MEMO TO: NES Board

FROM: Giovanna Morchio, Maria Sanchez

RE: Creation of a filter variable to be used when
analyzing questions about congressional
candidates in the 1982 integrated personal/ISR
CATI/Berkeley, CATI data set

A filter variable (V950) has been constructed to retrieve the personal and ISR/CATI parts of the data set, and exclude the Berkeley portion for analyses centering on congressional candidates. Berkeley's C. D. assignment procedure resulted in a distorted distribution (see tables 1, 2). In the Berkeley data all respondents whose C. D. were never assigned are part of clusters where more than one C. D. was possible. Furthermore these unassigned cases represent 28% of the respondents inteviewed in this type of cluster, (multi-district) against 14% for the comparable ISR/CATI group. These multi-district Berkeley respondents with unassigned districts lack substantive information about congressional candidates obtained for comparable cases in the ISR/CATI. For this reason, the filter variable was constructed to exclude Berkeley data cases.

In constructing V950, personal data cases were subjected to a simulation of the ISR/CATI routine which assigned telephone respondents to congressional districts. In this fashion, the ISR/CATI and personal samples were rendered as equivalent as possible for analyses of

congressional candidate questions. Personal respondents residing in households without telephones have been scored a "missing data" value in V950 along with Berkeley/CATI data cases.

V950 is coded as follows:

- 1. Respondent assigned to correct district and CO2AA (recall series) for personal respondents with telephone and ISR/CATI respondents.
- 2. Respondent assigned to district at CO5Q-CO5Y (recognition series) for personal respondents with telephone. ISR/CATI respondents assigned to correct district in CO5Q-CO5Y or at D2 (explicit clarification question).
- 3. C. D. never assigned (on recall or recognition), or respondent self-assigned him/herself to wrong district during ISR/CATI interview administration.
- 9. Washington, D. C.
- O. Personal respondents without a telephone; Berkeley respondents.

 $$\operatorname{Table}\ 1$$ V5 # of Possible Districts in Telephone Sample Cluster

V132, QD3, Congressional District Assignment (not corrected for accuracy of R's self-assignment to CD)

CATI/ISR

			One	Two	Three	
			Dist.	Dist.	Dist.	
V132/V5		0	1	2	3	
Recal1			70n	44	22	
Kecarr	1		30.7%	27%	22%	
Recognition			108	39	19	
	2		47.4%	23.9%	19.4%	
CD chosen at				56	45	
D2	3			34.4%	45.9%	
DK to D2				6	10	
	4			3.7%	10.2%	
CD never			50	18	2	
assigned	5		21.9%	11% 🚎	2%	$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}$
Washington,		8n				
D. C.	9					
		8	228	163	98	497

 $\label{eq:table 2} \mbox{V5 \# of possible districts in telephone sample cluster}$

V132, QD3 congressional district assignment (not corrected for accuracy of R's self-assignment to CD)

Berkeley/CATI

			One possible		
			district	2 CD	3 CD
V132	/v5	0	1	2	3
Recall			234n	37	20
	1		100%	24.5%	18.9%
Recognition				3	2
	2			2%	1.9%
CD chosen at				76	46
D2	3			50.3%	43.4%
DK to D2				35	38
	4			23.2%	35.8%
CD never					
assigned	5				
Washington,		10			
DC	9				
		10	234	151	106 5

Table 3

Variable V3 82 T&P Type of Int Strata (Row) V950 Filter: Cong Dist Cand

		Personal 1	ISR 2	Berkeley	3	Totals Revised
R self-assigned to right district at:						
Berkeley, personal without phone	0 %	77 0.0	0.0	501 0.0		578 0 0.0
Recall	,1 %	484 36.2	123 13 25•2 2			607 607 33.2
Recognition D2	, 2 %	607 45.4	215 20			822 45.0
Never assigned or R Self-assigned to wrong district	3 %	247 18.5	151 30.9	0.0		398 398 21.8
Washington, DC	9 %	3 0.0	8 0.0	0 0.0		11 0
Totals Revised Col. %		1,418 1,338 100.0	497 489 100.0	501 0 0.0		2,416 1,827 1,827 100.0
Degrees of Fro	eedo	om	2			
Chi-square		38.60	Probabil	ity 0.00		
Likelihood Ra (G-square)	L10	37.59	Probabil	ity 0.00		1.7
Cramer's V 0.	15	Contingency	coeffici	ent 0.14		/3

Table 3 shows the distribution of the filter variable for the personal and CATI samples. The personal and ISR/CATI samples show a difference of approximately 11% for categories 1 and 3. This difference is beyond sampling error at the .05 probability level.

The subset of personal and telephone respondents defined by

categories 1 and 2 in the filter variable were subsequently analyzed to establish whether the two groups were comparable. In the analysis, we compared the personal and ISR/CATI subsamples by means of chi-square statistics with regard to basic demographic variables and a selected number of variables from the section on congressional candidates.

Table 4 shows that the two groups do not differ significantly with regard to age, marital status, sex, education and belonging or not to a union. However, income and race differ significantly across the samples.

Table 5 documents a strong similarity between the two samples for most of the "like and dislike candidate" questions, "the liberal/conservative" placement scales, "party ID," "vote," "registered Democratic or Republican," "participation in the election to the House of Representatives," "whom did you vote for in 1982" and "political interest." Variables like "coming into contact with Democratic or Republican Representative" (both for districts with one incumbent or two incumbents running), and "aid to minorities self-placement" show a significantly different distribution acoss samples.

The group of personal respondents obtained in the filter variable simulation is less affluent, has more blacks, is more willing to endorse the idea that minorities should help themselves, and views the Democratic House candidate more favorably than the corresponding telephone group.

This constellation of differences led us to explore the possibility that the personal subsample had a disproportionate representation of southern residents in comparison to the telephone subsample. This is, indeed the case as documented below.

 $\frac{\text{Table 6}}{\text{Distribution of respondents assigned correctly at recall or recognition for Personal and ISR.CATI by Region}$

:	Variable Strata (Row)	V3 V953	82 T&P Variab		of Int		
			Personal 1	l ISR.C	ATI 2	Tota	als
	North East Col %	1	199 18.2		97 29 . 1		96 20 . 8
	North Central Col %	2	302 27.7		88 6.4		90 27 . 4
Region	South Co1 %	3	407 37.3		01 0.3		08 35.7
	West Co1 %	4	183 16.8		47 4•1		30 16.2
	Totals Co1 %		1,091 100.0		33 0.0	1,4 1	24 00.0
	Degress of Fr	eedom		3			
	Chi-Square			19.30	Probabi	lity	0.00
	Likelihood Ra (G-Square)	tio		18.32	Probabi	lity	0.00
	Cramer's V 0	.12		Contingency	Coeffic	eient	0.12

In conclusion, the filter variable does not produce Personal and telephone subsamples which are strictly comparable for the analysis of congressional questions.

 $\frac{\text{Table 4}}{\text{Comparison of Personal and ISR.CATI respondents}}$ assigned to correct district for democgraphic variables

		X	Prob.
V733	Age	70.84	•55
v734	Marital status	2.73	.74
V740	Education	5.32	.72
V748	Working status	8.34	.76
V859	Belong to a union	.29	•59
V932	Sex	1.16	.28
V875	Income	17.76	.02
V933	Race	8.98	.03

Table 5

Comparison of Personal and ISR.CATI respondents assigned to correct district for a selected number of variables

V142	Dislike about Dem. candidate	1.78	.18
V147	Like about Repub. candidate	.47	•49
V152	Dislike about Repub. candidate	•03	
V396	Liberal/conservative self-placement	2.78	.84
V404	Liberal/conservative Dem. cand.	4.81	•57
V405	Liberal/conservative Rep. cand.	4.71	•58
V283	Summary Party ID	10.94	.21
V286	Political Interest	2.19	•54
V694	Did you vote	•51	•47
V695	Registered Dem. or Rep.	7.53	.27
V698	Voted in House election	4.05	.26
V699	Who did you vote for in House election	1.78	•62
	·		
V137	Like about Dem. candidate	5.09	.02
V165	Contact w. Dem. repres. in CD (1 incumb)	15.63	.00
V175	Contact w. Rep. repres. in CD (1 incumb)		.00
V259	Contact w. Dem. repres. in CD (2 incumb)		.01 (n=136)
V269	Contact w. Rep. repres. in CD (2 incumb)	9.13	.00 (n=137)
V418	Aid to minorities self-placement	21.25	.00

*** TA . 5 -- CROSSTAB AND RANK ORDER STATISTICS ***

JAN 20, 1984 COMP FOR CAND DIST VARS

ILLEGAL CHARACTERS IN THE DATA WILL BE TREATED AS MISSING DATA 1

THE DATA ARE NOT WEIGHTED

THE DATA WERE TRANSFORMED BY RECODE NUMBER 1

1,429 CASES PASSED FILTER

ANALYSIS 1

:TYPE OF INI):YO1X	TOTALS REVISE	90.0	1.2
T&P : TYPE T&P(TP):YO1X	2		
V3 82 V733 82	¥ !	. 0	1.1
		0%	∞ %
VARIABLE Strata(ROW)		DELETED Col	Col

496		
-	VISED	0

REVISED	0	11	4	17	16	25	26	21	33	31	31	€ E
TOTALS	0.0	1.2	1.0	1.2	1.	25	26 1.8	21	33	31	31	31
2	0.0		4 1 . 2	· ` · · i	1.2	2.4	1.5	2.4	1.5	1.86	2.4	3.3
1	0.0	1.1	0.9	1.3	12 1.1	17 1.6	1.9	13	28	6 1	. 2	
	0%	∞ %	9 %	20 %	21%	22%	83	2 4 %	25%	. 26	27 %	28 %
	DELETED Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	001	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col

C1

EVISED	34	34	32	30	33	36	20	43	26	31	. 58	24	59	22	15	24	1 5	
TOTALS R	34	34 2.4	32 2.2	30	33 2.3	36 2.5	50 3.5	43 3.0	26 1.8	3.2	28	24 1.7	29	22 1.5	± + +	24 1.7	1.1	1.5
2	2.4					2.4	:	3.0				4.7						9
-	2.4	C4 - 1	CI · I	(4)	2.5	2 . 1	. ຕ · 1	က · ၊		1 Ct ·	100	101.	101.1	- .		18	1.3	1.4
	29 %	30%	31%	32	e %	8 4 %	35	36	37	88%	39	04 %	4 1 %	4 %	4 %	4 4 %	45 %	4 %
	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Co	Col	Col	Col	CoJ	Col	CoJ	Col	CoJ

ო

	VARS
	DIST
	CAND
	FOR
	COMP
	384
•	JAN 20

REVISED	6	91	6	4	6	17	20	8	22	24	25	23	21	23	22	19	20	26
TOTALS RE	t + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 +	1.1	19	1.0	19	1.2	20	£	22 1.5	24	25 1.8	23 1.6	21	23 1.6	22 1.5	1.3	20	26 1.8
2	1.2			1.2	2.1	• 1	_• 1	0.6	1 . 8	- Θ 80								
		0.9		0.9					16		17		19	18	17		1.5	
	47%	8 8 8	9 %	50%	5 7 7 8	52%	53	54%	, %	26	57	58 %	59%	% 60	61	62	% 63	64%
	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col

VARS
DIST
CAND
FOR
COMP
1984
20,
JAN

/ISED	25	28	13	8	50	61	25	4	8	=	Ξ	φ	7	11	ø	4	₩-	ღ
TOTALS REV	25 1.8	28	13 0.9	18 1.3	20 1.4	1.3 E.1	25 1.8	1.0	18 1.3	0.8	0.8	9 4.0	7.0.5	1.2	0 9 .	0 · 3	0	0°.2
7	9 80	2.4		0 80	0.3	2.1	0.6	- - - -	4.0	40	. O	- 0		ភ ភ		0.0	00.	0.0
	19	20	13		19.1						0.7	0.5	0.6	1.1	9.0	4 4		0.3
: -	65	% 99	67	89%	69	2%	1,4	72 %	73	74%	75	% %	77	78 %	79%	80%	8 %	8 %
:	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col

ល

								•					
REVISED	7	ស	ស	-	၈	-	2	-	-	1,423		LITY 0.55	.ITY 0.22
TOTALS	0.12	50.4	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0	0	1,429		PROBABIL	PROBABILITY
2	0.0	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	338 334 100.0	73	70.84	82.50
T	0.2	e .0	0.3	0.1	0.3	0		0.1		1,091 1,089 100.0	FREEDOM		4710
-	83 Co1 %	84 Co1 %	85 Co1 %	86 Co1 %	87 Co1 %	88 Co1 %	89 Co1 %	91 Co1 %	94 Co1 %	TOTALS REVISED CO1 %	DEGREES OF FI	CHI-SQUARE	LIKELIHOOD RATI (G-SQUARE)

CRAMER'S V 0.22 CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT 0.22

ANALYSIS 2

Teste	•
INT	
OF	
:TYPE	: Y02
82 T&P	T&P
82	82
٨3	٧734
/ARIABLE	STRATA (ROW)

Ω	0	ō.	2	119	46	146	Ξ	0	<u>5</u>
REVISE		879	212	Ŧ	4	17	•		1,413
TOTALS REVISED	40.0	879 62.2	212 15.0	119 8.4	46 3.3	146 10.3	0.8	0.0	1,429 1,413 100.0
2	0.0		1 09 1		3.1	27 8.3	0.6		338 324 100.0
	0.0	674	158	8 .5	36		6 8		1,091 1,089 10.00
	0%	- %	810	ო%	4%	% വ	7%	ი%	SED %
	DELETED Col	Col	00	Col	Col	Col	00	DELETED Col	TOTALS REVISE CO1

DEGREES OF FREEDOM

PROBABILITY 0.74 2.73

LIKELIHOOD RATIO (G-SQUARE)

CHI-SQUARE

2.73 PROBABILITY 0.74

CRAMER'S V 0.04 CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT 0.04

JAN 20, 1984 COMP FOR CAND DIST VARS

VARIABLE V3 82 T&P :TYPE OF INT STRATA(ROW) V740 82 T&P(TP):Y03X-R

REVISED	100	24	113	25	288	205	363	183	123	0	1,424
TOTALS	100	24 1.7	113	25 1.8	288 20.2	205 14.4	363 25.5	183 12.9	123 8.6	0.0	1,429 1,424 100.0
2	5.3	8 O	25 7.4	2.1	70 20.8	14.2	92	41	8.0	0.0	338 337 100.0
-	82 7.5		888	18	20.1	157	271	142	90	0.0	1,091 1,087 100.0
	- %	240	m %	4%	w%	% 00	8 %	თ %	5%	66	LS SED %
	Col	Col	001	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	DELETED Col	TOTAL REVIS

DEGREES OF FREEDOM

CHI-SQUARE

œ

5.32 PROBABILITY 0.72

LIKELIHOOD RATIO (G-SQUARE)

5.57 PROBABILITY 0.70

CRAMER'S V 0.06 CONTINGENCY CDEFFICIENT 0.06

Education

œ

JAN 20, 1984 COMP FOR CAND DIST VARS

ANALYSIS 4

VARIABLE V3 82 T&P :TYPE OF INT STRATA(ROW) V748 82 T&P :YO4

YPE OF INT WOLK STA

TOTALS REVISED

792

198 61.3

594 54.5

<u>0</u> %

Col

0.6

0.9

رة %

Col

0.0

00.0

0%

DELETED Col 0

က ၈ ၂

9.0

7%

Col

÷ €.

1.5

8 %

Col

0.6

8

20%

Col

60 5.5

6%

CoJ

169 15.5

20%

CoJ

4 4

ب چ

Col

2.2

9%

Col

155 14.2

0%

Col

1.9

80%

Col

7.1%

CoJ

6 8 6

81%

Col

- 0.

66

DELETED Col

3745
Work

1,413 208 199 27 1,429 74 208 14.7 199 14.1 4 4 °C 39 12.1 0.3 9 6. 13.6 ი ი ი - 0. 338 323 100.0

1,091

TOTALS REVISED Col % Ø

DEGREES OF FREEDOM

8.34 CHI-SQUARE

PROBABILITY 0.76

LIKELIHOOD RATIO (G-SQUARE)

9.17

CRAMER'S V 0.08 CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT 0.08

PROBABILITY 0.69

ANALYSIS 5

ていって :TYPE OF INT :Y50 82 T&P 82 T&P VARIABLE V3 STRATA(ROW) V859

REVISED	0	311	1,093	0	0
TOTALS REVISED	14	311	1,093	0.0	0.0
2	0.0	21.1	255	00.	0.0
+	00.	22.5	838		0.0
	0%	- %	ro %	∞ ×	o %
	DELETED Col	001	Col	DELETED Col	DELETED Col

DEGREES OF FREEDOM

1,404

1,429 1,404 100.0

338 323 100.0

1,091 1,081 100.0

TOTALS REVISED Col %

PROBABILITY 0.59 0.29 CHI-SQUARE

PROBABILITY 0.64 0.22 YATE'S CORRECTION

0.28 LIKELIHOOD RATIO (G-SQUARE)

PROBABILITY 0.60

CRAMER'S V 0.01 CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT 0.01

JAN 20, 1984 COMP FOR CAND DIST VARS

ANALYSIS 6

82 T&P :TYPE OF INT 82 T&P(TP):Y52X VARIABLE V3 STRATA(ROW) V875

×	
Y52X	
വ	
>	
• •	
$\overline{}$	
Φ	
_	

Y52X	
7.	
$\overline{}$	

REVISED	96	153	160	141	182	125	132	172	115	0	0
TOTALS	96 7 . 5	153 12.0	160 12.5	141	182 14.3	125 9.8	132 10.3	172 13.5	115 9.0	0.0	133
2	4.3	9.5	31	11.2	13.2		ē ~ i	16.8	ິຕີ. :	20	0.0
+	83	124	129	11.0	14.6			121	8 8.5	0.0	119
	- %	0%	m %	4 %	w %	9 %	۲%	œ %	5%	86%	66
	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	00	Col	DELETED Col	DELETED Col

1,276 338 304 100.0 1,091 972 100.0 TOTALS REVISED Col %

DEGREES OF FREEDOM

PROBABILITY 0.02 17.76 CHI-SQUARE

18.21 PROBABILITY 0.02 LIKELIHOOD RATIO (G-SQUARE) CRAMER'S V 0.12 CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT 0.12

Ξ

JAN 20, ,984 COMP FOR CAND DIST VARS

:TYPE OF INT (P):Y55 82 T&P 82 T VARIABLE V3 STRATA(ROW) V893

196 84 0 2 TOTALS REVISED 105 196 65.8 196 65.8 1.091 0.0 00 0% DELETED Col Col CoJ

0.7 2 0.7 3.4 0.7 0.0 00 00. 60 0 00. 00

***** CHI-SQUARE IMPOSSIBLE

298

1,429 298 100.0

1.091

0 0.

TOTALS REVISED Col %

ANALYSIS 7

84 28.2

ო %

Col

9

4 %

CoJ

% 6

Col

88 %

Col

00

თ %

DELETED Col

VARIA STRAT

Ĭ							
: TYPE OF INT	: 201	TOTALS	624	43.7	805	56.3	
T&P	T&P	2	139	41.1	199	58.9	1 1 1 1 1 1 1
82	32 82	- !	485	44.5	909	55.5	
٣	V932			*	- ~	*	<u>:</u>
ABLE	TA(ROW)		•	Col	.,	Co1	

DEGREES OF FREEDOM

1,429

338 100.0

1,091

TOTALS Col %

PROBABILITY 0.28 1.16 CHI-SQUARE

PROBABILITY 0.31 1.15 PROBABILITY 0.28 1.03 YATE'S CORRECTION LIKELIHOOD RATIO (G-SQUARE) CRAMER'S V 0.03 CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT 0.03

ANALYSIS 9

:TYPE OF INT : ZO2 82 T&P 82 T&P VARIABLE V3 STRATA(ROW) V933

TOTALS REVISED	1,303 1,303 91.4	108 108 7.6	4 E.O	10 10 0.7
	316	4.5	0.6	1.2
	987	93		0.6
	- %	28.0	ო%	4%
	Col	Col	Col	CO1

1,425 1,429 1,425 100.0 338 337 100.0 1,091 1,088 100.0 TOTALS REVISED

0

4 0.

o.0

DELETED 9 Col %

JAN 20, 384 COMP FOR CAND DIST VARS

DEGREES OF FREEDOM

8.98 CHI-SQUARE

PROBABILITY 0.03

PROBABILITY 0.03 9.25 LIKELIHOOD RATIO (G-SQUARE) CRAMER'S V 0.08 CONTINGENCY CDEFFICIENT 0.08

ANALYSIS 10

:TYPE OF INT :EO1 82 T&P 82 T&P VARIABLE V3 STRATA(ROW) V137

543 447 0 0 2 TOTALS REVISED 6 O. 289 0.0 543 54.8 447 45.2 0.0 4 0. 53 0.0 126 48.8 132 0.0 0.0 56 417 315 236 **∞** % o % 0% % 2 DELETED Col CoJ DELETED Col Col Col DELETED Col DELETED

DEGREES OF FREEDOM

990

1,429 990 100.0

338 258 100.0

1,091 732 100.0

TOTALS REVISED Col %

PROBABILITY 0.02 5.09 CHI-SQUARE PROBABILITY 0.03 4.77 YATE'S CORRECTION

PROBABILITY 0.02 5.06 LIKELIHOOD RATIO (G-SQUARE) CRAMER'S V 0.07 CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT 0.07

Like about Dem Cand

JAN 20, 1984 COMP FOR CAND DIST VARS

_
_
S
ш
S
`
٠.
_
⋖
z
⋖

4	Dislike about Uem Cand							
	INI	REVISED	0	216	786	0	0	1,002
	:TYPE OF INT : EO2	TOTALS REVISED	360	216 21.6	786 78.4	56	0.0	1,429
	Т&Р Т&Р	2	68	18.6	206	0.0	40.0	338 253 100.0
	3 82 142 82	-	292	169	580	0.0	0.0	1,091 749 100.0
_	>> 		0 %	- %	% N	ω×	o %	%ED
ANALYSIS 11	VARIABLE V3 STRATA(ROW) V142		DELETED Col	COJ	COJ	DELETED Col	DELETED Col	TOTALS REVISED CO1 %

PROBABILITY 0.18 PROBABILITY 0.21

1.78 1.55

CHI-SQUARE

YATE'S CORRECTION

LIKELIHOOD RATIO (G-SQUARE)

DEGREES OF FREEDOM

1.79 PROBABILITY 0.18

CRAMER'S V 0.04 CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT 0.04

JAN 20 984 COMP FOR CAND DIST VARS

	Land of the second	Life about represent	
DIST VARS		:TYPE OF INT :E03	
COMP FOR CAND DIST VARS		82 T&P 47 82 T&P	
JAN 2C 984	ANALYSIS 12	VARIABLE V3 STRATA(ROW) V147	

ED	0		446	450)	0		0		0			896				0.49	0.54	0.50	0.02
REVISED			4	4									œ							
	177)	446 9.8	450	2 7	263	0.0	86	0.	7	0		59	9 ₆ 0.			B11.1	ВІСІТУ	BILI	ICIE
TOTALS	- c	•	446	4	50.2	5	0	~	0		0		1,429	<u>8</u> 0			PROBABILITY	PROBAB	PROBABILITY	COEFFICIENT
7			9 7	120		53	0.0	13	0.0	1 4	0.0	1	338	230		_	7	37	ဖ	
		1	4	i i i	52	1	-	 		! !		1		9 6			0.47	0.3	0.46	CONTINGENCY
-	139)	336	10	9.5	210		73	0.0	. E	0.0		.091	999		Σ				CONT
		1	20 3	1	49	!	•	 		t 1 1		1	-	100	1	FREEDOM		CORRECTION	T10	0.02
_	0 %	2	- %	Ľ	% (7	%	œ	%	6	%	_	S	SED %			11.7	REC) RA ARE)	, 0
	DELETED	3	00		Col	DELETED	Col	DELETED	Col	DELETED	Col		TOTALS	REVIS Col		DEGREES OF	CHI-SQUARE	YATE'S COR	LIKELIHOOD RATIO (G-SQUARE)	CRAMER'S \

JAN 20, 1984 COMP FOR CAND DIST VARS

13
S
SI
Ξ
₹
Ä

	Dislike about Kep cand										
		TOTALS REVISED	0	217	705	0	0	922		PROBABILITY 0.87	PROBABILITY 0.94
	:TYPE OF INT :EO4		440 0.0	217	705 76.5	59	80.0	1,429 922 100.0		PROBABI	PROBABI
	Т&Р Т&Р	2	91	23.9	178	0.0	0.0	338 234 100.0	-	60.0	0.01
	3 82 152 82	-	349	161	527 76.6	0.0	0.0	1,091 688 100.0	EEDOM		110N
2	>> 3		0%	- %	w %	ω×	o %	LS SED %	FFR	щ	RREC
ANALISIS IS	VARIABLE V3 STRATA(ROW) V152		DELETED Coł	Col	Col	DELETED Col	DELETED Col	TOTALS REVISED CO1 %	DEGREES OF FREEDOM	CHI-SQUARE	YATE'S CORRECTION

CRAMER'S V 0.01 CONTINGENCY CDEFFICIENT 0.00

O.O2 PROBABILITY O.89

LIKELIHOOD RATIO (G-SQUARE)

ANALYSIS 14

:TYPE OF INT	: JO1A
82 T&P	T&P
67	9667
VARIABLE	STRATA(ROW)

INT	REVISED	0	21	95	108	321	203	205	32	0	0	985
:TYPE OF	TOTALS R	328 0.0	2.1	95	108	321 32.6	203	205 20.8	32	104	0.0	1,429 985 100.0
18P 18P	2	1	i	N .	9.2	0.	21.3		က က		0.0	338 239 100.0
V3 82 V396 82	-	i 💝 📜	2.0	· O ·	11.5		152		23	16 0.0	0.0	1,091 746 100.0
		0%	- %	24.10	m %	4 %	% 22	9%	۲%	8 %	o % _	ALS ISED
VARIABLE STRATA(ROW)		DELETED Col	Col	001	001	001	Col	Col	Co1	DELETED CO	DELETED Co	TOTALS REVISI CO1

DEGREES OF FREEDOM

2.78 PROBABILITY 0.84 CHI-SQUARE

LIKELIHOOD RATIO (G-SQUARE)

2.69 PROBABILITY 0.85

CRAMER'S V 0.05 CONTINGENCY CDEFFICIENT 0.05

When / Conser seffplacment

ANALYSIS 15

Ĺ	INI IO BALL	.0010
6	72 - 28	82 T&P
()	ກ >	V404
	VAKIABLE	STRATA(ROW)

Lib/lans Dem land

REVISED O	26	100	141	177	88	09	Ξ	0	0
TOTALS F 532 0.0	26 4.3	100 16.6	141	177 29.4	88 14.6	60 10.0	± ± ± 8.	286 0.0	0.0
155	2.2	ં જ	24.4	27.4	17.0	10		44	1 7 1
377	23		108	140	13.9			242	i
0%	- %	2%	с %	4 %	w %	9%	۲%	8 %	o %
DELETED Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	DELETED Col	DELETED Col

DEGREES OF FREEDOM

CHI-SQUARE

PROBABILITY 0.57 4.81

603

1,429 603 100.0

338 135 100.0

1,091 468 100.0

TOTALS REVISED Col %

5.11 PROBABILITY 0.53 LIKELIHOOD RATIO (G-SQUARE)

CRAMER'S V 0.09 CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT 0.09

ANALYSIS 16

301K
T&P
82
V405
STRATA(ROW)

REVISED	0	വ	4	42	115	172	143	24	0	0	515
TOTALS F	596 0.0	£ 0.	14 2.7	42 8.2	115 22.3	172 33.4	143	24	312	0.0	1,429 515 100.0
	, ř. j. i	1.5	4.5	0	21.	4 .	ď. Ω	ָ י הי י	ຕ <u>·</u>	i Tijori	338 133 100.0
	i & -		•	10	ω -	(n)	Ö				1,091 382 100.0
	DELETED 0	CO1 %	CO1 %	CO1 %	Col %	5 Co1 %	9 % 100	CO1 %	DELETED 8 Co1 %	DELETED 9	TOTALS REVISED CO1 %
	1 2 TOTAL	o1 % 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	o1 % 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	01 % 0.0	01 % 100 8 1	01 % 20.0	ol %	01 % 20.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	01 % 22.5	01 % 20.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	01 % 2

DEGREES OF FREEDOM

4.71 PROBABILITY 0.58 CHI-SQUARE

LIKELIHOOD RATIO (G-SQUARE)

4.39 PROBABILITY 0.63

CRAMER'S V 0.10 CONTINGENCY CDEFFICIENT 0.10

26 fears Rep Card

JAN 20, 1984 COMP FOR CAND DIST VARS

ANALYSIS 17

:TYPE OF INT 82 T&P 82 T&P VARIABLE V3 STRATA(ROW) V418

REVISED	0	85 73	78	157	374	213	177	145	0	0
TOTALS R	127	8 6.95	78 6.3	157 12.8	374 30.4	213.	177	145 11.8	62	0.0
7	0.0	24 8.6	7.9	17.3	32.0	16.9	7.9	9.4	0.0	0.0
-	116	6.4	5.9	11.5	285 30.0	166 17.5	155	119	0.0	0.0
	0%	~%	2470	e %	4%	2%	% 0	7%	80%	o %
	DELETED Col	001	001	Col	Col	001	001	001	DELETED Col	DELETED Col

DEGREES OF FREEDOM

9

1,229

1,429

1,091 951 100.0

TOTALS REVISED Col %

PROBABILITY 0.00 21.25 CHI-SQUARE

22.23 PROBABILITY 0.00 LIKELIHOOD RATIO (G-SQUARE) CRAMER'S V 0.13 CONTINGENCY CDEFFICIENT 0.13

He Bibr

JAN 20 384 COMP FOR CAND DIST VARS

Ĺ

ANALYSIS 18

VARIABLE V3 82 T&P :TYPE OF INT STRATA(ROW) V283 82 T&P(TP):FO1X

REVISED	285	323	151	140	132	208	170	6	40	0
TOTALS REVISED	285 20.1	323 22.7	151 10.6	140	132 9.3	208 14.6	170	0.1	0.7	0.0
2	59	9	o i	35	12.9	•	13.		0.6	
_	226	3.5	i 7 - 1	9.7		(O - 1		0		· ' - i
	80	- %	2, %	m %	4 %	% N	% 0	۲%	%	o %
	Col	00	COJ	001	001	Coo	Col	Col	Col	DELETED Col

DEGREES OF FREEDOM

CHI-SQUARE 10.94 PROBABILITY 0.21

1,421

1,429 1,421 100.0

1,091 1,088 100.0

TOTALS REVISED Col % LIKELIHOOD RATIO (G-SQUARE)

10.33 PROBABILITY 0.24

CRAMER'S V 0.09 CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT 0.09

Summary Aut ID

JAN 20, 1984 COMP FOR CAND DIST VARS

IABLE	٨3	82	T&P	:TYPE	OF	INT	
STRATA(ROW)	V286	82	82 T&P	: F03			

2							
REVISED	0	473	521	295	135	0	1,424
TOTALS	9.0	473 33.2	521 36.6	295 20.7	135 9.5	0.0	1,429 1,424 100.0
7	0.0	33.1	122	76	26 7.8	0 0 1	338 335 100.0
-	0.0	33.2	36.6	219	109	0.0	1,091
	0%	- %	2 %	e %	4 %	6%	TOTALS REVISED COI %
	DELETED Col	Col	Col	CoJ	Col	DELETED Col	TOTA REVI COI

DEGREES OF FREEDOM

CHI-SQUARE 2.19 PROBABILITY 0.54

LIKELIHOOD RATIO (G-SQUARE)

2.20 PROBABILITY 0.54

CRAMER'S V 0.04 CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT 0.04

Political Interest

JAN 20, 1984 COMP FOR CAND DIST VARS

82 T&P : TYPE OF INT 82 T&P (T):E09 VARIABLE V3 STRATA(ROW) V165

	0			0
TOTALS REVISED	Ü	1,156	109	Ü
TOTALS	161	1,156 91.4	109 8 . 6	e 0.0
	0.0	29 97.	00.1	0.0
	123	8 0	100	0.0
	0%	- %	% N	o %
	DELETED Col	Col	Col	DELETED Col

DEGREES OF FREEDOM

338 299 100.0

1,091 966 100.0

TOTALS REVISED Col %

PROBABILITY 0.00 15.63 CHI-SQUARE

PROBABILITY 0.00 14.71 YATE'S CORRECTION LIKELIHOOD RATIO (G-SQUARE)

PROBABILITY 0.00

19.07

CRAMER'S V 0.11 CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT 0.11

Confact as Demrepose in Wash (fineum)

JAN 20, 1984 COMP FOR CAND DIST VARS

VARIABLE V3 82 T&P :TYPE OF INT STRATA(ROW) V175 82 T&P (T):E11

REVISED	0	568	442	0	1,010
2 TOTALS REVISED	408 0.0	568 56.2	442 43.8	0.0	1,429 1,010 100.0
2	0.0	165		0.0	338 243 100.0
- !				0.0	1,091
	0%	- %	% <u>ت</u>	o %	SED %
	DELETED Col	Coo	Col	DELETED Col	TOTALS REVISED Col %

DEGREES OF FREEDOM

CHI-SQUARE 17.69 PROBABILITY 0.00 YATE'S CORRECTION 17.07 PROBABILITY 0.00 LIKELIHOOD RATIO 18.06 PROBABILITY 0.00 (G-SQUARE)

CRAMER'S V 0.13 CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT 0.13

Contact w Repub in Wash (1 Incumb)

VARIABLE V3 82 T&P :TYPE OF INT STRATA(ROW) V259 82 T&P (T):E41

Contact w Dem Rep (2, memb)

SED	0	106	30	136		0.01	0.03	0.01	0.19
REVISED	•					.ITY	-117	.117	ENT
TOTALS	,293	106 77.9	30 22.1	1,429 136 100.0		PROBABILITY	PROBABILITY	PROBABILITY	COEFFICIENT
10						PRO	PRO	PRO	COE
8	306	30	6.3	338 32 100.0	-	6.08	4.94	7.40	CONTINGENCY
	 	 	! ! !			ý.	4	7.	TING
-	1 60	່້ຕ	26.9	1,091 104 100.0	N.		7		CON
	i i	i i i		- ¥	FREEDOM		CORRECTION	AT 10	0.21
	0%	~ %	ru %	LS SED %	OF FR	<u>μ</u>	RREC	D R/	>
	ED Co1	Col	Col	TOTALS REVISED COl %		QUAR		IHOO SOU	R'S
	DELETED C				DEGREES	CHI-SQUARE	YATE'S	LIKELIHOOD RATIO (G-SQUARE)	CRAMER'S
	۵				٥	Ö	>	_	O

ANALYSIS 23

VARIABLE V3 82 T&P :TYPE OF INT STRATA(ROW) V269 82 T&P (T):E43

EVISED	0	100	37
TOTALS REVISED	1,292	100	37 27.0
2	306	66	
- 1	!	. 99	33.3
	0%	- %	بر بر بر
	DELETED Col	Col	Col

137

1,429 137 100.0

338 32 100.0

1,091 105 100.0

TOTALS REVISED Col %

Contact w Rep (2 Incumb)

JAN 20, 1984 COMP FOR CAND DIST VARS

DEGREES OF FREEDOM

PROBABILITY 0.00 9.13 CHI-SQUARE

PROBABILITY 0.01 7.80 YATE'S CORRECTION

PROBABILITY 0.00 11.20 LIKELIHOOD RATIO (G-SQUARE) CRAMER'S V 0.26 CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT 0.23

ANALYSIS 24

:TYPE OF INT :LO1 82 T&P 82 T&P VARIABLE V3 STRATA(ROW) V694 TOTALS REVISED 989 431 0 0 989 69.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 431 240 00.0 0.0 28.8 0.0 97 749 334 30.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 - % _% م 9 % 8 % DELETED Col DELETED Col DELETED Col Co Col

1,420 1,429 338 337 100.0 1,091 1,083 100.0 TOTALS REVISED Col %

DEGREES OF FREEDOM

PROBABILITY 0.47 0.51 CHI-SQUARE PROBABILITY 0.52 0.42 YATE'S CORRECTION

LIKELIHOOD RATIO (G-SQUARE)

PROBABILITY 0.48 0.51 CRAMER'S V 0.02 CONTINGENCY CDEFFICIENT 0.02

Dd you vote

JAN 20 384 COMP FOR CAND DIST VARS

ANALYSIS 25

L N	REVISED	0	469	101	288	၉	94	ဖ	ស	0	0
:TYPE 0F :L02	TOTALS	438 0.0	469 48.3	107	288 29.6	0.3	94	9.0	0.5	0.0	0.0
18P 18P	2	98	0 -	6 1 · 1	33.2	0.4	23 9.8	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
V3 82 V695 82	F	340		7	∓ =: 1		9.6	0.4	5.0.7	0.0	0.0
		0%	- %	% 10	m %	4 %	% ريا	9%	7 %	%	o %
VARIABLE STRATA(ROW)		DELETED Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	DELETED Col	DELETED Col

DEGREES OF FREEDOM

972

1,429 972 100.0

338 235 100.0

1,091 737 100.0

TOTALS REVISED Col %

7.53 PROBABILITY 0.27 CHI-SQUARE

8.29 PROBABILITY 0.22 LIKELIHOOD RATIO (G-SQUARE) CRAMER'S V 0.09 CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT 0.09

Regist Dem, Rep

JAN 20, 1984 COMP FOR CAND DIST VARS

: TYPE OF INT	:L04/L11
82 T&P	82 T&P
٨3	8698
VARIABLE	STRATA(ROW)

Vate for House of Reb.				-					
INT	EVISED	0	1891	56	8	7	0	0	926
:TYPE OF] :LO4/L11	TOTALS REVISED	439	891 93.2	56 5.9	0.5	7.0	0.0	0.0	1,429 956 100.0
18P 18P	2	0.0	214	7.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	338 231 100.0
3 82 598 82	- !	340	93.4	5.4	0.3	1.0	0.0	0.0	1,091 725 100.0
> >	<u>·</u>	0%	- %	% N	9 %	۲%	00 %	o %	S ED %
VARIABLE V3 STRATA(ROW) V698		DELETED Col	Col	Col	Col	C01	DELETED Col	DELETED Col	TOTALS REVISED Col %

DEGREES OF FREEDOM

4.05 PROBABILITY 0.26

LIKELIHOOD RATIO (G-SQUARE)

CHI-SQUARE

6.07 PROBABILITY 0.11

CRAMER'S V 0.07 CONTINGENCY CDEFFICIENT 0.06

JAN 20, .984 COMP FOR CAND DIST VARS

Voted for ANALYSIS 27

:TYPE OF INT 82 T&P <u>د</u> ۸ VARIABLE

•								
1 1 A A	REVISED	0	478	365	7	**	0	0
:L04AA/L11AA	TOTALS	531	478 55.5	365 42.4	0.8	1.3	0.0	0.0
–	2	124		83	1.5	1.0	8 0.0	0.0
V699 82	-	407	371		0.6	1.4	0.0	80.0
		%0	- %	% 75	۳ %	۲%	80 %	on %
STRATA(ROW)		DELETED Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	DELETED Col	DELETED Col

338 195 100.0 DEGREES OF FREEDOM

861

1,429 861 100.0

1,091 666 100.0

TOTALS REVISED CO1 %

1.78 PROBABILITY 0.62 CHI-SQUARE

LIKELIHOOD RATIO (G-SQUARE)

1.52 PROBABILITY 0.68

CRAMER'S V 0.05 CONTINGENCY COEFFICIENT 0.05

JAN 20, 1984 COMP FOR CAND DIST VARS

ANALYSIS 28

TOTALS REVISE
2
1 1
- !
1

REVISED	0	46	45	314	245	109	72	а	ю	7	-	ო	8	-	-	4
TOTALS	531	46 5.3	45 5.2	314 36.5	245 28.5	109	72	0.22	e 0.3	0.2	0	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.5
	124	·		٠٠		2 4	, •				0.0	0.5	1.0	0.5		1.0
-	407	o .	ı ຕ · ∣	25 7.	8 8	2 8					0.2	0.3		0.0		0.3
	0 %	£ %	% 32	33	34	35	36	37	98	80%	81%	83%	88 4 %	8 8 8	88	e %
	DELETED Col	Col	00	00	001	Col	001	001	Col	Col	Col	Col	Col	Co	Col	Col

VARS
DIST
CAND
FOR
COMP
384
20
JAN

EVISED	<u>=</u>	0	0	861		TY 0.10	TY 0.15	VT 0.16
TOTALS REVISED	1.3	18 0.0	19	1,429 861 100.0		PROBABILITY 0.10	PROBABILITY O.15	COEFFICIENT 0.16
	1.0	80.0	0.0	338 195 100.0	15	22.49	20.73	CONTINGENCY
-	9.1	0.0	0.0	1,091 666 100.0	FREEDOM		RATIO (E.)	
	97 Co1 %	DELETED 98 Col %	DELETED 99 Col %	TOTALS REVISED Col %	DEGREES OF FR	CHI-SQUARE	LIKELIHOOD RA' (G-SQUARE)	CRAMER'S V 0.16

***** The last command has been processed. 'Bye.

***** Normal termination of TABLES \$ 0.91

1.34 secs