STATE'S ECONOMY HAS GOTTEN BETTER/WORSE/STAYED THE SAME IN THE PAST YEAR
860371 HOW MUCH BETTER/WORSE IS THE STATE'S ECONOMY
860372 DOES R THINK THE NATION'S ECONOMY HAS GOTTEN BETTER/WORSE/STAYED THE SAME IN THE PAST YEAR
860373 HOW MUCH BETTER/WORSE IS THE NATION'S ECONOMY
860374 DOES R THINK THE FEDERAL ECONOMIC POLICY HAS MADE THE ECONOMY BETTER/WORSE/UNCHANGED
860375 HOW MUCH BETTER/WORSE DOES R THINK THE ECONOMY HAS BECOME
860376 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: FORM #3

UNEMPLOYMENT AND INFLATION

860377 DOES R THINK THE NATIONAL UNEMPLOYMENT RATE HAS GOTTEN BETTER/WORSE/STAYED THE SAME
860378 HOW MUCH BETTER/WORSE HAS THE NATIONAL UNEMPLOYMENT RATE BECOME
860379 DOES R THINK THE NATIONAL INFLATION RATE HAS GOTTEN BETTER/WORSE/STAYED THE SAME
860380 HOW MUCH BETTER/WORSE HAS THE NATIONAL INFLATION RATE BECOME
860381 DOES R SEE THE ECONOMY GETTING BETTER/WORSE IN THE NEXT TWELVE MONTHS

R'S VIEWS ON THE NATIONAL BUDGET

860382 IS R WORRIED ABOUT THE NATIONAL BUDGET DEFICIT
860383 WHO DOES R THINK IS MORE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE BUDGET DEFICIT
860384 WHICH PARTY DOES R THINK IS MORE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE BUDGET DEFICIT

POSITIONS ON LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE

860385 LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE-R
860386 IF R HAD TO CHOOSE, WOULD R CONSIDER SELF A LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE
860387 LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE-REAGAN
860388 LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE-DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE U.S. HOUSE
LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE-REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE U.S. HOUSE

LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE-DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE SENATE

LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE-REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE SENATE

LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE-SENATOR WITH UNEXPIRED TERM

LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE-DEMOCRATIC PARTY

LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE SCALE-REPUBLICAN PARTY

CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.K1A PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.K1AA PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.K1B PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.K1C PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.K1D PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.K1E PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.K1F PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.K1G PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.K1H PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.K1I PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.K1J PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

POSITIONS ON MORE/LESS DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE

DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE-R

DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE-REAGAN

DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE-DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE U.S. HOUSE

DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE-REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE U.S. HOUSE

DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE-LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE/INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE

DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE-DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE SENATE

DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE-REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE SENATE

DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE-DEMOCRATIC PARTY

DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE-REPUBLICAN PARTY

DEFENSE SPENDING SCALE-FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L1A PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L1B PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L1C PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L1D PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L1E PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L1F PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L1G PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L1H PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L1I PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L1J PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: FORM #4

POSITIONS ON MINORITY AID SCALE

MINORITY AID SCALE-R

MINORITY(BLACKS) AID SCALE-R

POSITIONS ON INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE

INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE-R

INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE-REAGAN

INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE-DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE HOUSE

INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE-REPUBLICAN
CANDIDATE HOUSE
860432 INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE-LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE/INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE
860433 INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE-DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE SENATE
860434 INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE-REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE SENATE
860435 INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE-DEMOCRATIC PARTY
860436 INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE-REPUBLICAN PARTY
860437 INVOLVEMENT IN CENTRAL AMERICA SCALE-FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
860438 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L5A PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860439 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L5B PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860440 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L5C PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860441 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L5D PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860442 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L5E PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860443 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L5F PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860444 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L5G PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860445 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L5H PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860446 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L5J PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860447 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L5K PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

POSITIONS ON MORE/LESS GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING

860448 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE-R
860449 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE-REAGAN
860450 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE-DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE HOUSE
860451 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE-REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE HOUSE
860452 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE-LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE/INDEPENDENT CANDIDATE
860453 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE-DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATE SENATE
860454 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE-REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE SENATE
860455 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE-DEMOCRATIC PARTY
860456 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE-REPUBLICAN PARTY
860457 GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALE-FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
860458 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L6A PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860459 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L6B PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860460 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L6C PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860461 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L6D PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860462 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L6E PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860463 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L6F PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860464 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L6G PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860465 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L6H PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860466 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L6J PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860467 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.L6K PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860468 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT - FORM #5

POSITION ON RACIAL DISCRIMINATION

860469 DOES R FAVOR PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS-A
860470 DOES R FAVOR/OPPOSE STRONGLY PREFERENTIAL HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS-A
860471 DOES R FAVOR QUOTAS TO ADMIT BLACKS TO COLLEGES-A
860472 DOES R FAVOR/OPPOSE STRONGLY QUOTAS TO ADMIT BLACKS TO COLLEGES-A
R'S OPINION ON PRAYER IN SCHOOL

R'S OPINION ON DESEGREGATION

R'S OPINION ON BLACKS AND WHITES ATTENDING THE SAME SCHOOL

POSITION ON GOVT GUARANTEED JOB/LIVING SCALE

GUARANTEED JOB AND LIVING STANDARD SCALE-R

POSITION ON COOPERATION WITH RUSSIA SCALE

COOPERATION WITH RUSSIA SCALE-R

R'S POSITION ON ABORTION

R'S POSITION ON SOUTH AFRICA

R'S OPINION ON SOUTH AFRICA

R'S OPINION ON RECENT EVENTS IN SOUTH AFRICA

R'S OPINION ON RECENT TAX LAW CHANGES

R'S OPINION ON RECENT TAX LAW CHANGES
CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.M8C/F PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.M8D/G PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT - FORM #7

SHOULD GOVT INSURE RACIAL FAIRNESS

DOES R HAVE AN OPINION ON WHETHER GOVERNMENT SHOULD INSURE FAIR TREATMENT OF BLACKS IN JOBS
R'S OPINION ON WHETHER GOVERNMENT SHOULD INSURE FAIR TREATMENT OF BLACKS IN JOBS

R'S OPINION ON THE BIBLE

R'S OPINION ON THE BIBLE
R'S OPINIONS ON SUCCESS

PEOPLE SHOULD BLAME SELVES NOT SYSTEM FOR ECONOMIC POSITION
HARD WORK DOES NOT GUARANTEE SUCCESS
PEOPLE CAN GET WHAT THEY WANT IF THEY WORK HARD
PEOPLE WHO DON'T DO WELL WORK AS HARD AS THOSE WHO DO
IF A PERSON WORKS HARD HE/SHE WILL SUCCEED
EVEN IF THEY WORK HARD PEOPLE OFTEN CANNOT REACH GOAL
COMMUNITIES CAN SOLVE OWN PROBLEMS BETTER THAN GOVERNMENT
INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: R'S RACE #1

R'S OPINION ON AFFIRMATIVE ACTION GROUPS/PROGRAMS

DOES R THINK AFFIRMATIVE ACTION REDUCES WHITES CHANCES IN JOBS AND EDUCATION
DOES R AGREE/DISAGREE STRONGLY THAT AFFIRMATIVE ACTION PROGRAMS REDUCE WHITES CHANCES IN JOBS AND EDUCATION
DOES R THINK AFFIRMATIVE ACTION PROGRAMS HELP BLACKS IN JOBS AND EDUCATION
DOES R AGREE/DISAGREE STRONGLY THAT AFFIRMATIVE ACTION PROGRAMS HELP BLACKS IN JOBS AND EDUCATION

R'S OPINION ON IMPORT PROBLEM

DOES R HAVE AN OPINION ON HIGH IMPORT PROBLEM IN U.S.
R'S OPINION ON LIMITING IMPORTS

GOVERNMENT'S POSITION ON EQUAL RIGHTS/WORLD POSITION

R'S OPINION ON WHETHER IT IS GOVERNMENT'S JOB TO INSURE EQUAL OPPORTUNITY FOR BLACKS AND WHITES
DOES R THINK THE UNITED STATES' WORLD POSITION HAS GROWN STRONGER/WEAKER/STAYED THE SAME IN THE PAST YEAR
WHO COULD KEEP U.S. OUT OF WAR

DOES R THINK THAT REPUBLICANS/DEMOCRATS COULD BETTER KEEP THE U.S. OUT OF WAR IN THE NEXT FOUR YEARS

R'S POSITION ON MORALITY IN SOCIETY

NEWER LIFESTYLES CONTRIBUTE TO BREAKDOWN OF SOCIETY
WE SHOULD ADJUST MORAL BEHAVIOR TO CHANGES IN WORLD
THERE WOULD BE FEWER PROBLEMS IF MORE EMPHASIS WAS
PLACED ON TRADITIONAL FAMILY TIES
THERE IS TOO MUCH SEXUAL FREEDOM TODAY
IT IS NOT A PROBLEM IF PEOPLE THINK/ACT DIFFERENTLY
WE SHOULD BE MORE TOLERANT OF PEOPLE WITH DIFFERENT
MORAL STANDARDS
DIVORCE AND LIVING TOGETHER ARE SIGNS OF MORAL DECAY
SOCIETY SHOULD BE MORE ACCEPTING OF DIFFERENCES IN
PEOPLE
INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT - FORM #8
R'S OPINION ON U.S. WORLD POSITION
HOW WORRIED IS R ABOUT THE U.S. GETTING INTO A
CONVENTIONAL WAR
DOES R THINK THE U.S. SHOULD BE ISOLATIONIST
POSITIONS ON LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE
DOES R CONSIDER SELF LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE/MODERATE
DOES R CONSIDER SELF A STRONG OR NOT VERY STRONG
LIBERAL OR CONSERVATIVE
DOES R CONSIDER SELF MORE LIKE A LIBERAL/A
CONSERVATIVE
SUMMARY: TO WHAT DEGREE IS R LIBERAL/CONSERVATIVE
HOW DOES R FEEL THE COUNTRY IS DOING
DOES R SEE IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE PARTIES
R'S FEELINGS ABOUT REAGAN
WHETHER REAGAN MAKES R ANGRY
WHETHER REAGAN MAKES R HOPEFUL
WHETHER REAGAN MAKES R AFRAID
WHETHER REAGAN MAKES R PROUD
IMPORTANCE OF RELIGION TO R
IS RELIGION IMPORTANT TO R
DOES RELIGION PROVIDE GUIDANCE TO R
R'S FEELINGS TOWARDS GOVERNMENT
HOW MUCH OF THE TIME DOES R FEEL HE/SHE CAN TRUST
GOVERNMENT
PUBLIC OFFICIALS DO NOT CARE ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE ME
QUALITIES DESCRIBING REAGAN
HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "INTELLIGENT" DESCRIBES
RONALD REAGAN
HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "COMPASSIONATE"
DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN
HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "MORAL" DESCRIBES
RONALD REAGAN
HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "INSPIRING" DESCRIBES
RONALD REAGAN
HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE PHRASE "PROVIDES STRONG
LEADERSHIP" DESCRIBES RONALD REAGAN
HOW WELL DOES R FEEL THE WORD "DECENT" DESCRIBES
RONALD REAGAN
How well does R feel the phrase "Cares about people like you" describes Ronald Reagan?

How well does R feel the word "knowledgeable" describes Ronald Reagan?

Equal opportunity between races?

Interviewer checkpoint: R's race #2

Does R think civil rights is being pushed too fast?

If R is not black—discrimination in admissions if qualification are equal or less?

If R is black—discrimination in admissions if qualifications are equal?

Has there been real change for blacks in the past few years?

If R is not black—discrimination in jobs/promotions?

If R is black—discrimination in jobs/promotions?

Does R think most blacks could get along without welfare?

Does R think government officials pay less attention to blacks?

Does R think blacks should overcome prejudice on their own?

If R is not black—would R or family face discrimination in applying to school?

If R is black—would R or family face discrimination in applying to school?

Coder checkpoint - was Q.R5A/S5A probed by interviewer?

Coder checkpoint - was Q.R5B/S5B probed by interviewer?

Coder checkpoint - was Q.R5C/S5C probed by interviewer?

Coder checkpoint - was Q.R5D/S5D probed by interviewer?

Coder checkpoint - was Q.R6 probed by interviewer?

Coder checkpoint - was Q.S6 probed by interviewer?

Does R think powerful whites act to keep blacks down?

Does R think the differences are brought about by God?

Does R think blacks should try harder to do well?

Does R think slavery/discrimination make it difficult for blacks?

Does R think blacks teach their children different skills/values?

Does R think blacks come from a less able race?

If R is not black—would R (or R's family) not get a job/promotion due to reverse discrimination?

If R is black—would R (or R's family) get a job/promotion due to discrimination?

Coder checkpoint - was Q.R7A/Q.S7A probed by interviewer?

Coder checkpoint - was Q.R7B/Q.S7B probed by interviewer?

Coder checkpoint - was Q.R7C/Q.S7C probed by interviewer?

Coder checkpoint - was Q.R7D/Q.S7D probed by interviewer?

Coder checkpoint - was Q.R7E/Q.S7E probed by interviewer?
INTERVIEWER
860590 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.R7F/Q.S7F PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860591 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.R8 PROBED BY INTERVIEWER
860592 CODER CHECKPOINT - WAS Q.S8 PROBED BY INTERVIEWER

PERSONAL INFORMATION ABOUT R

860593 R'S DATE OF BIRTH-MONTH
860594 R'S DATE OF BIRTH-YEAR
860595 R'S RECODED AGE

R'S MARITAL STATUS

860596 R'S MARITAL STATUS
860597 IS R LIVING WITH SOMEONE AS A COUPLE
860598 SUMMARY: MARITAL STATUS

R'S EDUCATION

860599 HIGHEST GRADE OF SCHOOL COMPLETED BY R
860600 DOES R HAVE A HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA
860601 R'S HIGHEST COLLEGE DEGREE
860602 SUMMARY: R'S EDUCATION
860603 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: SPOUSE

HEAD'S EDUCATION

860604 HIGHEST GRADE OF SCHOOL COMPLETED BY HEAD
860605 DOES HEAD HAVE A HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA
860606 HEAD'S HIGHEST COLLEGE DEGREE
860607 SUMMARY: HEAD'S EDUCATION

SPOUSE'S/PARTNER'S EDUCATION

860608 HIGHEST GRADE OF SCHOOL COMPLETED BY SPOUSE/PARTNER
860609 DOES SPOUSE/PARTNER HAVE A HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA
860610 SPOUSE'S/PARTNER'S HIGHEST COLLEGE DEGREE
860611 SUMMARY: SPOUSE/PARTNER EDUCATION

SPOUSE'S EMPLOYMENT STATUS

860612 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT - DOES R HAVE SPOUSE
860613 SPOUSE: EMPLOYMENT STATUS

R'S OCCUPATION SECTION

860614 R'S EMPLOYMENT STATUS
860615 SUMMARY: R'S WORKING STATUS
860616 HAS R EVER WORKED FOR PAY-R UNEMPLOYED
860617 WHEN DID R RETIRE
860618 HAS R EVER WORKED FOR PAY-R DISABLED
860619 IS R (HOMEMAKER/STUDENT) WORKING NOW
860620 HAS R (HOMEMAKER/STUDENT) WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MONTHS

OCCUPATION - R WORKING/TEMPORARILY LAID OFF

860621 R'S PRESENT OCCUPATION - CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
860622 R'S PRESENT OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1980 OCCUPATION CODE
860623 R'S PRESENT OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
860624 IS R SELF-EMPLOYED
860625 DID R WORK FOR THE FEDERAL OR STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT
860626 WHAT PROPORTION OF R'S CO-WORKERS ARE BLACK
860627 ABOUT HOW MANY OF R'S CO-WORKERS ARE BLACK
860628 NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK R WORKS
860629 IS R SATISFIED WITH NUMBER OF HOURS WORKED
860630 HOW WORRIED ABOUT JOB SECURITY IS R
860631 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT - IS R WORKING NOW
860632 WAS R OUT OF WORK WITHIN THE LAST SIX MONTHS
860633 HAS R HAD TO TAKE A PAY CUT/REDUCE HOURS WITHIN THE LAST SIX MONTHS

OCCUPATION - R UNEMPLOYED

860634 R'S LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
860635 R'S LAST OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1980 OCCUPATION CODE
860636 R'S LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
860637 ON R'S LAST REGULAR JOB, WAS R SELF-EMPLOYED
860638 DID R WORK FOR THE FEDERAL OR STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT
860639 WHAT PROPORTION OF R'S CO-WORKERS WERE BLACK
860640 ABOUT HOW MANY OF R'S CO-WORKERS WERE BLACK
860641 DID R WORK WITHIN THE LAST SIX MONTHS
860642 NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK R WORKED
860643 IS R LOOKING FOR WORK AT THE PRESENT TIME
860644 HOW WORRIED IS R ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO FIND A JOB

OCCUPATION - R RETIRED OR DISABLED

860645 R'S LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
860646 R'S LAST OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1980 OCCUPATION CODE
860647 R'S LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
860648 WAS R SELF-EMPLOYED LAST JOB
860649 DID R WORK FOR THE FEDERAL OR STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT
860650 HAS R WORKED WITHIN THE PAST SIX MONTHS
860651 NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK R WORKED WHEN R WAS WORKING
860652 IS R LOOKING FOR WORK AT THE PRESENT TIME
860653 HOW WORRIED IS R ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO FIND A JOB

OCCUPATIONAL DATA -- STACKED

860654 R'S OCCUPATION - CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
860655 R'S OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1980 OCCUPATION CODE
860656 R'S OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
860657 WAS R SELF-EMPLOYED LAST JOB
860658 DID R WORK FOR THE FEDERAL OR STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT
860659 NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK R WORKED WHEN R WAS WORKING
860660 IS R LOOKING FOR WORK AT PRESENT TIME
860661 HOW WORRIED IS R ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO FIND A JOB

860662 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: R'S RELATION TO HEAD
860663 R'S PRESENT/LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
860664 R'S PRESENT/LAST OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1980 CODE
860665 R'S PRESENT/LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
860666 R SELF EMPLOYED
860667 DID R WORK FOR THE FEDERAL OR STATE OR LOCAL
GOVERNMENT
860668 WHAT PROPORTION OF R'S CO-WORKERS ARE BLACK
860669 ABOUT HOW MANY OF R'S CO-WORKERS ARE BLACK
860670 NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK R WORKS
860671 HOW WORRIED ABOUT JOB SECURITY IS R
860672 DID R WORK WITHIN THE LAST SIX MONTHS
860673 (IF UNEMPLOYED) IS R LOOKING FOR WORK AT THE PRESENT TIME
860674 (IF NOT WORKING NOW) HAS R EVER WORKED FOR PAY

HEAD OF FAMILY'S OCCUPATION SECTION
860675 HEAD: EMPLOYMENT STATUS
860676 SUMMARY: HEAD'S WORKING STATUS
860677 HAS HEAD EVER WORKED FOR PAY-HEAD UNEMPLOYED
860678 WHEN DID HEAD RETIRE
860679 HAS HEAD EVER WORKED FOR PAY-HEAD DISABLED
860680 IS HEAD (HOMEMAKER/STUDENT) WORKING FOR PAY
860681 HAS HEAD (HOMEMAKER/STUDENT) WORKED FOR PAY IN LAST 6 MONTHS

OCCUPATION-HEAD/PARTNER WORKING/TEMPORARILY LAID OFF
860682 HEAD/PARTNER: PRESENT OCCUPATION - CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
860683 HEAD/PARTNER: PRESENT OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1980 OCCUPATION CODE
860684 HEAD/PARTNER: PRESENT OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
860685 IS HEAD/PARTNER SELF-EMPLOYED
860686 IS HEAD/PARTNER EMPLOYED BY A FEDERAL, STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT
860687 NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK HEAD/PARTNER WORKS
860688 IS HEAD/PARTNER SATISFIED WITH NUMBER OF HOURS WORKED
860689 HOW WORRIED ABOUT JOB SECURITY IS HEAD/PARTNER
860690 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: IS HEAD/PARTNER WORKING NOW
860691 WAS HEAD/PARTNER OUT OF WORK WITHIN LAST SIX MONTHS
860692 HAS HEAD/PARTNER HAD TO TAKE A PAY CUT/REDUCE HOURS WITHIN THE LAST SIX MONTHS

OCCUPATION - HEAD/PARTNER UNEMPLOYED
860693 HEAD/PARTNER: LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
860694 HEAD/PARTNER: LAST OCCUPATION - COLLAPSED 1980 OCCUPATION CODE
860695 HEAD/PARTNER: LAST OCCUPATION - CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
860696 ON LAST REGULAR JOB, WAS HEAD/PARTNER SELF-EMPLOYED
860697 WAS HEAD/PARTNER EMPLOYED BY A FEDERAL, STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT
860698 WAS HEAD/PARTNER EMPLOYED IN LAST SIX MONTHS
860699 NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK HEAD/PARTNER WORKED
860700 IS HEAD/PARTNER LOOKING FOR WORK AT PRESENT TIME
860701 HOW WORRIED IS HEAD/PARTNER ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO FIND A JOB

OCCUPATION - HEAD/PARTNER RETIRED OR DISABLED
860702 HEAD/PARTNER: LAST OCCUPATION-CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
HEAD/PARTNER: LAST OCCUPATION—COLLAPSED 1980 OCCUPATION CODE
HEAD/PARTNER: LAST OCCUPATION—CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
ON LAST REGULAR JOB WAS HEAD/PARTNER SELF-EMPLOYED
WAS HEAD/PARTNER EMPLOYED BY A FEDERAL, STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT
WAS HEAD/PARTNER EMPLOYED IN LAST SIX MONTHS
NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK HEAD/PARTNER WORKED
HOW WORRIED IS HEAD/PARTNER ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO FIND A JOB

OCCUPATION—HEAD/PARTNER HOMEMAKER OR STUDENT

HEAD/PARTNER: LAST OCCUPATION—CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
HEAD/PARTNER: LAST OCCUPATION—COLLAPSED 1980 OCCUPATION CODE
HEAD/PARTNER: LAST OCCUPATION—CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
ON LAST REGULAR JOB WAS HEAD/PARTNER SELF-EMPLOYED
WAS HEAD/PARTNER EMPLOYED BY A FEDERAL, STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT
NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK HEAD/PARTNER WORKED
HOW WORRIED IS HEAD/PARTNER ABOUT NOT BEING ABLE TO FIND A JOB

HEAD’S OCCUPATIONAL DATA -- STACKED

HEAD’S PRESENT/LAST OCCUPATION—CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
HEAD’S PRESENT/LAST OCCUPATION—COLLAPSED 1980 CODE
HEAD’S PRESENT/LAST OCCUPATION—CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
HEAD SELF EMPLOYED
DID HEAD WORK FOR THE FEDERAL OR STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT
NUMBER OF HOURS PER WEEK HEAD WORKS
HOW WORRIED ABOUT JOB SECURITY IS HEAD
HAS HEAD HAD A JOB IN THE PAST SIX MONTHS
(IF UNEMPLOYED) IS HEAD PRESENTLY LOOKING FOR WORK
(IF NOT WORKING NOW) HAS HEAD EVER WORKED FOR PAY

LABOR UNION POSITION

DOES ANYONE IN R’S HOUSEHOLD BELONG TO A LABOR UNION
INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: IS R HEAD OF FAMILY
WHO BELONGS TO LABOR UNION

R’S FAMILY INCOME AND SOCIAL CLASS

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: IS R ONLY FAMILY MEMBER 14 OR OLDER
FAMILY HOUSEHOLD INCOME BEFORE TAXES
R’S INCOME BEFORE TAXES IF R IS ONLY FAMILY MEMBER 14 OR OLDER
DOES R THINK OF SELF AS BELONGING TO A SOCIAL CLASS
DOES R THINK OF SELF AS MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS

R’S RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE

R’S RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE AND DENOMINATION
860738 HOW OFTEN DOES R ATTEND CHURCH/SYNAGOGUE

R'S ETHNIC IDENTITY

860739 WHAT DOES R CONSIDER HIS MAIN ETHNIC GROUP (OTHER THAN AMERICAN) - FIRST MENTION
860740 WHAT DOES R CONSIDER HIS MAIN ETHNIC GROUP (OTHER THAN AMERICAN) - SECOND MENTION
860741 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: # GROUPS MENTIONED
860742 WHICH GROUP DOES R MOST CLOSELY IDENTIFY WITH (OF THOSE MENTIONED)

PERSONAL INFORMATION: R'S PARENTS

860743 WERE R'S PARENTS BORN IN THIS COUNTRY
860744 R'S FATHER'S MAIN OCCUPATION-CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
860745 DID R'S MOTHER HAVE A JOB
860746 R'S MOTHER'S MAIN OCCUPATION-CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
860747 R'S BIRTHPLACE

PERSONAL INFORMATION: R'S COMMUNITY

860748 WHERE DID R GROW UP
860749 WHICH COMMUNITY TYPE DID R GROW UP IN
860750 HOW LONG HAS R LIVED IN THIS CITY/TOWN, STATE
860751 WHERE DID R LIVE BEFORE THIS CITY/TOWN, STATE-WITHIN THE U.S.A.
860752 WHERE DID R LIVE BEFORE THIS CITY/TOWN, STATE-FOREIGN COUNTRY
860753 HOW LONG HAS R LIVED IN THIS HOUSE/APARTMENT
860754 DOES R/R'S FAMILY OWN OR RENT R'S HOME
860755 R'S SEX
860756 R'S RACE
860757 IS R OF HISPANIC ORIGIN
860758 R'S RELATIONSHIP TO HEAD OF FAMILY

CONDITIONS OF INTERVIEW

860759 OTHERS PRESENT AT INTERVIEW
860760 R'S COOPERATION
860761 R'S LEVEL OF INFORMATION ABOUT POLITICS/PUBLIC AFFAIRS
860762 R'S INTELLIGENCE
860763 WAS R SUSPICIOUS BEFORE INTERVIEW
860764 R'S INTEREST IN INTERVIEW
860765 R'S SINCERITY
860766 DID R REPORT INCOME CORRECTLY
860767 ESTIMATE OF R'S INCOME
860768 WAS INTERVIEW CONDUCTED IN ENGLISH

VOTE VALIDATION

860770 VOTER VALIDATION ID
860771 PRIMARY AREA OF 1986 INTERVIEW
860772 OFFICE IN WHICH VOTING RECORDS WERE CHECKED
860773 DOES R HAVE A REGISTRATION RECORD IN THIS OFFICE
860774 WHERE WAS THE REGISTRATION RECORD FOUND
860775 MONTH OF PURGE/APPLICATION
860776 DAY OF THE PURGE/APPLICATION
860777 YEAR OF PURGE/APPLICATION
860778  INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT-PURGE/APPLICATION DATE
860779  DOES R'S NAME ON COVERSHEET LABEL MATCH THE
        NAME ON THE REGISTRATION RECORD
860780  MONTH OF BIRTH ON REGISTRATION RECORD
860781  YEAR OF BIRTH ON REGISTRATION RECORD
860782  DIFFERENCE BETWEEN SELF REPORT BIRTHDATE AND
        BIRTHDATE ON REGISTRATION RECORD
860783  DOES R'S ADDRESS ON COVERSHEET LABEL MATCH THE
        ADDRESS ON REGISTRATION RECORD
860784  DOES THE PRECINCT LISTED ON THE REGISTRATION
        RECORD MATCH EXACTLY THE PRECINCT LISTED ON
        COVERSHEET LABEL
860785  WHICH SOURCES OF REGISTRATION RECORDS ARE IN THIS
        OFFICE
860786  WHICH SOURCES OF RECORDS WERE NOT ACCESSIBLE
        FOR CHECKING
860787  ARE REGISTRATION RECORDS UPDATED WITH VOTE
        INFORMATION
860788  DOES THE REGISTRATION RECORD INDICATE THAT R VOTED
860789  DO VOTE RECORDS INDICATE THAT R VOTED
860790  WHICH VOTE RECORD INDICATED THAT R VOTED
860791  WERE ALL VOTE RECORDS ACCESSIBLE FOR CHECKING
860792  WHICH VOTE RECORDS WERE NOT AVAILABLE
860793  IS R'S ADDRESS NEEDED TO LOCATE (HIM/HER) IN
        REGISTRATION FILE
860794  WERE ALL REGISTRATION RECORDS AVAILABLE TO
        INTERVIEWER/SUPERVISOR
860795  IS R'S NAME LISTED IN DIRECTORY AT ADDRESS ON
        COVERSHEET LABEL
860796  EASE OF ACCESS RATING CODE FROM ELECTION
        ADMINISTRATION SURVEY THUMBNAIL
860797  R SELF REPORTED VOTE
860798  WHETHER A CHECK WAS MADE FOR R'S REGISTRATION /VOTING
        RECORD
860799  REGISTRATION RECORD FOUND:SUMMARY
860800  AVAILABILITY OF VOTING RECORDS
860801  RECORD OF R VOTING
860802  SUMMARY:ASSIGNMENT OF R TO VOTE/NON-VOTE