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(ICPSR 6507)
The 1994 NES/CPS American National Election Study, the 1992 Pre-Post Election Study and the 1993 Pilot Study were conducted by the Center for Political Studies of the Institute for Social Research, under the general direction of principal investigators Steven J. Rosenstone, Warren E. Miller, and Donald R. Kinder. Santa Traugott is the Director of Studies for the National Election Studies and Giovanna Morchio the Study manager for NES.

The 1994 Election Study is the twenty-third in a series of studies of American national elections produced by the Political Behavior Program of the Survey Research Center and the Center for Political Studies, and it is the ninth such study to be conducted under the auspices of National Science Foundation Grants (SOC77-08885, SES-8207580, SES-8341310, SES-8808361 and SBR-9317631) providing long-term support for the national election studies.

Since 1978 the NES election studies have been designed by a National Board of Overseers, the members of which meet several times a year to plan content and administration of the major study components.

Board members during the planning of one or more of these studies included Thomas Mann, The Brookings Institution (Chair until February 1994); David C. Leege, Notre Dame University (Chair from February 1994); Larry Bartels, Princeton University, Charles Franklin, the University of Wisconsin, Stanley Feldman, University of Kentucky; Mary Jackman, University of California at Davis; Gary C. Jacobson, University of California at San Diego; Stanley Kelley, Jr., Princeton University; Douglas Rivers, Stanford University; Gina Sapiro, the University of Wisconsin; John Zaller, the University of California at Los Angeles; Warren E. Miller, Arizona State University, ex officio; Donald R. Kinder, and Steven J. Rosenstone, University of Michigan, ex officio.

As part of the planning process, a special planning committee is appointed, a pilot study conducted, and a stimulus letter sent to the scholarly community soliciting input on study plans. The 1994 Study Planning Committee was chaired by Franklin and included Rosenstone (ex-officio), Leege and Sapiro from the Board of Overseers. Other scholars included Bruce Cain, University of California, Berkeley; Laura Stoker, University of California, Berkeley; and Peverill Squire, University of Iowa.

David Leege chaired the 1992 Study Planning Committee which included from the Board Stanley Feldman, Mary Jackman, Douglas Rivers, Steven J. Rosenstone, Virginia Sapiro, and three other scholars: Paul Beck, Ohio State University; Jack Citrin, University of California at Berkeley; and Leonie Huddy, State University of New York at Stony Brook.

John Zaller chaired the 1993 Pilot Study Planning Committee which included several Board members...
(Bartels, Leege, Jacobson and Rosenstone) and three other scholars: Jack Dennis, The University of Wisconsin; Charles Franklin, The University of Wisconsin; and Laura Stoker, University of California, Berkeley. While not officially a member of the Planning Committee, Douglas Strand, of University of California, Berkeley advised the Committee on many aspects of the proposed items on attitudes toward homosexuals and policy about homosexuals.

>> 1994 ELECTION STUDY DESIGN AND CONTENT

The 1994 Election Study was designed to be simultaneously the third wave in a three wave panel, which began in 1992, and also a stand-alone cross-section data collection in the traditional NES time-series. Thus, there are two components to the 1994 Post-election Study: one is a fresh cross-section component, comprising 1136 respondents who were interviewed for the first time in the weeks following the November 8, 1994 general election, and the other is a set of 759 respondents who were intially interviewed in the 1992 Pre-election Study. All of these respondents were interviewed in the 1992 Post-Election Study, and 635 of the panel respondents also gave us an interview in the 1993 Pilot Study. The full set of 1795 respondents may be treated, with appropriate weighting, as a fully representative national cross-section.

The three-wave study was designed to exploit the special features of the 1992-1994 elections; a minority president struggling to forge a majority coalition in the face of a strong third-party challenge, and the replacement in 1992 of fully one-quarter of the House of Representatives. The design themes of the 1992-1994 Panel became particularly salient because of the electoral earthquake of the 1994 election, when the Republicans gained control of both houses of Congress first time since 1952.

The datafile has been enhanced, for panel respondents, with data from the 1992 and 1993 studies. Data from these earlier studies may be thought of as 'lagged' measures, for use in analysis of 1994 panel respondents. For a full description of the 1992 and 1993 study designs and content, the user is referred to the Appendices to this documentation, which contain the complete original study descriptions as they appear in the documentation for these studies.

Of the 1005 respondents who make up the 1992 NES post-election cross-section, (from which the 1992-93-94 Panel respondents were drawn) over a quarter resided in congressional districts that sent a new member to congress in 1992. Thus, the high turnover that occurred in the House in 1992 provides sufficient numbers of respondents to support detailed analysis of the processes by which newly-elected representatives shore up, or fail to shore up their support during their first term in office.
The congressional battery that has been in place in NES studies since 1978 was the chief vehicle used in 1992, 1993 and 1994 to evaluate respondents' attitudes towards Congress and their congressional representatives. (For 1993, these questions were modified as necessary to refer to "last November"s election and to the incumbent rather than to the congressional candidates). These questions include:

* what respondents like and dislike about congressional candidates
* whether and how they have been contacted by the candidates for summary evaluations ( feeling' thermometers) of the candidates, whether they can recall congressional candidates (1993: running in this district this past November')
* whether they have had contact with the incumbent candidate
* where they place congressional candidates on several issue dimensions
* for their evaluations of congressional performance
* what the most important issue discussed in the congressional campaign in their district

The core battery of congressional evaluations was supplemented by questions on term limits, (1992 and 1994) on the representative's vote on President Clinton's crime bill,(1994), or on the Persian Gulf war resolution (1992), on Clinton's deficit reduction package (1993), whether their Representative was implicated in the House banking scandal (1992) and on whether the respondent felt that his representative cared more about prestige and influence for him/herself rather than solving the problems of the congressional district(1994).

Another major theme of the 1992-1993-1994 Panel is the assessment of how well the "Clinton coalition" is faring. The 1992 Study, since it occurred in a Presidential year, had a full set of items bearing on the evaluation of candidate Clinton, some of which were repeated in 1993 and 1994. These repeated items include:

* Summary evaluations (feeling thermometer) of Clinton
* Traits and affects for Clinton
* Placement of Clinton on several issue dimensions (92 and 94 only)
* Placement of Clinton on liberal-conservative dimension
* approval ratings of several aspects of Clinton's performance in office (93 and 94 only)
* For whom R voted (92); recall of Presidential vote (94)
* Evaluation of the economy

Each of the studies includes specific measures
relating to evaluation of Clinton, including likes/dislikes in 1992, opinion about NAFTA and the federal budget deficit in 1993, who the respondent would vote for if the election were held today (1993).

Emphasis on the panel aspects of the design should not obscure the fact that the 1994 data can be used to support cross-sectional analyses of the 1994 electorate. Note that almost all of the items listed below were also asked in the 1992 Election Study.

* Campaign interest
* Media exposure
* Measures of partisanship (party likes/dislikes and party identification), which party would better handle certain public problems
* Summary evaluations (feeling thermometers) on major political figures and social groups
* Voting behavior
* Views on issues: most important problem and several issue dimensions, including defense spending, assistance to blacks, spending and services trade-off, health insurance, women's role, and recent proposals to reform welfare.
* Preferences on federal budget allocations
* Electoral participation
* Retrospective and prospective national and personal economic evaluations
* Liberal-conservative self-placement
* Political information held by respondent
* Values, including moral traditionalism, egalitarianism, and attitudes toward race, as well as individual items on school prayer and abortion
* Religious affiliation and behavior
* Occupation, work force status, home ownership and residential mobility, nationality, education, income, and number of children being raised.

The 1992 Election Study, in addition to the topics already mentioned, included questions on social altruism and social connectedness of the respondent; assessments of U.S. involvement in the Persian Gulf War and U.S. foreign policy goals; opinions of the respondent about racial and ethnic stereotypies, on school integration and affirmative action; attitudes towards immigrants (particularly Hispanics and Asians); opinions on
immigration policy and bilingual education; and opinions on the rights of homosexuals; on sexual harassment and women's rights.

In addition to the congressional and Clinton evaluations already mentioned, the 1993 Pilot Study included a number of items intended to tap the sources and strength of support for Ross Perot.

As a pilot study, the 1993 Study included developmental work in a number of areas. One such area is the interest group basis of political attitudes. Questions were asked about the perceived interests of several groups (wealthy, poor, middle class, blacks, whites), as well as self and national interest in three domains: national health insurance, affirmative action, and school choice. The 1993 Study also includes a number of questions relating to attitudes toward homosexuals, and about policies affecting homosexuals. Finally, a number of experiments in the survey response were implemented in the study, including:

* an experiment in wording of the vote choice for Representative question
* reversing order of self versus political object placement on liberal-conservative 7-pt scale
* certainty probe on liberal-conservative scale; self and other objects


Field Periods

Like the 1992 Pre-and Post-Election Study, the 1994 study design involved face-to-face, paper and pencil interviews of respondents randomly selected from the SRC's national area probability sample. The 1994 field period was November 9, 1994 through January 9, 1995, with 40% of the 1795 interviews taken in the first week, and 68% of the interviews within three weeks of the November 8 General Election. This is a significant improvement over the performance of the 1992 Post Election Study, in which only 42.3% of the Post-Election interviews were taken at the end of three weeks.

In the 1992 Pre-Election Study, 2485 citizens were interviewed in person in the 9 weeks prior to the November 3, 1992 election of whom 1126 were cross section respondents. To permit analysis of the impact of the unfolding election campaign, a random half of the sample was released to the field on September 1 and the other half on October 1. In the weeks following the election, 2255 pre-election respondents were reinterviewed; 1005 of them were cross-section.

Sample Replicates
To more closely tailor the field effort to the actual sample performance, NES samples are randomly divided into "replicates" of varying sizes. The usual practice is hold some replicates in reserve. In 1992, additional replicates for both panel and cross section were released midway through the Pre-Election field period; in 1994, all panel sample was released at the beginning of the field period. It did not prove necessary to release additional cross-section replicates.

Response Rates for the 1994 Election Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Resp.Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X-Section</td>
<td>1036</td>
<td>72.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panel</td>
<td>759</td>
<td>77.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>1795</td>
<td>74.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes on the 1993 Pilot Study

The 1993 Pilot Study was a telephone reinterview of cross-section respondents to the NES 1992 Pre- and Post-election Study. Interviewing was carried out by the Telephone Facility of the Survey Research Center, the Institute for Social Research. The Field period was Sept. 23 - Nov. 24, 1993, roughly halfway between the 1992 and 1994 Election Studies. 750 interviews were taken, with a response rate of 74.6%. The study was CATI. The average interview length was 42 minutes. Because there were a number of experiments, each respondent was randomly assigned to one of four forms.

Randomization of the Feeling Thermometers in the 1993 Pilot Study

There are many survey questions, like the feeling thermometers, where lists of objects are presented for evaluation by respondents. It is extremely difficult, if not impossible to identify a single order for the items which eliminates response effects. An alternative is to randomize the order in which items on a list are presented to respondents. The AUTOQUEST CATI system has a randomizing function, and this was implemented for the feeling thermometers in the 1993 Pilot Study. No information as to the order in which the thermometer items were asked for a given respondent was preserved.
In all NES Post-Election surveys since 1978, respondents have been asked several questions about their particular Congresspersons and Senators. These questions in the survey require the insertion by the interviewer, during pre-editing, of the names of candidates. See, for example, question B11, which includes feeling thermometers for the various candidates. Each candidate and Senator is assigned a unique number that reflects his or her incumbency status and party. (See Candidate Number Master Code). The Candidate Lists used by the interviewers, which show which candidates are associated with which congressional district and with which numbers they are tagged, are Notes 4 and 5 in the Master Codes section of this documentation.

Congressional District Determination

From 1978 through 1990, the congressional district in which an NES sample segment was located was determined by the SRC's sampling section. This was usually done by comparing very detailed maps of the sample segment and of congressional districts. Congressional district determination for the 1992 and 1994 studies presented complications due to the massive redistricting following the 1990 U.S. Census, and due to its panel nature -- movers had to be tracked and their new district determined.

Handling of Congressional Incumbency Where Redistricting has Occurred (1992)

Throughout the documentation for the 1992 study, whenever the word "incumbent" is used, its referent is a representative who was a member of the 102nd Congress; i.e., the Congress in session prior to the November 1992 General Election. Due to redistricting, any given incumbent's district for the 103rd Congress may consist of a fairly different geographical area from the area covered by the district prior to the boundary changes. Therefore, prior to 1992, the "incumbent" may or may not have been the representative for the particular piece of geography (the sample segment or census tract) in which the respondent lives. For each sample segment, we have included in the dataset its 1992 congressional district number, v3019, and its congressional district number in 1990, v3020. By comparing the two, it can be determined whether the "incumbent" in question was actually the respondent's incumbent prior to the 1992 general election.

Congressional District Assignments For Movers

Respondents to the 1992 Post-election Study were the recipients of several mailings, which we used to track address changes, and minimize panel attrition due to "lost" respondents. When the United States Post Office returned information indicating that respondents had changed their addresses, the study staff attempted to determine, usually by calling local election offices, in which congressional district the respondent now lived.
The substantive decision, for 1993 and for 1994 panel waves, was to ask the R to evaluate the congressional candidates in the district in which h/she was now living, and about whom h/she was presumably receiving information.

In some instances, information about where a respondent was now living was not available until the field period, when interviewers were able to track the respondents by talking to former neighbors, etc. In 1994, the interviewers were instructed to contact local electoral offices directly to determine if R's change of address involved also a change of congressional district. A candidate list for R's new district was then prepared, and used to pre-edit the respondent's questionnaire. A similar procedure was used in the 1993 Pilot Study.

A Reliability Check of Congressional District Assignments

Since one of the chief themes of the 1992-93-94 Panel Study is the evaluation over time of respondents' attitudes toward their congressional representatives, and because of the complications of following movers and of redistricting, NES staff made an intensive effort to assess the both the accuracy and the stability of congressional district assignments. Their findings will appear as Technical Report 52, "Accuracy and Stability of Congressional District Assignments in the 1992-93-94 National Election Studies." That report will be available by early June, 1995.

For the 1994 Election Study, we decided to send the entire set of sample segment selections to an outside source for computerized matching of congressional district boundaries and the Census geocodes for the SRC sample segments. In this process, we completely checked the 1992 Congressional District assignments. Approximately 71, or 2.8% of the 1992 respondents (N = 2485) were assigned to the wrong congressional district, because of errors in the original determination of the district (misreading maps, incorrect information from local election offices, etc.) These misassignments were corrected for the 1994 field work, but not for the 1993 Pilot Study, where 4.5% of the 750 respondents were misassigned. In both the 1992 and 1994 Studies, all other causes of being asked about the wrong congressional candidates (e.g., wrongly pre-edited questionnaires, inappropriate determination of congressional districts for movers) totaled less than one percent of the respondents.

More important than these errors is the simple question of the stability of the congressional objects themselves. The candidates we ask the respondent to evaluate can change, because a) the respondent moves; b) his/her incumbent does not stand for re-election, or c) there is redistricting. respondent lives. 3% of the panel respondents were affected by 1993 and 1994 redistricting, so that they were not asked to evaluate the same candidates in 1992 and 1994. Incumbents did not run in the general election for 15% of the panel cases, so the congressional candidates they were asked to evaluate in 1994 were different than 1993 or 1992. About
8% of the panel respondents moved between their initial 1992 interview and the 1994 Election Study.

Finally, it should be noted that about 3% of the 1994 respondents are registered in districts different than the one in which they were interviewed. Consequently, their vote choice was between a different set of candidates than those about whom they were asked.

This dataset contains a number of variables, V940022-V940032, V940080, V937004, and V937007, which record the various contingencies discussed above. Users interested in more detail about these matters should request Technical Report 52 from NES Project Staff.

>> 1994 FILE STRUCTURE

The AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY, 1994 PRE- AND POST-ELECTION SURVEY [ENHANCED WITH DATA FROM 1993 AND 1992] are available from ICPSR in logical record length (LRECL) format. The data are sorted in ascending order by respondent number, and contains variables for 1,795 respondents.

A machine-readable codebook, which provides complete formatting and other information for all variables accompanies the data. In addition, a set of SAS and SPSS control statements has been prepared for this collection. The control statements contain formatting information as well as variable labels, value labels and missing data specifications for all variables in the collection.

The data can also be accessed directly through software packages that do not use SAS or SPSS control statements by specifying the record locations of the desired variables. The record locations for all variables are provided in the codebook.

>> 1994 NOTES ON CONFIDENTIAL VARIABLES, 1994

Starting with the 1986 Election Study, occupation code variables have been released in somewhat less detail than in years past. The dataset includes a two-digit code with 71 categories corresponding to Census Bureau occupational groupings. Those who have need of the full occupation code for their research should contact the NES project staff for information about the conditions under which access to these data may be provided.

Similarly, the National Election Studies have not included information for census tracts or minor civil divisions since 1978. Permission to use the more detailed geographic information for scholarly research may be
obtained from the Board of Overseers. More information about this is available from NES project staff.

Coding of the new religious denomination variable is in some cases based on an alphabetic "other, please specify" variable. This variable is restricted for reasons of confidentiality, but access may be provided to legitimate scholars under established NES procedures.

>> 1994 OPEN-ENDED MATERIALS

Traditionally, the Election Studies have contained several minutes of open-ended responses (for example, the congressional candidates likes and dislikes). Responses to these questions are coded and appear on the datafile. Other scholars have developed alternative or supplemental coding schemes for these questions. The Board of Overseers wishes to encourage these efforts but in ways that respect the NES and SRC obligation to protect the privacy and anonymity of respondents. Circumstances under which individuals may have access to transcribed versions of these questions have been worked out and those interested should contact the NES project staff for further details.

Special Note: the frequencies appearing in this codebook are weighted by V940006, TIME SERIES WEIGHT.

>> 1994 CODEBOOK INFORMATION

The following example from the 1948 NES study provides the standard format for codebook variable documentation.

Note that NES studies which are not part of the Time-Series usually omit marginals and the descriptive content in lines 2-5 (except for variable name).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>==============</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>VAR 480026   NAME-R NOT VT-WAS R REG TO VT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>COLUMNS 61 - 61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>NUMERIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>MD=0 OR GE 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Q. 17. (IF R DID NOT VOTE) WERE YOU REGISTERED (ELIGIBLE) TO VOTE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>...........................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>82          1. YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>149         2. NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>422</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Line 2 - VARIABLE NAME. Note that in the codebook the variable name (usually a 'number') does not include the "V" prefix which is used in the release SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files) for all variables including those which do not have 'number' names. For example the variable "VERSION" in the codebook is "VVERSION" in the data definition files.

Line 2 - "NAME". This is the variable label used in the SAS and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files). Some codebooks exclude this.

Line 3 - COLUMNS. Columns in the ASCII data file (.dat file).

Line 4 - CHARACTER OR NUMERIC. If numeric and the variable is a decimal rather than integer variable, the number of decimal places is also indicated (e.g. "NUMERIC DEC 4")

Line 5 - Values which are assigned to missing by default in the Study's SAS and and SPSS data definition files (.sas and .sps files).

Line 7 - Actual question text for survey variables or a description of non-survey variables (for example, congressional district). Survey items usually include the question number (for example "Bla.") from the Study questionnaire; beginning in 1996 non-survey items also have unique item numbers (for example "CSheet.1").

Line 9 - A dashed or dotted line usually separates question text from any other documentation which follows.

Line 10- When present, annotation provided by Study staff is presented below the question text/description and preceding code values.

Lines 11-16

Code values are listed with descriptive labels. Valid codes (those not having 'missing' status in line 5) are presented first, followed by the values described in line 5. For continuous variables, one line may appear providing the range of possible values. A blank line usually separates the 'valid' and 'missing' values.

Lines 11-16

Marginals are usually provided for discrete variables. The counts may be unweighted or weighted; check the Study codebook introductory text to determine weight usage.

>> 1994 ICPSR PROCESSING INFORMATION

The data collection was processed according to standard ICPSR processing procedures. The data were checked for illegal or inconsistent code values which, when found, were corrected or recoded to missing data values. Consistency checks were performed. Statements bracketed in "<" and ">"
signs in the body of the codebook were added by the processors for explanatory purposes.

ICPSR has added frequencies to the codebook text for most variables in which the entire coding scheme is listed in the codebook, and a frequency addendum is provided for those variables with an extensive coding scheme.

ERRATA IN DATA - 1992 Congressional Districts

Late in 1994 it became apparent that in some cases of the 1992 NES Study an incorrect congressional district number had been assigned. These errors affect all questions related to House race which are administered according to assigned-CD candidate names. Below is a listing of affected 1992 (pre) case IDs with correct congressional districts, however no data have been changed in the 1992 data as a result. Data users can delete these cases from affected vars if desired. NES plans in 1995 to produce a technical report examining the 1992 incidence of CD misassignment and its possible effects on 1992 NES data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>92 PRE ID</th>
<th>OLD ST/CD</th>
<th>CORRECT ST/CD</th>
<th>CORRECT TYPERACE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0001</td>
<td>3405</td>
<td>3406</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0006</td>
<td>4404</td>
<td>4406</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0007</td>
<td>4404</td>
<td>4406</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0008</td>
<td>3405</td>
<td>3404</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0056</td>
<td>2103</td>
<td>2101</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0059</td>
<td>2103</td>
<td>2101</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0071</td>
<td>3405</td>
<td>3404/3406</td>
<td>12/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0124</td>
<td>1205</td>
<td>1209</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0137</td>
<td>3306</td>
<td>3305</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0167</td>
<td>3306</td>
<td>3305</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0180</td>
<td>3306</td>
<td>3305</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0188</td>
<td>4707</td>
<td>4708</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0211</td>
<td>2103</td>
<td>2101</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0212</td>
<td>4404</td>
<td>4406</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0233</td>
<td>1319</td>
<td>1317</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0249</td>
<td>2310</td>
<td>2312</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0304</td>
<td>7144</td>
<td>7148</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0332</td>
<td>2310</td>
<td>2312</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0345</td>
<td>4707</td>
<td>4708</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0355</td>
<td>1319</td>
<td>1317</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0376</td>
<td>3405</td>
<td>3404/3406</td>
<td>12/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0381</td>
<td>1319</td>
<td>1317</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0383</td>
<td>7144</td>
<td>7148</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0428</td>
<td>4707</td>
<td>4708</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0441</td>
<td>1302</td>
<td>1301</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0442</td>
<td>1302</td>
<td>1301</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0452</td>
<td>3405</td>
<td>3404</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0508</td>
<td>3405</td>
<td>3404</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0524</td>
<td>4404</td>
<td>4406</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0587</td>
<td>4707</td>
<td>4708</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0703</td>
<td>3405</td>
<td>3404</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0709</td>
<td>3306</td>
<td>3305</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0710</td>
<td>3306</td>
<td>3305</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0746</td>
<td>1205</td>
<td>1209</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0753</td>
<td>7144</td>
<td>7148</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0757</td>
<td>7144</td>
<td>7148</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0770</td>
<td>1205</td>
<td>1209</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0781</td>
<td>4707</td>
<td>4708</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VARIABLE</td>
<td>VARIABLE LABEL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VERSION</td>
<td>NES VERSION NUMBER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSETNO</td>
<td>NES DATASET NUMBER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94STUDY</td>
<td>ICPSR ARCHIVE NUMBER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SAMPLING INFORMATION

940001  94PO: 1994 CASE ID
940002  94PO: 94 Panel/cross-section indicator
940003  94PO: 93 Pilot indicator
940004  94PO: Full Sample Weight
940005  94PO: Panel only weight
940006  94PO: Time series weight
940007  94PO: Form yellow/blue
940008  94PO: Primary area code
940009  94PO: Primary area name
940010  94PO: Area segment of R's household
940011  94PO: Census region in 1994
940012  94PO: State abbreviation
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT ASSIGNMENT INFORMATION

940020 94PO: State/Congressional district for voter outstate
940021 94PO: FIPS state/county
940024 94PO: Panel Summary 1992: 1992 Congressional district assignment wrong
940025 94PO: Summary 1993: 1993 Congressional district assignment wrong
940026 94PO: Error in administration of candidate list - 1994
940027 94PO: Summary 1994: R's registration in Congressional dist of interview
940028 94PO: Summary 1994: Candidate names administered in '94 same as R's dist
940030 94PO: Panel Summary 1992,94: Did R evaluate same incumbent in '92 '93
940031 94PO: Panel Summary 1993,94: Did R elaluate same incumbent in '93 '94
940032 94PO: Panel Summary 92-94: Did R elaluate same incumbent in 92-94

INTERVIEW ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION

940033 94PO: Month of Interview
940034 94PO: Day of interview
940035 94PO: Year of interview
940036 94PO: Number of days since election
940037 94PO: Interviewer interview number
940038 94PO: Length of interview
940039 94PO: Length of pre-edit
940040 94PO: Length of post-edit
940041 94PO: Number of phone calls to housing unit
940042 94PO: Number of face to face calls to housing unit
940043 94PO: Total Number of calls
940044 94PO: Interview within/out range
940045 94PO: Interview face to face/phone
940046 94PO: Administration/mode summary
940047 94PO: Language of interview
940048 94PO: Final result of interview
940049 94PO: Panel same address/moved
940050 94PO: Panel different sex/name/age
940051 94PO: Panel name different
940052 94PO: Panel sex different
940053 94PO: Panel age different
940054 94PO: Cross-section number of housing units at sample location
940055 94PO: Cross-section source of household listing

940056  94PO: Cross-section selection table
940057  94PO: Person number selected as R
940058  94PO: Number of eligible adults

**SAMPLING VARIABLES**

940059  94PO: 1990 Belt code
940060  94PO: 1990 population in 1000'S
940061  94PO: Size of place
940062  94PO: 1980 Census tract/ed indicator
940063  94PO: 1994 sampling error stratum code
940064  94PO: 1994 sampling error computation unit code
940065  94PO: Census Region for 1992 panel
940066  94PO: 1980 SMSA code
940067  94PO: 1980 CMSA code

**R'S RESISTANCE TO INTERVIEW**

940068  94PO: Recontact: Name obtained?
940069  94PO: Recontact: Address same?
940070  94PO: How recontact address is different
940071  94PO: Recontact: Phone number obtained?
940072  94PO: Recontact: Phone listed in directory?
940073  94PO: Recontact: Phone listed in R's name?
940074  94PO: Recontact: Other residence?
940075  94PO: Recontact: Contact address given
940076  94PO: Recontact: Problem to interview by phone?
940077  94PO: Contact description: Type of housing unit
940078  94PO: Contact description: Gatekeeper required for entrance?
940079  94PO: Gatekeeper description
940080  94PO: Panel Summary 1992-94: When R's moved
940081  94PO: Contact description: Initial refusal?
940082  94PO: Contact description: Broken appointment?
940083  94PO: Resistance to interview?
940084  94PO: Reason for resistance - Waste of time
940085  94PO: Reason for resistance - Very ill
940086  94PO: Reason for resistance - Too busy
940087  94PO: Reason for resistance - Difficult family situation
940088  94PO: Reason for resistance - Confidentiality
940089  94PO: Reason for resistance - Privacy
940090  94PO: Reason for resistance - No special reason
940091  94PO: Reason for resistance - Other reason
940092  94PO: Refusal conversion indicator
940093  94PO: Persuasion letter sent
940094  94PO: Payment amount
940095  94PO: Check sent/jan95
940096  94PO: Verification indicator
940097  94PO: Evaluation indicator
940098  94PO: Interview taped?

**INTERVIEWER VARIABLES**

940099  94PO: Field supervisor ID
940100  94PO: Interviewer of record ID
940101  94PO: Interviewer gender
940102  94PO: Interviewer education
940103  94PO: Interviewer race
940104  94PO: Interviewer ethnicity
940105  94PO: Interviewer languages
940106 94PO: Interviewer years of experience
940107 94PO: Interviewer age bracketed

R'S ATTENTION TO CAMPAIGN/MEDIA

940124 94PO: Interest in politics
940125 94PO: Read paper?
940126 94PO: Watch news?
940127 94PO: Listen to radio?
940128 94PO: Discuss politics?
940129 94PO: Frequency of political discussion
940130 94PO: Number of days politics discussed in past week

R'S LIKES/DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY

940131 94PO: Does R like Democratic party?
940132 94PO: What R likes about Democratic party #1
940133 94PO: What R likes about Democratic party #2
940134 94PO: What R likes about Democratic party #3
940135 94PO: What R likes about Democratic party #4
940136 94PO: What R likes about Democratic party #5
940137 94PO: Does R dislike Democratic party?
940138 94PO: What R dislikes about Democratic party #1
940139 94PO: What R dislikes about Democratic party #2
940140 94PO: What R dislikes about Democratic party #3
940141 94PO: What R dislikes about Democratic party #4
940142 94PO: What R dislikes about Democratic party #5

R'S LIKES/DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY

940143 94PO: Does R like Republican party?
940144 94PO: What R likes about Republican party #1
940145 94PO: What R likes about Republican party #2
940146 94PO: What R likes about Republican party #3
940147 94PO: What R likes about Republican party #4
940148 94PO: What R likes about Republican party #5
940149 94PO: Does R dislike Republican party?
940150 94PO: What R dislikes about Republican party #1
940151 94PO: What R dislikes about Republican party #2
940152 94PO: What R dislikes about Republican party #3
940153 94PO: What R dislikes about Republican party #4
940154 94PO: What R dislikes about Republican party #5

R'S ASSESSMENT OF CLINTON AS PRESIDENT

940201 94PO: Approve/disapprove Clinton
940202 94PO: Approve/disapprove Clinton - scale
940203 94PO: Approve/disapprove Clinton - economy
940204 94PO: Approve/disapprove Clinton - economy scale
940205 94PO: Approve/disapprove Clinton - foreign policy
940206 94PO: Approve/disapprove Clinton - foreign policy scale
940207 94PO: Approve/disapprove Clinton - health care
940208 94PO: Approve/disapprove Clinton - health care scale
940209 94PO: How much R cares about outcome of house race
RECALL OF CANDIDATES

940210 94PO: Does R recall names of House candidates?
940211 94PO: #1 recall house - Code
940212 94PO: #1 recall house - Party
940213 94PO: #1 recall house - Summary
940214 94PO: #1 recall house - Accuracy
940215 94PO: #2 recall house - Code
940216 94PO: #2 recall house - Party
940217 94PO: #2 recall house - Summary
940218 94PO: #2 recall house - Accuracy
940219 94PO: #3 recall house - Code
940220 94PO: #3 recall house - Party
940221 94PO: #3 recall house - Summary
940222 94PO: #3 recall house - Accuracy

FEELING THERMOMETER

940223 94PO: Feel thermometer-Clinton
940224 94PO: Feel thermometer-Perot
940225 94PO: Feel thermometer-Dole
940226 94PO: Feel thermometer-Quayle
940227 94PO: Feel thermometer-Gore
940228 94PO: Feel thermometer-Jackson
940229 94PO: Feel thermometer-Hillary
940230 94PO: Feel thermometer-C Powell
940231 94PO: Feel thermometer-Senate Democratic candidate
940232 94PO: Feel thermometer-Senate Republican candidate
940233 94PO: Feel thermometer-Tennessee only Senate Democratic candidate
940234 94PO: Feel thermometer-Tennessee only Senate Republican candidate
940235 94PO: Feel thermometer-Senate candidate term not up
940236 94PO: Feel thermometer-Senate candidate 1 no race
940237 94PO: Feel thermometer-Senate candidate 2 no race
940238 94PO: Feel thermometer-House Democrat
940239 94PO: Feel thermometer-House Republican
940240 94PO: Feel thermometer-Democratic Party
940241 94PO: Feel thermometer-Republican Party
940242 94PO: Feel thermometer-political parties in general
940243 94PO: Feel thermometer-Hispanics
940244 94PO: Feel thermometer-Blacks
940245 94PO: Feel thermometer-conservatives
940246 94PO: Feel thermometer-labor unions
940247 94PO: Feel thermometer-womens movement
940248 94PO: Feel thermometer-people on welfare
940249 94PO: Feel thermometer-environmentalists
940250 94PO: Feel thermometer-liberals
940251 94PO: Feel thermometer-poor people
940252 94PO: Feel thermometer-whites
940253 94PO: Feel thermometer-big business
940254 94PO: Feel thermometer-chrst fundamentalists
940255 94PO: Feel thermometer-elderly
940256 94PO: Feel thermometer-illegal immigrants
940257 94PO: Feel thermometer-gays, lesbians
940258 94PO: Feel thermometer-wealthy people

CONGRESS APPROVAL/DISAPPROVAL
940320 94PO: Approve/disapprove congress
940321 94PO: Approve/disapprove congress-scale
940322 94PO: Approve/disapprove congress-health care
940323 94PO: Approve/disapprove congress-health care scale

VOTING BEHAVIOR -- 1992 ELECTION

940324 94PO: Voted in 1992?
940325 94PO: Presidential vote in 1992

DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATES -- 1992

940401 94PO: Does R like Democratic candidate?
940402 94PO: What R likes about Democratic candidate #1
940403 94PO: What R likes about Democratic candidate #2
940404 94PO: What R likes about Democratic candidate #3
940405 94PO: What R likes about Democratic candidate #4
940406 94PO: What R likes about Democratic candidate #5
940407 94PO: Does R dislike Democratic candidate?
940408 94PO: What R dislikes about Democratic candidate #1
940409 94PO: What R dislikes about Democratic candidate #2
940410 94PO: What R dislikes about Democratic candidate #3
940411 94PO: What R dislikes about Democratic candidate #4
940412 94PO: What R dislikes about Democratic candidate #5

REPUBLICAN CANDIDATES -- 1992

940413 94PO: Does R like Republican candidate?
940414 94PO: What R likes about Republican candidate #1
940415 94PO: What R likes about Republican candidate #2
940416 94PO: What R likes about Republican candidate #3
940417 94PO: What R likes about Republican candidate #4
940418 94PO: What R likes about Republican candidate #5
940419 94PO: Does R dislike Republican candidate?
940420 94PO: What R dislikes about Republican candidate #1
940421 94PO: What R dislikes about Republican candidate #2
940422 94PO: What R dislikes about Republican candidate #3
940423 94PO: What R dislikes about Republican candidate #4
940424 94PO: What R dislikes about Republican candidate #5
940425 94PO: Checkpoint: no House candidates

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT ISSUES

940426 94PO: District issue #1
940427 94PO: District issue #2
940428 94PO: District issue #3
940429 94PO: Checkpoint: no district issues
940430 94PO: Most important district issue

INCUMBENCY OF CANDIDATE

940431 94PO: Either House candidate incumbent?
940432 94PO: Which House candidate was incumbent?
940433 94PO: Party of House candidate incumbent
940434 94PO: If only 1 candidate ran - was candidate

incumbent?
940435 94PO: If only 1 candidate ran - candidate number of
House incumbent
940436 94PO: If only 1 candidate ran - party of House
incumbent
940501 94PO: Checkpoint: Democratic candidate in House race?

INTERACTION WITH DEMOCRATIC HOUSE CANDIDATE
940502 94PO: Contact w/Democratic House candidate?
940503 94PO: Met Democratic House candidate
940504 94PO: Attended meeting where Democratic House candidate
spoke
940505 94PO: Talked to staff of Democratic House candidate
940506 94PO: Received mail from Democratic House candidate
940507 94PO: Read news about Democratic House candidate
940508 94PO: Heard Democratic House candidate on radio
940509 94PO: Saw Democratic House candidate on tv
940510 94PO: Other contact with Democratic House candidate
940511 94PO: Anyone else have contact with Democratic House
candidate?

INTERACTION WITH REPUBLICAN HOUSE CANDIDATE
940512 94PO: Checkpoint: Republican candidate in House race?
940513 94PO: Contact w/Republican House candidate?
940514 94PO: Met Republican House candidate
940515 94PO: Attended meeting where Republican House candidate
spoke
940516 94PO: Talked to staff of Republican House candidate
940517 94PO: Received mail from Republican House candidate
940518 94PO: Read news about Republican House candidate
940519 94PO: Heard Republican House candidate on radio
940520 94PO: Saw Republican House candidate on tv
940521 94PO: Other contact with Republican House candidate
940522 94PO: Anyone else contact Republican House candidate?

R'S VOTING BEHAVIOR
940601 94PO: Did R vote?
940602 94PO: Was R registered?
940603 94PO: Where was R registered?
940604 94PO: FIPS state/county code of R
940605 94PO: FIPS state/county R registered
940606 94PO: Checkpoint: did R register and vote?
940607 94PO: Did R vote on election day or before?
940608 94PO: How long before election day did R vote?
940609 94PO: Voted in person or absentee?
940610 94PO: Checkpoint: registered in county/state of
interview?
940611 94PO: Checkpoint: blue or yellow ballot card?
940612 94PO: Did R vote for House candidate?
940613 94PO: R's House vote
940614 94PO: R's house vote - party
940615 94PO: Checkpoint: Senate race?
940616 94PO: Did R vote for Senate Candidate?
940617 94PO: R's Senate vote
940618 94PO: R's Senate vote - party
940619 94PO: Checkpoint: Gubernatorial race?
940620 94PO: Did R vote for Gubernatorial candidate?
940621 94PO: R's Gubernatorial vote
940622 94PO: R's Gubernatorial vote - party
940623 94PO: Checkpoint: blue or yellow ballot card?
940624 94PO: Nonvoter-House candidate preference?
940625 94PO: Nonvoter-House candidate preferred
940626 94PO: Nonvoter-House candidate preferred-party
940627 94PO: Checkpoint: incumbent in House race?

R'S CONTACT WITH REPRESENTATIVE

940628 94PO: Did R have contact w/House representative?
940629 94PO: House contact- express opinion
940630 94PO: House contact- seek information
940631 94PO: House contact- seek help
940632 94PO: Did R get response from House representative?
940633 94PO: Was R satisfied w/response from House representative?
940634 94PO: Anyone else in contact with House representative?
940635 94PO: Did that person get a response?
940636 94PO: Was person satisfied w/response?
940637 94PO: Approve/disapprove House incumbent's performance
940638 94PO: Approve/disapprove House incumbent - scale

R'S KNOWLEDGE OF INCUMBENT

940639 94PO: Would House representative be helpful?
940640 94PO: Has House representative done anything special for district?
940641 94PO: Does R know # years House representative in office?
940642 94PO: How many years House representative in office?
940643 94PO: Less than or greater than 12 years in office?
940644 94PO: House incumbent's support for Clinton
940645 94PO: House incumbent supports Clinton almost always
940646 94PO: House incumbent supports Clinton almost never
940647 94PO: House incumbent supports Clinton half the time
940648 94PO: Did House incumbent vote for crime bill?
940649 94PO: R's guess re: House incumbent's vote on crime bill
940650 94PO: Does House incumbent care more about solving problems/prestige
940651 94PO: Does R favor/oppose term limits?

PARTY IDENTIFICATION

940652 94PO: Is R Republican, Democrat or Independent?
940653 94PO: Is R strong Republican/Democrat?
940654 94PO: Is R closer to Republican/Democratic Party?
940655 94PO: Party identification summary

PROBLEMS FACING COUNTRY

940701 94PO: Does R follow public affairs?
940702 94PO: Most important problem facing country #1
940703 94PO: Most important problem facing country #2
940704 94PO: Most important problem facing country #3
940705 94PO: Checkpoint: most important problems facing country
940706 94PO: Which problem facing country is most important?
940707 94PO: Best political party to deal w/most important problem

PARTY DIFFERENCES

940708 94PO: Any differences between Democratic/Republican parties?
940709 94PO: Party difference #1
940710 94PO: Party difference #1 content code
940711 94PO: Party difference #2
940712 94PO: Party difference #2 content code
940713 94PO: Party difference #3
940714 94PO: Party difference #3 content code
940715 94PO: Party difference #4
940716 94PO: Party difference #4 content code
940717 94PO: Party difference #5
940718 94PO: Party difference #5 content code
940719 94PO: Party difference #6
940720 94PO: Party difference #6 content code

R'S CONTACT WITH POLITICAL PARTIES

940801 94PO: Did parties contact R?
940802 94PO: Which party contacted R?
940803 94PO: Did anyone else contact R about candidates?
940804 94PO: Support asked for candidate #1
940805 94PO: Support asked for candidate #2
940806 94PO: Did anyone talk to R about voting/registering?
940807 94PO: Did any moral/religious groups contact R?
940808 94PO: Did R advise anyone about voting?
940809 94PO: Did R display button/sticker/sign?
940810 94PO: Did R go to meetings, rallies etc.?
940811 94PO: Did R do any other work for party/candidate?
940812 94PO: Did R contribute to candidate?
940813 94PO: Party of candidate contribution
940814 94PO: Did R contribute to political party?
940815 94PO: Party of contribution
940816 94PO: Did R contribute to any other group?

FEDERAL SPENDING

940817 94PO: Federal spending increased/decreased for environment
940818 94PO: Federal spending increased/decreased for foreign aid
940819 94PO: Federal spending increased/decreased for social security
940820 94PO: Federal spending increased/decreased for welfare
940821 94PO: Federal spending increased/decreased for aids research
940822 94PO: Federal spending increased/decreased for food stamps
940823  94PO: Federal spending increased/decreased for public schools
940824  94PO: Federal spending increased/decreased for child care
940825  94PO: Federal spending increased/decreased for crime
940826  94PO: Federal spending increased/decreased for health care
940827  94PO: Federal spending increased/decreased for defense

APPROACH/TENDENCIES OF POLITICAL PARTIES

940828  94PO: Which party would raise taxes?
940829  94PO: Which party would best handle the economy?
940830  94PO: Which party would best handle pollution?
940831  94PO: Which party would best handle crime?
940832  94PO: Which party would best handle foreign affairs?
940833  94PO: Which party would fix health care?
940834  94PO: Which party would reform welfare?

R'S FEELINGS ABOUT PRESIDENT CLINTON

940835  94PO: Has Clinton ever made R angry?
940836  94PO: Has Clinton ever made R hopeful?
940837  94PO: Has Clinton ever made R afraid?
940838  94PO: Has Clinton ever made R proud?

POLITICAL RULER: LIBERAL TO CONSERVATIVE

940839  94PO: R self-rated, liberal/conservative scale
940840  94PO: If R had to choose: liberal/conservative?
940841  94PO: Clinton: liberal/conservative scale
940842  94PO: Certainty about Clinton on liberal/conservative scale
940843  94PO: House Democratic candidate: liberal/conservative scale
940844  94PO: Certainty about House Democrat on liberal/conservative scale
940845  94PO: House Republican: liberal/conservative scale
940846  94PO: Certainty about House Republican on liberal/conservative scale
940847  94PO: Democratic party: liberal/conservative scale
940848  94PO: Republican party: liberal/conservative scale
940901  94PO: Is United States on right/wrong track?

R'S PERSONAL FINANCIAL SITUATION

940902  94PO: Is R better/worse off than last year?
940903  94PO: Is R much better/worse off than last year?
940904  94PO: Will R be better/worse off next year?
940905  94PO: Will R be much better/worse off next year?
940906  94PO: Were R's federal taxes higher/lower in 1993?
940907  94PO: Were R's federal taxes much higher/lower in 1993?
940908  94PO: Has economy gotten better/worse in past year?
940909  94PO: Has economy gotten much better/worse in past year?
940910  94PO: Will economy get better/worse next year?
940911  94PO: Have policies made economy better/worse in past
year?
940912 94PO: Have policies made economy much better/worse in past year?

PARTY SYSTEM

940913 94PO: Does R favor 2-party system/no parties/multiple parties?

EQUAL OPPORTUNITY

940914 94PO: R agrees/disagrees: society should ensure equal opportunity
940915 94PO: R agrees/disagrees: gone too far with equal rights
940916 94PO: R agrees/disagrees: big problem- not giving everyone equal chance
940917 94PO: R agrees/disagrees: better not to worry about equal opportunity
940918 94PO: R agrees/disagrees: lack of equal opportunity not a problem
940919 94PO: R agrees/disagrees: fewer problems if equal opportunity

ASSESSMENT OF CLINTON

940920 94PO: How well does moral describe Clinton?
940921 94PO: How well does strong leadership describe Clinton?
940922 94PO: How well does cares about you describe Clinton?
940923 94PO: How well does knowledgeable describe Clinton?
940924 94PO: How well does gets things done describe Clinton?
940925 94PO: R agrees/disagrees: raise taxes to reduce deficit
940926 94PO: R agrees/disagrees: cut health/education to reduce deficit
940927 94PO: R agrees/disagrees: increase health/education even if more taxes

7-POINT SCALES

940928 94PO: 7-point scale: equal role for women
940929 94PO: 7-point scale: defense spending
940930 94PO: 7-point scale: job/standard of living
940931 94PO: CLINTON 7-point scale: job/standard of living
940932 94PO: House Democrat 7-point scale: job/standard of living
940933 94PO: House Republican 7-point scale: job/standard of living
940934 94PO: Democratic Party 7-point scale: job/Standard of living
940935 94PO: Republican Party 7-point scale: job/Standard of living
940936 94PO: 7-point scale: aid to Blacks
940937 94PO: Clinton 7-point scale: aid to Blacks
940938 94PO: Democratic Party 7-point scale: aid to Blacks
940939 94PO: Republican Party 7-point scale: aid to Blacks
940940 94PO: 7-point scale: services/spending
940941 94PO: Clinton 7-point scale: services/spending
940942  94PO: House Democrat 7-point scale: services/spending
940943  94PO: House Republican 7-point scale: services/spending
940944  94PO: Democratic Party 7-point scale: services/spending
940945  94PO: Republican Party 7-point scale: services/spending
940946  94PO: R favors/opposes no welfare increase if more kids extra kids
940947  94PO: Degree of favor/opposition to no extra welfare for extra kids
940948  94PO: R favors/opposes 2 year limit for welfare
940949  94PO: Degree of favor/opposition to 2 year welfare limit
940950  94PO: 7-point scale: federal health insurance
940951  94PO: Clinton 7-point scale: federal health insurance
940952  94PO: House Democrat 7-point scale: federal health insurance
940953  94PO: House Republican 7-point scale: federal health insurance
940954  94PO: Democratic Party 7-point scale: federal health insurance
940955  94PO: Republican Party 7-point scale: federal health insurance

AFFIRMATIVE ACTION
941001  94PO: R favors/opposes preference in hiring Blacks
941002  94PO: Degree of favor/opposition to preferential hiring of Blacks
941003  94PO: Is it government's role to insure blacks/whites in same schools?
941004  94PO: Does R think gov't should insure blacks/whites in same schools?
941005  94PO: How much change in position of blacks?

R'S KNOWLEDGE
941006  94PO: R's knowledge - Gore
941007  94PO: R's knowledge - Rehnquist
941008  94PO: R's knowledge - Yeltsin
941009  94PO: R's knowledge - Foley
941010  94PO: R's Knowledge - final decision on constitutionality of law
941011  94PO: R's knowledge - responsibility to nominate federal judges
941012  94PO: Party in control of House before last election
941013  94PO: Party in control of Senate before last election
941014  94PO: R's view: circumstances that allow for legal abortion

U.S. FOREIGN POLICY
941015  94PO: Has U.S. position in world grown stronger/weaker in past year
941016  94PO: Should U.S.immigration be increased/decreased/left the same
941017  94PO: Should preventing nuclear proliferation be foreign policy goal?
941018  94PO: Should spreading democracy be foreign policy goal?
R'S HEALTH CARE

941022 94PO: Does R have health insurance?
941023 94PO: Is R satisfied with health plan?
941024 94PO: Is R's health plan a serious problem?
941025 94PO: Can R afford health care?

941026 94PO: R's satisfaction with available health care
941027 94PO: Has R put off dental/medical treatment due to lack of money?
941028 94PO: R's satisfaction with U.S. health care

R AGREES/DISAGREES:

941029 94PO: R agrees/disagrees: Newer lifestyles contribute to breakdown of society
941030 94PO: R agrees/disagrees: Adjust morals to change
941031 94PO: R agrees/disagrees: Emphasize traditional family ties
941032 94PO: R agrees/disagrees: Tolerate different moral stands
941033 94PO: How often R trusts government
941034 94PO: How much government wastes tax money
941035 94PO: Is government run by big interests?
941036 94PO: How many people in government are crooked?
941037 94PO: R agrees/disagrees: Public officials dont care
941038 94PO: R agrees/disagrees: People like R have no say
941039 94PO: R agrees/disagrees: Politcs/government is too complicated
941040 94PO: R favors/opposes Clinton crime bill
941041 94PO: R favors/opposes death penalty
941042 94PO: Strength of R's favor/opposition to death penalty

ROLE OF RELIGION IN R'S LIFE

941043 94PO: Is religion important to R?
941044 94PO: Amount of guidance R receives from religion
941045 94PO: How often does R pray?
941046 94PO: How often does R read the bible?
941047 94PO: R's opinion on the authority of the bible

R'S OPINION ON STATUS OF BLACKS

941048 94PO: R agrees/disagrees: Blacks have gotten less than they deserve
941049 94PO: R agrees/disagrees: No special favors for blacks
941050 94PO: R agrees/disagrees: Blacks need to try harder
941051 94PO: R agrees/disagrees: Difficult for blacks to work out of lower class due to slavery/discrimination
941100 94PO: Checkpoint: cross-section /panel
R'S RELIGIOUS PREFERENCES AND PRACTICES

941101 94PO: Does R attend religious services?
941102 94PO: Is R part of a denomination?
941103 94PO: How often R attends religious services

941104 94PO: R attends religious services once a week or more
941105 94PO: R is protestant/catholic/jewish/other
941106 94PO: R's religious denomination
941107 94PO: Baptist type
941108 94PO: Is R affiliated with larger Baptist group
941109 94PO: Lutheran type
941110 94PO: Methodist type
941111 94PO: Presbyterian type
941112 94PO: Reformed type
941113 94PO: Church of brethren type
941114 94PO: 'christian' type
941115 94PO: Church of christ type
941116 94PO: Church of god type
941117 94PO: 'other' subgroupings
941118 94PO: Name of 'other' subgroupings
941119 94PO: Is church/denomination christian?
941120 94PO: Is R Jewish orthodox/conservative/reform?
941121 94PO: Is R Protestant
   fundamentalist/evangelical/charismatic/pentecostal?
941122 94PO: R's religion - summary
941123 94PO: Checkpoint: R's church attendance
941124 94PO: Was political information available at place of worship?
941125 94PO: Did clergy support a particular candidate/party?
941126 94PO: #1 candidate clergy supported
941127 94PO: #2 candidate clergy supported
941128 94PO: #3 candidate clergy supported

PERSONAL INFORMATION

941201 94PO: Month of birth
941202 94PO: Year of birth
941203 94PO: Recoded age
941204 94PO: Marital status
941205 94PO: Checkpoint: cross-section/panel

R'S EDUCATION

941206 94PO: Highest grade of education
941207 94PO: Dis R get high school diploma or equivalent?
941208 94PO: Highest degree of education
941209 94PO: R's education - summary

EDUCATION OF SPOUSE/PARTNER

941210 94PO: Checkpoint: married/partnered
941211 94PO: Highest grade of spouse's education
941212 94PO: Did spouse get high school diploma/equivalent
941213  94PO: Highest degree in spouse's education
941214  94PO: Spouse's education - summary

R'S WORK STATUS

941215  94PO: R's employment status
941216  94PO: R's employment status - summary
941217  94PO: If unemployed- has R ever worked for pay?
941218  94PO: If retired- when did R retire?
941219  94PO: If disabled- has R ever worked for pay?
941220  94PO: If homemaker/student- is R working now?
941221  94PO: If unemployed homemaker/student- has R worked in past 6 months?

R WORKING OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF

941222  94PO: R working- occupation
941223  94PO: R working- collapsed occupation code
941224  94PO: R working- prestige score
941225  94PO: Is R working for industry?
941226  94PO: Is R working for self/other?
941227  94PO: Is R working for government?
941228  94PO: R working- number of hours
941229  94PO: R working- wants more/fewer hours
941230  94PO: R working- worried/not worried about losing job
941231  94PO: Checkpoint: R working now/temporarily laid off
941232  94PO: R working- laid off in past?
941233  94PO: R working- pay/hours cut?

R UNEMPLOYED, RETIRED OR DISABLED

941234  94PO: R unemployed/retired/disabled- occupation
941235  94PO: R unemployed/retired/disabled- collapsed occupation code
941236  94PO: R unemployed/retired/disabled- prestige
941237  94PO: R unemployed/retired/disabled- industry
941238  94PO: R unemployed/retired/disabled- works for self
941239  94PO: R unemployed/retired/disabled- works for government
941240  94PO: R unemployed/retired/disabled- worked in past 6 months
941241  94PO: R unemployed/retired/disabled- hours worked
941242  94PO: Checkpoint: R unemployed/retired/disabled
941243  94PO: R retired/disabled- working now
941244  94PO: R retired/disabled- looking for work
941245  94PO: R unemployed/retired/disabled- worried/not worried about finding a job

R HOMEMAKER/STUDENT

941246  94PO: R homemaker/student- occupation
941247  94PO: R homemaker/student- collapsed occupation
941248  94PO: R homemaker/student- prestige
941249  94PO: R homemaker/student- industry
941250  94PO: R homemaker/student- worked for self
941251  94PO: R homemaker/student- worked for government
941252  94PO: R homemaker/student- hours worked
R's Occupation Data - Stacked

941255 94PO: Recode1. R's occupation stacked
941256 94PO: Recode2. R's occupation headings
941257 94PO: Recode3. R's prestige bracketed
941258 94PO: Recode4. R's industry stacked
941259 94PO: Recode5. R employed self/other
941260 94PO: Recode6. R employed by government
941261 94PO: Recode7. R's # hours worked per week
941262 94PO: Recode8. R worried/not worried about loosing job
941263 94PO: Recode9. R had job in past 6 months
941264 94PO: Recode10. R looking for job now
941265 94PO: Recode11. R ever worked for pay

Occupation of Spouse/Partner

941301 94PO: Checkpoint: r married/partnered
941302 94PO: Spouse employment status
941303 94PO: Spouse employment status - summary
941304 94PO: Spouse unemployed- ever worked for pay
941305 94PO: Spouse retired- when retired
941306 94PO: Spouse disabled- ever work for pay
941307 94PO: Spouse homemaker/student- working now
941308 94PO: Spouse unemployed homemaker/student-worked in past 6 months
941309 94PO: Spouse working- occupation
941310 94PO: Spouse working- collapsed occupation code
941311 94PO: Spouse working- prestige
941312 94PO: Spouse working- industry
941313 94PO: Spouse working- work for self
941314 94PO: Spouse working- work for government
941315 94PO: Spouse working- no. hours
941316 94PO: Spouse working- wants more/fewer hours
941317 94PO: Spouse working- worried/not worried about losing job
941318 94PO: Checkpoint: Spouse working/temporarily laid off
941319 94PO: Spouse working- layoff in past 6 months
941320 94PO: Spouse working- pay/hours cut?

Spouse/Partner Unemployed/Retired/Disabled

941321 94PO: Spouse unemployed/retired/disabled- occupation
941322 94PO: Spouse unemployed/retired/disabled- collapsed occupation
941323 94PO: Spouse unemployed/retired/disabled- prestige
941324 94PO: Spouse unemployed/retired/disabled- industry
941325 94PO: Spouse unemployed/retired/disabled- works for self
941326 94PO: Spouse unemployed/retired/disabled- works for government
941327 94PO: Spouse unemployed/retired/disabled- job in past 6 months
941328 94PO: Spouse unemployed/retired/disabled- hours worked

941329 94PO: Checkpoint: Spouse unemployed/retired/disabled
941330 94PO: Spouse retired/disabled- working now
941331 94PO: Spouse retired/disabled- looking for work
941332 94PO: Spouse unemployed/retired/disabled- worried/not worried about finding a job

SPOUSE/PARTNER HOMEMAKER/STUDENT

941333 94PO: Spouse homemaker/student- occupation
941334 94PO: Spouse homemaker/student- collapsed occupation code
941335 94PO: Spouse homemaker/student- prestige
941336 94PO: Spouse homemaker/student- industry
941337 94PO: Spouse homemaker/student- worked for self
941338 94PO: Spouse homemaker/student- worked for government
941339 94PO: Spouse homemaker/student- hours worked
941340 94PO: Spouse homemaker/student- looking for job
941341 94PO: Spouse homemaker/student- worried/not worried about finding job

SPOUSE/PARTNER'S OCCUPATION DATA - STACKED

941342 94PO: Recode1001. spouse occupation stack
941343 94PO: Recode1002. spouse occup headings
941344 94PO: Recode1003. spouse prestige bracket
941345 94PO: Recode1004. spouse industry stacked
941346 94PO: Recode1005. spouse employed self/other
941347 94PO: Recode1006. spouse employ government
941348 94PO: Recode1007. spouse # hours work a week
941349 94PO: Recode1008. spouse worried/not worried about loosing Job
941350 94PO: Recode1009. spouse had job past 6 months
941351 94PO: Recode1010. spouse looking for job now
941352 94PO: Recode1011. spouse ever worked for pay

UNION MEMBERSHIP

941401 94PO: Anyone in labor union?
941402 94PO: Who belongs to labor union?

HOUSEHOLD INCOME

941403 94PO: Checkpoint: family members over 14 years old?
941404 94PO: Family income
941405 94PO: R's income
941406 94PO: Does R belong to social class?
941407 94PO: R's social class
941408 94PO: Is R average/upper middle/working social class?
941409 94PO: Social class- summary
941410 94PO: Is R close to middle/working class people?
941411 94PO: Checkpoint: cross section/panel

R'S ETHNIC GROUP IDENTITY

941412 94PO: R's ethnic group #1
941413 94PO: R's ethnic group #2
941414 94PO: Number of ethnic groups given
941415 94PO: Closest ethnic group
941416 94PO: Both parents born in U.S.?
941417 94PO: Checkpoint: Did R mention Hispanic
941418 94PO: Is R hispanic
941419 94PO: R type hispanic

R'S BACKGROUND

941420 94PO: Place R was born
941421 94PO: Place R grew up
941422 94PO: Where was R brought up?
941423 94PO: How long in community?
941424 94PO: R last community- city?
941425 94PO: R last community-state/country

R'S RESIDENCE AND HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION

941426 94PO: How long lived in residence?
941427 94PO: R own/pay rent?
941428 94PO: R have children?
941429 94PO: No. R's children under 6
941430 94PO: No. children under 6 living with R
941431 94PO: No. R's children 6-18 years
941432 94PO: No. children 6-18 living with R

POST INTERVIEW DESCRIPTION VARIABLES

941433 94PO: Time ending
941434 94PO: R's gender
941435 94PO: R's race
941436 94PO: Other person at interview
941437 94PO: R's level of cooperation
941438 94PO: R's level of information
941439 94PO: R's apparent intelligence
941440 94PO: R'S suspiciousness
941441 94PO: R's interest in interview
941442 94PO: R's sincerity
941443 94PO: Did R report income correctly?
941444 94PO: Estimate of R's family income
941445 94PO: Interview entirely in english
941446 94PO: Other language used
941447 94PO: #1 reaction to interview
941448 94PO: #2 reaction to interview
941449 94PO: #3 reaction to interview
941450 94PO: #4 reaction to interview
941451 94PO: #5 reaction to interview
941452 94PO: #6 reaction to interview
941453 94PO: #7 reaction to interview
941454 94PO: #8 reaction to interview
941455 94PO: #9 reaction to interview
941456 94PO: #10 reaction to interview
941457 94PO: #11 reaction to interview
941458 94PO: #12 reaction to interview

FEELING THERMOMETER PROBES
>> 1994 VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST: 1992 VARIABLES

**SAMPLING INFORMATION**

- 923004 1992 Pre-Election Study Case ID
- 923005 1992 Panel or Cross-Section Indicator
- 923006 1990 Post Election Study Case ID
- 923007 Panel Status For The 1991 Panel/Pilot Study
- 923008 Full Sample Weight
- 923009 Panel Only Weight
- 923010 Pre-Post Indicator: 1992 Election Study
- 923011 Pre-Election Form-Sample Indicator
- 923012 Primary Area Code (3 digits)
- 923013 PRIMARY AREA NAME

---

PRE-ELECTION INFORMATION

923023 Pre-Election Sample Releases and Replicates
923024 Pre-Election Sample Release -- Summary
923025 Pre-Election: Beginning Time (local)--Exact Time
923026 Pre-Election: Date of Interview: MONTH
923027 Pre-Election: Date Interview: Day
923028 Pre-Election: Interviewer's Interview Number
923029 Pre-Election: Interview Length in Minutes
923030 Pre-Election: Post-Edit Length, in Minutes
923031 Pre-Election: Mode of Interview: Telephone or Personal
923032 Pre-Election: Total Number of Calls to Obtain Interview
923033 Pre-Election: Result Code
923034 Reasons for using telephone questionnaire
923035 Was Name Obtained
923036 R's Address
923037 Address Different From Sample Label
923038 Phone Number Obtained
923039 Is Number Listed in the Phone Directory
923040 Is Phone Listed in R's Name
923041 Does R Have Other Residence
923042 Contact Information
923043 Reason for not Interviewing by Phone
923044 Type of Structure in Which R Lives
923045 Is Cooperation Needed to Gain Access to Housing Unit
923046 Instructions for Gaining Access to Housing Unit

R'S RESISTANCE TO INTERVIEW

923047 Refusal Conversion Indicator
923048 Persuasion Letter Requested
923049 Type of Incentive Sent to Selected Household
923050 Amount of Payment Offered to R
923051 Amount of Payment Made to R
923052 Did R Refuse Interview Initially
923053 Did R Break Any Appointment
923054 Reason for Resistance to Interview: Waste of Time
923055 Reason for Resistance to Interview: Very Ill
923056 Reason for Resistance to Interview: 'Too Busy'
923057 Reason for Resistance to Interview: Stressful Family Situation
923058 Reason for Resistance to Interview: Confidentiality
923059 Reason for Resistance to Interview: Invasion of Privacy
923060 Reason for Resistance to Interview: Other

GEOGRAPHIC VARIABLES
923061 FIPS State and County Codes
923062 Tract/Enumeration District Indicator
923063 FIPS 1980 SMSA Code
923064 FIPS 1990 CMSA Codes
923065 Size of Place of Interview - 1990
923066 Actual Population of Interview Location - 1990
923067 1990 Belt Code

SAMPLING VARIABLES

923068 Sampling Error Code - Combined Panel/Cross-Section
923069 Sampling Error Code - Panel Only
923070 Cross-Section: Number of Household Units
923071 Panel Only: R Found Not Living at Sample Label Address
923072 Cross-Section: Household Listing
923073 Cross-Section: Selection Table
923074 Cross-Section: Person Number Selected As R
923075 Cross-Section: Number of Persons in Household
923076 Cross Section: Number of Politically Eligible Adults in HH
923077 Cross Section: Household Composition Code
923078 Household Description for Panel and Cross-Section
923079 Cross-Section: Number of Children Under 6 Years Old in HH
923080 Cross-Section: Number of Children 6-9 Years Old in HH
923081 Cross-Section: Number of Children 10-13 Years Old in HH
923082 Cross-Section: Number of Children 14-17 Years Old in HH

INTERVIEWER VARIABLES

923083 Interviewer's ID Number
923084 Supervisor ID Number
923085 Interviewer's Race
923086 Interviewer's Ethnicity
923087 Interviewer's Age Bracketed
923088 Interviewer's Years of Experience
923089 Interviewer's Gender
923090 Interviewer's Education
923101 Interest in the Campaign

INTERVIEW QUESTIONS

923102 Prediction of Winner in Presidential Election
923103 Does R Think Presidential Race Will Be Close
923104 Which Presidential Candidate Does R Think Will Carry State
923105 Does R Think the Presidential Race Will Be Close in State
923106 Does R Care Which Party Wins the Presidential Election
923107 Did R Vote in 1988 Presidential Election
923108 Vote for President in 1988

BUSH AS CANDIDATE

923109 Is There Anything About Bush That Would Make R Vote
For Him
923110 Reasons Would Vote For Bush - First Mention
923111 Reasons Would Vote For Bush - Second Mention
923112 Reasons Would Vote For Bush - Third Mention
923113 Reasons Would Vote For Bush - Fourth Mention
923114 Reasons Would Vote For Bush - Fifth Mention
923115 Is There Anything About Bush That Would Make R Vote Against Him
923116 Reasons Would Vote Against Bush - First Mention
923117 Reasons Would Vote Against Bush - Second Mention
923118 Reasons Would Vote Against Bush - Third Mention
923119 Reasons Would Vote Against Bush - Fourth Mention
923120 Reasons Would Vote Against Bush - Fifth Mention
923121 Is There Anything About Clinton That Would Make R Vote For Him

CLINTON AS CANDIDATE
923122 Reasons Would Vote For Clinton - First Mention
923123 Reasons Would Vote For Clinton - Second Mention
923124 Reasons Would Vote For Clinton - Third Mention
923125 Reasons Would Vote For Clinton - Fourth Mention
923126 Reasons Would Vote For Clinton - Fifth Mention
923127 Is There Anything About Clinton That Would Make R Vote Against Him
923128 Reasons Would Vote Against Clinton - First Mention
923129 Reasons Would Vote Against Clinton - Second Mention
923130 Reasons Would Vote Against Clinton - Third Mention
923131 Reasons Would Vote Against Clinton - Fourth Mention
923132 Reasons Would Vote Against Clinton - Fifth Mention
923133 Is There Anything About Perot That Would Make R Vote For Him

PEROT AS CANDIDATE
923134 Reasons Would Vote For Perot - First Mention
923135 Reasons Would Vote For Perot - Second Mention
923136 Reasons Would Vote For Perot - Third Mention
923137 Reasons Would Vote For Perot - Fourth Mention
923138 Reasons Would Vote For Perot - Fifth Mention
923139 Is There Anything About Perot That Would Make R Vote Against Him
923140 Reasons Would Vote Against Perot - First Mention
923141 Reasons Would Vote Against Perot - Second Mention
923142 Reasons Would Vote Against Perot - Third Mention
923143 Reasons Would Vote Against Perot - Fourth Mention
923144 Reasons Would Vote Against Perot - Fifth Mention

R'S ATTENTION TO CAMPAIGN/MEDIA
923145 Satisfaction With Presidential Candidates
923201 How Often Did R Watch News on TV in the Past Week
923202 How Much Attention Did R Give to the Presidential Campaign News on TV
923203 How Often Did R Read a Daily Newspaper in the Past Week
923204 Did R Read About the Campaign in Any Newspaper
923205  How Much Attention Did R Give to Campaign News in the Newspaper
923206  Did R Read About the Campaign in Any Magazines
923207  How Much Attention Did R Give to the Campaign News in Magazines
923208  Did R Listen to Campaign Speeches or Discussions on the Radio
923209  How Many Campaign Speeches/Discussions Did R Listen to on the Radio
923210  Did R Listen/Watch Call-In Radio/TV Talk Shows
923211  Does R Recall Seeing Any Presidential Campaign Advertisements on TV
923212  Remembered About Campaign Ads on TV - First Mention
923213  Remembered About Campaign Ads on TV - Second Mention
923214  Remembered About Campaign Ads on TV - Third Mention
923215  Remembered About Campaign Ads on TV - Fourth Mention
923216  Remembered About Campaign Ads on TV - Fifth Mention

R'S PARTICIPATION IN PRIMARY/CAUCUS

923301  Did R Vote in Caucus/Primary Election
923302  Did R Vote in Republican or Democratic Primary/Caucus
923303  Which Republican Did R Vote For in State Primary/Caucus
923304  Which Democratic Did R Vote For in State Primary/Caucus

FEELING THERMOMETERS

923305  Feeling Thermometer - George Bush
923306  Feeling Thermometer - Bill Clinton
923307  Feeling Thermometer - Ross Perot
923308  Feeling Thermometer - Dan Quayle
923309  Feeling Thermometer - Albert Gore
923310  Feeling Thermometer - Anita Hill
923311  Feeling Thermometer - Tom Foley
923312  Feeling Thermometer - Barbara Bush
923313  Feeling Thermometer - Hillary Clinton
923314  Feeling Thermometer - Clarence Thomas
923315  Feeling Thermometer - Pat Buchanan
923316  Feeling Thermometer - Jesse Jackson
923317  Feeling Thermometer - Democratic Party
923318  Feeling Thermometer - Republican Party

R'S ASSESSMENT OF BUSH AS PRESIDENT (PRE-ELECTION)

923319  Approves/Disapproves of Bush's Handling of His Job as President
923320  How Strongly Approve/Disapprove of Bush's Handling of His Job
923321  Approves/Disapproves of Bush's Handling of Foreign Relations
923322  How Strongly Approve/Disapprove of Bush's Foreign Relations
923323  Approve/Disapprove of Bush's Handling of the Economy
923324  How Strongly Approve/Disapprove of Bush's Handling of...
Economy
923325 Approve/Disapprove of Bush's Handling of Persian Gulf Crisis
923326 How Strongly Approve/Disapprove of Bush's Handling of Gulf

R'S OPINION ABOUT CANDIDATES AND PARTIES

Candidate Best Able to Handle...
923327 ...The Nation's Economy
923328 ...Foreign Affairs
923329 ...Poverty
923330 ...Pollution and the Environment
923331 ...Health Care
923332 ...the Budget Deficit

R'S LIKES/DISLIKES ABOUT DEMOCRATIC PARTY
923401 Whether R Likes Anything About the Democratic Party
923402 Likes About the Democratic Party - First Mention
923403 Likes About the Democratic Party - Second Mention
923404 Likes About the Democratic Party - Third Mention
923405 Likes About the Democratic Party - Fourth Mention
923406 Likes About the Democratic Party - Fifth Mention
923407 Whether R Dislikes Anything About the Democratic Party
923408 Dislikes About the Democratic Party - First Mention
923409 Dislikes About the Democratic Party - Second Mention
923410 Dislikes About the Democratic Party - Third Mention
923411 Dislikes About the Democratic Party - Fourth Mention
923412 Dislikes About the Democratic Party - Fifth Mention

R'S LIKES/DISLIKES ABOUT REPUBLICAN PARTY
923413 Whether R Likes Anything About the Republican Party
923414 Likes About the Republican Party - First Mention
923415 Likes About the Republican Party - Second Mention
923416 Likes About the Republican Party - Third Mention
923417 Likes About the Republican Party - Fourth Mention
923418 Likes About the Republican Party - Fifth Mention
923419 Whether R Dislikes Anything About the Republican Party
923420 Dislikes About the Republican Party - First Mention
923421 Dislikes About the Republican Party - Second Mention
923422 Dislikes About the Republican Party - Third Mention
923423 Dislikes About the Republican Party - Fourth Mention
923424 Dislikes About the Republican Party - Fifth Mention

R'S PERSONAL FINANCIAL SITUATION
923425 Better/Worse Off Financially Than a Year Ago
923426 How Much Better/Worse Off Financially Than a Year Ago
923427 Better/Worse Off Financially a Year From Now
923428 Much or Somewhat Better/Worse Off a Year From Now
923429 Income Stayed At/Above/Below the Cost of Living
Income Gone Up/Fallen Behind the Cost of Living
Federal Economic Policy Has Affected R Financially
How Much Federal Economic Policy Has Affected R Financially
Been Able to Buy/Had to Put Off Buying Things During Past Year
Put Off Medical/Dental Treatment Due to Lack of Money
Borrow Money to Make Ends Meet
Dip Into Savings to Make Ends Meet
Look for Job, Work 2nd Job/More Hours to Make Ends Meet
Able to Save Any Money Over the Past Year
Fallen Behind in Rent/House Payments This Past Year

R HAS RECEIVED PAYMENTS

Receive Payments From Social Security
Receive Payments From Food Stamps
Receive Payments From Medicare
Receive Payments From Medicaid
Receive Payments From Unemployment Compensation
Receive Payments From AFDC
Receive Payments From Veterans Benefits
Receive Payments From Government Retirement Pensions
Receive Payments From Disability Payments
Receive Payments From Workman's Compensation
Presidential Candidate Most Likely to Raise Taxes

R'S FEELINGS ABOUT CANDIDATE: BUSH

Whether Bush Makes R Angry
Whether Bush Makes R Hopeful
Whether Bush Makes R Afraid
Whether Bush Makes R Proud

R'S FEELINGS ABOUT CANDIDATE: CLINTON

Whether Clinton Makes R Angry
Whether Clinton Makes R Hopeful
Whether Clinton Makes R Afraid
Whether Clinton Makes R Proud

IDEOLOGICAL PLACEMENT

Ideological Placement
Interviewer Checkpoint: Ideological Placement
Ideological Placement if Moderate/Middle of Road
Ideological Placement if DK/Haven't Thought Much
Summary: Ideological Placement
Ideological Placement - Bush
Ideological Placement - Clinton
Ideological Placement - Ross Perot
Ideological Placement - The Republican Party
Ideological Placement - The Democratic Party
Whether Political Candidates Should Display Higher Moral Standards
QUALITIES OF A TRUE AMERICAN

923520 Getting Ahead Through Own Effort
923521 Believing in God
923522 Treating People of All Races Equally
923523 Speaking English

R'S OPINION ON NATIONAL ISSUES/PROBLEMS

923524 Racial/Ethnic Groups Should Maintain Distinct Cultures
923525 Those Who Avoided Vietnam Should Have Served Despite Beliefs
923526 Things in This Country Are Going in the Right Direction
923527 Level of Unemployment Has Gotten Better/Worse in Past Year

R'S OPINION ON ECONOMIC CONDITIONS

923528 How Much Better/Worse is the Level of Unemployment
923529 Inflation Has Gotten Better/Worse in the Past Year
923530 How Much Better/Worse is Inflation
923531 Nation's Economy Has Gotten Better/Worse in Past Year
923532 How Much Better/Worse is the Nation's Economy
923533 Economy Has Gotten Better/Worse in Last Few Months
923534 How Much Better/Worse is Economy in Past Few Months
923535 Economy Has Gotten Better/Worse Compared to Four Years Ago
923536 How much Better/Worse is Economy Compared to Four Years Ago
923537 Economy Will Get Better/Worse in Next 12 Months
923538 America's Ability to Compete in World Economy Gotten Better/Worse
923539 How Much Better/Worse is America's Ability to Compete in World Economy
923540 Standard of Living Will be Better/Worse 20 Years From Now
923541 Federal Economic Policies Have Made Economy Better/Worse
923542 How Much Better/Worse Have Federal Economic Policies Made Economy
923543 Economic Conditions in State Have Gotten Better/Worse
923544 How Much Better/Worse Are Economic Conditions in State

R'S OPINION ON POLITICAL PARTIES
Which Party Would Do a Better Job...

923545 ...Handling Economy
923546 ...Handling Foreign Affairs
923547 ...Solving Problem of Poverty
923548 ...Making Health Care More Affordable
923549 ...Cut Social Security Benefits

TAXES AND THE DEFICIT
Which Party is More Likely to - Raise Taxes
Who is More to Blame for Federal Budget Deficit

R'S OPINION ON MILITARY ISSUES

Unites States' World Position Has Grown
Weaker/Stronger
Party Best Able to Keep United States Out of War
Should U.S. Maintain Military Power Through High Defense Spending
U.S. Should Not Concern Itself With Problems in Other Parts of World
How Willing Should U.S. be to Use Force to Solve Intl. Problems
How Worried is R About U.S. Getting into a Nuclear War
How Worried is R About U.S. Getting into Conventional War
Did U.S. Do the Right Thing in Sending Military Forces to Persian Gulf
Was One Party Was More in Favor of Military Force in Persian Gulf
Which Party Supported Use of Force in Persian Gulf

INCUMBENT'S SUPPORT OF PERSIAN GULF WAR

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: Candidate Named
Remembers How Incumbent Voted on Use of Force in Persian Gulf
Did Incumbent Vote For/Against Use of Force
Would R Have Guessed Incumbent Would Vote For/Against Use of Force
Approve/Disapprove of Bush's Handing of War in Persian Gulf
Approve/Disapprove of Bush's Handling of Gulf War

EFFECTS OF PERSIAN GULF WAR

Did Anything Good Come Out of the Persian Gulf War for the U.S.
Good Effects of the Persian Gulf War - First Mention
Good Effects of the Persian Gulf War - Second Mention
Good Effects of the Persian Gulf War - Third Mention
Good Effects of the Persian Gulf War - Fourth Mention
Good Effects of the Persian Gulf War - Fifth Mention
Did Anything Bad Come Out of the War for the U.S.
Besides Losing Lives
Bad Effects of Persian Gulf War - First Mention
Bad Effects of Persian Gulf War - Second Mention
Bad Effects of Persian Gulf War - Third Mention
Bad Effects of Persian Gulf War - Fourth Mention
Bad Effects of Persian Gulf War - Fifth Mention
Was the War Worth the Cost
Should the War Have Continued After Kuwait Was Liberated
PARTY IDENTIFICATION

923631 Party Identification
923632 Strength of Party Identification
923633 Is R Closer to Republican/Democratic Party
923634 Summary: Party Identification

QUALITIES DESCRIBING CANDIDATE: BUSH

923635 How Well Does "Intelligent" Describe Bush
923636 How Well Does "Compassionate" Describe Bush
923637 How Well Does "Moral" Describe Bush
923638 How Well Does "Inspiring" Describe Bush
923639 How Well Does "Provides Strong Leadership" Describe Bush
923640 How Well Does "Really Cares About People Like You" Describe Bush
923641 How Well Does "Knowledgeable" Describe Bush
923642 How Well Does "Honest" Describe Bush
923643 How Well Does "Gets Things Done" Describe Bush

QUALITIES DESCRIBING CANDIDATE: CLINTON

923644 How Well Does "Intelligent" Describe Clinton
923645 How Well Does "Compassionate" Describe Clinton
923646 How Well Does "Moral" Describe Clinton
923647 How Well Does "Inspiring" Describe Clinton
923648 How Well Does "Provides Strong Leadership" Describe Clinton
923649 How Well Does "Really Cares About People Like You" Describe Clinton
923650 How Well Does "Knowledgeable" Describe Clinton
923651 How Well Does "Honest" Describe Clinton
923652 How Well Does "Gets Things Done" Describe Clinton

GOVERNMENT SERVICES/SPENDING SCALES:

923701 Respondent
923702 George Bush
923703 Bill Clinton
923704 The Republican Party
923705 The Democratic Party
923706 The Federal Government

DEFENSE SPENDING SCALES:

923707 Respondent
923708 George Bush
923709 Bill Clinton
923710 The Republican Party
923711 The Democratic Party
923712 The Federal Government

HEALTH CARE AND HEALTH INSURANCE

Can R Afford Health Care
Does R Have Health Insurance
Satisfaction With Quality of Available Health Care
Should Gov't Insurance Plan Cover All Medical Expenses
Should Government Require Parental Leave

JOB ASSURANCE SCALES:

Respondent
George Bush
Bill Clinton
The Republican Party
The Democratic Party
The Federal Government

Governmental Support of Social and Economic Position of Blacks

INCREASE/DECREASE SPENDING ON FEDERAL BUDGET PROGRAMS
(See also Variables 3811-3819)

Increase/Decrease Federal Spending on Food Stamps
Increase/Decrease Federal Spending on Welfare
Increase/Decrease Federal Spending on AIDS Research
Increase/Decrease Federal Spending on Financial Aid For Students
Increase/Decrease Federal Spending on Programs That Assist Blacks
Increase/Decrease Federal Spending on Solving Problem of Homeless
Increase/Decrease Federal Spending on Programs That Assist Blacks

VIEWS ON ABORTION

Respondent's Position on Abortion
Respondent's View of Bush's Position on Abortion
Respondent's View of Clinton's Position on Abortion
Would Respondent Favor/Oppose Parental Consent Law for Teenage Abortions
How Strongly Does Respondent Favor/Oppose Parental Consent Law for Abortions
Would Respondent Favor/Oppose Government Funding for Abortions
How Strongly Does Respondent Favor/Oppose Government Funding for Abortions
Spousal Notification Law for Married Women Seeking Abortion
How Strongly Does Respondent Favor/Oppose Spousal Notification Law

VIEWS ON SEXUAL HARASSMENT

Respondent's View of Seriousness of Sexual Harassment in the Work Place
Has Respondent or Anyone Respondent Knows Been Subject to Sexual Harassment in Workplace
Status of Protection for Women From Sexual Harassment in Workplace
Is Respondent More Inclined to Believe the Woman/Man in Sexual Harassment

CONGRESSIONAL ISSUES AND PROBLEMS

Should Gov't Provide Child Care Assistance to Low/Mid Income Parents
Dealing With Urban Unrest/Rioting Scale - R
Does Respondent Favor/Oppose Term Limits For Congress
Has Respondent Heard/Read About Problems in Savings and Loan Business
Respondent's View of Who is to Blame for Problems of Savings and Loan Business
Women's Rights Scale - R
Does Respondent Favor/Oppose New Limits on Foreign Imports

RESPONDENTS PLANS FOR NOVEMBER ELECTION

Does Respondent Expect to Vote in November
Who Will Respondent Vote for in the Presidential Election
How Strong is Respondent's Preference for Presidential Candidate
If "No" in v 3804: Who Would Respondent Vote for in the Presidential Election
If "No" in v 3804: Strength of Respondent's Preference for President
INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: Respondent Volunteered Ross Perot in V 3807
Was Perot Ever Respondent's First Choice for President

INCREASE/DECREASE SPENDING ON FEDERAL BUDGET PROGRAMS
(See also Variables 3725-3731)

Increase/Decrease Spending on Social Security
Increase/Decrease Spending on Science and Technology
Increase/Decrease Spending on Child Care
Increase/Decrease Spending on Dealing with Crime
Increase/Decrease Spending on Improving and Protecting the Environment
Increase/Decrease Spending on Government Assistance to the Unemployed
Increase/Decrease Spending on Poor people
Increase/Decrease Spending on Public schools
Increase/Decrease Spending on Aid to Big Cities

R'S RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE AND PRACTICE

Is Religion an Important Part of Respondent's Life
Amount of Guidance in Daily Living Provided by Respondent's Religion
923822  How Often Does Respondent Pray
923823  How Often Does Respondent Read the Bible
923824  Respondent's View of the Bible
923825  How Often Does Respondent Watch/Listen to Religious Programs
923826  Does Respondent Attend Religious Services Apart From Weddings/Baptisms/Funerals
923827  Does Respondent Consider Self Part of a Particular Church or Denomination
923828  How Often Does Respondent Attend Religious Services
923829  Does Respondent Attend Religious Services More Than Once a Week

Denomination/Affiliation

923830  Does Respondent Consider Self Protestant/Roman Catholic/Jewish
923831  Respondent's Church/Denomination
923832  Respondent's Specific Denominational Affiliation - Baptist
923833  Is Respondent's Church Affiliated With Larger Baptist Group/Strictly Local
923834  Respondent's Specific Denominational Affiliation - Lutheran
923835  Respondent's Specific Denominational Affiliation - Methodist
923836  Respondent's Specific Denominational Affiliation - Presbyterian
923837  Respondent's Specific Denominational Affiliation - Reformed
923838  Respondent's Specific Denominational Affiliation - Brethren
923839  Respondent's Specific Denominational Affiliation - Christian
923840  Respondent's Specific Denominational Affiliation - Church/Churches of Christ
923841  Respondent's Specific Denominational Affiliation - Church of God
923842  Respondent's Specific Denominational Affiliation - Holiness/Pentecostal
923843  Respondent's Specific Denominational Affiliation - Other
923844  Is "Other" Group Mentioned in V3843 Christian
923845  If Jewish:  Is Respondent Orthodox/Conservative/Reform
923846  Description of Respondent's Kind of Christianity
923847  Is Respondent a Born-Again Christian
923848  Is Respondent Officially a Member of a Place of Worship
923849  Does Respondent Participate in Religious Group Outside of Place of Worship
923850  Respondent's Religious Affiliation - Summary

PERSONAL INFORMATION

923901  Respondent's Date of Birth - Month
923902  Respondent's Date of Birth - Year
923903  Respondent's Recoded Age
923904  Respondent's Marital Status
R'S EDUCATION

923905 Years of Education Completed - R
923906 Did Respondent Get High School Diploma/Pass Equivalency Test
923907 Highest Degree Earned - R
923908 Summary: R's Education

EDUCATION OF SPOUSE/PARTNER

923909 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: Respondent Married/Living with Partner
923910 Years of Education Completed - Respondent's Spouse/Partner
923911 Did Respondent's Spouse/Partner Get High School Diploma/Pass Equivalency Test
923912 Highest Degree Earned - Respondent's Spouse/Partner
923913 Summary: Spouse's Education

R'S OCCUPATION SECTION

923914 Respondent's Working Status
923915 Summary: Respondent's Working Status
923916 If Unemployed: Has Respondent Ever Worked for Pay
923917 If Retired: When Did Respondent Retire
923918 If Disabled: Has Respondent Ever Worked for Pay
923919 If Homemaker/Student: Is Respondent Doing Any Work for Pay at Present
923920 If Unemployed Homemaker/Student: Has Respondent Worked for Pay in Last 6 Months
923921 If Working/Temporarily Laid Off: Respondent's Occupation
923922 Collapsed 1980 Occupation Code
923923 Prestige Score
923924 Respondent's Industry/Business
923925 Is Respondent Self-Employed/Works for Someone Else
923926 Is Respondent Employed by Federal/State/Local Government
923927 Number of Hours Worked Per Week by R
923928 Is Respondent Satisfied with Number of Hours Worked
923929 How Worried is Respondent About Losing Job

OCCUPATION - R WORKING OR TEMPORARILY LAID OFF

923930 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: Respondent is Working/Temporarily Laid Off
923931 Was Respondent Out of Work/Laid Off During Last 6 Months
923932 Has Respondent Had Pay Cut/Reduction in Work Hours in Past 6 Months
923933 Respondent's Last Occupation - Collapsed Census Occupation Code
923934 Collapsed 1980 Occupation Code
923935 Prestige Score
923936 Respondent's Last Occupation - Census Industry Code
923937 Was Respondent Self-Employed/Worked for Someone Else
923938 Was Respondent Employed by Federal/State/Local Government
923939 Has Respondent Had a Job in the Past 6 Months
923940 Number of Hours Per Week Respondent Worked

OCCUPATION - R UNEMPLOYED, RETIRED OR DISABLED

923941 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: Employment Status
923942 Is Respondent Doing Any Work for Pay at the Present Time
923943 Is Respondent Looking for Work at the Present Time
923944 How Worried is Respondent About Not Being Able to Find a Job

R'S OCCUPATIONAL DATA - STACKED

923945 Respondent's Last Occupation - Census Occupation Code
923946 Respondent's Last Occupation - Collapsed 1980 Occupation Code
923947 Respondent's Last Occupation - Prestige Score
923948 Respondent's Last Occupation - Census Industry Code
923949 Was Respondent Self-Employed/Worked for Someone Else
923950 Was Respondent Employed by Federal/State/Local Government
923951 Number of Hours Respondent Worked in Average Week
923952 Is Respondent Looking for Work at the Present Time
923953 How Worried is Respondent About Not Being Able to Find a Job
923954 Respondent's Present/Last Occupation - Census Occupation Code
923955 Respondent's Current/Former Occupation - Collapsed Code
923956 Respondent's Current/Former/Occupation - Census Industry Code
923957 Was Respondent Self-Employed/Worked for Someone Else
923958 Is/Was Respondent Employed by Federal/State/Local Government
923959 Number of Hours Respondent Works/Worked on Job in Average Week
923960 How Worried is Respondent About Losing Job/Not Being able to Find a Job
923961 Has Respondent (If Unemployed/Disabled) Had a Job in the Last 6 Months
923962 Is Respondent (If Unemployed/Retired/Disabled) Looking for Work at Present Time
923963 Has Respondent (If Unemployed/Permanently Disabled) Ever Done Any Work For Pay

OCCUPATION OF SPOUSE/PARTNER

924001 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: Respondent's Marital Status
924002 Is Respondent's Spouse/Partner Working Now
924003 Summary: Working Status of Respondent's Spouse/Partner
924004 Has Spouse/Partner (If Unemployed) Ever Worked for Pay
When Did Respondent's Spouse/Partner Retire

Has Respondent's Spouse/Partner (If Disabled) Ever Done Any Work for Pay

Is Respondent's Spouse/Partner (If Homemaker/Student) Doing Any Work for Pay

Has Respondent's Spouse/Partner Done Any Work in Last 6 Months for Pay

Present Occupation of Respondent's Spouse/Partner - Census Occupation code

Collapsed 1980 Occupation Code - Respondent's Spouse/Partner

Prestige Score - Respondent's Spouse/Partner

Census Industry Code - Respondent's Spouse/Partner

Respondent's Spouse/Partner was Self-Employed/Worked for Someone Else

Is Respondent's Spouse/Partner Employed by Federal/State/Local Government

Number of Hours Worked by Respondent's Spouse/Partner in Average Week

Does Respondent's Spouse/Partner Work More/Fewer Hours Than He/She Wants

How Worried is Respondent's Spouse/Partner About Losing His/Her Job

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: Employment Status of Respondent's Spouse/Partner

Has Respondent's Spouse/Partner Been Out of Work/Laid Off in Last 6 Months

Has Respondent's Spouse/Partner Had Reduction in Hours/Pay in Last Six Months

Collapsed Census Occupation Code - Respondent's Spouse/Partner

Prestige Score - Respondent's Spouse/Partner

Census Industry Code - Respondent's Spouse/Partner

Respondent's Spouse/Partner Worked for Someone Else/Was Self-Employed

Was Respondent's Spouse/Partner Employed by Federal/State/Local Government

Has Respondent's Spouse/Partner had a Job in the Last 6 Months

Number of Works Worked By Respondent's Spouse/Partner in Average Week

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: Employment Status of Respondent's Spouse/Partner

Is Respondent's Spouse/Partner Doing Any Work for Pay as the Present Time

Is Respondent's Spouse/Partner Looking for Work at the Present Time

How Worried is Respondent's Spouse/Partner About Losing His/Her Job
Census Occupation Code - Respondent's Spouse/Partner
Collapsed Census Occupation Code - Respondent's Spouse/Partner
Prestige Score - Respondent's Spouse/Partner
Census Industry Code - Respondent's Spouse/Partner
Respondent's Spouse/Partner Worked for Someone Else/Was Unemployed
Was Respondent's Spouse/Partner Employed by Federal/State/Local Government
Number of Hours Worked by Respondent's Spouse/Partner in Average Week
Is Respondent's Spouse/Partner Looking for Work at the Present Time
How Worried is Respondent's Spouse/Partner About Not Being Able to find a Job

SPOUSE/PARTNER'S OCCUPATIONAL DATA - STACKED

Census Occupation Code - Respondent's Spouse/Partner
Collapsed Census Occupation Code - Respondent's Spouse/Partner
Census Industry Code - Respondent's Spouse/Partner
Respondent's Spouse/Partner Works/Worked for Someone Else or Is/Was Unemployed
Was Respondent's Spouse/Partner Employed by Federal/State/Local Government
Number of Hours Worked by Respondent's Spouse/Partner in Average Week
How Worried is Respondent's Spouse/Partner About Losing His/Her Job
Has Respondent's Spouse/Partner Had a Job in the Past 6 Months
Is Respondent's Spouse/Partner Looking For Work at the Present Time
Has Respondent's Spouse/Partner Ever Done Any Work For Pay

UNION MEMBERSHIP

Does Anyone in Respondent's Household Belong to a Labor Union
Who in Respondent's Household Belongs to a Labor Union

HOUSEHOLD INCOME

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: Respondent Only HH Member Age 14 or Older
Respondent's Family Income Before Taxes
Respondent's Income Before Taxes

SHORT FORM VARIABLES

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: Respondent Only HH
Member Age 14 or Older

924107  Respondent's Family Income Before Taxes
924108  Family Income Category
924109  Was Respondent's Income Before Taxes Above/Below $24,999
924110  Respondent's Income

R'S CLASS IDENTITY

924111  Does Respondent Think of Self as Belonging to a Social Class
924112  Does Respondent Think of Self as Middle Class or Working Class
924113  If Middle Class: Is Respondent Average/Upper Middle Class
924114  Summary: Respondent's Social Class
924115  Does Respondent Feel Closer to Middle/Working Class

R'S ETHNIC GROUP IDENTITY

924116  Respondent's Main Ethnic/Nationality Group (Other Than American) - 1st Mention
924117  Respondent's Main Ethnic/Nationality Group (Other Than American) - 2nd Mention
924118  INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: Number of Groups Mentioned
924119  Ethnic/National Group R Identifies Most Closely
924120  Both Parents Born in This Country
924121  INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: Hispanic Group Mentioned/Not Mentioned
924122  Is R of Spanish/Hispanic Origin/Descent
924123  Category Best Describing Hispanic Origin

R'S BACKGROUND

924124  Birthplace
924125  Where R Grew Up
924126  Occupation of Father - Collapsed Occupation Code
924127  Did Mother Have a Job
924128  Occupation of Mother - Collapsed Occupation Code
924129  Type of Community R Grew Up In
924130  How Long R Has Lived in Present City/Town/Township/County
924131  Where Lived Previously - City
924132  Where Lived Previously - State/Country
924133  Distance to Previous Residence

RESIDENCE AND HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION

924134  How Long R Has Lived at Current Residence
924135  Does R/Family Own/Rent Home
924136  Does R Have Any Children
924137 Number of Children Under Age 6
924138 Number of Children Under Age 6 Living With R
924139 Number of Children Between 6-18 Years Old
924140 Number of Children Between Age 6-18 Living With R
924141 Responsible for Raising Any Other Children
924142 How Many Additional Children Live With R
924143 Ending Time of Interview

CONDITIONS OF PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEW

924201 Sex
924202 Race
924203 Other Persons Present at Interview
924204 Cooperation
924205 Level of Information About Politics/Public Affairs
924206 Intelligence
924207 Level of Suspicion About the Study Before Interview
924208 Interest in the Interview
924209 Sincerity of Answers
924210 Did R Report Income Correctly
924211 Estimate of Family Income by Interviewer
924212 Interview in English/Translated into Another Language
924213 What Language Was Interview Translated Into
924214 Reaction to Interview - 1st Mention
924215 Reaction to Interview - 2nd Mention
924216 Reaction to Interview - 3rd Mention
924217 Reaction to Interview - 4th Mention
924218 Reaction to Interview - 5th Mention
924219 Reaction to Interview - 6th Mention
924220 Reaction to Interview - 7th Mention
924221 Reaction to Interview - 8th Mention
924222 Reaction to Interview - 9th Mention
924223 Reaction to Interview - 10th Mention

PROBE INDICATORS FOR PRE-ELECTION INTERVIEW

924224 George Bush
924225 Bill Clinton
924226 Ross Perot
924227 Dan Quayle
924228 Albert Gore
924229 Anita Hill
924230 Tom Foley
924231 Barbara Bush
924232 Hillary Clinton
924233 Clarence Thomas
924234 Pat Buchanan
924235 Jesse Jackson
924236 The Democratic Party
924237 The Republican Party

POST-ELECTION SURVEY

1992 POST-ELECTION INFORMATION

925001 Case ID Number
925002  Sample-Form Indicator
925003  Mode of Interview - Telephone/Personal
925004  Beginning Time of Interview
925005  Date of Interview - Month
925006  Date of Interview - Day
925007  Interviewer's Interview Number
925008  Interview Length in Minutes
925009  Length of Pre-Edit
925010  Length of Post-Edit in Minutes
925011  Total Number of Calls
925012  Result Code

SAMPLING INFORMATION

925013  Short/Panel-Form Only: Reasons for Using Short-Form
925014  Is R Living at Sample Address
925015  Recontact: Was Name Obtained
925016  Recontact: Interviewer Checkpoint: Address Obtained
925017  Recontact: If Address Different From Sample Label
925018  Recontact: Was Phone Number Obtained
925019  Recontact: Is Phone Listed in Current Directory
925020  Recontact: Is Phone Listed in Name
925021  Recontact: Does R Have Another Residence
925022  Recontact: Name/Phone of Contact Person for R
925023  Refusal Conversion Indicator
925024  Persuasion Letter Requested
925025  R Payment Offered - Amount
925026  R Payment Paid - Amount
925027  Contact Description: Did R Refuse Initially
925028  Contact Description: Did R Break Any Appointments

R'S RESISTANCE TO INTERVIEW

925029  Waste of Time
925030  Very Ill
925031  Too Busy
925032  Stressful Family Situation
925033  Confidentiality
925034  Invasion of Privacy
925035  Other Reason

INTERVIEWER INFORMATION

925036  Interviewer's ID Number
925037  Supervisor's ID Number
925038  Interviewer's Race
925039  Interviewer's Ethnicity
925040  Interviewer's Age Bracketed
925041  Interviewer's Yrs of Experience - Up to Sept 1, 1992
925042  Interviewer's Gender
925043  Interviewer's Education

GEOGRAPHIC VARIABLES

925101  ICPSR State/Congressional District - R Voting Outside Sample Address
925102  Interest in Political Campaigns
925103  Did R Watch Programs About Campaign on TV
925104 Number of Campaign Programs R Watched on TV
925105 Does R Ever Discuss Politics With Family/Friends
925106 How Often R Discusses Politics With Family/Friends
925107 Number of Days in Past Week That R Talked Politics With Family/Friends
925108 How Much R Cared About Outcome of U.S. House Elections
925109 Does R Remember Names of Candidates for U.S. House of Representatives

HOUSE CAMPAIGN

925110 Number of House Candidate - 1st Mention
925111 Party of House Candidate - 1st Mention
925112 Collapsed Code: House Candidate - 1st Mention
925113 Knowledge of Names/Parties: House Candidate - 1st Mention
925114 Number of House Candidate - 2nd Mention
925115 Party of House Candidate - 2nd Mention
925116 Collapsed Code: House Candidate - 2nd Mention
925117 Knowledge of Names/Parties: House Candidate - 2nd Mention
925118 Number of House Candidate - 3rd Mention
925119 Party of House Candidate - 3rd Mention
925120 Collapsed Code: House Candidate - 3rd Mention
925121 Knowledge of Names/Parties: House Candidate - 3rd Mention

SENATE CAMPAIGN

925201 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: U.S. Senate Race in State
925202 Does R Remember Names of Candidates for U.S. Senate
925203 Number of Senate Candidate - 1st Mention
925204 Party of Senate Candidate - 1st Mention
925205 Collapsed Code: Senate Candidate - 1st Mention
925206 Knowledge of Names/Parties: Senate Candidate - 1st Mention
925207 Number of Senate Candidate - 2nd Mention
925208 Party of Senate Candidate - 2nd Mention
925209 Collapsed Code: Senate Candidate - 2nd Mention
925210 Knowledge of Names/Parties: Senate Candidate - 2nd Mention
925211 Number of Senate Candidate - 3rd Mention
925212 Party of Senate Candidate - 3rd Mention
925213 Collapsed Code: Senate Candidate - 3rd Mention
925214 Knowledge of Names/Parties: Senate Candidate - 3rd Mention

CALIFORNIA SENATE RACE

925215 Does R Remember Names of Candidates in California Senate Race
925216 Number of Senate Candidate: California - 1st Mention
925217 Party of Senate Candidate: California - 1st Mention
FEELING THERMOMETERS: POLITICAL FIGURES AND GROUPS

925301 Feeling Thermometer - George Bush
925302 Feeling Thermometer - Bill Clinton
925303 Feeling Thermometer - Ross Perot
925304 Feeling Thermometer - Democratic U.S. Senate Candidate
925305 Feeling Thermometer - Republican U.S. Senate Candidate
925306 Feeling Thermometer - Democratic U.S. Senate Candidate, California
925307 Feeling Thermometer - Republican U.S. Senate Candidate, California
925308 Feeling Thermometer - Dem/Rep Senator: Term not up in state with race
925309 Feeling Thermometer - Senator #1
925310 Feeling Thermometer - Senator #2
925311 Feeling Thermometer - Democratic House Candidate
925312 Feeling Thermometer - Republican House Candidate
925313 Feeling Thermometer - Retiring Democratic Representative
925314 Feeling Thermometer - Third Party/Independent House Candidate
925315 Feeling Thermometer - James Stockdale

FEELING THERMOMETERS: GROUPS

925316 Feeling Thermometer - Labor Unions
925317 Feeling Thermometer - Feminists
925318 Feeling Thermometer - People On Welfare
925319 Feeling Thermometer - Conservatives
925320 Feeling Thermometer - Poor People
925321 Feeling Thermometer - Catholics
925322 Feeling Thermometer - Big Business
925323 Feeling Thermometer - Blacks
925324 Feeling Thermometer - The Women's Movement
925325 Feeling Thermometer - The Federal Government in Washington
925326 Feeling Thermometer - Liberals
925327 Feeling Thermometer - Hispanic-Americans
925328 Feeling Thermometer - The Military
925329 Feeling Thermometer - Environmentalists
925330 Feeling Thermometer - Lawyers
925331 Feeling Thermometer - Illegal Immigrants
925332 Feeling Thermometer - Southerners
925333 Feeling Thermometer - Whites
925334 Feeling Thermometer - Jews
925335 Feeling Thermometer - Gay Men and Lesbians
925336 Feeling Thermometer - Immigrants
925337 Feeling Thermometer - Congress
925338 Feeling Thermometer - Christian Fundamentalists
925339 Feeling Thermometer - Asian-Americans
925340 Feeling Thermometer - The Police

R'S LIKES/DISLIKES OF CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES

925401 Whether R Liked Anything About Democratic Candidate for U.S. House
925402 What R Likes About Democratic Candidate for U.S. House - 1st Mention
925403 What R Likes About Democratic Candidate for U.S. House - 2nd Mention
925404 What R Likes About Democratic Candidate for U.S. House - 3rd Mention
925405 What R Likes About Democratic Candidate for U.S. House - 4th Mention
925406 What R Likes About Democratic Candidate for U.S. House - 5th Mention

925407 Whether R Disliked Anything About Democratic Candidate for U.S. House
925408 What R Dislikes About Democratic Candidate for U.S. House - 1st Mention
925409 What R Dislikes About Democratic Candidate for U.S. House - 2nd Mention
925410 What R Dislikes About Democratic Candidate for U.S. House - 3rd Mention
925411 What R Dislikes About Democratic Candidate for U.S. House - 4th Mention
925412 What R Dislikes About Democratic Candidate for U.S. House - 5th Mention

925413 Whether R Liked Anything About Republican Candidate for U.S. House
925414 What R Likes About Republican Candidate for U.S. House - 1st Mention
925415 What R Likes About Republican Candidate for U.S. House - 2nd Mention
925416 What R Likes About Republican Candidate for U.S. House - 3rd Mention
925417 What R Likes About Republican Candidate for U.S. House - 4th Mention
925418 What R Likes About Republican Candidate for U.S. House - 5th Mention

925419 Whether R Disliked Republican Candidate for U.S. House
925420 What R Dislikes About Republican Candidate for U.S.
   House - 1st Mention
925421 What R Dislikes About Republican Candidate for U.S.
   House - 2nd Mention
925422 What R Dislikes About Republican Candidate for U.S.
   House - 3rd Mention
925423 What R Dislikes About Republican Candidate for U.S.
   House - 4th Mention
925424 What R Dislikes About Republican Candidate for U.S.
   House - 5th Mention

IMPORTANT ISSUES - HOUSE CAMPAIGN

925425 Most Important Issue - 1st Mention
925426 Most Important Issue - 2nd Mention
925427 Most Important Issue - 3rd Mention
925428 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: Whether R Mentioned Issues
925429 Most Important Issue to R in U.S. House Campaign
925430 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: Type of Race/Number of
   Candidates
925431 Did R Prefer One of the Candidates Because of This
   Issue
925432 Candidate R Preferred for U.S. House
925433 Party of U.S. House Candidate Named in V 5432
925434 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: Type of Race/Number of
   Candidates
925435 Whether Either U.S. House Candidate Was An Incumbent
925436 Candidate Number Code - U.S. House Incumbent
925437 Party of U.S. House Incumbent
925438 If Only 1 House Candidate: Was Candidate Incumbent
925439 If Only 1 House Candidate: Candidate Number Code
925440 Only 1 House Candidate: Party of Candidate
925501 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: Democratic Candidate

PERSONAL CONTACT WITH DEMOCRATIC CANDIDATES

925502 R Had Contact With Democratic House Candidate
925503 R Met Democratic House Candidate Personally
925504 R Attended Meeting Where Democratic House Candidate
   Spoke
925505 R Talked With U.S. House Candidate's Staff/Office
925506 R Received Mail from Democratic House Candidate
925507 R Read About Democratic House Candidate in
   Newspaper/Magazine
925508 R Heard Democratic House Candidate on Radio
925509 R Saw Democratic House Candidate on TV
925510 R Had Contact With Democratic House Candidate in Other
   Ways
925511 Does R Know Anyone Who Had Contact With Democratic
   House Candidate
PERSONAL CONTACT WITH REPUBLICAN CANDIDATES

925512 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: Republican Candidate
925513 R Had Contact With Republican House Candidate
925514 R Met Republican House Candidate Personally
925515 R Attended Meeting Where Republican House Candidate Spoke
925516 R Talked to Republican House Candidate's Staff/Office
925517 R Received Mail From Republican House Candidate
925518 R Read About Republican House Candidate in Newspaper/Magazine
925519 R Heard Republican House Candidate on Radio
925520 R Saw Republican House Candidate on TV
925521 R Had Contact With Republican House Candidate in Other Ways
925522 Does R Know Anyone Who Has Had Contact With Republican House Candidate

VOTING SECTION: VOTERS

925601 Did R Vote in Elections in November
925602 Was R Registered to Vote in November Election
925603 Is R Registered to Vote at Current Address
925604 County/State of Voter Registration
925605 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: R Voted/Did Not Vote
925606 R Voted In Person/By Absentee Ballot
925607 Where Did R Go to Vote in the November Election
925608 Did R Vote for a Candidate for President
925609 Who Did R Vote for in the Presidential Election
925610 Strength of Preference for Presidential Candidate
925611 How Long Before Election Did R Decide How to Vote

PRESIDENTIAL VOTE

925612 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: Presidential Vote

Voted for George Bush:

925613 Did R Ever Think of Voting for Clinton/Perot
925614 Which Candidate (Clinton/Perot) Did R Think of Voting for

Voted for Bill Clinton:

925615 Did R Ever Think of Voting for Bush/Perot
925616 Which Candidate (Bush/Perot) Did R Think of Voting for

Voted for Ross Perot:

925617 Did R Ever Think of Voting for Clinton/Bush
925618 Which Candidate (Clinton/Bush) Did R Think of Voting for
925619 Consideration of Other Candidates - Summary

925620 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: VOTING RESPONDENT; Registered In/Outside State of IW
Did R Vote For U.S. House Candidate
Who R Voted For in U.S. House Election
Candidate Named - U.S. House of Representatives
Strength of Preference for U.S. House Candidate

STATE SENATE RACES
INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: Senate Race in State

Did R Vote for U.S. Senate Candidate
Who R Voted For in U.S. Senate Election
Candidate Named - U.S. Senate
U.S. Senate Candidate R Voted For
Candidate Named - U.S. Senate, California
2nd U.S. Senate Candidate R Voted For - California
2nd Candidate Named - U.S. Senate, California
Did R Prefer One Candidate for President
Presidential Candidate R Preferred
Strength of Preference for Presidential Candidate

Did R Prefer One Candidate for U.S. House
U.S. House Candidate Preferred by R
Candidate Named - U.S. House

SPOUSE/PARTNER VOTED
INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: Martial Status
Did Spouse/Partner Vote in November Election

CONTACT WITH U.S. HOUSE INCUMBENT
Did R/Family Contact U.S. House Incumbent/Office
Reason for Contact With House Incumbent - Express Opinion
Reason for Contact With House Incumbent - Seek Information
Reason for Contact With House Incumbent - Seek Help On a Problem
Did R Get Response From House Incumbent/Office
Level of Satisfaction With Response From House Incumbent
Does R Know Anyone Else Who Contacted House Incumbent/Office
Did Those Who Contacted House Incumbent Get a Response
Level of Satisfaction of Those Who Contacted House Incumbent
Approval Rating of House Incumbent
Strength of Approval Rating of House Incumbent
Helpfulness of House With Another Problem
Anything Special Done by House Incumbent for District
Is House Incumbent Keeping in Touch with R's District
Has R Heard/Read About U.S. Representatives Writing Bad Checks
Opinion on Bad Checks Written by U.S. Representatives
PROBLEMS WITH THE HOUSE BANK

925717 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: Candidate List Includes House Incumbent

925718 Did House Incumbent Write Any Bad Checks
925719 Did House Incumbent Write A Lot/A Few Bad Checks
925720 Does R Think That Reps Who Wrote Bad Checks Broke Any Laws

IMPORTANT NATIONAL PROBLEMS

925721 How Often R Follows Government/Public Affairs
925722 Most Important Problem - 1st Mention
925723 Most Important Problem - 2nd Mention
925724 Most Important Problem - 3rd Mention

925725 INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: Important Problem

925726 The Single Most Important Problem the Country Faces
925727 How Good A Job Government is Doing With This Problem
925728 Political Party Most Likely to Get Gov't to Do Better Job on Problem

R'S OPINION ON VARIOUS POLITICAL ISSUES
(See also Variables 5922-5938)

925729 Opinion on Less/More Government
925730 Government/Free Market Should Handle Economic Problems
925731 Reason Government Has Become Bigger Over the Years
925732 Better When One Party Controls Both Presidency and Congress

PARTY CONTACTS WITH R DURING THE CAMPAIGN

925801 Political Party Contact R to Talk About Campaign
925802 Which Party Contacted R to Talk About Campaign
925803 Anyone Else Contact R About Supporting Specific Candidates
925804 Which Candidate Was R Asked to Support - 1st Mention
925805 Which Candidate Was R Asked to Support - 2nd Mention
925806 Which Candidate Was R Asked to Support - 3rd Mention

R'S POLITICAL ACTIVITIES

925807 Did R Try to Influence Someone Else's Vote
925808 Was R Contacted in Order to Influence His/Her Vote
925809 Did R Wear Button/Use Car Sticker/Place Sign in Window During Campaign
925810 Did R Attend Political Meetings/Rallies to Support a Candidate
925811 Was R Invited to Political Rallies/Meetings to Support a Candidate
925812 Did R Do Any Other Work for One of the Parties/Candidates
925813 Was R Asked to do Work for Candidate/Party
R'S POLITICAL CONTRIBUTIONS

925814  Did R Use $ 1 Political Contribution Option on Federal Tax Return
925815  Did R Give Money to a Candidate Running for Public Office
925816  Party of Candidate to Whom R Made Contribution
925817  Did R Give money to a Political Party During Election Year
925818  Political Party to Which R Gave Money
925819  Did R Give Money to Other Group That Supported/Opposed Candidates
925820  Did Anyone Talk to R About Registering to Vote/Voting
925821  Did R Receive Requests Through Mail for Political Contributions
925822  How Many Mail Requests for Political Contributions Did R Receive
925823  Did R Contribute Because of Mail Received
925824  Did R Receive Telephone Requests for Political Contributions
925825  How Many Telephone Requests for Political Contributions Did R Receive
925826  Did R Contribute Because of Telephone Calls
925827  Was R Contacted in Person for Political Contributions
925828  Did R Receive Quite a Few Personal Contacts for Contributions
925829  Did R Contribute Because of Personal Contacts

PARTY DIFFERENCES

925901  Does R See Important Differences Between Parties
925902  Important Differences: Party Reference - 1st Mention
925903  Party Difference Content Code - 1st Mention
925904  Important Differences: Party Reference - 2nd Mention
925905  Party Difference Content Code - 2nd Mention
925906  Important Differences: Party Reference - 3rd Mention
925907  Party Difference Content Code - 3rd Mention
925908  Important Differences: Party Reference - 4th Mention
925909  Party Difference Content Code - 4th Mention
925910  Important Differences: Party Reference - 5th Mention
925911  Party Difference Content Code - 5th Mention
925912  Important Differences: Party Reference - 6th Mention
925913  Party Difference Content Code - 6th Mention
925914  Whether One Party is More Conservative at the National Level
925915  Party R Thinks is More Conservative

POLITICAL KNOWLEDGE

925916  Job/Political Office Held by Dan Quayle
925917  Job/Political Office Held by William Rehnquist
925918  Job/Political Office Held by Boris Yeltsin
925919  Job/Political Office Held by Tom Foley
925920  Who Has Final Responsibility to Decide
         Constitutionality of Law
925921  Who Nominates Judges to the Federal Courts
OPINIONS ON VARIOUS SOCIAL/POLITICAL ISSUES
(See also Variables 5729-5732)

925922  Is R Willing to Pay More Tax to Increase Gov't. Spending

HOMOSEXUALS

925923  Does R Favor/Oppose Laws Against Job Discrimination of Homosexuals
925924  Strength of Favor/Opposition to Homosexual Job Discrimination Laws
925925  Should Homosexuals Be Allowed to Serve in U.S. Armed Forces
925926  Strength of Opinion on Homosexuals Serving in U.S. Armed Forces
925927  Should Homosexual Couples Be Legally Permitted to Adopt Children
925928  Strength of Opinion on Homosexual Couples Adopting Children

CIVIL RIGHTS

925929  Are Civil Rights Leaders Pushing Too Fast/Going Too Slowly/About Right
925930  Amount of Change in Position of Black People in Past Few Years
925931  Does R Favor One Side in Debate Re: Gov't. Integration of Schools
925932  Opinion on Gov't. Integration of Schools

DEATH PENALTY

925933  Does R Favor/Oppose Death Penalty For Persons Convicted of Murder
925934  Strength of Favor/Opposition to Death Penalty for Murder

AFFIRMATIVE ACTION

925935  Is R For/Against Preferential Hiring/Promotion of Blacks
925936  Strength of Opinion on Preferential Hiring/Promotion of Blacks
925937  Does R Take a Side Re: Gov't. Ensuring Fair Treatment
925938  Should Gov't. See to it That Blacks Get Fair Treatment

925939  INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: Panel/Cross-Section R

IMPORTANCE OF FOREIGN POLICY GOALS:

925940  Securing Adequate Energy Supplies
925941  Preventing Spread of Nuclear Weapons
925942  Reducing Environmental Pollution
925943  Protecting Weaker Nations
925944  Bringing Democracy to Other Nations

SCHOOL PRAYER
925945 Opinion on Issue of School Prayer
925946 Strength of Opinion on School Prayer

QUOTAS

925947 Is R For/Against Quotas to Admit Black Students
925948 Strength of Opinion on Quotas

VIEWS OF CONGRESS

925949 Approval Rating of U.S. Congress
925950 Strength of Approval/Disapproval of U.S. Congress
925951 Which Party Had Most Members in House Before Election
925952 Which Party Had Most Members in Senate Before Election

WOMEN'S ISSUES

926001 Attention R Pays to Women's Issues in the News
926002 Does R Think of Self as a Feminist
926003 Is R a Strong Feminist
926004 Best Way for Women to Improve Their Position
926005 Sense of Pride in the Accomplishments of Women
926006 Angry About the Way Women are Treated in Society
926007 Power and Influence of Women Compared to Men
926008 Power and Influence Women Ought to Have Compared to Men
926009 Women's Power/Influence Compared to Men
926010 Power/Influence of Men and Women in Most Families
926011 Men or Women Should Have More Power/Influence in Most Families
926012 How Strongly R Feels About Men's/Women's Power/Influence in Families

NATIONAL GOALS

926013 Most Desirable Goal for a Nation
926014 Second Choice for Most Desirable National Goal
926015 Power of the Government in Washington
926016 Gov't. is Getting Too Powerful/Not Getting Too Strong
926017 Gov't. Should Become More Powerful/Stay the Way it is
926018 Party Most Likely to Favor a Powerful Government in Washington

DESIRABLE QUALITIES FOR CHILDREN

926019 Independence or Respect for Others
926020 Obedience or Self-Reliance
926021 Curiosity or Good Manners
926022 Being Considerate or Well Behaved
926023 Gov't. Funds to Support Public/Private/Parochial Schools

R AGREES/DISAGREES:

926024 Society Should Ensure Equal Opportunity to Succeed
926025 Gone Too Far in Pushing Equal Rights in U.S.
U.S. Better Off if Worried Less About Equality
Not Problem if Some Have More of a Chance in Life
Fewer Problems in U.S. if People Treated Equally
Big Problem in U.S. With Not Giving Equal Chances
Should not Vote if You Don't Care About Outcome
People Like R Have No Say About What Gov't. Does
Public Officials Don't Care What R Thinks
Politics/Government Too Complicated to Understand
Understands Important Political Issues Facing U.S.
Well-Qualified to Participate in Politics
Could Do as Good a Job in Public Office as Others
Better Informed About Politics/Gov't. Than Most

R's Definition of Liberal and Conservative
What "Liberal" Means to R - 1st Mention
What "Liberal" Means to R - 2nd Mention
What "Liberal" Means to R - 3rd Mention
What "Conservative" Means to R - 1st Mention
What "Conservative" Means to R - 2nd Mention
What "Conservative" Means to R - 3rd Mention

R Agrees/Disagrees:
Adjust Morals to Changing World
Tolerate Different Moral Standards
Fewer Problems in U.S. if Emphasis on Family Ties
New Lifestyles Contribute to Breakdown of Society
Sex With Someone Other Than Spouse Always Wrong
Much of the Time Gov't. Can Be Trusted to Do What is Right
Does Government Waste Tax Money
Gov't. Run by Big Interests or For Benefit of all People
Many of the People Running the Government are Crooked
Do Elections Make Gov't. Pay Attention to What People Think
Amount of Attention Gov't. Pays to What People Think When Deciding

R Agrees/Disagrees:
Blacks Should Work Way Up Without Special Favors
Blacks Have Gotten Less Than They Deserve
If Blacks Tried Harder Could Be Well Off as Whites
Difficult for Blacks to Work Out of Lower Class

Patriotism
How Does R Feel When He/She Sees the American Flag Flying
How Strong is Love for Country

Social Security and Medicare Benefits
926132 Are Social Security Benefits Too Low/About Right/Too High
926133 Are Social Security Benefits Much Too Low/High, Somewhat Too Low/High
926134 Does R Favor/Oppose Taxes on Social Security Benefits
926135 Strength of Favor/Opposition Re: Taxing Social Security Benefits
926136 Does R Favor/Oppose Expanding Medicare to Pay for Nursing Home Care
926137 Does R Favor/Oppose Expanding Medicare Strongly/Not so Strongly

VOLUNTEERISM AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

926138 Was R Able to Devote Any Time to Volunteer Work in Last 12 Months
926139 Would R Say Most People Can be Trusted or You Can't be Too Careful
926140 Would R Say People Are Helpful or That They Look Out For Themselves
926141 Does R Have Neighbors That He/She Knows and Talks to Regularly
926142 How Many Neighbors Does R Talk to Regularly
926143 Would R be Happy to Serve/Rather Not Serve on a Jury
926144 Has R Worked on Some Community Problem in Last 12 Months
926145 Was R Able to Contribute Money to Church/Charity in Last 12 Months

ECONOMIC PROJECTIONS

926146 Will R Be Better/Worse Off/Same Financially 1 Year From Now
926147 Will R Be Much/Somewhat Better/Worse Off Financially 1 Year From Now
926148 Does R Think National Economy Will Get Better/Worse/Stay Same
926149 Will National Economy Be Much/Somewhat Better/Worse
926150 Will What R Pays in Taxes Over Next Year Go Up/Down/Stay Same
926151 Will What R Pays in Taxes Go Up a Lot/a Little
926152 Does R Think There Will Be More/Less/Same Unemployment in 12 Months

GROUP IDENTIFICATION

926201 Group R Feels Close To - Poor People
926202 Group R Feels Close To - Asian Americans
926203 Group R Feels Close To - Liberals
926204 Group R Feels Close To - The Elderly
926205 Group R Feels Close To - Blacks
926206 Group R Feels Close To - Labor Unions
926207 Group R Feels Close To - Feminists
926208 Group R Feels Close To - Southerners
926209 Group R Feels Close To - Business People
926210 Group R Feels Close To - Young People
926211 Group R Feels Close To - Conservatives
Group R Feels Close To - Hispanic-Americans
Group R Feels Close To - Women
Group R Feels Close To - Working-Class People
Group R Feels Close To - Whites
Group R Feels Close To - Middle-Class People

INTERVIEWER CHECKPOINT: Mention of Group

Single Group R Feels Closest to
Organization/Activity Representing Interests of Group(1)
Organization/Activity Representing Interests of Group(2)

WORK ETHIC SCALES
Work Ethic Scale - Whites
Work Ethic Scale - Blacks
Work Ethic Scale - Asian Americans
Work Ethic Scale - Hispanic Americans

INTELLIGENCE SCALES
Intelligence Scale - Whites
Intelligence Scale - Blacks
Intelligence Scale - Asian Americans
Intelligence Scale - Hispanic Americans

DISPOSITION SCALES
Disposition Scale - Whites
Disposition Scale - Blacks
Disposition Scale - Asian Americans
Disposition Scale - Hispanic Americans

ENGLISH AS OFFICIAL LANGUAGE OF U.S.
Does R Favor/Oppose Law Making English Official Language of U.S.
How to Teach Children Who Don't Speak English When Entering School
Foreign Immigrants Permitted to U.S. Increase/Decrease

EFFECT OF HISPANICS ON U.S.
Improve Culture With New Ideas/Customs
Higher Taxes Due to Demand for Services
Jobs Taken Away From People Already Here
Improve Culture With New Ideas/Customs
Higher Taxes Due to Demand for Services
Take Away Jobs From People Already Here

Should Foreign Immigrants Be Immediately Eligible for Gov't. Services

PARTY IDENTIFICATION OF R'S PARENTS

Was R's Father/Stepfather Democrat/Republican/Independent/Other
Was R's Mother/Stepmother Democrat/Republican/Independent/Other
POST INTERVIEW DESCRIPTION VARIABLES

926245  Ending Time of Interview
926246  Sex
926247  Race
926248  Other Persons Present at Interview
926249  Cooperation
926250  General Level of Information About Politics/Public Affairs
926251  Was Interview Conducted Entirely in English
926252  Language in Which Interview Was Conducted Other Than English

PROBE INDICATORS:

926301  George Bush
926302  Bill Clinton
926303  Ross Perot
926304  NAME # 11, 13 or 15: Democratic Senate Candidate
926305  Name # 12, 14 or 16: Republican Senate Candidate
926306  Name # 11a: California Democratic Senate Candidate
926307  Name # 14a: California Republican Senate Candidate
926308  Name # 19, 29: Democratic/Republican Senator
926309  Name, Senator #1: Probe Indicator
926310  Name, Senator #2: Probe Indicator
926311  Name #31, 33 or 35: Democratic House Candidate
926312  Name #32, 34 or 36: Republican House Candidate
926313  Name #41, 42: Democratic/Republican Rep. Retiring
926314  Name #30: 3rd Party/Independent House Candidate
926315  James Stockdale

>> 1994 VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST: 1993 VARIABLES

SAMPLING INFORMATION

927000  93PIL: Incumbent candidate R's Representative in last Congress
937001  93PIL: 1993 Pilot Study case ID
937003  93PIL: Form assignment
937004  93PIL: Had R moved since 1992
937005  93PIL: ICPSR state code
937006  93PIL: 1992 congressional district number
937007  93PIL: Had R changed Congressional district since 1992
937008  93PIL: Flag to skip Q.G3b(A) and Q.G9b(A)
937009  93PIL: Month of R's birth
937010  93PIL: Year of R's birth
937011  93PIL: R's address

INTERVIEW/ER INFORMATION

937012  93PIL: Interviewer's ID number
937013  93PIL: Year of interview
937014  93PIL: Month of interview
937015  93PIL: Day of interview
937016  93PIL: Interview length in minutes
937017  93PIL: Number of calls to obtain interview
937018  93PIL: Result code
937019  93PIL: Refusal conversion
ASSESSMENT OF CLINTON PRESIDENCY

937101 93PIL: R approves/disapproves of Clinton's handling of presidency
937102 93PIL: Strength of R's approval of Clinton's handling of presidency
937103 93PIL: Strength of R's Disapproval of Clinton's handling of presidency
937104 93PIL: Summary: Clinton presidential approval
937105 93PIL: R approves/disapproves of Clinton's handling of economy
937106 93PIL: Strength of R's approval of Clinton's handling of economy
937107 93PIL: Strength of R's Disapproval of Clinton's handling of economy
937108 93PIL: Summary: approval of Clinton's handling of economy
937109 93PIL: R approves/disapproves of Clinton's handling of foreign relations
937110 93PIL: Strength of R's approval of Clinton's handling of foreign relations
937111 93PIL: Strength of R's Disapproval of Clinton's handling of foreign relations
937112 93PIL: Summary: approval of Clinton's handling of foreign relations
937113 93PIL: R approves/disapproves of Clinton's handling of homosexuals in military
937114 93PIL: Strength of R's approval of Clinton's handling of homosexuals in military
937115 93PIL: Strength of R's Disapproval of Clinton's handling of homosexuals in military
937116 93PIL: Summary: approval of Clinton's handling of gays and lesbians in military
937117 93PIL: R approves/disapproves of Clinton's handling of Bosnia and former Yugoslavia
937118 93PIL: Strength of R's approval of Clinton's handling of Bosnia and former Yugoslavia
937119 93PIL: Strength of R's Disapproval of Clinton's handling of Bosnia and former Yugoslavia
937120 93PIL: Summary: approval of Clinton's handling of Bosnia

HOUSE CAMPAIGN

937121 93PIL: Does R remember names of candidates for U.S. House
937122 93PIL: Name of candidate for U.S. House (1st mention)
937123 93PIL: Party of candidate for U.S. House (1st mention)
937124 93PIL: Collapsed code for U.S. House candidate (1st mention)
937125 93PIL: R's knowledge of House candidate's name and party (1st mention)
FEELING THERMOMETER

937130 93PIL: Feeling thermometer - Bill Clinton
937131 93PIL: Feeling thermometer - Ross Perot
937132 93PIL: Feeling thermometer - Incumbent Senator 1
937133 93PIL: Feeling thermometer - Incumbent Senator 2
937134 93PIL: Feeling thermometer - Senate candidate who lost in 1992
937135 93PIL: Feeling thermometer - Second candidate who lost in California
937136 93PIL: Feeling thermometer - House incumbent
937137 93PIL: Does R recall job/office of House incumbent
937138 93PIL: Feeling thermometer - Hillary Clinton
937139 93PIL: Feeling thermometer - Rush Limbaugh
937140 93PIL: Feeling thermometer - Don Young
937141 93PIL: Feeling thermometer - the Democratic Party
937142 93PIL: Feeling thermometer - the Republican Party
937143 93PIL: Feeling thermometer - people who call themselves independents
937144 93PIL: Feeling thermometer - political parties in general
937145 93PIL: Feeling thermometer - gay men and lesbians, i.e., homosexuals
937146 93PIL: Feeling thermometer - the military
937147 93PIL: Feeling thermometer - the wealthy
937148 93PIL: Feeling thermometer - the middle class
937149 93PIL: Feeling thermometer - United We Stand America
937150 93PIL: Does R know who founded United We Stand America
937151 93PIL: Was special DK probe used with Q.B2a (Bill Clinton thermometer)
937152 93PIL: Was special DK probe used with Q.B2b (Ross Perot thermometer)
937153 93PIL: Was special DK probe used with Q.B2c (Incumbent Senator 1 thermometer)
937154 93PIL: Was special DK probe used with Q.B2d (Incumbent Senator 2 thermometer)
937155 93PIL: Was special DK probe used with Q.B2e (Senate candidate who lost in 1992 thermometer)
937156 93PIL: Was special DK probe used with Q.B2f (2nd candidate who lost in California thermometer)
937157 93PIL: Was special DK probe used with Q.B2g (House incumbent thermometer)
937158 93PIL: Was special DK probe used with Q.B2h (Hillary Clinton thermometer)
937159 93PIL: Was special DK probe used with Q.B2i (Rush Limbaugh thermometer)
937160 93PIL: Was special DK probe used with Q.B2j (Don Young thermometer)
937161 93PIL: R's vote choice for President at time of interview

LIKES/DISLIKES RE: U.S. REPRESENTATIVE
937162 93PIL: Is there anything R likes about U.S. Representative
937163 93PIL: What R likes about U.S. Representative - 1st mention
937164 93PIL: What R likes about U.S. Representative - 2nd mention
937165 93PIL: What R likes about U.S. Representative - 3rd mention
937166 93PIL: What R likes about U.S. Representative - 4th mention
937167 93PIL: What R likes about U.S. Representative - 5th mention
937168 93PIL: Is there anything R dislikes about U.S. Representative
937169 93PIL: What R dislikes about U.S. Representative - 1st mention
937170 93PIL: What R dislikes about U.S. Representative - 2nd mention
937171 93PIL: What R dislikes about U.S. Representative - 3rd mention
937172 93PIL: What R dislikes about U.S. Representative - 4th mention
937173 93PIL: What R dislikes about U.S. Representative - 5th mention

CONTACT WITH U.S. REPRESENTATIVE
937174 93PIL: Did R meet Representative personally
937175 93PIL: Did R attend meeting/gathering where Representative spoke
937176 93PIL: Did R talk with Representative's staff/office
937177 93PIL: Did R receive mail from Representative
937178 93PIL: Did R read about Representative in newspaper/magazine
937179 93PIL: Did R hear about Representative on radio
937180 93PIL: Did R see Representative on TV
937181 93PIL: Did R have any other contact with Representative
937182 93PIL: Type of contact R had with Representative
937183 93PIL: Does R know anyone who has had contact with Representative

VOTING BEHAVIOR
937184 93PIL: Did R vote in the election this last November (Forms 1,3)
937185 93PIL: Did R vote for Democratic or Republican candidate in election for House of Representatives (Forms 1,3)

PURPOSE OF R's CONTACT WITH REPRESENTATIVE
937186 93PIL: Did R or R's family contact Representative
937187 93PIL: Was contact made with Representative to express an opinion
937188 93PIL: Was contact made with Representative to seek information
937189 93PIL: Was contact made with Representative to seek help with problem
937190 93PIL: Does R know anyone else who contacted

Representative

APPROVAL/DISAPPROVAL OF REPRESENTATIVE

937191 93PIL: R approves/disapproves of Representative's handling of job
937192 93PIL: Strength of R's approval of Representative's handling of job
937193 93PIL: Strength of R's disapproval of Representative's handling of job
937194 93PIL: Summary: Representative approval

REPRESENTATIVE'S SUPPORT FOR CLINTON

937195 93PIL: How often has R's Representative supported Clinton's proposals
937196 93PIL: Representative almost always supported Clinton's proposals
937197 93PIL: Representative almost never supported Clinton's proposals
937198 93PIL: Summary: Representative's support for Clinton
937199 93PIL: R's guess re: frequency of Representative's support for Clinton
937200 93PIL: Did R's Representative vote for Clinton's deficit reduction plan
937201 93PIL: How R's Representative voted for Clinton's deficit reduction plan
937202 93PIL: R's guess re: how representative voted for Clinton's deficit plan
937203 93PIL: How well R's Representative stays in touch with district

POLITICAL RULER: LIBERAL TO CONSERVATIVE

937204 93PIL: R's Self-placement on political ruler (Forms 1,2)
937205 93PIL: Probe of R's self-placement on political ruler (Forms 1,2)
937206 93PIL: Revised self-placement if response to Q.Dla was incorrect (Forms 1,2)
937207 93PIL: Does R consider him/herself a liberal or conservative (Forms 1,2)
937208 93PIL: R's certainty re: liberal/conservative orientation (Forms 1,2)
937209 93PIL: R's placement of Bill Clinton on political ruler (Forms 1,2)
937210 93PIL: Does R consider Bill Clinton a liberal or conservative (Forms 1,2)
937211 93PIL: R's certainty re: Clinton's position on political ruler (Forms 1,2)
937212 93PIL: R's placement of Bill Clinton on political ruler (Forms 3,4)
937213 93PIL: R's certainty re: Clinton's position on political ruler (Forms 3,4)
937214 93PIL: R's placement of Bill Clinton on political ruler (Forms 3,4)
937215 93PIL: Does R consider Bill Clinton a liberal or conservative (Forms 3,4)
937216 93PIL: R's certainty re: Clinton's position on political ruler (Forms 3,4)
937217 93PIL: R's Self-placement on political ruler (Forms 923,4)

937218 93PIL: Does R consider him/herself a liberal or conservative (Forms 3,4)

937219 93PIL: R's certainty re: liberal/conservative orientation (Forms 3,4)

937220 93PIL: R's placement of Ross Perot on political ruler

937221 93PIL: R's certainty re: Perot's position on political ruler

937222 93PIL: R's placement of House incumbent on political ruler

937223 93PIL: R's certainty re: House incumbent's position on political ruler

937224 93PIL: R's placement of Republican Party on political ruler

937225 93PIL: R's placement of Democratic Party on political ruler

QUALITIES DESCRIBING CANDIDATES: CLINTON AND PEROT

937226 93PIL: How well does "honest" describe Bill Clinton

937227 93PIL: How well does "provides strong leadership" describe Bill Clinton

937228 93PIL: How well does "really cares about people like you" describe Clinton

937229 93PIL: How well does "knowledgeable" describe Bill Clinton

937230 93PIL: How well does "gets things done" describe Bill Clinton

937231 93PIL: How well does "honest" describe Ross Perot

937232 93PIL: How well does "provides strong leadership" describe Ross Perot

937233 93PIL: How well does "really cares about people like you" describe Perot

937234 93PIL: How well does "knowledgeable" describe Ross Perot

937235 93PIL: How well does "gets things done" describe Ross Perot

DIRECTION/POSITION OF UNITED STATES

937236 93PIL: Are things in this country going in the right direction

937237 93PIL: Has the United State's position in the world grown weaker

FINANCIAL SITUATION

937238 93PIL: Is R better/worse off financially

937239 93PIL: How much better/worse off is R financially

937240 93PIL: Is R much/somewhat worse off financially

937241 93PIL: Summary: How R is getting along financially

937242 93PIL: Will R be better/worse off financially one year from now

937243 93PIL: Will R be much/somewhat better off financially one year from now

937244 93PIL: Will R be much/somewhat worse off financially one year from now

937245 93PIL: Summary: R's family better/worse off a year from now

937246 93PIL: Will what R pays in taxes go up/down/stay same
over next year

937247 93PIL: Will what R pays in taxes go up a lot or a little
937248 93PIL: Will what R pays in taxes go down a lot or a little
937249 93PIL: Summary: R's payment of income tax next year

NATIONAL ECONOMY/ECONOMIC POLICY

937250 93PIL: Has nation's economy gotten better/worse/stayed same over past year
937251 93PIL: Is nation's economy much/somewhat better
937252 93PIL: Is nation's economy much/somewhat worse
937253 93PIL: Summary: National economy in the past year
937254 93PIL: Have federal policies made national economy better/worse/same
937255 93PIL: Have federal policies made national economy much/somewhat better
937256 93PIL: Have federal policies made national economy much/somewhat worse
937257 93PIL: Is effect of federal policies on economy closer to better or worse
937258 93PIL: Summary: Federal government economic policies
937259 93PIL: Will national economy get better/worse/stay same over next year
937260 93PIL: Will standard of living be better/worse/same 20 years from now
937261 93PIL: Will free trade with other countries bring prosperity or lose jobs
937262 93PIL: Does R feel strongly/not strongly about effect of free trade
937263 93PIL: Summary: Trade, strength
937264 93PIL: Will level of prosperity be a lot higher/somewhat higher
937265 93PIL: Will a lot of jobs/only some jobs be lost
937266 93PIL: Summary: Trade amount jobs lost

FEELINGS TOWARD BILL CLINTON

937267 93PIL: Has Clinton ever made R feel angry
937268 93PIL: Has Clinton ever made R feel hopeful
937269 93PIL: Has Clinton ever made R feel afraid
937270 93PIL: Has Clinton ever made R feel proud

FEELINGS TOWARD ROSS PEROT

937271 93PIL: Has Perot ever made R feel angry
937272 93PIL: Has Perot ever made R feel hopeful
937273 93PIL: Has Perot ever made R feel afraid
937274 93PIL: Has Perot ever made R feel proud

PARTY CONTROL OF PRESIDENCY AND CONGRESS

937275 93PIL: Is one party control of both presidency and Congress or control split between parties better

CLINTON'S REQUESTS FOR TAX INCREASES AND SPENDING CUTS

937276 93PIL: Did Clinton ask for too large/right amount/too
small of a tax increase

APTITUDE/TENDENCIES OF POLITICAL PARTIES

937278 93PIL: Which party would do a better job of handling the economy
937279 93PIL: Which party would do a better job of handling foreign affairs
937280 93PIL: Which party would do a better job making health care more affordable
937281 93PIL: Which party is more likely to raise taxes

VOTING BEHAVIOR

937282 93PIL: Did R vote in the election this past November (Forms 2,4)
937283 93PIL: Did R vote for Democratic or Republican candidate in election for House of Representatives (Forms 2,4)

NAFTA

937284 93PIL: Has R heard enough about NAFTA to have an opinion on it
937285 93PIL: Does R favor/oppose NAFTA
937286 93PIL: Does R strongly favor/not strongly favor NAFTA
937287 93PIL: Does R strongly oppose/not strongly oppose NAFTA
937288 93PIL: Summary: NAFTA approval

GENDER SOCIALIZATION IN RAISING CHILDREN

937289 93PIL: Should parents encourage boys to be masculine and girls to be feminine
937290 93PIL: Does R feel strongly/not strongly about parents encouraging gender socialization
937291 93PIL: Summary: Gender socialization
937292 93PIL: Should parents give a lot/some attention to gender socialization
937293 93PIL: Should parents pay any attention to gender socialization
937294 93PIL: Summary: Parents gender association

R's STATUS AS A POLITICAL INDEPENDENT

937295 93PIL: Does R think of him/herself as a political independent
937296 93PIL: Does R consider him/herself a strong/not so strong independent

CONGRESS

937297 93PIL: R approves/disapproves of how Congress has been handling its job
937298 93PIL: Strength of R's approval of Congress
937299 93PIL: Strength of R's disapproval of Congress
937300 93PIL: Summary: Congress approval
937301 93PIL: R approves of almost everything/just most
things Congress has done
937302 93PIL: R disapproves of almost everything/just most things Congress has done
937303 93PIL: Summary: Approval of amounts of things Congress has done

ATTENTION RECEIVED FROM U.S. SENATORS AND REPRESENTATIVES

937304 93PIL: How much attention do Senators/Representatives pay to people who elected them

R AGREES/DISAGREES

937305 93PIL: We don't need political parties in America anymore
937306 93PIL: If not for special interests in Washington most problems could be easily solved
937307 93PIL: Media coverage of politics reflects media's own biases more than facts
937308 93PIL: Government in this country has broken down and needs big changes
937309 93PIL: We need to reduce the deficit, even if that means paying more taxes
937310 93PIL: We need to reduce the deficit, even if that means spending less for health care and education

PREFERENCE FOR PARTY SYSTEM

937311 93PIL: Would R prefer two party system/candidates without party labels/one or more new parties

CONTACT WITH/SUPPORT FOR ROSS PEROT/UNITED WE STAND

937312 93PIL: Has R been contacted by United We Stand since election last November
937313 93PIL: Has R ever thought of him/herself as supporter of United We Stand
937314 93PIL: Is R a paid member of United We Stand

BUDGET POLITICS/PRIORITIES

937315 93PIL: Does R have an opinion on budget politics
937316 93PIL: Is maintaining spending or domestic programs/preventing tax increases/or cutting the deficit most important for government right now
937317 93PIL: What is the second most important thing for government to do right now
937318 93PIL: What should government do to cut the deficit - 1st mention
937319 93PIL: What should government do to cut the deficit - 2nd mention
937320 93PIL: What should government do to cut the deficit - 3rd mention
937321 93PIL: What should government do to cut the deficit - 4th mention
937322 93PIL: What should government do to cut the deficit - 5th mention
937323 93PIL: What should government do to cut the deficit - 6th mention
LAWS FOR HOMOSEXUALS

937324 93PIL: R favors/oppose laws to protect homosexuals from job discrimination
937325 93PIL: Strength of R's favor for laws to protect homosexuals
937326 93PIL: Strength of R's opposition for laws to protect homosexuals
937327 93PIL: Summary: Favor/oppose law to protect homosexuals
937328 93PIL: Should homosexuals be allowed to serve in U.S. Armed Forces
937329 93PIL: Strength of R's support for homosexuals in U.S. Armed Forces
937330 93PIL: Strength of R's opposition to homosexuals in U.S. Armed Forces
937331 93PIL: Summary: Homosexuals in U.S. Armed Forces
937332 93PIL: Should homosexual couples be legally permitted to adopt children
937333 93PIL: Strength of R's support for homosexual couples to adopt children
937334 93PIL: Strength of R's opposition to homosexual couples adopting children
937335 93PIL: Summary: Should homosexual couples be allowed to adopt children

VIEWS OF HOMOSEXUALITY

937336 93PIL: Is homosexuality something chosen or something people cannot change
937337 93PIL: Strength of R's belief that homosexuality is something people choose
937338 93PIL: Strength of R's belief that homosexuality cannot be changed
937339 93PIL: Summary: Homosexuality cannot be changed
937340 93PIL: Homosexuals seduce non-homosexuals/don't make sexual advances on people not interested
937341 93PIL: Strength of R's belief that homosexuals try to seduce non-homosexuals
937342 93PIL: Strength of R's belief that homosexuals don't make advances on people not interested
937343 93PIL: Summary: Seduction and Homosexuality
937344 93PIL: Does R find homosexuality disgusting
937345 93PIL: Strength of R's feeling that homosexuality is disgusting
937346 93PIL: Does homosexuality of others make R feel personally uncomfortable
937347 93PIL: Summary: Homosexuality disgusting
937348 93PIL: R worries/doesn't worry that working with a homosexual would pose special risk of getting AIDS or another disease
937349 93PIL: Would R worry a lot/little about getting a disease from working with homosexuals
937350 93PIL: Is R somewhat/very confident that working with homosexuals poses no special danger of disease
937351 93PIL: Summary: Getting disease by working with gays/lesbians
937352 93PIL: Homosexuality is unnatural/homosexuality is natural for some people
937353 93PIL: Strength of R's feeling that homosexuality is
unalternate
937354 93PIL: Strength of R's feeling that homosexuality is natural for some people
937355 93PIL: Summary: Homosexuality natural
937356 93PIL: Homosexuals have too much/right amount/not enough influence
937357 93PIL: Homosexuals have too much/only a little too much influence
937358 93PIL: Homosexuals have far too little/slightly too little influence
937359 93PIL: Homosexuals have a little too much/slightly too little influence
937360 93PIL: Summary: Homosexual influence
937361 93PIL: Homosexuality is against God's will/acceptable to God/has nothing to do with God
937362 93PIL: Strength of R's feeling that homosexuality is against God's will
937363 93PIL: 93PIL: Strength of R's feeling that homosexuality is acceptable to God
937364 93PIL: Strength of R's feeling that homosexuality has nothing to do with God
937365 93PIL: Summary: Homosexuality and God

PARTY IDENTIFICATION
937366 93PIL: R's party identification
937367 93PIL: Strength of R's party identification as Republican
937368 93PIL: Strength of R's party identification as Democrat
937369 93PIL: Is R closer to Republican or Democratic Party
937370 93PIL: Summary: R's Party ID

HEALTH CARE INSURANCE
937371 93PIL: Should government/individuals/employers provide health insurance
937372 93PIL: Strength of R's feeling regarding who should provide health insurance
937373 93PIL: Summary: Government, health insurance

CONSEQUENCES OF GOVERNMENT POLICIES
937374 93PIL: Personal consequences of a national health insurance plan (Forms 1,2)
937375 93PIL: Personal consequences (not coded)
937376 93PIL: Consequences of national health insurance for country (Forms 3,4)
937377 93PIL: Consequences of national health insurance for middle-class (Forms 3,4)
937378 93PIL: Consequences of national health insurance for wealthy (Forms 3,4)
937379 93PIL: Consequences of national health insurance for poor (Forms 3,4)
937380 93PIL: Consequences of national health insurance for whites (Forms 3,4)
937381 93PIL: Consequences of national health insurance for Blacks (Forms 3,4)
937382 93PIL: Consequences of national health insurance for country (Forms 1,2)
937383 93PIL: Personal consequences (not coded)
937384 93PIL: Is R currently covered by any health insurance plan
937385 93PIL: Tax dollars to public schools only or private/parochial also
937386 93PIL: Strength of support for tax dollars for public schools
937387 93PIL: Strength of support for tax dollars for private/parochial schools
937388 93PIL: Summary: Approval of use of government funds regardless of school type
937389 93PIL: Personal consequences of support for parochial/private schools (Form 1)
937390 93PIL: Consequences of support for parochial/private schools for country (Form 2)
937391 93PIL: Consequences of support for parochial/private schools for middle-class (Forms 1,2)
937392 93PIL: Consequences of support for parochial/private schools for wealthy (Forms 1,2)
937393 93PIL: Consequences of support for parochial/private schools for poor (Forms 1,2)
937394 93PIL: Consequences of support for parochial/private schools for whites (Forms 1,2)
937395 93PIL: Consequences of support for parochial/private schools for Blacks (Forms 1,2)
937396 93PIL: Consequences of support for parochial/private schools for country (Form 1)
937397 93PIL: Personal consequences of support for parochial/private schools (Form 2)

SATISFACTION WITH CHILDREN'S EDUCATION

937398 93PIL: Does R have children/grandchildren enrolled in elementary/high school
937399 93PIL: Number of children/grandchildren enrolled in elementary/high school
937400 93PIL: Is R satisfied with children's education
937401 93PIL: Extent of R's satisfaction with children's education
937402 93PIL: Extent of R' dissatisfaction with children's education
937403 93PIL: Summary: Satisfaction with children's education
937404 93PIL: Type of school attended by R's child/children

PREFERENCE IN HIRING AND PROMOTION OF BLACKS

937405 93PIL: Is R for/against preferential hiring/promotion of blacks
937406 93PIL: Strength of R's approval of preferential hiring/promotion of blacks
937407 93PIL: Strength of R' opposition to prefential hiring/promotion of blacks
937408 93PIL: Summary: Preference in hiring and promotion of blacks
937409 93PIL: Personal consequences of preferential hiring/promotion of blacks (Form 3)
937410 93PIL: Consequences of preferential hiring/promotion of blacks for country (Form 4)
937411 93PIL: Consequences of preferential hiring/promotion of blacks for middle-class (Forms 3,4)
937412 93PIL: Consequences of preferential hiring/promotion of blacks for wealthy (Forms 3,4)
937413 93PIL: Consequences of preferential hiring/promotion of blacks for poor (Forms 3,4)
937414 93PIL: Consequences of preferential hiring/promotion of blacks for whites (Forms 3,4)
937415 93PIL: Consequences of preferential hiring/promotion of blacks for Blacks (Forms 3,4)
937416 93PIL: Consequences of preferential hiring/promotion of blacks for country (Forms 3)
937417 93PIL: Personal consequences of preferential hiring/promotion of blacks (Form 4)
937418 93PIL: Chances of R being passed over for job/promotion in favor of equally/less qualified employee
937419 93PIL: R agrees/disagrees that affirmative action programs for blacks have reduced whites' chances for jobs/promotions
937420 93PIL: Strength of R's agreement that affirmative action reduces chances for whites
937421 93PIL: Strength of R's disagreement that affirmative action reduces chances for whites
937422 93PIL: Summary: Affirmative action had reduced chances for whites