To: NES BOARD

From: NES Staff

February 5, 1985

I. PROGRESS OF THE ROLLING CROSS-SECTION

The basic design of the rolling cross-section called for 75 interviews per sample week. The week began on Wednesday morning and ended at midnight 17 days later. Our expectation was that two-thirds of the interviews for a sample week could be taken within the first 7 days of the interview period. Table 1 shows the progress of Rolling Thunder from beginning to end.

TABLE 1: Number of interviews by sample week*

		Total No.	No. Interviews	Resp.
Week #	Dates	Interviews	First 7 Days	Rate
1	Jan. 11-Jan. 27	78	59	.69
2	Jan. 18-Feb. 3	73	52	.64
3	Jan. 25-Feb.10	72	49	.63
4	Feb. 1-Feb.17	62	36	.53
5	Feb. 8-Feb.25	76	56	.66
6	Feb. 15-Mar.2	68	45	.60
7	Feb. 22-Mar.9	75	62	.66
8	Feb. 29-Mar.16	85	70	.66
9	Mar.7-Mar.23	86	63	.67
10	Mar.14-Mar.30	86	67	.68
11	Mar.21-Apr.6	74	59	.59
12	Mar.28-Apr.13	72	44	.59
13	Apr.4-Apr.20	79	59	.63
14	Apr.11-Apr.27	79	51	.63
15	Apr.18-May 4	67	39	.54
16	Apr.25-May 11	81	58	.65
17	May 2-May 18	81	51	.63
18	May 9-May 25	76	51	.59
19	May 16-June 1	77	40	.60
20	May 23-June 8	81	52	.63
21	May 30-June 15	72	48	.57
22	June 6-June 22	77	48	.61
23	June 13-June 29	69	43	.55
24	June 20-July 6	72	46	.57
25	June 27-July 13	67	43	.56
26	July 4 - July 20	73	41	.61
27	July 11 - July 27	79	51	.61
28	July 18 - Aug 3	80	57	.64
29	July 25 - Aug 10	71	49	.56
30	Aug 1 - Aug 17	77	42	.57
31	Aug 8 - Aug 24	73	48	.57

32	Aug 15 - Aug 31	77	50	.61
33	Aug 22 - Sept 7	68	46	•54
34	Aug 29 - Sept 14	84	52	.66
35	Sept 5 - Sept 21	68	39	.56
36	Sept 12 - Sept 28	67	47	. 58
37	Sept 19 - Oct 5	82	42	.62
38	Sept 26 - Oct 12	72	53	•53
39	Oct 3 - Oct 19	79	49	.59
40	Oct 10 - Oct 26	82	58	.64
41	Oct 17 - Nov 2	91	63	.70
42	Oct 24 - Nov 9	81	59	.65
43	Oct 31 - Nov 16	84	54	.66
44	Nov 7 - Nov 23	73	51	•58
45	Nov 14 - Nov 30	73	53	.61
46	Nov 20 - Dec 7	81	52	.67
		N=3500		
	Average/week:	76	Av. 51	Overall: 61.1

The refusal conversion rate was 24.2. The average interview length is 39.7 minutes.

II. SAMPLE DEMOGRAPHICS

The Rolling Cross Section tables in this section are based on 3488 coded interviews, through the end of RXS.

For this analysis, we are comparing the distribution in the rolling cross-section with the NES 1982 CATI samples and the Census Bureau's population estimates. Note that the Census Bureau's estimates are taken from Rosenstone's memo of Summer, 1983, and that Census Bureau estimates have not been corrected for persons without telephones.

Also, for fun, this table contains RXS estimates from previous versions of this memo.

TABLE 4: Comparison of Rolling Cross-Section, 1982 CATI, U.S. Census Data Population Estimates for 1982

Census Bureau	 17.3 15.8	47.2	10.8	33.6	
1982 CATI	52.0 25.9 22.1 13.8 17.0	42.4	92.3 5.7 2.0	7.2 43.1 49.3	60.3 17.5 12.2 9.2
Feb. 4, 1984 N=305	54.8 26.1 19.1 18.9 14.3	44.5	87.5 11.2 1.3	1.4 41.0 57.6	58.7 21.0 11.1 9.2
Mid May, 1984 N=1377	53.2 27.8 19.0 14.7 13.5	45.2	88.9 9.0 2.1	4.3 41.0 54.7	57.9 18.6 14.0 9.5
Aug. 23, 1984 N=2386	54.1 27.0 18.9 15.2 13.9	6.44	89.6 8.4 2.0	3.9 41.8 54.2	58.0 19.2 13.8 8.9
Final N=3488	53.6 27.2 18.9 15.1 12.6	44.8	87.9 8.5 1.9	4.1 41.4 54.5	57.5 19.1 14.1 9.2
AGE	18-39 40-59 60+ <25 >64	SEX Male	RACE White Black Other	*Grade School High School College	MARITAL STATUS Married Never Married Divorced/Separated Widowed

7

TABLE 4: Comparison of Rolling Cross-Section, 1982 CATI, U.S. Census Data Population Estimates for 1982

(continued)

Census Bureau										
1982 CATI		28.3 22.3 34.1 15.3		34.1* 45.8 20.1			43.4* 36.4 13.9 6.4			16.4 20.1 12.7 10.6 12.1 13.0
Feb. 4, 1984		24.6 21.0 33.4 21.0		49.2 38.4 12.5	N=1008)		45.2 31.8 16.4 6.6	N=1008)		12.5 18.8 14.9 11.8 12.2 16.2
Mid May, 1984		21.8 25.6 32.0 20.6		47.1 38.9 14.1	, personal,		93.4 31.5 16.1 8.4	, personal,		15.9 20.1 14.6 10.0 12.2 15.7
Aug. 23, 1984		22.1 25.1 31.2 21.1		47.7 38.1 14.3	(JanFeb.),		42.5 31.3 18.2 7.8	(JanFeb.),		16.2 20.1 14.5 10.0 13.1 15.2
Final		21.9 25.5 30.5 21.7		49.5 36.9 13.5	from 1980 P-1		44.0 30.8 17.6 7.4	from 1980 P-1		15.7 19.3 13.5 9.0 14.6 15.4
	REGION	Northeast North Central South West	CAMPAIGN INTEREST*	Very Much Somewhat Not Much	*These figures are fro	FOLLOW PUBLIC AFFAIRS	Most of the time Some of the time Only now and then Hardly at all	*These figures are fro	PARTY IDENTIFICATION	Strong Dem Weak Dem Ind. Dem Ind/Apol.** Ind Rep Weak Rep Strong Rep

**Apoliticals and Independents have been combined to facilitate comparison with Pl since the recoding of Apoliticals and Independents has not yet been done for the Ralling Gross-Section (See Lake's Roard memo, Feb. 84).