
VERSION

Version Number Of Release

Version of Cumulative Data File

GENERAL NOTE: This character variable appears in the data as: ANES_cdf_VERSION:YYYY-mmm-DD where mmm is standard 3-character month abbreviation (Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec).

VCF0004

Year of Study

Year of study (4-digit)

VCF0006

Study Respondent Number: Year-level Case ID

Case identification number

GENERAL NOTE: In Pre-Post studies, this is the Pre case ID. 2016 NOTE: This is the revised 2016 case id number.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1948: V480002 1952: V520002 1956: V560002 1958: V580002 1960: V600002 1962: V620002 1964: V640002 1966: V660002 1968: V680002 1970: V700002(type 0) 1972: V720002 1974: V742002 1976: V763002 1978: V780002 1980: V800004 1982: V820004 1984: V840004 1986: V860004 1988: V880004 1990: V900004 1992: V923004 1994: V940001 1996: V960001 1998: V980001 2000: V000001 2002: V020001 2004: V040001 2008: V080001 2012: caseid 2016: V160001

September 10, 2019

VCF0006a

Unique Respondent Number (Cross-year ID for panel cases)

Unique identification number

GENERAL NOTE:

For non-panel cases this corresponds to 4-digit year of study followed by 4-digit case id from the study as found in VCF0006. For panel cases, this corresponds to 4-digit year of first year of time-series participation followed by 4-digit case id from first participation study . For example, 1958 ANES panel cases (first interviewed in the 1956 anes) are numbered 1956xxxx where xxxx corresponds to the case ID the respondent had been assigned previously in the 1956 study; VCF0006, by contrast, includes the respondent's 1958 ANES case ID.

Studies including panel cases as part of the overall study cross-section are flagged in VCF00016. 1958 NOTE:

In the 1958 anes time-series study, V580007 and V580008 flags whether 1958 respondents were previously interviewed in 1956. However, some respondents flagged in these variables had been interviewed in 1956 not as part of the 1956 anes study's cross-section sample, but as part of a sample component intended for a separate 'panel' (non-cross-section) file. Thus the actual count of cases from the 1958 study having 1956 unique IDs in VCF0006a (as 1956xxx, where xxxx is the 1956 ANES cross-section case ID) does not correspond with the count of cases flagged in V580007 and V580008 for previous participation in 1956. 1960 NOTE:

see 1958 NOTE; cases flagged in the 1960 ANES study for participation prior to 1960 may have participated outside of the time-series crosssection(s) in the year(s) of prior participation and may not correspond to the count of cases found here for initial time-series participation.

VCF0009x

Weight: For 1970 type 0 - 2012,2016 FTF sample

CDF Weight: 1970 type 0 - 2012 FTF sample

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix documentation: WEIGHTS IN THE CUMULATIVE DATA FILE

SOURCE VARS: 1958: V580003 1960: V600003 1974: V742003 1976: V763003 1992: V927000 1994: V940007a 1996: V960005a 1998: V980002 2000: V000002 2000: V020101 2004: V040101 2004: V040101 2008: V080101 2012: weight_ftf 2016: V160101f

VCF0009y

Weight: For 1970 type 0 - 2012,2016 Web sample

CDF Weight: 1970 type 0 - 2012 Web sample

_____ GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix documentation: WEIGHTS IN THE CUMULATIVE DATA FILE SOURCE VARS: 1958: V580003 1960: V600003 1974: V742003 1976: V763003 1992: V927000 1994: V940007a 1996: V960005a 1998: V980002 2000: V000002 2002: V020101 2004: V040101 2008: V080101 2012: weight web 2016: V160101w

VCF0009z

Weight: For 1970 type 0 - 2012,2016 full sample

CDF Weight: 1970 type 0 - 2012,2016 full sample

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix documentation: WEIGHTS IN THE CUMULATIVE DATA FILE SOURCE VARS: 1958: V580003 1960: V600003 1974: V742003 1976: V763003 1992: V927000 1994: V940007a 1996: V960005a 1998: V980002 2000: V000002 2002: V020101 2004: V040101 2008: V080101 2012: weight_full 2016: V160101

VCF0010x

Weight: For 1970 type 1 - 2012,2016 FTF sample

CDF Weight: 1970 type 1 - 2012 FTF sample

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix documentation: WEIGHTS IN THE CUMULATIVE DATA FILE SOURCE VARS:

1958: V580003 1960: V600003 1970: V700012 1974: V742003 1976: V763003 1992: V927000 1994: V940007a

1996: V960005a 1998: V980002 2000: V000002 2002: V020101 2004: V040101 2008: V080101 2012: weight_ftf 2016: V160101f

VCF0010y

Weight: For 1970 type 1 - 2012,2016 Web sample

CDF Weight: 1970 type 1 - 2012 Web sample

_____ GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix documentation: WEIGHTS IN THE CUMULATIVE DATA FILE SOURCE VARS: 1958: V580003 1960: V600003 1970: V700012 1974: V742003 1976: V763003 1992: V927000 1994: V940007a 1996: V960005a 1998: V980002 2000: V000002 2002: V020101 2004: V040101 2008: V080101 2012: weight_web 2016: V160101w

VCF0010z

Weight: For 1970 type 1 - 2012,2016 full sample

CDF Weight: 1970 type 1 - 2012,2016 full sample

_____ GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix documentation: WEIGHTS IN THE CUMULATIVE DATA FILE SOURCE VARS: 1958: V580003 1960: V600003 1970: V700012 1974: V742003 1976: V763003 1992: V927000 1994: V940007a 1996: V960005a 1998: V980002 2000: V000002 2002: V020101 2004: V040101 2008: V080101 2012: weight_full 2016: V160101

VCF0011x

Weight: For 1970 type 2 - 2012,2016 FTF sample

CDF Weight: 1970 type 2 - 2012 FTF sample

_____ GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix documentation: WEIGHTS IN THE CUMULATIVE DATA FILE SOURCE VARS: 1958: V580003 1960: V600003 1970: V700013 1974: V742003 1976: V763003 1992: V927000 1994: V940007a 1996: V960005a 1998: V980002 2000: V000002 2002: V020101 2004: V040101 2008: V080101 2012: weight_ftf

VCF0011y

Weight: For 1970 type 2 - 2012,2016 Web sample

2016: V160101f

CDF Weight: 1970 type 2 - 2012 Web sample

_____ GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix documentation: WEIGHTS IN THE CUMULATIVE DATA FILE SOURCE VARS: 1958: V580003 1960: V600003 1970: V700013 1974: V742003 1976: V763003 1992: V927000 1994: V940007a 1996: V960005a 1998: V980002 2000: V000002 2002: V020101 2004: V040101 2008: V080101

VCF0011z

Weight: For 1970 type 2 - 2012,2016 full sample

2012: weight_web 2016: V160101w

CDF Weight: 1970 type 2 - 2012,2016 full sample

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix documentation: WEIGHTS IN THE CUMULATIVE DATA FILE

SOURCE VARS: 1958: V580003 1960: V600003 1970: V700013 1974: V742003

1976: V763003 1992: V927000 1994: V940007a 1996: V960005a 1998: V980002 2000: V000002 2002: V020101 2004: V040101 2008: V080101 2012: weight_full 2016: V160101

VCF0012

Form Filter for Paper Questionnaires

Form or interview type of paper questionnaire

```
1. Type 1 (1970 N=758)

/Form I (1972 N=1372)

/Form A (1986 N=1086;1988 N=1006;1990 N=1007;1994 N=500)

2. Type 2 (1970 N=749)

/Form II (1972 N=1333)

/Form B (1986 N=1090;1988 N=1034;1990 N=993;1994 N=497)
```

9. NA INAP. no form or type of IW; not a paper questionnaire

_____ GENERAL NOTE: See also VCF0012A, VCF0012B. This describes paper questionnaires (1948-1994). 1972 NOTE 1: 57 Rs who were Pre Form I and 37 Rs who were Pre Form II were sent post mail questionnaires that contained most, but not all, of Form I/ Form Il Post personal questions. Rs who were Form I in the Pre but administered Post mail questionnaires were designated 'FORM III' in the Post, while Rs who were Form II in the Pre but who completed mail questionnaires for the Post were Post 'FORM IV'. 1972 NOTE 2: The same Form type was assigned in the Post as in the Pre. 1986 NOTE: Form A questions were not asked of Form B respondents and vice versa, with two exceptions: both were asked VCF0830, with varied wording. 1988 NOTE 1: Unlike other years, in 1988 all questions were present in both Form A and Form B, however some differed by form in wording or placement: e.g. VCF0517-VCF0518, VCF0830, VCF9076, VCF9084, VCF9092. 1988 NOTE 2: same as 1972 NOTE 2. 1994 NOTE: In 1994, the study included a 'ballot card' experiment. Two ballot cards were drafted with identical content but different layout.

VCF0013

Completion - Post-election (flag)

Post-election interview data present

- 0. No Post-election interview data
- 1. Post-election interview data present

GENERAL NOTE: This describes the presence of post-election data in ANES pre-post

time-series studies: studies conducted in years of presidential elections and in 2002 were pre-post studies. In non-presidential years except 1954 and 2002, ANES has conducted a Post-election interview only, and all non-presidential years other than 1954 and 2002 have been coded 1.* 2002 is the only non-presidential-year study which is a Pre-Post study.

The following list identifies for Pre-Post studies the start of Post variable numbering:

variable numbering:		
Year Post Vars begin:	Year	Post Vars begin:
1948 V480001	1988	V880564
1952 V520168	1992	V925001
1956 V560192	1996	V960900
1960 V600190	2000	V001201
1964 V640273	2002	V025001
1968 V680270	2004:	V045001
1972 V720438	2008:	V085001a
1976 V763524	2012:	mediapo_tv
1980 V800738		V162001
1984 V840722		
1948 NOTE:		
All cases in the release data	file were il	nterviewed in the Post.
1954 NOTE: *		
The 1954 study was a minor	studv cor	nducted in October of 1954 and is
		sed of only 27 variables and is
		le in: VCF0104-VCF0106, VCF0110,
		128, VCF0140, VCF0301-VCF0305, VCF0701,
VCF9027.	,	
1956 NOTE:		
No cases without post-election	on re-inter	views were included in the
final 1956 dataset.	51110 11101	
1968 NOTE:		
9 cases which were no-Post	had some	information about voting
		although defined as 'no-Post,'
		ented in (post) vote variables in
		eet-derived vote information has
also been included in Cumul		
appropriate.		The voling valiables where
**ALL YEARS 2002-2008:		
	ine Vyv5(001, where xx is the 2-digit year
of the time-series study.		ior, where XX is the 2-digit year
or the time-series study.		
SOURCE VARS:		
1952: V520003		
1960: V600016		
1964: V640276		
1968: V680005		
1972: V720438		
1976: V763004		
1980: V800003		
1984: V840061		
1988: V880048		
1992: V923010		
1996: V960010		
2000: V000003		
2002: V021002		

VCF0014

Completion - Pre-election (flag)

Pre-election interview data present

0. No Pre-election interview data present

1. Pre-election interview data present

GENERAL NOTE:

2004: V041001 2008: V081001 2012: wave_completions 2016: V160502

This describes the presence of pre-election data in ANES pre-post time-series studies: studies conducted in years of presidential elections and in 2002 were pre-post studies. Only in 1948, 1952 and 1960 cases were any cases conducted with a Post-election interview but no Pre-election interview. In non-presidential years except 1954 and 2002, ANES conducted a Post-election interview only, and all non-presidential years except 1954 and 2002 have been coded 0.* 1954**, 2002 and presidential years other than 1948, 1952 and 1960 have been coded 1. 1948 NOTE: 1 case code 9 NA in the original survey data was examined and identified as Post-only; this case has been coded 0. 1954 NOTE: The 1954 study was a minor study conducted in October of 1954 and is coded 1. The 1954 study was comprised of only 27 variables and is represented in the Cumulative Data File in: VCF0104-VCF0106, VCF0110, VCF0112-VCF0114, VCF0127, VCF0128, VCF0140, VCF0301-VCF0305, VCF0701, VCF9027. SOURCE VARS:

300RCE VARS 1948: V480009 1952: V520003 1960: V600016 1988: V880856 2016: V160502

VCF0015a

Abbreviated Interview - Pre

Abbreviated interview form - Pre

0. Pre IW not abbreviated [1992:'Long' form Pre] 1. Abbreviated pre IW [1980 N=44: CA29,TX03 ; 1992 short-form Pre N=150; 1996 VQ date 09/25/96 or later N=1074; 2000 VQ date 09/28/00 or later N=999] 2. Spanish Pre [1992 N=22; a translation of the 1992 Pre short form questionnaire except for demographic sections, which were slightly more complete in the Spanish Pre form] INAP. Inap. post-election study; no pre IW (1948,1952,1960) 1980 NOTE: Abbreviated Pre interviews were administered as replacements for discovered 'fake' interviews. 1992 NOTE: In addition to 'long-form' or full personal mode questionnaires, shortform telephone questionnaires were prepared in both the Pre and Post waves for out-of-range panel cases. Please see the full 1992 ANES documentation for a complete description. NOTE that, contrary to intentions, use of the short-form questionnaire was not limited to telephone mode, nor was long-form use confined to personal mode. [In a few cases the shorter interview was administered to 'fresh' crosssection respondents -- 4 cases Pre, 4 cases Post]. 1996 NOTE The Pre 'VQ file' or CAPI application was revised several times while in the field. Beginning with the instrument with revision date 9/25/96, some questions (most of the items related to 1996 candidate Ross Perot) were dropped from the Pre.

2000 NOTE:

SOURCE VARS:

In the Pre, Buchanan traits and affects were dropped beginning with the 09/28/00 VQ.

1980:	V800048
1992:	V923011
1996:	V960018
2000:	V000012

VCF0015b

Abbreviated Interview - Post

Abbreviated interview form - Post

0. Post IW is not abbreviated [1952: extended form B re-interview; 1984: personal IW; 1992: 'long' form Post incl. Spanish Post]

 Abbreviated Post IW [1952 N=585: not the extended re-interview with 29 additional questions; 1968 mail questionnaire N=36; 1972 Form III mail quesionnaire N=57; 1984 telephone questionnaire N=966 ; 1992 short-form N=190 ; 1996 training VQ N=39]

2. Additional Abbreviated Post IWs [1972 Form IV mail questionnaire N=37; 1984 abbreviated telephone questionnaire N=45]

INAP. Inap. no post IW

1952 NOTE:

In 1952 some respondents were sent an extended version of the post questionnaire ('form B'); cases not administered this extended Post re-interview are coded 1.

1968 NOTE:

In the 1968 post, some respondents were sent mail questionnaires which contained fewer questions than in the full face-to-face questionnaire. 1972 NOTE:

In the 1972 post, some respondents were sent mail questionnaires which contained fewer questions than in the full face-to-face questionnaire: respondents who were Form I in the Pre (see VCF0012) and who were interviewed in the Post using the mail questionnaire were designated 'Form III' in the Post; respondents who were Form II in the Pre and who were interviewed in the Post using the mail questionnaire were designated 'Form IV' in the Post

1984 NOTE:

In addition to the 1984 telephone Post questionnaire, which was shorter than the 1984 Post personal questionnaire, an abbreviated version of the Post telephone questionnaire was also administered to 45 cases. 1992 NOTE:

In addition to 'long-form' or full personal mode questionnaires, shortform telephone questionnaires were prepared in both the Pre and Post waves for out-of-range panel cases. Please see the full 1992 ANES documentation for a complete description. NOTE that, contrary to intentions, use of the short-form questionnaire was not limited to telephone mode, nor was long-form use confined to personal mode. [In a few cases the shorter interview was administered to 'fresh' crosssection respondents-- 4 cases Pre, 4 cases Post]. 1996 NOTE:

The Post 'VQ file' or CAPI application was revised several times while in the field. Some cases in the Post were mistakenly administered using a pre-production (training) VQ file which lacked several intended questions.

SOURCE VARS:

1952: V520003 1968: V680005 1972: V720003 1984: V840064 1992: V925002 1996: V960909

VCF0016

Cross-section composition: fresh Cross or panel case

Sample component: 'new' cross-section or panel case

0. Fresh Cross case

1. Panel case (1958 N=866; 1960 N=939; 1974 N=1100; 1976 N=925; 1992 N=1359; 1994 N=759; 1996 N=1316; 2002 N=1187)

```
_____
GENERAL NOTE:
Code-level Ns indicated are unweighted. The combined sample of panel and
fresh-cross cases together constitute an overall representative cross-
section for the time-series study. Panel cases in 1958, 1960, 1974 and
1976 are not flagged in the data of the respective time-series studies;
for these years this variable has been coded from the 1956-1958-1960
and 1972-1974-1976 merged panel files.
1958 NOTE:
Panel cases had previously been interviewed in 1956 (NOTE: the number
of panel cases in the 1958 time-series data file does not correspond with
the number of 1958 panel cases in the 1956-1958-1960 merged panel file)
1960 NOTE:
Panel cases had previously been interviewed in 1956 or 1958 (NOTE: the
number of panel cases in the 1950 time-series data file does not
correspond with the number of 1950 panel cases in the 1956-1958-1960
merged panel file)
1974 NOTE:
Panel cases had previously been interviewed in 1972 (NOTE: the number
of panel cases in the 1974 time-series data file does not correspond with
the number of 1974 panel cases in the 1972-1974-1976 merged panel file)
1976 NOTE
Panel cases had previously been interviewed in 1972 or 1974 (NOTE: the
number of panel cases in the 1975 time-series data file does not
correspond with the number of 1976 panel cases in the 1972-1974-1976
merged panel file)
1992 NOTE:
Panel cases had previously been interviewed in 1990.
1994 NOTE:
Panel cases had previously been interviewed in 1992, as 1992 new fresh
Cross-Section cases (no 1994 Panel cases had been interviewed in 1990).
1996 NOTE:
Panel cases had previously been interviewed in 1994, and a portion had
also been interviewed in 1992.
2002 NOTE:
Panel cases had previously been interviewed in 2000.
WEIGHT:
VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
```

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V923005 1994: V940002 1996: V960002 2002: V021001

VCF0017

Mode of Interview

Mode of interview

0. All personal (pre-post studies: personal pre and post or personal pre and no post; post-only studies:

personal post)

- 1. Telephone pre (personal post or no post)
- 2. Telephone post (personal pre)
- 3. All telephone (pre-post studies: pre and post [no post-only telephone studies in Time Series])
- All internet (pre-post studies: internet pre and post or internet pre and no post; post-only studies: internet post)

1992 NOTE:

A shorter questionnaire was designed for telephone interviewing only, where telephone administration was intended for selected Panel cases; all long-form questionnaires were designed to be administered in person. However, due to interviewer misunderstanding, 125 Pre interviews and 284 Post interviews -- including both Panel and new Cross-Section respondents --were administered over the telephone using long-form questionnaires. Mode of interview here thus cannot be identified with short or long questionnaire (VCF0015a, VCF0015b). [NOTE: additionally, in 1 Pre case a Panel short-form interview was conducted in person.]

1998 NOTE:

This is the beginning mode of the interview; in some cases, the interview may have been suspended and completed in a different mode. 2000 NOTE:

Code 1 includes 5 cases which were personal in the Pre and intended to be switched to phone mode in the Post, but which were again administered face-to-face.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840004 1992: V923031,V925003 1994: V940045 1996: V960031a 1998: V980024 2000: V000004,V000126 2002: V022002,V024002 2012: mode 2016: V160501

VCF0018a

Language of Interview - Pre

1980: Was this interview conducted in English? (IF NO:) In What language was this interview conducted?

1984,1988,1992: Was this interview conducted entirely in English, or was it necessary to translate some (or all) questions into another language? What language?

- 0. IW conducted entirely in English; 2008,2012: beginning language
- 1. Some or all of IW translated to Spanish
- 3. Some or all of IW translated to French
- 5. Some or all of IW translated to non-English language other than Spanish or French
- 7. Some or all of IW translated to non-English language but NA which language

9. NA if English or other language INAP. post-election study

GENERAL NOTE: For studies prior to 1978 it is not entirely certain whether any full

or partial translations occurred, however none have been documented and all cases prior to 1978 are coded 0. This was a question for the interviewer. 1988 NOTE:

A language variable appeared for the Pre but not the Post. 1992 NOTE:

In the Pre, a Spanish language version of the short questionnaire was administered to 22 cases; these 22 cases and 9 additional cases were coded in the language variable as including at least some translation. 2004 NOTE:

This was an admininstration (not interviewer) variable.

Spanish language versions of the Pre and Post questionnaires were administered to Spanish-speaking respondents: these cases are coded 1. 2008,2012 NOTE:

This was an administration (not interviewer) variable, representing language at start of interview (English coded 0, Spanish coded 1). (Interviewer could switch between English or Spanish language at any point later in the interview.)

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800734 1984: V840720,V840721 1988: V880562,V880563 1992: V923011,V924212,V924213 2008: V082011 2012: admin_pre_lang_start

VCF0018b

Language of Interview - Post

1980: Was this interview conducted in English? (IF NO:) In What language was this interview conducted? 1984,1988,1992: Was this interview conducted entirely in English, or was

it necessary to translate some (or all) questions into another language? What language?

- 0. IW conducted entirely in English; 2008,2012: beginning language
- 1. Some or all of IW translated to Spanish
- 3. Some or all of IW translated to French
- 4. Some or all of IW conducted in either Spanish or French
- 5. Some or all of IW translated to non-English language other than Spanish or French
- 7. Some or all of IW translated to non-English language but NA which language

9. NA if English or other language INAP. no Post IW; abbrev. Post IW (1984)

GENERAL NOTE: For studies prior to 1978 it is not entirely certain whether any full or partial translations occurred, however none have been documented and all cases prior to 1978 are coded 0. This was a question for the interviewer (except 1994). 1978 NOTE: Code 4 includes 1978 cases from code 'Spanish, French'. 1988 NOTE: A language variable appeared for the Pre but not for the Post. 1992 NOTE: For an unknown reason, this question was not answered by interviewers in 826 cases. 1994 NOTE: This was an admininstration (not interviewer) variable. Spanish language versions of the Pre and Post guestionnaires were administered to Spanish-speaking respondentsl these cases are coded 1. 2004 NOTE:

This was an admininstration (not interviewer) variable. Spanish language versions of the Pre and Post questionnaires were administered to Spanish-speaking respondents! these cases are coded 1.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780645 1980: V801192 1982: V820776 1984: V841117 1986: V860768,V860769 1990: V900695,V900696 1992: V926251,V926252 1994: V940047 2008: V084011 2012: admin_post_lang_start

VCF0019

R Relationship to Head of HH 1952-1986

R's relationship to head

- 1. R is head of household
- 2. R is spouse of head of household
- 3. R is neither head of household nor spouse of head

9. NA 0. No Pre IW (1952,1960) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: The concept of 'Head of Household' was used 1948-1986 (identification of head was not coded 1948 or 1954).

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520009 1956: V560174 1958: V580173 1960: V600121 1964: V640185 1966: V660238 1968: V680265 1970: V700392(type 0) 1972: V720426 1974: V742426 1976: V763514 1978: V780633 1980: V800723 1982: V820765 1984: V840710 1986: V860758

VCF0050a

Respondent Level of Political Info - Pre

PRE INTERVIEWER OBSERVATION: Respondent's general level of information about politics and public affairs seemed:

1. Very high

- 2. Fairly high
 3. Average
 4. Fairly low
- 5. Very low

9. NA INAP. question not used

2012 NOTE: This question applies only to the in-person (FTF) sample.

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680269 1972: V720429 1976: V763517 1980: V800726 1984: V840713 1988: V880555 1992: V924205 1996: V960070 2000: V001033 2002: V023155 2004: V043403 2008: V08303 2012: iwrobspre_levinfo 2016: V168016

VCF0050b

Respondent Level of Political Info - Post

POST INTERVIEWER OBSERVATION: Respondent's general level of information about politics and public affairs seemed:

- 1. Very high
- 2. Fairly high
- 3. Average
- 4. Fairly low
- 5. Very low

9. NA

0. no Post IW; abbrev. Post IW (1984); web mode (2012,2016) INAP. question not used

1972 NOTE:
no Post version of this variable was available.
1976 NOTE:
same as 1972.
1988 NOTE:
same as 1972.
2012 NOTE:
This question applies only to the in-person (FTF) sample.

SOURCE VARS: 1966: V660242 1968: V680531 1970: V700396(type 0) 1974: V742558 1978: V780636 1980: V801186 1982: V820768 1984: V841112 1986: V660761 1990: V900688

1992: V926250 1994: V941438 1996: V960940 1998: V980676 2000: V001745 2002: V025192 2004: V045303 2008: V085403 2012: iwrobspost_levinfo 2016: V168112

_

VCF0070a

Interviewer Gender - Pre

Pre interviewer gender

1. Male

2. Female

9. NA INAP. question not used

This question applies only to the in-person (FTF) sample.

SOURCE VARS: 1988: V880037 1992: V923089 1996: V960090 2000: V000072 2002: V022025 2004: V042103 2008: V082252 2012: iwrdesc_pre_gender 2016: V168257

VCF0070b

Interviewer Gender - Post

Post interviewer gender

1. Male

2. Female

9. NA 0. no Post IW INAP. question not used

1988 NOTE: Post data are not available. 2000 NOTE: Gender of post Interviewer assigned to R is coded for all cases, including cases without post interview. 2004 NOTE: same as 2000. 2012 NOTE: This question applies only to the in-person (FTF) sample.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1990: V900049 1992: V925042 1994: V940101 1996: V960955 1998: V980058 2000: V000187 2002: V024025 2004: V044103 2008: V084252 2012: iwrdesc_post_gender 2016: V168307

VCF0071a

Interviewer Race Full - Pre

Pre interviewer race - full

White
 Black
 Native American
 Asian
 Other

9. NA INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: For reasons of confidentiality, data are not available for the full set of race codes after 2002 [see VCF0071c]. 1988 NOTE: Categories 'Asian' and 'Other' were not used.

SOURCE VARS: 1988: V880033 1992: V923085 1996: V960092 2000: V000074 2002: V022027

VCF0071b

Interviewer Race Full - Post

1. White

Post interviewer race - full

Black
 Native American
 Asian
 Other
 NA
 no Post IW
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: For some pre-post studies this also describes interviewers assigned to post non-interviews. For reasons of confidentiality, data are not available for the full set of race codes after 2002 [see VCF0071d]. 1988 NOTE:

Post data are not available.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1990: V900044 1992: V925038 1994: V940103 1996: V960957 1998: V980060 2000: V000189 2002: V024027

VCF0071c

Interviewer Race 2-category - Pre

Pre interviewer race 2-category

1. White

2. Nonwhite (2012-later: and other)

7. Other

9. NA; Web mode INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: For reasons of confidentiality, data are not available for the full set of race codes after 2002. For years prior to 2004, this is built from VCF0071a. 2012 NOTE: This question applies only to the in-person (FTF) sample. In 2012, all mentions other than white are combined in code 2. In previous years, code 2 represented specific mentions of Black, Asian, Native American, Asian, or Pacific Islander/Native Hawaiian and mentions outside of those specific categories were coded to 7. SOURCE VARS: 1988: V880033 1992: V923085

1996: V960092 2000: V000074 2002: V022027 2004: V042105 2008: V082254 2012: iwrdesc_pre_race_white, iwrdesc_pre_race_black, iwrdesc_pre_race_other 2016: V168262,V16866

VCF0071d

Interviewer Race 2-category - Post

Post interviewer race 2-category

White
 Nonwhite (2012: and other)
 Other

9. NA; Web mode 0. No Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: For some pre-post studies, this also describes interviewers assigned to post non-interviews. For reasons of confidentiality, data are not available for the full set of race codes after 2002. For years prior to 2004, this is built from VCF0071b. 1988 NOTE: Post data are not available. 2012 NOTE: This question applies only to the in-person (FTF) sample. In 2012, all mentions other than white are combined in code 2. In previous years, code 2 represented specific mentions of Black, Asian, Native American, Asian, or Pacific Islander/Native Hawaiian and mentions outside of those specific categories were coded to 7.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1990: V900044 1992: V925038 1994: V940103 1996: V960957 1998: V980060 2000: V000189 2002: V024027 2004: V044105 2008: V084254 2012: iwrdesc_post_race_white, iwrdesc_post_race_black, iwrdesc_post_race_other 2016: V168312,V168316

VCF0072a

Interviewer Ethnicity - Pre

Pre interviewer ethnicity

- 1. Non-Hispanic
- 2. Hispanic
- 7. Other

9. NA; Web mode INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Code 7 Other not used until 1992. 2012 NOTE: This question applies only to the in-person (FTF) sample.

SOURCE VARS: 1988: V880034 1992: V923086 1996: V960093 2000: V000075 2002: V022027a 2008: V082255 2012: iwrdesc_pre_hisp 2016: V168261

VCF0072b

Interviewer Ethnicity - Post

Post interviewer ethnicity

1. Non-Hispanic

2. Hispanic
 7. Other

9. NA; Web mode 0. no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Code 7 Other not used until 1992. 2012 NOTE: This question applies only to the in-person (FTF) sample.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1990: V900046 1992: V925039 1994: V940104 1996: V960958 1998: V980061 2000: V000190 2002: V024027a 2008: V084255 2012: iwrdesc_post_hisp 2016: V168311

VCF0101

Respondent - Age

1964-1976: What is your date of birth? 1978-1982: What is the month and year of your birth? 1984-LATER: What is the month, day and year of your birth?

17-96. Age as coded (1992: 91=91 or older; 2012,2016: 90=90 or older)
97. 97 years old (1952, 1974, 1996 and later: or older)
98. 98 years old (1958-1962, 1966, 1968: or older)
99. 99 years old (1976-1990,1994,2002: or older)

00. NA; DK; RF; no Pre IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Prior to 1964 this appeared as a coded staff summary (not as a survey question). Except for 1968, in Pre-Post studies this variable is pre-election. Beginning in 1964, age variables in ANES Study datasets were created by computing R's age from his/her given date of birth (beginning in 1988, when birthdate was not available, age as given for household listing by R/informant was used instead). The age calculation used in these Study datasets determined age at date of interview in 1966, 1968, 1970, 1972 and 1980-1994; it represented age on November 1 of the year of interview in 1976 and 1978. In 1974, age on election day of the year of interview was calculated. For 1964, no documentation is extant describing exact calculation made. Prior to 1964, instead of age calculated using R's birthdate, age as given by R/informant (this information may have come from the coversheet) is represented. 1948 NOTE: Age var was in the form of age groups and could not be included (see VCF0102). 1954 NOTE: same as 1948. 1960 NOTE: Personal data forms were completed for no-pre cases: data from these

forms were incorporated into the (pre) age var listed. 1992 NOTE: Birthdate was not asked in short-form interviews: for panel 'shortform' cases, 2 years were added to the age that appeared in the 1990 study; for short-form 'fresh' cross-section cases, age was pulled from the coversheet. 2002 NOTE: For panel cases, 2 years were added to the age (if determined) that appeared in the 2000 study. 2008 NOTE: Age was calculated from year of birth. 2016 NOTE: Age was calculated from year of birth.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520142 1956: V560295 1958: V580174 1960: V600122 1962: V620009 1964: V640187 1966: V660192 1968: V680533 1970: V700398(type 0) 1972: V720294 1974: V742406 1976: V763369 1978: V780504 1980: V800408 1982: V820535 1984: V840429 1986: V860595 1988: V880417 1990: V900552 1992: V923903 1994: V941203 1996: V960605 1998: V980572 2000: V000908 2002: V023126x 2004: V043250 2008: V083215x 2012: dem_age_r_x 2016: V161267

VCF0102

Respondent - Age Group

1964-1976: What is your date of birth? 1978-1982: What is the month and year of your birth? 1984-LATER: What is the month, day and year of your birth?

1. 17 - 24 2. 25 - 34 3. 35 - 44 4. 45 - 54 5. 55 - 64 6. 65 - 74 7. 75 - 99 and over (except 1954) 0. NA; DK; RF; no Pre IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0101 (except 1948,1954). See notes VCF0101. 1948 NOTE: Code 6 from 1948 includes respondents 65 years and older (no code 7). 1954 NOTE: same NOTE as 1948

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1948: V480047 1952: V520142 2016: V161267

VCF0103

Respondent - Cohort

1964-1976: What is your date of birth? 1978-1982: What is the month and year of your birth? 1984-LATER: What is the month, day and year of your birth?

1. 1991 - present 2. 1975 - 1990 3. 1959 - 1974 4. 1943 - 1958 5. 1927 - 1942 6. 1911 - 1926 7. 1895 - 1910 8. Before 1895

0. NA; DK; RF; no Pre IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0101 (except 1954) or from birth year when available. See NOTEs VCF0101. 1948 NOTE: The 1948 ANES age variable was present as age groups which do not allow simple recoding. An estimate was made to fit those codes into the cohort categories. The original age categories were: 1948: 1.18-24; 2.25-34; 3.35-44; 4.45-54; 5.55-64; 6.65 and over. The 1948 recode used was: 1=4, 2=5, 3-4=6, 5-6=7. 1954 NOTE: The 1954 ANES age variable was present as age groups which do not allow simple recoding. An estimate was made to fit those codes into the cohort categories. The original age categories were: 1954: 1.21-24; 2.25-34; 3.35-44; 4.45-54; 5.55-64; 6.over 65. The 1954 recode used was: 1=4, 2-3=5, 4-5=6, 6=7.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2016: V161267

VCF0104

Respondent - Gender

Respondent gender

1. Male 2. Female 3. Other (2016)

0. NA; no Pre IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

For pre-post studies, when a gender variable was present in both the pre and post, the pre variable is represented here. Coded by interviewer. 1960 NOTE: 1960 pre variable 118 incorporated data from post gender variable V600248 to establish the gender of 22 no-pre cases. 2016 NOTE: In 2016, for the first time gender of respondent was self-description by the respondent, and category Other was introduced.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1948: V480045 1952: V520128 1956: V560171 1958: V580170 1960: V600118 1962: V620098 1964: V640182 1966: V660236 1968: V680263 1970: V700390(type 0) 1972: V720424 1974: V742553 1976: V763512 1978: V780630 1980: V800720 1982: V820762 1984: V840707 1986: V860755 1988: V880413 1990: V900547 1992: V924201 1994: V941434 1996: V960066 1998: V980672 2000: V001029 2002: V023153 2004: V041109a 2008: V081101 2012: gender respondent x 2016: V161342

VCF0105a

Race-ethnicity summary, 7 categories

1948,1952,1956-1970: Interviewer observation of Race.
1972-1976: Interviewer observation of Race.
In addition to being American, what do you consider your main ethnic group or nationality group?
1978: Interviewer observation of Race.
Interviewer observation: R of Hispanic origin.
In addition to being American, is there another nationality or ethnic group that you feel you belong to?
(IF YES:) What group is that?

1980,1982,1984,1986: Interviewer observation of Race. Interviewer observation: R of Hispanic origin. In addition to being American, what do you consider your main ethnic group or nationality group?

1988-1998: Interviewer observation of Race.

In addition to being American, what do you consider your main ethnic group or nationality group?

[IF HISPANIC ETHNIC GROUP NOT MENTIONED] Are you of Spanish or Hispanic origin or descent?

2000-2008: What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you? [MULTIPLE MENTIONS CODED BY IWR]

In addition to being American, what do you consider your main ethnic group or nationality group?

[IF HISPANIC ETHNIC GROUP NOT MENTIONED] Are you of Spanish or Hispanic origin or descent?

- 2012,2016: Are you Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino? FTF ONLY: I am going to read you a list of five race categories. Please choose one or more races that you consider yourself to be: [MULTIPLE MENTIONS]: White / Black or African-American / American Indian or Alaska Native / Asian / Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander / Other.
- 1. White non-Hispanic (1948-2012)
- 2. Black non-Hispanic (1948-2012)
- 3. Asian or Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic (1966-2012)
- 4. American Indian or Alaska Native non-Hispanic (1966-2012)
- 5. Hispanic (1966-2012)
- 6. Other or multiple races, non-Hispanic (1968-2012)
- 7. Non-white and non-black (1948-1964)

9. Missing INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

This variable corresponds to the race-ethnicity summary variable proposed with full documentation in the January 28, 2015 ANES Memo 'Plan to update race & ethnicity variables on the ANES CDF'. Interviewer observation categories in 1948, 1952, 1956, 1958, 1960, 1962, 1964 were: White; Negro; Other, including Mexicans, Puerto Ricans, Asians (1948, 1952: or Other); NA (EXC. 1960). Interviewer observation categories for race in 1966, 1968, 1970, 1972,

1974,1976 were: White; Negro ('Black' in 1974, 1976); Puerto Rican; Mexican-American, Chicano ('Mexican' in '66, '68); Oriental; American Indian; Other (EXC. 1966); NA

Interviewer observation categories fo race in 1978, 1980, 1982, 1984, 1986, 1988, 1990, 1992, 1994, 1996, 1998 were: White; Black; American Indian or Alaska Native; Asian or Pacific Islander; Other (not all years; does not include Hispanics); NA

Interviewer codes for race in instrument 2000,2002,2004,2008: Black; Asian; Native American; Hispanic or Latino (Hispanic in 2004); White ; Other

The question asking nationality or ethnic group 1972-2008 was open-ended and coded to ICPSR State-Country codes (1972-1976) or to the closely parallel ANES Ethnicity/Nationality codes (1978-2008).

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1948: V480046 1952: V520129 1956: V560172

1958: V580171 1960: V600119 1962: V620097 1964: V640183 1966: V660237 1968: V680264 1970: V700391 (Type 0) 1972: V720406, V720425 1974: V742528, V742554 1976: V763489, V763513 1978: V780608,V780609,V780631,V780632 1980: V800695, V800696, V800721, V800722 1982: V820736,V820737,V820763,V820764 1984: V840689, V840690, V840708, V840709 1986: V860739, V860740, V860756, V860757 1988: V880412, V880534, V880535, V880540 1990: V900549,V900670,V900671,V900676 1992: V923011,V924116,V924117,V924122,V924202 1994: V941411, V941412, V941413, V941418, V941435 1996: V960067, V960703, V960704, V960708 1998: V980654, V980655, V980659, V980673 2000: V001006a, V001006b, V001006c, V001008, V001009, V001012 2002: V021001,V023150,V023151 2004: V043299, V043301a, V043301b, V043301c, V043305 2008: V083251a,V083251b,V083251c,V083251d,V083251e,V083252a,V083252b,V083252c,V083252d,V083252d,V083256 2012: dem_racecps_asian,dem_racecps_black,dem_racecps_native,dem_racecps_othrace,dem_racecps_pacif,dem_racecps_rac edkrf,dem_racecps_white 2016: V161310x

VCF0105b

Race-ethnicity summary, 4 categories

1948,1952,1956-1970: Interviewer observation of Race. 1972-1976: Interviewer observation of Race.
In addition to being American, what do you
consider your main ethnic group or nationality
group?
1978: Interviewer observation of Race.
Interviewer observation: R of Hispanic origin.
In addition to being American, is there another nationality or
ethnic group that you feel you belong to?
(IF YES:) What group is that?
1980,1982,1984,1986: Interviewer observation of Race.
Interviewer observation: R of Hispanic origin.
In addition to being American, what do you
consider your main ethnic group or nationality
group?
1988-1998: Interviewer observation of Race.
In addition to being American, what do you consider your
main ethnic group or nationality group?
[IF HISPANIC ETHNIC GROUP NOT MENTIONED] Are you of Spanish
or Hispanic origin or descent?
2000-2008: What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you?
[MULTIPLE MENTIONS CODED BY IWR]
In addition to being American, what do you consider your main
ethnic group or nationality group?
[IF HISPANIC ETHNIC GROUP NOT MENTIONED] Are you of Spanish
or Hispanic origin or descent?
2012,2016: Are you Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino?
FTF ONLY: I am going to read you a list of five race categories.
Please choose one or more races that you consider
yourself to be: [MULTIPLE MENTIONS]: White / Black or
Áfrican-American / American Indian or Alaska Native /

Asian / Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander / Other.

- 1. White non-Hispanic
- 2. Black non-Hispanic
- 3. Hispanic
- 4. Other or multiple races, non-Hispanic

9. Missing, DK/REF/NA 0. Missing, pre-1966 data

INAP. question not used

INAL question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Collapsed from VCF0105a: 1=1,2=2,3=4,4=4,5=3,6=4,7=0,9=9.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1948: V480046 1952: V520129 1956: V560172 1958: V580171 1960: V600119 1962: V620097 1964: V640183 1966: V660237 1968: V680264 1970: V700391 (Type 0) 1972: V720406, V720425 1974: V742528, V742554 1976: V763489, V763513 1978: V780608, V780609, V780631, V780632 1980: V800695, V800696, V800721, V800722 1982: V820736, V820737, V820763, V820764 1984: V840689, V840690, V840708, V840709 1986: V860739, V860740, V860756, V860757 1988: V880412,V880534,V880535,V880540 1990: V900549,V900670,V900671,V900676 1992: V923011.V924116.V924117.V924122.V924202 1994: V941411, V941412, V941413, V941418, V941435 1996: V960067, V960703, V960704, V960708 1998: V980654, V980655, V980659, V980673 2000: V001006a, V001006b, V001006c, V001008, V001009, V001012 2002: V021001, V023150, V023151 2004: V043299, V043301a, V043301b, V043301c, V043305 2008: V083251a,V083251b,V083251c,V083251d,V083251e,V083252a,V083252b,V083252c,V083252d,V083256 2012: dem_racecps_asian,dem_racecps_black,dem_racecps_native,dem_racecps_othrace,dem_racecps_pacif,dem_racecps_rac edkrf,dem racecps white 2016: V161310x

VCF0106

Race summary, 3 categories

1948,1952,1956-1970: Interviewer observation of Race.
1972-1976: Interviewer observation of Race.
In addition to being American, what do you consider your main ethnic group or nationality group?
1978: Interviewer observation of Race.
Interviewer observation: R of Hispanic origin.
In addition to being American, is there another nationality or ethnic group that you feel you belong to?

(IF YES:) What group is that?

1980,1982,1984,1986: Interviewer observation of Race.

Interviewer observation: R of Hispanic origin. In addition to being American, what do you consider your main ethnic group or nationality group?

1988-1998: Interviewer observation of Race.

In addition to being American, what do you consider your main ethnic group or nationality group?

[IF HISPANIC ETHNIC GROUP NOT MENTIONED] Are you of Spanish or Hispanic origin or descent?

2000-2008: What racial or ethnic group or groups best describes you? [MULTIPLE MENTIONS CODED BY IWR] In addition to being American, what do you consider your main ethnic group or nationality group?

[IF HISPANIC ETHNIC GROUP NOT MENTIONED] Are you of Spanish or Hispanic origin or descent?

2012: FTF ONLY: I am going to read you a list of five race categories.

FTF AND WEB: Please choose one or more races that you consider

yourself to be: [MULTIPLE MENTIONS]: White / Black or African-American / American Indian or Alaska Native / Asian / Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander / Other.

Are you Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino?

- 1. White non-Hispanic
- 2. Black non-Hispanic
- 3. Other

9. Missing, DK/REF/NA 0. Missing, pre-1966 data INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Collapsed from VCF0105a: 1=1,2=2,3=3,4=3,5=3,6=3,7=0,9=9.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1948: V480046 1952: V520129 1956: V560172 1958: V580171 1960: V600119 1962: V620097 1964: V640183 1966: V660237 1968: V680264 1970: V700391 (Type 0) 1972: V720406, V720425 1974: V742528.V742554 1976: V763489, V763513 1978: V780608, V780609, V780631, V780632 1980: V800695, V800696, V800721, V800722 1982: V820736, V820737, V820763, V820764 1984: V840689,V840690,V840708,V840709 1986: V860739.V860740.V860756.V860757 1988: V880412,V880534,V880535,V880540 1990: V900549, V900670, V900671, V900676 1992: V923011,V924116,V924117,V924122,V924202 1994: V941411, V941412, V941413, V941418, V941435 1996: V960067,V960703,V960704,V960708 1998: V980654, V980655, V980659, V980673

2000: V001006a,V001006b,V001006c,V001008,V001009,V001012 2002: V021001,V023150,V023151 2004: V043299,V043301a,V043301b,V043301c,V043305 2008: V083251a,V083251b,V083251c,V083251d,V083251e,V083252a,V083252b,V083252c,V083252d,V083256 2012: dem_racecps_asian,dem_racecps_black,dem_racecps_native,dem_racecps_othrace,dem_racecps_pacif,dem_racecps_rac edkrf,dem_racecps_white 2016: V161310x

VCF0107

Respondent - Hispanic Origin Type

1988-2008:

In addition to being American, what do you consider your main ethnic group or nationality group? (IF NO HISPANIC GROUP MENTIONED:) Are you of Spanish or Hispanic origin or descent? IF YES:) Please look at the booklet and tell me which category best describes your Hispanic origin.

2012:

Are you Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino? (IF YES:) Are you Mexican, Mexican-American, Chicano, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Cuban-American, or some other Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino group? (IF 'OTHER' FOR ANY MENTION:) What is the name of your other Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino group? (IF MORE THAN 1 MENTION:) Which group do you most closely identify with?

2016:

Families of Hispanic or Latino background in the United States come from many different places. Which Spanish-speaking or Latin American country or territory does most of your family come from? (IF MORE THAN 1 MENTION:) You said your family comes from _____. Which one of these does most of your family come from?

- 1. Yes, Mexican/Mexican-American/Chicano
- 2. Yes, Puerto Rican
- 3. Yes, other Hispanic
- 4. Yes, Hispanic but DK/NA type
- 7. No, not Hispanic

8. DK if Hispanic

- 9. NA if Hispanic
- 0. Short-form 'new' Cross Section (1992)
- INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See also VCF0108. Respondent booklet is shown to R (exc. 2000 telephone and 2002:). Beginning in 1988, the respondent was asked within the series of ethnicity questions [SEE VCF0109] whether s/he is Hispanic. Prior to 1988, information about Hispanic origin was determined only by interviewer observation. 1978 NOTE: A large number (171) of NAs appeared in the Study data. 1980 NOTE: A Hispanic origin variable was present in both the pre and post. The pre version is represented here. 1984 NOTE: same as 1980 1992 NOTE: This was not part of the 'short-form' questionnaire; for short-form panel cases, however, 1990 study data were incorporated. 1994 NOTE: For panel cases, 1992 data were incorporated. 2002 NOTE:

For panel cases, 2000 data were incorporated. 2004 NOTE:

A question on Hispanic identity of household members was also administered as part of the screener (household listing). Data for the screener identification of Hispanic status are found separately in the 2008 Time Series study data; screener Hispanic status was used in the construction of the 2008 study weights. 2012 NOTE:

Respondents are coded 1 or 2 if they gave one mention as Mexican/ Mexican-American/Chicano or as Puerto Rican, or else if they gave multiple mentions but identified their closest group as one of those. 2016 NOTE:

Respondents are coded 1 or 2 if they gave one mention for country of origin as Mexico or Puerto Rico, or else if they gave more than one mention for country of origin but identified Mexico or Puerto Rico as the country from which 'most' of their family came. Respondents who gave more than one mention but who did not choose Mexico or Puerto Rico as the country from which most of their family came are coded 3. Respondents who identified themselves as Hispanic but who did not mention any country other than U.S.A. are coded 4.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780632 1980: V800722 1982: V820764 1984: V840709 1986: V860757 1988: V880540,V880541 1990: V900676, V900677 1992: V924122, V924123 1994: V941418, V941419 1996: V960708, V960709 1998: V980659,V980660 2000: V001012,V001013 2002: V023152 2004: V043306 2008: V083255, V083256, V083256a 2012: dem_hisp,dem_hispmost,dem_hisptyp_mex,dem_hisptyp_mexam,dem_hisptyp_chicano,dem_hisptyp_puertorican,dem_his ptyp_dkrf 2016: V161319x

VCF0108

Respondent - Hispanic Origin

1988 AND LATER: In addition to being American, what do you consider your main ethnic group or nationality group? (IF NO HISPANIC GROUP MENTIONED:) Are you of Spanish or Hispanic origin or descent? IF YES:) Please look at the booklet and tell me which category best describes your Hispanic origin. 2012,2016: Are you Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino?

- 1. Yes, R is Hispanic
- 2. No, R is not Hispanic

8. DK9. NA0. Short-form 'new' Cross Section (1992)INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from VCF0107.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780632 1980: V800722 1982: V820764 1984: V840709 1986: V860757 1988: V880540,V880541 1990: V900676.V900677 1992: V924122,V924123 1994: V941418,V941419 1996: V960708, V960709 1998: V980659.V980660 2000: V001012, V001013 2002: V023151 2004: V043305,V043306 2008: V083255,V083256,V083256a 2012: dem_hisp 2016: V161309

VCF0109

Respondent - Ethnicity

In addition to being an American what do you consider your main ethnic group or nationality group? (IF MORE THAN 1 GROUP MENTIONED:) With which of these groups do you most closely identify?

877. 'American'; 'Just American'; none; neither (response to 'choice' question); NA
888. DK
000. Short-form 'new' Cross Section (1992)
INAP. question not used

010-874. Ethnicity as coded

GENERAL NOTE:

See ethnicicty codes- Appendix 'ETHNICITY' This represents the single mention of respondents who indicated only 1 ethnic group and the 'most close' group (followup question) of respondents who indicated more than 1 ethnic group. There is no code to single out Rs who volunteered to assert their 'American' identity. This is because in most years these Rs were combined with NA and/or 'none' (no ethnic identity). 1978 NOTE: A version of this guestion which included a (filter) lead-in was used: 'In addition to being an American, is there another nationality group or ethnic group that you feel you belong to? [IF YES] What ... Because of this format, the 1978 distributions varied dramatically from other years, and 1978 data have not been included. 1992 NOTE: This was not part of the 'short-form' questionnaire; for short-form panel cases, however, 1990 study data were incorporated. 1994 NOTE: For panel cases, 1992 data were incorporated. 1996 NOTE: In a few cases R identified 2 or more nationality/ethnicity groups but the interviewer did not ask the 'choice' followup (due to incorrect completion of the IWR checkpoint); since R's chosen nationality/ ethnicity remained undetermined, these cases (30) were considered missing data (code 99 here). 2004 NOTE: For respondents who gave multiple mentions but did not choose one ethnic group as closest, 1st mention is coded. 2008 NOTĖ:

For respondents who gave multiple mentions but did not choose one ethnic group as closest, 1st mention is coded. 2012 NOTE: Beginning with 2012, data for this question have been considered confidential.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720406 1974: V742528 1976: V763489 1980: V800695, V800696, V800697 1982: V820739 1984: V840692 1986: V860742 1988: V880537 1990: V900673 1992: V924116.V924119 1994: V941415 1996: V960706 1998: V980657 2000: V001008 2004: V043303x 2008: V083254x

VCF0110

Respondent - Education 4-category

1952-1972: How many grades of school did you finish? 1974,1976: What is highest grade of school or year of college you have completed? Did you get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test? Do you have a college degree? (IF YES:) What degree is that?

1978-1984: What is highest grade of school or year of college you have completed? Did you get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test? Do you have a college degree? (IF YES:) What is the highest degree that you have earned?

1986-2008: What is highest grade of school or year of college you have completed? Did you get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test? What is the highest degree that you have earned?

2012: What is the highest level of school you have completed or the highest degree you have received?

- 1. Grade school or less (0-8 grades)
- 2. High school (12 grades or fewer, incl. non-college training if applicable)
- 3. Some college (13 grades or more but no degree;
- 1948 ONLY: college, no identification of degree status)
- 4. College or advanced degree (no cases 1948)
- 0. DK; NA; no Pre IW; short-form 'new' Cross Section (1992) INAP. question not used

Code 4 includes 1 case in 1968, 2 cases in 1976 and 4 cases in 1974 which were designated by respondents as 'honorary degrees.' Uncodeable Other mentions are included I missing data. 1948 NOTE 1: Question wording is undocumented.

GENERAL NOTE: See also VCF0140.

1948 NOTE 2:

No distinction was made between Rs holding college degrees and those who attended college but did not receive a degree. All cases coded 'college' in 1948 are coded 3 -- there are no cases coded 4 for 1948. 1960 NOTE: Personal data forms were completed for no-pre cases: data from these forms were incorporated. 1992 NOTE: This was not part of the 'short-form' questionnaire; for short-form panel cases, however, 1990 study data were incorporated. . 1994 NOTE: For panel cases, 1992 data were incorporated. 2002 NOTE: For panel cases, 2000 data were incorporated. 2004 NOTE: 1 respondent who did not indicate highest grade, but who indicated no high school diploma, has been coded 2.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1948: V480048 1952: V520131 1956: V560181 1958: V580180 1960: V600128 1962: V620078 1964: V640196 1966: V660197 1968: V680156 1970: V700269(type 0) 1972: V720300 1974: V742418 1976: V763389 1978: V780513 1980: V800436 1982: V820542 1984: V840438 1986: V860602 1988: V880422 1990: V900557 1992: V923905, V923908 1994: V941206,V941209 1996: V960607,V960610 1998: V980577 2000: V000913 2002: V023131 2004: V043254 2008: V083218x 2012: dem_edu 2016: V161270

VCF0111

Urbanism

Urbanism

- 1. Central cities
- 2. Suburban areas
- 3. Rural, small towns, outlying and adjacent areas

0. NA; telephone (RDD) sample (2000) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See 'URBANISM NOTE' appendix. This represents R's sampling address. Data are not available after 2000.

1948 NOTE: Urbanism variable was not comparable.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520007 1956: V560004 1958: V580005 1960: V600006 1962: V620003 1964: V640006 1966: V660006 1968: V680008 1970: V700027(type 0) 1972: V720012 1974: V742017 1976: V763018 1978: V780026 1980: V800021 1982: V820025 1984: V840019 1986: V860031 1988: V880022 1990: V900023 1992: V923067 1994: V940059 1996: V960118 1998: V980099 2000: V000093

VCF0112

Census Region

Region - U.S. Census

1. Northeast (CT, ME, MA, NH, NJ, NY, PA, RI, VT)

- 2. North Central (IL, IN, IA, KS, MI, MN, MO, NE, ND, OH, SD, WI)
- 3. South (AL, AR, DE, D.C., FL, GA, KY, LA, MD, MS, NC, OK, SC, TN, TX, VA, WV)
- 4. West (AK, AZ, CA, CO, HI, ID, MT, NV, NM, OR, UT, WA, WY)

0. NA (1948) INAP. guestion not used

GENERAL NOTE: This variable represents the region codes of the U.S. Census. 1952 NOTE: No state variable was present, however this was recoded from sampling location information. 1962 NOTE: same as 1952. 1954 NOTE: No state variable was present and no other location information was available; this has been coded from a non-Census version region variable comprised of categories containing unspecified states. 1. Northeast; 2. Midwest 3. South; 4. Far West. 1994 NOTE: For panel respondents who moved between study years, region corresponds to the place where R was intereviewed for the latest study. 1996 NOTE: same as 1994

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:

1952: V520005 1956: V560007 1958: V580009 1960: V600010 1962: V620003 1964: V640012 1966: V660010 1968: V680014 1970: V700018(type 0) 1972: V720004 1974: V742005 1976: V763005 1978: V780012 1980: V800023 1982: V820014 1984: V840009 1986: V860025 1988: V880008 1990: V900008 1992: V923014 1994: V940011 1996: V960115 1998: V980098 2000: V000092 2002: V021203 2004: V041204a 2008: V081204 2012: sample_region 2016: V163001b

VCF0113

Political South/Nonsouth

Region - political south

1. South

2. Nonsouth

GENERAL NOTE:

This var identifies the 11 secession states: Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, and Virginia. These states cannot be identified for 1954. This identification of 'south' does NOT conform to the definition used in VCF0112 (Census-defined region).

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520005 1956: V560007 1958: V580009 1960: V600010 1962: V620003 1964: V640012 1966: V660010 1968: V680013 1970: V700019(type 0) 1972: V720005 1974: V742006 1976: V763006 1978: V780014 1980: V800023 1982: V820014 1984: V840009 1986: V860025 1988: V880008

1990: V900008 1992: V923014 1994: V940011 1996: V960108 1998: V980086 2000: V000092 2002: V021201 2004: V041204a 2008: V081201b 2012: sample_state 2016: V163001b

VCF0114

Respondent Family - Income Group

1952,1956-1960:

About what do you think your total income will be this year for yourself and your immediate family?

1962:

Would you tell me how much income you and your family will be making during this calendar year, 1962. I mean, before taxes. 1964.1968:

About what do you think your total income will be this year for yourself and your immediate family. Just give me the number/ letter) of the right income category.

1966,1970:

Many people don't know their exact (1966/1970) income yet; but would you tell me as best you can what you expect your (1966/1970) income to be--before taxes? You may just tell me the letter of the group on this card into which your family income will probably fall.

1972-1990, 1992 LONG-FORM,1994-2008 EXC. 2000 TELEPHONE: Please look at this card/page (2000 FTF: the booklet) and tell me the letter of the income group that includes the income of all members of your family living here in [previous year] before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. (IF UNCERTAIN:) What would be your best guess? 1992 SHORT FORM:

Can you give us an estimate of your total family income in 1991 before taxes? This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest and all other income for every member of your family living in your house in 1991. First could you tell me if that was above or below \$24,999? (IF UNCERTAIN: what would be your best guess?) (IF ABOVE/BELOW \$24,999:) I will read you some income categories, could you please stop me when I reach the category that corresponds to your family situation? 2000 TELEPHONE:

I am going to read you a list of income categories. Please tell me which category best describes the total income of all members of your family living in your house in 1999 before taxes. This figure should include salaries, wages, pensions, dividends, interest, and all other income. Please stop me when I get to your family's income. 2012:

Information about income is very important to understand how people are doing financially these days. Your answers are confidential. Would you please give your best guess?

The next question is about [the total income of all the members of your family living here / your total income] in 2011, before taxes.

This figure should include income from all sources, including salaries, wages, pensions, Social Security, dividends, interest, and all other income. What was [the total income in 2011 of all your family members living here / your total income in 2011]?

(IF DK/RF:) Was it \$40,000 or more, or less than that?

(IF LESS THAN 40,000:) Was it \$20,000 or more, or less than that? (IF LESS THAN 40,000 AND LESS THAN 20,000:) Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.

(IF LESS THAN 40,000 BUT MORE THAN 20,000:) Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.

(IF MORE THAN 40,000:) Was it \$70,000 or more, or less than that? (IF MORE THAN 40,000 BUT LESS THAN 70,000:) Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.

(IF MORE THAN 40,000 AND MORE THAN 70,000:) Was it \$100,000 or more, or less than that?

(IF MORE THAN 40,000, MORE THAN 70,000, BUT LESS THAN 100,000:) Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.

(IF MORE THAN 40,000, MORE THAN 70,000 AND MORE THAN 100,000:) Please mark the answer that includes the income of all members of your family living here in 2011 before taxes.

- 1.0 to 16 percentile
- 2. 17 to 33 percentile
- 3. 34 to 67 percentile
- 4.68 to 95 percentile
- 5.96 to 100 percentile

0. DK; NA; refused to answer; no Pre IW INAP. guestion not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See Appendix 'INCOME' for income ranges corresponding to these percentiles.

Study coding of income was approximated as closely as possible to fit within this version. Prior to 1972, this was an estimate of CURRENT year's income; since 1972, PREVIOUS year's income. NOTE that in all years beginning 1976 this question was not actually asked if R was the only household member age 14 or over: in such cases, data from the question asking R's own income was duplicated. (Prior to 1976 R's own income was not determined.)

Beginning in 1964, R was shown a respondent card or booklet. 1948 NOTE:

Question wording was undocumented.

1960 NOTE:

Personal data forms were completed for no-pre cases: data from these forms were incorporated.

1996 NOTE:

For 14 cases, interviewers entered responses where individual income was higher than family income. In some of these cases, the responses for family income and R income may have been provided entered in incorrect order.

1998 NOTE:

Some interviewers coded lowest income category when the respondent stated that he or she did not know what the household income was. Study staff has reviewed all interviewer comment material available to identify cases coded in the lowest category where comment NOTEs clearly indicate Don't Know; such cases have been recoded to DK. However, it is not known whether there are additional DK cases (without recorded comments) for which lowest category had been incorrectly coded. 2002 NOTE:

Income categories were too broad for approximation here. 2012 NOTE:

Income range was included in the public release. Percentile group represents full (combined) 2012 sample, including both in-person (FTF) and Web respondents. 2016 NOTE:

Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS:

1948: V480049 1952: V520160 1956: V560190 1958: V580203 1960: V600189 1962: V620075 1964: V640269 1966: V660235 1968: V680261 1970: V700388(type 0) 1972: V720420 1974: V742549 1976: V763507 1978: V780598 1980: V800686 1982: V820725 1984: V840680 1986: V860733 1988: V880520 1990: V900663 1992: V924104 1994: V941404 1996: V960701 1998: V980652 2000: V000994 2004: V043293x 2008: V083248x 2012: incgroup_prepost_x 2016: V161361x,V162309x

VCF0115

Respondent - Occupation Group 6-category

1952-1964:

What is your occupation. I mean, what kind of work do you do? (IF NOT CLEAR OR OBVIOUS [1958,1960,1964 only]:) What exactly do you do on your job? (IF NOT ASCERTAINED:) What kind of business is that? (IF R IS UNEMPLOYED:) What kind of work do you usually do? (IF R IS RETIRED:) What kind of work did you do before you retired? 1968-1970:

(IF EMPLOYED OR ON STRIKE:) What kind of work do you do? [What exactly do you do on your job?] (IF UNEMPLOYED OR RETIRED:) What kind of work did you do when you were employed? [What exactly did you do on your job?] 1972-1982:

(IF R IS WORKING NOW OR IS TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:) What is your main occupation [What sort of work do you do? Tell me a little more about what you do.] (IF R IS UNEMPLOYED:) What kind of work did you do on your last regular job [What was your occupation?] (IF R IS RETIRED OR DISABLED:) What kind of work did you do when you worked [What was your main occupation?]

1984 AND LATER:

(IF R IS WORKING NOW OR IS TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:) What is your main occupation [What sort of work do you do?] What are your most important activities or duties? (IF R IS RETIRED/UNEMPLOYED /DISABLED:) What kind of work did you do on your last regular job [What was your occupation?] What were your most important activities or duties?

1. Professional and managerial

- 2. Clerical and sales workers
- 3. Skilled, semi-skilled and service workers
- 4. Laborers, except farm
- 5. Farmers, farm managers, farm laborers and foremen; forestry and fishermen
- 6. Homemakers (1972-1992: 7 IN VCF0116, 4 in VCF0118; 1952-1970: 4 in VCF0118)

0. NA; member of armed forces; no occupation and not a homemaker; no Pre IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE See Appendix OCCUPATION notes. This represents current employment of respondents defined as 'working now', and represents past employment of respondents defined as retired, unemployed, or disabled; respondents defined as homemakers are coded 6, and respondents defined as students are coded 0. Exclusion: in studies 1966-later, additional occupation data were available for Rs considered 'students' and 'housewives' (either nonworking [past/recent employment] or currently working some hours [1966-1970: any number of hours; 1972 and later: less than 20 hours per week], but corresponding occupation data were not available at all in the 1952,1956,1958,1960 or 1964 studies, and VCF0115 excludes the occupation of this additional set of (1966-later) respondents: VCF0151 includes such additional data. 2002 NOTE: Respondent occupation was not determined. 2008-LATER NOTE: Revised master codes are not comparable to preceding years for collapse into these categories.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:

1952: V520132, V520134 1956: V560120, V560123 1958: V580181,V580184 1960: V600129,V600132 1964: V640202, V640205 1968: V680161 1970: V700275, V700276(type 0) 1972: V720306, V720309 1974: V742443,V742446 1976: V763409, V763412 1978: V780531, V780534 1980: V800454,V800455,V800471,V800486 1982: V820556, V820557, V820572 1984: V840456, V840504, V840516 1986: V860614, V860664 1988: V880428,V880466 1990: V900565, V900603 1992: V923914, V923955 1994: V941215, V941216 1996: V960615, V960676 1998: V980579, V980639 2000: V000919,V000980 2004: V043262p

VCF0116

Respondent - Work Status 7-category

1972-1978:

(1972: We'd like to know if you are looking for work, working now) (1974-1978: We'd like to know if you are working now, or are you unemployed,) retired, (a housewife) a (student), or what? (IF HOMEMAKER OR STUDENT) Are you doing any work for pay at the present time? (IF R IS HOMEMAKER OR STUDENT AND R IS WORKING FOR PAY:) About how many hours do you work on your job in the average week?

1980 AND LATER EXC. 2002 FRESH CROSS:

We'd like to know if you are working now, temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, (a homemaker), (a student), or what? (STUDENT OR HOMEMAKER:) Are you doing any work for pay at the present time? (RETIRED 1980,1982, 1988 AND LATER:) Are you doing any work for pay at the present time? (DISABLED 1982,1988 AND LATER:) Are you doing any work for pay at the present time? (STUDENT OR HOMEMAKER:) About how many hours do you work on your job in the average week? (RETIRED AND ANSWERED WORKING FOR PAY 1980:) In an average week do you work 20 or more hours on that job? (RETIRED OR DISABLED, AND R ANSWERED WORKING FOR PAY 1982,1988 AND LATER:) About how many hours do you work on your job in the average week? (RETIRED OR DISABLED AND R VOLUNTEERED WORKING FOR PAY 1984,1986:) About how many hours do you work on your job in the average week?

2002 FRESH CROSS:

We'd like to know if you are working now, or are you unemployed, retired, a homemaker, (a student), or what? (MULTIPLE RESPONSES)

- 1. Working now
- 2. Temporarily laid off
- 4. Unemployed
- 5. Retired
- 6. Permanently disabled
- 7. Homemaker
- 8. Student

9. NA; DK INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See Appendix NOTE 'WORK STATUS'. See also VCF0118, VCF0150. For all years except 2002, this is occupation status after the respondent has first self-identified in one or more occupation categories and then answered other employment questions related to work history, number of work hours etc.; for these years, it may be that coding here differs from R's initial self-identification(s). 2002 NOTE: 2000 data have been incorporated for panel cases.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720306 1974: V742443 1976: V763409 1978: V780531 1980: V800515 1982: V820610 1984: V840457 1986: V860615 1988: V880429 1990: V900566 1992: V923915 1994: V941216 1996: V960676 1998: V980579a 2000: V000920 2002: V023132x 2004: V043260b 2008: V083222a 2012: dem_empstatus_1digitfin_x 2016: V161276x

VCF0117

Head of HH - Work Status 7-category 1948-1986

1948: What is [head's] occupation, I mean, what kind of work does head) do? 1972-1978:

We'd also like to know about the head of the family. Is (he/she) working now, looking for work, retired,(a housewife), (a student), or what? (HOMEMAKER OR STUDENT) Are you doing any work for pay at the present time? (HOMEMAKER OR STUDENT, AND R IS WORKING FOR PAY:) About how many hours do you work on your job in the average week? 1980-1986:

We'd like to know if he/she is working now, temporarily laid off, or is he/she unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, (a homemaker), (a student), or what? (STUDENT OR HOMEMAKER:) Is he/she doing any work for pay at the present time? (RETIRED 1980,1982) Is he/she doing any work for pay at the present time? (DISABLED 1982) Is he/she doing any work for pay at the present time? (STUDENT OR HOMEMAKER:) About how many hours does he/she work on his/her job in the average week? (RETIRED AND ANSWERED WORKING FOR PAY 1980:) In an average week does he/she work 20 or more hours on that job? (RETIRED OR DISABLED, AND R ANSWERED WORKING FOR PAY 1982:) About how many hours does he/she work on your job in the average week? (RETIRED OR DISABLED, AND R VOLUNTEERED WORKING FOR PAY 1984,1986:) About how many hours does he/she work on your job in the average week?

- 1. Working now
- 2. Temporarily laid off
- 4. Unemployed (1972 adds 'looking for work.')
- 5. Retired
- 6. Permanently disabled
- 7. Housewife (not working at least 20 hrs. per wk.)
- 8. Student (not working at least 20 hrs. per wk.)

9. NA; DK INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix NOTE 'WORK STATUS'. After 1986, the concept of 'Head of Household' was discontinued. 1948 NOTE: Constructed from the variable for occupation of Head.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1948: V480029 1972: V720329 1974: V742466 1976: V763431 1978: V780553 1980: V800592 1982: V820666 1984: V840531 1986: V860676

VCF0118

Respondent - Work Status 5-category

1968-1970:

Are you presently employed, or are you unemployed, or retired, (a housewife), (a student), or what?

1972-1978:

(1972: We'd like to know if you are looking for work, working now) (1974-1978: We'd like to know if you are working now, or are you unemployed,) retired, (a housewife) a (student), or what? (IF HOMEMAKER OR STUDENT) Are you doing any work for pay at the present time? (IF R IS HOMEMAKER OR STUDENT AND R IS WORKING FOR PAY:) About how many hours do you work on your job in the average week?

1980 AND LATER EXC. 2002 FRESH CROSS:

We'd like to know if you are working now, temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, (a homemaker), (a student), or what? (STUDENT OR HOMEMAKER:) Are you doing any work for pay at the present time? (RETIRED 1980,1982, 1988 AND LATER:) Are you doing any work for pay at the present time? (DISABLED 1982,1988 AND LATER:) Are you doing any work for pay at the present time? (STUDENT OR HOMEMAKER:) About how many hours do you work on your job in the average week? (RETIRED AND ANSWERED WORKING FOR PAY 1980:) In an average week do you work 20 or more hours on that job? (RETIRED OR DISABLED, AND R ANSWERED WORKING FOR PAY 1982,1988 AND LATER:) About how many hours do you work on your job in the average week? (RETIRED OR DISABLED AND R VOLUNTEERED WORKING FOR PAY 1984,1986:) About how many hours do you work on your job in the average week?

2002 FRESH CROSS:

We'd like to know if you are working now, or are you unemployed, retired, a homemaker, (a student), or what? (MULTIPLE RESPONSES)

- 1. Employed
- 2. Not employed: laid off, unemployed, on strike, permanently disabled, other (exc.: retired, student, housewife)
- 3. Retired
- Homemaker (since 1972: not working 20 or more hrs/wk; 1968-1970: if identifies self as 'housewife;' 1956-1964: not working at all; 1952: not working full time)
- 5. Student (since 1972: not working 20 or more hrs/wk; 1970:if identifies self as 'student;' 1968: if identifies self as student--unless working full time days [coded 'employed']; 1956-1964: full or part time day student, working or not; 1952: not employed full time)

9. DK; NA; no Pre IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix NOTE 'WORK STATUS'. See also VCF0116.VCF0150. No direct question asking R about his/her work status was used prior to 1968. Instead, R was asked what his/her occupation was, and codes for 'student,' 'retired,' 'housewife,' 'unemployed' etc. appeared within the occupation codes from which this variable has been recoded. For years prior to 1972, this is respondent selfidentification (exceptions described in the appendix for cases where R described self as working student or homemaker). For years 1972 and later except 2002 fresh cross, this is occupation status after the respondent has first self-identified in one or more occupation categories and then has answered other employment questions related to work history, number of work hours etc.; for these years, it may be that coding here differs from R's initial selfidentification(s).

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520132 1956: V560120 1958: V580181 1960: V600129 1964: V640202 1968: V680160 1970: V700275(type 0) 1972: V720306 1974: V742443 1976: V763409 1978: V780531 1980: V800515 1982: V820610 1984: V840457 1986: V860615 1988: V880429 1990: V900565 1992: V923915 1994: V941216 1996: V960616 1998: V980579a 2000: V000920 2002: V023132x 2004: V043260b 2008: V083222x 2012: dem_empstatus_1digitfin_x 2016: V161276x

VCF0119

Head of HH - Work Status 5-category 1952-1986

1968,1970:

Is (the head) presently employed, or is (he/she) unemployed, retired, (a hosuewife), (a student), or what? 1972-1978:

We'd also like to know about the head of the family. Is (he/she) working now, looking for work, retired,(a housewife), (a student), or what? (HOMEMAKER OR STUDENT) Are you doing any work for pay at the present time? (HOMEMAKER OR STUDENT, AND R IS WORKING FOR PAY:) About how many hours do you work on your job in the average week? 1980-1986:

We'd like to know if he/she is working now, temporarily laid off, or is he/she unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, (a homemaker), (a student), or what? (STUDENT OR HOMEMAKER:) Is he/she doing any work for pay at the present time? (RETIRED 1980,1982) Is he/she doing any work for pay at the present time? (DISABLED 1982) Is he/she doing any work for pay at the present time? (STUDENT OR HOMEMAKER:) About how many hours does he/she work on his/her job in the average week? (RETIRED AND ANSWERED WORKING FOR PAY 1980:) In an average week does he/she work 20 or more hours on that job? (RETIRED OR DISABLED, AND R ANSWERED WORKING FOR PAY 1982:) About how many hours does he/she work on your job in the average week? (RETIRED OR DISABLED, AND R VOLUNTEERED WORKING FOR PAY 1984,1986:) About how many hours does he/she work on your job in the average week?

- 1. Employed
- 2. Not employed: laid off, unemployed, on strike, permanently disabled and other except for retired, student or housewife
- 3. Retired (1966 adds 'over 65')
- 4. Homemaker (see VCF0118)

5. Student (see VCF0118)

9. DK; NA; no Pre IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0118. After 1986, the concept of 'Head of Household' was discontinued. GENERAL NOTE: No direct question asking R about head's work status was used prior to 1968. Instead, R was asked what head's occupation was, and codes for 'student,' 'retired,' 'housewife,' 'unemployed' etc. appeared within the occupation codes from which this variable has been recoded.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520135 1956: V560125 1958: V580188 1960: V600136 1964: V640209 1966: V660199 1968: V680168 1970: V700298(type 0) 1972: V720329 1974: V742466 1976: V763431 1978: V780553 1980: V800592 1982: V820666 1984: V840531 1986: V860676

VCF0120

Respondent - Prestige Score S.E.I. Rank 1976-1984

Duncan S.E.I. score for R

998. R is member of armed forces or former member
000. R has never worked (unemployed or disabled)-- all years; R is nonworking student or housewife--all years; R is housewife or student working less than 20 hrs per week (1976,1978)
INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See PRESTIGE SCORE appendices. 1976 NOTE: Score (3-digit code, see APPENDIX 'DUNCAN S.E.I. AND NORC PRESTIGE SCALES 1976-1982') based on 1970 U.S. Census occupation codes. Scores have 1 implied decimal point, i.e., code 578 represents a score of 57.8. 1978 NOTE: See 1976 note. 1980 NOTE: See 1976 note. 1982 NOTE: See 1976 note. 1984 NOTE: Score (4-digit code, see APPENDIX '1984 DUNCAN S.E.I.') is based on 1980 U.S. Census occupation codes; distributions are not comparable to earlier years (based on 1970 Census). Scores have 1 implied decimal point.

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763413, V763425 1978: V780535, V780547 1980: V800504,V800517 1982: V820558, V820573, V820586, V820600 1984: V841136

VCF0121

Head of HH - Prestige Score S.E.I. Rank 1976-1984

Duncan S.E.I. score for Head

998. Head is member of armed forces or former member 999. NA if Head is working now/has ever worked; Head's occupation NA 000. R has never worked (unemployed or disabled)- all years; R is nonworking student or housewife- all years; R is housewife or student working less than 20 hrs per week (1976,1978) INAP. question not used _____ GENERAL NOTE: See PRESTIGE SCORE appendices. 1976 NOTE: Score (3-digit code, see APPENDIX 'DUNCAN S.E.I. AND NORC PRESTIGE SCALES 1976-1982') based on 1970 U.S. Census occupation codes. Scores have 1 implied decimal point, i.e., code 578 represents a score of 57.8. 1978 NOTE: See 1976 note. 1980 NOTE: See 1976 note. 1982 NOTE: See 1976 note. 1984 NOTE: Score (4-digit code, see APPENDIX '1984 DUNCAN S.E.I.') is based on 1980 U.S. Census occupation codes; distributions are not comparable to earlier years (based on 1970 Census). Scores have 1 implied decimal point.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763435, V763446 1978: V780557, V780569 1980: V800581, V800594 1982: V820614, V820629, V820642, V820656 1984: V841138

VCF0122

Respondent - Prestige Score NORC Rank 1976-1982

NORC Prestige score for R

- 998. R is member of armed forces or former member; R's occupation has no NORC code (2 categories: carpenter's helpers, or fishermen and oystermen)
- 000. R has never worked (unemployed or disabled) -- all years; R is nonworking student or housewif e--all years; R is housewife or student working less than 20 hrs per week (1976,1978) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See PRESTIGE SCORE appendices. 1976 NOTE: Score (3-digit code, see APPENDIX 'DUNCAN S.E.I. AND NORC PRESTIGE SCALES 1976-1982') based on 1970 U.S. Census occupation codes. Scores have 1 implied decimal point, i.e., code 578 represents a score of 57.8. 1978 NOTE: See 1976 note. 1980 NOTE: See 1976 note. 1982 NOTE: See 1976 note.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763414,V763426 1978: V780536,V780548 1980: V800505,V800518 1982: V820559,V820574,V820587,V820601

VCF0123

Head of HH - Prestige Score NORC Rank 1976-1982

NORC Prestige score for Head

- 998. Head is member of armed forces or former member; Head's occupation has no NORC code (2 categories: carpenter's helpers, and fishermen and oystermen)
- 999. NA if Head is working now/has ever worked; Head's occupation NA
- 000. Head has never worked (unemployed or disabled)--all years; Head is nonworking student or housewife --all years; Head is housewife or student working less than 20 hours per week (1976,1978); identity of head of household not established (1984)
- INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See PRESTIGE SCORE appendices. 1976 NOTE: Score (3-digit code, see APPENDIX 'DUNCAN S.E.I. AND NORC PRESTIGE SCALES 1976-1982') based on 1970 U.S. Census occupation codes. Scores have 1 implied decimal point, i.e., code 578 represents a score of 57.8. 1978 NOTE: See 1976 note. 1980 NOTE: See 1976 note. 1982 NOTE: See 1976 note.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763436,V763447 1978: V780558,V780570 1980: V800582,V800595 1982: V820615,V820630,V820643,V820657

VCF0124

Respondent - Prestige Score S.E.S. Rank 1968-1974

Duncan S.E.S. score for R

- 98. R is member of armed forces or former member; R's occupation has no S.E.S. code (tobacco manufacturers)
- 99. NA if R is working now/ has ever worked; R's occupation is NA
- 00. R is housewife, student (or student with job), unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, or 'handles own investments only' (1966-1968); R is nonworking housewife,nonworking student, permanently disabled, 'handles own investments only'(1970); R is nonworking housewife/student who has not worked in past 12 months, or is working housewife/student but working less than 20 hours per week, or R has never worked unemployed or disabled) or 'handles own investments only' (1972, 1974) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See PRESTIGE SCORE appendices. These ratings were based on 1960 U.S. Census Occupation codes. This 2-digit code has no implied decimal points.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680163 1970: V700278,V700290(type 0) 1972: V720310,V720322 1974: V742447,V742459

VCF0125

Head of HH - Prestige Score S.E.S. Rank 1968-1974

Duncan S.E.S. score for Head

98. Head is member of armed forces or former member; Head's occupation has no S.E.S. code (tobacco manufacturers)

99. NA if Head is working now/has ever worked; Head's occupation is NA 00. Head is housewife, student (or student with job), unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, or 'handles own investments only'(1966-1968); Head is nonworking housewife, nonworking student, permanently disabled, 'handles own investments only' (1970); Head is nonworking housewife/ student who has not worked in past 12 months, or is working housewife/ student but working less than 20 hrs per week, or Head has never worked (unemployed or disabled) or 'handles own investments only'(1972, 1974) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See PRESTIGE SCORE appendices. These ratings were based on 1960 U.S. Census Occupation codes. This 2-digit code has no implied decimal points.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1966: V660204 1968: V680171 1970: V700301,V700313(type 0) 1972: V720333,V720345 1974: V742470,V742482

VCF0126

Father of Respondent - Occupation 5-category 1952-1996

What kind of work did your father (SINCE 1978: [or father surrogate]) do for a living while you were growing up? (SINCE 1984: What was his main occupation?) (SINCE 1986: What were his most important activities or duties?) (SINCE 1984: What kind of business or industry was that?) 1. Professional and managerial 2. Clerical and sales workers 3. Skilled, semi-skilled and service workers 4. Laborers, except farm 5. Farmers, farm managers, farm laborers and foremen 0. DK; NA; father wasn't living/not raised by father/no information for parental surrogate; member of armed forces; father not in labor force; no Pre IW; short-form or Spanish language (1992) INAP. question not used _____ GENERAL NOTE: Since 1984, this variable has been a recode taken from 1980 U.S. Census Occupation codes [recoded here from collapsed-code Census variables appearing in ANES datasets]. Prior to 1984, this variable was a recode from the following: 1976-1982: 1970 U.S. Census Occupation codes 1968-1974: CPS Political Behavior Occupation codes 1964: 1960 U.S. Census Occupation codes 1956-1960: 1950 U.S. Dept. of Commerce Census of occupations 1960 NOTE: Personal data forms were completed for no-pre cases: data from these forms were incorporated. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520141 1956: V560298 1958: V580257 1960: V600180 1964: V640259 1966: V660228 1968: V680252 1970: V700370(type 0) 1972: V720413 1974: V742534 1976: V763495 1978: V780618

1980: V800705 1982: V820747 1984: V840694 1986: V860744 1988: V880542 1992: V924126 1996: V960710

VCF0126a

Father of Respondent Occupation 8-category 1970-1976

What kind of work did your father (SINCE 1978: [or father surrogate]) do for a living while you were growing up?

- 1. Professional, technical and kindred workers
- 2. Managers, officials and proprietors exc. farm
- 3. Clerical and kindred workers, sales workers
- 4. Craftsmen, foremen and kindred workers and (authoritative) protective service workers
- 5. Operative and kindred workers
- 6. Service workers, including private household workers and (exec. authoritative) protective service workers
- 7. Laborers, except farm
- 8. Farm laborers and foremen; farmers; owners, managers, tenant farmers and sharecroppers
- 9. Occupation not reported (NA); members of armed forces; not in labor force
- 0. Father wasn't living; not raised by father; no parallel information for parental surrogate

INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1970: V700370(type 0) 1972: V720413 1974: V742534 1976: V763495

VCF0126b

Father of Respondent - Occupation Group 1978-1982,1996

What kind of work did your father or father surrogate do for a living while you were growing up?

- 01. Professional, technical and kindred workers
- 02. Managers and administrators, except farm
- 03. Sales workers
- 04. Clerical and kindred workers
- 05. Craftsmen and kindred workers
- 06. Operatives, except transport
- 07. Transport equipment operatives
- 08. Laborers, except farm
- 09. Farmers (owners and tenants), farm managers, farmer -- NA type
- 10. Farm foreman, farm laborer (wage-worker)
- 11. Service workers, except private household and government protective services
- 12. Government protective services: firemen; police; marshals and constables
- 13. Private household workers
- 14. Member of armed forces
- 15. Not in labor force

98. DK

- 99. NA
- 00. Father wasn't living; not raised by father; no parallel information for parental surrogate

INAP. question not used

1996 NOTE:

No code corresponding to 15 in Study data.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780618 1980: V800705 1982: V820747 1996: V960710

VCF0126c

Father of Respondent - Occupation Group 1984-1988,1992

What kind of work did your father or father surrogate do for a living while you were growing up? What was his main occupation? (SINCE 1986:) What were his most important activities or duties? What kind of business or industry was that?

- 01. Executive, administrative and managerial
- 02. Professional speciality occupations
- 03. Technicians and related support occupations
- 04. Sales occupation
- 05. Administrative support, including clerical
- 06. Private household
- 07. Protective service
- 08. Service exc. protective and household
- 09. Farming, forestry and fishing occupations
- 10. Precision production, craft and repair occupations
- 11. Machine operators, assemblers and inspectors
- 12. Transportation and material, moving occupations
- 13. Handler, equipment cleaners, helpers and laborers
- 14. Member of armed forces
- 15. Not in labor force

98. DK

99. NA

00. Father wasn't living; not raised by father; no parallel information for parental surrogate; short form or Spanish language questionnaire (1992) INAP. question not used

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840694 1986: V860744 1988: V880542 1992: V924126

VCF0127

Household - Union Membership

1948: Does [head] belong to a labor union? 1952,1954: Do either you or the head of your household belong to a labor union? Who is it that belongs? 1956 AND LATER: (1956-1984,2002: Does anyone) (1986-LATER EXC.2002: Do you or [1988: does] anyone else) in this household belong to a labor union? (IF YES:) Who is it that belongs?

1. Yes, someone (1948: head) in household belongs to a labor union 2. No, no one in household belongs to a labor union

0. DK; NA; no Pre IW; short-form 'new' Cross Section (1992) INAP. question not used

1948 NOTE: 'Yes' acknowledged only head's union affiliation. 1952 NOTE: 'Yes' acknowledged only R's or the head of household's union affiliation. 1954 NOTE: same as 1952 1992 NOTE: This was not part of the 'short-form' questionnaire; for short-form panel cases, however, 1990 study data were incorporated. 2002 DATA: Asked only of 'fresh' cross-section cases; for panel cases 2000 data have been included.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1948: V480030 1952: V520139 1956: V560130 1958: V580205 1960: V600150 1964: V640228 1966: V660215 1968: V680201 1970: V700347(type 0) 1972: V720378 1974: V742515 1976: V763476 1978: V780595 1980: V800683 1982: V820722 1984: V840676 1986: V860729 1988: V880517 1990: V900660 1992: V924101 1994: V941401 1996: V960698 1998: V980649 2000: V000990 2002: V023133, V023133a 2004: V043290 2008: V083246 2012: dem_unionhh 2016: V161302

VCF0127a

Household - Who Belongs to Union 8-category

(1988-LATER EXC.2002: Do you or [1988: does] anyone else; 2002: Does anyone) in this household belong to a labor union? (IF YES:) Who is it that belongs?

00. No one

- 11. Respondent only
- 12. Spouse only

- 13. Other household member only
- 21. R and spouse
- 22. R and other household member
- 23. Spouse and other household member
- 31. R, spouse and other household member

99. DK; NA; DK/NA if anyone in union; short-form 'new' Cross Section (1992) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See notes VCF0127. 2002 NOTE: Asked only of 'fresh' cross-section cases; for panel cases 2000 data have been included. Maximum of 2 mentions for 2002 Fresh cross-section cases; for panel cases 2000 data have been included.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1988: V880517,V880518 1990: V900660,V900661 1992: V924101,V924102 1994: V941401,V941402 1996: V960698,V960699 1998: V980649,V980650 2000: V000990,V000991c 2002: V023134,V023134a 2004: V043290,V043291 2008: V083246,V083246a 2012: dem_unionhh,dem_unionwho_r,dem_unionwho_spouse,dem_unionwho_personoth 2016: V161302,V161303

VCF0127b

Household - Who Belongs to Union 4-category

1952:

Do either you or the head of your household belong to a labor union? Who is it that belongs? 1956 AND LATER: (1956-1984,2002: Does anyone) (1986-LATER EXC.2002: Do you or [1988: does] anyone else) in this household belong to a labor union? (IF YES:) Who is it that belongs?

- 0. No one
- 1. R only
- 2. Someone other than R only
- 3. R and someone other than R

9. DK; NA; no Pre IW; short-form 'new' Cross Section (1992) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: 'Someone other than R' can designate 1 or more persons. 1948, 1954 NOTE: In 1948 and 1954 it was not possible to distinguish when R was head, and 1948 and 1954 are not included. 1952 NOTE: Only R's or the head of household's union affiliation was coded; for 1952, codes 2 and 3 only include persons other than R if they were designated head of household. 1992 NOTE:

This was not part of the 'short-form' questionnaire; for short-form panel cases, however, 1990 study data were incorporated. 2002 NOTE: Asked only of 'fresh' cross-section cases; for panel cases 2000 data have been included.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

```
SOURCE VARS:
1952: V520009, V520139
1956: V560130, V560174
1958: V580205, V580173
1960: V600150,V600121
1964: V640228, V640185
1966: V660215, V660238
1968: V680201, V680265
1970: V700347, V700348, V700392(type 0)
1972: V720378, V720379, V720426
1974: V742515.V742516.V742426
1976: V763392, V763476, V763477
1978: V780595, V780596
1980: V800683, V800684
1982: V820722.V820723
1984: V840676,V840678
1986: V860729, V860731
1988: V880517,V880518
1990: V900660,V900661
1992: V924101, V924102
1994: V941401,V941402
1996: V960698, V960699
1998: V980649,V980650
2000: V000990,V000991a,V000991b,V000991c
2002: V023134, V023134a
2004: V043290,V043291
2008: V083246,V083246a
2012: dem_unionhh,dem_unionwho_r,dem_unionwho_spouse,dem_unionwho_personoth
2016: V161302, V161303
```

VCF0128

Respondent - Religion Major Group

1952-1964: Is your Church (1962: religious) preference Protestant, Catholic or Jewish?
1966-1968: Are you Protestant, Catholic or Jewish?
1970-1988,2002: Is your religious preference Protestant, Catholic, Jewish, or something else?
1990 AND LATER, exc. 2002: (IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:) Do you mostly attend a place of worship that is Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish or what? (IF R DOESN'T ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES:)
Regardless of whether you now attend any religious services do you ever think of yourself as part of a particular church or denomination? (IF YES:) Do you consider yourself Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish or what?

1. Protestant

2. Catholic [Roman Catholic]

3. Jewish

4. Other and none (also includes DK preference)

0. NA; no Pre IW; no Post IW; short-form 'new' Cross Section (1992) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See also VCF0129 and VCF0152.

In all Pre-Post studies except 1960 this was a Pre var. This has been collapsed from the Study variable summarizing R's religious self-identification, constructed from the complete set of questions asked, usually including questions additional to those documented here (see questions per VCF0128b, VCF0129). 1990-later (exc. 2002) NOTE: Questions about religious affiliation were preceded by the filter question, 'Lots of things come up that keep people from attending religious services even if they want to. Thinking about your life these days, do you ever attend religious services, apart from occasional weddings, baptisms or funerals?' (SEE VCF0130), which had some effect on distributions. 1948 NOTE: Question wording was undocumented. 1992 NOTE: This question was not part of the 'short-form' questionnaire; for shortform panel cases, however, 1990 study data were incorporated. 1994 NOTE: For panel cases, 1992 data were incorporated. 2002 NOTE: For panel cases, 2000 data were incorporated. 2012 NOTE: In 2012, cases categorized as 'undifferentiated Christian' in the major-group summary are coded 4.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1948: V480050 1952: V520158 1956: V560132 1958: V580210 1960: V600252 1962: V620093 1964: V640235 1966: V660221 1968: V680213 1970: V700360(type 0) 1972: V720422 1974: V742551 1976: V763510 1978: V780605 1980: V800693 1982: V820734 1984: V840687 1986: V860737 1988: V880527 1990: V900525, V900546 1992: V923827,V923850 1994: V941102,V941105,V941122 1996: V960602 1998: V980542, V980569 2000: V000878, V000904 2002: V023138 2004: V043247 2008: V083185b 2012: relig_7cat_x 2016: V161264x

VCF0128a

Respondent - Religion 7-category 1960-1988

1960,1964: Is your Church (1962: religious) preference Protestant, Catholic or Jewish? (IF PROTESTANT:) What church is that, Baptist, Methodist or what? 1966-1968: Are you Protestant, Catholic or Jewish? (IF PROTESTANT:) What church is that, Baptist, Methodist or what? 1970:

Is your religious preference Protestant, Catholic, Jewish, or something else? (IF PROTESTANT:) What church is that, Baptist, Methodist or what? 1972-1988:

Is your religious preference Protestant, Catholic, Jewish, or something else? (IF PROTESTANT:) What church or denomination is that? (IF BAPTIST:) Is that Southern Baptist or something else? 2002:

Is your religious preference PROTESTANT, ROMAN CATHOLIC, JEWISH, or something else? (IF PROTESTANT OR OTHER:) What church or denomination is that?

- 1. Mainline Protestant
- 2. Evangelical Protestant
- 3. Catholic [Roman Catholic]

4. Jewish

5. Non-traditional orthodox

- 6. Non-Christian/Non-Jewish
- 7. Atheist, agnostic, none

 DK; NA; refused to answer; no Pre IW; no Post IW; short-from 'new' Cross Section (1992)
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from VCF0129.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1960: V600252 1964: V640235 1966: V660221 1968: V680213 1970: V700360(type 0) 1972: V720422 1974: V742551 1976: V763510 1978: V780605 1980: V800693 1982: V820734 1984: V840687 1986: V860737 1988: V880527

VCF0128b

Respondent - Religion 8-category 1990-1996

(IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:) Do you mostly attend a place of worship that is Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or what? (IF R DOESN'T ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES:) Regardless of whether you now attend any religious services do you ever think of yourself as part of a particular church or denomination? (IF YES:) Do you consider yourself Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or what? ATTENDS/CONSIDERS SELF PROTESTANT: (IF BAPTIST:) With which Baptist group is your church associated? Is it the Southern Baptist Convention, the American Baptist Churches in the USA, the American Baptist Association, [1992-LATER: the National Baptist Convention U.S.A.,]an independent Baptist church or some other Baptist group? (IF INDEPENDENT BAPTIST:) Are you affiliated with any larger Baptist group or is this strictly a local church?

(IF LUTHERAN:) Is this church part of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America, the Missouri Synod, or some other Lutheran group? (IF METHODIST:) Is your church part of the United Methodist Church, African Methodist Episcopal, or some other Methodist group? (IF PRESBYTERIAN:) Is this the Presbyterian Church in the USA or some other Presbyterian group?

(IF REFORMED:) Is this the Christian Reformed Church, the Reformed Church in America, or some other Reformed group?

(IF BRETHREN:) Is this the Church of the Brethren, the Plymouth Brethren, or what?

(IF CHRISTIAN OR JUST CHRISTIAN:) When you say 'Christian' does that mean the denomination called the 'Christian Church (Disciples of Christ),' or some other Christian denomination, or do you mean to say 'I am just a Christian?'

(IF CHURCH OR CHURCHES OF CHRIST:) Is this the Church of Christ or United Church of Christ?

(IF CHURCH OF GOD:) Is this the Church of God of Anderson Indiana, the Church of God of Cleveland Tennessee, the Church of God in Christ, or some other Church of God?

(IF HOLINESS OR PENTECOSTAL:) What kind of church is that? What is it called exactly? Is that part of a larger church or denomination? What is that church called?

ATTENDS/CONSIDERS SELF OTHER:

What is it called exactly? Is that church part of a denomination? Is that group Christian?

ATTENDS/CONSIDERS SELF JEWISH:

Do you usually attend a synagogue or temple that is Orthodox,

Conservative, Reform or what? / Do you consider yourself Orthodox, Conservative, Reform or what?

ALL CHRISTIANS:

Which one of these words BEST describes your kind of Christianity: Fundamentalist, Evangelical, Charismatic or Spirit-Filled, Moderate to Liberal? Would you call yourself a born-again Christian, that is, have you personally had a conversion experience related to Jesus Christ?

- 0. Mainline Protestant
- 1. Evangelical Protestant
- 2. Black Protestant Church
- 3. Catholic [roman catholic]
- 4. Jewish
- 5. Non-traditional orthodox
- 6. Non-Christian/Non-Jewish
- 7. Atheist, agnostic, none

9. DK; NA; refused to answer; no Pre IW; no Post IW; short-from 'new' Cross Section (1992); 'new' Cross Section (1994)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0152, respondent race, respondent categorization of type of Christianity, church attendance, and Christian born-again status. 1994 NOTE: Applies only to panel cases.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1990: V900525,V900546 1992: V923827,V923850 1994: V941102,V941105,V941122

1996: V960577,V960581,V960602

VCF0129

Respondent - Religion Full Code 1960-1988,2002

1960,1964:

Is your Church (1962: religious) preference Protestant, Catholic or Jewish? (IF PROTESTANT:) What church is that, Baptist, Methodist or what? 1966-1968:

Are you Protestant, Catholic or Jewish? (IF PROTESTANT:) What church is that, Baptist, Methodist or what?

1970:

Is your religious preference Protestant, Catholic, Jewish, or something else? (IF PROTESTANT:) What church is that, Baptist, Methodist or what? 1972-1988:

Is your religious preference Protestant, Catholic, Jewish, or something else? (IF PROTESTANT:) What church or denomination is that? (IF BAPTIST:) Is that Southern Baptist or something else? 2002:

Is your religious preference PROTESTANT, ROMAN CATHOLIC, JEWISH, or something else? (IF PROTESTANT OR OTHER:) What church or denomination is that?

100-800. Religion as coded

996. Refused 998. DK; none; no preference 999. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See Appendix '2002 RELIGION NOTE'. See also VCF0152. These questions follow up questions in VCF0128. The addition in 1972 of 'Is that Southern Baptist or something else?' significantly changed distributions of Protestant Baptists beginning in that year. Beginning in 1990 (except 2002), the full set of religion codes was

Beginning in 1990 (except 2002), the full set of religion codes was expanded considerably, adding codes for different affiliations within the major mainline Protestant denominations and reworking almost entirely the Pentecostal, Holiness, fundamentalist, and evangelical Protestant denominations. VCF0152 gives the 'new' set of full codes.

2002 NOTE:

2000 data were included in the 2002 study religion summary variable by recoding from the 2000 study variable, which differed somewhat in coding from that used for 2002 fresh cross-section cases. A description of the recode from the 2002 summary variable is provided in the Appendix.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1960: V600252 1964: V640235 1966: V660221 1968: V680213 1970: V700361(type 0) 1972: V720422 1974: V742551 1976: V763510 1978: V780605 1980: V800693

1982: V820)734
1984: V840)687
1986: V860)737
1988: V880)527
2002: V023	8138a

VCF0130

Respondent - Church Attendance 1970-

1970-1988: (IF ANY RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE) Would you say you/do you go to (church/synagogue) every week, almost every week, once or twice a month, a few times a year, or never? 1990 AND LATER: Lots of things come up that keep people from

attending religious services even if they want to. Thinking about your life these days, do you ever attend religious services, apart from occasional weddings, baptisms or funerals? (IF YES:) Do you go to religious services every week, almost every week, once or twice a month, a few times a year, or never?

- 1. Every week (Except 1970: almost every week)
- 2. Almost every week (no cases in 1970)
- 3. Once or twice a month
- 4. A few times a year
- 5. Never (1990 and later: 'No' in filter)
- 7. No religious preference (1970-1988)
- 8. DK how often/DK if attend
- 9. NA/RF how often/NA/RF if attend
- Religion (1970-1988); atheists and agnostics are Inap (1972,1986 only); short-form 'new' Cross Section (1992-1996)
- INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Beginning in 1990, question flow was reversed so that the religious attendance question preceded religious affiliation questions, whereas in previous studies religious attendance followed. Beginning in 1990, frequency of religious attendance was preceded by a general attendance filter (yes/no); a 'no' response to the filter 1990 and later has been coded 5 here. 1970 NOTE:

Only codes corresponding to VCF0130 codes 2-5 were used (no code for 'every week'). 'Almost every week' from 1970 has been recoded to 1, with no 1970 cases appearing in code 2. 1992 NOTE:

This question was not part of the 'short-form' questionnaire; for shortform panel cases, however, 1990 study data were incorporated. 1994 NOTE:

For Panel respondents, 1992 data have been included.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:

1970: V700362(type 0) 1972: V720423 1974: V742552 1976: V763511 1978: V780606 1980: V800694 1982: V820735 1984: V840688 1986: V860738 1988: V880530 1990: V900526

1992: V923828 1994: V941103 1996: V960578 1998: V980543 2000: V000877,V000879 2002: V023085 2004: V043223,V043224 2008: V083186,V083186a 2012: relig_church,relig_churchoft 2016: V161244,V161245

VCF0130a

Respondent - Church Attendance 1990-

Lots of things come up that keep people from attending religious services even if they want to. Thinking about your life these days, do you ever attend religious services, apart from occasional weddings, baptisms or funerals? (IF YES:) Do you go to religious services every week, almost every week, once or twice a month, a few times a year, or never? (IF R SAYS HE/SHE GOES TO RELIGIOUS SERVICES EVERY WEEK:) Would you say you go to religious services once a week or more often than once a week? 0. Every week - more than once a week 1. Every week - once a week or NA if once a week/more than once a week 2. Almost every week 3. Once or twice a month 4. A few times a year 5. Never ('No' in filter) 8. DK how often/DK if attend 9. NA how often/NA if attend; short-form 'new' Cross Section (1992) INAP. question not used 1992 NOTE: This question was not part of the 'short-form' questionnaire; for shortform panel cases, however, 1990 study data were incorporated. 1994 NOTE: For 1994 panel respondents, 1992 data have been included. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009v/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS: 1990: V900526, V900527 1992: V923828, V923829 1994: V941103.V941104 1996: V960578, V960579 1998: V980543,V980544 2000: V000877, V000879, V000880 2002: V023085,V023086

2002: V023003,V023000 2004: V043223,V043224

2004: V043223, V043224

2008: V083186,V083186a,V083186b 2012: relig_church,relig_churchoft,relig_churchwk 2016: V161244,V161245,V161245a

VCF0131

Respondent - Church Attendance 1952-1968

Would you say you go to church regularly, often, seldom or never?

- 1. Regularly
- 2. Often
- 4. Seldom
- 5. Never
- 7. No religious preference (1960-1968)

9. NA 0. Religion refused/NA; no Pre IW; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: In all Pre-Post studies except 1960, this was a Pre var. This question was asked only of Rs who gave a religious identification (see VCF0128).

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520159 1956: V560133 1958: V580211 1960: V600253 1962: V620094 1964: V640236 1966: V660222 1968: V680214

VCF0132

Respondent - Where Grew Up: State 1968-

Where was it that you grew up? (IF UNITED STATES:) Which state or states?

101-997. State/country as coded

998. DK 999. NA; RF; short-form 'new' Cross Section (1992) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'STATE AND COUNTRY CODES'. See also VCF0133. If R grew up in more than one state or country, the place(s) where R lived the longest between the ages of 6 and 18 was coded. If it was not clear which place(s) R lived longest, the code which best reflected all mentioned places was used. 1992 NOTE: This question was not part of the 'short-form' questionnaire; for shortform panel cases, however, 1990 study data were incorporated. 1994 NOTE: For panel cases, 1992 data were incorporated. 2016 NOTE: In 2016, coding was not comparable.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680257 1970: V700379(type 0) 1972: V720416 1974: V742538

1976: V763499 1978: V780622 1980: V800709 1982: V820751 1984: V840698 1986: V860748 1986: V860748 1990: V900679 1992: V924125 1994: V941421 1996: V960711 2000: V001014 2008: V083264

VCF0133

Respondent - Where Grew Up: State 1952-1966

What part of the United States did you grow up in?

101-997. State/country as coded

998. DK 999. NA; no Pre IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'STATE AND COUNTRY CODES'. See also VCF0132. Note that in studies 1952-1966 coding by ANES of foreign-born Rs was inconsistent. Some cases were coded MD in these years (recoded to 999 here), while others were assigned a foreign country code. 1958 NOTE: To reconcile the original 1958 Study data, Rs who did not grow up in the U.S. (and who were not asked this question) were coded into generalized foreign codes, e.g., 'Central America,' 'Eastern Europe,' etc., based upon parents' foreign origins according to information obtained in questions about places of mother's and father's birth (VCF0144, VCF0145). 1960 NOTE: Personal data forms were completed for no-pre cases: data from these forms were incorporated.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520144 1956: V560188 1958: V580226 1960: V600182 1964: V640261 1966: V660230

VCF0134

Respondent - Where Grew Up: Farm 1952-1994,2000

1952: Were you brought up mostly in the country, in a small town, or in a large city?
1956-1970: Were you brought up mostly on a farm, in a town, in a small city, or in a large city?
1972-1976: Were you brought up mostly in the country, in a town, in a small city, or in a large city?
1978-1982: Looking at this list, please tell me, were you brought up

mostly in the country, in a town, in a small city, or in a large city? 1984-LATER: Looking at this list, please tell me, where were you mostly brought up?

1. Farm/country (including 'in the country, not on a farm' in 1978-1992) 2. Not farm/country

8. DK

9. NA; no Pre IW; short-form 'new' Cross Section (1992) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See also VCF0135-VCF0137. From 1978 on, this question was administered with a 'show card' that contained categories (corresponding to codes in VCF0137) from which the respondent could choose. Prior to 1978, the choices available to the respondent were those present in the question text. Code 1 includes 'in the country' 1952, 1972-1976, 'on a farm' 1956-1970, and both 'farm' and 'in the country, not on a farm' 1978-1992. 1992 NOTE: This question was not part of the 'short-form' questionnaire; for shortform panel cases, however, 1990 study data were incorporated. 1994 NOTE: For panel cases, 1992 data were incorporated.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520145 1956: V560189 1958: V580228 1960: V600184 1964: V640263 1966: V660232 1968: V680259 1970: V700381(type 0) 1972: V720418 1974: V742540 1976: V763500 1978: V780623 1980: V800710 1982: V820752 1984: V840699 1986: V860749 1988: V880547 1990: V900680 1992: V924129 1994: V941422 2000: V001019

VCF0135

Respondent - Where Grew Up: Large City 1952-1994,2000

Type community where R grew up (2)

- 1. In a large city (in 1978-1992 this includes categories 5 and 7 from VCF0137)
- 2. Not in a large city (in 1978-1992 this includes all categories exc. 5 and 7 from VCF0137)

8. DK

9. NA; no Pre IW; short-form 'new' Cross Section (1992) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See question text and wording VCF0134. See also VCF136, VCF0137.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1956: V560189 1958: V580228 1960: V600184 1964: V640263 1966: V660232 1968: V680259 1970: V700381(type 0) 1972: V720418 1974: V740254 1976: V763500 1978: V780623 1980: V800710 1982: V820752 1984: V840699 1986: V860749 1988: V880547 1990: V900680 1992: V924129 1994: V941422 2000: V001019

VCF0136

Respondent - Where Grew Up: Urbanism 1956-1976

Type community where R grew up (3)

- 1. Farm, rural, 'country'
- 2. Town, 'small town'
- 3. City, not a large city
- 4. Large city
- 5. Other; mixture of communities; 'suburb'

9. DK; NA; no Pre IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See question text and wording VCF0134. See also VCF0135, VCF0137. In 1956-1964, the named community was coded according to its approximate population at the time R was growing up. A community may thus have been coded for the study into a size category different from the size description chosen by R in his/her answer. 'City' categories by population during these years were (in general): under 10,000; 10,000-50,000; 100,000-250,000; 250,000+. For the codes here, the 250,000+ category was placed into 'large city', while lesserpopulation cities were included in code 3. In 1966-1976, R's own perception of size of community was used.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1956: V560189 1958: V580228 1960: V600184 1964: V640263 1966: V660232

1968: V680259 1970: V700381(type 0) 1972: V720418 1974: V742540 1976: V763500

VCF0137

Respondent - Where Grew Up: Urbanism 1978-2000

Type community where R grew up (4)

- 1. On a farm
- 2. In the country, not on a farm
- 3. In a small city or town (under 50,000)
- 4. In a medium-sized city (50,000-100,000)
- 5. In a large city (100,000-500,000)
- 6. In a suburb of a large city
- 7. In a very large city (over 500,000)
- 8. In a suburb of a very large city

9. DK; NA; short-form 'new' Cross Section (1992) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See question text and wording VCF0134. See also VCF0135, VCF0136.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780623 1980: V800710 1982: V820752 1984: V840699 1986: V860749 1988: V880547 1990: V900680 1992: V924129 1994: V941422 2000: V001019

VCF0138

Number of Children in family

1958: How many children are there under 18 years old in this family? 1960: How many children under 18 years old are there in this family? 1962: How many children under 18 are there in your family? 1964: How many children are there under 18 years old in this family? 1966: How many children are there under 18 years old in this family? (household)? 1968: Are there any children under 18 years old in this family? (How many?)

- 0. None
- 1. One
- 2. Two
- 3. Three or more

9. NA; no Pre IW; Panel (1992,1996,2002) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Data 1978-2004 are from the household listing. For years 1958-1968 and 2008, these data are from questions in the demographic section of the interview. It is unknown how 1956 data were obtained. 1970 NOTE: The respondent was not asked how many children were in the household if R had no children of his/her own (or MD if had any). 1970 data are therefore not included. 1994 NOTE: R was asked if he/she had any children of his/her own; number of children in the household was not established. 1994 data are therefore not included. 2000 NOTE: same as 1994. 2002 NOTE: same as 1994. 2008 NOTE: same as 1994. 2012 NOTE: Same as 1994. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS: 1956: V560178 1958: V580177 1960: V600125 1962: V620088 1964: V640189 1966: V660194 1968: V680147 1978: V780039,V780040,V780041,V780042 1980: V800044,V800045,V800046,V800047 1982: V820054, V820055, V820056, V820057 1984: V840071, V840072, V840073, V840074 1986: V860015,V860016,V860017,V860018 1988: V880092,V880093,V880094,V880095 1990: V900030,V900031,V900032,V900033 1992: V923079.V923080.V923081.V923082 1996: V960048, V960049, V960050, V960051

1998: V980041

VCF0138a

Number Children Under Age 6 in HH

Number of children age 5 or younger old in household

- 0. None
- 1. One
- 2. Two
- 3. Three
- 4. Four
- 5. Five
- 6. Six
- 7. Seven
- 8. Eight

9. NA; Panel (1992,1996,2002) INAP. question not used

2002 NOTE:

These data are available only for 2002 fresh cross-section cases.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780039 1980: V800044 1982: V820054 1984: V840071 1986: V860015 1988: V880092 1990: V900030 1992: V923079 1996: V960048 1998: V980037 2002: V021107a,V021107b,V021107c,V021107d,V021107e,V021107f 2004: V041105

VCF0138b

Number Children Ages 6-9 in HH

Number of children 6-9 years old in household

- 0. None
- 1. One
- 2. Two
- 3. Three
- 4. Four
- 5. Five
- 6. Six
- 7. Seven
- 8. Eight

9. NA; Panel (1992,1996,2002) INAP. question not used

2002 NOTE:

These data are available only for 2002 fresh cross-section cases.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780040 1980: V800045 1982: V820055 1984: V840072 1986: V860016 1988: V880093 1990: V900031 1992: V923080 1996: V960049 1998: V980038 2002: V021107a,V021107b,V021107c,V021107d,V021107e,V021107f 2004: V041106

VCF0138c

Number Children Ages 10-13 in HH

Number of children 10-13 years old in household

- 0. None
- 1. One
- 2. Two
- 3. Three
- 4. Four
- 5. Five
- 6. Six
- 7. Seven
- 8. Eight

9. NA; Panel (1992,1996,2002) INAP. question not used

2002 NOTE:

These data are available only for 2002 fresh cross-section cases.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780041 1980: V800046 1982: V820056 1984: V840073 1986: V860017 1988: V880094 1990: V900032 1992: V923081 1996: V960050 1998: V980039 2002: V021107a,V021107b,V021107c,V021107d,V021107e,V021107f 2004: V041107

VCF0138d

Number Children Ages 14-17 in HH

Number of children 14-17 years old in household

- 0. None
- 1. One
- 2. Two
- 3. Three
- 4. Four
- 5. Five
- 6. Six
- 7. Seven
- 8. Eight

9. NA; Panel (1992,1996,2002) INAP. question not used

2002 NOTE:

These data are available only for 2002 fresh cross-section cases.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780042 1980: V800047 1982: V820057

1984: V840074 1986: V860018 1988: V880095 1990: V900033 1992: V923082 1996: V960051 1998: V980040 2002: V021107a,V021107b,V021107c,V021107d,V021107e,V021107f 2004: V041108

VCF0138e

Age Youngest Child in HH

Age of youngest child in family

 1 - 1/2 years or less
 Over 1 - 1/2 years up to and including 2 -1/2 years
 Over 2 - 1/2 years up to and including 3 - 1/2 years
 Over 3 - 1/2 years up to and including 4 - 1/2 years
 Over 4 - 1/2 years up to and including 5 - 1/2 years
 Over 5 - 1/2 years up to and including 10 - 1/2 years
 Over 10 - 1/2 years up to and including 14 - 1/2 years
 Over 14 - 1/2 years up to 18 years
 NA; no children; no Pre IW INAP. question not used
 WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1956: V560179 1958: V580178 1960: V600126 1962: V620089 1964: V640190 1966: V660195

1968: V680149

VCF0139

Respondent - How Many Children Does R Have

1970,1974,1976,1980: Do you have any children? (IF YES:) How many?

- 0. None
- 1. One
- 2. Two
- 3. Three
- 4. Four
- 5. Five
- 6. Six
- 7. Seven
- 8. Eight or more

9. NA INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Ages of children not limited to those under 18 years old.

1970 NOTE: Single Rs were not asked this question and are represented in code 0. 1992 NOTE: Study data represented only number of children under the age of 18 and are not represented here. 1994: Questions were not comparable. 1998 NOTE: same as 1992 2000 NOTE: same as 1994

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1970: V700265(type 0) 1974: V742409 1976: V763372 1980: V800411

VCF0140

Respondent - Education 6-category

1952-1972: How many grades of school did you finish? 1974 AND LATER: What is highest grade of school or year of college you have completed? Did you get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test? 1974,1976: Do you have a college degree? (IF YES:) What degree is that? 1978-1984: Do you have a college degree? (IF YES:) What is the highest degree that you have earned? 1986 AND LATER: What is the highest degree that you have earned?

- 1. 8 grades or less ('grade school')
- 2. 9-12 grades ('high school'), no diploma/equivalency
- 3. 12 grades, diploma or equivalency
- 4. 12 grades, diploma or equivalency plus non-academic training
- 5. Some college, no degree; junior/community college level degree (AA degree)
- 6. BA level degrees; advanced degrees incl. LLB

8. DK9. NA; RF; no Pre IW; short-form 'new' Cross Section (1992)INAP. question not used

_____ GENERAL NOTE: See also VCF0110. During 1986-2008, the equivalent to code 4 was not used. Code 6 includes 1 cases in 1968, 2 cases in 1976 and 4 cases in 1974 which were designated by respondents as 'honorary degrees.' 1952 NOTE: The category recoded to code 6 only mentioned 'has a degree' or 'completed college,' without specifying Bachelor's degree or 4-year college. Another code present specified 'some college' and has been recoded to 5. 1956 NOTE: same as 1952 1958 NOTE: same as 1952 1960 NOTE: Personal data forms were completed for no-pre cases: data from these forms were incorporated. 1992 NOTE: This question was not part of the 'short-form' questionnaire; for shortform panel cases, however, 1990 study data were incorporated. 1994 NOTE:

For panel cases, 1992 data were incorporated. 2002 NOTE: For panel cases, 2000 data were incorporated. 2004 NOTE: Code 1 includes 1 case with number of grades NA without high school diploma or equivalency. 2016 NOTE: Code 4 in 2016 is from category 'Associates Degres - Occupational/ Vocational."

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520131 1956: V560181 1958: V580180 1960: V600128 1962: V620078 1964: V640196 1966: V660197 1968: V680156 1970: V700269(type 0) 1972: V720300 1974: V742423 1976: V763389 1978: V780513 1980: V800436 1982: V820542 1984: V840438 1986: V860602 1988: V880422 1990: V900557 1992: V923908 1994: V941209 1996: V960610 1998: V980577 2000: V000913 2002: V023131 2004: V043254 2008: V083218x 2012: dem_edu 2016: V161270

VCF0140a

Respondent - Education 7-category

1952-1972: How many grades of school did you finish? 1974 AND LATER: What is highest grade of school or year of college you have completed? Did you get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test? 1974,1976: Do you have a college degree? (IF YES:) What degree is that? 1978-1984: Do you have a college degree? (IF YES:) What is the highest degree that you have earned?

1986 AND LATER: What is the highest degree that you have earned?

- 1.8 grades or less ('grade school')
- 2. 9-12 grades ('high school'), no diploma/equivalency
- 3. 12 grades, diploma or equivalency
- 4. 12 grades, diploma or equivalency plus non-academic training
- 5. Some college, no degree; junior/community college level degree (AA degree)
- 6. BA level degrees
- 7. Advanced degrees incl. LLB

8. DK

9. NA; RF; no Pre IW; short-form 'new' Cross Section (1992)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See notes VCF0140.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520131 1956: V560181 1958: V580180 1960: V600128 1962: V620078 1964: V640196 1966: V660197 1968: V680156 1970: V700269(type 0) 1972: V720300 1974: V742423 1976: V763389 1978: V780513 1980: V800436 1982: V820542 1984: V840438 1986: V860602 1988: V880422 1990: V900557 1992: V923908 1994: V941209 1996: V960610 1998: V980577 2000: V000913 2002: V023131 2004: V043254 2008: V083218x 2012: dem_edu 2016: V161270

VCF0141

Head of HH - Education 6-category 1962-1986

1952-1972: How many grades of school did (head) finish? 1974 AND LATER: What is highest grade of school or year of college (head) has completed? Did (head) get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test? 1974,1976: Does (head) have a college degree? (IF YES:) What degree is that? 1978-1984: Does (head) have a college degree? (IF YES:) What is the highest degree that (head) has earned? 1986 AND LATER: What is the highest degree that (head) has earned?

- 1. 8 grades or less ('grade school')
- 2. 9-12 grades ('high school'), no diploma/equivalency
- 3. 12 grades, diploma or equivalency
- 4. 12 grades, diploma or equivalency plus non-academic training
- 5. Some college, no degree; junior/community college level degree (AA degree)
- 6. BA level degrees; advanced degrees incl. LLB

8. DK

9. NA; head of family not determined (1986) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See notes VCF0140.

After 1986, the concept of 'Head of Household' was discontinued.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1962: V620076 1964: V640197 1968: V680157 1970: V700271(type 0) 1972: V720302 1974: V742432 1976: V763398 1978: V780522 1980: V800445 1982: V820549 1984: V840447 1986: V860607

VCF0142

Respondent - Place of Birth

Where were you born? (IF UNITED STATES:) Which state?

101-997. State/Country as coded

998. DK 999. NA; no Pre IW; short-form 'new' Cross Section (1992) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'STATE AND COUNTRY CODES' 1960 NOTE: Personal data forms were completed for no-pre cases: data from these forms were incorporated. 1992 NOTE: This question was not part of the 'short-form' questionnaire; for shortform panel cases, however, 1990 study data were incorporated. 1994 NOTE: For panel cases, 1992 data were incorporated.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520143 1956: V560182 1958: V580220 1960: V600175 1964: V640252 1966: V660223 1968: V680243 1970: V700363(type 0) 1972: V720407 1974: V742537 1976: V763498 1978: V780621 1980: V800708 1982: V820750 1984: V840697 1986: V860747 1988: V880545 1990: V900678 1992: V924124 1994: V941420

VCF0143

Respondent Parents - Native Born

Were both your parents born in this country?

1. Yes

5. No

8. DK

9. NA; RF; no Pre IW; short-form 'new' Cross Section (1992) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: In 1960, 1964, 1966, 1968, 1970, this question was not asked of black Rs or foreign-born Rs. 1960 NOTE: Personal data forms were completed for no-pre cases: data from these forms were incorporated. 1992 NOTE: This question was not part of the 'short-form' questionnaire; for shortform panel cases, however, 1990 study data were incorporated. 1994 NOTE: For panel cases, 1992 data were incorporated.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520146 1960: V600176 1964: V640253 1966: V660224 1968: V680244 1970: V700364(type 0) 1972: V720408 1974: V742529 1976: V763490 1978: V780612 1980: V800699 1982: V820741 1984: V840693 1986: V860743 1988: V880538 1990: V900674 1992: V924120 1994: V941416 1996: V960707 2000: V001007 2004: V043300 2008: V083257 2012: dem_parents 2016: V161315

VCF0144

Respondent Father - Where Born

(IF BOTH PARENTS NOT BORN IN THE U.S.:) What country was your father born in?

199. U.S. 182,183,186,201-997. Country as coded

998. DK

999. NA; no Pre IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'STATE AND COUNTRY CODES'. All codes within United States have been recoded to 199. This question was a follow-up to VCF0143. If R responded 'yes' to VCF0143, then VCF0144 and VCF0145 have been coded 199. Black Rs were not asked this question in 1960, 1964, 1966, 1968 or 1970 and for these years have been recoded to code 199, United States. Parents of foreign-born Rs were also present in missing data during these years in the study datasets, but for this variable parental birthplaces have been imputed from R's own birth-place, as a generalized category e.g., 'British Isles' if R were born in England). 1960 NOTE: Personal data forms were completed for no-pre cases: data from these forms were incorporated.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:

1952: V520151 1960: V600177 1964: V640254 1966: V660225 1968: V680245 1970: V700365(type 0) 1972: V720409 1974: V742530 1976: V763491 1978: V780613 1980: V800700 1982: V820742

VCF0145

Respondent Mother - Where Born

(IF BOTH PARENTS NOT BORN IN THE U.S.:) What country was your mother born in?

199. U.S. 182,183,186,201-997. Country as coded

998. DK 999. NA; no Pre I INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0144.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520152 1960: V600178 1964: V640255 1966: V660226 1968: V680246 1970: V700366(type 0) 1972: V720410 1974: V742531 1976: V763492 1978: V780614

1980: V800701 1982: V820743

VCF0146

Respondent Family - Home Ownership

(Do you/ Does your family) own your own home, pay rent or what?

Yes, own
 No, not owned

8. DK 9. NA; RF; no Pre IW; short form (1992) INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520156 1964: V640271 1968: V680262 1970: V700389(type 0) 1972: V720421 1974: V742550 1976: V763509 1978: V780629 1980: V800719 1982: V820761 1984: V840706 1986: V860754 1988: V880552 1990: V900685 1992: V924135 1994: V941427 1996: V960714 1998: V980663 2000: V001022 2002: V023141 2004: V043312 2008: V083281 2016: V161334

VCF0147

Respondent - Marital Status

1952: Are you married?

1986: Are you married now and living with your husband/wife-- or are you widowed, divorced, separated, or have you never married? Are you now Alving with someone as 8 couple, though not married? 1956-2004: Are you married now and living with your husband/ wife (2002: spouse)-- or are you widowed, divorced, separated, or have you never married?

2008: Are you married now and living with your husband/wife-- or are you widowed, divorced, separated, or have you never married? / Are you married, divorced, separated, widowed, or have you never been married?

2012,1216: Are you now married, widowed, divorced, separated or never married? Are you currently living with a partner, or not?

1. Married

- 2. Never married
- 3. Divorced
- 4. Separated
- 5. Widowed
- 7. Partners; not married (VOLUNTEERED pre-1986 and 1988-later)
- R not married/partnered, refused to say whether never married, divorced, separated or widowed (1992 only); DK

 NA; no Pre IW; unmarried at time of IW (1952 only); short-form 'new' Cross-Section (1992)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Common law partners first appeared as a volunteered code in 1964. Interviewer instruction 1990 and later: 'If spouse is in a nursing home or works in another city, or the family is in the process of moving and is temporarily holding 2 residences, code 1 is used.' 1952 NOTE: Rs who were not married have been recoded to NA because their specific status as divorced, widowed, never married etc. was not determined. Rs married at the time of the 1952 interview have all been coded 1 in VCF0147, with no 1952 cases appearing in codes 2-7. 1960 NOTE: 1960 pre variable was used except for 22 no-pre cases, for which post marital status was used. 1986 NOTE: Unmarried Rs were also asked if they were living with a partner. 1992 NOTE: This question was not part of the 'short-form' questionnaire; for shortform panel cases, however, 1990 study data were incorporated, except in cases where additional information current to 1992 [as determined by the interviewer] contradicted the marital status of 1990. In the latter instances, the 1992 marital status variable was coded to reflect the most complete and up-to-date information known by the interviewer. 2002 NOTE: For panel cases, 2000 data were included. 2008 NOTE: This question was asked in 2 versions; data are combined in this variable.

2012 NOTE:

Unmarried Rs were asked if they were living with a partner. If R refused to indicate whether living with a partner, status according to lead question (codes 1-5) has been coded. 2016 NOTE: same as 2012

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520114 1956: V560177 1958: V580176 1960: V600124 1962: V620791 1964: V640188 1966: V660193 1968: V680146 1970: V700263(type 0) 1972: V720295 1974: V742407 1976: V763370 1978: V780505 1980: V800409 1982: V820536 1984: V840430 1986: V860598 1988: V880418 1990: V900553 1992: V923904 1994: V941204

1996: V960606 1998: V980573 2000: V000909 2002: V023127,V023127a 2004: V043251 2008: V083216a,V083216b 2012: dem_marital,dem_partner 2016: V161268,V161269

VCF0148

Respondent - Social Class 8-category

There's been some talk these days about different social classes. Most people say they belong either to the middle class or the working class.

Do you ever think of yourself as belonging in one of these classes? Which one? /

(IF NO OR DK:) Well, if you had to make a choice, would you call yourself middle class or working class?

(IF MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS:) Would you say that you are about average middle/working class or that you are in the upper part of the middle/ working class?

- 0. Lower class (VOLUNTEERED)
- 1. Average working
- 2. Working--NA average or upper working
- 3. Upper working
- 4. Average middle
- 5. Middle class--NA average or upper middle
- 6. Upper middle
- 7. Upper class (VOLUNTEERED)

9. DK; NA; other (incl. 'refused to accept idea of class') INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Respondents who answered No or DK to the initial question (think of self as belonging to either middle or working class) were administered the followup that asked the respondent, if R 'had to make a choice,' to choose between middle or working. In some years, respondents who refused or did not answer the initial question were also asked the choice question (2012,2008,2004,2000, 1990 and 1 post Web respondent in 2016). In 2000 only, respondents who answered Yes to the initial question but who gave an Other response to the followup 'Which one?' were asked the choice question.

Respondents who volunteered upper or lower class in response to any of the questions have been coded as such here (0 or 7). 1992 NOTE:

This set of questions was not part of the 'short-form' questionnaire; for short-form panel cases, however, 1990 study data were incorporated in the 1992 summary var.

2000 NOTE:

The 2000 summary variable used here appropriately coded into its categories the open-end Other Specify responses corresponding to lower, working, middle or upper class. The 2000 summary variable categories are the same as in VCF0148.

2016 NOTE:

In 2016, a half sample of respondents were asked this 4-question version for social class in the pre-election wave and the remaining half sample were asked in the post.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:
1956: V560224
1958: V580219
1966: V660219
1968: V680209
1970: V700356(type 0)
1972: V720400
1974: V742525
1976: V763486
1978: V780603
1980: V800691
1982: V820732
1984: V840685
1988: V880525
1990: V900668
1992: V924114
1994: V941409
2000: V001005
2004: V043298
2008: V083250,V083250a,V083250b,V083250c
2012: dem_class,dem_whichclass,dem_chclass,dem_avgclass
2016: V161304, V161305a, V161305b, V161306, V162128, V162129, V162130, V162131

VCF0148a

Respondent - Average or Upper Middle/Working Class

There's been some talk these days about different social classes. Most people say they belong either to the middle class or the working class. Do you ever think of yourself as belonging in one of these classes? Which one? / Well, if you had to make a choice, would you call yourself middle class or working class? (IF MIDDLE OR WORKING CLASS:) Would you say that you are about average middle/working class or that you are in the upper part of the middle/ working class?

- 1. Average working
- 2. Working--NA average or upper working
- 3. Upper working
- 4. Average middle
- 5. Middle class--NA average or upper middle
- 6. Upper middle

9. DK; NA; other (incl. 'refused to accept idea of class') INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Respondents who volum

Respondents who volunteered upper or lower class in response to any of the questions (0 or 7 in VCF0148a) have been coded 9. 1960 NOTE:

Because the final question (average or upper) was not asked, data from VCF0149 have been incorporated in the following manner: if VCF0149 is coded 1 or 2, this variable is coded 5; if VCF0149 is coded 3 or 4, this variable is coded 2.

1964 NOTE: same as 1960.

1986 NOTE:

same as 1960.

2000 NOTE:

The 2000 summary variable used here appropriately coded into its categories the open-end Other Specify responses corresponding to lower, working, middle or upper class. The 2000 summary variable categories are the same as in VCF0148. 2016 NOTE:

September 10, 2019

In 2016, a half sample of respondents were asked this 4-question version for social class in the pre-election wave and the remaining half sample were asked in the post.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1956: V560224 1958: V580219 1960: V600155 1964: V640231 1966: V660219 1968: V680209 1970: V700356(type 0) 1972: V720400 1974: V742525 1976: V763486 1978: V780603 1980: V800691 1982: V820732 1984: V840685 1986: V860736 1988: V880525 1990: V900668 1992: V924114 1994: V941409 2000: V001005 2004: V043298 2008: V083250, V083250a, V083250b, V083250c 2012: dem_class,dem_whichclass,dem_chclass,dem_avgclass 2016: V161304, V161305a, V161305b, V161306, V162128, V162129, V162130, V162131

VCF0149

Respondent - Think of Self as Member of Social Class

There's been some talk these days about different social classes. Most people say they belong either to the middle class or the working class.

Do you ever think of yourself as belonging in one of these classes? Which one? /

Well, if you had to make a choice, would you call yourself middle class or working class?

- 1. Yes, think of self as member of class and that class is middle class
- 2. No, don't think of self as member of class, but if had to choose middle or working, would choose middle
- 3. Yes, think of self as member of class and that class is working class
- 4. No, don't think of self as member of class, but if had to choose middle or working, would choose working
- 5. Refuses to accept idea of class (except 1964, 1966, 1968)
- 6. Other (in 1964,1966, 1968 also includes 'refuses to accept idea of class.')

9. DK; NA; no Pre IW; short form (1992) INAP. question not used

1958 NOTE: If R did not know whether R thought of self as a member of the working or middle class, then R was not probed with 'If you had to make a choice...'; only Rs who answered 'no' were probed (in all other years, Rs answering DK to the lead-in were also probed with the If you had to make a choice...' question). 2016 NOTE: In 2016, a half sample of respondents were asked these questions in the pre-election wave and the remaining half sample were asked in

the post.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1956: V560224 1958: V580219 1960: V600155 1964: V640231 1966: V660219 1968: V680209 1970: V700356(type 0) 1972: V720402 1974: V742523 1976: V763484 1978: V780601 1980: V800689 1982: V820730 1984: V840683 1986: V860736 1988: V880525 1990: V900665,V900666 1992: V924111.V924112 1994: V941406,V941407 2000: V000999, V001000 2004: V043295,V043296,V043297 2008: V083250, V083250a, V083250b, V083250c 2012: dem_class,dem_whichclass,dem_chclass 2016: V161304,V161305a,V161305b,V161306,V162128,V162129,V162130,V162131

VCF0150

Respondent - Work Status 16-category

We'd like to know if you are working now, temporarily laid off, or are you unemployed, retired, permanently disabled, (a homemaker), (a student), or what? (STUDENT OR HOMEMAKER:) Are you doing any work for pay at the present time? (RETIRED 1980,1982, 1988 AND LATER:) Are you doing any work for pay at the present time? (DISABLED 1982,1988 AND LATER:) Are you doing any work for pay at the present time? (STUDENT OR HOMEMAKER:) About how many hours do you work on your job in the average week? (RETIRED AND ANSWERED WORKING FOR PAY 1980:) In an average week do you work 20 or more hours on that job? (RETIRED OR DISABLED, AND R ANSWERED WORKING FOR PAY 1982,1988 AND LATER:) About how many hours do you work on your job in the average week? (RETIRED OR DISABLED AND R VOLUNTEERED WORKING FOR PAY 1984,1986:) About how many hours do you work on your job in the average week?

- 10. Working now
- 15. Working now and retired--20 or more hrs/wk
- 16. Working now and permanently disabled--20 or more hrs/wk
- 17. Working now and homemaker--20 or more hrs/wk
- 18. Working now and student--20 or more hrs/wk
- 20. Temporarily laid off
- 40. Unemployed
- 50. Retired, no current occupation
- 51. Retired and working now--less than 20 hrs/wk
- 60. Permanently disabled, no current occupation
- 61. Permanently disabled and working--less than 20 hrs/wk
- 70. Homemaker, no other occupation
- 71. Homemaker and working now--less than 20 hrs/wk
- 75. Homemaker and student, no other occupation
- 80. Student, no current occupation

81. Student and working now--less than 20 hrs/wk

99. NA INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0116, VCF0118. In 1980, those who were 'permanently disabled' when the occupation questions began but who subsequently replied that they were also currently working were not administered the 'permanently disabled' series (past employment, if any) but instead were administered the 'working now' set of questions (present employment), regardless of number of hours working [code 10]. Code 60 only represents nonworking disabled (during all years since 1980). 2002 NOTE: Number of hours working was not determined; no 2002 data.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800515 1982: V820610 1984: V840457 1986: V860615 1988: V880429 1990: V900565 1992: V923915 1994: V941216 1996: V960615 1998: V980579 2000: V000919 2004: V043260a 2008: V083222x 2012: dem_empstatus_2digitfin_x 2016: V161275x

VCF0151

Respondent - Occupation Group 5-Category

1952-1964:

What is your occupation. I mean, what kind of work do you do? (IF NOT CLEAR OR OBVIOUS [1958,1960,1964 only]:) What exactly do you do on your job? (IF NOT ASCERTAINED:) What kind of business is that? (IF R IS UNEMPLOYED:) What kind of work do you usually do? (IF R IS RETIRED:) What kind of work did you do before you retired? 1968-1970:

(IF EMPLOYED OR ON STRIKE:) What kind of work do you do? [What exactly do you do on your job?] (IF UNEMPLOYED OR RETIRED:) What kind of work did you do when you were employed? [What exactly did you do on your job?] 1972-1982:

(IF R IS WORKING NOW OR IS TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:) What is your main occupation [What sort of work do you do? Tell me a little more about what you do.] (IF R IS UNEMPLOYED:) What kind of work did you do on your last regular job [What was your occupation?] (IF R IS RETIRED OR DISABLED:) What kind of work did you do when you worked [What was your main occupation?]

1984 AND LATER:

(IF R IS WORKING NOW OR IS TEMPORARILY LAID OFF:) What is your main occupation [What sort of work do you do?] What are your most important activities or duties? (IF R IS RETIRED/UNEMPLOYED /DISABLED:) What kind of work did you do on your last regular job [What was your occupation?] What were your most important activities or duties?

- 1. Professional and managerial
- 2. Clerical and sales workers
- 3. Skilled, semi-skilled and service workers
- 4. Laborers, except farm
- 5. Farmers, farm managers, farm laborers and foremen; forestry and fishermen
- Homemakers (1980-later: no other occupation (any number of hours) and have not worked in past 6/12 mos.; 1972-1978: not working at least 20 hrs/wk.; 1956-1970: no other occupation, full or part time; 1952: no other full-time occupation)

0. NA; member of armed forces; no occupation and not a homemaker; no $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Pre}}$ IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See notes VCF0115. 2008-LATER NOTE: Revised master codes are not comparable to preceding years for collapse into these categories.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520132, V520134 1956: V560120, V560123 1958: V580181, V580184 1960: V600129,V600132 1964: V640202,V640205 1968: V680161,V680176 1970: V700275, V700276, V700288(type 0) 1972: V720306, V720309, V720321 1974: V742443, V742446, V742458 1976: V763409, V763412, V763424 1978: V780531, V780534, V780546 1980: V800454, V800455, V800471, 880486, V800503 1982: V820556, V820557, V820572, V820585, V820599 1984: V840456, V840504, V840516, V840585 1986: V860614, V860655, V860664 1988: V880428, V880458, V880466 1990: V900565, V900595, V900603 1992: V923914,V923922,V923955 1994: V941215, V941223, V941256 1996: V960676.V960615.V960653.V960665 1998: V980619,V980628,V980639 2000: V000919,V000980 2004: V043260a, V043262p, V043268f

VCF0152

Respondent - Religion Full Code 1990-

(IF R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES:) Do you mostly attend a place of worship that is Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or what? IF R DOESN'T ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES:) Regardless of whether you now attend any religious services do you ever think of yourself as part of a particular church or denomination? (IF YES:) Do you consider yourself Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, or what? PROTESTANTS:

(IF BAPTIST:) With which Baptist group is your church associated? Is it the Southern Baptist Convention, the American Baptist Churches in the USA, the American Baptist Association, [1992-LATER: the National Baptist Convention U.S.A.,]an independent Baptist church or some other Baptist group? (IF INDEPENDENT BAPTIST:) Are you affiliated with any larger Baptist group or is this strictly a local church?

(IF LUTHERAN:) Is this church part of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in America, the Missouri Synod, or some other Lutheran group? (IF METHODIST:) Is your church part of the United Methodist Church, African Methodist Episcopal, or some other Methodist group? (IF PRESBYTERIAN:) Is this the Presbyterian Church in the USA or some other Presbyterian group?

(IF REFORMED:) Is this the Christian Reformed Church, the Reformed Church in America, or some other Reformed group?

(IF BRETHREN:) Is this the Church of the Brethren, the Plymouth Brethren, or what?

(IF CHRISTIAN OR JUST CHRISTIAN:) When you say 'Christian' does that mean the denomination called the 'Christian Church (Disciples of Christ),' or some other Christian denomination, or do you mean to say 'I am just a Christian?'

(IF CHURCH OR CHURCHES OF CHRIST:) Is this the Church of Christ or United Church of Christ?

(IF CHURCH OF GOD:) Is this the Church of God of Anderson Indiana, the Church of God of Cleveland Tennessee, the Church of God in Christ, or some other Church of God?

(IF HOLINESS OR PENTECOSTAL:) What kind of church is that? What is it called exactly? Is that part of a larger church or denomination? What is that church called?

(IF OTHER:) What is it called exactly? Is that church part of a denomination? Is that group Christian?

(IF JEWISH:) (IF R ATTENDS JEWISH RELIGIOUS SERVICES:) Do you usually attend a synagogue or temple that is Orthodox, Conservative, Reform or what? (IF R DOES NOT ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES BUT CONSIDERS SELF JEWISH:) Do you consider yourself Orthodox, Conservative, Reform or what?

10-790. Religion as coded 800. Agnostics 801. Atheists 997. Other

998. None; no preference; don't know preference; DK; refused 999. NA; short-form 'new' Cross Section (1992) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See Appendix 'RELIGION 1990 AND LATER (EXC.2002)' Questions about religious affiliation were preceded by the filter question, 'Lots of things come up that keep people from attending Religious services even if they want to. Thinking about your life these days, do you ever attend religious services, apart from occasional weddings, baptisms or funerals?' Respondents who do not think of themselves as affiliated with any church or denomination are not asked these questions. 1992 NOTE 1: This set of questions was not part of the 'short-form' questionnaire; for short-form panel cases 1990 study data were incorporated. 1992 NOTE 2 Codes 127 and 133 were combined into code 127 [no 1992 code 133]; code 102 was moved to become code 306 [no 1992 code 102]; and codes 267 and 261 were added (new). 1994 NOTE 1: For panel cases, 1992 data were incorporated. 1994 NOTE 2: The following codes were added: 111,186,234,262. 1996 NOTE: Code 240 was added. 1998 NOTE: Codes 263,264,524,725,726,727 were added. 2000 NOTE:

Codes 750,795 were added. 2002 NOTE: Religion questions were not comparable.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1990: V900546 1992: V923850 1994: V941122 1996: V960602 1998: V980569 2000: V000904 2004: V043248 2008: V083185x 2012: relig_mastersummary 2016: V161264x

VCF0153a

Mother of Respondent - Occupation 8-category 1970-1976

1972-1976: Other than being a housewife, did your mother (or mother substitute) have a job while you were growing up? 1970-1976: IF YES:) What kind of work did she do?

- 1. Professional, technical and kindred workers
- 2. Managers, officials and proprietors exc. farm
- 3. Clerical and kindred workers, sales workers
- 4. Craftsmen, foremen and kindred workers and (authoritative) protective service workers
- 5. Operative and kindred workers
- 6. Service workers, including private household workers and (exec. authoritative) protective service workers
- 7. Laborers, except farm
- 8. Farm laborers and foremen; farmers; owners, managers, tenant farmers and sharecroppers
- 9. Occupation not reported (NA); members of armed forces; not in labor force; NA if mother had occupation

 Mother wasn't living; not raised by mother; no parallel information for parental surrogate INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1970: V700374(type 0) 1972: V720414,V720415 1974: V742535,V742536 1976: V763496,V763497

VCF0153b

Mother of Respondent - Occupation Group 1978-1982

Other than being a housewife, did your mother (or mother substitute) have a job while you were growing up? (IF YES:) What kind of work did she do?

- 01. Professional, technical and kindred workers
- 02. Managers and administrators, except farm
- 03. Sales workers
- 04. Clerical and kindred workers
- 05. Craftsmen and kindred workers
- 06. Operatives, except transport
- 07. Transport equipment operatives
- 08. Laborers, except farm
- 09. Farmers (owners and tenants), farm managers, farmer -- NA type
- 10. Farm foreman, farm laborer (wage-worker)
- 11. Service workers, except private household and government protective services
- 12. Government protective services: firemen; police; marshals and constables
- 13. Private household workers
- 14. Member of armed forces
- 15. Not in labor force

98. DK

- 99. NA
- 00. Mother wasn't living; not raised by mother; no parallel information
- for parental surrogate INAP. question not used

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780619,V780620 1980: V800706,V800707 1982: V820748,V820749

VCF0153c

Mother of Respondent - Occupation Group 1984-1988

Other than being a housewife, did your mother (or mother substitute) have a job while you were growing up? (IF YES:) What kind of work did she do while you were growing up? (What was her main occupation?) (1986,1988,1992: What were her most important activities or duties? What kind of (business/industry was that?)

- 01. Executive, administrative and managerial
- 02. Professional speciality occupations
- 03. Technicians and related support occupations
- 04. Sales occupation
- 05. Administrative support, including clerical
- 06. Private household
- 07. Protective service
- 08. Service exc. protective and household
- 09. Farming, forestry and fishing occupations
- 10. Precision production, craft and repair occupations
- 11. Machine operators, assemblers and inspectors
- 12. Transportation and material moving occupations
- 13. Handler, equipment cleaners, helpers and laborers
- 14. Member of armed forces
- 15. Not in labor force

98. DK

- 99. NA
- 00. Mother wasn't living; not raised by mother; no parallel information for parental surrogate; short form or Spanish language questionnaire

(1992) INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840695,V840696 1986: V860745,V860746 1988: V880543,V880544 1992: V924127,V924128

VCF0154a

Respondent - Occupation 71-category

What is/was your main occupation? (What sort of work do/did you do?) (1984-1986: IF NOT CLEAR: tell me a little more about what you do/did.) (1986 AND LATER: What are/were your most important activities or duties?)

00. DK; NA; honworking homemaker/student; R has never worked for pay INAP. guestion not used

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'CENSUS OCCUPATION 71 CATEGORIES'. This variable combines employment data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are omitted). The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have their current occupation coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have past occupation coded rather than current occupation. If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here. The original 3-digit U.S. Census Occupation variable has been recoded into 71 subgroups, in order to protect Respondents' anonymity. 2008-LATER NOTE: Revised master codes are not comparable to preceding years. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840515 1986: V860663 1988: V880465 1990: V900602 1992: V923954 1994: V941255 1996: V960675 1998: V980638 2000: V000979 2004: V043262n

VCF0154b

Respondent - Occupation 14-category

What is/was your main occupation? (What sort of work do/did you do?) (1984-1986: IF NOT CLEAR: tell me a little more about what you do/did.) (1986 AND LATER: What are/were your most important activities or duties?)

- 1. Executive, administrative and managerial
- 2. Professional specialty occupations

- 3. Technicians and related support occupations
- 4. Sales occupation
- 5. Administrative support, including clerical
- 6. Private household
- 7. Protective service
- 8. Service except protective and household
- 9. Farming, forestry and fishing occupations
- 10. Precision production, craft and repair occupations
- 11. Machine operators, assemblers and inspectors
- 12. Transportation and material moving occupations
- 13. Handlers, equipment cleaners, helpers and laborers
- 14. Member of armed forces

00. DK; NA; honworking homemaker/student; R has never worked for pay INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

This variable combines employment data for all Rs except nonworking homemakers and students (i.e., their data for employment in the past 6 months are omitted). The disabled and homemakers or students who are also currently working have their current occupation coded here. Retirees who are also currently working have past occupation coded rather than current occupation. If R is disabled and not working but has worked in the past, or if R is unemployed, then past employment is represented here. 2008-LATER NOTE:

Revised master codes are not comparable to preceding years.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840516 1986: V860664 1988: V880466 1990: V900603 1992: V923955 1994: V941256 1996: V960676 1998: V980639 2000: V000980 2004: V043262p

VCF0155

Respondent - Worried about Find/Losing Job

How worried are you about losing your job/finding a job in the near future: a lot, somewhat, or not much at all?

- 1. A lot
- 3. Somewhat
- 5. Not much at all
- 8. DK; refused
- 9. NA
- 0. R is nonworking homemaker or student; R is unemployed/disabled and has never worked for pay, or R is working and retired (1984-1986); R is unemployed/ disabled and has never worked for pay, or R is working and retired/disabled (1988-1994); R is unemployed/disabled and has never worked for pay (1996-1998)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Note that homemakers or students without current outside employment were not asked this question. Other respondents excluded from question administration has varied slightly. 1992 NOTE: A large number of NA cases appear in the data without documentation for reason. 2000 NOTE: If Rs were unemployed, nonworking retired or nonworking disabled, they were not asked this question unless they said they were looking for work. 2000 data are not included. 2012-LATER NOTE: Code categories changed in 2012 and are not comparable.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840525 1986: V860671 1988: V880471 1990: V900608 1992: V923960 1994: V941262 1996: V960682 1998: V980645 2004: V043262j 2008: V083244k,V083233b,V083240

VCF0156

Respondent - Working R Laid off Last 6 Months

Were you out of work or laid off at any time during the last six months?

1. Yes 5. No

8. DK9. NA0. R is not currently working INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Respondents without current employment (including Rs temporarily laid off) were not asked this question.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840474 1986: V860632 1988: V880444 1990: V900581 1992: V923931 1994: V941232 1996: V960673 1998: V980636 2000: V000977 2004: V043285 2008: V083241 2012: dem_offwork 2016: V161298

VCF0157

Respondent - Working R Hours Reduced/Cut in Pay

During the last six months, have you had a reduction in your work hours or had to take a cut in pay at any time for reasons other than illness or personal choice?

1. Yes

5. No

8. DK9. NA0. R is not currently working INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Respondents without current employment (including Rs temporarily laid off) were not asked this question.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840475 1986: V860633 1988: V880445 1990: V900582 1992: V923932 1994: V941233 1996: V960674 1998: V980637 2000: V000978 2004: V043286 2008: V083242 2012: dem_hrsreduce 2016: V161299

VCF0170a

County of IW 1956-1960

County code 1956-1960

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'COUNTY CODE' (part I). Coded 0011-1071 and:

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1956: V560003 1958: V580004 1960: V600004

VCF0170b

County of IW 1964-1976

Sampling PSU-county code 1964-1976

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'COUNTY CODE' (part II). Coded 001-993 and:

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640004 1966: V660003 1968: V680017 1970: V700021(type 0) 1972: V720008 1974: V742009 1976: V763009

VCF0170c

County of IW 1968-1982

ICPSR county code 1968-1982

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'COUNTY CODE'(part III). Coded 01001-73037 and:

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680016 1970: V700020(type 0) 1972: V720007 1974: V742008 1976: V763008 1978: V780013 1980: V800010 1982: V820017

VCF0170d

County of IW 1970,1978-1998

FIPS state-county code 1970,1978-1998

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'COUNTY CODE' (part IV). County code information restricted beginning 2000. The 1st 2 digits of code correspond to FIPS state code. Coded 01033-56013 and:

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640242 1966: V660124 1968: V680230 1970: V700248(type 0) 1972: V720719 1974: V742364 1976: V763833 1978: V780016 1980: V800871 1982: V820146 1984: V840012 1986: V860026 1988: V880011 1990: V900011 1992: V923061 1994: V940021 1996: V960110 1998: V980093

GROUP THERMOMETER INTRO

1964-1968:

There are many groups in America that try to get the government or the American people to see things more their way. We would like to get your feelings towards some of these groups. I have here a card on which there is something that looks like a thermometer. We call it a 'feeling thermometer' because it measures your feelings towards groups. Here's how it works. If you don't know too much about a group or don't feel particularly warm or cold toward them, then you should place them in the middle, at the 50 degree mark. If you have a warm feeling toward a group or feel favorably toward it, you would give it a score somewhere between 50 degrees and 100 degrees, depending on how warm your feeling is toward the group. On the other hand, if you don't feel very favorably toward some of these groups--if there are some you don't care for too much--then you would place them somewhere between 0 degrees and 50 degrees.

1970-1974:

(1970: As you know, there are many groups and persons in America that try to get the government or the American people to see things more their way. Please use the thermometer again--this time to indicate your feelings toward these groups or persons.) (1972,1974: We'd also like to get your feelings about some groups in American society, using the feeling thermometer just as we did for the [1972: candidates; 1974: leaders]. If we come to a group you don't know much about, just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.) 1976:

We'd also like to get your feelings about some groups in American society. When I read the name of a group, we'd like you to rate it with what we call a feeling thermometer. Ratings between 50 degrees-100 degrees mean that you feel favorably and warm toward the group; ratings between 0 and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorably towards the group and that you don't care too much for that group. If you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward a group you would rate them at 50 degrees. If we come to a group you don't know much about, just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

1978-1984:

(1980-1984: And still using the thermometer, how would you rate the following.)

1986-LATER:

([And] still using the thermometer, how would you rate [the following]:)

GENERAL NOTE FOR ALL GROUP THERMOMETERS: Card or respondent booklet shown to R exc. 2000 telephone and 2002 (telephone study). Code distinction between 'don't know' and non-recognition of name

begin in 1978; data are combined in the DK category here. In all Pre-Post study years except 1968 and 1976, group thermometers followed (within the same wave, if not immediately) the series of thermometers for specific political figures, so that R was asked to recall the 'explanation' of the thermometer. In 1968 and 1976 only, group thermometers appeared in a separate wave. 1964 NOTE: 'Don't knows' were coded 50 on thermometers; groups about which the respondent 'didn't know much' were also placed at 50 degrees 1966 NOTE: same as 1964. 1968 NOTE: same as 1964. 1970 NOTE: The order in which group thermometers were asked varied by form. 1970-1976 NOTE: The respondent was not asked to give thermometer ratings for groups about which R 'didn't know much' or 'didn't know too much.' However, it is unclear whether such cases were coded DK or NA. 1996-LATER NOTE: Group thermometers were administered in random order.

VCF0201

Thermometer - Democrats

Democrats -- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK (exc. 1964-1968: see VCF0201 note) 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640242 1966: V660124 1968: V680230 1970: V700248(type 0) 1972: V720719 1974: V742364 1976: V763833 1980: V800871 1982: V820146

VCF0202

Thermometer - Republicans

Republicans -- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK (exc. 1964-1968: see VCF0201 note) 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640247 1966: V660129 1968: V680237 1970: V700250(type 0) 1972: V720721 1974: V742366 1976: V763835 1980: V800873 1982: V820147

VCF0203

Thermometer - Protestants

Protestants -- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK (exc. 1964-1968: see VCF0201 note) 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640249 1966: V660123 1968: V680239 1972: V720715 1976: V763844 2000: V001325 2002: V025070

VCF0204

Thermometer - Catholics

Catholics -- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK (exc. 1964-1968: see VCF0201 note) 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640240 1966: V660121 1968: V680227 1972: V720712 1976: V763826 1984: V840774 1988: V880611 1992: V925321 2000: V001323 2002: V025068 2004: V045058 2008: V085064c 2012: ftgr_catholics

VCF0205

Thermometer - Jews

Jews -- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK (exc. 1964-1968: see VCF0201 note) 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640244 1966: V660126 1968: V680232 1972: V720716 1976: V763842 1988: V880626 1992: V925334 2000: V001324 2002: V025069 2004: V045061 2008: V085064f 2016: V162108

VCF0206

Thermometer - Blacks

Blacks -- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK (exc. 1964-1968: see VCF0201 note)
99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972); breakoff, sufficient partial (2016)
INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640250 1966: V660130 1968: V680240 1970: V700249(type 0) 1972: V720720 1974: V742365 1976: V763832 1980: V800870 1982: V820145 1984: V840763 1986: V860149 1988: V880613 1990: V900155 1992: V925323 1994: V940305 1996: V961029 1998: V980262 2000: V001308 2002: V025055 2004: V045077 2008: V085064y 2012: ftcasi black 2016: V162312

VCF0207

Thermometer - Whites

Whites -- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK (exc. 1964-1968: see VCF0201 note)99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972); breakoff, sufficient partial (2016)INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640245 1966: V660128 1968: V680233 1970: V700247(type 0) 1972: V720718 1974: V742363 1976: V763846 1980: V800884 1982: V820144 1984: V840769 1988: V880625 1992: V925333 1994: V940313 1996: V961030 1998: V980270

2000: V001309 2002: V025056 2004: V045086 2008: V085065c 2012: ftcasi_white 2016: V162314

VCF0208

Thermometer - Southerners

Southerners -- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK (exc. 1964-1968: see VCF0201 note) 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640239 1968: V680226 1972: V720710 1976: V763824 1980: V800862 1992: V925332 2004: V045078 2008: V085064z

VCF0209

Thermometer - Big Business

Big business -- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK (exc. 1964-1968: see VCF0201 note) 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640241 1966: V660119 1968: V680224 1972: V720707 1974: V742356 1976: V763821 1980: V800859

1984: V840773 1988: V880612 1992: V925322 1994: V940314 1996: V961034 2000: V001313 2002: V025060 2004: V045067 2008: V085064n 2012: ftgr_bigbus 2016: V162100

VCF0210

Thermometer - Labor Unions

Labor unions -- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK (exc. 1964-1968: see VCF0201 note) 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640246 1966: V660127 1968: V680235 1972: V720722 1974: V742367 1976: V763836 1980: V800874 1984: V840767 1986: V860151 1988: V880604 1990: V900157 1992: V925316 1994: V940307 1996: V961033 1998: V980266 2000: V001312 2002: V025059 2004: V045064 2008: V085064j 2012: ftgr_unions 2016: V162098

VCF0211

Thermometer - Liberals

Liberals -- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK (exc. 1964-1968: see VCF0201 note) 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640238 1966: V660120 1968: V680225 1970: V700252(type 0) 1972: V720709 1974: V742358 1976: V763823 1980: V800861 1982: V820142 1984: V840770 1986: V860153 1988: V880616 1990: V900161 1992: V925326 1994: V940311 1996: V961032 1998: V980267 2000: V001311 2002: V025058 2004: V045062 2008: V085064g 2012: ftgr_liberals 2016: V162097

VCF0212

Thermometer - Conservatives

Conservatives -- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK (exc. 1964-1968: see VCF0201 note) 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640251 1966: V660131 1968: V680241 1970: V700242(type 0) 1972: V720724 1974: V742369 1976: V763838 1980: V800877 1982: V820143 1984: V840764 1986: V860150 1988: V880609 1990: V900156 1992: V925319

1994: V940306 1996: V961031 1998: V880264 2000: V001310 2002: V025057 2004: V045069 2008: V085064q 2012: ftgr_cons 2016: V162101

VCF0213

Thermometer - Military

Military -- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK (exc. 1964-1968: see VCF0201 note) 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640243 1968: V680231 1970: V700246(type 0) 1972: V720717 1974: V742362 1976: V763831 1980: V800869 1984: V840781 1988: V880618 1992: V925328 1996: V961027 2000: V001306 2002: V025053 2004: V045066 2008: V085064m 2012: ftgr_military

VCF0214

Thermometer - Policemen/Police

Policemen (1992: the police; 2016: police) -- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees 98. DK (exc. 1964-1968: see VCF0201 note) 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1966: V660125 1968: V680228 1970: V700240(type 0) 1972: V720714 1974: V742360 1976: V763828 1992: V925340 2016: V162110

VCF0215

Thermometer - Black Militants

Black militants -- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201. WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1970: V700255(type 0) 1972: V720727 1974: V742372 1976: V763841 1980: V800880 1984: V840775 1986: V860155

VCF0216

Thermometer - Civil Rights Leaders

Civil rights leaders -- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1970: V700239(type 0)

1972: V720729 1974: V742373 1976: V763843 1980: V800875 1984: V840779 1988: V880606

VCF0217

Thermometer - Chicanos/Hispanics

1976: Chicanos --feeling thermometer 1980,1984,1988: Hispanics-- feeling thermometer 1992: Hispanic-Americans-- feeling thermometer 1994: Hispanics or Latinos-- feeling thermometer 1996-later: Hispanics (Hispanic-Americans)-- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK99. NA; no Post IW; breakoff, sufficient partial (2016)INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763825 1980: V800863 1984: V840765 1988: V880617 1992: V925327 1994: V940304 1996: V961037 2000: V001316 2002: V025063 2004: V045056 2008: V085064s 2012: ftcasi_hisp 2016: V162311

VCF0218

Thermometer - Democratic Party

The Democratic Party -- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK 99. NA INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201. 1984 NOTE: This question was asked in both the pre and the post. The pre version

is included here.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780155 1980: V800168 1982: V820138 1984: V840305 1986: V860146 1988: V880164 1990: V900151 1992: V923317 1994: V940301 1996: V960292 1998: V980260 2000: V000369 2004: V043049 2008: V083044a 2012: ft_dem 2016: V161095

VCF0219

Thermometer - Middle Class People

Middle class people -- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720734 1974: V742376 1976: V763848 1980: V800886 1984: V840766 2004: V045063 2008: V085064h 2012: ftgr_middle

VCF0220

Thermometer - People on Welfare

People on welfare -- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763834 1980: V800872 1984: V840777 1986: V860154 1988: V880607 1990: V900159 1992: V925318 1994: V940309 1996: V961036 2000: V001315 2002: V025062 2004: V045068 2008: V085064p 2012: ftgr_welfare

VCF0221

Thermometer - Political Independents

People who call themselves political independents - feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK 99. NA INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201. 1984 NOTE: This question was asked in both the pre and the post. The pre version is included here.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800170 1982: V820140 1984: V840307

VCF0222

Thermometer - Political Parties

Political parties, in general -- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK 99. NA INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201. 1984 NOTE: This question was asked in both the pre and the post. The pre version is included here.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800171 1982: V820141 1984: V840308 1986: V860148 1990: V900153 1994: V940303 1996: V960294 2000: V000372

VCF0223

Thermometer - Poor People

Poor people -- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720708 1974: V742357 1976: V763822 1980: V800860 1984: V840768 1986: V860156 1988: V880610 1990: V900162 1992: V925320 1994: V940312 1996: V961035 1998: V980268 2000: V001314 2002: V025061 2004: V045065 2008: V085064k 2012: ftgr_poor 2016: V162099

VCF0224

Thermometer - Republican Party

The Republican Party -- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK 99. NA INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201. 1984 NOTE: This question was asked in both the pre and the post. The pre version is included here.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780156 1980: V800169 1982: V820139 1984: V840306 1986: V860147 1988: V880165 1990: V900152 1992: V923318 1994: V940302 1996: V960293 1998: V980261 2000: V000370 2004: V043050 2008: V083044b 2012: ft_rep 2016: V161096

VCF0225

Thermometer - Womens Libbers

1970-1976,1980,1984: the women's liberation movement--feeling thermometer 1986,1990,1992,1996: the women's movement--feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201. For 'feminists' (1988 and 1992) see VCF0253 and VCF0254.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1970: V700254(type 0) 1972: V720725 1974: V742370 1976: V763839 1980: V800878 1984: V840782 1986: V860152 1990: V900158 1992: V925324 1994: V940308

1996: V961039 2000: V001318

VCF0226

Thermometer - Young People

Young people -- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720723 1974: V742368 1976: V763837 1980: V800876 2004: V045080

VCF0227

Thermometer - Asian-Americans

Asian-Americans -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK; don't recognize99. NA; no Post IW; breakoff, sufficient partial (2016)INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V925339 2000: V001327 2002: V025072 2004: V045075 2008: V085064v 2012: ftcasi_asian 2016: V162310

VCF0228

Thermometer - Congress

1998: U.S. Congress -- thermometer 1980,1988,1992,2000-later: Congress (1980,1992: that is, the U.S. Senate and the House of Representatives)-- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK; don't recognize99. NA; no Post IWINAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800882 1988: V880628 1992: V925337 1996: V961026 1998: V980273 2000: V001305 2002: V025052 2004: V045076 2008: V085064w 2012: ftgr_congress 2016: V162104

VCF0229

Thermometer - Environmentalists

1980,1988,1990: people seeking to protect the environment --thermometer 1994-later: environmentalists -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK; don't recognize 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800867 1988: V880620 1990: V900160 1992: V925329 1994: V940310 1996: V961041 2000: V001320 2002: V025066 2004: V045072 2008: V085064s

VCF0230

Thermometer - Anti-Abortionists

1984: Anti-abortionists -- thermometer 1988,1990: Opponents of abortion -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK; don't recognize 99. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. Post IW (1984) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840780 1988: V880624 1990: V900163

VCF0231

Thermometer - Federal Government

The federal government in Washington -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK; don't recognize 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800868 1988: V880615 1992: V925325 1996: V961028 2000: V001307 2002: V025054 2004: V045060 2008: V085064e 2012: ftgr_fedgov

VCF0232

Thermometer - Gays and Lesbians

Gay men and lesbians (that is), homosexuals -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK; don't recognize99. NA; no Post IWINAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840778 1988: V880627 1992: V925335 1994: V940318 1996: V961042 1998: V980265 2000: V001321 2002: V025067 2004: V045074 2008: V085064u 2012: ftgr_gay 2016: V162103

VCF0233

Thermometer - Illegal Aliens

Illegal aliens (2004-later: illegal immigrants) -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK; don't recognize99. NA; no Post IW; breakoff, sufficient partial (2016)INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1988: V880622 1992: V925331 1994: V940317 2004: V045081 2008: V085065a 2012: ftcasi_illegal 2016: V162313

VCF0234

Thermometer - Christian Fundamentalists

Christian fundamentalists -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK; don't recognize 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1988: V880629 1992: V925338 1994: V940315 1996: V961038 2000: V001317 2002: V025064 2004: V045057 2008: V085064b 2012: ftgr_xfund 2016: V162095

VCF0235

Thermometer - Radical Students

Radical students -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK; don't recognize 99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1970: V700253(type 0) 1972: V720713 1974: V742359 1976: V763827 1980: V800864

VCF0236

Thermometer - Farmers

Farmers -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK; don't recognize 99. NA; no Post IW

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720732 1974: V742375 1980: V800883

VCF0253

Thermometer - Feminists

Feminists -- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201. For 'women's movement' see VCF0225.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1988: V880605 1992: V925317 2000: V001326 2002: V025071 2004: V045059 2008: V085064d 2012: ftgr_feminists 2016: V162096

VCF0290

Thermometer Average - Major Parties

Thermometers --both major parties

0-97 Degrees.

998. DK in VCF0218 or VCF0224 999. NA INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Built from VCF0218 and VCF0224 as: (VCF0218+VCF0224)/2. Fractional results are rounded to the next highest integer. Cases DK in either thermometer are coded DK; cases which are NA in one thermometer are coded NA unless the other thermometer is DK (in which case this var is coded DK).

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0291

Thermometer Index - Major Parties

Major party thermometer index

2. Most Republican

50. Neutral

99. Most Democratic

998. DK in VCF0218 or VCF0224 999. NA INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0218 and VCF0224 as: (VCF0218-VCF0224 +100)/2. Fractional results are rounded to the next highest integer. Cases DK in either thermometer are coded DK; cases which are NA in one thermometer are coded NA unless the other thermometer is DK (in which cases this var is coded DK).

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0301

Party Identification of Respondent- 7-point Scale

Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent, or what? (IF REPUBLICAN OR DEMOCRAT) Would you call yourself a strong (REP/DEM) or a not very strong (REP/DEM)? (IF INDEPENDENT, OTHER [1966 AND LATER: OR NO PREFERENCE; 2008: OR DK) Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican or Democratic party?

1. Strong Democrat

- 2. Weak Democrat
- 3. Independent Democrat
- 4. Independent Independent
- 5. Independent Republican
- 6. Weak Republican
- 7. Strong Republican
- 0. NA/RF initial party identification; Democrat or Republican initial party identification but DK/NA/RF strength; initial party identification independent/no preference/other/DK and followup is DK/NA/RF/other; no Pre IW

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

This variable has been revised in accordance with the ANES memo on construction of the Party Identification Summary (available from the ANES Web site). For all years, this variable has been reconstructed in the following

consistent manner:

Code 1: initial mention Democrat; followup: strong. Code 2: initial mention Democrat; followup: not strong. Code 3: initial preference independent, no preference, other, DK ; followup: Democrat Code 4: initial preference independent, no preference, other, DK ; followup: neither Code 5: initial preference independent, no preference, other, DK ; followup: Republican Code 6: initial mention Republican; followup: not strong. Code 7: initial mention Republican; followup: strong 2008 NOTE: The order of the parties in the initial question was randomized. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520237 1956: V560088 1958: V580062 1960: V600091 1962: V620035 1964: V640146 1966: V660082 1968: V680120 1970: V700184(type 0) 1972: V720140 1974: V742204 1976: V763174 1978: V780433 1980: V800266 1982: V820291 1984: V840318 1986: V860300 1988: V880274 1990: V900320 1992: V923634 1994: V940655 1996: V960420 1998: V980339 2000: V000523 2002: V023038x 2004: V043116 2008: V083098x 2012: pid_x 2016: V161158x

VCF0302

Party Identification of Respondent- Initial Party ID Response

Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent, or what?

- 1. Republican
- 2. Independent
- 3. No preference; none; neither
- 4. Other
- 5. Democrat

B. DK
 NA; refused
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

This variable corresponds to R's initial response, before follow-up questions determine strength or leaning. 1952-66, 1970 study data were coded as a summary already including

information from strength and closeness, and are not included.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680119 1972: V720141 1974: V742201 1976: V763168 1978: V780426 1980: V800225 1982: V820287 1984: V840315 1986: V860297 1988: V880271 1990: V900317 1992: V923631 1994: V940652 1996: V960417 1998: V980336 2000: V000519 2002: V023036 2004: V043114 2008: V083097 2012: pid_self 2016: V161155,V161155a

VCF0303

Party Identification of Respondent- Summary 3-Category

Party ID - 3 categories

- 1. Democrats (including leaners)
- 2. Independents
- 3. Republicans (including leaners)

0. DK; NA; other; refused to answer; no Pre IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from VCF0301, 1-3=1, 4=2, 5-7=3

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520237 1956: V560088 1958: V580062 1960: V600091 1962: V620035 1964: V640146 1966: V660082 1968: V680120 1970: V700184(type 0) 1972: V720140 1974: V742204 1976: V763174 1978: V780433 1980: V800266 1982: V820291 1984: V840318 1986: V860300 1988: V880274 1990: V900320 1992: V923634

1994: V940655 1996: V960420 1998: V980339 2000: V000523 2002: V023038x 2004: V043116 2008: V083098x 2012: pid_x

VCF0305

Party Identification of Respondent- Strength of Partisanship

Party ID - strength of partisanship

- 1. Independent
- 2. Leaning Independent
- 3. Weak Partisan
- 4. Strong Partisan

0. DK; NA; other; refused to answer; no Pre IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from VCF0301, 1=4, 2=3, 3=2, 4=1, 5=2, 6=3, 7=4

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520237 1956: V560088 1958: V580062 1960: V600091 1962: V620035 1964: V640146 1966: V660082 1968: V680120 1970: V700184(type 0) 1972: V720140 1974: V742204 1976: V763174 1978: V780433 1980: V800266 1982: V820291 1984: V840318 1986: V860300 1988: V880274 1990: V900320 1992: V923634 1994: V940655 1996: V960420 1998: V980339 2000: V000523 2002: V023038x 2004: V043116 2008: V083098x

VCF0306

Party Identification of Respondent's Father

(1970,1988,1990: When you were growing up) Did he/your father (1988,1992: or stepfather) think of himself mostly as a Democrat, as a Republican, or what?

- 1. Democrat
- 2. Independent (some years also: shifted around)
- 3. Republican
- Other; minor party; apolitical; never voted, didn't get into politics; parents refused to say; DK (1988 only); father not a U.S. citizen

0. NA; no Pre IW; no Post IW; R had no father/father substitute; DK/NA father's interest in politics (1972 only) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Prior to 1972, code 2 was a volunteered code only. 1972 NOTE: If R's response to the question corresponding to VCF0308 (father's interest in politics) was DK or NA, then this question was not asked. 1988 NOTE: DK was combined with 'other' codes (code 4).

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520068 1958: V580069 1964: V640151 1968: V680124 1970: V700192(type 0) 1972: V720153 1976: V763202 1978: V780439 1980: V800355 1988: V881115 1992: V926243

VCF0307

Party Identification of Respondent's Mother

(1970,1988,1990: When you were growing up) Did she/your mother (1988,1992: or stepmother) think of himself mostly as a Democrat, as a Republican, or what?

- 1. Democrat
- 2. Independent
- 3. Republican
- Other; minor party; apolitical; never voted, didn't get into politics; parents refused to say; DK (1988 only); mother not a U.S. citizen; no women's suffrage (prior to 1972 only)
- 9. DK (exc. 1988)
- NA; no Pre IW; no Post IW; R had no mother/mother substitute; DK/NA mother's interest in politics (1972 only)
 INAP. guestion not used

GENERAL NOTE: Prior to 1972, code 2 was a volunteered code only. 1972 NOTE: If R's response to the question corresponding to VCF0309 (mother's interest in politics) was DK or NA, then this question was not asked. 1988 ONLY: DK was combined with 'other' codes (code 4).

^{9.} DK (exc. 1988)

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520069 1958: V580071 1964: V640153 1968: V680126 1970: V700193(type 0) 1972: V720155 1976: V763204 1978: V780440 1980: V800356 1988: V881116 1992: V926244

VCF0308

Political Interest of Respondent's Father

Do you remember when you were growing up whether your father was very much interested in politics, somewhat interested, or didn't he pay much attention to it?

- 1. Didn't pay much attention
- 2. Somewhat interested
- 3. Very much interested

9. DK 0. NA; R had no father/father substitute INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1958: V580068 1964: V640150 1968: V680123 1972: V720152 1976: V763201

VCF0309

Political Interest of Respondent's Mother

Now how about your mother? When you were growing up was she very much interested in politics, somewhat interested, or didn't she pay much attention to it?

- 1. Didn't pay much attention
- 2. Somewhat interested
- 3. Very much interested

9. DK 0. NA; R had no mother/mother substitute INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1958: V580070

VCF0310

Interest in the Elections

Some people don't pay much attention to political campaigns. How about you, would you say that you have been/were very much interested, somewhat interested, or not much interested in (1952-1998: following) the political campaigns (so far) this year?

- 1. Not much interested
- 2. Somewhat interested
- 3. Very much interested
- 9. DK

0. NA; no Pre IW; split versions: not asked (2008) INAP. question not used

1988 NOTE: This question was present in both the pre and post. The pre version is represented here. 1992 NOTE: same as 1988 2002 NOTE: same as 1988 2004 NOTE: same as 1988 2008 NOTE: This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents (verion 'OLD'); the remaining respondents were administered an alternative version of the question (version 'NEW'). A half-sample of respondents were administered this question with response options in reverse order. This question was present in both the pre and post. The pre version is represented here.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520044 1956: V560097 1958: V580075 1960: V600098 1962: V620039 1964: V640157 1966: V660087 1968: V680130 1970: V700201(type 0) 1972: V720163 1976: V763031 1978: V780043 1980: V800053 1982: V820058 1984: V840075 1986: V860059 1988: V880097 1990: V900062 1992: V923101 1994: V940124 1996: V960201 1998: V980201 2000: V000301 2002: V023001

2004: V043001 2008: V083001a 2012: interest_following 2016: V161004

VCF0311

Does Respondent Care Which Party Wins Presidential Election

Generally speaking, would you say that you personally care a good deal which party (1992 and later: who) wins the presidential election this fall, or that you don't care very much which party (1992 and later: who) wins?

- 1. Don't care very much or DK, pro-con, depends, and other
- 2. Care a good deal

0. NA; no Pre IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

The 1992 change in wording from 'which party wins' to 'who wins' produced a significant change in distributions. 2016: The 2016 version of this question was not comparable.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520041 1956: V560014 1960: V600019 1964: V640020 1968: V680027 1972: V720029 1976: V763030 1980: V800061 1984: V840080 1988: V880102 1992: V923106 1996: V960202 2000: V000302 2004: V043092 2008: V083072 2012: preswin_care

VCF0312

Respondent Care(d) Which Party Wins Congressional Election

POST ADMINISTRATION:

As you know, representatives to Congress in Washington are being chosen in this election from congressional districts all around the country. How much would you say that you personally cared about the way the elections (1996 AND LATER: election) to the U.S. House of Representatives (1966,1970,1974: to Congress) came out: Did you care very much, pretty much, not very much or not at all? **PRE ADMINISTRATION:** As you know, representatives to Congress in Washington are being chosen in this election from congressional districts all around the country. How much would you say that you personally care about the way the elections (1996 AND LATER: election) to the U.S. House of

Representatives (1970,1974: to Congress) come(s) out: Do you care very much, pretty much, not very much or not at all?

1. Not very much, not at all, DK, pro-con, depends, other 2. Very much, pretty much

0. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

2002 NOTE: This question was asked in both the Pre and Post; the Pre is represented here. 2008 NOTE: A half-sample of respondents were administered this question with with response options in reverse order.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1966: V660063 1970: V700164(type 0) 1974: V742026 1978: V780114 1980: V800822 1982: V820097 1986: V860102 1990: V900106 1992: V925108 1994: V940209 1996: V960256 1998: V980222 2000: V000342 2002: V023007 2008: V083034

VCF0313

Interest in Public Affairs

1964 AND LATER:

Some people seem to follow (1964: think about) what's going on in government and public affairs most of the time, whether there's an election going on or not. Others aren't that interested. Would you say you follow what's going on in government and public affairs most of the time, some of the time, only now and then, or hardly at all? 1960. 1962:

We'd also like to know how much attention you pay to what's going on in politics generally. I mean from day to day, when there isn't any big election campaign going on, would you say you follow politics very closely, fairly closely, or not much at all?

1. Hardly at all (1960,1962: not much at all)

- 2. Only now and then
- 3. Some of the time (1960,1962: fairly closely)
- 4. Most of the time (1960,1962: very closely)

9. DK

0. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984); split versions: not asked (2008) INAP. question not used

September 10, 2019

1960 NOTE: Only three response categories were available, corresponding to codes 1, 3, and 4. 1962 NOTE: same as 1960. 1962 NOTE 2: The DK category was not present 2008 NOTE: This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents (verion 'OLD'); the remaining respondents were administered an alternative version of the question (version 'NEW'). A half-sample of respondents were administered this question with response options in reverse order. This question was present in both the pre and post. The pre version is represented here. 2016 NOTE: The 2016 version of this question was not comparable.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1960: V600225 1962: V620040 1964: V640324 1966: V660064 1968: V680430 1972: V720476 1974: V742027 1976: V763599 1978: V780310 1980: V800974 1982: V820294 1984: V840988 1986: V860301 1988: V880812 1990: V900321 1992: V925721 1994: V940701 1996: V961134 1998: V980340 2000: V001367 2002: V025084 2004: V045095 2008: V085072

VCF0314

Democratic Party: Respondent Likes - Number of Mentions

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]

What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?

- 0. Zero positive mentions (likes)
- 1. One positive mention (likes)
- 2. Two positive mentions (likes)
- 3. Three positive mentions (likes)
- 4. Four positive mentions (likes)
- 5. Five positive mentions (likes)

 No Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996)
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Five possible mentions were coded in all original ANES datasets.

MD category 9 comprises cases of systematic question exclusion, i.e., when a question was not present within a form or wave. Rs who responded 'no' to 'Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?' have been coded here as 0 mentions; Rs coded DK or NA in response have also been coded here as 0 mentions. In addition, Rs who responded 'yes' BUT who provided no mentions (DK or NA in first mention) have been coded 0. 1996 NOTE: The order in which the set of likes/dislikes guestions was administered for the 2 major parties was randomized ('likes' always preceding dislikes). 2000 NOTE: same as 1996 2004 NOTE: same as 1996 2008 NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. The order in which the set of likes/dislikes questions was administered for the 2 major parties was randomized ('likes' always preceding dislikes). 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:

1952: V520018 1956: V560015 1958: V580015 1960: V600020 1964: V640021 1968: V680028 1972: V720031 1976: V763088, V763089, V763090, V763091, V763092 1978: V780091, V780092, V780093, V780094, V780095 1980: V800173, V800174, V800175, V800176, V800177 1982: V820074, V820075, V820076, V820077, V820078 1984: V840267, V840268, V840269, V840270, V840271 1986: V860073, V860074, V860075, V860076, V860077 1988: V880183, V880184, V880185, V880186, V880187 1990: V900074, V900075, V900076, V900077, V900078, V900079 1992: V923402,V923403,V923404,V923405,V923406 1994: V940132,V940133,V940134,V940135,V940136 1996: V960326, V960327, V960328, V960329, V960330 2000: V000374, V000375, V000376, V000377, V000378 2004: V043053

VCF0315

Democratic Party: Respondent Dislikes - Number of Mentions

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?

- 0. Zero negative mentions (dislikes)
- 1. One negative mention (dislikes)
- 2. Two negative mentions (dislikes)
- 3. Three negative mentions (dislikes)
- 4. Four negative mentions (dislikes)
- 5. Five negative mentions (dislikes)

 No Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996)
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Five possible mentions were coded in all original ANES datasets. MD category 9 comprises cases of systematic question exclusion, i.e., when a question was not present within a form (1972, 1990, 1996) or within a wave (1952, 1960). Rs who responded 'no' to 'Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?' have been coded here as 0 mentions; Rs coded DK or NA in response to the same question have also been coded here as 0 mentions. In addition, Rs who responded 'yes' BUT who provided no mentions (DK or NA in first mention) have been coded 0. 1996 NOTE: The order in which the set of likes/dislikes questions was administered for the 2 major parties was randomized ('likes' always preceding dislikes). 2000 NOTE: same as 1996 2004 NOTE: same as 1996 2008 NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520019

1956: V560016 1958: V580016 1960: V600021 1964: V640022 1968: V680029 1972: V720033 1976: V763094, V763095, V763096, V763097, V763098 1978: V780097, V780098, V780099, V780100, V780101 1980: V800179, V800180, V800181, V800182, V800183 1982: V820081,V820082,V820083,V820084 1984: V840273, V840274, V840275, V840276, V840277 1986: V860079, V860080, V860081, V860082, V860083 1988: V880189,V880190,V880191,V880192,V880193 1990: V900081,V900082,V900083,V900084,V900085 1992: V923408, V923409, V923410, V923411, V923412 1994: V940138,V940139,V940140,V940141,V940142 1996: V960332, V960333, V960334, V960335, V960336 2000: V000380, V000381, V000382, V000383, V000384 2004: V043055

VCF0316

Democratic Party: Affect (Likes-Dislikes)

Affect toward Democratic Party

99. No Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996)INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: This is the number of Democratic party 'likes' minus the number of Democratic party 'dislikes' (VCF0314-VCF0315).

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0317

Democratic Party: Salience (Likes-Dislikes)

Salience of Democratic Party

0. Zero mentions

10. Ten mentions

 No Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996)
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: This is the number of Democratic party 'likes' plus the number of Democratic party dislikes (VCF0314+VCF0315)

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0318

Republican Party: Respondent Likes - Number of Mentions

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?

- 0. Zero positive mentions (likes)
- 1. One positive mention (likes)
- 2. Two positive mentions (likes)
- 3. Three positive mentions (likes)
- 4. Four positive mentions (likes)
- 5. Five positive mentions (likes)

 No Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996)
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Five possible mentions were coded in all original ANES datasets. MD category 9 comprises cases of systematic question exclusion, *i.e.*, when a question was not present within a form (1972, 1990, 1996) or within a wave (1952, 1960). Rs who responded 'no' to 'ls there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?' have been coded here as 0 mentions; Rs coded DK or NA in response to the same question have also been coded here as 0 mentions. In addition, Rs who responded 'yes' BUT who provided no mentions (DK or NA in first mention) have been coded 0 here. 1996 NOTE:

The order in which the set of likes/dislikes questions was administered

for the 2 major parties was randomized ('likes' always preceding dislikes). 2000 NOTE: same as 1996 2008 NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520020 1956: V560017 1958: V580017 1960: V600022 1964: V640023 1968: V680030 1972: V720035 1976: V763100, V763101, V763102, V763103, V763104 1978: V780103, V780104, V780105, V780106, V780107 1980: V800185, V800186, V800186, V800187, V800188, V800189 1982: V820086,V820087,V820088,V820089,V820090 1984: V840279, V840280, V840281, V840282, V840283 1986: V860085, V860086, V860087, V860088, V860089 1988: V880195, V880196, V880197, V880198, V880199 1990: V900086, V900087, V900088, V900089, V900090, V900091 1992: V923414, V923415, V923416, V923417, V923418 1994: V940144, V940145, V940146, V940147, V940148 1996: V960314, V960315, V960316, V960317, V960318 2000: V000386,V000387,V000388,V000389,V000390 2004: V043057

VCF0319

Republican Party: Respondent Dislikes - Number of Mentions

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?

- 0. Zero negative mentions (dislikes)
- 1. One negative mention (dislikes)
- 2. Two negative mentions (dislikes)
- 3. Three negative mentions (dislikes)
- 4. Four negative mentions (dislikes)
- 5. Five negative mentions (dislikes)

 No Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996)
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Five possible mentions were coded in all original ANES datasets. MD category 9 comprises cases of systematic question exclusion, i.e., when a question was not present within a form (1972,1990,1996) or within a wave (1952,1960). Rs who responded 'no' to 'Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party?' have been coded here as 0 mentions; Rs coded DK or NA in response to the same question have also been coded here as 0

mentions. In addition, Rs who responded 'yes' BUT who provided no mentions (DK or NA in first mention) have been coded 0. 1996 NOTE: The order in which the set of likes/dislikes questions was administered for the 2 major parties was randomized ('likes' always preceding dislikes 2000 NOTE. same as 1996 2004 NOTE: same as 1996 2008 NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520021 1956: V560018 1958: V580018 1960: V600023 1964: V640024 1968: V680031 1972: V720037 1976: V763106, V763107, V763108, V763109, V763110 1978: V780109, V780110, V780111, V780112, V780113 1980: V800191,V800192,V800193,V800194,V800195 1982: V820092, V820093, V820094, V820095, V820096, V820096 1984: V840285, V840286, V840287, V840288, V840289 1986: V860091, V860092, V860093, V860094, V860095 1988: V880201,V880202,V880203,V880204,V880205 1990: V900092, V900093, V900094, V900095, V900096, V900097 1992: V923420,V923421,V923422,V923423,V923424 1994: V940150, V940151, V940152, V940153, V940154 1996: V960320, V960321, V960322, V960323, V960324 2000: V000392, V000393, V000394, V000395, V000396 2004: V043059

VCF0320

Republican Party: Affect (Likes-Dislikes)

Affect toward Republican Party

 No Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996)
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: This is the number of Republican party 'likes' minus the number of Republican party 'dislikes' (VCF0318-VCF0319).

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0321

Republican Party: Salience (Likes-Dislikes)

Salience of Republican Party

0. Zero mentions
.
10. Ten mentions
99. No Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996)
INAP. question not used
GENERAL NOTE: This is the number of Republican party 'likes' plus the number of

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

Republican party 'dislikes' (VCF0318+VCF0319)

VCF0322

Major Parties: Affect (Likes-Dislikes)

Net affect toward major parties

 999. No Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996)
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: The net affect toward parties is the difference between two sums: the sum of Democratic party 'likes' and Republican party 'dislikes' MINUS the sum of Democratic party 'dislikes' and Republican party 'likes:' [VCF0314+VCF0319] - [VCF0315+VCF0318] which is the same as: VCF0316 - VCF0320

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0323

Major Parties: Salience (Likes-Dislikes)

Major party salience

- 0. Zero mentions
- . 20. Twenty mentions
- No Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half-sample (1996)
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Party salience is the sum of all 'likes' and 'dislikes' about both the Democratic and Republican parties: [VCF0314 + VCF0315 + VCF0318 + VCF0319] which is the same as: VCF0317 + VCF0321

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0324

Major Parties: Relative Salience (Likes-Dislikes)

Relative salience of major parties

999. No Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half-sample (1996) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Relative salience of parties is the sum of 'likes' and 'dislikes' about the Democratic party MINUS the sum of 'likes' and 'dislikes' about the Republican party: [VCF0314+VCF0315] - [VCF0318+VCF0319] which is the same as: VCF0317 - VCF0321

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840323 1986: V860550 1988: V881014 1992: V923635 1996: V960421 2000: V001642a 2002: V025082 2004: V043121 2008: V083178e

POLITICAL FIGURE TRAITS - GENERAL TEXT

I am going to read a list of words and phrases people may use to describe political figures... Think about [NAME]. The first phrase is [TRAIT] In your opinion, does the phrase [TRAIT] describe [NAME] extremely well, quite well, not too well or not well at all? (What about) [NEXT TRAIT]?

1984 NOTE: Presidential trait vars appeared in both the pre and post; the pre is represented here. 1988 NOTE: Form A respondents were asked in the Pre, and Form B respondents were asked in the Post. 1996 NOTE: Traits were administered in random order; order of Presidential candidates (including the President) was also in random order. 1998 NOTE: Order of traits was randomized. 2000 NOTE: Respondents were randomly selected to be administered Clinton traits in either the pre or the post. The order of traits was randomized. 2002 NOTE: Respondents were randomly selected to be administered one of two sets of traits. In the first set traits included VCF342 and VCF343; in the second set, VCF338, VCF344, and VCF345. Each set of traits was randomly assigned to one of two possible orders. 2004 NOTE:

same as 1996. 2008 NOTE: 2008 NOTE: Traits were administered in 2 versions; version "J" corresponds to the version here; the remaining respondents were administered alternative version "K". Traits were administered in random order; order of Presidential candidates (including the President) was also in random order. A half-sample of respondents were administered the traits questions with response options in reverse order. 2012 NOTE: Not coded comparably in 2012.

VCF0338

President- Intelligent

President trait- Intelligent

- 1. Extremely well
- 2. Quite well
- 3. Not too well
- 4. Not well at all

8. DK 9. NA 0. Form B (1986) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: A list of presidents since 1980 includes: Carter 1980; Reagan 1982, 1984, 1986, 1988; George Bush Sr. 1992; Clinton 1994, 1996, 1998,2000; George W. Bush 2002,2004,2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840323 1986: V860550 1988: V881014 1992: V923635 1996: V960421 2000: V001642a 2002: V025082 2004: V043121 2008: V083178e

VCF0339

President- Compassionate

President trait - compassionate

- 1. Extremely well
- 2. Quite well
- 3. Not too well
- 4. Not well at all

8. DK

- 9. NA
- 0. Form B (1986) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of presidents since 1980 includes: Carter 1980; Reagan 1982, 1984, 1986, 1988; George Bush Sr. 1992; Clinton 1994, 1996, 1998,2000; George W. Bush 2002,2004,2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840321 1986: V860551 1988: V881015 1992: V923636 1996: V960422

VCF0340

President- Decent

President trait - decent

- 1. Extremely well
- 2. Quite well
- 3. Not too well
- 4. Not well at all

8. DK

9. NA 0. Form B (1986) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of presidents since 1980 includes: Carter 1980; Reagan 1982, 1984, 1986, 1988; George Bush Sr. 1992; Clinton 1994, 1996, 1998,2000; George W. Bush 2002,2004,2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840320 1986: V860555 1988: V881019

VCF0341

President-Inspiring

President trait- inspiring

- 1. Extremely well
- 2. Quite well
- 3. Not too well
- 4. Not well at all
- 8. DK
- 9. NA

0. Form B (1986) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of presidents since 1980 includes: Carter 1980; Reagan 1982, 1984, 1986, 1988; George Bush Sr. 1992; Clinton 1994, 1996, 1998, 2000; George W. Bush 2002, 2004, 2008. WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800397 1982: V820382 1984: V840326 1986: V860553 1988: V881017 1992: V923638 1996: V960425

VCF0342

President- Knowledgeable

President trait - knowledgeable

- 1. Extremely well
- 2. Quite well
- 3. Not too well
- 4. Not well at all

8. DK

- 9. NA
- 0. Form B (1986); R selected for Post administration and no Post IW (2000); R not selected for this trait (2002); no Post IW; split versions: not asked (2008)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of presidents since 1980 includes: Carter 1980; Reagan 1982, 1984, 1986, 1988; George Bush Sr. 1992; Clinton 1994, 1996, 1998,2000; George W. Bush 2002,2004,2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800395 1982: V820380 1984: V840327 1986: V860557 1988: V881021 1992: V923641 1994: V940923 1996: V960428 1998: V980432 2000: V001639a 2002: V025078 2004: V043120 2008: V083178d

VCF0343

President- Moral

President trait - moral

- 1. Extremely well
- 2. Quite well
- 3. Not too well
- 4. Not well at all

8. DK

- 9. NA
- 0. Form B (1986); R selected for Post administration and no Post IW (2000); R not selected for this trait (2002); no Post IW; split versions: not asked (2008) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of presidents since 1980 includes: Carter 1980; Reagan 1982, 1984, 1986, 1988; George Bush Sr. 1992; Clinton 1994, 1996, 1998, 2000; George W. Bush 2002, 2004, 2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800392 1982: V820377 1984: V840324 1986: V860552 1988: V881016 1992: V923637 1994: V940920 1996: V960423 1998: V980430 2000: V001637a 2002: V025076 2004: V043117 2008: V083178a

VCF0344

President-Leadership

President trait - provides strong leadership

- 1. Extremely well
- 2. Quite well
- 3. Not too well
- 4. Not well at all

8. DK

- 9. NA
- 0. Form B (1986); R selected for Post administration and no Post IW (2000); R not selected for this trait (2002); no Post IW; split versions: not asked (2008) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338.

Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of presidents since 1980 includes: Carter 1980; Reagan 1982, 1984, 1986, 1988; George Bush Sr. 1992; Clinton 1994, 1996, 1998,2000; George W. Bush 2002,2004,2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800399 1982: V820384 1984: V840330 1986: V860554 1988: V881018 1992: V923639 1994: V940921 1996: V960426 1998: V980434 2000: V001640a 2002: V025079 2004: V043118 2008: V083178b

VCF0345

President-Cares

President trait - really cares about people like you

- 1. Extremely well
- 2. Quite well
- 3. Not too well
- 4. Not well at all

8. DK

- 9. NA
- Form B (1986); R selected for Post administration and no Post IW (2000); R not selected for this trait (2002); no Post IW; split versions: not asked (2008)
 INAP. guestion not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of presidents since 1980 includes: Carter 1980; Reagan 1982, 1984, 1986, 1988; George Bush Sr. 1992; Clinton 1994, 1996, 1998,2000; George W. Bush 2002,2004,2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840329 1986: V860556 1988: V881020 1992: V923640 1994: V940922 1996: V960427 1998: V980431 2000: V001638a 2002: V025080 2004: V043119 2008: V083178c

POLITICAL FIGURE AFFECTS - GENERAL TEXT

YEARS PRIOR TO 2016:

Now we would like to know something about the feelings you have toward [NAME]. Has [NAME] -- because of the kind of person he is, or because of something he has done -- made you feel [AFFECT]?

2016:

Has [NONRUNNING PRESIDENT] -- because of the kind of person he is or because of something he has done, ever made you feel: [AFFECT]. How often would you say you've felt [AFFECT] /

Now we would like to know something about the feelings you have toward the candidates for President. I am going to name a candidate, and I want you to tell me whether something about that person, or something he or she has done, has made you have certain feelings like anger or pride.

Think about [PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE].

How often would you say you've felt [AFFECT] because of the kind of person [PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE] is or because of something HE/SHE has done?

_____ 2016 NOTE: Single guestion. 1980 NOTE: "Don't know" was combined with "no" (code 2). 1982 NOTE: same as 1980. 1984 NOTE 1: Reagan affect variables appeared in both the pre and post: the pre is represented. 1984 NOTE 2: "Don't know" was combined with NA (code 9). 1988 NOTE: Form A respondents were asked affects in the Pre, and Form B respondents were asked in the Post. 1996 NOTE: Affects were administered in random order; order of Presidential candidates (including the President) was also in random order. 1998 Affects were administered in random order. 2000 NOTE 1: Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered affects in either the pre or the post. 2000 NOTE 2: The order of affects was randomized. 2004 NOTE: same as 1996. 2008 NOTE: same as 1996.

VCF0346

President- Angry

President affect: angry

- 1. Yes, have felt
- 2. No, haven't felt (1980,1982 only: DK)
- 8. DK (exc. 1980-1984)
- 9. NA (1984 only: DK)
- 0. Form B (1986); no Post IW; R selected for Post administration and no Post IW (2000)
- INAP. question not used

2016 NOTE: For 2016, data were collapsed from the answers to, "How often has.." (no separate answers to a lead-in "Have you ever..."). GENERAL NOTE: Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of presidents since 1980 includes: Carter 1980; Reagan 1982, 1984, 1986, 1988; George Bush Sr. 1992; Clinton 1994, 1996, 1998,2000; George W. Bush 2002,2004,2008. Barack Obama: 2012,2016

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800127 1982: V820386 1984: V840212 1986: V860542 1988: V881023 1992: V923501 1994: V940835 1996: V960341 1998: V980389 2000: V001629a 2004: V043069 2008: V083174 2012: candaff_angdpc 2016: V161236

VCF0347

President- Afraid

President affect: afraid (of him)

- 1. Yes, have felt
- 2. No, haven't felt (1980,1982 only: DK)
- 8. DK (exc. 1980-1984)
- 9. NA (1984 only: DK)

0. Form B (1986); no Post IW; R selected for Post administration and no Post IW (2000)

INAP. question not used

2016 NOTE: For 2016, data were collapsed from the answers to, "How often has.." (no separate answers to a lead-in "Have you ever..."). GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for affects preceding VCF0346. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of presidents since 1980 includes: Carter 1980; Reagan 1982,1984,1986, 1988; George Bush Sr. 1992; Clinton 1994,1996,1998,2000; George W. Bush 2002,2004,2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800129 1982: V820388 1984: V840214 1986: V860544 1988: V881025 1992: V923503 1994: V940837 1996: V960345

1998: V980393 2000: V001633a 2004: V043073 2008: V083176 2012: candaff_afrdpc

VCF0348

President- Hopeful

President affect: hopeful

1. Yes, have felt 2. No, haven't felt (1980,1982 only: DK)

 DK (exc. 1980-1984)
 NA (1984 only: DK)
 Form B (1986); no Post IW; R selected for Post administration and no Post IW (2000)
 INAP. question not used

2016 NOTE:

For 2016, data were collapsed from the answers to, "How often has.." (no separate answers to a lead-in "Have you ever..."). GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for affects preceding VCF0346. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of presidents since 1980 includes: Carter 1980; Reagan 1982, 1984, 1986, 1988; George Bush Sr. 1992; Clinton 1994, 1996, 1998,2000; George W. Bush 2002,2004,2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800128 1982: V820387 1984: V840213 1986: V860543 1988: V881024 1992: V923502 1994: V940836 1996: V960343 1998: V980391 2000: V001631a 2004: V043071 2008: V083175 2012: candaff_hpdpc

VCF0349

President- Proud

President affect: proud

1. Yes, have felt 2. No, haven't felt (1980,1982 only: DK)

8. DK (exc. 1980-1984)

9. NA (1984 only: DK)

0. Form B (1986); no Post IW; R selected for Post administration and no Post IW (2000) INAP. guestion not used

2016 NOTE: For 2016, data were collapsed from the answers to, "How often has.." (no separate answers to a lead-in "Have you ever..."). GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for affects preceding VCF0346. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of presidents since 1980 includes: Carter 1980; Reagan 1982, 1984, 1986, 1988; George Bush Sr. 1992; Clinton 1994, 1996, 1998,2000; George W. Bush 2002,2004,2008. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS:

1980: V800130 1982: V820389 1984: V840215 1986: V860545 1988: V881026 1992: V923504 1994: V940838 1996: V960347 1998: V980395 2000: V001635a 2004: V043075 2008: V083177 2012: candaff_prddpc 2016: V161237

VCF0350

Democratic Presidential Cand- Intelligent

Democratic Presidential candidate trait - intelligent

- 1. Extremely well
- 2. Quite well
- 3. Not too well
- 4. Not well at all

8. DK9. NAINAP, split versions: not asked (2008)

GENERAL NOTE: See general guestion text and r

See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of Democratic presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Jimmy Carter in 1980; Walter Mondale 1984; Michael Dukakis 1988; Bill Clinton 1992, 1996; Al Gore 2000; John Kerry 2004; Barack Obama 2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840339 1988: V880284 1992: V923644 1996: V960421 2000: V000529 2004: V043128 2008: V083099e

VCF0351

Democratic Presidential Cand- Compassionate

Democratic Presidential candidate trait - compassionate

Extremely well
 Quite well
 Not too well
 Not well at all

8. DK 9. NA INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of Democratic presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Jimmy Carter in 1980; Walter Mondale 1984; Michael Dukakis 1988; Bill Clinton 1992, 1996; Al Gore 2000; John Kerry 2004; Barack Obama 2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840337 1988: V880285 1992: V923645 1996: V960422

VCF0352

Democratic Presidential Cand- Decent

Democratic Presidential candidate trait - decent

Extremely well
 Quite well
 Not too well
 Not well at all

8. DK 9. NA INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of Democratic presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Jimmy Carter in 1980; Walter Mondale 1984; Michael Dukakis 1988; Bill Clinton 1992,1996; Al Gore 2000;

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

John Kerry 2004; Barack Obama 2008.

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840336 1988: V880289

VCF0353

Democratic Presidential Cand- Inspiring

Democratic Presidential candidate trait - inspiring

Extremely well
 Quite well
 Not too well
 Not well at all

8. DK 9. NA INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of Democratic presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Jimmy Carter in 1980; Walter Mondale 1984; Michael Dukakis 1988; Bill Clinton 1992, 1996; Al Gore 2000; John Kerry 2004; Barack Obama 2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800397 1984: V840342 1988: V880287 1992: V923647 1996: V960425

VCF0354

Democratic Presidential Cand- Knowledgeable

Democratic Presidential candidate trait - knowledgeable

Extremely well
 Quite well
 Not too well
 Not well at all
 DK
 NA

9. NA INAP, split versions: not asked (2008)

GENERAL NOTE:

See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of Democratic presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Jimmy Carter in 1980; Walter Mondale 1984; Michael Dukakis 1988; Bill Clinton 1992,1996; Al Gore 2000; John Kerry 2004; Barack Obama 2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800395 1984: V840343 1988: V880291 1992: V923650

1996: V960428
2000: V000526
2004: V043127
2008: V083099d

VCF0355

Democratic Presidential Cand- Moral

Democratic Presidential candidate trait - moral

- 1. Extremely well
- 2. Quite well
- 3. Not too well
- 4. Not well at all

8. DK 9. NA INAP, split versions: not asked (2008)

GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of Democratic presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Jimmy Carter in 1980; Walter Mondale 1984; Michael Dukakis 1988; Bill Clinton 1992, 1996; Al Gore 2000; John Kerry 2004; Barack Obama 2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800392 1984: V840340 1988: V880286 1992: V923646 1996: V960423 2000: V000524 2004: V043124 2008: V083099a

VCF0356

Democratic Presidential Cand- Leadership

Democratic Presidential candidate trait - provides strong leadership

1. Extremely well 2. Quite well 3. Not too well 4. Not well at all 8. DK 9. NA

INAP, split versions: not asked (2008)

GENERAL NOTE:

See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of Democratic presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Jimmy Carter in 1980; Walter Mondale 1984; Michael Dukakis 1988; Bill Clinton 1992, 1996; Al Gore 2000;

John Kerry 2004; Barack Obama 2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800399 1984: V840346 1988: V880288 1992: V923648 1996: V960426 2000: V000527 2004: V043125 2008: V083099b

VCF0357

Democratic Presidential Cand- Cares

Democratic Presidential candidate trait - really cares about people like you

- 1. Extremely well
- 2. Quite well
- 3. Not too well
- 4. Not well at all

8. DK 9. NA INAP, split versions: not asked (2008)

GENERAL NOTE:

See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of Democratic presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Jimmy Carter in 1980; Walter Mondale 1984; Michael Dukakis 1988; Bill Clinton 1992, 1996; Al Gore 2000; John Kerry 2004; Barack Obama 2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840345 1988: V880290 1992: V923649 1996: V960427 2000: V000525 2004: V043126 2008: V083099c

VCF0358

Democratic Presidential Cand- Angry

Democratic Presidential candidate affect: angry

1. Yes, have felt 2. No, haven't felt (1980 only: DK)

8. DK (exc. 1980,1984) 9. NA (1984 only: DK) INAP. question not used

2016 NOTE: For 2016, data were collapsed from the answers to, "How often has.." There was no lead-in question "Have you ever...". GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0346. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of Democratic presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Jimmy Carter in 1980; Walter Mondale 1984; Michael Dukakis 1988; Bill Clinton 1992,1996; Al Gore 2000; John Kerry 2004, Barack Obama 2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800127 1984: V840219 1988: V880218 1992: V923505 1996: V960341 2000: V000407 2004: V043077 2008: V083061 2012: candaff_angdpc 2016: V161116

VCF0359

Democratic Presidential Cand-Afraid

Democratic Presidential candidate affect: afraid (of him/her)

Yes, have felt
 No, haven't felt (1980 only: DK)

8. DK (exc. 1980,1984) 9. NA (1984 only: DK) INAP. question not used

2016 NOTE:

For 2016, data were collapsed from the answers to, "How often has.." There was no lead-in question "Have you ever...". GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0346. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of Democratic presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Jimmy Carter in 1980; Walter Mondale 1984; Michael Dukakis 1988; Bill Clinton 1992, 1996; Al Gore 2000; John Kerry 2004, Barack Obama 2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800129 1984: V840221 1988: V880220 1992: V923507 1996: V960345 2000: V000411 2004: V043081 2008: V083063 2012: candaff_afrdpc 2016: V161118

VCF0360

Democratic Presidential Cand-Hopeful

Democratic Presidential candidate affect: hopeful

1. Yes, have felt 2. No, haven't felt (1980 only: DK)

8. DK (exc. 1980,1984) 9. NA (1984 only: DK) INAP. question not used

For 2016, data were collapsed from the answers to, "How often has..." There was no lead-in question "Have you ever...". GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0346. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of Democratic presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Jimmy Carter in 1980; Walter Mondale 1984; Michael Dukakis 1988; Bill Clinton 1992, 1996; Al Gore 2000; John Kerry 2004, Barack Obama 2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800128 1984: V840220 1988: V880219 1992: V923506 1996: V960343 2000: V000409 2004: V043079 2008: V083062 2012: candaff_hpdpc 2016: V161117

VCF0361

Democratic Presidential Cand- Proud

Democratic Presidential candidate affect: proud

1. Yes, have felt 2. No, haven't felt (1980 only: DK)

8. DK (exc. 1980,1984) 9. NA (1984 only: DK) INAP. question not used

2016 NOTE:

For 2016, data were collapsed from the answers to, "How often has.." There was no lead-in question "Have you ever...". GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0346. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of Democratic presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Jimmy Carter in 1980; Walter Mondale 1984; Michael Dukakis 1988; Bill Clinton 1992, 1996; Al Gore 2000; John Kerry 2004, Barack Obama 2008.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800130 1984: V840222 1988: V880221 1992: V923508 1996: V960347 2000: V000413 2004: V043083 2008: V083064 2012: candaff_prddpc 2016: V161119

VCF0362

Republican Presidential Cand- Intelligent

Republican Presidential candidate trait - intelligent

- 1. Extremely well
- 2. Quite well
- 3. Not too well
- 4. Not well at all

8. DK 9. NA INAP, split versions: not asked (2008)

GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of Republican presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Ronald Reagan 1980,1984; George Bush 1988,1992; Bob Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000,2004; John McCain 2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840323 1988: V880275 1992: V923635 2000: V000536 2004: V043121 2008: V083101e

VCF0363

Republican Presidential Cand- Compassionate

Republican Presidential candidate trait - compassionate

Extremely well
 Quite well
 Not too well
 Not well at all

8. DK 9. NA INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of Republican presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Ronald Reagan 1980,1984; George Bush 1988,1992; Bob Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000,2004; John McCain 2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840321 1988: V880276 1992: V923636

VCF0364

Republican Presidential Cand- Decent

Republican Presidential candidate trait - decent

- 1. Extremely well
- 2. Quite well
- 3. Not too well
- 4. Not well at all
- 8. DK9. NAINAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of Republican presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Ronald Reagan 1980,1984; George Bush 1988,1992; Bob Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000,2004; John McCain 2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840320 1988: V880280

VCF0365

Republican Presidential Cand- Inspiring

Republican Presidential candidate trait - inspiring

- 1. Extremely well
- 2. Quite well
- 3. Not too well
- 4. Not well at all

8. DK 9. NA INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since

1980. A list of Republican presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Ronald Reagan 1980,1984; George Bush 1988,1992; Bob Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000,2004; John McCain 2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800379 1984: V840326 1988: V880278 1992: V923638 1996: V960434

VCF0366

Republican Presidential Cand- Knowledgeable

Republican Presidential candidate trait - knowledgeable

- 1. Extremely well
- 2. Quite well
- 3. Not too well
- 4. Not well at all

8. DK 9. NA INAP, split versions: not asked (2008)

GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of Republican presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Ronald Reagan 1980,1984; George Bush 1988,1992; Bob Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000,2004; John McCain 2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800377 1984: V840327 1988: V880282 1992: V923641 1996: V960437 2000: V000533 2004: V043120 2008: V083101d

VCF0367

Republican Presidential Cand- Moral

Republican Presidential candidate trait - moral

- 1. Extremely well
- 2. Quite well
- 3. Not too well
- 4. Not well at all

8. DK 9. NA INAP, split versions: not asked (2008)

GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of Republican presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Ronald Reagan 1980, 1984; George Bush 1988, 1992; Bob Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000,2004; John McCain 2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800374 1984: V840324 1988: V880277 1992: V923637 1996: V960432 2000: V000531 2004: V043117 2008: V083101a

VCF0368

Republican Presidential Cand- Leadership

Republican Presidential candidate trait - provides strong leadership

- 1. Extremely well
- 2. Quite well
- 3. Not too well
- 4. Not well at all

8. DK 9. NA INAP, split versions: not asked (2008)

-----GENERAL NOTE:

See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of Republican presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Ronald Reagan 1980, 1984; George Bush 1988, 1992; Bob Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000,2004; John McCain 2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800381 1984: V840330 1988: V880279 1992: V923639 1996: V960435 2000: V000534 2004: V043118 2008: V083101b

VCF0369

Republican Presidential Cand- Cares

Republican Presidential candidate trait - really cares about people like you

- 1. Extremely well
- 2. Quite well
- 3. Not too well
- 4. Not well at all

8. DK9. NAINAP, split versions: not asked (2008)

GENERAL NOTE:

See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0338. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of Republican presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Ronald Reagan 1980,1984; George Bush 1988,1992; Bob Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000,2004; John McCain 2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840329 1988: V880281 1992: V923640 1996: V960436 2000: V000532 2004: V043119 2008: V083101c

VCF0370

Republican Presidential Cand- Angry

Republican Presidential candidate affect: angry

1. Yes, have felt 2. No, haven't felt (1980 only: DK)

8. DK (exc. 1980,1984) 9. NA (1984 only: DK) Inap. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0346. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of Republican presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Ronald Reagan 1980,1984; George Bush 1988,1992; Bob Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000,2004; John McCain 2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800113 1984: V840212 1988: V880214 1992: V923501 1996: V960349 2000: V000415 2004: V043069 2008: V083065 2012: candaff_angrpc 2016: V161121

VCF0371

Republican Presidential Cand- Afraid

Republican Presidential candidate affect: afraid (of him)

1. Yes, have felt 2. No, haven't felt (1980 only: DK)

8. DK (exc. 1980,1984) 9. NA (1984 only: DK) Inap. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0346. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of Republican presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Ronald Reagan 1980, 1984; George Bush 1988, 1992; Bob Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000, 2004; John McCain 2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800115 1984: V840214 1988: V880216 1992: V923503 1996: V960353 2000: V000419 2004: V043073 2008: V083067 2012: candaff_afrrpc 2016: V161123

VCF0372

Republican Presidential Cand-Hopeful

Republican Presidential candidate affect: hopeful

1. Yes, have felt 2. No, haven't felt (1980 only: DK)

8. DK (exc. 1980,1984) 9. NA (1984 only: DK) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0346. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of Republican presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Ronald Reagan 1980,1984; George Bush 1988,1992; Bob Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000,2004; John McCain 2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800114 1984: V840213 1988: V880215 1992: V923502 1996: V960351 2000: V000417

2004: V043071 2008: V083066 2012: candaff_hprpc 2016: V161122

VCF0373

Republican Presidential Cand- Proud

Republican Presidential candidate affect: proud

1. Yes, have felt 2. No, haven't felt (1980 only: DK)

8. DK (exc. 1980,1984) 9. NA (1984 only: DK) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See general question text and notes for traits preceding VCF0346. Different traits have been asked in indvidual studies since 1980. A list of Republican presidential candidates since 1980 includes: Ronald Reagan 1980,1984; George Bush 1988,1992; Bob Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000,2004; John McCain 2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800116 1984: V840215 1988: V880217 1992: V923504 1996: V960355 2000: V000421 2004: V043075 2008: V083068 2012: candaff_prdrpc 2016: V161124

VCF0374

Likes Anything about Democratic party

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?

 Yes
 No
 DK
 RF; NA
 INAP. no Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); question not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used
 GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0314. This question was not present as a separate variable until 1972.
 WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720030 1976: V763087 1978: V780090 1980: V800172 1982: V820073 1984: V840266 1986: V860072 1988: V880182 1990: V900074 1992: V923401 1994: V940131 1996: V960325 2000: V000373 2004: V043052 2008: V083045 2012: ptylik_likdp 2016: V161097

VCF0375a

1972-2004 Mention 1: Like About Democratic Party

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?

0001-8877

8888. DK (mention 1 only)
8889. RF; NA (mention 1 only)
INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0374; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0314. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0314, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 SPECIAL NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012. WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720031a

1976: V763088 1978: V780091 1980: V800173 1982: V820074 1984: V840267 1986: V860073 1988: V880183

1990: V900075 1992: V923402 1994: V940132 1996: V960326 2000: V000374 2004: V043053a

VCF0375b

1972-2004 Mention 1: Like About Democratic Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?

What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
- 88. DK (mention 1 only)

89. RF; NA (mention 1 only)

INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0374; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Collapsed from full code VCF0375a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720031a 1976: V763088 1978: V780091 1980: V800173 1982: V820074 1984: V840267 1986: V860073 1988: V880183 1990: V900075 1992: V923402 1994: V940132 1996: V960326 2000: V000374 2004: V043053a

VCF0375c

1952-1968 Mention 1: Like About Democratic Party

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?

What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?

0011-9980

9987.DK much about party 9988. DK (mention 1 only) 9989. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0374; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0314. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520018a 1956: V560015a 1958: V580015a 1960: V600020a 1964: V640021a 1968: V680028a

VCF0375d

1952-1968 Mention 1: Like About Democratic Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?

What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?

- 01. People within party
- 02. Government management
- 03. Government activity, government philosophy
- 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic
- 05. Domestic policies, other
- 06. Foreign policy
- 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 09. General party attitudes/responses
- 10. Other

87.Don't know much about party/candidate; not involved in politics 89. DK; RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0374; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0375c.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520018a 1956: V560015a 1958: V580015a 1960: V600020a 1964: V640021a 1968: V680028a

VCF0376a

1972-2004 Mention 2: Like About Democratic Party

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

_____ GENERAL NOTE See NOTEs VCF0314. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0314, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 SPECIAL NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS:

1972: V720031b 1976: V763089 1978: V780092 1980: V800174 1982: V820075 1984: V840268 1986: V860074 1988: V880184 1990: V900076 1992: V923403 1994: V940133 1996: V960327 2000: V000375 2004: V043053b

VCF0376b

1972-2004 Mention 2: Like About Democratic Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)

22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)

23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)

- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0376a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720031b 1976: V763089 1978: V780092 1980: V800174 1982: V820075 1984: V840268 1986: V860074 1988: V880184 1990: V900076 1992: V923403 1994: V940133 1996: V960327 2000: V000375 2004: V043053b

VCF0376c

1952-1968 Mention 2: Like About Democratic Party

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?

0011-9980

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0314. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520018b 1956: V560015b 1958: V580015b 1960: V600020b 1964: V640021b 1968: V680028b

VCF0376d

1952-1968 Mention 2: Like About Democratic Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?

- 01. People within party
- 02. Government management
- 03. Government activity, government philosophy
- 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic
- 05. Domestic policies, other
- 06. Foreign policy
- 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 09. General party attitudes/responses

10. Other

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0376c.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520018b 1956: V560015b 1958: V580015b 1960: V600020b 1964: V640021b 1968: V680028b

VCF0377a

1972-2004 Mention 3: Like About Democratic Party

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample(1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See NOTEs VCF0314.

See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release.

Note that VCF0314, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 SPECIAL NOTE:

Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and

are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720031c 1976: V763090 1978: V780093 1980: V800175 1982: V820076 1984: V840269 1986: V860075 1988: V880185 1990: V900077 1992: V923404 1994: V940134 1996: V960328 2000: V000376 2004: V043053c

VCF0377b

1972-2004 Mention 3: Like About Democratic Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; form II (1972);

form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Collapsed from full code VCF0377a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720031c 1976: V763090 1978: V780093 1980: V800175

1982: V820076 1984: V840269 1986: V860075 1988: V880185 1990: V900077 1992: V923404 1994: V940134 1996: V960328 2000: V000376 2004: V043053c

VCF0377c

1952-1968 Mention 3: Like About Democratic Party

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?

0011-9980

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0314. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520018c 1956: V560015c 1958: V580015c 1960: V600020c 1964: V640021c 1968: V680028c

VCF0377d

1952-1968 Mention 3: Like About Democratic Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?

- 01. People within party
- 02. Government management
- 03. Government activity, government philosophy
- 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic
- 05. Domestic policies, other
- 06. Foreign policy
- 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 09. General party attitudes/responses
- 10. Other

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0377c.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520018c 1956: V560015c 1958: V580015c 1960: V600020c 1964: V640021c 1968: V680028c

VCF0378a

1972-2004 Mention 4: Like About Democratic Party

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

_____ GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0314. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0314, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 SPECIAL NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763091 1978: V780094 1980: V800176 1982: V820077 1984: V840270 1986: V860076 1988: V880186 1990: V900078 1992: V923405 1994: V940135 1996: V960329 2000: V000377 2004: V043053d

VCF0378b

1972-2004 Mention 4: Like About Democratic Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?

What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0378a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763091 1978: V780094 1980: V800176 1982: V820077 1984: V840270 1986: V860076 1988: V880186 1990: V900078 1992: V923405 1994: V940135 1996: V960329 2000: V000377

2004: V043053d

VCF0378c

1952-1968 Mention 4: Like About Democratic Party

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?

0011-9980

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0314. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520018d 1956: V560015d 1958: V580015d 1960: V600020d 1964: V640021d 1968: V680028d

VCF0378d

1952-1968 Mention 4: Like About Democratic Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?

01. People within party

- 02. Government management
- 03. Government activity, government philosophy
- 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic
- 05. Domestic policies, other
- 06. Foreign policy
- 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 09. General party attitudes/responses

10. Other

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0378c.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520018d 1956: V560015d 1958: V580015d 1960: V600020d 1964: V640021d 1968: V680028d

VCF0379a

1972-2004 Mention 5: Like About Democratic Party

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

September 10, 2019

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0314. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0314, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 SPECIAL NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763092 1978: V780095 1980: V800177 1982: V820078 1984: V840271 1986: V860077 1988: V880187 1990: V900079 1992: V923406 1994: V940136 1996: V960330 2000: V000378 2004: V043053e

VCF0379b

1972-2004 Mention 5: Like About Democratic Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party?

What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Collapsed from full code VCF0379a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763092 1978: V780095 1980: V800177 1982: V820078 1984: V840271 1986: V860077 1988: V880187 1990: V900079 1992: V923406 1994: V940136 1996: V960330 2000: V000378 2004: V043053e

VCF0379c

1952-1968 Mention 5: Like About Democratic Party

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?

0011-9980

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0314. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520018e 1956: V560015e 1958: V580015e 1960: V600020e 1964: V640021e 1968: V680028e

VCF0379d

1952-1968 Mention 5: Like About Democratic Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Democratic Party]?

- 01. People within party
- 02. Government management
- 03. Government activity, government philosophy
- 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic
- 05. Domestic policies, other
- 06. Foreign policy
- 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest

08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest09. General party attitudes/responses10. Other

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0374; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0379c.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520018e 1956: V560015e 1958: V580015e 1960: V600020e 1964: V640021e 1968: V680028e

VCF0380

Dislikes Anything about Democratic party

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?

1. Yes

5. No

8. DK

9. RF; NA

INAP. no Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); question not dministered in assigned half sample 1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0315. This question was not present as a separate variable until 1972.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720032 1976: V763093 1978: V780096 1980: V800178 1982: V820079 1984: V840272 1986: V860078 1988: V880188 1990: V900080 1992: V923407 1994: V940137 1996: V960331 2000: V000379 2004: V043054 2008: V083047 2012: ptylik_disldp 2016: V161100

VCF0381a

1972-2004 Mention 1: Dislike About Democratic Party

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?

What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?

0001-8877

8888. DK (mention 1 only)
8889. RF; NA (mention 1 only)
INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0380; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0315. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0315, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 SPECIAL NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720033a 1976: V763094 1978: V780097 1980: V800179 1982: V820080 1984: V840273 1986: V860079 1988: V880189 1990: V900081 1992: V923408 1994: V940138 1996: V960332 2000: V000380 2004: V043055a

VCF0381b

1972-2004 Mention 1: Dislike About Democratic Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)

- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

88. DK (mention 1 only)

89. RF; NA (mention 1 only)

INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0380; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0381a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720033a 1976: V763094 1978: V780097 1980: V800179 1982: V820080 1984: V840273 1986: V860079 1988: V880189 1990: V900081 1992: V923408 1994: V940138 1996: V960332 2000: V000380 2004: V043055a

VCF0381c

1952-1968 Mention 1: Dislike About Democratic Party

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?

0011-9980

9987.DK much about party 9988. DK (mention 1 only) 9989. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0380; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520019a 1956: V560016a 1958: V580016a 1960: V600021a 1964: V640022a

1968: V680029a

VCF0381d

1952-1968 Mention 1: Dislike About Democratic Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?

01. People within party

- 02. Government management
- 03. Government activity, government philosophy
- 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic
- 05. Domestic policies, other
- 06. Foreign policy
- 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 09. General party attitudes/responses

10. Other

87.Don't know much about party/candidate; not involved in politics 89. DK; RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0380; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE:

Collapsed from full code VCF0381c.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520019a 1956: V560016a 1958: V580016a 1960: V600021a 1964: V640022a 1968: V680029a

VCF0382a

1972-2004 Mention 2: Dislike About Democratic Party

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0315, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant)

which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3

See NOTEs VCF0315.

released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 SPECIAL NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720033b 1976: V763095 1978: V780098 1980: V800180 1982: V820081 1984: V840274 1986: V860080 1988: V880190 1990: V900082 1992: V923409 1994: V940139 1996: V960333 2000: V000381 2004: V043055b

VCF0382b

1972-2004 Mention 2: Dislike About Democratic Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?

What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
- INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0382a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720033b

1976: V763095 1978: V780098 1980: V800180 1982: V820081 1984: V840274 1986: V860080 1988: V880190 1990: V900082 1992: V923409 1994: V940139 1996: V960333 2000: V000381 2004: V043055b

VCF0382c

1952-1968 Mention 2: Dislike About Democratic Party

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?

0011-9980

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520019b 1956: V560016b 1958: V580016b 1960: V600021b 1964: V640022b 1968: V680029b

VCF0382d

1952-1968 Mention 2: Dislike About Democratic Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?

- 01. People within party
- 02. Government management
- 03. Government activity, government philosophy
- 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic
- 05. Domestic policies, other
- 06. Foreign policy
- 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 09. General party attitudes/responses
- 10. Other

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0382c.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520019b 1956: V560016b 1958: V580016b 1960: V600021b 1964: V640022b 1968: V680029b

VCF0383a

1972-2004 Mention 3: Dislike About Democratic Party

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0315. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0315, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 SPECIAL NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720033c 1976: V763096 1978: V780099 1980: V800181 1982: V820082 1984: V840275 1986: V860081 1988: V880191 1990: V900083 1992: V923410 1994: V940140 1994: V940140 1996: V960334 2000: V000382 2004: V043055e

VCF0383b

1972-2004 Mention 3: Dislike About Democratic Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?

What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

```
GENERAL NOTE:
```

Collapsed from full code VCF0383a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720033c 1976: V763096 1978: V780099 1980: V800181 1982: V820082 1984: V840275 1986: V860081 1988: V880191 1990: V900083 1992: V923410 1994: V940140 1996: V960334 2000: V000382 2004: V043055e

VCF0383c

1952-1968 Mention 3: Dislike About Democratic Party

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?

0011-9980

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE:

See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520019c 1956: V560016c 1958: V580016c 1960: V600021c 1964: V640022c 1968: V680029c

VCF0383d

1952-1968 Mention 3: Dislike About Democratic Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?

- 01. People within party
- 02. Government management
- 03. Government activity, government philosophy
- 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic
- 05. Domestic policies, other
- 06. Foreign policy
- 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 09. General party attitudes/responses

10. Other

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0383c.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520019c 1956: V560016c 1958: V580016c 1960: V600021c 1964: V640022c 1968: V680029c

VCF0384a

1972-2004 Mention 4: Dislike About Democratic Party

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0315. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0315, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 SPECIAL NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE Same as 2012. WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763097 1978: V780100 1980: V800182 1982: V820083 1984: V840276 1986: V860082 1988: V880192 1990: V900084 1992: V923411 1994: V940141 1996: V960335 2000: V000383 2004: V043055d

VCF0384b

1972-2004 Mention 4: Dislike About Democratic Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?

What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; form II (1972);

form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0384a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763097 1978: V780100 1980: V800182 1982: V820083 1984: V840276 1986: V860082 1988: V880192 1990: V900084 1992: V923411 1994: V940141 1996: V960335 2000: V000383 2004: V043055d

VCF0384c

1952-1968 Mention 4: Dislike About Democratic Party

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?

0011-9980

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520019d 1956: V560016d 1958: V580016d 1960: V600021d 1964: V640022d 1968: V680029d

VCF0384d

1952-1968 Mention 4: Dislike About Democratic Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?

- 01. People within party
- 02. Government management
- 03. Government activity, government philosophy
- 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic
- 05. Domestic policies, other
- 06. Foreign policy
- 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest

08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest09. General party attitudes/responses10. Other

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0384c.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520019d 1956: V560016d 1958: V580016d 1960: V600021d 1964: V640022d 1968: V680029d

VCF0385a

1972-2004 Mention 5: Dislike About Democratic Party

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

-----GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0315. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0315, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 SPECIAL NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012 WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS:

1976: V763098 1978: V780101 1980: V800183 1982: V820084 1984: V840277 1986: V860083 1988: V880193 1990: V900085

```
1992: V923412
1994: V940142
1996: V960336
2000: V000384
2004: V043055e
```

VCF0385b

1972-2004 Mention 5: Dislike About Democratic Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?

What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0385a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763098 1978: V780101 1980: V800183 1982: V820084 1984: V840277 1986: V860083 1988: V880193 1990: V900085 1992: V923412 1994: V940142 1996: V960336 2000: V000384 2004: V043055e

VCF0385c

1952-1968 Mention 5: Dislike About Democratic Party

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?

0011-9980

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520019e 1956: V560016e 1958: V580016e 1960: V600021e 1964: V640022e 1968: V680029e

VCF0385d

1952-1968 Mention 5: Dislike About Democratic Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Democratic party?

What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Democratic Party]?

- 01. People within party
- 02. Government management
- 03. Government activity, government philosophy
- 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic
- 05. Domestic policies, other
- 06. Foreign policy
- 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 09. General party attitudes/responses
- 10. Other

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0380; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE:

Collapsed from full code VCF0385c.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520019e 1956: V560016e 1958: V580016e 1960: V600021e 1964: V640022e 1968: V680029e

VCF0386

Likes Anything about Republican party

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?

- 1. Yes
- 5. No

8. DK

9. RF; NA

INAP. no Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); question not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See NOTEs VCF0318.

This question was not present as a separate variable until 1972.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520020 1956: V560017 1958: V580017 1960: V600022 1964: V640023 1968: V680030 1972: V720034 1976: V763099 1978: V780102 1980: V800184 1982: V820085 1984: V840278 1986: V860084 1988: V880194 1990: V900086 1992: V923413 1994: V940143 1996: V960313 2000: V000385 2004: V043056 2008: V083049 2012: ptylik_likrp 2016: V161103

VCF0387a

1972-2004 Mention 1: Like About Republican Party

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?

0001-8877

8888. DK (mention 1 only)
8889. RF; NA (mention 1 only)
INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0386; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0318. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0318, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file.

2008 SPECIAL NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720035a 1976: V763100 1978: V780103 1980: V800185 1982: V820092 1984: V840279 1986: V860085 1988: V880195 1990: V900087 1992: V923414 1994: V940144 1996: V960314 2000: V000392 2004: V043057a

VCF0387b

1972-2004 Mention 1: Like About Republican Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
- 88. DK (mention 1 only)
- 89. RF; NA (mention 1 only)
- INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0386; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0387a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:

1972: V720035a 1976: V763100 1978: V780103 1980: V800185 1982: V820092 1984: V840279 1986: V860085 1988: V880195 1990: V900087 1992: V923414 1994: V940144 1996: V960314 2000: V000392 2004: V043057a

VCF0387c

1952-1968 Mention 1: Like About Republican Party

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?

0011-9980

9987.DK much about party 9988. DK (mention 1 only) 9989. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0386; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE:

See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520020a 1956: V560017a 1958: V580017a 1960: V600022a 1964: V640023a 1968: V680030a

VCF0387d

1952-1968 Mention 1: Like About Republican Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?

- 01. People within party
- 02. Government management
- 03. Government activity, government philosophy
- 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic
- 05. Domestic policies, other
- 06. Foreign policy
- 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 09. General party attitudes/responses
- 10. Other

87.Don't know much about party/candidate; not involved in politics 89. DK; RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0386; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0387c.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520020a 1956: V560017a 1958: V580017a 1960: V600022a 1964: V640023a 1968: V680030a

VCF0388a

1972-2004 Mention 2: Like About Republican Party

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0318. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0318, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 SPECIAL NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720035b 1976: V763101 1978: V780104 1980: V800186

1982: V820086 1984: V840280 1986: V860086 1988: V880196 1990: V900088

1992: V923415 1994: V940145 1996: V960315 2000: V000387 2004: V043057b

VCF0388b

1972-2004 Mention 2: Like About Republican Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?

What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0388a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720035b 1976: V763101 1978: V780104 1980: V800186 1982: V820086 1984: V840280 1986: V860086 1988: V880196 1990: V900088 1992: V923415 1994: V940145 1996: V960315 2000: V000387 2004: V043057b

VCF0388c

1952-1968 Mention 2: Like About Republican Party

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?

0011-9980

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520020b 1956: V560017b 1958: V580017b 1960: V600022b 1964: V640023b 1968: V680030b

VCF0388d

1952-1968 Mention 2: Like About Republican Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?

What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?

- 01. People within party
- 02. Government management
- 03. Government activity, government philosophy
- 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic
- 05. Domestic policies, other
- 06. Foreign policy
- 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 09. General party attitudes/responses
- 10. Other

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE:

Collapsed from full code VCF0388c.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520020b 1956: V560017b 1958: V580017b 1960: V600022b 1964: V640023b 1968: V680030b

VCF0389a

1972-2004 Mention 3: Like About Republican Party

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

_____ GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0318. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0318, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 SPECIAL NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720035c 1976: V763102 1978: V780105 1980: V800187 1982: V820088 1984: V840281 1986: V860087 1988: V881097 1990: V900089 1992: V923416 1994: V940146 1996: V960316 2000: V000388 2004: V043057c

VCF0389b

1972-2004 Mention 3: Like About Republican Party COLLAPSED

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)

40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)

50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0389a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720035c 1976: V763102 1978: V780105 1980: V800187 1982: V820088 1984: V840281 1986: V860087 1988: V881097 1990: V900089 1992: V923416 1994: V940146 1994: V940146 2000: V000388 2004: V043057c

VCF0389c

1952-1968 Mention 3: Like About Republican Party

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?

0011-9980

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520020c 1956: V560017c 1958: V580017c 1960: V600022c 1964: V640023c 1968: V680030c

VCF0389d

1952-1968 Mention 3: Like About Republican Party COLLAPSED

- 01. People within party
- 02. Government management
- 03. Government activity, government philosophy
- 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic
- 05. Domestic policies, other
- 06. Foreign policy
- 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 09. General party attitudes/responses
- 10. Other

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0389c.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520020c 1956: V560017c 1958: V580017c 1960: V600022c 1964: V640023c 1968: V680030c

VCF0390a

1972-2004 Mention 4: Like About Republican Party

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?

What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0318. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0318, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 SPECIAL NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not vet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE. Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763103 1978: V780106 1980: V800188 1982: V820089 1984: V840282 1986: V860088 1988: V880198 1990: V900090 1992: V923417 1994: V940147 1994: V940147 1996: V960317 2000: V000389 2004: V043057d

VCF0390b

1972-2004 Mention 4: Like About Republican Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0390a.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763103 1978: V780106 1980: V800188 1982: V820089 1984: V840282 1986: V860088 1988: V880198 1990: V900090 1992: V923417 1994: V940147 1996: V960317 2000: V000389 2004: V043057d

1952-1968 Mention 4: Like About Republican Party

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?

0011-9980

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520020d 1956: V560017d 1958: V580017d 1960: V600022d 1964: V640023d 1968: V680030d

VCF0390d

1952-1968 Mention 4: Like About Republican Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?

- 01. People within party
- 02. Government management
- 03. Government activity, government philosophy
- 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic
- 05. Domestic policies, other
- 06. Foreign policy
- 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 09. General party attitudes/responses
- 10. Other

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0390c.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520020d 1956: V560017d 1958: V580017d 1960: V600022d 1964: V640023d 1968: V680030d

VCF0391a

1972-2004 Mention 5: Like About Republican Party

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE See NOTEs VCF0318. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0318, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 SPECIAL NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763104 1978: V780107 1980: V800189 1982: V820090 1984: V840283 1986: V860089 1988: V880199 1990: V900091 1992: V923418 1994: V940148 1996: V960318 2000: V000390 2004: V043057e

VCF0391b

1972-2004 Mention 5: Like About Republican Party COLLAPSED

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)

- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
- INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

```
GENERAL NOTE:
Collapsed from full code VCF0391a.
```

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763104 1978: V780107 1980: V800189 1982: V820090 1984: V840283 1986: V860089 1988: V880199 1990: V900091 1992: V923418 1994: V940148 1996: V960318 2000: V000390 2004: V043057e

VCF0391c

1952-1968 Mention 5: Like About Republican Party

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?

0011-9980

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520020e 1956: V560017e 1958: V580017e 1960: V600022e 1964: V640023e 1968: V680030e

VCF0391d

1952-1968 Mention 5: Like About Republican Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you like about the Republican party?

What is that? Anything else [you like about the Republican Party]?

- 01. People within party
- 02. Government management
- 03. Government activity, government philosophy
- 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic
- 05. Domestic policies, other
- 06. Foreign policy
- 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 09. General party attitudes/responses

10. Other

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0386; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0391c.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520020e 1956: V560017e 1958: V580017e 1960: V600022e 1964: V640023e 1968: V680030e

VCF0392

Dislikes Anything about Republican party

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party?

1. Yes 5. No

8. DK
9. RF; NA
INAP. no Pre IW; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); question not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0319. This question was not present as a separate variable until 1972.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720036 1976: V763105 1978: V780108 1980: V800190

1982: V820091 1984: V840284 1986: V860090 1988: V880200 1990: V900092 1992: V923419 1994: V940149 1996: V960319 2000: V000391 2004: V043058 2008: V083051 2012: ptylik_dislrp 2016: V161106

VCF0393a

1972-2004 Mention 1: Dislike About Republican Party

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?

0001-8877

8888. DK (mention 1 only)
8889. RF; NA (mention 1 only)
INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0392; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0319. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0319, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 SPECIAL NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720037a 1976: V763106 1978: V780109 1980: V800191 1982: V820092 1984: V840285 1986: V860091 1988: V880201 1990: V900093 1992: V923420 1994: V940150 1996: V960320 2000: V000392 2004: V043059a

VCF0393b

1972-2004 Mention 1: Dislike About Republican Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
- 88. DK (mention 1 only)
- 89. RF; NA (mention 1 only)
- INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0392; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0393a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720037a 1976: V763106 1978: V780109 1980: V800191 1982: V820092 1984: V840285 1986: V860091 1988: V880201 1990: V900093 1992: V923420 1994: V940150 1996: V960320 2000: V000392 2004: V043059a

VCF0393c

1952-1968 Mention 1: Dislike About Republican Party

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?

0011-9980

9987.DK much about party 9988. DK (mention 1 only) 9989. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0392; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520021a 1956: V560018a 1958: V580018a 1960: V600023a 1964: V640024a 1968: V680031a

VCF0393d

1952-1968 Mention 1: Dislike About Republican Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party?

What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?

- 01. People within party
- 02. Government management
- 03. Government activity, government philosophy
- 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic
- 05. Domestic policies, other
- 06. Foreign policy
- 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 09. General party attitudes/responses
- 10. Other

87.Don't know much about party/candidate; not involved in politics 89. DK; RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0392; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0393c.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520021a 1956: V560018a 1958: V580018a 1960: V600023a 1964: V640024a 1968: V680031a

VCF0394a

1972-2004 Mention 2: Dislike About Republican Party

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party?

What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

-----GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0319. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0319, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 SPECIAL NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720037b 1976: V763107

1978: V780110 1980: V800192 1982: V820093 1984: V840286 1986: V860092 1988: V880202 1990: V900094 1992: V923421 1994: V940151 1996: V960321 2000: V000393 2004: V043059b

VCF0394b

1972-2004 Mention 2: Dislike About Republican Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?

What is that? Anything cise Lyou don't like about the Republican i

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)

- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
- INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0394a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720037b 1976: V763107 1978: V780110 1980: V800192 1982: V820093 1984: V840286 1986: V860092 1988: V880202 1990: V900094 1992: V923421 1994: V940151 1996: V960321 2000: V000393 2004: V043059b

VCF0394c

1952-1968 Mention 2: Dislike About Republican Party

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?

0011-9980

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520021b 1956: V560018b 1958: V580018b 1960: V600023b 1964: V640024b 1968: V680031b

VCF0394d

1952-1968 Mention 2: Dislike About Republican Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party?

What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?

- 01. People within party
- 02. Government management
- 03. Government activity, government philosophy
- 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic
- 05. Domestic policies, other
- 06. Foreign policy
- 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 09. General party attitudes/responses
- 10. Other

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0394c.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520021b 1956: V560018b 1958: V580018b 1960: V600023b 1964: V640024b 1968: V680031b

VCF0395a

1972-2004 Mention 3: Dislike About Republican Party

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0319. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0319, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 SPECIAL NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720037c 1976: V763108 1978: V780111 1980: V800193 1982: V820094 1984: V840287 1986: V860093 1986: V860093 1990: V900095 1992: V923422 1994: V940152 1996: V960322 2000: V000394 2004: V043059c

VCF0395b

1972-2004 Mention 3: Dislike About Republican Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0395a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720037c 1976: V763108 1978: V780111 1980: V800193 1982: V820094 1984: V840287 1986: V860093 1988: V880203 1990: V900095 1992: V923422 1994: V940152 1996: V960322 2000: V000394

2004: V043059c

VCF0395c

1952-1968 Mention 3: Dislike About Republican Party

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?

0011-9980

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520021c 1956: V560018c 1958: V580018c 1960: V600023c 1964: V640024c 1968: V680031c

VCF0395d

1952-1968 Mention 3: Dislike About Republican Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?

- 01. People within party
- 02. Government management
- 03. Government activity, government philosophy
- 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic
- 05. Domestic policies, other
- 06. Foreign policy
- 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 09. General party attitudes/responses
- 10. Other

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0395c.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520021c 1956: V560018c 1958: V580018c 1960: V600023c

1964: V640024c 1968: V680031c

VCF0396a

1972-2004 Mention 4: Dislike About Republican Party

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0319. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0319, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 SPECIAL NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009v/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763109 1978: V780112 1980: V800194 1982: V820095 1984: V840288 1986: V860094 1988: V880204 1990: V900096 1992: V923423 1994: V940153 1996: V960323 2000: V000395 2004: V043059d

VCF0396b

1972-2004 Mention 4: Dislike About Republican Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?

11. People within party (0001-0097)

- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
- INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0396a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763109 1978: V780112 1980: V800194 1982: V820095 1984: V840288 1986: V860094 1988: V880204 1990: V900096 1992: V923423 1994: V940153 1996: V960323 2000: V000395 2004: V043059d

VCF0396c

1952-1968 Mention 4: Dislike About Republican Party

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?

0011-9980

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520021d 1956: V560018d 1958: V580018d 1960: V600023d 1964: V640024d 1968: V680031d

VCF0396d

1952-1968 Mention 4: Dislike About Republican Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?

- 01. People within party
- 02. Government management
- 03. Government activity, government philosophy
- 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic
- 05. Domestic policies, other
- 06. Foreign policy
- 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 09. General party attitudes/responses

10. Other

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0396c.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520021d 1956: V560018d 1958: V580018d 1960: V600023d 1964: V640024d 1968: V680031d

VCF0397a

1972-2004 Mention 5: Dislike About Republican Party

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See NOTEs VCF0319.

See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972 AND LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0319, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 SPECIAL NOTE:

Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and

are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763110 1978: V780113 1980: V800195 1982: V820096 1984: V840289 1986: V860095 1988: V880205 1990: V900097 1992: V923424 1994: V940154 1996: V960324 2000: V000396 2004: V043059e

VCF0397b

1972-2004 Mention 5: Dislike About Republican Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party?

What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; form II (1972); form B (1986); form A (1990); questions not administered in assigned half sample (1996); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0397a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763110 1978: V780113 1980: V800195 1982: V820096 1984: V840289 1986: V860095

1988: V880205 1990: V900097 1992: V923424 1994: V940154 1996: V960324 2000: V000396 2004: V043059e

VCF0397c

1952-1968 Mention 5: Dislike About Republican Party

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?

0011-9980

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520021e 1956: V560018e 1958: V580018e 1960: V600023e 1964: V640024e 1968: V680031e

VCF0397d

1952-1968 Mention 5: Dislike About Republican Party COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular that you don't like about the Republican party? What is that? Anything else [you don't like about the Republican Party]?

- 01. People within party
- 02. Government management
- 03. Government activity, government philosophy
- 04. Domestic policies, welfare and economic
- 05. Domestic policies, other
- 06. Foreign policy
- 07. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 08. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 09. General party attitudes/responses
- 10. Other

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0392; no Pre IW

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0397c.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520021e 1956: V560018e 1958: V580018e 1960: V600023e 1964: V640024e 1968: V680031e

VCF0401

Democratic Presidential Cand: Respondent Likes - Number of Mentions

Now I'd like to ask you about the good and bad points of the two (1996 and later: 'the major') candidates for President. Is there anything in particular about [Democratic presidential candidate] that might make you want to vote for him? What is that? Anything else?

- 0. Zero positive mentions (likes)
- 1. One positive mention (likes)
- 2. Two positive mentions (likes)
- 3. Three positive mentions (likes)
- 4. Four positive mentions (likes)
- 5. Five positive mentions (likes)

9. No Pre IW; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Five possible mentions were coded in all original ANES datasets. MD category 9 comprises cases of systematic guestion exclusion. i.e., when a question was not present within a form or wave. Rs who responded 'no' to 'Is there anything in particular that you like about [Democratic Presidential candidate]?' have been coded here as 0 mentions; Rs coded DK or NA in response have also been coded here as 0 mentions. In addition, Rs who responded 'yes' BUT who provided no mentions (DK or NA in first mention) have been coded 0. Democratic Presidential Candidates: Stevenson 1952, 1956; Kennedy 1960; Johnson 1964; Humphrey 1968; McGovern 1972; Carter 1976, 1980; Mondale 1984; Dukakis 1988; Clinton 1992, 1996; Gore 2000; Kerry 2004. 1996 NOTE: The order in which the set of likes/dislikes questions was administered for the 2 major parties was randomized ('likes' always preceding dislikes). 2000 NOTE: see 1996 NOTE. 2004 NOTE: see 1996 NOTE. 2008 NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520027 1956: V560019 1960: V600024

1964: V640025 1968: V680032 1972: V720039 1976: V763112,V763113,V763114,V763115,V763116 1980: V800102,V800103,V800104,V800105,V800106 1984: V840094,V840095,V840096,V840097,V840098 1988: V880116,V880117,V880118,V880119,V880120 1992: V923122,V923123,V923124,V923125,V923126 1996: V960206,V960207,V960208,V960209,V960210 2000: V000306,V000307,V000308,V000309,V000310 2004: V043011

VCF0402

Democratic Presidential Cand: Respondent Dislikes - Number of Mentions

Is there anything in particular about [Democratic presidential candidate] that might make you want to vote against him? What is that? Anything else?

- 0. Zero negative mentions (dislikes)
- 1. One negative mention (dislikes)
- 2. Two negative mentions (dislikes)
- 3. Three negative mentions (dislikes)
- 4. Four negative mentions (dislikes)
- 5. Five negative mentions (dislikes)

9. No Pre IW; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Five possible mentions were coded in all original ANES datasets. MD category 9 comprises cases of systematic question exclusion, i.e., when a question was not present within a form or wave. Rs who responded 'no' to 'Is there anything in particular that you don't like about [Democratic Presidential candidate]?' have been coded here as 0 mentions; Rs coded DK or NA in response have also been coded here as 0 mentions. In addition, Rs who responded 'yes' BUT who provided no mentions (DK or NA in first mention) have been coded 0. Democratic Presidential Candidates: Stevenson 1952, 1956; Kennedy 1960; Johnson 1964; Humphrey 1968; McGovern 1972; Carter 1976, 1980; Mondale 1984; Dukakis 1988; Clinton 1992, 1996; Gore 2000. 1996 NOTE: The order in which the set of likes/dislikes questions was administered for the 2 major parties was randomized ('likes' always preceding dislikes). 2000 NOTE: see 1996 NOTE. 2004 NOTE: see 1996 NOTE. 2008 NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE. Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520028

1956: V560020 1960: V600025 1964: V640026 1968: V680033 1972: V720041 1976: V763118,V763119,V763120,V763121,V763122 1980: V800108,V800109,V800110,V800111,V800112 1984: V840100,V840101,V840102,V840103,V840104 1988: V880122,V880123,V880124,V880125,V880126 1992: V923128,V923129,V923130,V923131,V923132 1996: V960212,V960213,V960214,V960215,V960216 2000: V000312,V000313,V000314,V000315,V000316 2004: V043013

VCF0403

Democratic Presidential Cand: Affect (Likes-Dislikes)

Affect toward Democratic Presidential candidate

99. No Pre IW; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: This is the number of Democratic presidential candidate 'likes' minus the number of Democratic presidential candidate 'dislikes' (VCF0401-VCF0402).

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0404

Democratic Presidential Cand: Salience (Likes-Dislikes)

Salience of Democratic Presidential candidate

0. Zero mentions

10. Ten mentions

99. No Pre IW; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: This is the number of Democratic presidential candidate 'likes' plus the number of Democratic presidential candidate 'dislikes' (VCF0401+VCF0402)

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0405

Republican Presidential Cand: Respondent Likes - Number of Mentions

Now I'd like to ask you about the good and bad points of the two (1996 and later: the major) candidates for President. Is there anything in particular about [Republican presidential candidate] that might make you want to vote for him? What is that?

Anything else?

- 0. Zero positive mentions (likes)
- 1. One positive mention (likes)
- 2. Two positive mentions (likes)
- 3. Three positive mentions (likes)
- 4. Four positive mentions (likes)
- 5. Five positive mentions (likes)

9. No Pre IW; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Five possible mentions were coded in all original ANES datasets. MD category 9 comprises cases of systematic question exclusion, i.e., when a question was not present within a form or wave. Rs who responded 'no' to 'ls there anything in particular that you like about [Republican Presidential candidate]?' have been coded here as 0 mentions; Rs coded DK or NA in response have also been coded here as 0 mentions. In addition, Rs who responded 'yes' BUT who provided no mentions (DK or NA in first mention) have been coded 0. Republican Presidential Candidates: Eisenhower 1952, 1956; Nixon 1960, 1968, 1972; Goldwater 1964; Ford 1976; Reagan 1980, 1984; George Bush Sr. 1988, 1992; Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000,2004. 1996 NOTE:
The order in which the set of likes/dislikes questions was administered for the 2 major parties was randomized ('likes' always preceding dislikes). 2000 NOTE: see 1996 NOTE.
2004 NOTE: see 1996 NOTE. 2008 NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and
are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE:
Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.
WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520029 1956: V560021 1960: V600026 1964: V640027 1968: V680034 1972: V720043 1976: V763124,V763125,V763126,V763127,V763128 1980: V800078,V800079,V80080,V800081,V800082 1984: V840082,V840083,V840084,V840085,V840086 1988: V880104,V880105,V880106,V880107,V880108 1992: V923110,V923111,V923112,V923113,V923114 1996: V960218,V960219,V960220,V960221,V960222 2000: V000318,V000319,V000320,V000321,V000322 2004: V043007

VCF0406

Republican Presidential Cand: Respondent Dislikes - Number of Mentions

Is there anything in particular about [Republican presidential

candidate] that might make you want to vote against him? What is that? Anything else?

- 0. Zero negative mentions (dislikes)
- 1. One negative mention (dislikes)
- 2. Two negative mentions (dislikes)
- 3. Three negative mentions (dislikes)
- 4. Four negative mentions (dislikes)
- 5. Five negative mentions (dislikes)

9. No Pre IW; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Five possible mentions were coded in all original ANES datasets. MD category 9 comprises cases of systematic question exclusion, i.e., when a question was not present within a form or wave. Rs who responded 'no' to 'Is there anything in particular that you don't like about [Republican Presidential candidate]?' have been coded here as 0 mentions; Rs coded DK or NA in response have also been coded here as 0 mentions. In addition, Rs who responded 'yes' BUT who provided no mentions (DK or NA in first mention) have been coded 0. Republican Presidential Candidates: Eisenhower 1952, 1956; Nixon 1960, 1968, 1972; Goldwater 1964; Ford 1976; Reagan 1980, 1984; George Bush Sr. 1988, 1992; Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000,2004. 1996 NOTE: The order in which the set of likes/dislikes questions was administered for the 2 major parties was randomized ('likes' always preceding dislikes). 2000 NOTE see 1996 NOTE. 2004 NOTE: see 1996 NOTE. 2008 NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520030 1956: V560022 1960: V600027 1964: V640028 1968: V680035 1972: V720045 1976: V763130,V763131,V763132,V763133,V763134 1980: V800084,V800085,V800086,V800087,V800088 1984: V840088,V840089,V840090,V840091,V840092 1988: V880110,V880111,V880112,V880113,V880114 1992: V923116,V923117,V923118,V923119,V923120 1996: V960224,V960225,V960226,V960027,V960228 2000: V000324,V000325,V000326,V000327,V000328 2004: V043009

VCF0407

Republican Presidential Cand: Affect (Likes-Dislikes)

Affect toward Republican Presidential candidate

99. No Pre IW; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

This is the number of Republican presidential candidate 'likes' minus the number of Republican presidential candidate 'dislikes' (VCF0405-VCF0406).

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0408

Republican Presidential Cand: Salience (Likes-Dislikes)

Salience of Republican Presidential candidate

0. Zero mentions

10. Ten mentions

99. No Pre IW; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: This is the number of Republican presidential candidate 'likes' plus the number of Republican presidential candidate 'dislikes' (VCF0405+VCF0406).

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0409

Presidential Candidates: Affect (Likes-Dislikes)

Net affect toward Major party Presidential candidates

999. No Pre IW; form II (1972) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

The net affect toward major party presidential candidates is the difference between two sums: the sum of Democratic presidential candidate 'likes' and Republican presidential candidate 'dislikes' MINUS the sum of Democratic presidential candidate 'dislikes' and Republican presidential candidate 'likes' [VCF0401+VCF0406] - [VCF0402+VCF0405], which is the same as: VCF0403-VCF0407.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0410

Presidential Candidates: Salience (Likes-Dislikes)

Major party Presidential candidate salience

0. Zero mentions

20. Twenty mentions

99. No Pre IW; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Major party presidential candidate salience is the sum of all 'likes' and 'dislikes' about both the Democratic and Republican presidential candidates: [VCF0401+VCF0402 + VCF0405+VCF0406] which is the same as: VCF0404+VCF0408.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0411

Presidential Candidates: Relative Salience (Likes-Dislikes)

Major party relative salience of Presidential candidates

999. No Pre IW; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Relative salience of major party presidential candidates is the sum of 'likes' and 'dislikes' about the Democratic presidential candidate MINUS the sum of 'likes' and 'dislikes' about the Republican presidential candidate: [VCF0401+VCF0402] - [VCF0405+VCF0406] which is the same as: VCF0404-VCF0408

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0412

Thermometer Average - Presidential Candidates

Thermometers-- both Major party Presidential candidates

0-97Degrees.

98. DK/don't recognize in VCF0424 or VCF0426 99. NA INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0424 and VCF0426 as: (VCF0424+VCF0426)/2. Fractional results are rounded to the next highest integer.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0413

Thermometer Index - Presidential Candidates

Major party Presidential candidate thermometer index

2. Most Republican

50. Neutral

99. Most Democratic

998. DK/don't recognize in VCF0424 or VCF0426 999. NA INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0424 and VCF0426 as: (VCF0424-VCF0426 +100)/2. Fractional results are rounded to the next highest integer.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0414

Thermometer Average - Vice-Presidential Candidates

Thermometers-- both Major party vice-Presidential candidates

0-97Degrees.

98. DK/don't recognize in VCF0425 or VCF042799. NAINAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0425 and VCF0427 as: (VCF0425+VCF0427)/2.

Fractional results are rounded to the next highest integer.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0415

Thermometer Index - Vice-Presidential Candidates

Major party vice-Presidential candidate thermometer index

Most Republican
 50. Neutral
 99. Most Democratic
 998. DK/don't recognize in VCF0425 or VCF0427
 999. NA
 INAP. question not used

September 10, 2019

GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0425 and VCF0427 as: (VCF0425-VCF0427 +100)/2. Fractional results are rounded to the next highest integer.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

POLITICAL FIGURE THERMOMETER INTRO

1968-1974:

(1968,1972: As you know, there were many people mentioned this past year as possible candidates for President [1972: or Vice-President] by the political parties.)

(1970: Several political leaders have already been mentioned as possible candidates for President in 1972.)

(1968-1972: We would like to get your feelings toward some of these people.)

(1974: Now I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days.) I have here a card on which there is something that looks like a thermometer. We call it a "feeling thermometer" because it measures your feelings toward these people. (1968: You probably remember that we used something like this in our earlier interview with you.) Here's how it works. If you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward a person, then you should place him in the middle of the thermometer, at the 50 degree mark. If you have a warm feeling toward him or feel favorably toward him, you would give him a score somewhere between 50 degrees and 100 degrees. (1968-1970 only: depending on how warm your feeling is toward that person). On the other hand, if you don't feel very favorably toward a person--that is, if you don't care for him too much--then you would place him somewhere between 0 degrees and 50 degrees. Of course, if you don't know too much about a person, just tell me and we'll go on to the next name. 1976:

As you know, many people were mentioned this year as possible candidates for president or vice-president by the political parties. We would like to get your feelings toward some of these people.

I'll read the name of each person and I'd like you to rate that person with what we call a feeling thermometer. Ratings between 50 and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorably and warm toward the person, ratings between 0 and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorably towards the person and that you don't care too much for that person. If you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward a person you would rate them at 50 degrees. If we come to a person you don't know much about, just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

1978-LATER:

I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days (1990: have been in the news). I'll read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person using (1986-LATER: something we call) the feeling thermometer. Ratings between 50 and 100 (1986-LATER: degrees) mean that you feel favorably and warm toward the person; ratings between 0 and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorably toward the person and that you don't care too much for that person. (1986-LATER: You would rate the person at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the person.) If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that person. Just tell me and we'll move on to the next one. (1978-1984: If you do recognize the name, but you don't feel

particularly warm or cold toward the person, then you would rate the person at the 50 degree mark.)

GENERAL NOTE: For study years where the same thermometer appeared in both the Pre and Post, the Pre data are used. 1968 NOTE: "Don't know"s were coded 50 on thermometers; groups about which the respondent "didn't know much" were also placed at 50 degrees. The 50 degree mark was labeled "50 degrees; no feeling". 1970 NOTE: The order of names read varied by form. 1996 NOTE: The order of thermometers for all individuals except Presidential candidates was randomized. 1998 NOTE: The order of thermometers for all individuals except the President (first thermometer) was randomized. 2000 NOTE: same as 1998 2002 NOTE: Individual thermometers were administered in one of two possible orders, following President (first thermometer). 2004 NOTE: The order of thermometers for all individuals except the president (first thermometer) and Ronald Reagan, in retrospect (as the last/ 14th thermometer) was randomized. Overall randomization was devised as a set of consecutive subrandomizations; major presidental candidates thermometers other than then incumbent president were randomly administered as the 2nd-3rd names, follwed by other political figures as the 4th-11th randomized thermometers. Thermometers for the major parties were randomly administered in the 12th-13th positions.

VCF0424

Thermometer - Democratic Presidential Candidate

Democratic Presidential candidate thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK (exc.1968); don't recognize (1980 and later) 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424. Democratic Presidential candidates: Humphrey 1968; McGovern 1972; Carter 1976, 1980; Mondale 1984; Dukakis 1988; Bill Clinton 1992, 1996; Gore 2000; Kerry 2004; Obama 2008,2012; Hillary Clinton 2016

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680480 1972: V720255 1976: V763299 1980: V800155 1984: V840290 1988: V880154 1992: V923305 1996: V960273 2000: V000361 2004: V043038

2008: V083037b 2012: ft_rpc 2016: V161086

VCF0425

Thermometer - Democratic Vice-presidential Candidate

Democratic vice-presidential candidate thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK (exc.1968); don't recognize (1980 and later)
99. NA; no Post IW; ; interview conducted before VP candidate selection (2008)
INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424. Democratic Vice-Presidential candidates: Muskie 1968; Shriver 1972; Mondale 1976, 1980; Ferraro 1984; Bentsen 1988; Gore 1992, 1996; Lieberman 2000; Edwards 2004; Biden 2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680487 1972: V720266 1976: V763308 1980: V800161 1984: V840304 1988: V880159 1992: V923309 1996: V960275 2000: V000366 2004: V043042 2008: V083039a 2012: ft_dvpc 2016: V161090

VCF0426

Thermometer - Republican Presidential Candidate

Republican Presidential candidate thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK (exc.1968); don't recognize (1980 and later) 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424. Republican Presidential candidates: Nixon 1968,1972; Ford 1976; Reagan 1980,1984; George Bush Sr. 1988,1992; Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000,2004; McCain 2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680480 1972: V720255 1976: V763299 1980: V800155 1984: V840290 1988: V880154 1992: V923305 1996: V960273 2000: V000361 2004: V043038 2008: V083037b 2012: ft_rpc 2016: V161087

VCF0427

Thermometer - Republican Vice-presidential Candidate

Republican vice-presidential candidate thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK (exc.1968); don't recognize (1980 and later)99. NA; no Post IW; interview conducted before VP candidate selection (2008)INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424. Republican Vice-Presidential candidates: Agnew 1968, 1972; Dole 1976; George Bush Sr. 1980, 1984; Quayle 1988, 1992; Kemp 1996; Cheney 2000,2004; Palin 2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680488 1972: V720265 1976: V763312 1980: V800162 1984: V840292 1988: V880160 1992: V923308 1996: V960276 2000: V000367 2004: V043041 2008: V083039b 2012: ft_rvpc 2016: V161091

VCF0428

Thermometer - U.S. President

President thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK (exc.1968); don't recognize (1980 and later) 99. NA; no Post IW

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424. Presidents: Johnson 1968; Nixon 1970, 1972; Ford 1974, 1976; Carter 1978, 1980; Reagan 1982, 1984, 1986, 1988; George Bush Sr. 1990, 1992; Clinton 1994, 1996, 1998, 2000; George W. Bush 2002, 2004.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680484 1970: V700231(type 0) 1972: V720255 1974: V742339 1976: V763299 1978: V780137 1980: V800154 1982: V820123 1984: V840290 1986: V860130 1988: V880158 1990: V900134 1992: V923305 1994: V940223 1996: V960272 1998: V980238 2000: V000359 2002: V023010 2004: V043038 2008: V083036 2012: ft_dpc 2016: V161092

VCF0429

Thermometer - Vice-president

Vice-president thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK (exc.1968); don't recognize (1980 and later)99. NAINAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424. Vice-Presidents: Humphrey 1968; Agnew 1970, 1972; Rockefeller 1974, 1976; Mondale 1978, 1980; George Bush Sr. 1982, 1984, 1986, 1988; Quayle 1990, 1992; Gore 1994, 1996, 1998, 2000; Cheney 2002, 2004.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680479 1970: V700237(type 0) 1972: V720265 1974: V742342 1976: V763304 1978: V780143 1980: V800161 1982: V820135 1984: V840292

1986: V860144 1988: V880154 1990: V900137 1992: V923308 1994: V940227 1996: V960275 1998: V980243 2000: V000360 2002: V023011 2004: V043041 2012: ft_dvpc

VCF0432

Thermometer - Hubert Humphrey

Hubert Humphrey -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK (exc.1968) 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680479 1970: V700230(type 0) 1972: V720264 1974: V742352 1976: V763300

VCF0433

Thermometer - Ted Kennedy

Ted Kennedy -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK; don't recognize (1980 and later) 99. NA INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1970: V700235(type 0) 1972: V720258 1974: V742347 1976: V763302 1978: V780140

1980:	V800156
1982:	V820124
1984:	V840299
1988:	V880157

VCF0434

Thermometer - Eugene McCarthy

Eugene Mccarthy -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK (exc.1968) 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680481 1970: V700238(type 0) 1976: V763311

VCF0435

Thermometer - George McGovern

George Mcgovern -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK; don't recognize (1980 and later)99. NAINAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1970: V700236(type 0) 1972: V720254 1976: V763309 1980: V800165 1984: V840300

VCF0436

Thermometer - Walter Mondale

Walter Mondale -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK; don't recognize (1978 and later)99. NAINAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1974: V742353 1976: V763308 1978: V780143 1980: V800161 1982: V820136 1984: V840301

VCF0437

Thermometer - Edmund Muskie

Edmund Muskie -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK (exc.1968) 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680487 1970: V700234(type 0) 1972: V720257

VCF0438

Thermometer - George Bush Sr.

George Bush Sr. -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK; don't recognize 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800162 1982: V820135 1984: V840292 1986: V860144 1988: V880154 1990: V900134 1992: V923305 2000: V001297

VCF0439

Thermometer - George Wallace

George Wallace -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK (exc.1968); don't recognize (1978 and later)99. NA; no Post IWINAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680478 1970: V700229(type 0) 1972: V720253 1974: V742338 1976: V763297 1978: V780136 1980: V800166

VCF0440

Thermometer - Spiro Agnew

Spiro Agnew -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK (exc.1968)99. NA; no Post IWINAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680488 1970: V700237(type 0) 1972: V720265

VCF0441

Thermometer - Gerald Ford

Gerald Ford -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK; don't recognize (1978 and later)99. NAINAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1974: V742339 1976: V763299 1978: V780138 1980: V800158 1984: V840295

VCF0442

Thermometer - Richard Nixon

Richard Nixon -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK (exc.1968); don't recognize (1978 and later) 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680480 1970: V700231(type 0) 1972: V720255 1974: V742354 1976: V763307 1978: V780142 1980: V800167 1982: V820137 1984: V840302

VCF0443

Thermometer - Ronald Reagan

(2004: And finally, in retrospect,) Ronald Reagan -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK (exc.1968); don't recognize (1978 and later) 99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680482 1970: V700233(type 0) 1976: V763301 1978: V780139 1980: V800155 1982: V820123 1984: V840290 1986: V860130 1988: V880158 1990: V900138 2004: V043051

VCF0444

Thermometer - Nelson Rockefeller

Nelson Rockefeller -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK (exc.1968)99. NA; no Post IWINAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680483 1974: V742342 1976: V763304

VCF0445

Thermometer - Robert Dole

Robert Dole -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK; don't recognize (1984,1986,1988,1994,1996) 99. NA INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763312 1984: V840294 1986: V860140 1988: V880152 1994: V940225 1996: V960273

VCF0446

Thermometer - Jimmy Carter

Jimmy Carter -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK; don't recognize (1978 and later)99. NA; no Post IWINAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763298 1978: V780137 1980: V800154 1982: V820122 1984: V840293 1988: V880595

VCF0447

Thermometer - Bill Clinton

Bill Clinton -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK; don't recognize99. NAINAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V923306 1994: V940223 1996: V960272 1998: V980238 2000: V000359 2002: V023015 2004: V043045 2008: V083041 2016: V161093

VCF0448

Thermometer - Al Gore

Al Gore -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK; don't recognize99. NAINAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V923309 1994: V940227 1996: V960275 1998: V980243 2000: V000360 2002: V023012

VCF0449

Thermometer - Ross Perot

Ross Perot -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK; don't recognize99. NAINAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V923307 1994: V940224 1996: V960274

VCF0450

Approve of President Performance

Do you approve or disapprove of the way that [the president] is handling his/her job as President?

Approve
 Disapprove

8. DK

0. NA; form II (1972) INAP. question not used

1984 NOTE: This var appeared in both the pre and post; Pre data are included. 1988 NOTE: same as 1984

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720046 1974: V742157 1976: V763135 1978: V780441 1980: V800062 1982: V820065 1984: V840258 1986: V860096 1988: V880140 1990: V900098 1992: V923319 1994: V940201 1996: V960295 1998: V980216 2000: V000339 2002: V023005 2004: V043024 2008: V083028 2012: presapp_job 2016: V161082

VCF0451

Strength Approve/Disapprove President Performance

Do you approve or disapprove of the way that [the president] is handling his/her job as President? Do you (approve/disapprove) {of the president's performance} strongly or not strongly?

- 1. Approve, strongly
- 2. Approve, not strongly

Disapprove, not strongly
 Disapprove, strongly
 DK

0. NA; form II (1972) INAP. question not used

1984 NOTE: This var appeared in both the pre and post; Pre data are included. 1988 NOTE: same as 1984

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800063 1982: V820066 1984: V840259 1986: V860097 1988: V880141 1990: V900099 1992: V923320 1994: V940202 1996: V960296 1998: V980217 2000: V000341 2002: V023006x 2004: V043025 2008: V083028a 2012: presapp_job_x 2016: V161082x, V161082, V161082a

VCF0471

Thermometer - Hillary Clinton

Hillary Clinton -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK; don't recognize 99. NA INAP. guestion not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V923313 1994: V940229 1996: V960281 1998: V980259 2000: V000368 2002: V023020 2004: V043044 2008: V083040 2012: ft_hclinton 2016: V161086

VCF0472

Thermometer - Pat Buchanan

Pat Buchanan -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK; don't recognize99. NAINAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V923315 1996: V960282 1998: V980249 2000: V000362

VCF0473

Thermometer - Dan Quayle

Dan Quayle -- thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK; don't recognize99. NAINAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0424.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1988: V880160 1990: V900137 1992: V923308 1994: V940226 1998: V980246

VCF0475

Likes Anything about Democratic Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him?

1. Yes 5. No

- 8. DK
- 9. NA
- INAP. no Pre IW; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0401. Code 5 includes cases where R says he/she did not know anything about the candidate. This question was not present as a separate variable until 1972. 2008 NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:

1972: V720038 1976: V763111 1980: V800101 1984: V840093 1988: V880115 1992: V923121 1996: V960205 2000: V000305 2004: V043010 2008: V083008 2012: candlik_likdpc 2016: V161068

VCF0476a

1972-2004 Mention 1: Like About Democratic Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

8888. DK (mention 1 only)
8889. RF; NA (mention 1 only)
INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0475; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0401. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0401, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE:

Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720039a 1976: V763112 1980: V800102 1984: V840094 1988: V880116 1992: V923122 1996: V960206 2000: V000306 2004: V043011a

VCF0476b

1972-2004 Mention 1: Like About Democratic Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
- 88. DK (mention 1 only)
- 89. RF; NA (mention 1 only)
- INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0475; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0476a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720039a 1976: V763112 1980: V800102 1984: V840094 1988: V880116 1992: V923122 1996: V960206 2000: V000306 2004: V043011a

VCF0476c

1952-1968 Mention 1: Like About Democratic Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

9987.Don't know much about candidate 9988. DK (mention 1 only) 9989. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0475; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0401. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520027a 1956: V560019a 1960: V600024a 1964: V640025a 1968: V680032a

VCF0476d

1952-1968 Mention 1: Like About Democratic Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 21. Experience and abilities
- 22. Character and background
- 23. Personal attraction
- 24. Issues NA whether domestic or foreign
- 25. Stand on domestic policies
- 26. Stand on foreign affairs
- 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 29. Candidate as party representative*
- 30. Other

87.Don't know much about party/candidate; not involved in politics 89. DK; RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0475; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0476c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520027a 1956: V560019a 1960: V600024a 1964: V640025a 1968: V680032a

VCF0477a

1972-2004 Mention 2: Like About Democratic Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0401. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0401, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720039b 1976: V763113 1980: V800103 1984: V840095 1988: V880117 1992: V923123 1996: V960213 2000: V000307 2004: V043011b

VCF0477b

1972-2004 Mention 2: Like About Democratic Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal gualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)

- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); guestion not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0477a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720039b 1976: V763113 1980: V800103 1984: V840095 1988: V880117 1992: V923123 1996: V960213 2000: V000307 2004: V043011b

VCF0477c

1952-1968 Mention 2: Like About Democratic Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]? INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0401. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520027b 1956: V560019b 1960: V600024b 1964: V640025b 1968: V680032b

VCF0477d

1952-1968 Mention 2: Like About Democratic Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 21. Experience and abilities
- 22. Character and background
- 23. Personal attraction
- 24. Issues NA whether domestic or foreign

- 25. Stand on domestic policies
- 26. Stand on foreign affairs
- 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 29. Candidate as party representative*
- 30. Other

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0477c.

*Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520027b 1956: V560019b 1960: V600024b 1964: V640025b 1968: V680032b

VCF0478a

1972-2004 Mention 3: Like About Democratic Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0401. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0401, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720039c 1976: V763114 1980: V800104 1984: V840096

1988:	V880118
1992:	V923124
1996:	V960208
2000:	V000308
2004:	V043011c

VCF0478b

1972-2004 Mention 3: Like About Democratic Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0478a.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720039c 1976: V763114 1980: V800104 1984: V840096 1988: V880118 1992: V923124 1996: V960208 2000: V000308 2004: V043011c

VCF0478c

1952-1968 Mention 3: Like About Democratic Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]? INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0401.

See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520027c 1956: V560019c 1960: V600024c 1964: V640025c 1968: V680032c

VCF0478d

1952-1968 Mention 3: Like About Democratic Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 21. Experience and abilities
- 22. Character and background
- 23. Personal attraction
- 24. Issues NA whether domestic or foreign
- 25. Stand on domestic policies
- 26. Stand on foreign affairs
- 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 29. Candidate as party representative*
- 30. Other

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0478c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520027c 1956: V560019c 1960: V600024c 1964: V640025c 1968: V680032c

VCF0479a

1972-2004 Mention 4: Like About Democratic Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE See NOTEs VCF0401. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0401, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012. WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:

1976: V763115 1980: V800105 1984: V840097 1988: V880119 1992: V923125 1996: V960209 2000: V000309 2004: V043011d

VCF0479b

1972-2004 Mention 4: Like About Democratic Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership gualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0479a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763115 1980: V800105 1984: V840097 1988: V880119 1992: V923125 1996: V960209 2000: V000309 2004: V043011d

VCF0479c

1952-1968 Mention 4: Like About Democratic Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]? INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0401. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520027d 1956: V560019d 1960: V600024d 1964: V640025d 1968: V680032d

VCF0479d

1952-1968 Mention 4: Like About Democratic Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 21. Experience and abilities
- 22. Character and background
- 23. Personal attraction
- 24. Issues NA whether domestic or foreign
- 25. Stand on domestic policies
- 26. Stand on foreign affairs
- 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 29. Candidate as party representative*
- 30. Other
- INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0479c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520027d 1956: V560019d 1960: V600024d 1964: V640025d 1968: V680032d

VCF0480a

1972-2004 Mention 5: Like About Democratic Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); guestion not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0401. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0401, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:

1976: V763116 1980: V800106 1984: V840098 1988: V880120 1992: V923126 1996: V960210 2000: V000310 2004: V043011e

VCF0480b

1972-2004 Mention 5: Like About Democratic Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

11. People within party (0001-0097)

- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
- INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0480a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763116 1980: V800106 1984: V840098 1988: V880120 1992: V923126 1996: V960210 2000: V000310 2004: V043011e

VCF0480c

1952-1968 Mention 5: Like About Democratic Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]? INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0401. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520027e 1956: V560019e 1960: V600024e 1964: V640025e 1968: V680032e

VCF0480d

1952-1968 Mention 5: Like About Democratic Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL

CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 21. Experience and abilities
- 22. Character and background
- 23. Personal attraction
- 24. Issues NA whether domestic or foreign
- 25. Stand on domestic policies
- 26. Stand on foreign affairs
- 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 29. Candidate as party representative*
- 30. Other

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0475; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Collapsed from full code VCF0480c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520027e 1956: V560019e 1960: V600024e 1964: V640025e 1968: V680032e

VCF0481

Dislikes Anything about Democratic Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him?

1. Yes 5. No 8. DK 9. NA INAP. no Pre IW; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0402. Code 5 includes cases where R says he/she did not know anything about the candidate. This question was not present as a separate variable until 1972. 2008 NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720040

1976: V763117 1980: V800107 1984: V840099 1988: V880121 1992: V923127 1996: V960211 2000: V000311 2004: V043012 2008: V083010 2012: candlik_disldpc 2016: V161071

VCF0482a

1972-2004 Mention 1: Dislike About Democratic Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

8888. DK (mention 1 only)
8889. RF; NA (mention 1 only)
INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0481; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); guestion not used

_____ GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0402. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0402, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720041a 1976: V763118 1980: V800108 1984: V840100 1988: V880122 1992: V923128 1996: V960212 2000: V000312 2004: V043013a

VCF0482b

1972-2004 Mention 1: Dislike About Democratic Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL

CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

88. DK (mention 1 only)

89. RF; NA (mention 1 only)

INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0481; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0482a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720041a 1976: V763118 1980: V800108 1984: V840100 1988: V880122 1992: V923128 1996: V960212 2000: V000312 2004: V043013a

VCF0482c

1952-1968 Mention 1: Dislike About Democratic Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

9987.Don't know much about candidate 9988. DK (mention 1 only) 9989. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0481; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0402. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520028a

1956:	V560020a
1960:	V600025a
1964:	V640026a
1968:	V680033a

VCF0482d

1952-1968 Mention 1: Dislike About Democratic Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 21. Experience and abilities
- 22. Character and background
- 23. Personal attraction
- 24. Issues NA whether domestic or foreign
- 25. Stand on domestic policies
- 26. Stand on foreign affairs
- 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 29. Candidate as party representative*

30. Other

87.Don't know much about party/candidate; not involved in politics 89. DK; RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0481; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0482c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520028a 1956: V560020a 1960: V600025a 1964: V640026a 1968: V680033a

VCF0483a

1972-2004 Mention 2: Dislike About Democratic Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See NOTEs VCF0402. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0402, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded

tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720041b 1976: V763119 1980: V800109 1984: V840101 1988: V880123 1992: V923129 1996: V960213 2000: V000313 2004: V043013b

VCF0483b

1972-2004 Mention 2: Dislike About Democratic Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Collapsed from full code VCF0483a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720041b 1976: V763119 1980: V800109 1984: V840101 1988: V880123

1992:	V923129
1996:	V960213
2000:	V000313
2004:	V043013b

VCF0483c

1952-1968 Mention 2: Dislike About Democratic Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]? INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0402. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520028b 1956: V560020b 1960: V600025b 1964: V640026b 1968: V680033b

VCF0483d

1952-1968 Mention 2: Dislike About Democratic Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 21. Experience and abilities
- 22. Character and background
- 23. Personal attraction
- 24. Issues NA whether domestic or foreign
- 25. Stand on domestic policies
- 26. Stand on foreign affairs
- 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 29. Candidate as party representative*
- 30. Other
- INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0483c. *Includes: "Wallace and political parties"

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520028b

1956:	V560020b
1960:	V600025b
1964:	V640026b
1968:	V680033b

VCF0484a

1972-2004 Mention 3: Dislike About Democratic Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0402. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0402, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012. WEIGHT:

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720041c 1976: V763120 1980: V800110 1984: V840102 1988: V880124 1992: V923130 1996: V960214 2000: V000314 2004: V043013c

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0484b

1972-2004 Mention 3: Dislike About Democratic Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)

- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); guestion not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0484a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720041c 1976: V763120 1980: V800110 1984: V840102 1988: V880124 1992: V923130 1996: V960214 2000: V000314 2004: V043013c

VCF0484c

1952-1968 Mention 3: Dislike About Democratic Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]? INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; no Pre IW; guestion not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0402. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520028c 1956: V560020c 1960: V600025c 1964: V640026c 1968: V680033c

VCF0484d

1952-1968 Mention 3: Dislike About Democratic Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 21. Experience and abilities
- 22. Character and background
- 23. Personal attraction
- 24. Issues NA whether domestic or foreign
- 25. Stand on domestic policies
- 26. Stand on foreign affairs
- 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 29. Candidate as party representative*
- 30. Other

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0484c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520028c 1956: V560020c 1960: V600025c 1964: V640026c 1968: V680033c

VCF0485a

1972-2004 Mention 4: Dislike About Democratic Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0402. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0402, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763121 1980: V800111 1984: V840103 1988: V880125 1992: V923131 1996: V960215 2000: V000315 2004: V043013d

VCF0485b

1972-2004 Mention 4: Dislike About Democratic Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0485a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763121 1980: V800111 1984: V840103 1988: V880125 1992: V923131 1996: V960215 2000: V000315 2004: V043013d

VCF0485c

1952-1968 Mention 4: Dislike About Democratic Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]? INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0402. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520028d 1956: V560020d 1960: V600025d 1964: V640026d 1968: V680033d

VCF0485d

1952-1968 Mention 4: Dislike About Democratic Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 21. Experience and abilities
- 22. Character and background
- 23. Personal attraction
- 24. Issues NA whether domestic or foreign
- 25. Stand on domestic policies
- 26. Stand on foreign affairs
- 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 29. Candidate as party representative*
- 30. Other
- INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0485c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520028d 1956: V560020d 1960: V600025d 1964: V640026d 1968: V680033d

VCF0486a

1972-2004 Mention 5: Dislike About Democratic Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0402. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0402, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS:

3007CE VAR 1976: V763122 1980: V800112 1984: V840104 1988: V880126 1992: V923132 1996: V960216 2000: V000316 2004: V043013c

VCF0486b

1972-2004 Mention 5: Dislike About Democratic Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0486a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763122 1980: V800112 1984: V840104 1988: V880126 1992: V923132 1996: V960216 2000: V000316 2004: V043013c

VCF0486c

1952-1968 Mention 5: Dislike About Democratic Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]? INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0402. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520028e 1956: V560020e 1960: V600025e 1964: V640026e 1968: V680033e

VCF0486d

1952-1968 Mention 5: Dislike About Democratic Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {DEMOCRATIC PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 21. Experience and abilities
- 22. Character and background
- 23. Personal attraction
- 24. Issues NA whether domestic or foreign
- 25. Stand on domestic policies
- 26. Stand on foreign affairs
- 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 29. Candidate as party representative*
- 30. Other
- INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0481; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0486c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520028e 1956: V560020e 1960: V600025e 1964: V640026e 1968: V680033e

VCF0487

Likes anything about Republican Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him?

1. Yes

5. No

8. DK

9. NA

INAP. no Pre IW; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0405. Code 5 includes cases where R says he/she did not know anything about the candidate. This question was not present as a separate variable until 1972. 2008 SPECIAL NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720042 1976: V763123 1980: V800077 1984: V840081 1988: V880103 1992: V923109 1996: V960217 2000: V000317 2004: V043006 2008: V083012 2012: candlik_likrpc 2016: V161074

VCF0488a

1972-2004 Mention 1: Like About Republican Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

8888. DK (mention 1 only)

- 8889. RF; NA (mention 1 only)
- INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0487; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); guestion not used

_____ GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0405. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0405, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720043a 1976: V763124 1980: V800078 1984: V840082 1988: V880104 1992: V923110 1996: V960218 2000: V000318 2004: V043007a

VCF0488b

1972-2004 Mention 1: Like About Republican Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
- 88. DK (mention 1 only)

89. RF; NA (mention 1 only)
INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0487; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0488a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720043a 1976: V763124 1980: V800078 1984: V840082 1988: V880104 1992: V923110 1996: V960218 2000: V000318 2004: V043007a

VCF0488c

1952-1968 Mention 1: Like About Republican Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

9987.Don't know much about candidate 9988. DK (mention 1 only) 9989. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0487; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0405. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520029a 1956: V560021a 1960: V600026a 1964: V640027a 1968: V680034a

VCF0488d

1952-1968 Mention 1: Like About Republican Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 21. Experience and abilities
- 22. Character and background
- 23. Personal attraction
- 24. Issues NA whether domestic or foreign
- 25. Stand on domestic policies

26. Stand on foreign affairs

27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest

28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest

29. Candidate as party representative*

30. Other

87.Don't know much about party/candidate; not involved in politics 89. DK ;RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0487; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0488c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520029a 1956: V560021a 1960: V600026a 1964: V640027a 1968: V680034a

VCF0489a

1972-2004 Mention 2: Like About Republican Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0405. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0405, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720043b 1976: V763125 1980: V800079 1984: V840083

1988:	V880105
1992:	V923111
1996:	V960219
2000:	V000319
2004:	V043007b

VCF0489b

1972-2004 Mention 2: Like About Republican Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0489a.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720043b 1976: V763125 1980: V800079 1984: V840083 1988: V880105 1992: V923111 1996: V960219 2000: V000319 2004: V043007b

VCF0489c

1952-1968 Mention 2: Like About Republican Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]? INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0405.

See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520029b 1956: V560021b 1960: V600026b 1964: V640027b 1968: V680034b

VCF0489d

1952-1968 Mention 2: Like About Republican Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 21. Experience and abilities
- 22. Character and background
- 23. Personal attraction
- 24. Issues NA whether domestic or foreign
- 25. Stand on domestic policies
- 26. Stand on foreign affairs
- 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 29. Candidate as party representative*
- 30. Other

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0489c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520029b 1956: V560021b 1960: V600026b 1964: V640027b 1968: V680034b

VCF0490a

1972-2004 Mention 3: Like About Republican Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0405. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0405, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012. WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:

1972: V720043c 1976: V763126 1980: V800080 1984: V840084 1988: V880106 1992: V923112 1996: V960220 2000: V000320 2004: V043007c

VCF0490b

1972-2004 Mention 3: Like About Republican Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0490a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720043c 1976: V763126 1980: V800080 1984: V840084 1988: V880106 1992: V923112 1996: V960220 2000: V000320 2004: V043007c

VCF0490c

1952-1968 Mention 3: Like About Republican Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]? INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0405. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520029c 1956: V560021c 1960: V600026c 1964: V640027c 1968: V680034c

VCF0490d

1952-1968 Mention 3: Like About Republican Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 21. Experience and abilities
- 22. Character and background
- 23. Personal attraction
- 24. Issues NA whether domestic or foreign
- 25. Stand on domestic policies
- 26. Stand on foreign affairs
- 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 29. Candidate as party representative*
- 30. Other
- INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0490c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520029c 1956: V560021c 1960: V600026c 1964: V640027c 1968: V680034c

VCF0491a

1972-2004 Mention 4: Like About Republican Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE See NOTEs VCF0405. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0405, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763127 1980: V800081 1984: V840085 1988: V880107 1992: V923113 1996: V960221 2000: V000320 2004: V043007d

VCF0491b

1972-2004 Mention 4: Like About Republican Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Collapsed from full code VCF0491a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763127 1980: V800081 1984: V840085 1988: V880107 1992: V923113 1996: V960221 2000: V000320 2004: V043007d

VCF0491c

1952-1968 Mention 4: Like About Republican Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]? INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; no Pre IW; guestion not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0405. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520029d 1956: V560021d 1960: V600026d 1964: V640027d 1968: V680034d

VCF0491d

1952-1968 Mention 4: Like About Republican Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 21. Experience and abilities
- 22. Character and background
- 23. Personal attraction
- 24. Issues NA whether domestic or foreign
- 25. Stand on domestic policies
- 26. Stand on foreign affairs
- 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 29. Candidate as party representative*

30. Other

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0491c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520029d 1956: V560021d 1960: V600026d 1964: V640027d 1968: V680034d

VCF0492a

1972-2004 Mention 5: Like About Republican Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0405, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3

released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 NOTE:

Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE:

Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE:

See NOTEs VCF0405.

Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763128 1980: V800082 1984: V840086 1988: V880108 1992: V923126 1996: V960222 2000: V000322 2004: V043007c

VCF0492b

1972-2004 Mention 5: Like About Republican Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0492a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763128 1980: V800082 1984: V840086 1988: V880108 1992: V923126 1996: V960222 2000: V000322 2004: V043007c

VCF0492c

1952-1968 Mention 5: Like About Republican Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him?

What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]? INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0405. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520029e 1956: V560021e 1960: V600026e 1964: V640027e 1968: V680034e

VCF0492d

1952-1968 Mention 5: Like About Republican Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote for him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 21. Experience and abilities
- 22. Character and background
- 23. Personal attraction
- 24. Issues NA whether domestic or foreign
- 25. Stand on domestic policies
- 26. Stand on foreign affairs
- 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 29. Candidate as party representative*
- 30. Other

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0487; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0492c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520029e 1956: V560021e 1960: V600026e 1964: V640027e 1968: V680034e

VCF0493

Dislikes anything about Republican Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him?

 Yes
 No
 DK
 NA
 INAP. no Pre IW; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

See NOTEs VCF0406. Code 5 includes cases where R says he/she did not know anything about the candidate. This question was not present as a separate variable until 1972. 2008 SPECIAL NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720044 1976: V763129 1980: V800083 1984: V840087 1988: V880109 1992: V923115 1996: V960223 2000: V000323 2004: V043008 2008: V083014 2012: candlik_dislrpc 2016: V161077

VCF0494a

1972-2004 Mention 1: Dislike About Republican Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

8888. DK (mention 1 only)
8889. RF; NA (mention 1 only)
INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0493; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

See NOTEs VCF0406. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0406, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will

GENERAL NOTE:

integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720045a 1976: V763130 1980: V800078 1984: V840088 1988: V880110 1992: V923116 1996: V960224 2000: V000324 2004: V043009a

VCF0494b

1972-2004 Mention 1: Dislike About Republican Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

88. DK (mention 1 only)

89. RF; NA (mention 1 only)

INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0493; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0494a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720045a 1976: V763130 1980: V800078 1984: V840088 1988: V880110 1992: V923116 1996: V960224 2000: V000324 2004: V043009a

VCF0494c

1952-1968 Mention 1: Dislike About Republican Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

9987.Don't know much about candidate 9988. DK (mention 1 only) 9989. RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0493;no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0406. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520030a 1956: V560022a 1960: V600027a 1964: V640028a 1968: V680035a

VCF0494d

1952-1968 Mention 1: Dislike About Republican Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 21. Experience and abilities
- 22. Character and background
- 23. Personal attraction
- 24. Issues NA whether domestic or foreign
- 25. Stand on domestic policies
- 26. Stand on foreign affairs
- 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 29. Candidate as party representative*
- 30. Other

87.Don't know much about party/candidate; not involved in politics 89. DK; RF; NA (mention 1 only) INAP. 5,8,9 in VCF0493;no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0494c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520030a 1956: V560022a 1960: V600027a 1964: V640028a 1968: V680035a

VCF0495a

1972-2004 Mention 2: Dislike About Republican Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0406. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0406, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 NOTE. Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720045b 1976: V763131 1980: V800085 1984: V840089 1988: V880111 1992: V923117 1996: V960225 2000: V000325 2004: V043009b

VCF0495b

1972-2004 Mention 2: Dislike About Republican Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)

- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0495a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720045b 1976: V763131 1980: V800085 1984: V840089 1988: V880111 1992: V923117 1996: V960225 2000: V000325 2004: V043009b

VCF0495c

1952-1968 Mention 2: Dislike About Republican Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]? INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0406. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520030b 1956: V560022b 1960: V600027b 1964: V640028b 1968: V680035b

VCF0495d

1952-1968 Mention 2: Dislike About Republican Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

21. Experience and abilities

- 22. Character and background
- 23. Personal attraction
- 24. Issues NA whether domestic or foreign
- 25. Stand on domestic policies
- 26. Stand on foreign affairs
- 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 29. Candidate as party representative*
- 30. Other
- INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0495c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520030b 1956: V560022b 1960: V600027b 1964: V640028b 1968: V680035b

VCF0496a

1972-2004 Mention 3: Dislike About Republican Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0406. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0406, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:

1972: V720045c 1976: V763132 1980: V800086 1984: V840090 1988: V880112 1992: V923118 1996: V960226 2000: V000326 2004: V043009c

VCF0496b

1972-2004 Mention 3: Dislike About Republican Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0496a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720045c 1976: V763132 1980: V800086 1984: V840090 1988: V880112 1992: V923118 1996: V960226 2000: V000326 2004: V043009c

VCF0496c

1952-1968 Mention 3: Dislike About Republican Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]? INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0406. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520030c 1956: V560022c 1960: V600027c 1964: V640028c 1968: V680035c

VCF0496d

1952-1968 Mention 3: Dislike About Republican Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 21. Experience and abilities
- 22. Character and background
- 23. Personal attraction
- 24. Issues NA whether domestic or foreign
- 25. Stand on domestic policies
- 26. Stand on foreign affairs
- 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 29. Candidate as party representative*
- 30. Other

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0496c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520030c 1956: V560022c 1960: V600027c 1964: V640028c 1968: V680035c

VCF0497a

1972-2004 Mention 4: Dislike About Republican Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0406. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0406, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallying a count of all 1972 mentions, including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS:

1976: V763133 1980: V800087 1984: V840091 1988: V880113 1992: V923119 1996: V960227 2000: V000327 2004: V043009d

VCF0497b

1972-2004 Mention 4: Dislike About Republican Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0497a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763133 1980: V800087 1984: V840091 1988: V880113 1992: V923119 1996: V960227 2000: V000327 2004: V043009d

VCF0497c

1952-1968 Mention 4: Dislike About Republican Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]? INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0406. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520030d 1956: V560022d 1960: V600027d 1964: V640028d 1968: V680035d

VCF0497d

1952-1968 Mention 4: Dislike About Republican Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 21. Experience and abilities
- 22. Character and background
- 23. Personal attraction
- 24. Issues NA whether domestic or foreign
- 25. Stand on domestic policies
- 26. Stand on foreign affairs
- 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 29. Candidate as party representative*
- 30. Other
- INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0497c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520030d 1956: V560022d 1960: V600027d 1964: V640028d 1968: V680035d

VCF0498a

1972-2004 Mention 5: Dislike About Republican Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0406. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1972 SPECIAL NOTE: Only 3 mentions were included in the 1972 ANES dataset release. Note that VCF0406, which was created in the 1970s, was originally coded tallving a count of all 1972 mentions. including those (not extant) which were not part of the 1972 ANES release file. However, only the 3 released mentions are represented in this file. 2008 NOTE: Master codes were under revision for the 2008 Time Series Study and are not available at the time of this release (for the ANES Time Series Cumulative Data File). It is currently unclear how the new codes will integrate with this file. 2012 NOTE: Party-candidate codes have not yet been coded for the 2012 Time Series. It is unclear how the new coding will integrate with this file. 2016 NOTE: Same as 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763134 1980: V800088 1984: V840092 1988: V880114 1992: V923120 1996: V960228 2000: V000328 2004: V043009e

VCF0498b

1972-2004 Mention 5: Dislike About Republican Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him?

What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; form II (1972); abbrev. IW form (1980: see VCF0015a); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0498a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763134 1980: V800088 1984: V840092 1988: V880114 1992: V923120 1996: V960228 2000: V000328 2004: V043009e

VCF0498c

1952-1968 Mention 5: Dislike About Republican Presidential Cand

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]? INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0406. See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1952-1968' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520030e 1956: V560022e 1960: V600027e 1964: V640028e 1968: V680035e

VCF0498d

1952-1968 Mention 5: Dislike About Republican Presidential Cand COLLAPSED

Is there anything in particular about {REPUBLICAN PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE} that might make you want to vote against him? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 21. Experience and abilities
- 22. Character and background
- 23. Personal attraction
- 24. Issues NA whether domestic or foreign
- 25. Stand on domestic policies
- 26. Stand on foreign affairs
- 27. Bad for/anti/keep in check/cease favoring group or interest
- 28. Good for/better for/help to/made up of/fair to group or interest
- 29. Candidate as party representative*

 Other
 INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,8,9 in VCF0493; no Pre IW; question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF0498c. *Includes: 'Wallace and political parties'

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520030e 1956: V560022e 1960: V600027e 1964: V640028e 1968: V680035e

VCF0501

Difference Between Major Parties

Do you think there are any important differences in what the Republicans and Democrats stand for?

1. No difference

2. Yes, a difference

9. DK; yes, a difference but don't know what (1964,1966); depends (1972)

0. NA; no Pre IW; no Post IW; form II,III,IV (1972); telephone IW (1984); form B (1986); form A (1990) INAP. question not used

1952 NOTE:

176 respondents have been coded 2 from category 'minor differences (not important); some differences, don't know what.'

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520017 1960: V600229 1964: V640298,V640299

1966: V660081
1968: V680346
1972: V720498
1976: V763184
1980: V800776
1984: V840867
1986: V860541
1988: V880797
1990: V900336
1992: V925901
1994: V840708
1996: V961182
1998: V980370
2000: V001435
2004: V045159
2008: V085118
2012: ptydiff_diff
2016: V162190

VCF0502

Which Major Party is More Conservative 3-Category

1960-1976:

Would you say that one of the parties (1972: either party) is more conservative or more liberal than the other (1970-1976: at the national level)?

(IF YES:) Which party is more conservative?

(IF NO 1960,1968:) Do you think that people generally consider the Democrats or the Republicans more conservative or wouldn't you want to guess about that?

(IF NO OR DK 1964,1970,1972,1976:) Do you think that people generally consider the Democrats or the Republicans more conservative or wouldn't you want to guess about that?

1984 AND LATER:

Would you say that either one of the parties is more conservative than the other at the national level? (IF YES:) Which party is more conservative?

1. Yes, Democrats more conservative

2. Yes, Republicans more conservative

9. No, both the same; DK; no guess (1970-1976); other (1968,1970,1972)

0.NA; no Post IW; telephone IW (1984); form II,III,IV (1972); form A (1990) INAP. question not used

```
GENERAL NOTE:
```

After 1976, respondents answering no/DK to the initial question were not prompted further. 1960 NOTE: 'No' or 'DK' responses to the stem question were combined with cases coded DK in the single study variable released. 1964 NOTE: same as 1960. 1968 NOTE: same as 1960.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1960: V600232 1964: V640302 1968: V680361 1970: V700177(type 0)

1972: V720500 1976: V763194 1984: V840874,V840875 1988: V880810,V880811 1990: V900349,V900350 1992: V925914,V925915 2004: V045160,V045160a 2008: V085119,V085119a 2012: ptycons_cons,ptycons_ptyconswh 2016: V162191,V162191a

VCF0502a

Which Major Party is More Conservative 4-Category

1970-1976:

Would you say that one of the parties (1972: either party) is more conservative or more liberal than the other at the national level? (IF YES:) Which party is more conservative? (IF NO OR DK:) Do you think that people generally consider the Democrats or the Republicans more conservative or wouldn't you want to guess about that? 1984 AND LATER:

Would you say that either one of the parties is more conservative than the other at the national level? (IF YES:) Which party is more conservative?

- 1. Yes, Democrats more conservative
- 2. Yes, Republicans more conservative
- 5. No, both the same
- 9. DK whether one more conservative; yes, one more conservative but DK which party
- NA whether one more conservative; yes, one more conservative but NA which party; no Post IW; telephone IW (1984); form II,III,IV (1972); form A (1990)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: After 1976, respondents answering no/DK to the initial question were not prompted further.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1970: V700177(type 0) 1972: V720500 1976: V763194 1984: V840874,V840875 1988: V880810,V880811 1990: V900349,V900350 1992: V925914,V925915 2004: V045160,V045160a 2008: V085119,V085119a 2012: ptycons_cons,ptycons_ptyconswh 2016: V162191,V162191a

VCF0503

Democratic Party- Liberal-Conservative Scale

We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. I'm going to show you (1996 AND LATER: Here is) a seven-point scale

on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative. Where would you place the Democratic Party {on this scale}? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

- 1. Extremely liberal
- 2. Liberal
- 3. Slightly liberal
- 4. Moderate, middle of the road
- 5. Slightly conservative
- 6. Conservative
- 7. Extremely conservative
- 8. DK party placement

 NA party placement; DK/NA self-placement (1984-1996); refused to choose self-placement (1996); no Post IW; form III,IV (1972)
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

1972-1982: If self-placement was 'DK,' NA or 'haven't thought much' R was not asked for party placements on this scale. 1984-1996: If R responded 'DK,' NA or 'haven't thought much about it' to self-placement AND if R responded 'DK' or NA (1996: or 'refused to choose') to the self-placement follow-up ('If you had to choose would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?' [VCF0849]), then R was not asked for party placements on this scale. In 1996 and later, ordering of placements for the 2 major parties was randomized. 1980 NOTE:

This var was present in both the pre and post; pre data are represented here.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720656 1974: V742309 1976: V763289 1978: V780401 1980: V800279 1982: V820405 1984: V840373 1986: V860393 1988: V880235 1990: V900413 1992: V923518 1994: V940847 1996: V960379 1998: V980411 2000: V001382 2004: V043090 2008: V083071a 2012: libcpre_ptyd 2016: V161130

VCF0504

Republican Party- Liberal-Conservative Scale

Republican Party - R's rating of party on liberal/conservative scale

- 1. Extremely liberal
- 2. Liberal

- 3. Slightly liberal
- 4. Moderate, middle of the road
- 5. Slightly conservative
- 6. Conservative
- 7. Extremely conservative
- 8. DK party placement

 NA party placement; DK/NA self-placement (1984-1996); refused to choose self-placement (1996); no Post IW; form III,IV (1972)
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0503

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720657 1974: V742310 1976: V763290 1978: V780402 1980: V800278 1982: V820404 1984: V840374 1986: V860394 1988: V880234 1990: V900414 1992: V923517 1994: V940848 1996: V960380 1998: V980412 2000: V001383 2004: V043091 2008: V083071b 2012: libcpre_ptyr 2016: V161131

VCF0507

Which Party Favors Government Help With Medical Care

1960,1962:

Which party do you think is more likely to see to it that people get doctors and hospital care at low cost, the Democrats or the Republicans, or wouldn't there be any difference? 1964, 1968: Which party do you think is more likely to want the government to help in getting doctor and hospital care at low cost, the Democrats, the

Republicans, or wouldn't there be any difference between them on this?

Democrats
 No difference
 Republicans

8. DK which party

9. NA party placement0. NA; no opinion for R's opinion on this issue; no Pre IWINAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See also: VCF0508-VCF0511.

If R's own opinion on this issue was 'no opinion,' 'DK' or NA, then R was not asked this question.

1986 NOTE: Code 2 includes 6 cases where George Wallace/American Independent Party was mentioned.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1960: V600068 1962: V620060 1964: V640077 1968: V680065

VCF0508

Democratic Party- Government Health Insurance Scale

There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some (1988,1994: people) feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses (1988,1994: for everyone). Others feel that (1988,1994: all) medical expenses should be paid by individuals, and through private insurance like Blue Cross (1988,

1994: or other company paid plans). Where would you place the Democratic Party on this scale [1988 ONLY: or haven't you thought much about this]? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

- 1. Government insurance plan
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7. Private insurance plan

0. NA party placement; DK self-placement(1972,1976,1978,1994); haven't thought much about self-placement (1970,1972,1976,1978,1994); NA self-placement (all years exc. 1970); form II (1972); haven't thought much about party placement (1988 ONLY)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

1972-1978: If self-placement was 'DK,' NA or 'haven't thought much', then R was not asked for party placements on this scale. 1970 NOTE: If R responded 'haven't thought much' for self-placement then party

placements were not asked; however, if R responded DK or NA for selfplacement party placements were asked.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1970: V700135(type 0) 1972: V720212 1976: V763276 1978: V780383 1988: V880322 1994: V940954 2012: inspre_dem

^{8.} DK party placement

See also: VCF0507.

VCF0509

Republican Party- Government Health Insurance Scale

Republican Party - R's rating of party on govt health insurance scale

1. Government insurance plan

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7. Private insurance plan

8. DK party placement

0. NA party placement; DK self-placement(1972,1976,1978,1994); haven't thought much about self-placement (1970,1972,1976,1978,1994); NA self-placement (all years exc. 1970); form II (1972); haven't thought much about party placement (1988 ONLY) INAP. guestion not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0508.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1970: V700136(type 0) 1972: V720213 1976: V763277 1978: V780384 1988: V880321 1994: V940955 2012: inspre_rep

VCF0512

Which Party Favors Guaranteed Jobs and Standard of Living

1960:

Which party do you think is more likely to see to it that everybody who wants to work can find a job, the Democrats, the Republicans, or wouldn't there be any difference between them? 1964,1968:

Which party do you think is more likely to favor the government seeing to it that each person has a job and a good standard of living (1964 only: the Democrats, the Republicans, or wouldn't there be any difference between them on this)?

- 1. Democrats
- 2. No difference
- 3. Republicans

8. DK which party

0. NA party placement; DK/NA/no opinion for self-placement; no Pre IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See also: VCF0513-VCF0514. If R's own opinion on this issue was 'no opinion,' 'DK' or NA, then R was not asked this question. 1968 NOTE: Code 2 includes 6 cases where George Wallace/American Independent Party was mentioned.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1960: V600055 1964: V640080 1968: V680067

VCF0513

Democratic Party- Guaranteed Jobs-Living Scale

Some people feel that the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. (1972-1978: Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1). Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on his/her own. (1972-1978: Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5 or 6.) Where would you place the Democratic Party {on this scale}? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

- 1. Government see to job and good standard of living
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7. Government let each person get ahead on his own
- 8. DK party placement
- 9. NA party placement
- 0. DK/NA/haven't thought much about self-placement; form III,IV (1972); form II and no Post IW (1972); no Post IW; short form or Spanish language (1992); telephone IW (2000)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See also: VCF0512. Prior to 2000, Rs with self-placement 'DK,' NA or 'haven't thought much about it' were not asked for party placements on this scale. 1972 NOTE: Form I respondents were asked this guestion in the Pre, form II respondents in the Post 2000 NOTE 1: Telephone respondents were asked a branching series and telephone data are not included. 2000 NOTE 2: The order in which Democratic and Republican party placements were administered was randomized. 2004 NOTE: The order in which Democratic and Republican party placements were administered was randomized.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720176,V720617 1974: V742269 1976: V763244 1978: V780359 1980: V801122 1982: V820427 1984: V840417 1988: V880329 1992: V923722 1994: V940934 2000: V000631 2012: guarpr_dem

VCF0514

Republican Party- Guaranteed Jobs-Living Scale

Republican Party - R's rating of party on jobs/std of living scale

- 1. Government see to job and good standard of living
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7. Government let each person get ahead on his own
- 8. DK party placement
- 9. NA party placement
- 0. DK/NA/haven't thought much about self-placement; form III,IV (1972); form II and no Post IW (1972); no Post IW; short form or Spanish language (1992); telephone IW (2000) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0513.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720177,V720618 1974: V742270 1976: V763245 1978: V780360 1980: V801121 1982: V820428 1984: V840418 1988: V880328 1992: V923721 1994: V940935 2000: V000636 2012: guarpr_rep

VCF0517

Democratic Party- Aid to Blacks Scale

1988 FORM A, 1990 AND LATER: Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every possible effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks. Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves.

1970-1984, 1988 FORM B: Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every possible effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks (1970: Negroes) and other minority groups (1980: even if it means giving them preferential treatment). Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help minorities because they should help themselves (1970: but they should be expected to help themselves).

ALL YEARS: Where would you place the Democratic Party (on this scale} (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Government should help minority groups

2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Minority groups should help themselves 8. DK party placement 9. NA party placement 0. DK self-placement (1972-1984,1990,1994); NA self-placement

(1972-1990,1994); haven't thought much about self-placement (1970-1984, 1990,1994); no Post IW; form III,IV (1972); telephone IW (2000) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Note that form B in 1988 and all cases in previous years specified 'blacks and other minorities' in this guestion, while form A in 1988 and all cases in 1990 specified only 'blacks.' To filter for form A/B responses in 1988, use variable VCF0012. Rs with self-placement 'haven't thought much about it' in 1970 or with self-placements 'haven't thought much about it' or DK in 1972, 1984, 1990, 1994 were not asked for party placements on this scale (if R's self-placement was DK or NA in 1970, R was asked for party placements.) If R's self-placement was NA in 1972-1984, 1990, 1994 then R was not asked for party placements. 2000 NOTE 1: Telephone respondents were asked a branching series and telephone data are not included. 2000 NOTE 2: The order in which Democratic and Republican party placements were administered was randomized. 2004 NOTE: The order in which Democratic and Republican party placements were administered was randomized.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:

1970: V700107(type 0) 1972: V720633 1974: V742300 1976: V763267 1978: V780375 1980: V801074 1982: V820417 1984: V840385 1988: V880338,V880346 1990: V900449 1994: V940938 2000: V000661 2004: V043162

VCF0518

Republican Party- Aid to Blacks Scale

Republican Party - R's rating of party on aid to blacks/minorities scale

- 1. Government should help minority groups
- 2.
- 3.

4.

5.

6.

7. Minority groups should help themselves

8. DK party placement

- 9. NA party placement
- 0. DK self-placement (1972-1984,1990,1994); NA self-placement (1972-1990,1994); haven't thought much about self-placement (1970-1984, 1990,1994); no Post IW; form III,IV (1972); telephone IW (2000)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0517

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1970: V700108(type 0) 1972: V720634 1974: V742301 1976: V763268 1978: V780376 1980: V801073 1982: V820418 1984: V840386 1988: V880337,V880345 1990: V900450 1994: V940939 2000: V000666 2004: V043163

VCF0521

Which Party Favors Stronger Government

Which party do you think is more likely to favor a stronger [1978,1980, 1984: more powerful; 1988,1992: a powerful] government in Washington -- the Democrats, the Republicans, or wouldn't there be any difference between them on this?

- 1. Democrats
- 2. No difference
- 3. Republicans
- 8. DK which party
- 0. Filter response is that R has no opinion/interest for topic or DK whether has own opinion on topic (all years); R says s/he has own opinion but gives opinion as DK (1964,1968,1972,1976,1988,1992); R says s/he has own opinion but gives opinion as NA (all years); R's own opinion is: thinks govt not getting too strong but DK/NA if it should become more powerful (1988,1992 ONLY); R's own opinion is that

govt is not too strong AND should stay as it is (1988,1992 ONLY); R's own opinion is 'other/ depends' (1988 ONLY); NA which party; no Post IW; form I (1972); telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

In 1964-1988, 1992, 2000, if the following answers were given when R was asked if R had an opinion on the question of whether the government is getting too powerful, then R was not asked this question: 'no' (opinion) (1964, 1976-1992, 2000); 'no interest' (1968, 1972); NA (all years); 'DK' (all years). Additionally, in 1964, 1968, 1972, 1976, 1988, 1992, 2000 if R replied 'yes, ' that R did have an interest/opinion BUT responded 'DK' or NA when asked for that opinion, then R was not asked this question. In 1988, 1992, 2000, among Rs who indicated that they had an opinion on this issue, Respondents who were asked this question were limited to Rs having their own opinions as one of the following: 1) The government is too powerful; OR 2) The government is not getting too strong AND (followup) the government should become more powerful. 1992 NOTE: 46 cases were not asked this question due to an error in skip instructions. WFIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640073 1968: V680063 1972: V720058 1976: V763226 1978: V780456 1980: V801131 1984: V841062 1988: V880851 1992: V926018 2000: V001512,V001515

VCF0521a

Which Party Favors Stronger Government w/ No Opinion

Which party do you think is more likely to favor a stronger [1978,1980, 1984: more powerful; 1988,1992: a powerful] government in Washington -- the Democrats, the Republicans, or wouldn't there be any difference between them on this?

- 1. Democrats
- 2. No difference
- 3. Republicans
- 9. DK which party; no opinion
- 0. R's own opinion is: thinks govt. not getting too strong but DK/NA if it should become more powerful (1988,1992 ONLY); R's own opinion is that govt is not too strong AND should stay as it is (1988,1992 ONLY); R's own opinion is 'other/depends' (1988 ONLY); NA which party; no Post IW; form I (1972); telephone IW (1984)
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See notes VCF0521.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640073 1968: V680063 1972: V720058 1976: V763226 1978: V780456 1980: V801131 1984: V841062 1988: V880851 1992: V926018 2000: V001515

VCF0522

Which Party Will Best Avoid War/Bigger War

Looking ahead, do you think the problem of keeping out of war (1966-1972: a bigger war) would be handled better in the next four years (1966-1970: two years) by the Republicans, by the Democrats, or about the same by both? [2000: IF 'DK' OR 'NEITHER PARTY' IS VOLUNTEERED, DO NOT PROBE] 1. Better by Democrats 2. Same by both Better by Republicans 9. DK; depends (1972); neither (2000) 0. NA; Form II (1972); no Pre IW; question not administered in assigned half-sample (1996) INAP. question not used GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0010/VCF0010A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0010. Note that use of VCF0010/VCF0010A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 2 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. 1996 NOTE: The order of parties read in the question text was randomized. 2004 NOTE: see 1996 NOTE. WEIGHT: VCF0010x/VCF0010y/VCF0010z SOURCE VARS: 1956: V560086 1960: V600087 1964: V640141 1966: V660040

1968: V680117 1970: V700046(type 1) 1972: V720052 1980: V800196 1982: V820308 1984: V840249 1986: V860524 1986: V860524 1998: V980253 1992: V923602 1996: V960408 2000: V000506 2004: V043111

VCF0523

Which Party Favors Military Spending Cut

Which political party do you think is more in favor of cutting military spending - the Democrats, the Republicans, or wouldn't there be much difference between them?

Democrats
 Not much difference
 Republicans

8. DK 0. NA; no Post IW; form II,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720589 1976: V763359

VCF0524

Democratic Party- Rights of Accused Scale

Some people are primarily concerned with doing everything possible to protect the legal rights of those accused of committing crimes. Others feel that it is more important to stop criminal activity even at the risk of reducing the rights of the accused. Where would you place the Democratic Party {on this scale}? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

- 1. Protect rights of accused
- 2.
- 3.
- ა. ∡
- 4.

5.

6.

7. Stop crime regardless of rights of accused

8. DK party placement

0. NA party placement; NA/haven't thought much about self-placement (all years); DK/NA self-placement (1972-1978); no Post IW; form III, IV (1972)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Rs who responded 'haven't thought much about it' for self-placement were not asked for party placements on this scale. In 1972-1978, Rs who responded 'DK' for self-placement were not asked for party placements on this scale.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:

1970: V700121(type 0) 1972: V720625 1974: V742284 1976: V763251 1978: V780367

VCF0525

Republican Party- Rights of Accused Scale

Republican Party - R's rating of party on rights of accused scale

1. Protect rights of accused

2.

3.

4. 5.

6.

7. Stop crime regardless of rights of accused

8. DK party placement

0. NA party placement; NA/haven't thought much about self-placement (all years); DK/NA self-placement (1972-1978); no Post IW; form III, IV (1972) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0524.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1970: V700122(type 0) 1972: V720626 1974: V742285 1976: V763252 1978: V780368

VCF0528

Democratic Party- Urban Unrest Scale

There is much discussion about the best way to deal with the problem of urban unrest and rioting. Some say it is more important to use all available force to maintain law and order - no matter what results. Others say it is more important to correct the problems of poverty and unemployment that give rise to the disturbances. Where would you place the Democratic Party {on this scale}? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R) 1. Solve problems of poverty and unemployment 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Use all available force

8. DK party placement

0. NA party placement; DK/NA/haven't thought much about self-placement (1972,1976); no Post IW; form I,III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

September 10, 2019

GENERAL NOTE: In 1972-1976, Rs who responded 'DK,' NA or 'haven't thought much about it' for self-placement were not asked for party placements on this scale.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1970: V700086(type 0) 1972: V720674 1974: V742277 1976: V763770

VCF0529

Republican Party- Urban Unrest Scale

Republican Party - R's rating of party on urban unrest scale

1. Solve problems of poverty and unemployment 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Use all available force 8. DK party placement 0. NA party placement; DK/NA/haven't thought much about self-placement (1972,1976); no Post IW; form I,III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used _____ GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0528. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS: 1970: V700087(type 0) 1972: V720675 1974: V742278 1976: V763771

VCF0533

Democratic Party- School Busing Scale

There is much discussion about the best way to deal with racial problems. Some people think achieving racial integration of schools is so important that it justifies busing children to schools out of their own neighborhoods. Others think letting children go to their neighborhood schools is so important that they oppose busing. Where would you place the Democratic Party {on this scale}? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

- 1. Bus to achieve integration
- 2.

3.
 4.
 5.
 6.
 7. Keep children in neighborhood schools

8. DK party placement0. NA party placement; DK/NA/haven't thought much about self-placementINAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Rs who responded 'DK,' NA or 'haven't thought much about it' for selfplacement were not asked for party placements on this scale.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720206 1974: V742292 1976: V763260

VCF0534

Republican Party- School Busing Scale

Republican Party - R's rating of party on school busing scale

Bus to achieve integration
 a.
 4.
 5.
 6.
 7. Keep children in neighborhood schools
 8. DK party placement
 0. NA party placement; DK/NA/haven't thought

0. NA party placement; DK/NA/haven't thought much about self-placement INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0533.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720207 1974: V742293 1976: V763261

VCF0537

Democratic Party- Women Equal Role Scale

Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry, and government. Others feel that women's place is in the home. Where would you place the Democratic Party {on this scale}? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Women and men should have an equal role 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Women's place is in the home 8. DK party placement 0. NA party placement; DK/NA/haven't thought much about self-placement (1972-1988); no Post IW INAP. question not used GENERAL NOTE: Prior to 1998, Rs who responded 'DK,' NA or 'haven't thought much about it' for self- placement were not asked for party placements on this scale. 1998 NOTE: The order in which this rating was administered for the 2 major parties was randomized. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720236 1976: V763790 1978: V780391 1980: V801106 1982: V820437

1988: V880391

1998: V980453

VCF0538

Republican Party- Women Equal Role Scale

Republican Party - R's rating of party on equal role for women scale

- Women and men should have an equal role
 3.
 4.
 5.
 6.
 7. Women's place is in the home
- 8. DK party placement
- 0. NA party placement; DK/NA/haven't thought much about self-placement (1972-1988); no Post IW

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0537.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720237 1976: V763791

1978:	V780392
1980:	V801105
1982:	V820438
1988:	V880390
1998:	V980454

VCF0541

Democratic Party- Government Services-Spending Scale

Some people think the government should provide fewer services, even in areas such as health and education, in order to reduce spending. (1996 AND LATER: Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1). Other people feel that it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. (1996: Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5 or 6.) Where would you place the Democratic Party {on this scale}? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Government should provide many fewer services: reduce spending a lot

- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.

7. Government should provide many more services: increase spending a lot

8. DK party placement

0. NA party placement; DK/haven't thought much about self-placement (1982,1984,1990,1994); NA self-placement (exc. 1986); short form or Spanish language (1992); telephone IW (2000)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

In 1982-1984, 1990, 1994, 1996, Rs who responded 'DK,' NA or 'haven't thought much about it' for self-placement were not asked for party placements on this scale. 1996 AND LATER NOTE: the order in which this rating was administered for the 2 major parties was randomized. 1988 NOTE: If R's self-placement was NA , then R was not asked for party placements. . 1992 NOTE: same as 1988. 1980 NOTE: The 1980 version of this question was not comparable. 2000 NOTE 1: Telephone respondents were asked a branching series, and 2000 telephone data are not included. 2000 NOTE 2: The order in which Democratic and Republican party placements were administered was randomized.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1982: V820445 1984: V840378 1986: V860455 1988: V880308 1990: V900456

1992: V923705 1994: V940944 1996: V960461 1998: V980468 2000: V000569 2012: spsrvpr_ssdem

VCF0542

Republican Party- Government Services-Spending Scale

Republican Party - R's rating of party on government services scale

1. Government should provide many fewer services: reduce spending a lot 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Government should provide many more services: increase spending a lot 8. DK party placement 0. NA party placement; DK/haven't thought much about self-placement (1982,1984, 1990,1994); NA self-placement (exc. 1986); short form or Spanish language (1992); telephone IW (2000) INAP. question not used GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0541 WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS: 1982: V820446 1984: V840379 1986: V860456 1988: V880307 1990: V900457 1992: V923704 1994: V940945 1996: V960462

VCF0545

Democratic Party- Cooperation with U.S.S.R. Scale

1998: V980469 2000: V000575 2004: V043141 2012: spsrvpr_ssrep

1980: Some people feel it is important for us to try very hard to get along with Russia. Others feel it is a big mistake to try too hard to get along with Russia. 1984,1988: Some people feel it is important for us to try to cooperate more with Russia, while others believe we should be much tougher in our dealings with Russia. ALL YEARS: Where would you place the Democratic Party on this scale? (7-PT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

Cooperate more/get along with Russia
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .</

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V801090 1984: V840411 1988: V880374

VCF0546

Republican Party- Cooperation with U.S.S.R. Scale

Republican Party - R's rating of party on cooperate with Russia scale

Cooperate more/get along with Russia
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .</

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0545.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V801089 1984: V840412 1988: V880373

VCF0549

Democratic Party- Defense Spending Scale

Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense.

(1996,2004: Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased. (1996,2004: Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between at points 2,3,4,5 or 6.) Where would you place the Democratic Party on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Greatly decrease defense spending

2.

3.

4.

5. 6.

7. Greatly increase defense spending.

8. DK party placement

0. NA party placement; DK/haven't thought much about self-placement (1980,1984, 1990); NA self-placement (exc. 1986); short form or Spanish language (1992); telephone IW (2000)

INAP. question not used

```
GENERAL NOTE:
```

In 1980-1984, 1990, Rs who responded 'DK,' NA or 'haven't thought much about it' for self-placement were not asked for party placements on this scale. 1988 NOTE: If R's self-placement was NA then R was not asked for party placements. 1992 NOTE: same as 1988. 1996 (and later) NOTE: The order in which this rating was administered for the 2 major parties was randomized. 2000 NOTE 1: Telephone respondents were asked a branching series, and 2000 telephone data are not included. 2004 NOTE: see 1996 NOTE. 2012 NOTE: The 7-point scale used in 2012 was not comparable.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800287 1982: V820409 1984: V840398 1986: V860412 1988: V880316 1990: V900443 1992: V923711 1996: V960477 2000: V000598 2004: V043146

VCF0550

Republican Party- Defense Spending Scale

Republican Party - R's rating of party on defense spending

1. Greatly decrease defense spending

2.

- 3.
 4.
 5.
 6.
 7. Greatly increase defense spending.
- 8. DK party placement

0. NA party placement; DK/haven't thought much about self-placement (1980,1984, 1990); NA self-placement (exc. 1986); short form or Spanish language (1992); telephone IW (2000) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0549.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800286 1982: V820410 1984: V840399 1986: V860413 1988: V880315 1990: V900444 1992: V923710 1996: V960478 2000: V000603 2004: V043147

VCF0601

Approve Participation in Protests

There are many possible ways for people to show their disapproval or disagreement with governmental policies and actions. I am going to describe three such ways. We would like to know which ones you approve of as ways of showing dissatisfaction with the government and which ones you disapprove of.

How about taking part in protest meetings or marches that are permitted by the local authorities? Would you approve of taking part, disapprove, or would it depend on the circumstances?

Disapprove
 Pro-con, depends, don't know
 Approve

0. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0010/VCF0010A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0010. Note that use of VCF0010/VCF0010A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 2 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N.

WEIGHT: VCF0010x/VCF0010y/VCF0010z

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680472 1970: V700141(type 1) 1972: V720275 1974: V742238

VCF0602

Approve Civil Disobedience

How about refusing to obey a law which one thinks is unjust, if the person feels so strongly about it that he is willing to go to jail rather than obey the law? Would you approve of a person doing that, Disapprove, or would it depend on the circumstances?

Disapprove
 Pro-con, depends, don't know
 Approve

0.7.001010

0. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See introductory text VCF0601. Weight variable VCF0010/VCF0010A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0010. Note that use of VCF0010/VCF0010A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 2 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N.

WEIGHT: VCF0010x/VCF0010y/VCF0010z

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680474 1970: V700142(type 1) 1972: V720276 1974: V742239

VCF0603

Approve Demonstrations

Suppose all other methods have failed and the person decides to try to stop the government from going about its usual activities with sitins, mass meetings, demonstrations, and things like that? Would you approve of that, disapprove, or would it depend on the circumstances?

- 1. Disapprove
- 2. Pro-con, depends, don't know
- 3. Approve

0. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See introductory text VCF0601. Weight variable VCF0010/VCF0010A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0010. Note that use of VCF0010/VCF0010A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 2 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N.

WEIGHT:

VCF0010x/VCF0010y/VCF0010z

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680476 1970: V700143(type 1) 1972: V720277 1974: V742240 1976: V763909

VCF0604

Trust the Federal Government To Do What is Right

People have (1958,1964: I'd like to talk about some of the) different ideas about the government in Washington. These ideas don't refer to Democrats or Republicans in particular, but just to government in general. We want to see how you feel about these ideas. (1996 and later: For example:)

How much of the time do you think you can trust the government in Washington to do what is right -- just about always, most of the time (not 1966: or) only some of the time (1996: or almost never)?

- 1. None of the time/never (VOLUNTEERED); almost never (1966 only)
- 2. Some of the time
- 3. Most of the time
- 4. Just about always
- 9. DK; depends

0. NA; no Post IW; split versions: not asked (2008); abbrev. telephone IW (1984: See VCF0015b)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

The order in which questions VCF0604-VCF0608 appeared may have varied. 1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form. 2008 NOTE: This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents (verion 'OLD'); the remaining respondents were administered an alternative version of the question (version 'NEW'). A half-sample of respondents were administered this question with response options in reverse order. 2012 NOTE: This question was asked of a random 1/2 sample of respondents (the remaining 1/2 sample was asked a different version of the question).

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1958: V580157 1964: V640403 1966: V660173 1968: V680504 1970: V700151(type 0) 1972: V720571 1974: V742230 1976: V763163 1978: V780347 1980: V800402 1982: V820529 1984: V841064 1986: V860548 1988: V880955 1990: V900504 1992: V926120

1994: V941033 1996: V961251 1998: V980528 2000: V001534 2002: V025174 2004: V045197 2008: V085147a 2012: trustgov_trustgstd

VCF0605

Federal Government Run by Few Interests or for the Benefit of All

Would you say the government is pretty much run by a few big interests looking out for themselves or that it is run for the benefit of all the people?

1. Few big interests

2. Benefit of all

9. DK; pro-con; depends; other; refused to choose; both

0. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984: See VCF0015b) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: The order in which questions VCF0604-VCF0608 appeared may have varied. 1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640405 1966: V660176 1968: V680505 1970: V700152(type 0) 1972: V720572 1974: V742231 1976: V763164 1978: V780348 1980: V800403 1982: V820530 1984: V841065 1988: V880957 1990: V900506 1992: V926122 1994: V941035 1996: V961253 1998: V980529 2000: V001536 2002: V025176 2004: V045198 2008: V085148 2012: trustgov bigintrst 2016: V161216

VCF0606

How Much Does the Federal Government Waste Tax Money

Do you think that people in the government waste a lot of money we pay in taxes, waste some of it, or don't waste very much of it?

1. A lot 2. Some 3. Not very much 9. DK

0. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984: See VCF0015b) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: The order in which questions VCF0604-VCF0608 appeared may have varied. 1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form. 2008 NOTE:. A half-sample of respondents were administered this question with response options in reverse order.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1958: V580156 1964: V640402 1968: V680503 1970: V700150(type 0) 1972: V720570 1974: V742229 1976: V763162 1978: V780346 1980: V800401 1982: V820528 1984: V841063 1988: V880956 1990: V900505 1992: V926121 1994: V941034 1996: V961252 1998: V980527 2000: V001535 2002: V025175 2004: V045198 2008: V085149 2012: trustgov_waste 2016: V161217

VCF0607

How Many Government Officials Are Smart

Do you feel that almost all of the people running the government are smart people (1958-1972: who usually know what they are doing), or do you think that quite a few (1970: quite a lot) of them don't seem to know what they are doing?

- 1. Don't know what they're doing
- 2. Know what they're doing
- 9. DK; pro-con; depends; other; refused to choose; both

0. MD; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: The order in which questions VCF0604-VCF0608 appeared may have varied. 1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1958: V580159 1964: V640404 1968: V680506 1970: V700153(type 0) 1972: V720573 1974: V742232 1976: V763165 1978: V780349 1980: V800404

VCF0608

How Many Government Officials Are Crooked

Do you think that quite a few of the people running the government are (1958-1972: a little) crooked, not very many are, or do you think hardly any of them are crooked (1958-1972: at all)?

1. Quite a few; quite a lot (1958-1972)

- 2. Not many
- 3. Hardly any
- 9. DK

0. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984: See VCF015) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

The order in which questions VCF0604-VCF0608 appeared may have varied. 1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form. 2008 NOTE:. A half-sample of respondents were administered this question with response options in reverse order.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1958: V580155 1964: V640401 1968: V680507 1970: V700154(type 0) 1972: V720574 1974: V742233 1976: V763166 1978: V780350 1980: V800405 1984: V841066 1988: V880958 1990: V900507 1992: V926123 1994: V941036 1996: V961254 1998: V980526 2000: V001537 2002: V025177 2004: V045200 2008: V085150 2012: trustgvpo_crook

VCF0609

Government Officials Care What People Like Respondent Think

1952-1980,1982,1992: Now I'd like to read some of the kinds of things people tell us when we interview them. Please tell me whether (1992: and ask you whether) (1966,1988,1990,1994-LATER: how much) you agree or disagree with these statements (1992: with them) (2002: about the government.) (1988,1990: You can just give me the number of your choice.) (1992: I'll read them one at a time and you just tell me whether you agree or disagree) (1996 and later: The first is:) 1952-1988,1992:

'I don't think public officials care much what people like me think.' 1990,1994-LATER:

'Public officials don't care much what people like me think.' 2000 TELEPHONE,2002:

Do you agree, neither agree nor disagree, or disagree with this statement?

1. Agree

2. Disagree

3. Neither agree nor disagree (1988 and later only)

9. DK; not sure; it depends; can't say; refused to say

0. NA; no Pre IW; no Post IW; split versions: not asked (2008); form B (1986)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Prior to 1988, response options were read to R. In 1988 and later (except 2000 telephone and 2002), response options were in the Respondent Booklet. Code 1 includes: 'strongly agree' and 'agree' from the 1966 data; 'agree strongly' and 'agree somewhat' from 1988 and later data (exc. 2002). Code 2 includes: 'strongly disagree' and 'disagree' from the 1966 data; 'disagree somewhat' and 'disagree strongly' from 1988 and later data (exc. 2002). 1952 NOTE: The order of items appearing in VCF0609-VCF0618 was varied. 1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form. 2008 NOTE: This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents (verion 'C'); the remaining respondents were administered an alternative version of the question (version 'D'). 2012 NOTE: This question was asked of a random 1/2 sample of respondents (the remaining 1/2 sample was asked a different version of the question).

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520118 1956: V560115 1960: V600114 1964: V640177 1966: V660118 1968: V680520 1970: V700147(type 0) 1972: V720272 1974: V742225 1976: V763818 1978: V780354 1980: V801033 1982: V820532 1984: V840313 1986: V860549

1988: V880938 1990: V900508 1992: V926103 1994: V941037 1996: V961244 1998: V980524 2000: V001527 2002: V025172 2004: V045201 2008: V085151c 2012: effic_carestd 2016: V162215

VCF0610

Congressmen Lose Touch with Constituents

Agree
 Disagree
 DK; it depends; can't say; refused to say

0. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

See introductory text VCF0609. GENERAL NOTE: The order in which questions VCF0609-VCF0618 appeared may have varied.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680521 1970: V700148(type 0) 1972: V720273 1974: V742226 1976: V763819 1978: V780355 1980: V801034

VCF0611

Parties Only Interested in Votes

Agree
 Disagree
 DK; it depends; can't say; refused to say

0. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

See introductory text VCF0609. GENERAL NOTE: The order in which questions VCF0609-VCF0618 appeared may have varied.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:

1968: V680523 1970: V700149(type 0) 1972: V720274 1974: V742227 1976: V763820 1978: V780356 1980: V801035

VCF0612

Voting is the Only Way to Have a Say in Government

- 1. Agree
- 2. Disagree
- 9. DK; depends; not sure; can't say; refused to say; neither agree nor disagree (1988)

0. NA; no Pre IW; no Post IW INAP. question not used

See introductory text VCF0609. GENERAL NOTE: The order in which questions VCF0609-VCF0618 appeared may have varied. 1966 NOTE: This question was asked as a Likert Scale (i.e., strongly agree/ agree/ disagree/ strongly disagree), collapsed here.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520120 1956: V560109 1960: V600108 1964: V640175 1966: V660116 1968: V680518 1970: V700145(type 0) 1972: V720270 1974: V742223 1976: V763816 1978: V780352 1980: V801031

1. Agree 2. Disagree

VCF0613

People like Respondent Have Any Say in What the Government Does

3. Neither agree nor disagree (1988 and later only)
9. DK; depends; not sure; can't say; refused to say
0. NA; no Pre IW; no Post IW; split versions: not asked (2008) INAP. question not used
See introductory text VCF0609.
GENERAL NOTE: The order in which guestions VCF0609-VCF0618 appeared may have varied.

September 10, 2019

Prior to 1988, response options were read to R. In 1988 and later (except 2000 telephone and 2002), response options were shown to R in the Respondent Booklet. Code 1 includes: 'strongly agree' and 'agree' from the 1966 data 'agree strongly' and 'agree somewhat' from 1988 and later data (exc. 2002). Code 2 includes: 'strongly disagree' and 'disagree' from the 1966 data; 'disagree somewhat' and 'disagree strongly' from 1988 and later data (exc. 2002). 1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form. 1996 NOTE: This question was asked in both the Pre and Post; Pre data are included here. 2008 NOTE: This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents (verion 'C'); the remaining respondents were administered an alternative version of the question (version 'D'). 2012 NOTE: This question was asked of a random 1/2 sample of respondents (the remaining 1/2 sample was asked a different version of the question).

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520123 1956: V560108 1960: V600107 1964: V640174 1966: V660115 1968: V680517 1970: V700144(type 0) 1972: V720269 1974: V742222 1976: V763815 1978: V780351 1980: V801030 1982: V820531 1984: V840312 1988: V880937 1990: V900509 1992: V926102 1994: V941038 1996: V960568 1998: V980525 2000: V001528 2002: V025173 2004: V045202 2008: V085151d 2012: effic_saystd 2016: V162216

VCF0614

Politics and Government Seem Too Complicated

- 1. Agree
- 2. Disagree
- 3. Neither agree nor disagree (1988 and later only)
- 9. DK; depends; not sure; can't say; refused to say

0. NA; no Pre IW; no Post IW; split versions: not asked (2008) INAP. question not used

See introductory text VCF0609. GENERAL NOTE:

The order in which questions VCF0609-VCF0618 appeared may have varied. Prior to 1988, response options were read to R. In 1988 and later (except 2000 telephone and 2002), response options were shown to R in the Respondent Booklet. Code 1 includes: 'strongly agree' and 'agree' from the 1966 data 'agree strongly' and 'agree somewhat' from 1988 and later data (exc. 2002). Code 2 includes: 'strongly disagree' and 'disagree' from the 1966 data; 'disagree somewhat' and 'disagree strongly' from 1988 and later data (exc. 2002). 1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form. 1996 NOTE: This question was asked in both the Pre and Post; Pre data are included here. 2008 NOTE: This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents (verion 'C'); the remaining respondents were administered an alternative version of the question (version 'D'). 2012 NOTE: This question was asked of a random 1/2 sample of respondents (the remaining 1/2 sample was asked a different version of the question). 2016 NOTE: The 2016 version of this question is not comparable.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520125 1956: V560112 1960: V600111 1964: V640176 1966: V660117 1968: V680519 1970: V700146(type 0) 1972: V720271 1974: V742224 1976: V763817 1978: V780353 1980: V801032 1984: V840314 1988: V880939 1990: V900510 1992: V926104 1994: V941039 1996: V961246 1998: V980523 2000: V001529 2008: V085151a 2012: effic_complicstd

VCF0615

Matter Whether Respondent Votes or Not

2002: Now I'd like to read you a few statements about public life. I'll read them one at a time. Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with each of them.
ALL YEARS:
'So many other people vote in the national elections that it doesn't matter much to me whether I vote or not.'
2000:
Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)
2002:
Do you AGREE, NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE, or DISAGREE with this statement?

- 1. Agree (2000: agree strongly/somewhat)
- 2. Disagree (2000: disagree strongly/somewhat)
- 3. Neither agree nor disagree (2000, 2002 only)
- 9. DK; depends; not sure; can't say; refused to say

0. NA; no Pre IW; IW conducted prior to addition of question (2000) INAP. question not used

See introductory text VCF0609. GENERAL NOTE: The order in which questions VCF0609-VCF0618 appeared may have varied. 2000 NOTE 1: Response options changed. 2000 NOTE 2: This variable was added to the 2000 Post questionnaire after it had already been in the field several days.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520122 1956: V560111 1960: V600110 1972: V720288 1976: V763349 1978: V780423 1980: V800144 2000: V001520 2002: V025171

VCF0616

Should Those Who Don't Care About Election Outcome Vote

- 1. Agree
- 2. Disagree
- 3. Neither agree nor disagree (1988, 1990, 1992 only)
- 9. DK; depends; not sure; can't say; refused to say

0. NA; no Pre IW; no Post IW INAP. guestion not used

See introductory text VCF0609. GENERAL NOTE: The order in which questions VCF0609-VCF0618 appeared may have varied. Prior to 1988, response options were read to R. In 1988 and later, response options were shown to R in the Respondent Booklet. Code 1 includes: 'agree strongly' and 'agree somewhat' from 1988 and later data. Code 2 includes: 'disagree somewhat' and 'disagree strongly' from 1988 and later data. 1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520124 1956: V560113 1960: V600112 1972: V720289 1976: V763350

1978: V780424 1980: V800145 1984: V840311 1988: V880936 1992: V926101

VCF0617

Should Someone Vote If Their Party Can't Win

Agree
 Disagree
 DK; depends; not sure; can't say; refused to say

0. NA; no Pre IW INAP. question not used

See introductory text VCF0609. GENERAL NOTE: The order in which questions VCF0609-VCF0618 appeared may have varied.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520117 1956: V560110 1960: V600109 1972: V720287 1976: V763348 1978: V780422 1980: V800143

VCF0618

A Good Many Local Elections Unimportant

Agree
 Disagree
 DK; depends; not sure; can't say; refused to say

0. NA; no Pre IW INAP. question not used

See introductory text VCF0609. GENERAL NOTE: The order in which questions VCF0609-VCF0618 appeared may have varied by study.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520121 1956: V560114 1960: V600113 1972: V720290 1976: V763351 1978: V780425

1980: V800146

VCF0619

Most People Can Be Trusted OR: Can't Be Too Careful With People

1968:

Now here is something different. We have questions on other things besides politics. ALL YEARS:

Generally speaking, would you say that most people can be trusted, or that you can't be too careful in dealing with people?

- 1. Can't be too careful
- 2. Most people can be trusted

9. DK; other; depends; both; can't say; refused to say

0. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972); split versions: not asked (2008) INAP. question not used

1996 NOTE: This question was asked in both the Pre and Post; Pre data are used here. 2008 NOTE: This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents (verion 'G'); the remaining respondents were administered an alternative version of the question (version 'H'). 2012: This question was not asked comparably in 2012.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640398 1966: V660136 1968: V680500 1972: V750581 1974: V742400 1976: V763745 1992: V926139 1996: V960567 1998: V980532 2000: V001475 2002: V025101 2004: V045186 2008: V083092a

VCF0620

People Are Helpful OR: People Look Out for Themselves

Would you say that most of the time people try to be helpful, or that they are mostly just looking out for themselves?

Just look out for themselves
 Try to be helpful
 DK; other; depends; both; can't say; refused to say
 NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972)
 INAP. question not used

1968 NOTE: See intro VCF0619. 2002 NOTE: Respondents were randomly assigned to either VCF0620 or VCF0621.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640399 1966: V660137 1968: V680501 1972: V720582 1974: V742401 1976: V763746 1992: V926140 2000: V001477 2002: V025103 2004: V045188

VCF0621

People Will Take Advantage of Others OR: People Will Try to be Fair

Do you think most people would try to take advantage of you if they got a chance, or would they try to be fair?

- 1. Would take advantage
- 2. Would try to be fair
- 9. DK; other; depends; both; can't say; refused to say

0. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

1968 NOTE: See intro VCF0619. 1996 NOTE: This question was asked in both the Pre and Post; Pre data are used here. 2002 NOTE: Respondents were randomly assigned to either VCF0620 or VCF0621.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640400 1968: V680502 1972: V720583 1974: V742402 1976: V763747 1996: V960569 1998: V980531 2000: V001476 2002: V025102 2004: V045187

VCF0622

How Much Government Pays Attention to What People Think

Over the years, how much attention do you feel the government pays to what the people think when it decides what to do -- a good deal, some, or not much?

- 1. Not much
- 2. Some
- 3. A good deal

9. DK; other; depends; can't say

0. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0010/VCF0010A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0010. Note that use of VCF0010/VCF0010A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 2 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N.

WEIGHT: VCF0010x/VCF0010y/VCF0010z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640390 1968: V680490 1970: V700155(type 1) 1972: V720575 1974: V742234 1976: V763741 1978: V780416 1980: V800888 1982: V820527 1984: V840310 1988: V880960 1992: V926125 1996: V961256 1998: V980521 2000: V001539

VCF0623

How Much Parties Make Government Pay Attention to People

How much do you feel that political parties help to make the government pay attention to what the people think, a good deal, some or not much?

Not much
 Some
 A good deal
 DK; other; depends; can't say

0. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV 1972) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Weight variable VCF0010/VCF0010A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0010. Note that use of VCF0010/VCF0010A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 2 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N.

WEIGHT: VCF0010x/VCF0010y/VCF0010z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640391 1968: V680491 1970: V700156(type 1)

1972: V720576
1974: V742235
1976: V763742
1978: V780417
1980: V800889

VCF0624

How Much Elections Make Government Pay Attention to People

(And) How much do you feel that having elections makes the government pay attention to what the people think, a good deal, some or not much?

Not much
 Some
 A good deal
 DK; other; depends; can't say

0. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0010/VCF0010A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0010. Note that use of VCF0010/VCF0010A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 2 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. 2008 NOTE:. A half-sample of respondents were administered this question with response options in reverse order.

WEIGHT: VCF0010x/VCF0010y/VCF0010z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640392 1966: V660177 1968: V680492 1970: V700157(type 1) 1972: V720577 1974: V742236 1976: V763743 1978: V780418 1980: V800890 1984: V840309 1988: V880959 1992: V926124 1996: V961255 1998: V980522 2000: V001538 2002: V025178 2004: V045204 2008: V085154 2012: respons_elections 2016: V161220

VCF0625

How Much Attention Congressmen Pay to Constituents

How much attention do you think most Congressmen pay to the people who elect them when they decide what to do in Congress, a good deal, some, or not much?

- 1. Not much
- 2. Some
- 3. A good deal

9. DK; other; depends; can't say

0. NA; no Post IW; form 2 whites (1970); form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0010/VCF0010A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0010. Note that use of VCF0010/VCF0010A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 2 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N.

WEIGHT: VCF0010x/VCF0010y/VCF0010z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640393 1968: V680493 1970: V700158(type 1) 1972: V720578 1974: V742237 1976: V763744 1978: V780419 1980: V800891

VCF0626

Respondent Usually Able to Carry Out Plans as Expected

1958,1960,1964:

Now here is something a little different. It helps use know what kinds of people we have talked to if we can find out how they feel about things besides politics. For example.. 1968-1972: Now here is something different. We have questions on other things besides politics. 1976: Now we have a few questions on other things besides politics. ALL YEARS: When you do make plans ahead, do you usually get to carry out things the way you expected, or do things usually come up to make you change your plans?

1. Have to change plans

- 2. Things work out as expected
- 9. DK; pro-con; it depends; both other; refused to choose

0. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1958: V580164 1960: V600240 1964: V640362 1968: V680496 1970: V700160(type 0) 1972: V720279 1974: V742397

1976: V763737

VCF0627

Better to Plan Life a Good Way Ahead

Do you think it's better to plan your life a good way ahead, or would you say life is too much a matter of luck to plan ahead very far?

- 1. Too much luck to plan
- 2. Plan ahead

9. DK; pro-con; it depends; both; other; refused to choose

0. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See introductory text VCF0626.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680495 1970: V700159(type 0) 1972: V720278 1974: V742396 1976: V763736

VCF0628

Sure Life Would Work out as Expected

Have you usually felt pretty sure your life would work out the way you want it to, or have there been times when you haven't been (1958: very) sure about it?

- 1. Haven't been sure
- 2. Pretty sure
- 9. DK; pro-con; it depends; both; other; refused to choose

0. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See introductory text VCF0626.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1958: V580160 1960: V600238 1964: V640360 1968: V680497 1970: V700161(type 0) 1972: V720280 1974: V742398 1976: V763738

VCF0629

Can Run Life as Wanted

Some people feel they can run their lives pretty much the way they want to; others feel the problems of life are sometimes too big for them. Which one are you most like?

1. Problems of life too big

2. Can run own life

9. DK; pro-con; it depends; both; other; refused to choose

0. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See introductory text VCF0626.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680498 1970: V700162(type 0) 1972: V720281 1974: V742399 1976: V763739

VCF0630

Government Level Respondent Has Most Faith in

We find that people differ in how much faith and confidence they have in various levels of government in this country. In your case, do you have more faith and confidence in the national government, the government of this state, or in the local government around here?

- 1. National government
- 2. State government
- 3. Local government
- 9. DK; none; all; other; depends; refuses to choose; combination of two

0. NA; no Post IW; form I,III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680508 1972: V720453 1974: V742244 1976: V763593 1996: V961203

VCF0631

Government Level Respondent Has Least Faith in

Which level (1996: of government) do you have the least faith and

confidence in -- the national government, the government of this state, or the local government around here?

 National government
 State government
 Local government
 DK; none; all; other; depends; refuses to choose; combination of two
 NA; no Post IW; form I,III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used
 WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
 SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680510 1972: V720454

1974: V742247 1976: V763596 1996: V961204

VCF0632

Branch of Government Respondent Trusts Most

Which part of the government on the list do you most often trust to do what's right: Congress, the Supreme Court, the President, or political parties? (LIST SHOWN TO R)

1. Congress

- 2. Supreme Court
- 3. President
- 4. Political parties
- 9. DK; all; none

0. NA; no Post IW; form I,III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720450 1974: V742241 1976: V763590

VCF0633

Branch of Government Respondent Trusts 2nd Most

Which of the others do you next often trust to do what's right {Congress, Supreme Court, President or political parties}?

- 1. Congress
- 2. Supreme Court
- 3. President
- 4. Political parties
- 9. DK; all; none
- 0. NA; no Post IW; form I,III,IV (1972); answered all, none, DK or NA

in VCF0632 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0632.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720451 1974: V742242 1976: V763591

VCF0634

Branch of Government Respondent Trusts Least

Which {part of the government} do you least often trust to do what's right {Congress, Supreme Court, President or political parties}?

- 1. Congress
- 2. Supreme Court
- 3. President
- 4. Political parties
- 9. DK; all; none

 NA; no Post IW; form I,III,IV (1972); answered all, none, DK or NA in VCF0632
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See VCF0632.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720452 1974: V742243 1976: V763592

VCF0640

Government Handling of Most Important Problem mentioned by Respondent

(IF R HAS MENTIONED A 'MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM' IN THE NATION:) How good (of) a job is the government doing in dealing with this problem: a good job, only fair, or a poor job?

- 1. Good job
- 3. Only fair
- 5. Poor job
- 8. Don't know
- 9. NA; R not selected (1996,2000); no Post IW; abbrev. Post IW (1984); short form questionnaire (1992)
 0. R has not identified any problem
- INAP. question not used
- September 10, 2019

GENERAL NOTE: This represents the follow-up question to VCF0875/VCF0875a/VCF0875b. 1996 NOTE: A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected to be administered questions about the 'most important problem'. 2000 NOTE: same as 1996. 2004 NOTE: The CSES version of this question, included in the 2004 study, is not comparable. WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763691 1978: V780316 1980: V800984 1984: V840994 1986: V860307 1988: V880818 1992: V925727 1996: V961142 1998: V980347 2000: V000437

VCF0648

External Efficacy Index

External efficacy index, 100-pt scale

0. Least efficacious

100. Most efficacious

999. Not scored in both VCF0609 and VCF0613 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0609 AND VCF0613. Component vars are first recoded as follows: VCF0609, VCF0613: 1=0,2=100,3=50. The recoded values are then totaled and divided by the number of valid responses. The result is then rounded.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0649

Government Responsiveness Index

Government responsiveness index, 100-pt scale

0. Least responsive

100. Most responsive

999. Not scored in both VCF0622 and VCF0624 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Built from VCF0622 AND VCF0624. Component vars are first recoded as follows: VCF0622, VCF0624: 1=0,2=50,3=100. The recoded values are then totaled and divided by the number of valid responses. The result is then rounded. Weight variable VCF0010/VCF0010A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0010. Note that use of VCF0010/VCF0010A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 2 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N.

WEIGHT: VCF0010x/VCF0010y/VCF0010z

VCF0650

Federal Government Performance Rating

Now, I'd like to ask you how good a job you feel some of the parts of our government are doing. As I read, please give me the number that best describes how good a job you feel that part of the government is doing for the country as a whole. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN WASHINGTON

0. Very poor job
1.
2. Poor job
3.
4. Fair job
5.
6. Good job
7.
8. Very good job
9. DK; NA; no opinion; haven't thought about it; no Post IW INAP. question not used
WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
SOURCE VARS:

SOURCE VARS: 1974: V742251 1976: V763548 1980: V800762

VCF0651

State Government Performance Rating

State governments, in general

- 0. Very poor job 1.
- 2. Poor job
- 3.
- 4. Fair job
- 5. 6. Good job
- 7. 3000 j
- 8. Very good job

9. DK; NA; no opinion; haven't thought about it; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0650.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1974: V742252 1976: V763549 1980: V800763

VCF0652

Local Government Performance Rating

Local governments, in general

- 0. Very poor job
- 1. 2. Poor job

3.

- 4. Fair job
- 5.
- 6. Good job

7.

8. Very good job

9. DK; NA; no opinion; haven't thought about it; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0650.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1974: V742253 1976: V763550 1980: V800764

VCF0653

Presidency Performance Rating

The presidency

- 0. Very poor job
- 1.
- 2. Poor job
- Fair job
- 5.
- 6. Good job
- 7.
- 8. Very good job

9. DK; NA; no opinion; haven't thought about it; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0650.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1974: V742254 1976: V763551 1980: V800765

VCF0654

Congress Performance Rating

Congress, that is the U.S. Senate and the House of Representatives

Very poor job
 Poor job
 Poor job
 Fair job
 Good job
 Very good job
 Very good job
 DK; NA; no opinion; haven't thought about it; no Post IW

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0650.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1974: V742255 1976: V763552 1980: V800766

VCF0655

Supreme Court Performance Rating

The U.S. Supreme Court

Very poor job
 Poor job
 Poor job
 Fair job
 Good job
 7.

8. Very good job

9. DK; NA; no opinion; haven't thought about it; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See VCF0650.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1974: V742256 1976: V763553 1980: V800767

VCF0656

Trust in Government Index

Trust in government index, 100 pt scale

0. Least trusting

100. Most trusting

999. Not scored in all of: VCF0604, VCF0605, VCF0606, VCF0608. INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0604, VCF0605, VCF0606, VCF0608. Component vars are first recoded as follows: VCF0604: 1=0,2=33,3=67,4=100; VCF0605: 1=0, 2=100; VCF0606, VCF0608: 1=0,2=50,3=100. The recoded values are then totaled and divided by the number of valid responses. The result is then rounded.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0675

How Much of the Time Does Respondent Trust the Media to Report Fairly

How much of the time do you think you can trust the media to report the news fairly? Just about always, most of the time, only some of the time, or almost never?

- 1. Just about always
- 2. Most of the time
- 3. Only some of the time
- 4. Almost never
- 5. None of the time [VOL]
- 8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

2008 NOTE:. A half-sample of respondents were administered this question with

response options in reverse order.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1996: V961339 1998: V980474 2000: V001429 2004: V045007 2008: V085024

VCF0700

Who Will Be Elected President in November

Who do you think will be elected President in November?

1. Democratic candidate

- 2. Republican candidate
- 7. Other candidate
- 8. DK; depends

9. NA; refused; no Pre IW INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520013 1956: V560012 1960: V600017 1964: V640018 1968: V680025 1972: V720025 1976: V763026 1980: V800054 1984: V840076 1988: V880098 1992: V923102 1996: V960381 2000: V000485 2004: V043093 2008: V083073 2016: V161146

VCF0701

Registered to Vote Pre-Election

Now how about the election this November. (1952-1964: Do you know if you are; 1968-1980: Are you) registered/eligible so that you could vote in the November election if you wanted to?

1. No 2. Yes

0. DK; NA; no Pre IW; form II (1972) INAP. question not used

This is a pre-election var. See VCF0737 for post-election registration question.

GENERAL NOTE:

Code 2 includes cases coded 'yes, qualified, I think so' from 1956, 1960 and 1964. From 1980, code 0 includes 1 response that voters were not required to register in respondent's state (this case was coded 1 in VCF0703). Beginning in 2012, respondents were asked where they were registered in both the Pre and Post. Summary registration status for these years can be found in VCF0703.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520077 1956: V560098 1960: V600099 1964: V640158 1968: V680131 1972: V720164 1976: V763032 1980: V800134

VCF0702

Did Respondent Vote in the National Elections

1948:

In the election, about half the people voted and about half of them didn't. Did you vote? 1962:

One of the things we need to know is whether or not people really did get to vote this fall. In talking to people about the election we find that a lot of people weren't able to vote because they weren't registered or they were sick or something else came up at the last minute. Do you remember for sure whether or not you voted in the November election?

1952-1960,1964-1998, 2002 VERSION 1, and 2004 VERSION 1: In talking to people about the election we (1972 AND LATER: often) find that a lot of people weren't able to vote because they weren't registered or they were sick or they just didn't have time. (1956-1960: How about you, did you vote this time?) (1964-1970: How about you, did you vote this time, or did something keep you from voting) (1972-1976: How about you, did you vote in the elections this fall?) (1978 and later: How about you, did you vote in the elections this November?) 2000, 2002 VERSION2, and 2004 VERSION 1: In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time. Which of the following statements best describes you: One, I did not vote (in the election this November); Two, I thought about voting this time - but didn't; Three, I usually vote, but didn't this time; or Four, I am sure I voted?

1. No, did not vote

2. Yes, voted

0. DK; NA; no Post IW; refused to say if voted; Washington D.C. (presidential years only) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Code 2 includes 8 respondents from 1958. 8 respondents from 1962 and

14 respondents from 1968, who replied 'yes, I think so.' 1956 NOTE: Code 2 includes 3 cases from: 'Nonvoter, NA preference; voted, but not for president'. 1982 NOTE: For congressional districts GA04 and GA05, an additional question appeared: How about the special US Congressional elections held November 30th. Did you vote in that election? From 1982, code 2 includes all GA04, GA05 respondents who voted in either the November 2 general election or the November 30 special election, or both. 2002 NOTE: Respondents were randomly assigned to either version 1 or version 2.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1948: V480018 1952: V520178 1956: V560203 1958: V580077 1960: V600201 1962: V620041 1964: V640286 1966: V660102 1968: V680310 1970: V700209(type 0) 1972: V720477 1974: V742319 1976: V763655 1978: V780470 1980: V800988 1982: V820501 1984: V840783 1986: V860261 1988: V880756 1990: V900279 1992: V925601 1994: V940601 1996: V961074 1998: V980303 2000: V001241 2002: V025016 2004: V045018X 2008: V085036x 2012: rvote2012_x 2016: V162065x

VCF0703

Register and Turnout SUMMARY

Summary: did R register and vote

- 1. Not registered, and did not vote
- 2. Registered, but did not vote
- 3. Voted (registered)
- DK/NA if voted; DK/NA whether registered (includes 1960 nonvoter cases without pre IWs and 1972 form II nonvoters); no Post IW; refused to say if voted; Washington D.C. (presidential years only)
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Derived from: vote variable VCF0702 and registration variable VCF0701/VCF0737 (VCF0701 pre-election data for 1952-1976, 1980; VCF0737 post-election data for 1978, 1982-later; for 1952 cases without pre-election interviews, post-election data from VCF0737).

All respondents who reported voting have been coded 3, regardless of whether they actually reported being registered. No registration variable at all was present in 1958, 1962, 1966, 1970 or 1974. In some years a few respondents have declared that registration was not required in their state; these cases have been included with 'registered'. 2004 NOTE: This is a summary variable of vote and registration status.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520077, V520178 1956: V560098, V560203 1960: V600099, V600201 1964: V640158,V640286 1968: V680131, V680310 1972: V720164.V720477 1976: V763032, V763655 1978: V780484, V780470 1980: V800134, V800988 1982: V820519.V820501 1984: V840783,V840802 1986: V860261, V860276 1988: V880756,V880757 1990: V900279,V900280 1992: V925601, V925602 1994: V940601,V940602 1996: V961074,V961075 1998: V980303, V980304 2000: V001241, V001242 2002: V025016, V025017 2004: V045018x 2008: V085039a

2008: V085039a 2012: rvote2012_x,prevote_regist_addr,prevote_regist_noaddr,postvote_regist_addr,postvote_regist_noaddr 2016: V162031x,V162032x

VCF0704

Vote for President- Major Candidates

1948: (IF R VOTED:) Whom did you vote for? 1952-1964: (IF R VOTED:) Who did you vote for President? 1968-1976: (IF R VOTED:) Who did you vote for in the election for President? 1980-LATER: (IF R VOTED:) How about the election for President? Did you vote for a candidate for President? (IF YES:) Who did you vote for?

- 1. Democrat
- 2. Republican

3. Major third party candidate (Wallace 1968/Anderson 1980/Perot 1992,1996)

 Did not vote; DK/NA if voted; refused to say if voted; voted, but not for president (1980-1992); DK/NA if voted for president; DK/NA who voted for (1980-1992); refused to say who voted for; 'other' vote; no Post IW
 INAP. guestion not used

INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:

1948: V480018
1952: V520178
1956: V560203
1960: V600201
1964: V640286
1968: V680316
1972: V720478
1976: V763665
1980: V800994
1984: V840788
1988: V880763
1992: V925609
1996: V961082
2000: V001249
2004: V045026
2008: V085044a
2012: presvote2012_x

VCF0704a

Vote for President- Major Parties

Major party presidential vote (2 party)

- 1. Democrat
- 2. Republican
- Did not vote; DK/NA if voted; refused to say if voted; voted, but not for president (1980-1992); DK/NA if voted for president; DK/NA who voted for (1980-1992); refused to say who voted for; 'other' vote; no Post IW

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0704.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1948: V480018 1952: V520178 1956: V560203 1960: V600201 1964: V640286 1968: V680316 1972: V720478 1976: V763665 1980: V800994 1984: V840788 1988: V880763 1992: V925609 1996: V961082 2000: V001249 2004: V045026 2008: V085044a 2012: presvote2012_x 2016: V162062x

VCF0705

Vote for President- Major Parties and Other

Presidential vote (major parties and all 'other')

- 1. Democrat
- 2. Republican
- 3. Other (incl. 3d/minor party candidates and write-ins)
- Did not vote; DK/NA if voted; refused to say if voted; voted, but not for president (1980-1992); DK/NA if voted for president (1980-1992); DK/NA who voted for; refused to say who voted for; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0704.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1948: V480018 1952: V520178 1956: V560203 1960: V600201 1964: V640286 1968: V680316 1972: V720478 1976: V763665 1980: V800994 1984: V840788 1988: V880763 1992: V925609 1996: V961082 2000: V001249 2004: V045026 2008: V085044a 2012: presvote2012_x 2016: V162062x

VCF0706

Vote and Nonvote- President

Presidential vote and nonvote

- 1. Democrat
- 2. Republican
- 3. Major third party candidate (Wallace 1968/Anderson 1980/Perot 1992,1996)
- 4. Other (incl. 3d/minor party candidates and write-ins)
- 7. Did not vote or voted but not for president (exc.1972)

 DK/NA if voted; refused to say if voted; DK/NA if voted for president (1980 and later); voted but not for president (1972 Only); DK/NA who voted for; refused to say who voted for; no Post IW

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0704. 1972 NOTE: Rs who voted but not for president were combined with NA.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1948: V480018 1952: V520178 1956: V560203 1960: V600201

1964: V640286 1968: V680316 1972: V720478 1976: V763665 1980: V800994 1984: V840788 1988: V880763 1992: V925609 1996: V961082 2000: V001249 2004: V045026 2008: V085044a 2012: presvote2012_x,rvote2012_x,prevote_presvt,postvote_presvt 2016: V162062x,V162031x,V162034

VCF0707

Vote for Congressman

1952-1970:

COUNTY OF REGISTRATION NOT DETERMINED [NO BALLOT CARD]: How about the vote for Congressman. Did you vote for a candidate for Congress? (IF YES:) Who did you vote for? Which party was that? 1972:

COUNTY OF REGISTRATION NOT DETERMINED [NO BALLOT CARD]: How about the election for Congressman-- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington? Which party's candidate did you vote for for Congressman?

1974,1976:

COUNTY OF REGISTRATION NOT DETERMINED: [NO BALLOT CARD]: How about the election for Congressman-- that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington? Did you vote for a candidate for Congress? Whom did you vote for? Which party was that? 1978:

ALL CASES [BALLOT CARD]:

.....

Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district. How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington? Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? (IF YES:) Who did you vote for?

I. 1980-LATER - REGISTERED IN IW COUNTY:

1980-1982,1984 PERSONAL,1986-1996,1998 PERSONAL,2000 PERSONAL [BALLOT CARD]:

Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district. How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington? Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? (IF YES:) Who did you vote for?

1984 TELEPHONE [NO BALLOT CARD]:

I am going to read a list of candidates for the major races in your district. In the election for the House of Representatives, the ballot listed: [Names and party affiliations of all House candidates on the Ballot Card]. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? (IF YES:) Who did you vote for? 1998 TELEPHONE [BALLOT CARD]:

Please take out the (color) sheet of paper that was folded inside your booklet. There you see a list of candidates for the major race(s) in this district. How about the election for the HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES in Washington? Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? (IF YES:) Who did you vote for? 2000 TELEPHONE,2002 [NO BALLOT CARD]:

How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? Did

you vote for (the Democrat, [NAME], or) (the Republican, [NAME]) (IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the [PARTY] candidate, [NAME])? II. 1980-LATER - REGISTERED OUTSIDE IW COUNTY:

.....

1980-1996,1998 PERSONAL,2000,2002 [NO BALLOT CARD]: How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington? Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? (IF YES:) Who did you vote for? (2000: Which party was that?) 1998 TELEPHONE [NO BALLOT CARD]: [TELL RESPONDENT, IF NECESSARY, 'We won't need to use the ballot card in your booklet since you are in a different city/town/county).'] How

about the election for the HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES in Washington? Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? (IF YES:) Who did you vote for?

- 1. Democrat
- 2. Republican
- 0. DK; NA; R did not vote; R refused to say if voted; DK/NA if voted; refused to say who voted for; 'other' (third party, independent vote) name given by R not on candidate list (1978-1988); Washington D.C.; no Post IW; voted but not for House of Representatives; DK/NA if voted for House of Representatives; forms III and IV (1972); special districts with no House race in 1978 (LA03,LA07,FL08,FL10); special districts with no House race in 1980 (LA03, LA07); special districts, with no House race in 1982 (LA05, LA07); special district with no House race in 1986 (LA04)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: The Ballot Card was introduced in 1978.

Note that VCF0707 and VCF0736 differ slightly from VCF1011-VCF1013 for years prior to 1978: see VCF1011.

1952-1968: When an 'incorrect' name--i.e., not on the list of candidates which the interviewer had for R's congressional district--was given with a party association, the party given was coded and is represented here. When a 'correct' name was given with an incorrect party, however, the actual party associated with the named candidate was coded in Study data: 'Smith, a Democrat' was coded as a Republican if, in fact Smith was a Republican. [In 1952-1968, party was asked for only if R did not know the candidate name or gave an 'incorrect' name. If R correctly named a candidate for his/her district without volunteering party association, party was not asked for, and factual party of candidate was coded.] In 1958 ONLY, if the respondent gave the correct name of a candidate from another race in R's district, then R was informed of the named candidate's actual race and the question for R's House vote was repeated.

In 1952, 1956, 1958, 1960, and 1964 this question was coded as a multiple response allowing, when applicable, for candidate-at-large mentions (2nd response) as well as district choice of candidates (1st response). Second responses were excluded in coding VCF0707. 1970-1976: R's perception of candidate's party was coded. If not volunteered, party of candidate was always asked for. If party associated by R was not the actual party of a correctly named candidate, party as mentioned was still coded. Even if an 'incorrect' name (i.e., name not on the interviewer's list of candidate names--see VCF1006 for description of lists) was given, party associated by R was coded.

Ballot card 1978-1982, 1984 personal, 1986 and later: when R gave a name not on the ballot card, the party of actual vote remained undeterminable (0 in VCF0707), unless R voted outside district of interview and R's major party vote from 'outside' district could be established (if a recognizable name was given).

No ballot card 1980-later: Respondents who had voted outside the Congressional District of their sample selection location could not use the Ballot Card, and question wording was altered somewhat. 1980 was

See also VCF0735, VCF0736, VCF1011-VCF1013

the first year that cases of 'outside' Congressional District voting were identified 1984 NOTE: In Post telephone interviews, If the interviewer had the correct Ballot Card at hand, then he or she attempted to read the names and party affiliations of the House candidates [see question wording above]. 1992 NOTE: In telephone interviews, names were not read by the interviewer, but attempt was made, in many cases, to leave ballot cards with respondents if a telephone appointment was made. 1994 NOTE: A 'ballot card' experiment was conducted; see VCF0012. 1996 NOTE: same as 1992 2000 NOTE: For respondents registered in county of interview, the order of candidate names was randomized on the Ballot Card/as read by interviewers. 2002 NOTE: For respondents registered in county of interview, the order of candidate names was randomized as read by interviewers.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520187 1956: V560212 1958: V580081 1960: V600211 1962: V620042 1964: V640290 1966: V660112 1968: V680323 1970: V700215(type 0) 1972: V720485 1974: V742322 1976: V763673 1978: V780474 1980: V800998 1982: V820507 1984: V840792 1986: V860266 1988: V880768 1990: V900289 1992: V925623 1994: V940614 1996: V961089 1998: V980313 2000: V001262 2002: V025028b 2004: V045031x,V045032x 2008: V085049x 2012: prevote_votehs postvote_votehs prevote_hsvtbc postvote_hsvtbc 2016: V162067x

VCF0708

Vote for Senator

1952-1976: How about the vote for United States Senator? Did you vote for a candidate for Senator? (IF YES:) Who did you vote for? Which party was that? 1978-1998,2000 PERSONAL,2000 TELEPHONE IF VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF IW, 2002 VOTED OUTSIDE COUNTY OF IW: (IF BALLOT CARD [1978-1998,2000 PERSONAL]: Still looking at the list of candidates:) How about the election for the United States Senate? Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S./United States Senate? (IF YES:) Who did you vote for?

2000 TELEPHONE IF VOTED IN COUNTY OF IW [NO BALLOT CARD], 2002 VOTED IN COUNTY OF IW [NO BALLOT CARD]:

How about the election for the United States Senate? Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S./United States Senate? (IF YES:)Did you vote for (the Democrat, [NAME], or) (the Republican, [NAME]) (IF IND/3RD PARTY CANDIDATE: or the [PARTY] candidate, [NAME])? 1992 CALIFORNIA:

We had two Senate races in California. Which candidate did you vote for? 1996 KANSAS:

How about the two elections for the United States Senate? Did you vote for candidates for the U.S. Senate?

2. Republican

 DK; NA; R did not vote; refused to say if voted; DK/NA if voted; refused to say who voted for; 'other' (minor/3rd party) vote; name given by R not on candidate list (1978-1988); no race in state; no Post IW; voted but not for Senate; forms III and IV (1972); Washington D.C.; did not vote in regular Senate election (California, 1992 ONLY) INAP, question not used

1980-later cases administered without Ballot Card presentation include respondents who had voted outside the state of their sample selection location. 1980 was the first year that 'outside' voting was identified. In California in 1992, in Tennessee in 1994, and in Kansas in 1996 the regular Senate election and a second 'special' Senate election were held. This variable codes data for the respondent's vote in the regular Senate election only. [NOTE special question wording was not used for Tennessee in 1994]. 2002 NOTE:

For respondents registered in county of interview, the order of candidate names was randomized in text read by telephone interviewers.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520186 1956: V560211 1958: V580086 1960: V600210 1964: V640289 1966: V660110 1968: V680320 1970: V700211(type 0) 1972: V720482 1974: V742325 1976: V763670 1978: V780478 1980: V801001,V801002 1982: V820509, V820510, V820511 1984: V840796,V840797,V840798 1986: V860269, V860270, V860271 1988: V880773 1990: V900294 1992: V925628 1994: V940618 1996: V961093 1998: V980317 2000: V001275 2002: V025034x 2004: V045038x 2008: V085056a 2012: senvote2012_x 2016: V162086x

^{1.} Democrat

See VCF0707 (Senate data coded in same manner as House data). GENERAL NOTE:

VCF0709

Vote Ticket-splitting- President/House

Ticket splitting Presidential vs. Congressional vote

- 1. Dem. Pres. Dem. Congressional
- 2. Dem. Pres. Rep. Congressional
- 3. Rep. Pres. Dem. Congressional
- 4. Rep. Pres. Rep. Congressional

 DK/NA who voted for in presidential or congressional race; did not vote; refused to say if voted; DK/NA if voted; refused to say who voted for; 'other' (independent/3rd party) vote; name given by R not on candidate list (1978-1988); Washington D.C.; no Post IW; voted, but not for president (1980 and later); voted, but not for House of Representatives (1978 and later); forms III and IV (1972); special districts with no House race in 1978 (LA03, LA07,FL08, FL10); special districts with no House race in 1980 (LA03, LA07); special districts with no House race in 1982 (LA05, LA07)
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Derived from VCF0704 and VCF0707.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2016: V162062x,V162067x

VCF0710

Vote Ticket-splitting- President/Senate

Ticket splitting Presidential vs. Senatorial vote

- 1. Dem. Pres. Dem. Senate
- 2. Dem. Pres. Rep. Senate
- 3. Rep. Pres. Dem. Senate
- 4. Rep. Pres. Rep. Senate
- DK/NA who voted for in presidential or congressional race; did not vote; refused to say if voted; DK/NA if voted; refused to say who voted for; 'other' (independent/3rd party) vote; name given by R not on candidate list (1978-1988); Washington D.C.; no Post IW; voted, but not for president (1980-1992); voted, but not for Senate (1978-1992); did not vote in regular Senate election (California, 1992 ONLY); forms III,IV (1972); no Senate race in state INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Derived from VCF0704a and VCF0708.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0711

Does Respondent Always Vote for the Same Party

Have you always voted for the same party or have you voted for different parties for President?

Different party
 Same party; mostly same party (1952-1970)

0. DK; NA; no Pre IW; R has never voted INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Prior to 1972, this question was open-ended: 'mostly the same party' (code 2) was either volunteered by respondent or deduced by interviewer. Starting in 1972, boxes labeled 'same' or 'different' were available for the IWR to check.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520072 1956: V560094 1958: V580073 1960: V600096 1962: V620037 1964: V640155 1966: V660084 1968: V680128 1970: V700197(type 0) 1972: V720157 1974: V720157 1974: V742212 1976: V763180 1980: V800351

VCF0712

Timing of Respondent's Presidential Vote Decision

How long before the election did you decide that you were going to vote the way you did?

- 1. Knew all along (incl.: always vote for same party; 1948 ONLY: all preconvention cases)
- 2. When candidate announced (exc. 1948)
- 3. During conventions
- 4. Post-convention period
- 5. Last two weeks of campaign
- 6. On election day
- DK; NA; unspecified 'other'; R did not vote; DK/NA if voted; refused to say if voted; voted, but not for president; no Post IW; form III, IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984: see VCF0015); voted but DK/NA/refused to say for whom (1964-1988 only)
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix NOTE 'PRESIDENTIAL VOTE DECISION'. 1948 NOTE: No code corresponding to code 2 was used; code 1 includes all preconvention mentions (no cases coded 2). 2008 NOTE:

Data will be available in a future release. 2012 NOTE: Data will be available in a future release. 2016 NOTE: Responses were coded using a new coding scheme devised in 2008 (2008 and 2012 responses not yet coded accordingly). The collapse from the new categories is different than for preceding (1956-2004) studies. Recoding from 2016 categories to VCF0712 codes is as follows: 1 to code 6; 2,3,4,5 to code 5; 6,7,8 to code 4; 9 to code 3; 10,11 to code 1; all else to code 0.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1948: V480019 1952: V520183 1956: V560206 1960: V600204 1964: V640288 1968: V680318 1972: V720479 1976: V763666 1980: V800996 1984: V840790 1988: V880765 1992: V925611 1996: V961084 2000: V001251 2016: V161029b,V162036a

VCF0713

Respondent Pre-election Intent for Vote for President

ALL YEARS EXC. 1948,1992,2012:

So far as you know now, do you expect to vote in the national elections this coming November or not? (IF YES:) Who do you think you will vote for in the election for President? 1948:

On the coming Presidential election, do you plan to vote? (IF RESPONSE NOT 'NO':) Do you plan to vote Republican, Democrat or something else? 1992 and 1996:

We all know the election is some time away and people are not certain at this point who they will vote for. Still, who do you think you will vote for in the election for President?

2012 PRE-ELECTION: (IF R IS NOT REGISTERED BUT INTENDS TO REGISTER, OR IF R IS REGISTERED BUT DID NOT VOTE YET:) How about the election for President? Do you intend to vote for a candidate for PRESIDENT? (IF YES:) Who do you think you will vote for? [DEM PRES CAND NAME], [REP PRES CAND NAME], or someone else?

- 1. Democratic candidate (with or without qualifications, 1952-1976)
- 2. Republican candidate (with or without qualifications, 1952-1976)
- 3. Undecided; DK (except 1964)
- 4. R does not intend to vote (incl. 'no, qualified' if will vote, 1952-1976) or does not intend to vote for President
- 9. Other candidate
- 0. DK (1964 only); NA; no Pre IW; DK/NA/RF (1952-1976: or pro-con) if will vote; refused to say who will vote for; R already voted (early) in pre-election reporting (2012,2016) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: This is a pre var. For 1952-1976, codes 1 and 3 also include presidential candidate vote intentions 'with qualifications.' Codes for candidate vote intention 'with qualifications' did not appear after 1976. In 1952-1976, if R's response to the question 'As far as you know, do you expect to vote in the elections this November?' was 'yes, qualified' (think so/ guess so/ probably, etc.), R was asked this question; if R's response was 'no, qualified' (probably not, etc.) R has been included in code 4; if R's response was 'pro-con,' then this has been coded 0. After 1976, no codes appeared for 'yes, qualified,' 'no, qualified,' or 'pro-con'.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1948: V480038,V480039 1952: V520081 1956: V560100 1960: V600101 1964: V640160 1968: V680133 1972: V720170 1976: V763044 1980: V800137 1984: V840425 1988: V880397 1992: V923805 1996: V960548 2000: V000792, V000793 2004: V043202,V043203 2008: V083169,V083169a 2012: prevote_intpres,prevote_intpreswho 2016: V161030, V161031

VCF0714

Will the Presidential Election Be Close

(IF R PREDICTS A WINNER IN PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION:) Do you think it [the presidential race] will be a close race or will [R's predicted winning candidate] win by quite a bit? 1980 AND LATER: (IF R REPLIES 'DK' WHO WILL WIN PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION:) Do you think the presidential race will be close or will one candidate win by quite a bit?

1. Will win by quite a bit

- 2. Close race
- 9. DK; pro-con; depends; etc.
- 0. NA; no Pre IW; R replies DK who will win (1952-1976); R's prediction NA INAP. question not used

1956 NOTE: Cases 'closer than last time,' 'same as last time' or 'not so close as last time' are coded 0. 1964 NOTE: If R predicted a winner who was not from the major parties, this question was not asked.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:

1952: V520014 1956: V560013 1960: V600018 1964: V640019 1968: V680026 1972: V720026 1976: V763027 1980: V800055 1984: V840077 1988: V880099 1992: V923103 1996: V960382 2000: V000486,V000487 2004: V043094 2008: V083074 2012: preswin_close 2016: V161147

VCF0715

State/Local Vote Straight Ticker or Split 5-Category

Howabout the elections for other state and local offices, did you vote a straight ticket or did you vote for candidates from different parties. (IF STRAIGHT TICKET:) Which party?/ (IF SPLIT TICKET:) How did you split it?

- 1. Straight ticket Democratic
- 2. Split ticket mostly Democratic
- 3. Split ticket about half and half, NA how split
- 4. Split ticket mostly Republican
- 5. Straight ticket Republican
- 0. DK; NA; R did not vote; DK, NA if voted; refused to say if voted; refused to say who voted for; form III or IV (1972); no Post IW; didn't vote (or DK/NA if voted) in state and local elections; no partisan state or local elections; voted straight ticket, NA which party; voted straight ticket, 'other' party; voted split ticket, mostly 'other' party; Washington D.C. (non-presidential years) INAP. question not used

1962 NOTE:

'Split ticket, mostly 'other' party' was combined in study data with 'split ticket, NA how split.' Because of the very small number of cases voting mostly or entirely for a 3rd/minor party, this combination category was recoded to 3. 1958 NOTE:

If R voted Democratic or Republican with only 1 exception, then R was coded as 'straight ticket.'

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520189 1956: V560214 1958: V580088 1960: V600213 1962: V620048 1964: V640292 1966: V660113 1968: V680328 1970: V700220,V700221(type 0) 1972: V720492 1974: V742332 1980: V801008,V801009,V801010 1982: V820516,V820517,V820518

1984: V840799,V840800,V840801

VCF0716

State/Local Vote Straight Ticker or Split 2-Category

1948:

Did you vote a straight ticket or did you vote for some candidates from other parties?

ALL YEARS EXC. 1948:

How about the elections for other state and local offices, did you vote a straight ticket or did you vote for candidates from different parties?

- 1. Split-ticket
- 2. Straight ticket
- DK; NA; R did not vote; DK, NA if voted; refused to say if voted; refused to say who voted for; form III,IV (1972); no Post IW; didn't vote (or DK/NA if voted) in state and local elections; no partisan state or local elections; Washington D.C. (non- presidential years) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0715.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1948: V480023 1952: V520189 1956: V560214 1958: V580088 1960: V600213 1962: V620048 1964: V640292 1966: V660113 1968: V680328 1970: V700220(type 0) 1972: V720492 1974: V742332 1980: V801008 1982: V820516 1984: V840799

VCF0717

Respondent Try to Influence the Vote of Others During the Campaign

1952,1956,1960-1964: I have a list of some of the things that people do that help a party or a candidate win an election. I wonder if you could tell me whether you did any of these things. 1968,1972 AND LATER: Now I'd like to find out (1990 AND LATER: We'd/we would like to find out) about some of the things that people do to help a party or candidate win an election. ALL YEARS: During the campaign, did you talk to any people and try to show them why they should vote for (1984 AND LATER: or against) one of the parties or candidates?

1. No 2. Yes

0. DK; NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: The order of items corresponding to VCF0717-VCF0722 (participation vars) may have varied by study.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520203 1956: V560215 1958: V580076 1960: V600215 1962: V620049 1964: V640313 1966: V660070 1968: V680388 1970: V7000167(type 0) 1972: V720468 1974: V742195 1976: V763529 1978: V780457 1980: V800793 1982: V820473 1984: V840818 1986: V860314 1988: V880825 1990: V900366 1992: V925807 1994: V940808 1996: V961165 1998: V980361 2000: V001225 2002: V025007 2004: V045010 2008: V085029 2012: mobilpo_rmob 2016: V162010

VCF0718

Respondent Attend Political Meetings/Rallies During the Campaign

Did you go to any political meetings, rallies, (1984 AND LATER: speeches,) (1978,1980,1982: fund raising) dinners, or things like that (1984 AND LATER: in support of a particular candidate)?

1. No 2. Yes

0. DK; NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0717.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:

1952: V520205 1956: V560217 1960: V600217 1962: V620051 1964: V640314 1968: V680397 1970: V700169(type 0) 1972: V720469 1974: V742196 1976: V763532 1978: V780458 1980: V800795 1982: V820474 1984: V840821 1986: V860317 1988: V880827 1990: V900368 1992: V925810 1994: V940810 1996: V961167 1998: V980363 2000: V001227 2002: V025009 2004: V045011 2008: V085030 2012: mobilpo_rally 2016: V162011

VCF0719

Respondent Work for Party or Candidate During the Campaign

Did you do any {other} work for one of the parties or candidates?

1. No 2. Yes

0. DK; NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0717.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520206 1956: V560218 1960: V600218 1962: V620052 1964: V640315 1968: V680398 1970: V700171(type 0) 1972: V720470 1974: V742197 1976: V763533 1978: V780459 1980: V800796 1982: V820475 1984: V840823 1986: V860318 1988: V880828 1990: V900369 1992: V925812 1994: V940811 1996: V961168 1998: V980364 2000: V001228

2002: V025010 2004: V045013 2008: V085032 2012: mobilpo_otherwork 2016: V162013

VCF0720

Respondent Display Candidate Button/Sticker During the Campaign

1956,1960,1962-1982: Did you wear a campaign button or put a campaign sticker on your car? 1984 AND LATER: Did you wear a campaign button, put a campaign sticker on your car, or place a sign in your window or in front of your house?

1. No

2. Yes

0. DK; NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See VCF0717. WFIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1956: V560220 1960: V600220 1962: V620054 1964: V640317 1968: V680399 1970: V700173(type 0) 1972: V720471 1974: V742198 1976: V763534 1978: V780460 1980: V800797 1982: V820476 1984: V840819 1986: V860315 1988: V880826 1990: V900367 1992: V925809 1994: V940809 1996: V961166 1998: V980362 2000: V001226 2002: V025008 2004: V045012 2008: V085031 2012: mobilpo_sign 2016: V162012

VCF0721

Respondent Donate Money to Party or Candidate During the Campaign

1952,1956,1960,1962:Did you give any money or buy any tickets or anything to help the campaign for one of the parties or candidates?1964:Did you give any money or buy any tickets or anything to help a party or

candidate pay campaign expenses this year? 1966,1968:

During this last year were you or any member of your household asked to give money or buy tickets to help pay the campaign expenses of a political party or candidate? (IF YES) Did you give any money or buy any tickets?

1972,1974:

Did you give any money to a political party this year? 1976:

Did you give any money to a political party or make any other contribution this year? (responses : 1. yes, 5. no, 7. tax check-off). 1978:

Did you give any money to a political party or candidate this year? 1980,1982:

Now a few questions about giving money during this last election campaign:

What about other political contributions [other than tax check-offs]. Did you give any money this year to a candidate running for public office?;

Apart from contributions from specific candidates, how about contributions to any of the political parties. Did you give money to a political party during this election year? 1984:

As you know, during an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. During the past year, did you give any money to an individual candidate, to a political party organization, people supporting a ballot proposition, or to a particular issue or interest group?

(IF YES: Apart from contributions from specific candidates, how about contributions to any political party organization. Did you give money to a political party during this election year? Now, apart from contributions to a political party, did you give any money to an individual candidate running for public office?) 1986:

As you know, during an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. During the past year, did you give any money to an individual candidate, or to a political party organization?

1988 AND LATER:

During an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. Did you give money to a political party during this election year? Did you give money to an individual candidate running for public office?

- 1. No (includes 'not asked for money' in 1966,1968)
- 2. Yes (includes 'tax check-off' in 1976)
- 0. DK; NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984); DK/NA if asked for money (1966,1968 only) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0717. See also VCF0739-VCF0741. For 1980, 1982, 1984, 1988 and later, a 'yes' response for either or both questions has been coded 2. A 'no' response combined with 'no' or 'DK' has been coded 1. Two 'DK' responses have been coded 0. (A 'no' response with 'NA' is coded 0.) 1984 NOTE: In 1984, if R's response was 'No,' DK, NA to the filter question, then the subsequent two contribution questions were not asked. If R's

response to the filter was 'no,' VCF0721 has been coded 1; if R's response to the filter was DK or NA, then VCF0721 has been coded 0. For 1984 Rs who responded 'yes' to the filter question: if R responded 'yes' to either or both of the following contribution questions, then VCF0721 has been coded 2. If R responded 'yes' to the filter but then responded 'no' to both follow-up contribution questions or if R responded 'no' to one contribution question and replied 'DK' to the other, then VCF0721 has been coded 1. Rs who responded 'yes' to the filter but then responded 'no' to both follow-up contribution questions or if R responded 'no' to one contribution question and replied 'DK' to the other, then VCF0721 has been coded 1. Rs who responded 'yes' to the filter but 'DK' to both follow-ups have been coded 0.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520204 1956: V560216 1960: V600216 1962: V620050 1964: V640335 1966: V660077 1968: V680423, V680421 1972: V720472 1974: V742199 1976: V763535 1978: V780461 1980: V800802,V800811 1982: V820481, V820490 1984: V840826,V840828 1986: V860320 1988: V880830, V880832 1990: V900371, V900373 1992: V925815, V925817 1994: V940812, V940814 1996: V961169,V961171 1998: V980365, V980367 2000: V001229, V001331 2002: V025011, V025012 2004: V045014, V045015 2008: V085033,V085034 2012: mobilpo_ctbcand,mobilpo_ctbpty 2016: V162014, V162016

VCF0722

Has Respondent Ever Written a Letter to a Public Official

1972,1976: Aside from this particular election campaign, here are some other ways people can be involved in politics. ALL YEARS: Have you ever written a letter to any public officials giving them your opinion about something that should be done?

1. No 2. Yes

0. DK; NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0717.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640318

1968: V680425 1972: V720474 1976: V763537

VCF0723

Count of Campaign Participation Activities 6-Category

Campaign participation count [1]

Lowest level of participation (none)
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .</

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0723a

Count of Campaign Participation Activities 4-Category

Campaign participation count [2]

1. Lowest level of participation (none)

2. 3.

4. Highest level of participation in campaign activities

0. DK/NA in all questions; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

See also VCF0723. GENERAL NOTE: Derived from VCF0717-VCF0719. This count is available for all years except 1954, 1958, and 1966. This is the sum of the number of 'yes' responses in vars VCF0717-VCF0719, to which 1 is added.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0724

Watch TV Programs about the Election Campaigns

1952,1956: How about television - did you watch any programs about the campaign on television.

.....

1960-1972,1976:

Did you watch any programs about the campaign on television? (1960-1972,1976: (IF YES:) How many television programs about the campaign would you say you watched -- a good many,several, or just one or two?

A lot of people didn't pay much attention to the election campaigns this year. How about you--did you watch any programs about the campaign on television? (IF YES:) How many television programs about the campaign would you say you watched--a good many, several, or just one or two?

1978.1980.1982.1984.1986.1990.1992-2004:

(1978,1980,1982,1984: Some people don't pay much attention to campaigns. How about you?) (1986: We're interested in this interview in finding out whether people paid much attention to the election campaign this year.)

Did you watch any programs about the campaign(s) on television? (1978,1980,1984,1990,1996,2004,2008 VERSION 'OLD,'2012: IF YES: Would you say you watched-- a good many, several, or just one or two?)

- 1. No, didn't watch TV programs about the campaign
- Yes, watched TV program(s) about the campaign [a good many, several, just one or two, DK/NA frequency]

0. DK; NA; split versions: not asked (2008); no Post IW; form II,III, IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984); R does not own a television INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

The order of media exposure questions (VCF0724-VCF0727) may have varied by study. 2008 NOTE: This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents using verion 'OLD'; the remaining respondents were administered an alternative version (version 'NEW') which was specific to the Presidential campaign only.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520175 1956: V560199 1960: V600193 1964: V640284 1968: V680303 1972: V720463 1974: V742036 1976: V763604 1978: V780056 1980: V800749 1982: V820061 1984: V840729,V840730 1986: V860064 1990: V900065, V900066 1992: V925103 1996: V961002 2000: V001202 2002: V025002 2004: V045002,V045002a 2008: V085002 2012: mediapo tv

VCF0725

Hear Programs about Campaigns on the Radio 2-Category

1952,1956: How about radio--did you listen to any speeches or discussions about the campaign on the radio? (1952 only: IF YES:) Would you say you listened quite a lot or not very much.) 1960-1988,1992,1996: (1960-1988: How about radio.) Did you listen to any speeches or discussions about the campaign on the radio? 1960-1980,1984-1988,1992 AND LATER: Did you listen to any speeches or discussions about the campaign on the radio? (IF YES:) How many programs about the campaign did you listen to on the radio [1996 and later: Would you say you listened to] --a good many, several, or just one or two?

- 1. No, didn't listen to radio programs about campaign
- 2. Yes, listened to radio program(s) [quite a lot (1952), not very much (1952), a good many, several, just one or two, DK/NA frequency]

0. DK; NA; no Post IW; form II,III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form or Spanish language (1992) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0724. 2008 NOTE: In 2008, wording was specifically for the 'campaign for President' and 2008 data are therefore not included. 2012 NOTE: Same as for 2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520174 1956: V560198 1960: V600192 1964: V640281 1968: V680296 1972: V720459 1976: V863600 1978: V780044 1980: V800745 1982: V820059 1984: V840723 1986: V860060 1988: V880138 1992: V923208 1996: V961335 2000: V001646 2004: V045005, V045005a

VCF0726

Articles about Election Campaigns in Magazines

How about magazines-- did you read about the campaign in any magazines.

1952 IF YES: Would you say you read quite a lot or not very much?) 1960-1980,1984 IF YES: How many magazine articles about the campaign would you say you read -a good many, several, or just one or two?

- 1. No, didn't read magazines about the campaign
- 2. Yes, read magazine(s) [quite a lot (1952), not very much (1952), a good many, several, just one or two, DK/NA frequency]

0. DK; NA; no Post IW; form II,III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form or Spanish language (1992) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0724. 2008 NOTE: In 2008, wording was specifically for the 'campaign for President' and 2008 data are therefore not included. 2012 NOTE: Same as for 2008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520176 1956: V560200 1960: V600194 1964: V640282 1968: V680299 1972: V720461 1976: V763602 1978: V780046 1980: V800747 1982: V820060 1984: V840725 1988: V880136 1992: V923206 1996: V961333 2004: V045004

VCF0727

Number of Articles about Election Campaigns in Magazines

1952,1956,1960,1964,1968,1972,1976:
We're (1952: mainly) interested in this interview in finding out whether people paid much attention to the election campaign this year. Take newspapers for instance--did you read (1972 ONLY: much) about the campaign in any newspaper?
1974:
(IF R READS A DAILY NEWSPAPER:) Did you read anything about the campaign this year in any newspaper?
1978-1986,1990:
Did you read about the campaign in any newspapers?
1988,1992 AND LATER:
(IF R HAS READ A DAILY NEWSPAPER IN THE PAST WEEK:) Did you read about the campaign in any newspaper?

- 1. No, read no newspapers about the campaign
- 2. Yes, read newspaper(s) about the campaign

0. DK; NA; no Post IW; split versions: not asked (2008); form II,III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984); DK/NA if R reads a daily newspaper (1974); R did not read daily paper in past week (1988, 1992, 1996); DK/NA if R read a daily paper in past week (1988, 1992, 1996); short form or Spanish language (1992)

INAP. question not used

See VCF0724. GENERAL NOTE: In 1974, 1988 and 1992, this question was preceded by a filter. The 1974 filter was: Do you read a daily newspaper? In 1988 and 1992 the filter was: How many days in the past week did you read a daily paper? Rs who replied 'no' [1974] or 'none' [1988] to the filter question were not asked this question. However, 1974 Rs who replied no' have been coded 1 while 1988 and 1992 Rs who replied 'none' have been coded 0. In most years, this question was accompanied by a follow-up question which asked how much/how often R read campaign articles, how many campaign articles R read, or how much attention R paid to campaign articles. If the respondent had read articles at all, regardless how little, then R has been coded 2. Several 1986, 1990, 1992, and 1996 respondents who replied 'yes' to the main question, but who replied in the followup question that they paid 'no attention' to articles, have still been coded 2. From the 1980 and 1984 studies, however, several respondents who answered 'yes' to the main question but who then volunteered in the followup that they read 'no' articles have been coded 1 rather than 2. The 1974 and 1978 follow-up questions have been disregarded. 1972 NOTE: Addition of 'much' to wording affected distributions significantly. 2008 NOTE: This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents using verion 'OLD'; the remaining respondents were administered an alternative version (version 'NEW') which was specific to the Presidential campaign only. 2012 NOTE Wording in 2012 was not comparable ('campaign for President') WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS:

1952: V520173 1956: V560196 1960: V600190 1964: V640279 1968: V680292 1972: V720456 1974: V742046, V742063 1976: V763645 1978: V780072 1980: V800760, V800761 1982: V820062 1984: V840727, V840728 1986: V860062 1988: V880130, V880134 1990: V900063 1992: V923204 1996: V960247 2000: V000336 2004: V043021 2008: V085008

VCF0728

Count of Media Exposure Types

Campaign media exposure count

1. No media 2. 3. 4. 5. All four media

0. DK; NA; no Post IW; form II,III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form or Spanish language (1992) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

This count is available for 1952, 1956, 1960, 1964, 1968, 1972, 1976, 1978, 1980, 1982, 1984 and 1992. It is constructed from VCF0724-VCF0727 by summing the number of 'yes' responses, plus 1. All variables must be asked in a given year for this count to be calculated: however, if all vars are asked but all are coded DK/NA (0 in VCF0724-VCF0727), then VCF0728 is coded 0. If at least 1 var is coded with valid data during a year when all 4 questions are used by NES, then this value is computed.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0729

Party with House Majority Before the Election

1958-1968: Do you happen to know which party had the most Congressmen in Washington before the election this/last month? (IF NECESSARY:) Which one? 1970 AND LATER: Do you happen to know which party had the most members in the House of Representatives in Washington before the elections (this/ last) month? (IF NECESSARY:) Which one? (2000, 2004: DON'T PROBE DK)

Incorrect party mentioned; DK; No
 Correct party mentioned

0. NA; no Post IW; form II,III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: For 1968,1970 and 1972, 1 response of 'about even' has been coded 1. 1982 NOTE: 1 response 'other' has been coded 1.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1958: V580019 1960: V600226 1964: V640305 1966: V660100 1968: V680386 1970: V700207(type 0) 1972: V720950 1976: V763683 1978: V780500 1980: V801028 1982: V820523 1984: V841006 1986: V860349

1988: V880878 1990: V900402 1992: V925951 1994: V941012 1996: V961072 1998: V980479 2000: V001356 2002: V025083 2004: V045089 2008: V085066 2012: knowl_housemaj 2016: V161515

VCF0730

Party with House Majority After the Election

1958-1968: Do you happen to know which party elected the most Congressmen in the elections this/last month? (IF YES:) Which one? 1970-1984: Do you happen to know which party elected the most members to the House of representatives in the elections this/last month?

- 1. Incorrect party mentioned; DK; No
- 2. Correct party mentioned

0. NA; no Post IW; form II,III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: For 1968 and 1970, 5 responses of 'half and half' in each year have been coded 1. 1982 NOTE: 2 responses 'other' were coded 1.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1958: V580020 1960: V600227 1964: V640306 1966: V660101 1968: V680387 1970: V700208(type 0) 1972: V720951 1976: V763684 1978: V780501 1980: V801029 1982: V820524 1984: V841007

VCF0731

Respondent Discuss Politics with Family and Friends

Do you ever discuss politics with your family or friends?

1. Yes 5. No 8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840105 1986: V860066 1990: V900068 1992: V925105 1994: V940128 1996: V961004 1998: V980211 2000: V001204 2002: V025003 2004: V045153 2008: V085108 2012: discuss_disc 2016: V162174

VCF0732

How Often Respondent Discusses Politics with Family and Friends

Do you ever discuss politics with your family or friends (RESPONDENT BOOKLET) How often do you discuss politics with your family or friends -every day, 3 or 4 times a week, once or twice a week, or less often than that?

1. Every day

- 2. 3 or 4 times a week
- 3. Once or twice a week
- 4. Less often
- 5. Never/No (to lead-in)

9. DK/NA how often; DK/NA if ever discuss; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Code 5 includes several cases where R answered 'yes' to lead-in (see VCF0731) but when asked how often replied 'never'.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840106 1986: V860067 1990: V900069 1992: V925106 1994: V940129 1998: V980212

VCF0733

How Often in the Last Week Respondent Discussed Politics

How many days in the past week did you talk about politics with your family or friend

- 0. None or never discuss politics ('no' to lead-in)
- 1. One day
- 2. Two days
- 3. Three days
- 4. Four days
- 5. Five days
- 6. Six days
- 7. Every day

 DK/NA how often; DK/NA if ever discuss; NA how often discuss in general; DK how often discuss in general (1992,1994); no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Code 0 includes cases where R answered 'yes' to lead-in (see VCF0731) but when asked how many days in past week replied 'never'; it also includes cases where R answered 'no' to lead-in. Respondents with NA response for how often R discusses politics with family and friends (VCF0732) were INAP for this question. Beginning 1992, respondents with DK response for how often R discusses politics with family and friends (VCF0732) were also INAP for this question. 1984 NOTE: If R replied 'every day' for how often R discusses politics with family and friends (VCF0732) then this question was not asked; for such respondents 7 (every day) has been coded here.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840107 1986: V860068 1990: V900070 1992: V925107 1994: V940130 1996: V961005 1998: V980213 2000: V001205 2002: V025004 2004: V045153a 2008: V085108a 2012: discuss_disc,discuss_discpstwk 2016: V162174a

VCF0734

Intended Presidential Vote versus Actual Presidential Vote

Reported Pre vote intention/reported Post vote - President

- 1. INTENDED Democratic: voted Democratic
- 2. INTENDED undecided: voted Democratic; INTENDED 'other' party: VOTED Democratic
- 3. INTENDED Republican: voted Democratic
- 4. INTENDED Democratic: did not vote/voted 'other' party
- 5. INTENDED undecided: did not vote/voted 'other' party;
 - INTENDED 'other' party: did not vote/voted 'other' party
- 6. INTENDED Republican: did not vote/voted 'other' party
- 7. INTENDED Democratic: voted Republican
- 8. INTENDED undecided: voted Republican; INTENDED 'other' party: voted Republican
- 9. INTENDED Republican: voted Republican
- NA who will vote for; no Pre IW; no Post IW; DK/NA if will vote; refused to say who will vote for; will vote, but not for president;

R does not intend to vote; DK/NA who voted for; R refused to say if voted; DK/NA if voted; refused to say who voted for; voted, but not for president INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Derived from VCF0706 and VCF0713.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0735

Vote for U.S. House- Candidate Code

Candidate code of House vote

IFR VOTED IN DISTRICT OF INTERVIEW: DISTRICTS W/NO INCUMBENT RUNNING: 31. Democratic candidate 32. Republican candidate DISTRICTS W/ RUNNING INCUMBENT: 33. Democratic incumbent 34. Republican incumbent 35. Democratic candidate 36. Republican candidate 39. Independent/minor party incumbent DISTRICT W/ 2 RUNNING INCUMBENTS (REDISTRICTING): 71. Democratic incumbent in district with 2 incumbents (2012) 72. Republican incumbent in district with 2 incumbents (2012) IF R VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF INTERVIEW: DISTRICTS W/NO INCUMBENT RUNNING: 81. Democratic candidate 82. Republican candidate IF R VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF INTERVIEW: DISTRICTS W/ RUNNING INCUMBENT: 83. Democratic incumbent 84. Republican incumbent 85. Democratic challenger 86. Republican challenger IF R VOTED OUTSIDE DISTRICT OF INTERVIEW: ALL 'OUTSIDE' DISTRICTS: 91. DEMOCRAT -- no name given 92. REPUBLICAN--no name given ALL DISTRICTS: 97. Non-incumbent minor party candidate; non-incumbent independent candidate; name given not on candidate list for race 98. DK; refused to name candidate 99. NA; District of Columbia (1982,1986,1996); write-in candidate (1982); Louisiana (1978,1980, 1982,1986); Florida (1978); no Post IW 00. R did not vote; NA/DK if voted; refused to say if voted; voted but not for House of Representatives; DK/NA if voted for House of Representatives INAP. question not used

See 1978-later question wording VCF0707. See also VCF1011-VCF1013 GENERAL NOTE:

1980 was the first year that 'outside' Congressional District voting was identified.

Codes 81-92 first appeared in 1980 study data (not in 1978 data). 1992 and later: Code 97 includes cases where R volunteered that he/she voted 'a straight ticket' but no candidate for R's party ran; it also includes cases where R insists that he/she voted for a specific party's candidate but no candidate from that party ran in R's district. For Rs who voted outside district of interview location, code 97 also includes candidates named by R but whose CD and party are not known. It also includes cases where R names a candidate but the name is not among names of candidates within a known 'outside' CD. 2012 NOTE:

Candidate codes were not assigned 2012 and later.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780474 1980: V800998 1982: V820507 1984: V840792 1986: V860266 1988: V880767 1990: V900288 1992: V925622 1994: V940613 1996: V961088 1998: V980312 2000: V001262 2002: V025028b 2004: V045033x 2008: V085050x 2012: typerace2012_hse,prevote_hsvtbc,prevote_hsvtnobc,postvote_hsvtbc,postvote_hsvtnobc

VCF0736

Vote for U.S. House- Party

Congressional vote: including 'other' (see also: VCF0707)

- 1. Democrat
- 5. Republican
- 7. Other
- DK; NA; R did not vote; R refused to say if voted; DK/NA if voted; refused to say who voted for; name given by R not on candidate list (1978-1988); Washington D.C.; no Post IW; voted, but not for House of Representatives; DK/NA if voted for House of Representatives; forms III,IV (1972); special districts with no House race in 1978 (LA03, LA07, FL08, FL10); special districts with no House race in 1980 (LA03, LA07); special districts with no House race in 1982 (LA05, LA07); special district with no House race in 1986 (LA04)
 INAP. question not used

.

GENERAL NOTE: See notes VCF0707.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520187 1956: V560212 1958: V580081 1960: V600211 1962: V620042

1964: V640290
1966: V660112
1968: V680323
1970: V700215(type 0)
1972: V720485
1974: V742322
1976: V763673
1978: V780474
1980: V800998
1982: V820507
1984: V840792
1986: V860266
1988: V880768
1990: V900289
1992: V925623
1994: V940614
1996: V961089
1998: V980313
2000: V001263
2002: V025028b
2004: V045032x
2008: V085049x
2012: typerace2012_hse,prevote_hsvtbc,prevote_hsvtnobc,postvote_hsvtbc,postvote_hsvtnobc
2016: V162067x

VCF0737

Registered to Vote Post-Election

1948:

Were you registered (eligible) to vote? All years exc. 1948: Were you registered to vote in this election?

1. No

2. Yes (includes Rs who reported voting)

 NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington, D.C.; NA if R voted; DK if R voted (1982 only); abbrev. Telephone IW (1984)
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

This is a post var. For the pre version, see VCF0701. This question was asked of non-voters and, except for 1982, was also asked of Rs who did not know whether they voted. A few Rs declared they were not required to register and are coded 9. Beginning in 2012, respondents were asked where they were registered in both the Pre and Post. Summary registration status for these years can be found in VCF0703.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1948: V480026 1952: V520181 1978: V780484 1980: V801011 1982: V820519 1984: V840802 1986: V860276 1988: V880757 1990: V900280 1992: V925602 1994: V940602 1994: V940602 1998: V980304 2000: V001241,V001242

2002: V025016,V025017 2004: V045018x 2008: V085039a

VCF0738

Party of Registration Post-election

Were you registered in this election as being a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent or what?

- 1. Democratic party
- 5. Republican party
- Voters: not required to declare party (VOLUNTEERED); voters: not required to register (VOLUNTEERED); non-voters: registered, not required to declare party (VOLUNTEERED)
- 7. Independent
- 8. Other party
- DK; absentee voter; NA; nonvoter who declares that voters are not required to register; NA if voted; refused to say if voted; DK if registered; NA if registered; R voted but stated s/he was not registered (Volunteered: 3 cases in 1978, 1 case in 1980, 5 cases in 1982, 1 case in 1984, 2 cases in 1986); no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984)
- 0. R was not registered INAP. question not used
-

GENERAL NOTE:

This is a post var, asked of voters and of registered non-voters (and also asked of Rs who did not know if they voted but who stated that they were registered).

For voter or registered nonvoter who stated that registration did not require declaration of party in R's area or if R was a (reported) voter who stated that voters were not required to register: 6 has been coded. Nonvoter Rs who replied that voters were not required to register in their area have been coded 9. Nonvoter Rs who replied 'DK' or NA when asked if they were registered have also been coded 9. Nonvoter Rs who replied that toters were not required to register in their areas have been coded 9.

If R was a non-voter who first declared registration status but, when asked for party registered, replied that R was not registered, 0 has been coded.

Beginning in 2012, respondents were asked party of registration in both the Pre and Post. A future release of the CDF will provide a summary variable for party of registration that is not wave specific.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780471,V780485 1980: V800989,V801012 1982: V820502,V820520 1984: V840784,V840803 1986: V860262,V860277

VCF0738a

Party of Registration Pre-election

(IF R IS REGISTERED) Are you registered as being a Republican, a Democrat or anything else?

1. Democratic party

- 5. Republican party
- 6. No party/none (1976 also includes: none required)

8. Other

9. DK; NA; DK/NA if R registered; form II (1972)0. R was not registeredINAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: This is a pre-election variable. Beginning in 2012, respondents were asked party of registration in both the Pre and Post. A future release of the CDF will provide a summary variable for party of registration that is not wave specific.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720164,V720165 1976: V763032,V763033

VCF0739

Respondent Made \$1 Tax Checkoff for Political Contribution

1980-1984: Now for a few questions about giving money during this last election campaign. All YEARS: Did you use the one-dollar check-off option on your Federal income tax return to make a political contribution this year?'

1. Yes 2. No

0. DK; NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Code 2 includes volunteered responses that R does not file a federal income tax form.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800799 1982: V820478 1984: V840824 1986: V860319 1988: V880829 1990: V900370 1992: V925814

VCF0740

Contribute to Political Party During the Campaigns

1980-1982: Apart from contributions to specific candidates, how about contributions to any of the political parties? Did you give money to a political party

during this election year? 1984:

As you know, during an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. During the last year did you give any money to an individual candidate, a political party organization, people supporting a ballot proposition, or to a particular issue or interest group? (IF YES:) Apart from contributions to specific candidates, how about contributions to a political party organization? Did you give money to a political party during this election year? 1986:

As you know, during an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. During the past year did you give any money to an individual candidate, or to a political party organization? (IF YES:) Apart from contributions to specific candidates, how about contributions to a political party organization? Did you give money to a political party during this election year? 1988 AND LATER:

Did you give money to a political party during this election year?

1. Yes 2. No

0. DK; NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

1984 NOTE:

If R responded 'no' to the filter question, this has been coded 2. 1986 NOTE: same as 1984.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800811 1982: V820490 1984: V840825,V840826 1986: V860320, V860323 1988: V880832 1990: V900373 1992: V925817 1994: V940814 1996: V961171 1998: V980367 2000: V001231 2002: V025012 2004: V045015 2008: V085034 2012: mobilpo_ctbpty 2016: V162016

VCF0741

Contribute to PAC During the Campaigns

1984:

As you know, during an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. During the last year did you give any money to an individual candidate, a political party organization, people supporting a ballot proposition, or to a particular issue or interest group? (IF YES:) Did you give any money to any other group that supported or opposed candidates? 1986:

As you know, during an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. During the past year did you give any money to an individual candidate, or to a political party organization? (IF YES:) Did you give any money to any other group that supported or opposed candidates? ALL OTHER YEARS:

Did you give any money to any other group that supported or opposed candidates?

1. Yes

2. No

0. DK; NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

If R responded 'no' to the filter question, this has been coded 2. 1986 NOTE: same as 1984.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840825,V840831 1986: V860320,V860325 1988: V880834 1990: V900375 1992: V925819 1994: V940816 1996: V961173 1998: V980369 2000: V001233 2002: V025013 2004: V045016 2008: V085035 2012: mobilpo_ctboth 2016: V162017

VCF0742

Give Money to Individual Candidate During the Campaigns

1980,1982:

What about other political contributions [other than tax check-offs]. Did you give any money this year to a candidate running for public office?

1984:

As you know, during an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. During the past year, did you give any money to an individual candidate, to a political party organization, people supporting a ballot proposition, or to a particular issue or interest group? (IF YES:) Now, apart from contributions to a political party, did you give any money to an individual candidate running for public office?

1986:

As you know, during an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. During the past year, did you give any money to an individual candidate, or to a political party organization? (IF YES:) Now, apart from any contributions to a political party, did you give money to an individual candidate running for public office?

1988 AND LATER:

During an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns. Did you give money to an individual candidate running for public office?

1. Yes

2. No

0. DK; NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

1984 NOTE: If R responded 'no' to the filter question, this has been coded 2. 1986 NOTE: same as 1984.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800802 1982: V820481 1984: V840825,V840828 1986: V860320, V860321 1988: V880830 1990: V900371 1992: V925815 1994: V940812 1996: V961169 1998: V980365 2000: V001229 2002: V025011 2004: V045014 2008: V085033 2012: mobilpo_ctbcand 2016: V162014

VCF0743

Does R Belong to Political Organization or Club

Do you belong to any political club or organization?

1. Yes 5. No

9. DK; NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

1962 NOTE: The following optional text was also included: 'to help one of the parties or candidates'.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520207 1956: V560219 1960: V600219 1962: V620053 1964: V640316 1968: V680400 1970: V700172(type 0)

1978: V780463 1980: V800798 1982: V820477

VCF0744

Have Access to Internet

Do you have access to the Internet or the World Wide Web [exc. 2008: ('the Web')]?

1. Yes 5. No

9. DK; NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

2012 NOTE:

In 2012, the question was asked about anyone in the household rather than asked about respondent's access to the internet.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1996: V961160 1998: V980209 2000: V001433 2004: V045155 2008: V083018

VCF0745

Saw Election Campaign Information on the Internet

1996-2004: (IF R HAS ACCESS TO THE INTERNET/WEB:) Have you seen any information about this election campaign on (the Internet/the Web)?

Yes
 No; R does not have access

9. DK; NA; DK/NA if has access; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

For years 1996-2004, this question is a follow-up to VCF0744.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1996: V961161 1998: V980210 2000: V001434 2004: V045155,V045155a

VCF0746

Did Religious/Moral Group Try to Influence Respondent Vote

Were there any groups concerned with moral or religious issues that tried to encourage you to vote in a particular way?

Yes, there were groups
 No, no groups tried to encourage

9. DK; NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1996: V961175 1998: V980355 2000: V001235

VCF0747

Information about Candidates/Parties/Issues at Church

Was information about candidates, parties, or political issues made available in your place of worship before the election?

1. Yes

- 5. No, no information available
- 7. No, don't attend church [VOL]

9. DK; NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1996: V961176 1998: V980356 2000: V001236

VCF0748

Voted on Election Day or Before

Did you vote on election day -- that is [date of election] or did you vote at some time before this?

On election day
 Some time before

9. DK; NA; R did not vote; no Post IW INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1994: V940607 1996: V961078 1998: V980308 2000: V001245 2002: V025021

2004: V045023 2008: V085042

VCF0749

How Long Before Election Did Respondent Vote

(IF R VOTED BEFORE ELECTION DAY:) How long before [date of election] did you vote?

- 01. Less than one week, 1-6 days
- 02. One week; 7 days
- 03. 1-2 weeks; 8-14 days
- 04. 2-3 weeks; 15-21 days
- 05. 3-4 weeks; 22-28 days
- 06. One month; 29-31 days
- 07. More than one month; 32-60 days
- 11. A few days; a couple of days; several days -- NFS
- 12. A few weeks; a couple of weeks; several weeks -- NFS
- 91. More than a few days -- NFS
- 92. More than a few weeks --NFS
- 97. Other

99. DK; NA; R did not vote; R did not vote before election day or DK/NA if voted before election day; no Post IW INAP. question not used

```
2012 NOTE:
```

Not yet coded for the 2012 Time Series.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1994: V940608 1996: V961079 1998: V980309 2000: V001246 2002: V025022 2004: V045023a 2008: V085042a

VCF0750

Vote in Person Or with Absentee Ballot

(IF R VOTED BEFORE ELECTION DAY:) Did you vote in person or by absentee ballot?

- 1. In person
- 5. Absentee ballot
- 7. R volunteers: by mail [OREGON ONLY]

 DK; NA; R did not vote; R did not vote prior to election day; no Post IW
 INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:

1990: V900284 1992: V925606 1994: V940609 1996: V961080 1998: V980310 2000: V001247 2002: V025023 2004: V045024 2008: 08503

VCF0801

Thermometer Index - Rating of Liberals and Conservatives

Liberal/conservative index

00. Most liberal

49. Neutral

97. Most conservative

98. DK in VCF0211 or VCF0212; don't recognize OR can't rate (1980 and later) in VCF0211 or VCF0212, or both
99. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972)
INAP. question not used

```
GENERAL NOTE:
```

This index is constructed from the thermometer score for liberals (VCF0211) and the thermometer score for conservatives (VCF0212). The calculation used is the following: First, the value of VCF0211 is subtracted from 97, and that difference is added to the value of VCF0212. This sum is then divided by 2, and .5 is added to the result. Finally, the solution is truncated to obtain an integer value. If either VCF0211 is VCF0212 is 98, then VCF0801 is coded 98; 99 is coded in VCF0801 if it is the only missing data value coded in VCF0211, VCF0212.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0803

Liberal-Conservative Scale

ALL YEARS EXC. 2000 TELEPHONE.2002: We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Here is (1972,1974: I'm going to show you) a 7-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative. Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R) 2000 TELEPHONE: When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this? 2002: We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought

much about this?

- 1. Extremely liberal
- 2. Liberal
- 3. Slightly liberal
- 4. Moderate, middle of the road
- 5. Slightly conservative
- 6. Conservative
- 7. Extremely conservative
- 9. DK; haven't thought much about it
- 0. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972); R not administered 7pt scale series (2000)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

For years 1984 and later, a follow-up 'choice' question was asked of respondents who replied 'don't know' or 'haven't thought much' to this question; except for years 1984, 1986 and 1990 this follow-up 'choice' question was also asked of respondents who answered 'moderate.' For these years, a final 3-category summary was constructed: the 'choice' question is found in VCF0824; the final 3-category summary is VCF0849. 2000 NOTE:

This variable represents 7-point scale data from interviews conducted using both modes (telephone and personal).

In addition to the traditional 7-point scale version of this question, approximately half of the 2000 Study respondents (both modes) were instead randomly selected for administration of a branching series. 2012 NOTE:

Due to an error in the technical specifications, option 'Haven't thought much about it' was not included in the web instrument; code 9 represents FTF cases only.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720652 1974: V742305 1976: V763286 1978: V780399 1980: V800267 1982: V820393 1984: V840369 1986: V860385 1988: V880228 1990: V900406 1992: V923509 1994: V940839 1996: V960365 1998: V980399 2000: V000440 2002: V023022 2004: V045117 2008: V083069 2012: libcpre_self 2016: V162171

VCF0804

Liberal-Conservative Scale 1972- COLLAPSED

ALL YEARS EXC. 2000 TELEPHONE,2002:

We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Here is (1972,1974: I'm going to show you) a 7-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely

liberal to extremely conservative. Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R) 2000 TELEPHONE: When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this? 2002: We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. When it comes to politics, do you usually think of yourself as extremely liberal, liberal, slightly liberal, moderate or middle of the road, slightly conservative, extremely conservative, or haven't you thought much about this?

1. Liberal

2. Moderate, middle of the road

3. Conservative

9. DK; haven't thought much about it

0. NA; no Post IW; form III,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

See also: VCF0801, VCF0824 AND VCF0849. GENERAL NOTE: This collapses VCF0803: 1-3=1, 4=2, 5-7=3.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0805

Government Assistance with Medical Care

1956,1960:

Around election time people talk about different things that our government in Washington is doing or should be doing. Now I would like to talk to you about some of the things that our government might do. Of course, different things are important to different people, so we don't expect everyone to have an opinion about all of these. I would like you to look at this card as I read each question and tell me how you feel about the question. If you don't have an opinion, just tell me that; if you do have an opinion, choose one of the other answers.] (CARD WITH RESPONSE CHOICES SHOWN TO R: AGREE STRONGLY/ AGREE BUT NOT VERY STRONGLY/ NOT SURE, IT DEPENDS/DISAGREE BUT NOT VERY STRONGLY/ DISAGREE VERY STRONGLY).

'The government ought to help people get doctors and hospital care at low cost.'

1962:

Now on a different problem. 'The government ought to help people get doctors and hospital care at low cost.' Do you have an opinion on this or not? (IF YES:) Do you agree that the government should do this or do you think the government should not do it. 1964,1968:

Some say the government in Washington ought to help people get doctors and hospital care at low cost; others say the government should not get into this. Have you been interested enough in this to favor one side over the other? (IF YES) What is your position?

- 1. Opinion: help people get doctors and hospital care at low cost 2. Opinion: stay out of this
- 9. No opinion; DK; not sure; depends; no interest; both; other

0. NA; no Pre IW INAP. question not used

See also: VCF0806. GENERAL NOTE: For 1956 and 1960, 'agree strongly' and 'agree but not very strongly' were coded 1. 'Disagree strongly' and 'disagree but not very strongly' were coded 2. 1962 NOTE: 'Yes, ' yes, qualified,' 'yes, for the aged' and 'yes, for those who need it, for those financially unable' were coded 1. 'No,' 'no, qualified,' and 'no, except for the aged' were coded 2.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1956: V560038 1960: V600066 1962: V620057,V620058 1964: V640074 1968: V680064

VCF0806

Government Health Insurance Scale

There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some (1988,1994-LATER: people) feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses (1984 AND LATER: for everyone). (1996,2004: Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1). Others feel that (1988,1994-1996: all) medical expenses should be paid by individuals, and through private insurance (1984 AND LATER: plans) like Blue Cross (1984-1994: or [1996:some] other company paid plans). (1996,2004: Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And of course, some people have opinions somewhere in between at points 2,3,4,5 or 6.) Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

- 1. Government insurance plan
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7. Private insurance plan
- 9. DK; haven't thought much about it

0. NA; split versions: not asked (2008); Form II (1972); no Post IW; telephone IW (1984: see VCF0015, 2000) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

This variable represents 7-point scale data from interviews conducted

See also VCF0805. 2000 NOTE 1:

face-to-face. Respondents interviewed by telephone were asked a branching series and are not included. 2000 NOTE 2: Approximately half of the respondents were randomly selected to be administered this question with the introduction read with the endpoint options in reverse order [private option first] and the endpoint labels in the respondent booklet reversed. 2008 NOTE: This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents using verion 'OLD'; the remaining respondents were administered an alternative version (version 'NEW').

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1970: V700134(type 0) 1972: V720208 1976: V763273 1978: V780381 1984: V841058 1988: V880318 1992: V923716 1994: V940950 1996: V960479 2000: V000609 2004: V043150 2008: V083119 2012: inspre_self 2016: V161184

VCF0808

Guaranteed Jobs and Income

1956-1960:

(Same introduction as in VCF0805 [CARD WITH RESPONSES SHOWN]). 'The government in Washington ought to see to it that everybody who wants to work can find a job.' 1964,1968:

In general, some people feel that the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on his own.' Have you been interested enough in this to favor one side over the other. (IF YES:) Do you think that the government --2002:

Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has A JOB AND A GOOD STANDARD OF LIVING. Others think the government should just LET EACH PERSON GET AHEAD ON THEIR OWN. Which is closer to the way you feel or haven't you thought much about this?

- Yes, government should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living
- 2. Yes, should let each person get ahead on his own
- Depends; other; both; no opinion; DK; no interest; haven't thought much about this (2002)

0. NA; no Pre IW; no Post IW INAP. question not used

See also: VCF0809. GENERAL NOTE: For 1956, 1958 and 1960, 'agree strongly' and 'agree but not very strongly' were coded 1. 'Disagree strongly' and 'disagree but not very strongly' were coded 2.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1956: V560032 1958: V580023 1960: V600054 1964: V640078 1968: V680066 2002: V025122

VCF0809

Guaranteed Jobs and Income Scale

Some people feel that the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. (1972-1978,1996-LATER: Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1). Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on his/their own. (1972-1978,1996: Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at pints 2,3,4,5 or 6.) Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

- 1. Government see to job and good standard of living
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7. Government let each person get ahead on his own
- 9. DK; haven't thought much about it

0. NA; no Post IW; split versions: not asked (2008); form A (1986); telephone IW (2000) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See also: VCF0808. 1972 NOTE: This question was asked in the pre for form I and in the post for form II (and IV). 2000 NOTE: This variable represents 7-point scale data from interviews conducted face-to-face. Respondents interviewed by telephone were asked a branching series and are not included here. 2008 NOTE: This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents using verion 'OLD'; the remaining respondents were administered an alternative version (version 'NEW').

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V721067 1974: V742265 1976: V763241 1978: V780357 1980: V801110 1982: V820425 1984: V840414 1986: V860486 1988: V880323 1990: V900446 1992: V923718 1994: V940930 1996: V960483 1998: V980457 2000: V000615 2004: V043152 2008: V083128 2012: guarpr_self 2016: V161189

VCF0811

Urban Unrest Scale

There is much discussion about the best way to deal with the problem of urban unrest and rioting. Some say it is more important to use all available force to maintain law and order -- no matter what results. Others say it is more important to correct the problems of poverty and unemployment that give rise to the disturbances. Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Solve problems of poverty and unemployment

3.
 4.
 5.
 6.
 7. Use all available force
 9. DK; haven't thought much about it
 0. NA; no Post IW; form I,III (1972); short form or Spanish language (1992)
 INAP. question not used

In contrast to other years, R self-placement followed rather than preceded placements of parties/political figures.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680464 1970: V700085(type 0) 1972: V720670 1974: V742273 1976: V763767 1992: V923746

1968 NOTE:

VCF0813

How Much Has the Position of Blacks Changed

1964-1976:

In the past few years we have heard a lot about civil rights groups working to improve the position of the Negro in this country. How much real change do you think there has been in the position of the Negro in the past few years: a lot, some, or not much at all? 1984 AND LATER:

In the past few years, we have heard a lot about improving the position of black people in this country. How much real change do you think there has been in the position of black people in the past few years: a lot, some, or not much at all?

1. Not much at all 2. Some 3. A lot 9. DK: depends 0. NA; no Post IW; telephone IW (1984); form A (1986); form B (1990) INAP. question not used GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011. Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. WEIGHT: VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640104 1966: V660042

1968: V680042 1968: V680080 1970: V700070(type 2) 1972: V720111 1976: V763212 1984: V841075 1986: V860562 1988: V880846 1990: V900519 1992: V925930 1994: V941005

VCF0814

Civil Rights Pushes Too Fast or Not Fast Enough

Some say that the civil rights people have been trying to push too fast. Others feel they haven't pushed fast enough. How about you: Do you think that civil rights leaders are trying to push too fast, are going too slowly, or are they moving about the right speed?

1. Too slowly

- 2. About right (1968 only: pro-con)
- 3. Too fast
- 9. DK; depends; other

0. NA; no Post IW; telephone IW (1984); form A (1986); form B (1990) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011. Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. 1966 NOTE: 2 additional code categories were present besides those appearing

here. For 1966, 'mostly about right but some going too fast' (8 cases) was recoded to 3 here, and 'mostly about right but some going too slowly' (1 case) was recoded to 1. 1968 NOTE: The category 'about right' was a combination category which also included 'pro-con.'

WEIGHT: VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640105 1966: V660043 1968: V680081 1970: V700071(type 2) 1972: V720112 1974: V742264 1976: V763213 1980: V801132 1984: V841073 1986: V860559 1988: V880845 1990: V900518 1992: V925929

VCF0815

Segregation or Desegregation

(1964,1968-1972, 1976: What about you?) Are you in favor of desegregation, strict segregation, or something in between?

1. Desegregation

2. In between

3. Strict segregation

9. Don't know

0. NA INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011. Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N.

code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. In years except 1978, this question followed questions asking R to evaluate whether blacks/whites in R's area favor segregation.

WEIGHT: VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640127 1968: V680088 1970: V700077(type 2) 1972: V720118 1976: V763217 1978: V780444

VCF0816

Should Government Ensure School Integration

1962:

How about this statement, 'The government in Washington should see to it that white and colored children are allowed to go to the same schools.' Do you have an opinion on this or not? (IF YES:) Do you agree that the government should do this or do you think that the government should not do it?

1964-1986,1990 AND LATER:

Some people say that the government in Washington should see to it that white and black (1962-1966: colored; 1968,1970: Negro) children go (1964-1970: are allowed to go) to the same schools. Others claim this is not the government's business. Have you been concerned (1986,1990 AND LATER: interested) enough about [in] this question to favor one side over the other? (IF YES)

Do you think the government in Washington should ---

- 1. Yes, R has an opinion: see to it that white and black children go (1962-1970: are allowed to go) to the same schools
- 2. Yes, R has an opinion: stay out of this area (except 1962: as it is none of government's business)
- 9. No, no opinion; DK; depends; no interest/concern; other; both; pro-con

0. NA; Form A (1986); form B (1990); no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011. Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. 1962 NOTE: Additional code categories were present besides those appearing here. 1962 categories 'yes, qualified,' 'yes, but there should be no force. Moderate. Gradual,' and 'no, gualified' were recoded to 9 here. 1976 NOTE: 'Anti-busing statement qualified with statement that R is not against integration or opportunity' has been included in code 9. WEIGHT: VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z SOURCE VARS: 1962: V620062 1964: V640100 1966: V660025 1968: V680075 1970: V700062(type 2) 1972: V720106 1976: V763211 1978: V780443 1986: V860485 1990: V900470 1992: V925931,V925932 1994: V941003, V941004 2000: V000746, V000747

VCF0817

School Busing Scale

There is much discussion about the best way to deal with racial problems. Some people think achieving racial integration of schools is so important that it justifies busing children to schools out of their own neighborhoods. Others think letting children go to their

neighborhood schools is so important that they oppose busing. Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Bus to achieve integration

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7. Keep children in neighborhood schools

9. DK; haven't thought much about it

0. NA; no Post IW; telephone IW (1984: See VCF0015) INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720202 1974: V742288 1976: V763257 1980: V801133 1984: V841074

VCF0818

Should Government Ensure Fair Jobs/Housing for Blacks

1956,1960:

If Negroes are not getting fair treatment in jobs and housing, the government should see to it that they do'

1958:

If Negroes are not getting fair treatment in jobs and housing, the government should see to it that they do. Do you have an opinion on this or not? (IF YES:) Do you think the government should do this.

1. Agree strongly

2. Agree but not very strongly

3. Not sure; depends; DK; no opinion

- 4. Disagree but not very strongly
- 5. Disagree strongly

9. NA INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See also VCF9037 (jobs only). Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011. Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the

1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N.

WEIGHT: VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z

SOURCE VARS: 1956: V560044

1958: V580031 1960: V600062

VCF0819

Open Housing

1964,1968-1972,1976: Which of these statements would you agree with: (STATEMENTS CONTAINED IN CODE CATEGORIES READ TO R) 1966: Some people say that Negroes should be allowed to live in any part of town they want to. How do you feel? Should Negroes be allowed to live in any part of town they want to, or not?

- 1. White people have a right to keep Negroes out of their neighborhoods if they want to
- 2. Negroes have a right to live wherever they can afford to, just like anybody else
- 9. DK; depends; can't decide; both

0. NA INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011. Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. 1966 NOTE: code categories were: 'should be allowed' (recoded to 2 HERE), 'should be allowed, qualified' (recoded to 2), 'should not be allowed' (recoded to 1) and 'should not be allowed, qualified' (recoded to 1). WEIGHT: VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640116

1966: V660152 1968: V680084 1970: V700068(type 2) 1972: V720115 1976: V763214

VCF0820

Do Whites in the Area Favor Segregation

How about white people in this area? How many would you say are in favor of strict segregation of the races - all of them, most of them, about half, less than half of them, or none of them?

- 1. None of them
- 2. Less than half
- 3. About half
- 4. Most of them
- 5. All of them
- 9. DK

0. NA; no whites in R's area; Rs erroneously not asked this question (1964)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011. Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. In all years, this question followed VCF0821. 'No whites in area' is represented in code 0. 1964 NOTE 1: 219 were not asked this question by error. 1964 NOTE 2: This question was preceded by 7 questions about the racial composition of R's neighborhood, nearest grade school, nearest junior high school, nearest high school, co-workers, friends and of the people where R shops and trades. If the responses to these 7 questions did not reveal the presence of any white people, then this question was not asked, and VCF0820 has been coded 0.

WEIGHT: VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640126 1968: V680087 1970: V700076(type 2) 1972: V720117 1976: V763216

VCF0821

Do Blacks in the Area Favor Desegregation

In general, how many of the black people (1964,1968,1970: Negroes) in this area would you say are in favor of desegregation -- all of them, most of them, about half, less than half of them, or none of them?

1. None of them 2. Less than half 3. About half 4. Most of them 5. All of them 9. DK 0. NA; no Blacks in R's area INAP. question not used _____ GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011. Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. In all years, this question followed VCF0821. 'No blacks in area' is represented in code 0. 1964 NOTE 1: 219 were not asked this guestion by error. 1964 NOTE 2: This question was preceded by 7 questions about the racial composition of R's neighborhood, nearest grade school, nearest junior high school, nearest high school, co-workers, friends and of the people where R shops and trades. If the responses to these 7 questions did not reveal the presence of any white people, then this guestion was not asked, and

VCF0820 has been coded 0.

WEIGHT: VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640125 1968: V680086 1970: V700074(type 2) 1972: V720116 1976: V763215

VCF0822

Rating of Government Economic Policy

As to the economic policy of the government -- I mean steps taken to fight inflation or unemployment -- would you say the government is doing a good job, only fair, or a poor job?

Poor job
 Only fair
 Good job

9. DK 0. NA; Form II,III,IV (1972); no Post IW INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720512 1974: V742317 1976: V763748

VCF0823

Better off if U.S. Unconcerned with Rest of World

1956-1960: (Same introduction as in VCF0805 [CARD WITH RESPONSES SHOWN]). 1968,1980:

Now I'd like to read some of the things people tell us when we interview them (1968: and ask you; 1980: As I read, please tell me) whether you agree or disagree with them.

1972: I'd like you to tell me whether you agree or disagree with each of these next six statements.

1976: I am going to read you two statements about US foreign policy and I would like you to tell me whether you agree or disagree with each statement

1984-1988,1992: I am going to read a statement about US foreign policy, and I would like you to tell me whether you agree or disagree. 1990,1994-LATER: Do you agree or disagree with this statement.

ALL YEARS:

'This country would be better off if we just stayed home and did not concern ourselves with problems in other parts of the world.'

1. Agree (1956-1960: incl. 'agree strongly' and 'agree but not strongly')

2. Disagree (1956-1960: incl. 'disagree strongly' and 'disagree but not strongly')

9. DK; depends; not sure; no opinion, can't say; refused to say

0. NA; no Pre IW; no Post IW; telephone IW (1984: see v.15); form B (1986); form A (1990); noncomparable question format (2000) INAP. question not used

2000 NOTE:

Respondents were randomly selected for administration of the standard version of this question or an alternative version offering opposing positions on isolationism. Only data from the traditional format are represented here.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1956: V560035 1958: V580025 1960: V600056 1968: V680522 1972: V720291 1976: V763926 1980: V801036 1984: V841057 1986: V860535 1988: V880254 1990: V900498 1992: V923604 1994: V941019 1996: V960410 1998: V980488 2000: V000513a 2002: V023033 2004: V043113 2008: V083096 2012: usworld_stay 2016: V161153

VCF0824

If Compelled to Choose Liberal or Conservative

We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Here is (1972,1974: I'm going to show you) a 7-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative. Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R). (IF R RESPONDS 'DON'T KNOW' OR 'HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS' IN 1984 AND LATER; IF R RESPONDS 'MODERATE' IN 1988,1992,1996-LATER:) If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

1. Liberal

- 3. Moderate ('middle of the road') [VOL]
- 5. Conservative
- 7. R refuses to choose
- 8. DK
- 9. NA in either question
- 0. R has made choice on 7pt scale VCF0803 1984,1986,1990); R has made choice on VCF0803 7pt scale other than 'moderate' (1988,1992 and later); R not administered 7pt scale series (2000); no post IW
- INAP. question not used

See also VCF0803 and VCF0849.

GENERAL NOTE:

This is the follow-up 'choice' question asked 1984 and later of Rs who replied to the full 7-point scale question (VCF0803) with 'Don't know' or 'haven't thought much'; except for years 1984, 1986 and 1990 this question was also asked of Respondents who answered 'moderate.' Using the initial 7-point scale (VCF0803) and this follow-up, a final 3-category summary was also constructed in these years: the final 3category summary is VCF0849. If R's response to the 7-point scale question (VCF0803) was NA then

It R's response to the 7-point scale question (VCF0803) was NA then this follow-up question was not asked.

2000 NOTE:

This variable represents 7-point scale data from interviews conducted using both modes; approximately half of the 2000 Study respondents (both modes) were randomly selected for administration of a branching series. The latter respondents are excluded here.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840370 1986: V860386 1988: V880229 1990: V900407 1992: V923511,V923512 1994: V940839,V940840 1996: V960366 1998: V980401 2000: V000441 2000: V023023 2004: V045117,V045117a 2008: V083069a 2012: libcpre_choose 2016: V161127

VCF0825

How Likely for U.S. to be at War/in Bigger War

How about the chances of our country getting into a bigger war? Compared to a few years ago, do you think we are more likely, less likely, or have about the same chances to get into a bigger war?

- 1. Less likely
- 2. About the same chances
- 3. More likely
- 9. DK; depends

0. NA; Form II (1972) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Weight variable VCF0010/VCF0010A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0010. Note that use of VCF0010/VCF0010A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 2 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N.

WEIGHT: VCF0010x/VCF0010y/VCF0010z

SOURCE VARS: 1966: V660039 1968: V680116 1970: V700045(type 1) 1972: V720051

VCF0826

Did U.S. Do Right Thing Getting Involved in War

1952:

Do you think we did the right thing in getting into the fighting in Korea two years ago or should we have stayed out? 1964,1966: Have you been paying attention to what is going on in Vietnam? (IF YES:) Do you think we did the right thing in getting into the fighting in Vietnam or should we have stayed out? 1968-1972: Do you think we did the right thing in getting into the fighting in Vietnam or should we have stayed out? 2008: Do you think the United States should or should not have sent troops to fight the war in Iraq in 2003?

- 1. No, should have stayed out
- 2. Yes, did right thing

8. DK

9. Depends (1964-1972); both (1964-1972); other (1964, 1972); pro-con (1952); have not paid attention (1964,1966)
0. NA; no Pre IW; no Post IW; refused to answer (1966)

INAP. question not used

1966 NOTE:

Rs were not asked the filter question ('have you been paying attention...') if they had named Vietnam as a problem in the 'Most Important Problem' question (see VCF0875).

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520054 1964: V640350 1966: V660035 1968: V680103 1970: V700041(type 0) 1972: V720050 2008: V085298

VCF0827

How Should U.S. Proceed in Current War

1952:

Which of the following things do you think it would be best for us to do now in Korea? (OPTIONS CORRESPONDING TO CODE CATEGORIES READ TO R) 1964-1970:

Which of the following do you think we should do now in Vietnam? (OPTIONS CORRESPONDING TO CODE CATEGORIES READ OR SHOWN)

- 1. Pull out entirely
- 2. Keep trying to get a peaceful settlement (1952); keep our soldiers in Vietnam but try to end the fighting (1964-1970)
- 3. Take a stronger stand (1952: and bomb Manchuria and China; 1964: even if it means invading North Vietnam)

9. DK; depends; pro-con; no opinion; other; have not paid attention (1964, 1966 only)

0. NA; no Pre IW; no Post IW INAP. question not used

1964 NOTE:

This question was not asked if R responded 'no' to the VCF0826 filter question ('have you been paying attention...') or, in 1964 only, if R's response to VCF0826 was NA.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520055 1964: V640351 1966: V660036 1968: V680104 1970: V700042(type 0)

VCF0827a

U.S. Stand in Vietnam Scale

(1968,1970: There is much talk about 'hawks' and 'doves' in connection with Vietnam, and considerable disagreement as to what action the United States should take in Vietnam.) (1972: With regard to Vietnam,) Some people think we should do everything necessary to win a complete military victory, no matter what results. Some people think we should withdraw completely from Vietnam right now, no matter what results. And, of course, other people have opinions somewhere between these two extreme positions. Suppose the people who support an immediate withdrawal are at one end of this scale (show card to R) at point number 1. And suppose the people who support a complete military victory are at the other end of the scale at point number 7. At what point on the scale would you place yourself on this scale (1972 only: or haven't you thought much about this)? [RESPONDENT BOOKLET]

- 1. Immediate withdrawal
- 2.

3.

4.

5.

- 6
- 7. Complete military victory
- 8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW; assigned to Post administration and no Post IW (1972)
0. Haven't thought much about it (1972 only)
INAP. question not used

1972 NOTE: Respondents were assigned to pre or post administration by form.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680470 1970: V700092(type 0) 1972: V721069

VCF0828

Should Government Cut Military Spending

Some people believe that our armed forces are already powerful enough and that we should spend less money for defense. Others feel that military spending should at least continue at the present level. How do you feel - should military spending be cut, or should it continue at least at the present level?

1. Cut military spending

- 2. Continue spending at least at present level
- 9. DK; depends; pro-con; other

0. NA; no Post IW; form II,IV (1972) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See also VCF0843.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720588 1976: V763357

VCF0829

Is the Government in Washington Too Strong

Some people are afraid the government in Washington is getting too powerful for the good of the country and the individual person. Others feel that the government in Washington is not getting too strong (1964,1966,1970: has not gotten too strong for the good of the country). 1964-1972: Have you been interested enough in this to favor one side over the other? 1976-1992: Do you have an opinion on this or not? ALL YEARS: (IF YES:) What is your feeling? Do you think the government is too powerful or do you think the government is not getting too strong? 1. Opinion: the government has not gotten too strong 2. Opinion: the government is getting too powerful 9. DK; depends; other; pro-con; no interest; no opinion 0. NA; Form I (1972); no Post IW; telephone IW (1984: see v.15) INAP. question not used GENERAL NOTE:

In 1964-1968, these questions were combined into a single variable. Beginning in 1970, the filter question ('have you been interested enough..') was represented in a separate variable. Beginning in 1970, in cases where R's response to the filter question was 'no' or 'DK,' then 9 has been coded. 1992 NOTE:

46 cases were not asked this question due to an error in skip instructions.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640071 1966: V660021 1968: V680062 1970: V700058,V700059(type 0) 1972: V720056,V720057 1976: V763224,V763225 1978: V780453,V780454 1980: V801128,V801129 1984: V841059,V841060 1988: V880847,V880848 1992: V926016 2000: V001512,V001513

VCF0830

Aid to Blacks Scale

1970-1984,1986 FORM B, 1988 FORM B:

Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every possible effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks (1970: Negroes) and other minority groups (1980: even if it means giving them preferential treatment). Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help minorities because they should help themselves (1970: but they should be expected to help themselves). 1986 FORM A, 1988 FORM A, 1990 AND LATER:

Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every (prior to 1996 only: possible) effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks. (1996-LATER: Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1). Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves. (1996-LATER: Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5 or 6).

ALL YEARS: Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about it? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Government should help minority groups/blacks

- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.

7. Minority groups/ blacks should help themselves

9. DK; haven't thought much about it

0. NA; telephone IW (2000); no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Note that form B in 1986, form B in 1988, and all previous years specified 'blacks and other minorities' in this question, while form A in 1986, form A in 1988, and all cases in 1990 and after specified only 'blacks.' To filter for form A/B responses, use variable VCF0012. 2000 NOTE: This variable represents 7-point scale data from interviews conducted

face-to-face; telephone respondents were administered asked a branching series and are not represented here.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1970: V700106(type 0) 1972: V720629 1974: V742296 1976: V763264 1978: V780373 1980: V801062 1982: V820415 1984: V840382 1986: V860426(form B), V860427(form A) 1988: V880332(form A), V880340(form B) 1990: V900447 1992: V923724 1994: V940936 1996: V960487 1998: V980462 2000: V000641 2004: V043158 2008: V083137 2016: V161198

VCF0832

Rights of the Accused Scale

Some people are primarily concerned with doing everything possible to protect the legal rights of those accused of committing crimes. Others feel that it is more important to stop criminal activity even at the risk of reducing the rights of the accused. Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

- 1. Protect rights of accused
- 2. 3.
- 3. 4.
- 5.

6.

7. Stop crime regardless of rights of accused

9. DK; haven't thought much about it

0. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1970: V700120(type 0) 1972: V720621 1974: V742281 1976: V763248 1978: V780365

VCF0833

Favor or Oppose Equal Rights Amendment

1976: An effort is being made to pass an amendment to the U.S.

Constitution which would guarantee equal rights for all citizens regardless of sex. ALL YEARS: Do you approve or disapprove of the Equal Rights Amendment to the Constitution (1978,1980: sometimes called the ERA amendment)?

Approve
 Disapprove
 DK

9. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763799 1978: V780452 1980: V801126

VCF0834

Women Equal Role Scale

Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government. (2004: (Suppose these people are at one en of a scale, at point 1)) Others feel that a women's place is in the home. (2004: (Suppose these people are at the other end; at point 7.) And of course, some people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6.) ALL YEARS EXC. 2000 VERSION 2: Where would you place yourself on this scale or haven't you thought much about this? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R) 2000 VERSION 2: Where would you place yourself on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Women and men should have an equal role

- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7. Women's place is in the home
- 9. DK; haven't thought much about it

0. NA; telephone IW (2000); split versions: not asked (2008) INAP. question not used

2000 NOTE 1:

This variable represents 7-point scale data from interviews conducted face-to-face; telephone respondents were administered a branching series and are not represented here. 2000 NOTE 2:

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered this question with or without the option 'or haven't you thought much about this?' read as part of the question text. Data for face-to- face respondents administered either version are represented in this variable. 2008 NOTE:

This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents using verion 'OLD'; the remaining respondents (version 'NEW') were not administered an alternative version.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720232 1974: V742302 1976: V763787 1978: V780389 1980: V801094 1982: V820435 1984: V840250 1988: V880387 1990: V900438 1992: V923801 1994: V940928 1996: V960543 1998: V980448 2000: V000755 2004: V043196 2008: V083166

VCF0836

Should Women Stay out of Politics

1952 INTRO: Now I'd like to read some of the kinds of things people tell me when I interview them, and ask you whether you agree or disagree with them. I'll read them one at a time, and you just tell me whether you agree or disagree with them, and whether you agree or disagree a little or quite a bit. 1972 INTRO: Do you agree or disagree with each of these two statements: ALL YEARS: 'Women should stay out of politics' 1. Agree (1952: incl. 'agree quite a bit' and 'agree a little')

Agree (1952: incl. 'agree quite a bit' and 'agree a little')
 Disagree (1952: incl. 'disagree quite a bit' and 'disagree a little')
 DK

0. NA; no Post IW or Post is not form B (1952: see v.15) INAP. question not used

1952 NOTE: Response categories were 'agree quite a bit' (recoded to 1) 'agree a little' (recoded to 1) 'disagree a little' (recoded to 2) and 'disagree quite a bit' (recoded to 2).

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520223 1972: V720251

VCF0837

When Should Abortion Be Allowed

(1972,1976: Still on the subject of women's rights,)

There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. Which one of the opinions on this page (1972: card) best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

- 1. Abortion should never be permitted.
- 2. Abortion should be permitted only if the life and health of the woman is in danger.
- 3. Abortion should be permitted if, due to personal reasons, the woman would have difficulty in caring for the child.
- 4. Abortion should never be forbidden, since one should not require a woman to have a child she doesn't want.
- 9. DK; other

0. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See also VCF0838. 1980 NOTE: Asked post-election. Alternate version (ssee VCF0838) asked pre-election.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720238 1976: V763796 1978: V780450 1980: V801136

VCF0838

By Law, When Should Abortion Be Allowed

ALL YEARS EXCEPT 2000 TELEPHONE:

There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. (RESPONDENT BOOKLET) Which one of the opinions on this page best agrees with your view? You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

2000 TELEPHONE:

I am going to read you a short list of opinions. Please tell me which one of the opinions best agrees with your view. You can just tell me the number of the opinion you choose.

- 1. By law, abortion should never be permitted.
- 2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger.
- 3. The law should permit abortion for reasons other than rape, incest, or danger to the woman's life, but only after the need for the abortion has been clearly established.
- 4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice.
- 9. DK; other

0. NA; no Post IW; split versions: not asked (2008); INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See also VCF0837. 1980 NOTE:

Asked pre-election. Alternate version (ssee VCF0837) asked post-election. 2008 NOTE: This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents using verion 'OLD'; the remaining respondents (version 'NEW') were administered a branching series of questions on abortion. 2016 NOTE: For Web cases, the Other option was not available.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800311 1982: V820463 1984: V840423 1986: V860488 1988: V880395 1990: V900479 1992: V923732 1994: V941014 1996: V960503 1998: V980505 2000: V000694 2004: V045132 2008: V085086 2012: abortpre_4point 2016: V161232

VCF0839

Government Services-Spending Scale

Some people think the government should provide fewer services, even in areas such as health and education, in order to reduce spending. (2004: Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Other people feel that it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. (2004: Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6.) Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Government should provide many fewer services: reduce spending a lot

2.

3.

4.

- 5.
- 6.
- Government should provide many more services: increase spending a lot
- 9. DK; haven't thought much about it

0.NA; telephone IW (2000); split versions: not asked (2008) INAP. question not used

1980 NOTE: The 1980 version of this question was not comparable. 2000 NOTE: This variable represents 7-point scale data from interviews conducted face-to-face. Respondents interviewed by telephone were asked a branching series and are not represented here. 2004 NOTE: Tbis question was asked in the pre-election survey and the post-

election survey. The pre-election data are reported here. 2008 NOTE: This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents using verion 'OLD'; the remaining respondents (version 'NEW') were administered a branching series of questions.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1982: V820443 1984: V840375 1986: V860448 1988: V880302 1990: V900452 1992: V923701 1994: V940940 1996: V960450 1998: V980463 2000: V000545 2004: V043136 2008: V083105 2012: spsrvpr_ssself 2016: V161178

VCF0840

Favor or Oppose Term Limits

A law has been proposed that would limit members of Congress to no more than 12 consecutive years of service in that office. Do you favor or oppose such a law?

1. Favor 5. Oppose 8. DK; other (1994,1998)

9. NA; short version or Spanish language questionnaire (1992) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: 'Other' code was used only in 1994 and 1998.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V923747 1994: V940651 1998: V980335

VCF0841

Cooperation with U.S.S.R. Scale

1980:

Some people feel it is important for us to try very hard to get along with Russia. Others feel it is a big mistake to try too hard to get along with Russia. 1984-1988: Some people feel it is important for us to try to cooperate more with Russia, while others believe we should be much tougher in our dealings with Russia.

ALL YEARS:

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Cooperate more/try to get along with Russia

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7. Get much tougher/big mistake to try to get along with Russia

9. DK; haven't thought much about it

0. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V801078 1984: V840408 1986: V860487 1988: V880368

VCF0842

Environmental Regulation Scale

Some people think we need much tougher government regulations on business in order to protect the environment. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Other think that current regulations to protect the environment are already too much of a burden on business. (Suppose these people are at the other end of the scale, at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5 or 6.

Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Much tougher regulations to protet the environment

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7. Regulations already too much of a burden on business

9. DK; haven't thought much about it

0. NA; telephone IW (2000) INAP. question not used

2000 NOTE:

This variable represents 7-point scale data from interviews conducted face-to-face. Respondents interviewed by telephone were asked a branching series and are not represented here.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1996: V960537 1998: V980497 2000: V000771

VCF0843

Defense Spending Scale

Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. (1996,2004: Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased. (1996,2004: Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.) (2004: And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2,3,4,5, or 6). Where would you place yourself on this scale or haven't you thought much about this? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Greatly decrease defense spending

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7. Greatly increase defense spending.

9. DK; haven't thought much about it

0. NA; telephone IW (2000); split versions: not asked (2008) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See also VCF0828. 2000 NOTE: This variable represents 7-point scale data from interviews conducted face-to-face. Respondents interviewed by telephone were asked a branching series and are not represented here. 2008 NOTE: This question was administered to a random half sample of respondents using verion 'OLD'; the remaining respondents (version 'NEW') were administered a branching series of questions. 2012 NOTE: The 7-point scale used in 2012 was not comparable.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800281 1982: V820407 1984: V840395 1986: V860405 1988: V880310 1990: V900439 1992: V923707 1994: V940929 1996: V960463 2000: V000581 2004: V043142 2008: V083112 2016: V161181

VCF0844

How Willing Should U.S. Be to Use Military Force

In the future, how willing should the United States be to use military force to solve international problems -- extremely willing, very willing, somewhat willing, not very willing, or never willing? (1992,1998: RESPONDENT BOOKLET)

1. Extremely willing

- 2. Very willing
- 3. Somewhat willing

4. Not very willing

- 5. Never willing
- 8. DK

9. NA INAP. question not used

1996 NOTE: Omission of response categories from Respondent Booklet was in error.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V923605 1996: V960411 1998: V980485

VCF0845

Authority of the Bible 1964-1990

Here are four statements about the Bible and I'd like you to tell me which is closest to your own view. (STATEMENTS SHOWN TO R)

- 1. The Bible is God's word and all it says is true
- 2. The Bible was written by men inspired by God but it contains some human errors.
- 3. The Bible is a good book because it was written by wise men, but God had nothing to do with it.
- 4. The Bible was written by men who lived so long ago that it is worth very little today.
- 9. DK; other

0. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984, see VCF0015); form A (1990) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See also VCF0850. 1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form. 2016 NOTE: For Web cases, the Other option was not available.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640414 1968: V680215 1980: V801176 1984: V841081

1986: V860507 1988: V880934 1990: V900516

VCF0846

Is Religion Important to Respondent

(2002: Now on another topic. . .) Do you consider religion to be an important part of your life, or not?

- 1. Yes, important
- 2. No, not important

8. DK

0. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984, see VCF0015); form B (1986); short form or Spanish language (1992) INAP. question not used

1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V801173 1984: V841078 1986: V860546 1988: V880932 1990: V900511 1992: V923820 1994: V941043 1996: V960571 1998: V980492 2000: V000872 2002: V023082 2004: V043219 2008: V083181 2012: relig_import 2016: V161241

VCF0847

How Much Guidance from Religion

(IF RELIGION IS IMPORTANT:) Would you say that (1996-LATER: Would you say your) religion provides some guidance in your day-to-day living, quite a bit of guidance, or a great deal of guidance in your day-to-day living (1996-LATER: 'life')?

- 1. Some
- 2. Quite a bit
- 3. A great deal
- 5. Religion not important

8. DK

0. NA; DK/NA if religion important in R's life; form B (1986); no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984, see VCF0015); short form or Spanish language (1992) INAP. question not used

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: This var is a follow-up to VCF0846. See VCF0846.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V801174 1984: V841079 1986: V860547 1988: V880933 1990: V900512 1992: V923821 1994: V941044 1996: V960572 1998: V980493 2000: V000873 2002: V023083 2004: V043220 2008: V083181,V083182 2012: relig_guide 2016: V161242,V161241

VCF0848

Concern about Conventional War

1956:

Now I'd like to ask you some questions about the chances of our country getting into war. Would you say that at the present time you are pretty worried about this country getting into another war, somewhat worried, or not worried at all?

1960,1964:

How about the chances of our country getting into war. Would you say that at the present time you are pretty worried about this country getting into another war, somewhat worried, or not worried at all? 1982-1992, 2000-2002:

How worried are you about our country (1982: How about the chances of) getting into a conventional war (2000 and 2002: at this time), one in which nuclear weapons are not used (1982: neither side uses nuclear weapons)? Are you very (1982: pretty) worried (1982: about this country getting into such a war at the present time), somewhat worried, or not worried at all?

1. Not worried

- 2. Somewhat worried
- 3. Very worried (1956-1982: pretty worried)
- 9. DK

0. NA; no Pre IW; no Post IW; form B (1986); form A (1990); telephone IW (2000) INAP. question not used

2000 NOTE:

This variable was administered in face-to-face interviews only due to an error in the survey application program.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1956: V560084 1960: V600085

1964: V640139 1982: V820307 1984: V840247 1986: V860534 1988: V880858 1990: V900497 1992: V923607 2000: V001488 2002: V025145

VCF0848a

Concern about Nuclear War

How worried are you about our country getting into a nuclear war at this time? Are you very worried, somewhat worried, or not worried at all?

Not worried
 Somewhat worried
 Very worried
 DK

9. NA; Form A (1990); no Post IW; personal IW (2000) INAP. question not used

2000 NOTE:

This variable was administered in telephone interviews only due to an error in the survey application program.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840248 1988: V880859 1990: V900499 1992: V923606 2000: V001487 2002: V025144

VCF0849

Liberal-Conservative Position 1984- COLLAPSED

We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. I'm going to show you [1996-LATER: Here is] a 7-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative. Where would you place yourself on this scale, or haven't you thought much about this? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R). (IF R RESPONDS 'DON'T KNOW' OR 'HAVEN'T THOUGHT MUCH ABOUT THIS'In 1984 AND LATER; IF R RESPONDS 'MODERATE' IN 1988, 1992, 1996-LATER:) If you had to choose, would you consider yourself a liberal or a conservative?

- 1. Liberal
- 3. Moderate ('middle of the road')
- 5. Conservative
- 6. Refuses to choose (in follow-up [exc. 1988 moderates]), don't know
- 9. NA to entire question

0. NA to follow-up; R not administered 7pt scale series (2000); no Post IW

INAP. question not used

See also VCF0803 and VCF0824.

GENERAL	

This is the final 3-cateogry summary for years 1984 and later, constructed using the initial 7-point scale question (VCF0803) and the follow-up 'choice' question (VCF0824), which was asked of respondents who had replied 'don't know' or 'haven't thought much' to the 7-point scale question and, except for years 1984, 1986, AND 1990, also asked of respondents who had answered 'moderate'.

If R's response to the 7-point scale question was NA, then the followup question ('if you had to choose...') was not asked and VCF0849 has been coded 9. If DK/HTM in first question and the response to the follow-up was 'don't know,' VCF0849 has been coded 8. If R refused to choose in the follow-up, VCF0848 has been coded 7.

Code 1 is comprised of 'extremely liberal,' 'liberal,' and 'slightly liberal' responses to the 7-point scale question (codes 1-3 in VCF0803) and of 'liberal' responses to the follow-up.

Code 3 for 1984, 1986, 1990 is comprised of Rs who replied 'moderate' to the 7-point scale question (code 4 in VCF0803, with the follow-up not asked) and also of Rs who replied DK or 'haven't thought much' to the 7-point scale question and who then replied 'moderate' to the follow-up. For 1988, 1992, 1996 and later, code 3 is comprised of: 1) cases where R replied 'moderate' to the 7-point scale question and then refused to choose another category or replied DK/NA to the follow-up; and 2) Rs who replied DK or 'haven't thought much' to the 7-point scale question and then replied 'moderate' in the follow-up.

Code 5 is comprised of 'extremely conservative,' conservative,' and 'slightly conservative' responses to the 7-point scale question (codes 5-7 in VCF0803) and of 'conservative' responses in the follow-up. 2000 NOTE:

This variable represents 7-point scale follow-up data from interviews conducted using both modes; approximately half of the 2000 Study respondents (both modes) were randomly selected for administration of a branching series and are excluded here.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840369,V840370 1986: V860385,V860386 1988: V880230 1990: V900406,V900407 1992: V923513 1994: V940839,V940840 1996: V960365,V960366,V960367,V960368 1998: V980399,V980400,V980401,V980402 2000: V000441a 2002: V023024 2004: V045118 2008: V083069,V083069a 2012: libcpre_self,libcpre_choose 2016: V161126,V161127

VCF0850

Authority of the Bible 1984-

2000 TELEPHONE:

I am going to read you a short list of statements. Please tell me) Which of these statements comes closest to describing your feelings about the Bible? You can just give me the number of the statement you choose. 1996,1998,2000 PERSONAL,2004:

Please look at page [page] of the booklet. Which of these statements comes closest to describing your feelings about the Bible? You can just give me the number of your choice. (STATEMENTS SHOWN TO R)

- 1. The Bible is the actual Word of God and is to be taken literally, word for word
- 2. The Bible is the Word of God but not everything in it should be taken literally, word for word
- 3. The Bible is a book written by men and is not the Word of God
- 9. Other; DK

0. NA; form B (1990); short form or Spanish language questionnaire (1992) INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1990: V900517 1992: V923824 1994: V941047 1996: V960575 1998: V980496 2000: V000876 2004: V043222 2008: V083184 2012: relig_wordgod 2016: V161243

VCF0851

Newer Lifestyles Contribute to Society Breakdown

1986,1990,1994,1996:

Now,I am going to read several statements. After each I would like you to tell me whether you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat or disagree strongly with this statement. (1986,1990:) You can just give me the number of your choice from the booklet. The first statement is.... 1988,1992: Here are several more statements. As before, you can just give me the

number of your choice from the booklet. The first statement is.... 1998: (Still on page [page]..)

2000 FACE-TO-FACE:

(Still looking at page [page] in the booklet:) ALL YEARS:

'The newer lifestyles are contributing to the breakdown of our society.'

(2000,2004: do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

- 1. Agree strongly
- 2. Agree somewhat
- 3. Neither agree nor disagree
- 4. Disagree somewhat
- 5. Disagree strongly
- 8. DK

9. NA; Form B (1990); no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Order of questions VCF0851-854 may have been in varying order by study.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1986: V860525 1988: V880954 1990: V900500 1992: V926118 1994: V941029 1996: V961247 1998: V980516 2000: V001530 2004: V045190 2008: V085140 2012: trad_lifestyle 2016: V162208

VCF0852

Should Adjust View of Moral Behavior to Changes

ALL YEARS:

'The world is always changing and we should adjust our view of moral behavior to those changes.' (2000,2004: do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

- 1. Agree strongly
- 2. Agree somewhat
- 3. Neither agree nor disagree
- 4. Disagree somewhat
- 5. Disagree strongly

8. DK

9. NA; Form B (1990); no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0851.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1986: V860526 1988: V880951 1990: V900501 1992: V926115 1994: V941030 1996: V961248 1998: V980515 2000: V001531 2004: V045189 2008: V085139 2012: trad_adjust 2016: V162207

VCF0853

Should be More Emphasis on Traditional Values

ALL YEARS: 'This country would have many fewer problems if there were more emphasis on traditional family ties.' (2000,2004: do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor

disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

- 1. Agree strongly
- 2. Agree somewhat
- 3. Neither agree nor disagree
- 4. Disagree somewhat
- 5. Disagree strongly
- 8. DK

9. NA; Form B (1990); no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0851.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1986: V860527 1988: V880953 1990: V900502 1992: V926117 1994: V941031 1996: V961249 1998: V980517 2000: V001532 2004: V045192 2008: V085142 2012: trad_famval 2016: V162210

VCF0854

Tolerance of Different Moral Standards

ALL YEARS:

'We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live according to their own moral standards, even if they are very different from our own.' (2000: do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement?)

1. Agree strongly

- 2. Agree somewhat
- 3. Neither agree nor disagree
- 4. Disagree somewhat
- 5. Disagree strongly
- 8. DK

9. NA; Form B (1990); no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0851.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1986: V860530 1988: V880952 1990: V900503

1992: V926116 1994: V941032 1996: V961250 1998: V980518 2000: V001533 2004: V045191 2008: V085141 2012: trad tolerant 2016: V162209

VCF0860

Racial Composition of Neighborhood- Respondent Description

1964,1968,1970: Is this neighborhood you now live in: [CHOICES] 1972,1976: (IF RESPONDENT IS BLACK:) Is this neighborhood you now live in all black, mostly black, about half and half, mostly white, or all white? (IF RESPONDENT IS WHITE:) Is this neighborhood you live in all white, mostly white, about half and half, mostly black, or all black?

1. All white 2. Mostly white 3. About half and half 4. Mostly Negro/black 5. All Negro/black 8. DK 0. NA

INAP. question not used

_____ GENERAL NOTE:

Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011. Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. 1970 NOTE: If range of 2 adjacent numbers was given by respondent the value coded was the one towards the center of the scale (for example, 1 or 2 = 2; 2 or 3 = 3

WEIGHT: VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640118 1968: V680089 1970: V700078(type 2) 1972: V720131 1976: V763219

VCF0861

Racial Composition of Grade School- Respondent Description

1964,1968,1970: Is the grade school nearest you: [CHOICES] 1972,1976: (IF RESPONDENT IS BLACK:) Is the grade school nearest you all black, mostly black, about half and half, mostly white, or all white? (IF RESPONDENT IS WHITE:) Is the grade school nearest you all white,

mostly white, about half and half, mostly black, or all black?

1. All white 2. Mostly white 3. About half and half 4. Mostly Negro/black 5. All Negro/black 8. DK 0. NA INAP. question not used GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011. Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. 1970 NOTE: If range of 2 adjacent numbers was given by respondent the value coded was the one towards the center of the scale (for example, 1 or 2 = 2; 2 or 3 = 3WEIGHT:

VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640119 1968: V680090 1970: V700079(type 2) 1972: V720133 1976: V763221

VCF0862

Racial Composition of Junior High School

Is the junior high school nearest you: [CHOICES]

- 1. All white
- 2. Mostly white
- 3. About half and half
- 4. Mostly Negro/black
- 5. All Negro/black

8. DK 0. NA; no junior high in area (1964,1968) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011. Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. 1970 NOTE: If range of 2 adjacent numbers was given by respondent the value coded was the one towards the center of the scale (for example, 1 or 2 = 2; 2 or 3 = 3)

WEIGHT: VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640120 1968: V680091 1970: V700080(type 2)

VCF0863

Racial Composition of High School- Respondent Description

1964,1968,1970: Is the high school nearest you: [CHOICES] 1972: (IF RESPONDENT IS BLACK:) Is the high school nearest you all black, mostly black, about half and half, mostly white, or all white? (IF RESPONDENT IS WHITE:) Is the high school nearest you all white, mostly white, about half and half, mostly black, or all black?

All white
 Mostly white
 About half and half
 Mostly Negro/black
 All Negro/black

8. DK 0. NA INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011. Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. 1970 NOTE: If range of 2 adjacent numbers was given by respondent the value coded was the one towards the center of the scale (for example, 1 or 2 = 2; 2 or 3 = 3)

WEIGHT: VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640121 1968: V680092 1970: V700081(type 2) 1972: V720134

VCF0864

Racial Composition of Work Place- Respondent Description

1964,1968,1970: Are the people where you work: [CHOICES] 1972: (IF RESPONDENT IS BLACK:) Are the people who work where you work all black, mostly black, about half and half, mostly white, or all white? (IF RESPONDENT IS WHITE:) Are the people who work where you work all white, mostly white, about half and half, mostly black, or all black?

All white
 Mostly white

- About half and half
 Mostly Negro/black
- 5. All Negro/black

8. DK 0. NA; R doesn't work; R works alone (1968,1972) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011. Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. 1970 NOTE: If range of 2 adjacent numbers was given by respondent the value coded was the one towards the center of the scale (for example, 1 or 2 = 2; 2 or 3 = 3) 1986 NOTE: The 1986 version of this question is not coded comparably.

WEIGHT: VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640122 1968: V680093 1970: V700082(type 2) 1972: V720136

VCF0865

Racial Composition of Where R Shops- Respondent Description

1964,1968,1970: Are the people where you shop and trade: [CHOICES] 1972: (IF RESPONDENT IS BLACK:) Are the people who shop and trade where you do all black, mostly black, about half and half, mostly white or all white? (IF RESPONDENT IS WHITE:) Are the people who shop and trade where you do all white, mostly white, about half and half, mostly black, or all black?

All white
 Mostly white
 About half and half
 Mostly Negro/black
 All Negro/black
 DK
 NA

0. NA INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011. Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. 1970 NOTE: If range of 2 adjacent numbers was given by respondent the value coded was the one towards the center of the scale (for example, 1 or 2 = 2; 2 or 3 = 3)

WEIGHT: VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640123 1968: V680094 1970: V700083(type 2) 1972: V720137

VCF0866

Racial Composition of Friends

1964,1968,1970: Are your friends: [CHOICES] 1972,1976: (IF RESPONDENT IS BLACK:) Are your friends all black, mostly black, about half and half, mostly white, or all white? (IF RESPONDENT IS WHITE:) Are your friends all white, mostly white, about half and half, mostly black, or all black?

All white
 Mostly white
 About half and half
 Mostly Negro/black
 All Negro/black
 DK

0. NA INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Weight variable VCF0011/VCF0011A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0011. Note that use of VCF0011/VCF0011A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 1 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. 1970 NOTE: If range of 2 adjacent numbers was given by respondent the value coded was the one towards the center of the scale (for example, 1 or 2 = 2; 2 or 3 = 3)

WEIGHT: VCF0011x/VCF0011y/VCF0011z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640124 1968: V680095 1970: V700084(type 2) 1972: V720138 1976: V763222

VCF0867

Affirmative Action in Hiring/Promotion [1 of 2]

Some people say that because of past discrimination blacks should be given preference in hiring and promotion. Others say that such preference in hiring and promotion of blacks is wrong because it gives blacks advantages they haven't earned. What about your opinion-- are you for or against preferential hiring and promotion of blacks?

For
 Against
 DK; 1990-1994: refused; 1996 and later: other

9. NA; Form A (1986); form B (1990); no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See also VCF0867a. 1986 NOTE: Respondents were administered 2 versions of the preference question in two forms; data for the alternative version (worded 'preference in hiring and promotion of blacks is wrong because it discriminates against whites') are not included in this variable. 1988 NOTE: Wording matched 1986 alternative wording for all cases; 1988 data are not included here. 1996 NOTE: Code 'other' first appeared in 1996.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1986: V860475 1990: V900463 1992: V925935 1994: V941001 1996: V961208 1998: V980470 2000: V000803 2004: V045207 2008: V085157 2012: aapost_hire 2016: V162238

VCF0867a

Affirmative Action in Hiring/Promotion [2 of 2]

Some people say that because of past discrimination blacks should be given preference in hiring and promotion. Others say that such preference in hiring and promotion of blacks is wrong because it gives blacks advantages they haven't earned. What about your opinion-- are you for or against preferential hiring and promotion of blacks? (IF FAVOR:) Do you favor preference in hiring and promotion strongly or not strongly? (IF OPPOSE:) Do you oppose preference in hiring and promotion strongly or not strongly?

- 1. Favor strongly
- 2. Favor not strongly
- 4. Oppose not strongly
- 5. Oppose strongly
- 7. DK if favor/oppose; 1990-1994: refused; 1996 and later: other (in VCF0867)
- 8. Favor/oppose but DK if strongly or not strongly
- 9. NA if strongly or not strongly; NA whether favor or oppose (VCF0867); Form A (1986); form B (1990); no Post IW

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See also VCF0867. 1986 NOTE:

Respondents were administered 2 versions of the preference question in two forms; data for the alternative version (worded 'preference in hiring and promotion of blacks is wrong because it discriminates against whites') are not included in this variable. 1988 NOTE: Wording matched 1986 alternative wording for all cases; 1988 data are not included here. WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1986: V860476 1990: V900464 1992: V925936 1994: V941002 1996: V961209 1998: V980471 2000: V000806 2004: V045207,V045207a 2008: V085157,V085157a,V085157b 2012: aapost_hire_x,aapost_hire 2016: V162238x,V162238a

VCF0870

Better or Worse Economy in Past Year

How about (1996-LATER: Now thinking about) the economy (1990,1994later: in the country as a whole)? ALL YEARS EXC. 2000 VERSION 2: Would you say that over the past year the nation's economy has gotten better, stayed (all yrs. exc 1984: about) the same or gotten worse? 2000 VERSION 2: Would you say that over the past year the nation's economy has gotten worse, stayed about the same, or gotten better?

Better
 Stayed same
 Worse

8. DK 0. NA INAP. question not used

2000 NOTE:

Respondents were randomly selected to be administered this question using either the standard format or and alternative version with the order of options read aloud reversed.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800149 1982: V820327 1984: V840227 1986: V860372 1988: V880243 1990: V900422 1992: V923531 1994: V940908 1996: V960385 1998: V980418 2000: V000488a,V000488b 2002: V023027

2004: V043097 2008: V083083 2012: econ_ecpast 2016: V161140

VCF0871

How Much Better or Worse Economy in Past Year

How about (1996-LATER: Now thinking about) the economy (1990,1994later: in the country as a whole)? Would you say that over the past year the nation's economy has gotten better, stayed (all yrs. exc 1984: about) the same or gotten worse? (IF BETTER:) Would you say much better or somewhat better? (IF WORSE:) Would you say much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Stayed same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse

8. DK much or somewhat better/worse
9. NA much or somewhat better/worse
0. DK/NA if better or worse
INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800150 1982: V820328 1984: V840228 1986: V860373 1988: V880244 1990: V900423 1992: V923532 1994: V940909 1996: V960386 1998: V980419 2000: V000491 2002: V023028 2004: V043098 2008: V083083,V083083x 2012: econ_ecpast_x,econ_ecpast 2016: V161140x,V161140,V161140a

VCF0872

Better or Worse Economy in Next Year

What about the next 12 months (1980,1982: or so)? ALL YEARS EXC. 2000: Do you expect the (1986,1988,1992: national) economy to get better, get worse, or stay about the same? 2000 VERSION 1: Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get better, stay about the same, or get worse? 2000 VERSION 2: Do you expect the economy, in the country as a whole, to get worse, stay about the same, or get better?

Get better
 Stay about the same
 Get worse

8. DK; RF; depends (1990)9. NAINAP. question not used

1992 NOTE: This question was used in both the pre and post; the pre data are incorporated here. 2000 NOTE: Respondents were randomly selected to be administered this question using either the standard format or and alternative version with the order of options read aloud reversed.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800151 1982: V820329 1984: V840243 1986: V860381 1988: V880247 1990: V900424 1992: V923537 1994: V940910 1996: V960387 1998: V980420 2000: V000496a, V000496b 2004: V043099 2008: V083084 2012: econ_ecnext 2016: V161141

VCF0873

Did R Delay Medical/Dental Treatment Due to the Expense

In the past year did you (or anyone in your family living here/there) put off medical or dental treatment because you didn't have the money?

1. Yes

5. No

8. DK

 NA; short form or spanish-language questionnnaire (1992); R selected for Post administration and no Post IW (2000)
 INAP. question not used

2000 NOTE: Respondents were randomly selected to be administered this question in the Pre or the Post.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840157 1992: V923434 1994: V941027 2000: V001413a 2004: V043066

VCF0875

Mention 1: What is the Most Important National Problem

1960:

What would you personally feel are the most important problems the government should try to take care of when the new President and Congress take office in January? 1964:

As you well know, there are many serious problems in this country and in other parts of the world. The question is, what should be done about them and who should do it. We want to ask you about problems you think the government in Washington should do something about and any problems it should stay out of. First, what would you personally feel are the most important problems the government should try to take care of when the new President and Congress take office in January? 1966:

What do you personally feel are the most important problems which the government in Washington should try to take care of? 1968,1980,1982:

As you well know, the government faces many serious problems in this country and in other parts of the world. What do you personally feel are the most important problems which the government in Washington should try to take care of?

1970:

As you well know, there are many serious problems in this country and in other parts of the world. We'd like to start out by talking with you about some of them. What do you personally feel are the most important problems which the government in Washington should try to take care of? 1972-1978,1984 AND LATER:

What do you think are the most important problems facing this country? (IF MORE THAN ONE PROBLEM:) Of all you've told me (1996-LATER: Of those you've mentioned), what would you say is the single most important problem the country faces?

- 01. AGRICULTURAL
- 02. ECONOMICS; BUSINESS; CONSUMER ISSUES (includes foreign investment, tariffs/protection of U.S. industries, international trade deficit/balance of payments, immigration, interstate commerce/transportation; does not include unemployment [09], defense spending [03], foreign [03] or government spending on domestic social welfare [09])
- 03. FOREIGN AFFAIRS AND NATIONAL DEFENSE (includes: foreign aid, defense spending, the space program; does not include: international trade deficit [02])
- 04. GOVERNMENT FUNCTIONING
- (not 'the economy' [02]) 05. LABOR ISSUES (not unemployment [09])
- 06. NATURAL RESOURCES
- 07. PUBLIC ORDER

(includes: crime, drugs, civil liberties and non racial civil rights, women's rights, abortion rights, gun control, family/social/religious/moral 'decay,' church and state, etc.)

08. RACIAL PROBLEMS

(note: this primarily includes civil rights issues and racial equality; monetary assistance to minorities is primarily found in code 9, however

there is a slight overlap: see Note 7 for specific codes; note especially 1988 code 300 and 1966-1972 codes 61-63)

- 09. SOCIAL WELFARE (includes: population, child care, aid to education, the elderly, health care, housing, poverty, unemployment, 'welfare' etc.)
- 97. Other problems (incl. specific campaign issues)

- 99. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); Form I, III or IV (1972); no Pre IW; short form IW 1992); question not administered in assigned half sample (1996, 2000)
- 00. None; 'there were no issues;' 'there was no campaign in my district' (nonpresidential years); DK (1960,1964,1966 only)

See Appendix NOTE 'MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM'. GENERAL NOTE:

Because of changes in the full sets of codes which have been used over time, this variable is limited to preserving the major groupings which have been utilized with essential consistency through all years. The appendix NOTE gives exact study-by-study versions of the texts of individual codes which have been collapsed to form the VCF0875 group categories.

In the 1960, 1966, and 1970 study datasets, one multiple- response variable was coded which included up to 3 mentions (1970: 4). In 1960 and 1970, variables were coded in order of importance in cases where R mentioned more than one problem. Within the study documentation for these two years, it was also stated that, in cases of more than one mentioned problem, if order of importance was 'not clear,' responses were then coded in order of mention. From the 1966 documentation, it is not apparent if multiple responses were coded in order of importance or if they were coded in order of mention. The first CODED response (variable) in studies 1960, 1966, and 1970 has been used here. In the 1964 and 1968 study datasets, one single-response variable was coded. This variable was described as including, in cases of more than one given response, the mention considered most important by R. Documentation in both years added that if order of importance was 'not clear,' responses were coded in order of mention: in such event, the single variable appearing in the study dataset represented the first mention

Note that, for cases where R mentioned two or three problems but missing data (DK or NA) appeared in the 'choice' variable (i.e., R did not choose a single most important problem), the first mention has not been included here, but MD has been coded. EXCEPTION: in 1986, in 142 cases the interviewer mistakenly did not ask R to rank problems by importance when R gave more than one mention. In these 142 cases, the first mention of R has been incorporated.

In 1960, 1964 and 1966, the DK category was combined with 'no issues' and is included in code 00.

1996 NOTE:

A half-sample of respondents were selected to be administered this question. 2000 NOTE: same as 1996. 2012 NOTE: Not yet coded for the 2012 Time Series.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1960: V600050 1964: V640036 1966: V660019 1968: V680048 1970: V700039(type 0) 1972: V720546,V720548 1974: V742079 1976: V763689 1978: V780311,V780315

^{98.} DK (exc. 1960, 1964, 1966)

INAP. question not used

1980: V800979 1982: V820299 1984: V840993 1986: V860306 1988: V880817 1990: V900326 1992: V925726 1994: V940706 1996: V961141 1998: V980346 2000: V000436

VCF0875a

Mention 2: What is the Most Important National Problem

Most important national problem (2)

Codes1-990 and:

991. 1968: No problem mentioned; no second or third problem; 1970: No problem mentioned; no second, third or fourth problem
996. No mentions, DK (1960,1964)
997. No problem mentioned, DK (1966); no second or third problem
998. DK (1968,1970,1972)
999. NA (1960,1964,1966,1968,1970,1972)
000. no Post IW; Form I,III,IV (1972)
INAP. question not used

See question wording and notes for 1974-later in VCF0875. See Appendix NOTE 'MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM 1960-1972'. GENERAL NOTE: The is is the full 3-digit coding for the summary variable in years 1960-1972.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1960: V600050b 1964: V640036 1966: V660019 1968: V680048 1970: V700039(type 0) 1972: V720546,V720548

VCF0875b

Mention 3: What is the Most Important National Problem

Most important national problem (3)

Codes 100-959 and: 990. Other specific mentions of important problems 995. 'There were no issues'; 'there were no issues, just party politics' 996. 'There was no campaign in my district' 998. DK 999. NA 000. no Post IW; R not selected for half-sample administration (1996,2000) INAP. question not used

See question wording and notes for 1974-later in VCF0875.

See Appendix NOTE 'MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM 1974 AND LATER'. GENERAL NOTE: The is is the full 3-digit coding for the summary variable in years 1974 and later. 2012 NOTE: Not yet coded for the 2012 Time Series.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1974: V742079 1976: V763689 1978: V780311,V780315 1980: V800979 1982: V820299 1984: V840993 1986: V860306 1988: V880817 1990: V900326 1992: V925726 1994: V940706 1996: V961141 1998: V980346 2000: V000436

VCF0876

Law to Protect Homosexuals Against Discrimination

Do you favor or oppose laws to protect [homosexuals/gays and lesbians] against job discrimination?

1. Favor 5. Oppose 8. DK; depends (1988)

9. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

1988 NOTE: 'Depends' was a coding option in 1988 only. 2012 NOTE: This question was asked of a random 1/2 sample of respondents (the remaining 1/2 sample was asked a different version of the question).

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1988: V880852 1992: V925923 1996: V961193 2000: V001478 2004: V045156 2008: V083211 2012: gayrt_discstd 2016: V161229

VCF0876a

Strength of Position on Law to Protect Homosexuals Against Discrimination

Do you favor or oppose laws to protect [homosexuals/gays and lesbians] against job discrimination?

Do you favor/oppose such laws strongly or not strongly?

- 1. Favor strongly
- 2. Favor not strongly
- 4. Oppose not strongly
- 5. Oppose strongly
- 7. DK if favor or oppose; depends (1988); DK if favor/oppose strongly or not strongly

 NA if favor or oppose; NA if favor/oppose strongly or not strongly; no Post IW
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See notes VCF0876.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1988: V880853 1992: V923426 1996: V961194 2000: V001481 2004: V045156,V045156a 2008: V083211x 2012: gayrt_discstd_x,gayrt_discstd 2016: V161229x,V161229a

VCF0877

Favor or Oppose Gays in the Military

Do you think homosexuals should be allowed to serve in the United States Armed Forces or don't you think so?

1. Yes, think so 5. Don't think so 8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

2012 NOTE: This question was asked of a random 1/2 sample of respondents (the remaining 1/2 sample was asked a different version of the question).

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V925925 1996: V961194 2000: V000724 2004: V045157 2008: V083212 2012: gayrt_milstd

VCF0877a

Strength of Position on Gays in the Military

Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals should be allowed to serve in the United States Armed forces? Do you feel strongly or not strongly that homosexuals should not be allowed to serve in the United States Armed forces?

- 1. Feel strongly should be allowed
- 2. Feel not strongly should be allowed
- 4. Feel not strongly should not be allowed
- 5. Feel strongly should not be allowed
- DK if favor or oppose; depends (1988); DK if favor/oppose strongly or not strongly

 NA if favor or oppose; NA if favor/oppose strongly or not strongly; no Post IW
 INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V925926 1996: V961195 2000: V000727 2004: V045157,V045157a 2008: V083212x 2012: gayrt_milstd_x,gayrt_discstd

VCF0878

Should Gays/Lesbians Be Able to Adopt Children

Do you think gay or lesbian couples, in other words, homosexual couples, should be legally permitted to adopt children?

1. Yes 5. No 8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V925927 2000: V000748 2004: V045158 2008: V083213 2012: gayrt_adopt

VCF0879

Increase or Decrease Number of Immigrants to U.S. 6-Category

Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the United States to live should be (1992,1994: increased a little, increased a lot, decreased a little, decreased a lot, or left the same as it is now?) (1996,1998: increased a lot, increased a little, decreased a little,

decreased a lot, or left the same as it is now?) (2004,2008: increased a lot, increased a little, left the same as it is now, decreased a little, or decreased a lot?)

- 1. Increased a lot
- 2. Increased a little
- 3. Same as now
- 4. Decreased a little
- 5. Decreased a lot
- 8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V926235 1994: V941016 1996: V961325 1998: V980489 2004: V045115 2008: V085082 2012: immigpo_level 2016: V162157

VCF0879a

Increase or Decrease Number of Immigrants to U.S. 4-Category

1992,1994:

Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the United States to live should be increased a little, increased a lot, decreased a little, decreased a lot, or left the same as it is now?

1996,1998:

Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the United States to live should be increased a lot, increased a little, decreased a little, decreased a lot, or left the same as it is now?

2000:

Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the United States to live should be increased, decreased, or left the same as it is now? 2004:

Do you think the number of immigrants from foreign countries who are permitted to come to the United States to live should be increased a lot, increased a little, left the same as it is now, decreased a little, or decreased a lot?

1. Increased

- 3. Same as now
- 5. Decreased
- 8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V926235 1994: V941016 1996: V961325 1998: V980489 2000: V000508 2004: V045115 2008: V085082 2012: immigpo_level 2016: V162157

VCF0880

Better or Worse Off in Past Year

1962-1998.2004: We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that (1962,1966-1974: you [and your family]; 1976 and later : you [and your family living here]) are better off or worse off financially than you were a year ago. 2000-2002: Would you say that you (and your family) (2000 FACE-TO-FACE ONLY: living here) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago? 1. Better Now 2. Same (2004: Volunteered) 3. Worse Now 9. DK; uncertain; depends 0. NA; no Post IW; form II,III,IV (1972); R assigned to Post administration and no Post IW (2000) INAP. question not used _____ GENERAL NOTE: Weight variable VCF0010/VCF0010A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0010. Note that use of VCF0010/VCF0010A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 2 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. 2000 NOTE: Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered this question in either the Pre or Post. WEIGHT: VCF0010x/VCF0010y/VCF0010z SOURCE VARS: 1962: V620014 1966: V660044 1968: V680275 1970: V700048(type 1) 1972: V720501 1974: V742313 1976: V763137 1978: V780321 1980: V800147 1982: V820325 1984: V840139 1986: V860355 1988: V880206 1990: V900416

1992: V923425 1994: V940902 1996: V960337

1998: V980414 2000: V000398,V001410 2002: V023025 2004: V043061 2008: V083057 2012: finance_finpast 2016: V161110

VCF0880a

How Much Better/Worse Off in Past Year

1984-1998,2004:

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that you and your family living here are better off or worse off financially than you were a year ago. 2000-2002:

Would you say that you (and your family) (2000 FACE-TO-FACE ONLY: living here) are better off, worse off, or just about the same financially as you were a year ago? Is that much better/worse off or somewhat better/worse off?

2012:

We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that [you /you and your family living here] are much better off financially, somewhat better off, about the same, somewhat worse off, or much worse off than you were a year ago?

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse

8. DK

9. NA; DK/NA if better or worse in past year; R assigned to Post administration and no Post IW (2000)

INAP. question not used

2012 NOTE: Asked as a 5-point scale 2000 NOTE: Respondents were randomly assigned to be administered this question in either the Pre or Post.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840140 1986: V860356 1988: V880207 1990: V900417 1992: V923426 1994: V940903 1996: V960338 1998: V980415 2000: V000398,V001410,V001412a 2002: V023026 2004: V043061,V043062 2008: V083057x 2012: finance_finpast_x,finance_finpast 2016: V161110

VCF0880b

Financial Situation Better/Worse in Past Few Years

During the last few years, has your financial situation been getting better, getting worse, or has it stayed the same?

Getting better
 Stayed the same
 Getting worse

B. DK
 NA
 INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1956: V560078 1958: V580049 1960: V600075 1964: V640129

VCF0881

Better or Worse Off in Next Year

1956-1960,1964:

Now looking ahead and thinking about the next few years, do you expect your financial situation will stay about the way it is now, get better, or get worse.

1962,1966 AND LATER:

Now looking ahead--do you think that a year from now (1962,1966-1970: you people; 1972,1974: you [and your family]; 1976 AND LATER: you [and your family; 2000 TELEPHONE,2004: living here]) will be better off financially or worse off, or just about the same as now?

1. Better off (1956-1960,1964: get better)

2. Same (1956-1960,1964: stay the way it is)

3. Worse off (1956-1960,1964: get worse)

9. DK; both; uncertain

0. NA; Form B (1986); no Pre IW; no Post IW; form II,III,IV (1972); R assigned to Post administration and no Post IW (2000) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Weight variable VCF0010/VCF0010A must be used if distributions including 1970 data are desired: see VCF0010. Note that use of VCF0010/VCF0010A as a weight reduces the 1970 total N for this variable: form 2 whites who should appear as code 0 INAP cases are excluded from the 1970 total N. 1992 NOTE: This question was asked in both the pre and post; the pre data have been incorporated here.

WEIGHT: VCF0010x/VCF0010y/VCF0010z

SOURCE VARS: 1956: V560080

1958: V580051 1960: V600077 1962: V620017 1964: V640131 1966: V660047 1968: V680278 1970: V700050(type 1) 1972: V720504 1974: V742314 1976: V763138 1978: V780322 1980: V800148 1982: V820326 1984: V840151 1986: V860358 1988: V880208 1990: V900420 1992: V923817 1994: V940904 1996: V960565 1998: V980416 2000: V000680 2002: V025115x 2004: V043063 2008: V083058x 2012: finance_finnext 2016: V161111

FEDERAL SPENDING - GENERAL TEXT

If you had a say in making up the federal budget this year, for which (1986 AND LATER: of the following) programs would you like to see spending increased and for which would you like to see spending decreased:

Should federal spending on [ITEM] be increased, decreased or kept about the same?

GENERAL NOTE: Order of spending items (see also VCF9046-9050) varied among study years. In some years, the series was administered with a break midsequence.

VCF0886

Federal Spending- Poor/Poor People

1992,1996: poor people 2000,2012-later: aid to the poor 2002,2004: aid to poor people

- 1. Increased
- 2. Same

3. Decreased (before 2012: or cut out entirely) 8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See federal spending question text and notes preceding this variable. 2002 NOTE 1:

There were two series of Federal spending questions. Respondents were randomly assigned to one series in the Pre and the alternate in the Post. Within each series, respondents were randomly assigned to one of two possible orderings. 2002 NOTE 2: Respondents were randomly assigned one of two wordings: 'aid to poor people' or 'aid to the working poor.' Data from 'aid to poor people' are represented here.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V923817 1996: V960565 2000: V000680 2002: V025115x 2004: V043172 2008: V083148 2012: fedspend_poor 2016: V161211

VCF0887

Federal Spending- Child Care

Child care

- 1. Increased
- 2. Same
- 3. Decreased (before 2012: or cut out entirely)
- 8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See federal spending question text and notes for, and preceding, VCF0886.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1988: V880382 1990: V900385 1992: V923813 1994: V940824 1996: V960564 2000: V000685 2002: V025110x 2004: V043170 2008: V083146 2012: fedspend_child 2016: V161210

VCF0888

Federal Spending- Dealing with Crime

Dealing with crime

Increased
 Same

Decreased (before 2012: or cut out entirely)
 DK

9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See federal spending question text and notes for, and preceding, VCF0886.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840997 1992: V923814 1994: V940825 1996: V960563 2000: V000684 2002: V025109x 2004: V043168 2008: V083148 2012: fedspend_crime 2016: V161208

VCF0889

Federal Spending- Aids Research/Fight Aids

1988,1990: fighting the disease AIDS 1992-1996,2000,2002: AIDS research

1. Increased

- 2. Same
- 3. Decreased or cut out entirely
- 8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See federal spending question text and notes for, and preceding, VCF0886.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1988: V880352 1990: V900379 1992: V923727 1994: V940821 1996: V960498 2000: V000677 2002: V025106x

VCF0890

Federal Spending- Public Schools

Public schools

- 1. Increased
- 2. Same
- 3. Decreased (before 2012: or cut out entirely)
- 8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See federal spending question text and notes for, and preceding, VCF0886. 2002 NOTE: Respondents were randomly assigned one of two wordings: 'public school' or 'big-city schools'. Data for 'public schools' are represented here.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840998 1988: V880349 1990: V900383 1992: V923818 1994: V940823 1996: V960562 2000: V000683 2002: V025108x 2004: V043166 2008: V083142 2012: fedspend_schools 2016: V161206

VCF0891

Federal Spending- Fin Aid for College Students

Financial aid for college students

Increased
 Same
 Decreased or cut out entirely
 DK

9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See federal spending question text and notes for, and preceding, VCF0886.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1986: V860328 1988: V880378 1992: V923728 1996: V960500

VCF0892

Federal Spending- Foreign Aid

Foreign aid

- 1. Increased
- 2. Same
- 3. Decreased or cut out entirely
- 8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See federal spending question text and notes for, and preceding, VCF0886.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1990: V900378 1996: V960499 2000: V000678 2002: V025116x 2004: V043171 2008: V083147

VCF0893

Federal Spending- The Homeless

1988: the homeless 1990: government assistance for the homeless 1992,1996: solving the problem of the homeless

- 1. Increased
- 2. Same
- 3. Decreased or cut out entirely
- 8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See federal spending question text and notes for, and preceding, VCF0886.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1988: V880385 1990: V900384 1992: V923730 1996: V960501

VCF0894

Federal Spending- Welfare Programs

Welfare programs

- 1. Increased
- 2. Same
- 3. Decreased (before 2012: or cut out entirely)
- 8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See federal spending question text and notes for, and preceding, VCF0886.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V923726 1994: V940820 1996: V960497 2000: V000676 2002: V025107x 2004: V043169 2008: V083145 2012: fedspend_welfare 2016: V161209

VCF0900

Congressional District of Residence

Congressional district of interview

Codes1-53 Plus:

99. District of Columbia 00. NA; wrong district identified INAP. question not used

```
GENERAL NOTE:
```

Congressional district corresponds to the districting in effect during the year of the interview, with the exception of California only for 1974: the redistricting occurring in California during 1974 was not completed in time to be represented in the 1974 study. District numbers associated with 1974 California respondents are the district numbers which were in effect in 1973.

Note that in some years several cases of corrections have been made to states and/or Congressional districts due to inconsistencies or errors in the STUDY data.

```
1992 NOTE 1:
```

For panel cases, this provides the congressional district of R's original address (1990) rather than that of the address at which R was residing in 1992. Additionally, even if R did not move since 1990, the redistricting which took place in many areas in 1992 may have changed R'S district number in 1992.

1992 NOTE 2:

Late in 1994, it was discovered that in 50 cases an incorrect CD number had been assigned. These errors affect all questions related to House race which are administered according to assigned-CD candidate names. In the introduction to this codebook (p. iv) is a listing of 1992 (pre) case IDs with correct congressional districts, however no data have been changed in this file as a result. Users of CDF data can delete these cases from affected vars if desired. 1994 NOTE:

For panel cases who moved since their original, VCF0900 records the congressional district where R was interviewed for the current Study. The candidate list information also corresponds to the district where R

was residing during the current Study interview. 1996 NOTE: same as 1994. 2000 NOTE: 3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should have been NJ. These cases are coded 00. 2002 NOTE: Preload district assignment was incorrect in 1 case.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1956: V560008 1958: V580010 1960: V600011 1964: V640013 1966: V660011 1968: V680015 1970: V700025(type 0) 1972: V720006 1974: V742007 1976: V763007 1978: V780011 1980: V800007 1982: V820019 1984: V840013 1986: V860049 1988: V880013 1990: V900013 1992: V923019 1994: V940015 1996: V960107 1998: V980090 2000: V000083 2002: V021202 2004: V041202, V041204 2012: sample_district 2016: V161010f

VCF0900b

State and Congressional District- FIPS

FIPS state and Congressional district of interview

9999. 00,98,99 in VCF0900 or 00,99 in VCF0901a INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0901a and VCF0900. 2004 NOTE: The 2004 study included a variable comparable to this, thus 2004 data is not built from VCF0901a and VCF0900.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2004: V041202,V041204b

VCF0900c

State and Congressional District- Postal Abbrev and CD

Postal code and Congressional district of interview

9999. 00,98,99 in VCF0900 or blank in VCF0901b INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0901b (postal abbreviation) and VCF0900 (district). 2004 NOTE: The 2004 study included a variable comparable to this, thus 2004 data is not built from VCF0901b and VCF0900.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2004: V041202,V041204b

VCF0901a

State Code- FIPS

State of interview - FIPS code

99. NA00. Wrong district identified (2000)INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'STATE AND COUNTRY CODES'. See notes VCF0901.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520006 1956: V560007 1958: V580009 1960: V600010 1962: V620003 1964: V640012 1966: V660010 1968: V680014 1970: V700018(type 0) 1972: V720004 1974: V742007 1976: V763007 1978: V780012 1980: V800008 1982: V820015 1984: V840013 1986: V860049 1988: V880012 1990: V900012 1992: V923017 1994: V940014 1996: V960109 1998: V980086 2000: V000079 2002: V021201 2004: V041202 2012: sample_state 2016: V161010e

VCF0901b

State Postal Abbrev

State of interview - state postal abbreviation

99. NA; wrong district identified (2000) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0901a. NA, INAP in VCF0901a are represented here as 99. Washington D.C. is represented as 'DC'.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0902

Type of U.S. House Race

Type of House race for district of interviews

- 12. Democratic incumbent running--Republican challenger
- 13. Democratic incumbent--other challenger
- 14. Democratic incumbent running--unopposed
- 19. Democratic incumbent running--Republican challenger and others
- 21. Republican incumbent running--Democratic challenger
- 23. Republican incumbent--other challenger
- 24. Republican incumbent running--unopposed
- 29. Republican incumbent running--Democratic challenger and others
- 31. Other incumbent running--Democratic challenger
- 32. Other incumbent running--Republican challenger
- 34. Other incumbent running--unopposed
- 35. Other incumbent running--Democratic and Republican challengers
- 36. Other incumbent running--Republican and other challengers
- 37. Other incumbent running--Democratic and other challengers
- 39. Other incumbent running -- Democratic, Republican, other challengers
- 40. Democratic and Republican incumbents running--no other candidate (see note)
- 41. Two Democratic incumbents running (see note)
- 45. Two Republican incumbents running (see note)
- 49. Democratic and Republican incumbents running--other candidate (see note)
- 51. No incumbent Repr. running--Democratic candidate unopposed
- 52. No incumbent Repr. running--Republican candidate unopposed
- 53. No incumbent Repr. running--other candidate unopposed
- 55. No incumbent Repr. running--Democratic and Republican candidates
- 56. No incumbent Repr. running--Republican and other candidates
- 57. No incumbent Repr. running--Democratic and other candidates
- 59. No incumbent Repr. running--Democratic and Republican and other candidates
- 83. No identifiable incumbent, Democratic candidate in open race due to redistricting
- 84. No identifiable incumbent, Republican candidate in open race due to redistricting
- 85. No identifiable incumbent, Democratic and Republican candidates in open race due to redistricting
- 89. No identifiable incumbent, Democratic, Republican and other candidates in open race due to redistricting
- 99. NA
- 00. Washington D.C.; California (1974 only, due to redistricting type of race could not be determined); wrong district identified; no post IW

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

This variable is available for 1970, 1974 and 1976 as well as 1978 and later. See warning NOTE below. In some years this variable is not available for no-post cases.

ANES samples are not designed to be representative at the Congressional district level. Samples include cases from only some U.S. Congressional districts; for most congressional districts in which interviewing actually takes place, only a small number of interviews are taken.

Codes 40,41,45 and 49 apply as a result of redistricting: for other Cumulative Data File variables referent to 'the incumbent' and 'the challenger' the incumbent who represented R's sampling location BEFORE redistricting has been considered R's 'incumbent' and the other candidate (incumbent previously not representing R's sample location) has been considered the 'challenger'; if two incumbents ran against each other due to redistricting but neither had previously represented R's location, neither candidate has been treated as an 'incumbent'. SEE 2012 NOTE FOR CHANGE IN 2012.

1970, 1974, 1976 WARNING NOTE:

In 1970, 1974 and 1976, no type of race var was present in the original ANES datasets. Data for these years have been added to VCF0902 by implementing a case-by-case determination of type of race for every R's congressional district through consultation of reference materials. The resultant data in VCF0902 for these years have been checked somewhat, but perfect accuracy cannot be guaranteed due to the nature of attempting case-by-case coding. The type of race established in VCF0902 (and data in VCF0904-905 by derivation) for 1970, 1974 and 1976 should be used with caution and at the user's risk.

1978 NOTE:

1978 data in this variable do not exactly match the 1978 Study data: several congressional districts in 1978 were not accurately coded for for type of House race. MS03 was coded as 14 in the original 1978 data but should have been coded 12 (24 cases); NY19 was coded as 12 in the original 1978 data but should have been coded 14 (9 cases); NY38 was coded as 21 but should have been coded 24 (14 cases). In VCF0902 these cases have been corrected. However,Note that in other Cumulative Data File 900s-series congressional vars except for VCF0904, VCF0905 and VCF1004-1014, candidate-level data continue to accord with the original 1978 study designated type of race for MS03, NY19 and NY38. 1984 NOTE:

1 case in TX22 and 1 case in WA01 were mistakenly coded 65 in the Study dataset and have been corrected to 55 here. Also, 1 case in WI05 was mistakenly coded 13 and has been corrected to 14 here. 1 case in OH08 and 1 case in WI09 were mistakenly coded 12 and have been corrected to 21 here. Finally, 4 cases in CA38 were incorrectly coded (1 as 13, 3 as 21) and have been corrected to 12 here. These changes have been reflected in VCF1004 and VCF1005. 1992 NOTE 1:

For panel cases, this provides the type of race for the 1992 congressional district of R's original address (1990) rather than the address to which R may have moved by the time of the 1992 interview(s). 1992 NOTE 2:

Late in 1994, it was discovered that in 50 cases an incorrect CD number had been assigned. These errors affect all questions related to House race which were administered according to assigned-CD candidate names. In the introduction to this codebook is a listing of case IDs with correct districts, however no data have been changed in this file as a result. Users can delete these cases from affected vars if desired. 1994 NOTE:

Codes represent type of race in district of interview location for the current Study year.

1996 NOTE 1:

same as 1994. 1996 NOTE 2:

1996 NOTE 2:

14 Pre interviews were conducted before the status of the congressional race in R's district assumed its final form. These cases are flagged in 1996 Study variable V960127, and the race type projected at the time the Pre interview was administered is documented in 1996 Study variable V960128. (In such cases Pre survey data are consistent with V960128.) 1998 NOTE:

2 districts had the incorrect type of race identified, and the 1998

instrument was administered according to the incorrect type of House race. Cumulative Data File variables utilize 1998 data which have not had corrections made: In 1998, cases in AL07 should have been type race 14 (instead of 24); cases in CA41 should have been type race 55 (instead of 12).

2000 NOTE:

3 cases were misidentified PA in the Preload but should have been NJ. These cases are coded 00. 2002 NOTE:

Code 55 includes 2 cases from LA05 where a Democratic and 2 Republican candidates were running.

2004 NOTE:

The extent of the Texas redistricting prior to the 2004 election produced House races with incumbents running in areas where he or she had provided little or no prior representation, thus no Texas candidate has been identified as a true incumbent (running or retiring) for the new Texas districts (House type race 44-49). 2012 NOTE:

The extent of redistricting in 2012 prohibited singling out, at district level, one candidate who not only ran in 2012 to represent households within the boundaries of the new district which corresponded to R's household location and who was also, prior to the redistricting, the U.S. House representative for households within the boundaries of the previous district that corresponded to R's household location (prior to redistricting). Overlap of new and old district was often very small. Incumbents in VCF0902-VCF0905, VCF0908 can only identify whether or not a candidate running for Congress in 2012 was in office in Congress prior to the 2012 elections.

Note that VCF1014 (House winner) is coded at district level according to type House race in VCF0902.

Louisiana district 3 is coded for House race type 45; a Democratic challenging candidate also ran in the district.

2016 NOTE:

Final candidates for the U.S. House election in district LA04 were not determined prior to post-election interviewing. LA04 cases are coded 99. For some 2016 cases, names of candidates were not preloaded either because date of interview preceded date when final candidates were determined or else due to preload issue. For the latter instances, any CDF questions related to candidates are NA.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780004

1978: V780004 1980: V800740 1982: V820006 1984: V840059 1986: V860043 1988: V880050 1990: V900058 1992: V923021 1994: V940017 1996: V960097 1998: V980065 2000: V000194 2002: V024500a 2004: V044502 2012: typerace2012_hse 2016: V161010e,V161010f

VCF0903

Is House Incumbent Running

Incumbent candidate - checkpoint

- 1. US House Representative is running for re-election in R's district
- US House Representative is NOT running for re- election in R's district ('retiring')

9. no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); wrong district identified (2000); Washington D.C. INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

1970, 1974 and 1976 data have been derived from VCF0902 (q.v.). VCF0903 has not been affected [i.e., no correction is required] by changes in 1978 data for type of House race (SEE VCF0902). 1984 corrections to original type of race data (SEE VCF0902) are not reflected in VCF0903-905. Code 1 includes congressional districts where 2 incumbents ran due to redistricting. Note that for districts where 2 incumbents were running due to redistricting, neither of whom had previously represented R's location, this variable has been coded 2. 2004 NOTE: Note that for districts where 2 incumbents were running due to redistricting, neither of whom had previously represented R's location, this variable has been coded 2; when unclear whether either incumbent had previously represented the district then 2 has been coded. 2012 NOTE: See VCF0902 NOTEs.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780004 1980: V800928 1982: V820175 1984: V840932 1986: V860219 1988: V880714 1990: V900237 1992: V923021 1994: V940017 1996: V961122 1998: V980325 2000: V000194 2002: V024500a 2004: V044502 2012: typerace2012_hse 2016: V161010e,V161010f

VCF0904

Is House Incumbent Opposed

Incumbent opposed/ unopposed - checkpoint

- 1. Running incumbent is unopposed
- 2. Running incumbent is opposed

Washington D.C.; no Post IW; wrong district identified (2000)
 No incumbent running in CD
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: 1970, 1974 and 1976 data have been derived from VCF0902 (Q.V.). Code 2 includes opposition from a non-major party candidate if his/her name was on the candidate list. Note that for districts where 2 incumbents were running due to redistricting, neither of whom had previously represented R's location, this variable has been coded 0. 1978 NOTE:

Several changes to data for type of House race from 1978 have been made since previous release of the Cumulative Data File (see NOTEs VCF0902). As a result, VCF0904 and VCF0905 have been changed accordingly. 1984 NOTE: Corrections to original type of race data (SEE VCF0902) are not reflected in VCF0903-905. 2004 NOTE: The extent of the Texas redistricting prior to the 2004 election produced House races with incumbents running in areas where he or she had provided little or no prior representation, thus no Texas candidate has been identified as a true incumbent (running or retiring) for the new Texas districts (House type race 44-49). 2012 NOTE: See VCF0902 NOTEs.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780196 1980: V800740 1982: V820006 1984: V840059 1986: V860230 1988: V880725 1990: V900248 1992: V923021 1994: V940017 1996: V960097 1998: V980065 2000: V000194 2002: V024500a 2004: V044502 2012: typerace2012_hse 2016: V161010e,V161010f

VCF0905

Number of Candidates in U.S. House Race

Number of candidates - checkpoint

- 1. Major party candidate, unopposed
- 2. Two or more major party candidates

 NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); Washington D.C.; candidate(s) not in major party; wrong district identified INAP. question not used

```
GENERAL NOTE:
This variable is available for 1970 and 1974-1992. The 1970, 1974 and
1976 data were derived from VCF0902 (Q.V.).
Note that for districts where 2 incumbents were running due to
redistricting, neither of whom had previously represented R's location,
this variable has been coded 0.
1978 NOTE:
Several changes to data for type of House race from 1978 have been
made since previous release of the Cumulative Data File (see NOTEs
VCF0902). As a result, VCF0904 and VCF0905 have been changed
accordingly.
1984 NOTE:
Corrections to original type of race data (SEE VCF0902) are not
reflected in VCF0903-905.
2002 NOTE:
Several cases a where non-major-party incumbent was opposed by a major
party challenger have been coded 2.
```

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780004 1980: V800740 1982: V820168 1984: V840928 1986: V860212 1988: V880050 1990: V900058 1992: V923021 1994: V940017 1996: V960097 1998: V980065 2000: V000194 2002: V024500a 2004: V044502 2012: typerace2012_hse 2016: V161010e,V161010f

VCF0906

Thermometer - Democratic House Candidate

House Democratic candidate - feeling thermometer

0-100. Degrees as given

- 990. No Democratic candidate
- 997. R doesn't recognize name
- 998. DK where to rate; can't judge
- 999. NA; refused; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone
- IW (1984); Washington D.C.; short form IW (1992)
- INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See question text and notes preceding VCF0201. 2016 NOTE: Correction to 2016 data are in progress and will be provided in a future release.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782005 1980: V800855 1982: V820132 1984: V840753 1986: V860136 1988: V880601 1990: V900145 1992: V925311 1994: V940238 1996: V960278 1998: V980239 2000: V001298 2002: V025044 2004: V045046,V044502 2012: ftpo_hdc 2016: V162082

VCF0907

Thermometer - Republican House Candidate

House Republican candidate -- feeling thermometer

0-100. Degrees as given

990. no Republican candidate
997. R doesn't recognize name
998. DK where to rate; can't judge
999. NA; refused; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); Washington D.C.; short form IW (1992)
INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See question text and notes preceding VCF0201. 2016 NOTE: Correction to 2016 data are in progress and will be provided in a future release.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782006 1980: V800856 1982: V820133 1984: V840754 1986: V860137 1988: V880602 1990: V900146 1992: V925312 1994: V940239 1996: V960279 1998: V980240 2000: V001299 2002: V025045 2004: V045047, 044502 2012: ftpo_hrc 2016: V162083

VCF0908

Thermometer - Incumbent House Candidate

0-100. Degrees as given

Running House incumbent candidate -- feeling thermometer

990. Incumbent is not running
997. R doesn't recognize name
998. DK where to rate; can't judge
999. NA; refused; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); Washington D.C.; short form IW (1992)
INAP. question not used
See question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0906, VCF0907. 2000 NOTE: Data for independent running incumbents (VT01 VT05) are included. 2004 NOTE: The extent of the Texas redistricting prior to the 2004 election produced House races with incumbents running in areas where he or she had provided little or no prior representation, thus no Texas candidate has been identified as a true incumbent (running or retiring) for the new Texas districts (House type race 44-49).

2012 NOTE: See notes VCF0902. This represents any district candidates running in 2012 (113th Congress) who had been in the House in any district for the 112th Congress (prior to the redistricting). 2016 NOTE: Correction to 2016 data are in progress and will be provided in a future release.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0909

Thermometer - Challenger House Candidate

Challenger to running House incumbent -- feeling thermometer

0-100. Degrees as given

990. Incumbent is unopposed or not running
997. R doesn't recognize name
998. DK where to rate; can't judge
999. NA; refused; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); Washington D.C.; short form IW (1992)
INAP. question not used

See question text and notes preceding VCF0201. GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0906, VCF0907. 2012 NOTE: See notes VCF0908. 2016 NOTE: Correction to 2016 data are in progress and will be provided in a future release.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0910

Contact with Running U.S. House Incumbent

There are many ways in which (U.S. Representatives/congressional candidates) can have contact with the people from their districts. On this page are some of these ways. Think of [incumbent candidate] (who has been the U.S. Representative/ who ran for the U.S. House of Representatives) from this district. Have you come into contact with or learned anything about (him/her) through any of these ways? (LIST SHOWN TO R)

1. Yes

5. No, no contact at all

9. NA; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbreviated IW (1984); short form IW (1992)
0. No incumbent candidate running INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Districts in which the respondent's U.S. House Representative was not running for re-election have been coded 0.

1984 NOTE:

If R was in a district where no incumbent was running BUT the interview administered was a telephone IW, then VCF0910-917 have been coded 9, not 0. If R was in a district where no incumbent was running BUT the interview administered was an abbreviated telephone IW, then VCF0918 has been coded 9, not 0.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782033 1980: V800918 1982: V820176,V820213,V820223 1984: V840936 1986: V860220 1988: V880715 1990: V900238 1992: V925502,V925513 1994: V940502,V940513

VCF0911

Running U.S. House Incumbent: Met Him

Which ones? RESPONDENT MET [incumbent] PERSONALLY

1. Yes

5. No

9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0910); NA VCF0911-917; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)
0. No incumbent candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other' INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0910.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782034 1980: V800919 1982: V820177,V820214,V820224 1984: V840937 1986: V860221 1988: V880716 1990: V900239 1992: V925503,V925514 1994: V940503,V940514

VCF0912

Running U.S. House Incumbent: Attended Meeting

Which ones? RESPONDENT ATTENDED A MEETING OR GATHERING WHERE [incumbent] SPOKE

1. Yes 5. No

9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0910); NA VCF0911-917; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)
0. No incumbent candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other'

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0910. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782035 1980: V800920 1982: V820178,V820215,V820225 1984: V840938 1986: V860222 1988: V880717 1990: V900240 1992: V925504,V925515 1994: V940504,V940515

VCF0913

Running U.S. House Incumbent: Talked to Staff

Which ones? RESPONDENT TALKED TO A MEMBER OF [incumbent's] STAFF OR SOMEONE IN [incumbent's] OFFICE

1. Yes

5. No

9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0910); NA VCF0911-VCF0917; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)

 No incumbent candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other' INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0910.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782036 1980: V800921 1982: V820179,V820216,V820226 1984: V840939 1986: V860223 1988: V880718 1990: V900241 1992: V925505,V925516 1994: V940505,V940516

VCF0914

Running U.S. House Incumbent: Received Mail

Which ones?

RESPONDENT RECEIVED SOMETHING IN MAIL FROM [incumbent]

1. Yes

5. No

9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0910); NA VCF0911-VCF0917; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)

0. No incumbent candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other'

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0910.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782037 1980: V800922 1982: V820180,V820217,V820227 1984: V840940 1986: V860224 1988: V880719 1990: V900242 1992: V925506,V925517 1994: V940506,V940517

VCF0915

Running U.S. House Incumbent: Read About

Which ones? RESPONDENT READ ABOUT [incumbent] IN A NEWSPAPER OR MAGAZINE

1. Yes

5. No

9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0910); NA VCF0911-VCF917; no Post IW; Washington D.C. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)

 No incumbent candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other' INAP. guestion not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0910.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782038 1980: V800923 1982: V820181,V820218,V820228 1984: V840941 1986: V860225 1988: V880720 1990: V900243 1992: V925507,V925518 1994: V940507,V940518

VCF0916

Running U.S. House Incumbent: Heard on Radio

Which ones? RESPONDENT HEARD [incumbent] ON THE RADIO

1. Yes

5. No

- 9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0910); NA VCF0911 VCF0917; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)
- 0. No incumbent candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other'

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See VCF0910.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782039 1980: V800924 1982: V820182,V820219,V820229 1984: V840942 1986: V860226 1988: V880721 1990: V900244 1992: V925508,V925519 1994: V940508,V940519

VCF0917

Running U.S. House Incumbent: Saw on TV

Which ones? RESPONDENT SAW [incumbent] ON TV

1. Yes

5. No

9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0910); NA VCF0911 VCF0917; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)

0. No incumbent candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other'

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0910.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782040 1980: V800925 1982: V820183,V820220,V820230 1984: V840943 1986: V860227 1988: V880722 1990: V900245 1992: V925509,V925520

1994: V940509,V940520

VCF0918

Know Anyone Who had Contact with Running U.S. House Incumbent

Do you know anyone, any of your family, friends or people at work, who have had some contact with [incumbent]?

1. Yes

5. No

9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)
0. No incumbent candidate running INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0910.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782042 1980: V800927 1982: V820185,V820222,V820232 1984: V840945 1986: V860229 1988: V880724 1990: V900247 1992: V925511,V925522 1994: V940511,V940522

VCF0919

Challenger to Running U.S. House Incumbent

How about [challenging candidate] who also ran for has been the U.S. House of Representative from this district. Have you come into contact with or learned anything about (him/her) through any of these ways?

1. Yes

- 5. No, no contact at all
- 9. NA; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)
- 0. Incumbent candidate unopposed or not running; challenger not in major party

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Districts in which the respondent's U.S. House representative was not running for re-election have been coded 0. 1984 NOTE: if R was in a district where no incumbent was running or where the incumbent was unopposed (or opposed by a non-major party challenger) BUT the interview administered was a telephone IW, then VCF0919-926 have been coded 9, not 0. If R was in a district where no incumbent was running or where the incumbent was unopposed (or opposed by a nonmajor party challenger) BUT the interview administered was an

abbreviated telephone IW, then VCF0927 has been coded 9, not 0. 1986 NOTE: in the 1986 Study data, 8 major party challengers to the running U.S. House incumbent were mistakenly excluded from challenger data (6 Republican challengers, 2 Democratic challengers). Contact data for these individuals have been coded 9 (NA) in VCF0919-927 and VCF0947.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782043 1980: V800929 1982: V820186,V820213,V820223 1984: V840946 1986: V860231 1988: V880726 1990: V900249 1992: V925502,V925513 1994: V940502,V940513

VCF0920

Challenger to U.S. House Running Incumbent: Met Him

Which ones? RESPONDENT MET [challenger] PERSONALLY

1. Yes

5. No

- 9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0919); NA VCF0920-926; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)
- 0. Incumbent candidate unopposed or not running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other'; challenger not in major party

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0919.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782044 1980: V800930 1982: V820187,V820214,V820224 1984: V840947 1986: V860232 1988: V880727 1990: V900250 1992: V925503,V925514 1994: V940503,V940514

VCF0921

Challenger to U.S. House Running Incumbent: Attended Meeting

Which ones? RESPONDENT ATTENDED A MEETING OR GATHERING WHERE [challenger] SPOKE

1. Yes 5. No

9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0919); NA VCF0920-926; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)

 Incumbent candidate unopposed or not running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other'; challenger not in major party INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0919. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782045 1980: V800931 1982: V820188,V820215,V820225 1984: V840948 1986: V860233 1988: V880728 1990: V900251 1992: V925504,V925515 1994: V940504,V940515

VCF0922

Challenger to U.S. House Running Incumbent: Talked to Staff

Which ones? RESPONDENT TALKED TO A MEMBER OF [challenger's] STAFF OR SOMEONE IN [challenger's] OFFICE

1. Yes

5. No

9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0919); NA VCF0920-926; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)

 Incumbent candidate unopposed or not running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other'; challenger not in major party INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0919.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782046 1980: V800932 1982: V820189,V820216,V820226 1984: V840949 1986: V860234 1988: V880729 1990: V900252 1992: V925505,V925516 1994: V940505,V940516

VCF0923

Challenger to U.S. House Running Incumbent: Received Mail

Which ones?

RESPONDENT RECEIVED SOMETHING IN MAIL FROM [challenger]

1. Yes

5. No

9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0919); NA VCF0920-926; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)

 Incumbent candidate unopposed or not running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other'; challenger not in major party INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0919.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782047 1980: V800933 1982: V820190,V820217,V820227 1984: V840950 1986: V860235 1988: V880730 1990: V900253 1992: V925506,V925517 1994: V940506,V940517

VCF0924

Challenger to U.S. House Running Incumbent: Read About

Which ones? RESPONDENT READ ABOUT [challenger] IN A NEWSPAPER OR MAGAZINE

1. Yes

5. No

9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0919); NA VCF0920-926; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)

 Incumbent candidate unopposed or not running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other;' challenger not in major party INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0919.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782048 1980: V800934 1982: V820191,V820218,V820228 1984: V840951 1986: V860236 1988: V880731 1990: V900254 1992: V925507,V925518 1994: V940507,V940518

VCF0925

Challenger to U.S. House Running Incumbent: Heard on Radio

Which ones? RESPONDENT HEARD [challenger] ON THE RADIO

1. Yes

5. No

9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0919); NA VCF0920-926; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)

 Incumbent candidate unopposed or not running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other'; challenger not in major party INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0919.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782049 1980: V800935 1982: V820192,V820219,V820229 1984: V840952 1986: V860237 1988: V880732 1990: V900255 1992: V925508,V925519 1994: V940508,V940519

VCF0926

Challenger to U.S. House Running Incumbent: Saw on TV

Which ones? RESPONDENT SAW [challenger] ON TV

1. Yes

5. No

9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0919); NA VCF0919-926; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)

 Incumbent candidate unopposed or not running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other'; challenger not in major party INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0919.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782050 1980: V800936 1982: V820193,V820220,V820230 1984: V840953 1986: V860238 1988: V880733 1990: V900256 1992: V925509,V925520

1994: V940509,V940520

VCF0927

Know Anyone Who had Contact with Challenger to Running U.S. House Incumbent

Do you know anyone, any of your family, friends or people at work, who have had some contact with [challenger]

1. Yes

5. No

9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)

0. Incumbent candidate unopposed or not running; challenger not in major party

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0919.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782052 1980: V800938 1982: V820195,V820222,V820232 1984: V840955 1986: V860240 1988: V880735 1990: V900258 1992: V925511,V925522 1994: V940511,V940522

VCF0928

Contact with U.S. House Democratic Cand

Think of [Democratic candidate] [who has been the U.S. Representative from this district/ who ran for the U.S. House of Representatives from this district].

Have you come into contact with or learned anything about (him/her) through any of these ways?

1. Yes

- 5. No, no contact at all
- 9. NA; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)

0. No Democratic candidate running INAP. question not used

1984 NOTE: If R was in a district where no Democratic candidate was running BUT the interview administered was a telephone IW, then VCF0928-935 have been coded 9, not 0. If R was in a district where no Democratic candidate was running BUT the interview administered was an abbreviated telephone IW, then VCF0936 has been coded 9, not 0. 1986 NOTE: In the 1986 Study dataset, 8 major party challengers to the running U.S. House incumbent were mistakenly excluded from challenger data (SEE

VCF0919). Two of the omitted challengers were Democratic: contact data for them appear in code 9 (NA) in VCF0928- VCF0936 and VCF0948.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782013 1980: V800918,V800929,V800939 1982: V820176,V820186,V820213,V820267 1984: V840936,V840946,V840968 1986: V860220,V860231,V860241 1988: V880715,V880726,V880736 1990: V900238,V900249,V900259 1992: V925502 1994: V940502

VCF0929

U.S. House Democratic Cand: Met Him

Which ones? RESPONDENT MET [Democratic candidate] PERSONALLY

1. Yes

5. No

9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0928); NA VCF0929 VCF0935; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)

0. No Democratic candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other'

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See VCF0928.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782014 1980: V800919,V800930,V800940 1982: V820177,V820187,V820214,V820268 1984: V840937,V840947,V840969 1986: V860221,V860232,V860242 1988: V880716,V880727,V880737 1990: V900239,V900250,V900260 1992: V925503 1994: V940503

VCF0930

U.S. House Democratic Cand: Attended Meeting

Which ones? RESPONDENT ATTENDED A MEETING OR GATHERING WHERE [Democratic candidate] SPOKE

1. Yes

5. No

9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0928); NA VCF0929 VCF0935; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)

 No Democratic candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other' INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0928.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782015 1980: V800920,V800931,V800941 1982: V820178,V820188,V820215,V820269 1984: V840938,V840948,V840970 1986: V860222,V860233,V860243 1988: V880717,V880728,V880738 1990: V900240,V900251,V900261 1992: V925504 1994: V940504

VCF0931

U.S. House Democratic Cand: Talked to Staff

Which ones? RESPONDENT TALKED TO A MEMBER OF [Democratic candidate] STAFF OR SOMEONE IN [Democratic candidate's] OFFICE

1. Yes

5. No

9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0928); NA VCF0929 VCF0935; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)

0. No Democratic candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other'

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0928.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782016 1980: V800921,V800932,V800942 1982: V820179,V820189,V820216,V820270 1984: V840939,V840949,V840971 1986: V860223,V860234,V860244 1988: V880718,V880729,V880739 1990: V900241,V900252,V900262 1992: V925505 1994: V940505

VCF0932

U.S. House Democratic Cand: Received Mail

Which ones? RESPONDENT RECEIVED SOMETHING IN MAIL FROM [Democratic candidate]

- 1. Yes
- 5. No
- 9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0928); NA VCF0929 VCF0935; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)

0. No Democratic candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other'

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0928.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782017 1980: V800922,V800933,V800943 1982: V820180,V820190,V820217,V820271 1984: V840940,V840950,V840972 1986: V860224,V860235,V860245 1988: V880719,V880730,V880740 1990: V900242,V900253,V900263 1992: V925506 1994: V940506

VCF0933

U.S. House Democratic Cand: Read About

Which ones? RESPONDENT READ ABOUT [Democratic candidate] IN A NEWSPAPER OR MAGAZINE

1. Yes

5. No

 NA if contact made (9 in VCF0928); NA VCF0929 VCF0935; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)

0. No Democratic candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other'

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0928. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS:

1978: V782018 1980: V800923,V800934,V800944 1982: V820181,V820191,V820218,V820272 1984: V840941,V840951,V840973 1986: V860225,V860236,V860246 1988: V880720,V880731,V880741 1990: V900243,V900254,V900264 1992: V925507 1994: V940507

VCF0934

U.S. House Democratic Cand: Heard on Radio

Which ones? RESPONDENT HEARD [Democratic candidate] ON THE RADIO

1. Yes

5. No

9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0928); NA VCF0929-VCF935; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)

0. No Democratic candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other'

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0928.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782019 1980: V800924,V800935,V800945 1982: V820182,V820192,V820219,V820273 1984: V840942,V840952,V840974 1986: V860226,V860237,V860247 1988: V880721,V880732,V880742 1990: V900244,V900255,V900265 1992: V925508 1994: V940508

VCF0935

U.S. House Democratic Cand: Saw on TV

Which ones? RESPONDENT SAW [Democratic candidate] ON TV

1. Yes

5. No

 NA if contact made (9 in VCF0928); NA VCF0929 VCF0935; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)

0. No Democratic candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other'

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0928.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782020 1980: V800925,V800936,V800946 1982: V820183,V820193,V820220,V820274 1984: V840943,V840953,V840975 1986: V860227,V860238,V860248 1988: V880722,V880733,V880743 1990: V900245,V900256,V900266 1992: V925509 1994: V940509

VCF0936

Know Anyone Who had Contact with U.S. House Democratic Cand

Do you know anyone, any of your family, friends or people at work, who have had some contact with [Democratic candidate]?

1. Yes 5. No 9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. No Democratic candidate running INAP. question not used _____ GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0928. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782022 1980: V800927, V800938, V800948 1982: V820185, V820195, V820222, V820276 1984: V840945.V840955.V840977

1986: V860229,V860240,V860250 1988: V880724,V880735,V880745 1990: V900247,V900258,V900268 1992: V925511 1994: V940511

VCF0937

Contact with U.S. House Republican Cand

Think of [Republican candidate] [who has been the U.S. Representative from this district/ who ran for the U.S. House of Representatives from this district]. Have you come into contact with or learned anything about (him/her) through any of these ways?

1. Yes

5. No

9. NA; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)

0. No Republican candidate running INAP. question not used

1984 NOTE:

If R was in a district where no Republican candidate was running BUT the interview administered was a telephone IW, then VCF0928-935 have been coded 9, not 0. If R was in a district where no Republican candidate was running BUT the interview administered was an abbreviated telephone IW, then VCF0936 has been coded 9, not 0. 1986 NOTE:

In the 1986 Study dataset, 8 major party challengers to the running U.S. House incumbent were mistakenly excluded from challenger data (SEE VCF0919). Six of the omitted challengers were Republican: contact data for them appear in code 9 (NA) in VCF0928- VCF0936 and VCF0948.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782023 1980: V800918,V800929,V800949 1982: V820176,V820186,V820223,V820277 1984: V840936,V840946,V840978 1986: V860220,V860231,V860251 1988: V880715,V880726,V880746 1990: V900238,V900249,V900269 1992: V925513 1994: V940513

VCF0938

U.S. House Republican Cand: Met Him

Which ones? RESPONDENT MET [Republican candidate] PERSONALLY

1. Yes

5. No

- 9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0937); NA VCF0938 VCF0944; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)
- 0. No Republican candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other'

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0937.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782024 1980: V800919,V800930,V800950 1982: V820177,V820187,V820224,V820278 1984: V840937,V840947,V840979 1986: V860221,V860232,V860252 1988: V880716,V880727,V880747 1990: V900239,V900250,V900270 1992: V925514 1994: V940514

VCF0939

U.S. House Republican Cand: Attended Meeting

Which ones? RESPONDENT ATTENDED A MEETING OR GATHERING WHERE [Republican candidate] SPOKE

1. Yes

5. No

- 9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0937); NA VCF0938 VCF0944; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)
- 0. No Republican candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other'
- INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0937.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782025 1980: V800920,V800931,V800951 1982: V820178,V820188,V820225,V820279 1984: V840938,V840948,V840980 1986: V860222,V860233,V860253 1988: V880717,V880728,V880748 1990: V900240,V900251,V900271 1992: V925515 1994: V940515

VCF0940

U.S. House Republican Cand: Talked to Staff

Which ones? RESPONDENT TALKED TO A MEMBER OF [Republican candidate's] STAFF OR SOMEONE IN [Republican candidate's] OFFICE

1. Yes

5. No

9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0937); NA VCF0938 VCF0944; no Post IW; Washington D.C.

0. No Republican candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other'

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0937.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782026 1980: V800921,V800932,V800952 1982: V820179,V820189,V820226,V820280 1984: V840939,V840949,V840981 1986: V860223,V860234,V860254 1988: V880718,V880729,V880749 1990: V900241,V900252,V900272 1992: V925516 1994: V940516

VCF0941

U.S. House Republican Cand: Received Mail

Which ones? RESPONDENT RECEIVED SOMETHING IN MAIL FROM [Republican candidate]

1. Yes 5. No

9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0937); NA VCF0938 VCF0944; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)
0. No Republican candidate running; no contact; contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other'

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0937. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782027 1980: V800922,V800933,V800953 1982: V820180,V820190,V820227,V820281 1984: V840940,V840950,V840982 1986: V860224,V860235,V860255 1988: V880719,V880730,V880750 1990: V900242,V900253,V900273 1992: V925517 1994: V940517

VCF0942

U.S. House Republican Cand: Read About

Which ones? RESPONDENT READ ABOUT [Republican candidate] IN A NEWSPAPER OR MAGAZINE

1. Yes

5. No

- 9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0937); NA VCF0938 VCF0944; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)
- 0. No Republican candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other'

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0937.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782028 1980: V800923,V800934,V800954 1982: V820181,V820191,V820228,V820282 1984: V840941,V840951,V840983 1986: V860225,V860236,V860256 1988: V880720,V880731,V880751 1990: V900243,V900254,V900274 1992: V925518 1994: V940518

VCF0943

U.S. House Republican Cand: Heard on Radio

Which ones? RESPONDENT HEARD [Republican candidate] ON THE RADIO

- 1. Yes 5. No
- 9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0937); NA VCF0938 VCF0944; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)

0. No Republican candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other' INAP. question not used

```
GENERAL NOTE:
See VCF0937.
```

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782029 1980: V800924, V800935, V800955 1982: V820182, V820192, V820229, V820283 1984: V840942,V840952,V840984 1986: V860226, V860237, V860257 1988: V880721, V880732, V880752 1990: V900244, V900255, V900275 1992: V925519 1994: V940519

VCF0944

U.S. House Republican Cand: Saw on TV

Which ones? **RESPONDENT SAW [Republican candidate] ON TV**

1. Yes

5. No

9. NA if contact made (9 in VCF0937); NA VCF0938 VCF0944; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)

0. No Republican candidate running; no contact; only contact mentioned is an unspecified 'other' INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0937.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782030 1980: V800925, V800936, V800956 1982: V820183, V820193, V820230, V820284 1984: V840943, V840953, V840985 1986: V860227, V860238, V860258 1988: V880722, V880733, V880753 1990: V900245,V900256,V900276 1992: V925520 1994: V940520

VCF0945

Know Anyone Who had Contact with U.S. House Republican Cand

Do you know anyone, any of your family, friends or people at work, who have had some contact with [Republican candidate]?

1. Yes

5. No

9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)
0. No Republican candidate running INAP. guestion not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See VCF0937.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782032 1980: V800927,V800938,V800958 1982: V820185,V820195,V820232,V820286 1984: V840945,V840955,V840987 1986: V860229,V860240,V860260 1988: V880724,V880735,V880755 1990: V900247,V900258,V900278 1992: V925522 1994: V940522

VCF0946

Count of Contact Types- Running U.S. House Incumbent Cand

Number of specified contacts made with running incumbent candidate

- No contacts
 One contact
 Two contacts
- 3. Three contacts
- 4. Four contacts
- 5. Five contacts
- 6. Six contacts
- 7. Seven contacts
- 8. Incumbent not running
- NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)
 INAP. question not used

Derived from VCF0911-917. GENERAL NOTE: This variable does not count contacts other than the 7 specified types of contact.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0946a

Yes/No Any Contact with Running U.S. House Incumbent Cand

Contact with running incumbent? (YES/NO)

1. Yes, contact 5. No, no contact

0.MD in VCF0946 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: This var collapses VCF0946.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0947

Count of Contact Types- Challenger to Running U.S. House Incumbent Cand

Number of specified contacts made with challenger to running incumbent candidate

- 0. No contacts
- 1. One contact
- 2. Two contacts
- 3. Three contacts
- 4. Four contacts
- 5. Five contacts
- 6. Six contacts
- 7. Seven contacts
- Incumbent not running or running unopposed
 NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short

 NA; DK; no Post IVV; Washington D.C.; telephone IVV (1984); short form IW (1992)
 INAP. question not used

Derived from VCF0920-926. GENERAL NOTE: This variable does not count contacts other than the 7 specified types of contact.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0947a

Yes/No Any Contact with Challenger to Running U.S. House Incumbent Cand

Contact with challenger to running incumbent? (YES/NO)

1. Yes, contact 5. No, no contact

0.MD in VCF0947 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: This var collapses VCF0947.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0948

Count of Contact Types- U.S. House Democratic Cand

Number of specified contacts made with Democratic candidate

No contacts
 One contact
 Two contacts
 Three contacts
 Four contacts
 Five contacts
 Six contacts
 Six contacts
 Seven contacts
 Democrat not running
 NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)

INAP. question not used

Derived from VCF0928-935. GENERAL NOTE: This variable does not count contacts other than the 7 specified types of contact.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0948a

Yes/No Any Contact with U.S. House Democratic Cand

Contact with Democratic candidate? (YES/NO)

Yes, contact
 No, no contact

0.MD in VCF0948 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

This variable collapsed from VCF0948.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0949

Count of Contact Types- U.S. House Republican Cand

Number of specified contacts made with Republican candidate

0. No contacts 1. One contact

- 2. Two contacts
- 3. Three contacts
- 4. Four contacts
- 5. Five contacts
- Six contacts
- 7. Seven contacts

 Republican not running
 NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)
 INAP. question not used

Derived from VCF0937-944. GENERAL NOTE: This variable does not count contacts other than the 7 specified types of contact.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0949a

Yes/No Any Contact with U.S. House Republican Cand

Contact with Republican candidate? (YES/NO)

1. Yes, contact 5. No, no contact

0.MD in VCF0949 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: This var collapses VCF0949.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0950

Contacted Running U.S. House Incumbent

Have you (or anyone in your family living here) ever contacted [running U.S. House incumbent] or anyone in his/her office?

1. Yes

5. No

9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)
0. Incumbent not running INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Districts where the incumbent was not running have been coded 0. 1984 NOTE:

if R was in a district where the U.S. House representative was not running for re-election BUT the interview administered was an abbreviated telephone IW, then VCF0950-960 have been coded 9, not 0.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780227 1980: V800959 1982: V820196,V820233,V820250 1984: V840956 1986: V860282 1988: V880780 1990: V900303 1992: V925701 1994: V940628

VCF0951

Contacted Running U.S. House Incumbent to Express Opinion

Have you (or anyone in your family living here) ever contacted [running U.S. House incumbent] or anyone in his/her office? (IF YES:) Was it to express an opinion?

1. Yes 5. No

9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)
0. Never (or DK/NA if) contacted incumbent; incumbent not running

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0950.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780228 1980: V800960 1982: V820197,V820234,V820251 1984: V840957 1986: V860283 1988: V880781 1990: V900304 1992: V925702 1994: V940629

VCF0952

Contacted Running U.S. House Incumbent to Seek Information

Have you (or anyone in your family living here) ever contacted [running U.S. House incumbent] or anyone in his/her office? (IF YES:) Was it to seek information?

1. Yes

5. No

- NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)
- 0. Never (or DK/NA if) contacted incumbent; incumbent not running

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0950.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780229 1980: V800961 1982: V820198,V820235,V820252 1984: V840958 1986: V860284 1988: V880782 1990: V900305 1992: V925703 1994: V940630

VCF0953

Contacted Running U.S. House Incumbent to Seek Help

Have you (or anyone in your family living here) ever contacted [running U.S. House incumbent] or anyone in his/her office? (IF YES:) Was it to seek help on a problem (/problem that you had)?

1. Yes

5. No

9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)

0. Never (or DK/NA if) contacted incumbent; incumbent not running INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0950.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780230 1980: V800962 1982: V820199,V820236,V820253 1984: V840959 1986: V860285 1988: V880783 1990: V900306 1992: V925704 1994: V940631

VCF0954

Response to Contact with Running U.S. House Incumbent

Have you (or anyone in your family living here) ever contacted [running U.S. House incumbent] or anyone in his/her office? (IF YES:) Did you get a response from [running U.S. House incumbent] or someone in his/her office?

1. Yes 5. No

9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. Never (or DK/NA if) contacted incumbent; incumbent not running; type of contact NA (1992 ONLY: NA in VCF0951-953) INAP. question not used ------GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0950. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780231 1980: V800963 1982: V820200, V820237, V820254 1984: V840960 1986: V860286 1988: V880784 1990: V900307 1992: V925705 1994: V940632

VCF0955

Satisfied w/Response from Running U.S. House Incumbent

Have you (or anyone in your family living here) ever contacted [running U.S. House incumbent] or anyone in his/her office? (IF YES:) Did you get a response from [running U.S. House incumbent] or someone in his/her office? (IF YES:) How satisfied were you with the response: very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied?

- 1. Very satisfied
- 2. Somewhat satisfied
- 4. Not very satisfied
- 5. Not at all satisfied
- 9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)
- Never (or DK/NA if) received response; never (or DK/NA if) contacted incumbent; incumbent not running; type of contact NA (1992 Only: NA in VCF09451-953)
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0950.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780232 1980: V800964 1982: V820201,V820238,V820255 1984: V840961 1986: V860287 1988: V880785 1990: V900308 1992: V925706 1994: V940633

VCF0956

Know Anyone (Else) Who Contacted Running U.S. House Incumbent

Do you know anyone {else} who has contacted [running U.S. House incumbent] or anyone in his/her office?

1. Yes 5. No

9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)
0. Incumbent not running INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0950.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780233 1980: V800965 1982: V820202,V820239,V820256 1984: V840962 1986: V860288 1988: V880786 1990: V900309 1992: V925707 1994: V940634

VCF0957

Other Person Get Response from Running U.S. House Incumbent

Do you know anyone {else} who has contacted [running U.S. House incumbent] or anyone in his/her office? (IF YES:) Did this (person/group) get a response? 1. Yes 5. No 9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992) 0. Doesn't know (or DK/NA if knows) of anyone else; incumbent not running INAP. question not used GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0950. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780234 1980: V800966 1982: V820203, V820240, V820257 1984: V840963 1986: V860289 1988: V880787

1990: V900310 1992: V925708

1994: V940635

VCF0958

Other Person Satisfied w/Response from Running U.S. House Incumbent

Do you know anyone {else} who has contacted [running U.S. House incumbent] or anyone in his/her office? Did this (person/group) get a response? 1978-1986: (IF YES:) Was this (person/group) satisfied with the response? 1988-1992: (IF YES:) How satisfied was this (person/group) with the response?

- 1. Very satisfied (1978-1984: Yes; satisfied)
- 2. Somewhat satisfied
- 4. Not very satisfied (1978-1984: Somewhat dissatisfied)
- 5. Not at all satisfied (1978-1984: No; not satisfied)
- NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)

 Person/group did not get (or DK/NA if got) a response; R does not know (or DK/NA if knows) of anyone else; incumbent not running INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0950.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780235 1980: V800967 1982: V820204,V820241,V820258 1984: V840964 1986: V860290 1988: V880788 1990: V900311 1992: V925709 1994: V940636

VCF0959

Would Running U.S. House Incumbent be Helpful with Problem

If you had (another/a) problem that [running U.S. House incumbent] could do something about, do you think (he/she) would be very helpful, somewhat helpful, or not very helpful?

- 1. Very helpful
- 2. Somewhat helpful
- 3. Not very helpful
- 7. Depends

9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)
0. Incumbent not running INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See VCF0950.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780236 1980: V800968 1982: V820205,V820242,V820259 1984: V840965 1986: V860291 1988: V880791 1990: V900312 1992: V925712 1994: V940639

VCF0960

Running U.S. House Incumbent Done Anything Special for District

Do you happen to remember anything special that [running U.S. House incumbent] has done for his/her district or for the people of his/her district while s/he has been in Congress?

1. Yes 5. No

9. NA; DK; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form IW (1992)
0. Incumbent not running INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0950.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780237 1980: V800969 1982: V820206,V820243,V820260 1984: V840966 1986: V860292 1988: V880792 1990: V900316 1992: V925713 1994: V940640

VCF0961

Contacted Running or Retiring U.S. House Incumbent

Have you (or anyone in your family living here) ever contacted [retiring OR running U.S. House Representative] or anyone in his/her office?

1. Yes 5. No

9. DK; NA; no Post IW; District of Columbia (1986) 0. No recent incumbent (1990, NJ01: 10 cases)

INAP. question not used

1990 NOTE: For New Jersey congressional district 01, the U.S. Representative was neither 'retiring' nor running at the time of the 1990 general elections. The Representative from NJ01 had campaigned for and been elected to another office in 1989, and the office of Representative in NJ 01 was not (in effect) occupied until after the 1990 elections.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780227 1980: V800959 1986: V860282 1990: V900303

VCF0962

Contacted Running or Retiring U.S. House Incumbent to Express Opinion

Have you (or anyone in your family living here) ever contacted [retiring OR running U.S. House Representative] or anyone in his/her office? (IF YES:) Was it to express an opinion?

1. Yes 5. No

9. DK; NA; no Post IW; District of Columbia (1986)
0. Never (or DK/NA if) contacted incumbent; no recent incumbent (1990, NJ01: 10 cases)
INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0961.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780228 1980: V800960 1986: V860283 1990: V900304

VCF0963

Contacted Running or Retiring U.S. House Incumbent to Seek Information

Have you (or anyone in your family living here) ever contacted [retiring OR running U.S. House Representative] or anyone in his/her office? (IF YES:) Was it to seek information?

1. Yes

5. No

9. DK; NA; no Post IW; District of Columbia (1986)

0. Never (or DK/NA if) contacted incumbent; no recent incumbent (1990, NJ01: 10 cases)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0961.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780229 1980: V800961 1986: V860284 1990: V900305

VCF0964

Contacted Running or Retiring U.S. House Incumbent to Seek Help

Have you (or anyone in your family living here) ever contacted [retiring OR running U.S. House Representative] or anyone in his/her office? (IF YES:) Was it to seek help on a problem? 1. Yes 5. No 9. DK; NA; no Post IW; District of Columbia (1986) 0. Never (or DK/NA if) contacted incumbent; no recent incumbent (1990, NJ01: 10 cases) INAP. question not used GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0961. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780230

1978: V780230 1980: V800962 1986: V860285 1990: V900306

VCF0965

Response to Contact with Running or Retiring U.S. House Incumbent

Have you (or anyone in your family living here) ever contacted [retiring OR running U.S. House Representative] or anyone in his/her office? (IF YES:) Did you get a response from [U.S. House representative] or someone in his/her office?

1. Yes

5. No

- 9. DK; NA; no Post IW; District of Columbia (1986)
- 0. Never (or DK/NA if) contacted incumbent; no recent incumbent (1990, NJ01: 10 cases)
- INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0961.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780231 1980: V800963 1986: V860286 1990: V900307

VCF0966

Satisfied w/Response from Running or Retiring U.S. House Incumbent

Have you (or anyone in your family living here) ever contacted [retiring OR running U.S. House Representative] or anyone in his/her office? (IF YES:) Did you get a response from [U.S. House representative] or someone in his/her office? (IF YES:) How satisfied were you with the response: very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied?

- 1. Very satisfied
- 2. Somewhat satisfied
- 4. Not very satisfied
- 5. Not at all satisfied

9. DK; NA; no Post IW; District of Columbia (1986)

 Never (or DK/NA if) received response; never (or DK/NA if) contacted incumbent; no recent incumbent (1990, NJ01:10 cases)
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0961.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780232 1980: V800964 1986: V860287 1990: V900308

VCF0967

Know Anyone (Else) Who Contacted Running or Retiring U.S. House Incumbent

Do you know anyone {else} who has contacted [retiring OR running U.S. House representative] or anyone in his/her office?

1. Yes

5. No

9. DK; NA; no Post IW; District of Columbia (1986)

0. No recent incumbent (1990, NJ01: 10 cases)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0961.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780233 1980: V800965 1986: V860288 1990: V900309

VCF0968

Other Person Get Response from Running or Retiring U.S. House Incumbent

Do you know anyone {else} who has contacted [retiring OR running U.S. House representative] or anyone in his/her office? (IF YES:) Did this (person/group) get a response?

1. Yes 5. No

9. DK; NA; no Post IW; District of Columbia (1986)
0. Doesn't know (or DK/NA if knows) of anyone else; no recent incumbent (1990, NJ01: 10 cases)
INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0961.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780234 1980: V800966 1986: V860289 1990: V900310

VCF0969

Other Person Satisfied with Response fr Running/Retiring U.S. House Incumbent

Do you know anyone {else} who has contacted [retiring OR running U.S. House Representative] or anyone in his/her office? (IF YES:) Did this (person/group) get a response? 1978,1980: (IF YES:) Was this (person/group) satisfied with the response? 1986,1990: (IF YES:) How satisfied was this (person/group) with the response?

- 1. Very satisfied (1978,1980: Yes; satisfied)
- 2. Somewhat satisfied
- 4. Not very satisfied (1978,1980: Somewhat dissatisfied)
- 5. Not at all satisfied (1978,1980: No; not satisfied)
- 9. DK; NA; no Post IW; District of Columbia (1986)
- 0. Person/group did not get (of DK/NA if got) a response; R does not know (or DK/NA if knows) of anyone else; no recent incumbent (1990, NJ01:

10 cases) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0961.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780235 1980: V800967 1986: V860290 1990: V900311

VCF0970

Would Running or Retiring U.S. House Incumbent be Helpful with Problem

If you had (another/a) problem that [retiring OR running U.S. House representative] could do something about, do you think (he/she) would be very helpful, somewhat helpful, or not very helpful?

- 1. Very helpful
- 2. Somewhat helpful
- 3. Not very helpful
- 7. Depends

9. DK; NA; no Post IW; District of Columbia (1986); short form (1992) 0. No recent incumbent (1990, NJ01: 10 cases) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0961.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780236 1980: V800968 1986: V860291 1990: V900312

VCF0971

Running or Retiring U.S. House Incumbent Done Anything Special for District

Do you happen to remember anything special that [retiring OR running U.S. House representative] has done for his/her district or for the people of his/her district while s/he has been in Congress?

1. Yes

5. No

9. DK; NA; no Post IW; District of Columbia (1986) 0. No recent incumbent (1990, NJ01: 10 cases) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See VCF0961.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780237 1980: V800969 1986: V860292 1990: V900316

VCF0972

Recall Names of U.S. House Candidates

ALL YEARS EXC. 2000: Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress--that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington--[1996-LATER: Who ran in the November election from this district?] that ran in this district in November?

1. Yes 5. No; DK

9. NA; no Post IW; Washington D.C.; form II,III, IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992) INAP. question not used

```
2000 NOTE:
```

Asked pre-election. All other years asked post-election

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1958: V580095 1964: V640307 1966: V660088 1968: V680378, V680379 1970: V700202(type 0) 1972: V720945 1974: V742214 1978: V780115 1980: V800823 1982: V820098 1984: V840737 1986: V860103 1988: V880565 1990: V900107 1992: V925109 1994: V940210 1996: V961006 1998: V980223 2000: V000343

VCF0973

Recall Correct Name of U.S. House Candidate

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress-that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington-- [1996-LATER: Who ran in the November election from this district?] that ran in this district in November? (IF YES:) Who were they? (1996-LATER:) What is [NAME'S] party?

- NAME:valid, PARTY: correct
 NAME:valid, PARTY: incorrect
 NAME:valid, PARTY: DK/NA
 NAME:invalid, PARTY: DK/NA
 NAME:invalid, PARTY: DK/NA
 NAME:DK/NA, PARTY: any
 NAME:DK, PARTY: DK/NA; NAME:NA, PARTY: DK/NA; R says s/he recalls no names in VCF0972
- Abbrev. telephone IW (1984); no Post IW; Washington D.C.; short form (1992); NA if R remembers any names (9 in VCF0972); NA name and DK party (1978 only); R's district misidentified and R said he/she remembered a name

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: 1958, 1964-1974 data were not coded comparably and are excluded. 1978 NOTE: Tthe second part of code 7 does not apply: it was combined with other missing data (code 9). 2000 NOTE: Cases have been coded for 0 recalls in VCF0973-VCF0975 and in VCF0976 for the following: 1 case with district identification NA where R said he/she recalled no names; 2 cases with district misidentified (see VCF0900) where R said he/she recalled no names. The last of 3 cases with misidentified district produced a single recall from R and has been coded 9 in VCF0973-VCF0975 and 99 in VCF0976.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:

1978: V780118 1980: V800826 1982: V820102 1984: V840741 1986: V860107 1988: V880569 1990: V900111 1992: V925113 1994: V940214 1996: V961010 1998: V980227 2000: V000347

VCF0974

Recall Correct Name of U.S. House Candidate

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress-that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington-- [1996-LATER: Who ran in the November election from this district?] that ran in this district in November? (IF YES:) Who were they? (2ND MENTION) [1996-LATER:] What was (NAME'S) party?

NAME:valid, PARTY: correct
 NAME:valid, PARTY: incorrect
 NAME:valid, PARTY: DK/NA
 NAME:invalid, PARTY: any
 NAME:invalid, PARTY: DK/NA

6. NAME:DK/NA, PARTY: any
7. NAME:DK, PARTY: DK/NA; NAME:NA, PARTY: DK/NA; R says s/he recalls no names in VCF0972; No second mention

9. Abbrev. telephone IW (1984); no Post IW; Washington D.C.; short form (1992); NA if R remembers any names (9 in 972); NA and DK party (1978 only); R's district misidentified and R said he/she remembered a name INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See VCF0973.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780121 1980: V800829 1982: V820106 1984: V840745 1986: V860111 1988: V880573 1990: V900115 1992: V925117 1994: V940218 1996: V961014 1998: V980231 2000: V000351

VCF0975

Recall Correct Name of U.S. House Candidate

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress-that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington-- [1996-LATER: Who ran in the November election from this district?] that ran in this district in November? (IF YES:) Who were they? (3RD MENTION) [1996-LATER:] What was (NAME'S) party?

NAME:valid, PARTY: correct
 NAME:valid, PARTY: incorrect
 NAME:valid, PARTY: DK/NA
 NAME:invalid, PARTY: DK/NA
 NAME:invalid, PARTY: DK/NA
 NAME:DK/NA, PARTY: DK/NA;
 NAME:DK, PARTY: DK/NA;
 NAME:NA, PARTY: DK/NA;
 R says s/he recalls no names in VCF0972;
 No second or third interview
 abbrev. telephone IW (1984); no Post IW; Washington D.C.; short form (1992); NA if R remembers any names (9 in 972); NA name and DK party (1978 only); R's district misidentified and R said he/she remembered a name

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0973.

WEIGHT:

INAP. question not used

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780124 1980: V800832 1982: V820110 1984: V840749 1986: V860115 1988: V880577 1990: V900119 1992: V925121 1994: V940222 1996: V961018 1998: V980235 2000: V000355

VCF0976

Number of Recalled Names of U.S. House Candidates

Summary: number of correct recalls-- U.S. House candidates

NONAME CORRECT

00. R's recall of any name(s) incorrect/DK/NA; any party mention(s) OR party mentions DK/NA; R says s/he recalls no names in VCF0972 ONE CORRECT NAME 10. R's recall correct for one name; party mention incorrect/DK/NA 11. R's recall correct for one name; party mention correct TWO CORRECT NAMES 20. R's recall correct for 2 names; both party mentions incorrect/ DK/NA 21. R's recall correct for 2 names; one party mention correct 22. R's recall correct for 2 names; both party mentions correct THREE CORRECT NAMES 30. R's recall correct for 3 names; all party mentions incorrect/DK/NA 31. R's recall correct for 3 names; one party mention correct 32. R's recall correct for 3 names; two party mentions correct 33. R's recall correct for 3 names; all party mentions correct 99. Abbrev. telephone IW (1984); no Post IW ; Washington D.C.; short form (1992); NA if R remembers any names (9 in VCF0972); R's district misidentified and R said he/she remembered a name INAP. question not used _____ GENERAL NOTE: Based on VCF0973 - VCF0975 WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780115, V780118, V780121, V780124 1980: V800823,V800826,V800829,V800832

1980: V800823,V800826,V800829,V800832 1982: V820098,V820102,V820106,V820110 1984: V840737,V840741,V840745,V840749 1986: V860103,V860107,V860111,V860115 1988: V880569,V880573,V880577 1990: V900111,V900115,V900119 1992: V925113,V925117,V925121 1994: V940214,V940218,V940222 1996: V961010,V961014,V961018 1998: V980227,V980231,V980235 2000: V000347,V000351,V000355

VCF0977

Know If One U.S. House Candidate Was Already in the U.S. House

1958,1964,1966: Do you happen to know if (either/the) candidate is already in Congress? 1968:

Do you happen to know if (either one of these candidates/if he) is already in Congress:

1978 AND LATER:

Do you happen to know if [candidate in district where only 1 candidate ran] / either [first candidate in district where 2 candidates ran] or [second candidate in district where 2 candidates ran] was already in the U.S. House of Representatives before the election?

1. Yes, candidate was/ one candidate was/ (some years: [see VCF0978]: both)

3. No, candidate wasn't/neither candidate was

5. DK

9. NA; no major party candidate running; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone (1984); Washington D.C.; short form (1992) INAP. question not used

```
1968 NOTE:
```

In 1968, 27 respondents were administered incorrect candidate names; these casea are coded 9. 1996 NOTE: For districts with 2 major party candidates, the order in which the Democratic and Republican House candidate names were read within the question text was randomized. 1998 NOTE: same as 1996 2000 NOTE: same as 1996 WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1958: V580097 1964: V640312 1966: V660093 1968: V680383 1978: V780180 1980: V800912 1982: V820169 1984: V840929,V840932 1986: V860213, V860216 1988: V880708.V880711 1990: V900231, V900234 1992: V925435, V925438 1994: V940431, V940434 1996: V961068, V961070 1998: V980299,V980301 2000: V001353a, V001354

VCF0978

Correct Whether a U.S. House Candidate Was Already in Office

1958,1964,1966: Do you happen to know if (either/the) candidate is already in Congress? (IF YES AND 2 MAJOR PARTY CANDIDATES IN DISTRICT:) Which {candidate} was that? 1968:

Do you happen to know if (either one of these candidates/if he) is already in Congress? (IF YES AND 2 MAJOR PARTY CANDIDATES IN DISTRICT:) Which one?

1978 AND LATER:

Do you happen to know if [candidate in district where only 1 candidate ran] / either [first candidate in district where 2 candidates ran] or [second candidate in district where 2 candidates ran] was already in the U.S. House of Representatives before the election?

(IF YES AND 2 MAJOR PARTY CANDIDATES IN DISTRICT:) Which {candidate} was that?

- 1. CORRECT: correct incumbency status; correct candidate [districts w/incumbents running with major party opposition]
- 2. INCORRECT: incorrect incumbency status; incorrect candidate [districts w/incumbents running with major party opposition]

 DK if incumbent; DK which is incumbent; NA if incumbent; NA which is incumbent; no major party candidate running; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); Washington D.C.; short form (1992)
 INAP. question not used

See VCF0977.

GENERAL NOTE:

If no incumbent was running in R's district and R replied 'yes' (1 or 2 major party candidates), then 2 has been coded. If an incumbent was running unopposed in R's district and R answered 'yes,' then 1 has been coded.

If an incumbent was running with major party opposition in R's district and R answered 'yes,' then R has been coded according to whether R correctly identified WHICH candidate was the incumbent. If R responded that 'both' candidates were incumbents but R did not live in one of the districts where two 'incumbents' were actually

running (due to redistricting), then R's response has been considered 'incorrect'. If, however, there actually were two 'incumbents' in R's congressional district, then an answer of 'both' OR an answer of either of the two incumbents' names has been considered 'correct'. 2000 DATA:

1 case where respondent's district was NA and 3 cases where respondent district was misidentified have been coded 9.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1958: V580097 1964: V640312 1966: V660094 1968: V680385 1978: V780180, V780181 1980: V800913 1982: V820172 1984: V840929, V840932 1986: V860213, V860216 1988: V880709.V880712 1990: V900232, V900235 1992: V925436.V925439 1994: V940432, V940435 1996: V961068, V961070 1998: V980299, V980301 2000: V000194, V001353b, V001354

VCF0980

Democratic U.S. House Cand: Respondent Likes - Number of Mentions

Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the

Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?

- 0. Zero negative mentions (dislikes)
- 1. One negative mention (dislikes)
- 2. Two negative mentions (dislikes)
- 3. Three negative mentions (dislikes)
- 4. Four or more negative mentions (dislikes)
- 6. 'I don't know anything about this candidate' (Volunteered)
- 7. DK if liked anything about candidate; liked something about candidate but DK what
- 8. No Democratic candidate in R's district (includes Washington D.C.)
- 9. NA if liked anything about candidate; liked something about candidate but NA what; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Four possible mentions were coded in all original study datasets except 1988 and later, which coded 5.

Rs who responded 'no' to 'Is there anything in particular that you liked about the US House Democratic candidate?' have been coded here as 0 mentions. 'DK' responses to this question and 'I don't know anything about this candidate' responses have been maintained as MD codes, unlike VCF0401-402 and VCF0405-406, because of the very high numbers of respondents falling into these categories [for consistency, NA responses to this question have also been retained as MD]. In addition, if R responded 'yes' to 'Is there anything in particular that you liked about the US House Democratic candidate' but R provided no mentions (DK or NA in first mention), then R has been coded in the corresponding MD category in VCF0980.

In 1996 and later, randomization occurred for the order in which the Democratic and Republican House candidate names were administered for the set of 'likes/dislikes' questions.

1984 AND 1992 NOTE:

For 1984 and 1992: if R was in a district where no Democratic candidate was running BUT the interview administered was an abbreviated telephone interview (1984) or a short form interview (1992), then VCF0980 has been coded 9, not 8. 1998 NOTE:

1 case (0062) that was coded 'yes' in the 1998 study data as response whether there was anything R liked about the Democratic House candidate should have been coded 'I don't know anything about this candidate' but has been correctly categorized in variables VCF0980 and VCF0981.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:

1978: V780160,V780161,V780162,V780163,V780164 1980: V800892,V800893,V800894,V800895,V800896 1982: V820148,V820149,V820150,V820151,V820152 1984: V840908,V840909,V840910,V840911,V840912 1986: V860184,V860185,V860186,V860187,V860188 1988: V880674,V880675,V880676,V880677,V880678,V880679 1990: V900198,V900199,V900200,V900201,V900202,V900203 1992: V925401,V925402,V925403,V925404,V925405,V925406 1994: V940401,V940402,V940403,V940404,V940405,V940406 1996: V961044,V961045,V961046,V961047,V961048,V961049 1998: V980275,V980276,V980277,V980278,V980279,V880280 2000: V001328,V001329,V001330,V001331,V001332,V001333

VCF0981

Democratic U.S. House Cand: Respondent Dislikes - Number of Mentions

Is/Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about [U.S.

House Democratic candidate]? What is/was that? Anything else?

- 0. Zero negative mentions (dislikes)
- 1. One negative mention (dislikes)
- 2. Two negative mentions (dislikes)
- 3. Three negative mentions (dislikes)
- 4. Four or more negative mentions (dislikes)
- 6. 'I don't know anything about this candidate' (Volunteered)
- 7. DK if disliked anything about candidate; disliked something about candidate but DK what
- 8. No Democratic candidate in R's district (includes Washington D.C.)
- 9. NA if disliked anything about candidate; disliked something about candidate but NA what; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); District NA (2000); district misidentified (2000)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See VCF0980.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:

1978: V780165, V780166, V780167, V780168, V780169 1980: V800897, V800898, V800899, V800900, V800901 1982: V820153, V820154, V820155, V820156, V820157 1984: V840913, V840914, V840915, V840916, V840917 1986: V860189, V860190, V860191, V860192, V860193 1988: V880680, V880681, V880682, V880683, V880684, V880685 1990: V900204, V900205, V900206, V900207, V900208, V900209 1992: V925407, V925408, V925409, V925410, V925411, V925412 1994: V940407, V940408, V940409, V940410, V940411, V940412 1996: V961050, V961051, V961052, V961053, V961054, V961055 1998: V980281, V980282, V980283, V980284, V980285, V980286 2000: V001334, V001335, V001336, V001337, V001338, V001339

VCF0982

Democratic U.S. House Candidate Affect (Likes-Dislikes)

Affect toward Democratic House candidate

99. MD (codes 6-9 in VCF0980,VCF0981) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: This is the number of Democratic House candidate 'likes' minus the number of Democratic House candidate 'dislikes' (VCF0980-VCF0981).

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0983

Democratic U.S. House Candidate Salience (Likes-Dislikes)

Salience of Democratic House candidate

0. Zero mentions

8. Eight or more mentions

99. MD (codes 6-9 in VCF0980,VCF0981) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: This is the number of Democratic House candidate 'likes' plus the number of Democratic House candidate 'dislikes' (VCF0980+VCF0981).

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0984

Republican U.S. House Cand: Respondent Likes - Number of Mentions

Is/Was there anything in particular that you liked about [U.S. House Republican candidate]? What is/was that? Anything else?

- 0. Zero positive mentions (likes)
- 1. One positive mention (likes)
- 2. Two positive mentions (likes)
- 3. Three positive mentions (likes)
- 4. Four or more positive mentions (likes)
- 6. 'I don't know anything about this candidate' (Volunteered)
- 7. DK if liked anything about candidate; liked something about candidate but DK what
- 8. No Republican candidate in R's district (includes Washington D.C.)
- 9. NA if liked anything about candidate; liked something about candidate but NA what; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form 1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Four possible mentions were coded in all original study datasets except 1988 and later, which coded 5. Rs who responded 'no' to 'Is there anything in particular that you liked about the US House Republican candidate?' have been coded here as 0 mentions. 'DK' responses to this question and 'I don't know anything about this candidate' responses have been maintained as MD codes, unlike VCF0401-402 and VCF0405-406, because of the very high numbers of respondents falling into these categories [for consistency, NA responses to this question have also been retained as MD]. In addition, if R responded 'yes' to 'Is there anything in particular that you liked about the US House Republican candidate' but R provided no mentions (DK or NA in first mention), then R has been coded in the corresponding MD category in VCF0980. In 1996 and later, randomization occurred for the order in which the Democratic and Republican House candidate names were administered for the set of 'likes/dislikes' questions. 1984 AND 1992 NOTE: For 1984 and 1992: if R was in a district where no Republican candidate was running BUT the interview administered was an abbreviated telephone interview (1984) or a short form interview (1992), then VCF0980 has been coded 9, not 8. 1998 NOTE: 1 case (0062) that was coded 'yes' in the 1998 study data as response whether there was anything R liked about the Republican House candidate should have been coded 'I don't know anything about this candidate' but has been correctly categorized in variables VČF0980 and VCF0981.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:
1978: V780170,V780171,V780172,V780173,V780174
1980: V800902,V800903,V800904,V800905,V800906
1982: V820158,V820159,V820160,V820161,V820162
1984: V840918,V840919,V840920,V840921,V840922
1986: V860194,V860195,V860196,V860197,V860198
1988: V880686,V880687,V880688,V880689,V880690,V880691
1990: V900210,V900211,V900212,V900213,V900214,V900215
1992: V925413,V925414,V925415,V925416,V925417,V925418
1994: V940413,V940414,V940415,V940416,V940417,V940418
1996: V961056,V961057,V961058,V961059,V961060,V961061
1998: V980287,V980288,V980289,V980290,V980291,V980292
2000: V001340, V001341, V001342, V001343, V001344, V001345

VCF0985

Republican U.S. House Cand: Respondent Dislikes - Number of Mentions

Is/Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about [U.S. House Republican candidate]? What is/was that? Anything else?

- 0. Zero negative mentions (dislikes)
- 1. One negative mention (dislikes)
- 2. Two negative mentions (dislikes)
- 3. Three negative mentions (dislikes)
- 4. Four or more negative mentions (dislikes)
- 6. 'I don't know anything about this candidate' (Volunteered)
- 7. DK if disliked anything about candidate; disliked something about candidate but DK what
- 8. No Republican candidate in R's district (includes Washington D.C.)
- NA if disliked anything about candidate; disliked something about candidate but NA what; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984);

short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF0984.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780175,V780176,V780177,V780178,V780179 1980: V800907,V800908,V800909,V800910,V800911 1982: V820163,V820164,V820165,V820166,V820167 1984: V840923,V840924,V840925,V840926,V840927 1986: V860199,V860200,V860201,V860202,V860203 1988: V880692,V880693,V880694,V880695,V880696,V880697 1990: V900216,V900217,V900218,V900219,V900220,V900221 1992: V925419,V925420,V925421,V925422,V925423,V925424 1994: V940419,V940420,V940421,V940422,V940423,V940424 1996: V961062,V961063,V961064,V961065,V961066,V961067 1998: V980293,V980294,V980295,V980296,V980297,V980298 2000: V001346,V001347,V001348,V001349,V001350,V001351

VCF0986

Republican U.S. House Cand: Affect (Likes-Dislikes)

Affect toward Republican House candidate

99. MD (codes 6-9 in VCF0984, VCF0985) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: This is the number of Republican House candidate 'likes' minus the number of Republican House candidate 'dislikes' (VCF0984 and VCF0985).

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0987

Republican U.S. House Cand: Salience (Likes-Dislikes)

Salience of Republican House candidate

0. Zero mentions

. 8. Eight or more mentions

99. MD (codes 6-9 in VCF0984, VCF0985) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: This is the number of Republican House candidate 'likes' plus the number of Republican House candidate 'dislikes' (VCF0984+VCF0985).

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0988

Republican U.S. House Candidate Affect (Likes-Dislikes)

Net affect toward major party House candidates

999. MD (codes 6-9 in any of: VCF0980,VCF0981,VCF0984,VCF0985) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

The net affect toward major party House candidates is the difference between two sums: the sum of Democratic House candidate 'likes' and Republican House candidate 'dislikes' MINUS the sum of Democratic House candidate 'dislikes' and Republican House candidate 'likes:' [VCF0980+VCF0985] - [VCF0981+VCF0984], which is the same as: VCF0982-VCF0986.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0989

U.S. House Candidate Salience (Likes-Dislikes)

Major party House candidate salience

0. Zero mentions

. 16. Sixteen or more mentions

99. MD (codes 6-9 in any of: VCF0980,VCF0981,VCF0984,VCF0985) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Major party House candidate salience is the sum of all 'likes' and 'dislikes' about both the Democratic and Republican House candidates: [VCF0980+VCF0981] + [VCF0984+VCF0985], which is the same as: VCF0983+VCF0987.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0990

U.S. House Candidate Relative Salience (Likes-Dislikes)

Relative salience of major party House candidates

999. MD (codes 6-9 in any of: VCF0980,VCF0981,VCF0984,VCF0985) INAP. question not used

```
GENERAL NOTE:
```

Relative salience of major party House candidates is the sum of 'likes' and 'dislikes' about the Democratic House candidate MINUS the sum of 'likes' and 'dislikes' about the Republican House candidate: [VCF0980+VCF0981] - [VCF0984+VCF0985], which is the same as: VCF0983-VCF0987.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0991

Approve/Disapprove of Running U.S. House Incumbent

In general, do you approve or disapprove of the way [1980-2004: running U.S.House incumbent Representative; 2012: U.S. House incumbent Representative] has been handling his/her job?

- 1. Approve
- 5. Disapprove
- 8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992)
0. No running incumbent (includes Washington D.C.)
INAP. question not used

1984 NOTE: If R is in a district where no incumbent was running or where the incumbent was unopposed (or opposed by a non-major party challenger) BUT the interview administered was a telephone IW, then VCF0991 has been coded 9, not 0. 2012-later NOTE: Approval of House incumbent was asked about the U.S. House Representative who was in office and representing the location of respondent household prior to the elections, regardless whether that Representative ran in the

House elections held during the year of study or whether redistricting had taken place.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800972 1982: V820212,V820249,V820266 1984: V840967 1986: V860353 1988: V880789 1990: V900058,V900404 1992: V925710 1994: V940637 1996: V961123 1998: V980326 2000: V001358 2004: V045091,V045092 2012: hseinc_approval 2016: V162114

VCF0992

Approve/Disapprove of U.S. Congress

Do you approve or disapprove of the way the U.S. Congress has been handling its job?

1. Approve

- 5. Disapprove
- 8. DK; pro-con; both

9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800783 1982: V820063 1984: V840843 1986: V860100 1988: V880880 1990: V900164 1992: V925949 1994: V940320 1996: V960270 1998: V980236 2000: V000356 2002: V023008 2004: V043036 2012: congapp_job 2016: V161080

VCF0993

Incumbent U.S. House Cand: Respondent Likes - Number of Mentions

Is there anything in particular that you liked about [U.S. House incumbent candidate] What is that? Anything else?

- 0. Zero positive mentions (likes)
- 1. One positive mention (likes)

- 2. Two positive mentions (likes)
- 3. Three positive mentions (likes)
- 4. Four or more positive mentions (likes)
- 6. 'I don't know anything about this candidate' (Volunteered)
- DK if liked anything about candidate; liked something about candidate but DK what
- 8. No running incumbent candidate in R's district (includes Washington D.C.)
- NA if liked anything about candidate; liked something about candidate but NA what; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0980, VCF0984.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0994

Incumbent U.S. House Cand: Respondent Dislikes - Number of Mentions

Is there anything in particular that you didn't like about [U.S. House incumbent candidate] What is that? Anything else?

- 0. Zero negative mentions (dislikes)
- 1. One negative mention (dislikes)
- 2. Two negative mentions (dislikes)
- 3. Three negative mentions (dislikes)
- 4. Four or more negative mentions (dislikes)
- 6. 'I don't know anything about this candidate' (Volunteered)
- 7. DK if disliked anything about candidate; disliked something about candidate but DK what
- 8. No running incumbent candidate in R's district (includes Washington D.C.)
- NA if disliked anything about candidate; disliked something about candidate but NA what; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000)
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0981, VCF0985.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0995

Incumbent U.S. House Cand: Affect (Likes-Dislikes)

Affect toward incumbent House candidates

99. MD (codes 6-9 in VCF0993,VCF0994) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

This is the number of incumbent House candidate 'likes' minus the number of incumbent House candidate 'dislikes' (VCF0993-VCF0994).

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0996

Incumbent U.S. House Cand: Salience (Likes-Dislikes)

Salience of incumbent House candidates

0. Zero mentions

8. Eight or more mentions

99. MD (codes 6-9 in VCF0993, VCF0994) INAP. guestion not used

GENERAL NOTE: This is the number of incumbent House candidate 'likes' plus the number of incumbent House candidate 'dislikes' (VCF0993+VCF0994).

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0997

Challenger to Incum U.S. House Cand: Respondent Likes - Number of Mentions

Is there anything in particular that you liked about [challenger to U.S. House incumbent candidate] What is that? Anything else?

- 0. Zero positive mentions (likes)
- 1. One positive mention (likes)
- 2. Two positive mentions (likes)
- 3. Three positive mentions (likes)
- 4. Four or more positive mentions (likes)
- 6. 'I don't know anything about this candidate' (Volunteered)

- 7. DK if liked anything about candidate; liked something about candidate but DK what
- 8. No running incumbent candidate in R's district (includes Washington D.C.) or incumbent not challenged
- NA if liked anything about candidate; liked something about candidate but NA what; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); District NA (2000); district misidentified (2000)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0980,VCF0984.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0998

Challenger to Incum U.S. House Cand: Respondent Dislikes - Number of Mentions

Is there anything in particular that you didn't like about [challenger to U.S. House incumbent candidate] What is that? Anything else?

- 0. Zero negative mentions (dislikes)
- 1. One negative mention (dislikes)
- 2. Two negative mentions (dislikes)
- 3. Three negative mentions (dislikes)
- 4. Four or more negative mentions (dislikes)
- 6. 'I don't know anything about this candidate' (VOLUNTEERED)
- 7. DK if disliked anything about candidate; disliked something about candidate but DK what
- 8. No running incumbent candidate in R's district (includes Washington D.C.) or incumbent not challenged
- NA if disliked anything about candidate; disliked something about candidate but NA what; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000)
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0981, VCF0985.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF0999

Challenger to Incumbent U.S. House Cand: Affect (Likes-Dislikes)

Affect toward challenger to incumbent House candidates

99. MD (codes 6-9 in VCF0997,VCF0998) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

This is the number of House challenger candidate 'likes' minus the number of House challenger candidate 'dislikes' (VCF0997-VCF0998).

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF1000

Challenger to Incumbent U.S. House Cand: Salience (Likes-Dislikes)

Salience of challenger to incumbent House candidates

0. Zero mentions

8. Eight or more mentions

99. MD (codes 6-9 in VCF0997,VCF0998) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: This is the number of House challenger candidate 'likes' plus the

number of House challenger candidate 'dislikes' (VCF0997+VCF0998).

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF1001

U.S. House Candidate Affect (Likes-Dislikes)

Net affect toward incumbent and challenger House candidates

999. MD (codes 6-9 in any of: VCF0993,VCF0994,VCF0997,VCF0998) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

The net affect toward incumbent and challenger House candidates is the difference between two sums: the sum of incumbent House candidate 'likes' and challenger House candidate 'dislikes' MINUS the sum of incumbent House candidate 'dislikes' and challenger House candidate 'likes:' [VCF0993+VCF0998] - [VCF0994+VCF0997], which is the same as: VCF0995-VCF0999.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF1002

U.S. House Candidate Salience (Likes-Dislikes)

Incumbent and challenger House candidate salience

- 0. Zero mentions

16. Sixteen or more mentions

99. MD (codes 6-9 in any of: VCF0993,VCF0994,VCF0997,VCF0998) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Incumbent and challenger House candidate salience is the sum of all likes' and 'dislikes' about both the incumbent and challenger House candidates: [VCF0993+VCF0994] + [VCF0997+VCF0998], which is the same as: VCF0996+VCF1000.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF1003

U.S. House Candidate Relative Salience (Likes-Dislikes)

Relative salience of Incumbent and challenger House candidates

999. MD(codes 6-9 in any of: VCF0993,VCF0994,VCF0997,VCF0998) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Relative salience of incumbent and challenger House candidates is the

sum of 'likes' and 'dislikes' about the incumbent House candidate MINUS the sum of 'likes' and 'dislikes' about the challenger House candidate: [VCF0993+VCF0994] - [VCF0997+VCF0998], which is the same as: VCF0996-VCF1000.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF1004

Party of Respondent/Party of U.S. House Incumbent from district of IW

PartyID of respondent and House incumbent

- 1. R is:Democrat; INCUMBENT:Democrat
- 2. R is:Republican; INCUMBENT:Republican
- 3. R is:Democrat; INCUMBENT:Republican
- 4. R is:Republican; INCUMBENT:Democrat

 R does not have major party partisanship or NA if has major party partisanship; no incumbent running; Washington D.C.; California (1974 only: due to redistricting type of race could not be determined); incumbent is not member of major party (1994,2000); INAP. question not used

```
GENERAL NOTE
```

This variable has been created from R's party ID (VCF0301) and from type of congressional race (VCF0902). The respondent has been considered a partisan if R was coded as 'strong' 'weak' or leaning. WARNING: In years prior to 1978, no 'type of race' var was present in study datasets. Data were added, however, to 'type of race' cumulative file

var 902 for 1970, 1974 and 1976 using a lengthy case-by-case procedure. Although the resultant 'type of race' data have been checked to some extent, the possibility of some error must be recognized: the 'type of race' established for 1970, 1974 and 1976-and, as a further result, data in VCF1004 and VCF1005 for 1970, 1974 and 1976 (which have been built using type-of-race data)-- should be used with caution and at the user's risk. 2016 NOTF-

Built from VCF0902 and CF0301.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:

1970: V700184(type 0) 1974: V742204 1976: V763174 1978: V780004, V780433 1980: V800266, V800740 1982: V820006.V820291 1984: V840059,V840318 1986: V860043, V860300 1988: V880050, V880274 1990: V900058,V900320 1992: V923021,V923634 1994: V940017, V940655 1996: V960420, V960097 1998: V980339.V980065 2000: V000194, V000523 2002: V023036, V024500a 2004: V043116,V044502 2012: pid_self,pid_x,typerace2012_x

VCF1005

Party of Voter Respondent/ Party of U.S. House Incumbent

Voter from same major party as House incumbent?

- 1. R Voted: R is partisan of same party as incumbent
- 2. R Voted: R is partisan of same major party as challenger OR (unopposed races) R is partisan of major party different from incumbent's party
- 3. R is major party partisan but R did not vote, DK/NA if voted, or R voted but not (or DK/NA if) in House race
- R does not have major party partisanship or NA if has major party partisanship; no incumbent running; Washington D.C.; no Post IW; California (1974 only, due to redistricting type of race could not be determined); incumbent is not member of major party; district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000)
 INAP. question not used

See VCF1004 GENERAL NOTE: If R has no major party partisanship AND did not vote, then s/he appears in code 9, not code 3. 2016 NOTE: Built using VCF1004 in addition to 2016 vars.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

```
SOURCE VARS:
1970: V700184, V700213
1974: V742204, V742320
1976: V763174, V763671
1978: V780004, V780433, V780473
1980: V800266, V800740, V800997
1982: V820006,V820291,V820505
1984: V840059,V840318,V840791
1986: V860043, V860265, V860300
1988: V880050.V880274.V880766
1990: V900058, V900279, V900320
1992: V923021, V923634, V925601
1994: V940017, V940655, V940601
1996: V960420, V960097, V961074, V961087
1998: V980339,V980065,V980303,V980311
2000: V000194, V000523
2002: V023038x,V025020,V025028a
2004: V043116,V044502,V045018x,V045031x
2012: hsevote2012_x,pid_self,pid_x,typerace2012_x
2016: V162039, V161036
```

VCF1006

Candidate Code of Correctly Recalled U.S. House Candidate from IW district

Do you happen to remember the names of the candidates for Congress-that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington--who ran in this district this November [1996-LATER: the November election from this district]? (IF YES:) Who were they? 1996-LATER: What was [House candidate's] party? FIRST HOUSE CANDIDATE RECALL-- CANDIDATE CODE

31. Democratic candidate in race without running incumbent

- 32. Republican candidate in race without running incumbent
- 33. Democratic incumbent
- 34. Republican incumbent
- 35. Democratic challenger to Republican incumbent
- 36. Republican challenger to Democratic incumbent
- 39. Incumbent Independent/liberal/conservative/minor party candidate
- Nonincumbent Independent/liberal/conservative/minor party candidate [not used after 1988: combined into code 97]

97. Name given not on candidate list for House

99. R says s/he remembers names but when asked for name replies DK or NA; R says s/he does not remember any (or DK/NA if remembers any) names; Washington D.C.; California (1974 only, due to redistricting type of

race could not be determined); no Post IW; short form (1992)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

In the original ANES datasets 1978 and later, the recall variables which appeared had codes comparable to the codes appearing VCF1006-VCF1008. Prior to 1978, however, recall vars in ANES datasets were coded quite differently, and translating pre-1978 recall data into VCF1006-1008 data involves lengthy case-by-case consultation to reference sources for type of race in R's congressional district. Among pre-1978 studies, recall data have been incorporated for 1970 and 1974 only. NO attempt has been made to include recall data from ANES studies prior to 1970.

1970 AND 1974 NOTE:

every House candidate was assigned a unique candidate number. Correct names of candidates given by R in response to the recall question were coded within 1970 Study data by that individual candidate number. Indications are that, if the name mentioned by the respondent was not on a complete list of candidates and candidate numbers which existed for R's STATE, then the response was simply coded as 'incorrect.' However, it is not apparent that any attempt was made to ascertain whether a name 'correctly' given was actually a correct name from the congressional race in R's own district: if R correctly named a candidate from another-- or wrong-- district within the state, the response was still included among 'correct' responses. For VCF1006-VCF1008, individual candidate numbers within the original 1970 recall var have been examined on a case-by-case basis to determine if the candidate number given by R corresponded to a name from the race in R's own congressional district. If so, the response has been included as a recall. If not, the response has been coded as no recall (99 MD). This same procedure was followed for including 1974 data, although the 1974 study's method for handling individual candidate codes of names outside R's district of residence was not specifically described in any 1974 study documentation. Additional NOTE for 1970 and 1974: to create the specific candidate-type codes which appear in CDF VCF1006-VCF1008, each correctly recalled name (once determined appropriate to R's congressional district) was checked individually according to type of race to determine the named candidate's exact status (e.g., Republican incumbent, etc.).

WARNING NOTE:

The procedures used in the creation of VCF1006-1008 for 1970 and 1974 produced data that have been checked somewhat, but perfect accuracy cannot be completely guaranteed due to the nature of attempting case-by-case correction. Data in VCF1006-1008 for 1970 and 1974 should be used with caution and at the user's risk.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:

1970: V700203(type 0) 1974: V742215 1978: V780116 1980: V800824 1982: V820100 1984: V840738 1986: V860104

1988: V880566 1990: V900108 1992: V925110 1994: V940211 1996: V961007 1998: V980224 2000: V001207

VCF1007

Candidate Code of Correctly Recalled U.S. House Candidate from IW district

Second candidate recall

- 31. Democratic candidate in race without running incumbent
- 32. Republican candidate in race without running incumbent
- 33. Democratic incumbent
- 34. Republican incumbent
- 35. Democratic challenger to Republican incumbent
- 36. Republican challenger to Democratic incumbent
- 39. Incumbent Independent/liberal/conservative/minor party candidate
- 80. Nonincumbent Independent/liberal/conservative/minor party candidate [not used after 1988: combined into code 97]
- 97. Name given not on candidate list for House
- 99. R says s/he remembers names but when asked for name replies DK or NA; R says s/he does not remember any (or DK/NA if remembers any) names; Washington D.C.; California (1974 only, due to redistricting type of race could not be determined); no further mention; no Post IW; short form (1992)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF1006.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1970: V700203(type 0) 1974: V742216 1978: V780119 1980: V800827 1982: V820104 1984: V840742 1986: V860108 1988: V880570 1990: V900112 1992: V925114 1994: V940215 1996: V961011 1998: V980228 2000: V001211

VCF1008

Candidate Code of Correctly Recalled U.S. House Candidate from IW district

Third candidate recall

- 31. Democratic candidate in race without running incumbent
- 32. Republican candidate in race without running incumbent

- 33. Democratic incumbent
- 34. Republican incumbent
- 35. Democratic challenger to Republican incumbent
- 36. Republican challenger to Democratic incumbent
- 39. Incumbent Independent/liberal/conservative/minor party candidate
- 80. Nonincumbent Independent/liberal/conservative/minor party candidate [not used after 1988: combined into code 97]
- 97. Name given not on candidate list for House
- 99. R says s/he remembers names but when asked for name replies DK or NA; R says s/he does not remember any (or DK/NA if remembers any) names; Washington D.C.; California (1974 only, due to redistricting type of race could not be determined); no further mention; no Post IW; short form (1992) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF1006.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1970: V700203(type 0) 1974: V742217 1978: V780122 1980: V800830 1982: V820108 1984: V840746 1986: V860112 1988: V880574 1990: V900116 1992: V925118 1994: V940219 1996: V961015 1998: V980232 2000: V001215

VCF1009

Party of Recalled U.S. House Candidate Name(s) from district of IW

Recall summary: major party candidate recalls

- 1. 1 Recall: Democratic candidate
- 2. 1 Recall: Republican candidate
- 3. 2 Recalls: both major party candidates (in races contested by both major parties)
- 4. 0 Recalls: no major party candidate recall

 Washington D.C.; no Post IW; short form (1992); NA if R recalls any candidate names
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF1006-VCF1008.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2000: V001207,V001211,V001215

VCF1010

Is Recalled U.S. House Cand Name From Own Party

Recall summary: does R recall own/other major party candidate?

- 1. 1 Recall: Own major party's candidate
- 2. 1 Recall: Other major party's candidate
- 3. 2 Recalls: both major party candidates (in races contested by both major parties)
- 4. 0 Recall: no major party candidate recall
- 9. Washington D.C.; no Post IW; short form (1992); NA if R recalls any candidate names; R has no major party partisanship or NA if has major party partisanship

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0301 and VCF1009. This variable has been created from R's party ID (VCF0301) and from House recall summary VCF1009. The respondent has been considered a partisan if R was 'strong', 'weak' or 'leaning'. If R has no major party candidate recall AND has no major party partisanship, then R is coded 9, not 4.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF1011

Respondent Vote for U.S. House Candidate From Own Party in district of IW

1968,1970:

How about the vote for Congressman. Did you vote for a candidate for Congress? (IF YES:) Who did you vote for? Which party was that? 1972:

How about the election for Congressman--that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington? Which party's candidate did you vote for Congressman?

1974,1976:

How about the election for Congressman--that is, for the House of Representatives in Washington? Did you vote for a candidate for Congress? Whom did you vote for? Which party was that? 1978 AND LATER:

Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district. How about the election for the House of Representatives in Washington. Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? Who did you vote for? (BALLOT CARD SHOWN TO R)

- 1. R's Vote: for major party candidate from party same as R's partisanship
- 2. R's Vote: for major party candidate from party different than R's partisanship

9. R did not vote or DK/NA if voted; R voted but not (or DK/NA if) in House race; DK/NA who voted for; R has no major party partisanship; R voted for candidate whose name was not on candidate list (1978-1988) or for third party candidate(all years); no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

The respondent has been considered a partisan if R was 'strong', 'weak' or 'leaning'.

In 1978 and later, R selected name from ballot card. When R gave a name not on the candidate list, MD was coded, and party of vote was undetermined.

In 1970-1976, every House candidate was assigned a unique candidate number. Rs who reported their House vote were coded into two variables, the first of which recorded the candidate number of the named candidate, if the name was correctly given. If the name mentioned by the respondent was not on the complete list of candidates and candidate numbers, then the response was simply coded as 'incorrect.' The respondent was then asked for the party of the candidate which s/he named. VCF1011-1013 WERE BUILT FOR 1970-1976 USING THE SECOND, OR 'PARTY OF VOTE,' VARIABLE (even in cases where the party given by R was not appropriate for a correctly rendered candidate name). [NOTE: if name given in 1970-1976 was 'incorrect' or DK/NA, 'party of vote' variable was still used unless missing data: if 'party of vote' was missing data and name was 'incorrect' or DK/NA, vote in VCF1011-1013 has been coded 9.] Note that VCF0707, VCF0736 vote vars were also created from this 'party of vote' variable for 1970-1976, but the vote data present in VCF1011-1013 differ slightly from that in VCF0707 and VCF0736 in 1970-1976 for the following cases: if R correctly gave the name of a candidate who was on the complete list of candidates and candidate numbers BUT did not provide any party designation (DK or NA) in the followup 'party of vote' var, then the party of the candidate correctly named in the first recall (name) var was ascertained and incorporated into VCF1011-1013. This procedure was not undertaken for 1970-1976 in vars VCF0707 and VCF0736, which retain these cases as missing data.

Note that, because no ballot card was used, for 1968-1976 the party for which R claimed to have voted in the House election is represented in VCF1011-1013 even for so-called 'impossible' cases: e.g., when R declares R voted for a Democrat when R lives in a Congressional district in which only a Republican incumbent ran in the House election: in such a case, VCF1011-1013 are coded as if R did indeed vote for a Democrat.

1968 NOTE:

R's response to the House vote question was coded into a single summary variable which provided categories to establish whether *R* provided a correct or incorrect Democratic House candidate name, a correct or incorrect Republican House candidate name, a party mention only, or a minor party/write-in/unidentifiable candidate name. This 1968 variable was accompanied by the following NOTE: 'When R's mention of candidate name and party were inconsistent, the response was coded as if party were the dominant element. Example: R mentioned 'Burke, a Democrat' but Burke was a Republican. Response was coded 'incorrect Republican candidate.'' For VCF1011-1013, party of R's 1968 House vote was taken from this variable.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:

1968: V680120, V680323 1970: V700184, V700215 1972: V720140, V720485 1974: V742204.V742322 1976: V763174, V763673 1978: V780433, V780474 1980: V800266,V800998 1982: V820291.V820506 1984: V840318, V840792 1986: V860267, V860300 1988: V880274,V880768 1990: V900289,V900320 1992: V923634, V925623 1994: V940655, V940614 1996: V961089 1998: V980313 2000: V000523.V001263 2002: V023038x,V025020,V025028a 2004: V043116,V045018x,V045031x,V045033x 2012: hsevote2012_x,pid_self,pid_x 2016: V161158x

VCF1012

Respondent Vote for Incumbent U.S. House Candidate in district of IW

Did R vote for incumbent?

- 1. R's Vote: for incumbent candidate in race involving running incumbent
- 2. R's Vote: for candidate other than incumbent in race involving running incumbent (1970-1988: incl. 3rd party/ind. candidates)
- 9. R did not vote or DK/NA if voted; R voted but not (or DK/NA if) in House race; DK/NA who voted for; no incumbent running in congressional district; R voted for name not on candidate list (1978-1990); R voted for third party/ independent candidate who is not an incumbent (1990 and later); R voted out of district, NA if incumbent (91,92 in VCF0735); Washington D.C.; no Post IW; California (1974 only, due to redistricting type of race could not be determined)

INAP. question not used

See VCF1011.

GENERAL NOTE:

Beginning in 1990 Study data, third party and independent candidates were combined with unknown names coded in 'name not on candidate list' (code 97) whenever the non-major party candidate was not on the Candidate List; only independent or 3rd party candidates who were on the Candidate List were given a separate code (code 30). In 1970-1988, independent or 3rd party candidates could be coded distinct from 'name not on candidate list' even if their name was NOT on the Candidate List. For 1970-1988, if R voted for a third party or independent candidate who was not the incumbent, then VCF1012 has been coded 2 even if the candidate's name was not on the Candidate List.

WARNING NOTE:

In years prior to 1978, no 'type of race' var was present in Study data. Data were added, however, to 'type of race' VCF0902 for 1970, 1974 and 1976 using a lengthy case-by-case procedure. Although the resultant 'type of race' data have been checked to some extent, the possibility of some error must be recognized: the 'type of race' established for 1970, 1974 and 1976-- and, as a further result, data in VCF1012 for 1970, 1974 and 1976-- should be used with caution and at the user's risk. 1974 NOTE:

California data for VCF1012 is not recoverable because 'type of race' in California districts for the 1974 election can not be determined. 1992 NOTE:

1 case [2063] which was erroneously coded to candidate code 34 for House vote but which should have been code 32 is coded 9 here.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:

1970: V700215(type 0) 1974: V742322 1976: V763673 1978: V780474 1980: V800998 1982: V820507 1984: V840792 1986: V860266 1988: V880767 1990: V900058,V900288 1992: V925622 1994: V940613 1996: V961088 1998: V980312 2000: V001262

2002: V025028a 2004: V045018x,V045031x,V045033x 2012: hsevote2012_x,typerace2012_hse,prevote_hsvtnobc, postvote_hsvtnobc 2016: V162067x,V161015a,V161016,V162026b,V162027

VCF1013

Respondent Vote for Winning U.S. House Candidate in district of IW

Did R vote for winner?

- 1. R's Vote: for winner
- 2. R's Vote: for candidate other than the winner (incl. names not on candidate list)

 R did not vote or DK/NA if voted; R voted but not (or DK/NA if) in House race; DK/NA who voted for; Washington D.C.; no Post IW; R voted outside his/her district of interview (1978-later only, not determinable prior to 1978)
 INAP. guestion not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Built using VCF1014 (except 1974). See NOTEs VCF1011 describing process which establishes who R voted

for. NOTE the following exception for 1974 California cases: Rs who gave the party of their vote BUT who gave an 'incorrect' [or DK/NA] name could not be traced to their congressional district number which was in effect after the 1974 redistricting in CA, so that party of winning candidate was unknown: all such cases have been coded 2 here [25 cases].

1974 NOTE:

The winners in the 1974 California House congressional races which were mistakenly overlooked in the 1974 Study release have been restored here. (NOTE, however, that 1974 California data for VCF1014 'Who Won' is not recoverable.)

WARNING NOTE:

The procedures used in the creation of the VCF1014 'winner' var produced data that have been checked somewhat, but perfect accuracy cannot be completely guaranteed due to the nature of attempting coding from reference material. The possibility of some error must be recognized and the 'winner' established in VCF1014 --and, as a further result, data in VCF1013 (which has been built using 'winner' data) -- should be used with caution and at the user's risk.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1970: V700215(type 0)

1974: V742321, V742322 1976: V763673 1978: V780474 1980: V800998 1982: V820507 1984: V840793 1986: V860267 1988: V880768 1990: V900288 1992: V925622 1994: V940613 1996: V961088 1998: V980312 2000: V001262 2002: V024524,V025028a 2004: V044502, V044514b, V045018x, V045031x, V045033x 2012: hsevote2012 x 2016: V161010e,V161010f,V162067x,V161037,V162040,V161015a,V161016,V162026b,V162027

VCF1014

Winning U.S. House Candidate in district of IW

Who won House race?

- 1. WINNER: incumbent, Democrat
- 2. WINNER: incumbent, Republican
- 3. WINNER: challenger, Republican (loser: Democratic incumbent)
- 4. WINNER: challenger, Democrat (loser: Republican incumbent)
- 5. WINNER: open-seat candidate, Democrat (race contested)
- 6. WINNER: open-seat candidate, Republican (race contested)
- 7. WINNER: uncontested open-seat candidate, Democrat
- 8. WINNER: uncontested open-seat candidate, Republican
- Washington D.C.; California (1974 only, due to redistricting, type of race could not be determined); winner not from major party (2000); respondent district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000)
 INAP. question not used

1970 NOTE:

Warning: The procedures used in the creation of the VCF1014 'winner' for 1970 and 1974 produced data that have been checked somewhat, but perfect accuracy cannot be completely guaranteed due to the nature of attempting case- by-case coding from reference material. The possibility of some error must be recognized and the 'winner' established in VCF1014 should be used with caution and at the user's risk. 1974 NOTE: See 1970 warning. California data in 1974 for VCF1014 'Who Won' is not recoverable because 'type of race' in California districts for the 1974 election cannot be determined. 2016 NOTE: For LA04, late identification of final candidates resulted in having type of race not determined. LA04 cases are coded 9.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF1015

Number of Days Pre-Election IW Conducted Before Election

Number of days from Pre-election IW until day of election

Exact number of days is coded, except:

99. NA; no Pre IW; abbrev. Pre IW (1980, see VCF0015) INAP. question not used

See also VCF1016. GENERAL NOTE: studies are not designed to produce representative samples with respect time period. 1992 NOTE: Two cases of interviews mistakenly coded 11/2/1992 in Study data should have been coded 11/3/1992 [election day but prior to voting]; the correct date has been used in the calculation here. 2004 NOTE: When the beginning and ending date of pre interview are different, the

ending date of pre interview (042010b) was used. 2012 NOTE: One ftf case interviewed on election day Nov 6, 2012; several web cases began interview prior to election day, finished on election day.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520010 1956: V560009 1960: V600012 1964: V640014 1968: V680022 1972: V720022 1976: V763023 1980: V800025 1984: V840032 1988: V880043,V880044 1992: V923026, V923027 1996: V960013 2000: V000009 2002: V022012 2004: V042010a, V042010 2012: admin_pre_ftf_iwdatefin admin_pre_web2_iwdatefin 2016: V164004

VCF1016

Number of Days Post-Election IW Conducted After Election

Number of days from day of election until day of Post-election IW

Exact number of days is coded, except:

99. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

_____ GENERAL NOTE: Studies are not designed to produce representative samples with respect time period. Election days are as follows: 2008 Nov 4 2012 Nov 6 2004 Nov 2 2002 Nov 5 2000 Nov 7 1998 Nov 3 1996 Nov 5 1994 Nov 7 1992 Nov 3 1990 Nov 6 1988 Nov 8 1986 Nov 4 1984 Nov 6 1982 Nov 2 1980 Nov 4 1978 Nov 7 1976 Nov 2 1974 Nov 5 1972 Nov 7 1970 Nov 3 1968 Nov 5 1966 Nov 8 1964 Nov 3 1960 Nov 8 1958 Nov 4 1962 Nov 6 1956 Nov 6 2004 NOTE: When the beginning and ending date of post interview are different, the ending date of post interview (044010b) was used. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS: 1956: V560193 1958: V580011

1960: V600271 1964: V640275 1966: V660017 1968: V680272 1970: V700036(type 0)

1972: V720440 1974: VV74202 1976: V763525 1978: V780030 1980: V800033 1982: V820039 1984: V840050 1986: V860009 1988: V880063,V880064 1990: V900052, V900053 1992: V925005, V925006 1994: V940036 1996: V960904 1998: V980005 2000: V000131 2002: V024012 2004: V044010a,V044010 2012: admin_post_ftf_iwdatebeg admin_post_web1_iwdatebeg 2016: V165004

VCF1017

Thermometer Average - U.S. House Candidates

Thermometers -- both major party Congressional candidates

0-100 Degrees.

998. DK in VCF0906 or VCF0907 999. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0906 and VCF0907 as: (VCF0906+VCF0907)/2. Fractional results are rounded to the next highest integer.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF1018

Thermometer Index - U.S. House Candidats

Major party Congressional candidate thermometer index

0. Most Republican

50. Neutral

100. Most Democratic

998. DK in VCF0906 or VCF0907 999. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF0906 and VCF0907 as: (VCF0906-VCF0907 +100)/2. Fractional results are rounded to the next highest integer.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF1020

Likes anything about Democratic U.S. House Cand

Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?

1. Yes

5. No

8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0980. Code 5 includes cases where R says he/she did not know anything about the candidate.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780160 1980: V800892 1982: V820148 1984: V840908 1986: V860184 1988: V880674 1990: V900198 1992: V925401 1994: V940401 1996: V961044 1998: V980275 2000: V001328

VCF1021a

1972-2004 Mention 1: Like About Democratic U.S. House Cand

Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

8888. DK (mention 1 only)
8889. RF; NA (mention 1 only)
INAP. 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1020; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780161 1980: V800893 1982: V820149

1984: V840909 1986: V860185 1988: V880675 1990: V900199 1992: V925402 1994: V940402 1996: V961045 1998: V980276 2000: V001329

VCF1021b

1972-2004 Mention 1: Like About Democratic U.S. House Cand COLLAPSED

Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
- 88. DK (mention 1 only)
- 89. RF; NA (mention 1 only)
- INAP. 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1020; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1021a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780161 1980: V800893 1982: V820149 1984: V840909 1986: V860185 1988: V880675 1990: V900199 1992: V925402 1994: V940402 1996: V961045 1998: V980276 2000: V001329

VCF1022a

1972-2004 Mention 2: Like About Democratic U.S. House Cand

Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the

Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1020; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. 1996 NOTE: Documentation for code 205 (1 case) has not been found.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780162 1980: V800894 1982: V820150 1984: V840910 1986: V860186 1988: V880676 1990: V900200 1992: V925403 1994: V940403 1996: V961046 1998: V980277 2000: V001330

VCF1022b

1972-2004 Mention 2: Like About Democratic U.S. House Cand COLLAPSED

Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
- INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1020; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1022a.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780162 1980: V800894 1982: V820150 1984: V840910 1986: V860186 1988: V880676 1990: V900200 1992: V925403 1994: V940403 1996: V961046 1998: V980277 2000: V001330

VCF1023a

1972-2004 Mention 3: Like About Democratic U.S. House Cand

Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1020; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780163 1980: V800895 1982: V820151 1984: V840911 1986: V860187 1988: V880677 1990: V900201 1992: V925404 1994: V940404 1996: V961047 1998: V980278 2000: V001331

VCF1023b

1972-2004 Mention 3: Like About Democratic U.S. House Cand COLLAPSED

Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)

- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1020; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1023a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780163 1980: V800895 1982: V820151 1984: V840911 1986: V860187 1988: V880677 1990: V900201 1992: V925404 1994: V940404 1996: V961047 1998: V980278

VCF1024a

1972-2004 Mention 4: Like About Democratic U.S. House Cand

Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

2000: V001331

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1020; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780164 1980: V800896 1982: V820152 1984: V840912 1986: V860188 1988: V880678 1990: V900202 1992: V925405 1994: V940405 1996: V961048

1998: V980279 2000: V001332

VCF1024b

1972-2004 Mention 4: Like About Democratic U.S. House Cand COLLAPSED

Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1020; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1024a.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780164 1980: V800896 1982: V820152 1984: V840912 1986: V860188 1988: V880678 1990: V900202 1992: V925405 1994: V940405 1994: V940405 1996: V961048 1998: V980279 2000: V001332

VCF1025a

1972-2004 Mention 5: Like About Democratic U.S. House Cand

Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1020; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district

misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS: 1988: V880679 1990: V900203

1992: V925406 1994: V940406 1996: V961049 1998: V980280 2000: V001333

VCF1025b

1972-2004 Mention 5: Like About Democratic U.S. House Cand COLLAPSED

Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1020; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1025a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1988: V880679 1990: V900203 1992: V925406 1994: V940406 1996: V961049 1998: V980280 2000: V001333

VCF1026

Dislikes anything about Democratic U.S. House Cand

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the

Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?

1. Yes

5. No

8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0981. Code 5 includes cases where R says he/she did not know anything about the candidate.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780165 1980: V800897 1982: V820153 1984: V840913 1986: V860189 1988: V880680 1990: V900204 1992: V925407 1994: V940407 1996: V961050 1998: V980281 2000: V001334

VCF1027a

1972-2004 Mention 1: Dislike About Democratic U.S. House Cand

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

0001-8877

8888. DK (mention 1 only)
8889. RF; NA (mention 1 only)
INAP. 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1026; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780166 1980: V800898 1982: V820154 1984: V840914 1986: V860190 1988: V880681 1990: V900205 1992: V925408 1994: V940408

1996: V961051 1998: V980282 2000: V001335

VCF1027b

1972-2004 Mention 1: Dislike About Democratic U.S. House Cand COLLAPSED

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

88. DK (mention 1 only)

89. RF; NA (mention 1 only)

INAP. 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1026; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1027a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780166 1980: V800898 1982: V820154 1984: V840914 1986: V860190 1988: V880681 1990: V900205 1992: V925408 1994: V940408 1996: V961051 1998: V980282 2000: V001335

VCF1028a

1972-2004 Mention 2: Dislike About Democratic U.S. House Cand

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1026; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780167 1980: V800899 1982: V820155 1984: V840915 1986: V860191 1988: V880682 1990: V900206 1992: V925409 1994: V940409 1996: V961052 1998: V980283 2000: V001336

VCF1028b

1972-2004 Mention 2: Dislike About Democratic U.S. House Cand COLLAPSED

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1026; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1028a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780167 1980: V800899 1982: V820155 1984: V840915 1986: V860191

1988: V880682 1990: V900206 1992: V925409 1994: V940409 1996: V961052 1998: V980283 2000: V001336

VCF1029a

1972-2004 Mention 3: Dislike About Democratic U.S. House Cand

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1026; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780168 1980: V800900 1982: V820156 1984: V840916 1986: V860192 1988: V880683 1990: V900207 1992: V925410 1994: V940410 1996: V961053 1998: V980284 2000: V001337

VCF1029b

1972-2004 Mention 3: Dislike About Democratic U.S. House Cand COLLAPSED

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)

- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
- INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1026; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1029a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS:

1978: V780168 1980: V800900 1982: V820156 1984: V840916 1986: V860192 1988: V880683 1990: V900207 1992: V925410 1994: V940410 1996: V961053 1998: V980284 2000: V001337

VCF1030a

1972-2004 Mention 4: Dislike About Democratic U.S. House Cand

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1026; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

```
GENERAL NOTE:
See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.
```

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780169 1980: V800901 1982: V820157 1984: V840917 1986: V860193 1988: V880684 1990: V900208 1992: V925411 1994: V940411 1996: V961054 1998: V980285 2000: V001338

VCF1030b

1972-2004 Mention 4: Dislike About Democratic U.S. House Cand COLLAPSED

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1026; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1030a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780169 1980: V800901 1982: V820157 1984: V840917 1986: V860193 1988: V880684 1990: V900208 1992: V925411 1994: V940411 1996: V961054 1998: V980285 2000: V001338

VCF1031a

1972-2004 Mention 5: Dislike About Democratic U.S. House Cand

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1026; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1988: V880685 1990: V900209 1992: V925412 1994: V940412 1996: V961055 1998: V980286 2000: V001339

VCF1031b

1972-2004 Mention 5: Dislike About Democratic U.S. House Cand COLLAPSED

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Democratic candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1026; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1031a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1988: V880685 1990: V900209 1992: V925412 1994: V940412 1996: V961055 1998: V980286 2000: V001339

VCF1032

Likes anything about Republican U.S. House Cand

Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?

1. Yes 5. No

8. DK
9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000)
INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTEs VCF0984. Code 5 includes cases where R says he/she did not know anything about the candidate.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780170 1980: V800902 1982: V820158 1984: V840918 1986: V860194 1988: V880686 1990: V900210 1992: V925413 1994: V940413 1996: V961056 1998: V980287 2000: V001340

VCF1033a

1972-2004 Mention 1: Like About Republican U.S. House Cand

Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

8888. DK (mention 1 only)
8889. RF; NA (mention 1 only)
INAP. 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1032; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780171 1980: V800903 1982: V820159 1984: V840919 1986: V860195 1988: V880687 1990: V900211 1992: V925414 1994: V940414 1996: V961057 1998: V980288 2000: V001341

VCF1033b

1972-2004 Mention 1: Like About Republican U.S. House Cand COLLAPSED

Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

88. DK (mention 1 only)

89. RF; NA (mention 1 only)

INAP. 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1032; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Collapsed from full code VCF1033a.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780171 1980: V800903 1982: V820159 1984: V840919 1986: V860195 1988: V880687 1990: V900211 1992: V925414 1994: V940414 1996: V961057 1998: V980288 2000: V001341

VCF1034a

1972-2004 Mention 2: Like About Republican U.S. House Cand

Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1032; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780172 1980: V800904 1982: V820160 1984: V840920 1986: V860196 1988: V880688 1990: V900212 1992: V925415 1994: V940415 1996: V961058 1998: V980289 2000: V001342

VCF1034b

1972-2004 Mention 2: Like About Republican U.S. House Cand COLLAPSED

Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1032; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1034a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780172 1980: V800904 1982: V820160 1984: V840920 1986: V860196 1988: V880688 1990: V900212 1992: V925415 1994: V940415 1996: V961058 1998: V980289 2000: V001342

VCF1035a

1972-2004 Mention 3: Like About Republican U.S. House Cand

Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1032; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780173 1980: V800905 1982: V820161 1984: V840921 1986: V860197 1988: V880689 1990: V900213 1992: V925416 1994: V940416 1996: V961059 1998: V980290 2000: V001343

VCF1035b

1972-2004 Mention 3: Like About Republican U.S. House Cand COLLAPSED

Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1032; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1035a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780173 1980: V800905 1982: V820161 1984: V840921 1986: V860197 1988: V880689 1990: V900213 1992: V925416 1994: V940416 1996: V961059 1998: V980290 2000: V001343

VCF1036a

1972-2004 Mention 4: Like About Republican U.S. House Cand

Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1032; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780174 1980: V800906 1982: V820162 1984: V840922 1986: V860198 1988: V880690 1990: V900214 1992: V925417 1994: V940417 1996: V961060 1998: V980291 2000: V001344

VCF1036b

1972-2004 Mention 4: Like About Republican U.S. House Cand COLLAPSED

Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

11. People within party (0001-0097)

- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)
- INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1032; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1036a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780174 1980: V800906 1982: V820162 1984: V840922 1986: V860198 1988: V880690 1990: V900214 1992: V925417 1994: V940417 1996: V961060 1998: V980291 2000: V001344

VCF1037a

1972-2004 Mention 5: Like About Republican U.S. House Cand

Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1032; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

```
GENERAL NOTE:
```

See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1988: V880691 1990: V900215 1992: V925418 1994: V940418 1996: V961061

1998: V980292 2000: V001345

VCF1037b

1972-2004 Mention 5: Like About Republican U.S. House Cand COLLAPSED

Was there anything in particular that you liked about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you liked about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1032; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1037a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1988: V880691 1990: V900215 1992: V925418 1994: V940418 1996: V961061 1998: V980292 2000: V001345

VCF1038

Dislikes anything about Republican U.S. House Cand

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives?

 Yes
 No
 DK
 NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See NOTEs VCF0985. Code 5 includes cases where R says he/she did not know anything about the candidate.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780175 1980: V800907 1982: V820163 1984: V840923 1986: V860199 1988: V880692 1990: V900216 1992: V925419 1994: V940419 1996: V961062 1998: V980293 2000: V001346

VCF1039a

1972-2004 Mention 1: Dislike About Republican U.S. House Cand

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

0001-8877

8888. DK (mention 1 only)
8889. RF; NA (mention 1 only)
INAP. 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1038; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780176 1980: V800908 1982: V820164 1984: V840924 1986: V860200 1988: V880693 1990: V900217 1992: V925420 1994: V940420 1996: V961063 1998: V980294 2000: V001347

VCF1039b

1972-2004 Mention 1: Dislike About Republican U.S. House Cand COLLAPSED

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

88. DK (mention 1 only)

89. RF; NA (mention 1 only)

INAP. 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1038; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1039a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780176 1980: V800908 1982: V820164 1984: V840924 1986: V860200 1988: V880693 1990: V900217 1992: V925420 1994: V940420 1996: V961063 1998: V980294 2000: V001347

VCF1040a

1972-2004 Mention 2: Dislike About Republican U.S. House Cand

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1038; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780177

1980: V800909 1982: V820165 1984: V840925 1986: V860201 1988: V880694 1990: V900218 1992: V925421 1994: V940421 1996: V981064 1998: V980295 2000: V001348

VCF1040b

1972-2004 Mention 2: Dislike About Republican U.S. House Cand COLLAPSED

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1038; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1040a.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780177 1980: V800909 1982: V820165 1984: V840925 1986: V860201 1988: V880694 1990: V900218 1992: V925421 1994: V940421 1996: V961064 1998: V980295 2000: V001348

VCF1041a

1972-2004 Mention 3: Dislike About Republican U.S. House Cand

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the

Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1038; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780178 1980: V800910 1982: V820166 1984: V840926 1986: V860202 1988: V880695 1990: V900219 1992: V925422 1994: V940422 1996: V961065 1998: V980296 2000: V001349

VCF1041b

1972-2004 Mention 3: Dislike About Republican U.S. House Cand COLLAPSED

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1038; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1041a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780178 1980: V800910 1982: V820166 1984: V840926 1986: V860202 1988: V880695 1990: V900219 1992: V925422 1994: V940422 1996: V961065 1998: V980296 2000: V001349

VCF1042a

1972-2004 Mention 4: Dislike About Republican U.S. House Cand

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1038; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

```
GENERAL NOTE:
See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.
```

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780179 1980: V800911 1982: V820167 1984: V840927 1986: V860203 1988: V880696 1990: V900220 1992: V925423 1994: V940423 1996: V961066 1998: V980297 2000: V001350

VCF1042b

1972-2004 Mention 4: Dislike About Republican U.S. House Cand COLLAPSED

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)

- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1038; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1042a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780179 1980: V800911 1982: V820167 1984: V840927 1986: V860203 1988: V880696 1990: V900220 1992: V925423 1994: V940423 1996: V961066 1998: V980297 2000: V001350

VCF1043a

1972-2004 Mention 5: Dislike About Republican U.S. House Cand

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

0001-8877

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1038; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix 'PARTY-CANDIDATE 1972-LATER' master code.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1988: V880697 1990: V900221 1992: V925424 1994: V940424 1996: V961067 1998: V980298 2000: V001351

VCF1043b

1972-2004 Mention 5: Dislike About Republican U.S. House Cand COLLAPSED

Was there anything in particular that you didn't like about {NAME}, the Republican candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? What was that? Anything else [that you didn't like about this candidate]?

- 11. People within party (0001-0097)
- 12. Party characteristics (0101-0197)
- 21. Candidate experience, ability (0201-0297)
- 22. Candidate leadership qualities (0301-0397)
- 23. Candidate personal qualities (0401-0498)
- 24. Candidate party connections (0500-0597)
- 31. Government management (0601-0697)
- 32. Government activity/philosophy (0801-0897)
- 33. Domestic policies (0901-1097)
- 34. Foreign policies (1101-1199,1300-1310)
- 35. Group connections (1201-1299)
- 40. Miscellaneous (0701-0797,8877)
- 50. Events unique to one campaign (5001-5004)

INAP. Inap. no further mention; 5,6,7,8,9 in VCF1038; no post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992); district NA (2000); district misidentified (2000); question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Collapsed from full code VCF1043a.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1988: V880697 1990: V900221 1992: V925424 1994: V940424 1996: V961067 1998: V980298 2000: V001351

VCF9001

Length of Residence in Community

How long have you lived here in (1986 AND LATER: your present) (1984: this) city/town/township/county (2002: community) ?

0. 1 Year or less (2002,2004: less than 1 year)
1. 13-23 Mos. (1988-1992 only) (2002,2004: 12-18 months)
2. 2 Years (1994-later: includes 13-23 months; 2002,2004: 19-24 months)
3. 3 Years
.
.
.
.
89. 89 Years or more
90. 'All of life' (regardless of number of years) [exc.1974,2004]
99. DK; NA; Panel (2002)
INAP. question not used

In Pre-Post study years, this was a pre var. In 1968-1978, if number of years given included a fraction of 6 or

more months, then the number of years coded was rounded up to the next highest whole number. In 1980-1986, this practice was restricted to instances where the total number of years (and months) given was less than 2 years; if the number of years given was greater than 2, then any fraction was dropped. In 1988-1990, all fractions of years were dropped with the exception of months less than a year (coded as 1 year, code 0), and 13-23 months (code 1). In 1994 and later, all fractions of years were dropped with the exception of months less than a year (coded 0); the code '13-23 months; 2 years' has been recoded to 2. Beginning in 2012, exact number of years was not in the public release. 1974 NOTE: Code 'all of life' was not used. 2002 NOTE: This question was asked only of Fresh Cross respondents. 2004 NOTE: Code 76 includes, '76 years or more.' Code, 'All of life' was not used.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680260 1970: V700382(type 0) 1972: V720419 1974: VV74254 1976: V763502 1978: V780627 1980: V800714 1982: V820756 1984: V840702 1986: V860750 1988: V880548 1990: V900681 1992: V924130 1994: V941423 1996: V960712 1998: V980661 2000: V001020a, V001020b, V001020 2002: V023139 2004: V043308 2008: V083266a, V083266b

VCF9002

Length of Residence in Home

How long have you lived (2012: at this address?) in this house (condo/apartment) (2002: your current home) ?

- 1.4 years or less
- 2. 5-9 years
- 3. 10-19 years
- 4. 20-29 years
- 5. 30 or more years (2004: includes 76 years or more)
- 6. 'All of life' (regardless of number of years)[exc.1974,1976,2004]

9. DK; NA 0. Panel (2002) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Beginning in 2012, exact number of years was not in the public release. 1960 NOTE:

Post-only Rs were asked this question in the post: their responses were incorporated into the pre var used here.

1974 NOTE: Code 'all of life' was not used. 1976 NOTE: same as 1974. 2002 NOTE: This question was asked only of Fresh Cross respondents. 2004: Case 462 indicates a longer residence in home than in community. Code 5, '30 years or more' includes '76 years or more). Code 'All of life' was not used. 2016 NOTE: Available data do not collapse into these categories.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1958: V580229 1960: V600185 1964: V640264 1966: V660233 1970: V700384(type 0) 1974: VV74254 1976: V763503 1978: V780628 1980: V800718 1982: V820760 1984: V840705 1986: V860753 1988: V880551 1990: V900684 1992: V924134 1994: V941426 1996: V960713 1998: V980662 2000: V001021a, V001021b, V001021 2002: V023140 2004: V043311 2008: V083269a,V083269b

VCF9003

Thermometer - Evangelical Groups

Evangelical groups active in politics -- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK; don't recognize (1980 and later)99. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984)INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800885 1984: V840772 1988: V880614

VCF9004

Thermometer - Elderly

Older people (or the elderly) -- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK; don't recognize (1980 and later)99. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984)INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1974: VV74236 1976: V763829 1980: V800865 1984: V840771 1988: V880619 1994: V940316 1996: V961040 2000: V001319 2002: V025065 2004: V045071

VCF9005

Thermometer - Supreme Court

The Supreme Court -- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK; don't recognize (1980 and later)99. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984)INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800866 1984: V840776 1988: V880621 1996: V961025 2000: V001304 2002: V025051 2004: V045073 2012: ftgr_ussc 2016: V162102

VCF9006

Thermometer - Women

Women -- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees

98. DK; don't recognize (1984,1988,2004) 99. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763830 1984: V840762 1988: V880608 2004: V045083

VCF9007

Thermometer - Jesse Jackson

JesseJackson -- feeling thermometer

00-96. Degrees as coded 97. 97-100 Degrees 98. DK; don't recognize (1984,1986,1988,1990,1992,1996) 99. NA INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840298 1986: V860145 1988: V880162 1990: V900139 1992: V923316 1994: V940228 1996: V960283 2002: V023018

VCF9008

Which Party Would Best Handle Pollution and Protecting Environment

Which party do you think would do a better job of handling the problem of pollution and (1990,1994: protection of) the environment?

- 1. Better by Democrats
- 3. Same by both

5. Better by Republicans
 8. DK

9. NA INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Volunteered responses 'neither' have been recoded to 3.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1990: V900391 1994: V940830 1998: V980385

VCF9009

Approve of President Performance on Economy

Do you approve or disapprove of the way [president] is handling the economy? (IF APPROVE/DISAPPROVE:) Do you approve/disapprove strongly or not strongly?

- 1. Approve strongly
- 2. Approve not strongly
- 4. Disapprove not strongly
- 5. Disapprove strongly
- 8. DK if approve/disapprove strongly or not strongly; DK/NA if approve or disapprove

9. NA if approve/disapprove strongly or not strongly INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

President: Reagan 1984, 1986, 1988; George Bush Sr. 1990, 1992; Clinton 1994, 1996, 1998, 2000; George W. Bush 2002, 2004.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840261 1986: V860099 1988: V880227 1990: V900101 1992: V923324 1994: V940202 1996: V960297 1998: V980217 2000: V000501 2002: V023042 2004: V043026,V043027 2012: presapp_econ_x 2016: V161083x,V161083,V161083a

VCF9010

Which Would Best Handle Inflation

Do you think inflation would be handled better by the Democrats, by the Republicans, or about the same by both?

Better by Democrats
 Same by both
 Better by Republicans
 DK

9. NA INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Volunteered responses 'neither' have been recoded to 3.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763143 1978: V780341 1980: V800204 1982: V820337

VCF9011

Which Would Best Handle Unemployment

Do you think the problems of unemployment would be handled better by the Democrats, by the Republicans, or about the same by both?

Better by Democrats
 Same by both
 Better by Republicans
 DK

9. NA INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V763145 1978: V780344 1980: V800208 1982: V820339

VCF9012

Which Party Would Best Handle Most Important Problem

(IF R HAS MENTIONED A 'MOST IMPORTANT PROBLEM' IN THE NATION:) Which political party do you think would be most likely to get the government to do a better job in dealing with this problem (1972: to be helpful on this problem) --the Republicans, the Democrats, or wouldn't there be much difference between them?

- 1. Democrats
- 3. Not much difference

5. Republicans

8. DK; other (1972 only); neither

9. NA; no Post IW; form I, II, IV (1972); short form (1992); question not administered in assigned half-sample (1996, 2000)

0. R has not identified any problem

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: This var is a follow-up to VCF0875/VCF0875a/VCF0875b. 1972 NOTE: Code 8 includes 11 cases where R's response was 'other.' 1996 NOTE: A half-sample of respondents was randomly selected to be administered questions about 'most important problem'. 2000 NOTE: same as 1996 2012 NOTE: Corrected data will be provided in the next release.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720550 1976: V763692 1978: V780317 1980: V800985 1982: V820300 1984: V840995 1986: V860308 1988: V880819 1992: V925728 1994: V940707 1996: V961143 1998: V980348 2000: V000438

VCF9013

Society Ensure Equal Opportunity to Succeed

1988 AND LATER:

Using page [page] for our choice of answers, I would like you to tell me how much you agree or disagree with each statement. (RESPONDENT BOOKLET SHOWN TO R) 1984,1986,1990,1996: I am going to read several statements. After each one, I would like you to tell me whether you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly. (RESPONDENT BOOKLET SHOWN TO R) 2004: Pleae look at page [page] of the booklet. I am going to read several more statements. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree.

ALL YEARS:

'Our society should do whatever is necessary to make sure that everyone has an equal opportunity to succeed.'

- 1. Agree strongly
- 2. Agree somewhat
- 3. Neither agree nor disagree
- 4. Disagree somewhat
- 5. Disagree strongly

8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW; form B (1990) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: The order in which VCF9013-9018 questions appeared in the original studies varied by study. 1984 NOTE: Egalitarianism series was administered with a break mid-sequence. 1988 NOTE 1: Placement varied by form. 1988 NOTE 2: The order of egalitarianism items was scrambled among form B respondents.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840204 1986: V860364 1988: V880924 1990: V900426 1992: V926024 1994: V940914 1996: V961229 2000: V001521 2004: V045212 2012: egal_equal 2016: V162243

VCF9014

We Have Gone Too Far Pushing Equal Rights

- 1. Agree strongly
- 2. Agree somewhat
- 3. Neither agree nor disagree
- 4. Disagree somewhat
- 5. Disagree strongly
- 8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW; form B (1990) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See intro text and notes VCF9013. 1972 NOTE: The 1972 version of this question was not comparable.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840206 1986: V860365 1988: V880925 1990: V900427 1992: V926025 1994: V940915 1996: V961230 1998: V980429 2000: V001522

2004: V045213 2012: egal_toofar

VCF9015

Big Problem that Not Everyone Has Equal Chance

ALL YEARS: 'One of the big problems in this country is that we don't give everyone an equal chance.'

- 1. Agree strongly
- 2. Agree somewhat
- 3. Neither agree nor disagree
- 4. Disagree somewhat
- 5. Disagree strongly

8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW; form B (1990) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See intro text and notes VCF9013.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840208 1986: V860366 1988: V880929 1990: V900428 1992: V926029 1994: V940916 1996: V961231 2000: V001523 2004: V045214 2012: egal_bigprob

VCF9016

Not Big Problem if Some Have More Chance in Life

ALL YEARS:

'It is not really that big a problem if some people have more of a chance in life than others.'

Agree strongly
 Agree somewhat
 Neither agree nor disagree
 Disagree somewhat
 Disagree strongly
 DK
 NA; no Post IW; form B (1990)
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See intro text and notes VCF9013.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840255 1986: V860368 1988: V880927 1990: V900430 1992: V926027 1994: V940918 1996: V961233 2000: V001525 2004: V045216 2012: egal_notbigprob 2016: V162245

VCF9017

Should Worry less about How Equal People Are

ALL YEARS: 'The (2004: This_ country would be better off if we worried less about how equal people are.'

- 1. Agree strongly 2. Agree somewhat
- 3. Neither agree nor disagree
- 4. Disagree somewhat
- 5. Disagree strongly
- 8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW; form B (1990) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See intro text and notes VCF9013.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840251 1986: V860367 1988: V880926 1990: V900429 1992: V926026 1994: V940917 1996: V961232 2000: V001524 2004: V045215 2012: egal_worryless 2016: V162244

VCF9018

U.S. Fewer Problems if Everyone Treated Equally

ALL YEARS:

'If people were treated more equally in this country we would have many fewer problems.'

- 1. Agree strongly
- 2. Agree somewhat

Neither agree nor disagree
 Disagree somewhat
 Disagree strongly
 DK

9. NA; no Post IW; form B (1990) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See intro text and notes VCF9013.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840253 1986: V860369 1988: V880928 1990: V900431 1992: V926028 1994: V940919 1996: V961234 1998: V980428 2000: V001526 2004: V045217 2012: egal_fewerprobs 2016: V162246

VCF9019

Most Desirable Goal for the Nation: #1

For a nation, it is not always possible to obtain everything one might wish. On this page (1988,1992: on page [PAGE] of the booklet) (1972, 1976: on this card), several different goals are listed. If you had to choose among them, which one would seem most desirable to you? (RESPONDENT BOOKLET OR SHOW CARD SHOWN TO R)

- 1. Maintaining order in the nation
- 2. Giving the people more say in important political decisions
- 3. Fighting rising prices
- 4. Protecting freedom of speech

8. DK 9. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720557 1976: V763949 1980: V801171 1984: V841076 1988: V880930 1992: V926013

VCF9020

Most Desirable Goal for the Nation: #2

(IF FIRST CHOICE GIVEN:) Which one {goal for our nation} would be your second choice?

- 1. Maintaining order in the nation
- 2. Giving the people more say in important political decisions
- 3. Fighting rising prices
- 4. Protecting freedom of speech
- 8. DK 2nd choice
- 9. NA 2nd choice; no Post IW; NA or DK 1st choice (8 or 9 in VCF9019); form I,III,IV (1972); telephone IW (1984); no 2nd choice

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See intro and notes VCF9019. This is a follow-up to VCF9019.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720558 1976: V763950 1980: V801172 1984: V841077 1988: V880931 1992: V926014

VCF9021

Did Anyone Talk to R about Voting or Registering

During the campaign this year, did anyone talk to you about registering to vote or getting out to vote (1984,1986: or about voting)?

1. Yes 5. No

B. DK
 NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984)
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: This was a post var.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840841 1986: V860326 1988: V880835 1990: V900376 1992: V925820 1994: V940806 1996: V961174 1998: V980354 2000: V001234 2002: V025014 2012: mobilpo_reg 2016: V162009

VCF9022

Voter Strength of Preference- Presidential Cand

(ASKED OF Rs WHO VOTED): How about the election for president? Did you vote for a candidate for president? (IF YES) Who did you vote for? Would you say that your preference for this candidate was strong or not strong?

1. Strong

5. Not strong

8. DK

- 9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984)
- R did not vote or DK/NA/refused to say if voted; R voted but did not vote (or DK/NA/refused to say if voted) for president; R voted for president but DK/NA/refused to say who voted for (1988 only)
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

This is a follow-up to VCF0704-VCF0706. 1980 NOTE: Some Rs who refused to identify who they voted for or who DK/NA who voted gave valid code responses. 1984 NOTE: same as 1980.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800995 1984: V840789 1988: V880764 1992: V925610 1996: V961083 2000: V001250 2004: V045026 2012: prevote_presstr, postvote_presstr 2016: V162066x,V162035

VCF9023

Nonvoter Preference- Presidential Cand

(ALL YEARS: ASKED OF Rs WHO DID NOT VOTE): 1948,1952,1956,1960,1964,1968: Who (1948: whom) would you have voted for if you had voted? 1980,1984,1988,1992,1996: How about the election for president? Did you prefer one of the candidates for president? (IF YES:) Who did you prefer?

- 1. Preference: Democrat
- 2. Preference: Republican
- 3. Preference: Other
- 7. No preference (1968 and later)

9. DK; NA; refused; no Post IW0. R voted or DK/NA/refused to say if voted (for president) INAP. question not used

This is a follow-up to VCF0704-VCF0706. GENERAL NOTE: In 1952, 1956, 1960, 1964 no category appeared (coded) for 'no preference.' Although a category appeared for 'no preference' in 1968, R was not asked the filter question, 'Did you prefer one of the candidates for president?' and the absence of this filter was reflected in the small 1968 'no preference' N (presumably volunteered responses). In 1980. 1984, 1988 and 1992 Rs who replied 'no' to the filter question were coded 7 here. 1956 NOTE: One case from dual 'nonvoter, NA preference; voted but not for president' has been coded 9 here. 1968 NOTE: One case originally coded 'Nixon or Wallace' has been coded 9 here. 2004 NOTE: Code 5, 'Ralph Nader' and code 7, 'Other' have been coded 3 here.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520178 1956: V560203 1960: V600201 1968: V680316 1980: V801014,V801016 1984: V840805,V84080 1988: V880774,V880775 1992: V925634 1996: V961098,V961099 2000: V001277 2004: V045028,V045029 2012: postvote_prefprwho 2016: V162038x,V162062x

VCF9024

Voter Strength of Preference- U.S. House Cand

(ASKED OF Rs WHO VOTED): Here is a list of candidates for the major races in this district. How about the election for the House of Representative in Washington? Did you vote for a candidate for the U.S. House of Representatives? (IF YES:) Who did you vote for? Would you say that your preference for this candidate was strong or not strong?

1. Strong

5. Not strong

8. DK

- 9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form (1992)
- 0. R did not vote or DK/NA/refused to say if voted; R voted but did not vote (or DK/NA/refused to say if voted) for House candidate; R voted for House but DK/refused to say who voted for (not 1984,1988); R voted for House but NA who voted for (not 1980 1990); special districts with no House race in 1978 (4 districts: LA03, LA07, FL08, FL10); special districts with no House race in 1980 (1980, 2 districts: LA03, LA07) INAP. question not used

This is a follow-up to VCF0707, VCF0735, VCF0736. GENERAL NOTE: In 1980, 1984, 1988 and 1990 study datasets only, some Rs coded NA for House vote gave valid code responses. 1984 NOTE 1: Some Rs who refused to identify /DK who they voted for gave valid code

responses. 1984 NOTE 2: 3 cases in the study dataset which were abbreviated telephone IWs were placed in the wrong MD code (0). They are correctly coded here (9). 1988 NOTE: same as 1984 NOTE 1.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780475 1980: V800999 1984: V840794 1988: V880769 1990: V900290 1992: V925624

VCF9025

Vote for Governor- Party

(ASKED OF Rs WHO VOTED): How about the vote/election for Governor (1952, 1958: here in [state])? Did you vote for a candidate for Governor? (IF YES:) Who did you vote for? Which party was that?

- 1. Democrat
- 2. Republican
- 3. Other
- 9. DK; NA; did not vote; DK/NA/refused to say if voted; voted, but not for governor; voted but DK/NA/refused to say if voted for governor; DK/NA/refused to say who voted for; name given by R not on candidate list (1978-1990); no Post IW; form III,IV (1972)
 0. No gubernatorial race in state (includes Washington D.C.)

INAP. question not used

See Appendix NOTE 'STATES AND PARTIES OF ELECTIONS/CANDIDATES'. GENERAL NOTE:

Ballot card was not used until 1978. In 1978-1982, 1986 R selected name from ballot card. When R gave a name not on the candidate list, MD was coded, and party of vote was undetermined. In 1952, 1958, 1964-1968 (no ballot card), when an incorrect name-i.e., not on the candidate list--was given with a party association, the party given was coded. When a correct name was given with an incorrect party, then the actual party associated with the named candidate was coded: 'Smith, a Democrat' was coded as a Republican if, in fact (contrary to R's perception) Smith was indeed a Republican. In 1970-1976 (no ballot card), R's perception of candidate's party was given. If an incorrect name (not on the candidate list) was given, party associated was still coded. If a given party was not the actual party of a correctly named candidate, party as given was still coded. In 1952, 1958, 1960 and 1964, this guestion was coded as a multiple response variable allowing, when applicable, for candidate-at-large mentions (2nd response) as well as district choice of candidates (1st response). Second responses were excluded in coding VCF9025. If R did not vote AND R's state did not have a gubernatorial race, then 0 (not 9) has been coded. In 1980, 1982, 1986, 1990: if there was no race in state of IW BUT it

was determined that R voted outside of state of IW AND R designated his/her vote for governor in the other state, then this variable has been coded for the party of R's 'outside' vote, not coded 0 MD (no race in state). Before 1980, it was not determined whether R voted outside of state of IW, and no information was previously available for any votes for governor outside of state of IW. 2016 NOTE:

In 2016, state of vote was determined for voters who voted outside state of residence; this variable represents vote in state of vote.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520188 1958: V580087 1964: V640291 1966: V660108 1968: V680326 1970: V700217(type 0) 1972: V720487 1974: VV74232 1976: V763676 1978: V780482 1980: V801006 1982: V820514 1986: V860274 1990: V900299 1994: V940621 1998: V980321 2012: prevote vtgovbc, postvote vtgovbc 2016: V162069x, V161015d, V162026d, V162031x

VCF9026

Vote in Presidential year Primary- Party

1972:

(ASKED OF Rs WHO SAID THEY WERE REGISTERED/ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN NOVEMBER): How about the primary election this year. Did you vote in a presidential primary this spring or summer? (IF YES:) Which party's primary did you vote in? 1976:

(ASKED OF Rs WHO SAID THEY WERE REGISTERED/ELIGIBLE TO VOTE IN NOVEMBER): How about the primary election this year? Do you happen to recall if there was a presidential primary election here in [state] this spring or summer? (IF YES:) Did you vote in the presidential primary election? (IF YES:) Which party's primary did you vote in?

1980:

Your state held a primary election on [date]. Did you vote in that election, or were you unable to do so? (IF VOTED:) Did you vote in the Republican primary or the Democratic primary? (SEE NOTE FOR ARKANSAS, SOUTH CAROLINA, MISSISSIPPI)

1988:

This state held a presidential primary/caucus election in [month]. In talking to people about elections, we find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they didn't meet party qualifications, they were sick or just didn't have the time. How about you--did you vote in that primary election/ caucus? (IF YES:) Did you vote in the Republican or the Democratic primary/caucus? 1992:

This state held a Presidential (primary/caucus) election in [month]. In talking to people about elections, we find that many people did not vote for a variety of reasons. How about you--did you vote in that primary (election/caucus)? (IF YES:) Did you vote in the Republican or Democratic (primary/caucus)?

- 1. Voted: Democratic Pres. Primary (1988: or caucus)
- 2. Voted: Republican Pres. Primary (1988: or caucus)
- 3. Voted: DK/NA/Refused which Pres. Primary (1988: or caucus)

- 5. Voted: 'Other' (minor party) Pres. Primary (1972,1976)
- 7. Did not vote in Pres. Primary (1988: or caucus)
- DK/NA/refused to say if voted in primary/caucus; form II (1972); short form of Spanish language IW (1992); R is not (or DK/NA if) registered/eligible to vote (1972,1976); R's state did not have a primary (1972,1976,1980); R could not recall if his/her state has a primary (1976 only)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE See Appendix NOTE 'STATES AND PARTIES OF ELECTIONS/CANDIDATES'. 1972 NOTE 1: 'Caucus' was not specified. 1972 NOTE 2. This question was only asked of Rs who said they were registered (eligible) to vote; if NA whether registered/ eligible or R didn't know if R was registered/eligible, then R was not asked this question. Additionally, in 1976, R was first asked the question whether R recalled if R's state had a primary during the spring/summer of the study year: if R did recall whether there was a primary AND if R's state did indeed have a primary, then this question was asked. 1976 NOTE 1: same as 1972 NOTE 1. 1976 NOTE: same as 1972 NOTE 2. 1980 NOTE 1: same as 1972. 1980 NOTE 2: votes in nonmajor party primaries were not accounted for (no code 5). 1980 NOTE 3: Several states had only one primary for one major party. In 1980 Arkansas held a Democratic primary; South Carolina held a Republican primary; and Mississippi held a Republican primary. If R indicated that R voted in the party's primary that year, then R was coded here for the party of the primary held. The question asked in Arkansas, South and Carolina and Mississippi in 1980 was: Your state held a primary election on [date]. As you may know, the [Democratic/ Republican] party did not have a primary contest in [state] this year, so there was only a [Democratic/Republican] primary election. Did you vote in that election or were you unable to do so? 1988 NOTE 1: All states represented in the time series study had a primary or caucus. However, in some states (Kansas, Michigan and Wyoming Democratic and Republican caucuses were held on separate dates and the lead-in question was modified accordingly. 1988 NOTE 2: same as 1980 NOTE 2. 1992 NOTE: same as 1988 (Arizona, Iowa, Minnesota, Virginia and Wyoming) WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720167 1976: V763036 1980: V800372 1988: V880149 1992: V923302

VCF9027

Vote in Previous Presidential Election - Party

1948:

Do you remember whether you voted in [year] when [Democratic presidential candidate] ran against [Republican Presidential candidate]? (IF YES:) Whom did you vote for then?

1952-1962:

(1958,1962: Two years ago,) In [year], you remember that [Democratic presidential candidate] ran against [Republican presidential candidate] (1958: for the 2nd time). Do you remember for sure whether or not you voted in that election? (IF YES, VOTED:) Which one did you vote for?/ (1958: Did you vote for [Democratic presidential candidate] or [Republican presidential candidate]?)

1964 FORM 1:

Now, the last presidential election was four years ago, in 1960. Do you remember for sure whether or not you voted in that election? (IF YES, VOTED:) Did you vote Republican or Democratic in that election? 1964 FORM 2,1966-1972,1976,1980,1982,1988-2002:

In [year], {you remember that} [Republican presidential candidate] ran on the Republican ticket against [Democratic presidential candidate] for the Democrats/ (1970,1972: against Mr. Humphrey on the Democratic ticket and Mr. Wallace on the Independent ticket; 1982: against Jimmy Carter for the Democrats and John Anderson as an independent candidate). Do you remember for sure whether or not you voted for in that election? (IF YES, VOTED:) Which one did you vote for?

2004:

In 2000 AI Gore ran on the Democratic ticket against George W. Bush for the Republicans and Ralph Nader as the Reform party candidate.Do you remember for sure whether or not you voted in that election?

- 1. Voted: Democratic Pres. Candidate
- 2. Voted: Republican Pres. Candidate
- 3. Voted: DK/NA/Refused which Pres. Candidate
- 5. Voted: Other candidate
- 9. DK/NA/refused to say if voted in previous presidential election; no Pre IW; no Post IW; short form or Spanish language IW (1992); NA if R has ever voted before (1956,1960,1976,1980); DK if R has ever voted before (1968)

0. R did not vote in previous election; R has never voted INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

This question refers to the Presidential election preceding the current year.

In 1952-1960, 1964-1972, 1976, 1980, if R answered the filter, 'In the elections for president since you have been old enough to vote, would you say that you have voted in all of them, most of them, some of them, or none of them?' with 'none of them' or answered that s/he was never before able to vote because s/he was previously not a citizen or old enough, then this question was not asked.

In 1956, 1960, 1976 and 1980, if it was NA whether R had ever voted, then this question was not asked. In 1968, if R DK whether R had ever voted, then this question was not asked.

In 1962, 1982, 1988, 1990 and 1992 no filter was used, but Rs who did not vote in the previous presidential election because of age or citizenship ineligibility (or for any other reason) were simply coded 'did not vote'.

In 1956, 1958, 1960, and 1964 the study documentation stated that residents of Washington D.C. were INAP; however, in the data, it some Washington D.C. residents were INAP while others were not. 1952 NOTE 1:

Code 9 contains 9 cases of respondents who replied that they 'thought' they had voted Democratic in 1948 and 3 cases of respondents who replied that they 'thought' they had voted Republican in 1948. 1952 NOTE 2:

In the original 1952 dataset, 1948 presidential votes for two specified nonmajor party candidates were individually coded, and there also appeared a combination category labeled 'other or NA or DK who'

(9 cases). Rs who said they had voted for the specified nonmajor party candidates in 1948 have been coded 5 here, while Rs from the combination category of the original 1952 dataset have been coded 3 here.

1956 NOTE:

All cases (unknown number) of Rs who only 'thought' they voted for any particular candidate in 1952 were combined with other missing data: these cases are coded 9 here.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1948: V48002 1952: V520076 1956: V560096 1958: V580074 1960: V600097 1962: VV62003 1964: V640156 1966: V660085 1968: V680129 1970: V700199,V700200 1972: V720159.V72016 1976: V763182, V763183 1980: V800353, V800354 1982: V820292,V820293 1988: V880630,V880631 1990: V900166,V900167 1992: V923107, V923108 1994: V940324,V940325 1996: V960203, V960204 1998: V980214, V980215 2000: V000303,V000304 2004: V043002,V043003 2016: V161006,V161005

VCF9028

Which Presidential Candidate Will Win in State

How [1996,2004: What] about here in [R's state]. Which candidate for President do you think will carry this state? (1984,1988,1992, 2004: IF NECESSARY: Which candidate will win in this state?)

- 1. Democratic Pres. candidate
- 2. Republican Pres. candidate
- 3. Other Pres. candidate
- 8. DK

9. NA; no Pre IW; Washington D.C. INAP. question not used

2004 NOTE

Code 5, 'Ralph Nader' and code 7, 'Other' have been coded 3 here.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1952: V520015 1972: V720027 1976: V763028 1980: V800057 1984: V840078 1988: V880100 1992: V923104

1996: V960383 2004: V043095 2012: preswin_state 2016: V161148

VCF9029

Which Presidential Race in State Be Close

How about here in [R's state]. Which candidate for President do you think will carry this state? (1988,1984, IF NECESSARY: Which candidate will win in this state?) Do you think (1972: it) (1988,1996,2004: the Presidential race) will be close here in [R's state] or will [name given] win by quite a bit? 1980,1984,1988,1992 ONLY: (IF DK) Do you think the Presidential race will be close here in [R's state] or will one candidate win by quite a bit?

Close race
 Win by quite a bit
 DK; depends

 NA; NA who R thinks will carry R's state (9 in VCF9028) (all years); DK who will win in R's state (8 in VCF9028)(1972,1976 only)
 INAP. question not used

```
1952 NOTE:
```

The 1952 version of this question was not comparable. 1972 NOTE: If R replied DK to 'Which candidate do you think will carry this state?' (VCF9028) then this question was not asked. 1976 NOTE: same as 1972. 2004 NOTE: A programming error early in the field period resulted in 52 respondents who replied DK to VCF9028 not being asked VCF9029; these 52 cases are coded '99. NA' in 2004 data file and '9. NA' here.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720028 1976: V763029 1980: V800058 1984: V840079 1988: V880101 1992: V923105 1996: V960384 2004: V043096 2012: preswin_closest 2016: V161149

VCF9030

Contacted By Which Major Party

(1956,1960,1964,1966,1968: You know that) (other years exc. 1988,1996: As you know,) The political parties try to talk to as many people as they can to get them to vote for their candidate(s). Did anyone from one (1956,1960,1964,1966,1968: either) of the political parties call you up or come around and talk to you about the (1956,1960,

1964,1966,1968: during the) campaign (1976ff: this year)? (IF YES:) Which party was that (1956,1960: were they from)?

1. Yes, contact: Democratic party 2. Yes, contact: Republican party 3. Yes, contact: both major parties 4. Yes, contact: other 5. Yes, contact: DK which party 6. Yes, contact: NA which party 7. No contact 8. DK if contact 9. NA if contact; no Post IW; form II,III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used See also VCF9030a, VCF9030b, VCF9030c. GENERAL NOTE: This was a Post variable. 1952 NOTE: The 1952 version of this question was not comparable. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS: 1956: V560221 1960: V600221 1964: V640325, V640326, V64032 1966: V660071, V660072, V660073, V66007 1968: V680401, V680402, V680403, V68040 1972: V720466,V72046 1974: V742193, V742194 1976: V763539, V763540 1978: V780464, V780465 1980: V800785, V800786

1992: V925801,V925802 1994: V940801,V94080 1996: V961162,V961163 1998: V980349,V980350 2000: V001219,V001220 2002: V025005,V025006 2004: V045008,V045008 2012: mobilpo_party, mobilpo_wparty 2016: V162007,V162007a

1982: V820464, V820465 1984: V840812, V84081 1986: V860309, V86031 1988: V880820, V880821 1990: V900361, V900362

VCF9030a

Contacted By Any Major Party

Did PARTY contact R about election campaign?

- 1. Yes, contact by major party
- 2. No contact by major party;
- 8. DK if contact; contact but DK/NA which party
- 9. NA if contact; no Post IW; form II,III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984)
- INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF9030 intro and notes.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1956: V560221 1960: V600221 1964: V640325,V640326,V64032 1966: V660071, V660072, V660073, V66007 1968: V680401, V680402, V680403, V68040 1972: V720466,V72046 1974: V742193, V742194 1976: V763539, V763540 1978: V780464, V780465 1980: V800785, V800786 1982: V820464, V820465 1984: V840812,V84081 1986: V860309,V86031 1988: V880820, V880821 1990: V900361,V900362 1992: V925801, V925802 1994: V940801,V940802 1996: V961162, V961163 1998: V980349,V980350 2000: V001219, V001220 2002: V025006 2004: V045008, V045008 2016: V162007,V162007a

VCF9030b

Contacted By Democratic Party

Did Democratic Party contact R about election campaign

- 1. Yes, contact by Democratic party
- 2. No contact by Democratic party
- 8. DK if contact; contact but DK/NA which party

9. NA if contact; no Post IW; form II,III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF9030 intro and notes.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1956: V560221 1960: V600221 1964: V640325,V640326,V64032 1966: V660071,V660072,V660073,V66007 1968: V680401,V680402,V680403,V68040 1972: V720466,V72046 1974: V742193,V742194 1976: V763539,V763540 1978: V780464,V780465 1980: V800785,V800786 1982: V820464,V820465 1984: V840812,V84081 1986: V680309,V86031 1988: V880820,V880821

1990: V900361,V900362 1992: V925801,V925802 1994: V940801,V940802 1996: V961162,V961163 1998: V980349,V980350 2000: V001219,V001220 2002: V025006 2004: V045008,V045008 2012: mobilpo_wparty 2016: V162007,V162007a

VCF9030c

Contacted By Republican Party

Did Republican Party contact R about election campaign

1. Yes, contact by Republican party 2. No contact by Republican party 8. DK if contact; contact but DK/NA which party 9. NA if contact; no Post IW; form II,III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used _____ GENERAL NOTE: See VCF9030 intro and notes. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS: 1956: V560221 1960: V600221 1964: V640325,V640326,V64032 1966: V660071, V660072, V660073, V66007 1968: V680401, V680402, V680403, V68040 1972: V720466,V72046 1974: V742193, V742194 1976: V763539, V763540 1978: V780464, V780465 1980: V800785, V800786 1982: V820464, V820465 1984: V840812,V84081 1986: V860309,V86031 1988: V880820, V880821 1990: V900361,V900362 1992: V925801, V925802 1994: V940801.V940802 1996: V961162,V961163

1998: V980349,V980350 2000: V001219,V001220 2002: V025006 2004: V045008,V045008 2012: mobilpo_wparty 2016: V162007,V162007a

VCF9031

Contacted By Anyone Other than Parties

1980-2004:

Other than someone from the two major parties, did anyone else call you up or come around and talk to you about supporting specific candidates in this last election?

1. Yes 5. No

B. DK
 NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984); Washington D.C. INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: This was a post variable. 1978 NOTE: This question applied only to support of House candidates and was not comparable in these two years. 1982 NOTE: same as 1978

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800787 1984: V840814 1986: V860310 1988: V880822 1990: V900363 1992: V925802 1994: V940803 1996: V961164 1998: V980351 2000: V001221 2004: V045009 2012: mobilpo_contactoth 2016: V162008

VCF9032

Hear Programs about Campaigns on the Radio 4-Category

Did you listen to any speeches or discussions about the campaign [2012: for President] on the radio? (IF YES:) Would you say you listened to a good many, several, or just one or two? 2016: How many speeches or discussions about the campaign for President did you listen to on the radio? None, just one or two, several, or a good many?

0. None ('No')

- 1. Good many
- 3. Several
- 5. One or two

8. DK how many

9. NA how many; DK/NA whether listened to any; no Post IW; form II,III,IV (1972); abbrev. telephone IW (1984); short form or Spanish language IW (1992) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: This was a post-election variable all years except 1988 and 1992. 1984 NOTE: Code 0 includes 2 cases where R replied 'yes' that R had listened to speeches/discussions on the radio but, when asked how many, replied 'none.'

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1960: V600192 1964: V640281 1968: V680296 1972: V720459, V72046 1976: V763600, V763601 1978: V780044, V780045 1980: V800745, V800746 1984: V840723, V84072 1986: V860060, V86006 1988: V880138, V880139 1992: V923208,V923209 1996: V961335, V961336 2000: V001647 2004: V045005, V045005 2012: mediapo_radio, mediapo_radioamt 2016: V162003

VCF9033

Number of Days Read Newspaper in Last Week

How many days in the past week did you read a daily newspaper? [2012: During a typical week, how many days do you read news in a printed newspaper, not including sports?]

0. None 1. One day

.

7. Every day

8. DK9. NA; short form or Spanish language IW (1992)INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Actual number of days coded.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840112 1986: V860069 1988: V880130 1990: V900071 1992: V923203 1994: V940125 1996: V960246 1998: V980202 2000: V000335 2002: V023004 2004: V043019

VCF9034

Read Daily Newspaper

1974,1978,1980: Now let's switch to newspapers. 1976:

How about newspapers. ALL YEARS: Do you read a daily newspaper?

1. Yes 5. No

BK
 NA; no Post IW
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

This was a post-election variable.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1974: VV74204 1976: V763639 1978: V780058 1980: V800754

VCF9035

Number of Days Watched National TV News in Past Week

How many days in the past week did you watch national news (1986: a national news program) (1984,1988-1994: the news; 1996-2000: the national news) (2002,2004: the national network news) on TV? [2012: During a typical week, how many days do you watch national news on TV, not including sports?]

0. None 1. One day

.

7. Every day

8. DK

 NA; DK/NA if watches national network TV news (1984 only); short form or Spanish language IW (1992)
 INAP. guestion not used

Actual number of days coded. GENERAL NOTE: This was a post variable in 1986 only. 1984 NOTE: This variable was preceded by the question, 'How often do you watch the national network news on TV...?' and if R replied that R watched national network news at all, then R was asked how many days in the past week R watched the news. NOTE: distributions vary considerably.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840108,V84011 1986: V860070 1990: V900072 1992: V923201 1994: V940126

1996: \	V960242
1998: \	V980204
2000: '	V000329
2002: 1	V023002
2004: \	V043014

VCF9036

Party with Senate Majority Before the Election

Do you happen to know which party had the most members in the U.S. Senate before the election this/last month? (IF NECESSARY:) Which one?

 Republicans - correct
 Democrats - correct
 Republicans - incorrect
 Democrats - incorrect
 Democrats - incorrect
 Other (comments)
 DK (No)
 NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1982: V820525 1984: V841008 1986: V860350 1988: V880879 1990: V900403 1992: V925952 1994: V941013 1996: V961073 1998: V980480 2000: V001357 2004: V045090

VCF9037

Government Should Ensure Fair Jobs for Blacks

Some people feel that if black people (1964,1968: negroes) are not getting fair treatment in jobs, the government in Washington ought to see to it that they do. Others feel that this is not the federal government's business. Have you had enough interested in this question to favor one side over the other? 1964,1968,1972: (IF YES) How do you feel? Should the government in Washington see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs or should the government in Washington leave these matters to the states and local communities? 1986 AND LATER: (IF YES) How do you feel? Should the government in Washington see to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs or is this not the federal government's business?

- 1. See to it that black people get fair treatment in jobs
- 5. 1992 and later: Not the federal government's business /

1964,1968,1972: leave to the states and local communities 9. Other; depends; DK; no interest

0. NA opinion; DK/NA whether 'interested enough;' no Post IW; form A (1986) INAP. question not used

See also VCF0818. GENERAL NOTE: This variable combines data from question versions in which the second response option differs.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640098 1968: V680073 1972: V720103,V72010 1986: V860505,V86050 1988: V880864,V880865 1992: V925937,V925938 1996: V961205,V961206 2000: V000799,V008800 2004: V045109,V045109 2012: fairjob_opin

VCF9038

Guaranteed Equal Opportunity Is Not Government Job

1986:

Please tell me how much you agree or disagree with the following statement. (RESPONDENT BOOKLET SHOWN TO R) Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with that statement?) 1988:

Now looking again at [respondent booklet] for your choices, here are several more statements. (RESPONDENT BOOKLET SHOWN TO R) ALL YEARS;

'Equal opportunity for blacks and whites is very important but it's not really the government's job to guarantee it.'

- 1. Agree strongly
- 2. Agree somewhat
- 3. Neither agree nor disagree
- 4. Disagree somewhat
- 5. Disagree strongly
- 8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

1988 NOTE:

Placement varied by form.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1986: V860522 1988: V880965

VCF9039

Conditions Make it Difficult for Blacks to Succeed

1986,1990,1994:

In past studies we have asked people why they think white people seem to get more of the good things in life in America-- such as better jobs and more money--than black people do. These are some of the reasons given by both blacks and whites. Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with each reason as to why white people seem to get more of the good things in life. (RESPONDENT BOOKLET SHOWN TO R). 1988,1992:

Now looking again at [respondent booklet] for your choices, here are several more statements. (RESPONDENT BOOKLET SHOWN TO R). ALL YEARS:

'Generations of slavery and discrimination have created conditions that make it difficult for blacks to work their way out of the lower class.'

- 1. Agree strongly
- 2. Agree somewhat
- 3. Neither agree nor disagree
- 4. Disagree somewhat
- 5. Disagree strongly
- 8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW; form A (1986); form B (1990) INAP. question not used

1972 NOTE: The 1972 version of this question was not comparable. 1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1986: V860580 1988: V880964 1990: V900523 1992: V926129 1994: V941051 2000: V001511 2004: V045194 2012: resent_slavery 2016: V162212

VCF9040

Blacks Should Not Have Special Favors to Succeed

2004: Now I'm going to read several more statements. After each one, I would like you to tell me how strongly you agree or disagree. The first statement is: ALL YEARS: 'Irish, Italians, Jewish and many other minorities overcame prejudice and worked their way up. Blacks should to the same without any special favors.'

1. Agree strongly

2. Agree somewhat

3. Neither agree nor disagree

- 4. Disagree somewhat
- 5. Disagree strongly

8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW; form A (1986); form B (1990) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See question text and notes VCF9039. 1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1986: V860568 1988: V880961 1990: V900521 1992: V926126 1994: V941049 1998: V980513 2000: V001508 2004: V045193 2016: V162211

VCF9041

Blacks Must Try Harder to Succeed

- 1. Agree strongly
- 2. Agree somewhat
- 3. Neither agree nor disagree
- 4. Disagree somewhat
- 5. Disagree strongly
- 8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW; form A (1986); form B (1990) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See question text and notes VCF9039. 1972 NOTE: The 1972 version of this question was not comparable. See question text and notes VCF9039. 1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1986: V860579 1988: V880963 1990: V900522 1992: V926128 1994: V941050 2000: V001510 2004: V045196 2012: resent_try 2016: V162214

VCF9042

Blacks Gotten Less than They Deserve Over the Past Few Years

1. Agree strongly 2. Agree somewhat 3. Neither agree nor disagree 4. Disagree somewhat 5. Disagree strongly 8. DK 9. NA; no Post IW; form A (1986); form B (1990) INAP. question not used GENERAL NOTE: See guestion text and notes VCF9039. 1988 NOTE: Placement varied by form. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS: 1986: V860566 1988: V880962 1990: V900520 1992: V926127

1992: V926127 1994: V941048 1998: V980514 2000: V001509 2004: V045195 2012: resent_deserve 2016: V162213

VCF9043

School Prayer Allowed 4-Category

Which of the following views comes closest to your opinion on the issue of school prayer? [1996-LATER: Just give me the number of your choice] (RESPONDENT BOOKLET SHOWN TO R)

- 1. By law, prayer should not be allowed in public schools
- 2. The law should allow public schools to schedule time when children can pray silently if they want to
- 3. The law should allow public schools to schedule time when children as a group can say a general prayer not tied to a particular religious faith
- 4. By law, public schools should schedule a time when all children would say a chosen Christian prayer
- 9. Other, DK

0. NA; no Post IW; form A (1990); short form IW (1992) INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:

1986: V860481 1988: V880866 1990: V900467 1992: V925945 1994: V941020 1996: V961214 1998: V980455

VCF9043a

Strength of Opinion When School Prayer Allowed 4-Category

Which of the following views comes closest to your opinion on the issue of school prayer? [1996-LATER: Just give me the number of your choice] (RESPONDENT BOOKLET SHOWN TO R)] Do you favor that choice strongly or not strongly?

1. Strongly

5. Not strongly

8. DK

 NA; other/DK/NA opinion (except 1990,1996); DK/NA opinion (1990,1996); form A (1990); short form questionnaire (1992); no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

In all years except 1990 and 1996, this question was not asked of respondents who gave an 'other' opinion about school prayer (see VCF9043)

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1986: V860482 1988: V880867 1990: V900468 1992: V925946 1994: V941021 1996: V961215 1998: V980456

VCF9044

Effect of Federal Economic Policies 7-Category

(1988 AND LATER: Over the past year) Would you say that the economic policies of the federal government have made the nation's economy better, worse, or haven't they made much difference either way? (IF BETTER/WORSE:) Would you say the economy is much better/worse or somewhat better/worse?

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Same ('haven't made much difference')
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse
- 6. Better, DK/NA how much
- 7. Worse, DK/NA how much
- 8. DK if better/worse/same

9. NA if better/worse/same INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840245 1986: V860374,V86037 1988: V880245,V880246 1992: V923541,V923542 1994: V940911,V940912 1996: V960391

VCF9044a

Effect of Federal Economic Policies 3-Category

(1988,1992-LATER: Over the past year) Would you say that the economic policies of the federal government have made the nation's economy better, worse, or haven't they made much difference either way?

Better
 Same ('haven't made much difference')
 Worse
 DK
 NA

9. NA INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840244 1986: V860374,V86037 1988: V880245,V880246 1992: V923541,V923542 1994: V940911,V940912 1996: V960390

VCF9045

Position of the U.S. Weaker/Stronger in the Past Year

1958,1960,1964,1968:

Would you say that in the past year or so our position in the world has become stronger, less strong, or has it stayed about the same? 1984 AND 1984-LATER: (2002,2004: Turning to some other issues facing the country) During the past year, would you say that the United States' position in the world has grown weaker, stayed about the same, or has it grown stronger?

- 1. Weaker (1958,1960,1964,1968: less strong)
- 3. Same
- 5. Stronger
- 8. DK

9. NA INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1958: V580058 1960: V600083 1964: V640137 1968: V680114 1984: V840246 1986: V860523 1988: V880252 1990: V900494 1992: V923601 1994: V941015 1996: V960409 1998: V980484 2000: V000507 2002: V023032 2004: V043112 2012: usworld_stronger 2016: V161152

VCF9046

Federal Spending- Food Stamps

Food stamps

1. Increased

- 2. Same
- 3. Decreased
- 7. Cut out entirely (volunteered)
- 8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See federal spending question text and notes preceding VCF0886.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V841000 1986: V860330 1988: V880349 1990: V900382 1992: V923725 1994: V940822 1996: V960496 2000: V000679

VCF9047

Federal Spending- Improve and Protect the Environment

Should federal spending on improving and protecting the environment (2000,2002: environmental

protection; 2008,2012,2016: protecting the environment) be increased, decreased, or stay the same 2012: fedspend_enviro V083151 V023052,5113,5113x

Increased
 Same
 Decreased
 Cut out entirely (volunteered)

8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See federal spending question text and notes preceding VCF0886.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840996 1986: V860327 1988: V880377 1990: V900377 1992: V923815 1994: V940817 1996: V960562 2000: V000682 2002: V025113 2016: V161212

VCF9048

Federal Spending- Space/Science/Technology

1984,1992 and later: Science and technology 1986,1988: Space and scientific research 1990: the space program

1. Increased

- 2. Same
- 3. Decreased
- 7. Cut out entirely (volunteered)
- 8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See federal spending question text and notes preceding VCF0886.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V841003 1986: V860333 1988: V880380 1990: V900387 1992: V923812

2004: V043167 2008: V083143 2012: fedspend_scitech 2016: V161207

VCF9049

Federal Spending- Social Security

Social Security

- 1. Increased
- 2. Same
- 3. Decreased
- 7. Cut out entirely (volunteered)
- 8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW (1984); abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See federal spending question text and notes preceding VCF0886.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840999 1986: V860329 1988: V880348 1990: V900380 1992: V923811 1994: V940819 1996: V960560 2000: V000681 2002: V025117 2004: V043165 2012: fedspend_ss 2016: V161205

VCF9050

Federal Spending- Assistance to Blacks

Programs that assist blacks 1984: assistance to blacks 2002: aid to blacks

- 1. Increased
- 2. Same
- 3. Decreased
- 7. Cut out entirely (volunteered)
- 8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See federal spending question text and notes preceding VCF0886.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V841005 1986: V860334 1988: V880381 1990: V900386 1992: V923729 2000: V000687 2002: V025119

VCF9051

School Prayer Allowed 2-Category

Some people think it is all right for the public schools to start each day with a prayer. Others feel that religion does not belong in the public schools but should be taken care of by the family and the church.

Have you been interested enough in this to favor one side over the other? (IF YES) Which do you think--schools should be allowed to start each day with a prayer or religion does not belong in the schools?

1. Schools should be allowed to start with prayer

- 5. Religion does not belong in the school
- 8. Other; depends; both (1964-1968); DK; no interest

9. NA opinion; DK/NA if interested; no Post IW; abbrev. telephone IW (1984) INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640081 1966: V660030 1968: V680458 1980: V801134,V801135 1984: V841037,V84103

VCF9052

Are Things in U.S. Going Well or Not

Let's talk about the country as a whole. Would you say that things in the country are generally going very well, fairly well, not too well or not well at all?

- 1. Very well
- 2. Fairly well
- 4. Not too well
- 5. Not well at all

8. DK 9. NA; Form B (1986) INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840226

1986: V860540 1988: V880238

VCF9053

Income Kept Up with Costs in Last Year

Do you think that over the last year your/your family's income has gone up more than the cost of living, has it fallen behind, or has it stayed about even with the cost of living? (IF GONE UP OR FALLEN BEHIND) Has it (gone up/fallen behind) a lot or (gone up/fallen behind) a little?

- 1. Gone up a lot
- 2. Gone up a little
- 3. Same
- 4. Fallen behind a little
- 5. Fallen behind a lot
- 6. Gone up, DK/NA how much
- 7. Fallen behind, DK/NA how much

8. DK if gone up/fallen behind/stayed same9. NA if gone up/fallen behind/stayed same; Form B (1986)INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840154,V84015 1986: V860362,V86036 1988: V880210,V880211 1992: V923429,V923430

VCF9054

Senate Race in State

Checkpoint: Senate race in state of IW?

1. U.S. Senate race in state 2. No U.S. Senate race in state

9. no Post IW; Washington D.C. INAP. question not used

See Appendix NOTE 'STATES AND PARTIES OF ELECTIONS/CANDIDATES'. GENERAL NOTE: To determine whether a Senate race was held for no-post-IW cases, see VCF9055 codes 0, 81-85.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780476 1980: V800833 1982: V820111

1984: V840060 1986: V860116 1988: V880578 1990: V900012,V900013,V900059 1992: V923022 1994: V940018 1996: V961090 1998: V980314 2000: V000204 2002: V024500b,V02504 2004: V044507 2012: prevote_senhhstate 2016: V161010e

-

VCF9055

Type of U.S. Senate Race

Type of Senate race

 DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT- REPUBLICAN CHALLENGER DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT- OTHER CHALLENGER DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT- UNOPPOSED DEMOCRATIC INCUMBENT- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGERS REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT- DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGER REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT- OTHER CHALLENGER REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT- UNOPPOSED REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT- DEMOCRATIC AND OTHER CHALLENGERS DEMOCRATIC CAND UNOPPOSED (Democratic incumbent not running) REPUBLICAN CAND UNOPPOSED (Democratic incumbent not running) REPUBLICAN CAND UNOPPOSED (Democratic incumbent not running) DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS (Democratic incumbent not running) DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN AND OTHER CANDS (Democratic incumbent not running) DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS (Republican incumbent not running) DEMOCRATIC AND REPUBLICAN CANDS (other incumbent not running) DEMOCRATIC AND A DEPUBLICAN ND ACE IN STATE 1 DEMOCRATIC AND 1 OTHER INCUMBENT: NO RACE IN STATE 1 DEMOCRATIC AND 1 OTHER INCUMBENT: NO RACE IN STATE 1 DEMOCRATIC AND 1 REPUBLICAN INCUMBENT: NO RACE IN STATE 2 Senate elections in state [regular Senate election and special Senate election] (1992: CA ONLY; note that regular Senate election is open race with 2 nonincumbent candidates) (1994: TN ONLY)
00. Washington D.C.; no Post IW INAP. question not used
GENERAL NOTE: Non-major-party candidates are not accounted for here unless they are incumbents. 1984 NOTE: 1 case in Connecticut which was mistakenly coded 82 in the study data has been corrected to 85 here. Also 1 case in Pennsylvania in 1984 which was mistakenly coded 85 in the study data has been corrected to 82 here. 2002 NOTE: LA05 cases, where a Democratic incumbent was challenged by 2 Republicans, has been coded to 12. 2016 NOTE: In 2016 two Democratic candidates ran in the California Senate election; typerace is coded 51.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780005 1980: V800741 1982: V820007 1984: V840010,V84006 1986: V860044 1988: V880012,V880051 1990: V900059 1992: V923022 1994: V940018 1996: V960098 1998: V980066 2000: V000204 2002: V024500 2004: V044507 2012: typerace2012_sen 2016: V161010e

VCF9056

Thermometer - Senate Democratic Candidate

Senate Democratic candidate - feeling thermometer

0-100. Degrees as given

990. No Democratic candidate

- 996. No race in state; Washington D.C.
- 997. R doesn't recognize name
- 998. DK where to rate; can't judge (2016: FTF only)

999. NA; refused; no Post IW

INAP. question not used

See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201. GENERAL NOTE: If there was no senate race in state AND no post IW, then 999 (no post) has been coded. 1992 NOTE: In California in 1992, the regular Senate election and a second 'special' Senate election were held. This variable codes data for the Democratic candidate in the regular Senate election only. 1994 NOTE: same as 1992, for Tennessee 2016 NOTE: Web respondents who did not recognize or know where to rate the candidate were instructed to skip the question and are included in code 999. Code 999 also includes 51 cases of preload failure for candidate name.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782001 1980: V800848 1982: V820126 1986: V860131 1988: V880596 1990: V900140 1992: V925304 1994: V940231 1998: V980241 2000: V001301 2002: V025047 2004: V044507,V045050 2012: ftpo_sdc

2016: V162085

VCF9057

Thermometer - Senate Republican Candidate

Senate Republican candidate - feeling thermometer

0-100. Degrees as given

990. No Republican candidate
996. No race in state; Washington D.C.
997. R doesn't recognize name
998. DK where to rate; can't judge
999. NA; refused; no Post IW
INAP. question not used

See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201. GENERAL NOTE: If there was no senate race in state AND no post IW, then 999 (no post) has been coded. 1992 NOTE: In California in 1992, the regular Senate election and a second 'special' Senate election were held. This variable codes data for the Democratic candidate in the regular Senate election only. 1994 NOTE: same as 1992, for Tennessee 2016 NOTE: Web respondents who did not recognize or know where to rate the candidate were instructed to skip the question and are included in code 999. Code 999 also includes 51 cases of preload failure for candidate name and includes 40 cases where a FTF programming error caused the instrument to skip the question.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V782002 1980: V800849 1982: V820127 1986: V860132 1988: V880597 1990: V900141 1992: V925305 1994: V940232 1998: V980242 2000: V001302 2002: V025048,V025049 2004: V044507,V045051 2012: ftpo_src 2016: V162086

VCF9058

Thermometer - Senate Incumbent Candidate

Senate incumbent candidate - feeling thermometer

0-100. Degrees as given

990. No incumbent candidate 996. No race in state; Washington D.C. 997. R doesn't recognize name

998. DK where to rate; can't judge 999. NA; refused; no Post IW INAP. question not used

Built from VCF9056 and VCF9057. See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201. GENERAL NOTE: If there was no senate race in state AND no post IW, then 999 (no post) has been coded. If there was no senate race in state AND no post IW, then 999 (no post) has been coded. 1992 NOTE: In California in 1992, the regular Senate election and a second 'special' Senate election were held. This variable codes data for the Democratic candidate in the regular Senate election only. 1994 NOTE: same as 1992, for Tennessee

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF9059

Thermometer - Senate Challenger

Senate challenger to incumbent candidate - feeling thermometer

0-100. Degrees as given

990. No incumbent candidate; incumbent candidate is unopposed
996. No race in state; Washington D.C.
997. R doesn't recognize name
998. DK where to rate; can't judge
999. NA; refused; no Post IW
INAP. question not used

Built from VCF9056 and VCF9057. See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201. GENERAL NOTE: If there was no senate race in state AND no post IW, then 999 (no post) has been coded. If there was no senate race in state AND no post IW, then 999 (no post) has been coded. 1992 NOTE: In California in 1992, the regular Senate election and a second 'special' Senate election were held. This variable codes data for the Democratic candidate in the regular Senate election only. 1994 NOTE: same as 1992, for Tennessee 2016 NOTE: Correction to 2016 data are in progress and will be provided in a future release.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF9060

Thermometer - Senator in State with Senate Race

Senator not up for re-election in state with Senate race - feeling thermometer

0-100. Degrees as given

996. No race in state; Washington D.C.; 2 senate races: CA (1992 ONLY), TN (1994 ONLY)

997. R doesn't recognize name 998. DK where to rate; can't judge 999. NA; refused; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See Appendix NOTE 'STATES AND PARTIES OF ELECTIONS/CANDIDATES'. See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201. 2016 NOTE: Web respondents who did not recognize or know where to rate the person were instructed to skip the question and are included in code 999. Code 999 also includes 51 cases of preload failure for candidate name and includes 41 cases where a FTF programming error caused the instrument to skip the question.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780145 1980: V800854 1982: V820131 1986: V860133 1988: V880598 1990: V900142 1992: V925308 1994: V940235 2004: V044507,V044511b,V045055 2016: V162090

VCF9061

Thermometer - Senator 1 in State with No Senate Race)

First Senator in state without Senate race - feeling thermometer

0-100. Degrees as given

996. Race in state; Washington D.C.; 2 Senate races: CA (1992 ONLY), TN (1994 ONLY)
997. R doesn't recognize name
998. DK where to rate; can't judge
999. NA; refused; no Post IW
INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See Appendix NOTE 'STATES AND PARTIES OF ELECTIONS/CANDIDATES'. See thermometer question text and notes preceding VCF0201.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780148 1980: V800852 1982: V820129 1986: V860134 1988: V880600 1990: V900143 1992: V925309 1994: V940236 2004: V044507,V045053

VCF9062

Thermometer - Senator 2 in State with No Senate Race)

Second Senator in state without Senate race - feeling thermometer

1978: V780149 1980: V800853 1982: V820130 1986: V860135 1988: V880599 1990: V900144 1992: V925310 1994: V940237 2004: V044507,V045054

VCF9063

Recall Names of U.S. Senate Candidates

ALL YEARS EXCEPT 1992 CALIFORNIA ONLY: How about the candidates who ran in this state for the United States Senate in Washington? Do you happen to remember what the candidates' names were? 1992 CALIFORNIA ONLY: As you know, there were two Senate races in California this year. Do you happen to remember what the candidates' names were?

1. Yes 5. No; DK

 NA; no Post IW; Washington D.C.
 No Senate race in state INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1974: VV74217 1978: V780126 1980: V800834 1982: V820112 1986: V860117 1988: V880579 1990: V900121

1992: V925202,V925215

VCF9064

Recall Correct Name of U.S. Senate Candidate

How about the candidates who ran in this state for the United States Senate in Washington? Do you happen to remember what the candidates' names were? / (1992 CALIFORNIA ONLY:) As you know, there were two Senate races in California this year. Do you happen to remember what the candidates' names were ALL YEARS: Who were they? (1ST MENTION)

 NAME: valid, PARTY: correct
 NAME: valid, PARTY: incorrect
 NAME: valid, PARTY: DK/NA
 NAME: invalid, PARTY: any
 NAME: invalid, PARTY: DK/NA
 NAME: DK/NA, PARTY: any
 NAME: DK, PARTY: DK/NA; NAME: DK, PARTY: DK/NA; R says that s/he recalls no names (5 in VCF9063)

9. Abbrev. telephone IW (1984); no Post IW; Washington D.C.; NA if remembers any names (9 in VCF9063)
0. No Senate race in state INAP. question not used

1974 NOTE: 1974 data are not coded comparably. 1978 NOTE: NA in code 9 also includes 'NA name, DK party.' 1992 NOTE: In California in 1992, in addition to the regular Senate election a second 'special' Senate election was held and 4 --rather than 3 --Senate recall mentions were coded for CA only in the original data. For 1992 CA cases, CDF vars VCF9064-9066 are coded only for mentions which are not mentions of 'special' election candidates (not candidate '93' nor '94' in the original study data). If a 1992 California respondent has recall but R's ONLY mention(s) refer to the special election candidates, then 8 has been coded in VCF9064-9066 [98 in VCF9067].

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780129 1980: V800837 1982: V820115 1986: V860121 1988: V880583 1990: V900125 1992: V925206,V925216,V92521

VCF9065

Recall Correct Name of U.S. Senate Candidate

How about the candidates who ran in this state for the United States Senate in Washington? Do you happen to remember what the candidates'

names were? Who were they? (2ND MENTION) 1. NAME: valid, PARTY: correct 2. NAME: valid, PARTY: incorrect 3. NAME: valid, PARTY: DK/NA 4. NAME: invalid. PARTY: anv 5. NAME: invalid, PARTY: DK/NA 6. NAME: DK/NA, PARTY: any PARTY: DK/NA; 7. NAME: DK. PARTY: DK (1978 only) NAME: NA, R says that s/he recalls no names (5 in VCF9063); R only has recall(s) for 'special' election (1992, CA only); no second mention 9. Abbrev. telephone IW (1984); no Post IW; Washington D.C. 0. No Senate race in state INAP. question not used ------GENERAL NOTE: See notes VCF9064. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780132 1980: V800840 1982: V820118 1986: V860125 1988: V880587 1990: V900129 1992: V925210, V925223

VCF9066

Recall Correct Name of U.S. Senate Candidate

How about the candidates who ran in this state for the United States Senate in Washington? Do you happen to remember what the candidates' names were? Who were they? (3RD MENTION)

 NAME: valid, PARTY: correct
 NAME: valid, PARTY: incorrect
 NAME: valid, PARTY: DK/NA
 NAME: invalid, PARTY: DK/NA
 NAME: invalid, PARTY: DK/NA
 NAME: DK/NA, PARTY: DK/NA
 NAME: DK, PARTY: DK/NA; NAME: DK, PARTY: DK/NA; NAME: NA, PARTY: DK (1978 only) R says that s/he recalls no names (5 in VCF9063); R only has recall(s) for 'special' election (1992, CA only); no third mention
 Abbrev. telephone IW (1984); no Post IW; Washington D.C.

0. No Senate race in state INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See notes VCF9064.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780135 1980: V800843 1982: V820121 1986: V860129 1988: V880591 1990: V900133 1992: V925214,V925227

VCF9067

Number of Recalled Names of U.S. Senate Candidates

Summary: number of corrent recalls-- U.S. Senate candidates

NONAME CORRECT 00. R's recall of any name(s) incorrect/DK/NA and any party mention(s) mention incorrect/DK/NA; R says s/he recalls no names; R only has recall(s) for 'special' election (1992, CA only) ONE CORRECT NAME 10. R's recall correct for one name; party mention incorrect/DK/NA 11. R's recall correct for one name; party mention correct TWO CORRECT NAMES 20. R's recall correct for 2 names; both party mentions incorrect/DK/NA 21. R's recall correct for 2 names; one party mention correct 22. R's recall correct for 2 names; both party mentions correct THREE CORRECT NAMES 30. R's recall correct for 3 names; all party mentions incorrect/DK/NA 31. R's recall correct for 3 names; one party mention correct 32. R's recall correct for 3 names; two party mentions correct 33. R's recall correct for 3 names; three party mentions correct 97.No Senate race in state 99. Abbrev. telephone IW (1984); no Post IW; Washington D.C. INAP. question not used _____ GENERAL NOTE: Built from VCF9063-VCF9066

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF9069

Strength Approve/Disapprove Running U.S. House Incumbent

In general, do you approve or disapprove of the way [running U.S. House

incumbent Representative] has been handling his/her job? (IF APPROVE/DISAPPROVE) Do you approve/disapprove strongly or approve/ disapprove not strongly?

1. Approve strongly 2. Approve not strongly 4. Disapprove not strongly 5. Disapprove strongly 8. DK strongly or not strongly; DK if approve/ disapprove (8 in VCF0991) 9. NA strongly or not strongly; NA if approve/ disapprove; no Post IW (presidential years); short form (1992) 0. No running incumbent (includes Washington D.C.) INAP. question not used _____ This is a follow-up to VCF0991. GENERAL NOTE: If the U.S. House representative in R's district was NOT running for re-election (i.e., was 'retiring'), then this question does not apply (0 coded). If there was no running incumbent and no post IW, then 9 (no post) has been coded. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800973 1986: V860354 1988: V880790

1990: V900404,V900405 1992: V925710,V925711 1994: V940637,V940638 1996: V961124 1998: V980326,V980327 2000: V001361 2004: V045091,V045092,V045092 2012: hseinc_approval_x 2016: V162114x

VCF9070

Agreement w/ Running House Incumbent's Legislative Votes

Now we would like your opinion about the way [running U.S. House incumbent representative] has voted on bills that have come up in the U.S. House of Representatives in Washington. 1982:

Would you say that you generally agreed or generally disagreed with the way he/she has voted on bills, have you agreed sometimes but disagreed others, or haven't you thought much about this? 1986,1988:

Would you say that you have generally agreed with the way he/she has voted on bills, agreed and disagreed about equally, generally disagreed, or haven't paid much attention to this?

- 1. Agreed
- 3. Agreed and disagreed about equally (1982: Agree sometimes, disagree sometimes)
- 5. Disagreed
- 8. Haven't paid much attention (1986,1988); haven't thought much about this (1982)

9. NA; no Post IW0. No running incumbent (includes Washington D.C.)INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: If the U.S. House representative in R's district was NOT running for re-election (i.e., was 'retiring'), then this question does not apply (0 coded). If there was no running incumbent and no post IW, then 9 (no post) has been coded. 1978 NOTE: The 1978 version of this variable was not coded comparably. 1982 NOTE: Study data included variables for 2 running incumbents due to redistricting in two congressional districts (19 cases affected). For this year, the running incumbent who represented R's sampling location BEFORE the 1982 redistricting has been considered R's 'incumbent' for Cumulative Data File definition. See NOTE VCF0902 describing the congressional districts affected and designation of candidates as the (running) 'incumbent.'

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1982: V820207,V820244,V820261 1986: V860294 1988: V880794

VCF9071

Remember Running U.S. House Incumbent Vote on Any Bill

Was there any bill in particular that you remember how [House running incumbent] voted on in the last couple of years?

1. Yes

5. No

8. DK9. NA; no Post IW0. No running incumbent (includes Washington D.C.); NJ01 (1990)INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

If the U.S. House representative in R's district was NOT running for re-election (i.e., was 'retiring'), then this question does not apply (0 coded). If there was no running incumbent and no post IW, then 9 (no post) has been coded. 1990 NOTE: for New Jersey congressional district 01, the U.S. Representative was neither 'retiring' nor running at the time of the 1990 general elections. The Representative from NJ01 had campaigned for and been elected to another office in 1989, and the office of Representative was not (in effect) occupied until after the 1990 elections (code 0). 1978 NOTE: The 1978 version of this variable were not comparable. 1982 NOTE: same as 1978.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1986: V860295

1988: V880795 1990: V900058,V900314

VCF9072

Agree With Running U.S. House Incumbent Vote on Bill

Was there any bill in particular that you remember how s/he [House running incumbent] voted on in the last couple of years? (IF YES) Did you agree or disagree with the way s/he voted on that bill?

- 1. Agreed
- 5. Disagreed

8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW

 No running incumbent (includes Washington D.C.); R does not remember or DK/NA if remembers any House votes (5,8,9 in VCF9071)
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: If the U.S. House representative in R's district was NOT running for re-election (i.e., was 'retiring'), then this question does not apply (0 coded). If there was no running incumbent and no post IW, then 9 (no post) has been coded.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1986: V860296 1988: V880796 1990: V900058,V900315

VCF9073

President on Defense Spending Scale

Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. 2004:

Please look at page [page] of the booklet.

Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6. Where would you place [president] on this issue? ALL YEARS: Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased. Where would you place [president] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Greatly decrease defense spending

- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- . 5.
- 6.
- ю.

7. Greatly increase defense spending

8. DK

- 9. NA; short-form or Spanish language IW (1992)
- 0. R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it' on same 7pt scale not 1996)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: In all years before 1996, if R replied DK or 'haven't thought much about it' or if his/her response was NA in rating self on this same 7point scale, then R was not asked this question. Presidents: Jimmy Carter 1980; Ronald Reagan 1982, 1984, 1986, 1988; George Bush Sr. 1990, 1992; Bill CLinton 1996; George W. Bush, 2004.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800282 1982: V820408 1984: V840396 1986: V860406 1988: V880317 1990: V900440 1992: V923708 1996: V960466 2004: V043144

VCF9074

President on Cooperation with USSR Scale

1980:

Some people feel it is important for us to try very hard to get along with Russia. Others feel it is a big mistake to try too hard to get along with Russia. 1984,1988: Some people feel it is important for us to try to cooperate more with Russia, while others believe we should be much tougher in our dealings with Russia. ALL YEARS: Where would you place [president] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Cooperate more/try to get along with Russia

- 2.
- 3.

4.

5.

6.

7. Get much tougher/big mistake to try to get along with Russia

8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW

0. R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it' on same 7pt scale INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9073.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V801079 1984: V840409 1988: V880375

VCF9075

President on Women's Equal Role Scale

2004:

Please look at page [page] of the booklet. Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry, and government. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others feel that a woman's place is in the home. (Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6. Where would you place [president] (on this issue)? ALL YEARS: Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry and government. Others feel that women's place is in the home.

Where would you place [president] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Women and men should have an equal role

- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

6.

7. Women's place is in the home

8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW

 R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it' on same 7pt scale not 1996 or later)
 INAP. guestion not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9073.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720233 1976: V763788 1978: V780390 1980: V801095 1982: V820436 1988: V880392 1996: V960544 1998: V980449 2004: V043198

VCF9076

President on Aid to Blacks Scale

1970-1984,1988 FORM B:

Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every possible effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks (1970: negroes) and other minority groups (1980: even if it means giving them preferential treatment). Others feel that the government should not make any special effort ot help minorities because they should help themselves.

1988 FORM A, 1990 AND LATER:

Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every possible effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks. Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves. 2004:

Please look at page [page] of the booklet.

Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves. (Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6. Where would you place [president] on this issue? ALL YEARS:

Where would you place [president] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN)

- 1. Government should help minority blacks/minorities
- 2.
- 3.

4.

5.

6.

7. Blacks/minorities should help themselves

8. DK

- 9. NA; no Post IW; telephone IW (2000)
- 0. R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it' on same 7pt scale (1972-1984,1988, 1990); R self-rating is 'haven't thought much about it' (1970)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9073. ADDITIONAL NOTE: Note that form B in 1988 and all previous years specified 'blacks and other minorities' in this question while form A in 1988 and all cases in 1990 specified only 'blacks.' To filter for form A/B responses, use variableVCF0012. 2000 NOTE: Telephone respondents were asked a branching series and are excluded here.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1970: V700109(type 0)

1972: V720630 1974: VV74229 1976: V763265 1978: V780374 1980: V801063 1982: V820416 1984: V840383 1988: V880339(form A),V880347(form B) 1990: V90044 1994: V940937 1996: V960490 2000: V000646 2004: V043160 2012: aidblack_dpc

VCF9077

President on Government Health Insurance Scale

There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs.

Some people feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses (1994: for everyone). Others feel that medical expenses should be paid by individuals, and through private insurance[1996: plans] like Blue Cross (1994: or [1996:some] other company paid plans). Where would you place [president] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

- 1. Government insurance plan
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7. Private insurance plan
- 8. DK
- 9. NA; Form II (1972)

0. R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it' on same 7pt scale (1972,1976,1978); R self-rating is 'haven't thought much about it' (1970) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See NOTE VCF9073.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1970: V700137(type 0) 1972: V720209 1976: V763274 1978: V780382 1994: V940951 1996: V960480 2012: inspre_dpc

VCF9078

President on Government Spending/Services Scale

2004:

Please look at page [page] of the booklet. Some people think the government should provide fewer services even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6. Where would you place [president] on this issue? ALL YEARS: Some people think the government should provide fewer services, even

Some people think the government should provide fewer services, even in areas such as health and education, in order to reduce spending. Other people feel that it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Where would you place [president] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Government should provide many fewer services: Reduce spending a lot

- 2.
- 3.

4. 5.

5. 6.

7. Government should provide many more services: Increase spending a lot

8. DK

9. NA; short-form or Spanish language IW (1992); telephone IW (2000)

 0. R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it' on same 7pt scale all years before 1996)
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9073. 2000 NOTE: Telephone respondents were asked a branching series and are excluded here.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1982: V820444 1984: V840376 1986: V860449 1988: V880309 1990: V900453 1992: V923702 1994: V940941 1996: V960453 1998: V980464 2000: V000551 2004: V043138 2012: spsrvpr_ssdpc

VCF9079

President on Guaranteed Jobs and Living Scale

Please look at page 9 of the booklet. Some people feel the government in Washington should see

to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on their own. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6.

Where would you place [president] on this issue? ALL YEARS:

Some people feel that the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Others think the government should just let each person ahead on his own. And, of course, other people have opinions somewhere in between. Where would you place [president] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Government see to job and good standard of living

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7. Government let each person get ahead on his own

8. DK; haven't thought much about it

9. NA; no Post IW; form II (1972); short-form or Spanish language IW (1992)

0. R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it' on same 7pt scale (all yrs. exc. 1992,1996 and later)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9073.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720173 1974: VV74226 1976: V763242 1978: V780358 1980: V801111 1982: V820426 1984: V840415 1984: V840415 1998: V980330 1992: V923719 1994: V940931 1996: V960484 1998: V980458 2004: V043154 2012: guarpr_dpc

VCF9080

President on Liberal-Conservative Scale

We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. I'm going to [1996-LATER: Here is a] show you a 7-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative. (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R) Where would you place [president] on this scale?

- 1. Extremely liberal
- 2. Liberal
- 3. Slightly liberal
- 4. Moderate; middle of the road
- 5. Slightly conservative
- 6. Conservative
- 7. Extremely conservative

8. DK

- 9. NA; no Post IW; forms III, IV (1972); R selected for branching version of this question
- 0. DK/Haven't thought about self-rating on same 7pt scale [see above] (not 1996 or later)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9073. 2000 NOTE: Telephone respondents were asked a branching series and are excluded here.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720653 1974: VV74230 1976: V763287 1978: V780400 1980: V800268 1982: V820394 1984: V840371 1986: V860387 1988: V880236 1990: V900408 1992: V923514 1994: V940841 1996: V960369 1998: V980403 2000: V000448 2004: V043087 2012: libcpre_dpc

VCF9081

Democratic Presidential Cand- Defense Spending Scale

2004:

Please look at page [page] of the booklet. Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6. Where would you place [the Democratic Presidential Candidate] (on this issue)? ALL YEARS: Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased. Where would you place [the Democratic Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Greatly decrease defense spending 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Greatly increase defense spending 8. DK 9. NA; short-form or Spanish language IW (1992); telephone IW (2000) 0. R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it' (before 1996) INAP. question not used GENERAL NOTE: Democratic Presidential candidates: McGovern 1972; Carter 1976, 1980; Mondale 1984; Dukakis 1988; Clinton 1992, 1996; Gore 2000. In years prior to 1996, if R replied DK or 'haven't thought much about it' rating self on same 7-point scale, then R was not asked this question. In 1996 and later, randomization occurred for the order of the major Presidential candidate names for this placement. 2000 NOTE: Telephone respondents were administered a branching series instead and are excluded here. 2012 NOTE: The 7-point scale used in 2012 was not comparable. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS:

1980: V800282 1984: V840397 1988: V880311 1992: V923709 1996: V960466 2000: V000588 2004: V043145 2016: V161182

VCF9082

Democratic Presidential Cand- Cooperation with U.S.S.R. Scale

1980:

Some people feel it is important for us to try very hard to get along with Russia. Others feel it is a big mistake to try too hard to get along with Russia. 1984,1988: Some people feel it is important for us to try to cooperate more with Russia, while others believe we should be much tougher in our dealings with Russia. ALL YEARS: Where would you place [the Democratic Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Try to cooperate more with Russia (1984,1988)/

Important to try very hard to get along with Russia(1980)

- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

6.

 Get much tougher with Russia (1984,1988)/Big mistake to try too hard to get along with Russia

8. DK9. NA; no Post IWINAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9081.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V801079 1984: V840410 1988: V880369

VCF9083

Democratic Presidential Cand-Women Equal Role Scale

2004:

Please look at page [page] of the booklet. Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry, and government. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others feel that a woman's place is in the home. (Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6. Where would you place [the Democratic presidential candidate] (on this issue)? ALL YEARS: Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry, and government. Others feel that women's place is in the home.

Where would you place [the Democratic Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

- 1. Women and men should have an equal role
- 2.
- 3.

4.

5.

6.

7. Women's place is in the home

8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW; telephone IW (2000)

0. R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it' (before 1996) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9081.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720234 1976: V763789 1980: V801095 1988: V880388 1996: V960544 2000: V000761 2004: V043199

VCF9084

Democratic Presidential Cand- Aid to Blacks Scale

1972,1976,1980,1984 and 1988 FORM B: Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every (1972, 1976: possible) effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks and other minority groups (1988 B: other minorities) (1980: even if it means giving the preferential treatment). Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help minorities because they should help themselves. 1988 Form A, 1996 AND LATER: Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks. Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves. 2004: Please look at page [page] of the booklet. Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves. (Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6. Where would you place [the Democratic presidential candidate] (on this issue)? ALL YEARS: Where would you place [the Democratic Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Government should help Blacks/minorities

- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.

7. Blacks/minorities should help themselves

8. DK9. NA; no Post IW; telephone IW (2000)0. R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it' (before 1996)INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9081. 1988 NOTE: Form A asked about 'blacks' only, while Form B asked about 'blacks and

other minorities' (to filter for Form A/B responses, use variable VCF0012).

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720631 1976: V763266 1980: V801063 1984: V840384 1988: V880333,V880341 1996: V960490 2000: V000651 2004: V043161 2012: aidblack_dpc 2016: V161199

VCF9085

Democratic Presidential Cand- Government Health Insurance Scale

There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses. Others feel that medical expenses should be paid by individuals, and through private insurance like Blue Cross. Where would you place [the Democratic Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R) 1. Government insurance plan 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Private insurance plan 8. DK 9. NA; Form II (1972) 0. 'Haven't thought much about it' for Democratic candidate's rating (1988 only); R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it' on same 7pt scale (1972,1976) INAP. question not used GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9081. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720210 1976: V763275 1988: V880319 1996: V960480 2012: inspre_dpc

2012: Inspie_up0 2016: V161185

VCF9086

Democratic Presidential Cand- Government Services-Spending Scale

2004:

Please look at page [page] of the booklet. Some people think the government should provide fewer services even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6. Where would you place [the Democratic presidential candidate] (on this issue)? ALL YEARS: Some people think the government should provide fewer services, even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending.

Where would you place [the Democratic Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Gov't provide many fewer servcies, reduce spending a lot

3.
 4.
 5.
 6.
 7. Gov't provide many more services, increase spending a lot
 8. DK
 9. NA; short-form or Spanish language IW (1992); telephone IW (2000)
 0. R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it' on same 7pt scale

(before 1996) INAP. question not used GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9081. 1980 NOTE:

The 1980 version of the question in not comparable. 1984 NOTE: In 1984 this question appeared in both the Pre and Post; Pre data are included here.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840377 1988: V880303 1992: V923703 1996: V960453 2000: V000557 2004: V043139 2012: spsrvpr_ssdpc 2016: V161179

VCF9087

Democratic Presidential Cand- Guaranteed Jobs and Living Scale

2004: Please look at page [page] of the booklet.

Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on their own. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6.

Where would you place [the Democratic presidential candidate] (on this issue)?

ALL YEARS:

Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on his (1988: their) own.

Where would you place [the Democratic Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Government see to job and good standard of living

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7. Government let each person get ahead on own

8. DK

9. NA; Form II (1972); no Post IW; short-form or Spanish language IW (1992); telephone IW (2000)

 R self-rating is DK or 'Haven't thought much about it' on same 7pt scale (before 1996 exc. 1988,1992)
 INAP, question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See NOTE VCF9081.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720174 1976: V763243 1980: V801111 1984: V840416 1988: V880324 1992: V923720 1996: V960484 2000: V000621 2004: V043155 2012: guarpr_dpc 2016: V161190

VCF9088

Democratic Presidential Cand- Liberal-Conservative Scale

We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Here is a 7-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative. Where would you place [the Democratic Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

- 1. Extremely liberal
- 2. Liberal
- 3. Slightly liberal
- 4. Moderate; middle of the road
- 5. Slightly conservative
- 6. Conservative
- 7. Extremely conservative

8. DK

9. NA; mail questionnaire; no Post IW; R selected for branching series version of this question (2000)

0. R did not rate self on same 7pt scale (see above)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Democratic Presidential candidates: McGovern 1972; Carter 1976, 1980; Mondale 1984; Dukakis 1988; Clinton 1992, 1996; Gore 2000. In 1996 and later, randomization occurred for the order of the major Presidential candidate names for this placement. In 1972-1980, if R replied DK or 'haven't thought much about it' in rating self on same 7-point scale, then R was not asked this question. [NOTE that in 1972-1982 there was no follow-up question ('if you had to choose.') for self-rating.] In 1984, 1988, 1992, if R's self-placement was NA on the 7-point scale, then this question was not asked. If R replied 'haven't thought much about it' or DK to this same 7-point scale, then R was prompted, 'If you had to choose...' If R's response to the follow-up in 1984-1992 was DK, RF or NA, then R was not asked this question. 1972 NOTE: Respondents in Post mail sample were not asked this question. 1980 NOTE: In 1980, this question appeared in both the Pre and Post; Pre data are included here. 2000 NOTE: The 7-point scale version from both modes is represented here; both telephone and FTF respondents were randomly selected for administration of the traditional 7-pt scale version of this question or a branching series.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720654 1976: V763287 1980: V800268 1984: V840372 1988: V880231 1992: V923515 1996: V960369 2000: V000455 2004: V043088 2016: V161128

VCF9089

Republican Presidential Cand- Defense Spending Scale

2004:

Please look at page [page] of the booklet. Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6.

Where would you place [the Republican Presidential Candidate] (on this issue)? ALL YEARS: Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased. Where would you place [the Republican Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Greatly decrease defense spending

2.

3.

4.

5. 6.

7. Greatly increase defense spending

8. DK

9. NA; short-form or Spanish language IW (1992); telephone IW (2000) 0. R self-rating is DK or 'Haven't thought much about it' (before 1996) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Republican Presidential candidates: Reagan 1980, 1984; George Bush Sr. 1988, 1992; Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000. In all years except 1996 and 2000, if R replied DK or 'haven't thought much about it' rating self on same 7-point scale, then R was not asked this question. In 1996 and later, randomization occurred for the order of the major Presidential candidate names for this placement. 2000 NOTE: Telephone respondents were administered a branching series instead and are excluded here. 2012 NOTE: The 7-point scale used in 2012 was not comparable.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800283 1984: V840396 1988: V880312 1992: V923708 1996: V960469 2000: V000593 2004: V043144 2016: V161183

VCF9090

Republican Presidential Cand- Cooperation with U.S.S.R. Scale

1980:

Some people feel it is important for us to try very hard to get along with Russia. Others feel it is a big mistake to try too hard to get along with Russia. 1984,1988: Some people feel it is important for us to try to cooperate more with Russia, while others believe we should be much tougher in our dealings with Russia. ALL YEARS: Where would you place [the Republican Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Try to cooperate more with Russia (1984,1988)/ Important to try very hard to get along with Russia (1980)

2.

3.

4.

5. 6.

7. Get much tougher with Russia (1984,1988)/Big mistake to try too hard to get along with Russia

8. DK 9. NA; no Post IW 0. R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it'

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9089.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V801080 1984: V840409 1988: V880370

VCF9091

Republican Presidential Cand- Women Equal Role Scale

2004:

Please look at page [page] of the booklet. Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry, and government. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others feel that a woman's place is in the home. (Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6. Where would you place [the Republican presidential candidate] (on this issue)? ALL YEARS:

Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry, and government. Others feel that women's place is in the home.

Where would you place [the Republican Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Women and men should have an equal role

2.

3.

4.

5. 6.

7. Women's palce is in the home

8. DK
9. NA; no Post IW; telephone IW (2000)
0. R self-rating is DK or 'Haven't thought much about it' (before 1996) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9089.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720233 1976: V763788 1980: V801096 1988: V880389 1996: V960545 2000: V000766 2004: V043198

VCF9092

Republican Presidential Cand- Aid to Blacks Scale

1972,1976,1980,1984 and 1988 FORM B: Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every (1972, 1976: possible) effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks and other minority groups (1988 B: other minorities) (1980: even if it means giving the preferential treatment). Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help minorities because they should help themselves. 1988 Form A, 1996 AND LATER: Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks. Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves. 2004: 2004: Please look at page [page] of the booklet. Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks. (Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1.) Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves. (Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7.) And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6. Where would you place [the Republican presidential candidate] (on this issue)? ALL YEARS: Where would you place [the Republican Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Government should help Blacks/minorities

- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.

7. Blacks/minorities should help themselves

8. DK9. NA; no Post IW; telephone IW (2000)0. R self-rating is DK or 'Haven't thought much about it' (before 1996) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9089. 1988 NOTE: Form A asked about 'blacks' only, while Form B asked about 'blacks and other minorities' (to filter for Form A/B responses, use variable VCF0012).

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720630 1976: V763265 1980: V801064 1984: V840383 1988: V880334,V880342 1996: V960492 2000: V000656 2004: V043160 2012: aidblack_rpc 2016: V161200

VCF9093

Republican Presidential Cand- Government Health Insurance Scale

There is much concern about the rapid rise in medical and hospital costs. Some feel there should be a government insurance plan which would cover all medical and hospital expenses. Others feel that medical expenses should be paid by individuals, and through private insurance like Blue Cross.

Where would you place [the Republican Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Government insurance plan

2.

3.

4.

5.

- 6.
- 7. Private insurance plan

8. DK

- 9. NA; Form II (1972)
- 0. 'Haven't thought much about it' for Republican candidate's rating (1988 only); R self-rating is DK or 'Haven't thought much about it' on same 7pt scale (1972,1976)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9089.

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:

1972: V720209 1976: V763274 1988: V880320 1996: V960481 2012: inspre_rpc 2016: V161186

VCF9094

Republican Presidential Cand- Government Services-Spending Scale

2004:

Please look at page [page] of the booklet. Some people think the government should provide fewer services even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between. at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6. Where would you place [the Republican presidential candidate] (on this issue)? ALL YEARS: Some people think the government should provide fewer services, even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Where would you place [the Republican Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

- 1. Gov't provide many fewer services, reduce spending a lot
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.

7. Gov't provide many more services, increase spending a lot

8. DK

9. NA; short-form or Spanish language IW (1992); telephone IW (2000)

0. R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it' on same 7pt scale (before 1996)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9089. 1980 NOTE: The 1980 version of the question in not comparable. 1984 NOTE: In 1984 this question appeared in both the Pre and Post; Pre data are included here.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840376 1988: V880304 1992: V923702 1996: V960455

2000: V000563 2004: V043138 2012: spsrvpr_ssrpc 2016: V161180

VCF9095

Republican Presidential Cand- Guaranteed Jobs and Living Scale

2004:

Please look at page [page] of the booklet. Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on their own. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6. Where would you place [the Republican presidential candidate] (on this issue)? ALL YEARS: Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on his (1988 and after: their) own. Where would you place [the Republican Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R) (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Government see to job and good standard of living

- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.

7. Government let each person get ahead on own

8. DK

9. NA; Form II (1972); no Post IW; short-form or Spanish language IW (1992); telephone IW (2000)

0. R self-rating is DK or 'haven't thought much about it' on same 7pt scale (before 1996 exc. 1988,1992)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9089.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720173 1976: V763242 1980: V801112 1984: V840415 1988: V880325 1992: V923719 1996: V960485 2000: V000626 2004: V043154 2012: guarpr_rpc 2016: V161191

VCF9096

Republican Presidential Cand- Liberal-Conservative Scale

We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Here is a 7-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative. Where would you place [the Republican Presidential Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

- 1. Extremely liberal
- 2. Liberal
- 3. Slightly liberal
- 4. Moderate; middle of the road
- 5. Slightly conservative
- 6. Conservative
- 7. Extremely conservative

8. DK

- 9. NA; mail questionnaire; no Post IW; R selected for branching series version of this question (2000)
- 0. R did not rate self on same 7pt scale (see above)

INAP. question not used

See NOTE VCF9089. GENERAL NOTE: Republican Presidential candidates: Reagan 1980, 1984; George Bush Sr. 1988,1992; Dole 1996; George W. Bush 2000. In 1996 and later, randomization occurred for the order of the major Presidential candidate names for this placement. In 1972-1980, if R replied DK or 'haven't thought much about it' in rating self on same 7-point scale, then R was not asked this question. [NOTE that in 1972-1982 there was no follow-up question ('if you had to choose.') for self-rating.] In 1984, 1988, 1992, if R's self-placement was NA on the 7-point scale, then this guestion was not asked. If R replied 'haven't thought much about it' or DK to this same 7-point scale, then R was prompted, 'If you had to choose...' If R's response to the follow-up in 1984-1992 was DK, RF or NA, then R was not asked this question. 1972 NOTE: Respondents in Post mail sample were not asked this question. 1980 NOTE: In 1980, this question appeared in both the Pre and Post; Pre data are included here. 2000 NOTE: The 7-point scale version from both modes is represented here; both telephone and FTF respondents were randomly selected for administration of the traditional 7-pt scale version of this question or a branching series. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720653 1976: V763287 1980: V800269 1984: V840371 1988: V880232 1992: V923514 1996: V960371 2000: V000465 2004: V043087 2012: libcpre_rpc 2016: V161129

VCF9097

Democratic U.S. House Cand- Women Equal Role Scale

Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running business, industry, and government. Others feel that women's place is in the home.

Where would you place [the Democratic House Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Women and men should have an equal role

2.

3. 4.

5.

6.

7. Women's place is in the home

8. DK/Don't Recognize (incl. no recognition on thermometer)

9. NA; no Post IW

0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it'; no Democratic candidate in district race (incl. Washington DC)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Responses responses for independent or 'other' candidate are not included here for districts having only one major party candidate (opposite party).. In all years before 1998, if R replied DK or 'haven't thought much about it' in rating self on this same scale, then R was not asked this question. 1998 NOTE 1: Randomization occurred for the order of the 2 major party House candidate names for this placement. 1998 NOTE 2: If R did not recognize the name of the Democratic Candidate for the

thermometer rating, then this question was not asked.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780395 1980: V801099 1982: V820439 1998: V980451

VCF9098

Democratic U.S. House Cand- Aid to Blacks Scale

Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every possible effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks (1970: negroes) and other minority groups (1980: even if it means giving them preferential treatment). Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help minorities because they should help themselves. Where would you place [the Democratic House candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

Government should help Blacks/minorities
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 Blacks/minorities should help themselves
 . DK/Don't Recognize
 . NA; no Post IW
 . R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it'; no Democratic candidate in district race (incl. Washington DC)
 INAP. question not used

See NOTE VCF9097.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780377 1980: V801067 1982: V820419

VCF9099

Democratic U.S. House Cand- Government Services-Spending Scale

2004:

Please look at page [page] of the booklet. Some people think the government should provide fewer services even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6. Where would you place [the Democratic house candidate] (on this issue)? ALL YEARS: Some people think the government should provide fewer services, even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Where would you place [the Democratic U.S. House Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Gov't provide many fewer services, reduce spending a lot

- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.

7. Gov't provide many more services, increase spending a lot

8. DK/Don't Recognize (incl. no recognition on thermometer)

9. NA; telephone (1984); no Post IW; telephone IW (2000)

 R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it'; no Democratic candidate in district race (incl. Washington DC)
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9097. 1996 NOTE 1: Randomization occurred for the order of the 2 major party House candidate names for this placement. 1996 NOTE 2: If R did not recognize the name of the Democratic candidate for the thermometer rating, then this question was not asked. 2000 NOTE: This question was administered as a 7-point scale to FTF respondents only; telephone respondents were administered a branching series and are excluded here.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1982: V820447 1984: V841031 1986: V860450 1990: V900454 1994: V940942 1996: V960459 1998: V980466 2000: V001391 2004: V045046,V045122

VCF9100

Democratic U.S. House Cand- Guaranteed Jobs and Living Scale

Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on his own.

Where would you place [the Democratic U.S. House Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Government see to job and good standard of living

2.

- 3.
- 4.

5.

- 6.
- 7. Government let each person get ahead on own
- 8. DK/Don't Recognize (incl. no recognition on thermometer)
- 9. NA; telephone (1984); no Post IW
- R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it'; no Democratic candidate in district race (incl. Washington DC)
 INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9097.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780361

```
1980: V801115
1982: V820429
1984: V841051
1994: V940932
1998: V980460
```

VCF9101

Democratic U.S. House Cand- Liberal-Conservative Scale

We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Here is a 7-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative. Where would you place [the Democratic U.S. House Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

- 1. Extremely liberal
- 2. Liberal
- 3. Slightly liberal
- 4. Moderate; middle of the road
- 5. Slightly conservative
- 6. Conservative
- 7. Extremely conservative
- 8. DK/Don't Recognize (incl. no recognition onthermometer)
- 9. NA; no Post IW (1980,2000); telephone IW (2000)
- 0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it' (see above); no Democratic candidate in district race (incl. Washington DC)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See NOTE VCF9097. 1996 NOTE 1: Randomization occurred for the order of the 2 major party House candidate names for this placement. 1996 NOTE 2: If R did not recognize the name of the Democratic candidate for the thermometer rating, then this question was not asked. 2000 NOTE: This question was administered as a 7-point scale to FTF respondents only; telephone respondents were administered a branching series and are excluded here.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780404 1980: V801049 1982: V820401 1986: V860388 1990: V900409 1994: V940843 1996: V960375 1998: V980407 2000: V001378 2004: V045046,V045119

VCF9102

Republican U.S. House Cand- Women Equal Role Scale

Recently there has been a lot of talk about women's rights. Some people feel that women should have an equal role with men in running

business, industry, and government. Others feel that women's place is in the home.

Where would you place [the Republican U.S. House Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

- 1. Women and men should have an equal role
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7. Women's place is in the home

8. DK/Don't Recognize (incl. no recognition on thermometer)

9. NA; no Post IW

0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it'; no Republican candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: Responses responses for independent or 'other' candidate are not included here for districts having only one major party candidate (opposite party).. In all years before 1998, if R replied DK or 'haven't thought much about it' in rating self on this same scale, then R was not asked this question. 1998 NOTE 1: Randomization occurred for the order of the 2 major party House candidate names for this placement. 1998 NOTE 2: If R did not recognize the name of the Democratic Candidate for the thermometer rating, then this question was not asked.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780396 1980: V801100 1982: V820440 1998: V980451

VCF9103

Republican U.S. House Cand- Aid to Blacks Scale

Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every (1978: possible) effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks and other minority groups (1980: even if it means giving the preferential treatment). Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help minorities because they should help themselves.

Where would you place [the Republican U.S. House Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

- 1. Government should help Blacks/minorities
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.

7. Blacks/minorities should help themselves

8. DK/Don't Recognize
9. NA; no Post IW
0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it'; no Republican candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.)
INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See VCF9102 NOTE.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780378 1980: V801068 1982: V820420

VCF9104

Republican U.S. House Cand- Government Services-Spending Scale

2004:

Please look at page [page] of the booklet. Some people think the government should provide fewer services even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Suppose these people are at one end of a scale, at point 1. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Suppose these people are at the other end, at point 7. And, of course, some other people have opinions somewhere in between, at points 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6. Where would you place [the Republican U.S. house candidate] (on this issue)? ALL YEARS: Some people think the government should provide fewer services, even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Where would you place [the Republican U.S. House Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

- 1. Gov't provide many fewer services, reduce spending a lot
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5. 6.

7. Gov't provide many more services, increase spending a lot

8. DK/Don't Recognize (incl. no recognition on thermometer)

9. NA; telephone IW (1984,2000); no Post IW

0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it'; no Republican candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9102.

1996 NOTE 1: Randomization occurred for the order of the 2 major party House candidate names for this placement. 1996 NOTE 2: If R did not recognize the name of the Democratic candidate for the thermometer rating, then this question was not asked. 2000 NOTE: This question was administered as a 7-point scale to FTF respondents only; telephone respondents were administered a branching series and are excluded here. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1982: V820448 1984: V841032 1986: V860451 1990: V900455 1994: V940943 1996: V960460 1998: V980467 2000: V001397 2004: V045047,V045123

VCF9105

Republican U.S. House Cand- Guaranteed Jobs and Living Scale

Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on his own. Where would you place [the Republican U.S. House Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

- 1. Government see to job and good standard of living
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 4.
- 5. 6.
- 7. Government let each person get ahead on own

8. DK/Don't Recognize (incl. no recognition on thermometer)

- 9. NA; telephone IW (1984); no Post IW
- 0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it'; no Republican candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9102.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780362 1980: V801116 1982: V820430 1984: V841052 1994: V940933 1998: V980461

VCF9106

Republican U.S. House Cand- Liberal-Conservative Scale

We hear a lot of talk these days about liberals and conservatives. Here is a 7-point scale on which the political views that people might hold are arranged from extremely liberal to extremely conservative. Where would you place [the Republican U.S. House Candidate] on this scale? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

- 1. Extremely liberal
- 2. Liberal
- 3. Slightly liberal
- 4. Moderate; middle of the road
- 5. Slightly conservative
- 6. Conservative
- 7. Extremely conservative
- 8. DK/Don't Recognize (incl. no recognition on thermometer)
- 9. NA; no Post IW; R selected for branching series version of this question (2000)

0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it' (see above); no Republican candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9097. 1996 NOTE 1: Randomization occurred for the order of the 2 major party House candidate names for this placement. 1996 NOTE 2: If R did not recognize the name of the Democratic candidate for the thermometer rating, then this question was not asked. 2000 NOTE: This question was administered as a 7-point scale to FTF respondents only; telephone respondents were administered a branching series and are excluded here.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780405 1980: V801050 1982: V820402 1986: V860389 1990: V900411 1994: V940845 1996: V960377 1998: V980409 2000: V001380 2004: V045047,V045120

VCF9107

Incumbent U.S. House Cand- Women Equal Role Scale

Women's equal role -- incumbent House cand placement on 7-point scale

1. Women and men should have an equal role

- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- September 10, 2019

6.

- 7. Women's place is in the home
- 8. DK/Don't Recognize
- 9. NA; no Post IW
- 0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it' (see above); no incumbent candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.) INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

For all years, this var has been built from placements of Democratic and Republican House candidates. See VCF9097, VCF9102. 1982 NOTE:

1982 study data included 2 sets of vars for 2 running incumbents due to redistricting in two congressional districts (19 cases affected). For this year, the incumbent who represented R's sampling location BEFORE the 1982 redistricting has been considered R's 'incumbent'. The other candidate, the incumbent previously representing another Congressional district (i.e., not previously representing the district of R's sample location) has been considered the 'challenger' to the running incumbent.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF9108

Incumbent U.S. House Cand- Aid to Blacks Scale

Aid to blacks -- incumbent House cand placement on 7-point scale

- 1. Government should help Blacks/minorities
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7. Blacks/minorities should help themselves
- 8. DK/Don't Recognize
- 9. NA; no Post IW
- 0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it' (see above); no incumbent candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

For all years, this var has been built from placements of Democratic and Republican House candidates. See VCF9098, VCF9103. 1982 NOTE:

1982 study data included 2 sets of vars for 2 running incumbents due to redistricting in two congressional districts (19 cases affected). For this year, the incumbent who represented R's sampling location BEFORE the 1982 redistricting has been considered R's 'incumbent'. The other candidate, the incumbent previously representing another Congressional district (i.e., not previously representing the district of R's sample location) has been considered the 'challenger' to the running incumbent.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF9109

Incumbent U.S. House Cand- Government Services-Spending Scale

Govt services/spending -- incumbent House cand placement on 7-point scale

1. Government should provide many fewer services: reduce spending a lot

2.

3.

4.

5. 6.

7. Government should provide many more services: increase spending a lot

8. DK/Don't Recognize

9. NA; telephone IW (1984,2000); no Post IW

0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it' (see above); no incumbent candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

For all years, this var has been built from placements of Democratic and Republican House candidates. See VCF9099, VCF9104. 1982 NOTE: 1982 study data included 2 sets of vars for 2 running incumbents due to redistricting in two congressional districts (19 cases affected). For this year, the incumbent who represented R's sampling location BEFORE the 1982 redistricting has been considered R's 'incumbent'. The other candidate, the incumbent previously representing another Congressional district (i.e., not previously representing the district of R's sample location) has been considered the 'challenger' to the running incumbent.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF9110

Incumbent U.S. House Cand- Guaranteed Jobs and Living Scale

Guaranteed jobs/living -- incumbent House cand placement on 7-point scale

1. Government see to job and good standard of living

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

- 7. Government let each person get ahead on own
- 8. DK/Don't Recognize
- 9. NA; telephone (1984); no Post IW
- 0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it' (see above); no incumbent candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: For all years, this var has been built from placements of Democratic and Republican House candidates. See VCF9100, VCF9105. 1982 NOTE: 1982 study data included 2 sets of vars for 2 running incumbents due to

redistricting in two congressional districts (19 cases affected). For this year, the incumbent who represented R's sampling location BEFORE the 1982 redistricting has been considered R's 'incumbent'. The other

candidate, the incumbent previously representing another Congressional district (i.e., not previously representing the district of R's sample location) has been considered the 'challenger' to the running incumbent.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF9111

Incumbent U.S. House Cand- Liberal-Conservative Scale

Liberal/conservative -- incumbent House cand placement on 7-point scale

- 1. Extremely liberal
- 2. Liberal
- 3. Slightly liberal
- 4. Moderate; middle of the road
- 5. Slightly conservative
- 6. Conservative
- 7. Extremely conservative
- 8. DK/Don't Recognize
- NA; no Post IW; R selected for branching series version of this question (2000)

0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it' (see above); no incumbent candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.)

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

For all years, this var has been built from placements of Democratic and Republican House candidates. See VCF9101, VCF9106. 1982 NOTE: 1982 study data included 2 sets of vars for 2 running incumbents due to redistricting in two congressional districts (19 cases affected). For this year, the incumbent who represented R's sampling location BEFORE the 1982 redistricting has been considered R's 'incumbent'. The other candidate, the incumbent previously representing another Congressional district (i.e., not previously representing the district of R's sample location) has been considered the 'challenger' to the running

incumbent.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF9112

Challenger to Incumbent U.S. House Cand- Women Equal Role Scale

Women's equal role -- challenger House cand placement on 7-point scale

- 1. Women and men should have an equal role
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7. Women's place is in the home
- 8. DK/Don't Recognize
- 9. NA; no Post IW

0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it' (see above); no incumbent candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.); incumbent unopposed

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: For all years, this var has been built from placements of Democratic and Republican House candidates. See VCF9097, VCF9102. 1982 NOTE: 1982 study data included 2 sets of vars for 2 running incumbents due to redistricting in two congressional districts (19 cases affected). For this year, the incumbent who represented R's sampling location BEFORE the 1982 redistricting has been considered R's 'incumbent'. The other candidate, the incumbent previously representing another Congressional district (i.e., not previously representing the district of R's sample location) has been considered the 'challenger' to the running incumbent.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF9113

Challenger to Incumbent U.S. House Cand- Aid to Blacks Scale

Aid to blacks -- challenger House cand placement on 7-point scale

- 1. Government should help Blacks/minorities
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7. Blacks/minorities should help themselves
- 8. DK/Don't Recognize
- 9. NA; no Post IW
- 0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it' (see above); no incumbent candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.); incumbent unopposed
- INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

For all years, this var has been built from placements of Democratic and Republican House candidates. See VCF9098, VCF9103. 1982 NOTE: 1982 study data included 2 sets of vars for 2 running incumbents due to redistricting in two congressional districts (19 cases affected). For this year, the incumbent who represented R's sampling location BEFORE the 1982 redistricting has been considered R's 'incumbent'. The other candidate, the incumbent previously representing another Congressional district (i.e., not previously representing the district of R's sample location) has been considered the 'challenger' to the running incumbent.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF9114

Challenger to Incumbent U.S. House Cand- Government Services-Spending Scale

Govt services/spending -- challenger House cand placement on 7-point

scale

- 1. Gov't provide many fewer services, reduce spending a lot
- 2.
- 3. 4.
- 4. 5.
- э. 6.
- 7. Gov't provide many more services, increase spending a lot
- 8. DK/Don't Recognize
- 9. NA; telephone IW (1984,2000); no Post IW
- 0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it' (see above); no incumbent candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.); incumbent unopposed
- INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: For all years, this var has been built from placements of Democratic and Republican House candidates. See VCF9099, VCF9104. 1982 NOTE: 1982 study data included 2 sets of vars for 2 running incumbents due to redistricting in two congressional districts (19 cases affected). For this year, the incumbent who represented R's sampling location BEFORE the 1982 redistricting has been considered R's 'incumbent'. The other candidate, the incumbent previously representing another Congressional district (i.e., not previously representing the district of R's sample location) has been considered the 'challenger' to the running incumbent.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF9115

Challenger to Incumbent U.S. House Cand- Guaranteed Jobs and Living Scale

Guaranteed jobs/living -- challenger House cand placement on 7-point scale

- 1. Government see to job and good standard of living
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7. Government let each person get ahead on own
- 8. DK/Don't Recognize
- 9. NA;telephone(1984); no Post IW
- 0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it' (see above); no incumbent candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.); incumbent unopposed
- INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

For all years, this var has been built from placements of Democratic and Republican House candidates. See VCF9100, VCF9105. 1982 NOTE: 1982 study data included 2 sets of vars for 2 running incumbents due to redistricting in two congressional districts (19 cases affected). For this year, the incumbent who represented R's sampling location BEFORE

the 1982 redistricting has been considered R's 'incumbent'. The other candidate, the incumbent previously representing another Congressional district (i.e., not previously representing the district of R's sample location) has been considered the 'challenger' to the running incumbent.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF9116

Challenger to Incumbent U.S. House Cand- Liberal-Conservative Scale

Liberal/conservative -- challenger House cand placement on 7-point scale

- 1. Extremely liberal
- 2. Liberal
- 3. Slightly liberal
- 4. Moderate; middle of the road
- 5. Slightly conservative
- 6. Conservative
- 7. Extremely conservative
- 8. DK/Don't Recognize
- 9. NA; no Post IW; R selected for branching series version of this question (2000)
- 0. R self-rating was DK or 'haven't thought much about it' (see above); no incumbent candidate in district race (incl. Washington D.C.); incumbent unopposed
- INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

For all years, this var has been built from placements of Democratic and Republican House candidates. See VCF9101, VCF9106. 1982 NOTE: 1982 study data included 2 sets of vars for 2 running incumbents due to redictring in two congressional districts (10 conce offected). For

redistricting in two congressional districts (19 cases affected). For this year, the incumbent who represented R's sampling location BEFORE the 1982 redistricting has been considered R's 'incumbent'. The other candidate, the incumbent previously representing another Congressional district (i.e., not previously representing the district of R's sample location) has been considered the 'challenger' to the running incumbent.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

VCF9117

Federal Government on Cooperation with USSR Scale

1980: Some people feel it is important for us to try very hard to get along with Russia. Others feel it is a big mistake to try too hard to get along with Russia. 1984,1988: Some people feel it is important for us to try to cooperate more with Russia, while others believe we should be much tougher in our dealings with Russia. ALL YEARS: Where would you place what the Federal Government is doing at the present time? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Try to cooperate more with Russia (1984,1988)/ Important to try very hard to get along with Russia (1980)

2.

3.

4. 5.

5. 6.

7. Get much tougher with Russia (1984,1988)/Big Mistake to try too hard to get along with Russia

8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW0. R self-rating was DK/'haven't thought much about it' INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: In all years, if R replied DK or 'haven't thought much about it' in rating self on this same 7-point scale, then R was not asked this question.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V801091 1984: V840413 1988: V880372

VCF9118

Federal Government on Defense Spending Scale

Some people believe that we should spend much less money for defense. Others feel that defense spending should be greatly increased. Where would you place what the Federal Government is doing at the present time? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Greatly decrease defense spending

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7. Greatly increase defense spending

8. DK

- 9. NA; short-form or Spanish language IW (1992)
- 0. R self-rating was DK/'haven't thought much about it'

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

In all years, if R replied DK or 'haven't thought much about it' in rating self on this same 7-point scale, then R was not asked this question.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800288

1982: V820414 1984: V840400 1986: V860414 1988: V880314 1990: V900445 1992: V923712

VCF9119

Federal Government on Aid to Blacks Scale

1980,1982,1984 and 1988 FORM B: Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks and other minority groups (1988 B: other minorities) (1980: even if it means giving the preferential treatment). Others feel that the government should not make any 1988 Form A,1990 AND LATER: Some people feel that the government in Washington should make every effort to improve the social and economic position of blacks. Others feel that the government should not make any special effort to help blacks because they should help themselves.

ALL YEARS: Where would you place what the Federal Government is doing at the present time? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Government should help blacks (1988a)/minorities (1988b)/minority groups (1980,1984)

2. 3.

- 3. 4.
- 4. 5.
- э. 6.
- 7. Blacks (1988a)/Minorities (1988b)/Minority groups (1980,1984) should help themselves

8. DK

- 9. NA; no Post IW
- 0. R self-rating was DK/'haven't thought much about it' INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

In all years, if R replied DK or 'haven't thought much about it' in rating self on this same 7-point scale, then R was not asked this question. 1988 NOTE: Form A asked about 'blacks' only, while Form B asked about 'blacks and other minorities.' (To filter for Form A/B responses, use variable VCF0012.)

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V801075 1982: V820424 1984: V840387 1988: V880336,V880344 1990: V900451

VCF9120

Federal Government on Government Services/Spending Scale

Some people think the government should provide fewer services, even in areas such as health and education in order to reduce spending. Other people feel it is important for the government to provide many more services even if it means an increase in spending. Where would you place what the Federal Government is doing at the present time? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

 Gov't provide many fewer services, reduce spending a lot
 3.
 4.
 5.
 6.
 7. Gov't provide many more services, increase spending a lot
 8. DK
 9. NA; short-form or Spanish language IW (1992)
 0. R self-rating was DK/'haven't thought much about it' INAP, question not used

GENERAL NOTE: In all years, if R replied DK or 'haven't thought much about it' in rating self on this same 7-point scale, then R was not asked this question. 1980 NOTE: The 1980 version of this question is not comparable.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1982: V820451 1984: V840380 1986: V860457 1988: V880306 1990: V900458 1992: V923706

VCF9121

Federal Government on Guaranteed Jobs and Living Scale

Some people feel the government in Washington should see to it that every person has a job and a good standard of living. Others think the government should just let each person get ahead on his (1988: their) own. Where would you place what the Federal Government is doing at the present time? (7-POINT SCALE SHOWN TO R)

1. Government see to job and good standard of living

2.

- 3.
- 4.

5.

6.

7. Government let each person get ahead on own

8. DK

9. NA; no Post IW; short-form or Spanish language IW (1992)

0. R self-rating was DK/'haven't thought much about it'

INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: In all years, if R replied DK or 'haven't thought much about it' in rating self on this same 7-point scale, then R was not asked this question.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V801123 1982: V820434 1984: V840419 1988: V880327 1992: V923723

VCF9122

Number of Politically Eligible Adults in HH

Household composition, number of politically eligible adults

1. One politically eligible adult 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Seven or more politically eligible adults 9. NA; Panel (1992,1996) INAP. question not used -----GENERAL NOTE: ANES samples include eligible persons residing in the coterminous 48 states and not institutionalized. Eligible persons are those eligible to vote by age and and U.S. citizen status. 1966 NÓTE: Politically eligible adults are represented from the primary family unit only. 1966 Rs who were politically eligible but from a secondary family unit (23 CASES) are missing data, code 9. 'Politically eligible' adults were citizens who were 18 or over in Georgia and Kentucky, 21 or over elsewhere. 1968 NOTE: 'Politically eligible' adults were citizens who were 18 or over in Georgia and Kentucky, 21 or over elsewhere. 1972-LATER NOTE: Respondents were politically eligible if they were 18 or older by election day and U.S. citizens. 2002 NOTE: Respondents were politically eligible if they were 18 or older on the day of interview and U.S. citizens. WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS: 1966: V660016 1968: V680020 1972: V720019

1974: VV74202 1976: V763021 1978: V780038 1980: V800043 1982: V820053 1984: V840070

1986: V860014 1988: V880091 1990: V900029 1992: V923076 1994: V940058 1996: V960046 1998: V980035 2000: V000039 2002: V021106 2004: V041102 2008: V081108a 2012: hhlist_elighhtot

VCF9123

Number of Pre-Election Interviewer Calls

Call number of last interviewer call to housing unit, pre-election.

99. NA; Web mode INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: The actual number of telephone calls or personal trips made to the household by the interviewer is coded here.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800030 1984: V840039 1988: V880028 1992: V923032 1996: V960029 2000: V000027,V000028 2002: V022003 2004: V042010a 2008: V082007

VCF9124

Number of Post-Election Interviewer Calls

Call number of last interviewer call to housing unit, post-election.

99. NA; Web mode INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: The actual number of telephone calls or personal trips made to the household by the interviewer is coded here.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780035 1980: V800038 1982: V820043 1984: V840057 1986: V860011 1988: V880055 1990: V900037

1992: V925011 1994: V940043 1996: V960919 1998: V980022 2000: V000150,V000151 2002: V024003 2004: V044017 2008: V084007

VCF9125

Post-Election Persuasion Letter 1978-2012

Was a persuasion letter requested/sent to the respondent?

1. Yes 5. No

9. NA; no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: This is a post-election variable. In 1980, 1992, 1996,2000 this question appeared in both the pre and post; POST data are represented here. 2012 NOTE: Refusal conversion letter. 2016 NOTE: All Pre respondents were sent at least 1 letter in the Post

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780034 1980: V800034 1982: V820038 1986: V860010 1988: V880029 1990: V900036 1992: V925024 1994: V940093 1996: V960916 1998: V980018 2000: V000146 2002: V024020 2012: admin_post_ltr_refcon

VCF9131

Less Government Better OR Government Do More

Next, I am going to ask you to choose which of two statements I read comes closer to your own opinion. You might agree to some extent with both, but we want to know which one is closer to your (2000: own) views: ONE, the less government the better; or TWO, there are more things that government should be doing

- 1. Less government the better
- 2. More things government should be doing
- 8. DK; both, depends (1990,1992)

9. NA; Form B (1990); no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: The order in which items VCF9131-VCF9134 were administered may have varied by study. Interviewer instruction: [IF NECESSARY, PROBE 'Which Closer' ONCE EACH QUESTION] 2000 NOTE: A code for 'both, depends' was not used. 2004 NOTE: A code for 'both, depends' was not used. WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1990: V900333 1992: V925729 2000: V001420 2004: V045152 2012: govrole_lessmore 2016: V162185

VCF9132

Govt Handle Economy OR Free Market Can Handle

Next, I am going to ask you to choose which of two statements I read comes closer to your own opinion. You might agree to some extent with both, but we want to know which one is closer to your (2000: own) views: ONE, we need a strong government to handle today's complex economic problems; or TWO, the free market can handle these problems without government being involved

Strong government
 Free market
 DK; both, depends (1990,1992)

9. NA; Form B (1990); no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See notes VCF9131.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1990: V900331 1992: V925730 2000: V001421 2004: V045151 2012: govrole_market 2016: V162184

VCF9133

Govt Too Involved in Things OR Problems Require

Next, I am going to ask you to choose which of two statements

I read comes closer to your own opinion. You might agree to some extent with both, but we want to know which one is closer to your (2000: own) views:

ONE, the main reason government has become bigger over the years is because it has gotten involved in things that people should do for themselves; or

TWO, government has become bigger because the problems we face have become bigger

1. Gotten involved in things

2. Problems we face are bigger

8. DK; both, depends (1990,1992)

9. NA; Form B (1990); no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See notes VCF9131.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1990: V900335 1992: V925731 2000: V001422 2004: V045150 2012: govrole_big 2016: V162183

VCF9134

More Important to Be Cooperative OR Self-reliant

Next, I am going to ask you to choose which of two statements I read comes closer to your own opinion. You might agree to some extent with both, but we want to know which one is closer to your (2000: own) views: ONE, it is more important to be a cooperative person who works well with others; or TWO, it is more important to be a self-reliant person able to take care of oneself

.....

Cooperative
 Self-reliant
 DK; both, depends (1990)

9. NA; Form B (1990); no Post IW INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See notes VCF9131.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1990: V900334 2000: V001423 Election Office Number

VOTE VALIDATION STUDY: Election Office Number

3-DigitNumber 1-182 Coded, Except:

998. R self-report registered outside of area, attempt made by phone to elicit registration and vote information from outside area election office (1984,1990 only)
000. Records not checked (1984,1986 only)
INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

Vars VCF9149-9155 are variables related to special Vote Validation studies which have been conducted for some years of the ANES time series. Vote validation studies were conducted in 1964, 1976, 1978, 1980, 1984, 1986, 1988, and 1990. Information available in the Study data across these years varies in completeness and coding; for some of these years the Study data do not provide information (or sufficient information) to code some variables VCF9149-9155. This is the jurisdiction, county or municipality in which registration and voting records are located for persons living in the particular sample segment in which R was living when interviewed. In 1988 and 1990 only, if records were not checked for R, the vote validation office number which would have been assigned to R if his/her records had been checked was coded. In 1984 and 1990 only, if R reported that s/he was registered outside of the sample area, telephone contact with the outside election office was made to attempt to elicit registration and vote information about R. In 1986 and 1988, no such attempt was made, and Rs who selfreported their registration outside the sample area were not included in vote validation checks for those years. Note that in 1986 1 case coded 87 and 2 cases coded 152 in the original office number variable (VCF0772) should have been MD (records not checked/ registered out of area); they are corrected here (000). For a description of cases in code 000 SEE VCF9152 (codes 2-7).

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V841120 1986: V860772 1988: V881117 1990: V900714

VCF9150

R Registration Status (Self-reported)

VOTE VALIDATION STUDY: R Registration Status (Self-reported)

- 1. Yes, implicit (R self-report voted)
- 2. Yes, explicit
- 3. No, not registered; DK; NA; etc.

0. no Post IW (no self-report of registration) (1980,1984,1988) INAP. question not used

See NOTE VCF9149. GENERAL NOTE: CODE 1: Respondents who said that they voted have implicitly claimed

to be registered to vote (even 'same-day registration' states usually require registration). CODE 2: Only those who said that they did not vote are explicitly asked if they were registered so they could have voted.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1978: V780470,V780484 1980: V800988,V801011 1984: V840783,V84080 1986: V860276 1988: V881144 1990: V900279,V900280 2012: prevote_voted, postvote_rvote

VCF9151

R Turnout (Self-reported)

VOTE VALIDATION STUDY: R Turnout (Self-reported)

Yes, voted
 No, did not vote

8. DK; NA; refused9. 1976 non-Panel Rs0. no Post IW (no self-report of vote)INAP. question not used

GENERAL NOTE: See NOTE VCF9149 1976 NOTE: Only 1976 time-series respondents who also participated in the 1972-1974-1976 panel study (ICPSR 7607) were included in 1976 vote validation. In vars 9151,9152,9154,9155, 1976 prepost Rs who did not also participate in the panel study are INAP.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640286 1976: V763655 1978: V780470 1980: V800988 1984: V840783 1986: V860261 1988: V860261 1988: V880756,V881147 1990: V900279

VCF9152

Attempted Validation of Registration

VOTE VALIDATION STUDY: Attempted Validation of Registration

- 1. Yes, attempted
- 2. No: R says is not registered (1984-1990); R says not required/DK/NA if registered (1984-1990)
- 3. No: No respondent named and/or insufficient address (all years); registerd out of area (except 1984,1990); Washington DC [Note:

code 2 has priority]

- 4. No: Same-day registration (1964,1980)
- 5. No: Records not sent out due to: Office error (1980); No Post IW (1964,some cases in 1976)
- 7. No: Office refuses all access to registration records

0. 1976 non-Panel Rs

INAP. question not used

_____ See NOTE VCF9149. GENERAL NOTE: Code 1: For 1984 and 1990, code 1 includes telephone attempts at validation of cases registered outside of sample area (998 in VCF9149). Similar attempts were not made in other years. For 1980, code 1 includes 31 cases (code 9 in original var 1207) where respondent was discovered to be registered at a different address: NOTE, however, that for these cases no effort was subsequently made to Code 2: Applies to 1984-1990 only. In 1984-1990, vote validation was intended for Rs who: 1) reported that they voted; or 2) reported that they didn't vote but were registered; or 3) had no post IW. That is, in years 1984-1990, POST RS WHO DID NOT REPORT THAT THEY WERE REGISTERED WERE NOT VALIDATED. Codes 3-7: All years: complications in the ability to carry out registration records-checks prevented the validation of registration status for some Rs for whom validation was originally intended. Validation was intended in 1984-90 for all Rs except nonvoters who didn't report being registered. Prior to 1984, vote validation was intended for all ANES respondents [exception: alidation was not intended for 1964 no-posts (code 5). Validation was not intended for 1976 no-posts, however ANES was able to carry out validation for some 1976 no-posts.] Code 4: although registration status was not checked (assumed unnecessary), voting records WERE checked (for 1980, 1 in VCF9153). 1986 NOTE: 4 cases for which validation was not attempted (due to insufficient address, no name, or registered out of area) were mistakenly coded 'attempted.' They are corrected in VCF9152 (code 3) and in VCF9154-9155 (code 0). 1976 NOTE: Only 1976 time-series respondents who also participated in the 1972-1974-1976 panel study (ICPSR 7607) were included in 1976 vote validation. In vars 9151,9152,9154,9155, 1976 prepost Rs who did not also participate in the panel study are INAP. WFIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640423, V64042 1976: V765003 1978: V781400

1978: V781400 1980: V801207 1984: V841132 1986: V860798 1988: V881143 1990: V900712

VCF9153

Status of Office Voting Records

VOTE VALIDATION STUDY: Status of Office Voting Records

- 1. Office records appear to be adequate, no information about deficiencies
- 2. Some office voting records not accessible*
- 3. R's name unknown and/or insufficient address and/or registered out of area** (not 1984,1986: see code 0)

- 5. No office voting records accessible***
- DK (1980: 0,9 in orig. dataset var 1207: 27 cases office error [5 in VCF9152] and 31 cases registered at different address [see note VCF9152]; 1976: 5 in VCF9152, records not sent to field)
- 9. Office refused (7 in VCF9152)
- 0. Office not identified (0 in VCF9149: 1984,1986 only); 1976 non-Panel Rs

See NOTE VCF9149, VCF9152. GENERAL NOTE: This variable basically describes conditions at the records office identified in VCF9149: A- If R's election office was identified in VCF9149 then VCF9153 has been coded-- regardless whether R was validated or not applies specifically to 1988, 1990). B- In 1984 and 1986, R's records office was not identified if R was unvalidated (0 in VCF9149): 1984 and 1986 unvalidated Rs appear in MD code 0. C- Records offices were not identified at all prior to 1984, however some (limited) information about cases of inaccessibility of voting records was obtained during 1980, 1978 and 1976. NOTE that all 1976-1980 cases have been coded 1 except for such exceptions (described below and coded 5) and for name/address problems (code 3). In 1978 and 1976, identification of records office (VCF9149) was not established, and minimal information about condition/accessibility of office records was collected. Information available from 1978 is that in 32 cases (8 in original var 1409) of Rs with active registration (coded 1 in VCF9152), interviewers were unable to check voting records at all: these cases have been coded 5 in VCF9153. Similarly, information available from 1976 indicates that in 31 cases of Rs (weighted: code 8 in original var 5012) with active registration status (coded 1 in VCF9152), interviewers were unable to check vote records: these cases have also been coded 5 in VCF9153. No cases in VCF9153 are coded 2 for 1978 or 1976. Code 1: 1984: includes 40 cases which were coded 67, 68, or 998 in VCF9149 (election office) and which were labeled 'problem with registration records' in the original dataset's variable (V1129). For all but 11 of these 40 cases, however, a voting record was found, and, of the 11 for whom no voting record was subsequently found, 7 were cases of telephone (limited) attempt at validation. Code 3: Except for 1984 and 1986, code 3 encompasses situations in which it was impossible to check specifically for R's records. Information identical to code 3 for 1984 and 1986 is found in VCF9152 code 3. Code 5: 1984: No cases appear in code 5 for 1984, because the original dataset variable combined cases of voting records 'wholly OR partially unavailable' into a single code category (contained here in code 2) CODE ANNOTATION: 1988: election offices 65,75,80,81,89,90,110,111 1986: election offices 3, 17, 37-39, 50, 75, 111, 125, 145, 156. 1984: election offices 61.65.76.79.82 ** 1990: 9 in orig. dataset var V2003 ** 1988: 2,9 in orig. dataset var V1143. *** 1990: election office 182 *** 1988: code 1 in orig. dataset var 1145 [all cases, election office 76]

*** 1986: election offices 68,69,79 and 1 case election office 169 (3 other cases in 169 coded 1 in VCF9153 in accordance with original dataset)

*** 1976-1980: see above

1980 NOTE:

Identification of records office (VCF9149) was not established, and minimal information about condition/accessibility of office records was collected. Information available is that in 1980 in Montgomery County Alabama, Madison County Alabama, and Acadia Parish Lousiana, the electoral offices would not allow any voting records to be accessible (20 cases, 5 in VCF9153)-- although the office had already allowed verification of registration status (coded 1 in VCF9152). There are no cases in code 2 for 1980.

INAP. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1976: V765011 1978: V781409 1980: V801207 1984: V841129 1986: V860800 1988: V881117,V881143,V881145 1990: V900712,V900730

VCF9154

Was R Registration Validated

VOTE VALIDATION STUDY: Was R Registration Validated

- 1. Yes, a registration record was found that matches
- 2. A registration record was found but it is inactive/purged/to be processed (1976,1978,1984-1990 only, see note above)

- 5. No registration record was found
- 8. DK (1980 ONLY: code 9 in original var 1207, 31 cases registered at different address)
- 0. 3,7 in VCF9152 (all years); 2 in VCF9152 (1984-1990 only); 5 in VCF9152 (1964,1976,1980 only); 4 in VCF9152 (1964,1980 only)

9. 1976 non-Panel Rs

INAP. question not used

See NOTE VCF9149, VCF9152. GENERAL NOTE:

NOTE that information describing status [code 2] of non-active files was not collected systematically before 1984. Non-activeregistration cases prior to 1984 appear in either code 1 or code 5, with the following exceptions: in 1978, original var 1408 indicated whether registration records were eligible/active on election day (or ineligible/inactive); 53 cases of inactive registration in 1978 have been coded 2. Similarly, in 1976, original var 5003 indicated a registration record but inactive for 70 cases, who have been coded 2 in VCF9154.

Code 1 includes several cases identified as 'registration record found, NA if active/inactive' (applies specifically to 1988, 1990). Code 2 for 1990 includes 1 case of 'other' inactive file and 2 cases of 'NA' type of inactive file.

1976 NOTE:

Only 1976 time-series respondents who also participated in the 1972-1974-1976 panel study (ICPSR 7607) were included in 1976 vote validation. In vars 9151,9152,9154,9155, 1976 prepost Rs who did not also participate in the panel study are INAP.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS:

1964: V640423,V64042 1976: V765002,V765003 1978: V781400,V781408 1980: V801207 1984: V841121 1986: V860773,V86077 1988: V881146 1990: V900748

VCF9155

Was R Vote Validated

VOTE VALIDATION STUDY: Was R Vote Validated

- 1. Yes
- 3. Registration record was found, no record of R voting
- 5. No registration record found; no record of voting found
- 0. 3,7 in VCF9152 (all years); 2 in VCF9152 (1984 1990 only); 5 in VCF9152 (1964,1976); 5 in VCF9152 or 8 in VCF9153 (1980 only)
- 9. 1976 non-Panel Rs

INAP. Inap. question not used

GENERAL NOTE:

See note VCF9149, VCF9152.

Code 3 and code 5 include cases of limited access to vote records (codes 2 and 5 in VCF9153). Codes 3 and 5 also incorporate 1984 and 1990 cases of attempted validation by phone of Rs registered out of area (998 in VCF9149).

In cases where registration is not required or where voting and registration lists are for some reason kept entirely separate, this code implies that an independent check for voting was also done and no record of R voting was found.

In all Pre-Post years except 1964 (and some cases in 1976), this variable includes in valid codes respondents who did not give a postelection interview.

1964 and 1980 are the only years with cases categorized for same-day registration. Registration was not 'checked' in such cases but voting records WERE checked (4 in VCF9152 and [1980] 1 in VCF9153). The results of the vote record checks for "same-day registration" Rs in 1964 and 1980 are represented here in codes 1 (voted) and 3 (did not vote), with nocases of same-day registration coded 5. 1976 NOTE:

Only 1976 time-series respondents who also participated in the 1972-1974-1976 panel study (ICPSR 7607) were included in 1976 vote validation. In vars VCF9151,9152,9154,9155, 1976 prepost Rs who did not also participate in the panel study are INAP.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1964: V640423,V640424,V64042 1976: V765002,V765012 1978: V781400,V781409,V781411 1980: V801206 1984: V841121,V84113 1986: V860773,V860778,V860789,V86080 1988: V881148 1990: V900754,V900756

VCF9201

CSES: Like-dislike (11pt) scale placement for Democratic party

(I'd like to know what you think about each of our political parties. After I read the name of a political party, please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that party and 10 means that you strongly like that party. If I come to a party you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so.) [The first party is: / Using the same scale where would you place:] the Democratic party

{INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

0. Strongly dislike 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Strongly like -7. Haven't heard of (2008,2012 only) -8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used Administered with Respondent Booklet.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1996: V961470 2004: V045257 2008: V085187a 2012: cses_dptylike 2016: V162283

VCF9202

CSES: Like-dislike (11pt) scale placement for Republican party

(I'd like to know what you think about each of our political parties. After I read the name of a political party, please rate it on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that party and 10 means that you strongly like that party. If I come to a party you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so.) [The first party is: / Using the same scale where would you place:] the Republican party {INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

- 0. Strongly dislike
- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7. 8.
- o. 9.
- 10. Strongly like

-7. Haven't heard of (2008,2012 only)

-8. DK

-9. RF; NA; no post data

INAP. Inap. question not used

Administered with Respondent Booklet.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1996: V961471 2004: V045258 2008: V085187b 2012: cses_rptylike 2016: V162284

VCF9203

CSES: Do any of the parties in the U.S. represent R's view reasonably well

Would you say that any of the parties in the United States represents your views reasonably well? {INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

1. Yes 2. No -8. DK

-9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2004: V045248 2008: V085185 2016: V162278

VCF9204

CSES: Which political party is it that represents R's views best

(Would you say that any of the parties in the United States represents your views reasonably well?) Which party represents your views best? {INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Democratic
 Republican
 Other {SPECIFY}

-8. DK
-9. RF; NA; skip: no party represents views; no Post data INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2004: V045248a 2008: V085185a 2016: V162279

VCF9205

Which party would do a better job handling the nation's economy

Which party do you think would do a better job of handling the nation's economy, the Democrats, the Republicans, or wouldn't there be much difference between them? {1996: IF 'NO DIFFERENCE' AND 'NEITHER PARTY' ARE VOLUNTEERED, DO NOT PROBE RESPONSES. 2000-later: IF 'DK' OR 'NEITHER PARTY' IS VOLUNTEERED, DO NOT PROBE]}

1. Democrats 2. No difference

3. Republican

7. Neither party {VOL} (exc. 1996,2004)

-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

1992 NOTE: Respondent booklet used. 1996,2002-later: Order of parties was randomized in the question text.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1990: V900390 1992: V923545 1994: V940829 1996: V960397 2000: V000505 2002: V023031 2004: V043109 2008: V083093 2012: ptyperf_ptyecon 2016: V161144

VCF9206

Better when one party controls both the Presidency and U.S. Congress or when control is split

Do you think it is better when one party controls both the presidency and Congress; better when control is split between the Democrats and Republicans, or doesn't it matter?

- 1. One party control both
- 2. Control is split
- 3. It doesn't matter

-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V925732

1998: V980426 2000: V000397 2002: V023021 2004: V043060 2008: V083053 2012: divgov_splitgov 2016: V161136

VCF9207

CSES: Like-dislike (11pt) scale placement for Democratic Presidential candidate

1996:

And now, using the same scale, I'd like to ask you how much you like or dislike some political leaders. Again, if I come to a leader you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so. The first political leader is: -Democratic Presidential candidate name-2008,2012: (And what do you think of the presidential candidates? After I read the name of a presidential candidate, please rate them on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that candidate and 10 means that you strongly like that candidate. If I come to a presidential candidate you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so.)

[The first is: / Using the same scale, where would you place:] -Democratic Presidential candidate name-2016:

Using the same scale, where would you place: -Democratic Presidential candidate name-

O. Strongly dislike
 1.
 2.
 3.
 4.
 5.
 6.
 7.
 8.
 9.
 10. Strongly like
 -7. Haven't heard of (2008,2012 only)
 -8. DK
 -9. NA; no post data
 INAP. Inap. guestion not used

Administered with Respondent Booklet. 2016 NOTE: Administration for Presidential candidates were asked after administration for parties, without separate introduction.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1996: V961473 2008: V085188b 2012: cses_dpclike

2016: V162285

VCF9208

CSES: Like-dislike (11pt) scale placement for Republican Presidential candidate

1996:

And now, using the same scale, I'd like to ask you how much you like or dislike some political leaders. Again, if I come to a leader you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so. The first political leader is: -Republican Presidential candidate name-2008,2012:

(And what do you think of the presidential candidates? After I read the name of a presidential candidate, please rate them on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means you strongly dislike that candidate and 10 means that you strongly like that candidate. If I come to a presidential candidate you haven't heard of or you feel you do not know enough about, just say so.)

[The first is: / Using the same scale, where would you place:] -Republican Presidential candidate name-2016:

Using the same scale, where would you place: -Republican Presidential candidate name-

- 0. Strongly dislike
- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7.
- 8. 9.

10. Strongly like

-7. Haven't heard of (2008,2012 only)
-8. DK
-9. NA; no post data
INAP. Inap. question not used

Administered with Respondent Booklet. 2016 NOTE: Administration for Presidential candidates were asked after administration for parties, without separate introduction.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1996: V961474 2008: V085188a 2012: cses_rpclike 2016: V162286

VCF9209

How well does _provides strong leadership_ describe: Democratic Presidential candidate (no RB, 5 cat)

[Think about -Democratic Presidential candidate name-. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he provides strong leadership' describe -name- extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all? / (What about) 'provides strong leadership'? (Does this phrase describe -Democratic Presidential candidate nameextremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all?)]

Extremely well
 Very well
 Moderately well
 Slightly well
 Not well at all

-8. DK-9. RF; NA; half sample administration (2008)INAP. Inap. question not used

The order of candidates and order of traits were randomized. For the first trait administered, wording begain "Think about..."

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2008: V083100b 2012: ctrait_dpclead 2016: V161159

VCF9210

How well does _really cares about people like you_ describe: Democratic Presidential candidate (no RB, 5 cat)

[Think about -Democratic Presidential candidate name-. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he really cares about people like you' describe -name- extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all? / (What about) 'really cares about people like you'? (Does this phrase describe -Democratic Presidential candidate nameextremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all?)]

- 1. Extremely well
- 2. Very well
- 3. Moderately well
- 4. Slightly well

5. Not well at all

-8. DK-9. RF; NA; half sample administration (2008)INAP. Inap. question not used

The order of candidates and order of traits were randomized. For the first trait administered, wording begain "Think about..."

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2008: V083100c 2012: ctrait_dpccare 2016: V161160

VCF9211

How well does _knowledgeable_ describe: Democratic Presidential candidate (no RB, 5 cat)

2[Think about -Democratic Presidential candidate name-. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is knowledgeable' describe -name- extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all? / (What about) 'knowledgeable'? (Does this phrase describe -Democratic Presidential candidate nameextremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all?)]

Extremely well
 Very well
 Moderately well
 Slightly well
 Not well at all

-8. DK
-9. RF; NA; half sample administration (2008)
INAP. Inap. question not used

The order of candidates and order of traits were randomized. For the first trait administered, wording begain "Think about..."

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2008: V083100d 2012: ctrait_dpcknow 2016: V161161

VCF9212

How well does _honest_ describe: Democratic Presidential candidate (no RB, 5 cat)

[Think about -Democratic Presidential candidate name-. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is honest' describe -name- extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all? / (What about) 'honest'? (Does this phrase describe -Democratic Presidential candidate nameextremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all?)]

1. Extremely well

2. Very well

3. Moderately well

Slightly well

5. Not well at all

-8. DK-9. RF; NA; half sample administration (2008)INAP. Inap. question not used

The order of candidates and order of traits were randomized. For the first trait administered, wording begain "Think about..."

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2008: V083100f 2012: ctrait_dpchonst 2016: V161162

VCF9213

How well does _provides strong leadership_ describe: Republican Presidential candidate (no RB, 5 cat)

[Think about -Republican Presidential candidate name-. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he provides strong leadership' describe -name- extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all? / (What about) 'provides strong leadership'? (Does this phrase describe -Republican Presidential candidate nameextremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all?)]

- 1. Extremely well
- 2. Very well
- 3. Moderately well
- 4. Slightly well
- 5. Not well at all

-8. DK -9. RF; NA; half sample administration (2008) INAP. Inap. guestion not used

The order of candidates and order of traits were randomized. For the first trait administered, wording begain "Think about..."

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2008: V083102b 2012: ctrait_rpclead 2016: V161164

VCF9214

How well does _really cares about people like you_ describe: Republican Presidential candidate (no RB, 5 cat)

[Think about -Republican Presidential candidate name-. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he really cares about people like you' describe -name- extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all? / (What about) 'really cares about people like you'? (Does this phrase describe -Republican Presidential candidate nameextremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all?)]

- Extremely well
 Very well
 Moderately well
 - 4. Slightly well
 - 5. Not well at all

-8. DK-9. RF; NA; half sample administration (2008)INAP. Inap. question not used

The order of candidates and order of traits were randomized. For the first trait administered, wording begain "Think about..."

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2008: V083102c 2012: ctrait_rpccare 2016: V161165

VCF9215

How well does _knowledgeable_ describe: Republican Presidential candidate (no RB, 5 cat)

[Think about -Republican Presidential candidate name-.

In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is knowledgeable' describe -name- extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all? / (What about) 'knowledgeable'? (Does this phrase describe -Republican Presidential candidate nameextremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all?)]

- 1. Extremely well
- 2. Very well
- 3. Moderately well
- 4. Slightly well
- 5. Not well at all

-8. DK-9. RF; NA; half sample administration (2008)INAP. Inap. question not used

The order of candidates and order of traits were randomized. For the first trait administered, wording begain "Think about..."

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2008: V083102d 2012: ctrait_rpcknow 2016: V161166

VCF9216

How well does _honest_ describe: Republican Presidential candidate (no RB, 5 cat)

[Think about -Republican Presidential candidate name-. In your opinion, does the phrase 'he is honest' describe -name- extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all? / (What about) 'honest'? (Does this phrase describe -Republican Presidential candidate nameextremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all?)]

- 1. Extremely well
- 2. Very well
- 3. Moderately well
- 4. Slightly well
- 5. Not well at all

-8. DK

-9. RF; NA; half sample administration (2008)

INAP. Inap. question not used

The order of candidates and order of traits were randomized. For the first trait administered, wording begain "Think about..."

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2008: V083102f 2012: ctrait_rpchonst 2016: V161167

VCF9217

Does R approve or disapprove of the way current U.S. President is handling foreign relations

Do you approve or disapprove of the way -President name- is handling (1984,1988-2004: our) relations with foreign countries?

Approve
 Disapprove

-8. DK -9. RF; NA INAP. Inap. guestion not used

1996 NOTE: The order of Presidential performance items was randomized. 2000 NOTE: The order of Presidential performance items was randomized.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1984: V840264 1988: V880255 1990: V900102 1992: V923321 1994: V940205 1996: V960299 1998: V980220 2000: V000515 2002: V023034 2004: V043028 2008: V083030 2012: presapp_foreign 2016: V161084

VCF9218

Does R approve or disapprove of the way current U.S. President is handling health care

Do you approve or disapprove of the way -President name- is handling health care?

- Approve
 Disapprove
- -8. DK -9. RF; NA

INAP. Inap. question not used

1996 NOTE: The order of Presidential performance items was randomized.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1996: V960303 2008: V083032 2012: presapp_health 2016: V161085

VCF9219

Current U.S. President retrospective: U.S. more or less secure, or not changed

2000:

Would you say that compared to 1992, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much? 2008: Would you say that compared to 2000, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much? 2012,2016: Would you say that compared to 2008, the United States is more secure from its foreign enemies, less secure, or hasn't this changed very much?

More secure
 No change
 Less secure

-8. DK

-9. RF; NA; no post data; half sample administration (2000) INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2000: V000823,V001605 2008: V083180 2012: presadm_secure 2016: V161234

VCF9220

Current U.S. President retrospective (since beginning of term): economy better, worse, or same

1992:
Compared to four years ago, would you say that the nation's economy has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?
2000:
Would you say that compared to 1992, the nation's economy is better, worse, or about the same?
2004:
Would you say that compared to 2000, the nation's

economy is better, worse, or about the same? 2016: Would you say that compared to 2008, the nation's economy is now better, worse, or about the same?

Gotten better
 Stayed the same
 Gotten worse

-8. DK
-9. RF; NA; short or Spanish questionnaire (1992); half sample administration (2000)
INAP. Inap. question not used

This describes the change in the economy since the current President was first elected. 1992: George Bush Sr., 2000: Bill Clinton, 2004: George W. Bush, 2016: Barack Obama. Wording in 1998 was not comparable ("since Clinton took office").

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V923535 2000: V000814,V001596 2004: V043213 2016: V161235

VCF9221

CSES: How good or bad a job has the government done in general over the last term of the current administration

Now thinking about the performance of the government in Washington in general, how good or bad a job do you think the government in Washington has done over the past four years. Has it done a very good job? A good job? A bad job? A very bad job? {INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Very good job
 Good job
 Bad job
 Very bad job

-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2004: V045240 2008: V085184 2016: V162277

VCF9222

Are things in this country on the right track or have things seriously gotten off on the wrong track

Do you feel things in this country are generally going in the right

direction, or do you feel things have pretty seriously gotten off on the wrong track?

 Right direction
 Wrong track
 B. DK
 RF; NA
 INAP. Inap. question not used
 WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z
 SOURCE VARS:

1992: V923526 1994: V940901 1998: V980413 2004: V043034x 2008: V083027 2012: presapp_track 2016: V161081

VCF9223

How likely is it that recent immigration levels will take jobs away from people already here

How likely is it that recent immigration levels will take jobs away from people already here-- extremely likely, very likely, somewhat likely, or not at all likely?

Extremely likely
 Very likely
 Somewhat likely
 Not at all likely

-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no Post data INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2004: V045116 2008: V085083 2012: immigpo_jobs 2016: V162158

VCF9224

Is R invested in the stock market

Do you personally, or jointly with a spouse, have any money invested in the stock market right now -- either in an individual stock or in a mutual fund?

1. Yes 2. No -8. DK -9. RF; NA

INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1998: V980425 2000: V000504 2002: V023142 2004: V043065 2008: V083059 2012: wealth_stocks 2016: V161350

VCF9225

Has unemployment in the country gotten better, stayed the same, or gotten worse in the past year

Would you say that over the past year, the level of unemployment in the country has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Better
 Stayed same
 Worse

-8. DK
-9. RF; NA; half sample administration (1986); programming error (2004)
INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1986: V860377 1988: V880239 1992: V923527 2004: V043101 2008: V083087 2012: econ_unpast 2016: V161142

VCF9226

Much or somewhat that the level of unemployment has gotten better/worse

(Would you say that over the past year, the level of unemployment in the country has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?) Would you say much better or somewhat better? / Would you say much worse or somewhat worse?

- 1. Much better
- 2. Somewhat better
- 3. Same
- 4. Somewhat worse
- 5. Much worse

-8. DK

-9. RF; NA; DK/RF/NA whether better or worse; half sample administration (1986); programming error (2004) INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1986: V860378 1988: V880240 1992: V923528 2004: V043102 2008: V083087x 2012: econ_unpast_x 2016: V161142x

VCF9227

Does R think the difference in incomes between rich and poor in the U.S. is larger, smaller, or about the same as 20 years ago

Do you think the difference in incomes between rich people and poor people in the United States today is larger, smaller, or about the same as it was 20 years ago?

Larger
 About the same
 Smaller

-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2004: V045113 2008: V085080 2012: ineq_incgap 2016: V161137

VCF9228

Much or somewhat larger/smaller gap in incomes between rich and poor in the U.S. than 20 years ago

(Do you think the difference in incomes between rich people and poor people in the United States today is larger, smaller, or about the same as it was 20 years ago?) Would you say the difference in incomes is) much larger or somewhat larger? / Would you say the difference in incomes is) much smaller or somewhat smaller?

- 1. Much larger
- 2. Somewhat larger
- 3. About the same
- 4. Somewhat smaller
- 5. Much smaller

-8. DK

-9. RF; NA; DK/RF/NA whether larger or smaller; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2004: V045113a 2008: V085080a 2012: ineq_incgap_x 2016: V161138x

VCF9229

Will unemployment get better, stay the same, or get worse in the next 12 months

How about people out of work during the coming 12 months-- do you think that there will be more unemployment than now, about the same, or less?

1. More unemployment

2. About the same

3. Less unemployment

-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data; programming error (2004) INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V926152 2004: V043103 2008: V083088 2012: econ_unnext 2016: V161143

VCF9230

Should the federal government encourage or discourage American companies to replace U.S. workers with foreign workers, or stay out

Recently, some big American companies have been hiring workers in foreign countries to replace workers in the U.S. Do you think the federal government should discourage companies from doing this, encourage companies to do this, or stay out of this matter?

Discourage companies
 Should stay out of this matter

3. Encourage companies

-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2004: V045142 2008: V085103 2012: outsource_enc 2016: V162177

VCF9231

Does R favor or oppose placing limits on imports to protect American jobs (or haven't you thought much about this)

Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say that such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports, or haven't you thought much about this?

Favor new limits
 Oppose new limits
 -7. Haven't thought

-8. DK-9. RF; NA; no post data; half sample administration (2000)INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1988: V880376 1992: V923802 1996: V961327 1998: V980490 2000: V000511a 2004: V045114 2008: V085081 2012: imports_limit 2016: V162152b

VCF9232

Does R favor or oppose the U.S. government torturing people who are suspected of being terrorists, to try to get information

Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose the U.S. government torturing people, who are suspected of being terrorists, to try to get information?

Favor
 Neither favor nor oppose
 Oppose

-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2008: V085232 2012: dhs_torture 2016: V162295

VCF9233

How much does R favor/oppose use of U.S. govt torture [of those suspected of being terrorists]

(Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose the U.S. government torturing people, who are suspected of being

terrorists, to try to get information?) Do you favor that a great deal, moderately, or a little? / Do you oppose that a great deal, moderately, or a little?

- 1. Favor a great deal
- 2. Favor moderately
- 3. Favor a little
- 4. Neither favor nor oppose
- 5. Oppose a little
- 6. Oppose moderately
- 7. Oppose a great deal

-8. DK
-9. RF; NA; DK/RF/NA whether favor or oppose; no post data; programming error (2016)
INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2008: V085232x 2012: dhs_torture,dhs_torturefav,dhs_tortureopp 2016: V162295x

VCF9234

Abortion issue placement for Democratic Presidential candidate

1992:

What do you think is -Democratic Presidential candidate name-'s position on abortion? 1996,2004-LATER: Where would you place -Democratic Presidential candidate name-(on this scale)? 2000: FTF: Where would you place -Democratic Presidential candidate nameon abortion? PHONE: What about -Democratic Presidential candidate name-(on abortion)? Which opinion best agrees with his views?

1. By law, abortion should never be permitted

- 2. The law should permit only in cases of rape, incest or danger
- 3. The law should permit for reasons other than rape, incest or danger
- 4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion
- -8. DK
 -9. RF; NA; no post data; half sample administration (2008); VOL: other (1992,1996,2000,2004,2008,2012)
 INAP. Inap. question not used

Respondent booklet was used. After 1992, the order of Presidential candidates was randomized.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V923734 1996: V960506 2000: V000696

2004: V045135
2008: V085089a
2012: abort_dpc4
2016: V162181

VCF9235

Abortion issue placement for Republican Presidential candidate

1992:
What do you think is -Democratic Presidential candidate name-'s position on abortion?
1996,2004-LATER:
Where would you place -Democratic Presidential candidate name-(on this scale)?
2000:
FTF: Where would you place -Democratic Presidential candidate name-on abortion?
PHONE: What about -Democratic Presidential candidate name-(on abortion)? Which opinion best agrees with his views?

By law, abortion should never be permitted
 The law should permit only in cases of rape, incest or danger
 The law should permit for reasons other than rape, incest or danger
 By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion

-8. DK
-9. RF; NA; no post data; half sample administration (2008); VOL: other (1992,1996,2000,2004,2008,2012)
INAP. Inap. question not used

Respondent booklet was used. After 1992, the order of Presidential candidates was randomized.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V923733 1996: V960509 2000: V000698 2004: V045134 2008: V085089b 2012: abort_rpc4 2016: V162182

VCF9236

Does R favor or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder

Do you favor or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?

1. Favor 2. Oppose

-8. DK; depends (VOL)
-9. RF; NA; no post data
INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1988: V880854 1990: V900477 1992: V925933 1994: V941041 1996: V961197 1998: V980503 2000: V000749 2004: V043186 2008: V083163 2012: penalty_favdpen 2016: V161233

VCF9237

R strongly or not strongly favor/oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder

(Do you favor or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?) Do you favor the death penalty for persons convicted of murder strongly or not strongly? / Do you oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder strongly or not strongly?

Favor strongly
 Favor not strong
 Oppose not strong
 Oppose strongly

-8. DK -9. RF; NA; DK/RF/NA whether favor or oppose; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1988: V880855 1990: V900478 1992: V925934 1994: V941042 1996: V961198 1998: V980504 2000: V000752 2004: V043187 2008: V083163x 2012: penalty_favopp_x 2016: V161233x

VCF9238

Should the government make it more difficult or easier to buy a gun, or should the rules stay the same as they are now

Do you think the federal government should make it more difficult for people to buy a gun than it is now, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?

- 1. More difficult
- 2. Keep these rules about the same
- 3. Make it easier

-8. DK; depends (VOL)-9. RF; NAINAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2000: V000728 2004: V043188 2008: V083164 2012: gun_control 2016: V161187

VCF9239

How important is gun control issue to R

(Do you think the federal government should make it more difficult for people to buy a gun than it is now, make it easier for people to buy a gun, or keep these rules about the same as they are now?) How important is this issue to you personally? Not at all important, not too important, somewhat important, very important, or extremely important?

- 1. Extremely important
- 2. Very important
- 3. Somewhat important
- 4. Not too important
- 5. Not at all important

-8. DK-9. RF; NA; half sample administration (2008)INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2000: V000732 2004: V043190 2008: V083164a 2012: gun_importance 2016: V161188

VCF9240

CSES: Left-right (11pt) scale placement for R

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place yourself on a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right? {2004-LATER: INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

- 0. Left 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- September 10, 2019

5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Right -8. DK -9. NA; no Post data INAP. Inap. question not used WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z SOURCE VARS: 1996: V961484 2004: V045271 2008: V085191 2012: cses_selfleft

VCF9241

CSES: Left-right (11pt) scale placement for Democratic party

2016: V162289

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place the Democratic party on a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means the left and 10 means the right? {INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

0. Left

-7. Haven't heard of (2004,2008,2012)
10. Right
-8. DK
-9. NA; no Post data
INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2004: V045260 2008: V085189a 2012: cses_dptyleft 2016: V162287

VCF9242

CSES: Left-right (11pt) scale placement for Republican party

Using the same scale, where would you place the Republican party? {INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

- 0. Left 1. 2. 3. 4.
- 5.

6.
7.
8.
9.
10. Right
-7. Haven't heard of (2004,2008,2012)
-8. DK
-9. NA; no Post data
INAP. Inap. question not used
WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2004: V045261 2008: V085189b 2012: cses_rptyleft 2016: V162288

VCF9243

Would R call self a born-again Christian /consider self a born-again Christian / had the deep religious experience described as being born again

1980,1984: Some people have had deep religious experiences which have transformed their lives. I'm thinking of experiences sometimes described as "being born again in one's life." There are deeply religious people who have not had an experience of this sort. How about you; have you had such an experience? 1988: Do you consider yourself a born-again Christian? 1990,1992,1996-2000,2008: Would you call yourself a born-again Christian, that is, have you personally had a conversion experience related to Jesus Christ?

1. Yes

2. No

-8. DK
-9. RF; NA; R not Christian; programming error (2016)
INAP. Inap. question not used

For panel short form cases, 1990 data were included.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V801175 1984: V841080 1988: V880529 1990: V900545 1992: V923847 1996: V960601 1998: V980568 2000: V000903 2008: V083203 2012: relig_bornagn

2016: V161263

VCF9244

How often can R trust people

Generally speaking, how often can you trust other people? Always, most of the time, about half the time, once in a while, or never?

- 1. Always
- 2. Most of the time
- 3. About half the time
- 4. Once in a while
- 5. Never

-8. DK-9. RF; NA; half sample administration (2008)INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2008: V083092b 2012: trust_social 2016: V161219

VCF9245

How satisfied is R with R's life

All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole these days? Would you say that you are extremely satisfied, very satisfied, moderately satisfied, slightly satisfied, or not satisfied at all?

- 1. Extremely satisfied
- 2. Very satisfied
- 3. Moderately satisfied
- 4. Slightly satisfied
- 5. Not satisfied at all

-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2008: V085310 2012: happ_lifesatisf 2016: V161522

VCF9246

Which is more important for a child to have: curiosity or good manners

(Although there are a number of qualities that people

feel that children should have, every person thinks that some are more important than others. I am going to read you pairs of desirable qualities. For each pair please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have:) curiosity or good manners

Curiosity
 Both (VOL)
 Good manners

-8. DK-9. RF; NA; short questionnaire (1992); no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V926021 2000: V001588 2004: V045209 2008: V085159 2012: auth_cur 2016: V162240

VCF9247

Which is more important for a child to have: obedience or self-reliance

(Although there are a number of qualities that people feel that children should have, every person thinks that some are more important than others. I am going to read you pairs of desirable qualities. For each pair please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have:) obedience or self-reliance

Obedience
 Both (VOL)
 Sel
 -reliance

 -8. DK
 -9. RF; NA; short questionnaire (1992); no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V926020 2000: V001587 2004: V045210 2008: V085160 2012: auth_obed 2016: V162241

VCF9248

Which is more important for a child to be: considerate or well-behaved

(Although there are a number of qualities that people feel that children should have, every person thinks that

some are more important than others. I am going to read you pairs of desirable qualities. For each pair please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have:) being considerate or well behaved

Being considerate
 Both (VOL)
 Well behaved

-8. DK
-9. RF; NA; short questionnaire (1992); no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V926022 2000: V001589 2004: V045211 2008: V085161 2012: auth_consid 2016: V162242

VCF9249

Which is more important for a child to have: independence or respect for elders

(Although there are a number of qualities that people feel that children should have, every person thinks that some are more important than others. I am going to read you pairs of desirable qualities. For each pair please tell me which one you think is more important for a child to have:) independence or respect for elders

Independence
 Both (VOL)
 Respect for elders

-8. DK -9. RF; NA; short questionnaire (1992); no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V926019 2000: V001586 2004: V045208 2008: V085158 2012: auth_ind 2016: V162239

VCF9250

CSES: Who votes for makes a big diffence - (5pt) scale placement for R

Some people say that no matter who people vote for, it won't make any difference to what happens. Others say that who people vote for can make a big difference to what happens.

Using the scale in the booklet, (where one means that voting won't make any difference to what happens and five means that voting can make a big difference), where would you place yourself? {INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Won't make a difference
 .
 .
 .
 .
 Can make a big difference

-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

1996,2004 wording were not comparable.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2008: V085183 2012: cses_diffvote 2016: V162282

VCF9251

How well does R understand the important political issues facing our country

How well do you understand the important political issues facing our country? Extremely well, very well, moderately well, slightly well, or not well at all?

- 1. Extremely well
- 2. Very well
- 3. Moderately well
- 4. Slightly well
- 5. Not well at all

-8. DK
-9. RF; NA; half sample administration (2008,2012); no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2008: V083080b 2012: effic_undrev 2016: V162218

VCF9252

How often do politics and government seem so complicated that R can't really understand what's going on

How often do politics and government seem so complicated that you can't really understand what's going on? All the time, most of the time, about half the time, some of the time, or never?

All the time
 Most of the time
 About half the time
 Some of the time
 Never

-8. DK
-9. RF; NA; half sample administration (2008,2012); no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2008: V083080a 2012: effic_complicrev 2016: V162217

VCF9253

CSES: Make big difference who is in power (5pt) scale placement for R

Please look at page 10 in the booklet. Some people say that it doesn't make any difference who is in power. Others say that it makes a big difference who is in power. Using the scale in the booklet, (where ONE means that it doesn't make any difference who is in power and FIVE means that it makes a big difference who is in power), where would you place yourself? {INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

- 1. It doesnt make a difference who is in power
- 2. 3.

4.

5. It makes a big difference who is in power

-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

1996,2004 wording not comparable

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2008: V085182 2012: cses_diffpower 2016: V162281

VCF9254

CSES: How satisfied is R with the way democracy works in the U.S. (4cat: satisfied-not at all satisfied)

On the whole, are you satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in the United States?

Satisfied
 Fairly satisfied
 Not very satisfied
 Not at all satisfied
 -8. DK

-9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1996: V961459 2000: V001651 2002: V025179

VCF9255

CSES: How satisfied is R with the way democracy works in the U.S. (4cat: very satisfied-not at all satisfied)

On the whole, are you very satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in the United States? {INTERVIEWER: DO NOT PROBE DON'T KNOW}

Very satisfied
 Fairly satisfied
 Not very satisfied
 Not at all satisfied

-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2004: V045241 2008: V085194 2012: cses_satisdem 2016: V162290

VCF9256

Was R able to devote any time to volunteer work in the last 12 months

Many people say they have less time these days to do volunteer work. What about you, were you able to devote any time to volunteer work in the last 12 months (2000-later: or did you not do so)?

Yes
 No
 -8. DK
 -9. RF; NA; no post data
 INAP. Inap. guestion not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V926138 1996: V961257 2000: V001474 2002: V025100 2004: V045171 2008: V085128 2012: involv_voltr 2016: V162197

VCF9257

Has R worked with others to deal with issue in community during the past 12 months

During the past 12 months, have you worked with other people to deal with some issue facing your community?

Yes
 No
 -8. DK
 -9. RF; NA; no post data
 INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2000: V001491 2002: V025163 2004: V045166 2008: V085124 2012: involv_communwk 2016: V162195

VCF9258

Did R attend a meeting about an issue in community or schools during the past 12 months

During the past twelve months, did you attend a meeting about an issue facing your community or schools?

1. Yes 2. No

-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2000: V001493 2004: V045168 2008: V085126 2012: involv_commmtg 2016: V162196

VCF9259

How often does R follow information about politics and government

How often do you pay attention to what's going on in government and politics? All the time, most of the time, about half the time, once in a while, or never?

-9. RF; NA; half sample administration; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2008: V085073b 2012: interest_attention 2016: V161003

VCF9260

What job or office is held by named Speaker of the U.S. House

Now we have a set of questions concerning various public figures. We want to see how much information about them gets out to the public from television, newspapers and the like. (The first name is) -name-. (What job or political office does he now hold?) {BEFORE 2004: PAUSE AFTER EACH NAME. RECORD EXACTLY WHAT IS SAID BUT DO NOT PROBE} {2004-LATER: INTERVIEWER: DOUBLE-CHECK THAT SCREEN IS NOT WITHIN VIEW OF THE RESPONDENT. PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?" DK KEY IS NOT ALLOWED FOR THIS QUESTION}

Correctly identifies
 No identification; identification is incomplete or wrong

-9. no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V925919 1996: V961192 2004: V045162 2008: V085120 2012: ofcrec_speaker_correct 2016: V162073a

VCF9261

What job or office is held by named Vice-President

Now we have a set of questions concerning various public figures. We want to see how much information about them gets out to the public from television, newspapers and the like. (The first name is) -name-. (What job or political office does he now hold?) {BEFORE 2004: PAUSE AFTER EACH NAME. RECORD EXACTLY WHAT IS SAID BUT DO NOT PROBE} {2004-LATER: INTERVIEWER: DOUBLE-CHECK THAT SCREEN IS NOT WITHIN VIEW OF THE RESPONDENT. PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?" DK KEY IS NOT ALLOWED FOR THIS QUESTION}

Correctly identifies
 No identification; identification is incomplete or wrong

-9. no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1986: V860343 1990: V900395 1992: V925916 1994: V941006 1996: V961189 1998: V980475 2004: V045163 2008: V085121 2012: ofcrec_vp_correct 2016: V162072

VCF9262

What job or office is held by named Chief Justice of the U.S. Supreme Court

Now we have a set of questions concerning various public figures. We want to see how much information about them gets out to the public from television, newspapers and the like. (The first name is) -name-. (What job or political office does he now hold?) {BEFORE 2004: PAUSE AFTER EACH NAME. RECORD EXACTLY WHAT IS SAID BUT DO NOT PROBE} {2004-LATER: INTERVIEWER: DOUBLE-CHECK THAT SCREEN IS NOT WITHIN VIEW OF THE RESPONDENT. PROBE DON'T KNOWS WITH, "WELL, WHAT'S YOUR BEST GUESS?" DK KEY IS NOT ALLOWED FOR THIS QUESTION}

1. Correctly identifies

2. No identification; identification is incomplete or wrong

-9. no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1986: V860345 1988: V880873 1990: V900397 1992: V925917 1994: V941007 1996: V961190 1998: V980476 2000: V001449a 2004: V045165 2008: V085123 2012: ofcrec_cj_correct 2016: V162076b

VCF9263

What was the party of the running candidate to which R gave money

(1980,1982:
What about other political contributions. Did you give any money this year to a candidate running for public office?
1984,1986:
Now, apart from contributing to a political party, did you give any money to an individual candidate running for public office?
1988-LATER:
During an election year people are often asked to make a contribution to support campaigns Did you give money to an individual candidate running for public office?)
ALL YEARS: Which party did that candidate belong to?
1. Democratic party
2. Both Democratic and Republican parties
3. Republican party

7. Other party only; nonpartisan only (1980,1982 only)

-8. DK (exc. 1980,1982)
-9. RF; NA; DK (1980,1982 only); R did not contribute to candidate; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1980: V800804, V800806, V800808 1982: V820483, V820485 1984: V840829 1986: V860322 1988: V880831 1990: V900372 1992: V925816 1994: V940813 1996: V961170 1998: V980366 2000: V001230 2004: V045014a 2008: V085033a 2012: mobilpo_ctbcndpty 2016: V162014a

VCF9264

What was the political party to which R gave money

(1972,1974:

Did you give any money to a political party this year? 1976: Did you give any money to a political party or make any other contribution this year? 1980-1982: Did you give money to a political party during this election year? 1984.1986: During the last year did you give any money to an individual candidate, a political party organization, people supporting a ballot proposition, or to a particular issue or interest group? (IF YES:) Apart from contributions to specific candidates, how about contributions to a political party organization? Did you give money to a political party during this election year? 1988-LATER: Did you give money to a political party during this election year?) ALL YEARS: To which party (did you give money)?

- 1. Democratic party
- 2. Both Democratic and Republican parties
- 3. Republican party
- 7. Other party only

-8. DK (exc. 1980,1982) -9. RF; NA; DK (1980,1982 only); R did not contribute to party; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720473 1974: V742200 1976: V763536 1980: V800812.V800814 1982: V820491,V820493,V820495 1984: V840827 1986: V860324 1988: V880833 1990: V900374 1992: V925818 1994: V940815 1996: V961172 1998: V980368 2000: V001232 2004: V045015a 2008: V085034a 2012: mobilpo_ctbwhpty 2016: V162016a

VCF9265

PRE-ELECTION: Did R vote in the state Presidential primary election or caucus

1972:
How about the primary election this year. Did you vote in a Presidential primary this spring or summer?
1976:
Did you vote in the Presidential primary election?
1980:
ALL STATES EXC. ARKANSAS, MISSISSIPPI, SOUTH CAROLINA: Your state held a primary election on -date-. Did you vote in that election,

or were you unable to do so?

ARKANSAS ONLY: Your state held a primary election on -date-. As you may know, the Republican Party did not have a primary contest in Arkansas this year, so there was only a Democratic primary election. Did you vote in that election or were you unable to do so?

MISSISSIPPI AND SOUTH CAROLINA ONLY: Your state held a primary election on -date-. As you may know, the Republican Party did not have a primary contest in Arkansas this year, so there was only a Democratic primary election. Did you vote in that election or were you unable to do so?

1988:

This state held a Presidential primary/caucus election on -date-(KANSAS, MICHIGAN, WYOMING ONLY: its Democratic Presidential nominating caucus on -date- and its Republican caucus on -date-). In talking to people about elections, we find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they didn't meet party qualifications, they were sick, or they just didn't have the time.

How about you-- did you vote in that primary election/caucus? (KANSAS, MICHIGAN, WYOMING ONLY: did you vote in either of those caucuses?)

1992:

This state held a Presidential primary/caucus election on -date- (ARIZONA, IOWA, MINNESOTA, MISSOURI, VIRGINIA, WYOMING ONLY: its Democratic Presidential nominating caucus on -dateand its Republican caucus on -date-).

In talking to people about elections (ARIZONA, IOWA, MINNESOTA, MISSOURI, VIRGINIA, WYOMING ONLY: about caucuses), we find that many people did not vote for a variety of reasons.

How about you -- did you vote in that primary/caucus?

(ARIZONA, IOWA, MINNESOTA, MISSOURI, VIRGINIA, WYOMING ONLY: in either of those caucuses?)

2008-LATER:

Did you vote in a presidential primary election or caucus (2012,2016: this year)?

1. Yes

2. No

-8. DK
-9. RF; NA; short or Spanish questionnaire (1992); Form II (1972) INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1968: V680139 1972: V720164 1976: V763034 1980: V800371 1988: V880148 1992: V923301 2008: V083077 2012: prevote_primv 2016: V161021

VCF9266

(HISPANIC Rs) For information about politics does R get the most information from Spanish or English language television, radio, and newspapers

For information about politics would you say you get the most information from Spanish-language television, radio, and newspapers, or from English-language TV, radio, and newspapers?

English more
 Both Equally (Bilingual) {VOL}
 Spanish more
 Other {SPECIFY}

-8. DK -9. RF; NA; Inap INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2008: V085279 2012: pohisp_hispnews 2016: V162222

VCF9267

Thermometer for: Muslims

How would you rate: Muslims {PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who this is or do you have something else in mind?

1-100

-7. Don't recognize
-8. DK where to rate
-9. RF; NA; no post data
INAP. Inap. question not used

Order of group thermometers was randomized.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2004: V045088 2008: V085065e 2012: ftgr_muslims 2016: V162106

VCF9268

Thermometer for: rich people

How would you rate: rich people {PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who this is or do you

have something else in mind?

1-100

-7. Don't recognize
-8. DK where to rate
-9. RF; NA; no post data
INAP. Inap. question not used

Order of group thermometers was randomized.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1998: V980269 2004: V045082 2008: V085065b 2012: ftgr_rich 2016: V162105

VCF9269

Thermometer for: Christians

How would you rate: Christians {PROBE FOR "DON'T KNOW" RESPONSE: when you say don't know do you mean that you don't know who this is or do you have something else in mind?

1-100

-7. Don't recognize
-8. DK where to rate
-9. RF; NA; no post data
INAP. Inap. question not used

Order of group thermometers was randomized.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2008: V085065g 2012: ftgr_xian 2016: V162107

VCF9270

Hardworking-lazy (7pt) scale placement for whites

Now I have some questions about different groups in our society. I'm going to show you a seven-point scale on which the characteristics of the people in a group can be rated. In the first statement a score of 1 means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be "hard-working." A score of 7 means that almost all of the people in the group are "lazy." A score of 4 means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to

one end or the other, and of course you may choose any number in between.

Where would you rate whites in general on this scale? 2000 TELEPHONE:

Now I have some questions about different groups in our society. Imagine a seven-point scale on which the characteristics of the people in a group can be rated. In the first question a score of 1 means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be "hard-working." A score of 7 means that you think most people in the group are "lazy." A score of 4 means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to one end or the other, and of course, you may choose any number in between.

1. Hard-working

2. 3. 4.

5.

6.

7. Lazy

-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. guestion not used

Scale administered first for whites, then for other racial groups in random order. 2008 NOTE: ACASI administration

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V926221 1996: V961311 2000: V001574 2004: V045222 2008: V083207a 2012: stype_hwkwhite 2016: V162345

VCF9271

Hardworking-lazy (7pt) scale placement for blacks

(Now I have some questions about different groups in our society. I'm going to show you a seven-point scale on which the characteristics of the people in a group can be rated. In the first statement a score of 1 means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be "hard-working." A score of 7 means that almost all of the people in the group are "lazy." A score of 4 means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to one end or the other, and of course you may choose any number in between.) (Where would you rate) blacks (on this scale)? 2000 TELEPHONE: Where would you rate blacks on a scale of 1 to 7?

(where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4 indicates most blacks are not closer to one end or the other.)

1. Hard-working 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Lazy -8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used Scale administered first for whites, then for other racial groups in random order. 2008 NOTE: ACASI administration WEIGHT: SOURCE VARS: 1992: V926222 1996: V961312 2000: V001575

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

2004: V045223 2008: V083207b 2012: stype_hwkblack 2016: V162346

VCF9272

Hardworking-lazy (7pt) scale placement for Hispanic-Americans

(Now I have some questions about different groups in our society. I'm going to show you a seven-point scale on which the characteristics of the people in a group can be rated. In the first statement a score of 1 means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be "hard-working." A score of 7 means that almost all of the people in the group are "lazy." A score of 4 means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to one end or the other, and of course you may choose any number in between.)

(Where would you rate) Hispanic-Americans (on this scale)? 2000 TELEPHONE:

Where would you rate Hispanic-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7? (where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4 indicates most blacks are not closer to one end or the other.)

1. Hard-working

- 2.
- 3.
- 4.

5.

6.

7. Lazy

-8. DK
-9. RF; NA; no post data
INAP. Inap. question not used

Scale administered first for whites, then for other racial groups in random order. 2008 NOTE: ACASI administration

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V926224 1996: V961313 2000: V001576 2004: V045224 2008: V083207c 2012: stype_hwkhisp 2016: V162347

VCF9273

Hardworking-lazy (7pt) scale placement for Asian Americans

(Now I have some questions about different groups in our society. I'm going to show you a seven-point scale on which the characteristics of the people in a group can be rated. In the first statement a score of 1 means that you think almost all of the people in that group tend to be "hard-working." A score of 7 means that almost all of the people in the group are "lazy." A score of 4 means that you think that most people in the group are not closer to one end or the other, and of course you may choose any number in between.)

(Where would you rate) Asian-Americans (on this scale)? 2000 TELEPHONE:

Where would you rate Asian-Americans on a scale of 1 to 7? (where 1 indicates hard working, 7 means lazy, and 4 indicates most blacks are not closer to one end or the other.)

1. Hard-working 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. Lazy -8. DK

-9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

Scale administered first for whites, then for other racial groups in random order. 2008 NOTE: ACASI administration

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1992: V926223 2000: V001577 2004: V045225 2008: V083207d 2012: stype_hwkasian 2016: V162348

VCF9274

In American life and politics, do blacks have too much, about the right amount of, or too little influence

ALL YEARS:

Some people think that certain groups have too much influence in American life and politics, while other people feel that certain groups don't have as much influence as they deserve. 1972-1976:

Here are three statements about how much influence a group might have. For each group I read to you, just tell me the number of the statement that best says how you feel: blacks 2000:

I am going to read you a list of groups, for each one please tell me whether that group has too much influence, just about the right amount of influence or too little influence. What about blacks?

(Would you say they have too much influence, just about the right amount of influence, or too little influence?)

1. Too much influence

- 2. Just about the right amount
- 3. Too little influence

-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

. .

In 1972-1976, the Respondent Booklet was used.

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1972: V720745 1974: V742384 1976: V763570 2000: V001438 2012: racecasi_infwhite

VCF9275

In American politics, do blacks have too much, about the right amount of, or too little influence

Would you say that blacks have too much influence in American politics, just about the right amount of influence in American politics, or too little influence in American politics?

- 1. Too much influence
- 2. Just about the right amount

3. Too little influence

-8. DK -9. RF; NA; no post data INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2008: V085114 2012: racecasi_infblacks 2016: V162323

VCF9277

Does working/temporarily laid off R work for someone else, self, or what

Do you work for someone else, are you self-employed, or what?

Someone else
 Other and self (both)
 Self only

-8. DK-9. RF; NA; not currently working or temporarily laid off INAP. Inap. question not used

Applies to all working Rs, regardless of number of hours

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1986: V860624 1988: V880438 1990: V900575 1992: V923925 1994: V941226 1996: V960668 1998: V980631 2000: V000972 2004: V043280 2008: V083236 2012: dem_semplnow 2016: V161293

VCF9278

Does working/temporarily laid off R work for the federal, state, or local government

Are you employed by a federal, state or local government?

Yes
 No
 -8. DK
 -9. RF; NA; not currently working/TLO; works only for self INAP. Inap. question not used

Applies to all working Rs, regardless of number of hours

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 1986: V860625 1988: V880439 1990: V900576 1992: V923926 1994: V941227 1996: V960669 1998: V980632 2000: V000973 2004: V043281 2008: V083237 2012: dem_empgovnow 2016: V161294

VCF9279

Does R consider self to be heterosexual or straight, homosexual or [gay/lesbian], or bisexual

Do you consider yourself to be heterosexual or straight, homosexual or gay (or lesbian), or bisexual?

Heterosexual or straight
 Bisexual
 Homosexual or gay (or lesbian)

-8. DK -9. RF; NA; Inap INAP. Inap. question not used

2008 NOTE:

ACASI administration

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2008: V083209 2012: orientn_rgay 2016: V161511

VCF9280

Does R know anyone among family and friends who is bisexual or gay

Among your immediate family members, relatives, neighbors, co-workers, or close friends, are any of them gay, lesbian, or bisexual as far as you know?

1. Yes 2. No -8. DK -9. RF; NA INAP. Inap. question not used

2008 NOTE:

ACASI administration

WEIGHT:

VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2008: V083210 2012: orientn_knowgay 2016: V161512

VCF9281

Does R have any (1994: some) kind of health insurance

Do you presently have any (1974: some) kind of health insurance?

1. Yes 2. No -8. DK -9. RF; NA; short or Spanish questionnaire (1992) INAP. Inap. question not used *WEIGHT:* VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

> SOURCE VARS: 1992: V923714 1994: V941022 2004: V043068 2008: V083060 2012: health_insured 2016: V161112

VCF9282

Is R living with family members

Are you living at home with one or more persons who are family members?

1. Yes 2. No

-8. DK -9. RF; NA INAP. Inap. question not used

WEIGHT: VCF0009x/VCF0009y/VCF0009z

SOURCE VARS: 2008: V083056 2012: finance_finfam 2016: V161109