

VERSION July 21, 2003
CODEBOOK INTRODUCTION FILE
1996 PRE-POST STUDY
(1996.TX)

AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY, 1996

CODEBOOK

Center for Political Studies
Institute for Social Research
The University of Michigan

□

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTORY MATERIALS

- >> 1996 GENERAL INTRODUCTION
- >> STUDY DESCRIPTION FOR THE AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY, 1996
- >> 1996 STUDY DESIGN, CONTENT AND ADMINISTRATION
- >> 1996 FILE STRUCTURE
- >> 1996 SPECIAL NOTE - CHANGES IN CODING BETWEEN PRE AND POST
- >> NEW WEIGHTS FOR THE 1996 STUDY (RELEASED MARCH 1998)
- >> 1996 CODEBOOK INFORMATION
- >> 1996 VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST

CODEBOOK

- 1996 variables

APPENDICES

- >> 1996 NATIONAL PRE/POST-ELECTION STUDY SAMPLE DESIGN
- >> 1996 NES PRE-ELECTION SAMPLE OUTCOME
- >> WEIGHTED ANALYSIS OF 1996 NES DATA
- >> 1996 PROCEDURES FOR SAMPLING ERROR ESTIMATION
- >> 1996 NES TECHNICAL REPORTS AND OTHER OCCASIONAL PAPERS
- >> 1995 Pilot Study Reports

MASTER CODES

- >> 1996 TYPE OF RACE
- >> 1996 CANDIDATE NUMBERS
- >> 1996 PARTY-CANDIDATE
- >> POLITICAL ADVERTISEMENTS, 1996
- >> 1996 RELIGIOUS IDENTIFICATION
- >> 1980 CENSUS OCCUPATION CODE
- >> 1980 CENSUS INDUSTRY CODE
- >> 1996 ETHNICITY/NATIONALITY
- >> STATE AND COUNTRY CODES, 1996
- >> MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEMS, 1996
- >> 1996 PARTY DIFFERENCES
- >> 1996 CPS 2-DIGIT OCCUPATION CODES
- >> 1990 CENSUS DEFINITIONS
- >> Post-Stratified Cross-Sectional Analysis Weights
for the 1992, 1994 and 1996 NES data
- >> 1996 CANDIDATE LISTS AND SAMPLE BALLOT CARDS

- >> FREQUENCY ADDENDUM

□

- >> 1996 GENERAL INTRODUCTION

AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY, 1996: PRE- AND POST-ELECTION SURVEYS
(ICPSR 6896)

During the winter and early spring of 1997 the National Election Studies staff and ICPSR prepared a comprehensive version of the 1996 American National Election Study. The number of cases in this file, 1714, includes all

respondents from the 1996 Pre- and Post-Election surveys. About three-fourths of these respondents or 1316 individuals also participated in the 1994 Post-Election survey (ICPSR 6507) or in both the 1992 American National Election Survey (ICPSR 6067) and the 1994 Post-Election survey.

Detailed variables from the Post on 'groups' which are of interest to a smaller number of users are not included in this dataset but are only available in the NES 1996 Auxiliary File: Group Membership mergeable dataset. Please note that UNWEIGHTED FREQUENCIES AND MARGINALS ONLY appear in the codebook.

□

>> STUDY DESCRIPTION FOR THE AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY, 1996

The 1996 American National Election Study was conducted by the Center for Political Studies of the Institute for Social Research, under the general direction of Steven J. Rosenstone, Donald R. Kinder and Warren E. Miller. M. Kathryn Cirksena was the Director of Studies for the National Election Studies and oversaw the study from early planning stages through release of the 1996 data collection. She was assisted by Santa Traugott, retiring Director of Studies, Michael J. Horvath, and Daniel Unger. This is the twenty-fourth in a series of studies of American national elections produced by the Center for Political Studies and the Survey Research Center, and it is the tenth traditional time-series study to be conducted under the auspices of National Science Foundation Grants (SBR-9317631, SES-9209410, SES-9009379, SES-8808361, SES-8341310, SES-8207580 and SOC77-08885) providing long-term support for the National Election Studies. Since 1978, the National Election Studies have been designed by a national Board of Overseers, the members of which meet several times a year to plan content and administration of the major study components. Board members during the planning of the 1996 National Election Study included Larry Bartels (Princeton University), Gary Cox (University of California, San Diego), Charles Franklin (University of Wisconsin), Donald Kinder, ex officio (University of Michigan), David Leege, Chair (University of Notre Dame), Warren Miller, ex officio (Arizona State University), Wendy Rahn (University of Minnesota), as of September 1996, Steven Rosenstone, ex officio (University of Michigan), Virginia Sapiro (University of Wisconsin), W. Phillips Shively (University of Minnesota), Laura Stoker (University of California, Berkeley) and John Zaller (University of California, Los Angeles). As part of the study planning process, a special planning committee was appointed, a pilot study conducted, and stimulus letters sent to members of the scholarly community soliciting input on study plans. Board member Larry Bartels chaired the Planning Committee for the 1996 National Election Study which included from the Board: Donald Kinder (Michigan), Warren Miller (Arizona State), Steven Rosenstone (Michigan), Virginia Sapiro (Wisconsin), Laura Stoker (Berkeley), and John Zaller (UCLA) and four other scholars, R. Michael Alvarez (Cal Tech), Jonathan Krosnick (Ohio State), George Rabinowitz (North Carolina) and Wendy Rahn (Minnesota), as well as Kathryn Cirksena and Santa Traugott (NES staff).

A pilot study was carried out in September of 1995 for the purpose of developing new instrumentation for the 1996 Election Study. New items were tested in the areas of the environment and environmental politics, media exposure (including new media), issues, candidate evaluation, and new questions included as part of the Comparative Study of Electoral Systems. Data from the 1995 Pilot Study are available through the Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research (ICPSR 6636). Results from the Pilot Study (summarized in Appendix C "1995 Pilot Study Reports") were used by the Planning Committee in formulating recommendations to the Board about study content for the 1996 Pre- and Post-Election Survey. Copies of the Pilot Study

Reports are available on the NES Website (www.umich.edu/~nes), or may be obtained by contacting the NES project staff.

NES Project Staff
 Center for Political Studies
 Room 4026
 Institute for Social Research
 University of Michigan
 Ann Arbor MI 48106-1248
nes@umich.edu
<http://www.umich.edu/~nes>

>> 1996 STUDY DESIGN, CONTENT AND ADMINISTRATION

STUDY DESIGN

The 1996 National Election Study entailed both a pre-election interview and a post-election re-interview. About three-fourths of the 1996 cases consist of empaneled respondents who were first interviewed in the 1994 or 1992 National Election Study. A freshly drawn cross-section sample makes up the balance of the 1996 cases. (Details of the sample design are given in "Sample Design of the 1996 Pre/Post Election Studies", in Appendix B.) Altogether, 1714 citizens were interviewed in the 9 weeks prior to the November 5, 1996 election. To permit analysis of the impact of the unfolding election campaign, the pre-election sample was divided into four subsample replicates, which were released approximately two weeks apart. 1316 of the pre-election interviews were conducted with panel respondents; 398 with cross-section respondents. In the weeks following the election, 1534 pre-election respondents were reinterviewed: 1197 panel, 337 cross-section. This post-election survey included a mode experiment in which respondents were randomly assigned to be interviewed either by telephone or face-to-face. Further details of the administration of the surveys are given in "Study Administration", below.

The two components of the study -- the panel and the new cross-section -- were designed to be used together to create a combined nationally representative sample of the American electorate. The 1996 NES data set includes a weight which incorporates sampling, nonresponse and post-stratification factors, (V3), for analysis of the 1996 NES combined sample (Panel component cases plus Cross-section supplement cases). A Time Series Weight (V5) which corrects for Panel attrition (but does not incorporate sampling, nonresponse and post-stratification adjustments) should be used in analyses comparing either the panel respondents or the combined panel and new cross-section respondents to previous (unweighted) National Election Studies data collections. See "Sample Design of the 1996 Pre- and Post-Election Study" and the documentation for V3, and V5 for further information. The frequencies that appear in this codebook are unweighted. A set of files, data, weights, and data documentation, designed to enable panel analyses of the 1992-94-96 data become available sometime late in 1997; announcements concerning the release of data for panel analysis are found at the NES website, www.umich.edu/~nes. The present release has been prepared for cross-section and time series analyses.

STUDY CONTENT

Substantive themes

The content for the 1996 Election Study reflects its double duty, both as the traditional presidential election year time-series data collection and as a panel study. Substantive themes represented in the 1996 questionnaires include:

- * interest in the political campaigns; concern about the outcome; and attentiveness to the media's coverage of the campaign
- * information about politics
- * evaluation of the presidential candidates and placement of presidential candidates on various issue dimensions
- * partisanship and evaluations of the political parties
- * knowledge of and evaluation of House candidates
- * political participation: turnout in the November general election; other forms of electoral campaign activity
- * vote choice for President, the U.S. House, and the U.S. Senate, including second choice for President
- * personal and national economic well-being
- * positions on social welfare issues including: government health insurance; federal budget priorities, and the role of the government in the provision of jobs and good standard of living
- * positions on social issues including: abortion; women's roles; prayer in the schools; the rights of homosexuals and the death penalty
- * racial and ethnic stereotypes; opinions on affirmative action; attitudes towards immigrants
- * opinions about the nation's most important problem
- * values and predispositions: moral traditionalism; political efficacy; egalitarianism; humanitarianism individualism; trust in government
- * social altruism and social connectedness
- * feeling thermometers on a wide range of political figures and political groups; affinity with various social groups
- * detailed demographic information and measures of religious affiliation and religiosity.

Several new themes are included in the 1996 study:

THE CONGRESSIONAL CAMPAIGN: To better understand the dynamics of congressional campaigns, the pre-election wave contains a core battery of campaign-related congressional items (including candidate recall, thermometer ratings, ideological placements, and vote intention).

ISSUE IMPORTANCE AND UNCERTAINTY: Several issue questions include "uncertainty" and "importance" follow-ups for both respondent self-placements ("How certain are you of your position on this scale?" "How important is this issue to you?") and candidate placements (e.g. "How certain are you of Bob Dole's position on this scale?" "How important is this issue to Bob Dole?").

COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ELECTORAL SYSTEMS: An eight-minute module of questions developed by a consortium of electoral scholars from 52 polities is included in the post-election interview. Designed to facilitate comparative analysis of political attitudes and voting behavior, the same questions are being asked in similar form in national election studies around the world, and the resulting survey data will eventually be merged with contextual data on electoral laws and political institutions to produce a rich cross-national data set. This module is included as questions T1-T16 in the post-election survey.

ISSUE COVERAGE: New issue items in the areas of crime, the environment, gun control, and income inequality are included. A six-item battery carried forward from the 1995 Pilot Study taps respondents' reactions to proposed trade-offs among domestic spending, deficit reduction, and tax cuts.

THE ENVIRONMENT: New items from the 1995 Pilot Study tap perceptions of environmental conditions (air quality and the safety of drinking water in the nation and in the respondent's own community), environmental priorities (ranging from global warming to cleaning up lakes and parks),

self-placements and placements of candidates and parties on environmental issues (trading off environmental protection against jobs and living standards, and supporting or opposing government environmental regulations on businesses), and the relative effectiveness of national, state, and local governments in dealing with environmental problems.

SOCIAL CAPITAL: Several measures of social connectedness are repeated from the 1992 survey. Items tapping trust in people and trust in government are repeated in the pre- and post-election waves to facilitate analysis of the effect of the campaign and election on broader social attitudes. A battery of items on membership and activity in a wide variety of social, political, religious, and civic organizations is included in the post-election questionnaire. This battery includes several questions on as many as four groups in each of twenty-two categories of organizations. Because of the large number of variables produced from these questions, two means of accessing these data are provided; one set of variables which summarize the groups data is available without any unusual effort by the user. A full complement of variables of interest to the specialist in groups membership and participation is also readily available in a separate mergeable dataset called the NES 1996 Auxiliary File: Group Membership.

MEDIA EXPOSURE: New media exposure, reception, and attention items developed in the 1995 Pilot Study include talk radio items, more specific exposure items for network and local television news, and reception items asking respondents to match news anchors with the networks they work for. A battery of exposure items for entertainment television programs provides an indirect measure of exposure to campaign advertisements. There is also a new open-ended item on recollection of a memorable campaign ad, some expansion and reorganization of items tapping attention to the campaign in various media.

Congressional Ballot Cards, Candidate Lists, and Candidate Numbers

In the usual NES Post-Election survey, and for 1996, in the Pre-Election survey as well, respondents are asked several questions about their particular Congressperson and Senators. In previous years, interviewers pre-edited questionnaires to fill in the names appropriate for the state and congressional district in which the respondent was living (or was living during the pre-election interview). The use of Computer-Assisted Interviewing software means that information about respondents' congressional district and about candidates and incumbents names (including retiring incumbents) and parties is maintained and periodically updated in a computerized database; this information is loaded into the laptop computers used by interviewers and accessed to provide the correct CD and candidate information for displaying and entering responses to the relevant questions. Each candidate and Senator is assigned a unique number that reflects his or her incumbency status and party. Particular questions in the survey, which include feeling thermometers for the various candidates, automatically appear on screen with the correct name filled in. The Candidate Lists stored in the database, which show which candidates are associated with which congressional district and with which numbers they are tagged, can also be found here, as can a sample ballot card. Candidates' names were identified by referring to the results of primary elections published in Congressional Quarterly. In the Pre-Election survey there is the additional complication that a number of states held their Congressional primaries after the Pre-Election field work had started. In these cases, the names of those candidates with the greatest chance of winning their party's nomination were loaded into the database. Forecasts of likely winners assumed that incumbents were likely to win their primaries and that unopposed non-incumbents would

win. Other races were forecast by Board member Charles Franklin, using a probit model of all 1996 contested primaries involving non-incumbents and utilizing FEC data from August 1, 1996. As soon as the outcome of the primary was known, the correct candidate information was entered into the database and the new version was loaded onto the appropriate interviewers' laptop computers. In nearly all races the forecasted winner was correct. Further details can be found at the documentation for Pre-Election questions B2a and B2b.

Features of a CAI questionnaire

Using the capabilities of computer-assisted interviewing (CAI) in the 1996 NES enabled the introduction of several features that would not be feasible using a paper-and-pencil questionnaire. The most significant of these for users of this data are: randomization within batteries or sequences of questions; application of half-sampling to some questions; and random order of presentation of blocks of questions. Randomization within batteries refers to presenting, in a randomly determined order, a series of questions about the same objects (or people). An example would be the questions about the respondent's likes and dislikes of the three main Presidential candidates where the names of Clinton, Dole and Perot were inserted randomly as the first, second or third person to be asked about in this series. Randomization of names/objects in this way avoids ordering effects that might be obtained if, for example, the candidates were always asked about in the same order in every series of questions where a parallel question is asked about each of the three. Questions where randomization of order within a series was in force are clearly identified in the codebook. Randomization variables, which allow the user to identify the order of presentation, are provided for all instances of randomized presentation. A few questions, primarily open-ended questions, were half-sampled, so that a randomly selected half of respondents were asked the question. Finally, an order experiment, where a sequence of closed-ended questions was asked early in the interview for a random half of respondents and late in the interview for the other half, was included as part of the mode comparison experiment described below. For both of these features, the relevant codebook entries contain explanatory notes. All random selections were programmed into the computer application of the questionnaire and occurred automatically and independently of other circumstances of the interview. CAI eliminates the preparation of a paper and pencil version which would previously have been published in the codebook.

STUDY ADMINISTRATION

Interviewing for the pre-election survey began on September 3, 1996 and concluded on November 4, 1996. The average length of interview in the pre-election survey was 74 minutes. The overall response rate was 71%. (See "Response Rates" below for a complete discussion.) The post-election interviewing occurred between November 6 and December 31, 1996 inclusive, with an average interview length of 70 minutes. The overall reinterview rate was 90%, with further details available in the Response Rate section below.

Sample "Releases" in the Pre-election survey

Both parts of the sample (panel and cross-section) were randomly subdivided into four quarter sample releases, each of which is a proper, random subsample of the NES sample. Two additional 'reserve' replicates of cross-section cases were held in abeyance until it was determined that the

additional sample lines would be needed to attain study goals. Replicates 1 through 4 were considered the "base sample," certain to be released. The release dates for sample replicates were:

Replicate	Date of release
1	September 3, 1996
2	September 12, 1996
3	September 26, 1996
4	October 10, 1996
5 (Reserve)	September 26, 1996 (with replicate 3)
6 (Reserve)	October 10, 1996 (with replicate 4)

For a full description of the sample design and implementation, see the 1996 NATIONAL PRE/POST-ELECTION STUDY SAMPLE DESIGN.

Pre-election study: assignment to telephone mode

One of the administrative problems in fielding a panel study is that respondents have had an intervening period of time in which to relocate, perhaps at some remove from areas where field study staff are available to interview them. We estimated that between 40 and 80 respondents might have moved to areas in which SRC did not have interviewers in the field. Our priority was to interview as many panel respondents as possible, but we did not want to incur the additional costs associated with interviewer travel. Accordingly, panel respondents who had moved 'out-of-range' for a face-to-face interview were converted to phone mode. The criterion set for deciding if a case was 'out-of-range' was 90 minutes driving time one-way from the interviewer's home to the respondent's address under local usual driving conditions. The total number of panel respondents that we interviewed who were "out of range" for this reason was 47.

Post-Election Mode Experiment: Design and Implementation

In contrast with the usual NES practice of conducting all post-election interviews in person, half of the respondents in the post-election wave of the 1996 survey were interviewed by telephone, with post-election respondents randomly assigned (except in extreme circumstances) to phone or face-to-face administration. The telephone mode used the same computerized questionnaire developed for the face-to-face post-election interviews and was conducted by the same interviewers. The mode experiment provides a direct comparison of the effects of mode of interview on important indicators of data quality and comparability.

Cases were assigned to either telephone or face-to-face mode at the sample segment level. Every effort to retain randomly assigned cases in their assigned mode was made. Respondents who had been interviewed by telephone in the pre-election study were disqualified from random assignment to mode; all those reinterviews were done by telephone, a total of 47 cases. Respondents who did not have telephones and respondents who were not able to participate in the mode experiment because of a physical limitation that prevented them from being interviewed by one mode or another were also excluded, which totaled 130 additional cases (24 of these were completed by telephone). No changes in mode of interview because of respondent preference or for ease of administration were permitted.

All prospective respondents received two incentives in the mail: a check for \$10 and a small gift. Included in the mailing to telephone mode respondents was a sealed respondent booklet with the candidate ballot folded inside. The contact letter instructed respondents to set these materials aside until told to open them by the interviewer. Interviewers followed procedures to ascertain that respondents were using the booklet and ballot

card appropriately and to note deviations from the instructions.

Evaluation of problems in study implementation

Two implementation problems arose in the post-election field administration. This resulted in two unintended systematic deviations from standard administration. 145 cases in the phone mode were mailed a respondent booklet that included the wrong ballot card. As soon as this problem was discovered, new respondent booklets with correct ballot cards were mailed by overnight mail to these respondents. Approximately 50 interviews were conducted where the respondent had the incorrect ballot card; in these cases interviewers read the correct ballot card information to the respondent. A full report to be issued will analyze these data to identify any systematic differences related to this implementation error. It was discovered early in the data collection period that 39 interviews were completed using the training version of the survey instrument, due to a technical problem in transmitting files to the field. The training version contained no randomized presentation of questions and lacked several last minute changes to the interview. Call-backs to 37 of these 39 respondents allowed us to collect data on the several missed questions. A report analyzing these cases for differential impact of the use of the training questionnaire is in preparation.

RESPONSE RATES

The response rate in the pre-election study was 71%. Among panel respondents the response (reinterview) rate was 76%; among cross-section respondents it was 60%.

The overall reinterview/response rate in the post-election interviewing was 90%. Among panel respondents in the post-election survey, the response rate was 91% and among cross-section respondents it was 85%. The response rate in face-to-face mode (including all cases in this mode, experimentally assigned and excluded) was 89% and for telephone mode it was 91%.

INTERVIEW COMPLETION RATE

Completion rates for the pre-election sample releases, for pre-election time periods, and for post-election time periods are presented here. Table 1 presents the percentage completions per quarter sample replicate (replicates 3 and 4 include the reserve cases added to those replicates); table 2 shows the percentage of completions per two week time period in the pre-election survey. Table 3 lays out the number of interviews taken for each week elapsing after the Nov. 5 General Election. In 1996, 29% of the interviews were completed in the first week after the election and 86% in the first three weeks; progress was evenly divided between face-to-face and telephone modes.

Table 1: % Completions by release (pre-election survey)

RELEASE	Total	Panel	Cross-section
1	28%	28%	18%
2	27	27	24
3+5	23	23	23
4+6	23	22	25

Table 2: Percent Completions by two week period (pre-election survey)

DATES	Total	Panel	Cross-section
9/3-9/16	19%	20%	18%
9/17-10/1	24	24	22
10/2-10/16	23	23	23
10/17-10/30	24	24	26
10/31-11/4	10	10	12

Table 3: Number of and Cumulative Percent of Interviews Taken in the Post-Election Study by Week of Interview

DATES	NUMBER OF INTERVIEWS	CUMULATIVE NUMBER OF INTERVIEWS	CUMULATIVE PERCENT OF INTERVIEWS
Nov. 6-Nov.12	449	449	29%
Nov.13-Nov.19	551	1000	65
Nov.20-Nov.26	314	1314	86
Nov.27-Dec. 3	91	1405	92
Dec.4- Dec. 10	84	1489	97
Dec.11-Dec.17	32	1521	99
Dec.18-Dec.24	10	1531	99
Dec.25-Dec.31	3	1534	100%

□

>> 1996 FILE STRUCTURE

The AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY, 1996 PRE- AND POST-ELECTION SURVEY are available in logical record length (LRECL) format. The data are sorted in ascending order by respondent number, and contain 1,657 variables for 1714 respondents.

The machine-readable codebook, which provides complete formatting and other information for all variables accompanies the data. In addition, a set of SAS and SPSS control statements has been prepared for this collection. The control statements contain formatting information as well as variable labels, value labels and missing data specifications for all variables in the collection.

The data can also be accessed directly through software packages that do not use SAS or SPSS control statements by specifying the record locations of the desired variables. The record locations for all variables are provided in the codebook.

NOTES ON CONFIDENTIALITY

Starting with the 1986 Election Study, NES has released occupation code variables in somewhat less detail than in years past. This dataset includes a two-digit code with 71 categories corresponding to Census Bureau occupational groupings. Those who need the full occupation code for their research should contact the NES project staff for information about the conditions under which access may be provided. Similarly, the National Election Studies have not included information for census tracts or minor civil divisions since

1978. Permission to use the more detailed geographic information for scholarly research may be obtained from the Board of Overseers. More information about this is available from NES project staff. Coding of the new religious denomination variable is in some cases based on an alphabetic "other, please specify" variable. This variable is restricted for reasons of confidentiality, but access may be provided to legitimate scholars under established NES procedures.

OPEN-ENDED MATERIALS

Traditionally, the National Election Studies have contained several minutes of open-ended responses (for example, the candidate likes and dislikes). These questions are put into Master Codes by the SRC coding section. Other scholars have developed alternative or supplemental coding schemes for the questions (for example, the levels of conceptualization, released as ICPSR 8151). The Board of Overseers wishes to encourage these efforts but in ways which respect the NES and SRC obligation to protect the privacy and anonymity of respondents. Circumstances under which individuals may have access to transcribed versions of these questions have been worked out and those interested should contact the NES project staff for further details.

□

>>1996 SPECIAL NOTE - CHANGES IN CODING BETWEEN PRE AND POST

Several questions which were asked in the Pre-election interview and then asked again in the Post-Election interview had some differences between the versions used.

Variables where pre and post codes (and some code labels) don't match on repeated questions:

Pre	Post	
960369	1273	(code 4 label; Respon. booklet identical both waves)
960371	1275	(" " " " " " " " ")
960370	1274	(" " " " " " " " ")
960375	1277	(" " " " " " " " ")
960376	1278	(" " " " " " " " ")
960377	1279	(" " " " " " " " ")
960378	1280	(" " " " " " " " ")
960566	1251	(code 7 in Pre; code 4 in Post)
960569	1259	(codes 1,2 in Pre; codes 1,5 in Post)

For variables 960369-371,960375-378 / 961273-1275,961277-1280 (7-point liberal-conservative scale questions) the differences appeared onscreen to the interviewer but the labeled Respondent Booklet was accurate (complete code 4 label) and identical for both interviews.

□

>> NEW WEIGHTS FOR THE 1996 STUDY (RELEASED MARCH 1998)

The steps taken to address the 1996 NES overestimation of voting in the 1996 presidential election resulted in the development of post-stratified weights which account for individual selection probability, geographic related household nonresponse, and misrepresentation of any age by education

subgroups. These revised, CPS-standardized weights were computed for the 1992 NES Pre and Post (1992 fresh cross-section component), the 1994 NES Post and the 1996 NES Pre and Post Election data sets. Users of previous weights released with the 1994 and 1996 data will find that these weights extend and combine the features of previously released weights (the 1992 weight will be incorporated into the forthcoming 1992-1994-1996 Combined File).

V960005a and V960005b, the two new weight variables for 1996, are released for the first time with this version of the 1996 NES data. A review of the findings that led to the construction of these new weights and full details of their development and effect are described in Appendix G, "Post-Stratified Cross-sectional Analysis Weights for the 1992, 1994 and 1996 NES Data." The recommendation to explore developing these weights was made by Warren Miller and Merrill Shanks and authorized by the NES Board of Overseers at its September 1997 meeting. The SRC Sampling Section, under the direction of Steve Heeringa, completed the work and the technical report in consultation with the NES Director of Studies.

There are two weights, one to be applied to the pre-election sample (V960005a) and the other which is for use with the post-election sample (V960005b). The post-election sample weight takes into account attrition that occurred between the pre- and post-election surveys. In analyses using variables from the pre- and post-election data, the post-election weight should be applied. Use of either weight is appropriate only for the full sample, cross-section and panel cases combined.

□

>> 1996 CODEBOOK INFORMATION

The format for the NES 1996 codebook differs from that of previous years due to changes in production mode; the codebook is no longer Osiris-generated. However, there are close similarities to the Osiris-generated codebooks of past studies. An example of a 1996 codebook (variable) record appears below with some explanatory material. The annotations refer to portions of the codebook record according to the numbers [1] - [10]

```

.....
[1]           [2]           [3]
V960021      Numeric           COLUMNS      85- 85
                               Missing Value 9
                               [4]
[5]  Admin.11
[6]  PRE-ELECTION - Was interview tape recorded
-----
[7]
      168      1.  Yes
      1288     5.  No
      258      9.  NA
      [8]      [9]  [10]
.....

```

[1] This is the variable name according to the SAS and SPSS data definition files which accompany the raw data. Most of the names begin with 'V' followed

by a number.

[2] This describes whether the variable is character or numeric. If a numeric variable has decimal places, it appears below the variable type. If a numeric variable has no indication for decimal places, then there are 0 decimal places.

[3] Column locations (beginning and ending) in the raw ASCII data file are provided.

[4] Missing values, if they appear, identify the codes which are by default assigned to missing data in the SAS and SPSS data definition files which accompany the raw ASCII data. In the example above, the value 9 is considered missing data and is so assigned in the SAS and SPSS data definition files. If two missing data values appear, the second will appear preceded by "GE" to designate that codes equal to or greater than it are missing data.

[5] This is the question number. The survey questions have alphanumeric numbering (e.g. A1); the processing and administration variables have question numbers which are identified with the type of variable, for example, Iwr.1 is the first variable describing the interviewer.

[6] This is the question text for the administered question; it can also be, as in this example, a description of the processing or administration variable. All question text appears above the dashed line.

[7] Explanatory notes or other material provided by NES may appear below the dashed line, preceding the code values.

[8] These are the frequency counts for the code values. The frequency counts are unweighted in 1996.

[9] These are the code values representing response categories used for the question.

[10] These are the code labels for the numbered response categories (code values). "DK" is equivalent to "don't know," "NA" represents "not ascertained" and "INAP" indicates "inappropriate" (not applicable). □>> 1996 VARIABLE

ICSPRNO	ICPSR Study Number
EDITION	ICPSR Edition Number
PARTNO	ICPSR Part Number
RELDATE	ICPSR Release Date
960001	Pre. 1996 Case ID
960002	Pre. 1996 Panel/Cross indicator
960003	Pre. 1996 Full sample weight
960004	Pre. 1996 Panel-only weight
960005	Pre. 1996 Time-series weight
960005a	Pre. New 1996 Time-series weight - Pre
960005b	Pre. New 1996 Time-series weight - Post
960006	Pre. 1995 Case ID (panel)
960007	Pre. 1994 Case ID (panel)
960008	Pre. 1993 Case ID (panel)
960009	Pre. 1992 Case ID (panel)
960010	Pre. 1996 Pre Only or Pre-and-Post
960010A	Pre. Time Series participation 1992,1994,1996
960010B	Pre. Panel participation summary
960011	Pre. Day of interview
960012	Pre. Month of interview

960013 Pre. Number of days between pre IW and election day
960014 Pre. Beginning time
960015 Pre. Ending time
960016 Pre. Interview length in minutes
960017 Pre. Interview number
960018 Pre. Date of beginning VQ file
960019 Pre. Date of ending VQ file
960020 Pre. Payment amount
960021 Pre. Was interview tape recorded
960022 Pre. Verification indicator
960023 Pre. Evaluation indicator
960024 Pre. Refusal conversion indicator
960025 Pre. Was persuasion letter sent
960026 Pre. Type of persuasion letter
960027 Pre. Number of telephone calls
960028 Pre. Number of face to face calls
960029 Pre. Total number of calls (Phone + FtF)
960030 Pre. Final result code
960031 Pre. Beginning interview mode
960031A Pre. Mode summary - pre and post
960032 Pre. Ending interview mode
960033 Pre. Sample release
960034 Pre. Sample release summary
960035 Pre. Question 'form' summary
960036 Pre. (Panel only) Does R still live at address on sample label
960037 Pre. (Panel only) New address is:
960038 Pre. Panel - correct name, birth date and gender on coversheet
960039 Pre. Panel - coversheet name marked different
960040 Pre. Panel coversheet - gender marked different
960041 Pre. Panel coversheet - birth data marked different
960042 Pre. Cross - Household listing obtained from
960043 Pre. Cross - Selection table
960044 Pre. Cross - Person number selected as R
960045 Pre. Cross - Total number of persons in HH
960046 Pre. Cross - Total number of eligible adults in HU
960046A Pre. Panel and Cross - Total number of eligible adults in HU
960047 Pre. Cross - Household composition code
960048 Pre. Cross - Number of children under 6 years old in household
960049 Pre. Cross - Number of children 6-9 years old in household
960050 Pre. Cross - Number of children 10-13 years old in household
960051 Pre. Cross - Number of children 14-17 years old in household
960052 Pre. Type of structure in which the respondent lives
960053 Pre. Is there a blding manager, security grd, other gatekeeper
960054 Pre. Type of blding manager, security grd, or other gatekeeper
960055 Pre. Did R refuse initially
960056 Pre. Did R break any appointment
960057 Pre. Was there resistance from R
960058 Pre. R Resistance: Waste of time; previous bad experience
960059 Pre. R Resistance: Very ill
960060 Pre. R Resistance: Too busy
960061 Pre. R Resistance: Stressful family situation
960062 Pre. R Resistance: Confidentiality
960063 Pre. R Resistance: Invasion of privacy
960064 Pre. R Resistance: No reason given
960065 Pre. R Resistance: Other
960066 Pre. R's gender
960067 Pre. R race
960068 Pre. Others present during IW
960069 Pre. R's cooperation
960070 Pre. R's general level of info about politics, public affairs

960071 Pre. R's apparent intelligence
960072 Pre. How suspicious did R seem to be about the study
960073 Pre. R's interest in the interview
960074 Pre. R's sincerity
960075 Pre. Did R reported income correctly
960076 Pre. Estimate of what R's actual family income is
960077 Pre. R's reaction to interview 1
960078 Pre. R's reaction to interview 2
960079 Pre. R's reaction to interview 3
960080 Pre. R's reaction to interview 4
960081 Pre. R's reaction to interview 5
960082 Pre. R's reaction to interview 6
960083 Pre. R's reaction to interview 7
960084 Pre. R's reaction to interview 8
960085 Pre. R's reaction to interview 9
960086 Pre. R's reaction to interview 10
960087 Pre. R's reaction to interview 11
960088 Pre. Interviewer of record ID
960089 Pre. Supervisor ID
960090 Pre. Interviewer gender
960091 Pre. Interviewer education
960092 Pre. Interviewer race
960093 Pre. Interviewer ethnicity
960094 Pre. Interviewer languages
960095 Pre. Interviewer experience
960096 Pre. Interviewer age (bracketed)
960097 Pre. House race type
960098 Pre. Senate race type
960099 Pre. Democratic House candidate code
960100 Pre. Republican House candidate code
960101 Pre. Retiring House Representative code
960102 Pre. Democratic Senate candidate code
960103 Pre. Republican Senate candidate code
960104 Pre. Retiring Senator code
960105 Pre. State abbreviation and congressional district
960105A Pre. State and CD of Rs who voted out of CD of Interview
960106 Pre. State code and congressional district
960106A Pre. State and Congressional District 1994 (Panel)
960106B Pre. State and Congressional District 1992 (Panel)
960106C Pre. State and Congressional District 1993 (Panel)
960107 Pre. Congressional district number
960108 Pre. FIPS state code
960109 Pre. ICPSR state code of Interview Location
960110 Pre. FIPS state and county
960111 Pre. Primary area name
960112 Pre. Primary area code
960113 Pre. Segment Number
960114 Pre. Number of household units
960115 Pre. 1996 Census region of Interview Location
960116 Pre. Census Region in 1994 (Panel Respondents)
960117 Pre. Census Region in 1992 (Panel Respondents)
960118 Pre. Belt Code
960119 Pre. Population in 1000s
960120 Pre. Size of Place
960121 Pre. 1980 Census tract/ed indicator
960122 Pre. 1996 Sampling Error Code
960122A Pre. 1994 Sampling error code
960122B Pre. 1992 Sampling error code
960123 Pre. 1980 SMSA
960124 Pre. 1990 SMSA/NECMA

960125 Pre. 1990 CMSA
960126 Pre. 1990 Census Tract 1
960126A Pre. 1990 Census Tract 2
960127 Pre. Flag - changed congressional race status
960128 Pre. Race type at time of interview
960129 Pre. CD error flag - Pre
960129A Pre. CD error flag - Post
960130 Pre. Was R's Congressional District redistricted in 1996
960131 Pre. (Panel only) Move status 1994-1996
960131A Pre. Move status 1996 Pre-1996 Post
960201 Pre. How interested has R been in campaigns this year
960202 Pre. How much does R care who wins the presidential election
960203 Pre. Did R vote for President in the 1992 election
960204 Pre. For whom did R vote in the 1992 presidential race
960205 Pre. Anything that would make R vote for Clinton ("likes")
960206 Pre. What would make R vote for Clinton ("likes") #1
960207 Pre. What would make R vote for Clinton ("likes") #2
960208 Pre. What would make R vote for Clinton ("likes") #3
960209 Pre. What would make R vote for Clinton ("likes") #4
960210 Pre. What would make R vote for Clinton ("likes") #5
960211 Pre. Anything that would make R vote agnst Clinton ("dislikes")
960212 Pre. What would make R vote agnst Clinton ("dislikes") #1
960213 Pre. What would make R vote agnst Clinton ("dislikes") #2
960214 Pre. What would make R vote agnst Clinton ("dislikes") #3
960215 Pre. What would make R vote agnst Clinton ("dislikes") #4
960216 Pre. What would make R vote agnst Clinton ("dislikes") #5
960217 Pre. Anything that would make R vote for Dole ("likes")
960218 Pre. What would make R vote for Dole ("likes") #1
960219 Pre. What would make R vote for Dole ("likes") #2
960220 Pre. What would make R vote for Dole ("likes") #3
960221 Pre. What would make R vote for Dole ("likes") #4
960222 Pre. What would make R vote for Dole ("likes") #5
960223 Pre. Anything that would make R vote agnst Dole ("dislikes")
960224 Pre. What would make R vote agnst Dole ("dislikes") #1
960225 Pre. What would make R vote agnst Dole ("dislikes") #2
960226 Pre. What would make R vote agnst Dole ("dislikes") #3
960227 Pre. What would make R vote agnst Dole ("dislikes") #4
960228 Pre. What would make R vote agnst Dole ("dislikes") #5
960229 Pre. Anything that would make R vote for Perot ("likes")
960230 Pre. What would make R vote for Perot ("likes") #1
960231 Pre. What would make R vote for Perot ("likes") #2
960232 Pre. What would make R vote for Perot ("likes") #3
960233 Pre. What would make R vote for Perot ("likes") #4
960234 Pre. What would make R vote for Perot ("likes") #5
960235 Pre. What would make R vote agnst Perot ("dislikes")
960236 Pre. What would make R vote agnst Perot ("dislikes") #1
960237 Pre. What would make R vote agnst Perot ("dislikes") #2
960238 Pre. What would make R vote agnst Perot ("dislikes") #3
960239 Pre. What would make R vote agnst Perot ("dislikes") #4
960240 Pre. What would make R vote agnst Perot ("dislikes") #5
960241 Pre. Does R have cable or satellite TV
960242 Pre. How many days in past week R watched nat'l news on TV
960243 Pre. Attention R paid to TV nat news shows about pres campaign
960244 Pre. How many days in past week R watched the local news on TV
960245 Pre. Attention R paid to local TV news shows about pres campaign
960246 Pre. How many days in past week R read the newspaper
960247 Pre. Did R read about presidential campaign in the newspaper
960248 Pre. Attention R paid to presidential campaign in the newspaper
960249 Pre. Does R recall seeing any ads for political cand on TV
960250 Pre. R recall of cand who sponsored political ad remembers best

960251 Pre. Content of political ad R remembers best -- #1
960252 Pre. Content of political ad R remembers best -- #2
960253 Pre. Content of political ad R remembers best -- #3
960254 Pre. Content of political ad R remembers best -- #4
960255 Pre. Content of political ad R remembers best -- #5
960256 Pre. Does R care about result of House election
960257 Pre. Does R remember names of House candidates in R's district
960258 Pre. R's recall of name of House candidate (first mention)
960259 Pre. R's recall of party of House candidate (first mention)
960260 Pre. Actual party of House candidate recalled by R (#1 mention)
960261 Pre. Accuracy of R's recall of House candidate (#1 mention)
960262 Pre. R's recall of name of House candidate (#2 mention)
960263 Pre. R's recall of party of House candidate (#2 mention)
960264 Pre. Actual party of House candidate recalled by R (#2 mention)
960265 Pre. Accuracy of R's recall of House candidate (#2 mention)
960266 Pre. R's recall of name of House candidate (#3 mention)
960267 Pre. R's recall of party of House candidate (#3 mention)
960268 Pre. Actual party of House candidate recalled by R (#3 mention)
960269 Pre. Accuracy of R's recall of House candidate (#3 mention)
960270 Pre. Does R approve or disapprove of Congress
960271 Pre. Does R strongly approve or disapprove of Congress
960272 Pre. Clinton feeling thermometer
960273 Pre. Dole feeling thermometer
960274 Pre. Perot feeling thermometer
960275 Pre. Gore feeling thermometer
960276 Pre. Kemp feeling thermometer
960277 Pre. Choate feeling thermometer
960277A Pre. Campbell feeling thermometer
960278 Pre. Democratic House candidate feeling thermometer
960279 Pre. Republican House candidate feeling thermometer
960280 Pre. Retiring House incumbent feeling thermometer
960281 Pre. Hillary Clinton feeling thermometer
960282 Pre. Pat Buchanan feeling thermometer
960283 Pre. Jesse Jackson feeling thermometer
960284 Pre. Newt Gingrich feeling thermometer
960285 Pre. Colin Powell feeling thermometer
960286 Pre. Steve Forbes feeling thermometer
960287 Pre. Phil Gramm feeling thermometer
960288 Pre. Louis Farrakhan feeling thermometer
960289 Pre. Lamar Alexander feeling thermometer
960290 Pre. Elizabeth Dole feeling thermometer
960291 Pre. Pat Robertson feeling thermometer
960292 Pre. Democratic Party feeling thermometer
960293 Pre. Republican Party feeling thermometer
960294 Pre. Political parties feeling thermometer
960295 Pre. Does R approve or disapprove of Clinton
960296 Pre. Does R strgly approve or disapprove of Clinton
960297 Pre. Does R app/disapp of Clinton's handling of the econ
960298 Pre. Does R strgly app/disapp of Clinton's handling of the econ
960299 Pre. Does R app/disapp of Clinton's handling of foreign rels
960300 Pre. Does R strgly app or disapp Clinton's handling for relat
960301 Pre. Does R app or disapp Clinton's handling the environment
960302 Pre. Does R strgly app or disapp of Clinton's hand of the envir
960303 Pre. Does R approve or disapprove of Clinton's hand of hlth care
960304 Pre. Does R strgly app or disapp of Clinton's hand of hlth care
960305 Pre. Which pres cand would do the best job of protect enviro
960306 Pre. Which pres cand would do the best job of reducing budget def
960307 Pre. Which pres cand would do the best job of improve education
960308 Pre. Which pres cand would do the best job of campgn reform
960309 Pre. Which pres cand would be most likely to raise taxes

960310 Pre. Which pres cand would be most likely to cut Soc Security
960311 Pre. Is Clinton or Congress more to blame for the budget def
960312 Pre. (Interviewer checkpoint) Was R random selected for q F1-F4
960313 Pre. Is there anything R likes about the Republican Party
960314 Pre. What does R like about the Republican Party #1
960315 Pre. What does R like about the Republican Party #2
960316 Pre. What does R like about the Republican Party #3
960317 Pre. What does R like about the Republican Party #4
960318 Pre. What does R like about the Republican Party #5
960319 Pre. Is there anything R dislikes about the Republican Party
960320 Pre. What does R dislike about the Republican Party #1
960321 Pre. What does R dislike about the Republican Party #2
960322 Pre. What does R dislike about the Republican Party #3
960323 Pre. What does R dislike about the Republican Party #4
960324 Pre. What does R dislike about the Republican Party #5
960325 Pre. Is there anything R likes about the Democrat Party
960326 Pre. What does R like about the Democrat Party #1
960327 Pre. What does R like about the Democrat Party #2
960328 Pre. What does R like about the Democrat Party #3
960329 Pre. What does R like about the Democrat Party #4
960330 Pre. What does R like about the Democrat Party #5
960331 Pre. Is there anything R dislikes about the Democrat Party
960332 Pre. What does R dislike about the Democrat Party #1
960333 Pre. What does R dislike about the Democrat Party #2
960334 Pre. What does R dislike about the Democrat Party #3
960335 Pre. What does R dislike about the Democrat Party #4
960336 Pre. What does R dislike about the Democrat Party #5
960337 Pre. Is R better or worse off financially than a year ago
960338 Pre. Is R much better or worse off financially than a year ago
960339 Pre. Does R think R will be better or worse off financ next yr
960340 Pre. Does R think R will be much better/worse off financ next yr
960341 Pre. Has Clinton ever made R feel angry
960342 Pre. How often has Clinton made R feel angry
960343 Pre. Has Clinton ever made R feel hopeful
960344 Pre. How often has Clinton made R feel hopeful
960345 Pre. Has Clinton ever made R feel afraid
960346 Pre. How often has Clinton made R feel afraid
960347 Pre. Has Clinton ever made R feel proud
960348 Pre. How often has Clinton made R feel proud
960349 Pre. Has Dole ever made R feel angry
960350 Pre. How often has Dole made R feel angry
960351 Pre. Has Dole ever made R feel hopeful
960352 Pre. How often has Dole made R feel hopeful
960353 Pre. Has Dole ever made R feel afraid
960354 Pre. How often has Dole made R feel afraid
960355 Pre. Has Dole ever made R feel proud
960356 Pre. How often has Dole made R feel proud
960357 Pre. Has Perot ever made R feel angry
960358 Pre. How often has Perot made R feel angry
960359 Pre. Has Perot ever made R feel hopeful
960360 Pre. How often has Perot made R feel hopeful
960361 Pre. Has Perot ever made R feel afraid
960362 Pre. How often has Perot made R feel afraid
960363 Pre. Has Perot ever made R feel proud
960364 Pre. How often has Perot made R feel proud
960365 Pre. R's self-placement on liberal/conservative scale
960366 Pre. If R had to choose, would R be lib or con
960367 Pre. How certain is R of self-placement on lib/con scale
960368 Pre. Summary of R's self-placement on lib/con scale
960369 Pre. R's placement of Clinton on liberal-con scale

960370 Pre. How certain is R of placement of Clinton on lib/con scale
 960371 Pre. R's placement of Dole on lib-con scale
 960372 Pre. How certain is R of placement of Dole on lib/con scale
 960373 Pre. R's placement of Perot on lib-con scale
 960374 Pre. How certain is R of placement of Perot on lib/con scale
 960375 Pre. R's placement of Dem Hse cand on lib/con scale
 960376 Pre. How certain is R of place of Dem Hse cand on lib/con scale
 960377 Pre. R's placement of Rep Hse cand on lib/con scale
 960378 Pre. How certain is R of place of Rep Hse cand on lib/con scale
 960379 Pre. R's placement of Democratic Party on lib/con scale
 960380 Pre. R's placement of Republican Party on lib/con scale
 960381 Pre. Who does R think will be elected President
 960382 Pre. Does R think the Presidential race will be close
 960383 Pre. Which Pres cand does R think will carry R's state
 960384 Pre. R think the Pres race will be close in R's state
 960385 Pre. R think econ has gotten better/worse over past year
 960386 Pre. R think econ has gotten much better/worse over past year
 960387 Pre. R expect econ to get better/worse over the next year
 960388 Pre. R expect econ to get much better or worse over the next year
 960389 Pre. R think the stand of living will be better or worse in 20 yrs
 960390 Pre. Have fed govt policy made the nation's econ better or worse
 960391 Pre. Have fed gov policy made nation's econ much better or worse
 960392 Pre. R think the budg def incr/decr under Clinton
 960393 Pre. R think the budg def incr/decr a lot under Clinton
 960394 Pre. R think avg fed income tax incr/decr under Clinton
 960395 Pre. R think the avg fed income tax incr/decr a lot under Clinton
 960396 Pre. (Interviewer checkpoint) Was R randomly select for q H4a-H8
 960397 Pre. Which party would do a better job handling the economy
 960398 Pre. Which party would do a better job handling for aff
 960399 Pre. Which party would do a better job hlth care afford
 960400 Pre. Which party would do a better job reform welfare
 960401 Pre. Which party would do a better job hand the poverty prob
 960402 Pre. Which party would do a better job hand the budget deficit
 960403 Pre. Which party would do a better job hand the enviro
 960404 Pre. Which party would do a better job dealing with crime
 960405 Pre. Which party would be more likely to cut soc security
 960406 Pre. Which party would be more likely to improve race relat
 960407 Pre. Which party would be more likely to raise taxes
 960408 Pre. Which party would be more likely to keep out of war
 960409 Pre. Has the US pos in the world grown str/weaker in the past year
 960410 Pre. Should the US not concern itself with problems abroad
 960411 Pre. How willing should the US be to use military force
 960412 Pre. Does R favor a 12-year term limit on members of Congress
 960413 Pre. Does R know if either House cand the incumb
 960414 Pre. Candidate code of recalled incumb (two-cand race)
 960415 Pre. Does R know if the unopposed Hse cand is the incumbent
 960416 Pre. Candidate code of recalled incumbent (unopposed race)
 960417 Pre. R's party identification
 960418 Pre. Strength of R's party identification
 960419 Pre. (If R is independent/no preference) R closer to one party
 960420 Pre. Summary of R's party identification
 960421 Pre. Does R consider Clinton intelligent
 960422 Pre. Does R consider Clinton compassionate
 960423 Pre. Does R consider Clinton moral
 960424 Pre. How certain is R about whether Clinton is moral
 960425 Pre. Does R consider Clinton inspiring
 960426 Pre. Does R think that Clinton provides strong leadership
 960427 Pre. Does R think that Clinton really cares about people like R
 960428 Pre. Does R consider Clinton knowledgeable
 960429 Pre. Does R consider Clinton honest

960430 Pre. Does R think that Clinton gets things done
960431 Pre. How certain is R about whether Clinton gets things done
960432 Pre. Does R consider Dole moral
960433 Pre. How certain is R about whether Dole is moral
960434 Pre. Does R consider Dole inspiring
960435 Pre. Does R think that Dole provides strong leadership
960436 Pre. Does R think that Dole really cares about people like R
960437 Pre. Does R consider Dole knowledgeable
960438 Pre. Does R consider Dole honest
960439 Pre. Does R think that Dole gets things done
960440 Pre. How certain is R about whether Dole gets things done
960441 Pre. Does R consider Perot moral
960442 Pre. How certain is R about whether Perot is moral
960443 Pre. Does R consider Perot inspiring
960444 Pre. Does R think that Perot provides strong leadership
960445 Pre. Does R think that Perot really cares about people like R
960446 Pre. Does R consider Perot knowledgeable
960447 Pre. Does R consider Perot honest
960448 Pre. Does R think that Perot gets things done
960449 Pre. How certain is R about whether Perot gets things done
960450 Pre. R's self-placement on services/spending scale
960451 Pre. How certain is R of self-place on service/spend scale
960452 Pre. How important is the services/spending issue to R
960453 Pre. R's placement of Clinton on services/spending scale
960454 Pre. How certain is R of place of Clinton on service/spend scale
960455 Pre. R's placement of Dole on services/spending scale
960456 Pre. How certain is R of place of Dole on service/spend scale
960457 Pre. R's placement of Perot on services/spending scale
960458 Pre. How certain is R of place of Perot on service/spend scale
960459 Pre. R's placement of Dem Hse cand on service/spending scale
960460 Pre. R's placement of Rep Hse cand on services/spending scale
960461 Pre. R's placement of Dem Party on services/spending scale
960462 Pre. R's placement of Rep Party on services/spending scale
960463 Pre. R's self-placement on defense spending scale
960464 Pre. How certain is R of self-plac on defense spending scale
960465 Pre. How important is the defense spending issue to R
960466 Pre. R's placement of Clinton on defense spending scale
960467 Pre. How certain is R of place of Clinton on defense spend scale
960468 Pre. How important does R think defense spending is to Clinton
960469 Pre. R's placement of Dole on defense spending scale
960470 Pre. How certain is R of place of Dole on defense spending scale
960471 Pre. How important does R think defense spending is to Dole
960472 Pre. R's placement of Perot on defense spending scale
960473 Pre. How certain is R of place of Perot on defense spend scale
960474 Pre. How important does R think defense spending is to Perot
960475 Pre. R's placement of Dem Hse candidate on defense spend scale
960476 Pre. R's placement of Rep Hse candidate on defense spending scale
960477 Pre. R's placement of Dem Party on defense spending scale
960478 Pre. R's place of Rep Party on defense spending scale
960479 Pre. R's self-placement on govt health insurance scale
960480 Pre. R's place of Clinton on govt hlth insurance scale
960481 Pre. R's place of Dole on gov hlth insurance scale
960482 Pre. R's place of Perot on govt hlth insurance scale
960483 Pre. R's self-placement on guar job/standard of living scale
960484 Pre. R's place of Clinton on guar job/standard of living scale
960485 Pre. R's place of Dole on guar job/standard of living scale
960486 Pre. R's place of Perot on guar job/standard of living scale
960487 Pre. R's self-place on aid to blacks scale
960488 Pre. How certain is R of self-place on aid to blacks scale
960489 Pre. How important is the aid to blacks issue to R

960490 Pre. R's place of Clinton on aid to blacks scale
960491 Pre. How certain is R of place of Clinton on aid to blacks scale
960492 Pre. R's place of Dole on aid to blacks scale
960493 Pre. How certain is R of placement of Dole on aid to blacks scale
960494 Pre. R's place of Perot on aid to blacks scale
960495 Pre. How certain is R of place of Perot on aid to blacks scale
960496 Pre. Spending on food stamps should be incr/decr
960497 Pre. Spending on welfare programs should be incr/decr
960498 Pre. Spending on AIDS research should be incr/decr
960499 Pre. Spending on foreign aid should be incr/decr
960500 Pre. Spending on aid to college students should be incr/decr
960501 Pre. Spending on the homeless should be incr/decr
960502 Pre. Spending on immigr control should be incr/decr
960503 Pre. R's self-placement on abortion issue
960504 Pre. How certain is R of self-placement on abortion issue
960505 Pre. How important is the abortion issue to R
960506 Pre. R's placement of Clinton on abortion issue
960507 Pre. How certain is R of placement of Clinton on abortion issue
960508 Pre. How important does R think the abortion issue is to Clinton
960509 Pre. R's placement of Dole on abortion issue
960510 Pre. How certain is R of placement of Dole on abortion issue
960511 Pre. How important does R think the abortion issue is to Dole
960512 Pre. R's placement of Perot on abortion issue
960513 Pre. How certain is R of placement of Perot on abortion issue
960514 Pre. How important does R think the abortion issue is to Perot
960515 Pre. R's placement of Dem House candidate on abortion issue
960516 Pre. R's placement of Rep House candidate on abortion issue
960517 Pre. R's placement of Dem Party on abortion issue
960518 Pre. R's placement of Rep Party on abortion issue
960519 Pre. R's self-placement on reduction of crime scale
960520 Pre. R's placement of Clinton on reduction of crime scale
960521 Pre. R's placement of Dole on reduction of crime scale
960522 Pre. R's placement of Perot on reduction of crime scale
960523 Pre. R's self-placement on jobs/environment scale
960524 Pre. How certain is R of self-placement on jobs/environment scale
960525 Pre. How important is the jobs/environment issue to R
960526 Pre. R's placement of Clinton on jobs/environment scale
960527 Pre. How certain is R of place of Clinton on jobs/enviro scale
960528 Pre. How important does R think jobs/enviro issue is to Clinton
960529 Pre. R's placement of Dole on jobs/environment scale
960530 Pre. How certain is R of place of Dole on jobs/enviro scale
960531 Pre. How important does R think jobs/enviro issue is to Dole
960532 Pre. R's placement of Perot on jobs/environment scale
960533 Pre. How certain is R of placement of Perot on jobs/enviro scale
960534 Pre. How important does R think jobs/enviro issue is to Perot
960535 Pre. R's placement of Dem Party on jobs/environment scale
960536 Pre. R's placement of Rep Party on jobs/environment scale
960537 Pre. R's self-placement on environmental regulation scale
960538 Pre. R's placement of Clinton on environmental regulation scale
960539 Pre. R's placement of Dole on environmental regulation scale
960540 Pre. R's placement of Perot on environmental regulation scale
960541 Pre. R's placement of Dem Party on enviro regulation scale
960542 Pre. R's placement of Rep Party on enviro regulation scale
960543 Pre. R's self-placement on women's rights scale
960544 Pre. R's placement of Clinton on women's rights scale
960545 Pre. R's placement of Dole on women's rights scale
960546 Pre. R's placement of Perot on women's rights scale
960547 Pre. Does R expect to vote in the national elections
960548 Pre. (Voters) For whom does R plan to vote for President
960549 Pre. (Voters) Strength of R's Presidential candidate preference

960550 Pre. (Nonvoters) For whom would R vote for President
 960551 Pre. (Nonvoters) Strength of R's Presidential cand preference
 960552 Pre. Who would R most like to see elected President
 960553 Pre. Which other Pres cand would R find acceptable
 960554 Pre. (Voters) For whom does R plan to vote for House
 960555 Pre. (Voters) Candidate code of R's House vote
 960556 Pre. (Voters) Strength of R's House candidate preference
 960557 Pre. (Nonvoters) For whom would R vote for House
 960558 Pre. (Nonvoters) Candidate code of R's preferred House candidate
 960559 Pre. (Nonvoters) Strength of R's House candidate preference
 960560 Pre. Spending on Soc Sec should be incr or decr
 960561 Pre. Spending on env protection should be incr or decreased
 960562 Pre. Spending on public schools should be increased or decreased
 960563 Pre. Spending on crime reduction should be increased or decreased
 960564 Pre. Spending on child care should be increased or decreased
 960565 Pre. Spending on poor people should be incr/decr
 960566 Pre. How much of the time does R trust the fed govt to do right
 960567 Pre. Does R think that most people can be trusted
 960568 Pre. Does R agree that "People like me have no say in government"
 960569 Pre. Does R think that most pple would try to take advan of R
 960570 Pre. How similar does R think other people are to R
 960571 Pre. Is religion an important part of R's life
 960572 Pre. How much guidance does religion provide in R's life
 960573 Pre. How frequently does R pray
 960574 Pre. How frequently does R read the Bible
 960575 Pre. R's view on whether the Bible is the word of God
 960576 Pre. Does R attend religious services (apart from special events)
 960577 Pre. Does R consider himself/herself part of a church
 960578 Pre. How frequently does R attend religious services
 960579 Pre. Does R attend religious services more often than once a week
 960580 Pre. (Interviewer checkpoint) Does R attend a place of worship
 960581 Pre. Religious affiliation of R's place of worship
 960582 Pre. R's religious affiliation
 960583 Pre. (Protestant) R's church/denomination
 960584 Pre. With what Baptist group is R's church affiliated
 960585 Pre. (Baptist) Is R's church local or affil with a Baptist grp
 960586 Pre. With what Lutheran group is R's church affiliated
 960587 Pre. With what Methodist group is R's church affiliated
 960588 Pre. With what Presbyterian group is R's church affiliated
 960589 Pre. With what Reformed group is R's church affiliated
 960590 Pre. With what Brethren group is R's church affiliated
 960591 Pre. By "Christian" does R mean Disciples of Christ
 960592 Pre. With what Church of Christ group is R's church affiliated
 960593 Pre. With what Church of God group is R's church affiliated
 960594 Pre. (Holiness or Pentecostal) What is R's church
 960595 Pre. (Other Protestant group) What is the name/affil of R's church
 960596 Pre. (Not Prot/Cath/Jewish) What is R's place of worship
 960597 Pre. (R not alry ident as Chrst) Is R's place of worship Christian
 960598 Pre. (Jewish) Is R (or R's place of worship) Orth, Conser, or Ref
 960599 Pre. Is R officially a member of a place of worship
 960600 Pre. Type of R's Christianity
 960601 Pre. Is R a born-again Christian
 960602 Pre. Summary of R's religion
 960603 Pre. R's month of birth
 960604 Pre. R's year of birth
 960605 Pre. R's Age
 960606 Pre. R's marital status
 960607 Pre. Highest grade R has completed
 960608 Pre. Has R earned a high school diploma/passed the GED
 960609 Pre. Highest degree R has earned

960610 Pre. Summary of R's education
960611 Pre. Highest grade R's spouse has completed
960612 Pre. Has R's spouse earned a high sch diploma earned/pass the GED
960613 Pre. Highest degree R's spouse has earned
960614 Pre. Summary of R's spouse's education
960615 Pre. R's employment status
960616 Pre. R's employment status (collapsed)
960617 Pre. (Unemployed) Has R ever worked for pay
960618 Pre. (Unemployed) Past occupation code
960619 Pre. (Unemployed) Collapsed past occupation code
960620 Pre. (Unemployed) Past occupation prestige score
960621 Pre. (Unemployed) Past business/industry code
960622 Pre. (Unemployed) Was R self-employed
960623 Pre. (Unemployed) Did R work for the government
960624 Pre. (Unemployed) Has R worked for pay in the last six months
960625 Pre. (Unemployed) Hours per week R worked
960626 Pre. (Unemployed) Is R looking for work
960627 Pre. (Unemployed) How worried is R about finding work
960628 Pre. (Retired) Month of retirement
960629 Pre. (Retired) Year of retirement
960630 Pre. (Retired) Past occupation code
960631 Pre. (Retired) Collapsed past occupation code
960632 Pre. (Retired) Past occupation prestige score
960633 Pre. (Retired) Past business/industry code
960634 Pre. (Retired) Was R self-employed
960635 Pre. (Retired) Did R work for the government
960636 Pre. (Retired) Has R worked for pay in the last six months
960637 Pre. (Retired) Hours per week R worked
960638 Pre. (Retired) Is R currently working for pay
960639 Pre. (Retired) Is R looking for work
960640 Pre. (Retired) How worried is R about finding work
960641 Pre. (Disabled) Has R ever worked for pay
960642 Pre. (Disabled) Past occupation code
960643 Pre. (Disabled) Collapsed past occupation code
960644 Pre. (Disabled) Past occupation prestige score
960645 Pre. (Disabled) Past business/industry code
960646 Pre. (Disabled) Was R self-employed
960647 Pre. (Disabled) Did R work for the government
960648 Pre. (Disabled) Has R worked for pay in the last six months
960649 Pre. (Disabled) Hours per week R worked
960650 Pre. (Disabled) Is R currently working for pay
960651 Pre. (Disabled) Is R looking for work
960652 Pre. (Disabled) How worried is R about finding work
960653 Pre. (Homemaker/Student) Is R currently working for pay
960654 Pre. (Homemaker/Student) Has R worked for pay in the last 6 mos
960655 Pre. (Homemaker/Student) Past occupation code
960656 Pre. (Homemaker/Student) Collapsed past occupation code
960657 Pre. (Homemaker/Student) Past occupation prestige score
960658 Pre. (Homemaker/Student) Past business/industry code
960659 Pre. (Homemaker/Student) Was R self-employed
960660 Pre. (Homemaker/Student) Did R work for the government
960661 Pre. (Homemaker/Student) Hours per week R worked
960662 Pre. (Homemaker/Student) Is R looking for work
960663 Pre. (Homemaker/Student) How worried is R about finding work
960664 Pre. (Working now) Occupation code
960665 Pre. (Working now) Collapsed occupation code
960666 Pre. (Working now) Occupation prestige score
960667 Pre. (Working now) Business/industry code
960668 Pre. (Working now) Is R self-employed
960669 Pre. (Working now) Does R work for the government

960670 Pre. (Working now) Hours per week R works
 960671 Pre. (Working now) Is R satisfied with num hrs R works per week
 960672 Pre. (Working now) How worried is R about losing job
 960673 Pre. (Working now) Was R out of work in the last six months
 960674 Pre. (Working now) Did R have reduction in wrk hrs in last 6 mos
 960675 Pre. Stacked -- R occupation code
 960676 Pre. Stacked -- R collapsed occupation code
 960677 Pre. Stacked -- R occupation prestige score
 960678 Pre. Stacked -- R business/industry code
 960679 Pre. Stacked -- is R self-employed
 960680 Pre. Stacked -- does R work for the government
 960681 Pre. Stacked -- hours per week R worked
 960682 Pre. Stacked -- how worried is R about finding/losing job
 960683 Pre. Stacked -- has R worked for pay in the last six months
 960684 Pre. Stacked -- is R looking for work
 960685 Pre. Stacked -- has R ever worked for pay
 960686 Pre. R's spouse's employment status
 960687 Pre. R spouse employment status (collapsed)
 960688 Pre. Has R's spouse ever worked for pay
 960689 Pre. (Spouse retired) Month of retirement
 960690 Pre. (Spouse retired) Year of retirement
 960691 Pre. Is R's spouse currently working for pay
 960692 Pre. Has R's spouse worked for pay in the last six months
 960693 Pre. R's spouse's occupation code (1)
 960694 Pre. R's spouse's occupation code (collapsed) (1)
 960695 Pre. R's spouse's occupation prestige score (1)
 960696 Pre. R's spouse's business/industry code (1)
 960697 Pre. R's spouse's average hours worked per week (1)
 960698 Pre. Do any of R's household members belong to a labor union
 960699 Pre. R's household members who belong to a labor union
 960700 Pre. (Interviewer checkpoint) is R the only hsehold member 14+
 960701 Pre. R's family income in 1995
 960702 Pre. R's own income in 1995
 960703 Pre. R's ethnic/nationality group -- MENTION 1
 960704 Pre. R's ethnic/nationality group -- MENTION 2
 960705 Pre. (Interviewer checkpoint) # of ethnic/national grps mentioned
 960706 Pre. Ethnic/nationality group with which R most closely identifies
 960707 Pre. Were both of R's parents born in the United States
 960708 Pre. Is R of Spanish/Hispanic origin or descent
 960709 Pre. Category of Hispanic origin that best describes R
 960710 Pre. R's father's occupation code
 960711 Pre. Where did R grow up
 960712 Pre. How long has R lived in R's present city
 960713 Pre. How long has R lived in R's present house
 960714 Pre. Does R own a home or pay rent
 960715 Pre. How many miles does R drive in a typical day
 960716 Pre. Clinton Position A4-A9a(5) Random [Likes/Dislikes]
 960717 Pre. Dole Position A4-A9a(5) Random [Likes/Dislikes]
 960718 Pre. Perot Position A4-A9a(5) Random [Likes/Dislikes]
 960719 Pre. Gore Position Thermometer Random (D1d-D1w)
 960720 Pre. Kemp Position Thermometer Random (D1d-D1w)
 960721 Pre. Choate/Campbell Position Therm Random (D1d-D1w)
 960722 Pre. Democratic Hse Cand Pos Thermometer Random (D1d-D1w)
 960723 Pre. Republican Hse Cand Pos Thermometer Random (D1d-D1w)
 960724 Pre. Retiring Hse Representative Position Therm Random (D1d-D1w)
 960725 Pre. Hillary Clinton Position Thermometer Random (D1d-D1w)
 960726 Pre. Buchanan Position Thermometer Random (D1d-D1w)
 960727 Pre. Jackson Position Thermometer Random (D1d-D1w)
 960728 Pre. Gingrich Position Thermometer Random (D1d-D1w)
 960729 Pre. Powell Position Thermometer Random (D1d-D1w)

960730 Pre. Forbes Position Thermometer Random (D1d-D1w)
 960731 Pre. Gramm Position Thermometer Random (D1d-D1w)
 960732 Pre. Farrakhan Position Thermometer Random (D1d-D1w)
 960733 Pre. Alexander Position Thermometer Random (D1d-D1w)
 960734 Pre. Elizabeth Dole Position Thermometer Random (D1d-D1w)
 960735 Pre. Robertson Position Thermometer Random (D1d-D1w)
 960736 Pre. Democratic Party Position Thermometer Random (D2a-D2b)
 960737 Pre. Republican Party Position Thermometer Random (D2a-D2b)
 960738 Pre. Economy Position in Presidential Performance Random E2-E5
 960739 Pre. Foreign Affairs Postion in Pres Performance Random E2-E5
 960740 Pre. Environment Position in Pres Performance Random E2-E5
 960741 Pre. Health Care Position in Pres Performance Random E2-E5
 960742 Pre. Order: random Pres Cand names E6a-E6d,E7,E8 perform items
 960743 Pre. Environment Position in Cand Performance Random E6a-E6b
 960744 Pre. Budget Deficit Position in Cand Performance Random E6a-E6b
 960745 Pre. Public Educ- Position in Cand Performance Random E6a-E6b
 960746 Pre. Reduce Spec Interests -Pos in Cand Perform Random E6a-E6b
 960747 Pre. Republican Party Position F1-F4a(5) Random [Likes/Dislikes]
 960748 Pre. Democratic Party Position F1-F4a(5) Random [Likes/Dislikes]
 960749 Pre. Clinton Position in G1a-G3d(1) Random [Pres Cand Affects]
 960750 Pre. Dole Position in G1a-G3d(1) Random [Pres Candidate Affects]
 960751 Pre. Perot Position in G1a-G3d(1) Random [Pres Cand Affects]
 960752 Pre. Angry in G1a-G1d(1) Randomization [Clinton Affects]
 960753 Pre. Hopeful in G1a-G1d(1) Randomization [Clinton Affects]
 960754 Pre. Afraid in G1a-G1d(1) Randomization [Clinton Affects]
 960755 Pre. Proud in G1a-G1d(1) Randomization [Clinton Affects]
 960756 Pre. Angry in G2a-G2d(1) Randomization [Dole Affects]
 960757 Pre. Hopeful in G2a-G2d(1) Randomization [Dole Affects]
 960758 Pre. Afraid in G2a-G2d(1) Randomization [Dole Affects]
 960759 Pre. Proud in G2a-G2d(1) Randomization [Dole Affects]
 960760 Pre. Angry in G3a-G3d(1) Randomization [Perot Affects]
 960761 Pre. Hopeful in G3a-G3d(1) Randomization [Perot Affects]
 960762 Pre. Afraid in G3a-G3d(1) Randomization [Perot Affects]
 960763 Pre. Proud in G3a-G3d(1) Randomization [Perot Affects]
 960764 Pre. Clinton Pos in G5-G7a Random [Lib-Con Place of Pres.Cands]
 960765 Pre. Dole Pos in G5-G7a Random [Lib-Con of Pres. Cand]
 960766 Pre. Perot Pos in G5-G7a Random [Lib-Con of Pres. Cand]
 960767 Pre. Dem Hse Cand Pos in G8-G9a Random [Lib-Con Hse Cand Pl]
 960768 Pre. Rep Hse Cand Pos in G8-G9a Random [Lib-Con Hse Cand Pl]
 960769 Pre. Dem Party Position in G10-G11 Random [Lib-Con Party Pl]
 960770 Pre. Rep Party Position in G10-G11 Random [Lib-Con Party Pl]
 960771 Pre. Order of randomized parties in H4a-H4h performance items
 960772 Pre. Pos of Nation's Econ in H4a-H4h Rand [Pres Cand Perform]
 960773 Pre. Pos of Foreign Affairs in H4a-H4h Rand [Pres Cand Perform]
 960774 Pre. Pos of Health Care in H4a-H4h Random [Pres Cand Perform]
 960775 Pre. Pos of Welfare Reform in H4a-H4h Random [Pres Cand Perform]
 960776 Pre. Pos of Poverty in H4a-H4h Randomization [Pres Cand Perform]
 960777 Pre. Pos of Budget Deficit in H4a-H4h Random [Pres Cand Perform]
 960778 Pre. Pos of Pollut/Envir in H4a-H4h Random [Pres Cand Perform]
 960779 Pre. Pos of Crime in H4a-H4h Random [Pres Cand Performance]
 960780 Pre. Order of parties in H5 perform item [Cut Soc Security]
 960781 Pre. Order of parties in H6 perform item [Imprve Race Relat]
 960782 Pre. Order of parties in H7 perform item [Raise Taxes]
 960783 Pre. Order of parties in H8 perform item [Keep Out of War]
 960784 Pre. Order of House cand names in H13a [Incumbency Status]
 960785 Pre. Pos of Intelligent in K2a-j Random [Clinton Traits]
 960786 Pre. Pos of Compassionate in K2a-j Random [Clinton Traits]
 960787 Pre. Pos of Moral in K2a-j Random [Clinton Traits]
 960788 Pre. Pos of Inspiring in K2a-j Random [Clinton Traits]
 960789 Pre. Pos of Strong Leadership in K2a-j Random [Clinton Traits]

960790 Pre. Pos of Really Cares in K2a-j Random [Clinton Traits]
 960791 Pre. Pos of Knowledgeable in K2a-j Random [Clinton Traits]
 960792 Pre. Pos of Honest in K2a-j Random [Clinton Traits]
 960793 Pre. Pos of Gets Things Done in K2a-j Random [Clinton Traits]
 960794 Pre. Pos of Dole in K3a-K3g/K4a-K4g Random [Pres Cand Traits]
 960795 Pre. Pos of Perot in K3a-K3g/K4a-K4g Random [Pres Cand Traits]
 960796 Pre. Pos of Moral in K3-K3g/K4a-K4g Random [Dole/PerotTraits]
 960797 Pre. Pos of Inspiring in K3-K3g/K4a-K4g Random [Dole/PerotTraits]
 960798 Pre. Pos of Strong Lead in K3-K3g/K4a-K4g [Dole/PerotTraits]
 960799 Pre. Really Cares in K3-K3g/K4a-K4g Random [Dole/Perot
 960800 Pre. Knowledgeable in K3-K3g/K4a-K4g Random [Dole/PerotTraits]
 960801 Pre. Honest in K3-K3g/K4a-K4g Random [Dole/PerotTraits]
 960802 Pre. Gets Things Done in K3-K3g/K4a-K4g Random [Dole/PerotTraits]
 960803 Pre. Clinton in L1b-L1d Random [Pres Cand Services/Spend scales]
 960804 Pre. Dole in L1b-L1d Random [Pres Cand Services/Spending scales]
 960805 Pre. Perot in L1b-L1d Random [Pres Cand Services/Spending scales]
 960806 Pre. Dem House Cand in L1e-L1f Random [Services/Spending scale]
 960807 Pre. Rep House Cand in L1e-L1f Random [Services/Spending scale]
 960808 Pre. Dem Party in L1g-L1h Random [Services/Spending scale]
 960809 Pre. Rep Party in L1g-L1h Random [Services/Spending scale]
 960810 Pre. Clinton in L2b-L2d Random [Defense Spending scale]
 960811 Pre. Dole in L2b-L2d Random [Defense Spending scale]
 960812 Pre. Perot in L2b-L2d Random [Defense Spending scale]
 960813 Pre. Dem House cand in L2e-L2f Random [Defense Spending scale]
 960814 Pre. Rep House cand in L2e-L2f Random [Defense Spending scale]
 960815 Pre. Dem Party in L2e-L2f Random [Defense Spending scale]
 960816 Pre. Rep Party in L2e-L2f Random [Defense Spending scale]
 960817 Pre. Clinton in L3b-L3d Random [Gov Health Insurance scale]
 960818 Pre. Dole in L3b-L3d Random [Gov Health Insurance scale]
 960819 Pre. Perot in L3b-L3d Random [Gov Health Insurance scale]
 960820 Pre. Clinton in L4b-L4d Random [Gov Gur Job/Standard of Livin
 960821 Pre. Dole in L4b-L4d Random [Gov Gur Job/Standard of Living sc
 960822 Pre. Perot in L4b-L4d Random [Gov Gur Job/Standard of Living s
 960823 Pre. Clinton in L5b-L5d Randomization [Gov Ass to Blacks scale]
 960824 Pre. Dole in L5b-L5d Randomization [Gov Ass to Blacks scale]
 960825 Pre. Perot in L5b-L5d Randomization [Gov Ass to Blacks scale]
 960826 Pre. Food Stamps in L6a-L6g Randomization [Federal Spending]
 960827 Pre. Welfare Programs in L6a-L6g Randomization [Federal Spending]
 960828 Pre. AIDS Research in L6a-L6g Randomization [Federal Spending]
 960829 Pre. Foreign Aid in L6a-L6g Randomization [Federal Spending]
 960830 Pre. Aid to College Students in L6a-L6g Random [Fed Spending]
 960831 Pre. Assistance to the Homeless in L6a-L6g Random [Fed Spending]
 960832 Pre. Prevent Illegal Immigr in L6a-L6g Random [Fed Spending]
 960833 Pre. Clinton in M1b-M1d Random [Abortion Scale]
 960834 Pre. Dole in M1b-M1d Random [Abortion Scale]
 960835 Pre. Perot in M1b-M1d Random [Abortion Scale]
 960836 Pre. Dem House Cand in M1e-M1f Random [Abortion Scale]
 960837 Pre. Rep House Cand in M1e-M1f Random [Abortion Scale]
 960838 Pre. Dem Party in M1g-M1h Randomization [Abortion Scale]
 960839 Pre. Republican Party in M1g-M1h Random [Abortion Scale]
 960840 Pre. Clinton in M2b-M2d Random [Crime Scale]
 960841 Pre. Dole in M2b-M2d Random [Crime Scale]
 960842 Pre. Perot in M2b-M2d Random [Crime Scale]
 960843 Pre. Clinton in M3b-M3d Random [Jobs/Environment Scale]
 960844 Pre. Dole in M3b-M3d Random [Jobs/Environment Scale]
 960845 Pre. Perot in M3b-M3d Random [Jobs/Environment Scale]
 960846 Pre. Dem Party in M3e-M3f Random [Jobs/Environment Scale]
 960847 Pre. Rep Party in M3e-M3f Random [Jobs/Environment Scale]
 960848 Pre. Clinton in M4b-M4d Random [Environmental Regulation Scale]
 960849 Pre. Dole in M4b-M4d Random [Environmental Regulation Scale]

960850 Pre. Perot in M4b-M4d Random [Environmental Regulation Scale]
 960851 Pre. Dem Party in M4e-M4f Random [Environmental Regulation Scale]
 960852 Pre. Rep Party in M4e-M4f Random [Environmental Regulation Scale]
 960853 Pre. Clinton in N1b-N1d Random [Women's Role]
 960854 Pre. Dole in N1b-N1d Random [Women's Role]
 960855 Pre. Perot in N1b-N1d Random [Women's Role]
 960856 Pre. Pres. cand names in text of N3a [Who R Would Most Like Pres]
 960857 Pre. Social Security Benefits in N5a-N5f [Federal Spending]
 960858 Pre. Improve/Protect the Environment in N5a-N5f [Fed Spending]
 960859 Pre. Public Schools in N5a-N5f [Federal Spending]
 960860 Pre. Dealing with Crime in N5a-N5f [Federal Spending]
 960861 Pre. Child Care in N5a-N5f [Federal Spending]
 960862 Pre. Poor People in N5a-N5f [Federal Spending]
 960900 Post. 1996 Post ID
 960901 Post. Form of questionnaire
 960902 Post. Day of interview
 960903 Post. Month of interview
 960904 Post. Number of days since election day
 960905 Post. Beginning time (local)
 960906 Post. Ending time (local)
 960907 Post. Interview length in minutes
 960908 Post. Interview number
 960909 Post. Date of beginning VQ file
 960910 Post. Date of ending VQ file
 960911 Post. Respondent payment amount
 960912 Post. Was the interview tape recorded
 960913 Post. Verification indicator
 960914 Post. Evaluation indicator
 960915 Post. Refusal conversion indicator
 960916 Post. Was R sent a persuasion letter
 960917 Post. Type of persuasion letter sent to R
 960918 Post. Number of telephone calls
 960919 Post. Number of face to face calls
 960920 Post. Total number of calls (phone + FtF)
 960921 Post. Final result on interview
 960922 Post. Beginning mode of interview
 960923 Post. Ending mode of interview
 960924 Post. R live at same address
 960925 Post. R name/birth/gender same
 960926 Post. Type of structure in which R lives
 960927 Post. Did R refuse initially
 960928 Post. Did R break any appointments
 960929 Post. Was there resistance from this person
 960930 Post. Reason for resistance - waste of time/bad experience
 960931 Post. Reason for resistance - very ill
 960932 Post. Reason for resistance - too busy
 960933 Post. Reason for resistance - stressful family situation
 960934 Post. Reason for resistance - confidentiality
 960935 Post. Reason for resistance - invasion of privacy
 960936 Post. Reason for resistance - no reason given
 960937 Post. Reason for resistance - other
 960938 Post. Others present at the interview
 960939 Post. R's level of cooperation
 960940 Post. R's level of information about politics
 960941 Post. R's apparent intelligence
 960942 Post. R's suspiciousness
 960943 Post. R's interest in interview
 960944 Post. R's sincerity
 960945 Post. #1 reaction to interview
 960946 Post. #2 reaction to interview

960947 Post. #3 reaction to interview
960948 Post. #4 reaction to interview
960949 Post. #5 reaction to interview
960950 Post. #6 reaction to interview
960951 Post. #7 reaction to interview
960952 Post. #8 reaction to interview
960953 Post. Interviewer of record ID
960954 Post. Field supervisor ID
960955 Post. Interviewer gender
960956 Post. Interviewer education
960957 Post. Interviewer race
960958 Post. Interviewer ethnicity
960959 Post. Interviewer languages
960960 Post. Interviewer experience
960961 Post. Interviewer age
961001 Post. R's interest in the political campaign
961002 Post. Did R watch any programs about the campaign
961003 Post. Amount of programs R watched about the campaign
961004 Post. Did R discuss politics with family/friends
961005 Post. Frequency of political discussion w/ friends/family
961006 Post. Does R recall names of congressional candidates
961007 Post. #1 recall - code
961008 Post. #1 recall - party
961009 Post. #1 recall - actual party
961010 Post. #1 recall - summary
961011 Post. #2 recall - code
961012 Post. #2 recall - party
961013 Post. #2 recall - actual party
961014 Post. #2 recall - summary
961015 Post. #3 recall - code
961016 Post. #3 recall - party
961017 Post. #3 recall - actual party
961018 Post. #3 recall - summary
961019 Post. Feeling thermometer - Bill Clinton
961020 Post. Feeling thermometer - Bob Dole
961021 Post. Feeling thermometer - Ross Perot
961022 Post. Feeling thermometer - Democratic House Candidate
961023 Post. Feeling thermometer - Republican House Candidate
961024 Post. Feeling thermometer - Retiring House Candidate
961025 Post. Feeling thermometer - Supreme Court
961026 Post. Feeling thermometer - Congress
961027 Post. Feeling thermometer - The military
961028 Post. Feeling thermometer - Federal government
961029 Post. Feeling thermometer - blacks
961030 Post. Feeling thermometer - whites
961031 Post. Feeling thermometer - conservatives
961032 Post. Feeling thermometer - liberals
961033 Post. Feeling thermometer - labor unions
961034 Post. Feeling thermometer - big business
961035 Post. Feeling thermometer - poor people
961036 Post. Feeling thermometer - people on welfare
961037 Post. Feeling thermometer - Hispanics
961038 Post. Feeling thermometer - Christian fundamentalists
961039 Post. Feeling thermometer - the women's movement
961040 Post. Feeling thermometer - older people
961041 Post. Feeling thermometer - environmentalists
961042 Post. Feeling thermometer - gay men and lesbians
961043 Post. Feeling thermometer - the Christian coalition
961044 Post. Was there anything R liked about Dem House candidate
961045 Post. #1 mention - R like of Democratic House candidate

961046 Post. #2 mention - R like of Democratic House candidate
 961047 Post. #3 mention - R like of Democratic House candidate
 961048 Post. #4 mention - R like of Democratic House candidate
 961049 Post. #5 mention - R like of Democratic House candidate
 961050 Post. Was there anything R disliked about Dem House candidate
 961051 Post. #1 mention - R dislike of Democratic House candidate
 961052 Post. #2 mention - R dislike of Democratic House candidate
 961053 Post. #3 mention - R dislike of Democratic House candidate
 961054 Post. #4 mention - R dislike of Democratic House candidate
 961055 Post. #5 mention - R dislike of Democratic House candidate
 961056 Post. Was there anything R liked about Repub House candidate
 961057 Post. #1 mention - R like of Republican House candidate
 961058 Post. #2 mention - R like of Republican House candidate
 961059 Post. #3 mention - R like of Republican House candidate
 961060 Post. #4 mention - R like of Republican House candidate
 961061 Post. #5 mention - R like of Republican House candidate
 961062 Post. Was there anything R disliked about Repub House cand
 961063 Post. #1 mention - R dislike of Republican House candidate
 961064 Post. #2 mention - R dislike of Republican House candidate
 961065 Post. #3 mention - R dislike of Republican House candidate
 961066 Post. #4 mention - R dislike of Republican House candidate
 961067 Post. #5 mention - R dislike of Republican House candidate
 961068 Post. Does R know if either House candidate is the incumbent
 961069 Post. Code of candidate recalled as House incumbent
 961070 Post. If only 1 candidate ran - was that candidate incumbent
 961071 Post. Unopposed race: Code of cand recalled as House incum
 961072 Post. Does R recall which party was in majority in the House
 961073 Post. Does R recall which party was in majority in the Senate
 961074 Post. Did R vote
 961075 Post. Was R registered
 961076 Post. Is R registered to vote in county
 961077 Post. (If not registered in county) What county registered in
 961078 Post. Did R vote on Nov 5th or before that
 961079 Post. (If before) How long before Nov 5th
 961080 Post. Did R vote is person or by absentee ballot
 961081 Post. Did R vote for candidate for president
 961082 Post. Which presidential candidate did R vote for
 961083 Post. Was R's preference for presidential candidate strong
 961084 Post. How long before election had R decided who to vote for
 961085 Post. If R had > 1 vote, who else would have voted for - #1
 961086 Post. If R had > 1 vote, who else would have voted for - #2
 961087 Post. Did R vote for U.S. House candidate
 961088 Post. R's vote - U.S. House candidate - code
 961089 Post. R's vote - U.S. House candidate - party
 961090 Post. Was there a senate race in state of interview
 961090A Post. Senate race in state of vote
 961091 Post. Did R vote for a Senate candidate
 961092 Post. R's vote - Senate - code
 961093 Post. R's vote - Senate - party
 961094 Post. #1 - Kansas Senate race - code
 961095 Post. #1 - Kansas Senate race - party
 961096 Post. #2 - Kansas Senate race - code
 961097 Post. #2 - Kansas Senate race - party
 961098 Post. (If R did not vote) Did R prefer a cand for president
 961099 Post. (If R did not vote) Which candidate did R prefer
 961100 Post. (If R did not vote) Was R's preference strong/not
 961101 Post. (If R did not vote) Did R prefer candidate for House
 961102 Post. (If R did not vote) Which House cand did R prefer - code
 961103 Post. (If R did not vote) Which House cand R prefer - party
 961104 Post. Assignment to half sample

961105 Post. Level of govt effort to protect the environment
 961106 Post. Level of govt effort to reduce air pollution
 961107 Post. Level of govt effort to manage natural resources
 961108 Post. Level of govt effort to clean up lakes and parks
 961109 Post. Level of govt effort to clean up toxic waste
 961110 Post. Level of govt effort to clean up solid waste
 961111 Post. Level of govt effort to address global warming
 961112 Post. Trait: Clinton - moral
 961113 Post. Certainty of Clinton - moral
 961114 Post. Trait: Clinton - gets things done
 961115 Post. Certainty of Clinton - gets things done
 961116 Post. Trait: Dole - moral
 961117 Post. Certainty of Dole - moral
 961118 Post. Trait: Dole - gets things done
 961119 Post. Certainty of Dole - gets things done
 961120 Post. Trait: Perot - gets things done
 961121 Post. Certainty of Perot - gets things done
 961122 Post. Checkpoint: Running incumbent in R's district
 961123 Post. Does R approve/disapprove of running House incumbent
 961124 Post. Strength of R's approval/disapproval of House incumbent
 961125 Post. Does R know the number yrs that incum has been in House
 961126 Post. (If yes) How many years has incumbent been in House
 961127 Post. (If DK) Has incum been in House less/about/more 12 yrs
 961128 Post. How well has incumbent kept in touch with district
 961129 Post. Does R know House incumbent's vote on welfare reform
 961130 Post. (If DK) R's guess -House incumb vote on welfare reform
 961131 Post. How often does R thinkHouse incumbent supports Clinton
 961132 Post. (If more than half) Almost always
 961133 Post. (If less than half) Almost never
 961134 Post. How much does R follow government and public affairs
 961135 Post. Checkpoint: Half-sample
 961136 Post. What does R think is most imp prob facing country - #1
 961137 Post. What does R think is most imp prob facing country - #2
 961138 Post. What does R think is most imp prob facing country - #3
 961139 Post. What does R think is most imp prob facing country - #4
 961140 Post. Checkpoint: Number of mentions- 'Most important problem'
 961141 Post. What does R think is the single most important problem
 961142 Post. How does R think fed govt is handling most imp problem
 961143 Post. Which party would do better job on most imp prob
 961144 Post. Does R think: Less govt the better /govt should do more
 961145 Post. Does R think: Strg govt for econ prob /free markts handle
 961146 Post. Does R think: Govt big -too involved/govt big -probs big
 961147 Post. Does R think: More import to be cooperative / self-reliant
 961148 Post. How often does R watch 'Jeopardy' and 'Wheel of Fortune'
 961149 Post. How often does R watch sports on TV
 961150 Post. How often does R watch 'ER' on TV
 961151 Post. How often does R watch 'Frasier' on TV
 961152 Post. How often does R watch 'Dr. Quinn' on TV
 961153 Post. How of does R watch 'Friends' on TV
 961154 Post. How often does R watch 'Prime Time Live' on TV
 961155 Post. Does R ever listen to political talk radio programs
 961156 Post. How often does R listen to polit talk radio program
 961157 Post. How much attention does R pay polit talk radio programs
 961158 Post. Does R ever listen to Rush Limbaugh
 961159 Post. How often does R listen to Rush Limbaugh
 961160 Post. Does R have access to the Internet or the World Wide Web
 961161 Post. Did R see any information about campaign on the Internet
 961162 Post. Was R contacted by any political party
 961163 Post. Which party contracted R
 961164 Post. Did anyone else contact R about candidate in the election

961165 Post. Did R talk to others about voting for/against party or cand
 961166 Post. Did R wear a button, place a sign, or put a sticker on car
 961167 Post. Did R attend any meetings, speeches, rallies for cand
 961168 Post. Did R work for any one of the parties or candidates
 961169 Post. Did R contribute money to a candidate running for office
 961170 Post. Which party the candidate that R contributed to belong
 961171 Post. Did R give money to a polit cand during election year
 961172 Post. Which party did R contribute money to
 961173 Post. Did R give money to other group that supported/opposed cand
 961174 Post. Did anyone talk to R about registering to vote
 961175 Post. Did relig/moral group contact R about R's vote
 961176 Post. Campaign information available at R's place of worship
 961177 Post. Did R's clergy give advice to R on how to vote
 961178 Post. Which candidate did R's clergy recommend - #1 mention
 961179 Post. Which candidate did R's clergy recommend - #2 mention
 961180 Post. Which candidate did R's clergy recommend - #3 mention
 961181 Post. Checkpoint: Half-sample
 961182 Post. Does R think there are imp diffs between Reps and Dems
 961183 Post. Important difference: #1 mention
 961183A Post. Important difference: Party reference - #1 mention
 961184 Post. Important difference: #2 mention
 961184A Post. Important difference: Party reference - #2 mention
 961185 Post. Important difference: #3 mention
 961185A Post. Important difference: Party reference - #3 mention
 961186 Post. Important difference: #4 mention
 961186A Post. Important difference: Party reference - #4 mention
 961187 Post. Important difference: #5 mention
 961187A Post. Important difference: Party reference - #5 mention
 961188 Post. Important difference: #6 mention
 961188A Post. Important difference: Party reference - #6 mention
 961189 Post. Does R know what office Al Gore holds
 961190 Post. Does R know what office William Rehnquist hold
 961191 Post. Does R know what office Boris Yeltsin holds
 961192 Post. Does R know what office Newt Gingrich holds
 961193 Post. Does R favor/opp laws to protect homosexuals fr job discrim
 961194 Post. How strongly favor/oppose laws protecting homosexuals
 961195 Post. Does R think homosexuals should be allowed in the army
 961196 Post. How strongly feel homosexuals serve / not serve in army
 961197 Post. Does R favor or oppose the death penalty
 961198 Post. How strongly favor /oppose death penalty
 961199 Post. R agree/disagree: mothers w/ children should not work
 961200 Post. Which level of govt should handle enviro problems
 961201 Post. Which level of govt should handle welfare
 961202 Post. Which level of govt should handle crime
 961203 Post. In which level govt does R have most faith /confidence
 961204 Post. In which level govt does R have least faith / confidence
 961205 Post. Does R have opinion: govt see to fair job treatmt for blacks
 961206 Post. R opinion: govt see to fair job treatment for blacks
 961207 Post. How strongly feel about fair job treat for blacks
 961208 Post. Does R favor affirmative action in hiring and promotion
 961209 Post. Does R favor/oppose affir action strongly / not strongly
 961210 Post. R's position on aid to blacks - 7 point scale
 961211 Post. Clinton's position on aid to blacks - 7 point scale
 961212 Post. Dole's position on aid to blacks - 7 point scale
 961213 Post. Perot's position on aid to blacks - 7 point scale
 961214 Post. R's opinion on the issue of school prayer
 961215 Post. Strength of R's position on school prayer
 961216 Post. How afraid is R of being crime victim in the coming year
 961217 Post. Does R favor / oppose handgun control
 961218 Post. (Phone IW only) Does R have a handgun in the house

961219 Post. R favor increasing deficit to increase domestic programs
 961220 Post. R favor cuts in domestic programs to cut taxes
 961221 Post. R favor an increase in the deficit to cut taxes
 961222 Post. How would R rate quality of air in our nation
 961223 Post. How would R rate quality of air in R's local community
 961224 Post. How would R rate quality of drinking water in our nation
 961225 Post. How would R rate quality of drinking water in community
 961226 Post. R favor tax increase to increase spending on domestic progs
 961227 Post. R favor cuts in spending on domestic progs to cut taxes
 961228 Post. Does R favor an increase in taxes to cut budget deficit
 961229 Post. R opinion: Society should see to equal opportunity
 961230 Post. R opinion: We have gone too far pushing equal rights
 961231 Post. R opinion: Big problem is that we don't give an equal chance
 961232 Post. R opinion: Better off if we worried less about equality
 961233 Post. R opinion: Not a problem if not equal chance
 961234 Post. R opinion: Fewer problems if people treated more equally
 961235 Post. R opinion: One should help those less fortunate
 961236 Post. R opinion: One should always be concerned with others
 961237 Post. R opinion: It is best not to get involved helping others
 961238 Post. R opinion: People pay too much attn to others' wellbeing
 961239 Post. Which network does R think that Tom Brokaw works for
 961240 Post. Which network does R think that Peter Jennings works for
 961241 Post. Which network does R think that Dan Rather works for
 961242 Post. Which network does R think that Bernard Shaw works for
 961243 Post. What does R think of his/her financial situation
 961244 Post. R opinion: pub officials don't care what people like R think
 961245 Post. R opinion: People like R don't have much say in govt
 961246 Post. R opinion: Politics and govt are too complicated
 961247 Post. R opinion: Newer lifestyles contribute to social breakdown
 961248 Post. R opinion: We should adjust moral behavior to world changes
 961249 Post. R opinion: Fewer problems if more emphasis on trad'l family
 961250 Post. R opinion: We should be more tolerant of other moral stds
 961251 Post. How much of the time R trusts the govt to do what is right
 961252 Post. How much of tax money does R think the govt wastes
 961253 Post. Is govt run by a few big interests or the benefit of all
 961254 Post. How many of the people in govt are crooked
 961255 Post. How much does R think elections make govt pay attention
 961256 Post. How much attention does govt pay to people in decisions
 961257 Post. Does R have time to do volunteer work
 961258 Post. Does R think that people can be trusted
 961259 Post. Does R think that most people would take advantage of R
 961260 Post. Does R talk to neighbors regularly
 961261 Post. How many neighbors does R talk to regularly
 961262 Post. Would R be willing to serve on a jury
 961263 Post. Has R worked with others or in a community organization
 961264 Post. Has R contributed money to church or charity last 12 months
 961265 Post. R's tax burden up / down during the Clinton administration
 961266 Post. Has R's tax burden gone up / done a lot / a little
 961267 Post. Companies which discriminate shd have to have affirm action
 961268 Post. Does R feel strong / not strong about forced affirm action
 961269 Post. R's self-placement on lib-con 7 point scale
 961270 Post. How certain is R of placement on lib-con scale
 961271 Post. If R had to choose - placement on lib-con scale
 961272 Post. Summary: Self-placement on lib-con scale
 961273 Post. R's placement of Clinton - lib-con 7 point scale
 961274 Post. How certain is R of Clinton's placement on lib-con
 961275 Post. R' placement of Dole - lib-con 7 point scale
 961276 Post. How certain is R of Dole's placement on lib-con
 961277 Post. R's placement of Dem Hse cand - lib-con 7 point scale
 961278 Post. How certain is R of Dem Hse cand placement

961279 Post. R's placement of Rep Hse cand - lib-con 7 point scale
961280 Post. How certain is R of Rep Hse cand placement
961281 Post. Does R feel that he/she pays the right amount in taxes
961282 Post. Does R have an opinion on the 15% tax cut issue
961283 Post. R's opinion on tax cut
961284 Post. What does R think that Clinton's position on tax cut is
961285 Post. What does R think that Dole's position on tax cut is
961286 Post. What does R think that Perot's position on tax cut is
961287 Post. Has R heard anything about the effects of a tax cut
961288 Post. Does R think that inflation would increase w/ tax cut
961289 Post. Does R think that employment would increase w/ tax cut
961290 Post. Does R think tax cut would benefit business more than R
961291 Post. Does R think services would be cut because of tax cut
961292 Post. Does R think deficit would increase because of tax cut
961293 Post. Does R think govt revenue be same even after tax cut
961294 Post. Does R feel close to poor people
961295 Post. Does R feel close to Asian-Americans
961296 Post. Does R feel close to liberals
961297 Post. Does R feel close to the elderly
961298 Post. Does R feel close to blacks
961299 Post. Does R feel close to labor unions
961300 Post. Does R feel close to feminists
961301 Post. Does R feel close to southerners
961302 Post. Does R feel close to business people
961303 Post. Does R feel close to young people
961304 Post. Does R feel close to conservatives
961305 Post. Does R feel close to Hispanic Americans
961306 Post. Does R feel close to women
961307 Post. Does R feel close to working-class people
961308 Post. Does R feel close to whites
961309 Post. Does R feel close to middle-class people
961310 Post. Does R feel close to men
961311 Post. Where would R rate whites on hardworking scale
961312 Post. Where would R rate blacks on hardworking scale
961313 Post. Where would R rate Hispanics on hardworking scale
961314 Post. Where would R rate whites on intelligent scale
961315 Post. Where would R rate blacks on intelligent scale
961316 Post. Where would R rate Hispanics on intelligent scale
961317 Post. Where would R rate whites on trustworthy scale
961318 Post. Where would R rate blacks on trustworthy scale
961319 Post. Where would R rate Hispanics on trustworthy scale
961320 Post. R position: Govt reduce diff betw rich/poor 7 point scale
961321 Post. R favor/opp welfare limit women having more children
961322 Post. Strength - position on limiting welfare for more children
961323 Post. Does R favor/oppose 2 year limit on welfare
961324 Post. Strength - position on 2 year limit on welfare
961325 Post. Should number of immigrants should be increase/decreased
961326 Post. Does R think immigrants should be eligible for benefits
961327 Post. Does R favor/oppose limiting imports
961328 Post. Which network new programs does R watch most often
961329 Post. Did R watch 1st presidential debate
961330 Post. Did R watch the entire debate or just part of it
961331 Post. Did R watch 2nd presidential debate
961332 Post. Did R watch the entire debate or just part of it
961333 Post. Did R read about the campaign in any magazine
961334 Post. R's attention to articles about campaign in magazines
961335 Post. Did R listen to speeches/discussions on the radio
961336 Post. How many programs did R listen to on the radio
961337 Post. R's attention to news about presidential campaign
961338 Post. R's attention to news about congressional campaign

961339 Post. How much of the time R trusts news media to report fairly
961340 Post. Does R think the political system should remain two party
961341 Post. How similar are R's beliefs to other people
961342 Post. Is R a smoker
961343 Post. Has R ever smoked
961344 Post. Number of labor unions R is involved with
961345 Post. Number of labor groups R is a member of
961346 Post. Number of labor unions groups R pays dues to
961347 Post. Number of labor unions groups R has had activities in
961348 Post. Number of labor unions groups which discuss politics
961349 Post. Number of business groups R is involved with
961350 Post. Number of business groups R is a member of
961351 Post. Number of business groups R pays dues to
961352 Post. Number of business groups R has had activities in
961353 Post. Number of business groups which discuss politics
961354 Post. Number of veterans groups R is involved with
961355 Post. Number of veterans groups R is a member of
961356 Post. Number of veterans groups R pays dues to
961357 Post. Number of veterans groups R has had activities in
961358 Post. Number of veterans groups which discuss politics
961359 Post. Number of church groups R is involved with
961360 Post. Number of church groups R is a member of
961361 Post. Number of church groups R pays dues to
961362 Post. Number of church groups R has had activities in
961363 Post. Number of church groups which discuss politics
961364 Post. Number of other religious groups R is involved with
961365 Post. Number of other religious groups R is a member of
961366 Post. Number of other religious groups R pays dues to
961367 Post. Number of other religious groups R has had activities in
961368 Post. Number of other religious groups which discuss politics
961369 Post. Number of elderly groups R is involved with
961370 Post. Number of elderly groups R is a member of
961371 Post. Number of elderly groups R pays dues to
961372 Post. Number of elderly groups R has had activities in
961373 Post. Number of elderly groups which discuss politics
961374 Post. Number of ethnic groups R is involved with
961375 Post. Number of ethnic groups R is a member of
961376 Post. Number of ethnic groups R pays dues to
961377 Post. Number of ethnic groups R has had activities in
961378 Post. Number of ethnic groups which discuss politics
961379 Post. Number of women's groups R is involved with
961380 Post. Number of women's groups R is a member of
961381 Post. Number of women's groups R pays dues to
961382 Post. Number of women's groups R has had activities in
961383 Post. Number of women's groups which discuss politics
961384 Post. Number of polit. issue groups R is involved with
961385 Post. Number of polit. issue groups R is a member of
961386 Post. Number of polit. issue groups R pays dues to
961387 Post. Number of polit. issue groups R has had activities in
961388 Post. Number of polit. issue groups which discuss politics
961389 Post. Number of civic groups R is involved with
961390 Post. Number of civic groups R is a member of
961391 Post. Number of civic groups R pays dues to
961392 Post. Number of civic groups R has had activities in
961393 Post. Number of civic groups which discuss politics
961394 Post. Number of liberal/conserv groups R is involved with
961395 Post. Number of liberal/conserv groups R is a member of
961396 Post. Number of liberal/conserv groups R pays dues to
961397 Post. Number of liberal/conserv groups R has had activities in
961398 Post. Number of liberal/conserv groups which discuss politics

961399 Post. Number of party/cand groups R is involved with
961400 Post. Number of party/cand groups R is a member of
961401 Post. Number of party/cand groups R pays dues to
961402 Post. Number of party/cand groups R has had activities in
961403 Post. Number of party/cand groups which discuss politics
961404 Post. Number of children's groups R is involved with
961405 Post. Number of children's groups R is a member of
961406 Post. Number of children's groups R pays dues to
961407 Post. Number of children's groups R has had activities in
961408 Post. Number of children's groups which discuss politics
961409 Post. Number of literary/art groups R is involved with
961410 Post. Number of literary/art groups R is a member of
961411 Post. Number of literary/art groups R pays dues to
961412 Post. Number of literary/art groups R has had activities in
961413 Post. Number of literary/art groups which discuss politics
961414 Post. Number of hobby groups R is involved with
961415 Post. Number of hobby groups R is a member of
961416 Post. Number of hobby groups R pays dues to
961417 Post. Number of hobby groups R has had activities in
961418 Post. Number of hobby groups which discuss politics
961419 Post. Number of community groups R is involved with
961420 Post. Number of community groups R is a member of
961421 Post. Number of community groups R pays dues to
961422 Post. Number of community groups R has had activities in
961423 Post. Number of community groups which discuss politics
961424 Post. Number of fraternal groups R is involved with
961425 Post. Number of fraternal groups R is a member of
961426 Post. Number of fraternal groups R pays dues to
961427 Post. Number of fraternal groups R has had activities in
961428 Post. Number of fraternal groups which discuss politics
961429 Post. Number of service to needy groups R is involved with
961430 Post. Number of service to needy groups R is a member of
961431 Post. Number of service to needy groups R pays dues to
961432 Post. Number of service to needy groups R has had activities in
961433 Post. Number of service to needy groups which discuss politics
961434 Post. Number of educational groups R is involved with
961435 Post. Number of educational groups R is a member of
961436 Post. Number of educational groups R pays dues to
961437 Post. Number of educational groups R has had activities in
961438 Post. Number of educational groups which discuss politics
961439 Post. Number of cultural groups R is involved with
961440 Post. Number of cultural groups R is a member of
961441 Post. Number of cultural groups R pays dues to
961442 Post. Number of cultural groups R has had activities in
961443 Post. Number of cultural groups which discuss politics
961444 Post. Number of self-help groups R is involved with
961445 Post. Number of self-help groups R is a member of
961446 Post. Number of self-help groups R pays dues to
961447 Post. Number of self-help groups R has had activities in
961448 Post. Number of self-help groups which discuss politics
961449 Post. Number of other groups R is involved with
961450 Post. Number of other groups R is a member of
961451 Post. Number of other groups R pays dues to
961452 Post. Number of other groups R has had activities in
961453 Post. Number of other groups which discuss politics
961454 Post. Total Number of groups R is involved in
961455 Post. Total Number of groups R is a member of
961456 Post. Total Number of groups R pays dues to
961457 Post. Total Number of groups R has had activities in
961458 Post. Total Number of R groups which discuss politics

961459 Post. Is R satisfied with the way that democracy works in the US
 961460 Post. Does R think that the last election was conducted fairly
 961461 Post. Does R think to self as close to a particular party
 961462 Post. Which party does R think of self as close to - #1
 961463 Post. Which party does R think of self as close to - #2
 961464 Post. Checkpoint: Number of parties that R feels close to
 961465 Post. Does R feel a little closer to one of the parties
 961466 Post. Which party does R feel closer to
 961467 Post. Strength of R's to closeness to political party
 961468 Post. R self placement on scale -'party cares what people think'
 961469 Post. Where does R place self on need for polit. parties scale
 961470 Post. R's placement of Dem party on like / dislike scale
 961471 Post. R's placement of Rep party on like / dislike scale
 961472 Post. R's placement of Reform party on like / dislike scale
 961473 Post. R's placement of Clinton on like / dislike scale
 961474 Post. R's placement of Dole on like / dislike scale
 961475 Post. R's placement of Perot on like / dislike scale
 961476 Post. How would R rate the state of the economy in the US
 961477 Post. Has the state of the economy gotten better / worse / same
 961478 Post. Has economy gotten much better / much worse
 961479 Post. R's placement on 'Congress knows what people think' scale
 961480 Post. Has R had contact with a member of Congress
 961481 Post. R's placement on 'make difference who is in power' scale
 961482 Post. R's placement on 'voting makes a difference' scale
 961483 Post. R's placement on 'people say what they think' scale
 961484 Post. R's placement on left / right scale
 961485 Post. Position of House candidates in Thermometers
 961486 Post. Position of Supreme Court in Thermometers
 961487 Post. Position of Congress in Thermometers
 961488 Post. Position of the Military in Thermometers
 961489 Post. Position of Federal Govt in Thermometers
 961490 Post. Position of Blacks in Thermometers
 961491 Post. Position of Whites in Thermometers
 961492 Post. Position of Conservatives in Thermometers
 961493 Post. Position of Liberals in Thermometers
 961494 Post. Position of Labor Unions in Thermometers
 961495 Post. Position of Big Business in Thermometers
 961496 Post. Position of Poor People in Thermometers
 961497 Post. Position of People on Welfare in Thermometers
 961498 Post. Position of Hispanics in Thermometers
 961499 Post. Position of Christian Fundamentalists in Thermometers
 961500 Post. Position of Women's Movement in Thermometers
 961501 Post. Position of Older People in Thermometers
 961502 Post. Position of Environmentalists in Thermometers
 961503 Post. Position of Gay Men and Lesbians in Thermometers
 961504 Post. Position of Christian Coalition in Thermometers
 961505 Post. Order of House candidates in Likes/Dislikes
 961506 Post. Order of Clinton and Dole in Traits
 961507 Post. Order of Clinton, Dole, Perot in Aid to Blacks scale
 961508 Post. Order of Clinton and Dole in Liberal/Conserv scale
 961509 Post. Order of House candidates in Liberal/Conserv scale
 961510 Post. Order of Clinton, Dole, Perot in Tax Cut scale
 961511 Post. Order of Blacks and Hispanics in Hardworking scale
 961512 Post. Order of Blacks and Hispanics in Intelligent scale
 961513 Post. Order of Blacks and Hispanics in Trustworthy scale
 967000A Congressional district number
 967000B FIPS state code
 967000C ICPSR state code
 967000D FIPS state and county code
 967000E State abbreviation

967001 Number of Democrats in lower state house 1994
967002 Number of Republicans in lower state house 1994
967003 Number of Democrats in upper state house 1994
967004 Number of Republicans in upper state house 1994
967005 Party of state governor pre-election 1996
967006 State percent vote for Clinton 1992
967007 State percent vote for Bush 1992
967008 State percent vote for Perot 1992
967009 Number of U.S. House districts in state
967010 Number of Democrats U.S. House pre-election 1996
967011 Number of Republicans U.S. House pre-election 1996
967012 Erikson-Wright-McIver percent Democrats in state
967013 Erikson-Wright-McIver percent Republicans in state
967014 Erikson-Wright-McIver percent liberals in state
967015 Erikson-Wright-McIver percent conservatives in state
967016 Name of Senator #1
967017 Party of Senator #1
967018 Is Senator #1 running for reelection?
967019 Name of Senator #2
967020 Party of Senator #2
967021 Is Senator #2 running for reelection?
967022 Senator #1 1995 Natl Jrnl economic liberalism score
967023 Senator #1 1995 Natl Jrnl social liberalism score
967024 Senator #1 1995 Natl Jrnl foreign liberalism score
967025 Senator #1 1995 Natl Jrnl economic conservatism score
967026 Senator #1 1995 Natl Jrnl social conservatism score
967027 Senator #1 1995 Natl Jrnl foreign conservatism score
967028 Senator #1 1995 U.S. Chamber of Commerce score
967029 Senator #1 1995 Party unity support score
967030 Senator #1 1995 Party unity opposition score
967031 Senator #1 1995 Party unity adjusted support score
967032 Senator #1 1995 Presidential support score
967033 Senator #1 1995 Presidential opposition score
967034 Senator #1 1995 Presidential adjusted support score
967035 Senator #1 1995 Conserv coalition support score
967036 Senator #1 1995 Conserv coalition opposition score
967037 Senator #1 1995 Conserv coalition adjusted support
967038 Senator #1 1995 Americans for Democratic Action score
967039 Senator #1 1996 Natl Jrnl economic liberalism score
967040 Senator #1 1996 Natl Jrnl social liberalism score
967041 Senator #1 1996 Natl Jrnl foreign liberalism score
967042 Senator #1 1996 Natl Jrnl economic conservatism score
967043 Senator #1 1996 Natl Jrnl social conservatism score
967044 Senator #1 1996 Natl Jrnl foreign conservatism score
967045 Senator #1 1996 U.S. Chamber of Commerce score
967046 Senator #1 1996 Party unity support score
967047 Senator #1 1996 Party unity opposition score
967048 Senator #1 1996 Party unity adjusted support score
967049 Senator #1 1996 Presidential support score
967050 Senator #1 1996 Presidential opposition score
967051 Senator #1 1996 Presidential adjusted support score
967052 Senator #1 1996 Conserv coalition support score
967053 Senator #1 1996 Conserv coalition opposition score
967054 Senator #1 1996 Conserv coalition adjusted support
967055 Senator #1 1996 Americans for Democratic Action score
967056 Senator #2 1995 Natl Jrnl economic liberalism score
967057 Senator #2 1995 Natl Jrnl social liberalism score
967058 Senator #2 1995 Natl Jrnl foreign liberalism score
967059 Senator #2 1995 Natl Jrnl economic conservatism score
967060 Senator #2 1995 Natl Jrnl social conservatism score

967061 Senator #2 1995 Natl Jrnl foreign conservatism score
967062 Senator #2 1995 U.S. Chamber of Commerce score
967063 Senator #2 1995 Party unity support score
967064 Senator #2 1995 Party unity opposition score
967065 Senator #2 1995 Party unity adjusted support score
967066 Senator #2 1995 Presidential support score
967067 Senator #2 1995 Presidential opposition score
967068 Senator #2 1995 Presidential adjusted support score
967069 Senator #2 1995 Conserv coalition support score
967070 Senator #2 1995 Conserv coalition opposition score
967071 Senator #2 1995 Conserv coalition adjusted support
967072 Senator #2 1995 Americans for Democratic Action score
967073 Senator #2 1996 Natl Jrnl economic liberalism score
967074 Senator #2 1996 Natl Jrnl social liberalism score
967075 Senator #2 1996 Natl Jrnl foreign liberalism score
967076 Senator #2 1996 Natl Jrnl economic conservatism score
967077 Senator #2 1996 Natl Jrnl social conservatism score
967078 Senator #2 1996 Natl Jrnl foreign conservatism score
967079 Senator #2 1996 U.S. Chamber of Commerce score
967080 Senator #2 1996 Party unity support score
967081 Senator #2 1996 Party unity opposition score
967082 Senator #2 1996 Party unity adjusted support score
967083 Senator #2 1996 Presidential support score
967084 Senator #2 1996 Presidential opposition score
967085 Senator #2 1996 Presidential adjusted support score
967086 Senator #2 1996 Conserv coalition support score
967087 Senator #2 1996 Conserv coalition opposition score
967088 Senator #2 1996 Conserv coalition adjusted support
967089 Senator #2 1996 Americans for Democratic Action score
967090 CQ pre-election Senate race outlook
967091 Democratic Senate candidate prior political experience
967092 Republican Senate candidate prior political experience
967093 Kansas race #2: Democratic political experience
967094 Kansas race #2: Republican political experience
967095 Total votes cast for Democratic Senate candidate 1996
967096 Total votes cast for Republican Senate candidate 1996
967097 Total votes cast in Senate race 1996
967098 Kansas race #2: Total votes Democratic candidate
967099 Kansas race #2: Total votes Republican candidate
967100 Kansas race #2: Total votes cast in Senate race
967101 Campaign receipts by Democratic Senate candidate 1996
967102 Campaign expenditures by Democratic Senate candidate
967103 Campaign receipts by Republican Senate candidate 1996
967104 Campaign expenditures by Republican Senate candidate
967105 Kansas race #2: Democratic campaign receipts
967106 Kansas race #2: Democratic campaign expenditures
967107 Kansas race #2: Republican campaign receipts
967108 Kansas race #2: Republican campaign expenditures
967109 House incumbents party
967110 Year House incumbent was first elected
967111 House incumbents seniority in party
967112 House incumbents sex
967113 House incumbents race
967114 House incumbents number of district offices
967115 House incumbent 1995 Natl Jrnl economic liberalism
967116 House incumbent 1995 Natl Jrnl social liberalism
967117 House incumbent 1995 Natl Jrnl foreign liberalism
967118 House incumbent 1995 Natl Jrnl economic conservatism
967119 House incumbent 1995 Natl Jrnl social conservatism
967120 House incumbent 1995 Natl Jrnl foreign conservatism

967121 House incumbent 1995 U.S. Chamber of Commerce score
 967122 House incumbent 1995 Party unity support score
 967123 House incumbent 1995 Party unity opposition score
 967124 House incumbent 1995 Party unity adjusted support score
 967125 House incumbent 1995 Presidential support score
 967126 House incumbent 1995 Presidential opposition score
 967127 House incumbent 1995 Presidential adjusted support
 967128 House incumbent 1995 Conserv coalition support score
 967129 House incumbent 1995 Conserv coalition opposition score
 967130 House incumbent 1995 Conserv coalition adjusted support
 967131 House incumbent 1995 ADA score
 967132 House incumbent 1995 League of Cons Voters score
 967133 House incumbent 1996 Natl Jrnl economic liberalism
 967134 House incumbent 1996 Natl Jrnl social liberalism
 967135 House incumbent 1996 Natl Jrnl foreign liberalism
 967136 House incumbent 1996 Natl Jrnl economic conservatism
 967137 House incumbent 1996 Natl Jrnl social conservatism
 967138 House incumbent 1996 Natl Jrnl foreign conservatism
 967139 House incumbent 1996 U.S. Chamber of Commerce score
 967140 House incumbent 1996 Party unity support score
 967141 House incumbent 1996 Party unity opposition score
 967142 House incumbent 1996 Party unity adjusted support score
 967143 House incumbent 1996 Presidential support score
 967144 House incumbent 1996 Presidential opposition score
 967145 House incumbent 1996 Presidential adjusted support
 967146 House incumbent 1996 Conserv coalition support score
 967147 House incumbent 1996 Conserv coalition opposition score
 967148 House incumbent 1996 Conserv coalition adjusted support
 967149 House incumbent 1996 ADA score
 967150 House incumbent 1996 League of Cons Voters score
 967151 House incumbent Committee assignment #1
 967152 House incumbent rank on Committee #1
 967153 House incumbent Committee assignment #2
 967154 House incumbent rank on Committee #2
 967155 House incumbent Committee assignment #3
 967156 House incumbent rank on Committee #3
 967157 CQ pre-election House race outlook
 967158 Democratic House candidate political experience 1996
 967159 Republican House candidate political experience 1996
 967160 Total votes cast for Democratic House candidate 1996
 967161 Total votes cast for Republican House candidate 1996
 967162 Total votes cast in House race 1996
 967163 Campaign receipts by Democratic House candidate 1996
 967164 Campaign expenditures by Democratic House candidate
 967165 Campaign receipts by Republican House candidate 1996
 967166 Campaign expenditures by Republican House candidate
 967167 Total votes cast for Democratic House candidate 1994
 967168 Total votes cast for Republican House candidate 1994
 967169 Total votes cast in House race 1994
 967170 Total votes House district Democratic presidential 1992
 967171 Total votes House district Republican presidential 1992
 967172 Total votes House district presidential election 1992
 967173 Estimated number of DMA households in House district
 967174 Estimated average DMA cost per point in House district
 967175 Est DMA Early News cost per point in House district
 967176 Est DMA Prime Access cost per point in House district
 967177 Est DMA Prime Time cost per point in House district
 967178 Est DMA Late News cost per point in House district
 967179 County percent vote for Clinton 1992
 967180 County percent vote for Bush 1992

967181 County percent vote for Perot 1992
967182 Estimated number of DMA households
967183 Estimated average DMA cost per point
967184 Estimated DMA Early News cost per point
967185 Estimated DMA Prime Access cost per point
967186 Estimated DMA Prime Time cost per point
967187 Estimated DMA Late News cost per point