

THIS FILE IS: anes_2002prepost_int.txt (codebook introduction file)
RELEASE VERSIONS: 20051116 (Nov 16, 2005)

DEFAULT DIRECTORY: C:\ANES\anes_2002prepost\20051116\

AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES:
2002 PRE-POST ELECTION STUDY

CODEBOOK

Center for Political Studies
Institute for Social Research
The University of Michigan

□

CITATION

Burns, Nancy, Donald R. Kinder, and the National Election Studies.
NATIONAL ELECTION STUDIES, 2002: PRE-/POST-ELECTION STUDY [dataset].
Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan, Center for Political Studies

[producer and distributor], 2001.

These materials are based on work supported by, in alphabetical order: the Carnegie Corporation, the Center for Information and Research on Civic Learning and Engagement (CIRCLE), the Russell Sage Foundation, the University of Michigan Institute for Social Research, the University of Michigan Office of the Provost, and the University of Michigan Office of the Vice President for Research.

The data and documentation are archived on the National Election Studies website at the University of Michigan (<http://www.electionstudies.org>).

Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in these materials are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect those of the funding agencies.

□ 2002 ANES CODEBOOK
TABLE OF CONTENTS
=====

Note: >> sections in the codebook introduction and codebook appendix can be navigated in the machine-readable files by searching ">>".

2002 INTRODUCTORY MATERIALS (file anes_2002prepost_int.txt)

>> 2002 GENERAL INTRODUCTION
>> 2002 STUDY DESCRIPTION
>> 2002 STUDY CONTENT AND ADMINISTRATION
>> 2002 SAMPLING INFORMATION
>> 2002 WEIGHT DOCUMENTATION
>> 2002 NOTE ON CONFIDENTIAL VARIABLES
>> 2002 FILE STRUCTURE AND INFORMATION
>> 2002 PROCESSING INFORMATION
>> 2002 CODEBOOK INFORMATION
>> 2002 VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST

2002 VARIABLE DOCUMENTATION (file anes_2002prepost_var.txt)

V020001 - V020102 Identification and weights
V021001 - V021208 Study non-survey variables
V022000 - V022427 Pre non-survey variables
V023001 - V023125x PRE: SURVEY VARIABLES
V023126a- V023153 PRE: DEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES
V023154 - V023168 Pre interviewer observation
V024000 - V024536 Post non-survey variables
V025001 - V025190 POST: SURVEY VARIABLES
V025191 - V025201 Post interviewer observation

2002 APPENDICES (file anes_2002prepost_app.txt)

>> 2002 CANDIDATE NUMBER MASTER CODES
>> 2002 TYPE OF RACE MASTER CODES
>> 2000 NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY SAMPLE DESIGN

□>> 2002 GENERAL INTRODUCTION

The 2002 American National Election Study survey was conducted between September 18 and December 6 of the year 2002, and consisted of both a pre-election survey and a post-election survey. The number of cases in this Full Release file, 1511, includes all respondents from both the pre-election and post-election surveys. Respondents who completed a pre-election survey but not a post-election survey are shown as missing in the post-election survey variables.

Accompanying the dataset is a codebook containing detailed variable descriptions, as well as data descriptor statement files that can be used to read the raw data file into common data analysis software packages such as SAS, SPSS, and STATA.

>> 2002 STUDY DESCRIPTION

The 2002 American National Election Study was conducted by the Center for Political Studies at the Institute for Social Research, under the general direction of the Principal Investigators, Nancy Burns and Donald R. Kinder. Data collection services were provided by the Indiana University Center for Survey Research.

This is the latest in a series of studies of American national elections produced by the Center for Political Studies and the Survey Research Center at the University of Michigan. The study would not have been possible without the financial support of a consortium of organizations, including, in alphabetical order: the Carnegie Corporation, the Center for Information and Research on Civic Learning and Engagement (CIRCLE), the Russell Sage Foundation, the University of Michigan Institute for Social Research, the University of Michigan Office of the Provost, and the University of Michigan Office of the Vice President for Research.

Since 1978, the National Election Studies have been designed through consultation between the Principal Investigators and a national Board of Overseers. Board members during the 2002 National Election Study included John H. Aldrich (Duke University), Stephen Ansolabehere (Massachusetts Institute of Technology), Nancy Burns, ex officio (University of Michigan), Russell Dalton (University of California - Irvine), John Mark Hansen, chair (University of Chicago), Robert Huckfeldt (University of California - Davis), Simon Jackman (Stanford University), Donald Kinder, ex officio (University of Michigan), Jon A. Krosnick (Ohio State University), Arthur Lupia (University of Michigan), Wendy Rahn (University of Minnesota), W. Phillips Shively (University of Minnesota), and Laura Stoker, past chair (University of California - Berkeley). As part of the study planning process a stimulus letter was sent to members of the scholarly community soliciting input on study plans.

Additional information concerning the 2002 ANES, including notification of select errors discovered and made known to ANES Staff after the data release date, can be found on the ANES Website (<http://www.electionstudies.org>). Any questions not answered on the website or by this codebook can be

directed to the ANES Staff by e-mail to "nes@umich.edu" or by regular postal service to the address below.

American National Election Studies (ANES) Staff
Center for Political Studies
Institute for Social Research, 4100 Bay
University of Michigan
426 Thompson Street
Ann Arbor, MI 48104-2321
E-Mail: nes@umich.edu
Website: <http://www.electionstudies.org>

>> 2002 STUDY CONTENT AND ADMINISTRATION

The 2002 American National Election Study should allow scholars to assess what sense Americans made of the terrorist attacks of 2001 and the election contest of 2000. The data should allow charting of the causes and consequences of changes in a wide variety of outcomes - social trust, civic engagement, political participation, and public opinion, to name a few. Also included was a special module of questions on economic inequality - pushing on the conditions under which economic inequality could be a political issue and the reasons why it is not. These data should be all the more powerful through their link to questions from the long-term ANES time series that were repeated in the 2002 study.

The pre-election survey began on September 18, 2002 and ended November 4, 2002. The post-election survey began on November 6, 2002 and ended December 6, 2002. The study is unusual in that it is the first midterm study in the ANES time series to include both a pre-election and post-election survey, and it is also the first biennial ANES time-series study conducted entirely by telephone.

Data collection was conducted by the Indiana University Center for Survey Research, with all interviewing conducted by telephone using Computer-Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI) technology. The CATI instrument was programmed using CASES, a software package developed by the Computer-assisted Survey Methods (CSM) Program at the University of California at Berkeley. All interviews were conducted in English, as there were no translations of the questionnaire to a language other than English.

The pre-election study sample was released in three random sub-samples to spread completions out over the pre-election period and perhaps help in understanding timing issues such as campaign effects. All individuals who completed a pre-election interview were contacted to be interviewed again in the post-election study. The post-election design did not delay the release of cases - the intent was to follow a "quick take" scenario, with as many completions gained as close to election day as possible.

For the core interview (defined as the portion of the interview after the front end, but prior to the interviewer observations), the pre-election study ran approximately 36 minutes per interview, and the post-election study approximately 32 minutes per interview. Randomization was used extensively throughout both the pre-election and post-election questionnaires, for purposes of randomizing order within batteries or question series, application of half-sampling to some questions, and random ordering of question blocks.

Note: Due to limitations in available technology, randomization in the 2002 survey instruments was limited to a random assignment to two possible

alternatives. When applied to the ordering of questions in a series, 'randomization' actually constituted randomized assignment to two possible orderings of the series items, determined in advance, rather than randomized selection from every ordering possible.

The sample for the 2002 study was comprised of 2982 cases in two sample components. The first portion of the sample, the "Panel," consisted of the 1807 respondents who provided an interview in ANES 2000. The second portion of the sample, the "Fresh Cross," consisted of a newly pulled RDD sample of 1175 cases.

Users are advised to become familiar with the weight variables provided in the dataset, and apply them as appropriate to their analyses to correct for non-response and other sampling issues.

Final disposition codes for each case were provided by the data collection organization and recoded to categories identified in the American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Standards and Best Practices guide. The citation for the AAPOR document is:

The American Association for Public Opinion Research. 2000.
Standard Definitions: Final Dispositions of Case Codes and Outcome Rates for Surveys. Ann Arbor, Michigan: AAPOR.

Eligibility: All Panel cases were considered eligible based on their participation in the 2000 study, unless they were found to be deceased at the time of the 2002 study. Fresh Cross cases were divided into Eligible and Not Eligible categories, with Unknown Eligibility being grouped in with the Eligible cases.

Interviews: Interviews were either considered a completion, or not a completion - partial interviews were not accepted in the 2002 study. All Eligible (or Unknown Eligibility) cases that did not provide an interview were categorized as Non-Response.

The Panel sample consisted of 1807 cases, 1784 of which were considered Eligible, and 21 of which were considered Not Eligible due to being deceased. The Panel yielded 1187 pre-election interviews (597 non-response), 1070 of which went on to also provide a post-election interview (117 non-response).

The Fresh Cross sample consisted of 1175 cases, 926 of which were considered Eligible* and 249 of which were considered Not Eligible due to being a disconnected or non-working number (105), a non-residence (66), fax/data line (67), or having no U.S. citizen age 18 or older (11). The Fresh Cross sample yielded 324 pre-election interviews (602 non-response), 276 of which went on to also provide a post-election interview (48 non-response).

* Of special note are the 25 cases in the Fresh Cross sample with no persons available who spoke English, and for which we did not have a multi-lingual interviewer available to determine eligibility. These cases were categorized as Eligible Non-Response, because we were uncertain of eligibility (and Unknown Eligibility cases were regularly grouped in with Eligible cases). Regardless, we could not have conducted an interview for these cases because the 2002 questionnaire was only available in English.

2002 Election Study: Response Rates

Interviews Eligible Response Rate**

Panel

Pre-Election	1187	1784	66.5%
Post-Election	1070	1187	90.1%

Fresh Cross

Pre-Election	324	926	35.0%
Post-Election	276	324	85.2%

Total

Pre-Election	1511	2707	55.8%
Post-Election	1346	1511	89.1%

** The Pre-Election Response Rate is calculated as the total number of Pre-Election Interviews over the total number of Eligible (and Unknown Eligibility) cases in the sample. The Post-Election Response Rate is a re-interview rate, calculated as the total number of Post-Election Interviews over the total number of Pre-Election Interviews.

The field and study staff implemented a number of strategies throughout the study to bolster response rates.

In the pre-election study:

Respondents received advance mailings by priority mail with a letter, brochure, and small non-monetary gift (a 120-minute phone card with no special logo) enclosed. A respondent incentive of \$20 per completion was offered to all cases. Cases that showed resistance to interview and for whom we had a mailing address (not all Fresh Cross cases came with a mailing address) were usually mailed a letter tailored to their reason for resistance. In those letters, Fresh Cross cases were offered an augmented incentive of \$40 per completion, with Panel cases instead being reminded of the standard \$20 incentive. Cases that showed reluctance to interview were also assigned to a refusal conversion team of interviewers that received special training.

Near the end of the pre-election study, on October 28, a letter was mailed to all Panel cases that had not yet yielded a completion; the letter offered an augmented incentive of \$50 per completion. Sometime thereafter, the decision was made to also offer the remaining Fresh Cross cases \$50 per completion, but the offer to them was made only by telephone contact (and not by letter).

In the post-election study:

Respondents received advance mailings by regular mail with a letter and a different, small non-monetary gift (a keychain flashlight with a University of Michigan logo). Respondents were offered the same dollar amount as they received in the pre-election study as an incentive to complete a post-election interview.

Throughout both the pre-election and post-election study a number of interviewer incentive strategies were put in place, none of which involved additional monetary payment to the interviewers, but rather involved group goal-setting, individual and group recognition, morale-building gatherings, and non-monetary gifts (small gift certificates, leftover phone cards, and the like).

SPECIAL NOTE ABOUT CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT IDENTIFICATION:

Names of candidates were preloaded into the post-election survey instrument following identification of the respondent's congressional district; most of this identification was supplied by Marketing Systems Group (who supplied the Fresh Cross sample component), supplemented by additional staff research. District identification was based on the latest available address information prior to the post-election interview.

As a consequence of the significant redistricting prior to the 2002 elections, the 2002 House "incumbent" may or may not have previously been the representative for the particular piece of geography in which the respondent was located for the 2002 pre-load. When it was clear that such a candidate had not previously represented the pre-load-associated district of the respondent, the candidate was assigned a code as if he or she were new to the respondent's location, that is, as a non-incumbent in an 'open' race; cases where redistricting is especially complex and unclear are flagged as unknown for 'incumbent' status. For Panel respondents, the 2000 pre-load district number is included in the data, in addition to a summary variable identifying whether the respondent had evaluated either the 2002 Democratic or 2002 Republican candidate previously in 2000 (although this includes not only incumbents but repeat challengers/open-race candidates).

>> 2002 SAMPLING INFORMATION

For information on the Panel component of the 2002 Study sample, see "2000 NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY SAMPLE DESIGN" in the codebook appendix file.

Fresh Cross-Section Component of the 2002 Sample

The new "Fresh Cross" sample was generated by Marketing Systems Group (MSG) using their GENESYS sampling system.

To create the Fresh Cross sample, MSG pulled from GENESYS (in proportion to their working banks) a random, nationally proportional sample, stratified by only one variable: Census Division. After a "Super Purge" to remove business and non-working numbers, the generated RDD sample totaled 3328 cases. Randomly selected from these 3328 cases were the 1175 cases that became the Fresh Cross sample. The remaining 2153 cases were set aside as a replicate that was never used in the course of the study.

Along with each sampled telephone number, MSG provided ANES with a name and address that was thought to likely match to the provided telephone number. Whether the name and/or address were correctly matched was unknown going into the study, however, and in some cases no name and/or address were available.

>> 2002 WEIGHT DOCUMENTATION

2002 ANES Analysis Weights

There are two weight variables in the ANES 2002 data file. The variable, V020101, should be used for weighted analysis of the data for the 1,511 pre-election respondents. The second weight variable, V020102,

should be used for weighted analysis of the data for the 1,346 post-election respondents.

These weights have been developed for combined cross-sectional analysis of the 2002 ANES panel and new RDD cross-sectional samples. However, these weight variables may also be used by analysts who are interested in analyzing only the data for panel respondents who were interviewed in 2000 and reinterviewed in 2002. The final 2002 ANES pre-election and post-election weights have been scaled so that the weights sum to the nominal sample sizes of the pre-election (n=1511) and post-election (n=1346) respondent samples.

The 2002 ANES analysis weights are constructed as the product of three factors: a factor to reflect differential sampling or inclusion probabilities for survey respondents; a factor to compensate for differential nonresponse among sampled persons; and a post-stratification factor to adjust weighted demographic distributions for the sample to more precise population values estimated from the U.S. Current Population Survey (CPS). The following paragraphs provide a brief summary of the each of these three major components.

Selection probability factor:

The 2002 ANES sample combines two independent samples of the U.S. household population: a "panel" sample derived from the probability sample of cooperating respondents in the 2000 ANES survey and a fresh cross-sectional sample derived through a new screening of a random digit dialing (RDD) sample of U.S. households. Both independent samples are designed to be representative of the current U.S. household population. Since 2002 recontact was attempted with all cooperating 2000 ANES respondents, the 2002 ANES selection weighting factor for the panel sample members was set equal to their final 2000 ANES weight. Since the new RDD cross-section sample is an equal probability sample of U.S. telephone households, the preliminary selection weighting factor for new RDD cross-section sample cases was set equal to the number of eligible adults in the sample household. This preliminary weight was then scaled to equal the nominal count of RDD respondent and nonrespondent cases.

Nonresponse Adjustment:

Response rates were calculated separately for the panel and new cross-section. In each case, 9 cells were formed based on 9 Census Divisions. For each cell the nonresponse adjustment factor is equal to the inverse of the response rate for the cell. Across the 9 Census Divisions, the nonresponse adjustment factors range from 1.36 to 1.65 for the panel sample and from 2.10 to 4.12 for the cross-section sample.

Post-stratification Adjustment

The post-stratification adjustments to the 2002 ANES weights use the 2002 CPS March Supplement estimates as the adjustment standard. The adjustments were performed separately for the pre- and post-election samples. The cells used to compute the population post-stratification factors were formed by cross-tabulating 6 age groups by 4 levels of education. The age groups were: 18-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59, 60-69, and 70+. The education levels were: < high school graduation, high school graduate, some college, and 4 years of college or more. Poststratification adjustment factors were created for each of these cells by dividing the CPS weighted total by the ANES weighted total for respondents in the adjustment cell. The final weight for each sample case is the product of its sample selection factor,

nonresponse adjustment factor and post-stratification adjustment.

Centering of the Weights

The final 2002 ANES analysis weights are the "centered" version of the poststratified weights. These weights sum to the number of respondents in the pre- and post-election surveys (1,511 pre-election respondents and 1,346 post-election respondents, respectively).

□>> 2002 NOTE ON CONFIDENTIAL VARIABLES

Permission to use, for approved scholarly research, the more detailed geographic-information variables documented in this codebook (but not available in the public-release datafile) may be obtained through special permission from the ANES Board of Overseers.

In addition, text responses to open-ended questions are kept strictly confidential except through special permission obtained from the ANES Board of Overseers for researchers who wish to develop coding schemes pursuant to approved scholarly research.

More information about special permission is available from the ANES Web site or from ANES staff.

>> 2002 FILE STRUCTURE AND INFORMATION

The data file for the AMERICAN NATIONAL ELECTION STUDY, 2002: PRE-AND POST-ELECTION STUDY is constructed with a single logical record for each respondent. There are 731 variables for 1511 respondents.

The 'version' for released study files from the 2002 National Election Study (raw ASCII data file, data descriptor statement files, or codebook files) corresponds to the date on which the file was made available to the public. The date of codebook and data descriptor files (SAS, SPSS, and STATA statement files) appears at the head of the file. When a new raw ASCII data file is released, the variable "Version" identifies the date of the file.

>> 2002 PROCESSING INFORMATION

The data collection was processed according to standard processing procedures. The data were checked for inconsistent code values which, when found, were corrected or recoded to missing data values. Consistency checks were performed. Annotation was added by the processors for explanatory purposes.

□>> 2002 CODEBOOK INFORMATION (how to read)

EXAMPLE OF CODEBOOK VARIABLE DOCUMENTATION

```
01 =====
02 V035246      Q9a. Party of Pres vote -party performance past 4 yrs
03 =====
04
05 IF R VOTED:
```

06 IF R VOTED FOR PRESIDENT:
07 IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE WAS FOR MAJOR PARTY CANDIDATE/
08 IF PRESIDENTIAL VOTE WAS FOR OTHER PARTY CANDIDATE:
09
10 QUESTION:
11 -----
12 You've indicated that you voted for the [>NAME OF MAJOR PARTY<
13 Presidential candidate/Presidential candidate from the >NAME
14 OF OTHER PARTY< party] in 2004.
15 How well has [the >NAME OF MAJOR PARTY< party/ that party] performed
16 over the past four years? Has it done a VERY GOOD job? a GOOD job?
17 A BAD job? A VERY BAD job? (in general)
18
19 INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION:
20 -----
21 {INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}
22
23 VALID CODES:
24 -----
25 1. Very good job
26 2. Good job
27 3. Bad job
28 4. Very bad job
29
30 MISSING CODES:
31 -----
32 8. Don't know
33 9. Refused
34 INAP. 5,8,9 in C1a or 1-3,8,9 in C1b; 5,8,9 in C6; 7,8,9 in
35 Q9x; no post IW
36
37 NOTES:
38 -----
39 Text corresponding to C6a party of vote for President was
40 included in the question text.
41
42 TYPE:
43 -----
44 Numeric Dec 0
45

.....
NOTE: THIS EXAMPLE DOES NOT REPRESENT AN ACTUAL ANES VARIABLE

LINE 02

Contains identifiers, including (left to right) the variable name, the question "tag" or item number (Q9a), and the variable label. Since the variable label begins with the question tag, the question tag only appears once, as part of the variable label.

LINES 05-08

This describes who is being asked the question, inverse to the INAP conditions (lines 34-35). Each line ending with ":" describes one condition that has been met to reach this question.
"/" at the end of a line is equivalent to an "OR" between the condition preceding and the condition following the "/". In this example, respondents who were asked this question were respondents who 1) said they voted, and who 2) said they voted specifically for President, and who 3) voted for a major party Presidential candidate OR for another party's candidate. Note that a corresponding "/" sometimes appears in the question text when

question wording varies according to which of the OR conditions applies. See lines 12-17.

LINES 12-17

Several conventions are observed in codebook presentation of question text.

- 1) Text bracketed between ">" and "<" (line 12, line 15) indicates that case-specific text was loaded onto the instrument by the survey application.
In this example, ">NAME OF MAJOR PARTY<" indicates that either "Democratic" or "Republican" was loaded into the question text, depending up which major party candidate R indicated he had voted for earlier in the questionnaire
- 2) Text bracketed between "[" and "]" (lines 12-14; line 15) displays text options, separated by "/". In this example, the first option is for Rs who had voted for a major party candidate; the first 2 sentences read to these respondent are:
"You've indicated that you voted for the >NAME OF MAJOR PARTY< Presidential candidate in 2004. How well has the >NAME OF MAJOR PARTY< party performed over the past four years?"
On the other hand, in this example, if R voted for another party's candidate, the first 2 sentences are:
"You've indicated that you voted for the >NAME OF OTHER PARTY< party in 2004. How well has that party performed over the past four years?"
- 3) Text in parentheses (line 17) is read at the interviewer's discretion.
- 4) Text in CAPS, other than text bracketed with ">" "<" per 1), indicates words or phrases that appeared underlined in the instrument for emphasis. In this example (lines 16-17), the words "very good", "good", "bad", and "very bad" had appeared underlined for interviewer emphasis..

LINE 21

With few exceptions, interviewer instructions appear in the instrument immediately following the question text.

LINES 34-35

INAP describes the specific paths of all respondents whom the instrument skips over the question. Each condition which results in a skip is listed, separated by ";". □>> 2004 Variable Description List

□>> 2002 VARIABLE DESCRIPTION LIST

```
=====
Variable      Question/Item      Description
=====
```

STUDY ID, CASE ID, WEIGHT

```
-----
```

Version	VERSION	ANES Version Number
V020001	ID.1	2002 Pre Case ID
V020002	ID.2	2000 Panel ID
V020101	WEIGHT.1	Pre-election Post-stratified weight
V020102	WEIGHT.2	Post-election Post-stratified weight

STUDY NONSURVEY VARIABLES

```
-----
```

V021001	STUDY.1	2002 Panel or Fresh Cross Respondent
V021002	STUDY.2	2002 Pre only or Pre and Post

V021100	HHLISTING.0	Selection Table
V021101a	HHLISTING.1a	1st HH Listing (Informant) gender - FRESH CROSS
V021101b	HHLISTING.1b	1st HH Listing (Informant) Age - FRESH CROSS
V021101c	HHLISTING.1c	1st HH Listing (Informant) Citizen - FRESH CROSS
V021101d	HHLISTING.1d	1st HH Listing (Informant) Person Number - FR CROSS
V021102a	HHLISTING.2a	2nd HH Listing gender - FRESH CROSS
V021102b	HHLISTING.2b	2nd HH Listing Age - FRESH CROSS
V021102c	HHLISTING.2c	2nd HH Listing Citizen - FRESH CROSS
V021102d	HHLISTING.2d	2nd HH Listing Person Number - FRESH CROSS
V021102e	HHLISTING.2e	2nd HH Listing Relatn to Informant - FRESH CROSS
V021103a	HHLISTING.3a	3rd HH Listing gender - FRESH CROSS
V021103b	HHLISTING.3b	3rd HH Listing Age - FRESH CROSS
V021103c	HHLISTING.3c	3rd HH Listing Citizen - FRESH CROSS
V021103d	HHLISTING.3d	3rd HH Listing Person Number - FRESH CROSS
V021103e	HHLISTING.3e	3rd HH Listing Relatn to Informant - FRESH CROSS
V021104a	HHLISTING.4a	4th HH Listing gender - FRESH CROSS
V021104b	HHLISTING.4b	4th HH Listing Age - FRESH CROSS
V021104c	HHLISTING.4c	4th HH Listing Citizen - FRESH CROSS
V021104d	HHLISTING.4d	4th HH Listing Person Number - FRESH CROSS
V021104e	HHLISTING.4e	4th HH Listing Relatn to Informant - FRESH CROSS
V021105	HHLISTING.5	Number of Adults in Household- FRESH CROSS
V021106	HHLISTING.6	Number of Elig Adults in Household- FRESH CROSS
V021107	HHLISTING.7	Number of Children in Household- FRESH CROSS
V021107a	HHLISTING.7a	Age of 1st child in household
V021107b	HHLISTING.7b	Age of 2nd child in household
V021107c	HHLISTING.7c	Age of 3rd child in household
V021107d	HHLISTING.7d	Age of 4th child in household
V021107e	HHLISTING.7e	Age of 5th child in household
V021107f	HHLISTING.7f	Age of 6th child in household
V021108	HHLISTING.8	R Person Number - FRESH CROSS
V021109	HHLISTING.9	Household composition
V021201	Sample.1	FIPS State Code
V021201a	Sample.1a	ICPSR State Code
V021201b	Sample.1b	State Abbreviation
V021202	Sample.2	Congressional District Number
V021202a	Sample.2a	FIPS State Code and District Number
V021202b	Sample.2b	ICPSR State Code and Congressional District Number
V021202c	Sample.2c	State Abbreviation and Congressional District Number
V021203	Sample.3	Census region
V021204	Sample.4	2000 Census Urban/Rural Classification
V021205	Sample.5	2000 Census FIPS County code
V021206	Sample.6	2000 Census Place code
V021207	Sample.7	2000 Census MSA code
V021208	Sample.8	2000 Census Tract

PRE NONSURVEY VARIABLES

V022000	PreAdmin.0	Form of Pre interview
V022001	PreAdmin.1	Release
V022002	PreAdmin.2	Mode
V022003	PreAdmin.3	Number of calls
V022004	PreAdmin.4	Result
V022005	PreAdmin.5	Language
V022006	PreAdmin.6	Verification
V022007	PreAdmin.7	Evaluation
V022008	PreAdmin.8	Tape recorded
V022009	PreAdmin.9	Interviewed in parts
V022010	PreAdmin.10	Number of parts
V022011	PreAdmin.11	Number of interviewers

V022012a	PreAdmin.12a	Beginning month
V022012b	PreAdmin.12b	Beginning day
V022012c	PreAdmin.12c	Beginning date of Pre IW month and day (MMDD)
V022012d	PreAdmin.12d	Pre IW number of days before election
V022013a	PreAdmin.13a	Ending month
V022013b	PreAdmin.13b	Ending day
V022013c	PreAdmin.13c	Ending date of Pre IW month and day (MMDD)
V022014	PreAdmin.14	Pre Address given
V022015	PreAdmin.15	Payment mode
V022016	PreAdmin.16	Payment amount
V022017a	PreAdmin.17a	Month payment mailed
V022017b	PreAdmin.17b	Day payment mailed
V022018	PreAdmin.18	Pre Length of Interview
V022019	PreAdmin.19	Pre Interviewer Interview Number
V022020	PreAdmin.20	Pre Persuasion Letter sent
V022021	PreAdmin.21	Date of Pre Persuasion Letter
V022022	PreAdmin.22	Type of Pre Persuasion Letter
V022023	PreAdmin.23	2nd Persuasion Letter Sent
V022024	PreIWR.1	IWR ID - Pre Interviewer of Record
V022025	PreIWR.2	Pre Interviewer gender
V022026	PreIWR.3	Pre Interviewer Education Level
V022027	PreIWR.4	Pre Interviewer Race
V022027a	PreIWR.4a	Pre Interviewer Ethnicity
V022028	PreIWR.5	Pre Interviewer Languages spoken
V022029	PreIWR.6	Pre Interviewer Experience
V022030	PreIWR.7	Pre IWR Age (bracketted)
V022401	PreRand.C1	RANDOMIZATION C1 PRE THERMOMETER SERIES
V022402	PreRand.H5J5	RANDOMIZATION PRE H5/J5
V022403	PreRand.H7	ORDER OF TEXT - PRE H7
V022404	PreRand.J4R1	RANDOMIZATION PRE J4/R1
V022405	PreRand.K1K2	RANDOMIZATION PRE K1/K2
V022406	PreRand.K1	RANDOMIZATION PRE K1 SERIES
V022407	PreRand.K1d	RANDOMIZATION PRE K1d WORDING
V022408	PreRand.K1g	RANDOMIZATION PRE K1g WORDING
V022409	PreRand.K2	RANDOMIZATION PRE K2 SERIES
V022410	PreRand.K2b	RANDOMIZATION PRE K2b WORDING
V022411	PreRand.K2h	RANDOMIZATION PRE K2h WORDING
V022412	PreRand.L2	L2 RANDOM SELECTION
V022413	PreRand.L2c	RANDOMIZATION PRE L2c SERIES
V022414	PreRand.L2d	RANDOMIZATION PRE L2d SERIES
V022415	PreRand.L9a/b	RANDOMIZATION PRE L9a/b WORDING ORDER
V022416	PreRand.L11a/b	RANDOMIZATION PRE L11a/b ORDER
V022417	PreRand.M1d	ORDER OF TEXT - PRE M1d
V022418	PreRand.M2	RANDOMIZATION - M2 SERIES WORDING
V022419	PreRand.P1P2	P1/P2 RANDOM SELECTION
V022420	PreRand.P1	RANDOMIZATION PRE P1 SERIES
V022421	PreRand.P1k/m	RANDOMIZATION PRE P1k/m WORDING ORDER
V022422	PreRand.P2	RANDOMIZATION PRE P2 SERIES
V022423	PreRand.P2k/m	RANDOMIZATION PRE P2k/m WORDING ORDER
V022424	PreRand.Q1Q4	RANDOMIZED ORDER Q1-Q2/Q3-Q4
V022425	PreRand.Q3d	RANDOMIZED ORDER PRE Q3d SERIES
V022426	PreRand.Q3g	RANDOMIZED PRE Q3g WORDING
V022427	PreRand.Q4a4	RANDOMIZED ORDER Q4a4/Q4a5

PRE SURVEY VARIABLES

V023001	A1	How much attention to political campaigns this year
V023002	A6	How many days in past week R watch natl network news
V023003	A7	How many days in the past week R watch local TV news

V023004	A10	How many days in the past week R read a daily paper
V023005	A12	Does R approve/disapprove Bush handling presidency
V023006	A12a	R strngly approve/dis of Bush handling presidency
V023006x	A12x	Summary - Approve Bush handling presidency
V023007	B1	How much does R care about US House elections
V023008	B2	Does R approve/disapprove Congress handling its job
V023009	B2a	Does R strongly approve/dis Congress handling its job
V023009x	B2x	Summary: R Approval of Congress Handling Its Job
V023010	C1a	Thermometer rating: George W. Bush
V023011	C1b	Thermometer rating: Dick Cheney
V023012	C1c	Thermometer rating: Al Gore
V023013	C1d	Thermometer rating: Joseph Lieberman
V023014	C1e	Thermometer reading: Ralph Nader
V023015	C1f	Thermometer rating: Bill Clinton
V023016	C1h	Thermometer rating: Colin Powell
V023017	C1j	Thermometer rating: John Ashcroft
V023018	C1m	Thermometer rating: Jesse Jackson
V023019	C1p	Thermometer rating: Laura Bush
V023020	C1r	Thermometer rating: Hillary Clinton
V023021	D2	Better when one party controls both congress and pres
V023022	F1	R self-placement on liberal-conservative scale
V023023	F1a	If R had to choose, R liberal or conservative
V023024	F1x	Summary: Liberal-Conservative Self-Placement
V023025	G1	Is R better/worse off financially than last year
V023026	G1a	R much better off/worse off financially than last yr
V023027	H1	Is US economy better, same, or worse than last year
V023028	H1a	Is US economy much/somewhat better/worse than last yr
V023029	H5	Does R approve/disapprove Bush handling economy
V023030	H5a	R strongly approve/disapprove Bush handling economy
V023031	H7	Which party would do a better job handling economy
V023032	H9	US position weaker/stronger/stayed same in past year
V023033	H12	Does R agree/disagree that US should stay home
V023034	H13	Does R approve Bush handling foreign relations
V023035	H13a	R strongly approve/disapp Bush handling foreign rel.
V023035x	H13x	Summary: Approve Bush on Foreign Relations
V023036	J1	Does R consider self Republican/Democrat/Ind/Other
V023037	J1a	R consider self strong/not strong Democrat/Republican
V023038	J1b	Closer to Republican or Democratic party
V023038x	J1x	Party Identification Summary
V023039	J4a	R displayed an American flag in the past 12 mos (1)
V023040	J4d	Things about America today make R feel ashamed (1)
V023041	J5	Does R approve/disapprove Pres Bush handling economy
V023042	J5a	Strongly approve/disappr Pres Bush handling economy
V023042x	J5x	Summary: Approve Pres Bush on Economy-H5/J5 Combined
V023043	J6a	Do poor have same chance at fair trial as the wealthy
V023044	K1a	Federal spending on highways be increased/decr
V023045	K1b	Federal spending on AIDS research be incr/decr
V023046	K1c	Federal spending on welfare be incr/decr
V023047a	K1d1	Federal spending on public schools be incr/decr
V023047b	K1d2	Federal spending on big city schools be incr/decr
V023048	K1e	Federal spending on dealing w/ crime be incr/decr
V023049	K1f	Federal spending on child care be incr/decr
V023050a	K1g1	Federal spending on homeland security be inc/dec
V023050b	K1g2	Federal spending on terrorism be increased/decr
V023051	K1h	Federal spending on unemp. insurance be incr/decr
V023052	K2a	Federal spending on envir protection be inc/dec
V023053a	K2b1	Federal spending on aid to poor be increased/decr
V023053b	K2b2	Federal spending on aid to working poor be inc/dec
V023054	K2c	Federal spending on foreign aid be incr/decr
V023055	K2d	Federal spending on Social Sec be increased/decr

V023056	K2e	Federal spending on border security be incr/decr
V023057	K2f	Federal spending on aid to Blacks be incr/decr
V023058	K2g	Federal spend on preventing infant mort be inc/dec
V023059a	K2h1	Federal spend on early ed. for poor child be inc/dec
V023059b	K2h2	Federal spend on early ed. for Black child be inc/dec
V023060	L1a	Is rich/poor gap in US larger/smaller than 20 yrs ago
V023061	L1a1	Gap betw rich-poor much or somewhat larger/smaller
V023062	L1a2	Income inequality change in last 20 yrs good or bad
V023063	L2a	Why some have better jobs and higher incomes
V023064	L2b	Why some have worse jobs and lower incomes
V023065a	L2c1	Reason for better jobs: more in-born ability to learn
V023065b	L2c2	Reason for better jobs: discrimination
V023065c	L2c3	Reason for better jobs: some just don't work as hard
V023065d	L2c4	Reason for better jobs: no chance at good education
V023065e	L2c5	Reason for better jobs: some just choose low-pay jobs
V023065f	L2c6	Reason better jobs:govt policy helps high-inc workers
V023065g	L2c7	Reason for better jobs: God made people different
V023066a	L2d1	Reason for worse jobs: more in-born ability to learn
V023066b	L2d2	Reason for worse jobs: discrimination holds some back
V023066c	L2d3	Reason for worse jobs: some just don't work as hard
V023066d	L2d4	Reason for worse jobs: no chance at good education
V023066e	L2d5	Reason for worse jobs: some choose low-paying jobs
V023066f	L2d6	Reason worse jobs:govt policy helps high-inc workers
V023066g	L2d7	Reason for worse jobs: God made people different
V023067	L9a	Which political party better for poor people
V023068	L9b	Which political party better for rich people
V023069	L11a	Does R feel R pays more/less than R should in taxes
V023070	L11b	Do rich pay more/less than they should in taxes
V023071	L11c	Do poor pay more/less than they should in taxes
V023072	M1a	R attention to corporate scandals such as Enron
V023073	M1a2	Have stories about corporate scandals made R angry
V023074	M1a3	How many US companies affected by corporate scandal
V023075	M1d	Which party better at stopping corporate scandals
V023076	M2a	Did R Favor/Oppose 2001 Tax Cut: Combined Wording
V023076a	M2a1	Did R Favor/Oppose 2001 Tax Cut: Congress Wording
V023076b	M2a2	Did R Favor/Oppose 2001 Tax Cut: Pres Bush Wording
V023077	M2b	Did R strongly favor/oppose 2001 tax cut
V023077x	M2bx	Summary:R Approve 2001 Tax Cut - Combined Wording
V023078	M2c	Did the Dem Party Favor 2001 Tax Cut: Combined
V023078a	M2c1	Did the Dem Party Favor 2001 Tax Cut: Congress
V023078b	M2c2	Did the Dem Party Favor 2001 Tax Cut: Pres Bush
V023079	M2e	Did the Repub Party Favor 2001 Tax Cut: Combined
V023079a	M2e1	Did Repub party favor/opp 2001 tax cut: Congress
V023079b	M2e2	Did Repub party favor/opp 2001 tax cut: Pres Bush
V023080	M2n	How important is the tax cut issue to R
V023081	M2p	Has tax cut issue ever made R angry
V023082	N1	Is religion an important part of R life
V023083	N1a	How much guidance religion provides life
V023084	N3	Does R attend religious services
V023085	N3a	How often does R attend religious services
V023086	N3a1	Does R attend religious services once a week or more
V023087	N4	R active member at place of worship past 6 mos
V023088	N4a	R planned/chaired meetg at place of worship past 6 mo
V023089	N4b	R given presentation at place of worship in past 6 mo
V023090	P1a1	Reason whites better jobs/inc: whites abil to learn
V023090a	P1a2	Reason blacks worse jobs/inc: whites abil to learn
V023091	P1b1	Reason whites better jobs/income: discrimination
V023091a	P1b2	Reason blacks worse jobs/income: discrimination
V023092	P1c1	Reason whites better jobs/inc:black don't work hard
V023092a	P1c2	Reason blacks worse jobs/inc: blacks don't work hard

V023093	P1d1	Reason whites better jobs/inc: chance at good educ
V023093a	P1d2	Reason blacks worse jobs/income: chance at good educ
V023094	P1e1	Reason whites better jobs/inc:blacks choose low jobs
V023094a	P1e2	Reason blacks worse jobs/income: blacks choose low
V023095	P1f1	Reason whites better jobs/inc: govt pol help whites
V023095a	P1f2	Reason blacks worse jobs/income: govt pol help whites
V023096	P1g1	Reason whites better jobs/inc: God made people diff
V023096a	P1g2	Reason blacks worse jobs/income: God made people diff
V023097	P1j	Does a Black person Have Same Chance at Fair Trial
V023098	P1k	Which political party is better for Whites
V023099	P1m	Which political party is better for Blacks
V023100	P2a1	Reason women worse jobs/inc: men more abil to learn
V023100a	P2a2	Reason men better jobs/income: men more abil to learn
V023101	P2b1	Reason women worse jobs/income: discrimination
V023101a	P2b2	Reason men better jobs/income: discrimination
V023102	P2c1	Reason women worse jobs/income: women don't work hard
V023102a	P2c2	Reason men better jobs/inc: women don't work as hard
V023103	P2d1	Reason women worse jobs/income: chance at good educ
V023103a	P2d2	Reason men better jobs/inc: chance at good education
V023104	P2e1	Reason women worse jobs/income: women choose low
V023104a	P2e2	Reason men better jobs/income: women choose low jobs
V023105	P2f1	Reason women worse jobs/inc: govt policies help men
V023105a	P2f2	Reason men better jobs/income: govt policies help men
V023106	P2g1	Reason women worse jobs/income: God made people diff
V023106a	P2g2	Reason men better jobs/income: God made people diff
V023107	P2j	Woman Have the Same Chance at a Fair Trial as Men
V023108	P2k	Which political party is better for women
V023109	P2m	Which political party is better for men
V023110	Q1	Did R vote in 2000 presidential election
V023111	Q1a	Who did R vote for in the 2000 presidential election
V023112	Q2a	What R remembers most about 2000 Pres elect contro
V023113	Q2c	2000 Pres. election decided in a fair/unfair way
V023114	Q2c1	How strongly R feels 2000 pres elect. was fair/unfair
V023114x	Q2cx	Summary: FairANESs of 2000 Presidential Election
V023115	Q3b	Did R see World Trade Center collapse on live TV
V023116	Q3c	What R thinks 9/11 terrorists trying to accomplish
V023117a	Q3d1	Reason for 9/11: US support of Israel
V023117b	Q3d2	Reason for 9/11: Desert Storm - 1991 war against Iraq
V023117c	Q3d5	Reason for 9/11: To carry out a religious war
V023117d	Q3d6	Reason for 9/11: Terrorists believe US is immoral
V023118	Q3e	Likelihood of serious terrorist attack in next 12 mos
V023119	Q3g	Does R approve Bush handling 9-11/war on terrorism
V023119a	Q3g1a	Does R approve of Bush handling: war on terrorism
V023119b	Q3g1b	Does R approve of Bush handling: response to 9/11
V023119c	Q3g2	Does R strongly approve/dis of Bush on 9-11/terrorism
V023119x	Q3gx	Summary: Appr Bush on War on Terrorism/Response 9/11
V023119y	Q3gx1	Summary: Approve Bush - War on Terrorism
V023119z	Q3gx2	Summary: Approve Bush - Response to Sept 11
V023120	Q4a4	Was US war against Taliban in Afghanistan worth cost
V023121	Q4a5	Does R think Osama Bin Laden is still alive
V023122	Q4a6	Does R favor/oppose US military action against Iraq
V023123	Q4a7a	R strongly favor/opp US military action against Iraq
V023123x	Q4a7x	Summary: Favor Military Action against Iraq
V023124	R1a	R displayed American flag in the past 12 months (2)
V023124x	R1ax	Summary J4a/R1a-R displayed American flag past 12 mos
V023125	R1d	Things about America today make R feel ashamed (2)
V023125x	R1dx	Summary J4d/R1d Things about America make R ashamed
V023126a	Y1a	R birthdate: Month - FRESH CROSS
V023126c	Y1c	R birthdate: Year - FRESH CROSS
V023126x	Y1x	Summary: Respondent's Age

V023127	Y2	R marital status - FRESH CROSS
V023127a	Y2a	R marital status - PANEL 2000 status
V023128	Y3	R highest grade of school/year of college - FR CROSS
V023129	Y3a	Did R get a high school diplom or GED - FRESH CROSS
V023130	Y3b	Highest degree R has earned - FRESH CROSS
V023131	Y3x	Summary: R Education
V023132	Y4	R employment status - FRESH CROSS
V023132x	Y4x	Summary: Cross Pre/Panel Post employment status
V023133	Y6	Does anyone in HH belong to a labor union - FR CROSS
V023133a	Y6(1)	Panel 2000 Does anyone in HH belong to a labor union
V023134	Y6a	Who in R household belongs to a labor union -FR CROSS
V023134a	Y6a(1)	Panel 2000 Who belongs to Labor Union
V023135	Y7	What is R religious preference - FRESH CROSS
V023136	Y7a	What is R religious denomination FRESH CROSS
V023137	Y7a1	Is R a Southern Baptist or something else
V023138	Y7x1	R Religion Summary 1
V023138a	Y7x2	R Religion Summary 2
V023139	Z1	How long has R lived in present community - FR CROSS
V023139a	Z1a	2000 Study Number of Years in Community - PANEL
V023140	Z2	How long has R lived in current home? - FRESH CROSS
V023140a	Z2a	2000 Study Number of Years in House - PANEL
V023141	Z3	Does R family own a home, pay rent, or something else
V023142	Z4	Does R have money invested in the stock market now
V023143	Z5b	Does R or family member receive Medicare
V023144	Z5c	Does R or family member receive Medicaid
V023145	Z5g	Does R or family member have retiremt savings/pension
V023146	Z6a	Is total household income less/more than \$50,000
V023147	Z6b	If Household income is more than \$50,000: categories
V023148	Z6c	If Household income is less than \$50,000: categories
V023149	Z6x	Summary: Household Income
V023150	Z7x	Race summary
V023150a	Z7a	R racial/ethnic self-identification: Black mention
V023150b	Z7b	R racial/ethnic self-identification: Asian mention
V023150c	Z7c	R racial self-identification: Native American mention
V023150d	Z7d	R racial/ethnic self-identification: Hispanic mention
V023150e	Z7e	R racial/ethnic self-identification: White mention
V023150f	Z7f	R racial/ethnic self-identification: Other mention
V023151	Z9	Is R of Spanish or Hispanic origin or descent
V023152	Z9a	What is R Hispanic origin

INTERVIEWER EVALUATION - PRE INTERVIEW

V023153	Z10	Is R male or female
V023154	ZZ4	IWR: R cooperation
V023155	ZZ5	IWR: R general level of information about politics
V023156	ZZ6	IWR: R apparent intelligence
V023157	ZZ7	IWR: How suspicious R was before the IW
V023158	ZZ8	IWR: How great was R interest in the interview
V023159	ZZ9	IWR: How sincere did R seem to be in his/her answers
V023160	ZZ9a	IWR: Were there parts where IWR doubted R sincerity
V023161	ZZ10	IWR: Do you feel R reported income accurately
V023162	ZZ10a	IWR: Give reasonable estimate of R family income
V023163	ZZ11a	IWR: IWR estimate R age
V023164	ZZ11b	IWR: IWR stimate R level of education
V023165a	ZZ12a	IWR: R reaction(s) to IW? - Negative General
V023165b	ZZ12b	IWR: R reaction(s) to IW - Too Long
V023165c	ZZ12c	IWR: R reaction(s) to IW - Too Complicated
V023165d	ZZ12d	IWR: R reaction(s) to IW -Boring/Tedious
V023165e	ZZ12e	IWR: R reaction(s) to IW - Wanted to Stop

V023165f	ZZ12f	IWR: R reaction(s) to IW - Ill/Deaf/Tired etc.
V023165g	ZZ12g	IWR: R reaction(s) to IW - Confused by Questions
V023165h	ZZ12h	IWR: R reaction(s) to IW - Felt Unqualified
V023165j	ZZ12j	IWR: R reaction(s) to IW - Lack Political Knowledge
V023165k	ZZ12k	IWR: R reaction(s) to IW - Agitated by IW Process
V023165m	ZZ12m	IWR: R reaction(s) to IW - Angry at IW Content
V023165n	ZZ12n	IWR: R reaction(s) to IW - Concerned about Sampling
V023165p	ZZ12p	IWR: R reaction(s) to IW - Positive
V023165q	ZZ12q	IWR: R reaction(s) to IW - Neutral/None
V023168	PROB1	IWR: Interview Problem recorded

POST NONSURVEY VARIABLES

V024000	PostAdmin.0	Form of Post interview
V024001	PostAdmin.1	Release
V024002	PostAdmin.2	Mode
V024003	PostAdmin.3	Number of calls
V024004	PostAdmin.4	Result
V024005	PostAdmin.5	Language
V024006	PostAdmin.6	Verification
V024007	PostAdmin.7	Evaluation
V024008	PostAdmin.8	Tape recorded
V024009	PostAdmin.9	Interviewed in parts
V024010	PostAdmin.10	Number of parts
V024011	PostAdmin.11	Number of interviewers
V024012a	PostAdmin.12a	Beginning month
V024012b	PostAdmin.12b	Beginning day
V024012c	PostAdmin.12c	Beginning date of Post IW month and day (MMDD)
V024012d	PostAdmin.12d	Post IW number of days after election
V024013a	PostAdmin.13a	Ending month
V024013b	PostAdmin.13b	Ending day
V024013c	PostAdmin.13c	Ending date of Post IW month and day (MMDD)
V024014	PostAdmin.14	Pre Address given
V024015	PostAdmin.15	Payment mode
V024016	PostAdmin.16	Payment amount
V024017a	PostAdmin.17a	Month payment mailed
V024017b	PostAdmin.17b	Day payment mailed
V024018	PostAdmin.18	Post Length of Interview
V024019	PostAdmin.19	Post Interviewer Interview Number
V024020	PostAdmin.20	Post persuasion letter
V024024	PostIWR.1	IWR ID - Post Interviewer of Record
V024025	PostIWR.2	Post Interviewer gender
V024026	PostIWR.3	Post Interviewer Education Level
V024027	PostIWR.4	Post Interviewer Race
V024027a	PostIWR.4a	Post Interviewer Ethnicity
V024028	PostIWR.5	Post Interviewer Languages spoken
V024029	PostIWR.6	Post Interviewer Experience
V024030	PostIWR.7	Post IWR Age (bracketted)
V024201	Summary.1	Flag misassigned preload
V024202	Summary.2	Panel Flag - candidate same as in 2000
V024202a	PostSummary.2a	PostSummary.2a. Panel 2000 District
V024401	PostRand.C1	Randomization C1a/C1b Voter Turnout
V024402	PostRand.Vote	Randomized Order-Dem/Repub Cand Names in Vote Section
V024403	PostRand.D1	Randomization of D1 Thermometers
V024404	PostRand.D2	Randomization of D2 Thermometers
V024405	PostRandD3/D4	Randomized Selection of D3/D4 Traits
V024406	PostRand.D3	Randomization - Order of D3 Bush Traits
V024407	PostRand.D4	Randomization - Order of D4 Bush Traits
V024408	PostRandG1a/b	Randomization Order of G1a/b

V024409	PostRandK4/K5	Randomized Selection of K4/K5
V024410	PostRandL1/L2	Randomized Assignment L1/L2 series Federal Spending
V024411	PostRand.L1	Randomization Post L1 Series
V024412	PostRand.L1e	Randomization Post L1e Wording
V024413	PostRand.L1h	Randomization Post L1h Wording
V024414	PostRand.L2	Randomization Post L2 Series
V024415	PostRand.L2c	Randomization Post L2c Wording
V024416	PostRand.L2j	Randomization Post L2j Wording
V024417	PostRandM5/M6	Randomized Assignment of M5/M6
V024418	PostRandM5a/b	Randomization Order of M5a/b
V024419	PostRandM6a/b	Randomization Order of M6a/b
V024420	PostRand.M7	Randomization Post M7 Wording
V024421	PostRandM7c/e	Randomization Order of M7c/e
V024422	PostRandM9b/c	Randomization Order of M9b/c
V024423	PostRand.M11	Randomized Assignment M11
V024424	PostRand.M11c	Randomization Post M11c Series
V024425	PostRand.M11d	Randomization Post M11d Series
V024500a	Cand.0a	House Type Race
V024500b	Cand.0b	Senate Type Race
V024501	Cand.1	Democratic House Candidate Name
V024502	Cand.2	Candidate Code - Democratic House Candidate
V024503	Cand.3	Democratic House Candidate Gender
V024504	Cand.4	Republican House Candidate Name
V024505	Cand.5	Candidate Code - Republican House Candidate
V024506	Cand.6	Republican House Candidate Gender
V024507	Cand.7	Independent/3rd Party House Candidate Name
V024508	Cand.8	Candidate Code - Indep/3rd Party House Candidate
V024509	Cand.9	Independent/3rd Party House Candidate Gender
V024510	Cand.10	Independent/3rd Party House Candidate Party
V024511	Cand.11	Retiring House Representative Name
V024512	Cand.12	Candidate Code - Retiring House Representative
V024512a	Cand.12a	Is Retiring House representative also Senate cand
V024513	Cand.13	Retiring House Representative Gender
V024514	Cand.14	Democratic Senate Candidate Name
V024515	Cand.15	Candidate Code - Democratic Senate Candidate
V024516	Cand.16	Democratic Senate Candidate Gender
V024517	Cand.17	Republican Senate Candidate Name
V024518	Cand.18	Candidate Code - Republican Senate Candidate
V024519	Cand.19	Republican Senate Candidate Gender
V024520	Cand.20	Independent/3rd Party Senate Candidate Name
V024521	Cand.21	Candidate Code - Indep/3rd Party Senate Candidate
V024522	Cand.22	Independent/3rd Party Senate Candidate Gender
V024523	Cand.23	Independent/3rd Party Senate Candidate Party
V024524	Cand.24	Candidate Code - 2002 House Election Winner
V024524a	Cand.24a	2002 House Election Winner - Party
V024524b	Cand.24b	2002 Dem House Cand Percent
V024524c	Cand.24c	2002 Rep House Cand Percent
V024524d	Cand.24d	2002 Ind/3rd Hse Cand Percent
V024524e	Cand.24e	Independent/3rd party House candidate name
V024524f	Cand.24f	Independent/3rd party House candidate party
V024525	Cand.25	Candidate Code - 2002 Senate Election Winner
V024525a	Cand.25a	2002 Senate Election Winner - Party
V024525b	Cand.25b	2002 Dem Senate Cand Percent
V024525c	Cand.25c	2002 Rep Senate Cand Percent
V024525d	Cand.25d	2002 Ind Senate Cand Percent
V024526	Cand.26	Junior Senator Status
V024526a	Cand.26a	Junior Senator Party
V024527	Cand.27	Senior Senator Status in 2002
V024527a	Cand.27a	Senior Senator Party
V024528	Cand.28	Junior Senator Name

V024529	Cand.29	Junior Senator Code
V024530	Cand.30	Junior Senator Gender
V024531	Cand.31	Senior Senator Name
V024532	Cand.32	Senior Senator Code
V024533	Cand.33	Senior Senator Gender
V024534	Cand.34	Retiring Senator Name
V024535	Cand.35	Retiring Senator Code
V024536	Cand.36	Retiring Senator Gender

POST SURVEY VARIABLES

```

-----
V025001    A1    How interested in this year's political campaigns
V025002    A2    Did R watch any programs about the campaign on TV
V025003    A3    Does R ever discuss politics with family or friends
V025004    A3a   How many days in the past week R discussed politics
V025005    B1    Has political party spoken to R about campaign
V025006    B1a   Which party spoke to R about this year's campaign
V025007    B2    R try to influence about whom to vote for/against
V025008    B3    R display a campaign button, sticker, or sign
V025009    B4    R attend political meetings/rallies supporting a cand
V025010    B5    R do any work for one of the parties or candidates
V025011    B6    R give money to individual cand running for office
V025012    B7    R give money to a political party
V025013    B8    R give money to other group that supported/opp cand.
V025014    B9    Anyone talk to R about registr/getting out to vote
V025015a   C1a   Did R vote in the elections this November
V025015b   C1b   Which statement best fits whether R voted/did not
V025016    C1x   Summary: R 2002 Election Turnout
V025017    C2    Was R registered to vote this election
V025018    C2a   County where R is registered
V025018a   C2aa  Preloaded county (blanked)
V025019    C2a1  State of outside registration
V025019a   C2a2  District of outside registration
V025020    C2ax   Summary: R Vote/Registration Status
V025021    C4    R vote on election day, November 5, 2002 or before
V025022    C4a1  How long before November 5, 2002 did R vote
V025023    C4a2  R vote in person or by absentee ballot
V025024    C11a  R vote for a cand for the US House of Representatives
V025025    C11a1 For whom did R vote for House: pre-loaded names
V025025x   C11ax Candidate Code - House Vote In County
V025026    C11a2 For whom did R vote for House: open-ended
V025027    C11a2a For which party did R vote for House: open-ended
V025028a   C11x1 Candidate Code - House Vote Summary -- All Voters
V025028b   C11x2 Candidate Party - House Vote Summary - All Voters
V025029    C15   Summary: Senate Race Status
V025030    C15a  Did R vote for a candidate for the US Senate
V025031    C15a1 For whom did R vote for US Senate: pre-loaded names
V025031a   C15ax Cand - in-county senate vote
V025032    C15a2 For whom did R vote for US Senate: open-ended
V025033    C15a2a For which party did R vote for US Senate
V025034    C15ax1 Candidate code - Summary Senate vote
V025034x   C15ax2 Cand party - Summary Senate vote
V025035    C18a  Nonvoterhave a preference for US House
V025036    C18a1 Nonvoter preference for US House: pre-loaded names
V025037    C18ax1 Candidate code - Nonvoter House preference
V025038    C19   Race in state of interview?
V025039    C19a  Did nonvoter R prefer a candidate for US Senate
V025040    C19a1 Nonvoter preference for US Senate: pre-loaded names
V025041    C19ax Candidate code - Nonvoter Senate preference

```

V025042	C20	R think Congressional elections were conducted fairly
V025043	D1a	Thermometer rating: George W. Bush
V025044	D1g	Thermometer rating: Pre-loaded Democratic House cand
V025045	D1h	Thermometer rating: Pre-loaded Republican House cand
V025046	D1j	Thermometer rating: Pre-loaded retiring House rep.
V025047	D1k	Thermometer rating: Pre-loaded Democratic Senate cand
V025048	D1m	Thermometer rating: Pre-loaded Republican Senate cand
V025049	D1m1	Thermometer rating: LA only--2nd Repub Senate cand
V025050	D1n	Thermometer rating: Ind./3rd Pty/LA05 2nd Rep. House
V025051	D2a	Thermometer rating: the Supreme Court
V025052	D2b	Thermometer rating: Congress
V025053	D2c	Thermometer rating: the Military
V025054	D2d	Thermometer rating: Federal government in Washington
V025055	D2e	Thermometer rating: Blacks
V025056	D2f	Thermometer rating: Whites
V025057	D2g	Thermometer rating: conservatives
V025058	D2h	Thermometer rating: liberals
V025059	D2j	Thermometer rating: labor unions
V025060	D2k	Thermometer rating: big busIANESs
V025061	D2m	Thermometer rating: poor people
V025062	D2n	Thermometer rating: people on welfare
V025063	D2p	Thermometer rating: Hispanics (Hispanic-Americans)
V025064	D2q	Thermometer rating: Christian fundamentalists
V025065	D2r	Thermometer rating: older people (the elderly)
V025066	D2s	Thermometer rating: environmentalists
V025067	D2t	Thermometer rating: gay men and lesbians
V025068	D2u	Thermometer rating: Catholics
V025069	D2v	Thermometer rating: Jews
V025070	D2w	Thermometer rating: Protestants
V025071	D2y	Thermometer rating: feminists
V025072	D2z	Thermometer rating: Asian-Americans
V025073	D2za	Thermometer rating: the news media
V025074	D2zb	Thermometer rating: the Catholic Church
V025075	D3a	Pres Bush trait: provides strong leadership
V025076	D3b	Pres Bush trait: is moral
V025077	D3c	Pres Bush trait: out of touch w/ ordinary people
V025078	D3d	Pres Bush trait: is knowledgeable
V025079	D4a	Pres Bush trait: provides strong leadership
V025080	D4b	Pres Bush trait: really cares about people like you
V025081	D4c	Pres Bush trait: he is dishoANESt
V025082	D4d	Pres Bush trait: he is intelligent
V025083	E1	Which party had most members in House before election
V025084	F5	How much does R follow politics and public affairs
V025085	G1a	Is Democratic House cand. liberal/conserv/mod
V025086	G1a1	Is Democr House cand strong or not strong liberal
V025087	G1a2	Is Democr House cand. strong or not strong conserv
V025088	G1a3	Is Democratic House cand. more like liberal/ conserv
V025089	G1ax	Summary: Dem House cand/VT01 Ind incumb lib-conserv
V025090	G1b	Is Republican House cand. liberal/conserv/mod
V025091	G1b1	Is Republican House cand strong or not strong liberal
V025092	G1b2	Is Republican House cand strong or not strong conserv
V025093	G1b3	Is Republican House cand. more like liberal/ conserv
V025094	G1bx	Summary: Republican House cand liberal-conserv
V025095	G1c	Is 2nd LA05 Republican cand liberal/conservative/mod
V025096	G1c1	Is 2nd LA05 Rep. House cand strong/not strong liberal
V025097	G1c2	Is 2nd LA05 Rep. House cand strong/not strong conserv
V025098	G1c3	Is 2nd LA05 Rep. House cand more liberal/ conserv
V025099	G1cx	Summary: LA05 2nd Repub House cand liberal-conserv
V025100	K2	Has R done volunteer work in the last 12 months
V025101	K3	R feel people can be trusted/can't be too careful

V025102	K4	R feel people take advantage of others/try to be fair
V025103	K5	R feel people try to be helpful/look out for selves
V025104	L1a	Federal spending on highways increased/decreased
V025104x	L1ax	Pre-post summary: building/repairing highways
V025105	L1b	Federal spending on defense increased/decreased
V025106	L1c	Federal spending on AIDS research increased/decr
V025106x	L1cx	Pre-post summary: AIDS research
V025107	L1d	Federal spending on welfare programs increased/decr
V025107x	L1dx	Pre-post summary: welfare programs
V025108a	L1e1	Federal spending on Public schools increased/decr
V025108b	L1e2	Federal spending on big-city schools increased/decr
V025108x	L1e1x	Pre-post summary: public schools
V025108y	L1e2x	Pre-post summary: big-city schools
V025109	L1f	Federal spending on dealing w/ crime increased/decr
V025109x	L1fx	Pre-post summary: dealing with crime
V025110	L1g	Federal spending on child care increased/decreased
V025110x	L1gx	Pre-post summary: child care
V025111a	L1h1	Federal spending on homeland secur/terrorism inc/dec
V025111b	L1h2	Federal spending on war on terrorism incr/decr
V025111x	L1h1x	Pre-post summary: homeland security
V025111y	L1h2x	Pre-post summary: war on terrorism
V025112	L1j	Federal spending on unemp. insurance increased/decr
V025112x	L1jx	Pre-post summary: unemployment insurance
V025113	L2a	Federal spending on environmental protection inc/dec
V025113x	L2ax	Pre-post summary: environmental protection
V025114	L2b	Federal spending on defense increased/decreased
V025114x	L2bx	Post L1/L2 summary: Fed spending on defense incr/decr
V025115a	L2c1	Federal spending on aid to poor increased/decreased
V025115b	L2c2	Federal spending on aid to working poor incr/decr
V025115x	L2c1x	Pre-post summary: aid to poor people
V025115y	L2c2x	Pre-post summary: aid to working poor
V025116	L2d	Federal spending on foreign aid increased/decreased
V025116x	L2dx	Pre-post summary: foreign aid
V025117	L2e	Federal spending on social security increased/decr
V025117x	L2ex	Pre-post summary: social security
V025118	L2f	Federal spending on border security increased/decr
V025118x	L2fx	Pre-post summary: border security
V025119	L2g	Federal spending on aid to Blacks increased/decreased
V025119x	L2gx	Pre-post summary: aid to blacks
V025120	L2h	Federal spending preventing infant mortality inc/dec
V025120x	L2hx	Pre-post summary: preventing infant mortality
V025121a	L2j1	Federal spending early educ poor children incr/decr
V025121b	L2j2	Federal spending early educ black children incr/decr
V025121x	L2j1x	Pre-post summary: poor children pre-school
V025121y	L2j2x	Pre-post summary: black children pre-school
V025122	M4	Gov't guarantee jobs/let people get ahead on own
V025123	M4c	How important is govt guaranteed jobs
V025124	M4d	Has govt guaranteed jobs issue made R angry
V025125	M5	Companies that discrim should have have Affirm Action
V025126	M5a	What is Democratic party view on Affirmative Action
V025127	M5b	What is Republican party view on Affirmative Action
V025128	M5c	How important is the Affirmative Action issue to R
V025129	M5d	Has Affirmative Action issue made R angry
V025130	M6	How much should govt do to ensure equal pay for women
V025131	M6a	Democratic party position on equal pay for women
V025132	M6b	Republican party's position on equal pay for women
V025133	M6c	How important to R is the equal pay for women issue
V025134	M6d	Has the equal pay for women issue ever made R angry
V025135	M7b1	Favor eliminating estate/death tax - combined wording
V025135a	M7b1a	Does R favor/oppose doing away with the estate tax

V025135b	M7b1b	Does R favor/oppose doing away with the death tax
V025136	M7b2	R strongly favor/opp doing away with estate/death tax
V025136a	M7b2a	R strongly favor/opp doing away with estate tax
V025136b	M7b2b	R strongly favor/opp doing away with death tax
V025137	M7c	Democrats favor/opp doing away with estate/death tax
V025137a	M7c1	Democrats favor/oppose doing away with estate tax
V025137b	M7c2	Democrats favor/oppose doing away with death tax
V025138	M7e	Republicans favor/opp doing away w/ estate/death tax
V025138a	M7e1	Republicans favor/opp doing away with estate tax
V025138b	M7e2	Republicans favor/oppose doing away with death tax
V025139	M7h	How important to R is the estate/death tax issue
V025140	M7j	Has estate/death tax issue ever made R angry
V025141	M9a	R pays more/right amount/less than R should in taxes
V025142	M9b	Rich pay more/right amount/less taxes than should
V025143	M9c	Poor pay more/right amount/less taxes than should
V025144	M10a	How worried is R about nuclear war
V025145	M10b	How worried is R about conventional war
V025146	M10d	R feelings about campaign finance reform
V025147	M11a	Why do some people have better jobs/higher incomes
V025148	M11b	Why do some people have worse jobs/lower incomes
V025149	M11c1	Reason better jobs:some more in-born ability to learn
V025150	M11c2	Reason better jobs:discrimination holds some back
V025151	M11c3	Reason better jobs: some just don't work as hard
V025152	M11c4	Reason better jobs:some don't get chance at good educ
V025153	M11c5	Reason better jobs: some just choose low-paying jobs
V025154	M11c6	Reason better jobs:govt policy helps high-inc workers
V025155	M11c7	Reason better jobs: God made people different
V025156	M11d1	Reason worse jobs: some more in-born ability to learn
V025157	M11d2	Reason worse jobs: discrimination holds some back
V025158	M11d3	Reason worse jobs: some people don't work as hard
V025159	M11d4	Reason worse jobs: some don't get chance at good educ
V025160	M11d5	Reason worse jobs: some people choose low-paying jobs
V025161	M11d6	Reason worse jobs:gov't policy helps high-inc workers
V025162	M11d7	Reason worse jobs: God made people different
V025163	M12a	R worked with others on community issue past 12 mos
V025164	M12b	R contacted govt official to express views
V025165	M12c	R attended a meeting on community/school issue
V025166	M12d	R member of any non-religious organizations
V025167	M12e	Did R contribute to church or charity
V025168	M12f	R taken part in a protest/march on natl/local issue
V025169	N2b	R feel well-qualified to participate in politics
V025170	N2c	R feel better informed about politics/govt than most
V025171	N3	R feel R voting matters/doesn't matter
V025172	Q1a	R feel public officials care what people like R think
V025173	Q1b	R feel people like R do have say about what govt does
V025174	Q3a	How much does R trust government
V025175	Q4	How much tax money does government waste
V025176	Q5	Is gov't run by few big interests/for benefit of all
V025177	Q6	How many running the government are crooked
V025178	Q7	Elections make govt pay attn. to what people think
V025179	T6	How satisfied with the way democracy works in the US
V025180	T7a	Does seeing the American flag make R feel good
V025181	T7b	How strong is R's love for R's country
V025182	Y4	What is R's employment status
V025183	Y4x	Pre (Fresh Cross) and post (Panel) employment status
V025184	Y8a	planned/chaired a meeting in the last 6 months
V025185	Y8b	given a presentation/speech in last 6 months
V025186	Z1	worked with neighbors on a common issue past 12 mos
V025187	Z2	R feel neighbors are out just for themselves
V025188	Z3a	R feel neighbors try to take advantage of others

V025189 Z3b R feel neighbors treat others with respect
V025190 Z3c R feel "hoANeSt" describes neighbors

INTERVIEWER EVALUATION - POST INTERVIEW

V025191 ZZ4 IWR: R cooperation
V025192 ZZ5 IWR: R general level of information about politics
V025193 ZZ6 IWR: R apparent intelligence
V025194 ZZ7 IWR: R suspicious about study before the interview
V025195 ZZ8 IWR: R interest in the interview
V025196 ZZ9 IWR: R sincerity
V025197 ZZ9a IWR: Any parts of IW where IWR doubted R sincerity?
V025198a ZZ12a IWR: R reaction(s) to IW? - Negative General
V025198b ZZ12b IWR: R reaction(s) to IW - Too Long
V025198c ZZ12c IWR: R reaction(s) to IW - Too Complicated
V025198d ZZ12d IWR: R reaction(s) to IW -Boring/Tedious
V025198e ZZ12e IWR: R reaction(s) to IW - Wanted to Stop
V025198f ZZ12f IWR: R reaction(s) to IW - Ill/Deaf/Tired etc.
V025198g ZZ12g IWR: R reaction(s) to IW - Confused by Questions
V025198h ZZ12h IWR: R reaction(s) to IW - Felt Unqualified
V025198j ZZ12j IWR: R reaction(s) to IW - Lack Political Knowledge
V025198k ZZ12k IWR: R reaction(s) to IW - Agitated by IW Process
V025198m ZZ12m IWR: R reaction(s) to IW - Angry at IW Content
V025198n ZZ12n IWR: R reaction(s) to IW - Concerned about Sampling
V025198p ZZ12p IWR: R reaction(s) to IW - Positive
V025198q ZZ12q IWR: R reaction(s) to IW - Neutral/None
V025201 PROB1 IWR: Any difficulty with a phone interview